

LOCALISED FRAMES FOR TENSOR PRODUCT SPACES

DIMITRI BYTCHENKOFF, MICHAEL SPECKBACHER, AND PETER BALAZS

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate whether the tensor product of two frames, each individually localised with respect to a spectral matrix algebra, is also localised with respect to a suitably chosen tensor product algebra. We provide a partial answer by constructing an involutive Banach algebra of rank-four tensors that is built from two solid spectral matrix algebras. We show that this algebra is inverse-closed, given that the original algebras satisfy a specific property related to operator-valued versions of these algebras. This condition is satisfied by all commonly used solid spectral matrix algebras. We then prove that the tensor product of two self-localised frames remains self-localised with respect to our newly constructed tensor algebra. Additionally, we discuss generalisations to localised frames of Hilbert-Schmidt operators, which may not necessarily consist of rank-one operators.

2020 Mathematics subject classification. 46B28, 42B35, 42C15

Keywords. localised frames, tensor products, spectral matrix algebras, inverse-closedness

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of localisation of frames was introduced by Gröchenig [19] to measure, in a broad sense, the quality of a frame. A frame $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$ is said to be self-localised [4, 16] if its Gram matrix $G_\Psi := ((\langle \psi_{i'}, \psi_i \rangle_H)_{(i', i) \in I^2})$ belongs to an inverse-closed matrix algebra. This definition implies, among other things, that the canonical dual of a self-localised frame is also self-localised and allows to introduce a whole range of Banach spaces, the so-called co-orbit spaces, which are defined by decay conditions on the frame coefficients of its elements. It is common knowledge that the set of elementary tensor products of the elements of two frames constitutes a frame [2] – often called the tensor product frame – for the tensor product of the Hilbert spaces. The question that has remained open is whether the tensor product of two self-localised frames is, in some way, also self-localised, or, in other words, whether there is a natural way to define an inverse-closed Banach algebra of tensors of rank four that contains the Gram tensor (Definition 3.1) of a tensor product frame. Such a construction would allow for a direct application of the tools of co-orbit theory to tensor product frames [9].

In this paper, we provide an affirmative answer to this question, under what we believe to be quite reasonable additional conditions. To achieve this, we begin with two solid spectral matrix algebras and construct an algebra of rank-four tensors. We then equip this algebra with a norm derived from the norms of operator-valued variants of the original matrix algebras. We demonstrate that the resulting algebra of tensors of rank four is an inverse-closed, albeit non-solid, involutive Banach algebra provided that the operator-valued versions of the matrix algebras used in its construction are inverse-closed. Here we note that solidity of the algebra is

not necessary for the intrinsically localised frames to have most of their desirable properties (see Section 4 for a discussion of that matter). It has recently been shown that the most prominent classes of inverse-closed matrix algebras indeed meet this condition [23], which makes our results widely applicable. Finally, we show that the Gram tensor of the tensor product of two self-localised frames belongs to the algebra that we constructed.

Our approach enabled us to overcome the obstacles that have prevented previous attempts to apply the methods developed for proving the inverse-closedness of the Jaffard class [22], Schur-type algebras [21, 31] and the Sjöstrand algebra [30] to tensor product algebras. More specifically, those techniques usually cannot be applied to tensor products of algebras from different classes or to algebras using anisotropic weights. To illustrate this, let us, e.g., consider two frames $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\Psi_2 = \{\psi_{2,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ whose Gram matrices belong to the Jaffard class for two distinct weights, i.e.,

$$\sup_{(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |\langle \psi_{1,k}, \psi_{1,l} \rangle| \nu_{s_1}(k-l) < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |\langle \psi_{2,m}, \psi_{2,n} \rangle| \nu_{s_2}(m-n) < \infty,$$

where $\nu_s(z) = (1 + |z|)^s$, and s_1, s_2 are both greater than one and differ from one another. The Gram tensor of rank four of the tensor product frame $\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2$ will then belong to the so-called anisotropic Jaffard class, i.e.,

$$\sup_{(k,l,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^4} |\langle \psi_{1,k} \otimes \psi_{2,m}, \psi_{1,l} \otimes \psi_{2,n} \rangle| \nu_{s_1}(k-l) \nu_{s_2}(m-n) < \infty.$$

It has remained unclear whether this anisotropic Jaffard class is inverse-closed or not. One could try to circumvent this obstacle and consider the higher dimensional Jaffard class with isotropic weight $\nu_s((k,n) - (l,m))$. This class is inverse-closed given that $s > 2$, which assumes a degree of localisation that the frames Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 might not satisfy though. In contrast to this example, our approach allows us to deal with tensor products of two algebras of the same class with distinct weights and, of two algebras from distinct classes.

In order to make this article self-contained, we recall the definitions and established facts we drew on in this paper in Section 2 before stating and proving our new contributions in Section 3.

2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND NOTATION

2.1. Self-localised frames for Hilbert spaces and their associated co-orbit spaces. The concept of a *frame* generalises that of a basis of a vector space. One of the major advantages of frames over bases is that a frame can often be designed in a way that allows for sparser decompositions of the elements of a given vector space than a basis would do.

Definition 2.1. *A countable set $\Psi := \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$ of elements of a separable Hilbert space H is called a frame if there exist positive numbers A_Ψ and B_Ψ such that*

$$A_\Psi \|f\|_H^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} |\langle f, \psi_i \rangle_H|^2 \leq B_\Psi \|f\|_H^2 \quad (2.1)$$

for any element $f \in H$.

The inequalities in (2.1), together with the nature of Hilbert spaces, have the following implications. Firstly, the second inequality in (2.1) implies that the *analysis operator* C_Ψ

$$C_\Psi : H \rightarrow \ell^2(I), \quad f \mapsto \{\langle f, \psi_i \rangle_H\}_{i \in I},$$

is bounded. Secondly, the *synthesis operator* D_Ψ

$$D_\Psi : \ell^2(I) \rightarrow H, \quad \{c_i\}_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} c_i \psi_i,$$

is bounded too. The first inequality in (2.1) implies that there exists at least one other frame $\Psi^d := \{\psi_i^d\}_{i \in I}$ for H , called a *dual frame*, that satisfies

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \psi_i \rangle_H \psi_i^d = D_{\Psi^d} C_\Psi f = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \psi_i^d \rangle_H \psi_i = D_\Psi C_{\Psi^d} f, \quad f \in H. \quad (2.2)$$

Fourthly, the *frame operator* S_Ψ

$$S_\Psi : H \rightarrow H, \quad f \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \psi_i \rangle_H \psi_i$$

is bounded, self-adjoint and invertible for any frame Ψ [3] and the sequence $\tilde{\Psi} := \{S_\Psi^{-1} \psi_i\}_{i \in I}$, known as the *canonical dual frame* [10], is a dual frame for Ψ . Finally, the map G_Ψ , called the *Gram operator*,

$$G_\Psi : \ell^2(I) \rightarrow \ell^2(I), \quad \{c_i\}_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} \langle \psi_{i'}, \psi_i \rangle_H c_i = \sum_{i \in I} (G_\Psi)_{i', i} c_i = C_\Psi D_\Psi c,$$

where

$$G_\Psi := ((G_\Psi)_{i', i})_{(i', i) \in I^2} := (\langle \psi_{i'}, \psi_i \rangle_H)_{(i', i) \in I^2}$$

is the so-called *Gram matrix*, is a bounded operator.

There is also the notion of a frame for Banach spaces [17].

Definition 2.2. *Let X be a separable Banach space and X_d a Banach sequence space. A countable set $\Psi := \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$ of elements of the topological dual X^* of the space X is called an X_d -frame for the space X if there are positive numbers A_Ψ and B_Ψ such that*

$$A_\Psi \|f\|_X \leq \|\{\langle f, \psi_i \rangle_{X, X^*}\}_{i \in I}\|_{X_d} \leq B_\Psi \|f\|_X$$

for any element $f \in X$.

Despite an apparent similarity of Definitions 2.1 and 2.2, there is a very important difference between frames for Hilbert spaces and those for Banach spaces: an X_d -frame for a Banach space in itself does not necessarily allow to express any element of the space in a way similar to that described by (2.2). Therefore, additional assumptions to ensure such a reconstruction are needed. For some Banach function spaces this problem can be solved by using so-called *structured frames* that satisfy only a few specific and easily verifiable criteria [5–8, 26, 27, 32]. Another class of Banach spaces for which this problem can be solved are the so-called co-orbit spaces [12–14], which are the Banach spaces of choice in numerous areas of harmonic analysis. Classically, co-orbit spaces were studied for families generated by integrable group representations. Here, we shall follow the approach introduced by Fornasier and Gröchenig [16] and consider co-orbit spaces that

are generated by *self-localised frames*. In essence, self-localisation of a frame Ψ amounts to the Gram matrix G_Ψ belonging to a spectral matrix algebra.

Definition 2.3. *An involutive Banach algebra \mathcal{A} of infinite matrices with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is called a spectral matrix algebra if*

- (i) *every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ defines a bounded operator on $\ell^2(I)$, i.e., $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I))$;*
- (ii) *\mathcal{A} is inverse-closed, that is if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I))$ then $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ as well;*

if, moreover,

- (iii) *\mathcal{A} is solid, i.e., $A \in \mathcal{A}$, together with $|B_{i,j}| \leq |A_{i,j}|$ for any $(i, j) \in I^2$, implies that $B := (B_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2} \in \mathcal{A}$ and that $\|B\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{A}}$,*

then \mathcal{A} is called a solid spectral matrix algebra.

Examples of solid spectral matrix algebras include, among others, the Jaffard class [22], Schur-type algebras [21] and the Sjöstrand algebra [30].

Definition 2.4. *Let I be a countable index set. The set $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$ of elements of H is said to be \mathcal{A} -self-localised, or simply self-localised, if its Gram matrix $G_\Psi := (\langle \psi_i, \psi_{i'} \rangle_H)_{(i,i') \in I^2}$ belongs to a spectral matrix algebra \mathcal{A} .*

An \mathcal{A} -self-localised frame Ψ for a Hilbert space H allows to generate a whole range of Banach spaces known as *co-orbit spaces* [12–14], which we shall introduce after the following auxiliary definition.

Definition 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I))$ be a spectral algebra of infinite matrices. A sequence of positive real numbers $w := \{w_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is called an \mathcal{A} -admissible weight if every matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}$ defines a bounded operator on the sequence space $\ell_w^p(I)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty]$.*

Definition 2.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a spectral matrix algebra, $\Psi := \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$ an \mathcal{A} -localised frame for a Hilbert space H , $\tilde{\Psi} := \{\tilde{\psi}_i\}_{i \in I}$ its canonical dual frame, $w := \{w_i\}_{i \in I}$ an \mathcal{A} -admissible weight and*

$$H_{00}(\Psi) = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} c_i \psi_i : \{c_i\}_{i \in I} \in c_{00} \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where c_{00} stands for the space of sequences of complex numbers with only finitely many non-zero terms. The co-orbit space $H_w^p(\Psi)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ is the completion of $H_{00}(\Psi)$ with respect to the norm $\|f\|_{H_w^p(\Psi)} := \|C_{\tilde{\Psi}} f\|_{\ell_w^p}$.

A proper definition of the co-orbit space $H_w^\infty(\Psi)$ is technically more involved. As our analysis focuses primarily on the properties of the localisation algebras rather than the co-orbit spaces, we will omit the details here and refer the interested reader to [4, 20] for an in depth discussion.

One of the main advantage of co-orbit space theory is that the frame for the Hilbert space that was used to generate the range of co-orbit spaces is also a frame for any of the co-orbit spaces and it allows to decompose and re-synthesise any of its elements [16].

2.2. Tensor products of Hilbert spaces and Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

Let H_1 and H_2 be two Hilbert spaces, $f_1 \in H_1$ and $f_2 \in H_2$. The *elementary tensor* $f_1 \otimes f_2$ of f_1 and f_2 will be understood as the rank-one operator mapping H_1 to H_2 according to the expression

$$(f_1 \otimes f_2)(f) := \langle f, f_1 \rangle_{H_1} f_2, \quad f \in H_1.$$

It should be pointed out that the elementary tensors are homogeneous in the following sense

$$\alpha(f_1 \otimes f_2) = (\overline{\alpha}f_1) \otimes f_2 = f_1 \otimes (\alpha f_2).$$

The tensor product $H_1 \otimes H_2$ is defined as the completion of the linear span of all elementary tensors with respect to the metric induced by the scalar product

$$\langle f_1 \otimes f_2, g_1 \otimes g_2 \rangle_{H_1 \otimes H_2} = \overline{\langle f_1, g_1 \rangle_{H_1}} \langle f_2, g_2 \rangle_{H_2}.$$

We note that the tensor product $H_1 \otimes H_2$ can be identified with the Banach algebra of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators* $HS(H_1, H_2)$, which is defined as the space of bounded linear operators mapping H_1 to H_2 equipped with the norm induced by the scalar product

$$\langle O, O' \rangle_{HS(H_1, H_2)} := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle Oe_i, O'e_i \rangle_{H_2},$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ stands for an orthonormal basis of H_1 .

3. TENSOR PRODUCTS OF SPECTRAL MATRIX ALGEBRAS

The set of elementary tensor products $\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2 := \{\psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j}\}_{(i,j) \in I_1 \times I_2}$ of the elements of two frames $\Psi_1 := \{\psi_{1,i}\}_{i \in I_1} \subset H_1$ and $\Psi_2 := \{\psi_{2,j}\}_{j \in I_2} \subset H_2$ is known to constitute a frame for the tensor product $H_1 \otimes H_2$. Its canonical dual is given by $\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} := \widetilde{\Psi_1} \otimes \widetilde{\Psi_2} = \{\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\}_{(i,j) \in I_1 \times I_2}$, see [2]. In what follows we shall refer to $\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2$ as a *tensor product frame*. Let us now assume that Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are self-localised according to Definition 2.4, in other words, let us assume that $G_{\Psi_1} := (\langle \psi_{1,i'}, \psi_{1,i} \rangle)_{(i,i') \in I_1^2} \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $G_{\Psi_2} := (\langle \psi_{2,j'}, \psi_{2,j} \rangle)_{(j,j') \in I_2^2} \in \mathcal{A}_2$ where \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are two spectral matrix algebras and show that $\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2$ is, in a way, self-localised too. To do so, we shall define a Gram tensor of rank four $G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}$ and an involutive Banach algebra of tensors of rank four and prove that $G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}$ belongs to this algebra. We first give our definition of the Gram tensor $G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}$, which is reminiscent of the ordinary Gram matrix.

Definition 3.1. *Let $\{\Omega_{i,k}\}_{(i,k) \in I_1 \times I_2} \subset H_1 \otimes H_2$. The Gram tensor of rank four G_Ω is defined by*

$$G_\Omega = (\langle \Omega_{i,k}, \Omega_{j,l} \rangle_{H_1 \otimes H_2})_{(i,k,l,j) \in I_1 \times I_2^2 \times I_1}. \quad (3.1)$$

If $\{\Omega_{i,k}\}_{(i,k) \in I_1 \times I_2}$ consists of only elementary tensor products of two families $\Psi_1 \subset H_1$ and $\Psi_2 \subset H_2$, the Gram tensor reduces to the Kronecker product of the Gram matrices

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} &= (\langle \psi_{1,j}, \psi_{1,i} \rangle_{H_1} \langle \psi_{2,l}, \psi_{2,k} \rangle_{H_2})_{(i,k,l,j) \in I_1 \times I_2^2 \times I_1} \\ &= (G_{\Psi_1})_{(i,j) \in I_1^2} (G_{\Psi_2})_{(k,l) \in I_2^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

We use \mathcal{T} to denote the set of rank-four tensors whose elements are indexed by $I_1 \times I_2^2 \times I_1$ [15]. Clearly $(\mathcal{T}, \cdot, +)$ – i.e., the set \mathcal{T} , together with pointwise

addition and scalar multiplication – constitutes a vector space over the field \mathbb{C} . To introduce an involutive Banach algebra contained in $(\mathcal{T}, \cdot, +)$, we need to introduce a norm, a multiplication and an involution, for such tensors.

Definition 3.2. Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be involutive Banach algebras of tensors of rank two with norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_2}$ whose elements are indexed by I_1^2 and I_2^2 respectively. For any tensor of rank four $A \in \mathcal{T}$ we define

$$\|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1} := \left\| \left(\|A|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} \|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \right)_{(i,j) \in I_1^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_1}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $A|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}}$ stands for the restriction of A , viewed as a map $I_1 \times I_2^2 \times I_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, to the subset $\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}$, and

$$\|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2} := \left\| \left(\|A|_{I_1 \times \{(k,l)\} \times I_1} \|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_1))} \right)_{(k,l) \in I_2^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_2}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $A|_{I_1 \times \{(k,l)\} \times I_1}$ stands for the restriction of A to the subset $I_1 \times \{(k,l)\} \times I_1$. Furthermore, we set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1 := \{A \in \mathcal{T} : \|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1} < \infty\}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2 := \{A \in \mathcal{T} : \|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2} < \infty\},$$

and $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$, where \mathcal{A} is equipped with the norm

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{A}} := \max \{ \|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1}, \|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2} \}. \quad (3.5)$$

Our, perhaps somewhat unusual, way of indexing the elements of tensors of rank four is, we believe, fully justified by the fact that the *double contracted tensor multiplication* [29], which we shall formally define in a moment, functions very much like an ordinary matrix multiplication.

Definition 3.3. For any two tensors of rank four $A := (A_{i,k,l,j})_{(i,k,l,j) \in I_1 \times I_2^2 \times I_1}$ and $B := (B_{i,k,l,j})_{(i,k,l,j) \in I_1 \times I_2^2 \times I_1}$, their doubly contracted tensor product $A : B$ will be understood as the tensor of rank four defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((A : B)_{i,k,l,j} \right)_{(i,k,l,j) \in I_1 \times I_2^2 \times I_1} \\ & := \left(\sum_{n \in I_2} \sum_{m \in I_1} A_{i,k,n,m} B_{m,n,l,j} \right)_{(i,k,l,j) \in I_1 \times I_2^2 \times I_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Subsequently, we shall prove that this product is compatible with the norm that defines \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 3.4. Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be solid Banach algebras. Then

$$(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, +, \cdot, \cdot, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) \quad (3.7)$$

is a Banach algebra.

Proof. First of all, we are going to show that the mapping $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a norm. Indeed, the function $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1}$ is a norm as it is the composition of the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))}$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_1}$. The map $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2}$ is a norm for a similar reason. Finally, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a norm as it is a maximum of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2}$.

It remains to prove that

$$\|A : B\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|B\|_{\mathcal{A}} \quad (3.8)$$

for any A and B in \mathcal{A} . According to (3.6), for any given $(i, j) \in I_1^2$, the norm of the restriction $(A : B)|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}}$ of $A : B$ can be expressed as follows

$$(A : B)|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} = \sum_{n \in I_2} \sum_{m \in I_1} A|_{\{i\} \times I_2 \times \{n\} \times \{m\}} B|_{\{m\} \times \{n\} \times I_2 \times \{j\}}.$$

Using the triangle inequality and the submultiplicativity of the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))}$ results in

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (A : B)|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} &= \left\| \sum_{n \in I_2} \sum_{m \in I_1} A|_{\{i\} \times I_2 \times \{n\} \times \{m\}} B|_{\{m\} \times \{n\} \times I_2 \times \{j\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \\ &\leq \sum_{m \in I_1} \left\| \sum_{n \in I_2} A|_{\{i\} \times I_2 \times \{n\} \times \{m\}} B|_{\{m\} \times \{n\} \times I_2 \times \{j\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \\ &\leq \sum_{m \in I_1} \left\| A|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{m\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \left\| B|_{\{m\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Now A and $B \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ as both A and $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$. This, in its turn, implies that

$$\left(\left\| A|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{m\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \right)_{(i, m) \in I_1^2} \in \mathcal{A}_1,$$

and

$$\left(\left\| B|_{\{m\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \right)_{(m, j) \in I_1^2} \in \mathcal{A}_1.$$

Moreover, combining (3.9) and the fact that \mathcal{A}_1 is a solid Banach algebra results in

$$\begin{aligned} \|A : B\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1} &= \left\| \left(\left\| (A : B)|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \right)_{(i, j) \in I_1^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\sum_{m \in I_1} \left\| A|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{m\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \left\| B|_{\{m\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \right)_{(i, j) \in I_1^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\left\| A|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{m\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \right)_{(i, m) \in I_1^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} \left\| \left(\left\| B|_{\{m\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \right)_{(m, j) \in I_1^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} \\ &= \|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1} \|B\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Using similar arguments we infer that

$$\|A : B\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2} \leq \|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2} \|B\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2}. \quad (3.11)$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.11) implies (3.8). Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \|A : B\|_{\mathcal{A}} &\leq \max\{\|A : B\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1}, \|A : B\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2}\} \\ &\leq \max\{\|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1} \|B\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1}, \|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2} \|B\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2}\} \\ &\leq \max\{\|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1}, \|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2}\} \max\{\|B\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1}, \|B\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2}\} \\ &= \|A\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|B\|_{\mathcal{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we define the adjoint of a tensor of rank four.

Definition 3.5. *The adjoint A^* of a tensor of rank four $A \in \mathcal{T}$ will be understood as the tensor of rank four defined by*

$$\left((A^*)_{i,k,l,j} \right)_{(i,k,l,j) \in I_1 \times I_2^2 \times I_1} := \left(\overline{A_{j,l,k,i}} \right)_{(i,k,l,j) \in I_1 \times I_2^2 \times I_1}, \quad (3.12)$$

where the bar over $A_{j,l,k,i}$ stands for its complex conjugation.

This definition of the adjoint is again similar to that of a matrix, where the order of the indices is reversed and the elements of the matrix are complex conjugated.

Proposition 3.6. *Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be solid Banach algebras. Then*

$$(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, +, \cdot, *, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) \quad (3.13)$$

is an involutive Banach algebra.

Proof. Clearly, the adjoint of $A \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following properties $(A^*)^* = A$, $(\alpha A)^* = \overline{\alpha} A^*$ and $(A + B)^* = A^* + B^*$ and therefore qualifies as an involution. Moreover, we observe that, formally,

$$\begin{aligned} (A : B)_{i,k,l,j}^* &= \sum_{m \in I_1} \sum_{n \in I_2} \overline{A_{j,l,n,m}} \overline{B_{m,n,k,i}} = \sum_{m \in I_1} \sum_{n \in I_2} \overline{B_{m,n,k,i}} \overline{A_{j,l,n,m}} \\ &= \sum_{m \in I_1} \sum_{n \in I_2} (B^*)_{i,k,n,m} (A^*)_{m,n,l,j} = (B^* : A^*)_{i,k,l,j} \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

holds for any $(i, k, l, j) \in I_1 \times I_2^2 \times I_1$. The product on the right hand side is well-defined whenever $A^*, B^* \in \mathcal{A}$. To prove that \mathcal{A} is an involutive Banach algebra it thus remains to show that

$$\|A^*\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We note that the restriction of A^* can be understood as the adjoint of a matrix

$$(A^*)|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} = \left(A|_{\{j\} \times I_2^2 \times \{i\}} \right)^*.$$

This shows that

$$\left\| (A^*)|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} = \left\| A|_{\{j\} \times I_2^2 \times \{i\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^*\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1} &= \left\| \left(\left\| A|_{\{j\} \times I_2^2 \times \{i\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \right)_{(i,j) \in I_1^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} \\ &= \left\| \left(\left(\left\| A|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \right)^* \right)_{(i,j) \in I_1^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} \\ &= \left\| \left(\left\| A|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \right)_{(i,j) \in I_1^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1} \end{aligned}$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Using similar arguments we conclude that

$$\|A^*\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2} = \|A\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2}, \quad A \in \mathcal{A},$$

which in turn implies that $\|A^*\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{A}}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

With all the preparatory work in place, our main result now follows almost immediately from previous propositions.

Theorem 3.7. *Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be two solid spectral algebras such that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$ are inverse-closed. Then \mathcal{A} is an involutive inverse-closed Banach algebra.*

Proof. The only thing that remains to be proved is that \mathcal{A} is inverse-closed. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be invertible in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_1 \times I_2))$. Then $A^{-1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ and $A^{-1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$ as both $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$ are inverse-closed. Therefore, $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

The assumption that the algebras $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$ are inverse-closed seems quite sensible to us, as all the common inverse closed algebras satisfy this condition. This follows from the results of [23] where operator-valued matrix algebras were considered. For $I \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\vartheta_s(i) = (1 + |i|)^s$, and ϑ any weight function, the Jaffard algebra

$$\mathcal{J}_s(I) := \left\{ A : \sup_{(i,j) \in I^2} |A_{i,j}| \vartheta_s(i-j) < \infty \right\},$$

the weighted Schur-type algebras

$$\mathcal{S}_\delta^p(I) := \left\{ A : \|A\|_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^p(I)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^p(I)} := \max \left\{ \sup_{i \in I} \left(\sum_{j \in I} |A_{i,j}|^p \vartheta_\delta(i-j)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \sup_{j \in I} \left(\sum_{i \in I} |A_{i,j}|^p \vartheta_\delta(i-j)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\},$$

and the Sjöstrand algebra

$$\mathcal{C}_\vartheta := \left\{ A : \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |A_{j,j-i}| \vartheta(i) < \infty \right\},$$

are a priori defined as complex-valued infinite matrices. In the following, we will consider operator-valued versions of the algebras defined above. For a solid matrix algebra \mathcal{A} and a Hilbert space H , we define

$$\mathcal{OP}(\mathcal{A}) := \left\{ (A_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2} : A_{i,j} \in \mathcal{B}(H) \text{ and } (\|A_{i,j}\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)})_{(i,j) \in I^2} \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

If \mathcal{A} is, e.g., the Jaffard class, then this definition reads

$$\mathcal{OP}(\mathcal{J}_s(I)) := \left\{ A_{i,j} \in \mathcal{B}(H) : \sup_{(i,j) \in I^2} \|A_{i,j}\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \vartheta_s(i-j) < \infty \right\}.$$

It was shown in [23, Theorems 3.10, 4.8 and 6.3] that the operator-valued versions of the Jaffard class, the weighted Schur-type and the Sjöstrand algebra are indeed inverse-closed. We make use of these results to show the following.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $I_1, I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be relatively separated discrete sets, and let \mathcal{A}_n be chosen from $\{\mathcal{J}_s(I_n), \mathcal{S}_\delta^1(I_n), \mathcal{C}_\nu\}$, $n = 1, 2$, with $s > d, \delta \in (0, 1]$, and ν a sub-multiplicative and symmetric weight that satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(nz)^{1/n} = 1$, for every $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then \mathcal{A} is an inverse-closed involutive Banach algebra.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be a rank-four tensor. For any given pair of indices $(i, i') \in I_1^2$, $A_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{i'\}}$ defines a bounded operator on $\ell^2(I_2)$ and it is straightforward to show that $\mathcal{OP}(\mathcal{A}_1) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$. Consequently, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ is inverse-closed according to the results of [23]. A similar argument holds for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$. Applying Theorem 3.7 then concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.9. *It is not known to us whether the operator-valued analog of a spectral matrix algebra is necessarily inverse closed. An affirmative answer to this question would allow us to show that the tensor algebra \mathcal{A} is inverse-closed as long as \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are inverse-closed.*

4. SOLIDITY IN CO-ORBIT THEORY

While solidity of a spectral matrix algebra \mathcal{A} is one of the standard assumptions in co-orbit theory [4, 16], the tensor product algebra that we designed and use in this study is not solid in general. We are, however, convinced that solidity is not essential to prove the main statements of co-orbit theory. Instead, it is the other assumptions outlined in Definitions 2.3 and 2.5 that play the central roles. While a detailed discussion confirming this claim would go beyond the scope of this paper, we briefly discuss how the properties of the Gram matrix are used to derive the results of co-orbit theory. A recurring argument is to rewrite certain operations as the multiplication of the (cross-)Gram matrix by an $\ell_w^p(I)$ -sequence and then use that elements of the spectral algebra define bounded operators on each $\ell_w^p(I)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, as long as w is an \mathcal{A} -admissible weight (see Definition 2.5). This, for example, allows one to show boundedness of the synthesis operator or that the co-orbit spaces are independent of the particular self-localised frame that is used to define them. Inverse-closedness on the other hand is used to prove the fundamental result of co-orbit theory that the canonical dual of a self-localised frame is also self-localised.

To the best of our knowledge, there are only two occasions where solidity is indeed used in the literature on co-orbit theory, namely in [4, Theorem 3], where the argument could be readily adapted to work in the operator-valued setting that we consider in this paper, and in [16, Definition 4 & Lemma 2.2], where the somewhat different notion of \sharp -self-localisation is introduced and used to describe the mutual localisation of two frames with indices in two distinct non-uniform index sets.

5. LOCALISED FRAMES FOR THE TENSOR PRODUCT $H_1 \otimes H_2$

The following result is an almost immediate consequence of Definition 3.2 being applied to the tensor product of two self-localised frames.

Proposition 5.1. *Let Ψ_1 be an \mathcal{A}_1 -self-localised frame for H_1 and Ψ_2 an \mathcal{A}_2 -self-localised frame for H_2 where \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are two spectral matrix algebras such that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$ are inverse-closed. Then the tensor product frame $\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2$ is an \mathcal{A} -self-localised frame for $H_1 \otimes H_2$.*

Proof. According to (3.2) and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1} &= \left\| \left(\|G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}|_{\{i\} \times I_2^2 \times \{j\}}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \right)_{(i,j) \in I_1^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} \\ &= \left\| \|G_{\Psi_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} ((G_{\Psi_1})_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I_1^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} \\ &= \|G_{\Psi_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))} \|G_{\Psi_1}\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\|G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2} = \|G_{\Psi_1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_1))} \|G_{\Psi_2}\|_{\mathcal{A}_2}.$$

Therefore, both $\|G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1}$ and $\|G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2}$ are finite as $G_{\Psi_1} \in \mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_1))$ and $G_{\Psi_2} \in \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(I_2))$. From this we infer that $\|G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}\|_{\mathcal{A}} < \infty$ and so $G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

It should be pointed out here that self-localisation with respect to \mathcal{A} need not be restricted to sets of rank-one operators. Frames of Hilbert-Schmidt operators have been recently studied, for example, in [24] in the context of quantum harmonic analysis [25]. In that study, the authors developed a theory of operator-valued modulation spaces by analysing operator families of the form $\{\pi(z_i)S\pi(w_k)^*\}_{(i,k) \in I^2}$, where $\pi(z)$ represents a time-frequency shift by $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ (see, e.g., [18]) and $S \in HS(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. They explored the localisation of operator-valued frames through the lens of classical co-orbit theory for integrable group representations. To derive their results they made extensive use of the connection between operator-valued frames and modulation spaces in double phase space. Our approach, on the other hand, is much more general and applicable to situations where no structure is available or where it is natural to combine different types of localisation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) 10.55776/P34624 (P.B. and D.B.) and 10.55776/PAT1384824 (M.S.). For open access purposes, the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright license to any author-accepted manuscript version arising from this submission.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Balazs, *Matrix representation of operators using frames*. *Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process.* 7, (2008) 39-54.

- [2] P. Balazs, *Hilbert-Schmidt operators and frames – classification, approximation by multipliers and algorithms*. *Sampl. Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolution Inf. Process.* 6, (2008) 315-330.
- [3] P. Balazs, D. Stoeva and J.-P. Antoine, *Classification of general sequences by frame-related operators*. *Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process.* 10, (2011) 151-170.
- [4] P. Balazs and K. Gröchenig, *A Guide to localized frames and applications to Galerkin-like representations of operators*. In: I. Pesenson, Q. Le Gia, A. Mayeli, H. Mhaskar, D.-X. Zhou, *Frames and other bases in abstract and function spaces*. Birkhäuser, Cham (2017).
- [5] L. Borup and M. Nielsen, *Frame decomposition of decomposition spaces*. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 13(1), (2007) 39-70.
- [6] D. Bytchenkoff and F. Voigtlaender, *Design and properties of wave packet smoothness spaces*. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 133, (2020) 185-262.
- [7] D. Bytchenkoff, *Construction of Banach frames and atomic decompositions of anisotropic Besov spaces*. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 200, (2021) 1341-1365.
- [8] D. Bytchenkoff, *On near orthogonality of the Banach frames of the wave packet spaces*. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, (2025) in press.
- [9] D. Bytchenkoff, M. Speckbacher and P. Balazs *Kernel theorems for operators on co-orbit spaces associated with localised frames*. arXiv:2402.18367, (2024).
- [10] O. Christensen, *Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*. Birkhäuser, Boston (2008).
- [11] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72, (1952) 341-154.
- [12] H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig, *A unified approach to atomic decomposition via integrable group representations*. In: *Proc. Conference on Functions, Spaces and Applications*, Lund 1986, Springer Lect. Notes Math. (1988) 52-73.
- [13] H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig, *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I*. *J. Funct. Anal.* 86(2), (1989) 307-340.
- [14] H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig, *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. II*. *Monatsh. Math.* 108(2-3), (1989) 129-148.
- [15] V. A. Fock, *The Theory of Space, Time and Gravitation*. State Publishing House of Physico-Mathematical Literature, Moscow (1961).
- [16] M. Fornasier and K. Gröchenig, *Intrinsic localization of frames*. *Constr. Approx.* 22, (2005) 395-415.
- [17] K. Gröchenig, *Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames*. *Monatsh. Math.* 112, (1991) 1-41.
- [18] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Basel (2001).
- [19] K. Gröchenig, *Localization of frames, Banach frames and the invertibility of the frame operator*. *J. Fourier Anal.* 10(2), (2004) 105-132.
- [20] N. Hauschka, P. Balazs and L. Köhldorfer, *Details on the distribution co-orbit space \mathcal{H}_w^∞* . arXiv:2502.07378, (2025).
- [21] K. Gröchenig and M. Leinert, *Symmetry of matrix algebras and symbolic calculus for infinite matrices*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 358, (2006) 2695-2711
- [22] S. Jaffard, *Propriétés des matrices "bien localisées" près de leur diagonale et quelques applications*. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* 7, (1990) 461-476.
- [23] L. Köhldorfer and Peter Balazs, *Wiener pairs of Banach algebras of operator-valued matrices*. arXiv:2407.16416, (2024).
- [24] F. Luef and H. McNulty, *Quantum time-frequency analysis and pseudodifferential operators*. arXiv:2403.00576, (2024).
- [25] F. Luef and E. Skrettingland, *Mixed-state localization operators: Cohen's class and trace class operators*. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 25, (2019) 2064–2108.
- [26] M. Nielsen and K. N. Rasmussen, *Compactly supported frames for decomposition spaces*. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 18(1), (2012) 87-117.
- [27] M. Nielsen, *Frames for decomposition spaces generated by a single function*. *Collect. Math.* 65, (2014) 183-201.
- [28] R. A. Ryan, *Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces*, Springer, London (2002).
- [29] J. Salençon, *Mécanique des Milieux Continus*, Ellipses, Paris (1996).
- [30] J. Sjöstrand, *Wiener-type algebras of pseudodifferential operators*. Centre de Mathématiques, Ecole Polytechnique. Palaiseau France, Séminaire 1994–1995, (1994).

- [31] Q. Sun, *Wiener's lemma for infinite matrices with polynomial off-diagonal decay*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, 340, (2005) 567–570.
- [32] F. Voigtlaender, *Structured, compactly supported Banach frame decompositions of decomposition spaces*. Diss. Math. 575, (2022) 1-179.

(D. B.) ACOUSTICS RESEARCH INSTITUTE, AUSTRIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, DOMINIKANERBASTEI 16, 1010 VIENNA, AUSTRIA;

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA;

UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, LABORATOIRE D'ÉNERGÉTIQUE ET DE MÉCANIQUE THÉORIQUE ET APPLIQUÉE, 2 AVENUE DE LA FORÊT DE HAYE, 54505 VANDOEUVRE-LÈS-NANCY, FRANCE

Email address: `dimitri.bytkhenkoff@oeaw.ac.at`; `dimitri.bytkhenkoff@univie.ac.at`; `dimitri.bytkhenkoff@univ-lorraine.fr`

(M. S.) ACOUSTICS RESEARCH INSTITUTE, AUSTRIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, DOMINIKANERBASTEI 16, 1010 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

Email address: `michael.speckbacher@oeaw.ac.at`

(P. B.) ACOUSTICS RESEARCH INSTITUTE, AUSTRIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, DOMINIKANERBASTEI 16, 1010 VIENNA, AUSTRIA;

ACOUSTICS, ANALYSIS AND AI (3AI), INTERDISCIPLINARY TRANSFORMATION UNIVERSITY AUSTRIA (IT:U), LINZ, AUSTRIA

Email address: `peter.balazs@oeaw.ac.at`