ON THE NORMAL TRACE SPACE OF EXTENDED DIVERGENCE-MEASURE FIELDS

CHRISTOPHER IRVING

ABSTRACT. We characterise the normal trace space associated to extended (measurevalued) divergence-measure fields on the boundary of a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, as the Arens-Eells space $\mathcal{E}(\partial E)$. Such a trace operator is constructed for any Borel set E, and under a mild regularity condition, which includes Lipschitz domains, this trace operator is shown to moreover be surjective. This relies in part on a new pointwise description of the Anzellotti pairing $\nabla \phi \cdot F$ between a W^{1,∞} function ϕ and extended divergence-measure field F. As an application, we prove extension theorems for divergence-measure fields and divergence-free measures. Results for L¹-fields are also obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are concerned with the structure of the distributional normal trace $\langle \mathbf{F} \cdot \nu, \cdot \rangle_{\partial E}$ associated to (extended) divergence-measure fields. Motivated by problems from continuum mechanics, we seek to understand whether the classical Gauss-Green formula,

(1.1)
$$\int_{\partial U} \phi \, \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \nu_{\partial U} \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = -\int_{U} \nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{U} \phi \, \mathrm{div} \, \boldsymbol{F} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

valid for suitably regular domains $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with inwards pointing normal $\nu_{\partial U}$, vector fields \mathbf{F} and scalar functions ϕ , remains valid when the vector field is highly irregular. We will consider *divergence-measure fields* $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$; these are vector-valued Radon measures \mathbf{F} defined on some open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, whose divergence is also a measure on Ω . Given such a field \mathbf{F} and any Borel subset $E \subset \Omega$, it is by now customary (following *e.g.* [CF03; Sch07; Šil09]) to define the normal trace as a distribution *via* the Gauss-Green formula as

(1.2)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial E} := -\int_E \nabla \phi \cdot \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{F} - \int_E \phi \,\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{F}) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathrm{C}^1_\mathrm{b}(\Omega),$$

where the minus sign corresponds to an inwards pointing normal. We thereby recast the problem of understanding the Gauss-Green formula to that of studying this distributional normal trace.

The case where the underlying field is represented by a function in L^{∞} has been extensively studied, starting with the seminal papers of ANZELLOTTI [Anz83] and CHEN & FRID [CF99]. Here the normal trace as defined in (1.2) can be represented by a function in $L^{\infty}(\partial U, \mathcal{H}^{n-1})$, which holds in the generality of sets of finite perimeter, as was established independently by CHEN & TORRES in [CT05] and ŠILHAVÝ in [Šil05]. While the bounded case will not be the focus of this paper, we will mention there have been many interesting developments since, such as [CLT20; CTZ09; Com+24; CL25; CD19; PT08; SS16].

Comparatively little is known when underlying field \mathbf{F} is unbounded or measure-valued. A major difficultly stems from the fact that, even for regular domains U, the normal trace $\langle \mathbf{F} \cdot \nu, \cdot \rangle_{\partial U}$ need not be represented by a locally \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -integrable function on the boundary (*c.f.* [CF03; Sch07]). To make matters worse, the normal trace may fail to even be a measure, as was shown in [Šil09]. For this reason, many authors study the validity of the Gauss-Green formula on *almost all* surfaces in a suitable sense, starting with [DMM99; Šil91] in

Date: March 13, 2025.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35R06, 49Q15.

Key words and phrases. Divergence-measure fields, normal trace, Gauss-Green formula, Arens-Eells space.

the context of Cauchy fluxes, which was further developed in [CCT19; CF03; CIT24; Sch07; Šil05; Šil09]. We also mention [CDS23; SS22; Šil08] for further contributions in this setting.

A natural question is whether we can *characterise* the space of distributions that arise as the normal trace of a divergence-measure field. In this direction ŠILHAVÝ in [Šil09] proved that, for any open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we can view the normal trace as a linear functional

(1.3)
$$N_U(\mathbf{F}) \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathbf{b}}(\partial U)^*.$$

As far as the author is aware, in this generality, this is the sharpest description available in the literature up until now. The purpose of the present work is to settle this problem by showing that (1.3) is *not* optimal, by identifying the correct space that the normal trace surjects onto. As a consequence of this characterisation, we will also obtain extension results for extended divergence-measure fields; these appear to be entirely new.

1.1. Main results. We will first establish the following refinement of (1.3), where we show that the normal trace enjoys improved continuity properties.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$ and $E \subset \Omega$ be any Borel set. Then there exists a unique weakly*-continuous linear functional $N_E(\mathbf{F}) \in \text{Lip}_b(\partial E)^*$ satisfying

(1.4)
$$\langle \mathbf{N}_E(\mathbf{F}), \phi |_{\partial E} \rangle = -\int_E \nabla \phi \cdot d\mathbf{F} - \int_E \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{F}) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathrm{C}^1_\mathrm{b}(\Omega).$$

A more precise statement is given in Theorem 3.6 which additionally asserts that, as a consequence of the weak^{*} continuity, $N_U(\mathbf{F})$ in fact lies in the *predual* of Lip_b(∂E), known as the *Arens-Eells space* $\mathcal{E}(\partial E)$ (see Definition 2.9). Theorem 1.1 will rely on a fine description of the Anzellotti-type *pairing measure* $\nabla \phi \cdot \mathbf{F}$ between Lipschitz functions ϕ and divergence-measure fields \mathbf{F} . Introduced in [Šil09], this measure is characterised by the product rule

(1.5)
$$\operatorname{div}(\phi \boldsymbol{F}) = \overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F}} + \phi \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$$

We will show this pairing measure admits the following pointwise description and continuity property:

Theorem 1.2. Let $F \in \mathcal{DM}^{ext}(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Then $\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F} \ll |F|$ and the associated Radon-Nikodým derivative is given by

(1.6)
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{F}|}(\overline{\nabla\phi\cdot\boldsymbol{F}})(x) = \nabla\phi(x)\cdot\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{F}}{\mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{F}|}(x) \quad \text{for } |\boldsymbol{F}|\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

where $\nabla \phi \cdot v$ denotes the directional derivative of ϕ in direction $v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and the relevant derivatives exist $|\mathbf{F}|$ -a.e. Furthermore, if $(\phi_k)_k \subset W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ is a sequence such that $\phi_k \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \phi$ weakly* in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, then the associated pairing measures converge *setwise* in that

(1.7)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \overline{\nabla \phi_k \cdot F}(E) = \overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F}(E) \quad \text{for all } E \subset \Omega \text{ Borel.}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on a decomposition of divergence-measure fields into curves, due SMIRNOV [Smi93]. We note that the differentiability statement was already proven by ALBERTI & MARCHESE in [AM16], whose proof also relies on Smirnov's decomposition result.

Theorem 1.1 asserts that the normal trace N_E maps into a strict subspace of $\text{Lip}_b(\partial E)^*$. We show that this is moreover *optimal*, assuming the following mild regularity condition on the domain.

Definition 1.3. We say an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is *locally rectifiably convex* if there exists $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ such that for any $p, q \in \overline{U}$ such that $|p - q| < \delta$, there exists a rectifiable curve γ connecting p to q through U, whose length satisfies $\ell(\gamma) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}|p - q|$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set satisfying Definition 1.3. Then

(1.8)
$$N_U: \mathcal{DM}^{ext}(U) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\partial U)$$
 is surjective.

More precisely, there exists a discrete set $\Lambda \subset U$ such that for each $m \in \mathcal{E}(\partial U)$, there exists $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)$ such that $N_U(\mathbf{F}) = m$, div \mathbf{F} is supported on Λ , and we have the estimate

(1.9)
$$\|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(U)} \leq C \|\boldsymbol{m}\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}.$$

Thus, for a general class of open sets U, we completely characterise the normal trace space on ∂U for divergence-measure fields. As we will discuss in §4.1 (namely Theorem 4.8), we can infer surjectivity results for the trace $N_U: \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega) \to \mathcal{E}(\partial U)$ for $U \subset \Omega$ by applying the above on both U and \overline{U}^c ; here we require not only that U and \overline{U}^c satisfies Definition 1.3, but also the topological condition $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^c$. These conditions are satisfied by all bounded Lipschitz domains, and also by certain fractal domains (see Example 4.2).

As a consequence of this characterisation, we obtain extension results for divergencemeasure fields; detailed statements can be found in $\S4.2$.

Theorem 1.5. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a open set such that \overline{U}^c satisfies Definition 1.3 and $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^c$. Then there exists a (not necessarily linear) extension operator

(1.10)
$$\mathcal{E}_U \colon \mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(U) \to \mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that $\mathcal{E}_U(\mathbf{F}) \sqcup U = \mathbf{F}$ for all $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$, and \mathcal{E}_U is bounded in that

(1.11)
$$\|\mathcal{E}_U(F)\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|F\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(\Omega)} \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(\Omega).$$

As Example 4.13 will illustrate, the condition $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^c$ is in general necessary. Similarly as in Theorem 1.4, the extension \tilde{F} we construct satisfies div $\tilde{F} = 0$ away from a discrete set Λ on the complement. This allows us to establish extension results for divergence-free fields; for this we let

(1.12)
$$\mathcal{M}_{\operatorname{div}}(U;\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ \boldsymbol{F} \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) : \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F} = 0 \} \subset \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}^{\operatorname{ext}}(U).$$

Theorem 1.6. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a open set such that \overline{U}^c satisfies Definition 1.3 and $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^c$. Then there exists an open set $\widetilde{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\overline{U} \subset \widetilde{U}$ and $\operatorname{dist}(U, \partial \widetilde{U}) > 0$, and an extension operator

(1.13)
$$\mathcal{E}_{U,\widetilde{U}} \colon \mathcal{M}_{\operatorname{div}}(U;\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{M}_{\operatorname{div}}(\widetilde{U};\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that $\mathcal{E}_{U,\widetilde{U}}(\mathbf{F}) \sqcup U = \mathbf{F}$ for all $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{M}_{\operatorname{div}}(U; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, if ∂U is bounded and \overline{U}^c is connected in addition, then we can choose $\widetilde{U} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Finally, we show that the restriction of the trace operator to \mathcal{DM}^1 remains surjective; despite being a seemingly stronger statement, this will follow as a consequence of Theorem 1.5. This also implies an extension theorem analogous to Theorem 1.5 for fields in $\mathcal{DM}^1(U)$, which we will detail in §4.3.

Theorem 1.7. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set satisfying Definition 1.3. Then restriction of the trace operator

(1.14)
$$N_U \colon \mathcal{DM}^1(U) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\partial U)$$
 is surjective

More precisely, for each $m \in \mathcal{E}(\partial U)$ there exists $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{DM}^1(U)$ such that $N_U(\mathbf{G}) = m$ and the estimate

(1.15)
$$\|\boldsymbol{G}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^1(U)} \le C \|\boldsymbol{m}\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}$$

holds. We moreover have that div $\boldsymbol{G} \in L^1(U)$.

Organisation: We recall some necessary results in §2 regarding divergence-measure fields, the space of Lipschitz functions and its predual, along with Smirnov's decomposition theorem. A proof of Smirnov's theorem, in the form we use, is also included in Appendix A. Equipped with these results, §3.1 is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 1.2, and consequences of said theorem is explored in §3.2, where Theorem 1.1 is proved. The surjectivity of this refined trace operator is proven in §4.1, from which we can infer the extension results, namely Theorems 1.5 and 1.6, in §4.2. Finally, in §4.3 we establish similar surjectivity and extension results in \mathcal{DM}^1 .

2. Preliminaries

We begin by setting our conventions, and recording some results that will be used in the sequel. We will start with:

Notation: Throughout the paper, we will consider an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 2$. Given any set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote its complement by A^c and the associated indicator function by $\mathbb{1}_A$. In Ω we denote the space of finite signed Radon measures by $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$, the space of bounded Lipschitz functions by $\operatorname{Lip}_b(\Omega)$, and the space of bounded Borel functions by $\mathcal{B}_b(\Omega)$. Also we denote by $\operatorname{C}_b^k(\Omega)$ as the space of k-times continuously differentiable functions with bounded derivatives in Ω , and put $\operatorname{C}_b(\Omega) = \operatorname{C}_b^0(\Omega)$ for the space of bounded continuous functions.

If μ is a Borel measure on Ω and $A \subset \Omega$ is a Borel subset, then we will denote by $\mu \bigsqcup A$ the Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $(\mu \bigsqcup A)(B) = \mu(A \cap B)$ for any Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We also denote the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure and *k*-dimensional Hausdorff measure on \mathbb{R}^n by \mathcal{L}^n and \mathcal{H}^k respectively.

In general for a function space X, we will write $X(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for the space of functions valued in \mathbb{R}^n , and write X_c , X_{loc} for compactly supported functions in $X(\Omega)$ and functions locally in X respectively. Also given two Banach spaces \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , will write $\mathcal{X} \simeq \mathcal{Y}$ if they are isomorphic, and $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{Y}$ they are moreover isometrically isomorphic. The dual space of \mathcal{X} will be denoted \mathcal{X}^* .

2.1. Divergence-measure fields. We recall the central notions of interest in this paper.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. A measure-valued field $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a *divergence-measure field* if div \mathbf{F} is represented by a finite measure. That is, there exists a signed measure div $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ which satisfies

(2.1)
$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi \cdot d\mathbf{F} = -\int_{\Omega} \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{F}) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathrm{C}^{1}_{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega).$$

The space of divergence-measure fields will be denoted by $\mathcal{DM}^{ext}(\Omega)$, which we equip with the norm

(2.2)
$$\|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(\Omega)} = |\boldsymbol{F}|(\Omega) + |\mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{F}|(\Omega).$$

If the field F is represented by a function in $L^p(\Omega)$ with $1 \le p \le \infty$, we write $F \in \mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$.

In §4.2 we will also consider divergence-free fields on Ω ; the space of such fields will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{div}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 2.2. A normal 1-current in Ω is a 1-current $T \in \mathcal{D}_1(\Omega)$ such that both T and ∂T are represented by finite Radon measures in Ω , and the space of such currents will be denoted by $\mathcal{N}_1(\Omega)$. Note that in component form we can write

(2.3)
$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i \,\mathrm{d}x_i, \quad \partial T = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i T_i,$$

from which we see that $T \in \mathcal{N}_1(\Omega)$ if and only if the measure-valued field $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is a divergence-measure field. This gives a one-to-one correspondence

(2.4)
$$\mathcal{N}_1(\Omega) \cong \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$$

thereby providing a geometric viewpoint which allows us to naturally identify curves as divergence-measure fields (see $\S2.3$).

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$ and $E \subset \Omega$ a Borel subset. The *normal trace* of \mathbf{F} on ∂E is defined as the linear functional

(2.5)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial E} = -\int_{E} \nabla \phi \cdot \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{F} - \int_{E} \phi \,\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{F}) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathrm{C}^{1}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Omega).$$

If the normal trace can be represented as a measure on ∂E , we will denote it by $(\mathbf{F} \cdot \nu)_{\partial E}$.

We will adopt the convention of taking the inner unit normal as in [CIT24]. By considering the restriction of $\phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to Ω , as distributions in \mathbb{R}^n we have

(2.6)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \cdot \rangle_{\partial E} = \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{1}_E \boldsymbol{F}) - \mathbb{1}_E \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F},$$

so we see that the normal trace is represented by a measure if and only if $\mathbb{1}_U \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We will briefly recall some useful properties of the normal trace. Given an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for each $\delta > 0$ we set

(2.7)
$$\widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta} := \left\{ x \in \Omega : |x| < \frac{1}{\delta}, \quad \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) > \delta \right\}.$$

Observe that $\widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta_2} \Subset \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta_1} \Subset \Omega$ for all $\delta_2 > \delta_1 > 0$ and $\bigcup_{\delta > 0} \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta} = \Omega$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$. Then for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $\delta > 0$, the normal trace $(\mathbf{F} \cdot \nu)_{\partial \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}}$ is represented by a measure on $\partial \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}$. Moreover, for any such $\delta > 0$, we have $\mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}} \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$ with

(2.8)
$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}}\boldsymbol{F}) = \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}}\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{F} + (\boldsymbol{F}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu})_{\partial\widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}}.$$

Proof. By [CIT24, Lem. 7.3, 7.4], for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $\delta > 0$ we have

(2.9)
$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |\mathbf{F}| (\{x \in \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta} : \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}) < \varepsilon)\}) < \infty,$$

so by [Šil09, Thm. 2.4(ii)] the normal trace of \mathbf{F} on $\partial \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}$ is a measure, and (2.8) follows from (2.6).

Definition 2.5. Let $F \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. We define the *pairing measure* between $\nabla \phi$ and F via

(2.10)
$$\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F} := \operatorname{div}(\phi F) - \phi \operatorname{div} F \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

Moreover, if $\phi \in C^1_b(\Omega)$, we can understand $\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F} = \nabla \phi \cdot F$ in the classical pointwise sense.

Although $\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F}$ is defined as a distribution in Ω , as the terminology suggests, it is in fact represented by a measure, which is the content of the following lemma. We refer to [Šil08, Prop. 5.2] for a proof (see also [CIT24, Thm. 2.7]).

Lemma 2.6 (Product rule, [Šil09]). Let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Then $\phi \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$, so $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}$ is also a finite measure on Ω via (2.10). Moreover for any $A \subset \Omega$ open, if $(\phi_k)_k \in C^1_{\text{b}}(A)$ such that $\phi_k \to \phi|_A$ weakly* in $W^{1,\infty}(A)$, then

(2.11)
$$w^*_{k \to \infty} \nabla \phi_k \cdot \boldsymbol{F} \, \boldsymbol{\bot} \, A = \overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F}} \, \boldsymbol{\bot} \, A$$

as measures. We also have

$$|\overline{
abla \phi \cdot oldsymbol{F}}| \leq \|
abla \phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\Omega)}|oldsymbol{F}|$$

as measures in Ω .

(2.12)

Using this pairing measure, we can extend the normal trace to be defined on $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ as

(2.13)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial E} = -\int_{E} \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F}}) - \int_{E} \phi \,\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{F}) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathrm{W}^{1,\infty}(\Omega).$$

With this we can formulate the following result from [Sil09] (see also [CIT24, Lem. 10.2]) we mentioned in the introduction.

Lemma 2.7. Let $F \in \mathcal{DM}^{ext}(\Omega)$ and $U \subset \Omega$ be an open set. Then there exists a bounded linear operator

(2.14)
$$N_U(\mathbf{F}) \colon \mathcal{DM}^{ext}(\Omega) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{b}(\partial U)^*$$

which satisfies

(2.15)
$$\langle N_U(\mathbf{F}), \phi |_{\partial U} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{F} \cdot \nu, \phi \rangle_{\partial U} \text{ for all } \phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Omega).$$

2.2. The predual of the space of Lipschitz functions. Given any subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we will denote by $\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)$ the space of Lipschitz continuous functions ϕ on X which are bounded in that

(2.16)
$$\|\phi\|_{\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathbf{b}}(X)} := \max\left\{\|\phi\|_{\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(X)}, \operatorname{Lip}(\phi, X)\right\} < \infty.$$

This norm is equivalent to the more standard choice $\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} + \operatorname{Lip}(\phi, X)$, however the above form will be more convenient in describing the predual. We observe that if $\phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)$, where $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is any set, we have ϕ is uniformly continuous and hence admits a unique continuous extension to \overline{X} , which is remains Lipschitz continuous with the same norm. This gives a natural identification $\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X) \cong \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(\overline{X})$.

Note that for $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open we have $\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(U) \subset W^{1,\infty}(U)$; while equality holds for suitably regular domains (*e.g.* for bounded Lipschitz domains), the inclusion is in general strict. We will show that both spaces can be identified as a dual space, and thus can be equipped with the topology of weak^{*}-convergence.

Lemma 2.8. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. Then there is an isometric isomorphism $W^{1,\infty}(U) \cong W^{-1,1}(U)^*$ induced by the pairing

(2.17)
$$\langle g,\phi\rangle = \int_U f_0\phi \,\mathrm{d}x - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_U f_i\partial_{x_i}\phi \,\mathrm{d}x, \text{ for all }\phi\in\mathrm{W}^{1,\infty}(U), \ g\in\mathrm{W}^{-1,1}(U).$$

where $g = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i} f_i$ with $f_0, f_1, \cdots, f_n \in L^1(U)$.

Here $W^{-1,1}(U)$ is equipped with the norm $||g||_{W^{-1,1}(U)} = \inf\{\sum_{i=0}^{n} ||f_i||_{L^1(U)}\}$, where the infimum is taking over all such representations of g. We refer to [AFP00, Ex. 2.3, Rmk. 3.12] for a proof.

From this we see that $W^{1,\infty}(U)$ is the dual of a separable space, and we will also use this precise description in §4.3. For a general set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)$ also has the structure of a dual space, which was described by ARENS & EELLS in [AE56]; for this we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.9. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed set. We define the *Arens-Eells space* $\mathbb{E}(X)$ to be the completion of the space

(2.18)
$$\mathbb{E}_0(X) := \operatorname{span}\{\delta_x : x \in X\} \subset \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)^*$$

with respect to the dual norm on $\operatorname{Lip}(X)^*$, which we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{E}(X)}$. We also denote the induced pairing by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{E}}$, which satisfies

(2.19)
$$\langle m, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \phi(p_i), \text{ where } m = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \delta_{p_i} \in \mathcal{E}_0(X), \ \phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X).$$

We will need a more concrete description in §4.1; for this, following [Wea18, §3] we also introduce the following related space. Note that our conventions differ from the aforementioned text, since we do not consider pointed spaces.

Definition 2.10. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed, and fix $e \notin X$. Then writing $\widetilde{X} = X \cup \{e\}$, define A(X, e) as the completion of the space

(2.20)
$$A_0(X,e) = \operatorname{span}\{\delta_q - \delta_p : p, q \in X\}$$

with respect to the norm

(2.21)
$$\|m\|_{A} = \inf\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k} |a_{i}|\rho(p_{i},q_{i}): m = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}(\delta_{q_{i}} - \delta_{p_{i}}), \begin{array}{l} a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \ p_{i}, q_{i} \in \widetilde{X} \\ k \in \mathbb{N}, \ 1 \le i \le k \end{array}\right\},$$

where ρ is a modified metric on $\widetilde{X} = X \cup \{e\}$ given by

(2.22)
$$\rho(p,q) = \min\{|p-q|,2\} \text{ for all } p,q \in X,$$

(2.23)
$$\rho(p,e) = 1 \qquad \text{for all } p \in X.$$

We also denote the induced pairing by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$, which satisfies

(2.24)
$$\langle m, \phi \rangle_A = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (\phi(q_i) - \phi(p_i)), \quad m = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (\delta_{q_i} - \delta_{p_i}) \in A_0(X, e), \ \phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X),$$

understanding that $\phi(e) = 0$.

Proposition 2.11. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed set and $e \notin X$. Then,

(a) $A(X,e) \cong \mathbb{E}(X)$ via $m \mapsto m \sqcup X$ for $m \in A_0(X,e)$, extending by density, (b) $\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathbf{b}}(X) \simeq \mathbb{E}(X)^*$ via $\phi \mapsto (m \mapsto \langle m, \phi \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})$.

Proof. By [Wea18, Thm. 3.3, Cor. 3.4], the pairing (2.24) extends to an isometric isomorphism $A(X, e)^* \cong \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathbf{b}}(X)$. In particular this implies that for $m \in \mathcal{E}_0(X, e)$,

(2.25)
$$\|m \bigsqcup X\|_{\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathbf{b}}(X)^*} = \sup\{|\langle m, \phi \rangle_A| : \|\phi\|_{\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathbf{b}}(X)} \le 1\} = \|m\|_A.$$

Hence it follows that $A(X, e) \cong \mathbb{E}(X)$ by sending each $m \in A_0(X, e)$ to $m \bigsqcup X \in \mathbb{E}_0(X)$ and extending by density, thereby proving (a). Since we also have $\langle m, \phi \rangle_A = \langle m \bigsqcup X, \phi \rangle_{\mathbb{E}}$ for all $m \in A_0(X, e)$ and $\phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)$, it follows that $\mathbb{E}(X)^* \cong \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)$ via the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}}$, establishing (b).

Example 2.12. If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed, we have $\mathcal{M}(X) \subset \mathcal{E}(X)$, by noting for that each $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, the mapping $\phi \mapsto \int_X \phi \, d\mu$ is well-defined and weakly*-continuous on $\operatorname{Lip}_b(X)$. However this space is strictly larger in general; if $a \in X$ is an accumulation point of X, then we can find a sequence $(a_k)_k \subset X$ converging to a such that $a_k \neq a$ for all k. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, assume that $\sum_k |a_k - a| < \infty$. Then $m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\delta_{a_k} - \delta_a) \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ by noting the series converges absolutely in $\operatorname{Lip}_b(X)^*$.

Lemma 2.13. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be any set, and $\phi_k, \phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)$. Then, as $k \to \infty$,

(2.26)
$$\phi_k \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \phi$$
 in $\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X) \iff \begin{cases} \phi_k \to \phi \text{ uniformly on bounded subsets of } X, \\ \sup_k \|\phi_k\|_{\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)} < \infty. \end{cases}$

Proof. Using the identification $\operatorname{Lip}_{b}(X) \cong \operatorname{Lip}_{b}(\overline{X})$, we can assume without loss of generality that X is closed. If $\phi_{k} \xrightarrow{*} \phi$ weakly* in $\operatorname{Lip}_{b}(X)$, by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, we have $\|\phi_{k}\|_{\operatorname{Lip}_{b}(X)}$ is uniformly bounded in k. Then by applying the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, there is a subsequence $\phi_{k_{j}}$ which converges uniformly to ϕ on $X \cap B_{M}(0)$ for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $\phi_{k_{j}} \to \phi$ uniformly on bounded subsets of X. Since the limit is unique, this convergence also holds for the entire sequence ϕ_{k} .

Conversely since $\operatorname{Lip}_{b}(X)$ is the dual of a separable space, the weak*-topology is compact and metrisable on norm-bounded subsets (see *e.g.* [Bre11, Thm. 3.16, 3.28]). Therefore ϕ_k admits a weakly*-convergent subsequence, but since this limit is uniquely determined as ϕ using the uniform convergence, the entire sequence ϕ_k converges weakly* to ϕ .

We will often use Lemma 2.13 with X = [0, 1], noting that $\operatorname{Lip}_{b}([0, 1]) = W^{1,\infty}((0, 1))$. For general open sets U however, we have a slightly different characterisation for weak^{*} convergence in $W^{1,\infty}(U)$.

Lemma 2.14. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. Then if $\phi_k, \phi \in W^{1,\infty}(U)$, as $k \to \infty$,

(2.27)
$$\phi_k \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \phi \text{ in } W^{1,\infty}(U) \iff \begin{cases} \phi_k \to \phi \text{ pointwise,} \\ \sup_k \|\phi_k\|_{W^{1,\infty}(U)} < \infty. \end{cases}$$

In addition, the space $C_b^1(U)$ is sequentially weakly^{*} dense in $W^{1,\infty}(U)$.

We note that $C_b^1(U) \not\subset Lip_b(U)$ in general, so we do not get an analogous density statement there. Also the below proof shows in fact that $\phi_k \to \phi$ locally uniformly in U in (2.27), however pointwise convergence will suffice for our purposes.

Proof. The equivalence (2.27) can be proven analogously as in Lemma 2.13, noting that $W^{-1,1}(U)$ is also separable. One difference lies in showing the pointwise convergence; for this assume that $\phi_k \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \phi$ and fix $x \in U$. Then there is a neighbourhood $B_r(x) \subset U$, and since $\phi_k|_{B_r(x)}$ is bounded in $W^{1,\infty}(B_r(x)) = \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(B_r(x))$, we infer that ϕ_k converges uniformly to ϕ on this ball $B_r(x)$.

To show $C_b^1(U)$ is sequentially weakly^{*} dense, given $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(U)$ we will take ϕ_k to be as in the construction from [EG15, Thm. 4.2]. More precisely, given a covering $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of U such that $V_j \Subset U$ for each j, let $\{\zeta_j\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{V_j\}$. We then let $\phi_k = \sum_j \eta_{\varepsilon_{j,k}} * (\zeta_j \phi)$, where η_{ε} is a standard mollifier and $\varepsilon_{j,k}$ is chosen to satisfy $\varepsilon_{j,k} \leq \text{dist}(\text{spt}(\zeta_j), \partial V_j)$ and that $\varepsilon_{j,k} \searrow 0$ as $k \to \infty$ for each j. Since each $\phi \nabla \zeta_j$ is continuous, by shrinking $\varepsilon_{j,k}$ if necessary we can also assume that

(2.28)
$$\|\eta_{\varepsilon_{j,k}} * (\phi \nabla \zeta_j) - \phi \nabla \zeta_j\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(U)} \le 2^{-j}$$

for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then noting that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nabla \zeta_j = \nabla \mathbb{1}_U = 0$ in U, we can estimate

(2.29)
$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_{\varepsilon_{j,k}} * (\phi \nabla \zeta_j)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(U)} \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\eta_{\varepsilon_{j,k}} * (\phi \nabla \zeta_j) - \phi \nabla \zeta_j\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(U)} \le 1$$

for all k. We can then verify that $(\phi_k)_k$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,\infty}(U)$ and converges pointwise to ϕ as $k \to \infty$, so by (2.27) we infer that $\phi_k \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \phi$ in $W^{1,\infty}(U)$.

2.3. The Smirnov decomposition. We will state a version of Smirnov's decomposition theorem, valid for fields in $\mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$. For this, we first define the space of curves we will work with, namely

(2.30)
$$\mathscr{C}_1 = \mathscr{C}_1^n := \{ \gamma \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}([0,1];\mathbb{R}^n) : \operatorname{Lip}(\gamma) \le 1 \},\$$

equipped with the topology of uniform convergence. We will refer to elements $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$ as *curves*, and we say γ is *closed* if $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$. It is well known that rectifiable curves admit an arclength reparametrisation, and as the proof of Smirnov's theorem we outline in Appendix A will show, for our purposes it will suffice to consider constant-speed curves in \mathscr{C}_1 .

Observe that \mathscr{C}_1 is locally compact by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, and is moreover metrisable since the topology is induced by the uniform norm $\|\gamma\|_{L^{\infty}([0,1])}$. Moreover by Lemma 2.13, convergence in \mathscr{C}_1 is equivalent to weak^{*} convergence in Lip_b $\simeq W^{1,\infty}$.

Definition 2.15. For a curve $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$, we denote by $[\![\gamma]\!] \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the field defined to satisfy

(2.31)
$$\langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle = \int_0^1 \Phi(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{t \in \gamma^{-1}(p)} \Phi(p) \cdot \frac{\gamma'(t)}{|\gamma'(t)|} \right) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^1(p)$$

for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, where the latter equality follows by the area formula (see *e.g.* [AFP00, Thm. 2.71, (2.47)]). Observe this satisfies

(2.32)
$$\operatorname{div}\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket = \delta_{\gamma(1)} - \delta_{\gamma(0)},$$

which is zero if and only if γ is closed. We will also denote the total variation measure of $[\![\gamma]\!]$ by $\mu_{[\![\gamma]\!]}$.

Note that, if for some $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open we have $\gamma(t) \in \Omega$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$, then the associated field lies in $\mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$. Also if we set $\Gamma_{\gamma} = \gamma([0, 1])$ and let

(2.33)
$$\xi_{\gamma}(p) := \begin{cases} \sum_{t \in \gamma^{-1}(p)} \frac{\gamma'(t)}{|\gamma'(t)|} & \text{if } p \in \Gamma_{\gamma}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which is defined \mathcal{H}^1 -a.e. on Γ_{γ} , then by (2.31) we obtain the representation

(2.34)
$$\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket = \xi_{\gamma}(x) \mathcal{H}^{1} \sqcup \Gamma_{\gamma}$$

(2.35)
$$\mu_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket} = |\xi_{\gamma}(x)| \mathcal{H}^{1} \bigsqcup \Gamma_{\gamma}$$

Equipped with this terminology, we can state a version of Smirnov's decomposition theorem valid in the full space.

Theorem 2.16 (Smirnov, [Smi93]). Given $F \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exits a non-negative and finite Borel measure ν on \mathscr{C}_1 such that

(2.36)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \cdot \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{F} = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) \quad \text{for all } \Phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

(2.37)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi \, \mathrm{d}|\mathbf{F}| = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle \mu_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}, \phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Here we will have the maps $\gamma \to \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle$ and $\gamma \to \langle \mu \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \phi \rangle$ are Borel measurable with respect to the topology of uniform convergence, ensuring that these integrals are well-defined. Moreover for ν -almost every $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$, we have $|\gamma'(t)|$ is constant \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. on [0, 1].

Since this formulation differs somewhat from what is proven in [Smi93], a proof is provided in Appendix A. We point out that the decomposition we obtain is *incomplete* in the sense that

(2.38)
$$|\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F}|(\mathbb{R}^n) \neq \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\operatorname{div}[\![\boldsymbol{\gamma}]\!]|(\mathbb{R}^n) \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$$

in general, however the formulation we state is technically simpler as we can work with \mathscr{C}_1 as our space of admissible curves.

Since we wish to apply this to fields $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$, we will need a suitable variant of Theorem 2.16 valid for domains. This can be obtained as a consequence of the full-space decomposition as follows.

Theorem 2.17. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and $F \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a non-negative Borel measure ν on \mathscr{C}_1 such that

(2.39)
$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi \cdot \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{F} = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) \quad \text{for all } \Phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

(2.40)
$$\int_{\Omega} \phi \, \mathrm{d}|\mathbf{F}| = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle \mu_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}, \phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Omega).$$

In particular, we have

(2.41)
$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \ell(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) = |\mathbf{F}|(\Omega) < \infty.$$

Moreover ν -almost every $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$ is supported in Ω and is such that $|\gamma'(t)|$ is \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. constant on [0, 1].

Proof. For each $\delta > 0$ let $\widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}$ be as in (2.7), then by Lemma 2.4 we know for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $\delta > 0$ that

- (i) the normal trace $(\boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})_{\partial \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}}$ is represented by a measure on $\partial \Omega$,
- (ii) $|\mathbf{F}|(\partial \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}) = |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}|(\partial \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}) = 0,$

noting that (ii) holds for all but countably many δ . We then let $\delta_k \searrow 0$ such that each δ_k satisfies the above two properties and define

(2.42)
$$\boldsymbol{F}_{k} = \mathbb{1}_{A_{k}}\boldsymbol{F} \quad \text{where } A_{k} := \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta_{k}} \setminus \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta_{k-1}}$$

for each k, understanding that $\widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta_0} = \emptyset$. Then by properties (i), (ii) and [CIT24, Rmk. 2.12, Thm. 10.5], we have each $\mathbf{F}_k \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$ is compactly supported in Ω and satisfies

(2.43)
$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F}_{k} = \mathbb{1}_{A_{k}} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F} + (\boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})_{\partial \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta_{k}}} - (\boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})_{\partial \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta_{k-1}}}.$$

Also since the sets $(A_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ have pairwise disjoint support,

(2.44)
$$|\mathbf{F}| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mathbf{F}_k|$$
 as measures in Ω .

Now by applying Theorem 2.16 to each F_k , we obtain Borel measures ν_k on \mathscr{C}_1 such that (2.36), (2.37) holds for each F_k with ν_k . Observe by (2.37) that ν_k -a.e. curve γ is supported

on $\overline{A_k}$ for each k, so it follows that $\operatorname{spt}(\nu_k) \cap \operatorname{spt}(\nu_\ell) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|k - \ell| \leq 1$. Moreover for each k, by (2.37) and property (ii) we can estimate

(2.45)
$$\int_{\operatorname{spt}(\nu_k)\cap\operatorname{spt}(\nu_{k+1})} \ell(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\nu_k(\gamma) \le |\mathbf{F}_k| (\overline{A_k} \cap \overline{A}_{k+1}) \le |\mathbf{F}| (\partial \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta_k}) = 0,$$

and similarly for the ν_{k+1} -integral. Hence we can define

(2.46)
$$\nu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_k \quad \text{on } \mathscr{C}_1,$$

which is a well-defined Borel measure satisfying

(2.47)
$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \ell(\gamma) \,\mathrm{d}\nu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \ell(\gamma) \,\mathrm{d}\nu_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mathbf{F}_k|(A_k) = |\mathbf{F}|(\Omega) < \infty,$$

noting the supports are essentially disjoint by (2.45). Using the above with (2.36) applied to each F_k and the dominated convergence theorem, we have for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ that

(2.48)
$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi \cdot \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{F} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \Phi \cdot \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{F}_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathscr{C}_{1}} \langle \llbracket \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}_{k} = \int_{\mathscr{C}_{1}} \langle \llbracket \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}_{k}$$

establishing (2.39). Similarly for any $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_{b}(\Omega)$, using (2.37) and (2.44) we have

(2.49)
$$\int_{\Omega} \phi \,\mathrm{d}|\mathbf{F}| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \phi \,\mathrm{d}|\mathbf{F}_{k}| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathscr{C}_{1}} \langle \mu_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}, \phi \rangle \,\mathrm{d}\nu_{k} = \int_{\mathscr{C}_{1}} \langle \mu_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}, \phi \rangle \,\mathrm{d}\nu,$$

establishing (2.40) as required.

3. Properties of the Anzellotti pairing

3.1. Representation of the pairing. In this section we prove Theorem 1.2, along with a representation of the pairing in terms of the Smirnov decomposition. Recall the pairing measure $\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F}$ was defined in Definition 2.5 and satisfies $\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F} \ll |F|$ by Lemma 2.6.

Theorem 3.1. Given $F \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, the directional derivatives

(3.1)
$$\nabla \phi(x) \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{F}}{\mathrm{d} |\boldsymbol{F}|}(x) \quad \text{exists for } |\boldsymbol{F}| \text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

and the Radon-Nikodým derivative of $\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F}$ with respect to |F| is given by

(3.2)
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{F}|}(\overline{\nabla\phi\cdot\boldsymbol{F}})(x) = \nabla\phi(x)\cdot\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{F}}{\mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{F}|}(x) \quad \text{for } |\boldsymbol{F}|\text{-a.e.} x \in \Omega$$

Moreover decomposing F as in Theorem 2.17, we have

(3.3)
$$\int_{\Omega} \psi \, \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F}) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}} \psi \nabla \phi \cdot \xi_{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^1 \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Omega).$$

As a consequence, we infer the following improved continuity property for this pairing.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$ and $(\phi_k)_k \subset W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\phi_k \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \phi$ weakly* in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Then

(3.4)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_E \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi_k \cdot F}) = \int_E \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F}) \quad \text{for all Borel sets } E \subset \Omega.$$

That is, the pairing measure converges *setwise* with respect to weak^{*} convergence in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$.

Example 3.3. We will show, by means of a simple example, that we cannot expect a similar continuity statement in F; for this consider

- (3.5) $\boldsymbol{F}_k = e_1 \mathcal{H}^1 \, \boldsymbol{\sqcup} \, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_k, \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_k = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 = 1/k \},$
- (3.6) $\boldsymbol{F} = e_1 \mathcal{H}^1 \boldsymbol{\sqcup} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 = 0 \right\},$

10

which lie $\mathcal{DM}_{loc}^{ext}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where e_1, e_2 are the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{R}^2 . For any R > 0, observe that \mathbf{F}_k, \mathbf{F} are uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{DM}^{ext}(B_R)$ and that $\mathbf{F}_k \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mathbf{F}$ weakly* in $\mathcal{DM}^{ext}(B_R)$. Now taking $E = (0, 1)^2$, for any $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we have

(3.7)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_E \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F_k}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^1 \partial_{x_1} \phi(t, 1/k) \,\mathrm{d}t = \phi(1, 0) - \phi(0, 0),$$

whereas $|\mathbf{F}|(E) = 0$. Therefore taking any ϕ such that $\phi(1,0) \neq \phi(0,0)$ we see that

(3.8)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_E \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F_k}) = \phi(1,0) - \phi(0,0) \neq 0 = \int_E \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F})$$

thereby exhibiting failure of continuity with respect to weak^{*} convergence of measures.

The proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 result will rely on Smirnov's theorem in the form of Theorem 2.17, and two lemmas. The first is a differentiability statement from [AM16], which we will use in the following form. In what follows, $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \operatorname{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma 3.4. Let $F \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a mapping

(3.9)
$$x \mapsto V_{\mathbf{F}}(x) \colon \Omega \to \operatorname{Gr}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that for |F|-a.e. $x \in \Omega$, ϕ is differentiable at x with respect to $V_F(x)$, and if we define the differential

(3.10)
$$x \mapsto D_F \phi(x) \colon \Omega \to (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$$

by defining $D_{F}\phi(x)|_{V_{F}}$ to be this derivative and setting $D_{F}\phi(x)|_{V_{F}^{\perp}} \equiv 0$, this mapping is Borel measurable. Moreover taking the decomposition from Theorem 2.17, for ν -a.e. $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$, where the null set is Borel measurable,

(3.11)
$$\gamma'(t) \in V_{\mathbf{F}}(\gamma(t))$$
 and $D_{\mathbf{F}}\phi(\gamma(t))(\gamma'(t)) = (\phi \circ \gamma)'(t)$ for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in [0,1]$.

We then denote the associated gradient as $x \mapsto \nabla_{\mathbf{F}} \phi(x)$, which is defined $|\mathbf{F}|$ -a.e., takes values in $V_{\mathbf{F}}(x)$, and is Borel measurable as a map $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 2.17 to \mathbf{F} , and extending \mathbf{F} by zero to \mathbb{R}^n we see this decomposition remains valid in the full space. Working in \mathbb{R}^n , we take $x \mapsto V_{\mathbf{F}}(x)$ to be the decomposability bundle associated to this decomposition, as defined in [AM16, §2.6], which satisfies $(3.11)_1$. Then ϕ is differentiable with respect to $V_{\mathbf{F}}(x)$ at $|\mathbf{F}|$ -a.e. x by [AM16, Cor. 3.9], and the measurability of $D_{\mathbf{F}}\phi$ follows from [AM16, Lem. 3.6].

The second lemma asserts that Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 hold when F is a curve.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$ and consider the associated divergence-measure field

$$[3.12) \qquad \qquad [\![\gamma]\!] = \xi_{\gamma} \mathcal{H}^1 \bigsqcup \Gamma_{\gamma}.$$

Then for any $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Gamma_{\gamma} \subset \Omega$, the following holds:

Proof. For (a), let $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Then by Lemma 2.14, there exists a sequence $(\phi_k)_k \subset C^1_{\rm b}(\Omega)$ converging weakly* to ϕ in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, so in particular $\phi_k \to \phi$ pointwise in Ω and $M := \sup_k \|\phi_k\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} < \infty$. Then for each k and $\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{\rm b}(\Omega)$, noting that $\psi \nabla \phi_k \in \mathcal{B}_{\rm b}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have by Definition 2.15 that

(3.13)
$$\langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \psi \nabla \phi_k \rangle = \int_0^1 \psi \circ \gamma(t) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\phi_k \circ \gamma \right)(t) \mathrm{d}t.$$

Since $\phi_k \circ \gamma \to \phi \circ \gamma$ pointwise in [0, 1] and

(3.14)
$$\| (d/dt)(\phi_k \circ \gamma) \|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}((0,1))} \le M \| \gamma' \|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}((0,1))} \text{ for all } k,$$

we infer that $\phi_k \circ \gamma \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \phi \circ \gamma$ weakly^{*} in W^{1,∞}((0,1)). Hence passing to the limit in (3.13),

(3.15)
$$\int_{\Omega} \psi \, \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \psi \nabla \phi_k \rangle = \int_0^1 \psi \circ \gamma(t) \, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} (\phi \circ \gamma)(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

where we also used (2.11) for the first equality. By Lemma 3.4 we have $(\phi \circ \gamma)'(t) = \nabla_{[\gamma]} \phi(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t)$ for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$, so by the area formula

(3.16)
$$\int_{\Omega} \psi \,\mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}) = \int_{0}^{1} \psi \circ \gamma(t) \nabla_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket} \phi(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) \,\mathrm{d}t = \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}} \psi \nabla_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket} \phi \cdot \xi_{\gamma} \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{1}.$$

Since $\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Omega)$ was arbitrary, this establishes (a).

For (b), let $\phi_k \xrightarrow{*} \phi$ in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and fix $\psi \in \mathcal{B}_b(\Omega)$. Then since $\phi_k \to \phi$ pointwise in Ω and $\nabla \phi_k$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ by Lemma 2.14, arguing analogously as in (3.14) we have $\phi_k \circ \gamma \xrightarrow{*} \phi \circ \gamma$ weakly* in $W^{1,\infty}((0,1))$. In particular,

(3.17)
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\phi_k \circ \gamma) \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\phi \circ \gamma) \quad \text{weakly}^* \text{ in } \mathrm{L}^{\infty}((0,1)).$$

Hence using (3.15) with ϕ_k and sending $k \to \infty$ using (3.17),

(3.18)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \psi \, \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi_k \cdot \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^1 \psi \circ \gamma(t) \, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} (\phi_k \circ \gamma)(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ = \int_0^1 \psi \circ \gamma(t) \, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} (\phi \circ \gamma)(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_{\Omega} \psi \, \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket})$$

By taking $\psi = \mathbb{1}_E$ where $E \subset \Omega$ is any Borel set, we infer (b).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Given $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, by Lemma 2.14 there exists $(\phi_k)_k \subset C_b^1(\Omega)$ converging weakly^{*} to ϕ as $k \to \infty$, so $\phi_k \to \phi$ pointwise in Ω and $M := \sup_k \|\phi_k\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} < \infty$. Using the decomposition of Theorem 2.17, we obtain a measure ν on \mathscr{C}_1 such that (2.39) holds, so for $\psi \in \mathcal{B}_b(\Omega)$ and each k,

 \Box

(3.19)
$$\int_{\Omega} \psi \nabla \phi_k \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{F} = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle [\![\boldsymbol{\gamma}]\!], \psi \nabla \phi_k \rangle \, \mathrm{d} \nu(\boldsymbol{\gamma}).$$

Noting that $\Gamma_{\gamma} \subset \Omega$ for ν -a.e. $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$ (and the null set is Borel measurable), for any such γ we have by Lemma 3.5(a), (b) that

(3.20)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \psi \nabla \phi_k \rangle = \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}} \psi \, \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}) = \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}} \psi \nabla_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket} \phi \cdot \xi_{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^1.$$

Moreover by Lemma 3.4, for ν -a.e. $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$, $\nabla_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket} \phi \cdot \xi_{\gamma} = \nabla_F \phi \cdot \xi_{\gamma}$ holds \mathcal{H}^1 -a.e. on Γ_{γ} . Hence there is a Borel measurable ν -null set $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathscr{C}_1$ such that defining functions $(\Psi_k)_k, \Psi$ on \mathscr{C}_1 by

(3.21)
$$\Psi_k(\gamma) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}}(\gamma) \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \psi \nabla \phi_k \rangle, \quad \Psi(\gamma) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}} \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}} \psi \nabla_F \phi \cdot \xi_{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^1,$$

we have each Ψ_k is Borel measurable and that $\Psi_k(\gamma) \to \Psi(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$, thereby implying the measurability of Ψ . Moreover for each $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1 \setminus \mathcal{N}$ we can bound

(3.22)
$$|\Psi_k(\gamma)| = \left| \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}} \psi \nabla \phi_k \cdot [\![\gamma]\!] \right| \le (\sup_{\Omega} |\psi|) M \,\ell(\gamma) \quad \text{for all } k,$$

and the same bound holds on \mathcal{N} since each Ψ_k vanishes there. By Lemma 2.6 and applying the dominated convergence theorem using (2.41), we obtain

(3.23)
$$\int_{\Omega} \psi \, \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \psi \nabla \phi_k \cdot \mathrm{d}F = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \Psi_k(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) \\= \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \Psi(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \int_{\Gamma_\gamma} \psi \nabla_F \phi \cdot \xi_\gamma \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^1 \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma),$$

establishing (3.3). Therefore by combining the above with (2.39) and using the measurability of $\nabla_F \phi$ from Lemma 3.4, it follows that

(3.24)
$$\int_{\Omega} \psi \, \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F}) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \psi \nabla_F \phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) = \int_{\Omega} \psi \nabla_F \phi \cdot \mathrm{d}F$$

holds. That is, $\overline{\nabla \cdot F} = \nabla_F \phi \cdot F$ as measures in Ω , from which we infer (3.2) by uniqueness of the Radon-Nikodým decomposition (see *e.g.* [AFP00, Thm. 1.28]).

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $\phi_k \xrightarrow{*} \phi$ as in the statement and $E \subset \Omega$ be any Borel set. Decomposing F using Theorem 2.17, by (3.3) from Theorem 3.1 we can write

(3.25)
$$\int_{E} d(\overline{\nabla \phi_{k} \cdot F}) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_{1}} \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \cap E} d(\overline{\nabla \phi_{k} \cdot [\![\gamma]\!]}) d\nu(\gamma).$$

for each k. Then for each $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$ such that $\Gamma_{\gamma} \subset \Omega$, which holds for ν -a.e. $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$, by Lemma 3.5(b) we have

(3.26)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \cap E} \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi_k \cdot \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}) = \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \cap E} \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}).$$

Also by weak^{*} convergence of ϕ_k , we have $M = \sup_k \|\phi_k\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} < \infty$, so using this we obtain the uniform bound

(3.27)
$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \cap E} \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi_k \cdot \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}) \right| \leq \|\nabla \phi_k\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\Omega)} \, \mu_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}(\Gamma_{\gamma}) \leq M\ell(\gamma),$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$ such that $\Gamma_{\gamma} \subset \Omega$. Since the right-hand side is ν -integrable over $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$ by (2.41), by the dominated convergence theorem we can send $k \to \infty$ in (3.25) to infer (3.4).

3.2. Applications to the normal trace. Equipped with Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we can prove the following result claimed in the introduction.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, then for any Borel set $E \subset \Omega$ there exists a unique linear mapping

(3.28)
$$N_E : \mathcal{DM}^{ext}(\Omega) \to \mathcal{E}(\partial E) \subset \operatorname{Lip}(\partial E)^*$$

which for each $\boldsymbol{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{ext}(\Omega)$ satisfies

(3.29)
$$\langle \mathbf{N}_E(\mathbf{F}), \phi |_{\partial E} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = -\int_E \nabla \phi \cdot \mathbf{F} - \int_E \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{F}) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathrm{C}^1_\mathrm{b}(\Omega),$$

and is bounded in that $\|N_E(F)\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial E)} \leq C \|F\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{ext}(\Omega)}$, where C = C(n).

This will largely follow from two results of independent interest.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$ and $E \subset \Omega$ be a Borel set. If $\phi \in \text{Lip}_{b}(\Omega)$ vanishes on ∂E , then

(3.30)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial E} = 0$$

This improves [Šil09, Lem. 3.2] and [CIT24, Thm. 2.15], where one additionally imposes a topological or measure-theoretic condition on E. Here, since any $\phi \in \text{Lip}_{b}(\Omega)$ admits a unique continuous extension to $\overline{\Omega}$, the condition $\phi|_{\partial E} = 0$ can be understood even if $\partial E \not\subset \Omega$.

Proof. By applying [CIT24, Thm. 10.2] to the interior $E^{\circ} = E \setminus \partial E$ of E and noting that ϕ vanishes on $\partial E^{\circ} \subset \partial E$, we obtain

(3.31)
$$0 = \langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial E^{\circ}} = -\int_{E^{\circ}} \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F}}) - \int_{E^{\circ}} \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F})$$

Hence we infer that

(3.32)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial E} = -\int_{E} \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F}) - \int_{E} \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F}}) = -\int_{E \cap \partial E} \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F}}),$$

so the assertion would follow if the last integral vanishes. Using Theorem 3.1 we can write

(3.33)
$$\int_{E\cap\partial E} \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla\phi\cdot F}) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \int_{E\cap\partial E\cap\Gamma_{\gamma}} \nabla_F \phi \cdot \xi_{\gamma} \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^1 \,\mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma),$$

where ν is the measure obtained in the decomposition of Theorem 2.17. Now for any $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$ such that $\Gamma_{\gamma} \subset \Omega$, by the area formula we have

(3.34)
$$\int_{E\cap\partial E\cap\Gamma_{\gamma}} \nabla_{F} \phi \cdot \xi_{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{1}_{A(\gamma,E)}(t)(\phi \circ \gamma)'(t) \, \mathrm{d}t,$$

where

 $A(\gamma, E) = \{t \in [0, 1] : \phi(\gamma(t)) \in E \cap \partial E\}.$ (3.35)

However since $\phi \circ \gamma \equiv 0$ on $A(\gamma, E)$, applying [EG15, Thm. 3.3] it holds that $(\phi \circ \gamma)' = 0$ \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. on $A(\gamma, E)$. Therefore (3.34) vanishes for any $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$ contained in Ω , which holds for ν -a.e. $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$. Therefore combining this with (3.32), (3.33) we obtain

(3.36)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial E} = -\int_{E \cap \partial E} \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F}) = -\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \int_0^1 \mathbbm{1}_{A(\gamma, E)}(t)(\phi \circ \gamma)'(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}(\gamma) = 0,$$

as required.

as required.

Proposition 3.8. Let $F \in \mathcal{DM}^{ext}(\Omega)$ and $E \subset \Omega$ be a Borel set. Then the normal trace $\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \cdot \rangle_{\partial E}$ extends uniquely from $C_{b}^{1}(\Omega)$ to a weakly*-continuous functional on $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Since $C_b^1(\Omega)$ is weakly^{*} dense in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ by Lemma 2.14, it suffices to show that

(3.37)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial E} = -\int_{E} \mathrm{d}(\overline{\nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F}}) - \int_{E} \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F})$$

is weakly*-continuous. For this let $(\phi_k)_k \subset W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\phi_k \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \phi$ weakly* in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, then we know that $(\phi_k)_k$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,\infty}(U)$ and $\phi_k \to \phi$ pointwise in Ω by Lemma 2.14. Then $\int_E \phi_k d(\operatorname{div} F) \to \int_E \phi d(\operatorname{div} F)$ as $k \to \infty$ by the dominated convergence theorem, and by the setwise convergence of Theorem 3.2, we also have that $\overline{\nabla \phi_k \cdot F}(E) \to \overline{\nabla \phi \cdot F}(E)$. Hence it follows that

(3.38)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi_k \rangle_{\partial E} = \langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial E}.$$

This proves that $\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \cdot \rangle_{\partial E}$ is sequentially weakly*-continuous. Since $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ has a separable predual by Lemma 2.8, a consequence of the Krein-Smulian theorem, namely [Con07, Cor. 12.8] implies that the normal trace is weakly*-continuous.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $U = B_1(\partial E) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial E) < 1\}$, then applying [JLS86, Thm. 2] we obtain a linear extension operator $\operatorname{Lip}_{b}(\partial E) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{b}(U)$ which sends $\phi \to \phi$ and satisfies $\operatorname{Lip}(\phi, U) \leq C(n) \operatorname{Lip}(\phi, \partial U)$. By multiplying this extension with $\chi(x) = \max\{1 - \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial E), 0\}$ which is 1-Lipschitz and vanishes on ∂U , we obtain a bounded linear mapping $T: \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(\partial E) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Omega)$ by sending $\phi \to \chi \tilde{\phi}$. Moreover, by the explicit construction of ϕ given in [JLS86, pp. 133], we see this mapping is continuous with respect to uniform convergence on bounded sets, and hence by Lemma 2.13, T is sequentially continuous with respect to the respective weak*-topologies.

Now given $\boldsymbol{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$, we will define $N_E(\boldsymbol{F}) \in \text{Lip}_{b}(\partial E)^*$ by setting

(3.39)
$$\langle N_E(\mathbf{F}), \phi \rangle := \langle \mathbf{F} \cdot \nu, T \phi \rangle_{\partial E} \text{ for each } \phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(\partial E).$$

By Proposition 3.8, the normal trace $\langle F \cdot \nu, \cdot \rangle_{\partial E}$ is weakly*-continuous on $\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathbf{b}}(\Omega)$, so combined with the sequential continuity of T, it follows that $N_E(\mathbf{F})$ is a sequentially weakly*continuous linear functional on $\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(\partial E)$. Using the identification $\operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(\partial E) \cong \mathbb{E}(\partial E)^*$ induced by the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ from Proposition 2.11, we can view $N_E(F)$ as a sequentially weakly*-continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{E}(\partial E)^*$. Furthermore since $\mathcal{E}(\partial E)$ is separable, by [Con07, Cor. 12.8] we have $N_E(F)$ is weakly*-continuous, and hence there exists $m \in \mathcal{E}(\partial E)$ such that

(3.40)
$$\langle \mathcal{N}_U(\mathbf{F}), \phi \rangle = \langle m, \phi \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \text{ for all } \phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathbf{b}}(\partial E).$$

Therefore $N_U(\mathbf{F}) = m \in \mathcal{E}(\partial E) \subset \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(\partial E)^*$.

Finally by Proposition 3.7, N_E as defined in (3.39) does not depend on the particular choice of extension; that is, for any $\tilde{\phi} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Omega)$ such that $\tilde{\phi}|_{\partial E} = \phi$, we have $\langle N_E(\mathbf{F}), \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{F} \cdot \nu, \tilde{\phi} \rangle_{\partial E}$. Therefore it follows that (3.29) holds, and since $C^1_{\mathrm{b}}(\Omega)$ is weakly*-dense in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ by Lemma 2.14, this uniquely determines N_E .

Remark 3.9. Since Proposition 3.8 asserts that $\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \cdot \rangle_{\partial E}$ is weakly^{*} continuous in $W^{1,\infty}(U)^*$, by a similar argument to that in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we can view the normal trace as an element of $W^{-1,1}(U)$. This observation will have consequences for \mathcal{DM}^1 -fields, which will be explored in §4.3.

4. Image of the normal trace

4.1. Surjectivity of the trace operator. In this section we will prove Theorem 1.4; namely that under a mild regularity condition, the normal trace operator N_E constructed in Theorem 3.6 is in fact surjective. We will give a more precise statement in Theorem 4.6, however to specify the location of singularities and the dependence of constants, we will first define some relevant quantities. We begin by recalling the following definition from the introduction.

Definition 4.1. We say an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is *locally rectifiably convex* if there exists $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ such that for all $p, q \in \overline{U}$ such that $|p - q| \leq \delta$, there exists a rectifiable curve $\gamma: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ connecting p to q through U in that $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = q$ and $\gamma(t) \in U$ for all $t \in (0,1)$ that satisfies the estimate

(4.1)
$$\ell(\gamma) \le \varepsilon^{-1} |p-q|$$

Sometimes we will denote these constants by ε_U, δ_U to specify the underlying open set.

Our condition is satisfied by all (ε, δ) -domains as in [Jon81], which is a local version of uniform domains introduced in [MS79]. For some of our results we will impose that both U and \overline{U}^c satisfies Definition 4.1 and that $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^c$; this is satisfied by all bounded Lipschitz domains, but is more general as the below example illustrates.

Example 4.2. The standard Koch snowflake $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ has the property that both S and \overline{S}^c satisfies Definition 4.1 and that $\partial S = \partial \overline{S}^c$, despite having fractal boundary. Indeed it was observed for instance in [Jon81] that S is a uniform domain, which provides the desired connectivity in the interior. For the exterior, we note the well-known property that S may be tiled by rescaled copies of itself, so a neighbourhood of \overline{S}^c can be expressed as the union of finitely many uniform domains.

We will record some basic properties concerning the connected components of U.

Lemma 4.3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set satisfying Definition 4.1, then the following holds:

- (a) If C_1, C_2 are distinct connected components of U, then $dist(C_1, C_2) \ge \delta$.
- (b) For each $p \in \partial U$, there exists a unique connected component C(p) of U such that $p \in \partial C(p)$.
- (c) For each $p \in \partial U$ and $q \in C(p)$, there exists a rectifiable curve $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = q$ and $\gamma(t) \in U$ for all $t \in (0,1)$.

Proof. For (a), let C_1, C_2 be distinct connected components of U. Then any two points $p_1 \in C_1$ and $p_2 \in C_2$ cannot be connected within U and hence must satisfy $|p_1 - p_2| \ge \delta$, from which we infer that $\operatorname{dist}(C_1, C_2) \ge \delta$.

Now let $p \in \partial U$, then p can be connected in U to every point $q \in B_{\delta}(p) \cap U$, which is non-empty since $p \in \partial U$. Hence there is a unique connected component C(p) containing $B_{\delta}(p) \cap U$, so $p \in \partial C(p)$ and hence (b) holds. Also since C(p) is open and connected in \mathbb{R}^n , it is path-connected. Hence for any $q \in C(p)$, choosing $\tilde{q} \in B_{\delta}(p) \cap U$ we can connect p to \tilde{q} and \tilde{q} to q, thereby establishing (c).

Lemma 4.4. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set satisfying Definition 4.1. Then there exists a discrete set $\Lambda \subset U$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\Lambda, \partial U) > 0$, and the *separation*

$$(4.2) \quad \operatorname{sep}_{\gamma}(\Lambda, U) := \sup_{p \in \partial U} \inf\{\ell(\gamma) : \gamma \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathsf{b}}((0, 1); U), \ \gamma(0) = p, \ \gamma(1) \in \Lambda\} \quad \text{is finite.}$$

Moreover, if ∂U is bounded, we can take Λ to be any finite set containing at least one point from each connected component of U.

Proof. For general U, let $\Lambda = \{p_k\}_k$ be an at most countable set of points such that $\operatorname{dist}(p_k, \partial U) \geq \delta/2$ for each k and such that $\{B_\delta(p_k)\}_k$ covers U. For any $q \in \partial U$, since there is some $p_k \in \Lambda$ such that $|q - p_k| < \delta$, there exists a curve γ connecting q to p_k in U such that $\ell(\gamma) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\delta$. This shows that $\operatorname{sep}_{\gamma}(\Lambda, U) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\delta$, and since $\operatorname{dist}(\Lambda, \partial U) \geq \delta/2$ by construction, Λ satisfies the claimed properties.

If ∂U is bounded, then $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial U$ has at most one unbounded component. Therefore since the connected components of U are δ -separated by Lemma 4.3(a), it follows that only finitely many such components exist. Hence, choosing $\Lambda \subset U$ to be a finite set containing at least one point from each connected component of U, by Lemma 4.3(c) any $p \in \overline{U}$ can be connected to Λ via U. Now if we define $\partial U \ni p \mapsto \inf \ell(\gamma)$, where the infimum is taken as in (4.2), then this mapping is well-defined and lower semicontinuous. Thus by compactness of ∂U we infer that $\operatorname{sep}_{\gamma}(\Lambda, U) < \infty$, and since ∂U and Λ are disjoint and compact we have $\operatorname{dist}(\Lambda, \partial U) > 0$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set satisfying Definition 4.1, and let $\Lambda \subset U$ be a discrete set satisfying the conclusions of Lemma 4.4. Then for each $m \in \mathbb{E}_0(\partial U)$, there exists $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)$ such that div \mathbf{F} is supported in Λ ,

(4.3)
$$(\boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})_{\partial U} = m \quad \text{in } \mathcal{E}(\partial U),$$

and the estimate

(4.4)
$$\|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(U)} \le C \|\boldsymbol{m}\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}$$

holds, where $C = C(n, \varepsilon_U, \delta_U, \sup_{\gamma}(\Lambda, U), \operatorname{dist}(\Lambda, \partial U))$. We denote the associated mapping $m \mapsto \mathbf{F}$ by $E_U \colon \mathcal{E}_0(\partial U) \to \mathcal{DM}^{\operatorname{ext}}(U)$.

Proof. We will fix any point $e \in \Lambda \subset U$, and shrinking δ if necessary we can assume that $\delta < \min\{1, \operatorname{dist}(e, \partial U)\}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{E}_0(\partial U)$, then using the identification $\mathbb{E}_0(\partial U) \cong A_0(\partial U, e)$ from Proposition 2.11(a) there exists a representation

(4.5)
$$\tilde{m} := m - m(\partial U)\delta_e = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(\delta_{q_i} - \delta_{p_i}) \in A(\partial U, e),$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_i, q_i \in \partial U \cup \{e\}$ such that

(4.6)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |a_i| \rho(p_i, q_i)| = ||m||_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}$$

where ρ is the metric defined by (2.22), (2.23).

- **Claim:** For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, there exists $F_i \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)$ associated to p_i , q_i such that:
- (i) F_i is represented by curves contained in U,
- (ii) div F_i in U is supported on Λ , and vanishes if $p_i, q_i \in \partial U$ and $|p_i q_i| \leq \delta$.
- (iii) $|\mathbf{F}_i|(U) \leq C\rho(p_i, q_i)$, where the C-dependence as in the statement,
- (iv) the normal trace of F_i on ∂U is given by

(4.7)
$$(\mathbf{F}_i \cdot \nu)_{\partial U} = (\delta_{q_i} - \delta_{p_i}) \sqcup \partial U.$$

For this we will distinguish between the following three cases.

Case 1: Suppose $p_i, q_i \in \partial U$ with $|q_i - p_i| \leq \delta$. In this case, by Definition 4.1 there exists a curve α_i connecting p_i to q_i through U, such that $\ell(\alpha_i) \leq \varepsilon^{-1} |q_i - p_i| = \varepsilon^{-1} \rho(p_i, q_i)$ (noting that $\delta \leq 1$). We then let $\mathbf{F}_i = \llbracket \alpha_i \rrbracket$ and observe this satisfies (i)–(iii), since div $\mathbf{F}_i = \delta_{q_i} - \delta_{p_i}$ in \mathbb{R}^n , which vanishes in U. This also implies that $(\mathbf{F}_i \cdot \nu)_{\partial U} = \delta_{q_i} - \delta_{p_i}$ using (2.6), establishing (iv).

16

Case 2: Suppose $p_i, q_i \in \partial U$ with $|q_i - p_i| > \delta$. We let α_i, β_i be curves connecting p_i and q_i to points in Λ respectively, such that $\ell(\alpha_i), \ell(\beta_i) \leq 2 \operatorname{sep}_{\gamma}(\Lambda, U)$, which exists since the separation (4.2) is finite. Then we can set $\mathbf{F}_i = \llbracket \alpha_i \rrbracket - \llbracket \beta_i \rrbracket$, which evidently satisfies (i) and (ii), and (iii) holds since

(4.8)
$$|\mathbf{F}_i|(\mathbb{R}^n) \le 4 \operatorname{sep}_{\gamma}(\Lambda, U) \le 4\delta^{-1} \operatorname{sep}_{\gamma}(\Lambda, U) \,\rho(p_i, q_i).$$

For (iv) we note that $(\llbracket \alpha_i \rrbracket \cdot \nu)_{\partial U} = -\delta_{p_i}$ and $(\llbracket \beta_i \rrbracket \cdot \nu)_{\partial U} = -\delta_{q_i}$.

Case 3: Suppose that either $p_i = e$ or $q_i = e$; without loss of generality we can assume that $q_i = e$, and also that $p_i \in \partial U$ since otherwise $a_i(\delta_{q_i} - \delta_{p_i})$ would be zero. Similarly as in Case 2, we choose a curve α_i connecting p_i to a point in Λ with $\ell(\alpha_i) \leq 2 \operatorname{sep}_{\gamma}(\Lambda, U)$. We then set $F_i = [\![\alpha_i]\!]$, which since $\rho(p_i, q_i) = 1$ satisfies

(4.9)
$$|\mathbf{F}_i|(U) \le \frac{2 \operatorname{sep}_{\gamma}(\Lambda, U)}{\operatorname{dist}(\Lambda, \partial U)} \rho(p_i, q_i),$$

From this (i)–(iv) follows, noting as in Case 2 that $(\llbracket \alpha_i \rrbracket \cdot \nu)_{\partial U} = -\delta_{p_i}$.

This establishes the claim in every case, so we can now define

(4.10)
$$\boldsymbol{F} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \boldsymbol{F}_i$$

Then div \mathbf{F} is supported on Λ by property (ii) of the claim. Now let $I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$ denote the indices *i* for which div $\mathbf{F}_i \neq 0$ in *U*, which by property (ii) and since dist $(e, \partial U) > \delta$, occurs if and only if $\rho(p_i, q_i) \geq \delta$. Using this we can estimate

(4.11)
$$|\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F}|(U) \le \sum_{i \in I} 2|a_i| \le \sum_{i \in I} 2|a_i| \frac{\rho(p_i, q_i)}{\delta} \le \frac{2}{\delta} ||\boldsymbol{m}||_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}.$$

Also by property (iii) and (4.6),

(4.12)
$$|\mathbf{F}|(U) \le C \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} |a_i| \rho(p_i, q_i) = C ||m||_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)},$$

so F satisfies the claimed estimate (4.4). Finally the trace property (4.3) follows from property (iv) of the claim along with the linearity of N_U , thereby establishing the result. \Box

To establish Theorem 1.4 from the introduction, it remains to extend this operator from $\mathcal{E}_0(\partial U)$ to the completion $\mathcal{E}(\partial U)$ by means of a non-linear density argument.

Theorem 4.6. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set that is locally rectifiably convex in the sense of Definition 4.1, and let $\Lambda \subset U$ satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 4.4. Then there exists a (not necessarily linear) mapping

(4.13)
$$E_U : \mathcal{E}(\partial U) \to \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)$$

which is a left-inverse of the normal trace in that

(4.14)
$$N_U \circ E_U = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)},$$

and is bounded in that

(4.15)
$$\|\mathbb{E}_{U}(m)\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(U)} \leq C\|m\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)} \text{ for all } m \in \mathcal{E}(\partial U),$$

where $C = C(n, \varepsilon_U, \delta_U, \sup_{\gamma}(\Lambda, U), \operatorname{dist}(\Lambda, \partial U))$. Furthermore, each $E_U(m)$ is divergencefree in $U \setminus \Lambda$.

Remark 4.7. We stress that Λ merely needs to satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 4.4, but we can otherwise specify the position of the singularities; this will allow us to construct divergence-free extensions in §4.2. However, if we choose Λ to be as in the proof of Lemma 4.4, then $\sup_{\gamma}(\Lambda, U) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\delta$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\Lambda, \partial U) \geq \delta/2$, in which case (4.15) depends on n, ε, δ only.

Proof. Let $m \in \mathcal{E}(\partial U)$, then by definition there exists a sequence $(m_k)_k \subset \mathcal{E}_0(\partial U)$ such that $m_k \to m$ strongly in $\mathcal{E}(\partial U)$. By passing to an unrelabelled subsequence we will assume that $\|m_1\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)} \leq 2\|m\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}$ and that

(4.16)
$$||m_k - m_{k-1}||_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)} \le 2^{-k} ||m||_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}$$
 for all $k \ge 2$.

Using Lemma 4.5, we then define

(4.17)
$$\boldsymbol{F}_{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{G}_{j} \text{ where } \boldsymbol{G}_{k} = \begin{cases} E_{U}(m_{1}) & \text{if } k = 1, \\ E_{U}(m_{k} - m_{k-1}) & \text{if } k \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

which we can estimate using (4.4) as

(4.18)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\boldsymbol{G}_k\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)} \le C\left(\|m_1\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \|m_k - m_{k-1}\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}\right) \le C\|m\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}.$$

Therefore the series

(4.19)
$$\boldsymbol{F} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{G}_k$$

converges absolutely in $\mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)$, so $F_k \to F$ strongly in $\mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)$ and the estimate

(4.20)
$$\|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(U)} \le C \|\boldsymbol{m}\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}$$

holds, where the dependence of constants is the same as in Lemma 4.5. By (4.3) and using the linearity of the normal trace,

(4.21)
$$N_U(\mathbf{F}_k) = m_1 + \sum_{j=2}^k (m_j - m_{j-1}) = m_k$$

for all k, so using the strong convergence of m_k and F_k , for any $\phi \in C^1_b(U)$ we have

(4.22)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial U} = -\int_{U} \nabla \phi \cdot d\boldsymbol{F} - \int_{U} \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F})$$
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \left(-\int_{U} \nabla \phi \cdot d\boldsymbol{F}_{k} - \int_{U} \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F}_{k}) \right)$$
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle m_{k}, \phi |_{\partial U} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle m, \phi |_{\partial U} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Since this characterises the normal trace by Theorem 3.6, it follows that $N_U(\mathbf{F}) = m$ in $\mathcal{E}(\partial U)$. Therefore we can define $E_U(m) = \mathbf{F}$, which satisfies (4.14) and (4.15) as claimed. Also since each div \mathbf{F}_k is supported on the discrete set Λ and div $\mathbf{F}_k \to \text{div } \mathbf{F}$ strongly in $\mathcal{M}(U)$, the same holds for div \mathbf{F} .

Applying this to both U and \overline{U}^{c} , we also obtain the following two-sided variant.

Theorem 4.8. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set such that both U, \overline{U}^c satisfies Definition 4.1 and that $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^c$. Additionally let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial U$ such that both $\Lambda \cap U$ and $\Lambda \setminus U$ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 4.4 in U and \overline{U}^c respectively. Then there exists a (not necessarily linear) mapping

(4.23)
$$E_U \colon \mathcal{E}(\partial U) \to \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

satisfying

(4.24)
$$\mathbf{N}_U \circ \mathbf{E}_U = -\mathbf{N}_{\overline{U}^c} \circ \mathbf{E}_U = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)},$$

which is bounded in that

(4.25)
$$\|\mathbb{E}_{U}(m)\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C \|m\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)} \text{ for all } m \in \mathcal{E}(\partial U).$$

Moreover each $\mathbf{F} = \widetilde{E}_U(m)$ is divergence-free away from Λ in \mathbb{R}^n , and satisfies $|\mathbf{F}|(\partial U) = 0$.

Proof. Given the mappings E_U and $E_{\overline{U}^c}$ from Theorem 4.6, for $m \in \underline{E}(\partial U) = \underline{E}(\partial \overline{U}^c)$ put (4.26) $F_{in} := E_U(m) \sqcup U, \quad F_{out} := E_{\overline{U}^c}(m) \sqcup \overline{U}^c,$

which we view as measures on \mathbb{R}^n . Then we define $\mathbf{F} = \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_U(m) := \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{in}} - \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{out}}$, which satisfies $|\mathbf{F}|(\partial U) = 0$ by construction. We claim \mathbf{F} satisfies the claimed properties; given $\phi \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can compute the distributional divergence of \mathbf{F} as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F} &= \int_U \nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F}_{\rm in} - \int_{\overline{U}^c} \nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F}_{\rm out} \\ (4.27) &= -\langle \boldsymbol{F}_{\rm in} \cdot \nu, \phi \rangle_{\partial U} - \int_U \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F}_{\rm in}) + \langle \boldsymbol{F}_{\rm out} \cdot \nu, \phi \rangle_{\partial \overline{U}^c} + \int_{\overline{U}^c} \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F}_{\rm out}) \\ &= -\left(\int_U \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F}_{\rm in}) - \int_{\overline{U}^c} \phi \, \mathrm{d}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F}_{\rm out})\right), \end{aligned}$$

by noting that

(4.28)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathrm{in}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial U} = \langle \boldsymbol{m}, \phi |_{\partial U} \rangle_{\mathbb{E}(\partial U)} = \langle \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathrm{out}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial \overline{U}^{\mathrm{c}}}.$$

Hence $\boldsymbol{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)$ with

(4.29)
$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F} = \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathrm{in}} \, \boldsymbol{\sqcup} \, \boldsymbol{U} - \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathrm{out}} \, \boldsymbol{\sqcup} \, \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}^{\mathrm{c}}$$

which is supported on Λ and satisfies the estimate (4.25) by (4.15) applied to F_{in} and F_{out} . We can also verify the traces are attained since for $\phi \in C_{\text{b}}^{1}(U)$, noting that $F \sqcup U = F_{\text{in}}$ we have

(4.30)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial U} = \langle \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathrm{in}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial U} = \langle \boldsymbol{m}, \phi |_{\partial U} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)},$$

so $N_U(\mathbf{F}) = m$ by Theorem 3.6. Similarly $N_{\overline{U}^c}(\mathbf{F}) = -N_{\overline{U}^c}(\mathbf{F}_{out}) = -m$, as required. \Box

4.2. Extension of divergence-measure fields. As as application of Theorem 4.6, we obtain the following extension theorem for fields in $\mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.9. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set such that \overline{U}^c is locally rectifiably convex in the sense of Definition 4.1 and $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^c$, and let $\Lambda \subset \overline{U}^c$ satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 4.4. Then there exists a (not necessarily linear) extension operator

(4.31)
$$\mathcal{E}_U \colon \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U) \to \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that $\mathcal{E}_U(\mathbf{F}) \sqcup U = \mathbf{F}$ for all $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{ext}(U)$ and we have

(4.32)
$$\|\mathcal{E}_U(\mathbf{F})\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(U)} \leq C \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(U)} \text{ for all } \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(U)$$

where $C = C(n, \varepsilon_{\overline{U}^c}, \delta_{\overline{U}^c}, \sup_{\gamma(\Lambda, \overline{U}^c)})$, dist $(\Lambda, \partial U)$). Moreover, $\mathcal{E}_U(F)$ can be chosen to be divergence-free in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (U \cup \Lambda)$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)$, then by Theorem 3.6 we have $m := N_U(\mathbf{F}) \in \mathbb{E}(\partial U) = \mathbb{E}(\partial \overline{U}^c)$, with $\|m\|_{\mathbb{E}(\partial U)} \leq C(n) \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)}$. Then by Theorem 4.6, we obtain $\mathbf{G} := \mathbb{E}_{\overline{U}^c}(m)$ satisfying $N_{\overline{U}^c}(\mathbf{G}) = -m$ and such that div \mathbf{G} in \overline{U}^c is supported on Λ . Therefore if we set

(4.33)
$$\widetilde{F} = F \sqcup U + G \sqcup \overline{U}^{c} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{n}),$$

for any $\phi \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

(4.34)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla \phi \cdot \mathrm{d}\widetilde{F} = \int_U \nabla \phi \cdot \mathrm{d}F + \int_{\overline{U}^c} \nabla \phi \cdot \mathrm{d}G$$
$$= -\langle F \cdot \nu, \phi \rangle_{\partial U} - \int_U \phi \,\mathrm{d}(\operatorname{div} F) - \langle G \cdot \nu, \phi \rangle_{\partial \overline{U}^c} - \int_{\overline{U}^c} \phi \,\mathrm{d}(\operatorname{div} G).$$

Then since $\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial U} = -\langle \boldsymbol{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial \overline{U}^c} = \langle \boldsymbol{m}, \phi |_{\partial U} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}$, the trace terms cancel to give (4.35) $\operatorname{div} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}} = \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F} \sqcup \Omega + \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{G} \sqcup \overline{\Omega}^c \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega).$

Hence $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an extension of F. Since (4.32) follows from the corresponding estimate for G, the result follows.

We also obtain extension results for divergence-free fields, which follows from the fact that, in our construction, div \mathbf{F} only concentrates on the set Λ which we can specify. Recall from (1.12) in the introduction that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{div}}(U; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)$ denotes the space of divergencefree measures in U.

Corollary 4.10. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set such that \overline{U}^c satisfies Definition 4.1 and that $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^c$. Then there exists an open set $\widetilde{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ containing \overline{U} such that $\operatorname{dist}(U, \partial \widetilde{U}) > 0$, and a extension operator

(4.36)
$$\mathcal{E}_{U,\widetilde{U}} \colon \mathcal{M}_{\operatorname{div}}(U) \to \mathcal{M}_{\operatorname{div}}(U)$$

such that for all $F \in \mathcal{M}_{div}(U; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\mathcal{E}_{U,\widetilde{U}}(F) \sqcup U = F$ along with the estimate

$$(4.37) \qquad \qquad |\mathcal{E}_{U,\widetilde{U}}(F)|(U) \le C|F|(U)|$$

Proof. By applying Theorem 4.9 we obtain an extension operator \mathcal{E}_U , where the divergence is prescribed in a given $\Lambda \subset \overline{U}^c$. Then taking $\widetilde{U} = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Lambda$, Lemma 4.4 ensures that $\operatorname{dist}(U, \partial \widetilde{U}) = \operatorname{dist}(\Lambda, \partial U) > 0$, and if $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{M}_{\operatorname{div}}(U; \mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\mathcal{E}_U(\mathbf{F})$ is divergence-free away from Λ , from which the result follows.

Corollary 4.11. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set such that \overline{U}^c is connected and satisfies Definition 4.1, and that $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^c$. Then there exists a global extension operator

(4.38)
$$\mathcal{E}_{U,\mathbb{R}^n} \colon \mathcal{M}_{\operatorname{div}}(U) \to \mathcal{M}_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that
$$\mathcal{E}_{U,\mathbb{R}^n}(F) \sqcup U = F$$
 and $|\mathcal{E}_{U,\mathbb{R}^n}|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq |F|(U)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{M}_{div}(U;\mathbb{R}^n)$

Proof. Since \overline{U}^c is connected, by the second part of Lemma 4.4 we can choose any $e \in \overline{U}^c$ and take $\Lambda = \{e\}$. Then, for this choice, by Theorem 4.9 we obtain an extension operator \mathcal{E}_U , and we claim this extension preserves divergence-free fields.

To see this, let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{M}_{\operatorname{div}}(U; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and put $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}} = \mathcal{E}_U(\mathbf{F})$. Since div $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}$ is divergence-free both U and $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (U \cup \Lambda)$, it follows that div $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}$ is concentrated on $\{e\}$, and hence equals $\lambda \delta_e$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. To determine this constant, observe that $\langle \widetilde{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \nu, \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rangle_{\partial U} = \operatorname{div}(U) = 0$, and that $|\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}|(\partial U) = 0$ by construction. Then by [CIT24, Cor. 2.11, Rmk. 2.12] applied to $\chi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\chi \equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of ∂U , it holds that

(4.39)
$$\langle \widetilde{F} \cdot \nu, \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rangle_{\partial \overline{U}^c} = \langle \widetilde{F} \cdot \nu, \chi \rangle_{\partial \overline{U}^c} = -\langle \widetilde{F} \cdot \nu, \chi \rangle_{\partial U} = -\langle \widetilde{F} \cdot \nu, \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rangle_{\partial U} = 0.$$

Hence

(4.40)
$$\lambda = \int_{\overline{U}^c} \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{div}\,\widetilde{F}) = -\langle \widetilde{F} \cdot \nu, \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rangle_{\partial \overline{U}^c} = 0,$$

and so div $\widetilde{F} = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , as required.

Remark 4.12. We note that an extension theorem for divergence-free fields in L^1 was recently established by GMEINEDER & SCHIFFER in [GS24], based on an entirely different approach. While their results are restricted to bounded Lipschitz domains, in contrast to our extension results the extension operator they construct is linear and preserves L^p -regularity in the full range $1 \le p \le \infty$.

As the following example shows, the topological condition $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^{c}$ imposed in Theorem 4.9 is in general necessary.

Example 4.13. We will construct a domain U and a divergence-free L¹-field which admits no $\mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}$ -extension to any neighbourhood of \overline{U} . Given any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $a \neq b$, we define $\mathbf{F}_{a,b} \in \mathcal{DM}^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by setting

(4.41)
$$\boldsymbol{F}_{a,b}(x) = \frac{x-b}{|x-b|^2} - \frac{x-a}{|x-a|^2},$$

which satisfies div $F_{a,b} = 2\pi(\delta_b - \delta_a)$, along with the estimate

(4.42)
$$\int_{B_R(a)} |\mathbf{F}_{a,b}| \, \mathrm{d}x \le C|b-a|\log\left(1+\frac{R}{|b-a|}\right)$$

for all R > |b-a|. We use this with a = 0 and $b = 2^{-k} e_1$, setting $F_k = F_{0,2^{-k} e_1}$. Then we can bound

(4.43)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_R(0)} |\mathbf{F}_k| \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \log\left(1 + 2^k R\right) < \infty,$$

so $\mathbf{F} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{F}_k$ is a well-defined vector field in $\mathrm{L}^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$. Furthermore setting $\Lambda = \{0\} \cup \{2^{-k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $U = B_1(0) \setminus \Lambda$, we have div $\mathbf{F} = 0$ on U and hence that $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^1(U)$. However, we claim that \mathbf{F} does not admit a $\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}$ -extension to $\overline{U} = \overline{B}_1(0)$. Indeed suppose an extension $\widetilde{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(B_1(0))$ exists, then since $\widetilde{F} \sqcup U = F \mathcal{L}^2$, there exists a vector measure μ supported on Λ such that $\widetilde{F} = F\mathcal{L}^2 \sqcup U + \mu$. By [Šil08, §8] we know that $\mu \ll \mathcal{H}^1$ however, so $\mu = 0$ necessarily. On the other hand, for any $\phi \in C_c^1(B_1(0))$ we have

(4.44)
$$\int_{B_1(0)} \nabla \phi \cdot \widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}} \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_R(0)} \nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{F}_k \, \mathrm{d}x = -2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\phi(2^{-k} \mathrm{e}_1) - \phi(0) \right),$$

and so

(4.45)
$$\operatorname{div} \widetilde{F} = 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\delta_{2^{-k} \mathbf{e}_1} - \delta_0) \quad \text{as distributions in } B_1(0).$$

However div $\widetilde{F}(\{0\})$ is ill-defined, which contradicts the existence of any such extension.

4.3. The case of L^1 fields. We can also extend some of our results to hold in \mathcal{DM}^1 , which is based on the following consequence of Proposition 3.8.

Proposition 4.14. Given an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $F \in \mathcal{DM}^{ext}(U)$. Then there exists $\boldsymbol{G} \in \mathcal{DM}^1(U)$ with div $\boldsymbol{G} \in \mathrm{L}^1(U)$ such that

(4.46)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \cdot \rangle_{\partial U} = \langle \boldsymbol{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \cdot \rangle_{\partial U} \text{ in } W^{1,\infty}(U),$$

and G satisfies the estimate

(4.47)
$$\|\boldsymbol{G}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{1}(U)} := \|\boldsymbol{G}\|_{\mathrm{L}^{1}(U)} + |\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{G}|(U) \le 2\|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(U)}$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.8, we know that $\langle \mathbf{F} \cdot \nu \cdot \rangle_{\partial U}$ is weakly*-continuous on $W^{1,\infty}(U)$. Since $W^{1,\infty}(U) \cong W^{-1,1}(U)^*$ by Lemma 2.8, viewing the normal trace as an element of $W^{-1,1}(U)^{**}$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we infer that $\langle \mathbf{F} \cdot \nu, \cdot \rangle_{\partial U} \in W^{-1,1}(U)$. That is, there exists $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n \in L^1(U)$ such that writing $\boldsymbol{G} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$,

(4.48)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial U} = -\int_{U} \phi g_0 + \nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{G} \, \mathrm{d}x \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathrm{W}^{1,\infty}(U),$$

and that

(4.49)
$$\|g_0\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(U)} + \|\boldsymbol{G}\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(U)} \le 2\|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^1(U)}.$$

Since (4.48) vanishes for all $\phi \in C_c^1(U)$ (by Proposition 3.7), we see that

(4.50)
$$\boldsymbol{G} \in \mathcal{DM}^1(U)$$
 with div $\boldsymbol{G} = g_0 \in \mathrm{L}^1(U)$

so (4.48) reads as

(4.51)
$$\langle \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial U} = -\int_{U} \phi \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{G} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{U} \nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{G} \, \mathrm{d}x = \langle \boldsymbol{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \phi \rangle_{\partial U}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathrm{W}^{1,\infty}(U)$, and (4.49) reads as $\|\boldsymbol{G}\|_{\mathcal{D}M^{1}(U)} \leq 2\|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\mathcal{D}M^{\mathrm{ext}}(U)}$.

for all $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(U)$, and (4.49) reads as $\|\boldsymbol{G}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^1(U)} \leq 2\|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\mathrm{ext}}(U)}$.

This implies the following surjectivity result for traces of \mathcal{DM}^1 fields. Compared to Theorem 4.6 we cannot specify where div G concentrates, however the divergence instead lies in L^1 .

Theorem 4.15. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set that is locally rectifiably convex in the sense of Definition 4.1. Then restriction of the trace operator

(4.52)
$$N_U : \mathcal{DM}^1(U) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\partial U)$$
 is surjective.

More precisely, for each $m \in \mathcal{E}(\partial U)$ there exists $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{DM}^1(U)$ such that $N_U(\mathbf{G}) = m$ and the estimate

$$(4.53) \|\boldsymbol{G}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^1(U)} \le C \|\boldsymbol{m}\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}$$

holds, where $C = C(n, \varepsilon_U, \delta_U)$. Furthermore, div $\boldsymbol{G} \in L^1(U)$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{DM}^1(U) \subset \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)$, the trace operator N_U is well-defined by Theorem 3.6. Given $m \in \mathcal{E}(\partial U)$, by Theorem 4.6 there exists $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)$ such that $N_U(\mathbf{F}) = m$, with the estimate $\|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)} \leq C \|m\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}$. By choosing Λ as in the proof of Lemma 4.4, we can ensure that $C = C(n, \varepsilon_U, \delta_U)$ (see Remark 4.7). Now by Proposition 4.14, there exists $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{DM}^1(U)$ such that (4.46) holds, which implies that $N_U(\mathbf{G}) = N_U(\mathbf{F}) = m$. Also by (4.53) we can estimate $\|\mathbf{G}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^1(U)} \leq 2\|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(U)} \leq C\|m\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}$, so the result follows.

Remark 4.16. This result is in contrast to the following result of SCHURICHT in [Sch07, Prop. 6.5]: for $\boldsymbol{G} \in \mathcal{DM}^1(\Omega)$, and any $U \subset \Omega$ in a suitable class of subsets \mathcal{P}_h (depending on \boldsymbol{G}) introduced in [Šil91, Def. 4.1, Prop. 4.3], one has $(\boldsymbol{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})_{\partial U} \ll \mathcal{H}^{n-1} + |\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{G}|$. Theorem 4.15 shows that this is *not* a general phenomenon, and is rather special to the class \mathcal{P}_h , since the fields we construct have divergences in L^1 .

By applying the above result to U and \overline{U}^c , we also obtain the following two-sided version. We omit the proof, which is identical to that of Theorem 4.8, except that we apply Theorem 4.15 in place of Theorem 4.6 to obtain \mathcal{DM}^1 -fields $G_{\rm in}, G_{\rm out}$ in U and \overline{U}^c respectively.

Corollary 4.17. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set such that U and \overline{U}^c satisfies Definition 4.1, and that $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^c$. Then for each $m \in \mathcal{E}(\partial U)$, there exists $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{DM}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with div $\mathbf{G} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

(4.54)
$$N_U(\boldsymbol{G}) = -N_{\overline{U}^c}(\boldsymbol{G}) = m,$$

and

(4.55)
$$\|\boldsymbol{G}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|\boldsymbol{m}\|_{\mathcal{E}(\partial U)}.$$

Theorem 4.18. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that \overline{U}^c satisfies Definition 4.1 and $\partial U = \partial \overline{U}^c$. Then there exists a (not necessarily linear) extension operator

(4.56)
$$\mathcal{E}_U \colon \mathcal{DM}^1(U) \to \mathcal{DM}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that for all $F \in \mathcal{DM}^1(U)$, we have $\mathcal{E}_U(F)|_U = F$ and the estimate

(4.57)
$$\|\mathcal{E}_U(F)\|_{\mathcal{DM}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|F\|_{\mathcal{DM}^1(U)}$$

holds, where $C = C(n, \varepsilon_{\overline{U}^c}, \delta_{\overline{U}^c}).$

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 4.9, so we will only sketch the modifications. Given $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^1(U)$, put $m = \mathcal{N}_U(\mathbf{F}) \in \mathbb{E}(\partial U) = \mathbb{E}_{\partial \overline{U}^c}$. Then by Theorem 4.15 applied to \overline{U}^c there is $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{DM}^1(\overline{U}^c)$ such that $\mathcal{N}_U(\mathbf{G}) = -m$, along with the estimate $\|\mathbf{G}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^1(\overline{U}^c)} \leq C \|m\|_{\mathbb{E}(\partial U)} \leq C \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{DM}^1(U)}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbb{1}_U \mathbf{F} + \mathbb{1}_{\overline{U}^c} \mathbf{G}$ gives the desired extension. \Box

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF SMIRNOV'S THEOREM

For completeness, we will provide a proof of Smirnov's decomposition theorem, in the form stated in §2.3. While the proof follows the same strategy as in the original paper, due to several technical simplifications and since we do not require a "complete" decomposition, the argument we give here is considerably shorter. We point out that an alternative proof based on polyhedral approximation was established by PAOLINI & STEPANOV in [PS12; PS13], which applies in a more general setting, however we will not follow their approach.

We will use the fact that, since \mathscr{C}_1 is locally compact and metrisable, we can identify $\mathcal{M}(\mathscr{C}_1) \cong C_0(\mathscr{C}_1)^*$. Here $C_0(\mathscr{C}_1)$ is the space of continuous functions $f : \mathscr{C}_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ vanishing at infinity in the sense that $f(\gamma_k) \to 0$ whenever $\|\gamma_k\|_{L^{\infty}([0,1])} \to \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 2.16. We will divide the proof into two steps, starting with:

Step 1. (Solenoidal case): Assume that div F = 0. We will first prove Theorem 2.16 under this additional assumption.

1.1. (Approximate decomposition): Given a standard mollifier η_{ε} , we will set

(A.1)
$$f_{\varepsilon} = \boldsymbol{F} * \eta_{\varepsilon}, \quad \tau_{\varepsilon} = |\boldsymbol{F}| * \eta_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon\beta, \quad \sigma_{\varepsilon} = \frac{f_{\varepsilon}}{\tau_{\varepsilon}},$$

where β is everywhere positive such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta(x) \, dx = 1$ (for instance the unit Gaussian). Then for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, consider the solutions to the initial value problem

(A.2)
$$\begin{cases} \gamma'_x(t) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_x(t)) & \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \gamma_x(0) = x. \end{cases}$$

By the semi-group property of autonomous ODEs, this gives a 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms $G_t(x) = \gamma_x(t)$. Now by Jacobi's formula and using (A.2), we can compute

(A.3)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\det \nabla G_t(x)) = (\det \nabla G_t(x)) \operatorname{tr} \left(\nabla G_t(x)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \nabla G_t(x) \right) \\
= (\det \nabla G_t(x)) \operatorname{tr} \left(\nabla \sigma_{\varepsilon}(G_t(x)) \right) \\
= (\det \nabla G_t(x)) \frac{1}{\tau_{\varepsilon}^2} \left(\tau_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{div} f_{\varepsilon} - \operatorname{tr}(f_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \tau_{\varepsilon}) \right) \left(G_t(x) \right)$$

so using the chain rule and that f_{ε} is divergence-free, it follows that

(A.4)
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\tau_{\varepsilon}(G_t(x))\det\nabla G_t(x)) = 0.$$

Evaluating at t = 0 and noting that det $\nabla G_t(x) \neq 0$ for all t, we infer that

(A.5)
$$\tau_{\varepsilon}(G_t(x))|\det \nabla G_t(x))| = \tau_{\varepsilon}(G_0(x))|\det \nabla G_0(x))| = \tau_{\varepsilon}(x) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore using the change of variables $x \mapsto G_t(x)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and averaging over all such t, for any $\Phi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

(A.6)

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi, f_{\varepsilon} \rangle &= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(x) \cdot f_{\varepsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(\gamma_{x}(t)) \cdot \sigma_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_{x}(t)) \tau_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_{x}(t)) |\det \nabla G_{t}(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi(\gamma_{x}(t)) \cdot \gamma_{x}'(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \tau_{\varepsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \langle \llbracket \gamma_{x} |_{[0,1]} \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle \, \tau_{\varepsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x, \end{split}$$

thereby giving a decomposition into curves for the approximating fields f_{ε} . 1.2. (Limiting measure): Define the mapping

(A.7)
$$R_{\varepsilon} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathscr{C}_1 \quad x \mapsto \gamma_x|_{[0,1]}.$$

Then by the continuous dependence of the ODE system (A.2) with respect to the initial data, the mapping R_{ε} is continuous, where we equip \mathscr{C}_1 with the topology of uniform convergence. Therefore we can define $\nu_{\varepsilon} = (R_{\varepsilon})_{\#}(\tau_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{L}^n)$, which is a Borel measure on \mathscr{C}_1 , which satisfies the uniform bound

(A.8)
$$\nu_{\varepsilon}(\mathscr{C}_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{\varepsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x \le |\mathbf{F}|(\mathbb{R}^n) + \varepsilon \quad \text{for each } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Hence by weak*-compactness of $(\nu_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathscr{C}_1) \cong C_0(\mathscr{C}_1)^*$, there exists a subsequence $\varepsilon_k \searrow 0$ such that $\nu_{\varepsilon_k} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \nu$ weakly* to a limiting measure ν which satisfies $|\nu|(\mathscr{C}_1) \leq |\mathbf{F}|(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To pass to the limit in (A.6), for $\Phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ we define $\ell_{\Phi} \colon \mathscr{C}_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ by

(A.9)
$$\ell_{\Phi}(\gamma) = \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle = \int_{0}^{1} \Phi(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \mathscr{C}_{1}.$$

We claim that $\ell_{\Phi} \in C_0(\mathscr{C}_1)$, so to establish the continuity let $(\gamma_k)_k \subset \mathscr{C}_1$ such that $\gamma_k \to \gamma$ uniformly on [0,1]. Then as $(\gamma_k)_k$ is bounded in $W^{1,\infty}((0,1);\mathbb{R}^n)$, we also have $\gamma'_k \to \gamma'$ weakly^{*} in L^∞ . By combining this and the uniform convergence of $\Phi(\gamma_k)$, it follows that $\ell_{\Phi}(\gamma_k) \to \ell_{\Phi}(\gamma)$ as $k \to \infty$. Also for each $\varepsilon > 0$, since $\Phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists R > 0such that $|\Phi(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| \geq R$. Thus if $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$ such that $||\gamma||_{L^\infty([0,1])} \geq$ R + 1, then $|\gamma(t)| \geq R$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and hence that $|\ell_{\Phi}(\gamma)| \leq \varepsilon$, thereby showing that $\ell_{\Phi} \in C_0(\mathscr{C}_1)$.

Therefore for $\Phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, the weak^{*} convergence of ν_{ε} in $C_0(\mathscr{C}_1)^*$ gives

(A.10)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu_{\varepsilon_k}(\gamma) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle \ell_\Phi, \nu_{\varepsilon_k} \rangle = \langle \ell_\Phi, \nu \rangle = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma).$$

Note the ν -integral is well-defined since ℓ_{Φ} is continuous on \mathscr{C}_1 . On the other hand, by using (A.6) and the strict convergence of mollifications, we have

(A.11)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu_{\varepsilon_k}(\gamma) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \cdot f_{\varepsilon_k} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{F}.$$

Equating the above two limits we obtain the decomposition

(A.12)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \cdot \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{F} = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) \quad \text{for all } \Phi \in \mathrm{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Furthermore for any such Φ we can estimate

(A.13)
$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi \cdot d\boldsymbol{F} \right| \leq \int_{\mathscr{C}_{1}} |\langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle| \, d\nu(\gamma)$$
$$\leq \|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \int_{\mathscr{C}_{1}} \ell(\gamma) \, d\nu(\gamma)$$
$$\leq \|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \, \nu(\mathscr{C}_{1}) \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} |\boldsymbol{F}|(\mathbb{R}^{n}).$$

since $\ell(\gamma) \leq 1$ for all $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$. Taking the supremum over all $\Phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$, we infer that

(A.14)
$$|\mathbf{F}|(\mathbb{R}^n) \le \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \ell(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) \le \nu(\mathscr{C}_1) \le |\mathbf{F}|(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

so equality holds throughout. That is, $\nu(\mathscr{C}_1) = |\mathbf{F}|(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\ell(\gamma) = 1$ for ν -a.e. $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$, so in particular any such γ satisfies $|\gamma'(t)| = 1$ for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$.

2.3. (Equality as measures): We now establish (2.36), (2.37) in the general case that Φ and ϕ are bounded Borel functions. For this we let \mathcal{E} denote the set of Borel subsets $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

(i) the map ℓ_B defined to send $\gamma \mapsto \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket(B)$ is Borel measurable on \mathscr{C}_1 ,

(ii)
$$\boldsymbol{F}(B) = \int_{\mathscr{C}} \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket(B) \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma)$$

We will show that \mathcal{E} contains all Borel sets, which will imply the assertion.

To see this, let $B = U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, and recall we have shown in the previous step that for each $\Phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the mapping $\gamma \mapsto \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle$ is continuous on \mathscr{C}_1 . Now let $(\phi_k)_k \subset C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $0 \leq \phi_k(x) \leq 1$ and $\phi_k(x) \to \mathbb{1}_U(x)$ as $k \to \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for any $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, by the dominated convergence theorem,

(A.15)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \phi_k \, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^1 \phi_k(\gamma(t)) \, \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \gamma'(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket(U) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i.$$

Therefore $\gamma \mapsto [\![\gamma]\!](U)$ is Borel measurable, as it is componentwise the pointwise limit of continuous functions on \mathscr{C}_1 . Also since $|\langle [\![\gamma]\!], \phi_k e_i \rangle| \leq 1$ for all k, using the pointwise convergence from (A.15), we can apply the dominated convergence theorem which gives

(A.16)
$$\mathbf{F}(U) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_k \, \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{F} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathscr{C}} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \phi_k \, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket(U) \cdot e_i \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma).$$

Hence $U \in \mathcal{E}$, and so \mathcal{E} contains all open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n .

Now observe that \mathcal{E} is closed under complements, since if $B \in \mathcal{E}$, then we have $\ell_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} = \ell_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \ell_B$ is Borel measurable and that (ii) is also satisfied by the linearity of the ν -integral, so $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B \in \mathcal{E}$. Also if $\{B_k\}_k \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a countable increasing sequence, setting $B = \bigcup_k B_k$ we see that $\ell_{B_k}(\gamma) \to \ell_B(\gamma)$ pointwise as $k \to \infty$ for each $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_1$, so it follows that ℓ_B is measurable since each ℓ_{B_k} is. Moreover since $|\ell_B(\gamma)| \leq 1$ for all γ , by the dominated convergence theorem we also have

(A.17)
$$\boldsymbol{F}(B) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \boldsymbol{F}(B_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket(B_k) \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket(B) \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma),$$

so we also have $B \in \mathcal{E}$. Hence by the π - λ theorem (see for instance [AFP00, Rmk. 1.9]), \mathcal{E} contains all Borel subsets, from which (2.36) follows by a density argument.

Finally to establish (2.37), by taking a polar decomposition (using *e.g.* [AFP00, Thm. 2.22]), there exists $\xi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ Borel measurable such that $\xi \cdot \mathbf{F} = |\mathbf{F}|$ as measures. Then for any Borel measurable set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we obtain the upper bound

(A.18)
$$|\mathbf{F}|(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{1}_B \,\xi \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{F} = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \mathbb{1}_B \,\xi \rangle \,\mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) \le \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \mu_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}(B) \,\mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma)$$

Since the same holds for $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B$, and since $|\mathbf{F}|(\mathbb{R}^n) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \mu_{[\gamma]}(\mathbb{R}^n) d\nu$ by (A.14), it follows that equality must hold in (A.18), thereby establishing the result in the solenoidal case.

Step 2. (Divergence-measure case): Now consider the case of a general $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will define a field on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} by

(A.19)
$$\boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{F} \times (\delta_1 - \delta_0) + \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{F} \times (\mathcal{L}^1 \, \boldsymbol{\mathsf{L}}(0, 1)) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}),$$

which satisfies div S = 0. Then by Step 1, there exists a measure $\tilde{\nu}$ on $\mathscr{C}_1^{n+1} = \{\tilde{\gamma} \in \text{Lip}([0,1]; \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) : \text{Lip}(\gamma) \leq 1\}$ for which (2.36), (2.37) holds for S. We then introduce the set

(A.20)
$$\mathcal{M} = \{ \tilde{\gamma} \in \mathscr{C}_1^{n+1} : \tilde{\gamma}((0,1)) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}) \neq \emptyset \}.$$

2.1. (Structure of curves): We claim that, for $\tilde{\nu}$ -a.e. $\tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, \gamma_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a unique subinterval $[a,b] \subset [0,1]$ such that $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n)$ is constant on [0,a] and [b,1], and $\gamma_{n+1} \equiv 0$ on [a,b].

Indeed let $E_+, E_- \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable partition such that div F is non-negative on E_+ and non-positive on E_- (so E_{\pm} is a Hahn decomposition). Then applying (2.36) and (2.37) to $\mathbb{1}_{E_+\times(0,1)}$ and using the definition of S, we have

(A.21)
$$\boldsymbol{S}(E_{\pm} \times (0,1)) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_{1}^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{\gamma}'(t) \mathbb{1}_{E_{\pm} \times (0,1)}(\gamma(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\nu}(\tilde{\gamma}) = \pm e_{n+1} |\mathrm{div} \boldsymbol{F}|(E_{\pm})$$

(A.22)
$$|\mathbf{S}|(E_{\pm} \times (0,1)) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1^{n+1}} \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}_{E_{\pm} \times I}(\gamma(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\nu}(\tilde{\gamma}) = |\mathrm{div}\, F|(E_{\pm}),$$

where the second equality in both lines follow by noting that $|\tilde{\gamma}'(t)| = 1$ holds \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. on [0,1] for $\tilde{\nu}$ -a.e. $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathscr{C}_1^{n+1}$. Hence, since $|\mathbf{S}(E_{\pm} \times (0,1))| = |\mathbf{S}|(E_{\pm} \times (0,1))$, it follows that

(A.23)
$$\int_{0}^{1} \tilde{\gamma}'(t) \mathbb{1}_{E_{\pm} \times (0,1)}(\gamma(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t = \pm \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{1}_{E_{\pm} \times (0,1)}(\gamma(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \quad \text{for } \nu\text{-a.e. } \tilde{\gamma} \in \mathscr{C}_{1}^{n+1},$$

and thereby for any such $\tilde{\gamma}$, it holds that $\tilde{\gamma}'(t) = \pm e_{n+1}$ for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(t) \in E_{\pm} \times (0, 1)$.

Now let $\tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, \gamma_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{M}$ such $\tilde{\gamma}$ is parametrised by arclength, and that (A.23) holds, which is satisfied by $\tilde{\nu}$ -a.e. such $\tilde{\gamma}$. We then set

(A.24)
$$a = \inf\{t \in [0,1]: \gamma_{n+1}(t) = 0\}, \quad b = \sup\{t \in [0,1]: \gamma_{n+1}(t) = 0\},\$$

noting that $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$ since $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{M}$. Note that (A.23) implies that $\gamma' \equiv 0$ on [0, a]and hence that γ is constant on the same interval (observe this is vacuous if a = 0). Also since $\gamma_{n+1}(a) = 0$ necessarily, we must have $\gamma'_{n+1}(a) < 0$. Hence it follows that $\gamma(0) \in E_{-}$ and that $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma(0), a - t)$ on [0, a]. Similarly for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$ for which t > a and $\gamma_{n+1}(t) > 0$, it follows that $\tilde{\gamma}'(t) = e_{n+1}$. Hence $\gamma_{n+1}(t) = 0$ for all $t \in (a, b)$ and that $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma(b), t - b)$ on [b, 1], thereby establishing the claim.

2.2. (Projection): We define the mapping

(A.25)
$$\mathcal{P} \colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathscr{C}_1, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, \gamma_{n+1}) \mapsto \gamma(a + (b-a) \cdot)|_{[0,1]}$$

where a, b are defined via (A.24) and depends on $\tilde{\gamma}$. Since $\ell(\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\gamma})) \leq b - a \leq 1$, this mapping is well-defined, and if $\tilde{\gamma}$ is of constant speed, then the same holds of $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\gamma})$. Moreover by continuity of $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{M}$, the supremum and infimum in (A.24) can be taken over $t \in$ $[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$, from which it follows that $\gamma \mapsto a, b$ are measurable as mappings $\mathcal{M} \to [0,1]$. Thus the mapping \mathcal{P} is Borel measurable if we equip both spaces with the topology of uniform convergence, so $\nu := \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\tilde{\nu} \sqcup \mathcal{M})$ defines a Borel measure on \mathscr{C}_1 . We will show this gives rise to the desired decomposition.

To see this, we first observe that $\tilde{\nu}(\mathscr{C}_1^{n+1}) = |\mathbf{S}|(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is finite by (A.14) from Step 1, so it follows that ν is a finite Borel measure. Now given $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n)$, define $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1};\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ by setting

(A.26)
$$\tilde{\Phi}(x,t) = \begin{cases} (\Phi(x),0) & \text{if } t = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then for $\tilde{\nu}$ -a.e. $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{M}$ for which the assertion of the previous step holds, we have

(A.27)
$$\langle \llbracket \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\gamma}) \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} \gamma'(t) \cdot \Phi(\gamma(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{\gamma}'(t) \cdot \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t = \langle \llbracket \tilde{\gamma} \rrbracket, \tilde{\Phi} \rangle.$$

Moreover if $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathscr{C}_1^{n+1} \setminus \mathcal{M}$, then $\langle [\![\tilde{\gamma}]\!], \tilde{\Phi} \rangle = 0$ by definition of \mathcal{M} and $\tilde{\Phi}$. Hence

(A.28)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi \cdot \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{F} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \tilde{\Phi} \cdot \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{S} = \int_{\mathscr{C}_{1}^{n+1}} \langle \llbracket \tilde{\gamma} \rrbracket \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\nu}(\tilde{\gamma}) \\= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \langle \llbracket \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\gamma}) \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\nu}(\tilde{\gamma}) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_{1}} \langle \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, \Phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma),$$

establishing (2.36) in the general case. Finally if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, defining $\tilde{\phi}(x,0) = \phi(x)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(x,t) = 0$ for $t \neq 0$ we can similarly show that

(A.29)
$$\langle \mu_{\llbracket \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\gamma}) \rrbracket}, \phi \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} |\gamma'(t)| \phi(\gamma(t)) dt = \langle \mu_{\llbracket \tilde{\gamma} \rrbracket}, \tilde{\phi} \rangle \text{ for } \tilde{\nu}\text{-a.e. } \tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{M},$$

and hence that

(A.30)
$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \langle \mu_{\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket}, \phi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\nu(\gamma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi \, \mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{F}|,$$

establishing (2.37).

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Gui-Qiang Chen and Monica Torres for the insightful discussions concerning extensions of divergence-measure fields, and Bogdan Raiță for commenting on a preliminary version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

References

| [AM16] | G. Alberti and A. Marchese. "On the Differentiability of Lipschitz Functions with Respect to Measures in the Euclidean Space". In: <i>Geom. Funct. Anal.</i> 26.1 (2016), |
|----------|--|
| [AFP00] | pp. 1–66. ISSN: 1016-443X, 1420-8970. DOI: 10.1007/s00039-016-0354-y. L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, and D. Pallara. Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems, Clarendon Press, 2000, p. 434, ISBN: 978-0-19-850245-6. |
| [Anz83] | G. Anzellotti. "Pairings between Measures and Bounded Functions and Compensated Compactness". In: Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 135.1 (1983), pp. 293–318. ISSN: 1618-1891. |
| [AE56] | R. Arens and J. Eells. "On Embedding Uniform and Topological Spaces". In: <i>Pacific J.</i> <i>Math.</i> 6.3 (1956), pp. 397–403. ISSN: 0030-8730, 0030-8730. DOI: 10.2140/pjm.1956.6.397. |
| [Bre11] | H. Brezis. Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations. New York, NY: Springer New York, 2011. ISBN: 978-0-387-70913-0 978-0-387-70914-7. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-70914-7 |
| [CLT20] | GQ. Chen, Q. Li, and M. Torres. "Traces and Extensions of Bounded Divergence- Measure Fields on Rough Open Sets". In: <i>Indiana Univ. Math. J.</i> 69.1 (2020), pp. 229– 264. ISSN: 0022-2518. JSTOR: 26959170. |
| [CCT19] | GQ. G. Chen, G. E. Comi, and M. Torres. "Cauchy Fluxes and Gauss–Green Formulas for Divergence-Measure Fields Over General Open Sets". In: <i>Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.</i> 223.1 (2010). ar 87.166 reput 1420.0572 page 40.4007 (2000). 2010; 1420.0572 |
| [CF99] | 233.1 (2019), pp. 87–100. ISSN: 1432-0673. DOI: 10.1007/800205-018-01355-4. GQ. G. Chen and H. Frid. "Divergence-Measure Fields and Hyperbolic Conservation Laws". In: Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 147.2 (1999), pp. 89–118. ISSN: 1432-0673. DOI: 10.1007/s002050050146. |
| [CF03] | GQ. G. Chen and H. Frid. "Extended Divergence-Measure Fields and the Euler Equa- tions for Gas Dynamics". In: <i>Commun. Math. Phys.</i> 236.2 (2003), pp. 251–280. ISSN: 1432-0916. DOI: 10.1007/s00220-003-0823-7. |
| [CIT24] | GQ. G. Chen, C. Irving, and M. Torres. <i>Extended Divergence-Measure Fields, the Gauss-Green Formula, and Cauchy Fluxes.</i> 2024. arXiv: 2410.09214. |
| [CT05] | GQ. G. Chen and M. Torres. "Divergence-Measure Fields, Sets of Finite Perimeter, and Conservation Laws". In: Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 175.2 (2005), pp. 245–267. |
| [CTZ09] | ISSN: 1432-0673. DOI: 10.1007/s00205-004-0346-1. GQ. G. Chen, M. Torres, and W. P. Ziemer. "Gauss-Green Theorem for Weakly Differentiable Vector Fields, Sets of Finite Perimeter, and Balance Laws". In: Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 62.2 (2009), pp. 242–304. ISSN: 1097-0312. DOI: 10.1002/cpa.20262. |
| [Com+24] | G. E. Comi, G. Crasta, V. De Cicco, and A. Malusa. "Representation Formulas for Pairings between Divergence-Measure Fields and <i>BV</i> Functions". In: <i>J. Funct. Anal.</i> 286 1 (2024), p. 110102, 1899, 0022 1226, poir 10, 1016 (i, if a 2023, 110102). |
| [CL25] | G. E. Comi and G. P. Leonardi. "Measures in the Dual of <i>BV</i> : Perimeter Bounds and Relations with Divergence-Measure Fields". In: <i>Nonlinear Anal.</i> 251 (2025), p. 113686. |
| [CDS23] | G. E. Comi, V. De Cicco, and G. Scilla. Beyond BV: New Pairings and Gauss-Green |
| [Con07] | J. B. Conway. A Course in Functional Analysis. Vol. 96. Graduate Texts in Mathemat- ics. New York, NY: Springer, 2007. ISBN: 978-1-4419-3092-7 978-1-4757-4383-8. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4757-4383-8. |
| [CD19] | G. Crasta and V. De Cicco. "Anzellotti's Pairing Theory and the Gauss–Green Theo- rem". In: Adv. Math. 343 (2019), pp. 935–970. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2018.12.007. |
| [DMM99] | M. Degiovanni, A. Marzocchi, and A. Musesti. "Cauchy Fluxes Associated with Tensor Fields Having Divergence Measure". In: Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 147.3 (1999), pp. 197–223. ISSN: 1432-0673. DOI: 10.1007/s002050050149. |
| [EG15] | L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy. Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions. 2015, ISBN: 978-1-4822-4238-6. |
| [GS24] | F. Gmeineder and S. Schiffer. <i>Extensions of Divergence-Free Fields in</i> L ¹ -Based Func- tion Spaces, 2024, arXiv: 2408.04513 [math]. |
| [JLS86] | W. B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, and G. Schechtman. "Extensions of Lipschitz Maps into Banach Spaces". In: <i>Israel J. Math.</i> 54.2 (1986), pp. 129–138. ISSN: 1565-8511. DOI: 10.1007/BF02764938. |
| [Jon81] | P. W. Jones. "Quasiconformal Mappings and Extendability of Functions in Sobolev Spaces". In: Acta Math. 147.0 (1981), pp. 71–88. ISSN: 0001-5962. DOI: 10.1007/BF02392869. |

| 28 | REFERENCES |
|---------|--|
| [MS79] | O. Martio and J. Sarvas. "Injectivity Theorems in Plane and Space". In: Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. 4 (1979), pp. 383–401, ISSN: 00661953, poi: 10, 5186/aasfm, 1978–79, 0413 |
| [PS12] | E. Paolini and E. Stepanov. "Decomposition of Acyclic Normal Currents in a Metric Space". In: J. Funct. Anal. 263.11 (2012), pp. 3358–3390. ISSN: 0022-1236. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfa.2012.08.009. |
| [PS13] | E. Paolini and E. Stepanov. "Structure of Metric Cycles and Normal One-Dimensional Currents". In: J. Funct. Anal. 264.6 (2013), pp. 1269–1295. ISSN: 0022-1236. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfa.2012.12.007. |
| [PT08] | N. C. Phuc and M. Torres. "Characterizations of the Existence and Removable Singularities of Divergence-Measure Vector Fields". In: <i>Indiana Univ. Math. J.</i> 57.4 (2008), pp. 1573–1598. ISSN: 0022-2518. DOI: 10.1512/jumi.2008.57.3312. |
| [SS16] | C. Scheven and T. Schmidt. "BV Supersolutions to Equations of 1-Laplace and Minimal Surface Type". In: J. Differ. Equ. 261.3 (2016), pp. 1904–1932. ISSN: 0022-0396. DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2016.04.015. |
| [SS22] | M. Schönherr and F. Schuricht. A Theory of Traces and the Divergence Theorem. 2022. arXiv: 2206.07941 [math]. |
| [Sch07] | F. Schuricht. "A New Mathematical Foundation for Contact Interactions in Continuum Physics". In: Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 184.3 (2007), pp. 495–551. ISSN: 1432-0673. |
| [Šil91] | M. Šilhavý. "Cauchy's Stress Theorem and Tensor Fields with Divergences in L^p ". In: Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 116.3 (1991), pp. 223–255. ISSN: 1432-0673. DOI: 10.1007/BF00375122. |
| [Šil05] | M. Šilhavý. "Divergence Measure Fields and Cauchy's Stress Theorem". In: <i>Rend.</i> Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova 113 (2005), pp. 15–45, ISSN: 0041-8994. |
| [Šil08] | M. Šilhavý. "Normal Currents: Structure, Duality Pairings and Div–Curl Lemmas". In: Milan J. Math. 76.1 (2008), pp. 275–306. ISSN: 1424-9294. DOI: 10.1007/s00032-007-0081-9. |
| [Šil09] | M. Šilhavý. "The Divergence Theorem for Divergence Measure Vectorfields on Sets with Fractal Boundaries". In: <i>Math. Mech. Solids</i> 14.5 (2009), pp. 445–455. ISSN: 1081-2865. DOI: 10.1177/1081286507081960. |
| [Smi93] | S. K. Smirnov. "Decomposition of Solenoidal Vector Charges into Elementary Solenoids and the Structure of Normal One-Dimensional Currents". In: <i>St. Petersburg Math. J.</i> 5.4 (1993), pp. 206–238. ISSN: 1061-0022. |
| [Wea18] | N. Weaver. Lipschitz Algebras. 2nd ed. World Scientific, 2018. ISBN: 978-981-4740-63-0 978-981-4740-64-7. DOI: 10.1142/9911. |
| - | |

Faculty of Mathematics, Technical University Dortmund, Vogelpothsweg 87, 44227, Dortmund, Germany

 $Email \ address: \ {\tt christopher.irving@tu-dortmund.de}$

28

REFERENCES