

CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMITIVE IMMERSIONS OF CONSTANT CURVATURE INTO FLAG MANIFOLDS

RUI PACHECO AND MEHMOOD-UR-REHMAN

ABSTRACT. We classify primitive minimal immersions of constant curvature from the two-sphere S^2 into the low-dimensional flag manifolds $F_{2,1,1}$ and $F_{2,2,1}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known result due to E. Calabi which states that any complex submanifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature of the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is unitarily congruent to a piece of a *round Veronese embedding* (see [15] and the references therein). In 1988, J. Bolton et al. [3] extended this result by proving that any conformal minimal immersion of constant curvature from the two-sphere S^2 into a complex projective space, up to unitary congruence, belongs to a *Veronese sequence*. As a generalization of the $\mathbb{C}P^n$ case, the most natural is to consider the same problem for complex Grassmannians $G_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Several researchers have since contributed to this classification problem. Z. Li and Z.-H. Yu [16] provided a complete classification of minimal two-sphere of constant curvature into $G_2(\mathbb{C}^4)$. Later, X. Jiao and his collaborators classified various types of constant curvature minimal two-spheres in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^5)$, including nonsingular holomorphic curves, totally unramified holomorphic curves, and those which are neither holomorphic nor antiholomorphic [11, 12, 13, 14]. More recently, in [7] the authors constructed explicit examples of constantly curved holomorphic two-spheres of degree 6 in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^5)$, but a complete classification seems still missing.

For isometric immersions, recall that minimality is equivalent to harmonicity [8, 19], hence the rich theory of harmonic maps of Riemann surfaces come into play. We are particularly interested in certain twistorial constructions of harmonic maps from Riemann surfaces into symmetric spaces [4, 5]. An important class of twistor lifts is that of *primitive maps* into k -symmetric spaces G/K [4, 10]. For $k > 2$, primitive maps are harmonic with respect to all G -invariant metrics. Thus, a natural problem is to classify constantly curved primitive (minimal) immersions of surfaces into k -symmetric spaces, when these are equipped with G -invariant

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C42, 53A10, 53C35, 58E20.

Key words and phrases. harmonic map, minimal immersion, Grassmannian manifold, Riemann surface, flag manifold, primitive map, curvature, Veronese map.

The first author was partially supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia through the project UID/MAT/00212/2019. The second author was partially supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia through the grant UI/BD/153058/2022.

metrics. In [17] we addressed this problem in the case of primitive maps $\Psi = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p)$ into the flag manifold

$$(1) \quad F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} = \frac{U(n)}{U(k_0) \times \dots \times U(k_p)}$$

arising from the harmonic sequences ψ_0, \dots, ψ_p associated to holomorphic maps ψ_0 from S^2 into the complex Grassmannian $G_{k_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. As a corollary to our results, we showed there that *any full primitive immersion from S^2 into the full flag manifold $F_{1, \dots, 1}$ which has constant curvature with respect to at least one $U(n)$ -invariant metric is unitarily equivalent to the primitive lift of a Veronese map.*

In the present paper we give the complete classification of primitive (minimal) immersions of constant curvature from S^2 into the low-dimensional flags $F_{2,1,1}$ (Section 3) and $F_{2,2,1}$ (Section 4). Our methods involve harmonic sequences and harmonic diagrams, as developed in [6, 18], and a generalization of the notion of absolute value type function [9, 16, 14], which we called *generalized absolute value type function*.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Harmonic sequences and primitive immersions. We consider on \mathbb{C}^n the standard Hermitian inner product $\langle v, w \rangle = v_1 \bar{w}_1 + \dots + v_n \bar{w}_n$. The Grassmannian $G_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of all k -dimensional complex subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n is a Hermitian symmetric $U(n)$ -space, with stabilizers conjugate to $U(k) \times U(n-k)$. The complex structure is given by

$$(2) \quad T_L^{\mathbb{C}} G_k(\mathbb{C}^n) = T_L^{1,0} G_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \oplus T_L^{0,1} G_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong \text{Hom}(L, L^\perp) \oplus \text{Hom}(L^\perp, L),$$

at $L \in G_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$, while the compatible Riemann metric is given by

$$(3) \quad h(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\xi \eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in T_L G_k(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Given a Riemann surface M , we interpret any smooth map $\psi : M \rightarrow G_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as a (complex) rank- k vector subbundle, also denoted by ψ , of the trivial vector bundle $M \times \mathbb{C}^n$, with fibre at $z \in M$ given by $\psi(z)$. For the theory of harmonic maps from surfaces into complex Grassmannians, we refer the reader to [6, 18].

Let $\psi : M \rightarrow G_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be a harmonic map and $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be its harmonic sequence, where: $\psi_0 = \psi$; for $j > 0$, ψ_j is the j -th ∂' -Gauss bundle of ψ ; for $j < 0$, ψ_j is the j -th ∂'' -Gauss bundle of ψ . Recall that, if ψ is holomorphic, then its harmonic sequence must take the form

$$\{0\} = \psi_{-1}, \psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_p, \psi_{p+1} = \{0\}, \quad \text{with } \psi_i \perp \psi_j \text{ for all } i \neq j \in \{0, \dots, p\}.$$

Take a local complex coordinate z on $U \subset M$. According to (2), we have, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$d\psi_j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^{1,0} = A'_{\psi_j}, \quad d\psi_j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^{0,1} = -A'_{\psi_{j-1}},$$

where A'_{ψ_j} stands for the ∂' -second fundamental form of ψ_j . Assume that ψ has isotropy order $r \geq 2$. Then it is clear that $A'_{\psi_j^\perp} \circ A'_{\psi_j} = 0$ for all j ; hence, each ψ_j is a branched

conformal minimal immersion. Set

$$(4) \quad \gamma_j = \operatorname{tr} A'_{\psi_j} (A'_{\psi_j})^*.$$

The metric induced from (3) by ψ_j on M is locally given by

$$(5) \quad \psi_j^* h = (\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j) dz d\bar{z}.$$

Then, the Laplacian Δ_j of the metric $\psi_j^* h$ and the corresponding curvature are given by

$$(6) \quad \Delta_j = \frac{4}{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j} \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}}, \quad K(\psi_j) = -\frac{2}{\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j} \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log(\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j).$$

Here and henceforth we denote $\partial_z := \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ and $\partial_{\bar{z}} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y})$.

For a holomorphic immersion $\sigma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$, the induced metric is locally given by $\sigma^* h = \gamma dz d\bar{z}$, with

$$(7) \quad \gamma = \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log \|\hat{\sigma}\|^2,$$

where $\hat{\sigma} : U \subset M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is a local nonvanishing holomorphic section of σ .

2.2. The Veronese sequence. Recall that the n -Veronese map $V^n : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ is the linearly full holomorphic immersion of constant curvature given by

$$V^n(z) = \left[1, \sqrt{\binom{n}{1}} z, \dots, \sqrt{\binom{n}{r}} z^r, \dots, z^n \right],$$

in terms of the canonical complex coordinate z on $\mathbb{C} = S^2 \setminus \{\infty\}$. Up to unitary congruence, the n -Veronese map is the unique such immersion, as shown by E. Calabi (see [15] and the references therein). The harmonic sequence V_0^n, \dots, V_n^n associated to $V^n = V_0^n$ is called the n -Veronese sequence.

Theorem 2.1. [3, Theorem 5.2] *The Veronese sequence $V_0^n, \dots, V_n^n : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ is given by $V_j^n = [\hat{V}_j^n]$, with $\hat{V}_j^n = (f_{j,0}, \dots, f_{j,n})$, where*

$$(8) \quad f_{j,r}(z) = \frac{j!}{(1+z\bar{z})^j} \sqrt{\binom{n}{r}} z^{r-j} \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{r}{j-k} \binom{n-r}{k} (z\bar{z})^k.$$

Moreover, each V_j^n is a minimal immersion with induced metric

$$(9) \quad V_j^{n*} h = (\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_j) dz d\bar{z} = \frac{n+2j(n-j)}{(1+z\bar{z})^2} dz d\bar{z}, \quad \gamma_j^n = \frac{(j+1)(n-j)}{(1+z\bar{z})^2},$$

and hence it has constant curvature $K(V_j^n) = \frac{4}{n+2j(n-j)}$.

From (8), one obtains $\|\hat{V}_j^n\|^2 = \frac{n!j!}{(n-j)!} (1+z\bar{z})^{n-2j}$; hence (see [3])

$$(10) \quad \hat{V}_{j+1}^n = \partial_z \hat{V}_j^n - \frac{\langle \partial_z \hat{V}_j^n, \hat{V}_j^n \rangle}{\|\hat{V}_j^n\|^2} \hat{V}_j^n = \partial_z \hat{V}_j^n - \frac{(n-2j)\bar{z}}{(1+z\bar{z})} \hat{V}_j^n, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

2.3. Primitive harmonic immersions. Let F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} be the flag manifold consisting of all $(p+1)$ -tuples $\Psi = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p)$ of mutually orthogonal complex subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n , so that $\mathbb{C}^n = \psi_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \psi_p$ and $k_j = \dim \psi_j$, for each $j \in \{0, \dots, p\}$. As a homogeneous space, this flag manifold is given by (1), where the unitary group $U(n)$ acts on F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} as $g\Psi = (g\psi_0, \dots, g\psi_p)$ for $g \in U(n)$. We have the following identification:

$$(11) \quad T_{\Psi}^{\mathbb{C}} F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} \cong \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Hom}(\psi_i, \psi_j).$$

We distinguish the subbundle T^1 of $T^{\mathbb{C}} F_{k_0, \dots, k_p}$ whose fiber at Ψ is given by

$$T_{\Psi}^1 \cong \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p+1}} \text{Hom}(\psi_j, \psi_{j+1}).$$

A smooth map $\Psi = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p)$ from a Riemann surface M to F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} is said to be *primitive* [4] if $d\Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)$ is a local section of $\Psi^* T^1$, for all local complex coordinate z on M . Taking $p = 1$, then $F_{k_0, k_1} \cong G_{k_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, with $k_1 = n - k_0$, and all smooth maps into F_{k_0, k_1} are primitive. For $p > 1$, if $\Psi = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p)$ is primitive, then each $\psi_j : M \rightarrow G_{k_j}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is harmonic [4]; moreover, it is known [2] that, for $p > 1$, any primitive map into F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} is harmonic with respect to all $U(n)$ -invariant metrics of F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} . Any such metric g has the following form [1]: given $\xi, \eta \in T_{\Psi} F_{k_0, \dots, k_p}$, and writing $\xi = \sum \xi_{ij}$, $\eta = \sum \eta_{ij}$ according to (11), then

$$(12) \quad g(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_{ij} \text{tr} \xi_{ij} \eta_{ij}^*,$$

for some positive constants λ_{ij} satisfying $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji}$. Hence, while the Grassmannians admit a unique (up to multiplication by a positive constant) $U(n)$ -invariant metric, the flag F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} admits infinite nonequivalent $U(n)$ -invariant metrics.

Henceforth we will assume $p > 1$. Given a primitive immersion $\Psi = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p) : M \rightarrow F_{k_0, \dots, k_p}$ and an invariant metric g on F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} of the form (12), then the metric on M induced by Ψ is given, in terms of a local complex coordinate z , by

$$(13) \quad \Psi^* g = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p+1}} \lambda_j \gamma_j dz d\bar{z},$$

where, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p+1}$, we denote $\lambda_j = \lambda_{j, j+1}$; and γ_j is given by (4). The corresponding curvature is given by

$$(14) \quad K(\Psi) = -\frac{2}{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p+1}} \lambda_j \gamma_j} \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p+1}} \lambda_j \gamma_j.$$

Let $\psi : M \rightarrow G_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be a harmonic map of isotropy order $\geq r$, and $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the harmonic sequence of ψ . Then the smooth map $\Psi = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{r-1}, R)$ into $F_{k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}, k_R}$, with $R = \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} \psi_j\right)^\perp$, $k_j = \text{rank } \psi_j$ and $k_R = \text{rank } R$, is primitive. We say that Ψ is a *primitive lift* of ψ . For example, the smooth map $\mathcal{V}^n = (V_0^n, \dots, V_n^n)$ is a primitive immersion into the full flag manifold $F_{1, \dots, 1}$. We call \mathcal{V}^n the *n-Veronese primitive immersion*.

2.4. Homogeneous projections and adding constants. Let $\psi_0 : M \rightarrow G_{k_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be a linearly full holomorphic map. Consider its harmonic sequence ψ_0, \dots, ψ_p and the corresponding primitive lift $\Psi = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p)$ into F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} . For a given choice of numbers $0 \leq q_0 < \dots < q_{l-1} < q_l = p$, define the *homogeneous projection* $\Pi : F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} \rightarrow F_{k^0, \dots, k^l}$, by $\Pi(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p) = (\psi^0, \dots, \psi^l)$, where, for each $j \in \{0, \dots, l\}$, setting $q_{-1} = -1$,

$$(15) \quad k^j = k_{q_{j-1}+1} + \dots + k_{q_j}, \quad \psi^j = \psi_{q_{j-1}+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \psi_{q_j}.$$

The following provides a generalization of [17, Theorem 2.1]:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\psi_0 : M \rightarrow G_{k_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be a linearly full holomorphic map, with harmonic sequence ψ_0, \dots, ψ_p . If the primitive lift $\Psi = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p) : M \rightarrow F_{k_0, \dots, k_p}$ is an immersion and there exists at least one $U(n)$ -invariant metric on F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} with respect to which Ψ has constant curvature, then $\tilde{\Psi} = \Pi \circ \Psi : M \rightarrow F_{k^0, \dots, k^l}$ is a primitive immersion of constant curvature with respect to all $U(n)$ -invariant metrics on F_{k^0, \dots, k^l} .*

Proof. For ψ^j defined by (15), observe that the second fundamental form $A'_{\psi^j} : \psi^j \rightarrow (\psi^j)^\perp$ is given by: $A'_{\psi^j}(v) = A'_{\psi_{q_j}} \circ \pi_{q_j}(v)$, where π_{q_j} is the Hermitian projection onto ψ_{q_j} . Hence the image of A'_{ψ^j} is contained in $\psi_{q_{j+1}} \subset \psi^{j+1}$, which means that $\tilde{\Psi} = \Pi \circ \Psi$ defines a primitive map into F_{k^0, \dots, k^l} . Moreover, in view of (4), we have

$$(16) \quad \text{tr } A'_{\psi^j} \left(A'_{\psi^j} \right)^* = \text{tr } A'_{\psi_{q_j}} \left(A'_{\psi_{q_j}} \right)^* = \gamma_{q_j}.$$

By [17, Theorem 2.1], each ψ_j , with $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ is an immersion of constant curvature and constant Kähler angle. This implies that each function γ_j , on a local chart (U, z) , takes the form $\gamma_j = \frac{\alpha_j}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}$, for some positive constant α_j . Now, take any $U(n)$ -invariant metric g on F_{k^0, \dots, k^l} . By (13) and (16), on a local complex chart (U, z) , $\tilde{\Psi}^*g = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \lambda_j \gamma_{q_j} dzd\bar{z}$, for some positive numbers λ_j , with $j \in \{0, \dots, l-1\}$. Then

$$\tilde{\Psi}^*g = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{\lambda_j \alpha_{q_j}}{(1+z\bar{z})^2} dzd\bar{z},$$

which implies that $\tilde{\Psi}$ has constant curvature. \square

Given a primitive map $\Psi = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p)$ into F_{k_0, \dots, k_p} , we describe three other processes of obtaining new primitive maps from Ψ :

- (1) *Adding a constant.* The smooth map $\Psi \oplus_r \mathbb{C}^m = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_r \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, \dots, \psi_p)$ defines a primitive map into $F_{k_0, \dots, k_r+m, \dots, k_p}$.
- (2) *Adding a primitive map.* More generally, let $\Phi = (\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_p)$ be another primitive map into F_{s_0, \dots, s_p} . Then $\Psi \oplus \Phi = (\psi_0 \oplus \varphi_0, \dots, \psi_p \oplus \varphi_p)$ is primitive map into $F_{k_0+s_0, \dots, k_p+s_p}$.
- (3) *Shifting.* $\tau\Psi = (\psi_p, \psi_0, \dots, \psi_{p-1})$ defines a primitive map into $F_{k_p, k_0, \dots, k_{p-1}}$.

2.5. Generalized absolute valued type functions. Recall [9, 16, 14] that a nonnegative smooth function f on a Riemann surface M is said to be of *absolute value type*, [9, 16] if either f is identically zero or, for any point $x \in M$, there is a local complex chart (U, z) centered at x such that $f(z) = (z\bar{z})^p f_1(z)$, where $p \geq 0$ is an integer and f_1 is a nonvanishing smooth function on U . In [14], the authors admit p to be any positive or negative integer. The following generalization will play an important role in our study of primitive immersions of constant curvature into flag manifolds.

Definition 2.3. *A continuous function $f : M \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on a Riemann surface M is said to be of generalized absolute value type function if either f is identically zero or, for any point $x \in M$, there is a local complex chart (U, z) centered at x (i.e. $z(x) = 0$), such that $f(z) = (z\bar{z})^p f_1(z)$, for some $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and positive smooth function $f_1 : U \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. If $p > 0$, we say that x is a zero of order p ; if $p < 0$, we say that x is a pole of order $-p$. We denote $p = \text{order}_x(f)$.*

From the definition, it follows that the zeros and poles of a generalized absolute value type function f are isolated. Moreover, if M is compact, the set S of zeros and poles is finite; in this case, we set $\text{order}(f) = \sum_{x \in S} \text{order}_x(f)$.

The following lemmas extend [9, Lemma 4.1], [16, Lemma 2.3] and [14, Lemma 2.8] to generalized absolute value type functions. We will omit the proofs, since these are straightforward adaptations of the ones on absolute value type functions.

Lemma 2.4. *Let f be a generalized absolute value type function on a compact Riemann surface M , equipped with a conformal Riemannian metric. Then*

$$\int_M \Delta_M \log f \, dA = -4\pi \text{order}(f).$$

Lemma 2.5. *Consider on S^2 a conformal Riemannian metric of constant curvature K . Let f be a generalized absolute value type function on S^2 . Assume that there exists a constant c such that $\frac{1}{4}\Delta_{S^2} \log f = c$, off the set of zeros and poles. Then the following holds: (1) the constant c is given by $c = -\frac{K}{4}\text{order}(f)$; (2) in terms of the canonical complex coordinate z on $\mathbb{C} = S^2 \setminus \{\infty\}$, we have*

$$f(z) = a \frac{|z - z_0|^{2p_0} \dots |z - z_m|^{2p_m}}{|z - w_0|^{2q_0} \dots |z - w_l|^{2q_l}} (1 + z\bar{z})^{-\text{order}(f)},$$

where: z_0, \dots, z_m are the zeros of f contained in \mathbb{C} , and p_0, \dots, p_m are their real orders; w_0, \dots, w_l are the poles of f contained in \mathbb{C} , and q_0, \dots, q_l are their real orders; a is a positive real constant.

3. PRIMITIVE IMMERSIONS INTO $F_{2,1,1}$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\psi_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G_2(\mathbb{C}^4)$ be a linearly full harmonic map of isotropy order $r \geq 2$, with first and second ∂' -Gauss bundles ψ_1 and ψ_2 , respectively. Assume that the primitive*

map $\Psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2) : S^2 \rightarrow F_{2,1,1}$ is an immersion. If there exists at least one $U(4)$ -invariant metric on $F_{2,1,1}$ with respect to which Ψ has constant curvature, then Ψ is unitarily congruent to one of the following curves:

- (1) $\Psi_1 = (V_0^3 \oplus V_1^3, V_2^3, V_3^3)$;
- (2) $\Psi_2 = (V_0^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}, V_1^2, V_2^2)$ (taking $\mathbb{C}^4 = \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{C}$);
- (3) $\Psi_2 = (V_0^3 \oplus V_3^3, V_1^3, V_2^3)$.

For a $U(4)$ -invariant metric on $F_{2,1,1}$ with parameters $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$, using the notations of (13), the metrics induced by Ψ_1 , Ψ_2 and Ψ_3 , respectively, have curvatures $K(\Psi_1) = \frac{4}{4\lambda_0+3\lambda_1}$, $K(\Psi_2) = \frac{2}{\lambda_0+\lambda_1}$, and $K(\Psi_3) = \frac{4}{3\lambda_0+4\lambda_1+3\lambda_2}$.

Proof. By dimension constraints, either $r = \infty$ or 2.

Suppose that ψ_0 is holomorphic, i.e., $r = \infty$. Since Ψ has constant curvature with respect to at least one $U(4)$ -invariant metric on $F_{2,1,1}$, then Ψ has constant curvature with respect to all $U(4)$ -invariant metrics on $F_{2,1,1}$ and each ψ_i is an immersion of constant curvature (see [17, Theorem 2.1]). The fundamental harmonic diagram for this case is the following:

$$\psi_0 \rightarrow \psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2 .$$

with $\text{rank } \psi_0 = 2$ and $\text{rank } \psi_1 = \text{rank } \psi_2 = 1$. For definitions and properties of harmonic diagrams, see [6, 18]. Set $f_0 = \underline{\ker} A'_{\psi_0}$ and $f_1 = f_0^\perp \cap \psi_0$. Then $f_0 = \underline{\ker} A'_{\psi_0}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of ψ_0 [6, Proposition 2.2]. Thus, the fundamental diagram admits the following refinement:

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{c} f_1 \rightarrow f_2 \rightarrow f_3 \\ \uparrow \\ f_0 \end{array} .$$

Here we are denoting $f_2 = \psi_1$ and $f_3 = \psi_2$. In this diagram, the horizontal arrows are nonzero, otherwise ψ_0 would not be linearly full. Now, if the vertical arrow is nonzero, then $f_0 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$ is a linearly full holomorphic map. Since $f_2 = \psi_1$ has constant curvature, then, by [3, Theorem 5.4], f_0 is unitarily congruent to the Veronese map V_0^3 , and, consequently, Ψ is unitarily congruent to $\Psi_1 = (V_0^3 \oplus V_1^3, V_2^3, V_3^3)$. Since, by Theorem 2.1, $\gamma_1^3 = \frac{4}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}$ and $\gamma_2^3 = \frac{3}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}$, the metric induced on S^2 by Ψ_1 is $\frac{4\lambda_0+3\lambda_1}{(1+z\bar{z})^2} dzd\bar{z}$, and, in view of (14), the corresponding curvature is given by $K(\Psi_1) = \frac{4}{4\lambda_0+3\lambda_1}$.

Now, if the vertical arrow vanishes, then f_0 is a constant one-dimensional complex subspace, which we denote by \mathbb{C} , and the diagram (17) becomes

$$\begin{array}{c} f_1 \rightarrow f_2 \rightarrow f_3 \\ \mathbb{C} \end{array} .$$

In this case, f_1 is holomorphic. Again, since $f_2 = \psi_1$ has constant curvature, then, by [3, Theorem 5.4], f_1 is unitarily congruent to the Veronese map V_0^2 , and, consequently, Ψ is unitarily congruent to $\Psi_2 = (V_0^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}, V_1^2, V_2^2)$. Since $\gamma_0^2 = \gamma_1^2 = \frac{2}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}$, the induced metric is given by $\frac{2(\lambda_0+\lambda_1)}{(1+z\bar{z})^2} dzd\bar{z}$; and the corresponding curvature $K(\Psi_2) = \frac{2}{\lambda_0+\lambda_1}$.

Suppose now that ψ_0 has isotropy order $r = 2$. We have the fundamental harmonic diagram

$$(18) \quad \psi_0 \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \psi_1 \longrightarrow \psi_2$$

with $\text{rank } \psi_0 = 2$ and $\text{rank } \psi_1 = \text{rank } \psi_2 = 1$. Observe that here we cannot apply [17, Theorem 2.1] to conclude that each ψ_i has constant curvature, because ψ_0 is not holomorphic.

In (18), the backward arrow is nonzero, because ψ_0 has isotropy order $r = 2$. Set $f_0 = \ker A'_{\psi_0}$ and $f_1 = f_0^\perp \cap \psi_0$, so that $\psi_0 = f_0 \oplus f_1$. By [6, Proposition 2.2], $f_0 = \ker A'_{\psi_0}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of ψ_0 . Moreover, due to the topology of S^2 , we know that the cycle (18) is nilpotent [18], i.e., $c = A'_{\psi_2} \circ A'_{\psi_1} \circ A'_{\psi_0}$ is nilpotent. Hence, we have the following refinement of (18):

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} f_1 & \longrightarrow & f_2 & \longrightarrow & f_3 \\ & & \uparrow & & \searrow \\ & & f_0 & \longleftarrow & \end{array} .$$

By [6, Proposition 1.6]¹, the smooth map $f_1 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$ is harmonic. If all the arrows in this diagram are nonzero, then $f_1 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$ would be a harmonic map of finite isotropy order, which we know to be impossible due to the topology of S^2 (see [6, Proposition 1.8]). Hence, in (19), the vertical arrow from f_0 to f_1 must be zero, and we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_1 & \longrightarrow & f_2 & \longrightarrow & f_3 \\ & & & & \searrow \\ & & f_0 & \longleftarrow & \end{array} .$$

In view of (13), the metric induced on S^2 by $\Psi = (f_0 \oplus f_1, f_2, f_3)$ from the invariant metric on $F_{2,1,1}$ with parameters $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ is equal to the metric induced on S^2 by $\tilde{\Psi} = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_0)$ from the metric on $F_{1,1,1,1}$ with parameters $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$, regardless of the value of $\lambda_3 > 0$. Hence $\tilde{\Psi}$ is an immersion of constant curvature. By [17, Theorem 2.1], we conclude that $f_2 = \psi_1 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$ has constant curvature, then, by [3, Theorem 5.4], f_1 is unitarily equivalent to the Veronese map V_0^3 , hence f_0 is unitarily congruent to V_3^3 , and the result follows. \square

Remark 3.2. A careful inspection of the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that any other primitive immersion $\Psi : S^2 \rightarrow F_{2,1,1}$ of constant curvature with respect to at least one $U(4)$ -invariant

¹The correction of [6, Proposition 1.6] can be consulted in <https://people.bath.ac.uk/feb/papers/bw-corr/paper.pdf>

metric on $F_{2,1,1}$ can be obtained from Veronese primitive immersions by operating with homogeneous projections, adding constants, adding primitive maps, and shifts. For example, in diagram (19), the case where the arrows $f_0 \rightarrow f_1$ and $f_2 \rightarrow f_3$ vanish leads to the primitive immersion into $F_{2,1,1}$ of constant curvature given by $\Psi_1 \oplus \Psi_2$, where $\Psi_1 = (V_0^1, V_1^1, 0)$ and $\Psi_2 = \tau^2 \Psi_1 = (V_1^1, 0, V_0^1)$.

Remark 3.3. More generally, suppose that $\Psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}) : S^2 \rightarrow F_{2,1,\dots,1}$ is a primitive immersion of constant curvature with respect to at least one $U(n)$ -invariant metric on $F_{2,1,\dots,1}$, where $\psi_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G_2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a linearly full harmonic map of isotropy order $r \geq n-1$, and each ψ_j is the j -th ∂' -Gauss bundle of ψ_0 . By straightforward extension of the previous proof, Ψ is unitarily congruent to one of the following curves:

- (1) $\Psi_1 = (V_0^{n-1} \oplus V_1^{n-1}, V_2^{n-1}, \dots, V_{n-1}^{n-1})$;
- (2) $\Psi_2 = (V_0^{n-2} \oplus \mathbb{C}, V_1^{n-2}, \dots, V_{n-2}^{n-2})$;
- (3) $\Psi_3 = (V_0^{n-1} \oplus V_{n-1}^{n-1}, V_2^{n-1}, \dots, V_{n-2}^{n-1})$.

In the following remarks we compare our result with [16].

Remark 3.4. In [16, Theorem A], the authors provided a complete classification of holomorphic immersions of constant curvature K from S^2 into $G_2(\mathbb{C}^4)$. Any such immersion $\psi_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G_2(\mathbb{C}^4)$ is unitarily congruent to one of the following minimal immersions (locally spanned by f_0 and g_0 , in terms of the canonical complex coordinate z on $\mathbb{C} = S^2 \setminus \{0\}$):

(1) $f_0 = (1, 0, z, 0)$ and $g_0 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, with $K = 4$. This holomorphic immersion is not linearly full.

(2) $f_0 = (1, 0, z^2 \cos 2t, \sqrt{2}z \sin t)$ and $g_0 = (0, 1, \sqrt{2}z \cos t, 0)$ for some $t \in [0, \pi)$, with $K = 2$. Clearly, ψ_0 is not linearly full if $t = 0$, so we take $t \in (0, \pi)$. A straightforward computation shows that $\|f_0 \wedge g_0 \wedge \partial_z f_0 \wedge \partial_z g_0\|^2 = 2 \sin^2 t \cos^2 t$. Hence the first ∂' -Gauss bundle ψ_1 of ψ_0 has rank 1 if $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and it has rank 2 otherwise. For $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have $f_0 = V_0^2$ and g_0 is constant, hence ψ_0 (with $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$) generates the primitive lift Ψ_2 of Theorem 3.1.

(3) $f_0 = (1, 0, \sqrt{3}z^2, 0)$ and $g_0 = (0, 1, \sqrt{8/3}z, \sqrt{1/3}z)$, with $K = \frac{4}{3}$. A straightforward computation shows that $\|f_0 \wedge g_0 \wedge \partial_z f_0 \wedge \partial_z g_0\|^2 = 4|z|^2$. Hence the first Gauss bundle of ψ has rank 2.

(4) $f_0 = (1, 0, 2z^3, \sqrt{3}z^2)$ and $g_0 = (0, 1, \sqrt{3}z^2, 2z)$, with $K = 1$. Consider the Plücker embedding $\iota : G_2(\mathbb{C}^4) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^5$. A straightforward computation shows that, up to unitary congruence, $\iota \circ \psi_0 = \iota \circ (V_0^3 \oplus V_1^3)$. Hence ψ_0 generates the primitive immersion Ψ_1 in Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.5. In [16, Theorem B], the authors provided a complete classification for constant curved minimal immersions $\psi_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G_2(\mathbb{C}^4)$ which are neither holomorphic nor antiholomorphic. Any such immersion is unitarily congruent to one of the following minimal immersions (locally spanned by f_0 and g_0 , in terms of the canonical complex coordinate z on $\mathbb{C} = S^2 \setminus \{0\}$):

(1) $f_0(z) = (1, z, 0, 0)$ and $g_0(z) = (0, 0, 1, \bar{z})$, with $K = 2$. This minimal immersion has second ∂' -Gauss bundle $\psi_2 = \{0\}$.

(2) $f_0(z) = (1, \sqrt{2}z, z^2, 0)$ and $g_0(z) = (\bar{z}^2, -\sqrt{2}\bar{z}, 1, 0)$, with $K = 1$. This minimal immersion is not full.

(3) $f_0(z) = (1, \sqrt{3}z, \sqrt{3}z^2, z^3)$ and $g_0(z) = (\bar{z}^3, -\sqrt{3}\bar{z}^2, \sqrt{3}\bar{z}, -1)$, with $K = \frac{2}{3}$. This is precisely $\psi_0 = V_0^3 \oplus V_3^3$, and its harmonic sequence yields Ψ_3 in Theorem 3.1.

(4) $f_0(z) = (1, \sqrt{3}z, \sqrt{3}z^2, z^3)$ and $g_0(z) = (\sqrt{3}\bar{z}^2, \bar{z}(z\bar{z} - 2), 1 - 2z\bar{z}, \sqrt{3}z)$, with $K = \frac{2}{5}$. We have $\|f_0 \wedge g_0 \wedge \partial_z f_0 \wedge \partial_z g_0\|^2 = 9(1 + z\bar{z})^8$. Hence the first ∂' -Gauss bundle has rank 2.

From Remark 3.4 and Remark 3.5 we conclude that our results are consistent with the classification results in [16].

4. PRIMITIVE IMMERSIONS INTO $F_{2,2,1}$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2) : S^2 \rightarrow F_{2,2,1}$ be a primitive immersion of constant curvature with respect to at least one $U(5)$ -invariant metric on $F_{2,2,1}$. Here $\psi_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G_2(\mathbb{C}^5)$ is a linearly full holomorphic map with first and second ∂' -Gauss bundles ψ_1 and ψ_2 . Then, Ψ is unitarily congruent to the curve*

$$(20) \quad \Psi = (V_0^2 \oplus V_0^1, V_1^2 \oplus V_1^1, V_2^2) \quad (\text{taking } \mathbb{C}^5 = \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2).$$

For a $U(5)$ -invariant metric on $F_{2,2,1}$ with parameters $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$, using the notations of (13), the metric induced by Ψ has curvature $K(\Psi) = \frac{4}{3\lambda_0 + 2\lambda_1}$.

Proof. Suppose that the immersion $\Psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2)$ has constant curvature with respect to at least one $U(5)$ -invariant metric on $F_{2,2,1}$. Since $\psi_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G_2(\mathbb{C}^5)$ is holomorphic, then by [17, Theorem 2.1], Ψ has constant curvature w.r.t all $U(5)$ -invariant metrics on $F_{2,2,1}$ and each ψ_i is an immersion of constant curvature. We have the following fundamental harmonic diagram

$$\psi_0 \rightarrow \psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2$$

with $\text{rank } \psi_0 = \text{rank } \psi_1 = 2$ and $\text{rank } \psi_2 = 1$. Now, define the line subbundles

$$f_2 = \underline{\ker} A'_{\psi_1}, \quad f_3 = f_2^\perp \cap \psi_1, \quad f_1 = \underline{\text{Im}} A''_{\psi_1}|_{f_3}, \quad f_0 = f_1^\perp \cap \psi_0, \quad f_4 = \underline{\text{Im}} A'_{\psi_1}.$$

Then we have the following refinement of the fundamental diagram

$$(21) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & f_1 & \rightarrow & f_3 & \rightarrow & f_4 \\ & & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & & \\ & & f_0 & \rightarrow & f_2 & & \end{array}$$

where $\psi_0 = f_0 \oplus f_1$, $\psi_1 = f_2 \oplus f_3$ and $\psi_2 = f_4$. Due to our assumptions on the harmonic sequence ψ_0, ψ_1, ψ_2 , all the horizontal arrows are nonzero. Observe that f_3 and f_4 are linearly full harmonic maps in $\mathbb{C}P^p$, for some $p \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. On the other hand, since $\psi_2 = f_4$ has

constant curvature, $f_3, f_4 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^p$ belong to a Veronese sequence: $f_3 = V_{p-1}^p$ and $f_4 = V_p^p$, up to unitary congruence. We analyze these three cases separately.

Case $p = 4$. We have

$$(22) \quad \begin{array}{c} f_1 \rightarrow V_3^4 \rightarrow V_4^4 \\ \uparrow \searrow \uparrow \\ f_0 \rightarrow f_2 \end{array} .$$

Now, take the composition of ψ_0 with the Plücker embedding $G_2(\mathbb{C}^5) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^9$ to obtain a holomorphic map $\sigma_0 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^9$. Since ψ_0 has constant curvature, then σ_0 also has constant curvature and it coincides, up to unitary congruence, with the Veronese map in $\mathbb{C}P^k$, for some $k \in \{1, \dots, 9\}$. Let $\hat{\sigma}_0 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{10}$ be a holomorphic local section of σ_0 . Without loss of generality, we assume that $\hat{\sigma}_0$ is nonvanishing and that its components are holomorphic polynomials. Then

$$(23) \quad \|\hat{\sigma}_0\|^2 = (1 + z\bar{z})^k \quad \text{for some } k \in \{1, \dots, 9\}.$$

Consider the local section $v_0 = (1, 2z, \sqrt{6}z^2, 2z^3, z^4)$ of V_0^4 . In view of (22), we have

$$f_0 \oplus f_1 \oplus f_2 = V_0^4 \oplus V_1^4 \oplus V_2^4 = \underline{\text{span}}\{v_0, \partial_z v_0, \partial_z^2 v_0\};$$

hence

$$(24) \quad \hat{\sigma}_0 = P_0 (v_0 \wedge \partial_z v_0) + P_1 (v_0 \wedge \partial_z^2 v_0) + P_2 (\partial_z v_0 \wedge \partial_z^2 v_0)$$

for some polynomials P_0, P_1, P_2 in z . Consider the local sections v_1 and v_2 of V_1^4 and V_2^4 , respectively, defined as in (8). From (10), we have the following

$$(25) \quad \partial_z v_0 = v_1 + \frac{4\bar{z}}{1 + z\bar{z}} v_0, \quad \partial_z v_1 = v_2 + \frac{2\bar{z}}{1 + z\bar{z}} v_1.$$

By using (25), after straightforward computation we deduce that

$$\partial_z^2 v_0 = v_2 + \frac{6\bar{z}}{(1 + z\bar{z})} v_1 + \frac{12\bar{z}^2}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2} v_0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 \wedge \partial_z v_0 &= v_0 \wedge v_1, & v_0 \wedge \partial_z^2 v_0 &= \frac{6\bar{z}}{1 + z\bar{z}} v_0 \wedge v_1 + v_0 \wedge v_2, \\ \partial_z v_0 \wedge \partial_z^2 v_0 &= \frac{12\bar{z}^2}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2} v_0 \wedge v_1 + \frac{4\bar{z}}{(1 + z\bar{z})} v_0 \wedge v_2 + v_1 \wedge v_2. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing these formulas in (24), we obtain

$$\hat{\sigma}_0 = \left(P_0 + \frac{6\bar{z}P_1}{1 + z\bar{z}} + \frac{12\bar{z}^2 P_2}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2} \right) v_0 \wedge v_1 + P_2 (v_1 \wedge v_2) + \left(P_1 + \frac{4\bar{z}P_2}{1 + z\bar{z}} \right) v_0 \wedge v_2.$$

Taking the norm square (recall that v_0, v_1, v_2 are mutually orthogonal)

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\hat{\sigma}_0\|^2 = & \left| P_0 + \frac{6\bar{z}P_1}{1+z\bar{z}} + \frac{12\bar{z}^2P_2}{(1+z\bar{z})^2} \right|^2 \|v_0\|^2 \|v_1\|^2 + \|P_2\|^2 \|v_1\|^2 \|v_2\|^2 \\ & + \left| P_1 + \frac{4\bar{z}P_2}{1+z\bar{z}} \right|^2 \|v_2\|^2 \|v_0\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2.1 we know that

$$\frac{\|v_1\|^2}{\|v_0\|^2} = \gamma_0^4 = \frac{4}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}, \quad \frac{\|v_2\|^2}{\|v_1\|^2} = \gamma_1^4 = \frac{6}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}.$$

Together with (26), and since $\|v_0\|^2 = (1+z\bar{z})^4$, this gives

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\hat{\sigma}_0\|^2 = & 4 \left| P_0 (1+z\bar{z})^3 + 6\bar{z}(1+z\bar{z})^2 P_1 + 12\bar{z}^2(1+z\bar{z}) P_2 \right|^2 \\ & + 96 |(1+z\bar{z}) P_2|^2 + 24 |(1+z\bar{z})^2 P_1 + 4\bar{z}(1+z\bar{z}) P_2|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (23) and (27),

$$(28) \quad (1+z\bar{z})^{k-2} = 4 \left| P_0 (1+z\bar{z})^2 + 6\bar{z}(1+z\bar{z}) P_1 + 12\bar{z}^2 P_2 \right|^2 + 96 |P_2|^2 + 24 |(1+z\bar{z}) P_1 + 4\bar{z} P_2|^2.$$

The case $k = 1$ is impossible, because the right-hand side of (28) is polynomial in z, \bar{z} . For $k = 2$, equation (28) yields

$$1 = 4 \left| P_0 (1+z\bar{z})^2 + 6\bar{z}(1+z\bar{z}) P_1 + 12\bar{z}^2 P_2 \right|^2 + 96 |P_2|^2 + 24 |(1+z\bar{z}) P_1 + 4\bar{z} P_2|^2.$$

From this we see that the three adding terms on the right-hand side must be bounded. But this occurs if, and only if, all the polynomials $P_0(z)$, $P_1(z)$ and $P_2(z)$ are zero, giving a contradiction.

Suppose that $k > 2$. Recall that $\mathbb{C}[z, \bar{z}]$ is a unique factorization domain and $(1+z\bar{z})$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}[z, \bar{z}]$. Observe that if we expand the right-hand side of (28), all the terms except $|P_2|^2 (96 + 384z\bar{z} + 576z^2\bar{z}^2)$ are divisible by $(1+z\bar{z})$. Since, for $k > 2$, the factor $(1+z\bar{z})$ divides the left-hand side of (28), it must divide $|P_2|^2 (96 + 384z\bar{z} + 576z^2\bar{z}^2)$ as well. But P_2 is a holomorphic polynomial, hence, if $P_2 \neq 0$, $|P_2|^2$ is not divisible by $(1+z\bar{z})$; moreover, the polynomial $96 + 384z\bar{z} + 576z^2\bar{z}^2$ is not divisible by $(1+z\bar{z})$. Hence, we must have $P_2 = 0$. In this case, equation (28) simplifies to

$$(29) \quad (1+z\bar{z})^{k-4} = 4 |P_0 (1+z\bar{z}) + 6\bar{z} P_1|^2 + 24 |P_1|^2.$$

From the equation (29), observe that for $k = 3$ the left-hand side is no longer polynomial, whereas the right-hand is polynomial. Therefore, $k = 3$ is not possible.

For $k = 4$, equation (29) yields

$$1 = 4 |P_0 (1+z\bar{z}) + 6\bar{z} P_1|^2 + 24 |P_1|^2.$$

From this we see that both terms $|P_1|^2$ and $|P_0(1+z\bar{z})+6\bar{z}P_1|^2$ on the right-hand side must be bounded. But this occurs if, and only if, the polynomials $P_0(z)$ and $P_1(z)$ are both zero, giving a contradiction.

For $k > 4$ we must have $P_1 = 0$ by applying the similar arguments as those used to show that $P_2 = 0$. Consequently, $\hat{\sigma}_0 = P_0(v_0 \wedge v_1)$. This implies that

$$\psi_0 = f_0 \oplus f_1 = V_0^4 \oplus V_1^4,$$

which leads to the following refinement of the diagram (22)

$$(30) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_1^4 & V_3^4 & \rightarrow V_4^4 \\ \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow \\ V_0^4 & V_2^4 & \end{array} .$$

Here the first \mathcal{D}' -Gauss bundle of ψ_0 is V_2^4 , leading to a contradiction, since we are assuming that the first \mathcal{D}' -Gauss bundle of ψ_0 has rank 2.

Case $p = 3$. Let us consider the case $f_3 = V_2^3$. The diagram (21) will then take the following form

$$(31) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f_1 & \rightarrow V_2^3 & \rightarrow V_3^3 \\ \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow \\ f_0 & \rightarrow f_2 & \end{array} .$$

Again, by taking the composition of $\psi_0 = f_0 \oplus f_1$ with the Plücker embedding of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^5) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^9$ we obtain a holomorphic map $\sigma_0 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^9$. Since ψ_0 has constant curvature, then σ_0 also has constant curvature and it coincides with the Veronese map into $\mathbb{C}P^k$, for some $k \in \{1, \dots, 9\}$. Let $\hat{\sigma}_0 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{10}$ be a holomorphic local section of σ_0 , which we assume to be polynomial and nonvanishing. Then (23) holds, that is, $\|\hat{\sigma}_0\|^2 = (1+z\bar{z})^k$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, 9\}$.

Consider the orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{C}^5 = V_0^3 \oplus V_1^3 \oplus V_2^3 \oplus V_3^3 \oplus \text{span}\{e\}$, where $e = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. Consider the section $v_0 = (1, \sqrt{3z}, \sqrt{3z^2}, z^3, 0)$ of V_0^3 , and let v_1, v_2 and v_3 be local sections of V_1^3, V_2^3 , and V_3^3 , respectively, defined by (8).

Observe that $\hat{\sigma}_0$ is a section of $\text{span}\{v_0 \wedge e, v_0 \wedge \partial_z v_0, \partial_z v_0 \wedge e\}$. Hence,

$$(32) \quad \hat{\sigma}_0 = P_0(v_0 \wedge \partial_z v_0) + P_1(v_0 \wedge e) + P_2(\partial_z v_0 \wedge e)$$

where P_0, P_1 and P_2 are holomorphic polynomials. Since, in view of (10), $\partial_z v_0 = v_1 + \frac{3\bar{z}}{1+z\bar{z}}v_0$, from (32) we get

$$(33) \quad \hat{\sigma}_0 = P_0(v_0 \wedge v_1) + \left(P_1 + \frac{3\bar{z}}{1+z\bar{z}}P_2 \right) v_0 \wedge e + P_2(v_1 \wedge e).$$

Taking the norm square,

$$(34) \quad \|\hat{\sigma}_0\|^2 = |P_0|^2 \|v_0\|^2 \|v_1\|^2 + \left| P_1 + \frac{3\bar{z}}{1+z\bar{z}}P_2 \right|^2 \|v_0\|^2 + |P_2|^2 \|v_1\|^2.$$

For $v_0 = (1, \sqrt{3}z, \sqrt{3}z^2, z^3, 0)$, we have $\|v_0\|^2 = (1 + z\bar{z})^3$; and Theorem 2.1 gives

$$\frac{\|v_1\|^2}{\|v_0\|^2} = \gamma_0^3 = \frac{3}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2}.$$

Hence, from (34) we deduce that

$$(1 + z\bar{z})^k = 3|P_0|^2(1 + z\bar{z})^4 + |P_1(1 + z\bar{z}) + 3\bar{z}P_2|^2(1 + z\bar{z}) + 3|P_2|^2(1 + z\bar{z})$$

for some $k \in \{1, \dots, 9\}$, hence

$$(35) \quad (1 + z\bar{z})^{k-1} = 3|P_0|^2(1 + z\bar{z})^3 + |P_1(1 + z\bar{z}) + 3\bar{z}P_2|^2 + 3|P_2|^2.$$

For $k = 1$, this equation simplifies to

$$1 = 3|P_0|^2(1 + z\bar{z})^3 + |P_1(1 + z\bar{z}) + 3\bar{z}P_2|^2 + 3|P_2|^2.$$

Again, the three terms on the right-hand side must be bounded, and this forces a contradiction. So we have $k \geq 2$.

For $k \geq 2$, we see from (35) that $(1 + z\bar{z})$ must divide $|P_2|^2$. But P_2 is a polynomial in z , hence $|P_2|^2$ is not divisible by $(1 + z\bar{z})$, unless $P_2 = 0$. Substituting this in (35), we obtain

$$(36) \quad (1 + z\bar{z})^{k-3} = 3|P_0|^2(1 + z\bar{z}) + |P_1|^2$$

From (36), we see that $k \geq 3$, because the right-hand side is polynomial. If $k > 3$, then $P_1 = 0$, with the same argument as above. Thus, $\hat{\sigma}_0 = P_0(v_0 \wedge v_1)$, hence $\psi_0 = f_0 \oplus f_1 = V_0^3 \oplus V_1^3$. This implies that the first ∂' -Gauss bundle of ψ_0 has rank 1, which is a contradiction with the hypothesis of our theorem. For $k = 3$, equation (36) yields

$$1 = 3|P_0|^2(1 + z\bar{z}) + |P_1|^2.$$

Since both adding terms on the right-hand side must be bounded, we see that $P_0 = 0$ and P_1 is a constant polynomial. Replacing $P_0 = P_2 = 0$ in (32), we get $\hat{\sigma}_0 = P_1(v_0 \wedge e)$, hence $\psi_0 = V_0^3 \oplus \text{span}\{e\}$. In this case, we would have $\psi_1 = V_1^3$, which has rank 1, leading to a contradiction with our assumptions.

Case $p = 2$. Let us consider the case $f_3 = V_1^2$. The diagram (21) will then take the following form

$$(37) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} f_1 & \rightarrow & V_1^2 & \rightarrow & V_2^2 \\ & & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & f_0 & \rightarrow & f_2 \end{array} .$$

We take the orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{C}^5 = \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$, with $\mathbb{C}^3 = V_0^2 \oplus V_1^2 \oplus V_2^2$. Since there is no arrow from f_0 to V_1^2 , we see that f_0 has zero component along V_0^2 , hence f_0 is a holomorphic line bundle in \mathbb{C}^2 . Let $g_0 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a local holomorphic section of f_0 , and consider the section $v_0 = (1, \sqrt{2}z, z^2, 0, 0)$ of V_0^2 . Observe that g_0 is not constant, otherwise the first ∂' -Gauss bundle of ψ_0 would have rank 1; then $\mathbb{C}^2 = \underline{\text{span}}\{g_0, \partial_z g_0\}$.

Use the Plücker embedding $G_2(\mathbb{C}^5) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^9$ to obtain a holomorphic map $\sigma_0 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^9$. Let $\hat{\sigma}_0 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{10}$ be a polynomial nonvanishing local section of σ_0 . Denote by e a constant unit vector spanning the image of \mathbb{C}^2 under the Plücker embedding. We have

$$(38) \quad \hat{\sigma}_0 = P_0(v_0 \wedge g_0) + P_1 e$$

for some polynomials P_0 and P_1 . Since $v_0 = (1, \sqrt{2}z, z^2, 0, 0)$, then $\|v_0\|^2 = (1 + z\bar{z})^2$. Taking the norm square in the above equation, we obtain

$$(39) \quad \|\hat{\sigma}_0\|^2 = |P_0|^2 \|v_0\|^2 \|g_0\|^2 + |P_1|^2 = (1 + z\bar{z})^2 |P_0|^2 \|g_0\|^2 + |P_1|^2.$$

On the other hand, since ψ_0 has constant curvature, then $\|\hat{\sigma}_0\|^2 = (1 + z\bar{z})^k$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, 9\}$, hence

$$(40) \quad (1 + z\bar{z})^k = (1 + z\bar{z})^2 |P_0|^2 \|g_0\|^2 + |P_1|^2.$$

Hence $|P_1|^2$ must be divisible by $(1 + z\bar{z})$, which implies $P_1 = 0$. Replacing this in (38) gives $\hat{\sigma}_0 = P_0(v_0 \wedge g_0)$, therefore $\psi_0 = f_0 \oplus f_1 = f_0 \oplus V_0^2$, implying $f_1 = V_0^2$, and this gives the following refinement of the diagram (37)

$$(41) \quad \begin{array}{c} V_0^2 \rightarrow V_1^2 \rightarrow V_2^2 \\ f_0 \rightarrow f_2 \end{array}.$$

Replacing $P_1 = 0$ in (40) we see that $\|g_0\|^2 = \frac{(1+z\bar{z})^{k-2}}{|P_0|^2}$, which implies, in view of (7), that f_0 has constant curvature, hence $f_0 = V_0^1$, up to unitary congruence. We conclude that $\Psi = (V_0^2 \oplus V_0^1, V_1^2 \oplus V_1^1, V_2^2)$. The induced metric from the flag $F_{2,2,1}$ and the corresponding curvature are then given as follows $\frac{3\lambda_0+2\lambda_1}{(1+z\bar{z})^2} dzd\bar{z}$, and $K(\Psi) = \frac{4}{3\lambda_0+2\lambda_1}$. \square

Remark 4.2. Let $\psi_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G_2(\mathbb{C}^6)$ be a linearly full holomorphic map whose harmonic sequence $\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3$ gives rise to a primitive immersion $\Psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$ into $F_{2,2,1,1}$ of constant curvature with respect to at least one $U(6)$ -invariant metric on $F_{2,2,1,1}$. The proof of Theorem 4.1 can be straightforwardly adapted to conclude that $\Psi = (V_0^3 \oplus V_0^1, V_1^3 \oplus V_1^1, V_2^3, V_3^3)$, up to unitary congruence. However an open question remains corresponding the flag $F_{2,2,2}$.

Remark 4.3. In [12, Theorem 4.2] and [13], the authors classified all nonsingular holomorphic curves from S^2 into $G_2(\mathbb{C}^5)$ with constant curvature. They showed that the possible values for the constant curvature are $4, 2, \frac{4}{3}, 1, \frac{4}{5}$. Next we compare their results with our Theorem 4.1. It is easy to check that the curves in [12, Theorem 4.2] with constant curvature $K = 4$ and $K = 2$ are not linearly full. Moreover, the curves with constant curvature $K = \frac{4}{5}$ and $K = 1$ have the first ∂' -Gauss bundle with nonconstant curvature; consequently, by [17, Theorem 2.1], their primitive lifts are not of constant curvature. We study with more detail the remaining case. According to [12, Theorem 4.2], any nonsingular holomorphic immersion $\psi_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G_2(\mathbb{C}^5)$ of

constant curvature $K = \frac{4}{3}$ is unitarily congruent to one of the following minimal immersions (locally spanned by f_0 and g_0 , in terms of the canonical complex coordinate z on $\mathbb{C} = S^2 \setminus \{0\}$):

(1) $f_0(z) = \left(1, 0, a\sqrt{3-a^2}z, a^{-1}(a^2-1)^{3/2}z, az^2\right)$ and $g_0(z) = (0, 1, 0, a^{-1}z, 0)$, where $1 \leq a \leq \sqrt{3}$. Straightforward computations show the following: $\|g_0 \wedge f_0\|^2 = (1+z\bar{z})^3$, which confirms that ψ_0 has constant curvature $K = \frac{4}{3}$; moreover

$$\|\partial_z g_0 \wedge \partial_z f_0 \wedge g_0 \wedge f_0\|^2 = 3 + 4z\bar{z} - a^2(1 + (-3 + a^2)(z\bar{z})^2),$$

which shows that the first ∂' -Gauss bundle ψ_1 has rank 2, and

$$\gamma_1 = \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log \|\partial_z g_0 \wedge \partial_z f_0 \wedge g_0 \wedge f_0\|^2 = \frac{4(-3+a^2)(-1+a^2z\bar{z}(-3+a^2-z\bar{z}))}{(-3+a^2-4z\bar{z}+a^2(-3+a^2)(z\bar{z})^2)^2}.$$

Hence $\psi_1 : S^2 \rightarrow G_2(\mathbb{C}^5)$ is not of constant curvature, but for $a = 1$. In this case, $f_0(z) = (1, 0, \sqrt{2}z, 0, z^2)$ and $g_0(z) = (0, 1, 0, z, 0)$, hence $\psi_0 = V_0^2 \oplus V_0^1$, which agrees with (20).

(2) $f_0(z) = \left(1, 0, az, 0, \sqrt{a^4-3a^2+3}z^2\right)$, and $g_0(z) = \left(0, 1, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^4-3a^2+3}}z, \sqrt{\frac{(2-a^2)^3}{a^4-3a^2+3}}z\right)$, where $0 \leq a \leq \sqrt{2}$. Similarly to the previous case, the ∂' -Gauss bundle $\psi_1 : S^2 \rightarrow G_2(\mathbb{C}^5)$ has constant curvature if and only if $a = \sqrt{2}$. This case corresponds again to $\psi_0 = V_0^2 \oplus V_0^1$.

Next we consider the finite isotropy order case. The technique used in [14, 16] will play an important role in this proof.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\Psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2) : S^2 \rightarrow F_{2,2,1}$ be a primitive immersion, where $\psi_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G_2(\mathbb{C}^5)$ is a linearly full harmonic map of isotropy order 2; ψ_1 and ψ_2 are the first and second ∂' -Gauss bundles of ψ_0 . If there exists at least one $U(5)$ -invariant metric on $F_{2,2,1}$ corresponding to which Ψ has constant curvature, then Ψ is unitarily congruent to the curve*

$$(42) \quad \Psi = (V_0^4 \oplus V_3^4, V_1^4 \oplus V_4^4, V_2^4);$$

consequently, Ψ has constant curvature with respect to all $U(5)$ -invariant metrics on $F_{2,2,1}$. For a $U(5)$ -invariant metric on $F_{2,2,1}$ with parameters $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$, using the notations of (13), the metric induced by Ψ has curvature $K(\Psi) = \frac{2}{2\lambda_0+3\lambda_1+3\lambda_2}$.

Proof. We have the following fundamental harmonic diagram

$$(43) \quad \psi_0 \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \psi_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \psi_2.$$

Both ψ_0 and ψ_1 have rank 2, while ψ_2 has rank 1. The induced metric is given by

$$(44) \quad \Psi^* ds_{F_{2,2,1}}^2 = \eta^2 dz d\bar{z}, \quad \text{where } \eta^2 = (\lambda_0 \gamma_0 + \lambda_1 \gamma_1 + \lambda_2 \gamma_2).$$

Define $\phi = \eta dz$, so that $\Psi^* ds_{F_{2,2,1}}^2 = \phi \bar{\phi}$.

Set $\alpha_1 = \underline{\ker} A'_{\psi_1}$, and $\beta_1 = \alpha_1^\perp \cap \psi_1$. Note that α_1 is a holomorphic vector subbundle of ψ_1 and β_1 is an antiholomorphic vector subbundle of ψ_1 . Set $\beta_2 = \psi_2$. Since A''_{ψ_1} is an antiholomorphic isomorphism between ψ_1 and ψ_0 , we can define $\beta_0 = \underline{\text{Im}} A''_{\psi_1}|_{\beta_1}$, and $\alpha_0 = \beta_0^\perp \cap \psi_0$. Again, α_0 is a holomorphic vector subbundle of ψ_0 and β_0 is an antiholomorphic

vector subbundle of ψ_0 . Moreover, due to the topology of S^2 , we know that the cycle (43) is nilpotent [18], i.e., $c = A'_{\psi_0} \circ A'_{\psi_2} \circ A'_{\psi_1}$ is nilpotent. Hence, we have the following refinement of the diagram (43):

$$(45) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \beta_0 & \longrightarrow & \beta_1 & \longrightarrow & \beta_2 & & \\ & & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & \alpha_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \alpha_1 & & & & \end{array} .$$

We choose a unitary frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$, where e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 are local sections of $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_0, \beta_0$, respectively. In view of (45), we deduce that the Maurer-Cartan $\mathfrak{u}(5)$ -valued one-form $W = (\omega_i^j)$ corresponding to the above unitary frame is given as follows

$$(46) \quad \begin{bmatrix} de_1 \\ de_2 \\ de_3 \\ de_4 \\ de_5 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{-1}\nu_1 & a_1^2\phi & 0 & b_1^4\bar{\phi} & b_1^5\bar{\phi} \\ -a_1^2\bar{\phi} & \sqrt{-1}\nu_2 & a_2^3\phi & 0 & b_2^5\bar{\phi} \\ 0 & -a_2^3\bar{\phi} & \sqrt{-1}\nu_3 & a_3^4\phi & 0 \\ -b_1^4\phi & 0 & -a_3^4\bar{\phi} & \sqrt{-1}\nu_4 & a_4^5\phi \\ -b_1^5\phi & -b_2^5\phi & 0 & -a_4^5\bar{\phi} & \sqrt{-1}\nu_5 \end{bmatrix}}_W \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \\ e_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here ν_i are real valued 1-forms; a_i^j and b_i^j are complex valued functions. Under our assumptions (ψ_1 and ψ_2 are the first and second ∂' -Gauss bundles of ψ_0 , with ranks 2 and 1, respectively), all horizontal arrows in the diagram (45) are nonzero. The backward arrow from β_2 to α_0 is also nonzero, because ψ_0 has finite isotropy order. Hence the complex functions b_1^4, a_2^3, a_3^4 and b_2^5 are not zero except at isolated points. Since $\Psi^* ds_{F_{2,2,1}}^2 = \phi\bar{\phi}$, we have the following normalization

$$(47) \quad \lambda_1 |a_2^3|^2 + \lambda_0 |b_1^4|^2 + \lambda_0 |b_1^5|^2 + \lambda_0 |b_2^5|^2 + \lambda_2 |a_3^4|^2 = 1.$$

By [6, Proposition 1.6], β_1 is harmonic. We claim that β_1 is a linearly full harmonic map in $\mathbb{C}P^4$. In fact, suppose that β_1 takes values in $\mathbb{C}P^3$. In this case, we have $\mathbb{C}^4 = \beta_1 \oplus \beta_2 \oplus \alpha_0 \oplus C$ for some line bundle C , hence one of the following harmonic diagrams hold:

$$0 \longrightarrow \beta_1 \longrightarrow \beta_2 \longrightarrow \alpha_0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0, \quad 0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow \beta_1 \longrightarrow \beta_2 \longrightarrow \alpha_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Due to dimension constraints, either β_1 is holomorphic or α_0 is antiholomorphic. Both of cases lead to a contradiction, because all the horizontal arrows in diagram (45) are nonzero, thus establishing our claim.

Now, since β_1 is a linearly full harmonic map in $\mathbb{C}P^4$, it belongs to the harmonic sequence g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 associated to some full holomorphic map $g_0 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^4$. Clearly, we must have $g_1 = \beta_1, g_2 = \beta_2$, and $g_3 = \alpha_0$. Choose a local unitary frame E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5 such

that E_j spans g_{j-1} , with $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, and

$$(48) \quad \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \\ e_5 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 & 0 & 0 & v \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\bar{v} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{u} \end{bmatrix}}_A \begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \\ E_4 \\ E_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

where u and v are complex functions satisfying $|u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1$.

We claim that $u = 0$ and will prove it later. Assume now $u = 0$. Then we have $e_1 = E_5$, $e_5 = E_1$, and $e_i = E_j$ for $i, j = 2, 3, 4$. Hence, diagram (45) simplifies to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} g_0 & \longrightarrow & g_1 & \longrightarrow & g_2 \\ & & & \searrow & \\ & & g_3 & \longleftarrow & g_4 \end{array}$$

with $\psi_0 = g_0 \oplus g_3$, $\psi_1 = g_1 \oplus g_4$, and $\psi_2 = g_2$. Consider the primitive map $\tilde{\Psi} = (g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4)$ into $F_{1,1,1,1,1}$. The metric $\Psi^* ds_{F_{2,2,1}}^2 = (\lambda_0 \gamma_0 + \lambda_1 \gamma_1 + \lambda_2 \gamma_2) dz d\bar{z}$, coincides with the metric on S^2 induced by $\tilde{\Psi}$ from the U(5)-invariant metric on $F_{1,1,1,1,1}$ with parameters $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_0, \lambda_3$, regardless the value of $\lambda_3 > 0$. Hence, $\tilde{\Psi}$ has constant curvature, which means, by [17, Corollary 2.2], that $\tilde{\Psi}$ is the 4-Veronese primitive map, up to holomorphic isometry, inducing the metric $\tilde{\Psi}^* ds_{F_{1,1,1,1,1}}^2 = (\lambda_0 \gamma_0^4 + \lambda_1 \gamma_1^4 + \lambda_2 \gamma_2^4 + \lambda_0 \gamma_3^4) dz d\bar{z}$, where the γ_j^n , with $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, are defined by (9), which implies that $K(\Psi) = K(\tilde{\Psi}) = \frac{2}{4\lambda_0 + 3\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2}$.

Now the proof of claim that $u = 0$. Since g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 is a harmonic sequence in $\mathbb{C}P^4$, the Maurer-Cartan $\mathfrak{u}(5)$ -valued one-form $\tilde{W} = (\tilde{\omega}_i^j)$ associated to the unitary moving frame E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5 assumes the standard form

$$(49) \quad \begin{bmatrix} dE_1 \\ dE_2 \\ dE_3 \\ dE_4 \\ dE_5 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{-1}\mu_1 & c_1^2\phi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\overline{c_1^2\phi} & \sqrt{-1}\mu_2 & c_2^3\phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\overline{c_2^3\phi} & \sqrt{-1}\mu_3 & c_3^4\phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\overline{c_3^4\phi} & \sqrt{-1}\mu_4 & c_4^5\phi \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\overline{c_4^5\phi} & \sqrt{-1}\mu_5 \end{bmatrix}}_{\tilde{W}} \begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \\ E_4 \\ E_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

where μ_i are real-valued 1 forms, and c_i^j are complex functions satisfying $c_i^j = -\overline{c_j^i}$.

Denote the column matrix $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$ by e and $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5\}$ by E . We have $e = AE$, where A is the U(5)-valued function defined by (48). Differentiate and apply the definitions of W and \tilde{W} to obtain

$$(50) \quad dA = WA - A\tilde{W}.$$

By comparing the first entry of the first row of equation (50), and taking conjugate, we have

$$d\bar{u} = \bar{u}\sqrt{-1}(\mu_1 - \nu_1) \pmod{dz}.$$

Similarly, by comparing the last entry of the first row, and taking the conjugate, we get

$$d\bar{v} = \bar{v}\sqrt{-1}(\mu_5 - \nu_1) \pmod{dz}.$$

Therefore, from [16, Lemma 2.1], $|u|$ and $|v|$ are absolute value type functions. Together with (46), (48) and (49), equation (50) also gives:

$$(51) \quad a_1^2 = uc_1^2, \quad b_1^4 = -vc_4^5, \quad a_2^3 = c_2^3, \quad b_2^5 = vc_1^2, \quad a_3^4 = c_3^4, \quad a_4^5 = uc_4^5.$$

Since $|u|$ and $|v|$ are absolute value type functions, then by [16, Lemma 2.1], together with the Maurer-Cartan structure equations for W and \tilde{W} ,

$$(52) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\Delta_{S^2} \log |u|^2 \phi \wedge \bar{\phi} &= \sqrt{-1}d(\mu_1 - \nu_1) = d\tilde{w}_1^1 - d\omega_1^1 \\ &= \tilde{w}_1^2 \wedge \tilde{w}_2^1 - (\omega_1^2 \wedge \omega_2^1 + \omega_1^4 \wedge \omega_4^1 + \omega_1^5 \wedge \omega_5^1) \\ &= -|c_1^2|^2 \phi \wedge \bar{\phi} + |a_1^2|^2 \phi \wedge \bar{\phi} + |b_1^4|^2 \bar{\phi} \wedge \phi + |b_1^5|^2 \bar{\phi} \wedge \phi \\ &= \left(-|c_1^2|^2 + |a_1^2|^2 - |b_1^4|^2 - |b_1^5|^2\right) \phi \wedge \bar{\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (47), we have

$$(53) \quad -|b_1^4|^2 - |b_1^5|^2 = -\frac{1}{\lambda_0} (1 - \lambda_1 |a_2^3|^2 - \lambda_0 |b_2^5|^2 - \lambda_2 |a_3^4|^2).$$

Plugging this into (52), using (51) and the normalization $|u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4}\Delta_{S^2} \log |u|^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \left(-1 + \lambda_1 |c_2^3|^2 + \lambda_2 |c_3^4|^2\right).$$

Similarly, we compute

$$(54) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\Delta_{S^2} \log |v|^2 \phi \wedge \bar{\phi} &= \sqrt{-1}d(\mu_5 - \nu_1) = d\tilde{w}_5^5 - d\omega_1^1 \\ &= \tilde{w}_5^4 \wedge \tilde{w}_4^5 - (\omega_1^2 \wedge \omega_2^1 + \omega_1^4 \wedge \omega_4^1 + \omega_1^5 \wedge \omega_5^1) \\ &= -|c_4^5|^2 \bar{\phi} \wedge \phi + |a_1^2|^2 \phi \wedge \bar{\phi} + |b_1^4|^2 \bar{\phi} \wedge \phi + |b_1^5|^2 \bar{\phi} \wedge \phi \\ &= \left(|c_4^5|^2 + |a_1^2|^2 - |b_1^4|^2 - |b_1^5|^2\right) \phi \wedge \bar{\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (53) into (54), using (51) and the normalization $|u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1$, we obtain

$$(55) \quad \frac{1}{4}\Delta_{S^2} \log |v|^2 = |c_1^2|^2 + |c_4^5|^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \left(-1 + \lambda_1 |c_2^3|^2 + \lambda_2 |c_3^4|^2\right).$$

Now, let $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ be a nonzero holomorphic local section of the i -th osculating curve of $g_0 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^4$, and set $\xi_i = \|\tilde{\sigma}_i\|^2$. In view of (7), we have, for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $|c_i^{i+1}|^2 \phi \bar{\phi} = \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log \xi_{i-1} dz d\bar{z}$. Since $\Delta_{S^2} = \frac{4}{\eta^2} \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}}$, where η^2 is the conformal factor defined by (44), we get

$$(56) \quad |c_i^{i+1}|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{S^2} \log \xi_{i-1}.$$

It follows from (52), (55) and (56) that

$$(57) \quad \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{S^2} \log \frac{|u|^2}{\xi_1^{\lambda_1/\lambda_0} \xi_2^{\lambda_2/\lambda_0}} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_0}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{S^2} \log \frac{|v|^2}{\xi_0 \xi_3 \xi_1^{\lambda_1/\lambda_0} \xi_2^{\lambda_2/\lambda_0}} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_0}.$$

As quotients of generalized absolute value type functions on S^2 , we know that

$$\frac{|u|^2}{\xi_1^{\lambda_1/\lambda_0} \xi_2^{\lambda_2/\lambda_0}}, \quad \frac{|v|^2}{\xi_0 \xi_3 \xi_1^{\lambda_1/\lambda_0} \xi_2^{\lambda_2/\lambda_0}}$$

are generalized absolute value type functions on S^2 . Then it follows from Lemma 2.5 together with (57) that

$$\text{order} \left(\frac{|u|^2}{\xi_1^{\lambda_1/\lambda_0} \xi_2^{\lambda_2/\lambda_0}} \right) = \frac{4}{K\lambda_0}, \quad \text{order} \left(\frac{|v|^2}{\xi_0 \xi_3 \xi_1^{\lambda_1/\lambda_0} \xi_2^{\lambda_2/\lambda_0}} \right) = \frac{4}{K\lambda_0},$$

where K is the constant curvature of Ψ ; moreover,

$$(58) \quad |u|^2 = \frac{|g(z)|^2}{(1+z\bar{z})^{\frac{4}{K\lambda_0}}} \xi_1^{\lambda_1/\lambda_0} \xi_2^{\lambda_2/\lambda_0}, \quad |v|^2 = \frac{|h(z)|^2}{(1+z\bar{z})^{\frac{4}{K\lambda_0}}} \xi_0 \xi_3 \xi_1^{\lambda_1/\lambda_0} \xi_2^{\lambda_2/\lambda_0}$$

where

$$|g(z)|^2 = c^2 \frac{|z - z_1|^{2p_1} \dots |z - z_m|^{2p_m}}{|z - z_{m+1}|^{2q_1} \dots |z - z_{m+k}|^{2q_k}}, \quad |h(z)|^2 = d^2 \frac{|z - w_1|^{2r_1} \dots |z - w_n|^{2r_n}}{|z - w_{n+1}|^{2s_1} \dots |z - w_{n+j}|^{2s_j}},$$

with $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since $|u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1$, equation (58) gives

$$(59) \quad (1+z\bar{z})^{\frac{4}{K\lambda_0}} = \xi_1^{\lambda_1/\lambda_0} \xi_2^{\lambda_2/\lambda_0} \left(|g(z)|^2 + |h(z)|^2 \xi_0 \xi_3 \right).$$

Since all (nonconstant) polynomials $\xi_j(z, \bar{z})$, with $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, and the factor $1+z\bar{z}$ are nonvanishing, the functions $z \mapsto \xi_j^\alpha(z, \bar{z})$ and $z \mapsto (1+z\bar{z})^\alpha$ are real analytic on \mathbb{C} , even when α is not an integer. The corresponding complexifications are given, respectively, by $(z, w) \mapsto \xi_j^\alpha(z, w)$ and $(z, w) \mapsto (1+zw)^\alpha$, considering the principal branch of each multivalued function $Z \mapsto Z^\alpha$. Now, take the complexifications of both sides of (59); by uniqueness of complexification, we have

$$(1+zw)^{\frac{4}{K\lambda_0}} = \xi_1^{\lambda_1/\lambda_0}(z, w) \xi_2^{\lambda_2/\lambda_0}(z, w) (g(z)\bar{g}(w) + h(z)\bar{h}(w)\xi_0(z, w)\xi_3(z, w)).$$

Hence, if not empty, the zero set of the polynomial $\xi_i(z, w)$, with $i \in \{1, 2\}$, coincides in \mathbb{C}^2 with the zero set of the irreducible polynomial $1+zw$. Thus, for some positive integers α_1, α_2 and positive real numbers c_1, c_2 , we have $\xi_1(z, \bar{z}) = c_1 (1+z\bar{z})^{\alpha_1}$, and $\xi_2(z, \bar{z}) = c_2 (1+z\bar{z})^{\alpha_2}$. In view of (5) and (6), this indicates that the harmonic map $g_2 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^4$ is an immersion of constant curvature, hence, by [3, Theorem 5.4], the harmonic sequence g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 is the 4-Veronese sequence, up to isometry. Consequently, all the $\xi_i(z, \bar{z})$, with $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, are of the form $c_i (1+z\bar{z})^{\alpha_i}$. Thus, equation (59) becomes

$$(60) \quad (1+z\bar{z})^\alpha = C_1 \left(|g(z)|^2 + C_2 |h(z)|^2 (1+z\bar{z})^{\alpha'} \right),$$

where $\alpha = \frac{4}{K\lambda_0} - \frac{\lambda_1\alpha_1 + \lambda_2\alpha_2}{\lambda_0}$, $\alpha' = \alpha_0 + \alpha_3$ and C_1, C_2 are positive real numbers.

Now, suppose that $\alpha > 0$. Complexifying both sides of (60), we obtain an equality between two analytic functions in the independent complex variables z, w . The left-hand side will vanish whenever $w = -\frac{1}{z}$; then, in view of the general form of $|g(z)|^2$, equality (60) can occur only if $g(z) = 0$. This implies that $u = 0$, and we are done.

Suppose that $\alpha \leq 0$. From (60) we obtain

$$1 = C_1 (|g(z)|^2 (1 + z\bar{z})^{-\alpha} + C_2 |h(z)|^2 (1 + z\bar{z})^{\alpha' - \alpha}).$$

This implies that $|h(z)|^2 (1 + z\bar{z})^{\alpha' - \alpha}$ is bounded, which occurs if, and only if, $h(z) = 0$ (hence $v = 0$), because $\alpha' - \alpha > 0$. Then diagram (45) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & g_4 & & g_1 & \longrightarrow & g_2 \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \nearrow \\ g_3 & & & g_0 & & \end{array} .$$

This leads to a contradiction with the assumption that ψ_0, ψ_1, ψ_2 is the harmonic sequence generated by ψ_0 ; in fact, if this last diagram was valid, then ∂' -Gauss bundle of $\psi_0 = g_3 \oplus g_4$ would be zero. □

Remark 4.5. A careful inspection of the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.4 shows that, besides (20) and (42), any other primitive immersion $\Psi : S^2 \rightarrow F_{2,2,1}$ of constant curvature with respect to at least one $U(5)$ -invariant metric on $F_{2,2,1}$ can be obtained by operating with homogeneous projections, adding constants, adding primitive maps, and shifts on primitive immersions of constant curvature into other flag manifolds ($F_{1,1} = \mathbb{C}P^1$, $F_{2,1}$, $F_{1,1,1}$, $F_{2,2} = G_2(\mathbb{C}^4)$, $F_{2,1,1}$, $F_{1,1,1,1}$, $F_{1,1,1,1,1}$) which are primitive lifts of linearly full harmonic maps. For example, (30) corresponds to the primitive immersion $\Psi_1 : S^2 \rightarrow F_{2,2,1}$ of constant curvature given by $\Psi_1 = (V_0^4 \oplus V_1^4, V_2^4 \oplus V_3^4, V_4^4)$. This is not a primitive lift of $\psi_0 = V_0^4 \oplus V_1^4$, in the sense that $V_2^4 \oplus V_3^4$ is not the first ∂' -Gauss bundle of ψ_0 . However, we have $\Psi_1 = \Pi \circ \tilde{\Psi}_1$ with $\tilde{\Psi}_1 : S^2 \rightarrow F_{1,1,1,1,1}$ given by $\tilde{\Psi}_1 = (V_0^4, V_1^4, V_2^4, V_3^4, V_4^4)$. For another example, consider f_0 constant in (41). This case corresponds to the primitive immersion $\Psi_2 : S^2 \rightarrow F_{2,2,1}$ of constant curvature given by $\Psi_2 = (V_0^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}, V_1^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}, V_2^2)$, which is obtained from $\tilde{\Psi}_2 : S^2 \rightarrow F_{1,1,1}$ given by $\tilde{\Psi}_2 = (V_0^2, V_1^2, V_2^2)$ by adding constants.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Arvanitoyeorgos. *An Introduction to Lie Groups and the Geometry of Homogeneous Spaces*, American Mathematical Society. Student Mathematical Library. Volume 22. 2003.
 [2] M. Black. *Harmonic maps into homogeneous spaces*, Pitman Res. Notes Math. 255, Longman, Harlow. 1991.
 [3] J. Bolton, G.R. Jensen, M. Rigoli and L.M. Woodward. *On Conformal Minimal Immersion of S^2 into $\mathbb{C}P^n$* , Math. Ann. 279 (1988), 599–620.
 [4] F. E. Burstall. *Harmonic tori in spheres and complex projective spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 469 (1995), 149–177.

- [5] F.E. Burstall and J.H. Rawnsley. *Twistor Theory for Riemannian Symmetric Spaces: With Applications to Harmonic Maps of Riemann Surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1424. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. 1990.
- [6] F. E. Burstall and J. C. Wood. *The construction of harmonic maps into complex Grassmannians*, J. Diff. Geom. **23** (1986), 255–298.
- [7] Q.-S. Chi, Z.-X. Xie and Y. Xu. *Fano 3-folds and classification of constantly curved holomorphic 2-spheres of degree 6 in the complex Grassmannian $G(2, 5)$* , arXiv:2208.08525v2 [math.DG].
- [8] J. Eells and J. H. Sampson, *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., **86**, no. 1 (1964), 109–160.
- [9] J.H. Eschenburg, I.V. Guadalupe and R. Tribuzy. *The fundamental equations of minimal surfaces in CP^2* , Math. Ann. 270 (1985). 571–598.
- [10] M. Guest. *Harmonic maps, Loop Groups, and Integrable Systems*, Lond. Math. Soc. Student Texts 38. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [11] L. He and X. Jiao. *Classification of conformal minimal immersions of constant curvature from S^2 to HP^2* , Math. Ann. **359**, no. 3-4 (2014). 663–694.
- [12] X. Jiao and J. Peng. *Classification of holomorphic spheres of constant curvature in complex Grassmann manifold $G_{2,5}$* , Differential Geometry and its Applications, New 1996, Vol.20, No.3 (2004). 267–277.
- [13] X. Jiao and J. Peng. *On Holomorphic Curves of Constant Curvature In the Complex Grassmann manifold $G_{2,5}$* , Acta Mathematica Scientia, 31B(1) (2011), 237–248.
- [14] X. Jiao and Y. Xu. *On non- \pm -holomorphic conformal minimal two-spheres in a complex Grassmannian $G(2, 5)$ with constant curvature*, Differential Geom. Appl. **59** (2018), 154–183.
- [15] B. Lawson. *Reflections on the early work of Eugenio Calabi*. In: Bourguignon, J.-P., Chen, X.-X., Donaldson, S. (eds.) Eugenio Calabi - Collected Works. <http://www.math.stonybrook.edu/~blaine/Calabi.pdf>
- [16] Z.-Q. Li and Z.-H. Yu. *Constant Curved minimal 2-spheres in $G(2, 4)$* , Manuscripta Math. 100, no.3, (1999). 305–316.
- [17] R. Pacheco and M. U. Rehman. *Primitive immersions of constant curvature of the Surfaces into flag manifolds*. arXiv:2502.15502 [math.DG].
- [18] R. Pacheco and J. C. Wood. *Diagrams and harmonic maps, revisited*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 202 (2023), no. 3, 1051–1085.
- [19] H. Urakawa, *Calculus of Variations and Harmonic Maps*, Translations of Math. Monographs **132**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1993.

CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES (CMA-UBI), UNIVERSIDADE DA BEIRA INTERIOR, 6201 – 001 COVILHÃ, PORTUGAL.

Email address: rpacheco@ubi.pt, mehmoood.ur.rehman@ubi.pt