

HIGHER RIEMANN-HILBERT CORRESPONDENCE FOR FOLIATIONS

QINGYUN ZENG

ABSTRACT. This paper explores foliated differential graded algebras (dga) and their role in extending fundamental theorems of differential geometry to foliations. We establish an A_∞ de Rham theorem for foliations, demonstrating that the classical quasi-isomorphism between singular cochains and de Rham forms lifts to an A_∞ quasi-isomorphism in the foliated setting. Furthermore, we investigate the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for foliations, building upon the established higher Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for manifolds. By constructing an integration functor, we prove a higher Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for foliations, revealing an equivalence between ∞ -representations of L_∞ -algebroids and ∞ -representations of Lie ∞ -groupoids within the context of foliations. This work generalizes the classical Riemann-Hilbert correspondence to foliations, providing a deeper understanding of the relationship between representations of Lie algebroids and Lie groupoids in this framework.

CONTENTS

1.	A_∞ de Rham theorem for foliations	2
1.1.	de Rham theorem for foliations	2
1.2.	Riemann-Hilbert correspondence	4
1.3.	Chen's iterated integral	5
1.4.	Cube's to simplices	7
1.5.	A_∞ de Rham theorem for foliation	10
2.	Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for ∞ -foliated local systems	12
2.1.	Iterated integrals on vector bundles	12
2.2.	∞ -holonomy of \mathbb{Z} -connection over \mathcal{F}	13
2.3.	Riemann-Hilbert correspondence	16
2.4.	Integrate ∞ -representations of L_∞ -algebroids	21
	References	22

In this paper, we study more in depth about the foliation dga (algebroid). Recall that, for a smooth manifold, we have the *de Rham theorem*: given a manifold M , the singular cohomology groups $H^\bullet(M, \mathbb{R})$ and the de Rham cohomology groupoids $H_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{R})$ are

Date: January 1, 2001 and, in revised form, June 22, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54C40, 14E20; Secondary 46E25, 20C20.

Key words and phrases. Differential geometry, algebraic geometry.

The first author was supported in part by NSF Grant #000000.

isomorphic, i.e.

$$H^\bullet(M, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{R})$$

In other words, the singular cochain dga $C^\bullet(M, \mathbb{R})$ and de Rham dga $\mathcal{A}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{R})$ are *quasi-isomorphic*.

However, this quasi-isomorphism is not an dga quasi-isomorphism, since the product structure is not preserved. However, Guggenheim [Gug77] proved that this quasi-isomorphism lifts to an A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism, where the product structure is preserved up to a higher homotopy coherence. We first study foliated dga's and prove an A_∞ de Rham theorem for foliations.

On the other hand, the similar method can be applied to modules over foliated dga's (algebroids). Recall that the classical *Riemann-Hilbert correspondence* (for manifolds) established the following equivalences:

- (1) Local systems over M .
- (2) Vector bundles with flat connections over M .
- (3) Representations of the fundamental group of M .

Following Chen's iterated integrals [Che77][Gug77] and Igusa's integration of superconnections [Igu09], Block-Smith [BS14] proves a higher Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for compact manifolds: the dg category of cohesive modules over the de Rham dga is A_∞ -quasi-equivalent to the dg-category of ∞ -local systems over M :

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{coh}} \simeq_{A_\infty} \text{Loc}_{\text{ch}_k}^{\text{dg}}(M)$$

where the left-hand side is equivalent to the dg category of ∞ -representations of the tangent Lie algebroid TM , and the right-hand side is equivalent to the dg category of the ∞ -representations of the fundamental ∞ -groupoid $\Pi^\infty(M)$. Notice that $\Pi^\infty(M)$ is equivalent to the integration of TM by the Lie integration functor we mentioned before. Thus, we have the following homotopy-commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_M & \xrightarrow{J} & \Pi^\infty(M) \\ \downarrow \text{Rep}^\infty & & \downarrow \text{Rep}^\infty \\ \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{coh}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Loc}_{\text{ch}_k}^{\text{dg}}(M) \end{array}$$

Hence we can really understand the Riemann-Hilbert Correspondence as an equivalence between ∞ -representations of L_∞ -algebroids and ∞ -representations of the integration of L_∞ -algebroids, i.e. Lie ∞ -groupoids. We apply this idea to the case of foliations and prove a higher Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for foliation, and construct the integration functor from the ∞ -representations of L_∞ -algebroids and ∞ -representations Lie ∞ -groupoids.

1. A_∞ DE RHAM THEOREM FOR FOLIATIONS

1.1. de Rham theorem for foliations. We denote the sheaf of (smooth) functions on M which are constant along leaves of \mathcal{F} by $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Regard $(M, \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}})$ as a ringed space, then the sheaf of C^∞ -functions C_M^∞ on M is a sheaf of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ -module.

Theorem 1.1 (de Rham theorem for foliations). *Given a foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) , there exists a isomorphism*

$$(1.1) \quad H^\bullet(M, \bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee) \simeq H^\bullet(M, C^\bullet(\mathcal{F}))$$

Consider the codimension q product foliation $\mathbb{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbb{R}^q$ on \mathbb{R}^n , we can build two new product foliations $\mathbb{R}^{n-q+1} \times \mathbb{R}^q$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ out of it. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-q}, x_{n-q+1}, \dots, x_n)$ be the canonical coordinates on \mathbb{R}^n , then $\mathcal{F} = \{\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{n-q}\}$. Therefore we have $\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee \simeq (\bigwedge^\bullet T^\vee \mathbb{R}^{n-q}) \times \mathbb{R}^q$, which yields $H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{F}) \simeq \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-q})$. Therefore, $H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbb{R}^{q+1}) \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-q+1} \times \mathbb{R}^q)$. On the other hand, we also have $H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-q+1} \times \mathbb{R}^q) \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbb{R}^q)$ by Poincare lemma for \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma 1.2 (Poincare lemma for foliations). *Consider the codimension q product foliation $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{F}) = (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbb{R}^q)$, then*

$$(1.2) \quad H^i(\mathbb{R}^n, \bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^q) & i = 0 \\ 0 & i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. By previous observation, $H^i(\mathbb{R}^n, \bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^i(\Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-q}))$, then the result follows from Poincare lemma for \mathbb{R}^n . \square

Definition 1.3. We define the (smooth) \mathcal{F} -foliated singular n -chain $C_n(\mathcal{F}, G)$ of a foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) to be the free Abelian group generated by (smooth) foliated n -simplices $\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ with coefficient in some Abelian group G . Define the differential $d_n : C_n(\mathcal{F}, G) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}, G)$ by $d_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \delta_i$, where δ_i is the i -th face map. We call $(C_*(\mathcal{F}, G), d)$ the foliated singular chain complex.

Definition 1.4. We define the (smooth) foliated singular cochains $C^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ to be \mathcal{C}^∞ function on the monodromy ∞ -groupoid $\text{Mon}_\infty \mathcal{F}$ associated to \mathcal{F} , i.e. $C^n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\text{Mon}_n \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 1.5. *Consider the codimension q product foliation $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{F}) = (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{n-q} \times \mathbb{R}^q)$, then*

$$(1.3) \quad H^i(\mathbb{R}^n, C^\bullet \mathcal{F}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^q) & i = 0 \\ 0 & i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Given a k -simplex $\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ ($0 \leq k \leq n - q - 1$), define $K : C_k \rightarrow C_{k+1}$ by $K\sigma(\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} t_j x_j) = (1 - t_{q+1})\sigma(\sum_{j=0}^q \frac{t_j}{1-t_{q+1}} x_j)$ which sends a foliated k -simplex to $k+1$ simplex, then by standard calculation we have $\partial K - K\partial = (-1)^{q+1}$. Let L be the adjoint of K , then $(-1)^{k+1}(dL - Ld) = 1$, which gives the result. \square

On the other hand, $C^n \mathcal{F}$ are soft since $C^n \mathcal{F}$ are sheaves of $C^0 \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -modules.

Proof of de Rham theorem. By Poincare lemma, we have $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Gamma(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F})$ which is a resolution of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ by fine sheaves. Note that $C^n(\mathcal{F})$'s are sheaves of $C^0(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -modules, which are soft since $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ is. By lemma, $C^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ is a soft resolution of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then integration over chains gives the desired quasi-isomorphism. \square

Next, we are going to show the quasi-isomorphism between the dga of \mathcal{F} -foliated forms and the dga of smooth singular \mathcal{F} -cochains actually lifts to an A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism

$$\phi : (\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee, -d, \wedge) \rightarrow (C^\bullet(\mathcal{F}), \delta, \cup)$$

The ϕ is defined as a composition of two maps

$$B((\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee)[1]) \xrightarrow{F} \Omega^\bullet(P\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{G} C^\bullet(\mathcal{F})[1]$$

here B is the bar construction. The first map is similar to Chen's iterated integral map, and the second map is similar to Igusa's construction in [Igu09].

1.2. Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.

Theorem 1.6 (Riemann-Hilbert correspondance for foliation). *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a manifold with foliation \mathcal{F} , then the following categories are equivalent*

- (1) *The category of foliated local systems $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{F})$.*
- (2) *The category of vector bundles with flat \mathcal{F} -connection.*
- (3) *The category of the representations of the fundamental groupoid.*

Let $P\mathcal{F}$ denote the Frechét manifold $P_{C^\infty}^1\mathcal{F}$ which consists of smooth path along leaves. We parametrize geometric k -simplex Δ^n by $t = (1 \geq t_1 \geq t_2 \cdots \geq t_k \geq 0)$. First we have a map of evaluation on a path

$$\text{ev}_k : P\mathcal{F} \times \Delta^k \rightarrow M^k : (\gamma, (t_1, \dots, t_k)) \mapsto (\gamma(t_1), \dots, \gamma(t_k))$$

The image of ev_k fixing γ lies in a single leaf. Along with the natural inclusion $P\mathcal{F} \subset PM$, the following diagrams commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P\mathcal{F} \times \Delta^k & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{x \in M} L_x \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \uparrow \\ PM \times \Delta^k & \longrightarrow & M^k \end{array}$$

Definition 1.7. We define $T_{\mathcal{F}}P\mathcal{F}$ to be a vector bundle whose fiber at $\gamma \in P\mathcal{F}$ is the vector space of all C^∞ -sections $I \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ along γ . We define the dual bundle $T_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee P\mathcal{F}$ of $T_{\mathcal{F}}P\mathcal{F}$ to be the vector bundle whose fiber at γ is the space of all bounded linear functionals, i.e. $T_{\mathcal{F},\gamma}^\vee P\mathcal{F} = \text{Hom}(T_{\mathcal{F},\gamma}P\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$.

We denote the C^∞ -section of $T_{\mathcal{F},\gamma}^\vee P\mathcal{F}$ by $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1 P\mathcal{F}$, and the exterior algebra of $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1 P\mathcal{F}$ by $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet P\mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 1.8. *Let $f \in C^\infty(PM)$ and $\gamma_0 \in M$, there exists a unique section $Df \in \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1 P\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Let $\eta \in T_{\mathcal{F},\gamma_0}P\mathcal{F}$. Take an one-parameter deformation γ_s of γ_0 such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\gamma_s = \eta$, then we can define $Df|_{\gamma_0}(\eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}|_{s=0}(f \circ \gamma_s)$. We want to show this gives a unique bounded linear functional on $T_{\mathcal{F},\gamma_0}P\mathcal{F}$. The boundedness and linearity is obvious. \square

Corollary 1.9. *For any smooth deformation γ_s of γ_0 , we have the following chain rule*

$$Df|_{\gamma_0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} \gamma_s \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} (f \circ \gamma_s)$$

Next, we want to define higher differentials on $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet} P\mathcal{F}$. A key observation is that $T_{\mathcal{F}} P\mathcal{F}$ is involutive. Given two elements $\eta, \zeta \in \Gamma(T_{\mathcal{F}, \gamma_0} P\mathcal{F})$, we can regard them as sections $I \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ along γ_0 . Then, by involutivity of \mathcal{F} , $[\gamma, \eta]$ is still a section $I \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Using this fact, we can define all higher differential on $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet} P\mathcal{F}$ simply by Chevalley-Eilenberg formula.

ev_1 induces a smooth map $T \text{ev}_1|_{\gamma, t} : T_{\mathcal{F}, \gamma} P\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\gamma(t)}$. Given a vector bundle V on M , we can get a pullback bundle W_t along ev_1 at time t , i.e. $W_t = \text{ev}_1^* V_{\gamma(t)}$. Hence, W is a vector bundle on $P\mathcal{F} \times \Delta_1$.

Lemma 1.10. $\text{ev}_1^* \Gamma(\mathcal{F}^{\vee})$ lies in $\Gamma(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{\vee} P\mathcal{F})$.

1.3. Chen's iterated integral. Let $\pi : \mathcal{F} \times \Delta^k \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the projection on the first factor. Define the push forward map

$$\pi_* : \bigwedge^{\bullet} ((\mathcal{F} \times \Delta^k)^{\vee}) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{\bullet} (\mathcal{F}^{\vee})$$

by

$$\pi_*(f(x, t) dt_{i_1} \cdots dt_{i_k} dx_{j_1} \cdots dx_{j_s}) = \left(\int_{\Delta^k} f(x, t) dt_{i_1} \cdots dt_{i_k} \right) dx_{j_1} \cdots dx_{j_s}$$

Note that here $\mathcal{F} \times \Delta^k$ is a foliation on $M \times \Delta^k$ which extends \mathcal{F} trivial along the Δ^k direction, i.e. $\mathcal{F} \times \Delta^k := \mathcal{F} \times T\Delta^k$.

If M is compact, we have

$$\int_M \pi_*(\alpha) = \int_{M \times \Delta^k} \alpha$$

for all $\alpha \in \bigwedge^{\bullet} (\mathcal{F}^{\vee} \otimes \Delta^k)$.

Lemma 1.11. π_* is a morphism of left $\bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathcal{F}^{\vee}$ -modules of degree $-k$, i.e for every alpha $\in \bigwedge^{\bullet} (\mathcal{F}^{\vee})$ and $\beta \in \bigwedge^{\bullet} ((\mathcal{F} \times \Delta^k)^{\vee})$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \pi_*(\pi^* \alpha \wedge \beta) = (-1)^{|\alpha|k} \alpha \wedge \pi_* \beta$$

In addition, let $\partial\pi$ be the composition

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes \partial\Delta^k \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes \iota} \mathcal{F} \otimes \Delta^k \xrightarrow{\pi} M$$

Then we have

$$\pi_* \circ d - (-1)^k d \circ \pi_* = (\partial\pi)_* \circ (\text{Id} \times \iota)^*$$

Proof. . Similar to [AS12, Lemma 3.5]. Note that we just need to restrict to integration along leaves. \square

Next, we shall construct Chen's iterated integral map. Let $a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1]$ be an element of $B((\bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathcal{F}^{\vee})[1])$. Given a path $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \in P\mathcal{F}$, we define a differential form on $P\mathcal{F}$ by

- (1) Pull back each a_i to M^k via the i -th projection map $p_i : M^k \rightarrow M$, then we get a wedge product $p_1^*a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k^*a_k$.
- (2) Pullback $p_1^*a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k^*a_k$ to a form on $P\mathcal{F} \times \Delta^k$ via ev_k .
- (3) Push forward through π to get a form on $P\mathcal{F}$.
- (4) Finally, correct the sign by multiplying $\spadesuit = \sum_{1 \leq i < k} (T(a_i) - 1)(k - i)$ where $T(a_i)$ denotes the total degree of a_i .

In summary,

Definition 1.12 (Chen's iterated integrals on foliated manifold). Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold, define Chen's iterated integral map from the bar complex of the suspension of foliation algebra to the foliated path space by

$$(1.5) \quad \mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1]) = (-1)^{\spadesuit} \pi_* (\text{ev}_k^*(p_1^*a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k^*a_k))$$

Remark 1.13. Note that if any of the a_i 's is of degree 0, then the iterated integral vanishes. This follows from the observation that the form $\text{ev}_k^*(p_1^*a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k^*a_k) \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Delta^k)$ is annihilated by vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}$'s, $1 \leq i \leq k$, which forces the push forward along $\pi : P\mathcal{F} \times \Delta_k \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ vanishing.

Lemma 1.14. \mathbb{C} is natural, i.e. for any foliated map $f : (M, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (N, \mathcal{F}_2)$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{B}((\wedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}_1^\vee)[1]) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C}} & \Omega^\bullet(P\mathcal{F}_1) \\ \mathbb{B}f \uparrow & & (Pf)^* \uparrow \\ \mathbb{B}((\wedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}_2^\vee)[1]) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C}} & \Omega^\bullet(P\mathcal{F}_2) \end{array}$$

Proof. Since f is foliated,

$$\begin{aligned} (Pf)^* \text{ev}_k^*(p_1^*a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k^*a_k) &= ((f \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \text{ev}_k)^*(p_1^*a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k^*a_k) \\ &= \text{ev}_k^* f^*(p_1^*a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k^*a_k) \\ &= \text{ev}_k^*((p_1 \circ f)^*a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge (p_k \circ f)^*a_k) \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1.15. Let $a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1] \in \mathbb{B}((\wedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee)[1])$ be an element of the bar complex, then we have

$$(1.6) \quad d(\mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1])) = \mathbb{C}(\overline{D}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1])) + \text{ev}_1^*(a_1) \wedge \mathbb{C}(a_2[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1])$$

$$(1.7) \quad - (-1)^{|a_1| + \cdots + |a_{k-1}|} \mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k-1}[1]) \wedge \text{ev}_0^*(a_k)$$

here \overline{D} is the differential of the foliation dga $(\wedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee, -d, \wedge)$.

Proof. Note that by lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1])) &= (-1)^{\blacklozenge} \left((-1)^k (\pi_* d(\text{ev}_k^*(p_1^* a_1 \wedge \cdots p_k^* a_k))) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (-1)^{k+1} ((\partial\pi)_*(\text{Id} \otimes \iota)^*(\text{ev}_k^*(p_1^* a_1 \wedge \cdots p_k^* a_k))) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{|a_1|+\cdots+|a_{i-1}|} \mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes (-da_i)[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1]) \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{|a_1|+\cdots+|a_i|} \mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes (a_i \wedge a_{i+1})[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1]) \right) \\
&\quad + \text{ev}_1^*(a_1) \wedge \mathbb{C}(a_2[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1]) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|a_1|+\cdots+|a_{k-1}|} \mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k-1}[1]) \wedge \text{ev}_0^*(a_n)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\mathcal{C}_{+,\partial I}^\infty(I)$ be the space of differentiable maps from $I \rightarrow I$ which are monotonically increasing and fixing the boundary ∂I .

Definition 1.16. We call a differential form $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(P\mathcal{F})$ is *reparametrization invariant* if α is invariant under any reparametrization $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{+,\partial I}^\infty(I)$, i.e.

$$\phi^* \alpha = \alpha$$

Denote the subcomplex of invariant forms by $\Omega_{\text{Inv}}^\bullet(P\mathcal{F})$

Lemma 1.17. *The image's of Chen's map on foliation*

$$\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{B}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}_1^\vee)[1] \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(P\mathcal{F}_1)$$

lies in $\Omega_{\text{Inv}}^\bullet(P\mathcal{F})$

1.4. Cube's to simplices. In this section, we shall construct a map

$$(1.8) \quad \mathbb{S} : \Omega^\bullet(P\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{F})[1]$$

which is based on Igusa's construction from cubes to simplices [Igu09]. Recall that in this chapter, we parametrize the k -simplex by

$$\Delta^k = \{(t_1, \cdots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid 1 \geq t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \cdots \geq t_k \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$$

The coface maps $\partial_i : \Delta^k \rightarrow \Delta^{k+1}$ are given by

$$(1.9) \quad (t_1, \cdots, t_k) \mapsto \begin{cases} (1, t_1, \cdots, t_k) & \text{for } i = 0 \\ (t_1, \cdots, t_{i-1}, t_i, t_i, t_{i+1}, \cdots, t_k) & \text{for } 0 < i < k + 1 \\ (t_1, \cdots, t_k, 0) & \text{for } i = k + 1 \end{cases}$$

The codegeneracy maps $\epsilon_i : \Delta^k \rightarrow \Delta^{k-1}$ are given by

$$(1.10) \quad (t_1, \cdots, t_k) \mapsto (t_1, \cdots, \hat{t}_i, \cdots, t_k)$$

The i -th vertex of Δ^k is the point

$$\underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_{i\text{-times}}, \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{k-i\text{-times}}$$

Recall the smooth singular \mathcal{F} -chains $C_\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ is given by $C_k(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Delta^k, M)$. With structure map $d_i = \partial_i^*$, $s_i = \epsilon_i^*$, we equip $C_\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ a simplicial set structure, which is equivalent to the monodromy ∞ -groupoids $\text{Mon}^\infty(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F}

We define maps P_i and Q_i which send element of $\text{Mon}^\infty(\mathcal{F})$ to its back-face and front-face respectively, i.t. P_i and Q_i are pullbacks of

$$U_i : \Delta^i \rightarrow \Delta^k, (t_1, \dots, t_i) \mapsto (1, \dots, 1, t_1, \dots, t_i)$$

$$V_i : \Delta^i \rightarrow \Delta^k, (t_1, \dots, t_i) \mapsto (t_1, \dots, t_i, 0, \dots, 0)$$

respectively.

Definition 1.18. Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold, we define the dga of (smooth) singular \mathcal{F} -cochains $(C^\bullet(M), \delta, \cup)$ consisting of the following data:

- (1) The grade vector space $C^\bullet(M)$ of linear functional on the vector space generated by $\text{Mon}^\infty(\mathcal{F})$.
- (2) The differential δ is given by

$$(\delta\phi)(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^k (d_i^* \phi)(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^k (\phi)(\partial_i^* \sigma)$$

- (3) The product \cup is given by the usual cup product

$$(\phi \cup \psi)(\sigma) = \phi(V_i^* \sigma) \psi(U_j^* \sigma)$$

Define $\pi_k : I^k \rightarrow \Delta_k$ by the order preserving retraction, i.e $\pi_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ with $t_i = \max\{x_i, \dots, x_k\}$ for each k .

Consider an element $\lambda_w : I \rightarrow I^k$ of PI^k which is parametrized by a $w \in I^k$. In detail, if $w = (w_1, \dots, w_{k-1})$, then λ_k travels backwards through the $k+1$ points

$$0 \leftarrow w_1 x_1 \leftarrow w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^k w_i e_i$$

For more details, see [Igu09, Proposition 4.6]. Set $\lambda_{(k-1)} : I^{k-1} \rightarrow PI^k$ by sending w to λ_w .

Finally, we define $\theta_{(k)}$ to be the composition

$$\theta_{(k)} = P\pi_k \circ \lambda_{(k-1)} : I^{k-1} \rightarrow P\Delta^k$$

We denote the adjoint of $\theta_{(k)}$ to be $\theta_k : I^k \rightarrow \Delta^k$.

Remark 1.19. By construction, $\theta_{(k)}$ are piecewise linear but not smooth. We can correct it by reparametrization, for example, let the derivative vanish near the vertices. Since the image of Chen's map C is invariant, our construction for $\theta_{(k)}$ is well-defined.

Remark 1.20. It is easy to verify that

$$\int_{I^k} \theta_k^* \alpha = (-1)^k \int_{\Delta^k} \alpha$$

for any form $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(\Delta^k)$.

For each i , define $\widehat{\partial}_i^-$ to be the map which inserts a 0 between the $(i-1)$ -th and i -th coordinates. Note that the i -th negative face operator is given by $\partial_i^-(\theta_{(k)}) = \theta_{(k)} \circ \widehat{\partial}_i^-$

Lemma 1.21 ([Igu09]). *For each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I^{k-2} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\partial}_i^-} & I^{k-1} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{(k)}} & P(\Delta^k, v_k, v_0) \\ & & \downarrow \theta_{(k-1)} & & \uparrow P\partial_i \\ P(\Delta^{k-1}, v_{k-1}, v_0) & \xrightarrow{\omega_i} & & & P(\Delta^{k-1}, v_{k-1}, v_0) \end{array}$$

that is,

$$\partial_i^-(\theta_{(k)}) = \theta_{(k)} \circ \widehat{\partial}_i^- = P\widehat{\partial}_i^- \circ \omega_i \circ \theta_{(k-1)}$$

Here w_i is given by the following reparametrization: for each $\gamma \in P(\Delta^{k-1}, v_{k-1}, v_0)$, $w_i(\gamma)$ is defined by

$$\omega_i(\gamma)(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma\left(\frac{kt}{k-1}\right) & \text{if } t \leq \frac{j-1}{k} \\ \gamma\left(\frac{j-1}{k-1}\right) & \text{if } \frac{j-1}{k} \leq t \leq \frac{k}{k} \\ \gamma\left(\frac{kt-1}{k-1}\right) & \text{if } t \geq \frac{j}{k} \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [Igu09, Lemma 4.7]. □

Set $\widehat{\partial}_i^+$: $I^{k-1} \rightarrow I^{k-1}$ to be the map which inserts 1 between the $(i-1)$ -th and i -th places.

Lemma 1.22 ([Igu09]). *For each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I^{k-2} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\partial}_i^+} & I^{k-1} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{(k)}} & P(\Delta^k, v_k, v_0) \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \uparrow \mu_i \\ I^{i-1} \times I^{k-i-1} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{(i)} \times \theta_{(k-i)}} & & & P(\Delta^i, v_i, v_0) \times P(\Delta^{k-i}, v_{k-i}, v_0) \end{array}$$

that is,

$$\partial_i^+(\theta_{(k)}) = \theta_{(k)} \circ \widehat{\partial}_i^+ = \mu_i \circ (\theta_{(i)} \times \theta_{(j)})$$

where $\mu_{i,j}$ is the path composition map

$$\mu_i(\alpha, \beta)(t) = \begin{cases} U_{k-i}(\beta\left(\frac{kt}{k-i}\right)) & \text{if } t \leq \frac{k-i}{k} \\ V_i\left(\alpha\left(\frac{k}{i}\left(t - \frac{k-i}{k}\right)\right)\right) & \text{if } k \geq \frac{k-i}{k} \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [Igu09, Lemma 4.8]. □

Lemma 1.23 ([AS12]). *Let a_1, \dots, a_n be forms on Δ^k , then we have the following factorization*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{P(\Delta^i, v_i, v_0) \times P(\Delta^{k-i}, v_{k-i}, v_0)} (\mu_i)^* \mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\int_{P(\Delta^i, v_i, v_0)} \mathbb{C}(V_i^* a_1[1] \otimes \dots \otimes V_i^* a_l[1]) \right) \\ & \times \left(\int_{P(\Delta^{k-i}, v_{k-i}, v_0)} \mathbb{C}(U_{k-i}^* a_{l+1}[1] \otimes \dots \otimes U_{k-i}^* a_n[1]) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [AS12, Lemma 3.19]. □

We define the map $S : \Omega^\bullet(P\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathcal{F})[1]$ to be

$$S(\alpha) = \int_{I^{k-1}} (\theta_{(k)})^* P\sigma^* \alpha$$

for $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(P\mathcal{F})$.

1.5. A_∞ de Rham theorem for foliation. Next, we will prove the A_∞ -enhancement of the de Rham theorem for foliations.

Theorem 1.24 (A_∞ de Rham theorem for foliation). *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold, there exists an A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism between $(\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{F}), -d, \wedge)$ and $(C^\bullet(\mathcal{F}), \delta, \cup)$*

We have already constructed the map

$$S \circ \mathbb{C} : \mathbb{B}((\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}_1^\vee)[1]) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(P\mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathcal{F})[1]$$

Lemma 1.25. *Let a_1, \dots, a_n be \mathcal{F} -foliated forms, then we have the following identity*

$$\begin{aligned} S(d(\mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \dots \otimes a_n[1]))) &= \delta'(S(\mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \dots \otimes a_n[1]))) + \\ & \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} S((\mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \dots \otimes a_l[1])) \cup' S((\mathbb{C}(a_{l+1}[1] \otimes \dots \otimes a_n[1]))) \end{aligned}$$

Here δ' and \cup' are differential and product of the dga of singular \mathcal{F} -cochains at the level of suspensions.

Proof. We follow [AS12, Proposition 3.22]. Consider $\alpha = \mathbb{C}(a_1[1] \otimes \dots \otimes a_n[1]) \in \Omega^\bullet(P\mathcal{F}_1)$, and $\sigma \in \text{Mon}^\infty(\mathcal{F})^k$ a simplex. We want to compute

$$\int_{I^{k-1}} d(\theta_{(k)})^* P\sigma^* \alpha = \int_{\partial I^{k-1}} \iota^*(\theta_{(k)})^* P\sigma^* \alpha$$

Recall $\widehat{\partial}_i^\pm$ are the canonical embeddings of I^{k-2} into I^{k-1} as top and bottom faces. Then the right-hand side of the above equation breaks to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i \int_{I^{k-2}} (\partial_i^-)^*(\theta_{(k)})^* P\sigma^* \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i \int_{I^{k-2}} (\partial_i^+)^*(\theta_{(k)})^* P\sigma^* \alpha$$

By Lemma 1.21 and properties of Chen's map, we have

$$\int_{I^{k-2}} (\partial_i^-)^* (\theta_{(k)})^* P\sigma^* \alpha = \int_{I^{k-2}} (\theta_{(k-1)})^* (P\partial_i^* \sigma)^* \alpha$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1.22 and Lemma 1.23,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I^{k-2}} (\partial_i^+)^* (\theta_{(k)})^* P\sigma^* \alpha = \\ \sum_{l=0}^n S((C(a_1[1]) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_l[1])) (V_i^* \sigma) S((C(a_{l+1}[1]) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1])) (U_{k-i}^* \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

Summing up all the items yields the desired result. \square

Now we describe our proposed A_∞ -map. Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold, we define a series of maps $\phi_n : (\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{F})[1])^{\otimes n} \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathcal{F})[1]$ by

(1) For $n = 1$,

$$(\phi_1(a[1]))(\sigma) = (-1)^k \int_{\Delta^k} \sigma^* \alpha$$

(2) For $n > 1$,

$$\phi_n(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1]) = (S \circ C)(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1])$$

Next we shall prove that ϕ_n 's form an A_∞ -morphism. The case for $\mathcal{F} = TM$ is proved by Guggenheim in [Gug77]. We will follow the proof in [AS12, Theorem 3.25].

Proposition 1.26. *ϕ_n 's form an A_∞ -morphism from $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ to $C^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ which induces a quasi-isomorphism. Moreover, this map is natural with respect to pullbacks along C^∞ -maps.*

Proof. Let $a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1] \in B((\wedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}_1^\vee)[1])$.

First consider the case $n \neq 2$. By lemma 1.15,

$$\begin{aligned} d(C(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1])) = C(\overline{D}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1])) + \text{ev}_1^*(a_1) \wedge C(a_2[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k[1]) \\ - (-1)^{|a_1| + \cdots + |a_{k-1}|} C(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k-1}[1]) \wedge \text{ev}_0^*(a_n) \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 1.25,

$$\begin{aligned} S(d(C(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1]))) = \delta'(S(C(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1]))) + \\ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} S((C(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_l[1]))) \cup' S((C(a_{l+1}[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1]))) \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two equations gives

$$\begin{aligned} (S \circ C)(\overline{D}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1])) = \delta'(S(C(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1]))) \\ + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} S((C(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_l[1]))) \cup' S((C(a_{l+1}[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1]))) \\ - S(\text{ev}_1^*(a_1) \wedge C(a_2[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1])) \\ + (-1)^{|a_1| + \cdots + |a_{n-1}|} S(C(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}[1]) \wedge \text{ev}_0^*(a_n)) \end{aligned}$$

The third term

$$S(\text{ev}_1^*(a_1) \wedge C(a_2[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1])) = -\phi_1(a_1[1]) \cup' (S \circ C)(a_2[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1])$$

for $|a_1| = 0$. The fourth term

$$\begin{aligned} S(C(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}[1]) \wedge \text{ev}_0^*(a_n)) = \\ (-1)^{|a_1| + \cdots + |a_{n-1}|} (S \circ C)(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}[1]) \cup' \phi_1(a_n[1]) \end{aligned}$$

for $|a_n| = 0$. These two terms vanish for $|a_1| > 0$ and $|a_n| > 0$ respectively.

Therefore, putting everything together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S \circ C)(\overline{D}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1])) = \delta'(\phi_n(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1])) \\ + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \phi_l(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_l[1]) \cup' \phi_{n-l}(a_{l+1}[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1]) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by definition

$$\begin{aligned} (S \circ C)(\overline{D}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1])) = \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|a_1| + \cdots + |a_{i-1}|} \phi_n(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1}[1] \otimes (-da_i)[1] \otimes a_{i+1}[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1]) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{|a_1| + \cdots + |a_i|} \phi_{n-1}(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1}[1] \otimes (a_i \wedge a_{i+1})[1] \otimes a_{i+2}[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1]) \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two equations yields the desired A_∞ -structure maps.

For $n = 2$ and $|a_1| = |a_2| = 0$. Just noted that for two foliated functions, for ϕ to be an A_∞ -map, we only need to check $(a_1 a_2)(x) = a_1(x) a_2(x)$.

The quasi-isomorphism follows from the ordinary de Rham theorem for foliations (Theorem 1.1). The naturality follows from the naturality of the maps S and C . \square

Remark 1.27. It is easy to verified that, according to the construction, $\phi_1(f[1]) = f[1]$ for any $|f| = 0$, and $\phi_n(a_1[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n[1])$ vanishes if any of the $a_i[1]$ in the argument is of degree 0.

Lemma 1.28. *The image of ϕ_n 's lies in the dga of normalized \mathcal{F} -cochains.*

Proof. Follows from [AS12, Proposition 3.26]. Note that by our construction of ϕ_n , we just need to restricted to leaves. \square

2. RIEMANN-HILBERT CORRESPONDENCE FOR ∞ -FOLIATED LOCAL SYSTEMS

2.1. Iterated integrals on vector bundles. In this section, we shall generalize iterated integrals in the previous section to the case of graded vector bundles (or dg modules). Let V be a graded vector bundle on M , denote

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : (\Gamma(\text{End}(V) \otimes \bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee))^{\otimes_{\mathbb{R}} k} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{End}(V)^{\boxtimes k} \otimes (\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee)^{\boxtimes k}) \\ a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \mapsto a_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes a_n \end{aligned}$$

the canonical embedding.

The pull back of ev_k induces

$$\text{ev}_k^* : \Gamma(\text{End}(V)^{\boxtimes k} \otimes (\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee)^{\boxtimes k}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\boxtimes_i \text{ev}_1^* \text{End}(V)_{t_i} \otimes (\bigwedge^\bullet T_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee P\mathcal{F}) \times \Delta^k)$$

Let μ denote the multiplication map on $\text{ev}_1^* \text{End}(V)_{t_i}$, i.e.

$$\mu : \text{ev}_k^* \Gamma(\text{End}(V)^{\boxtimes k} \otimes (\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee)^{\boxtimes k}) \rightarrow \Gamma(p_0^* \text{End}(V) \otimes (\bigwedge^\bullet T_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee P\mathcal{F}) \times \Delta^k)$$

where $p_0 : P\mathcal{F} \rightarrow M$ is the evaluation map at $t = 0$. Denote π the projection map $\pi : P\mathcal{F} \times \Delta^k \rightarrow P\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.1. We define the iterative integral

$$\int : (\Gamma(\text{End}(V) \otimes \bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee))^{\otimes_{\mathbb{R}} k} \rightarrow \Gamma(p_0^* \text{End}(V) \otimes (\bigwedge^\bullet T_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee P\mathcal{F}))$$

on graded vector bundles V over a foliation \mathcal{F} to be the composition

$$(2.1) \quad \int a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k = (-1)^{\spadesuit} \pi_* \circ \mu \circ \text{ev}_1^* \circ \iota(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k)$$

with $\spadesuit = \sum_{1 \leq i < k} (T(a_i) - 1)(k - i)$ where $T(a_i)$ denotes the total degree of a_i .

Lemma 2.2. On $\Gamma(\text{End}(V) \otimes \bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee)$, we have

$$\pi_* \circ d - (-1)^k d \circ \pi_* = (\partial\pi)_* \circ (\text{Id} \times \iota)^*$$

Let $\alpha \in \Gamma(\text{End}(V) \otimes \bigwedge^\bullet T_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee P\mathcal{F})$, $\beta \in \Gamma(\text{End}(V) \otimes (\bigwedge^\bullet T_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee P\mathcal{F}) \times \Delta^k)$,

$$\pi_*(\pi^* \alpha \circ \beta) = (-1)^{kT(\alpha)} \alpha \circ \pi_* \beta$$

$$\pi_*(\circ \beta \pi^* \alpha) = \pi_* \beta \circ \alpha$$

Proof. Similar to Lemma 1.11. □

Lemma 2.3 (Stoke's theorem).

(2.2)

$$(2.3) \quad d \int \omega_1 \cdots \omega_r = \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i T\omega_1 \cdots d\omega_i \omega_{i+1} \cdots \omega_r + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (-1)^i T\omega_1 \cdots (T\omega_i \circ \omega_{i+1}) \cdots \omega_r \\ + p_1^* \omega_1 \circ \int \omega_2 \cdots \omega_r - T\left(\int \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{r-1}\right) \circ p_0^* \omega_r$$

Proof. Similar to Lemma 1.15. See also [BS14, Proposition 3.3]. □

2.2. ∞ -holonomy of \mathbb{Z} -connection over \mathcal{F} . Let V be a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector bundle with a \mathbb{Z} -connection ∇ over $A^\bullet = \bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee$. Locally, $\nabla = d - \sum_{i=0}^m A_i$, where $A_i \in \text{End}^{1-i}(V) \otimes_{A_0} A^i$. Let $\omega = \sum_{i=0}^m A_i$. We define p -th holonomy of ∇ to be the iterative integral

$$(2.4) \quad \Psi_p = \int \omega^{\otimes p} \in \Gamma((\bigwedge^k T_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee P\mathcal{F}) \otimes \text{End}^{-k}(V))$$

and $\Psi_0 = \text{Id}$ for $p = 0$.

Definition 2.4. Define the ∞ -holonomy associated to ∇ to be $\Psi = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \Psi_p$.

Since ω has total degree 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_p &= \sum_{i=1, j=p-i}^p (-1)^{i+1} \int (\omega^{\otimes i}) d\omega (\omega^{\otimes j}) + \sum_{i=1, j=p-i-1}^{p-1} (-1)^i \int (\omega^{\otimes i}) (\omega_i \circ \omega_{i+1}) (\omega^{\otimes j}) \\ &\quad + p_1^* \omega \circ \int \omega^{\otimes(r-1)} - \left(\int \omega^{\otimes(r-1)} \right) \circ p_0^* \omega \end{aligned}$$

Summing in p , we get

$$d\Psi = \left(\int \kappa + \left(\int \kappa \omega + - \int \omega \kappa \right) + \cdots + \sum_{i+j=p-1} (-1)^i \int \omega^i \kappa \omega^j + \cdots \right) + p_1^* \omega \circ \Psi - \Psi \circ p_0^* \omega$$

If ∇ is flat, then locally $\nabla^2 = (d - \omega)^2 = -d\omega - T\omega \circ \omega = -d\omega + \omega \circ \omega$.

Let $\sigma : \Delta^k \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a foliated simplex. We can regard it as a $k-1$ -family of paths into \mathcal{F} . We can break this into two parts. First we have a map $\theta_{(k-1)} : I_{k-1} \rightarrow P\Delta_{(v_k, v_0)}^k$, then there is a canonical map $P\sigma : P\Delta_{(v_k, v_0)}^k \rightarrow P\mathcal{F}_{(x_k, x_0)}$. We define a series of map $\psi_k \in \text{End}^{1-k}(V)$ by

$$\psi_k(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \int_{I_{k-1}} (-1)^{(k-1)(K\Psi)} \theta_{(k-1)}^* (P\sigma)^* \Psi & k \geq 1 \\ (V_x, \nabla_x^0) & k = 0 \end{cases}$$

which is essentially the integral of I^{k-1} of the pullback holonomy of the \mathbb{Z} -connection ∇ .

Now we define the Riemann-Hilbert functor $\text{RH} : \mathcal{P}_A \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\text{Mon}_\infty \mathcal{F})$. On objects we define $\text{RH}_0 : \text{Obj}(\mathcal{P}_A) \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\text{Rep}(\text{Mon}_\infty \mathcal{F}))$ by $\text{RH}_0((E^\bullet, \nabla))(\sigma_k) = \psi_k(\sigma_k)$. We claim that the image of this functor are ∞ -local systems. Note that, by our construction

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RH}_0((E^\bullet, \nabla))_x &= E_x \\ \text{RH}_0((E^\bullet, \nabla))(x) &= \mathbb{E}_x^0 \\ \text{RH}_0((E^\bullet, \nabla))(\sigma_{k>0}) &= \int_{I_{k-1}} (-1)^{(k-1)(K\Psi)} \theta_{(k-1)}^* (P\sigma)^* \Psi \end{aligned}$$

Write F the image of $\text{RH}_0((E^\bullet), \nabla)$ for simplicity, i.e. $F(\sigma_k) = \text{RH}_0((E^\bullet))(\sigma_k)$. Since \mathbb{E} is flat, we have

$$d\Psi = -p_0^* A^0 \circ \Psi + \Psi \circ p_1^* A^0$$

Integrate the left side and apply the Stoke's formula we get

$$-\hat{\delta}F - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i F(\sigma_{0\dots i}) F(\sigma_{i\dots k})$$

Plug in the integration of right side, we get

$$\mathbb{E}^0 \circ F(\sigma_k) - (-1)^k F(\sigma_k) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i F(\sigma_{(0\dots \hat{i}\dots k)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i F(\sigma_{0\dots i}) F(\sigma_{i\dots k}) = 0$$

which is the k -th level of the Maurer-Cartan equations for ∞ -local system condition. Therefore, RH_0 is a well-defined map on objects.

Theorem 2.5 ([Igu09]). *The image of an object under the functor RH is an ∞ -representations of $\text{Mon}_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ if and only if ∇ is flat.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.10 in [Igu09], we have

$$\psi_0(x_0)\phi_k(\sigma) + (-1)^k\psi_k(\sigma)\psi(x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i (\psi_{k-1}(\sigma_{(0\dots\hat{i}\dots k)}) - \psi_i(\sigma_{(0\dots i)})\psi_{k-i}(\sigma_{(i\dots k)}))$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i \psi_{k-1}(\sigma_{(0\dots\hat{i}\dots k)}) - \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \psi_i(\sigma_{(0\dots i)})\psi_{k-i}(\sigma_{(i\dots k)})$$

i.e. $\hat{\delta}\psi + \psi \cup \psi = 0$.

For the other direction, we just go back from the definition of ψ , and found that $A_0\Psi_k - \Psi_k A_0 = d\Psi_{k-1}$ must be equal for all k , which is equivalent to the flatness of ∇ . \square

Now we proceed to RH on higher simplices

$$\text{RH}_n : \mathcal{P}_A(E_{n-1}^\bullet, E_n^\bullet) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}_A(E_0^\bullet, E_1^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\text{Mon}_\infty \mathcal{F})(\text{RH}_0(E_0^\bullet), \text{RH}_0(E_n^\bullet))[1 - n]$$

by

$$(2.5) \quad \text{RH}_n(\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1)(\sigma_k) = \text{RH}_0(C_{(\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1)}(\sigma_k))_{n+1,1}$$

Next, we will need ∞ -holonomy with respect to the pre-triangulated structure of \mathcal{P}_A . We follow the calculation in [BS14, Section 3.5] of the following

- ∞ -holonomy with respect to the shift.
- ∞ -holonomy with the cone

Proposition 2.6. *RH is an A_∞ -functor.*

Proof. We follow [BS14, Theorem 4.2]. Let $\phi = \phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_A(E_{n-1}, E_n) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}_A(E_0, E_1)$ be a tuple of morphisms, denote the holonomy of the associated to the generalized homological cone C_ϕ by $\Psi^{\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1}$. Locally, we can write $D^\phi = d - \omega$. By ..., we have that on $P\mathcal{F}(x_0, x_1)$, where x_0, x_1 lie in some leaf. By the ∞ -holonomy for cones, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -d\Psi_{n+1,1}^{\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1} - p_0^* \omega_{n+1,1}^0 \circ \Psi_{n+1,1}^{\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1} + \Psi_{n+1,1}^{\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1} \circ p_1^* \omega_{n+1,1}^0 = \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-1-|\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{k+2}|} \Psi_{n+1,1}^{\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{k+1} \circ \phi_k \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1} + \\ & \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k-|\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{k+1}|} \Psi_{n+1,1}^{\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes d\phi_k \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1} \end{aligned}$$

Now applying $\int(-1)^{K(\Psi)J(-)}\theta^*(P[-])^*(\Psi)$ to both sides of the equation. For simplicity, we denote $\phi_k \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_l$ by $\phi_{k,l}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [RH_0(C_\phi) \cup RH_0(C_\phi) + \hat{\delta} RH_0(C_\phi)]_{n+1,1} = \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-1-|\phi_{n,k+2}|} RH_{n-1}(\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{k+1} \circ \phi_k \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1) \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-|\phi_{n,k+1}|} RH_n(\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes d\phi_k \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1) \end{aligned}$$

By the matrix decomposition formulas in calculating the holonomy of cones in [AS14, Section 3.5.2], we get

$$\begin{aligned} [RH_0(C_\phi) \cup RH_0(C_\phi)]_{n+1,1} &= \sum_{i+j=n} RH_0(C_{\phi_{n,i+1}})_{j+1,1} \cup RH_0(C_{\phi_{i,1}})_{i+1,1} [(j - \sum_{k=i+1}^n p_k)] \\ &\quad + RH_0(E_n) \cup RH_0(C_\phi)_{n+1,1} + RH_0(C_\phi)_{n+1,1} \cup RH_0(E_0[n - |\phi|]) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} (-1)^{(j - \sum_{k=i+1}^n p_k)} RH_j(C_{\phi_{n,i+1}}) \cup RH_i(C_{\phi_{i,1}}) \\ &\quad + RH_0(E_n) \cup RH_0(C_\phi)_{n+1,1} + (-1)^{n-|\phi|} RH_0(C_\phi)_{n+1,1} \cup RH_0(E_0) \end{aligned}$$

By our construction,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\text{Loc}_C^{\text{dg}}(K_\bullet)}(\text{RH}_n(\phi)) &= \hat{\delta}(\text{RH}_n(\phi)) + RH_0(E_n) \cup RH_n(\phi) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n-|\phi|} RH_n(\phi) \cup RH_0(E_0) \end{aligned}$$

Put the last two equations into the one above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{i+j=n} (-1)^{(j - \sum_{k=i+1}^n p_k)} RH_j(C_{\phi_{n,i+1}}) \cup RH_i(C_{\phi_{i,1}}) \right) + D(\text{RH}_n(\phi_{n,1})) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-1-|\phi_{n,k+2}|} RH_{n-1}(\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{k+1} \circ \phi_k \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-|\phi_{n,k+1}|} RH_n(\phi_n \otimes \cdots \otimes d\phi_k \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_1) \end{aligned}$$

which is the A_∞ -relation for an A_∞ -functor between two dg-categories. Therefore, RH is an A_∞ -functor. \square

2.3. Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.

Theorem 2.7. *The functor RH is an A_∞ -quasi-equivalence.*

First, we want to show RH is A_∞ -quasi-fully-faithful. Consider two objects $(E_1 \bullet, \mathbb{E}_1), (E_1 \bullet, \mathbb{E}_1) \in \mathcal{P}_A$. The chain map

$$\text{RH}_1 : \mathcal{P}_A(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \text{Loc}_{\text{Ch}_k}^\infty(\mathcal{F})(\text{RH}_0(E_1), \text{RH}_0(E_2))$$

induces a map on the spectral sequence. In E_1 -page, on \mathcal{P}_A side, $H^\bullet((E_i, \mathbb{E}_i^0))$ are vector bundles with flat connections, while on the other side $H^\bullet((\text{RH}(E_i), \mathbb{E}_i^0))$ are \mathcal{F} -local systems. In E_2 -page, the map is

$$H^\bullet\left(M, \text{Hom}\left(H^\bullet((E_1, \mathbb{E}_1^0)), H^\bullet((E_2, \mathbb{E}_2^0))\right)\right) \rightarrow H^\bullet\left(M, \text{Hom}\left(H^\bullet((\text{RH}(E_1), \mathbb{E}_1^0)), H^\bullet((\text{RH}(E_2), \mathbb{E}_2^0))\right)\right)$$

which is an isomorphism by the de Rham theorem for foliated local systems. Next, we shall prove that RH is A_∞ -essentially surjective.

Let $F \in \text{Loc}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{F})$, we want to construct an object $(E^\bullet, \nabla) \in \mathcal{P}_A$ whose image under RH_0 is quasi-isomorphic to F . First notice that $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ defines a representation of $\text{Mon}_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ by previous section, which can be viewed as ∞ -local system over \mathcal{F} . Regard $(M, \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}})$ as a ringed space. Construct a complex of sheaves $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^\bullet, D)$ by

$$(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_F(U)^\bullet, D(U)) = \text{Loc}_{\text{Ch}_k}^\infty(\mathcal{F})(\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}}|_U, F|_U)^\bullet$$

We claim that $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^\bullet$ is soft. First notice that for $i > 0$, $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^i$ is a $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^0$ -module by cup products on open sets. By definition, $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^0 = \{\phi : (\text{Loc}_{\text{Ch}_k}^\infty(\mathcal{F}))_0 \rightarrow \text{Ch}_{\mathbb{R}}^0|_{\phi(x)} \in \text{Ch}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}}(x), F(x))\}$ which is a sheaf of discontinuous sections, hence soft. Therefore, all $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^i$'s are soft. Recall that for two ∞ -representation of a Lie ∞ -groupoid, the E_1 term of the spectral sequence is an ordinary representation. Hence, $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^\bullet$ is a perfect complex of sheaves. Let \underline{A}^\bullet be the sheaf of \mathcal{C}^∞ sections of $A^\bullet = \wedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee$. $\underline{A}^0 = \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ is flat over $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ as \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings since locally the module of smooth functions on M are $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the foliated functions are $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-q})$ where $q = \text{codim } \mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-q}) \otimes_\infty \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^q) \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where \otimes_∞ is the tensor product for \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings. Therefore, $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^\infty = \underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^\bullet \otimes_{\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}}} \underline{A}^0$ is a sheaf of perfect A^0 -modules.

Again by the flatness of \underline{A}^0 is flat over $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}}$. We have a quasi-isomorphism $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^\bullet, D) \simeq (\underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^\infty \otimes_{\underline{A}^0} \underline{A}^\bullet, D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d)$. We need the following proposition from Proposition 2.3.2, Exposé II, SGA6, [Ber+06].

Remark 2.8. One of the core tool in previous proof is the flatness of \underline{A}^0 over $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathcal{F}}$, or, in other words, the flatness of \underline{A}^0 over $H^0(\underline{A})$. We then expect a natural extension of our results to arbitrary L_∞ -algebroids \mathfrak{g} with associated foliation dga A , and A^0 is flat over $H^0(A)$. A natural question will be, given any dga A (which presents some geometric object), when is A^0 flat over H^0 ? Or we can consider an even more generalization, given a map of sheaves of algebras

$$d : A^0 \rightarrow A^1$$

, when A^0 is flat over $H^0(A) = \ker(d)$? We believe that this question is related to a more general phenomenon in noncommutative geometry.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $(X, \underline{\mathcal{S}}_X)$ be a ringed space, where X is compact and $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_X$ is a soft sheaf of rings. Then*

(1) *The global section functor*

$$\Gamma : \text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathcal{S}}_X} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathcal{S}}_X(X)}$$

is exact and establishes an equivalence of categories between the category of sheaves of right $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_X$ -modules and the category of right modules over the global sections $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_X(X)$ of $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_X$.

(2) *If $\underline{E} \in \text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathcal{S}}_X}$ locally has finite resolutions by finitely generated free $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_X$ -modules, then $\Gamma(X, \underline{E})$ has a finite resolution by finitely generated projective modules.*

(3) *The derived category of perfect complexes of sheaves $\text{D}_{\text{Perf}}(\text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathcal{S}}_X})$ is equivalent to the derived category of perfect complexes of modules $\text{D}_{\text{Perf}}(\text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathcal{S}}_X(X)})$.*

By this theorem, there is a (strict) perfect complex of A^0 -modules (E, \mathbb{E}^0) and a quasi-isomorphism $e^0 : (E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}^0) \rightarrow (F^\bullet, \mathbb{F}^0) = (\Gamma(M, \underline{\mathcal{C}}_F^\infty), D)$. We shall follow the argument of Theorem 3.2.7 of [Blo05] to construct the higher components \mathbb{E}^i of \mathbb{Z} -connection along with the higher components of a morphism e^i .

On F^\bullet , we have a \mathbb{Z} -connection

$$\mathbb{F} = D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d : F^\bullet \rightarrow F^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^\bullet$$

. The idea is to transfer this \mathbb{Z} -connection to E^\bullet which is compatible with the quasi-isomorphism on H^0 's. Note that we have an induced connection

$$\mathbb{H}^k : H^k(F^\bullet, \mathbb{F}^0) \rightarrow H^k(F^\bullet, \mathbb{F}^0) \otimes_{A^0} A^1$$

for each k . First we will transfer this connection to a connection on $H^k(E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}^0)$, and we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^k(E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}^0) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{H}^k} & H^k(E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}^0) \otimes_{A^0} A^1 \\ \downarrow e^0 & & \downarrow e^0 \otimes 1 \\ H^k(F^\bullet, \mathbb{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{H}^k} & H^k(F^\bullet, \mathbb{F}^0) \otimes_{A^0} A^1 \end{array}$$

Note that $e^0 \otimes 1$ is a quasi-isomorphism since A^\bullet is flat over A^0 . We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.10. *Given a bounded complex of finitely generated projective A^0 -modules $(E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}^0)$ with connections $\mathbb{H}^k : H^k(F^\bullet, \mathbb{F}^0) \rightarrow H^k(F^\bullet, \mathbb{F}^0) \otimes_{A^0} A^1$ for each k , there exists connections*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^k : E^k \rightarrow E^k \otimes_{A^0} A^1$$

lifting \mathbb{H}^k , i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^k \mathbb{E}^0 = (\mathbb{E}^0 \otimes 1) \tilde{\mathbb{H}}^k$$

for each k and the connection induced on the cohomology is \mathbb{H}^k .

Proof. This is Lemma 3.2.8 in [Blo05] and Lemma 4.6 in [BS14]. Since E^\bullet is bounded, let $[N, M]$ be its magnitude. Pick some arbitrary connection ∇ on E^M . Consider the following diagram whose rows are exact

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 E^M & \xrightarrow{j} & H^M(E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}^0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \nabla & \searrow \theta & \downarrow \mathbb{H}^M & & \\
 E^M \otimes_{A^0} A^1 & \xrightarrow{j \otimes 1} & H^M(E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}^0) \otimes_{A^0} A^1 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Here $\theta = \mathbb{H}^M \circ j - (j \otimes 1) \circ \nabla$ is A^0 -linear and $j \otimes 1$ is surjective. Now, by the projectivity of E^M , we can lift θ to a $\tilde{\theta} : E^M \rightarrow E^M \otimes_{A^0} A^1$ such that $(j \otimes 1)\tilde{\theta} = \theta$. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^k = \nabla + \tilde{\theta}$. Now replace ∇ by $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^k$ and the above diagram still commutes.

Now pick some arbitrary connection ∇_{M-1} on E^{M-1} . Note that $\mathbb{E}^0 \nabla_{M-1} = \mathbb{H}^{M-1} \mathbb{E}^0 = 0$ does not necessarily hold. Set $\mu = \mathbb{H}^{M-1} \mathbb{E}^0 - \mathbb{E}^0 \nabla_{M-1}$, then μ is A^0 -linear. $\text{Im } \mu \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{E}^0 \otimes 1$ since $\text{Im } \mathbb{H}^{M-1} \mathbb{E}^0 \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{E}^0 \otimes 1$ as \mathbb{H} is a lift of \mathbb{H} . Now by the projectivity we can lift this map to a $\tilde{\mu} : E^{M-1} \rightarrow E^{M-1} \otimes_{A^0} A^1$ such that $(\mathbb{E}^0 \otimes 1) \circ \tilde{\mu} = \mu$. Now set $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^{M-1} = \nabla_{M-1} + \tilde{\mu}$, then $(\mathbb{E}^0 \otimes 1)\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^{M-1} = \tilde{\mathbb{H}}^{M-1} \mathbb{E}^0$. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 E^N & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^0} & E^{N+1} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^0} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^0} & E^{M-1} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^0} & E^M \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \nabla_{M-1} & \searrow \mu & \downarrow \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_M \\
 E^N \otimes_{A^0} A^1 & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^0 \otimes 1} & E^{N+1} \otimes_{A^0} A^1 & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^0 \otimes 1} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^0 \otimes 1} & E^{M-1} \otimes_{A^0} A^1 & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^0 \otimes 1} & E^M \otimes_{A^0} A^1
 \end{array}$$

Now we continue in the same fashion and construct all $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^k$ with $(\mathbb{E}^0 \otimes 1)\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^k = \tilde{\mathbb{H}}^k \mathbb{E}^0$ for all k . \square

Now let's continue the proof of the main theorem. Set $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^1 = (-1)^k \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_k$ on E^k for each k . By our construction

$$\mathbb{E}^0 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^1 + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^1 \mathbb{E}^0 = 0$$

but $e^0 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^1 = \mathbb{F}^1 e^0$ might not hold. We will correct this by modifying $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^1$. Consider the map $\psi = e^0 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^1 - \mathbb{F}^1 e^0 : E^\bullet \rightarrow F^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^1$. It is easy to verify that ψ is A^0 -linear and a map of chain complexes. Now we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (E^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^1, \mathbb{E}^0 \otimes 1) & \\
 & \nearrow \tilde{\psi} & \downarrow e^0 \otimes 1 \\
 E^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\psi} & (F^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^1, \mathbb{F}^0 \otimes 1)
 \end{array}$$

here $e^0 \otimes 1$ is a quasi-isomorphism since e^0 is a homotopy equivalence. $\tilde{\psi}$ is a lift of ψ and there exists a homotopy $e^1 : E^\bullet \rightarrow F^{\bullet-1} \otimes_{A^0} A^1$

$$\phi - (e^0 \otimes 1)\tilde{\psi} = (e^1 \mathbb{E}^0 + \mathbb{F}^0 e^1)$$

Now let $\mathbb{E}^1 = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^1$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}^0 \mathbb{E}^1 + \mathbb{E}^1 \mathbb{E}^0 = 0$$

and

$$e^1 \mathbb{E}^0 + \mathbb{F}^0 e^1 = e^0 \mathbb{E}^1 + \mathbb{F}^1 e^0$$

Now we have constructed the first two components \mathbb{E}^0 and \mathbb{E}^1 of the \mathbb{Z} -connection, and the first two components e^0 and e^1 of the quasi-isomorphism $E^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^\bullet \rightarrow F^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^\bullet$.

Now let's proceed to construct the rest components. Consider the mapping cone $C_{e^0}^\bullet$ of e^0 , i.e. $C_{e^0}^\bullet = E[1]^\bullet \oplus F^\bullet$. Now let \mathbb{L}^0 be defined as the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}^0[1] & 0 \\ e^0[1] & \mathbb{F}^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define \mathbb{L}^1 as the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}^1[1] & 0 \\ e^1[1] & \mathbb{F}^1 \end{pmatrix}$ Now $\mathbb{L}^0 \mathbb{L}^0 = 0$ and $[\mathbb{L}^0, \mathbb{L}^1] = 0$ by construction.

Let

$$D = \mathbb{L}^1 \mathbb{L}^1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{F}^2 e^0 & [\mathbb{F}^0, \mathbb{F}^2] \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that D is A -linear,

$$(1) [\mathbb{L}^0, D] = 0,$$

$$(2) D|_{0 \oplus F^\bullet}.$$

Note that $(C_{e^0}^\bullet, \mathbb{L}^0)$ is acyclic since it is a mapping cone of a quasi-isomorphism. By flatness of A^\bullet over A^0 , $(C_{e^0}^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^2, \mathbb{L}^0 \otimes 1)$ is also acyclic. In addition,

$$\text{Hom}_{A^0}^\bullet((E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}^0), (C_{e^0}^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^2, \mathbb{L}^0 \otimes 1))$$

is a subcomplex of

$$\text{Hom}_{A^0}^\bullet(C_{e^0}^\bullet, (C_{e^0}^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^2, [\mathbb{L}^0, -]))$$

How $D \in \text{Hom}_{A^0}^\bullet((E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}^0), (C_{e^0}^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^2, \mathbb{L}^0 \otimes 1))$ is a cycle, so there exists some $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^2 \in \text{Hom}_{A^0}^\bullet((E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}^0), (C_{e^0}^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^2, \mathbb{L}^0 \otimes 1))$ such that $-D = [\mathbb{L}^0, \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^2]$. Define \mathbb{L}^2 by

$$\mathbb{L}^2 = \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{F}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{L}^0, \mathbb{L}^2] &= [\mathbb{L}^0, \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{F}^2 \end{pmatrix}] \\ &= -D + [\mathbb{L}^0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{F}^2 \end{pmatrix}] \\ &= -\mathbb{L}^1 \mathbb{L}^1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\mathbb{L}^0 \mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L}^1 \mathbb{L}^1 + \mathbb{L}^2 \mathbb{L}^0 = 0$$

Following this pattern, we continue by setting

$$D = \mathbb{L}^1 \mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L}^2 \mathbb{L}^1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{F}^3 e^0 & [\mathbb{F}^0, \mathbb{F}^3] \end{pmatrix}$$

Again it is easy to verify that D is A^0 -linear, and

$$(1) [\mathbb{L}^0, D] = 0,$$

$$(2) D|_{0 \oplus F^\bullet}.$$

By the same reasoning as before, so there exists some $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^3 \in \text{Hom}_{A^0}^\bullet((E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}^0), (C_{e^0}^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^3, \mathbb{L}^0 \otimes 1))$ such that $-D = [\mathbb{L}^0, \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^3]$. Define \mathbb{L}^3 by

$$\mathbb{L}^3 = \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^3 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{F}^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

By easy verification we get $\sum_{i=0}^3 \mathbb{L}^i \mathbb{L}^{3-i} = 0$.

Now suppose we have constructed $\mathbb{L}^0, \dots, \mathbb{L}^n$ which satisfy

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \mathbb{L}^i \mathbb{L}^{k-i} = 0$$

for $k = 0, \dots, n$. Then we define

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{L}^i \mathbb{L}^{n+1-i} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{F}^{n+1} e^0 & [\mathbb{F}^0, \mathbb{F}^{n+1}] \end{pmatrix}$$

Again we have D is A^0 -linear, and

$$(1) [\mathbb{L}^0, D] = 0,$$

$$(2) D|_{0 \oplus F^\bullet}.$$

We can continue the inductive construction of \mathbb{L} to get a \mathbb{Z} -connection satisfying $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{L} = 0$. Then we have constructed both components of the \mathbb{Z} -connection and the morphism from (E^\bullet, \mathbb{E}) to (F^\bullet, \mathbb{F}) .

Now we have shown that RH is A_∞ -essentially surjective. Therefore, RH is an A_∞ -quasi-equivalence.

Corollary 2.11. *The ∞ -category $\text{Loc}_{\text{Ch}_k}^\infty \mathcal{F}$ is equivalent to the ∞ -category $\text{Mod}_A^{\text{coh}}$, for $A = \text{CE}(\mathcal{F})$.*

2.4. Integrate ∞ -representations of L_∞ -algebroids. RH is a functor from cohesive modules over the foliation dga A , which can also be regarded as cohesive modules over the foliation Lie algebroid $T\mathcal{F}$. It is not hard to generalize the RH as a functor from cohesive modules over any L_∞ -algebroids, where we only need to refine the iterated integrals to the corresponding vector bundles over the foliations, i.e. we only integrate along leaves of the (singular) foliations generated by L_∞ -algebroids. On the other hand, the monodromy ∞ -groupoid of a perfect singular foliation \mathcal{F} is the truncation of the integration of the L_∞ -algebroid \mathfrak{g} associated \mathcal{F} . Therefore, given a perfect singular foliation \mathcal{F} with its associated L_∞ -algebroid \mathfrak{g} , we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Mon}(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \text{Mon}(\mathcal{F}) \\
\downarrow \text{Rep} & & \downarrow \text{Rep} & & \downarrow \text{Rep} \\
\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{coh}} & \xrightarrow{\text{RH}} & \text{Loc}^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \text{Loc}^{\infty}(\mathcal{F})
\end{array}$$

where τ denotes the truncation functor. A natural question to ask is when RH will be an A_{∞} -quasi-equivalence, or induce an ∞ -equivalence at the ∞ -category level. This will be studied in a future paper.

REFERENCES

- [AC09] C. A. Abad and M. Crainic. “Representations up to homotopy and Bott’s spectral sequence for Lie groupoids”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 248 (2009), pp. 416–452.
- [AC11] Camilo Arias Abad and Marius Crainic. *Representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids*. 2011. arXiv: 0901.0319 [math.DG].
- [Ale+97] M. Alexandrov et al. “The Geometry of the Master Equation and Topological Quantum Field Theory”. In: *International Journal of Modern Physics A* 12 (1997), pp. 1405–1429.
- [AMV21] Camilo Arias Abad, Santiago Pineda Montoya, and Alexander Quintero Velez. *Chern-Weil theory for ∞ -local systems*. 2021. arXiv: 2105.00461 [math.AT].
- [AS06] Iakovos Androulidakis and Georges Skandalis. “The holonomy groupoid of a singular foliation”. In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)* 2009 (Dec. 2006). DOI: 10.1515/CRELLE.2009.001.
- [AS12] Camilo Abad and F. Schatz. “The A_{∞} de Rham Theorem and Integration of Representations up to Homotopy”. In: *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2013 (July 2012). DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rns166.
- [AS14] C. A. Abad and F. Schaetz. “Higher holonomies: Comparing two constructions”. In: *Differential Geometry and Its Applications* 40 (2014), pp. 14–42.
- [AZ11] Iakovos Androulidakis and M. Zambon. “Smoothness of holonomy covers for singular foliations and essential isotropy”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 275 (2011), pp. 921–951.
- [AZ12] Iakovos Androulidakis and M. Zambon. “Holonomy transformations for singular foliations”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 256 (2012), pp. 348–397.
- [Bar+97] G. Barnich et al. “The sh Lie Structure of Poisson Brackets in Field Theory”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 191 (Feb. 1997). DOI: 10.1007/s002200050278.
- [BB72] Paul Baum and Raoul Bott. “Singularities of holomorphic foliations”. In: *Journal of differential geometry* 7.3-4 (1972), pp. 279–342.
- [Ber+06] P. Berthelot et al. *Théorie des Intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch: Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1966 /67 (SGA 6)*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006. ISBN: 9783540369363.
- [Blo05] Jonathan Block. *Duality and equivalence of module categories in noncommutative geometry I*. 2005. arXiv: math/0509284 [math.QA].

- [BLX21] Kai Behrend, Hsuan-Yi Liao, and Ping Xu. *Derived Differentiable Manifolds*. 2021. arXiv: 2006.01376 [math.DG].
- [BS14] Jonathan Block and Aaron M. Smith. “The higher Riemann–Hilbert correspondence”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 252 (2014), pp. 382–405.
- [BSS76] R Bott, H Shulman, and J Stasheff. “On the de Rham theory of certain classifying spaces”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 20.1 (1976), pp. 43–56. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708\(76\)90169-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(76)90169-9).
- [BSW21] Jean-Michel Bismut, Shu Shen, and Zhaoting Wei. *Coherent sheaves, superconnections, and RRG*. 2021. arXiv: 2102.08129 [math.AG].
- [Bun18] Ulrich Bunke. “Foliated manifolds, algebraic K-theory, and a secondary invariant”. In: *Münster Journal of Mathematics* (2018).
- [BZ] Jonathan Block and Qingyun Zeng. “Singular foliation and characteristic classes”. in preparation.
- [Car15] David Carchedi. “On The Homotopy Type of Higher Orbifolds and Haefliger Classifying Spaces”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 294 (Apr. 2015). DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2016.0
- [CCN21] Damien Calaque, Ricardo Campos, and Joost Nuiten. *Lie algebroids are curved Lie algebras*. 2021. arXiv: 2103.10728 [math.AT].
- [CF03] Marius Crainic and Rui Loja Fernandes. “Integrability of Lie brackets”. English (US). In: *Annals of Mathematics* 157.2 (Mar. 2003), pp. 575–620. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2003.157.575.
- [Che77] Kuo-Tsai Chen. “Iterated path integrals”. In: *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 83 (1977), pp. 831–879.
- [Gug77] V. K. A. M. Gugenheim. “On Chen’s iterated integrals”. In: *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* 21.3 (1977), pp. 703–715. DOI: 10.1215/ijm/1256049021.
- [Hen08] André Henriques. “Integrating L^∞ -algebras”. In: *Compositio Mathematica - COMPOS MATH* 144 (July 2008). DOI: 10.1112/S0010437X07003405.
- [Igu09] Kiyoshi Igusa. *Iterated integrals of superconnections*. 2009. arXiv: 0912.0249 [math.AT].
- [Joy19] D. Joyce. *Algebraic Geometry over C^∞ -Rings*. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society. American Mathematical Society, 2019. ISBN: 9781470436452.
- [Lav18] Sylvain Lavau. *Lie ∞ -algebroids and singular foliations*. 2018. arXiv: 1703.07404 [math.DG].
- [LLS20] C. Laurent-Gengoux, Sylvain Lavau, and T. Strobl. “The universal Lie infinity-algebroid of a singular foliation”. In: *Doc. Math.* 25 (2020), pp. 1571–1652.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104
 Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104
 E-mail address: qze@math.upenn.edu