

RECONSTRUCTION THEOREMS IN THE SUPPORTED CASE

LUIGI LOMBARDI

ABSTRACT. We show that any equivalence of bounded derived categories of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective complex variety supported in a closed algebraic subset preserves the dimension of the support in two cases: (i) the restriction of the (anti)canonical bundle to the support is ample; (ii) the supports are irreducible and the equivalence sends a skyscraper sheaf of a closed point to a skyscraper sheaf of a closed point. Moreover, in the first case the equivalence recovers the set of closed points of the support up to homeomorphism.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study instances regarding the invariance of the dimension of the support under exact equivalences of bounded derived categories of coherent sheaves supported in a closed algebraic subset.

Let X and Y be smooth projective complex varieties and let $D^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ and $D^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ be the bounded derived categories of coherent sheaves on X and Y , respectively. Under certain conditions $D^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ reconstructs the underlying variety. In this direction we recall the celebrated Bondal–Orlov’s reconstruction theorem: If the (anti)canonical bundle of X is ample, then any exact equivalence $F: D^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ induces an isomorphism of varieties $X \simeq Y$ (cf. [BO01]; see also [Fav12, Ito25] for the non-proper case and [Bal11, IM24, SdSSdS12] for the Gorenstein case). By weakening the hypotheses one obtains coarser notions of reconstruction. For instance, if the (anti)canonical bundle is big, or if the equivalence F sends a skyscraper sheaf of a closed point to a skyscraper sheaf of a closed point, then X and Y are K -equivalent, and in particular birational (cf. [Kaw02, Theorem 1.4], [CL25, Theorem 1.1], [Tod06, Lemma 7.3] and [LLZ24, Theorem 1.1]).

Given algebraic closed subsets $Z \subset X$ and $W \subset Y$, in this paper we consider the bounded derived categories $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ and $D_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ of complexes of coherent sheaves with cohomologies supported in Z and W , respectively. By the existence of the Serre functor, it is easy to check that any exact equivalence $F: D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \rightarrow D_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ preserves the dimensions of X and Y (Corollary 2.3). The following theorem implies the invariance of the dimension of the support under a positivity condition on the canonical bundle ω_X .

Theorem 1.1. *If $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \simeq D_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ and $\omega_X|_Z$, or $\omega_X^{-1}|_Z$, is ample, then the sets of closed points $Z(\mathbb{C})$ and $W(\mathbb{C})$ are homeomorphic.*

Instances of equivalences of type $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \simeq D_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ as in Theorem 1.1 appear in [Tod06, Lemma 4.6] where it is showed that any equivalence $D^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \simeq D^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ induces isomorphisms of linear series $|\omega_X^{\otimes k}| \simeq |\omega_Y^{\otimes k}|$ (for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$) and equivalences

$$(1) \quad D_{\text{Supp}(E)}^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \simeq D_{\text{Supp}(E')}^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$$

for any pair of corresponding divisors $E \in |\omega_X^{\otimes k}|$ and $E' \in |\omega_Y^{\otimes k}|$ (see also Example (3.9)).

In the second main theorem of the paper we consider an equivalence $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \simeq D_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ that sends a skyscraper sheaf of a closed point to a skyscraper sheaf of a closed point as in [Tod06]. We prove that if the supports are irreducible then they have the same dimension. In fact something stronger holds, and in general we speculate the invariance of the dimension of the support under an arbitrary equivalence.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $Z \subset X$ and $W \subset Y$ are algebraic closed irreducible subsets and let $F: D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \rightarrow D_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ be an equivalence. If F sends a skyscraper sheaf of a closed point in Z to a skyscraper sheaf of a closed point in W , then there exist Zariski open dense subsets $U \subset Z$ and $V \subset W$ such that the sets of closed points $U(\mathbb{C})$ and $V(\mathbb{C})$ are homeomorphic. Moreover, if $\dim W = 1$, then the sets of closed points $Z(\mathbb{C})$ and $W(\mathbb{C})$ are homeomorphic.*

Notation. We work over the field of complex numbers. All categories and functors are \mathbb{C} -linear and any functor of triangulated categories is exact as in [Huy06, Definition 1.39]. Moreover, we denote by $X(\mathbb{C})$ the set of closed points of a scheme X .

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Kabeer Manali, Amnon Neeman, Laura Pertusi, Taro Sano, Paolo Stellari and Luca Tasin for useful conversations. The author was partially supported by INdAM-GNSAGA, PRIN 2020: “Curves, Ricci flat varieties and their interactions” and PRIN 2022: “Symplectic varieties: their interplay with Fano manifolds and derived categories”.

2. THE SERRE FUNCTOR

Let X be a smooth projective algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} . We denote by $D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))$ and $D^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ the bounded derived categories of quasi-coherent and coherent sheaves on X , respectively. For a complex \mathcal{F} in $D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}^j(\mathcal{F})$ the cohomologies of \mathcal{F} and by

$$\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Supp}(\mathcal{H}^j(\mathcal{F})) \subset X$$

its support. If \mathcal{F} is in $D^b(\text{Coh}(X))$, then $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$ is an algebraic closed subset and for any closed point $p \in X$:

$$p \in \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) \Leftrightarrow \text{RHom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}(p)) \neq 0$$

(see [LLZ24, Definition 2.2 and Lemma 2.4] or [Huy06, Exercise 3.30]).

If $Z \subset X$ is an algebraic closed subset, we denote by $D_Z^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))$ and $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ the bounded derived categories of complexes of quasi-coherent and coherent sheaves on X , respectively, with cohomologies supported in Z . Following [Bal11, Corollary 3.4], if $\text{Coh}_Z(X)$ denotes the abelian category of coherent sheaves supported in Z , then there is an equivalence of derived categories

$$(2) \quad D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \simeq D^b(\text{Coh}_Z(X)).$$

Moreover there is a natural inclusion functor

$$\iota_Z: D_Z^b(\text{Qcoh}(X)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))$$

which admits a right adjoint

$$\iota_Z^!: D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X)) \rightarrow D_Z^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))$$

(cf. [CS14, p. 1353], [Lip02, §3], [Nee96]). Hence there are natural isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))}(\iota_Z \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_Z^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))}(\mathcal{F}, \iota_Z^! \mathcal{G})$$

for all objects \mathcal{F} in $D_Z^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))$ and \mathcal{G} in $D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))$. The support of a complex \mathcal{F} in $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ is the algebraic closed subset

$$\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) := \text{Supp}(\iota_Z \mathcal{F}) \subset Z.$$

Proposition 2.1. *The following formulas hold for all $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))$:*

- (i). $\iota_Z \iota_Z^! \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) \subset Z$,
- (ii). $\iota_Z \iota_Z^! (\mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \iota_Z \iota_Z^! \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. The first assertion is the global version of [Lip02, Corollary 3.2.1], while the second one is showed in [CS14, Lemma 2.4]. □

We now discuss the existence of a Serre functor in $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ (cf. [Huy06, Definition 1.28]). Consider the functor

$$S_Z: D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \rightarrow D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X)), \quad S_Z(\mathcal{F}) = \iota_Z^! (\iota_Z \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_X[\dim X]).$$

Proposition 2.2. *The functor S_Z is a Serre functor for $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be arbitrary objects in $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$. We first check that S_Z is an equivalence. To this end define $S_Z^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) = \iota_Z^! (\iota_Z \mathcal{G} \otimes \omega_X^{-1}[-\dim X])$ and note the following isomorphisms (cf. Proposition 2.1 (ii)):

$$\begin{aligned} S_Z^{-1}(S_Z(\mathcal{F})) &= \iota_Z^! (\iota_Z \iota_Z^! (\iota_Z \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_X[\dim X]) \otimes \omega_X^{-1}[-\dim X]) \simeq \\ &\quad \iota_Z^! \iota_Z \iota_Z^! (\iota_Z \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_X[\dim X]) \otimes \omega_X^{-1}[-\dim X] \simeq \\ &\quad \iota_Z^! \iota_Z \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one checks that $S_Z(S_Z^{-1}(\mathcal{G})) \simeq \mathcal{G}$. Finally, the following isomorphisms hold thanks to Serre duality on X :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\mathcal{G}, S_Z(\mathcal{F})) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{D^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\iota_Z \mathcal{G}, \iota_Z \iota_Z^! (\iota_Z \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_X[\dim X])) \simeq \\ &\quad \text{Hom}_{D^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\iota_Z \mathcal{G}, (\iota_Z \iota_Z^! \iota_Z \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_X[\dim X])) \simeq \\ &\quad \text{Hom}_{D^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\iota_Z \mathcal{G}, \iota_Z \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_X[\dim X]) \simeq \\ &\quad \text{Hom}_{D^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\iota_Z \mathcal{F}, \iota_Z \mathcal{G})^* \simeq \\ &\quad \text{Hom}_{D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})^*. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let Y be another smooth projective algebraic variety and let $W \subset Y$ be an algebraic closed subset.

Corollary 2.3. *If $F: D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \rightarrow D_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ is an equivalence, then $\dim X = \dim Y$.*

Proof. Note the isomorphism $S_W \circ F \simeq F \circ S_Z$ ([Huy06, Lemma 1.30]) where S_W is the Serre functor of $D_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$. Let $p \in Z$ be a closed point and note the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_W^! (\iota_W F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)) \otimes \omega_Y[\dim Y]) &\simeq \\ S_W(F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p))) &\simeq \\ F(S_Z(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p))) &\simeq \\ F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)[\dim X]) &\simeq \\ F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p))[\dim X]. & \end{aligned}$$

By applying ι_W we find

$$\iota_W \iota_W^! (\iota_W F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)) \otimes \omega_Y[\dim Y]) \simeq \iota_W F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p))[\dim X].$$

Moreover, by Proposition 2.1 (ii), the left-hand side is isomorphic to $\iota_W F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)) \otimes \omega_Y[\dim Y]$. We conclude that

$$\iota_W F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)) \otimes \omega_Y \simeq \iota_W F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p))[\dim Y - \dim X].$$

This immediately implies $\dim X = \dim Y$ as in [Huy06, Proposition 4.1] since $\iota_W F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p))$ is a bounded complex. □

3. POINT LIKE OBJECTS IN THE SUPPORTED CASE

Let $Z \subset X$ be as in the previous section.

Definition 3.1. An object P of $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ is *point like* if:

- (i). $S_Z(P) \simeq P[\dim X]$,
- (ii). $\text{Hom}_{D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(P, P[k]) = 0$ for $k < 0$, and
- (iii). $\text{Hom}_{D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(P, P) = \mathbb{C}$.

An object satisfying the last condition is called *simple*.

Proposition 3.2. *Any equivalence $F: D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \rightarrow D_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ sends a point like object to a point like object.*

Proof. The conditions (ii) and (iii) are easy to check. For (i), let P be a point like object in $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$. As the Serre functor commutes with any equivalence ([Huy06, Lemma 1.30]), we have by Corollary 2.3:

$$S_W(F(P)) \simeq F(S_Z(P)) \simeq F(P[\dim X]) \simeq F(P)[\dim Y].$$

□

Proposition 3.3. *The objects isomorphic to $\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)[m]$ with $p \in Z$ closed point and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are point like objects in $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$.*

Proof. We prove the proposition for $m = 0$, the other cases being similar. Let $p \in Z$ be a closed point. By Proposition 2.1 $\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)$ satisfies the first condition of Definition 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned} S_Z(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)) &\simeq \iota_Z^!(\iota_Z \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p) \otimes \omega_X[\dim X]) \simeq \\ &\iota_Z^! \iota_Z \iota_Z^! (\mathbb{C}(p) \otimes \omega_X[\dim X]) \simeq \\ &\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)[\dim X]. \end{aligned}$$

For the second and third conditions we see that by adjunction

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p), \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)[k]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\iota_Z \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p), \mathbb{C}(p)[k]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}(p), \mathbb{C}(p)[k])$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. These spaces are trivial for $k < 0$ and isomorphic to \mathbb{C} for $k = 0$. \square

We recall the following lemma [Huy06, Lemma 4.5] which will be helpful in the rest of the paper.

Lemma 3.4. *Let P be an object in $D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}(P, P) = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(P, P[k]) = 0$ for $k < 0$. Moreover suppose $\mathrm{Supp}(P)$ is zero-dimensional. Then $P \simeq \mathbb{C}(p)[m]$ for some closed point $p \in \mathrm{Supp}(P)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

We begin the classification of point like objects in $D_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ when $\dim Z = 0$.

Proposition 3.5. *If $\dim Z = 0$, then the point like objects in $D_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ are the objects isomorphic to $\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)[m]$ with $p \in Z$ closed point and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let P be a point like object in $D_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$. Then $\iota_Z P$ has zero-dimensional support and $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))}(\iota_Z P, \iota_Z P[k]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))}(P, P[k])$ is trivial for $k < 0$, and isomorphic to \mathbb{C} for $k = 0$. By Lemma 3.4 there is an isomorphism $\iota_Z P \simeq \mathbb{C}(p)[m]$ for some closed point $p \in Z$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows $P \simeq \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)[m]$. \square

Proposition 3.6. *If $F: D_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X)) \rightarrow D_W^b(\mathrm{Coh}(Y))$ is an equivalence and $\dim Z = 0$, then $\dim W = 0$ and there is a bijection between $Z(\mathbb{C})$ and $W(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let $q \in W$ be a closed point and consider the complex $E_q := F^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(q))$ where F^{-1} is a quasi-inverse to F . Then, by Proposition 3.2, E_q is a point like object in $D_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ and by Proposition 3.5 $E_q \simeq \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)[m]$ for a unique closed point $p \in Z$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this way we define a function $f: W(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Z(\mathbb{C})$. We first check that f is injective. If there exists another closed point $q' \in W$ such that $f(q) = f(q')$, then as before we would have $F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)[m']) = \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(q')$ for some $m' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence we have

$$\{q\} = \mathrm{Supp}(\iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(q)[-m]) = \mathrm{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p))) = \mathrm{Supp}(\iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(q')[-m']) = \{q'\}.$$

It follows that $W(\mathbb{C})$ is a finite and $\dim W = 0$. Moreover, by repeating the argument for the quasi-inverse F^{-1} one checks also the surjectivity of f . \square

Example 3.7. Let X be an abelian variety of positive dimension and let $Y = \widehat{X}$ be the dual abelian variety. Moreover let \mathcal{P} be a normalized Poincaré line bundle. Consider the Fourier–Mukai–Poincaré transform $\mathrm{R}\widehat{\mathcal{S}}: D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X)) \rightarrow D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(Y))$ defined as $\mathrm{R}\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{R}q_*(p^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{P})$ for any \mathcal{F} in $D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$, where p and q are the projections onto X and Y , respectively. Then as in [Muk81, Example 2.9] $\mathrm{R}\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ is an equivalence and $\mathrm{R}^{\dim X} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ gives an equivalence of abelian categories between the category $\mathrm{Unip}(X)$ of unipotent bundles of finite rank on X , and $\mathrm{Coh}_{\{\hat{0}\}}(Y)$ (where $\hat{0}$

is the origin of Y). Then $D^b(\text{Unip}(X)) \simeq D^b(\text{Coh}_{\{\hat{0}\}}(Y))$ and, by Corollary 2.3 and Proposition 3.6, there is no smooth projective variety M such that $D^b(\text{Unip}(X))$ is equivalent to $D^b(\text{Coh}(M))$.

In the positive-dimensional case the characterization of point like objects in $D_{\mathbb{Z}}^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ is possible under a positivity assumption on the restriction of the canonical bundle to the support.

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose $\omega_X|_Z$ or $\omega_X^{-1}|_Z$ is ample. Then the point like objects of $D_{\mathbb{Z}}^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ are the objects isomorphic to $\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)[r]$ where $p \in Z$ is a closed point and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let P be a point like object in $D_{\mathbb{Z}}^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ and denote by \mathcal{H}^j the cohomology sheaves of $\iota_Z P$. Note that the \mathcal{H}^j 's are coherent sheaves on X supported in Z . Since $S_Z(P) \simeq P[\dim X]$, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_Z^! (\iota_Z P \otimes \omega_X) &\simeq P \\ \iota_Z \iota_Z^! (\iota_Z P \otimes \omega_X) &\simeq \iota_Z P \\ \iota_Z \iota_Z^! \iota_Z P \otimes \omega_X &\simeq \iota_Z P \\ \iota_Z P \otimes \omega_X &\simeq \iota_Z P. \end{aligned}$$

By taking cohomology we have

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{H}^j \otimes \omega_X \simeq \mathcal{H}^j \quad \text{for all } j.$$

Now we show that \mathcal{H}^j is supported in dimension zero for any j . Suppose $\omega_X|_Z$ is ample, the other case being similar. Let $k > 0$ be an integer such that $N := \omega_X^{\otimes k}|_Z$ is very ample and let $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion map (here Z is equipped with the reduced induced subscheme structure). Then the Hilbert polynomial of $P_{i^* \mathcal{H}^j}(m) = \chi(i^* \mathcal{H}^j \otimes N^{\otimes m})$ has degree equal to

$$s_j := \dim \text{Supp}(i^* \mathcal{H}^j) = \dim \text{Supp}(\mathcal{H}^j)$$

([Ser55, p. 276, Proposition 6]). Moreover, by tensoring (3) with positive powers of ω_X and by restricting the isomorphisms to Z , we find

$$i^* \mathcal{H}^j \otimes N \simeq i^* \mathcal{H}^j \quad \text{for all } j.$$

Therefore $P_{i^* \mathcal{H}^j}(m) = P_{i^* \mathcal{H}^j \otimes N}(m) = P_{i^* \mathcal{H}^j}(m+1)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is impossible if $\deg P_{i^* \mathcal{H}^j} > 0$. Hence $s_j = 0$ for all j and $\iota_Z P \simeq \mathbb{C}(p)[r]$ for some closed point $p \in Z$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 3.4. It follows that $P \simeq \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(p)[r]$. □

Example 3.9. We construct further instances of equivalences between derived categories with support extending the equivalences (1). Denote by

$$R: \text{Aut}^0(X) \times \text{Pic}^0(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}^0(Y) \times \text{Pic}^0(Y)$$

the Rouquier isomorphism induced by an equivalence $F: D^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ (cf. [Rou11, Théorème 4.18] or [Huy06, Proposition 9.45], and [PS11, p.531, footnote (1)]). By following [Lom14, Proposition 3.1], if $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ is a topologically trivial line bundle such that $H^0(X, \omega_X^{\otimes k_0} \otimes \alpha) \neq 0$ for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $R(\text{id}_X, \alpha) = (\text{id}_Y, \beta)$ for some $\beta \in \text{Pic}^0(Y)$ and moreover there are isomorphisms $R_k: H^0(X, \omega_X^{\otimes k} \otimes \alpha) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \omega_Y^{\otimes k} \otimes \beta)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As in [Tod06], one can prove that if $E \in |\omega_X^{\otimes k} \otimes \alpha|$ and $E' = R_k(E) \in |\omega_Y^{\otimes k} \otimes \beta|$ is the corresponding divisor, then F restricts to an equivalence of triangulated categories $D_{\text{Supp}(E)}^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \simeq D_{\text{Supp}(E')}^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$.

4. GABRIEL'S THEOREM WITH SUPPORT

We present a version of Gabriel's reconstruction theorem [Gab62] with support. Other variants of Gabriel's result appear in [Per09] and [CP21].

Theorem 4.1. *Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties and let $Z \subset X$ and $W \subset Y$ be algebraic closed subsets. If there is an equivalence of abelian categories $\varphi: \text{Coh}_Z(X) \rightarrow \text{Coh}_W(Y)$, then $Z(\mathbb{C})$ and $W(\mathbb{C})$ are homeomorphic.*

Proof. A sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}_Z(X)$ (resp. in $\text{Coh}(X)$) is said *indecomposable* if any non-trivial surjection $\mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with \mathcal{G} in $\text{Coh}_Z(X)$ (resp. in $\text{Coh}(X)$) is an isomorphism. Recall that the indecomposable sheaves in $\text{Coh}(X)$ are the skyscraper sheaves of closed points $\mathbb{C}(x)$. It follows that the indecomposable sheaves in $\text{Coh}_Z(X)$ are the sheaves $\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(x)$ where $x \in Z$ is a closed point. Indeed, let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}_Z(X)$ be an indecomposable sheaf. It is enough to show that $\iota_Z \mathcal{F}$ is indecomposable in $\text{Coh}(X)$. To this end, consider a short exact sequence of type

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \iota_Z \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$$

with \mathcal{G} non trivial in $\text{Coh}(X)$. Under the full embedding $\text{Coh}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{D}^b(\text{Coh}(X))$, the above sequence becomes a distinguished triangle $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \iota_Z \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}[1]$. In turn the functor $\iota_Z^!$ induces a distinguished triangle in $\text{D}_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$

$$\iota_Z^! \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \iota_Z^! \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \iota_Z^! \mathcal{K}[1].$$

Since both \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{G} have support in Z , we check that $\iota_Z^! \mathcal{K}$ and $\iota_Z^! \mathcal{G}$ are sheaves in $\text{Coh}_Z(X)$. Under the full embedding $\text{Coh}_Z(X) \hookrightarrow \text{D}^b(\text{Coh}_Z(X)) \simeq \text{D}_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$, this distinguished triangle becomes a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \iota_Z^! \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \iota_Z^! \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$ with $\iota_Z^! \mathcal{G} \neq 0$. Hence $\iota_Z^! \mathcal{K} \simeq 0$ and consequently \mathcal{K} is trivial.

The equivalence φ sends indecomposable sheaves to indecomposable sheaves. Hence we can define a function $f: Z(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{C})$ on closed points such that $f(q) = p$ if and only if $\varphi(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) = \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)$. Note that f is bijective. Now we prove that f is bicontinuous by following an idea of [LLZ24].

Denote by φ^{-1} a quasi-inverse of φ and let $W_0 \subset W(\mathbb{C})$ be a closed subset. Hence $W_0 = W' \cap W(\mathbb{C})$ for some algebraic closed subset $W' \subset W$. Since for every $q \in Z(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\varphi^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{W'}), \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) &\simeq \\ \text{Hom}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{W'}, \varphi(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q))) &\simeq \text{Hom}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{W'}, \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(f(q))) \simeq \\ &\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{W'}, \mathbb{C}(f(q))), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$q \in \text{Supp}(\varphi^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{W'})) \cap Z(\mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow f(q) \in W' \cap W(\mathbb{C}) = W_0.$$

It follows that $f^{-1}(W_0) = \text{Supp}(\varphi^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{W'})) \cap Z(\mathbb{C})$ is closed in $Z(\mathbb{C})$ and f is continuous.

Similarly, also f^{-1} is continuous. This goes as follows. Let $Z_0 = Z' \cap Z(\mathbb{C})$ be a closed subset in $Z(\mathbb{C})$ where Z' is closed in Z . Note

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}, \mathbb{C}(q)) \simeq \text{Hom}(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z'}, \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) \simeq \text{Hom}(\varphi(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z'}), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(f(q))) \quad \text{for every } q \in Z(\mathbb{C}).$$

It follows

$$f(q) \in \text{Supp}(\varphi(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z'})) \cap W(\mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow q \in Z' \cap Z(\mathbb{C}) = Z_0.$$

Hence $f(Z_0) = \text{Supp}(\varphi(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z'})) \cap W(\mathbb{C})$ is closed in $W(\mathbb{C})$.

□

5. RECONSTRUCTION OF THE SUPPORT, I

We aim to prove Theorem 1.1. Denote by $F: D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \rightarrow D_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ an equivalence and let $p \in W(\mathbb{C})$. Then there exists an object E_p in $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ such that $F(E_p) = \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)$. By Proposition 3.2, E_p is a point like object and by Proposition 3.8 $E_p \simeq \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)[m_p]$ for some $q \in Z(\mathbb{C})$ and $m_p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define $U(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z(\mathbb{C})$ as the set of closed points q such that $F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) \simeq \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)[s_p]$ for some $p \in W(\mathbb{C})$ and $s_p \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this way we can define a surjective function $f: U(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{C})$ such that $f(q) = p$ if and only if $F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) = \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)[s_p]$.

Proposition 5.1. *The function f is injective.*

Proof. Suppose there exist $q, q' \in U(\mathbb{C})$ such that $f(q) = f(q')$. Then there exist $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)[m_1]) = F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q')[m_2])$ and $\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)[m_1] \simeq \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q')[m_2]$. By looking at the supports it results $q = q'$.

□

Proposition 5.2. *The set $U(\mathbb{C})$ equals $Z(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction there exists $q' \in Z(\mathbb{C}) \setminus U(\mathbb{C})$. If $p \in W(\mathbb{C})$ is any point, then $f^{-1}(p) \in U$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q')), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)) &\simeq \\ \text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q')), F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(f^{-1}(p))[-s_p])) &\simeq \\ \text{RHom}(\mathbb{C}(q'), \mathbb{C}(f^{-1}(p))[-s_p]) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q'))) = \emptyset$ and $\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q') \simeq 0$.

□

Proposition 5.3. *The functions f and f^{-1} are continuous.*

Proof. Let $W_0 \subset W$ be a closed subset. Since for every $q \in Z(\mathbb{C})$

$$\text{RHom}(F^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{W_0}), \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) \simeq \text{RHom}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{W_0}, F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q))) \simeq \text{RHom}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{W_0}, \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(f(q))),$$

we have

$$q \in \text{Supp}(F^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{W_0})) \cap Z(\mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow f(q) \in W_0 \cap W(\mathbb{C}).$$

It follows that $f^{-1}(W_0 \cap W(\mathbb{C})) = \text{Supp}(F^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{W_0})) \cap Z(\mathbb{C})$ is closed in $Z(\mathbb{C})$ and f is continuous.

Now we show that f^{-1} is continuous. Let $Z_0 \subset Z$ be a closed subset and note the isomorphism

$$\text{RHom}(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}, \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) \simeq \text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(f(q))) \quad \text{for every } q \in Z(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then it follows that

$$f(q) \in \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_0})) \cap W(\mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow q \in Z_0 \cap Z(\mathbb{C})$$

and $f(Z_0 \cap Z(\mathbb{C})) = \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_0})) \cap W(\mathbb{C})$ is closed in $W(\mathbb{C})$.

□

6. RECONSTRUCTION OF THE SUPPORT, II

We aim to prove Theorem 1.2. The proof is divided into four steps and it follows the general strategy of [LLZ24, §3].

6.1. Step 1. We need the following characterization of skyscraper sheaves.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose X is a smooth projective variety and let $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ be a very ample line bundle. Suppose $Z \subset X$ is an algebraic closed subset and let E be a simple object in $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ such that $\text{Hom}_{D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(E, E[j]) = 0$ for $j < 0$. If there exist non-negative integers $a_0, \dots, a_{\dim X}$ such that*

$$\text{RHom}_{D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\iota_Z^!(\mathcal{O}_Z(-m)), E) \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\dim X} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus a_k}[-k]$$

for $m \gg 0$, then $E \simeq \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(x)[r]$ for some closed point $x \in Z$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Denote by $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion map and note the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\iota_Z^! i_* \mathcal{O}_Z(-m), E) &\simeq \\ \text{Hom}_{D^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(i_* \mathcal{O}_Z(-m), \iota_Z E) &\simeq \\ \text{Hom}_{D^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\mathcal{O}_X, (i_* \mathcal{O}_Z)^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \otimes^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \iota_Z E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)) & \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{H}^q be the q -th cohomology of the complex $(i_* \mathcal{O}_Z)^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \otimes^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \iota_Z E$ and consider the spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{p,q} = \text{Hom}_{D^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{H}^q \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)[p]) &\Rightarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{D^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\mathcal{O}_X, (i_* \mathcal{O}_Z)^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \otimes^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \iota_Z E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)[p+q]) & \end{aligned}$$

For $m \gg 0$ the elements $E_2^{p,q}$ are trivial for all $p \neq 0$ and all q . Hence the spectral sequence degenerates at the second page and

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^q \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{D^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\mathcal{O}_X, (i_* \mathcal{O}_Z)^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \otimes^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \iota_Z E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)[q])$$

for all q . By hypotheses, the right hand side of the above isomorphisms vanish for $q < 0$ or $q > \dim X$, while in middle degrees we have

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^q \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\iota_Z^!(\mathcal{O}_Z(-m)), E[q]) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{\oplus a_q}$$

for all $m \gg 0$. Moreover we can suppose $\mathcal{H}^q \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)$ is globally generated for $m \gg 0$ and any q . Therefore for every q the sheaf \mathcal{H}^q is either trivial or supported on a finite set of points. In fact, if $\mathcal{H}^q \neq 0$ and $s = \dim \text{Supp}(\mathcal{H}^q)$, then by [Ser55, p. 276, Proposition 6] the Hilbert polynomial $P_{\mathcal{H}^q}(m) := \chi(\mathcal{H}^q \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m))$ has degree equal to s and

$$P_{\mathcal{H}^q}(m) = h^0(X, \mathcal{H}^q \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = a_q \quad \text{for all } m \gg 0.$$

This forces $s = 0$ and

$$(4) \quad \dim(\text{Supp}((i_* \mathcal{O}_Z)^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \otimes^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \iota_Z E)) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, there are equalities of sets

$$(5) \quad \text{Supp}((i_* \mathcal{O}_Z)^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \otimes^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}} \iota_Z E) = \text{Supp}((i_* \mathcal{O}_Z)^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{L}}) \cap \text{supp}(\iota_Z E)$$

and

$$(6) \quad \text{Supp}((i_*\mathcal{O}_Z)^{\vee})^{\text{R}} = \text{Supp}(i_*\mathcal{O}_Z) = Z.$$

In order to check (6), for a closed point $x \in X$ it results

$$\text{RHom}((i_*\mathcal{O}_Z)^{\vee}, \mathbb{C}(x)) \simeq \text{RHom}(\mathcal{O}_X, i_*\mathcal{O}_Z \otimes^{\text{L}} \mathbb{C}(x)),$$

and therefore

$$x \in \text{Supp}((i_*\mathcal{O}_Z)^{\vee}) \cap X(\mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow x \in Z(\mathbb{C})$$

(here we have employed the degeneration of the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p',q'} = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{H}^{q'}(i_*\mathcal{O}_Z \otimes^{\text{L}} \mathbb{C}(x)[p'])) \Rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, i_*\mathcal{O}_Z \otimes^{\text{L}} \mathbb{C}(x)[p' + q']).$$

By putting together (4), (5) and (6), we conclude that $\dim \text{Supp}(\iota_Z E) \leq 0$. The conclusion follows by Lemma 3.4. □

6.2. Step 2. By hypotheses we may suppose $F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(x)) = \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(y)$ for some closed points $x \in Z(\mathbb{C})$ and $y \in W(\mathbb{C})$.

There are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHom}_{\text{D}_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(y)) &\simeq \text{RHom}_{\text{D}_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z), F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(x))) \simeq \\ \text{RHom}_{\text{D}_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z, \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(x)) &\simeq \text{RHom}_{\text{D}^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathbb{C}(x)) \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\dim X} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus a_k}[-k] \end{aligned}$$

for some integers $a_0, \dots, a_{\dim X} \geq 0$.

By semi-continuity, there exists an algebraic open dense subset $W' \subset W$ containing y such that for every closed point $p \in W'$:

$$\text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)) \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\dim X} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus b_k^p}[-k]$$

for some integers $b_0^p, \dots, b_{\dim X}^p$ such that $0 \leq b_k^p \leq a_k$ for any $k = 0, \dots, \dim X$.

Fix an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ and let

$$P_0 \subset P_1 \subset P_2 \subset \dots \subset P_{\dim Z} = \mathbb{P}^N$$

be a flag of subspaces such that $P_j \simeq \mathbb{P}^{N-\dim Z+j}$ and $Z_j := Z \cap P_j$ is of dimension j for every $0 \leq j \leq \dim Z$. Moreover, the Z_j 's are irreducible except possibly Z_0 ([Jou83, Theoreme I.6.10]). We can also assume $x \notin Z_j$ for all $j < \dim Z$. Then for any $j < \dim Z$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHom}_{\text{D}_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(y)) &\simeq \\ \text{RHom}_{\text{D}_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}), F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(x))) &\simeq \\ \text{RHom}_{\text{D}_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}, \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(x)) &\simeq \\ \text{RHom}_{\text{D}^b(\text{Coh}(X))}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_j}, \mathbb{C}(x)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that $y \notin \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}))$. Set $S = \bigcup_{0 \leq j < \dim Z} \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j})) \subset W$. The set S is a closed subset and does not contain y . Also define the following open dense subset of W :

$$V := W \setminus S.$$

Proposition 6.2. *For every $p \in V$ closed point and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$\text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z(m)), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)) \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\dim X} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus b_k^p}[-k].$$

Proof. For every $j = 1, \dots, \dim Z$ there is a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(-Z_{j-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_{j-1}} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(-Z_{j-1}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(-1) = \mathcal{O}_{Z_j} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(-1)$. We set

$$\mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(m) := \mathcal{O}_{Z_j} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(m)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and note the distinguished triangles

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{j-1}}(m+1)[-1] &\rightarrow \iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(m) \rightarrow \iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(m+1) \rightarrow \iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{j-1}}(m+1) \\ F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{j-1}}(m+1)[-1]) &\rightarrow F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(m)) \rightarrow F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(m+1)) \rightarrow F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{j-1}}(m+1)) \end{aligned}$$

in $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ and $D_W^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$, respectively. Therefore we find that

$$(7) \quad \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(m+1))) \subset \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(m))) \cup \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{j-1}}(m+1)))$$

and

$$(8) \quad \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(m))) \subset \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}(m+1))) \cup \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{j-1}}(m+1))).$$

Now note that $\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}(m) \simeq \iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$ for all m . By proceeding by induction on m , and by employing (7) for $m \geq 0$ and (8) for $m < 0$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\dim Z-1}}(m))) \subset \\ &\text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\dim Z-1}}(m-1))) \cup \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\dim Z-2}}(m))) \subset \\ &\text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\dim Z-1}}(m-2))) \cup \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\dim Z-2}}(m-1))) \cup \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\dim Z-3}}(m))) \\ &\quad \subset \dots \subset \bigcup_{0 \leq j < \dim Z} \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_j})). \end{aligned}$$

Finally let $p \in V$ be any point closed point and consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\dim Z-1}} \rightarrow 0,$$

together with the distinguished triangle

$$F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z(-1)) \rightarrow F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\dim Z-1}}) \rightarrow F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z(-1))[1].$$

Note that

$$\text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\dim Z-1}}), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)) = 0$$

as $p \notin S$. Therefore we obtain the isomorphisms

$$\text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z(-1)), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)) \simeq \text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)) \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\dim X} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus b_k^p}[-k].$$

By running an induction argument on m we get the statement. \square

6.3. Step 3. For every closed point $p \in V$ there exists an object E_p in $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ such that $F(E_p) = \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)$. Moreover, by Proposition 6.2, we have

$$\text{RHom}(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z(m), E_p) \simeq \text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_Z(m)), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)) \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\dim X} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus b_k^p}[-k]$$

for all m . Hence by Proposition 6.1 E_p is a point like object and there is an isomorphism $E_p \simeq \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)[r_p]$ for some $q \in Z(\mathbb{C})$ and $r_p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define the subset

$$Z(\mathbb{C}) \supset U(\mathbb{C}) := \{q \mid F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) = \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)[s_p] \text{ for some } p \in V(\mathbb{C}) \text{ and } s_p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and the function $f: U(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{C})$ such that $f(q) = p$ if and only if $F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) = \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)[s_p]$. Note that f is injective and surjective.

Proposition 6.3. *The set $U(\mathbb{C})$ is open in $Z(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Define the closed set $C = W \setminus V$ and let G_C be a classical generator of $D_C^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$ ([Rou08, Theorem 6.8]). Moreover let F^{-1} be a quasi-inverse to F . Then for any $q \in U(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$\text{RHom}(F^{-1}(G_C), \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) \simeq \text{RHom}(G_C, F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q))) \simeq 0$$

and therefore $\text{Supp}(F^{-1}(G_C)) \cap Z(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z(\mathbb{C}) \setminus U(\mathbb{C})$.

Now we show the reverse inclusion. By [LLZ24, Lemma 2.3], the following inclusion holds for any object E in $D_C^b(\text{Coh}(Y))$:

$$(9) \quad \text{Supp}(F^{-1}(E)) \cap Z(\mathbb{C}) \subset \text{Supp}(F^{-1}(G_C)) \cap Z(\mathbb{C}).$$

Let $q' \in Z(\mathbb{C}) \setminus U(\mathbb{C})$ and $p' \in \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q'))) \cap Z(\mathbb{C})$. Then Serre duality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHom}(F^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p')), \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q')) &\simeq \\ \text{RHom}(\iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p'), F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{q'})) &\simeq \\ \text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q')), S_W(\iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p'))^*) &\simeq \\ \text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q')), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p')[\dim Y])^* &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $q' \in \text{Supp}(F^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p'))) \cap Z(\mathbb{C})$ and by (9) it must be $q' \in \text{Supp}(F^{-1}(G_C)) \cap Z(\mathbb{C})$. It follows that $Z(\mathbb{C}) \setminus U(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Supp}(F^{-1}(G_C)) \cap Z(\mathbb{C})$ is a closed set in $Z(\mathbb{C})$. \square

Proposition 6.4. *The functions f and f^{-1} are continuous.*

Proof. If $V_0 \subset V$ is a Zariski closed subset, we denote by $\overline{V_0}$ its closure in W . Since for every $q \in U(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$\text{RHom}(F^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{V_0}}), \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) \simeq \text{RHom}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{V_0}}, \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(f(q))[s_{f(q)}]),$$

then

$$q \in \text{Supp}(F^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{V_0}})) \cap U(\mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow f(q) \in \overline{V_0} \cap V(\mathbb{C}) = V_0 \cap V(\mathbb{C}).$$

It follows that $f^{-1}(V_0 \cap V(\mathbb{C})) = \text{Supp}(F^{-1}(\iota_W^! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{V_0}})) \cap U$, which is closed in U .

In a similar manner we prove that f^{-1} is continuous. For every Zariski closed subset M in $U(\mathbb{C})$, we denote by \overline{M} its closure in Z . Note that for every $q \in U(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$\text{RHom}(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}, \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) \simeq \text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(f(q))[s_{f(q)}]).$$

Then it follows that

$$f(q) \in \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}})) \cap V(\mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow q \in \overline{M} \cap U(\mathbb{C}) = M$$

and $f(M) = \text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}})) \cap V(\mathbb{C})$ is closed in $V(\mathbb{C})$. □

6.4. Step 4.

Corollary 6.5. *If F is an equivalence and $\dim W = 1$, then $Z(\mathbb{C})$ and $W(\mathbb{C})$ are homeomorphic.*

Proof. Let $p \in W(\mathbb{C}) \setminus V(\mathbb{C})$ and let F_p be an object in $D_Z^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ such that $F(F_p) = \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p)$. The support of F_p is properly contained in Z as

$$\text{RHom}(F_p, \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(x)) \simeq \text{RHom}(\iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(p), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(y)) = 0$$

(note that $y \in V(\mathbb{C})$). Hence F_p is supported in dimension zero and, by Lemma 3.4, $F_p \simeq \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)[m_p]$ for some $q \in Z(\mathbb{C}) \setminus U(\mathbb{C})$ and $m_p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore we can extend f on q by setting $f(q) = p$. By iterating this process for every $p \in W(\mathbb{C}) \setminus V(\mathbb{C})$, we obtain an injective and surjective function $f: U' \rightarrow W(\mathbb{C})$ where $U(\mathbb{C}) \subset U' \subset Z(\mathbb{C})$.

We show that $U' = Z(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose by contradiction that there exists $r \in Z(\mathbb{C}) \setminus U'$. Note that for every $q \in U'$:

$$0 = \text{RHom}(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(r), \iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q)) \simeq \text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(r)), F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(q))) \simeq \text{RHom}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(r)), \iota_W^! \mathbb{C}(f(q))).$$

As f is surjective, this means that $\text{Supp}(F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(r))) = \emptyset$ and $F(\iota_Z^! \mathbb{C}(r)) \simeq 0$ which is impossible. Moreover, as in Proposition 6.4, one can prove that both extensions $f: Z(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{C})$ and $f^{-1}: W(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Z(\mathbb{C})$ are continuous. □

REFERENCES

- [Bal11] Matthew Robert Ballard, *Derived categories of sheaves on singular schemes with an application to reconstruction*, Adv. Math. **227** (2011), no. 2, 895–919.
- [BO01] Alexei Bondal and Dmitri Orlov, *Reconstruction of a variety from the derived category and groups of autoequivalences*, Compositio Math. **125** (2001), no. 3, 327–344.
- [CL25] Federico Caucci and Luigi Lombardi, *Irregular fibrations of derived equivalent varieties*, arXiv:2207.14081, to appear in Rev. Mat. Iberoam. (2025).
- [CP21] John Calabrese and Roberto Pirisi, *Gabriel’s theorem and birational geometry*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (2021), no. 3, 907–922.
- [CS14] Alberto Canonaco and Paolo Stellari, *Fourier-Mukai functors in the supported case*, Compos. Math. **150** (2014), no. 8, 1349–1383.
- [Fav12] David Favero, *Reconstruction and finiteness results for Fourier-Mukai partners*, Adv. Math. **230** (2012), no. 4-6, 1955–1971.
- [Gab62] Pierre Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 323–448.
- [Huy06] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [IM24] D. Ito and H. Matsui, *A new proof of the Bondal-Orlov reconstruction using Matsui spectra*, arXiv:2405.16776 (2024).
- [Ito25] D. Ito, *Polarizations on a triangulated category*, arXiv:2502.15621 (2025).
- [Jou83] Jean-Pierre Jouanolou, *Théorèmes de Bertini et applications*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 42, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1983.
- [Kaw02] Yujiro Kawamata, *D-equivalence and K-equivalence*, J. Differential Geom. **61** (2002), no. 1, 147–171.

- [Lip02] Joseph Lipman, *Lectures on local cohomology and duality*, Local cohomology and its applications (Guanajuato, 1999), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 226, Dekker, New York, 2002, pp. 39–89.
- [LLZ24] C. Li, X. Lin, and Z. Zhao, *Fully faithful functors, skyscraper sheaves, and birational equivalence*, arXiv:2402.12573 (2024).
- [Lom14] Luigi Lombardi, *Derived invariants of irregular varieties and Hochschild homology*, Algebra Number Theory **8** (2014), no. 3, 513–542.
- [Muk81] Shigeru Mukai, *Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves*, Nagoya Math. J. **81** (1981), 153–175.
- [Nee96] Amnon Neeman, *The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield’s techniques and Brown representability*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), no. 1, 205–236.
- [Per09] Arvid Perego, *A Gabriel theorem for coherent twisted sheaves*, Math. Z. **262** (2009), no. 3, 571–583.
- [PS11] Mihnea Popa and Christian Schnell, *Derived invariance of the number of holomorphic 1-forms and vector fields*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **44** (2011), no. 3, 527–536.
- [Rou08] Raphaël Rouquier, *Dimensions of triangulated categories*, J. K-Theory **1** (2008), no. 2, 193–256.
- [Rou11] ———, *Automorphisms, graduations et catégories triangulées*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **10** (2011), no. 3, 713–751.
- [SdSSdS12] Carlos Sancho de Salas and Fernando Sancho de Salas, *Reconstructing schemes for the derived category*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **55** (2012), no. 3, 781–796.
- [Ser55] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Faisceaux algébriques cohérents*, Ann. of Math. (2) **61** (1955), 197–278.
- [Tod06] Yukinobu Toda, *Fourier-Mukai transforms and canonical divisors*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 4, 962–982.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, VIA CESARE SALDINI 50, 20133 MILANO, ITALY

Email address: luigi.lombardi@unimi.it