

DIRAC OPERATORS ON ORBIFOLD RESOLUTIONS: UNIFORM ELLIPTIC THEORY

V. F. MAJEWSKI

Abstract

Dirac operators on Riemannian spaces play a central role in various branches of mathematics, encoding rich geometric and topological data. They appear as deformation operators in moduli problems, including those associated with special holonomy metrics, gauge theory instantons, and calibrated submanifolds. This paper investigates the behaviour of families of Dirac operators as the underlying Riemannian spaces degenerate to a Riemannian orbifold in the so called adiabatic limit. Specifically, we focus on relating the kernels and cokernels of the family of Dirac operators to adiabatic data and establish uniform bounds on their right inverse. These results provide a crucial analytic foundation for gluing problems on orbifold resolutions, without relying on the intricate iterated edge calculus developed by Mazzeo, Melrose, Schulze [Maz91, Mel92, Mel96, Sch91] and others. This work paves the way for forthcoming studies, where these techniques will be used to address open problems in special holonomy geometry, for example the construction of compact G_2 - and $\text{Spin}(7)$ -manifolds, gauge theory, and calibrated geometry. The methods developed here have broader implications for the study of singular Riemannian spaces and their analytic properties.

CONTENTS

1. Preface	2
Acknowledgements	9
2. Riemannian Orbifolds	10
2.1. The Category of Orbifolds	10
2.2. Geometric Structures on Orbifolds	12
2.3. Resolutions of Riemannian Orbifolds	13
2.4. Riemannian Orbifolds as Iterated Edge Spaces	14
2.5. Asymptotically (Quasi) Conical Fibrations	16
2.6. Gromov-Hausdorff-Resolutions of Riemannian Orbifolds	17
2.7. The Cohomology of Resolved Orbifolds	22
3. Hermitian Dirac Bundles on Orbifolds and their Resolutions	22
3.1. Adiabatic Clifford Modules	22
3.2. Dirac Bundles on Orbifold	24
3.3. Resolutions of Dirac Bundles	28
4. Analytic setup	32
4.1. Linear Gluing	32
4.2. Polyhomogeneous Solutions and Eigenbundles	34
4.3. e- vs. b-Calculus & Hölder vs. Sobolev	40
5. Uniform Elliptic Theory for Conically Fibred Dirac Operators	41
5.1. Weighted Function Spaces on Conically Fibrations	41
5.2. The Restriction and Extension Map	43
5.3. Invertibility of Conically Fibred Dirac Operators	44
5.4. Uniform Elliptic Estimates for Conically Fibred Dirac Operators	49
6. Elliptic Theory for Dirac Operators on Orbifolds as CSF Spaces	49
7. Uniform Elliptic Theory of Adiabatic Families of ACF Dirac Operators	55
7.1. Weighted Function Spaces on Asymptotically Conical Fibrations	55

Date: March 12, 2025.

7.2. Uniform Fredholm Theory for Adiabatic Families of Asymptotically Conically Fibred Dirac Operators	57
7.3. Fredholm Theory for Adiabatic Families of Asymptotically Conically Fibred Dirac Operators	68
8. Uniform Elliptic Theory for Dirac Operators on Orbifold Resolutions of Type (I)	75
8.1. Weighted Function Spaces on Resolutions of Orbifolds	75
8.2. Uniform Linear Gluing for Dirac Operators on Orbifold Resolutions	78
Appendix A. Riemannian Geometry of Adiabatic Families	86
Appendix B. The Spectrum of Dirac Operators on Spheres	88
B.1. The Spectrum of the Hodge-de Rham Operator	89
B.2. The Spectrum of the Trivially Twisted Spin-Dirac Operator	91
References	92

1. PREFACE

Dirac operators on Riemannian spaces are of great interest in many branches of mathematics such as differential and algebraic geometry, mathematical physics, and geometric analysis. These operators are square roots of Laplace operators and their analytic realisations encode rich geometric and topological data. In Riemannian geometry and gauge theory Dirac operators appear as deformation operators of various moduli problems such special holonomy metrics, holonomy instantons and calibrated submanifolds. Compactifications of subject moduli-spaces usually contain Riemannian orbifolds as boundary points and hence the following question naturally arises:

How does a family of Dirac operators behave as the underlying family of Riemannian spaces¹ degenerate to a Riemannian orbifold?

This question arises naturally in many contexts, prominently in gluing problems, and is interesting in itself.

Instead of asking for a family of Riemannian spaces that degenerates to a Riemannian orbifold, we will fix a Riemannian orbifold and ask for a family of “less singular” Riemannian spaces that “converges” to subject Riemannian orbifold in the so called **adiabatic limit**. We will refer to such a family of Riemannian spaces as a Gromov-Hausdorff resolution.

Construction methods for moduli problems in differential geometry usually involve solving nonlinear elliptic differential equations. Solutions to which are often constructed via gluing methods. Hereby, local solutions to these nonlinear differential equations are interpolated to construct a so-called preglued solutions. These preglued solutions solve the nonlinear equations in an open region and only fail closely to be global solutions. Deforming the preglued solution by a small perturbation to an honest solutions amounts to solve a so-called gluing problem. The following examples will briefly explain how such gluing problems naturally arise in the construction of special holonomy metrics, the construction of special holonomy instantons and the construction of calibrated submanifolds, by resolving orbifold resolutions.

1. Harmonic forms on a compact manifolds, can be identified with the kernel of the Hodge-de Rham operator and are in representatives of cohomology classes. The Hodge-de Rham operator, squares to the Laplacian on differential forms and is commonly known to be a Dirac operator associated to the Dirac bundle of differential forms. Using the Meyer-Vietoris sequence, we are able to compute the cohomology of an orbifold resolution with relative ease. In contrast to the simplicity of understanding the cohomology of the resolution, it is unclear

¹Here we intentionally use the ambiguous class of Riemannian spaces which includes (singular) Riemannian manifolds as well as Riemannian orbifolds.

however, how the harmonic representatives of the cohomology classes behave, as the Gromov-Hausdorff resolution approaches the orbifold limit.

In order to answer this question, we need to carefully study the kernel of the Dirac operators and relate their kernels to an approximate kernel arising from interpolating harmonic spinors on the orbifold and on the resolution data.

2. Spaces with special holonomy metrics, such as Calabi-Yau-, G_2 - and $\text{Spin}(7)$ -metrics, are of central interest in both mathematics and physics due to their unique geometric properties and their role in supersymmetric string theory compactifications. In particular, all special holonomy spaces are Ricci-flat. These metrics are often constructed by resolving singularities in orbifolds with special holonomy metrics. A famous example of which is the Kummer construction of $K3$ surfaces by resolving the hyperkähler orbifold $\mathbb{T}^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$. In recent years, Joyce and Karigiannis [Joy96c, Joy96b, Joy96a, JK21] generalised these ideas to resolve certain (flat) orbifolds to construct compact G_2 and $\text{Spin}(7)$ -manifolds.

Let (X^t, Ω^t, g^t) be an adiabatic Ricci-flat resolution of a special holonomy orbifold (X, Ω, g) . Here Ω^t and Ω determine the special holonomy metric. All these existence results for torsion-free special holonomy structures can be formulated as solutions of a nonlinear differential equation of the form

$$-(d + d^{*\Omega^t})\eta = T(\Omega^t) + Q_{\Omega^t}(\eta).$$

Here, $d + d^{*\Omega}$ denote the Hodge-de Rham operator with respect to g_{Ω^t} , $T(\Omega^t)$ denote the torsion of Ω^t and Q_{Ω^t} denotes nonlinear tensorial map satisfying a quadratic estimate. The Hodge-de Rham operator $d + d^{*\Omega^t}$ is commonly known to be a Dirac operator. Its kernel consists of harmonic forms. On compact Riemannian manifolds, Hodge theory links these harmonic forms to cohomology classes and thus to topological data of the underlying manifold.

3. Moduli spaces of instantons or monopoles are the fundamental objects of interest in gauge theories. Famously, ASD instantons on four-manifolds were used by Donaldson [Don83] to define enumerative invariants for smooth structures of four-manifolds. To ensure the existence of these moduli spaces, solutions to instanton or monopole equations are usually constructed by hand, e.g. the moduli space of $\text{PU}(n)$ -instantons on $K3$ surfaces is constructed by resolving flat orbifold instantons. In higher dimensions, gauge theories on special holonomy spaces were famously studied by Donaldson and Thomas [DT98]. The instanton equations are given by

$$*(\Omega \wedge F_A) = \lambda \cdot F_A \Leftrightarrow F_A^\Omega = 0.$$

Starting from an orbifold Ω -instanton A , we hope to resolve it by a smooth Ω^t -instanton on the orbifold resolution. Given a pre-glued (adiabatic) solution A^t , a deformation $A^t + a$ is an Ω^t instanton if a satisfies the nonlinear differential equation

$$-D_{A^t}^{\Omega^t} a = F_{A^t}^{\Omega^t} + \frac{1}{2}[a \wedge a]^{\Omega^t}$$

where $D_{A^t}^{\Omega^t}$ is the A^t twisted Dirac operator on (X^t, g^t) and $F_{A^t}^{\Omega^t}$ the Ω^t -holonomy component of the curvature of the preglued connection A^t .

4. Let (X, g) be a Riemannian space whose Riemannian structure is induced by a calibration Ω , i.e. closed differential form satisfying the comass condition

$$|\Omega| \leq 1.$$

Examples of such calibrations are Calabi-Yau-, hyperkähler-, G_2 - and $\text{Spin}(7)$ -structures. A calibrated subspace of such a calibrated Riemannian spaces is given by an immersed subspace

$\iota : [C \rightarrow X]$ such that

$$\Omega|_C = \text{vol}_{g_C}.$$

In the context of the examples of calibrations, these calibrated submanifolds are holomorphic submanifolds and special Lagrangian-, as well as (co-)associative-, and Cayley-submanifolds.

Let C^t be an almost Ω^t -calibrated submanifold and n a section of its normal bundle. The calibration Ω^t embeds the normal bundle of C^t into a graded Hermitian Dirac bundle. The condition for finding a Ω^t -calibrated submanifold close to C^t can be formulated as solutions of the nonlinear differential equation

$$-D_{C^t}n = e(C^t) + q^t(n).$$

Here D_{C^t} denotes a Dirac operator on the normal bundle of the almost calibrated submanifold C^t .

Notice that all the mentioned gluing problems can be written as

$$(1) \quad -D^t\Phi = E^t + Q^t(\Phi)$$

for a family of Dirac operators D^t on an orbifold resolution (X^t, g^t) . In order to solve these gluing problems, it is essential to construct a right-inverses to subject Dirac operators, such that a solution to (1) is given by a solution to the fixed-point problem

$$(2) \quad \Phi = -E^t + Q^t(R_{D^t}\Phi).$$

In order to solve this fixed point problem via the contraction mapping principal, we need to construct and bound the family of right-inverses.

In forthcoming work by the author [Maj25c, Maj25b, Maj25a], the existence of G_2 - and $\text{Spin}(7)$ -orbifold resolutions is proved, $\text{Spin}(7)$ -instantons, i.e. absolute minimisers of the Yang-Mill energy on $\text{Spin}(7)$ -manifolds, and Cayley submanifolds, i.e. submanifolds calibrated by $\text{Spin}(7)$ -structures, are constructed using the results presented in this paper.

In the following we will outline the general construction and its difficulties:

Riemannian orbifolds admit **stratifications** by conjugacy classes of their isotropy groups. Naturally a Riemannian orbifold (X, g) decomposes into its regular part X^{reg} and the singular part X^{sing} which is of codimension ≥ 2 . Normal neighbourhoods of its singular strata of isotropy Γ are diffeomorphic to fibre bundles of (singular) cones over S^{m-1}/Γ . Riemannian orbifold structures are natural examples of so called iterated edge metrics, by means of expansions close to their singular strata whose “leading order” is given by a **conically fibred (CF)** Riemannian structure. Notice that this CF structure has the geometric structure of a wrapped product

$$(X_0, g_0) = (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times Y, dr^2 + g_{Y;r}).$$

Here $\vartheta : (Y, g_Y^{2,0} + r^2 g_Y^{0,2}) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ is a compact, collapsing Riemannian fibration of totally geodesic (singular) homology spheres. Thus Riemannian orbifolds will be thought of as **conically singular fibred (CSF)** spaces, which will be defined in the scope of this paper.

We will distinguish three classes of Riemannian orbifolds by the complexity of their singular strata, which is related to the depth of the latter. The mildest class of singular strata will be referred to as type (I) singularities. Their local model is given by $\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^m/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \text{Isot}(S)$ is acting freely on $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ and consequently their normal cone bundle is a nonsingular conical fibration (CF) over the singular stratum. The total space of the normal cone bundle of a singular strata of type (I) is a noncompact Riemannian orbifold whose singular stratum can be identified with the zero section. Throughout this paper, we will mainly focus on orbifolds with one singular stratum of type (I) in order to avoid the notational overload by the bookkeeping of the required data. The general case can be

derived from the subject set-up.

Given a connected singular stratum and a tubular neighbourhood $\text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S) \subset X$, we can expand a Hermitian Dirac bundle structure $(E, \text{cl}_g, h, \nabla^h)$ on (X, g) with respect to the Riemannian distance to the singular stratum S and identify the zeroth order term as a (singular) conically fibred (CF) Hermitian Dirac bundle structure on the normal cone bundle $\nu_0 : X_0 = NS/\text{Isot}(S) \rightarrow S$ of S .

A **Gromov-Hausdorff resolution of a Riemannian orbifold** (X, g) is given by a smooth family of (singular) Riemannian orbifolds (X^t, g^t) and morphisms

$$\rho^t : X^t \dashrightarrow X$$

such that ρ^t restricts to an orbifold diffeomorphism $(\rho^t)^{-1}(X^{\text{reg}}) \cong X^{\text{reg}}$, the exceptional set $\Upsilon^t = (\rho^t)^{-1}(X^{\text{sing}})$ is of codimension ≥ 2 and

$$(X^t, g^t) \xrightarrow[\text{GH}]{t \rightarrow 0} (X, g) \quad \text{and} \quad (X^t \setminus \Upsilon^t, g^t) \xrightarrow[\text{C}^\infty]{t \rightarrow 0} (X^{\text{reg}}, g)$$

In particular $\text{vol}_{g^t}(\Upsilon^t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0$ and $\rho_*^t g^t \xrightarrow[\text{C}^\infty]{t \rightarrow 0} g$.

Such resolutions are usually constructed via resolutions of the CF-Hermitian Dirac bundle over the normal cone bundle consist of a family of **asymptotically conically fibred (ACF)** Hermitian Dirac bundle-structures on a Riemannian fibration subject to a list of assumptions. The resolutions (X^t, g^t) and the resolutions of the Hermitian Dirac bundles are then constructed by interpolating between the ACF structures on the resolutions $(X_{t^2, \zeta}, g_{t^2, \zeta})$ of the normal cone (X_0, g_0) and the Hermitian orbifold Dirac bundle structures on X along a (shrinking) tubular neighbourhood of S .

In order to analyse the resulting family of Dirac operators D^t on the resolved orbifolds (X^t, g^t) we split the latter into three topological regions: the ACF part, the CF-part and the CSF-part. We will proceed by analysing all the different components individually in order to derive a uniform elliptic theory on the total space of the resolution.

We begin by considering the CF-part of the orbifold resolution. This part more generally referred to as the neck part of the gluing construction, can be realised as an annulus subbundle of the normal cone bundle of shrinking outer diameter. In this region, the **analytic behaviour of D^t is determined by the normal operator \widehat{D}_0 of D** , which can be seen as the leading order operator in an asymptotically expansion of D close to the singular stratum. By using essentially an elaborated version of separation of variables we can explicitly expand the kernel of \widehat{D}_0 . Using this expansion, we argue that \widehat{D}_0 , D and $\widehat{D}_{t^2, \zeta}$, the latter being a resolution of \widehat{D}_0 , should be realised as bounded operators on **weighted function spaces**, subject to **boundary conditions**. By carefully choosing the right function spaces the normal operator can be realised as an isomorphism of Banach spaces; $\widehat{D}_{t^2, \zeta}$ and D as Fredholm maps.

We continue by defining the so called approximate (co)kernel of D^t by interpolating the (co)kernel of the operators $\widehat{D}_{t^2, \zeta}$ and D . One of the scopes of this paper is to prove the exactness of linear gluing, by virtue of the exactness of the sequence

$$\ker(D^t) \xleftarrow{i^t} \text{xker}(D^t) \xrightarrow{\text{ob}^t} \text{xcoker}(D^t) \xrightarrow{p^t} \text{coker}(D^t).$$

In order to prove the above, we will need to study the so called (anti-) gluing equations in the style of Hutchings-Taubes obstruction bundle gluing [HT07, HT09].

Further, we will prove the existence of right-inverses to the operator D^t and construct adapted norms, for which these right-inverse are uniformly bounded. This problem arises due to the **collapsing**

phenomena in the ACF-part of the linear gluing, causing the following problem.

Notice that the CF-Hermitian Dirac bundle on the normal cone bundle $\nu_0 : X_0 \rightarrow S$ is a $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -module, lifting the action of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ on the normal cone bundle X_0 by scaling the fibres. We will assume that this $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -action can be lifted to the ACF Hermitian Dirac bundle structures $(X_{t^2\zeta}, g_{t^2\zeta})$ by means of the existence of a family of ACF structures (X_ζ, g_ζ^t) resolving the dilated normal cone bundle (X_0, g_0^t) . “Pulling back along this action”, the associated Dirac operator \widehat{D}_ζ^t has the form

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t = \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t + t^{-1} \cdot D_{\zeta;V}$$

where $\widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t = \mathcal{O}(1)$ in $t \rightarrow 0$. The vertical Dirac operator $D_{\zeta;V}$ scales by t^{-1} and hence becomes singular in the limit $t \rightarrow 0$. In particular, the norm of sections that are vertically harmonic, i.e. in the kernel of $D_{\zeta;V}$ **collapse in the adiabatic limit**, and hence the “classical” operator norm of D^t is not t -independently bounded from below. This consequential unboundedness from above of a right-inverse is problematic for the application in gluing analysis and hence we need to adapted the used norms to compensate for this collapsing phenomena. The construction of these norms is inspired by work of Walpuski [Wal17a, Wal17b] and Platt [Pla20].

We will now outline the structure of the paper:

- In section 2 we review Riemannian orbifolds and their resolutions. We will categories singularity models into type (I) and type (II). Resolutions of type (I) singularities will be the main interest of this paper. These resolutions will be constructed via **asymptotically conical fibrations (ACF)**, i.e. families of asymptotically conical spaces, resolving the **conical fibred (CF)** normal cone bundle of a singular stratum. We will continue by sketching how certain classes of type (II) singularities can be resolved using similar techniques.
- In section 3 we will review the structure of Hermitian Dirac bundles over Riemannian fibre bundles by following the work of Gromoll and Walshap in [GW09] and Berline, Getzler and Vergne in [BGV92]. In particular, we will show that the CF Dirac operator on the normal cone bundle X_0 , and subsequently the resolved ACF Dirac operator on X_ζ can be written as the sum of a “well behaving” family of “horizontal” Dirac operators and $t^{-1} \cdot D_V$ where the latter is the Dirac operator associated to the vertical Hermitian Dirac bundle.
- In section 4 we revisit linear gluing methods in subsection 4.1 and introduce the boundary operator B in subsection 4.2. Using an elaborated version of separation of variables, we obtain a **polyhomogeneous expansion of the kernel and cokernel** elements of the Dirac operators involved in this construction. Using the gained insights, we discuss the right definition of weighted function spaces to mimic the gluing method outlined at the beginning of subject section.
- In section 5 we discuss the analytic realisation of the boundary operator. We explicitly compute its polyhomogenous solutions and by imposing ASP-type boundary conditions we can realise the boundary operator as an isomorphism of Banach spaces.

Proposition 5.2. *Let us assume that $\beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$. The space $C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +)$ is complete and*

$$\widehat{D}_0 : C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +) \rightarrow C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0)$$

is an isomorphism of Banach spaces.

- In section 6 we use the derived results and establish an elliptic theory for CSF Dirac operators on orbifolds. We establish a uniform CSF-Schauder estimate in weighted function spaces.

Proposition 6.2 (CSF-Schauder Estimates). *Let $\Phi \in C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +)$*

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \|D\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^0}$$

holds.

By improving on this Schauder estimate we are able to prove that the chosen realisation of D is Fredholm unless $\beta \in \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$. Further its index satisfies a wall-crossing formula.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$. The map*

$$D : C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +) \rightarrow C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}(X, E)$$

is Fredholm and for $\beta_2 < \beta_1$

$$\text{ind}_{\beta_2}(D) - \text{ind}_{\beta_1}(D) = \sum_{\beta_2 < \lambda < \beta_1} d_{\lambda + \frac{m-1}{2} - \delta(E)}(\widehat{D}_0).$$

- In section 7 we will study the family of ACF-Dirac operators \widehat{D}_ζ^t . Such families of Hermitian Dirac bundles are the noncompact analogue of the adiabatic families of Dirac bundles studied by Jean-Michel Bismut [Bis86, BC89, BL91, BL95], Sebastian Goette [Goe14] and others. We will build upon their ideas and analyse the family of Dirac operators \widehat{D}_ζ^t close to their adiabatic limit. We identify the **adiabatic kernel of \widehat{D}_ζ^t** with the kernel of the adiabatic residue

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta} : \Gamma(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta))$$

and the **adiabatic cokernel of \widehat{D}_ζ^t** with the cokernel of the operator

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta} : \Gamma(S, \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta))$$

These operators are elliptic, t -independent Dirac type operators on the singular stratum acting on sections of the finite dimensional Hermitian Dirac bundle of vertical harmonic spinors².

We will further introduce the notion of isentropic families of Dirac operators on X_ζ . An isentropic process is an adiabatic process that is reversible, in the same manner an isentropic operator can modelled by its adiabatic limit. For these isentropic Dirac operators, the space of adiabatic harmonic spinors is isomorphic to the space of harmonic spinors close to the adiabatic limit.

We proceed by following ideas of Walpuski [Wal17a, Wal17b], Platt [Pla20], Degeratu and Mazzeo [DM18] we construct adapted norms and we show that **uniform estimates for the operator bounds of the family of Dirac operators** hold on the family of the resolutions. These adapted norms are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} &= \|\pi_{\mathcal{CoI};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} + t^{-\kappa} \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}} \\ \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} &= \|\pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + t^{-\kappa} \|\varpi_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{CoI};\beta}$, $\pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}$, $\pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}$ denote the projection onto the vertical coimage, kernel, image and cokernel of the vertical Dirac operator $D_{\zeta;V}$. We define the space

$$\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) := \left(\text{dom}_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t), \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \right)$$

equipped with the graph norm

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)} = \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} + \left\| \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}.$$

²i.e. the bundle of (co)kernels of $D_{\zeta;V}$.

Proposition 7.7. *The space $\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)$ is a Banach space and the map*

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t : \mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}$$

is Fredholm. The kernel and cokernel are smooth, i.e. independent of α and k . Moreover,

$$\text{ind}_\beta(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) = \text{ind}_\beta(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) = \dim(\ker(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta})) - \dim(\text{coker}(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta}))$$

and hence if $\beta_2 < \beta_1$ is a critical rate, then

$$\text{ind}_{\beta_2}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) - \text{ind}_{\beta_1}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) = \sum_{\beta_2 < \lambda < \beta_1} d_{\lambda + \frac{m-1}{2} - \delta(E_0)}(\widehat{D}_0)$$

Furthermore, we are able to improve on the weighted Schauder estimate and are show that the operator \widehat{D}_ζ^t is **uniformly bounded from below** on the complement of the adiabatic kernel.

Proposition 7.8. *Assume that $-\iota < \kappa < \pi$. Then the operator*

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t : \mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}$$

satisfies

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + t^{-\kappa} \cdot \|\pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_t^0}$$

Further, let $\Phi \in \mathfrak{Ker}_\beta(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)^\perp = \ker(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta})^\perp$. Then

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}.$$

- In section 8 we will state and prove the main theorem of this paper. We begin by define the approximate kernel and cokernel of D^t by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{xker}_\beta(D^t) = & \ker \left(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta} : C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow C_t^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \right) \\ & \oplus \\ & \ker \left(D : C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{\bullet,\alpha}(D) \rightarrow C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{\bullet-1,\alpha} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{xcoker}_\beta(D^t) = & \text{coker} \left(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta} : C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow C_t^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \right) \\ & \oplus \\ & \text{coker} \left(D : C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{\bullet,\alpha}(D) \rightarrow C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{\bullet-1,\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Further, we will introduce function spaces of sections of E^t by interpolating the ACF and CSF-norms. Using the results of the prior sections we are able to state and to prove the main theorem:

Theorem 8.1. *The operator D^t satisfies*

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \|D^t \Phi\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}$$

for all $\Phi \in \text{xker}(D^t)^\perp$. Moreover, there exists an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad \ker(D^t) \xleftarrow{i_\beta^t} \text{xker}_\beta(D^t) \xrightarrow{\text{ob}_\beta^t} \text{xcoker}_\beta(D^t) \xrightarrow{p_\beta^t} \text{coker}(D^t)$$

which implies the existence of a uniformly bounded right-inverse of D^t if $\text{ob}_\beta^t = 0$.

By taking the Euler characteristic of the sequence, we deduce that $\text{ind} \circ \cup^t = + \circ \text{ind}$, i.e.

$$\text{ind}(D^t) = \text{ind}_\beta(D) + \text{ind}_\beta(\widehat{D}_\zeta^0).$$

- In appendix A we will review the adiabatic structure of Riemannian fibrations and list how the Levi-Civita connection and Riemannian curvature tensors decompose with respect to a given Ehresmann connection.
- In appendix B we are discussing the spectrum of Dirac operators on homology spheres. This is essential in the discussion of concrete examples of the uniform elliptic theory we construct in this paper, as the spectrum of the vertical link Dirac operator, i.e. a Dirac operator on a homology sphere, determines the set of critical rates $\mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$ for which the ACF-CF-CSF Fredholm theory fails.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to my PhD supervisor Thomas Walpuski for his encouragement and support. Additionally, I extend my gratitude to Gorapada Bera, Dominik Gutwein, Jacek Rzemieniecki, Thorsten Hertel, Fabian Lehmann and Thibault Langlais for their valuable discussions, constructive tips and helpful insights. This work is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy – The Berlin Mathematics Research Center MATH+ (EXC-2046/1, project ID: 390685689).

2. RIEMANNIAN ORBIFOLDS

In the following section we will briefly discuss how one should think of Riemannian orbifolds by following the work of Moerdijk in [Moe02]. In the approach the category of orbifolds is associated with the category of proper foliation groupoids, up to Morita equivalence. By representing an orbifold by a Lie groupoid, the right notions of tangent bundle and normal bundle can be understood in a more natural way than by the usual chart based approach.

2.1. The Category of Orbifolds.

Definition 2.1. Let X^\bullet be a Lie groupoid, i.e. a groupoid ³ object in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Mfd}$.

- X^\bullet is called a proper Lie groupoid if $(s, t) : X^1 \rightarrow X^0 \times X^0$ is a proper map. In particular, every isotropy group is a compact Lie group.
- X^\bullet is called a foliation groupoid if every isotropy group is discrete.
- X^\bullet is called étale if s and t are local diffeomorphisms.

Let X^\bullet be an étale Lie groupoid. Then every arrow $\phi : x \rightarrow y$ in X^\bullet induces a well-defined germ of a diffeomorphism $\tilde{\phi} : (U_x, x) \rightarrow (U_y, y)$, as $\tilde{\phi} = t \circ \hat{\phi}$, where $\hat{\phi} : U_x \rightarrow X^1$ is an $s : X^1 \rightarrow X^0$ section, defined on a sufficiently small neighbourhood U_x of x .

- X^\bullet is called faithful, if for each point $x \in X^0$, the homomorphism $G_x \rightarrow \text{Diff}_x(X^0)$ is injective.

Definition 2.2. Let X^\bullet be a Lie groupoid. A left X^\bullet -space E is a smooth manifold, E , equipped with an action of X^\bullet . Such an action is given by the smooth morphisms

$$\pi : E \rightarrow X^0 \quad \mu_L : X^0 \times_{s,\pi} E \rightarrow E \quad (\mu_R : E \times_{\pi,t} X^0 \rightarrow E)$$

satisfying $\pi(\phi.e) = s(\phi)$, $1_x.e = e$ and $\eta.(\phi.e) = (\eta\phi).e$. For each X^\bullet space E , we can form the translation groupoid $(X \ltimes E)^\bullet$, whose objects are points in E and whose morphisms $\phi : e \rightarrow e'$ are morphisms $\phi : \pi(e) \rightarrow \pi(e')$ in X^1 with $\phi.e = e'$. There exists an obvious homomorphism $\pi_E : (X \ltimes E)^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$ of orbifolds.

Remark 1. Naturally by replacing the left by right actions in the above definition we can define right X^\bullet -spaces. ♡

Definition 2.3. A right/left- X^\bullet - Y^\bullet -bibundle Z , is given by smooth maps $\alpha_X : Z \rightarrow X^0$ and $\alpha_Y : Z \rightarrow Y^0$ called the moment maps, such that both α_X is a right/left X^\bullet -space and α_Y is a right/left Y^\bullet -space, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & & \\
 X^1 & & & Z & & Y^1 \\
 \downarrow s & \downarrow t & & \swarrow \alpha_X & \searrow \alpha_Y & \downarrow s & \downarrow t \\
 X^0 & & & & & Y^0
 \end{array}$$

Definition 2.4. A generalised morphism

$$[Z] : X^\bullet \rightsquigarrow Y^\bullet$$

is given by an isomorphism class of right X^\bullet - Y^\bullet -bibundles.

Definition 2.5. A Lie groupoid X^\bullet is Morita equivalent to Lie groupoid Y^\bullet if there exists a generalised morphism

$$[Z] : X^\bullet \rightsquigarrow Y^\bullet$$

which is also a left X^\bullet - Y^\bullet -bibundle.

³A category such that each morphism is an isomorphism.

Remark 2. Recall that X^\bullet and Y^\bullet Morita equivalence is equivalent to the existence of a third Lie groupoid Z^\bullet and equivalences

$$Z^\bullet \xrightarrow{\phi^\bullet} X^\bullet \quad \text{and} \quad Z^\bullet \xrightarrow{\psi^\bullet} Y^\bullet,$$

i.e. the maps $t \circ \text{pr}_1 : X^1 \times_{s, \phi^0; X^0} Z^0 \rightarrow X^0$ and $t \circ \text{pr}_1 : Y^1 \times_{s, \psi^0; Y^0} Z^0 \rightarrow Y^0$ are surjective submersions and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X^1 & \xleftarrow{\phi^1} & Z^1 & \xrightarrow{\psi^1} & Y^1 \\ \downarrow s \times t & & \downarrow s \times t & & \downarrow s \times t \\ X^0 \times X^0 & \xleftarrow{\phi^0 \times \phi^0} & Z^0 \times Z^0 & \xrightarrow{\psi^0 \times \psi^0} & Y^0 \times Y^0 \end{array}$$

are pullback squares. ♡

Definition 2.6. A smooth n -dimensional orbifold X^\bullet is the equivalence class of a smooth, proper, foliation Lie groupoid $s, t : X^1 \rightrightarrows X^0$ of dimension n up to Morita equivalence. Given such an equivalence class, we will write

$$[X^0/X^1] := [X^\bullet].$$

A morphism of orbifolds, is given by an equivalence class of generalised morphism

$$[[Z]] : [X^\bullet] \rightsquigarrow [Y^\bullet].$$

Remark 3. In every equivalence class of an orbifold $[X^\bullet] \subset \mathbf{LieGrpd}$ there exists a proper, étale groupoid representing the orbifold. ♡

Remark 4. Notice that the orbit space X^0/X^1 just carries the data of a topological space. The stacky quotient $[X^0/X^1]$ “remembers” the isotropy. ♡

Corollary 2.1. *Let X^\bullet be a smooth n -dimensional orbifold represented by a proper étale Lie groupoid. For every open subset $U \subset X^0$ we write $(X|_U)^\bullet$ for the subgroupoid whose objects are U . Since X is assumed to be proper and étale, for $x \in X$ there exists an arbitrarily small neighbourhood U_x such that $(X|_{U_x})^\bullet$ is isomorphic to the action groupoid $(\text{Isot}_x \times U_x)^\bullet$.*

Remark 5. This corollary is usually seen as the definition of an orbifold via orbifold charts, i.e. X being a topological space covered by charts of the form $(\text{Isot}_x \times U_x)^\bullet$ subject to gluing conditions. ♡

Remark 6. Orbifolds are examples of stratified spaces. The stratification of X^\bullet consists of the sub-orbifolds $S^\bullet \subset X^\bullet$ for which $\text{Isot}_s \cong \text{Isot}_{s'}$ for all $s, s' \in S^\bullet$. In particular,

$$X = X^{reg} \cup \bigcup_{[\Gamma_\alpha]} X_\alpha$$

where the union runs over all isomorphism classes $[\Gamma_\alpha]$ of finite subgroups of $\text{GL}(n)$. We can further define a partial order on the set $\{X_\alpha\}$ by

$$X_\alpha \leq X_\beta$$

if $\Gamma_\beta \subset \Gamma_\alpha$. Notice that since $\{X_\alpha\}$ defines a stratification, $X_\beta \leq X_\alpha$ implies $X_\beta \subset \overline{X_\alpha}$. Hence, we can define a prestratification of X by $X_{\bar{\alpha}} = \{\bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} X_\beta\}_\alpha$. In particular, we say that two connected singular strata X_α and $X_{\alpha'}$ intersect in a singular stratum X_β , if $X_\beta \leq X_\alpha$ and $X_\beta \leq X_{\alpha'}$ or equivalently

$$X_{\bar{\alpha}} \cap X_{\bar{\alpha}'} = X_\beta.$$

♡

Proposition 2.1. *Every X_α is a smooth (open) manifold and $\overline{X_\alpha} = X_\alpha \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} X_\beta$.*

Definition 2.7. We define the depth $\text{depth}(X_\alpha)$ of a singular stratum X_α , as the length of the longest chain of inclusions of singular strata, i.e.

$$\text{depth}(X_\alpha) = \max\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid X_\alpha = X_{\beta_0} \leq \dots \leq X_{\beta_i} = X^{reg} \mid X_{\beta_k} \neq X_{\beta_{k-1}}\}.$$

2.2. Geometric Structures on Orbifolds. In this subsection we will introduce geometric structures on orbifolds such as vector bundles, principal bundles and Riemannian orbifold metrics.

Remark 7. Notice that on the level of groupoids, the fibre over $x \in X^0$ is $\pi^{-1}(x)$, at the level of orbit spaces $|X \times E| \rightarrow |X|$ the fibres are $\pi^{-1}(x)/\text{Isot}_x$. \heartsuit

Remark 8. Naturally the tangent bundle of an orbifold TX^\bullet is a X^\bullet -vector bundle, i.e.

$$\pi_X : TX^0 \rightarrow X^0 \quad \mu_{TX} : X^1 \times_{s, \pi_{TX^0}} TX^0 \rightarrow TX^0$$

where $\mu(g \times V_x) = Tg(V_x) \in T_y X^0$ and $\phi : (U_x, x) \rightarrow (V_y, y)$. Furthermore, if S^\bullet is a singular stratum of isotropy Γ , then the normal bundle $NS^\bullet \rightarrow S^\bullet$ of S^\bullet , is a S^\bullet -vector bundle, but $N|S| \rightarrow |S|$ is a (quasi) conical bundle. \heartsuit

Definition 2.8. The frame bundle Fr_{X^\bullet} of an n -dimensional orbifold is the X^\bullet -principal $\text{GL}(n)$ -bundle. It is given by

$$f_X : Fr_{X^0} \rightarrow X^0 \quad \mu_{Fr_X} : X^{\times}_{s, \phi_{X^0}} Fr_{X^0} \rightarrow Fr_{X^0}$$

where $\mu_{Fr_X}(\psi, f_x) = T\psi \circ f_x$.

Proposition 2.2. Let X be an orbifold represented by a Lie groupoid X^\bullet , let $\Pi : X^\bullet \rightarrow [X^0/X^1]$ denote the quotient map and let $S \subset X$ denote a singular strata of isotropy $\text{Isot}_s \cong \Gamma$. There exists a covering map $\text{isot}_S : \text{Isot}(S) \rightarrow S$ such that the Lie groupoid $\Pi^{-1}(S)$ is Morita equivalent to the Lie groupoid $s = t = \text{isot}_S$.

Proof. Let $\Pi^{-1}(S) \subset X^\bullet$ denote the subgroupoid of X^\bullet corresponding to S . Then

$$\widetilde{\text{Isot}}(S) = \Pi^{-1}(S)^1 \times_{s,t} \Pi^{-1}(S)^1 \rightarrow \Pi^{-1}(S)^0$$

defines a $\Pi^{-1}(S)^\bullet$ bundle that descends to the quotient

$$\text{isot}_S : \text{Isot}(S) := [\widetilde{\text{Isot}}(S)/\Pi^{-1}(S)^1] \rightarrow [\Pi^{-1}(S)^0/\Pi^{-1}(S)^1] = S.$$

\square

Proposition 2.3. Let $S^\bullet \subset X^\bullet$ be a singular strata of isotropy type Γ . Then there exists a reduction $N_\Gamma \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(n)$ reduction of the restriction of the frame bundle Fr_{X^\bullet} to S .

Proof. Let X^\bullet be a Lie groupoid representing the orbifold $[X^0/X^1]$. Let S^\bullet denote the sub Lie groupoid corresponding to $S \subset [X^0/X^1]$ in the quotient space. Notice that the X^\bullet -frame bundle, restricts to a $\text{GL}(n)$ -principal bundle of S^\bullet , i.e.

$$f_X|_S : Fr_{X^0}|_{S^0} \rightarrow S^0 \quad \mu_{Fr_X|_S} : S^1 \times_{s, \phi_{X^0}|_{S^0}} Fr_{X^0}|_{S^0} \rightarrow Fr_{X^0}|_{S^0}.$$

We know that there exists a short exact sequence of S^\bullet -vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow TS^\bullet \xrightarrow{T\iota} TX^\bullet|_S \xrightarrow{p} NS^\bullet \longrightarrow 0$$

In particular, there exists a natural reduction to frames

$$f_x : H \oplus V \rightarrow TX^0$$

such that $p \circ f_x|_V \in \text{Fr}(NS^\bullet)$. A moment of thought reveals this reduction can be represented by smooth principal- $N_\Gamma(\text{GL}(n))$ -bundle $\hat{F}_S \rightarrow S$. \square

Definition 2.9. A G -structure on an orbifold, is given by a $G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(n)$ -reduction of the frame bundle. In particular, all isotropy groups need to be conjugated to subgroups of G .

Remark 9. A Riemannian orbifold is given by the $O(n)$ -reduction of an orbifold. Equivalently, this is Riemannian structure on X^0 , such that

$$g_x = \phi^* g_y$$

for all $\phi : (U_x, x) \rightarrow (V_y, y)$. \heartsuit

Corollary 2.2. *Let X be a Riemannian orbifold and S a singular strata of isotropy Γ . Then $F_S \rightarrow S$ is a torsion-free extension of the frame bundle of S , i.e. a*

$$N_\Gamma(\mathrm{O}(n)) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(n - m)$$

extension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_S & \longrightarrow & Fr_{\mathrm{O},S} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & S & \end{array}$$

such that the Levi-Civita connection of X $\varphi^{LC,X} \in \mathcal{A}(F_S)$ is a torsion-free extension of the Levi-Civita connection $\varphi^{LC,S} \in \mathcal{A}(Fr_{\mathrm{O},S})$ on S .

2.3. Resolutions of Riemannian Orbifolds. In the following subsection, we will introduce the concept of Riemannian orbifold resolution as covered in [PTW17].

Definition 2.10. Let (X, g) be a Riemannian orbifold represented by a Riemannian Lie groupoid (X^\bullet, g^\bullet) Morita equivalent to a proper foliation Lie groupoid. A resolution of (X^\bullet, g^\bullet) is given by Riemannian Lie groupoid $(\tilde{X}^\bullet, \tilde{g}^\bullet)$ Morita equivalent to a regular, foliation Lie groupoid; and a Riemannian submersion of Lie groupoids

$$\rho : (\tilde{X}^\bullet, \tilde{g}^\bullet) \rightarrow (X^\bullet, g^\bullet),$$

which is an isomorphism almost everywhere and an isometry on $X^{reg} \setminus U$ for an open proper subset $U \subset X$ containing X^{sing} . A morphism of resolutions of Riemannian orbifolds

$$(\tilde{X}^\bullet, \tilde{g}^\bullet) \rightsquigarrow (\tilde{X}'^\bullet, \tilde{g}'^\bullet)$$

is a Riemannian orbifold morphism, such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\tilde{X}^\bullet, \tilde{g}^\bullet) & \rightsquigarrow & (\tilde{X}'^\bullet, \tilde{g}'^\bullet) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & (X^\bullet, g^\bullet) & \end{array}$$

We will write $\mathbf{Res}(X, g)$ for the category of Riemannian orbifold resolutions.

Lemma 2.1 ([PTW17]). *For every Riemannian orbifold, the category (X, g) is nontrivial.*

We will construct an example prominently used in incomplete edge calculus. [AGR16]

Let (X, g) be a Riemannian orbifold, represented by a Riemannian proper foliating Lie groupoid (X^\bullet, g^\bullet) and let

$$\pi : (X^0, g^0) \rightarrow ([X^0/X^1], g) = (X, g)$$

denote the orbit map. Let $\pi^{-1}X^{sing} \subset X^0$ denote the preimage of the singular strata of X and let us denote by

$$\beta_e^0 : ([X^0 : \pi^{-1}X^{sing}], g_e^0) \dashrightarrow (X^0, g^0)$$

the real blow-up of X^0 along $\pi^{-1}X^{sing}$, i.e. the smooth manifold

$$X^0 \setminus \pi^{-1}X^{sing} \cup_{exp_{g_0}} \mathbb{S}N\pi^{-1}X^{sing}$$

equipped with the minimal smooth structure making the blow down map

$$\beta_e : [X^0 : \pi^{-1}X^{sing}] \dashrightarrow X^0$$

smooth. Further g_e^0 denotes the blow up of g_0 . As proven in [PTW17], the action of the morphisms X^1 lifts to $([X^0 : \pi^{-1}X^{sing}], g_e^0)$, endowing

$$([X^\bullet : \pi^{-1}X^{sing}], g_e^\bullet)$$

with the structure of a Riemannian, foliating Lie groupoid.

Definition 2.11. We define the real blow-up of (X, g)

$$\beta_e : ([X : X^{sing}], g_e) \dashrightarrow (X, g)$$

as the orbit space of $([X^\bullet : \pi^{-1}X^{sing}], g_e^\bullet)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([PTW17]). *The real blow up $\beta_e : ([X : X^{sing}], g_e) \dashrightarrow (X, g)$ is a smooth Riemannian manifold with boundary and β_e is a smooth submersion.*

2.4. Riemannian Orbifolds as Iterated Edge Spaces. In this section, we provide a brief review of Riemannian orbifolds and their interpretation as iterated edge spaces.

We will discuss the concept of iterated edge spaces as used by Mazzeo in [Maz91, MV14] from the perspective of Riemannian orbifolds (see [Pfl01]). Instead of locally quasi conical spaces as local models of singular strata we will use (quasi) conically fibred space. These specification emphasise the impotence of the singular stratum in resolution constructions.

Definition 2.12. Let (X, g) be a Riemannian orbifold and let X^{sing} denote the union of its singular strata. Let S be a stratum whose isotropy group is conjugated to a finite group $\Gamma \subset O(n)$. We say

- X is of **singularity type (I)** at S , if X at $S \subset X$ is locally modelled on

$$\mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times \mathbb{R}^m / \Gamma$$

such that Γ acts freely on $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$.

- X is of **singularity type (IIa)** at S , if X at $S \subset X$ is locally modelled on

$$\mathbb{R}^{n-\sum_i m_i} \times \prod_i \mathbb{R}^{m_i} / \Gamma_i$$

such that Γ_i acts freely on $\mathbb{R}^{m_i} \setminus \{0\}$.

- X is of **singularity type (IIb)** at S , if it is neither type (I) nor type (IIa)

Remark 10. Let S_1 and S_2 be two singular strata of isotropy Γ_1 and Γ_2 . Then the intersection $S_3 = \overline{S_1} \cap \overline{S_2}$ is a singular strata of isotropy $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma_3$ and X is singular of type (IIa) or (IIb). \heartsuit

Let $i : (S, g_S) \hookrightarrow (X, g)$ be a connected singular stratum of codimension m , whose isotropy group is $\Gamma \subset O(m)$. Let further $\text{Isot}(S) \rightarrow S$ denote the Γ -bundle associated to $S \subset X$ and

$$TS \hookrightarrow i^*TX \twoheadrightarrow NS$$

define its normal bundle. We will think of NS as a vector bundle $\nu : NS \rightarrow S$ with a fibrewise Γ -action

$$\text{Isot}(S) \times_S NS \rightarrow NS.$$

We define the normal cone bundle to be the conically fibred space

$$\nu_0 : X_0 = NS / \text{Isot}(S) \rightarrow S.$$

Let further F_S be the natural N_Γ -reduction of the $O(n)$ frame bundle $Fr_{O(n)}(X)$ of (X, g) restricted to S . Define the associated (orbifold) bundle

$$Y := F_S \times_{N_\Gamma} \mathbb{S}^{m-1} / \Gamma \xrightarrow{\vartheta} S.$$

Notice, that when ever (S, g_S) is of type (I), the bundle Y is smooth. Locally, the blow-down map $\beta_e : [X : X^{sing}] \dashrightarrow X$ is given

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X_0 : S] & \xrightarrow{\beta_e} & X_0 \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times Y & \xrightarrow{\beta_e} & X_0. \end{array}$$

Following [Maz91] we define a vector bundle on $[X : X^{sing}]$ that realise the orbifold structure.

Definition 2.13. We define the incomplete edge-forms on $[X : X^{sing}]$ to be the graded subalgebra

$$\Omega_{ie}^\bullet([X : X^{sing}]) = \{\omega \in \Omega^\bullet([X : X^{sing}]) \mid \text{res}_{X^{sing}}(\omega) \in \vartheta^* \Omega^\bullet(X^{sing})\} \subset \Omega^\bullet([X : X^{sing}])$$

which can be realised as the exterior algebra of section of the incomplete edge-cotangent bundle

$${}^{ie}T^*[X : X^{sing}] \rightarrow [X : X^{sing}].$$

Remark 11. The blow-down map β_e induces a Lie algebroid structure on the dual to ${}^{ie}T^*[X : X^{sing}]$, via the anchor map

$${}^{ie}\alpha : {}^{ie}T[X : X^{sing}] \rightarrow T[X : X^{sing}].$$

♡

There exists an exact sequence of orbifold vector bundles on X_0

$$VX_0 \hookrightarrow TX_0 \twoheadrightarrow \nu_0^*TS.$$

or equivalently a splitting of the bundle

$${}^{ie}V[X_0 : S] \hookrightarrow {}^{ie}T[X_0 : S] \twoheadrightarrow \nu_0^*TS.$$

The Riemannian orbifold structure g induces a splitting

$$TX_0 \cong H_0 \oplus VX_0 \cong \nu_0^*TS \oplus \nu_0^*NS$$

and a Riemannian orbifold structure on the normal cone bundle X_0 such that

$$g_0 = \nu^*i^*g = \nu_0^*g_S + g_{0;V} \in \Gamma(X_0, \text{Sym}^2 H_0^* \oplus \text{Sym}^2 V^*X_0).$$

Equivalently, we can think of a Riemannian orbifold structure on (X_0, g_0) as a real Hermitian Lie algebroid structure on $({}^{ie}T[X_0 : S], g_0)$.

Notice that the compact (orbifold) fibration $\vartheta : Y \rightarrow S$ is equipped with an Ehresmann connection H_Y such that the connection H_0 on X_0 can be identified with

$$(\text{id} \oplus A_{H_{\bar{\vartheta}}}) : TX_0 \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus TY \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus VY.$$

Moreover, the space Y can be equipped with the structure of a Riemannian (orbifold) fibration

$$\vartheta : (Y, g_Y) \rightarrow (S, g_S),$$

such that $\nu_0 : (X_0, g_0) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ can be identified with

$$(\bar{\vartheta} : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times Y, dr^2 + g_Y^{2,0} + r^2 g_Y^{0,2}) \rightarrow (S, g_S))$$

Remark 12. Notice that the geometry of the conical fibration is the one of a warped product

$$(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times Y, dr^2 + g_{Y;r})$$

of the real half-line and a collapsing fibration

$$(Y, g_{Y;r} = g_Y^{2,0} + r^2 g_Y^{0,2}) \rightarrow (S, g_S).$$

♡

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let

$$\text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S) := (Y \times (0, 3\epsilon)) \subset X_0$$

and

$$j : \text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S) \rightarrow U_{3\epsilon} \subset X$$

be a tubular neighbourhood of width 3ϵ , induced by the Riemannian structure.⁴

⁴Later we will assume that $\epsilon \sim t^\lambda$ for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$.

Remark 13. Usually we will take the exponential tubular neighbourhood

$$\exp_g : \text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S) \rightarrow X$$

induced by the Riemannian orbifold structure g . ♡

Let $r : X_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ denote the radius function on the normal cone bundle. Then there exists an asymptotic expansion

$$j^*g = g_0 + g_{j;hot}$$

and the higher order terms satisfy

$$|g_{j;hot}|_{g_0} = \mathcal{O}(r).$$

Definition 2.14. A tubular neighbourhood $j : \text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S) \rightarrow X$ is called an adiabatic tubular neighbourhood if $g_{j;hot} = 0$. We will write $|g_{j;hot}|_{g_0} = \mathcal{O}(r^\sigma)$ for $\sigma = 1, \infty$.

Given the Riemannian structure g_0 on X_0 , the associated Levi-Civita connection will be denoted by ∇^{g_0} . Moreover, there exists an additional metric connection on X_0 given by lift of the Levi-Civita of g_S along the trivial connection on VX_0 .

Lemma 2.3 ([AGR16]). *The connection ∇^g and $\nabla^{\oplus_0} = \nabla^{g_0:HH} \oplus \nabla^{g_0:VV}$ define connections on ${}^{ie}T[X : X^{sing}]$ and ${}^{ie}T[X_0 : S]$ respectively.*

This connection will be denoted by ∇^{\oplus_0} and its torsion agrees with the curvature of H_0 . This connection can be seen as the diagonal terms of

$$\text{res}_{Y;0}\nabla^g = \nabla^{\oplus_0} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Pi_S^g \\ -(\Pi_S^g)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We could have define the connection ∇^{\oplus_0} via the above expansion.

Lemma 2.4. *Let (X, g) be a Riemannian orbifold. Then all the singular strata are (open) totally geodesic and*

$$\text{res}_{Y;0}\nabla^g = \nabla^{\oplus_0}.$$

Proof. Notice, that the singular strata locally is given as the fixed-point set of an isometric action of the isotropy group. Hence, by a standard fact from Riemannian geometry, it is totally geodesic. \square

2.5. Asymptotically (Quasi) Conical Fibrations. In the following subsection we will introduce the notions of (quasi) conical fibrations ((Q)CF) and asymptotically (quasi) conical fibrations (A(Q)CF). These are models of tubular neighbourhoods of singular strata of orbifolds and their resolutions.

Let in the following $q : (Y, g_Y) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ be a (singular) Riemannian fibration⁵ of dimension $m-1+s = n-1$ (here S is an s -dimensional Riemannian manifold) with compact fibres. The Riemannian structure on Y induces an Ehresmann connection on the fibration, i.e. $TY \cong HY \oplus VY$.

Definition 2.15. A conical fibration over a link-fibration ($q : (Y, g_Y) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$) is given by the (singular) wrapped product

$$(p : (CF_S(Y), g_{CF}) \rightarrow (S, g_S)) = ((q : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times Y, dr^2 + g_Y^{2,0} + r^2 g_Y^{0,2}) \rightarrow (S, g_S))$$

Usually, we will write $g_{CF} = p^*g_S + g_{CF;V}$ where $g_{CF;V}$ denotes the fibrewise conical structure. The connection H_Y induces the connection H_{CF} on $CF_S(Y)$ via

$$(\text{id} \oplus A_{H_q}) : TCF_S(Y) \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus TY \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus VY.$$

⁵Here singular Riemannian fibration reads (singular Riemannian) fibration and not singular (Riemannian fibration), i.e. the Riemannian structure is singular, not the fibration.

Given a singular stratum S of a Riemannian orbifold, its normal cone bundle naturally carries the structure of a conical fibration. The underlying link-fibration is smooth if the singular stratum is of type (I); otherwise given by an orbifold-fibration over the singular stratum.

Furthermore, given a singular strata S of arbitrary type, the link-fibration Y_S of its normal cone bundle, is a Riemannian orbifold whose singular strata are of “less singular” then the type of S .

Definition 2.16. A quasi conical fibration (QCF) over a singular link-fibration $(q : (Y, g_Y) \rightarrow (S, g_S))$ is given by the (singular) wrapped product

$$(\nu : QCF_S(Y) \rightarrow S, g_{QCF}) = (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \tilde{Y}, g_Y^{2,0} + dr^2 + r^2(g_{1/r;Y})^{0,2})$$

where

$$(\tilde{Y}, g_{1/r;Y}^{0,2}) \xrightarrow[GH]{r \rightarrow \infty} (Y, g_Y^{0,2})$$

is a family of (singular) Riemannian metrics converging in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense to the (singular) $(Y, g_{0,Y}^{0,2})$.

In the following we will define the two notions of asymptotically (quasi) conical fibration (A(Q)CF). These spaces are local models of the exceptional set of orbifolds resolutions.

Definition 2.17. A Riemannian fibre bundle $\tilde{p} : (X, g_{ACF}) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ is asymptotic to a conical fibration (ACF) over a fibration $(q : (Y, g_Y) \rightarrow (S, g_S))$ of rate γ , if there exists compact subset B_R and a fibre bundle diffeomorphism $\rho : X \setminus B_R \rightarrow CF_S(Y) \setminus B_R(Y) = (0, R) \times Y$ such that

$$(\nabla^{g_{CF}})^k (\rho_{*ACF} g_{ACF} - g_{CF}) = \mathcal{O}(r^\gamma)$$

and g_{ACF} is \tilde{p} -fibrewise asymptotically conical, i.e.

$$(\nabla_V^{g_{CF}})^k (\rho_{*ACF} g_{ACF} - g_{CF}) = \mathcal{O}(r^{\gamma-k}).$$

Definition 2.18. A Riemannian fibre bundle $\tilde{\nu} : (X, g_{AQCF}) \rightarrow S$ is asymptotic to a quasi conical fibration (AQCF) over a fibration $(\bar{\nu} : Y \rightarrow S, g_{0;Y})$ of rate γ , if there exists subset S_R and a fibre bundle diffeomorphism $\rho_{AQCF} : X \setminus S_R \rightarrow QCF_S(Y)$ such that

$$(\nabla^{g_{QCF}})^k (\rho_{*AQCF} g - g_{QCF}) = \mathcal{O}(r^\gamma).$$

and g_{AQCF} is fibrewise quasi conical.

2.6. Gromov-Hausdorff-Resolutions of Riemannian Orbifolds. In the following subsection we will define Gromov-Hausdorff resolutions of a Riemannian orbifold and describe how these are constructed using geometric resolution data .

Definition 2.19. Let (X, g) be a Riemannian orbifold. A Gromov-Hausdorff resolution of (X, g) is given by a smooth family of (singular) Riemannian orbifolds (X^t, g^t) and morphisms

$$\rho^t : X^t \dashrightarrow X$$

such that ρ^t restricts to an orbifold diffeomorphism $(\rho^t)^{-1}(X^{reg}) \cong X^{reg}$, the exceptional set $\Upsilon^t = (\rho^t)^{-1}(X^{sing})$ is of codimension ≥ 2 and

$$(X^t, g^t) \xrightarrow[GH]{t \rightarrow 0} (X, g) \quad \text{and} \quad (X^t \setminus \Upsilon^t, g^t) \xrightarrow[C^\infty]{t \rightarrow 0} (X^{reg}, g)$$

In particular

$$\text{vol}_{g^t}(\Upsilon^t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{*g^t} \xrightarrow[C^\infty]{t \rightarrow 0} g.$$

A complete Gromov-Hausdorff-resolution of (X, g) is given by a smooth family of Riemannian manifolds (X^t, g^t) resolving (X, g) at X^{sing} . Gromov-Hausdorff resolutions of Riemannian orbifolds form a category $\widehat{\mathbf{GHRes}}(X, g)$. A Gromov-Hausdorff resolution (X^t, g^t, ρ^t) is a $(0, \epsilon)$ -family of Riemannian

orbifold resolutions. A morphism $(\rho^t, X^t, g^t) \rightsquigarrow (\rho^{t'}, X^{t'}, g^{t'})$ of Riemannian orbifold resolutions is given by a $(0, \min\{\epsilon, \epsilon'\})$ -family of commuting diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X^t, g^t) & \overset{\phi^t}{\dashrightarrow} & (X^{t'}, g^{t'}) \\ & \swarrow \rho^t \quad \searrow \rho^{t'} & \\ & (X, g) & \end{array}$$

Two resolutions (ρ^t, X^t, g^t) and $(\rho^{t'}, X^{t'}, g^{t'})$ are called equivalent, if the morphisms ϕ^t are isometries of Riemannian orbifolds. The category of Gromov-Hausdorff-resolutions of Riemannian, is the quotient category

$$\mathbf{GHRes}(X, g) = \widetilde{\mathbf{GHRes}}(X, g) / \sim .$$

Remark 14. When ever talking about a resolution of a Riemannian orbifold, we are usually not interested in its maximal existence family, but rather that the resolution exists in the first place. Hence, the above ‘germy’ definition of the resolution category enables us, to remove a lot of argumentation. Starting form a resolution $(X^t, g^t) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{GHRes}}(X, g)$ certain analytic steps may require, that the $(0, \epsilon)$ -family needs to be reduce to an $(0, \epsilon')$ -family. In the category $\mathbf{GHRes}(X, g)$ these two remain the same object. By results of [PTW17] the category $\mathbf{GHRes}(X, g)$ is nontrivial. \heartsuit

2.6.1. *Resolutions of Type (I)-Singular Strata.* In the following section we will describe the data needed to resolve a Riemannian orbifold with a type (I) singular strata of depth 1.

Assumption 1. (Type (I)). *Let us assume that there exists a family of ACF spaces $(X_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}, g_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}) \in \mathbf{GHRes}(X_0, g_0)$ of rate γ resolving the family of CF space (X_0, g_0) , i.e. there exists maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_\zeta, g_\zeta^t) & \xrightarrow{\delta_t} & (X_{t^2 \zeta}, g_{t^2 \zeta}) \\ \rho_\zeta \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{t^2 \cdot \zeta} \\ (X_0, g_0^t) & \xrightarrow{\delta_t} & (X_0, g_0) \end{array}$$

whose exceptional set $\rho_\zeta^{-1}(0) = \Upsilon_\zeta$ is of codimension > 0 . Moreover, the Riemannian structure decomposes into

$$\delta_t^* g_{t^2 \cdot \zeta} = \nu_\zeta^* g_S + t^2 \cdot g_\zeta .$$

Example 1. In [Maj25c] such families of ACF spaces are constructed to resolve Ricci-flat-orbifolds (e.g. Spin(7)-orbifolds). In the following example, we briefly sketch subject construction. Let $\kappa : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \Theta_{\text{Im}(k)}$ be the universal family of Calabi-Yau ALE spaces $\rho_\zeta : (M_\zeta, g_\zeta; V) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{m/2}/\Gamma, g_{st})$ for $\Gamma \subset \text{SU}(m/2)$ as discussed in [Maj25c]. The normaliser subgroup N_Γ of the isotropy group Γ acts on this universal family in an equivariant way. Then there exists a twisted vector bundle $\mathfrak{H} := F_S \times_{N_\Gamma} \Theta_{\text{Im}(k)} \rightarrow S$ (or \mathfrak{H}_+^2) and a moduli bundle $\mathfrak{M} := F_S \times_{N_\Gamma} \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ such that (X_ζ, g_ζ) can be constructed via a section

$$\zeta \in \Gamma(S, \mathfrak{H})$$

as

$$(X_\zeta, g_\zeta) = (\zeta^* \mathfrak{M}, \nu_\zeta^* g_S + g_\zeta; V) .$$

♣

Notation. Let $\check{r} : X_\zeta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the radius function on X_ζ defined by $\check{r} = r \circ \rho_\zeta$. We will denote by

$$B_R(X_\zeta) := \check{r}^{-1}(0, R) \quad \text{and} \quad A_{(R_1, R_2)}(S) = \text{Tub}_{R_2}(S) \setminus \text{Tub}_{R_1}(S) .$$

Definition 2.20. We define the morphism,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{5t^{-1}\epsilon}(X_\zeta) & \xrightarrow{\rho_\zeta} & \text{Tub}_{5t^{-1}\epsilon}(S) \\
\downarrow \delta_t & \searrow \Gamma_\zeta^t & \downarrow \delta_t \\
B_{5\epsilon}(X_{t^2,\zeta}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{t^2,\zeta}} & \text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S) \\
& & \searrow j \\
& & X
\end{array}$$

and denote the family of spaces

$$X^t = (B_{5t^{-1}\epsilon}(X_\zeta) \sqcup X \setminus S) / \sim_{\Gamma_\zeta^t} = X_t.$$

Furthermore, these spaces are equipped with a resolution morphism

$$\rho^t : X^t \dashrightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_t : X_t \dashrightarrow X.$$

Notation. Notice that X^t and X_t are the same space but we will use the super-and subscript to indicate the X_ζ or X relativistic picture. As the gluing morphism Γ_ζ^t involves the rescaling morphism, we will write X^t when we consider a region in X_ζ and we will write X_t whenever we are in a region of X .

We will denote the preimage of $\text{Tub}_R(S)$ under ρ^t by U_R^t . The exceptional set of the resolution is the subspace $\Upsilon_\zeta = (\rho^t)^{-1}(S) \subset X^t$.

Let $\chi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth positive, function such that $\text{supp}(\chi) \subset (0, 1]$ and $\text{supp}(1 - \chi) \subset [0, 1]$ fixed through out this work. Define the smooth functions

$$\chi_i^t(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & x \in U_{(i-1)\epsilon}^t \\ \chi((i-1)t\epsilon^{-1}\tilde{r} - i + 1) & x \in U_{i\epsilon}^t \setminus U_{(i-1)\epsilon}^t \\ 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Notation. Given two sections Ψ and Φ of mutually vector bundles on X_ζ and X that “glue” together by a lift $\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta^t$ of Γ_ζ^t , we define a new section on X^t by

$$\Psi \cup^t \Phi := (1 - \chi_3^t)\Psi + \chi_3^t(\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta^t)^*(\Gamma_\zeta^t)_*\Phi = (1 - \chi_3)(\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta^{t^{-1}})^*(\Gamma_\zeta^{t^{-1}})_*\Psi + \chi_3\Phi = (\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta^{t^{-1}})^*(\Gamma_\zeta^{t^{-1}})_*\Psi \cup_t \Phi$$

Instead of $(\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta^t)^*(\Gamma_\zeta^t)_*\Phi$ we will abuse the notation and write $\delta_t^*\Phi$.

We interpolate between the Riemannian orbifold structure on X and the ACF structure on $B_{5t^{-1}\epsilon}(X_\zeta)$ using the cut-off function χ_3^t in a less naive way by

$$g^t = g_\zeta^t \cup^t g_0 + \delta_t^* g_{j;hot} = g_{t^2,\zeta} \cup_t g_0 + g_{j;hot} = g_t.$$

where $g_\zeta^t = \delta_t^* g_\zeta = g_{t^2,\zeta}$.

2.6.2. Resolutions of Intersecting Singular Strata. In the following we will discuss resolutions of general Riemannian orbifolds in a toy example in order to avoid an absurd amount of “bookkeeping” data. Nevertheless, the general case can be extrapolated form the following ideas.

Let us assume that the Riemannian orbifold (X, g) has three singular strata S_1, S_2 and S_3 such that

$$\overline{S_1} \cap \overline{S_2} = S_3$$

and $\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3$, whereby both S_1 and S_2 are singular strata of type (I) , i.e. the singular strata of their normal cone bundles coincide with the zero section.

Let $\nu_i : NS_i \rightarrow S_i$ denote the normal bundle of the S_i in X , $j_i : \text{Tub}_{2\epsilon}(S_i) \hookrightarrow X$ be tubular neighbourhoods in X .




Figure 1. Orbifold (green) and singular strata (red), whose normal cone can be resolved by a family ACF spaces.



Figure 2. Resolution of the orbifold above. The exceptional locus of the resolution fibres over the singular strata of the orbifold.

Assumption 1. (Type (II)). *We assume that there exists three resolutions*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (X_{\zeta_i}, g_{\zeta_i}^t) & \xrightarrow{\delta_t} & (X_{t^2 \cdot \zeta_i}, g_{t^2 \cdot \zeta_i}) \\
 \downarrow \rho_{\zeta_i} & & \downarrow \rho_{t^2 \cdot \zeta_i} \\
 (NS_i / \text{Isot}(S_i), g_{0_i}^t) & \xrightarrow{\delta_t} & (NS_i / \text{Isot}(S_i), g_{0_i})
 \end{array}$$

Hereby we assume that the spaces X_{ζ_1} and X_{ζ_2} are ACF while the space X_{ζ_3} is AQCF of rate γ_i respectively. Let

$$T_i = \text{Sing}(NS_i / \text{Isot}(S_i)).$$

Notice that by assumption $T_{1,2} \cong S_{1,2}$ and $T_3 = T_3^1 \sqcup T_3^2 \sqcup T_3^3$. Let us denote by

$$\text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S_i) \cup \text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S_j) = U_{ij;5\epsilon}$$

and by

$$\phi_{ij} : U_{ij;5\epsilon} \cong U_{ji;5\epsilon}$$

the identifications. Let further

$$\Upsilon_i = \rho_{\zeta_i}^{-1}(T_i)$$

be the exceptional set of the resolutions ρ_{ζ_i} . Let assume that these data can be pasted together by isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_{\zeta_i}^{-1}(U_{ij;5\epsilon}) & \xrightleftharpoons{\tilde{\phi}_{ij}} & \rho_{\zeta_j}^{-1}(U_{ji;5\epsilon}) \\ \downarrow \rho_{\zeta_i} & & \downarrow \rho_{\zeta_j} \\ U_{ij;5\epsilon} & \xrightleftharpoons{\phi_{ij}} & U_{ji;5\epsilon} \end{array}$$

On the level of the exceptional sets, $\tilde{\phi}_{i3}$ associates $\Upsilon_i \cap \rho_{\zeta_i}^{-1}(U_{i3;5\epsilon})$ with $\Upsilon_3^i \cap \rho_{\zeta_3}^{-1}(U_{3i;5\epsilon})$.

Define the space

$$B_{5\epsilon}(X_{\zeta_{123}}) = (B_{5\epsilon}(X_{\zeta_1}) \sqcup B_{5\epsilon}(X_{\zeta_2}) \sqcup B_{5\epsilon}(X_{\zeta_3}))/\sim$$

and

$$\text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3) = (\text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S_1) \sqcup \text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S_2) \sqcup \text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S_3))/\sim.$$

The former defines a resolution of the singular strata $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$, i.e.

$$\pi : B_{5\epsilon}(X_{\zeta_{123}}) \dashrightarrow \text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3).$$

We will now construct a metric on both $B_{5\epsilon}(X_{\zeta_{123}})$. We first scale the tubular neighbourhood $\text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)$ by the scaling action of t , i.e.

$$\delta_t : \text{Tub}_{5t^{-1}\epsilon}(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3) \rightarrow \text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3).$$

Let further

$$X^t = (B_{5t^{-1}\epsilon}(X_{\zeta_{123}}) \sqcup X \setminus \text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3))/\sim$$

denote the resolution of the orbifold X by the smooth manifold X^t . Here \sim is given by the gluing morphism

$$B_{5t^{-1}\epsilon}(X_{\zeta_{123}}) \dashrightarrow \text{Tub}_{5t^{-1}\epsilon}(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3) \xrightarrow{\delta_t} \text{Tub}_{5\epsilon}(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3) \xrightarrow{j} X.$$

Let $\chi_{i;3}^t$ be two relative cut-off functions defined with respect to χ such that

$$\chi_{i;3}^t|_{\overline{\text{Tub}_{5t^{-1}\epsilon}(S_i)} \setminus (\text{Tub}_{3t^{-1}\epsilon}(S_i) \cap U_{i3;3t^{-1}\epsilon})} = 1 \quad \chi_{i;3}^t|_{\overline{U_{i3;2t^{-1}\epsilon}}} = 0.$$

We extend these functions by zero to functions on $B_{5\epsilon}(X_{\zeta_{123}})$. Let $g_{0_i}^t$ denote the QCF metrics on NS_i/Γ_i and $g_{\zeta_i}^t$ the AQCF metrics on X_{ζ_i} . We define the metrics

$$\begin{aligned} g_{0_{123}}^t &= (1 - \chi_{1;3}^t)\chi_{2;3}^t \cdot g_{0_1}^t + (1 - \chi_{1;3}^t)(1 - \chi_{2;3}^t) \cdot g_{0_3}^t + \chi_{2;3}^t \cdot g_{0_2}^t \\ g_{\zeta_{123}}^t &= (1 - \chi_{1;3}^t)\chi_{2;3}^t \cdot g_{\zeta_1}^t + (1 - \chi_{1;3}^t)(1 - \chi_{2;3}^t) \cdot g_{\zeta_3}^t + \chi_{2;3}^t \cdot g_{\zeta_2}^t \end{aligned}$$

Now let χ_3^t be a smooth, positive function with values in $[0, 1]$ on X^t such that

$$\chi_3^t|_{X^t \setminus U_{3\epsilon}^t} = 1 \quad \chi_3^t|_{U_{2\epsilon}^t} = 0$$

We define a metric

$$g^t = g_{\zeta_{123}}^t \cup^t g_0 + \delta_t^* g_{j;hot}$$

on X^t by interpolating between the resolved metric on $B_{5\epsilon}(X_{\zeta_{123}})$ and the orbifold metric on X .

2.7. The Cohomology of Resolved Orbifolds. In the following section we will study the topology of the resolution by calculating the cohomology groups in terms of data on the orbifold X as well as the ACF space X_ζ .

Proposition 2.4. *There exists a short exact sequence of the cohomology groups*

$$\mathbf{H}^\bullet(X) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}^\bullet(X^t) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{H}^\bullet(X_\zeta)/\mathbf{H}^\bullet(S)$$

and hence

$$b^k(X^t) = b^k(X) + b^k(X_\zeta) - b^k(S).$$

Proof. We compute the cohomology of X^t using relative cohomology

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^{k-1}(\text{Tub}_{3t-1_\epsilon}(S)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^k(X, \text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^k(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^k(\text{Tub}_{3t-1_\epsilon}(S)) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^{k-1}(B_{3t-1_\epsilon}(X_\zeta)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^k(X^t, B_{3t-1_\epsilon}(X_\zeta)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^k(X^t) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^k(B_{3t-1_\epsilon}(X_\zeta)) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^{k-1}(X_\zeta)/\mathbf{H}^{k-1}(\text{Tub}_{3t-1_\epsilon}(S)) & & & & \mathbf{H}^k(X_\zeta)/\mathbf{H}^k(\text{Tub}_{3t-1_\epsilon}(S)) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^k(X_\zeta)/\mathbf{H}^k(\text{Tub}_{3t-1_\epsilon}(S)) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

Now using that X_ζ retracts onto $B_{3t-1_\epsilon}(X_\zeta)$ and $\text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S)$ onto S we conclude the statement. \square

3. HERMITIAN DIRAC BUNDLES ON ORBIFOLDS AND THEIR RESOLUTIONS

In the following section we will study Dirac operators associated to Dirac bundles on orbifolds. Close to the singular strata, we expand these Dirac structures. The leading order term is given by a singular family of Dirac operators on a CF Space. We will then proceed by introducing resolutions of these singular Dirac bundles and construct smooth families of Dirac bundles on the resolved orbifold. This section sets up the right geometric framework for the following sections.

3.1. Adiabatic Clifford Modules. We will begin this section by briefly discussing families of Hermitian Clifford modules. Let in the following

$$(W, g_W^t) = (H \oplus V, g_H \oplus t^2 g_V)$$

be a $n = m + s$ dimensional \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space. Let further $\text{Cl}(W, g_W^t)$ denote its Clifford algebra. Further, let $\text{R}(\text{Cl}(W, g^t))$ denote its representation ring and $\text{Irr}(\text{Cl}(W, g^t))$ the subset of irreducible representations. We will mostly be interested in the limit $t \rightarrow 0$. This is problematic as Clifford multiplication becomes degenerate, i.e for $w \in W$ and $\omega \in W^*$

$$\text{cl}_{g_W^t}(w^{1,0} \oplus w^{0,1})^2 = -|w^{1,0}|_{g_H}^2 - t^2|w^{0,1}|_{g_V}^2 \quad \text{cl}_{g_W^t}(\omega^{1,0} \oplus \omega^{0,1})^2 = -|\omega^{1,0}|_{g_H}^2 - t^{-2}|\omega^{0,1}|_{g_V}^2$$

Remark 15 ([BC89]). The Clifford algebra of (W, g_W^t) is isomorphic to

$$\text{Cl}(H, g_H) \otimes \text{Cl}(V, t^2 g_V) \cong \text{Cl}(W, g_W^t)$$

and the representation ring decomposes

$$\text{R}(\text{Cl}(H, g_H) \oplus \text{Cl}(V, t^2 g_V)) \cong \text{R}(\text{Cl}(H, g_H)) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{R}(\text{Cl}(V, t^2 g_V)).$$

However for irreducible representation this is only true unless both m or s are odd, then

$$\rho_H \otimes \rho_V \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$$

is irreducible for $\rho_H \in \text{Irr}(\text{Cl}(H, g_H))$ and $\rho_V \in \text{Irr}(\text{Cl}(V, t^2 g_V))$. However, we will be mostly interested in the case m and s even. \heartsuit

Definition 3.1. Let in the following \mathbb{S} be a $\text{Cl}(W, g_W^t)$ -module. Then this module decomposes into the following $\text{Cl}(H, g_H)$ and $\text{Cl}(V, t^2 g_V)$ -modules, depending on the parity of n , m and s .

$n \equiv 0(2)$ In this case the representation decomposes into to a positive and a negative $\text{Cl}(W, g_W^t)$ -representation, i.e.

$$\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_+ \oplus \mathbb{S}_-$$

that further decomposes into

$m, s \equiv 0(2)$

$$\mathbb{S}_+ = \mathbb{S}_{+,H} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{+,V} \oplus \mathbb{S}_{-,H} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{-,V} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{S}_- = \mathbb{S}_{+,H} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{-,V} \oplus \mathbb{S}_{-,H} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{+,V}$$

$m, s \equiv 1(2)$

$$\mathbb{S}_+ = \mathbb{S}_H \otimes \mathbb{S}_V \otimes \mathbb{R}_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{S}_- = \mathbb{S}_H \otimes \mathbb{S}_V \otimes \mathbb{R}_-$$

$n \equiv 1(2)$ In this case the representation does not split, but decomposes accordingly into

$m \equiv 0(2)$

$$\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{S}_{+,H} \oplus \mathbb{S}_{-,H}) \otimes \mathbb{S}_V$$

$s \equiv 1(2)$

$$\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_H \otimes (\mathbb{S}_{+,V} \oplus \mathbb{S}_{-,V})$$

Let (\mathbb{S}, h) be a Hermitian $\text{Cl}(W, g_W)$ -module. Then (\mathbb{S}, h^t) is a Hermitian $\text{Cl}(W, g_H \oplus t^2 g_V)$ -module where

$$(\mathbb{S}, h^t) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{S}_n, t^n \cdot h|_{\mathbb{S}_n})$$

here the decomposition of \mathbb{S} into the \mathbb{S}_n is h -orthogonal. In particular, the Hermitian Clifford modules are isomorphic for all t as vector space.

Example 2. The most important example for our discussion is the representation of the Clifford algebra on the exterior algebra of W , i.e.

$$(\mathbb{S}, h^t) = (\wedge^\bullet W^* = \wedge^\bullet H^* \otimes \wedge^\bullet V^*, g_W^t).$$

♣

Definition 3.2. Let $(\mathbb{S}, \text{cl}_{g_W^t}, h^t)$ be a Hermitian $\text{Cl}(W, g_W^t)$ -module. We define the space of twisting morphisms

$$\text{End}(\mathbb{S}, \text{cl}_{g_W^t}, h^t) = \{T \in \text{End}(\mathbb{S}), [\text{cl}_{g_W^t}, T] = 0 \text{ and } h^t(T \cdot, \cdot) = h^t(\cdot, T)\}.$$

Further, there exists a morphism

$$\rho_{g^t} : \text{End}(W, g_W^t) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{S}, \text{cl}_{g_W^t}, h^t), \quad \rho_{g^t}(A) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}([\text{cl}_{g_W^t}, \text{cl}_{g_W^t} \circ A]).$$

This map satisfies

$$[\rho_{g^t}(A), \text{cl}_{g_W^t}(v)] = \text{cl}_{g_W^t}(Av).$$

Definition 3.3. Let $q_{g_W^t} : \wedge^* W \rightarrow \text{Cl}(W, g_W^t)$ denote the canonical quantisation map. We define a morphism $\Lambda^t : \wedge^2 W^* \rightarrow \text{End}(W^*)$ by

$$q_{g_W^t}(\Lambda^t(\alpha)\xi) = [q_{g_W^t}(\alpha), q_{g_W^t}(\xi)].$$

Clearly,

$$\Lambda^t(\beta_1 \wedge \beta_2)\xi = 2(g_W^t(\beta_1, \xi)\beta_2 - g_W^t(\beta_2, \xi)\beta_1).$$

The family of maps $\{\Lambda^t\}_{t \geq 0}$ equips $\wedge^2 W$ with a family of Lie-algebra structures via

$$\Lambda^t([\alpha_1, \alpha_2]_t) = [\Lambda^t(\alpha_1), \Lambda^t(\alpha_2)].$$

Further, denote let $\bar{\Lambda}^t(\alpha) = -(\Lambda^t(\alpha))^\dagger$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2} g_W^t(\bar{\Lambda}^t(\alpha)X, Y) = \langle \alpha, X \wedge Y \rangle$$

holds.

3.2. Dirac Bundles on Orbifold. In the following subsection we will recall the definition of Hermitian Dirac bundles on orbifolds and describe the geometry close to the singular stratum. Hermitian Dirac bundles play a fundamental role in differential geometry with prominent examples being the exterior algebra bundle of a Riemannian manifold, Hermitian spinor bundles or the bundles appearing in the deformation theory of gauge theoretic equations such as holonomy instantons.

Definition 3.4. Let ∇ be a metric connection on (X, g) . A Hermitian Dirac bundle on (X, g) is given by a tuple

$$(E, \text{cl}_g, h, \nabla^h)$$

consisting of a $\text{Cl}(T^*X, g)$ -module

$$\pi : E \rightarrow X \quad \text{cl}_g : T^*X \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$$

endowed with a Hermitian structure h and a Hermitian connection ∇^h compatible with the $\text{Cl}(T^*X, g)$ -module, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^h(\text{cl}_g(\xi)\Phi) &= \text{cl}_g(\nabla\xi)\Phi + \text{cl}_g(\xi)\nabla^h\Phi \\ 0 &= h(\text{cl}_g(\xi)\Phi, \Phi') + h(\Phi, \text{cl}_g(\xi)\Phi') \\ dh(\Phi, \Phi') &= h(\nabla^h\Phi, \Phi') + h(\Phi, \nabla^h\Phi'). \end{aligned}$$

The Dirac operator associated to the Dirac bundle $(E, \text{cl}_g, h, \nabla)$ is given by

$$D : \Gamma(X, E) \xrightarrow{\nabla^h} \Omega^1(X, E) \xlongequal{\quad} \Gamma(X, T^*X \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\text{cl}_g} \Gamma(X, E)$$

If $\nabla \neq \nabla^g$ we will refer to ∇^h as a Clifford module connection with torsion.

Remark 16. Notice that since cl_g is skew-Hermitian

$$|\text{cl}_g(\xi)\Phi|^2 = h(\text{cl}_g(\xi)\Phi, \text{cl}_g(\xi)\Phi) = g(\xi, \xi)h(\Phi, \Phi) = |\xi|^2|\Phi|^2.$$

♡

Remark 17. Expressed in terms as structure on $[X : X^{sing}]$ a Hermitian Dirac module structure can be expressed as a Hermitian $\text{Cl}({}^{ie}T[X : X^{sing}], g)$ -module

$$\pi : (E, h, \nabla^h) \rightarrow [X : X^{sing}] \quad \text{cl}_g : {}^{ie}T[X : X^{sing}] \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$$

subject to the axioms listed above.

♡

Remark 18. The Clifford multiplication $\text{cl}_g : T^*X \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$ extends to an algebra morphism

$$\text{cl}_g : \text{Cl}(T^*X, g) \rightarrow \text{End}(E).$$

Given a form $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(X)$. Then $\nabla^{\text{End}(E)}\text{cl}_g(\omega) = \text{cl}_g(\nabla^g\omega)$.

♡

In some case we will require the Dirac bundle to carry an additional geometric structure.

Definition 3.5. A grading on a Hermitian Dirac bundle $(E, \text{cl}_g, h, \nabla^h)$ is given by an endomorphism $\epsilon \in \Gamma(X, \text{End}(E))$ such that

$$\epsilon^2 = 1, \quad [\text{cl}_g, \epsilon] = 0, \quad \nabla^h\epsilon = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon^*h = h.$$

Given a grading on a Dirac bundle, the Clifford module E decomposes into h -orthogonal ± 1 -eigenspaces

$$E = E_+ \oplus E_-.$$

Notice that in this case the Dirac operator decomposes into

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} & D^- \\ D^+ & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 3.6. Define the vector bundle $\pi_{\text{End}(E)} : \text{End}(E, \text{cl}_g, h) \rightarrow X$ of twisting morphisms by

$$\text{End}(E, \text{cl}_g, h) = \{T \in \text{End}(E), [\text{cl}_{g_w}, T] = 0 \text{ and } h(T., .) = h(., T)\}.$$

Remark 19 (Twisting Connection). Locally X is always spin and hence locally the Dirac bundle uniquely decomposes into a twisted spinor module, i.e.

$$E =_{loc} (SX, b, \bar{\nabla}^g) \otimes (F, h_{tw.}, \nabla^{tw.})$$

In particular the Hermitian Clifford module connection is the product of a spinor connection and a Hermitian connection on the local twisting bundle. This locally defined connection will be referred to as the twisting connection. Its curvature, is given by a globally defined

$$F_{\nabla^h}^{tw.} \in \Omega^2(X, \text{End}(E)).$$

♡

Lemma 3.1 (Weitzenböck-Formula). *The twisting curvature of the Dirac bundle $(E, \text{cl}_g, h, \nabla^h)$ is given by*

$$F_{\nabla^h}^{tw.} = F_{\nabla^h} - \rho^g(R_g) \in \Omega^2(X, \text{End}(E, \text{cl}_g, h))$$

and coincides with the curvature of the locally twisting connection. The twisting curvature contributes to the Weitzenböck-formula

$$(4) \quad D^2 = (\nabla^h)^* \nabla^h + \frac{1}{4} \text{scal}_g + \text{cl}_g(F_{\nabla^h}^{tw.}).$$

Remark 20 (Bochner Technique). Integrating both hand sides of (4) shows that

$$\|D\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\nabla^h \Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_X \text{scal}_g |\Phi|_h^2 \text{vol}_g + \langle \Phi, \text{cl}_g(F_{\nabla^h}^{tw.}) \Phi \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Notice that the parity of the second and third term of the right hand side heavily influences the existence of solutions. In particular, if mentioned terms are positive, no nontrivial solution to $D\Phi = 0$ exists. ♡

3.2.1. Conically Fibred Hermitian Dirac Bundles on the Normal Cone Bundle. We will now focus on the Dirac bundle and its associated Dirac operator close to the singular stratum.

Let in the following (X, g) be a compact, oriented n -dimensional Riemannian orbifold and S a compact singular stratum of type (I) of codimension m .

The Hermitian Dirac bundle $\pi_E : (E, \text{cl}_g, h, \nabla^h) \rightarrow (X, g)$ induces a conically fibred (CF) Hermitian Dirac bundle

$$\pi_{E_0} : (E_0, \text{cl}_{g_0}, h_0, \nabla^{h_0}) \rightarrow (X_0, g_0).$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_0|_{\text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S)} & \xleftarrow{\hat{j}} & E \\ \downarrow \pi_{E_0} & & \downarrow \pi_E \\ \text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S) & \xleftarrow{j} & X \end{array}$$

denote a lift of the tubular neighbourhood. Notice that this lift satisfies

$$\hat{j}^* h = h_0 + h_{j;hot}$$

and the higher order terms satisfy

$$|h_{j;hot}|_{h_0} = \mathcal{O}(r).$$

The only nontrivial part in this construction, is to construct the Hermitian Clifford module connection. Locally, we get an identification

$$E_0 =_{loc} SX_0 \otimes F_0$$

where $F_0 \rightarrow X_0 \cong \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times Y$ is a translational invariant Hermitian vector bundle with fibrewise flat twisting connection $\nabla^{tw.;0}$.

Hence there exists a Hermitian vector bundle $\pi_{F_Y} : (F_Y, h_{F_Y}, \nabla^{h_{F_Y}}) \rightarrow Y$ pulling back to X_0 . The connection ∇^{h_0} is defined by

$$\nabla^{h_0} = \bar{\nabla}^{g_0} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{tw.;0}.$$

Using the connection ∇^{\oplus_0} we further define the Hermitian connection

$$\nabla^{\otimes_0} =_{loc} \bar{\nabla}^{\oplus_0} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{tw.;0}.$$

This connection is a Clifford module connection with respect to ∇^{\oplus_0} .

Lemma 3.2 ([BGV92]). *The connections ∇^{\oplus_0} and ∇^{\otimes_0} are related to ∇^{g_0} and ∇^{h_0} by*

$$\nabla^{g_0} = \nabla^{\oplus_0} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Lambda}(F_{H_0})$$

and

$$\nabla^{h_0} = \nabla^{\otimes_0} - \frac{1}{2} \text{cl}_{g_0}(F_{H_0}).$$

Analogous statements hold for ∇^{\oplus_Y} and ∇^{\otimes_Y} .

Lemma 3.3. *The Levi-Civita connection on (X, g) expand as*

$$\nabla^g = \nabla^{\oplus_0} + \mathcal{O}(r).$$

Proof. As S is totally geodesic its second fundamental form vanishes. \square

Definition 3.7. The connection ∇^h is called admissible, if the twisting curvature of ∇^{\otimes_0} is of type $(2, 0)$ with respect H_0 and

$$\nabla^h = \nabla^{\otimes_0} + \mathcal{O}(r).$$

Assumption 1. *We will assume that ∇^h is an admissible Dirac module connection.*

As $(E_0, \text{cl}_{g_0}, h_0, \nabla^{h_0})$ is a conically fibred Hermitian Dirac bundle, there exists a Hermitian Dirac bundle

$$(E_Y, \text{cl}_{g_{Y;r}}, h_{Y;r}, \nabla^{h_{Y;r}}) \rightarrow (Y, g_{Y;r})$$

over the collapsing family of compact Riemannian fibration $\vartheta : (Y, g_{Y;r}) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$. Similarly we define the r -invariant connections

$$\nabla^{\oplus_Y} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^{\otimes_Y}.$$

Remark 21. As $(Y, g_{Y;r})$ is an associated bundle and the Riemannian structure is induced by the connection H_Y on F_S and the standard Riemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^{m-1}/Γ , the fibres of $\vartheta : (Y, g_{Y;r}) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ are totally geodesic. \heartsuit

Lemma 3.4 ([BGV92]). *The Dirac operator of the Dirac bundle $(E_Y, \text{cl}_{g_{Y;r}}, h_{Y;r}, \nabla^{h_{Y;r}})$ decomposes into*

$$D_{Y;r} = D_{Y;H;r} + r^{-1} \cdot D_{Y;V}$$

where $D_{Y;V}$ is a vertical r -independent Dirac operator. The operator $D_{Y;H;r}$ will be referred to as the horizontal Dirac operator. We can identify the horizontal Dirac operator with

$$\begin{aligned} D_{Y;H;r} &= \text{cl}_{g_Y} \circ \text{pr}_{H_Y} \circ \nabla^{\otimes_Y} - \frac{r}{2} \text{cl}_{g_Y}(F_{H_Y}) \\ &=: D_H^{\otimes_Y} - \frac{r}{2} \text{cl}_{g_Y}(F_{H_Y}). \end{aligned}$$

The Dirac operator with respect to ∇^{\otimes_Y} can be identified with

$$D^{\otimes_Y} = \text{cl}_{g_{Y;r}} \circ \nabla^{\otimes_Y} = D_H^{\otimes_Y} + r^{-1} \cdot D_{Y;V}.$$

Corollary 3.1. *The anti commutator*

$$\{D_H^{\otimes Y}, D_V^{\otimes Y}\} = 0$$

vanishes.

Proof. The fibres of $\vartheta : (Y, g_{Y;r}) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ are totally geodesic. By the construction of $\nabla^{\otimes Y}$ and assumption 1, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \{D_H^{\otimes Y}, D_V^{\otimes Y}\} &= \text{cl}_{g_{Y;H}} \circ \text{cl}_{g_{Y;V}} \circ [\nabla_H^{\otimes Y}, \nabla_V^{\otimes Y}] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *The Dirac operator D_0 associated to $(E_0, \text{cl}_{g_0}, h_0, \nabla^{h_0})$ decomposes into*

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= \text{cl}_0 \circ \partial_r + r^{-1} \frac{m-1}{2} \text{cl}_0 + D_{Y;r} \\ &= \text{cl}_0 \circ \partial_r + D_H^{\otimes Y} - \frac{1}{4} \text{cl}_{g_0}(F_{H_0}) + r^{-1} \cdot D_{Y;V} \end{aligned}$$

We will write $D_0 = D_{0;H} + D_{0;V}$

$$D_{0;H} = D_H^{\otimes Y} - \frac{1}{4} \text{cl}_{g_0}(F_{H_0}) \quad \text{and} \quad D_{0;V} = \text{cl}_0 \circ \partial_r + r^{-1} \cdot \left(D_{Y;V} + \frac{m-1}{2} \text{cl}_0 \right).$$

Again, the Dirac operator with respect to $\nabla^{\otimes 0}$ can be identified with

$$\widehat{D}_0 = \text{cl}_0 \circ \partial_r + D_H^{\otimes Y} + r^{-1} \cdot \left(D_{Y;V} + \frac{m-1}{2} \text{cl}_0 \right).$$

Remark 22. As we have already established in the section 2.3 Riemannian orbifolds are examples of incomplete edge spaces. Dirac operators hence belong to the class

$$D \in \text{Ell}_{ie}^1(E)$$

of elliptic, first-order, incomplete edge operators as discussed in [AGR16, AGR23]. In particular, the operator \widehat{D}_0 can be identified with the normal operator

$$\widehat{D}_0 \rightsquigarrow N(D)$$

and the vertical operator $D_{0;V}$ with the indicial operator

$$D_{0;V} \rightsquigarrow I(D).$$

♡

Remark 23. The Dirac operators D_0 , $D_{Y;r}$, \widehat{D}_0 and $D^{\otimes Y;r}$ are self-adjoint. ♡

Example 3. If the Dirac bundle $(E_0, \text{cl}_{g_0}, h_0, \nabla^{h_0})$ is given by

$$(\wedge^\bullet T^* X_0, \epsilon^{g_0} - \iota, g_0, \nabla^{g_0})$$

the corresponding Dirac operator is given by

$$d \oplus d^{*g_0} = d_H \oplus d_H^{*g_0} + d_V \oplus d_V^{*g_0;V} - \frac{1}{4} \text{cl}_{g_0}(F_{H_0}).$$

♣

Lemma 3.5. *The Dirac operator D expands as*

$$D = \widehat{D}_0 + (\text{cl}_g - \text{cl}_{g_0}) \circ \nabla^h + \mathcal{O}(r)$$

Definition 3.8. We define the boundary operator associated to D_0 given by

$$(5) \quad \partial_r + B := -\text{cl}_0 \circ \widehat{D}_0 = \partial_r + D_H^{\otimes Y} \circ \text{cl}_0 + r^{-1} \cdot \left(D_{Y;V} \circ \text{cl}_0 + \frac{m-1}{2} \right).$$

3.3. Resolutions of Dirac Bundles. The group $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \cdot)$ acts on the normal cone bundle X_0 via dilation

$$\delta_t : X_0 \rightarrow X_0, [n_s] \mapsto [t \cdot n_s].$$

Notice that by the construction of the Ehresmann connection H_0 , it is invariant under this action. Hence, the Riemannian structure pulls back to

$$\delta_t^* g_0 = g_0^t = \nu_0^* g_S + t^2 g_{0,V}.$$

A lift of the dilation action to the vector bundle E_0

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_0 & \xrightarrow{\hat{\delta}_t} & E_0 \\ \pi_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_0 \\ X_0 & \xrightarrow{\delta_t} & X_0 \end{array}$$

induces a family of Hermitian Dirac bundles

$$(E_0, \text{cl}_{g_0^t}, h_0^t, \nabla^{h_0^t}).$$

In particular the module structure is given by

$$(6) \quad \text{cl}_{g_0^t}(V) = \hat{\delta}_t \circ \text{cl}_{g_0}((\delta_t)_* V) \circ \hat{\delta}_t^{-1}.$$

and

$$\pi_0 : (E_0, h_0^t) \cong \bigoplus_i (E^i, h_{0;H}^i + \hat{\delta}_t^* h_{0;V}^i) \rightarrow X_0.$$

Example 4. Usually the family of Dirac bundles appears naturally on X_0 . For instance if the Dirac bundle is given by

$$(E_0, h_0^t) = (\wedge^\bullet T^* X_0, g_0^t) = \left(\bigoplus_i \wedge^{\bullet, i} T^* X_0, t^{-i} g_0 \right).$$

♣

Remark 24. Notice that a grading on $(E_0, \text{cl}_{g_0}, h_0, \nabla^{h_0})$ restricts to a grading on the fibres of ν_0 . ♡

Notice that the Dirac operator associated to the dilated Hermitian Dirac bundle satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(X_0, E_0) & \xrightarrow{\hat{D}_0} & \Gamma(X_0, E_0) \\ \downarrow \delta_t^* & & \downarrow \delta_t^* \\ \Gamma(X_0, E_0) & \xrightarrow{\hat{D}_0^t} & \Gamma(X_0, E_0). \end{array}$$

The following assumption on the resolution data is motivated by the construction of ACF fibrations in [Maj25c].

Assumption 2. *Let us assume that there exists a family of ACF Hermitian Dirac bundles of rate η , resolving the family of CF space (X_0, g_0) and the CF-Hermitian Dirac bundles $(E_0, \text{cl}_{g_0}, h_0, \nabla^{h_0})$ that*

fit into a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(E_\zeta, h_\zeta^t) & \xrightarrow{\delta_t} & (E_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}, h_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}) & & \\
\downarrow \pi_\zeta & \dashrightarrow \hat{\rho}_\zeta & \downarrow \pi_{t^2 \cdot \zeta} & \dashrightarrow \hat{\rho}_{t^2 \cdot \zeta} & \\
(E_0, h_0^t) & \xrightarrow{\delta_t} & (E_0, h_0) & & \\
\downarrow \pi_0 & \dashrightarrow \rho_\zeta & \downarrow \pi_0 & \dashrightarrow \rho_{t^2 \cdot \zeta} & \\
(X_\zeta, g_\zeta^t) & \xrightarrow{\delta_t} & (X_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}, g_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}) & & \\
\downarrow \pi_0 & \dashrightarrow \rho_\zeta & \downarrow \pi_0 & \dashrightarrow \rho_{t^2 \cdot \zeta} & \\
(X_0, g_0^t) & \xrightarrow{\delta_t} & (X_0, g_0) & &
\end{array}$$

whereby

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla^{0,1})^k ((\hat{\rho}_{t^2 \cdot \zeta})_* h_{t^2 \cdot \zeta; V} - h_{0; V}) &= \mathcal{O}(r^{\eta-k}) \\
\nabla^k ((\hat{\rho}_{t^2 \cdot \zeta})_* h_{t^2 \cdot \zeta; V} - h_{0; V}) &= \mathcal{O}(r^\eta).
\end{aligned}$$

Further, we assume that the curvature of $\nabla^{h_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla^{0,1})^k \left((\hat{\rho}_{t^2 \cdot \zeta})_* F_{\nabla^{h_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}}}^{0,2} \right) &= \mathcal{O}(r^{\eta-k}) \\
\nabla^k \left((\rho_{t^2 \cdot \zeta})_* F_{\nabla^{h_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}}} - F_{\nabla^{h_0}} \right) &= \mathcal{O}(r^\eta)
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we will also assume that the data is analytic in t sufficiently close to $t = 0$.

Notice that E_ζ are the same underlying vector bundle for all t , but the module structure changes.

Assumption 3. We will from now on assume that

$$(E_{\zeta; V}, h_{\zeta; V}^t) = \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{-n} \cdot E_{\zeta; V; n}, h_{\zeta; V} \right)$$

and that the decomposition is preserved by the connection ∇^{h_ζ} , i.e. it restricts to a Hermitian connection on the Hermitian subbundle $(t^{-n} \cdot E_{\zeta; V; n}, h_{\zeta; V})$.

Let in the following denote by

$$\text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t} : T^* X_\zeta \rightarrow \text{End}(E_\zeta)$$

the Clifford multiplication of $T^* X$ on E_ζ . We define the Dirac operator associated to $(E_\zeta, h_\zeta^t, \nabla^{h_\zeta}, \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t})$ to be the (uniformly) elliptic operator $D_\zeta^t \in \text{Diff}^1(E_\zeta)$

$$D_\zeta^t = \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t} \circ \nabla^{h_\zeta^t} : \Gamma(X_\zeta, E_\zeta) \rightarrow \Gamma(X_\zeta, E_\zeta).$$

As the Dirac bundle $(E_\zeta, h_\zeta^t, \nabla^{h_\zeta})$ is ACF with respect to $(E_0, h_0^t, \nabla^{E_0})$ the Dirac operator D_ζ^t is ACF to D_0^t , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla^{\text{Jet}^1 E_0; V})^k \left((\rho_\zeta)_* P_{D_\zeta^t; V} - P_{D_0^t; V} \right) &= \mathcal{O}(r^{\eta-k}) \\
(\nabla^{\text{Jet}^1 E_0})^k \left((\rho_\zeta)_* P_{D_\zeta^t} - P_{D_0^t} \right) &= \mathcal{O}(r^\eta)
\end{aligned}$$

where $D_\zeta^t = P_{D_\zeta^t} \circ \text{jet}^1$ and $D_0^t = P_{D_0^t} \circ \text{jet}^1$.

Again, using the splitting $H_\zeta \oplus V X_\zeta$ we define the connection

$$\nabla^{\oplus \zeta} = \nabla^{g_\zeta^t; HH} \oplus \nabla^{g_\zeta^t; VV}.$$

This connection is t -independent and we can write

$$\nabla^{g_\zeta^t} = \nabla^{\oplus\zeta} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\Lambda}_\zeta^t(\omega_\zeta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_\zeta(X)(Y, Z) &= g_\zeta(\Pi_{g_\zeta}(X, Z), Y) - g_\zeta(\Pi_{g_\zeta}(X, Y), Z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(g_\zeta(F_{H_\zeta}(X, Z), Y) - g_\zeta(F_{H_\zeta}(X, Y), Z) \\ &+ g_\zeta(F_{H_\zeta}(Y, Z), X)).\end{aligned}$$

Following [BGV92] the limit

$$\nabla^{g_\zeta^0} = \nabla^{\oplus\zeta} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\Lambda}^0(\omega_\zeta)$$

is well-defined. Recall that locally we can write $\nabla^{h_\zeta^t} =_{loc} \overline{\nabla}^{g_\zeta^t} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{tw..t}$ and we define

$$\nabla^{\otimes\zeta} = \overline{\nabla}^{\oplus\zeta} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{tw..0}.$$

Definition 3.9. We define the difference torsion

$$\Omega_\zeta = \omega_\zeta - \rho_\zeta^* \omega_0$$

and the twisting one form

$$\tau_\zeta^t = \nabla^{tw..t} - \nabla^{tw..0}.$$

As g_ζ^t is asymptotically conical fibred with respect to g_0^t we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^k \Omega_\zeta &= \mathcal{O}(r^\gamma) & \text{and} & & (\nabla^{0,1})^k \Omega_\zeta^t &= \mathcal{O}(r^{\gamma-k}) \\ \nabla^k \tau_\zeta^t &= \mathcal{O}(r^\eta) & \text{and} & & (\nabla^{0,1})^k \tau_\zeta^t &= \mathcal{O}(r^{\eta-k})\end{aligned}$$

Remark 25. As the resolution datum was assumed to be analytic in t ,

$$|\tau_\zeta^t| = \mathcal{O}(t).$$

♡

Definition 3.10. We define the connections

$$(7) \quad \hat{\nabla}^{g_\zeta^t} = \nabla^{\otimes\zeta} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\Lambda}_\zeta^t(\Omega_\zeta)$$

$$(8) \quad \hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t} = \nabla^{\otimes\zeta} + \frac{1}{2}\text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(\Omega_\zeta) + \tau_\zeta^t$$

$$(9) \quad \hat{\nabla}^{\otimes\zeta} = \nabla^{\otimes\zeta} - \frac{1}{2}k_\zeta.$$

Lemma 3.6. *The connection $\hat{\nabla}^{g_\zeta^t}$ is metric and $\hat{\nabla}^{\otimes\zeta}$ and $\hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t}$ are Hermitian and the latter is a $(\text{Cl}(TX_\zeta, g_\zeta^t), \hat{\nabla}^{g_\zeta^t})$ -module connection.*

The commutator of $\hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t;V}$ and $\hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t;H}$ is given by

$$[\hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t;H}, \hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t;V}] = F_{\hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t;HV}} + \hat{\nabla}_{[H,V]}^{h_\zeta^t}$$

Using that $\nabla^{\otimes\zeta} =_{loc} \overline{\nabla}^{\oplus\zeta} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{tw..0}$ we compute deduce that

$$[\nabla_X^{\otimes\zeta}, \nabla_U^{\otimes\zeta}] = \nabla_{[X,U]}^{\otimes\zeta}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}^{1,0}(X_\zeta)$ and $U \in \mathfrak{X}^{0,1}(X_\zeta)$. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) \quad \left[\hat{\nabla}_X^{h_\zeta^t}, \hat{\nabla}_U^{h_\zeta^t} \right] &= \left[\nabla_X^{\otimes \zeta}, \nabla_U^{\otimes \zeta} \right] + \left[\frac{1}{2} \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(\Omega_\zeta(X)) + \tau_\zeta(X), \nabla_U^{\otimes \zeta} \right] \\
&\quad + \left[\nabla_X^{\otimes \zeta}, \frac{1}{2} \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(\Omega_\zeta(U)) + \tau_\zeta(U) \right] + \left[\frac{1}{2} \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(\Omega_\zeta(X)) + \tau_\zeta(X), \frac{1}{2} \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(\Omega_\zeta(U)) + \tau_\zeta(U) \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(\nabla_U^{\oplus \zeta} \Omega_\zeta(X)) - \nabla_U^{\otimes \zeta} \tau_\zeta(X) + \frac{1}{2} \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(\nabla_X^{\oplus \zeta} \Omega_\zeta(U)) + \nabla_X^{\otimes \zeta} \tau_\zeta(U) \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{1}{2} \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(\Omega_\zeta(X)) + \tau_\zeta(X), \frac{1}{2} \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(\Omega_\zeta(U)) + \tau_\zeta(U) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Following the lines of Lemma 3.4, the Dirac operator \hat{D}_ζ^t on X_ζ can be decomposed in the following way.

Lemma 3.7 ([BGV92]). *The Dirac operator decomposes into*

$$\hat{D}_\zeta^t = \hat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t + \hat{D}_{\zeta;V}^t$$

Here $\hat{D}_{\zeta;V}^t = t^{-1} \cdot D_{\zeta;V}$ is a vertical Dirac operator and the horizontal Dirac operator can be identified with

$$\hat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t = \text{cl}_{g_\zeta} \circ \text{pr}_{H_\zeta} \circ \hat{\nabla}^{\otimes \zeta} + \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(\tau_{\zeta;H}^t) + t^{-1} \cdot \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(\tau_{\zeta;V}^t) - \frac{t}{4} \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(F_{H_\zeta} - \rho_\zeta^* F_{H_0}).$$

Remark 26. In terms of the edge calculus, we have replaced the normal operator of D by its “resolution”, i.e. an asymptotic operator

$$\hat{D}_{t^2, \zeta} \sim N(D)$$

as well as an asymptotic operator to the indicial operator

$$D_{\zeta;V} \sim I(D).$$

♡

Further, let $\check{r} : X_\zeta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the radius function on X_ζ defined by $\check{r}(x) = r(\rho_\zeta(x))$. We will denote by $B_R(X_\zeta)$ the manifold $\check{r}^{-1}([0, R])$.

Definition 3.11. We glue the Hermitian Dirac bundle $(E_\zeta, \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}, h_\zeta^t, \nabla^{h_\zeta^t})$ and $(E, \text{cl}_g, h, \nabla^h)$ along the collar

$$B_{2t^{-1}\epsilon}(X_\zeta) \setminus U_\epsilon^t \cong \text{Tub}_{2\epsilon}(S) \setminus \text{Tub}_\epsilon(S)$$

using the lift of the gluing morphism,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta^t : E_\zeta|_{B_{3t^{-1}\epsilon}(X_\zeta)} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_\zeta} & E_0|_{\text{Tub}_{3t^{-1}\epsilon}(S)} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\delta}_t} & E_0|_{\text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S)} & \xrightarrow{\hat{j}} & E \\
\downarrow \pi_\zeta & & \downarrow \pi_0 & & \downarrow \pi_0 & & \downarrow \pi \\
\Gamma_\zeta^t : B_{3t^{-1}\epsilon}(X_\zeta) & \xrightarrow{\rho_\zeta} & \text{Tub}_{3t^{-1}\epsilon}(S) & \xrightarrow{\delta_t} & \text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S) & \xrightarrow{j} & X
\end{array}$$

and denote the family of resolved space

$$E^t = E_{B_{2t^{-1}\epsilon}(X_\zeta)} \cup_{\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta^t} E_{X \setminus \text{Tub}_{2\epsilon}(S)} = E_t.$$

Further, this space is equipped with a resolution morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
E^t & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}^t} & E & \xleftarrow{\hat{\rho}_t} & E_t \\
\pi^t \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_t & & \downarrow \pi \\
X^t & \xrightarrow{\rho^t} & X & \xleftarrow{\rho_t} & X_t.
\end{array}$$

On $B_{5t^{-1}\epsilon}(X_\zeta) \cong U_{5\epsilon}^t \cong U_{5t^{-1}\epsilon}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g^t &= g_\zeta^t \cup^t g_0 + g_{j;hot} = g_{t^2 \cdot \zeta} \cup_t g_0 + g_{j;hot} = g_t \\ h^t &= h_\zeta^t \cup^t h_0 + \delta_t^* h_{j;hot} = h_{t^2 \cdot \zeta} \cup_t h_0 + h_{j;hot} = h_t. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.8. *The Levi-Civita connection with respect to g_t satisfies*

$$g_t(\nabla_X^{g_t} Y, Z) = g_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}(\hat{\nabla}_X^{g_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}} Y, Z) \cup_t g_0(\nabla_X^\oplus Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} d\chi_3 \wedge ((\rho_{t^2 \cdot \zeta})_* g_{t^2 \cdot \zeta} - g_0)(X, Y, Z) + \mathcal{O}(r).$$

The Dirac bundle connection ∇^{h_t} satisfies

$$\nabla^{h_t} = \hat{\nabla}^{h_{t^2 \cdot \zeta}} \cup_t \nabla^{\otimes 0} + \mathcal{O}(d\chi_3 \wedge ((\rho_{t^2 \cdot \zeta})_* g_{t^2 \cdot \zeta} - g_0)) + \mathcal{O}(d\chi_3 \wedge ((\hat{\rho}_{t^2 \cdot \zeta})_* h_{t^2 \cdot \zeta} - h_0)) + \mathcal{O}(r).$$

Proof. Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(X_t)$. Then using Koszul's formula

$$2g_t(\nabla_X^{g_t} Y, Z) = Xg_t(Y, Z) + Yg_t(X, Z) - Zg_t(X, Y) + g_t([X, Y], Z) - g_t([Y, Z], X) + g_t([Z, X], Y)$$

and $\nabla^g = \nabla^\oplus + \mathcal{O}(r)$. Lifting this locally to the spinor connection and by ∇^{h_t} being Hermitian, we deduce the statement. \square

4. ANALYTIC SETUP

In addressing gluing problems associated with Dirac operators, it is essential to define appropriate function spaces for "matching sections." Constructing such spaces requires an understanding of the kernel of the boundary operator, as the behaviour of sections near the boundary heavily influences the global solution structure.

The following subsection begins by introducing fundamental concepts in the context of linear gluing problems, focusing on Dirac operators. This process, involves establishing compatibility conditions for sections over the glued manifold and analysing the effects of boundary interactions. We will provide a schematic outline of this process in subsection 4.1.

Achieving successful linear gluing necessitates selecting function spaces that align with the constraints imposed by the underlying geometry. By carefully constructing these spaces, we establish a foundation for further analysis in nonlinear settings and applications.

4.1. Linear Gluing. In the following subsection we will schematically describe the linear gluing problem of understanding the kernel and cokernel of the resolved operator D^t . We will follow the discussion of Taubes and Hutchings [HT07, HT09] to discuss the basic ideas of linear gluing. The following section should be understood as a fairytale version of linear gluing results on orbifold resolutions.

In the following we will be interested in understanding the analytic properties of $D^t : V^{k+1} \rightarrow W^k$, given two analytic realisations of $\hat{D}_\zeta^t : V_{ACF}^{k+1} \rightarrow W_{ACF}^k$ and $D : V_{CSF}^{k+1} \rightarrow W_{CSF}^k$ that are Fredholm and an isomorphism of Banach spaces $\hat{D}_0 : V_{CF}^{k+1} \rightarrow W_{CF}^k$. The analytic facet of this assumption will be the scope of section 5.4-8 and will include a detailed discussion of the behaviour of the Dirac operator near the adiabatic limit.

Remark 27. For the following discussion D^t is not required to be essentially self-adjoint. \heartsuit

Using the gluing morphisms Γ_ζ^t and $\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta^t$ we define

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& (X_\zeta, g_\zeta^t) \sqcup (X, g) & \\
\swarrow \sqcup_{\Gamma_\zeta^t} & & \searrow \sqcup_{\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta^t} \\
(X^t, g^t) & & (\bar{X}^t, \bar{g}^t) = (X_0, g_0^t) \\
\downarrow \delta_t & & \downarrow \delta_t \\
(X_t, g_t) & & (\bar{X}_t, \bar{g}_t) = (X_0, g_0)
\end{array}$$

the spaces X^t and \bar{X}^t as well as the Dirac bundles

$$\pi^t : (E^t, \text{cl}_{g^t}, h^t, \nabla^{h^t}) \rightarrow (X^t, g^t) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\pi}^t : (\bar{E}^t, \text{cl}_{\bar{g}^t}, \bar{h}^t, \nabla^{\bar{h}^t}) \rightarrow (\bar{X}^t, \bar{g}^t).$$

In the following we are interested in the Dirac equation

$$(11) \quad D^t(\Psi \cup^t \Phi) = 0.$$

Notice, that (11) is equivalent to

$$\Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) \cup^t \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) = 0$$

with

$$\Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) := \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \Psi + (D^t - \widehat{D}_\zeta^t)(1 - \chi_5^t) \Psi + \text{cl}_{g^t}(\text{d}\chi_3^t)(\delta_t^* \Phi - \Psi)$$

and

$$\Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) := D\Phi + (D_t - D)\chi_1 \Phi + \text{cl}_{g_t}(\text{d}\chi_3)(\Phi - \delta_{t-1}^* \Psi)$$

where we artificially introduced the new cut-off function χ_1 and χ_5^t . In the above expression we suppressed the identifications $\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta^t$ of Dirac bundles. Notice that

$$\Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) \oplus \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) \in W_{ACF}^k \oplus W_{CSF}^k$$

defines coupled equations on the disjoint union $X_\zeta \sqcup X$.

Lemma 4.1 (Meta). *Given $\Gamma \in V^{k+1}$ and $\Xi \oplus H \in W_{ACF}^k \oplus W_{CSF}^k$ such that*

$$D^t \Gamma = \Xi \cup^t H$$

there exists a unique $\Psi \oplus \Phi \in V_{ACF}^{k+1} \oplus V_{CSF}^{k+1}$ such that

$$(12) \quad \Gamma = \Psi \cup^t \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) = \Xi \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) = H.$$

Lemma 4.2 (Meta). *Let $\Xi \oplus H \in \ker_{ACF}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \oplus \ker_{CSF}(D)$. There exists unique*

$$\Psi \oplus \Phi \in \left(\ker_{ACF}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \oplus \ker_{CSF}(D) \right)^\perp$$

such that

$$(13) \quad \Theta_{ACF}^t((\Xi + \Psi) \oplus (H + \Phi)) \oplus \Theta_{CSF}^t((\Xi + \Psi) \oplus (H + \Phi)) \in \text{coker}_{ACF}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \oplus \text{coker}_{CSF}(D).$$

Remark 28. A theorem of this form can be proven by realising it as a fixed-point problem. In order to use the contraction mapping principle to solve this gluing problem we need that Φ and Ψ satisfy the matching condition

$$\|\Phi - \delta_{t-1}^* \Psi\|_{W^{k+1}(A_{2\epsilon, 3\epsilon}(S))}, \|\delta_t^* \Phi - \Psi\|_{V^{k+1}(A_{(2t-1)\epsilon, 3t-1\epsilon}(S))} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

♡

Definition 4.1. We define the approximate kernel and cokernel as the pullbacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{xker}(D^t) & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_X} & \text{ker}_{CSF}(D) \\ \text{pr}_{X_\zeta} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{res}_S \\ \text{ker}_{ACF}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\partial X_\zeta}} & \Gamma(Y, E_Y) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{xcoker}(D^t) & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_X} & \text{coker}_{CSF}(D) \\ \text{pr}_{X_\zeta} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{res}_S \\ \text{coker}_{ACF}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\partial X_\zeta}} & \Gamma(Y, E_Y) \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.3 (Meta). *There exists a exact sequence*

$$(14) \quad \text{ker}(D^t) \xleftarrow{i^t} \text{xker}(D^t) \xrightarrow{\text{ob}^t} \text{xcoker}(D^t) \xrightarrow{p^t} \text{coker}(D^t)$$

where we define the map

$$i^t : \text{ker}(D^t) \hookrightarrow \text{xker}(D^t)$$

by sending Γ to $\Pi_{\text{ker}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)}(\Psi) \oplus \Pi_{\text{ker}(D)}(\Phi)$ satisfying (12) for $\Xi \oplus H = 0$. Further, we define the map

$$\text{ob}^t : \text{xker}(D^t) \rightarrow \text{xcoker}(D^t)$$

by sending an element $\Xi \oplus H$ to $\Pi_{\text{coker}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)} \Theta_{ACF}^t(\Xi + \Psi, H + \Phi) \oplus \Pi_{\text{coker}(D)} \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Xi + \Psi, H + \Phi)$ where $\Psi \oplus \Phi$ is the unique element in $(\text{xker}(D^t))^\perp$ solving (13). Finally the map

$$p^t : \text{xcoker}(D^t) \rightarrow \text{coker}(D^t)$$

sends two element $\Upsilon \oplus \Sigma \in \text{coker}_{ACF}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \oplus \text{coker}_{CSF}(D)$ to $\Pi_{\text{coker}(D^t)}(\Upsilon \cup^t \Sigma)$.

4.2. Polyhomogeneous Solutions and Eigenbundles. To thoroughly understand the kernel of the boundary operator, it is useful to examine the polyhomogeneous kernel elements that arise in this context. The boundary operator of the Dirac operator D , is given by the leading order term of D_0 close to the singular stratum of X_0 . Given that the underlying configuration space (CF) can be expressed as a warped product of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with a collapsing fibration $\vartheta : (Y, g_{Y;r}) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$, we are able to employ a global separation of variables approach to study these kernel elements.

This separation of variables provides a powerful framework to analyse the asymptotic behaviour and polyhomogeneous structure of the solutions. Building on methods developed by Ammann and Bär[AB98], we will explicitly calculate these polyhomogeneous solutions, leveraging their techniques to handle the boundary conditions imposed on functional analytic realisations of the boundary operator.

In this section, we outline the derivation of these polyhomogeneous kernel elements, detailing how the warped geometry influences the eigenstructure and solution properties of the boundary operator. This analysis is essential for constructing the function spaces needed in subsequent sections and understanding how the polyhomogeneous structure of solutions contributes to the overall gluing framework.

Remark 29. The Hilbert spaces $L_r^2(Y, E_Y)$ are symplectic Hilbert spaces whose symplectic form is given by

$$\omega_r(\Phi, \Psi) = \int_Y h_r(\text{cl}_0 \Phi, \Psi) \text{vol}_{Y;r}$$

and $\text{cl}_0^2 = -1$ the corresponding complex structure. The operator $D_{Y;r}$ anticommutes with this complex structure. \heartsuit

Let $\pi_Y : (E_Y, \text{cl}_{g_{Y;r}}, h_{Y;r}, \nabla^{h_{Y;r}}) \rightarrow (Y, g_{Y;r})$ over $\vartheta : (Y, g_{Y;r}) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ denote the Hermitian Dirac bundle introduced in section 3.2.1. We define the infinite-dimensional vector bundle

$$\vartheta_{*L^2} E_Y \rightarrow S$$

of ϑ -fibrewise sections, i.e. for $U \subset S$ open

$$L^2(U, \vartheta_{*L^2} E_Y) = L^2(\vartheta^{-1}(U), E_Y)$$

Notice that this is naturally a $\vartheta_{*C_{yt}} \mathbb{R}$ -module. The $\text{Cl}(TS, g_S)$ -module structures is given by

$$\text{cl}_{g_S}(V)\Phi = \text{cl}_{g_{Y;r}}(V^{H_0})\Phi = \text{cl}_{g_{Y_1}}(V^{H_0})\Phi$$

for vector fields $V \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$ and $\Phi \in \Gamma(S, \vartheta_{*L^2} E_Y)$. Furthermore the connection ∇^{\otimes_0} induces a connection on $\vartheta_{*L^2} E_Y$ by

$$\nabla_V^{\otimes_Y} \Phi = \nabla_{V^{H_0}}^{\otimes_{H_0}} \Phi,$$

whose curvature is given by

$$F_{\nabla^{\otimes_Y}}(U, V) = F_{\nabla^{\otimes_Y}}(U^{H_0}, V^{H_0}) - \nabla_{F_{H_0}}^{\otimes_Y}(U, V).$$

Naturally the pushforward is equipped with a Hermitian structure

$$h_{Y;r}(\Phi, \Phi')_s = \int_{\vartheta^{-1}(s)} \langle \Phi, \Phi' \rangle_{h_{Y;r}} \text{vol}_{r^2 g_{Y;V}}$$

given by the fibrewise L_r^2 -product. As ν_0 and ϑ have totally geodesic fibres the connection ∇^{\otimes_Y} is Hermitian connection. This connection is a $\text{Cl}(TS, g^S)$ -module connection and thus

$$(\vartheta_{*L^2} E_Y, \text{cl}_{g_S}, h_{Y;r}, \nabla^{\otimes_Y})$$

is an infinite dimensional Hermitian Dirac bundle.

Remark 30. The Hermitian Dirac bundle $\vartheta_{*L^2} E_Y$ decomposes into the eigenbundles of the endomorphism $D_{Y;V}$, i.e.

$$\vartheta_{*L^2} E_Y = \overline{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \sigma(D_{Y;V})} \mathcal{E}_\lambda}.$$

\heartsuit

Remark 31. The eigenvalues of $D_{0;V}$ and their multiplicities are discussed in the Appendix B. \heartsuit

Lemma 4.4. *The space \mathcal{E}_λ defines a smooth vector bundle on S of rank $m(\lambda) = m(-\lambda)$.*

Proof. Notice that we can write

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda = (Fr(E_0) \times F_S) \times_{\text{SO}(\mathbb{E}) \times N_{\text{Isot}(S)}} \mathcal{E}_\lambda(D_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}/\text{Isot}(S)})$$

where $Fr(E_0)$ is the $\text{SO}(\mathbb{E})$ -frame bundle of E_0 and F_S denotes the $N_{\text{Isot}(S)}(\text{SO}(n))$ -reduction of the frame bundle along S . \square

Definition 4.2. Let us define the projections

$$\pi_\Lambda : \Gamma(X_0, E_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda)$$

by the L_r^2 -projection onto the $\Lambda = \lambda \oplus -\lambda$ -eigenbundles i.e. at a point $s \in S$ we have

$$\pi_\Lambda(\Phi)(s) = \sum_n \left(\int_{\nu_0^{-1}(s)} \langle \Phi, e_n^t \rangle_{h_{Y;V}} \text{vol}_{r^2 g_{Y;V}} \right) \cdot e_n^r$$

where (e_n^r) is an L_t^2 orthonormal basis of $(\mathcal{E}_\Lambda)_s$.

Lemma 4.5. *The Hermitian connection $\nabla^{\otimes Y}$ restrict to a Hermitian connection*

$$\nabla^{h_{Y;\Lambda}} = \pi_\Lambda \nabla^{\otimes Y} \pi_\Lambda$$

on \mathcal{E}_Λ which makes

$$(\mathcal{E}_\Lambda, \text{cl}_{g_S;\Lambda}, h_{Y;r;\Lambda}, \nabla^{h_{Y;\Lambda}})$$

a families of Hermitian Dirac bundles. Moreover, the associated Dirac operator $\text{cl}_{g_S;\Lambda} \circ \nabla^{h_{Y;\Lambda}}$ and

$$D_{Y;H;\Lambda} := \pi_\Lambda D_H^{\otimes Y} \pi_\Lambda$$

coincide.

Proof. We refer to a [BC89] for a general discussion of the L^2 -pushforward of Dirac bundles. □

Corollary 4.1. *There exists an $D_{Y;H;\Lambda}$ -eigenbasis of $\Phi_{\Lambda,\mu_\Lambda}$, such that*

$$D_{Y;H;\Lambda} + r^{-1} D_{Y;V;\Lambda}|_{\mathbb{E}_{\Lambda,\mu_\Lambda}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{r} & \mu \\ \mu & -\frac{\lambda}{r} \end{pmatrix}$$

The following corollary can be seen as a generalisation of Theorem 4.1 in [AB98].

Corollary 4.2. *The eigenvalues of $D_{Y;r}$ are indexed by λ and μ_Λ and are asymptotic to*

$$\pm \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{r^2 \mu_\Lambda^2 + \lambda^2} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$




Figure 3. Asymptotic Expansion of the Eigenvalues of $D_{Y;r}$.

We will now proceed by using these results to compute the polyhomogeneous kernel elements of the boundary operator B .

Remark 32. As cl_0 anticommutes with $D_{Y;V}$ it defines a map

$$\text{cl}_0 : \mathcal{E}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{-\lambda}.$$

In particular, $L_r^2(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda) \subset L_r^2(Y, E_Y)$ is a symplectic subspace. ♡

We define the operators

$$B_\Lambda = \pi_{\Lambda;r} B \pi_{\Lambda;r} : \Gamma(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda).$$

which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} B_\Lambda &= B_{H;\Lambda} + B_{V;\Lambda} \\ &= B_{H;\Lambda} + \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{m-1}{2r} - \frac{\lambda}{r} & \\ & \frac{m-1}{2r} + \frac{\lambda}{r} \end{array} \right) \circ \text{cl}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.6. *The operator $B_{H;\Lambda}$ is a self-adjoint Dirac operator and acts via*

$$B_{H;\Lambda} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & B_{H;\lambda} \\ B_{H,-\lambda} & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Remark 33. As S is assumed to be compact, the analytic realisation

$$\begin{aligned} B_{H;\Lambda} &: W_r^{k,p}(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda) \rightarrow W_r^{k-1,p}(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda) \\ B_{H;\Lambda} &: C_r^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda) \rightarrow C_r^{k-1,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda) \end{aligned}$$

are Fredholm and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_\Lambda\|_{W_r^{k+1,p}} &\lesssim \|B_{H;\Lambda} \Phi_\Lambda\|_{W_r^{k,p}} + \|\Phi_\Lambda\|_{L_r^2} \cdot \\ \|\Phi_\Lambda\|_{C_r^{k+1,\alpha}} &\lesssim \|B_{H;\Lambda} \Phi_\Lambda\|_{C_r^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi_\Lambda\|_{C_r^0}. \end{aligned}$$

♡

Lemma 4.7. *There exists an orthogonal eigenbasis $\Phi_{\Lambda,\mu_\Lambda}$ of $L_r^2(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda)$ such that on*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\Lambda,M_\Lambda} = \text{span} \langle \Psi_{\Lambda,-\mu_\Lambda}, \Psi_{\Lambda,+\mu_\Lambda} \rangle$$

the operator $B_{H;\Lambda} + B_{V;\Lambda}$ acts via

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{m-1}{2r} - \frac{\lambda}{r} & \mu_\Lambda \\ \mu_\Lambda & \frac{m-1}{2r} + \frac{\lambda}{r} \end{array} \right).$$

Proof. As $B_{H;\Lambda}$ is a self-adjoint Dirac operator we can take a μ_Λ^2 -eigenbasis $\phi_{\lambda,\mu_\Lambda^2}$ of $B_{H;\Lambda}^2$. Then $\Phi_{\Lambda,\pm\mu_\Lambda}$ are given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \phi_{\lambda,\mu_\Lambda^2} \\ \frac{\pm 1}{\mu} B_{H;\Lambda} \phi_{\lambda,\mu_\Lambda^2} \end{array} \right).$$

□

4.2.1. Polyhomogenous Solutions of the Boundary Operator. In the following we will construct polyhomogenous solutions of the boundary operator. This is achieved by solving for

$$(15) \quad (\partial_r + B)\Phi = 0$$

in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, L_r^2(S, \vartheta_{*L^2} E_Y))$. By previous section, we can use the spectral decomposition and instead solve for

$$(16) \quad (\partial_r + B_\Lambda)\Phi_\Lambda = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_\Lambda \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, L_r^2(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda))$$

Using the L^2 spectral decomposition into $\mathbb{E}_{\Lambda,M_\Lambda}$ we deduce that (15) reads

$$(17) \quad \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} \partial_r & \\ & \partial_r \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{m-1}{2r} - \frac{\lambda}{r} & \mu_\Lambda \\ \mu_\Lambda & \frac{m-1}{2r} + \frac{\lambda}{r} \end{array} \right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} f_- \\ f_+ \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

for $f_-, f_+ \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{E}_{\Lambda, M_\Lambda})$. If $\mu_\Lambda = 0$, this ODE decouples into

$$(18) \quad \left(\begin{pmatrix} \partial_r & \\ & \partial_r \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m-1}{2r} - \frac{\lambda}{r} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{m-1}{2r} + \frac{\lambda}{r} \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} f_- \\ f_+ \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

with solutions

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_- \\ f_+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_- \cdot r^{\frac{1-m}{2} + \lambda} \\ c_+ \cdot r^{\frac{1-m}{2} - \lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $\lambda = 0$ and hence $\mathcal{E}_\Lambda = \mathcal{E}_0$, we have

$$\left(\partial_r + \frac{m-1}{2r} + \mu_0 \right) f = 0$$

which has the solution $f(r) = c \cdot r^{\frac{1-m}{2}} e^{-\mu_0 r}$. If $\lambda = \pm \frac{m-1}{2}$

$$(19) \quad \left(\begin{pmatrix} \partial_r & \\ & \partial_r \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m-1}{r} & \mu_\Lambda \\ \mu_\Lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} f_- \\ f_+ \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

then

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_- \\ f_+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 r^{\gamma_+} + C_2 r^{\gamma_-} \\ -\frac{C_1 \gamma_+}{\mu_\Lambda} r^{\gamma_+ - 1} - \frac{C_2 \gamma_-}{\mu_\Lambda} r^{\gamma_- - 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$(20) \quad \left(\begin{pmatrix} \partial_r & \\ & \partial_r \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_\Lambda \\ \mu_\Lambda & \frac{m-1}{r} \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} f_- \\ f_+ \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_- \\ f_+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{C_1 \gamma_+}{\mu_\Lambda} r^{\gamma_+ - 1} - \frac{C_2 \gamma_-}{\mu_\Lambda} r^{\gamma_- - 1} \\ C_1 r^{\gamma_+} + C_2 r^{\gamma_-} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\gamma_\pm = 1 - \frac{m}{2} \pm \sqrt{(m/2 - 1)^2 + \mu_\Lambda^2}$. Finally, if λ, μ_Λ are non of the above we decouple this system to

$$(21) \quad r^2 \partial_r^2 f_- + (m-1)r \partial_r f_- - \left(\frac{m-1}{2} - \lambda - \frac{(m-1)^2}{4} + \lambda^2 + \mu_\Lambda^2 r^2 \right) f_- = 0$$

$$(22) \quad r^2 \partial_r^2 f_+ + (m-1)r \partial_r f_+ - \left(\frac{m-1}{2} + \lambda - \frac{(m-1)^2}{4} + \lambda^2 + \mu_\Lambda^2 r^2 \right) f_+ = 0$$

which has solutions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f_-(r) &= r^{1-\frac{m}{2}} \operatorname{Re} \left(c_J^- \cdot J_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}(-i\mu_\Lambda r) + c_Y^- \cdot Y_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}(-i\mu_\Lambda r) \right) \\ f_+(r) &= r^{1-\frac{m}{2}} \operatorname{Re} \left(c_J^+ \cdot J_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}(-i\mu_\Lambda r) + c_Y^+ \cdot Y_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}(-i\mu_\Lambda r) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $J_\nu(z)$ and $Y_\nu(z)$ are the Bessel functions of first and second kind. Let $\delta(E_0)$ denotes the CF-degree⁶ of the bundle E_0 , i.e. a section the power

$$|\Phi|_{h_{r,Y}} = r^{\delta(E_0)} \cdot |\Phi|_{h_{1,Y}}.$$

Then given homogeneous elements $\Phi_{\Lambda, \mu_\Lambda}(r)$, we know that

$$|\Phi_{\Lambda, \mu_\Lambda}|_{h_0}(r) = r^{1+\delta(E_0)-\frac{m}{2}} \operatorname{Re} \left(c_J^\mp \cdot J_{\lambda \mp \frac{1}{2}}(-i\mu_\Lambda r) + c_Y^\mp \cdot Y_{\lambda \mp \frac{1}{2}}(-i\mu_\Lambda r) \right)$$

⁶The degree in general an automorphism of E_0 , that is scalar on each r -homogeneous summand, but for simplicity we will treat it as a scalar.

4.2.2. *Right-Inverse of B_{Λ, μ_Λ} .* In the following we construct a right-inverse by hand and prove a Schauder estimate.

Let $\vec{g} \in C_{cc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$. Then a right-inverse to B_{Λ, μ_Λ} is given by

$$(23) \quad R_{\Lambda, \mu_\Lambda}(\vec{g})(r) = \begin{cases} \int_0^r g_{\mp}(\xi) \frac{f_{\mp}(r)}{f_{\mp}(\xi)} d\xi & \mu \leq 0 \\ -\int_r^\infty g_{\mp}(\xi) \frac{f_{\mp}(r)}{f_{\mp}(\xi)} d\xi & \mu \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

where $f_{\mp}(r)$ are solutions of the system (17). Notice, that if $R_{\Lambda, \mu_\Lambda}(\vec{g})$ with $\vec{g} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})^2$ exists than it naturally satisfies the boundary condition

$$R_{\Lambda, \mu_\Lambda}(\vec{g})(0) = 0$$

for all $\mu_\Lambda \leq 0$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\vec{g} \in C_{\beta-1}^{k, \alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^2$. The right-inverse $R_{\lambda, \pm \mu_\Lambda}$ satisfies the Schauder estimate*

$$\|R_{\lambda, \pm \mu_\Lambda}(\vec{g})\|_{C_\beta^{k+1, \alpha}} + \|\vec{g}\|_{C_{\beta-1}^{k, \alpha}} \lesssim (1 + |\lambda|)^{-1} (1 + |\mu_\Lambda|)^{-1} \|\vec{g}\|_{C_{\beta-1}^{k, \alpha}}$$

Proof. Using the asymptotic expansions of the Bessel functions, we conclude that $R_{\lambda, \mu_\Lambda}(g)$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\lambda, \mu_\Lambda}(g)(r) &= \int_0^r g_{\mp}(\xi) \frac{f_{\mp}(r)}{f_{\mp}(\xi)} d\xi \\ R_{\lambda, \mu_\Lambda}(g)(r) &= \int_0^\epsilon g_{\mp}(\xi) \frac{f_{\mp}(r)}{f_{\mp}(\xi)} d\xi + \int_\epsilon^r g_{\mp}(\xi) \frac{f_{\mp}(r)}{f_{\mp}(\xi)} d\xi \\ R_{\lambda, \mu_\Lambda}(g)(r) &= \int_0^\epsilon g_{\mp}(\xi) \frac{f_{\mp}(r)}{f_{\mp}(\xi)} d\xi + \int_\epsilon^R g_{\mp}(\xi) \frac{f_{\mp}(r)}{f_{\mp}(\xi)} d\xi + \int_R^r g_{\mp}(\xi) \frac{f_{\mp}(r)}{f_{\mp}(\xi)} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{\lambda, \pm \mu_\Lambda}(\vec{g})(r)| &\sim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_0^r \vec{g}(\xi) \left(\frac{r}{\xi}\right)^{1-m/2+\lambda \mp 1/2} d\xi \\ |R_{-\lambda, \pm \mu_\Lambda}(\vec{g})(r)| &\sim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_0^r \vec{g}(\xi) \left(\frac{r}{\xi}\right)^{1-m/2-\lambda \pm 1/2} d\xi \\ |R_{\lambda, \mu_\Lambda}(\vec{g})(r)| &\sim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^r \vec{g}(\xi) e^{\pm \mu_\Lambda(r-\xi)} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Then for small r we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{\lambda, \pm \mu_\Lambda}(\vec{g})(r)\|_{C_\beta^0} &\lesssim \left\| \int_0^r \vec{g}(\xi) \left(\frac{r}{\xi}\right)^{1-m/2+\lambda \mp 1/2} d\xi \right\|_{C_\beta^0} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \|\vec{g}\|_{C_{\beta-1}^0(0, r)} \int_0^r \left(\frac{r}{\xi}\right)^{1-m/2+\lambda \mp 1/2-\beta} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \right\|_{C^0} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{1-m/2+\lambda \mp 1/2-\beta} \left\| \|\vec{g}\|_{C_{\beta-1}^0(0, r)} \left[\left(\frac{r}{\xi}\right)^{1-m/2+\lambda \mp 1/2-\beta} \right]^r \right\|_{C^0} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{1-m/2+\lambda \mp 1/2-\beta} \|\vec{g}\|_{C_{\beta-1}^0}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this the $C_{CF; \beta}^{1, \alpha}$ -estimate follows from a direct computation and from the fact that

$$r \partial_r R_{\lambda, \pm \mu_\Lambda}(\vec{g}) = - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m-1}{2} - \lambda & \\ \mu r & \frac{\mu r}{2} + \lambda \end{pmatrix} \vec{g} + r \cdot \vec{g}.$$

The higher regularity follows immediately, from differentiating (17) or equivalently (21) and (22) and we conclude that

$$\|R_{\lambda, \pm\mu_\Lambda}(\vec{g})\|_{C_\beta^{k+1, \alpha}} \lesssim (1 + |\lambda|)^{-1} (1 + |\mu_\lambda|)^{-1} \|\vec{g}\|_{C_{\beta-1}^{k, \alpha}}.$$

□

Remark 34. As Y is compact, both λ and μ_Λ are bounded from below. ♡

4.3. e- vs. b-Calculus & Hölder vs. Sobolev. In this section, we discuss the challenges and significance of selecting an appropriate functional analytic framework for establishing a uniform elliptic theory for Dirac operators on orbifold resolutions. As outlined in Section 4.1, the strategy involves decomposing the orbifold resolutions into fundamental components: the orbifold itself and an asymptotically conical fibration resolving the normal cone bundle. These components are interconnected, with the normal cone bundle serving as the anti-gluing space. Consequently, it is essential to construct functional analytic realisations for the operators $D, \widehat{D}_\zeta^t, \widehat{D}_0$ that ideally allow the realisation of \widehat{D}_0 to be inherited, thereby ensuring that the induced realisations of \widehat{D}_ζ^t and D are Fredholm.

Previous works by Schulze, Mazzeo, and Melrose [Sch91, Sch98, Maz91, MV14, DM18] introduced Sobolev spaces realising extensions of the minimal L^2 -domain of uniformly elliptic iterated edge differential operators, leading to the development of the edge-calculus. However, in the context of Dirac operators on orbifold resolutions, the usage of edge-calculus is appears like *shooting sparrows with canons*.

As shown in the previous section, the kernel of the normal operator admits polyhomogeneous expansions of the form:

$$\Phi(r) \sim e^{\pm\mu_\Lambda r} r^{\pm\lambda + \frac{1-m}{2} + \delta(E_0)}.$$

This explicit control over the asymptotic behaviour of kernel and cokernel elements will enable us to define “new function spaces” more suitable for the study of Dirac operators on orbifold resolutions.

The main challenges in defining suitable functional analytic realisations of the Dirac operators \widehat{D}_0, D and \widehat{D}_ζ^t can be summarised as follows:

1. **Local Control and Rescaling Sensitivity:** Gluing problems require precise local control via C^k -estimates of pre-glued solutions, which must also be sensitive to rescaling/blow-up arguments.
2. **Infinite-Dimensional Kernel of \widehat{D}_0 :** The normal operator \widehat{D}_0 has an infinite-dimensional kernel comprising solutions to (21) and (22) for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Therefore, the choice of function spaces must effectively “exclude” these kernel elements.
3. **Fibred Structure Sensitivity:** Given the asymptotic behaviour:

$$\nabla^{1,0}\Phi_{\Lambda, M_\Lambda}(r) \sim e^{\pm\mu_\Lambda r} r^{\pm\lambda + \frac{1-m}{2} + \delta(E_0)}, \quad \nabla^{0,1}\Phi_{\Lambda, M_\Lambda}(r) \sim e^{\pm\mu_\Lambda r} r^{\pm\lambda - \frac{m+1}{2} + \delta(E_0)}(1 + r^{-1}),$$

the function spaces must be adapted to the fibred structures of X_0 and X_ζ to facilitate a meaningful adiabatic limit analysis.

4. **Unbounded Operators on Weighted Spaces:** For functions $|\Phi| \sim r^\beta$, we have:

$$\widehat{D}_0\Phi \sim r^\beta + r^{\beta-1}.$$

This behaviour implies that the analytic realisations act as unbounded operators on the corresponding weighted function spaces.

To address these challenges, we propose the following strategy:

- ⇒1. **Hölder vs. Sobolev Spaces:** Due to the need for fine local control, we will use local Hölder norms.

- ⇒2. **Weighted Function Spaces and Boundary Conditions:** We define weighted function spaces based on solutions to \widehat{D}_0 and impose boundary conditions to restrict the infinite-dimensional kernel. Both the choice of weights and the boundary conditions will ensure that a “half-space” of kernel elements of \widehat{D}_0 are excluded. Combing both ensures that the space of kernel elements of \widehat{D}_0 is finite dimensional. Specifically, we focus on weights derived from special solutions of (21) and (22) for $\mu = 0$, corresponding to fibrewise conical weight functions. The remaining kernel will be controlled by imposing APS-type boundary conditions.
- ⇒3. **Transition from e- to b-Norms:** Instead of edge-norms, we adopt b-norms ensuring that smooth homogeneous elements are appropriately incorporated. Hence, only vertical derivative are weighted by an increased weight.
- ⇒4. **Weighted Hölder to Graph Norms:** The weighted Hölder norms are replaced by graph norms to guarantee that the operators $D, \widehat{D}_c^t, \widehat{D}_0$ are left semi-Fredholm.

5. UNIFORM ELLIPTIC THEORY FOR CONICALLY FIBRED DIRAC OPERATORS

In this first subsection we will introduce weighted function spaces on conically fibration (CF). These are a certain subclass of quasi conically spaces and allow finer weighted analysis than the latter class. In particular, the normal cone bundles of singular strata of orbifolds are such CF spaces and they are the model at infinity of both asymptotically conically fibred (ACF) spaces and conically singular fibred (CSF) spaces.

Furthermore, compactly supported deformations of CF spaces can be identified with the anti-gluing spaces \overline{X}^t and hence, studying their geometry is essential for the following sections.

Using some Weitzenböck type argument we will be able to show improved Schauder-estimates for CF Dirac operators and prove that these operators are injective on the chosen CF-weighted Hölder space realisations.

5.1. Weighted Function Spaces on Conically Fibrations. Let in the following $q : (Y, g_Y) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ be a fibre bundle and a Riemannian submersion of compact Riemannian manifolds. Further, the Riemannian structure on Y induces an Ehresmann connection on the fibration, i.e. $TY \cong H_Y \oplus VY$.

Definition 5.1. A conical fibration over $(q : (Y, g_Y) \rightarrow (S, g_S))$ of dimension $m - 1 + s = n - 1$ (here S is an s -dimensional compact manifold) is given by the Riemannian manifold

$$(p : (CF_S(Y), g_{CF}) \rightarrow (S, g_S)) = ((q : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times Y, dr^2 + g_Y^{2,0} + r^2 g_Y^{0,2}) \rightarrow (S, g_S))$$

Usually, we will write $g_{CF} = p^* g_S + g_{CF,V}$ where $g_{CF,V}$ denotes the fibrewise conical structure. The connection H_{CF} on $CF_S(Y)$ is induced by H_Y by

$$(\text{id} \oplus A_{H_q}) : TCF_S(Y) \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus TY \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus VY.$$

Remark 35. Notice that the geometry of the conical fibration is the one of a warped product

$$(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times Y, dr^2 + g_{Y;r})$$

of the real line and a collapsing fibration

$$(Y, g_{Y;r}) \rightarrow (S, g_S).$$

♡

Notation. We will denote by $w_{CF} = r : CF_S(Y) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and set

$$w_{CF}^{-\beta} = w_{CF;\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{CF}(x, y) = \min(w_{CF}(x), w_{CF}(y)).$$

where $\beta \in C^\infty(S)$.

Notation. In the following we will write $B_R(S) = r^{-1}([0, R])$ and $A_{R,R'}(S) = r^{-1}((0, R, R'))$.

Definition 5.2. A Hermitian vector bundle (E_{CF}, h_{CF}) is called homogeneous CF Hermitian of degree $\delta(E_{CF})$, if the pullback under the automorphism

$$w_{CF;\delta(E_{CF})}^{Cyl} : E_{CF} \rightarrow E_{CF}, v_x \mapsto r^{\delta(E_{CF})} v_x$$

i.e.

$$(E_{CF}, (w_{CF;-\delta(E_{CF})}^{Cyl})^* h_{CF})$$

is a translational invariant Hermitian bundle. A Hermitian vector bundle (E_{CF}, h_{CF}) is called CF Hermitian if it decomposes into the direct sum of homogeneous CF Hermitian bundles. In the following we will write $\delta(E_{CF})$ for the tuple of homogeneous degrees and

$$w_{CF;\delta(E_{CF})}^{Cyl} : E_{CF} \rightarrow E_{CF}$$

for the induced automorphisms.

Example 5. The tangent bundle $(TCF_S(Y), g_{CF})$ has degree $(0, -1)$. If we refer to degree of $TCF_S(Y)$ we will always assume the former except mentioned otherwise. ♣

Definition 5.3. Let (E_{CF}, h_{CF}) be a CF Hermitian vector bundle. A Hermitian connection $(\nabla^{h_{CF}})$ is called CF Hermitian connection, if

$$(w_{CF;d_{T^*CF_S(Y)} \otimes E_{CF}}^{Cyl})^* \nabla^{h_{CF}} (w_{CF;\delta(E_{CF})}^{Cyl})^*$$

is translational invariant.

Lemma 5.1. Let ∇_{CF} be a Hermitian CF connection. In the presence of the Ehresmann connection H the connection ∇_{CF} decomposes into

$$\nabla_{CF} = \nabla_{CF}^H + \nabla_{CF}^V$$

where ∇_{CF}^V is a vertical connection, i.e. it restricts p -fibrewise to a connection on E .

Notation. Let $\text{word}_{i,j}^+(A, B)$ denote the sum of all words of length j in A and B counting i -times the letter A . In particular $\text{word}_{i,j}^+(A, B) = \text{word}_{j-i,j}^+(B, A)$.

Let (E_0, h_0, ∇_0) a CF-bundle over the CF-space (X_0, g_0) . Here the Hermitian connection ∇_0 is either the given by the Clifford module connection $(\nabla^{h_0}, \nabla^{g_0})$ or $(\nabla^{\otimes_0}, \nabla^{\oplus_0})$.

Definition 5.4. We define the space $\Gamma_{CF;\beta}^k(X_0, E_0, \nabla_0)$ to be the space of all C^k -sections Φ such that

$$\left\| w_{CF;\beta} \left\{ \nabla_0^j \right\} \Phi \right\|_{C^0} < \infty$$

where

$$w_{CF;\beta} \left\{ \nabla_0^j \right\} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^j w_{CF;(\beta-j+i)} \text{word}_{i,j}^+(\nabla_0^{1,0}, \nabla_0^{0,1})$$

and equip the spaces $\Gamma_{CF;\beta}^k(X_0, E_0, \nabla_0)$ with the metric

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^k} = \sum_{j=0}^k \left\| w_{CF;\beta} \left\{ \nabla_0^j \right\} \Phi \right\|_{C_{g_0, h_0}^0}$$

The space $\Gamma_{CF;\beta}(X_0, E_0, \nabla_0)$ is defined to be the intersection of all $\Gamma_{CF;\beta}^k(X_0, E_0, \nabla_0)$ for all k . Furthermore, we define the $w_{CF;\beta}$ -weighted Sobolev $W_{CF;\beta}^{k,p}(X_0, E_0, \nabla_0)$ and Hölder spaces $C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla_0)$

by replacing the usual metrics by the ones

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi\|_{W_{CF;\beta}^{k,p}}^p &= \sum_{i=0}^k \int_X |w_{CF;\beta} \{\nabla_0^i\} \Phi|_{h_0, g_0}^p w_{CF;m} \text{vol}_{g_0} \\
\|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}} &= \sum_{j=0}^k \left\| w_{CF;\beta} \{\nabla_0^j\} \Phi \right\|_{C_{g_0, h_0}^0} + [\nabla_0^j \Phi]_{C_{\beta-j; CF}^{0,\alpha}} \\
[\nabla_0^j \Phi]_{C_{\beta-j; CF}^{0,\alpha}} &= \sup_{B_{g_0}} \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=0}^j w_{CF;(\beta-j+i-\alpha)}(x, y) \cdot \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{|\text{word}_{i,j}^+(\nabla^H, \nabla^V) \Phi(x) - \Pi^{x,y} \text{word}_{i,j}^+(\nabla^H, \nabla^V) \Phi(y)|_{h,g}}{d_g(x, y)^\alpha} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $B_{g_0}(U) = \{x, y \in U \mid x \neq y, \text{dist}_{g_0}(x, y) \leq w_{CF;(\beta-j+i-\alpha)}(x, y)\} \subset U \times U$ and $\Pi^{x,y}$ denotes the parallel transport along the geodesic connecting x and y .

Remark 36. All $W_{CF;\beta}^{k,p}$, $\Gamma_{CF;\beta}^k$ and $C_{CF;\beta}^{l,\alpha}$ are Banach spaces, $H_{CF;\beta}^k = W_{CF;\beta}^{k,2}$ is an Hilbert space, but $\Gamma_{CF;\beta}$ is not an Banach space. \heartsuit

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. The L^2 -product induces a perfect paring*

$$L_{CF;\beta}^p \cong (L_{CF;-\beta-m}^q)^*.$$

Recall that there exists a $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -action on X_0 by dilation of the fibres. By assumption, this action can be lifted to an action on E_0 inducing a family of Hermitian Dirac bundles

$$(E_0, \text{cl}_{g_0^t}, h_0^t, \nabla^{h_0^t}).$$

In the following we will show that the elliptic estimates established in section 5.3 can be improved to uniform estimates for this family.

Definition 5.5. Given a weight function w_0 for (X_0, g_0) we define new weight functions on (X_0, g_0^t) by

$$w_{CF;t} := \delta_t^* w_0 = t \cdot w_0 = t \cdot w_{CF}.$$

5.2. The Restriction and Extension Map. In the following we will impose boundary conditions on the function spaces realising the boundary operator. This should be seen as an analogy to APS boundary condition in the case of Dirac operators on manifolds with boundaries (i.e. $m = 0$). These boundary conditions ensure that the operator \widehat{D}_0 can be realised as an isomorphism, which is crucial in linear gluing.

In section 4.2.1 we have solved $\widehat{D}_0 \Phi = 0$ using the spectral decomposition of $L^2(Y, E_Y)$ into

$$L^2(Y, E_Y) = \overline{\bigoplus_{|\lambda| \in |\sigma(D_Y)|} L^2(S, \mathcal{E}_\lambda)} = \overline{\bigoplus_{|\lambda| \in |\sigma(D_Y)|} \bigoplus_{\mu_\Lambda \in \sigma(B_{\Lambda, H})} \mathbb{E}_{\Lambda, \mu_\Lambda}}.$$

Definition 5.6. We define the restriction map

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{res}_{CF}^\varepsilon : C_{loc}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes 0}) &\rightarrow C_\varepsilon^{k,\alpha}(Y, E_Y, \nabla^{\otimes Y}) \\
\Phi &\mapsto \Phi|_{r=\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

and its section

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ext}_{CF}^\varepsilon : C_\varepsilon^{k,\alpha}(Y, E_Y, \nabla^{\otimes Y}) &\rightarrow C_{loc}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes 0}) \\
\Phi_{\lambda,\mu} &\mapsto f_{\lambda,\mu}(r) \Phi_{\lambda,\mu}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\lambda,\mu}$ as the appropriate solution to 17.

Obviously, the extension map satisfies

$$\widehat{D}_0 \text{ext}^\varepsilon \Phi = 0.$$

Notice that demanding the extension map to be valued in $C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0)$, corresponds to restricting it to the $C^{k,\alpha}$ -completion of the set

$$F_\beta = \left\{ \sum_{fin.} \Phi_{\lambda, \mu_\Lambda} \left| \lambda + \frac{m-1}{2} - \delta(E_0) > \beta, \mu_\Lambda \leq 0 \right. \right\}.$$

The boundary conditions will be imposed by demanding the restriction map to be valued in specific subspace of the boundary Hölder space.

Definition 5.7. Let

$$F_{\geq 0} = \left\{ \sum_{fin.} \Phi_{\lambda, \mu_\Lambda} \left| \mu_\Lambda \geq 0 \right. \right\} \subset \bigoplus_{|\lambda| \in |\sigma(D_Y)|} L^2_{[0, \infty)}(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda)$$

be the sum of finite Fourier modes of positive $B_{H;\Lambda}$ -eigenvalues, i.e. $L^2_{[0, \infty)}(S, \mathcal{E}_\Lambda)$ is the positive spectrum of the operators $B_{\Lambda;H}$. We define the space

$$C^{k,\alpha}(Y, E_Y, \nabla^{\otimes Y}; +) = \overline{F_{\geq 0}}^{\|\cdot\|_{C^{k,\alpha}}}$$

as the completion of F_+ . Define the Banach subspace

$$C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes 0}; +) = C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes 0}) \cap (\text{res}^\varepsilon)^{-1} C_r^{k+1,\alpha}(Y, E_Y, \nabla^{\otimes Y}; +).$$

Lemma 5.3. *Different choices of ε in the above definition yield equivalent $C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes 0}; +)$.*

Proof. The scaled dilation $(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon'})^{\delta(E_0)} \delta_{\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}}$ defines an isometry of Banach spaces. \square

Remark 37. In particular, the intersection of the preimage of the extension and the image of the restriction map

$$(\text{ext}^\varepsilon)^{-1} \left(C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha} \right) \cap C^{k,\alpha}(Y, E_Y, \nabla^{\otimes Y}; +) = \left\{ \Phi_{\beta - \frac{m-1}{2} + \delta(E_0), 0} \right\}$$

is empty unless $\beta \in \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$ \heartsuit

5.3. Invertibility of Conically Fibred Dirac Operators. In the following we will develop a uniform elliptic theory for the operator \widehat{D}_0 on the CF Dirac bundle $(E_0, \text{cl}_{g_0}, h_0, \nabla^{\otimes 0})$.

Definition 5.8. We define the set of critical rates of \widehat{D}_0 , D and \widehat{D}_ζ^t to be

$$\lambda \in \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0) = \left\{ \lambda + \frac{m-1}{2} - \delta(E_0) \in \sigma(D_{Y;V}) \right\}.$$

Remark 38. In the appendix B we discuss the spectra of Dirac operators on homology spheres, to determine the set $\mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$ of critical rates. \heartsuit

Lemma 5.4. *The analytic realisation of the family of operators \widehat{D}_0 seen as a map*

$$(24) \quad \widehat{D}_0 : \text{dom}_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}}(\widehat{D}_0) \subset C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes 0}) \rightarrow C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes 0})$$

is unbounded map of Banach spaces.

Lemma 5.5 (Technical Lemma). *Let χ_i be defined as in section 2.6. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_i \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta+\omega}^{k,\alpha}} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{-\omega} \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(X_0 \setminus \text{Tub}_{(i-1)\varepsilon}(S))} \\ \|(1 - \chi_i) \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta+\omega}^{k,\alpha}} &\lesssim (1 + \varepsilon^{-\omega}) \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(\text{Tub}_{i\varepsilon}(S))} \\ \|\text{cl}_{g_0}(d\chi_i) \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta+\omega}^{k,\alpha}} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{-1-\omega} \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(A_{(i-1)\varepsilon, i\varepsilon}(S))}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Notice that $\nabla^{\oplus_0} \chi_i \Phi = \chi_i \nabla^{\oplus_0} \Phi + (\partial_r \chi_i) dr \otimes \Phi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_r^j \chi_i\|_{C_{\omega^{-j}}^{0,\alpha}} &= \sup_{r \in [\epsilon(i-1), \epsilon i]} r^{j-\omega} \epsilon^{-j} |(\partial_r^j \chi)(\epsilon^{-1} r - i)| \\ &+ \sup_{|x-y| \in [0, \epsilon]} \min\{x, y\}^{-\omega+j+\alpha} \epsilon^{-j} \frac{|(\partial_r^j \chi)(\epsilon^{-1} x - i) - (\partial_r^j \chi)(\epsilon^{-1} y - i)|}{|x-y|^\alpha} \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-\omega} \|\partial_r^j \chi\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

to deduce the statements. \square

We need to point out two key observation. The first one is manifested in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\Phi \in C_{loc}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0)$. Then*

$$(25) \quad \left\| \widehat{D}_0(1 - \chi_i)\Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \|(1 - \chi_i)\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}}$$

$$(26) \quad \left\| \widehat{D}_0 \chi_i \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \|\chi_i \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k+1,\alpha}}.$$

In particular if we define

$$C_{\beta;\delta}^{k+1,\alpha} = \left\{ \Phi \mid (1 - \chi_i)\Phi \in C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}, \chi_i \Phi \in C_{CF;-\delta}^{k+1,\alpha} \right\}$$

as in [Maz91], then

$$\widehat{D}_0 : C_{\beta;1-\beta}^{k+1,\alpha} \rightarrow C_{\beta-1;1-\beta}^{k,\alpha}$$

is a bounded map of Banach spaces.

The second observation goes as follows. Let us assume that we are in a conical setting. By a prior comment we know that all solutions $\widehat{D}_0 \Phi = 0$ are homogeneous $\sim r^\lambda$. So then if

$$\chi_i \cdot \Phi \in \Gamma_\beta(X_0, E_0) \text{ if } \lambda < \beta \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - \chi_i) \cdot \Phi \in \Gamma_\beta(X_0, E_0) \text{ if } \beta < \lambda$$

but

$$(1 - \chi_i) \cdot \Phi \notin \Gamma_\beta(X_0, E_0) \text{ if } \lambda < \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_i \cdot \Phi \notin \Gamma_\beta(X_0, E_0) \text{ if } \beta < \lambda.$$

In the conically fibred setup this no longer holds, as solutions to $\widehat{D}_0 \Phi = 0$ are homogeneous of $\Phi \sim r^\lambda e^{\mu r}$. Hence, imposing a polynomial decay only ‘‘kills’’ half of the infinite dimensional kernel. As imposing conical weights implies that $\mu \leq 0$.

Definition 5.9. We will denote the domain of \widehat{D}_0 by

$$C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +) = \left\{ \Phi \in C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes_0}; +) \mid \widehat{D}_0 \Phi \in C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes_0}) \right\}$$

and equipped it with the graph norm

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0)} = \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\nabla^{\otimes_0})} + \left\| \widehat{D}_0 \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(\nabla^{\otimes_0})}.$$

Lemma 5.7. *The space $C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +)$ is a Banach space and for $\delta > \beta$ there exists a compact embedding*

$$(27) \quad C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +) \hookrightarrow C_{CF;\delta}^0(X_0, E_0).$$

Proof. By the Hölder embedding we have an compact embedding

$$C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha} \hookrightarrow C_{CF;\delta}^0.$$

As $C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +) \hookrightarrow C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha} \times C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}$ is a sub-Banach space and

$$C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha} \times C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha} \hookrightarrow C_{CF;\delta}^0 \times C_{CF;\delta}^0 \twoheadrightarrow C_{CF;\delta}^0$$

is an compact embedding. Hence, we conclude the statement. \square

Proposition 5.1 (CF-Schauder Estimates). *Let $\Phi \in C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +)$, then*

$$(28) \quad \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0)} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_0 \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^0}.$$

Proof. As Schauder estimates are local in the sense that proving the statement on a cover of X_0 suffices to proof the statement. We start with the statement for $k = 0$.

Let \mathcal{U}_ε denote a good cover of S by geodesic balls of radius ε and let $\nu_0^{-1}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ denote the cover of $\text{Tub}_{2\varepsilon}(S)$ by opens of the form

$$\nu_0^{-1}U_s \cong B_\varepsilon(s) \times B_{3\varepsilon}(0)/\Gamma.$$

We can do this in a way such that for each $0 < c$ there exists and $0 < \varepsilon$ such that

$$\|g_0 - g_S(s) \oplus g_{0;V;s}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} < c$$

holds on \mathcal{U}_ε . As g_0 is uniformly close to the product structures on $\nu_0^{-1}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$, so is the Hermitian Dirac bundle structure. Let $r = r(s, m)$ denote the radius of the second component of $B_\varepsilon(s) \times \mathbb{R}^m/\Gamma$. Take the sets $U_s = B_{\varepsilon/2}(s) \times B_{3\varepsilon}(0)$ and $V_s = B_\varepsilon(s) \times B_{3\varepsilon}(0)$. The local model of the unweighted CF Hölder norm is given by

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \|\Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} + r^\alpha[\Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(U_s)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla^H \Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} \\ &\quad + r \|\nabla^V \Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} + \varepsilon^{\alpha+1}[\nabla^H \Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(U_s)} + r^{\alpha+1}[\nabla^V \Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(U_s)} \end{aligned}$$

This can be bounded from the above by

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &\lesssim \|\Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} + (\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\alpha/2}[\Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(U_s)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla^H \Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} \\ &\quad + r \|\nabla^V \Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} + (\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{(\alpha+1)/2}[\nabla \Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(U_s)} \\ &\lesssim (\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{1/2} \left\| \widehat{D}_0 \Phi \right\|_{C^0(V_s)} + (\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{(\alpha+1)/2} [\widehat{D}_0 \Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(V_s)} \\ &\quad + \|\Phi\|_{C^0(V_s)} \\ &\lesssim RHS \end{aligned}$$

Here we used [Wal17a, Prop. 7.7] and [Wal17a, Prop. 7.11] where RHS is given by

$$RHS = r \left\| \widehat{D}_0 \Phi \right\|_{C^0(V_s)} + r^{\alpha+1} [\widehat{D}_0 \Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(V_s)} + \|\Phi\|_{C^0(V_s)}$$

and hence we deduce the local unweighted CF Schauder estimates. By now multiplying with $r^{-\beta}$ and as S is compact, we can deduce the result.

By Lemma 5.6 the graph norm on $X_0 \setminus \text{Tub}_{i\varepsilon}(S)$ is uniformly bounded above by

$$\|\chi_i \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0)} \lesssim \|\chi_i \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k+1,\alpha}}.$$

Let $\nu_0^{-1}\mathcal{U}'_\varepsilon$ denote the cover of $X_0 \setminus \text{Tub}_{i\varepsilon}(S)$ by opens of the form

$$\nu_0^{-1}U_s \cong B_\varepsilon(s) \times (\mathbb{R}^m \setminus B_\varepsilon(0)) / \Gamma.$$

We can do this in a way such that for each $0 < c$ there exists and $0 < \varepsilon$ such that

$$\|g_0 - g_S(s) \oplus g_{0;V;s}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} < c$$

holds on \mathcal{U}'_ε . As g_0 is uniformly close to the product structures on $\nu_0^{-1}\mathcal{U}'_\varepsilon$, so is the Hermitian Dirac bundle structure. Let $r = r(s, m)$ denote the radius of the second component of $B_\varepsilon(s) \times \mathbb{R}^m/\Gamma$. Take

the sets $U_s = B_{\varepsilon/2}(s) \times (\mathbb{R}^m \setminus B_{1\varepsilon}(0))$ and $V_s = B_\varepsilon(s) \times (\mathbb{R}^m \setminus B_{1\varepsilon}(0))$. The local model of the unweighted CF Hölder norm is given by

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \|\Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} + r^\alpha [\Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(U_s)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla^H \Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} \\ &\quad + r \|\nabla^V \Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} + \varepsilon^{\alpha+1} [\nabla^H \Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(U_s)} + r^{\alpha+1} [\nabla^V \Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(U_s)} \end{aligned}$$

This can be bounded from the above by

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &\lesssim \|\Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} + (\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\alpha/2} [\Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(U_s)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla^H \Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} \\ &\quad + r \|\nabla^V \Phi\|_{C^0(U_s)} + (\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{(\alpha+1)/2} [\nabla \Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(U_s)} \\ &\lesssim (\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{1/2} \left\| \widehat{D}_0 \Phi \right\|_{C^0(V_s)} + (\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{(\alpha+1)/2} [\widehat{D}_0 \Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(V_s)} \\ &\quad + \|\Phi\|_{C^0(V_s)} \\ &\lesssim RHS \end{aligned}$$

Here we used [Wal17a, Prop. 7.7] and [Wal17a, Prop. 7.11] where RHS is given by

$$RHS = \varepsilon \left\| \widehat{D}_0 \Phi \right\|_{C^0(V_s)} + \varepsilon^{\alpha+1} [\widehat{D}_0 \Phi]_{C^{0,\alpha}(V_s)} + \|\Phi\|_{C^0(V_s)}$$

and hence we deduce the local unweighted CF Schauder estimates. By now multiplying with $r^{1-\beta}$ and as S is compact, we can deduce the result.

Higher regularity follows directly either from Proposition 4.1 by the higher regularity bounds of the right-inverse of \widehat{D}_0 or by the following commutator estimates.

In order to bootstrap the result to $k > 1$, we use that

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla^{\otimes 0}, \widehat{D}_0] &= -\text{cl}_{g_0}([\nabla^{\otimes 0}, \nabla^{\otimes 0}]) \\ [\nabla^{\otimes 0;H}, \widehat{D}_0] &= -\text{cl}_{g_0}([\nabla^{\otimes 0;H}, \nabla^{\otimes 0;H}]) - \text{cl}_{g_0}([\nabla^{\otimes 0;H}, \nabla^{\otimes 0;V}]) \\ &= -\text{cl}_{g_0}([\nabla^{\otimes 0;H}, \nabla^{\otimes 0;H}]) \\ [r\nabla_U^{\otimes 0}, \widehat{D}_0] &= -r\text{cl}_{g_0}([\nabla^{\otimes 0;V}, \nabla^{\otimes 0;H}]) - r\text{cl}_{g_0}([\nabla^{\otimes 0;V}, \nabla^{\otimes 0;V}]) - D_{0;V} \\ &= -D_{0;V} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^{1,0} \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}} &\lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_0 \nabla^{1,0} \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k-1,\alpha}} + \|\nabla^{1,0} \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^0} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \nabla^{1,0} \widehat{D}_0 \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k-1,\alpha}} + \|\text{cl}_{g_0}([\nabla^{\otimes 0;H}, \nabla^{\otimes 0;H}]) \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k-1,\alpha}} + \|\nabla^{1,0} \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^0} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|r\nabla^{0,1} \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}} &\lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_0 r\nabla^{0,1} \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k-1,\alpha}} + \|r\nabla^{0,1} \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^0} \\ &\lesssim \left\| r\nabla^{0,1} \widehat{D}_0 \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k-1,\alpha}} + \|D_{0;V} \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k-1,\alpha}} + \|r\nabla^{0,1} \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^0}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, as $\text{supp}(\Phi) \subset \text{Tub}_{3\varepsilon}(S)$, $\|\text{cl}_{g_0}([\nabla^{\otimes 0;H}, \nabla^{\otimes 0;H}]) \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k-1,\alpha}}$. Further $D_{0;V} : C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha} \rightarrow C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}$ is bounded and satisfies the estimate

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_0 \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}}.$$

Hence, by the induction assumption, we conclude the statement. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Let us assume that $\beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$. The space $C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +)$ is complete and*

$$\widehat{D}_0 : C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +) \cong C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes 0}) : (\widehat{D}_0)^{-1}$$

is an isomorphism of Banach spaces and satisfies

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0)} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_0 \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}}.$$

Proof. We only need to show that the operator is closed. This follows from the fact that

$$\widehat{D}_{0;H} : \text{dom}_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}}(\widehat{D}_{0;H}; +) \rightarrow C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k-1,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes 0})$$

is closed and $\text{dom}_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}}(\widehat{D}_{0;H}) \subset \text{dom}(D_{0;V})$ where as $D_{0;V}$ is bounded. Hence \widehat{D}_0 is closed. The inverse is constructed using the right-inverse $R_{\Lambda,\mu}$, in (23),

$$C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0, \nabla^{\otimes 0}) \xrightarrow{(\widehat{D}_0)^{-1}} C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +)$$

$$\Psi_{\Lambda,\mu_\Lambda} \longmapsto -R_{\Lambda,\mu_\Lambda}(\text{cl}_0(\Psi_{\Lambda,\mu_\Lambda})).$$

□

In the theory of operator pencils (see [AN61] for the study of cylindrical operators) the space of logarithmic solutions is important in asymptotic expansions of kernel and cokernels of asymptotically conical or conically singular operators. These solutions can not exist in the present setup as seen in section 4.2. Nevertheless, we will explicitly show that these solutions can not arise in the following line of arguments.

Definition 5.10. We define the space of β -logarithmic solutions

$$\mathcal{L}_\beta(\widehat{D}_0; +) = \left\{ \Phi = \sum_{i=0}^n \log^i(r) \Phi_i \in \Gamma_{CF;\beta}(X_0, E_0; +) \left| \widehat{D}_0 \Phi = 0, \Phi_i \text{ is } \beta\text{-homogeneous} \right. \right\}.$$

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\beta < 0$. The space of β -logarithmic solutions of \widehat{D}_0 can be identified with the space*

$$\mathcal{L}_\beta(\widehat{D}_0; +) = \ker(B_{\beta;H} : \Gamma(S, \mathcal{E}_\beta) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{E}_{-\beta})).$$

Proof. The proof follows the ideas of Bera in [Ber23]. Let $\Phi = \sum_{i=0}^l \log^i(r) \Phi_i \in \mathcal{L}_\beta(\widehat{D}_0; +)$. Then $B\Phi = 0$ is equivalent to

$$B\Phi_l = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B\Phi_i = -\frac{i+1}{r} \Phi_{i+1}.$$

The first equation reveals that $\Phi_n \sim r^\beta$. Hence, by

$$B\Phi_{n-1} = -\frac{n}{r} \Phi_n$$

reveals that $B_H \Phi_{l-1} = 0$ as the right hand side is homogeneous of degree $\beta - 1$, but

$$B_H \Phi_{l-1} \sim r^\beta.$$

Hence,

$$(\partial_r + B_V)\Phi_l = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_V \Phi_{l-1} = -\frac{l}{r} \Phi_l.$$

Now taking the vertical L^2 -product

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq l \langle \Phi_l, \Phi_l \rangle_{L_V^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \langle \Phi_l, r(\partial_r + B_V)\Phi_{l-1} \rangle_{L_{r;Y}^2} r^{-1} dr \\ &= -\langle (\partial_r + B_V)\Phi_l, \Phi_{l-1} \rangle_{L_{C^{yl}(Y)}^2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

5.4. Uniform Elliptic Estimates for Conically Fibred Dirac Operators. As we have already discussed in section 3.3 the dilation action of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ on the CF Dirac bundle, induces a family of Dirac bundle structures. In section 7 we will compare a family of ACF-Dirac operators to the family of CF-Dirac operators, rather than the fixed CF-Dirac operator \widehat{D}_0 . This procedure is needed to obtain a uniform understanding of the adiabatic limit $t \rightarrow 0$.

The first lemma will clarify how the weighted Hölder norms, behave under the dilation action.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\Phi \in \Gamma_{loc}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0)$. Then*

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}} = t^{-\beta-\delta(E_0)} \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}}$$

Proof. This directly follows from the definition of the weighted Hölder norms. \square

The following theorem states the uniformity of the family of operators \widehat{D}_0^t in t .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\Phi \in C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +)$*

$$(29) \quad \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0)} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_0^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}.$$

Proof. This follows automatically from 4.1 and the definition of the weighted Schauder norms. \square

6. ELLIPTIC THEORY FOR DIRAC OPERATORS ON ORBIFOLDS AS CSF SPACES

In the following we will analyse the Dirac operator on the orbifold (X, g) . As we have established in section 2 orbifolds are special edge spaces. In particular, if the orbifold only has type (i) singularities, normal cone bundles are CF spaces and hence a the local model for function spaces should include both the CF analysis and the „compact“ part of the orbifold. In contrast to the standard weighted function spaces for iterated edge spaces we will use more refined version by introducing function spaces on conically singular fibred spaces. We again establish improved Schauder estimates in CSF-Hölder norms and prove that a (left) semi-Fredholm property of an analytic realisation of the Dirac operator. In particular, the major difference to the existent literature we we introduce a family of Banach spaces and prove that this family is in a sense uniform.

6.0.1. Unweighted Elliptic Theory for Dirac Operators on Orbifolds. Let (X, g) be a compact Riemannian orbifold and (E, cl_g, ∇^h, h) a Hermitian Dirac bundle.

Remark 39. As (X, g) is compact, there exists a good cover of (X, g) by action Lie groupoids, i.e. by Lie groupoids of the form

$$\Gamma \ltimes B_\epsilon(0) \rightrightarrows B_\epsilon(0).$$

\heartsuit

Furthermore, elliptic theory yields the following statement on compact orbifolds.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose $D\Phi = \Psi$ is a weak solution. Then $\Phi \in W^{0,p}(X, E)$ and $\Psi \in W^{l,p}(X, E)$ implies that $\Phi \in W^{l+k,p}(X, E)$. Moreover,*

$$\|\Phi\|_{W^{l+k,p}} \lesssim \|D\Phi\|_{W^{l,p}} + \|\Phi\|_{W^{0,p}}.$$

If $\Phi \in C^0(X, E)$ and $\Psi \in C^{k,\alpha}(X, E)$ then $\Phi \in C^{k,\alpha}(X, E)$ and

$$\|\Phi\|_{C^{l+k,\alpha}} \lesssim \|D\Phi\|_{C^{l,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C^0}.$$

Using the Rellich-Kondrakov embeddings we immediately deduce the Fredholm property of the Sobolev and Hölder realisations of the Dirac operator D .

Theorem 6.1. *The analytic realisations*

$$(30) \quad D : W^{k+1,p}(X, E) \rightarrow W^{k,p}(X, E)$$

$$(31) \quad D : C^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E) \rightarrow C^{k,\alpha}(X, E)$$

are Fredholm. Moreover, their kernels coincide and do not depend on k, p or α

6.0.2. *Weighted Function Spaces on CSF Spaces.* Let in the following $q : (Y, g_Y) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ be a fibre bundle and a Riemannian submersion of compact Riemannian manifolds. Further, the Riemannian structure on Y induces an Ehresmann connection on the fibration, i.e. $TY \cong H_Y \oplus VY$.

Definition 6.1. A singular Riemannian manifold (X, g) is called conically singular fibred (CSF) of rate σ if there exists a compact subset K_R and a diffeomorphism $\rho : X \setminus K_R \rightarrow B_R(Y)$ such that

$$g_{CSF} = g_{CSF;0} + g_{CSF;hot} \quad |g_{CSF;hot}|_{g_{CF}} = \mathcal{O}(r^\sigma)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^{g_{CF}})^k (\rho_* g_{CSF;0} - g_{CF}) &= \mathcal{O}(r^\sigma) \\ (\nabla_V^{g_{CF}})^k (\rho_* g_{CSF;0} - g_{CF}) &= \mathcal{O}(r^{\sigma-k}). \end{aligned}$$

By pulling pack the projection p as well as the connection H_{CF} be obtain a fibre bundle

$$\tilde{p} : X \setminus K_R \rightarrow S \quad \text{and} \quad T(X \setminus K_R) \cong H_{CSF} \oplus V(X \setminus K_R).$$

Example 6. A Riemannian orbifold (X, g) whose singular strata are singular of type (I) are CSF spaces as

$$j : B_R(Y) \rightleftharpoons \text{Tub}_R(S) : \rho.$$

Riemannian orbifolds of general type are quasi conically singular fibred (QCSF). ♣

Remark 40. Notice that all conically singular fibred spaces are (iterated) edge spaces. ♡

Definition 6.2. An CSF weight function is a smooth function coinciding with the distance function $w_{CSF} = \text{dist}_g(S)$ in a tubular neighbourhood of S . Set

$$w_{CSF}^{-\beta} = w_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{CSF}(x, y) = \min(w_{CSF}(x), w_{CSF}(y)).$$

Definition 6.3. An CSF weight function is a smooth, bounded function w_{CSF} on X such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^{g_{CF};V})^k (\rho_* w_{CSF} - r) &= \mathcal{O}(r^{1+\sigma-k}) \\ (\nabla^{g_{CF}})^k (\rho_* w_{CSF} - r) &= \mathcal{O}(r^{1+\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

Set $w_{CSF}^{-\beta} = w_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}$ and $w_{CSF}(x, y) = \min(w_{CSF}(x), w_{CSF}(y))$.

Let in the following (X, g) be a Riemannian orbifold and

$$j : \text{Tub}_{2\epsilon}(X^{sing}) \rightarrow X$$

be a tubular neighbourhood of the singular stratum of width ϵ . For simplicity we will assume that $X^{sing} = S$ is compact and connected.

Definition 6.4. A Hermitian vector bundle E_{CSF}, h_{CSF} is called CSF Hermitian of homogeneous degree $d_{E_{CSF}}$ if there exists a family of automorphisms

$$w_{CSF;d_{E_{CSF}}}^{ACyl} : E_{CSF} \rightarrow E_{CSF}$$

analogously to $w_{CF;d_{CF}}^{Cyl}$ such that

$$\left(E_{CSF}, (w_{CSF;-d_{E_{CSF}}}^{ACyl})^* h_{CSF} \right)$$

is asymptotically cylindrical. A Hermitian bundle E_{CSF}, h_{CSF} is called CSF Hermitian if it is the sum of CSF Hermitian vector bundle of homogeneous degree. Further a Hermitian connection $\nabla^{h_{CSF}}$ on (E_{CSF}, h_{CSF}) is called CSF Hermitian if

$$(w_{CSF;d_T^* X \otimes E_{CSF}}^{ACyl})^* \nabla^{h_{CSF}} (w_{CSF;d_{E_{CSF}}}^{ACyl})^*$$

is asymptotically cylindrical.

Let ∇ be a Hermitian CSF connection. In the presence of the Ehresmann connection H the connection ∇ decomposes into

$$\nabla = \nabla^{H;\epsilon} + \nabla^{V;\epsilon} = (\nabla - \chi_3 \nabla^{CF;H}) + (1 - \chi_3) \nabla^{CF;V}$$

where $\nabla^{CF;V}$ is a vertical CF-connection, i.e. it restricts p -fibrewise to a connection on E . Notice that χ_3 depends on ϵ .

Remark 41. Let $\text{word}_{i,j}^+(A, B)$ denote the sum of all words of length j in A and B counting i -times the letter A . In particular $\text{word}_{i,j}^+(A, B) = \text{word}_{j-i,j}^+(B, A)$. \heartsuit

Definition 6.5. Let (X, g) be CSF over $q : Y \rightarrow S$ and (E, h, ∇) an CSF-bundle. We define the space $\Gamma_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^k(X, E)$ to be the space of all C^k -sections Φ such that

$$\|w_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon} \{\nabla^j\} \Phi\|_{C^0} < \infty$$

We equip the spaces $\Gamma_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^k(X, E)$ with the metric

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^k} = \sum_{j=0}^k \|w_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon} \{\nabla^j\} \Phi\|_{C^0}$$

The space $\Gamma_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}(X, E)$ is defined to be the intersection of all $\Gamma_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^k(X, E)$ for all k . Furthermore, we define the $w_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}$ -weighted Sobolev $W_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k,p}(X, E)$ and Hölder spaces $C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}(X, E)$ by replacing the usual metrics by the ones

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{W_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k,p}}^p &= \sum_{i=0}^k \int_X |w_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon} \{\nabla^i\} \Phi|_{h,g}^p w_{CSF;m} \text{vol}_g \\ \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{l,\alpha}} &= \sum_{j=0}^l \|w_{ACF;\beta} \{\nabla^j\} \Phi\|_{C^0} + [\nabla^j \Phi]_{C_{CSF;\beta-j;\epsilon}^{0,\alpha}} \\ [\nabla^j \Phi]_{C_{CSF;\beta-j;\epsilon}^{0,\alpha}} &= \sup_{B_g} \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=0}^j w_{CSF;(\beta-j+i-\alpha)}(x, y) \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{|\text{word}_{i,j}^+(\nabla^H, \nabla^V) \Phi(x) - \Pi^{x,y} \text{word}_{i,j}^+(\nabla^H, \nabla^V) \Phi(y)|_{h,g}}{d_g(x, y)^\alpha} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $B_g(U) = \{x, y \in U \mid x \neq y, \text{dist}_g(x, y) \leq w_{ACF;(\beta-j+i-\alpha)}(x, y)\} \subset U \times U$ and $\Pi^{x,y}$ denotes the parallel transport along the geodesic connecting x and y .

Remark 42. All $W_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k,p}$, $C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^k$ and $C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{l,\alpha}$ are Banach spaces, $H_{CSF;\beta}^k = W_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k,2}$ is a Hilbert space, but $\Gamma_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}$ is not Banach space. \heartsuit

Theorem 6.2. *The following holds:*

- Let $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. The L_{CSF}^2 -pairing induces a perfect pairing

$$L_{CSF;\beta}^p \cong (L_{CSF;-\beta-m}^q)^*$$

- If $\beta > \beta'$ then $W_{CSF;\beta}^{l,p} \subset W_{CSF;\beta'}^{l,p}$ and $C_{CSF;\beta}^{l,\alpha} \subset C_{CSF;\beta'}^{l,\alpha}$
- If $1 \leq p, \infty$ then $\Gamma_{CSF;\beta}$ is dense in $W_{CF;\beta}^{l,p}$
- (Sobolev, Kondrakov) If $(p_i, l_i) \in \mathbb{N} \times [1, \infty)$ with $l_0 \leq l_1$ such that

$$l_0 - \frac{m}{p_0} \geq l_1 - \frac{m}{p_1}$$

and one of the two conditions

- (i) $p_0 \leq p_1$ and $\beta_0 \geq \beta_1$
- (ii) $p_0 > p_1$ and $\beta_0 > \beta_1$

then there exists a continuous embedding

$$W_{CSF;\beta_0}^{l_0,p_0} \hookrightarrow W_{CSF;\beta_1}^{l_1,p_1}.$$

If moreover $l_0 > l_1$, $l_0 - \frac{m}{p_0} > l_1 - \frac{m}{p_1}$ and $\beta_0 > \beta_1$ then the above embedding is compact, i.e. any bounded sequence in the (l_0, p_0) norm has a convergent subsequence in the (l_1, p_1) norm.

- (Hölder) If $(l_i, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times [0, \infty)$ and $\beta_0 < \beta_1$ with

$$l_0 + \alpha_0 \geq l_1 + \alpha_1$$

then there exist continuous embeddings

$$C_{CSF;\beta_0}^{l_0+1} \hookrightarrow C_{CSF;\beta_0}^{l_0,\alpha_0} \hookrightarrow C_{CSF;\beta_1}^{l_1,\alpha_1} \hookrightarrow C_{CSF;\beta_1}^{l_1}.$$

If $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $l_0 = l_1$ the inclusion $C_{CSF;\beta_0}^{l_0,\alpha_0} \hookrightarrow C_{CSF;\beta_1}^{l_1,\alpha_1}$ is compact.

- (Morrey, Kondrakov) If $(p_i, l_i) \in \mathbb{N} \times [1, \infty)$ and $(l, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times [1, \infty)$, $\beta > \delta$, and

$$l_0 - \frac{m}{p_0} \geq l + \alpha \geq l_1 - \frac{m}{p_1}$$

then there exists a continuous embedding

$$W_{CSF;\beta}^{l_0,p_0} \hookrightarrow C_{CSF;\beta}^{l,\alpha} \hookrightarrow W_{CSF;\delta}^{l_1,p_1}.$$

- Let $(\Phi, \Psi) \mapsto (\phi \cdot \Phi)$ is a bilinear form satisfying $|\Phi \cdot \Psi| \lesssim |\Phi||\Psi|$, then

$$\|\Phi \cdot \Psi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta_1+\beta_2}^{l,\alpha}} \lesssim \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta_1}^{l,\alpha}} \|\Psi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta}^{l,\alpha}}.$$

Proof. These statements follow immediately from the standard Sobolev-Kondrakov-, Hölder- and Morrey-Kondrakov-embedding theorems on compact and on conical spaces [AN61, ADN64, LMO85, Loc87, Mar02]. \square

6.0.3. *Fredholm Theory for Dirac Operators on Orbifolds.* In the following we will establish a Fredholm theory for Dirac operators on weighted function spaces on orbifolds. The Fredholm property will fail at critical rates and wall-crossing formulae are established.

In section 5.3 we established that the operator \widehat{D}_0 can be realised as an isomorphism of weighted function spaces, subject to boundary conditions. In order to show that the orbifold Dirac operator can be realised as a Fredholm map, we will have to compare it to the operator \widehat{D}_0 . The following lemma is proving that close to the singular stratum D is approximated by \widehat{D}_0 .

Recall from 3.2 that

$$D = \widehat{D}_0 + (\text{cl}_g - \text{cl}_{g_0}) \circ \nabla^h + \mathcal{O}(r).$$

Definition 6.6. We define the restriction map

$$\text{res}_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon} : C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}(X, E) \rightarrow C^{k,\alpha}(Y, E_Y, \nabla^{\otimes Y})$$

$$\Phi \mapsto \text{res}_{CF;\beta}(1 - \chi_3)\Phi$$

and a section

$$\text{ext}_{CSF} : C^{k,\alpha}(Y, E_Y) \rightarrow C_{loc}^{k,\alpha}(X^{reg}, E)$$

$$\Phi_{\lambda,\mu} \mapsto (1 - \chi_3)\text{ext}_{CF}\Phi_{\lambda,\mu}.$$

Definition 6.7. Define the space $C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +) = \text{res}_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{-1}(C_r^{k+1,\alpha}(Y, E; +))$.

Lemma 6.1. *The space $C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +)$ is a Banach space and for $\delta > \beta$ and*

$$k + \alpha > k' + \alpha'$$

there exists a compact embedding

$$(32) \quad C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}(X, E; +) \hookrightarrow C_{CSF;\delta}^{k',\alpha'}(X, E).$$

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\Phi \in \Gamma_{loc}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E)$ with $\text{supp}(\Phi) \subset \text{Tub}_{2\epsilon}(S)$. Then*

$$(33) \quad \left\| (D - \widehat{D}_0)\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \epsilon \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}}.$$

Proof. We use the expansion

$$D - \widehat{D}_0 = (\text{cl}_g - \text{cl}_{g_0}) \circ \nabla^h + \text{cl}_g \circ (\nabla^h - \nabla^{\otimes 0}).$$

Notice, that $\text{cl}_g \circ (\nabla^h - \nabla^{\otimes 0}) = \mathcal{O}(r)$ is a zeroth order differential operator. The bound follows from the definition of the $C_{CSF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}$ -norms. \square

Proposition 6.2 (CSF-Schauder Estimates). *Let $\Phi \in C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +)$*

$$(34) \quad \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \|D\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^0}$$

holds.

Proof. As Schauder estimates are local estimates the estimate holds for all regularity in the ‘‘compact region’’ of the orbifold. Close to the singular stratum we relate the CSF Schauder estimates to the CF-Schauder estimates. As $\|\cdot\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}}$ are equivalent on $\text{Tub}_{2\epsilon}(S)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} &\lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_0\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^0} \\ &\lesssim \|D\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \left\| (D - \widehat{D}_0)\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^0} \\ &\lesssim \|D\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \epsilon \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^0} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by absorbing into the left-hand side we conclude the statement. \square

Theorem 6.3. *Let $0 > \beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$. The map*

$$D : C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +) \rightarrow C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}(X, E)$$

is Fredholm and its kernel and cokernel are smooth, i.e. agree for all α and k . Furthermore, if $\beta_2 < \beta_1$ with $|\beta_2 - \beta_1| < 1$ we have

$$\text{ind}_{\beta_2}(D) - \text{ind}_{\beta_1}(D) = \sum_{\beta_2 < \lambda < \beta_1} d_{\lambda + \frac{m-1}{2} - \delta(E_0)}(\widehat{D}_0).$$

Proof. The first statement follows from Proposition 5.2 and 6.2 and goes analogously to the proof of Fredholmness for ACyl, AC or CS operators. Notice, that the Schauder estimate (6.2) does not suffice to proof left-semi Fredholmness as the inclusion of

$$C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +) \hookrightarrow C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^0(X, E)$$

is not compact. Thus, we need to improve the Schauder estimate to

$$(35) \quad \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \|D\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\delta;\epsilon}^0}$$

for $\delta > \beta$. Set $\chi_\epsilon = \chi(\epsilon^{-1}r - 1)$. Let us define the operator

$$\widetilde{D}_\epsilon = D + \chi_\epsilon(\widehat{D}_0 - D).$$

This operator is conjugated to \widehat{D}_0 on $\text{Tub}_{\varepsilon-e}(S)$ and coincides with D on the compact part of X .

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\varepsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} &\lesssim \|(1-\chi_\varepsilon)\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\varepsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} + \|\chi_\varepsilon\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\varepsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}(1-\chi_\varepsilon)\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\varepsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \left\| \widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}\chi_\varepsilon\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\varepsilon}^{k,\alpha}} \\ &\quad + \|\chi_\varepsilon\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\varepsilon}^0} \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $\widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}$ is conjugate to \widehat{D}_0 on $\text{Tub}_\varepsilon(S)$ and by Proposition 5.2

$$\widehat{D}_0 : C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0; +) \rightarrow C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0)$$

defines an isomorphism for $\beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$. Further we have

$$\left\| \widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}(1-\chi_\varepsilon)\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\varepsilon}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| (1-\chi_\varepsilon)\widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\varepsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \left\| [(1-\chi_\varepsilon), \widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}]\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\varepsilon}^{k,\alpha}}$$

and

$$\left\| \widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}\chi_\varepsilon\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\varepsilon}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \chi_\varepsilon\widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\varepsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \left\| [\chi_\varepsilon, \widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}]\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\varepsilon}^{k,\alpha}}$$

Now $[\widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}, (1-\chi_\varepsilon)] = -[\widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}, \chi_\varepsilon] = \sigma_{\widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}}(d\chi_\varepsilon) = K_\varepsilon$ is a compact operator. Further,

$$\|\chi_\varepsilon\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\varepsilon}^0} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\delta-\beta} \|\chi_\varepsilon\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\delta;\varepsilon}^0}$$

which leads to the following estimate

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \widetilde{D}_{\varepsilon+e}\Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\varepsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \|K_\varepsilon\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\varepsilon}^{k,\alpha}} + \varepsilon^{\delta-\beta} \|\chi_\varepsilon\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\delta;\varepsilon}^0}.$$

We conclude that the family of operators $\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon$ are semi-Fredholm, i.e. have finite dimensional kernel and a closed image. Further, let P_ε be a parametrix for the operator $\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon$ on $X \setminus \text{Tub}_\varepsilon(S)$. Define the operator

$$Q_\varepsilon = \chi_\varepsilon P_\varepsilon \chi_{\varepsilon+e} + (1-\chi_\varepsilon) \left(\widehat{D}_0 \right)^{-1} (1-\chi_{\varepsilon+e}).$$

Then $\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon Q_\varepsilon = 1 + \widetilde{K}_\varepsilon$, where $\widetilde{K}_\varepsilon$ is compact and hence $\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon$ is Fredholm. Since D_ε converges in the norm-topology and by taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we deduce the Fredholmness of D .

For the second statement, we follow [Ber23] and it suffices to consider the case where λ is the only critical rate between β_1 and β_2 . Consider the space

$$V_\lambda = \ker(B_{H;\lambda+\frac{m-1}{2}-\delta}(E_0)),$$

the projection $\Pi_\lambda : \Gamma(Y, E_Y; +) \rightarrow V_\lambda$, and the space

$$S_{\beta_2} := \left\{ \Phi \in C_{CSF;\beta_2;\varepsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +) \mid D\Phi \in C_{CSF;\beta_1-1;\varepsilon}^{k,\alpha}(X, E) \right\}.$$

Then for $\Phi \in S_{\beta_2}$

$$\Phi - \text{ext}_{CSF;\lambda} \circ \Pi_\lambda \circ \text{res}_{CSF;\lambda}(\Phi) \in C_{CSF;\beta_1;\varepsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +)$$

Moreover, we have $S_{\beta_2} \subset C_{CSF;\lambda;\varepsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +)$, $\ker_{CSF;\beta_2}(D) = \ker_\lambda(D)$, and $C_{CSF;\beta_1;\varepsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +) = \ker(\Pi_\lambda \circ \text{res}_{CSF;\lambda} : S_{\beta_2} \rightarrow V_\lambda)$ as well as

$$\ker_{CSF;\beta_1}(D) = \ker(\Pi_\lambda \circ \text{res}_{CSF;\lambda} : \ker_{CSF;\beta_2}(D) \rightarrow V_\lambda).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$S_{\beta_2} = C_{CSF;\beta_1;\varepsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +) + \text{im}(\text{ext}_{CSF;\lambda}|_{V_\lambda})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\ker_{CSF;\beta_2}(D) &= \ker \left(D|_{S_{\beta_2}} : S_{\beta_2} \rightarrow C_{CSF;\beta_1-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}(X, E) \right) \\ \text{coker}_{CSF;\beta_2}(D) &= \text{coker} \left(D|_{S_{\beta_2}} : S_{\beta_2} \rightarrow C_{CSF;\beta_1-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}(X, E) \right).\end{aligned}$$

The wall-crossing formula follows immediately. \square

Remark 43. Notice, that for β small enough, the kernel of this map coincides with the kernel of the maps (30). \heartsuit

Corollary 6.1. *Let $0 > \beta \in \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$ be the largest rate in $(-\infty, 0)$. Then for $\beta < \beta' < 0$*

$$\ker(D) = \ker_{CSF;\beta'}(D).$$

7. UNIFORM ELLIPTIC THEORY OF ADIABATIC FAMILIES OF ACF DIRAC OPERATORS

In the following section we will discuss the ACF analysis associated to the family of Dirac operators with torsion on X_ζ . These Dirac operators are asymptotic to the operator $D^{\otimes \frac{t}{\hbar}}$ and a uniform elliptic theory is essential for linear gluing.

7.1. Weighted Function Spaces on Asymptotically Conical Fibrations. Let in the following $q : (Y, g_Y) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ be a fibre bundle and a Riemannian submersion of compact Riemannian manifolds. Further, the Riemannian structure on Y induces an Ehresmann connection on the fibration, i.e. $TY \cong H_Y \oplus VY$.

Definition 7.1. A Riemannian fibre bundle $\tilde{p} : (X_{ACF}, g_{ACF}) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ is asymptotic to a conical fibration (ACF) over a fibration $(q : (Y, g_Y) \rightarrow (S, g_S))$ of rate γ , if there exists compact subset K_R and a fibre bundle diffeomorphism $\rho : X_{ACF} \setminus K_R \rightarrow CF_S(E) \setminus B_R(E)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla^{g_{CF}})^k (\rho_* g_{ACF} - g_{CF}) &= \mathcal{O}(r^\gamma) \\ (\nabla_V^{g_{CF}})^k (\rho_* g_{ACF;V} - g_{CF;V}) &= \mathcal{O}(r^{\gamma-k}).\end{aligned}$$

We will further demand that the ACF metric is of the form $g_{ACF} = \tilde{p}^* g_S + g_{ACF;V}$ and hence we equip $p : (X_{ACF}, g_{ACF}) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ with a connection H_{ACF} .

Definition 7.2. An ACF weight function is a smooth function w_{ACF} on X_{ACF} such that

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla^{g_{CF;V}})^k (\rho_* w_{ACF} - r) &= \mathcal{O}(r^{1+\gamma-k}) \\ (\nabla^{g_{CF}})^k (\rho_* w_{ACF} - r) &= \mathcal{O}(r^{1+\gamma})\end{aligned}$$

Set $w_{ACF}^{-\beta} = w_{ACF;\beta}$ and $w_{ACF}(x, y) = \min(w_{ACF}(x), w_{ACF}(y))$.

Definition 7.3. A Hermitian bundle

$$(E_{ACF}, h_{ACF})$$

is called an ACF Hermitian vector bundle of homogenous degree $|E_{ACF}|$ if there exist automorphisms

$$w_{ACF;|E_{ACF}|}^{ACyl} : E_{ACF} \rightarrow E_{ACF}$$

analogously defined to $w_{CF;\delta(E_0)}^{Cyl}$ such that

$$\left(E_{ACF}, (w_{ACF;-|E_{ACF}|}^{ACyl})^* h_{ACF} \right)$$

is asymptotically cylindrical. A Hermitian vector bundle is called ACF Hermitian vector bundle if it is the sum of ACF Hermitian vector bundles of homogenous degree. A connection $\nabla^{h_{ACF}}$ with respect to (E_{ACF}, h_{ACF}) is called ACF Hermitian connection if

$$(w_{ACF;d_{T^*X_{ACF} \otimes E_{ACF}}}^{ACyl})^* \nabla^{h_{ACF}} (w_{ACF;|E_{ACF}|}^{ACyl})^*$$

is asymptotically cylindrical.

Lemma 7.1. *Let ∇ be a Hermitian ACF connection. In the presence of the Ehresmann connection H the connection ∇ decomposes into*

$$\nabla = \nabla^H + \nabla^V$$

where ∇^V is a vertical Hermitian connection, i.e. it restricts p -fibrewise to a connection on E .

Let in the following $\nu_\zeta : (X_\zeta, g_\zeta) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ be an ACF space and $(E_\zeta, h_\zeta, \nabla_\zeta)$ an ACF Hermitian vector bundle.

Definition 7.4. We define the space $\Gamma_{ACF;\beta}^k(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$ to be the space of all C^k -sections Φ such that

$$\left\| w_{ACF;\beta} \left\{ \nabla_\zeta^j \right\} \Phi \right\|_{C^0} < \infty.$$

We equip the spaces $\Gamma_{ACF;\beta}^k(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$ with the metric

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta}^k} = \sum_{j=0}^k \left\| w_{ACF;\beta} \left\{ \nabla_\zeta^j \right\} \Phi \right\|_{C^0}$$

The space $\Gamma_{ACF;\beta}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$ is defined to be the intersection of all $\Gamma_{ACF;\beta}^k(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$ for all k . Furthermore, we define the $w_{ACF;\beta}$ -weighted Sobolev $W_{ACF;\beta}^{k,p}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$ and Hölder spaces $C_{ACF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$ by replacing the usual metrics by the ones

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{W_{ACF;\beta}^{k,p}}^p &= \sum_{I=0}^k \int_X |w_{ACF;\beta} \left\{ \nabla_\zeta^i \right\} \Phi|_{h,g}^p w_{ACF;\beta} \text{vol}_g \\ \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta}^{l,\alpha}} &= \sum_{j=0}^l \left\| w_{ACF;\beta} \left\{ \nabla_\zeta^j \right\} \Phi \right\|_{C^0} + [\nabla_\zeta^j \Phi]_{C_{ACF;\beta-j}^{0,\alpha}} \\ [\nabla^j \Phi]_{C_{ACF;\beta-j}^{0,\alpha}} &= \sup_{B_{g_\zeta}} \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=0}^j w_{ACF;(\beta-j+i-\alpha)}(x, y) \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\left| \text{word}_{i,j}^+(\nabla_\zeta^H, \nabla_\zeta^V) \Phi(x) - \Pi^{x,y} \text{word}_{i,j}^+(\nabla_\zeta^H, \nabla_\zeta^V) \Phi(y) \right|_{h,g}}{d_{g_\zeta}(x, y)^\alpha} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{g_\zeta}(U) = \{x, y \in U \mid x \neq y, \text{dist}_{g_\zeta}(x, y) \leq w_{ACF;(\beta-j+i-\alpha)}(x, y)\} \subset U \times U$ and $\Pi^{x,y}$ denotes the parallel transport along the geodesic connecting x and y .

Remark 44. All $W_{ACF;\beta}^{k,p}$, $\Gamma_{ACF;\beta}^k$ and $C_{ACF;\beta}^{l,\alpha}$ are Banach spaces, $H_{ACF;\beta}^k = W_{ACF;\beta}^{k,2}$ is an Hilbert space, but $\Gamma_{ACF;\beta}$ is not an Banach space. \heartsuit

Theorem 7.1. *The following holds:*

- Let $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. The L_{ACF}^2 -pairing induces a perfect pairing

$$L_{ACF;\beta}^p \cong (L_{ACF;-\beta-m}^q)^*$$

- If $\beta > \beta'$ then $W_{ACF;\beta}^{l,p} \subset W_{ACF;\beta'}^{l,p}$ and $C_{ACF;\beta}^{l,\alpha} \subset C_{ACF;\beta'}^{l,\alpha}$
- If $1 \leq p, \infty$ then $\Gamma_{CSF;\beta}$ is dense in $W_{CF;\beta}^{l,p}$
- (Sobolev, Kondrakov) If $(p_i, l_i) \in \mathbb{N} \times [1, \infty)$ with $l_0 \leq l_1$ such that

$$l_0 - \frac{m}{p_0} \geq l_1 - \frac{m}{p_1}$$

and one of the two conditions

- (i) $p_0 \leq p_1$ and $\beta_0 \geq \beta_1$
- (ii) $p_0 > p_1$ and $\beta_0 > \beta_1$

then there exists a continuous embedding

$$W_{ACF;\beta_0}^{l_0,p_0} \hookrightarrow W_{ACF;\beta_1}^{l_1,p_1}.$$

If moreover $l_0 > l_1$, $l_0 - \frac{m}{p_0} > l_1 - \frac{m}{p_1}$ and $\beta_0 > \beta_1$ then the above embedding is compact, i.e. any bounded sequence in the (l_0, p_0) norm has a convergent subsequence in the (l_1, p_1) norm.

- (Hölder) If $(l_i, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times [0, \infty)$ and $\beta_0 < \beta_1$ with

$$l_0 + \alpha_0 \geq l_1 + \alpha_1$$

then there exist continuous embeddings

$$C_{ACF;\beta_0}^{l_0+1} \hookrightarrow C_{ACF;\beta_0}^{l_0,\alpha_0} \hookrightarrow C_{ACF;\beta_1}^{l_1,\alpha_1} \hookrightarrow C_{ACF;\beta_1}^{l_1}.$$

If $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $l_0 = l_1$ the inclusion $C_{ACF;\beta_0}^{l_0,\alpha_0} \hookrightarrow C_{ACF;\beta_1}^{l_1,\alpha_1}$ is compact.

- (Morrey, Kondrakov) If $(p_i, l_i) \in \mathbb{N} \times [1, \infty)$ and $(l, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times [1, \infty)$, $\beta > \delta$, and

$$l_0 - \frac{m}{p_0} \geq l + \alpha \geq l_1 - \frac{m}{p_1}$$

then there exists a continuous embedding

$$W_{ACF;\beta}^{l_0,p_0} \hookrightarrow C_{ACF;\beta}^{l,\alpha} \hookrightarrow W_{ACF;\delta}^{l_1,p_1}.$$

- Let $(\Phi, \Psi) \mapsto (\phi \cdot \Phi)$ is a bilinear form satisfying $|\Phi \cdot \Psi| \lesssim |\Phi| |\Psi|$, then

$$\|\Phi \cdot \Psi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta_1+\beta_2}^{l,\alpha}} \lesssim \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta_1}^{l,\alpha}} \|\Psi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta}^{l,\alpha}}.$$

Proof. These statements follow immediately from the standard Sobolev-Kondrakov-, Hölder- and Morrey-Kondrakov-embedding theorems on compact and on conical spaces [AN61, ADN64, LMO85, Loc87, Mar02]. \square

Remark 45. Given a weight function w_ζ for $(X_{t^2,\zeta}, g_{t^2,\zeta})$ we define new weight functions on (X_ζ, g_ζ^t) by

$$w_{ACF;t} := \delta_t^* w_{t^2,\zeta} = t \cdot w_\zeta = t \cdot w_{ACF}.$$

♡

7.2. Uniform Fredholm Theory for Adiabatic Families of Asymptotically Conically Fibred Dirac Operators. In the following section we will address the Fredholm analysis of the non-compact ACF-family of Dirac bundles, modelling the resolution locally. By the results of the prior section we know that the following is true.

Lemma 7.2. *The map*

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t : \text{dom}_{C_{ACF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \rightarrow C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$$

is unbounded. We define

$$C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)$$

as the domain, equipped with the graph norm.

The goal of the following section is to show, that the map

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t : C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \rightarrow C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$$

is Fredholm, and that there exists adapted norms such that \widehat{D}_ζ^t admits a uniformly bounded right-inverse.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\Phi \in \Gamma_{loc}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$ with $\text{supp}(\Phi) \subset X_\zeta \setminus B_{2t^{-1}\epsilon}(X_\zeta)$. Then*

$$(36) \quad \left\| (\widehat{D}_\zeta^t - \widehat{D}_0^t) \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{-\eta-1} \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}.$$

Proof. We use the expansion

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t - \widehat{D}_0 = (\text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t} - \text{cl}_{g_0^t}) \circ \widehat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t} + \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t} \circ (\widehat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t} - \nabla^{\otimes_0}).$$

Notice, that $\text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t} \circ (\widehat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t} - \nabla^{\otimes_0}) = \mathcal{O}(r^{1-\eta})$ is a zeroth order differential operator. The bound follows from the definition of the $C_{ACF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}$ -norms. \square

Proposition 7.1 (ACF Schauder Estimates). *Let $\Phi \in C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)$*

$$(37) \quad \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^0}$$

holds.

Proof. We will prove (7.1) analogously to the proof of (5.1). Let \mathcal{U}_ϵ denote a good cover of X_ζ by geodesic balls of radius ϵ and let $\nu_\zeta^{-1}\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ denote the cover of X_ζ by opens of the form

$$\nu_\zeta^{-1}U \cong B_\epsilon(s) \times M_{\zeta(s)}.$$

We can do this in a way such that for each $0 < c$ there exists and $0 < \epsilon$ such that

$$\|g_\zeta^t - g_S \oplus t^2 \cdot g_{\zeta(s)}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} < c$$

holds on \mathcal{U}_ϵ . As g_ζ^t is uniformly close to the product structures on $\nu_\zeta^{-1}\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$, so is the Hermitian Dirac bundle structure. By now rescaling the balls and by using [Wal17a, Prop. 7.7] and [Wal17a, Prop. 7.11] we deduce the standard Schauder estimates.

Higher regularity automatically follows from the CF-Schauder estimate and the fact that $\widehat{D}_\zeta^t \sim \widehat{D}_0^t$ \square

Theorem 7.2. *Let $\beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$. The map*

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t : C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \rightarrow C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$$

is Fredholm and its kernel and cokernel are smooth, i.e. agree for all α and k . Furthermore, if $\beta_2 > \beta_1$ with $|\beta_2 - \beta_1| < 1$ we have

$$\text{ind}_{\beta_1}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) - \text{ind}_{\beta_2}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) = \sum_{\beta_2 < \lambda < \beta_1} d_{\lambda + \frac{m-1}{2} - \delta(E_0)}(\widehat{D}_0).$$

Proof. The first statement follows from Proposition 5.2 and 6.2 and goes analogously to the proof of Fredholmness for ACyl, AC or CS operators. Notice, that the Schauder estimate (6.2) does not suffice to proof left-semi Fredholmness as the inclusion of

$$C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \hookrightarrow C_{ACF;\beta;t}^0(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$$

is not compact. Thus, we need to improve the Schauder estimate to

$$(38) \quad \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^0}$$

for $\delta > \beta$. Let us define the operator

$$\widetilde{D}_{3\epsilon}^t = \widehat{D}_\zeta^t + (1 - \chi_3^t)(\widehat{D}_0^t - \widehat{D}_\zeta^t).$$

This operator is conjugated to \widehat{D}_0^t on $X_\zeta \setminus \text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S)$ and coincides with \widehat{D}_ζ^t on the ‘‘compact’’ part of X_ζ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} &\lesssim \|(1 - \chi_3^t)\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} + \|\chi_3^t\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \widetilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}^t (1 - \chi_3^t)\Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \|(1 - \chi_3^t)\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^0} + \left\| \widetilde{D}_{3\epsilon}^t \chi_3^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $\tilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}$ is conjugate to \hat{D}_0 on $X_\zeta \setminus \text{Tub}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}(S)$ and by Proposition 5.2

$$\hat{D}_0 : C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\hat{D}_0; +) \rightarrow C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0)$$

defines an isomorphism for $\beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\hat{D}_0)$. Further we have

$$\left\| \tilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}^t (1 - \chi_3^t) \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| (1 - \chi_3^t) \tilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \left\| [(1 - \chi_3^t), \tilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}^t] \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}$$

and

$$\left\| \tilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}^t \chi_3^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \chi_3^t \tilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \left\| [\chi_3^t, \tilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}^t] \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}$$

Now $[\tilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}^t, (1 - \chi_3^t)] = -[\tilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}^t, \chi_3^t] = \sigma_{\tilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}^t} (d\chi_3^t) = K_\epsilon^t$ is a compact operator. Further,

$$\left\| (1 - \chi_3^t) \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^0} \lesssim t^{\delta-\beta} \left\| (1 - \chi_3^t) \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\delta;t}^0}$$

which leads to the following estimate

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \tilde{D}_{3\epsilon+\epsilon}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \|K_\epsilon^t \Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + t^{\delta-\beta} \|\chi_3^t \Phi\|_{C_{SSF;\delta;\epsilon}^0}.$$

We conclude that the family of operators $\tilde{D}_{3\epsilon}^t$ are semi-Fredholm, i.e. have finite dimensional kernel and a closed image. Further, let $P_{2\epsilon}^t$ be a parametrix for the operator $\tilde{D}_{3\epsilon}^t$ on $X_\zeta \setminus \text{Tub}_{3\epsilon}(S)$. Define the operator

$$Q_\epsilon^t = (1 - \chi_3^t) P_{2\epsilon}^t (1 - \chi_{3\epsilon-\epsilon}^t) + \chi_3^t \left(\hat{D}_0 \right)^{-1} \chi_{3\epsilon-\epsilon}^t.$$

Then $\tilde{D}_{3\epsilon}^t Q_\epsilon^t = 1 + \tilde{K}_\epsilon^t$, where \tilde{K}_ϵ^t is compact and hence $\tilde{D}_{3\epsilon}^t$ is Fredholm. Taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$ proves the statement.

For the second statement, we follow [Ber23] and it suffices to consider the case where λ is the only critical rate between β_1 and β_2 . Consider the space

$$V_\lambda = \ker(B_{H;\lambda+\frac{m-1}{2}-\delta}(E_0)),$$

the projection $\Pi_\lambda : \Gamma(Y, E_Y; +) \rightarrow V_\lambda$, and the space

$$S_{\beta_2} := \left\{ \Phi \in C_{ACF;\beta_2;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta) \mid \hat{D}_\zeta^t \Phi \in C_{ACF;\beta_1-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta) \right\}.$$

Then for $\Phi \in S_{\beta_2}$

$$\Phi - \text{ext}_{ACF;\lambda;t} \circ \Pi_\lambda \circ \text{res}_{ACF;\lambda;t}(\Phi) \in C_{ACF;\beta_1;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$$

Moreover, we have $S_{\beta_2} \subset C_{ACF;\lambda;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\hat{D}_\zeta^t)$, $\ker_{ACF;\beta_2}(\hat{D}_\zeta^t) = \ker_\lambda(\hat{D}_\zeta^t)$, and $C_{ACF;\beta_1;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\hat{D}_\zeta^t) = \ker(\Pi_\lambda \circ \text{res}_{ACF;\lambda;t} : S_{\beta_2} \rightarrow V_\lambda)$ as well as

$$\ker_{ACF;\beta_2}(\hat{D}_\zeta^t) = \ker \left(\Pi_\lambda \circ \text{res}_{ACF;\lambda;t} : \ker_{ACF;\beta_2}(\hat{D}_\zeta^t) \rightarrow V_\lambda \right).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$S_{\beta_2} = C_{ACF;\beta_1;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\hat{D}_\zeta^t) + \text{im}(\text{ext}_{ACF;\lambda;t}|_{V_\lambda})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ker_{ACF;\beta_2}(\hat{D}_\zeta^t) &= \ker \left(\hat{D}_\zeta^t|_{S_{\beta_2}} : S_{\beta_2} \rightarrow C_{ACF;\beta_1-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta) \right) \\ \text{coker}_{ACF;\beta_2}(\hat{D}_\zeta^t) &= \text{coker} \left(\hat{D}_\zeta^t|_{S_{\beta_2}} : S_{\beta_2} \rightarrow C_{ACF;\beta_1-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The wall-crossing formula follows immediately. \square

Notice, that the parametrix is not uniformly bounded in t and hence not suitable for further use in gluing analysis. The following section is devoted to carefully analysing the family \widehat{D}_ζ^t and to constructed adapted norms that allow uniform bounds on a right-inverse of \widehat{D}_ζ^t .

7.2.1. Pushforwards of ACF Dirac Bundles and Concentrating Dirac Operators. Using the projection $\nu_\zeta : X_\zeta \rightarrow S$ and the Ehresmann connection H_ζ we define the $C_{ACF;\beta}^\infty$ -pushforward of the Clifford module $(E_\zeta, \text{cl}_{g_\zeta})$ on S .

Notice that even though C_{loc}^∞ -sections of E_ζ define a sheaf \mathcal{E}_ζ of Fréchet spaces on X_ζ ; the weighted $C_{ACF;\beta}^\infty$ -sections only form a presheaf, by the lack of gluing. Nevertheless, ν_ζ -pushforward of $C_{ACF;\beta;t}^\infty$ defines a coherent, locally free sheaf of $(\nu_\zeta)_*C_{ACyl}^\infty$ -modules

$$(\nu_\zeta)_*\mathcal{E}_{\zeta;ACF;\beta;t}$$

on S . This sheaf can be identified with the sheaf of C^∞ -sections of the infinite dimensional Fréchet vector bundle

$$(\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta}E_\zeta.$$

In a similar way we define the H_{ACF}^∞ -pushforward

$$(\nu_\zeta)_{*H_{ACF}^\infty}E_\zeta.$$

Lemma 7.4. *The $C_{ACF;\beta}^\infty$ -pushforward of E_ζ can be endowed with the structure of an infinite-dimensional Fréchet Dirac bundle. The H_{ACF}^∞ -pushforward can be endowed with the structure of an infinite-dimensional Hermitian Fréchet Dirac bundle.*

Proof. We define a $\text{Cl}(TS, g_S)$ -module structures on $(\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta}E_\zeta$ by

$$\text{cl}_{g_S}(V)\Phi = \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(V^{H_\zeta})\Phi = \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(V^{H_\zeta})\Phi$$

for vector fields $V \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$. Furthermore the connection ∇^{\otimes_0} induces a connection on $(\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta}E_\zeta$ by

$$\nabla_V^{\otimes_0}\Phi = \nabla_{V^{H_\zeta}}^{\otimes_0}\Phi,$$

whose curvature is given by

$$F_{\nabla^{\otimes_0}}(U, V) = F_{\nabla^{\otimes_0}}(U^{H_\zeta}, V^{H_\zeta}) - \nabla_{F_{H_\zeta}(U, V)}^{\otimes_0}.$$

In a similar way, we define the vector bundle

$$(\nu_\zeta)_{*H_{ACF}^\infty}E_\zeta$$

and equip this bundle with a Dirac bundle structure analogously to the above. Naturally the H_{ACF}^∞ -pushforward is equipped with Hermitian structure

$$h_\zeta^t(\Phi, \Phi')_s = \int_{\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s)} \langle \Phi, \Phi' \rangle_{h_\zeta^t} \text{vol}_{g_\zeta^t, V}$$

given by the fibrewise L_t^2 -product. Even though the connection ∇^{\otimes_0} fails to be a Hermitian connection, the connection

$$\widehat{\nabla}^{\otimes_0} = \nabla^{\otimes_0} - \frac{1}{2}k_\zeta.$$

is Hermitian. In particular the latter is a $\text{Cl}(TS, g^S)$ -module connection and thus

$$((\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta}E_\zeta, \text{cl}_{g_S}, h_\zeta^t, \widehat{\nabla}^{\otimes_0})$$

is an infinite dimensional Fréchet Dirac bundle and

$$((\nu_\zeta)_{*H_{ACF}^\infty}E_\zeta, \text{cl}_{g_S}, h_\zeta^t, \widehat{\nabla}^{\otimes_0})$$

is an infinite dimensional Hermitian Dirac bundle. □

Notice further, that a grading ϵ_ζ on $(E_\zeta, \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}, h_\zeta, \nabla^{h_\zeta})$ induces a grading on both the $C_{ACF;\beta}^\infty$ and the L_{ACF}^2 -pushforward.

Instead of viewing the Dirac Operator \widehat{D}_ζ^t as an operator

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t : \Gamma_{ACF;\beta;t}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \rightarrow \Gamma_{ACF;\beta;t}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$$

we will now consider it as an operator

$$\widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta}^t : \text{dom}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \subset \Gamma(S, (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta} E_\zeta) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta-1} E_\zeta)$$

Lemma 7.5. *The horizontal Dirac operator defines a Dirac operator*

$$\widehat{D}_{\zeta;H;\beta}^t : \Gamma(S, (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta} E_\zeta) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta} E_\zeta).$$

Proof. The horizontal Dirac operator $\widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t$ as established in section 3.3 is given by

$$\widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t = \text{cl}_{g_\zeta} \circ \text{pr}_{H_\zeta} \circ \widehat{\nabla}^{\otimes \epsilon_\zeta} + \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(\tau_{\zeta;H}^t) + t^{-1} \cdot \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(\tau_{\zeta;V}^t) - \frac{t}{4} \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(F_{H_\zeta} - \rho_\zeta^* F_{H_0}).$$

Its $(\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta}$ -pushforward is a first order differential operator on sections of $(\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta}$ whose symbol is given by

$$\sigma_{\widehat{D}_{\zeta;H;\beta}^t}(\xi) = \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(\xi^H) = \text{cl}_{g_S}(\xi)$$

and hence is a Dirac operator. □

By the previous section, we know that the operator \widehat{D}_ζ^t is of the form

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t = \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t + t^{-1} \cdot D_{\zeta;V}$$

and we notice that the vertical Dirac operator $D_{\zeta;V} \in \Gamma(S, \text{End}((\nu_\zeta)_{*L^2} E_\zeta))$ and hence \widehat{D}_ζ^t is family of concentrating Dirac operators⁷. The idea of Bismut in [Bis86] was that the behaviour of D_ζ^t is determined by the operator that concentrates on the kernel of the vertical Dirac operator. In the following we will make these idea concrete in the subject case. Notice that a major difference between Bismuts construction and ours is that the fibres of X_ζ are noncompact.

Remark 46. The vertical Dirac operator defines bounded linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\zeta;V} : W_{AC;\beta}^{k+1,p}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s), E_\zeta) &\rightarrow W_{AC;\beta-1}^{k,p}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s), E_\zeta) \\ D_{\zeta;V} : C_{AC;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s), E_\zeta) &\rightarrow C_{AC;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s), E_\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

These maps are Fredholm as long as $\beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$ and by elliptic regularity their kernels are independent of k . Furthermore, the indices of these operators satisfy the wall-crossing formula

$$\text{ind}_{\beta_1}(D_{\zeta;V}) - \text{ind}_{\beta_2}(D_{\zeta;V}) = \sum_{\beta_1 < \beta < \beta_2} d_\beta(D_{0;V})$$

♥

Definition 7.5. We define the splitting

$$(\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta} E_\zeta \cong \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) \oplus \mathcal{Co}\mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$$

into the kernel and coimage of $D_{\zeta;V}$ as well as the splitting of

$$(\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta-1} E_\zeta \cong \mathcal{Co}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) \oplus \mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$$

into cokernel and image of $D_{0;V}$.

⁷A family of Dirac operator is called concentrating if $D^t = D + t^{-1} \cdot \Phi$ for $\Phi \in \Gamma(S, \text{End}(E_S))$ (see [Par23])

As long as $\beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$, both $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ are finite dimensional, the former remains finite dimensional even when $\beta \in \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$. In particular, the vertical Dirac operator defines an isomorphism

$$D_{\zeta;V} : \mathcal{CoI}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta).$$

Assumption 4. Let assume that there exist vector bundles $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ of the kernel of the vertical Dirac Operator, i.e. the fibres at $s \in S$ are given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)_s = \ker \left(D_{\zeta;V}(s) : C_{ACF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}, E_\zeta) \rightarrow C_{ACF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}, E_\zeta) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)_s = \text{coker} \left(D_{\zeta;V}(s) : C_{ACF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}, E_\zeta) \rightarrow C_{ACF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}, E_\zeta) \right)$$

Lemma 7.6. This in particular, implies that for $\beta_1 > \beta_2$ there exists an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta_2}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta_1}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) \\ \ominus & & \ominus \\ \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta_2}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta_1}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) \end{array} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\beta_2 < \beta < \beta_1} \mathcal{L}_\beta(D_{0;V})$$

In section 6 we proved that the vector bundle $\mathcal{L}_\beta(D_{0;V})$ coincides with $\mathcal{E}_{\beta + \frac{m-1}{2} - \delta(E_\zeta)}$.

Proof. This statement follows the lines of the proof of Theorem 6.3. It suffices to consider the case where λ is the only critical rate between β_1 and β_2 . Consider the the projection $\Pi_\lambda : \vartheta_* E_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\lambda(D_{0;V})$, and the subbundle

$$S_{\beta_2} := D_{\zeta;V}^{-1} \left((\nu_\zeta)_*_{ACF;\beta_1-1} E_\zeta \right) \subset (\nu_\zeta)_*_{ACF;\beta_2} E_\zeta$$

Then for $\Phi \in S_{\beta_2}$

$$\Phi - \text{ext}_{ACF;\lambda} \circ \Pi_\lambda \circ \text{res}_{ACF;\lambda}(\Phi) \in (\nu_\zeta)_*_{ACF;\beta_1;\epsilon} E_\zeta.$$

Moreover, we have $S_{\beta_2} \subset (\nu_\zeta)_*_{ACF;\lambda} E_\zeta$, $\ker_{\beta_2}(D_{0;V}) = \ker_\lambda(D_{0;V})$, and $(\nu_\zeta)_*_{CSF;\beta_1;\epsilon} E_\zeta = \ker(\Pi_\lambda \circ \text{res}_{ACF;\lambda} : S_{\beta_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\lambda(D_{0;V}))$ as well as

$$\ker_{\beta_1}(D_{0;V}) = \ker(\Pi_\lambda \circ \text{res}_{ACF;\lambda} : \ker_{\beta_2}(D_{0;V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\lambda(D_{0;V})).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$S_{\beta_2} = (\nu_\zeta)_*_{ACF;\beta_1} E_\zeta + \text{im}(\text{ext}_{ACF;\lambda}|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda(D_{0;V})})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ker_{\beta_2}(D_{0;V}) &= \ker(D_{0;V}|_{S_{\beta_2}} : S_{\beta_2} \rightarrow (\nu_\zeta)_*_{ACF;\beta_1-1} E_\zeta) \\ \text{coker}_{\beta_2}(D_{0;V}) &= \text{coker}(D_{0;V}|_{S_{\beta_2}} : S_{\beta_2} \rightarrow (\nu_\zeta)_*_{ACF;\beta_1-1} E_\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

The wall-crossing formula follows immediately. \square

Remark 47. If $\beta < -\frac{m}{2}$, then there exists a compact embedding

$$(\nu_\zeta)_*_{ACF;\beta} E_\zeta \hookrightarrow (\nu_\zeta)_*_{L_{ACF}^2} E_\zeta$$

and hence $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ carry Hermitian structures given by the L_{ACF}^2 -product. Further, there exists an identification

$$\mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) \cong \mathcal{K}_{AC; -m-\beta-1}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$$

and hence both $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ are Hermitian for all $\beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$. \heartsuit

Remark 48. There exists a family of Hermitian structures on $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ given by the fibrewise L^2_t -product. The vector bundle $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ will be referred to as the vector bundle of vertical L^2 -kernels. Notice, that if $\beta < -\frac{m}{2}$ then

$$C_{AC;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}, E_\zeta) \subset L_{AC}^2(\nu_\zeta^{-1}, E_\zeta)$$

and thus the bundles $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{Co}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ are finite dimensional Hermitian Dirac bundles. \heartsuit

Remark 49. Lets briefly imagine that the fibres of X_ζ where compact. Let in the following assume that if m is even the vertical Dirac operator $D_{\zeta;V}$ is of the form

$$D_{\zeta;V} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_{\zeta;V}^+ \\ D_{\zeta;V}^- & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In this case the index of the family of Dirac operators $D_{\zeta;V}^\pm$ if m is even or $D_{\zeta;V}$ if m is odd, yields a well-defined class

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{Ind}(D_{\zeta;V}^\pm)] &\in K^0(s) && \text{If } m \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ [\text{Ind}(D_{\zeta;V})] &\in K^1(s) && \text{If } m \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{aligned}$$

Under a small generic perturbation $D_{\zeta;V}^{(\pm)} + \hbar$ of $D_{\zeta;V}^{(\pm)}$ the bundle

$$\text{Ind}(D_{\zeta;V}^{(\pm)} + \hbar) = \ker(D_{\zeta;V}^{(\pm)} + \hbar) \in \mathbf{Vect}_k(S)$$

and

$$[\text{Ind}(D_{\zeta;V}^{(\pm)})] = [\text{Ind}(D_{\zeta;V}^{(\pm)} + \hbar)] \in K^\bullet(S).$$

As X_ζ is a noncompact fibration the construction of a family index bundle becomes more intricate. The realisation as Fredholm operators depends on the realisation the operator on weighted function spaces. In section 7 we will discuss this issue in more detail. \heartsuit

Definition 7.6. Let us define the projections

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} &: \Gamma(S, (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta} E_\zeta) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \\ \pi_{\mathcal{Co}\mathcal{I};\beta} &: \Gamma(S, (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta} E_\zeta) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{Co}\mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \\ \pi_{\mathcal{Co}\mathcal{K};\beta} &: \Gamma(S, (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta-1} E_\zeta) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{Co}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \\ \pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta} &: \Gamma(S, (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta-1} E_\zeta) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $R_{V;\beta} : (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta-1} E_\zeta \rightarrow (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta} E_\zeta$ be a right-inverse of $D_{\zeta;V} : (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta} E_\zeta \rightarrow (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta-1} E_\zeta$ and let $L_{V;-\beta-m-1} : (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta-1} E_\zeta$ be its adjoint. Notice, that both $R_{V;\beta}$ and $L_{V;-\beta-m-1}$ are bounded Fredholm maps of Banach bundles. Then we can write the projections $\pi_{\mathcal{Co}\mathcal{I};\beta}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta}$ as

$$(39) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{Co}\mathcal{I};\beta} = R_{V;\beta} D_{\zeta;V} = D_{\zeta;V}^* L_{V;-\beta-m-1}$$

$$(40) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta} = D_{\zeta;V} R_{V;\beta} = L_{V;-\beta-m-1} D_{\zeta;V}^*.$$

Remark 50. The operator $\pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{Co}\mathcal{K};\beta}$ are fibrewise finite rank smoothing operators that commutes with the Clifford multiplication by horizontal forms. \heartsuit

Lemma 7.7. *The family of Dirac bundle structure of $(\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta} E_\zeta$ and $(\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta-1} E_\zeta$ restrict to Dirac bundle structures on*

$$\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta), \quad \mathcal{Co}\mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta), \quad \mathcal{Co}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta).$$

Moreover, the induced Hermitian structure is Hermitian Dirac.

We decompose the Dirac operator into

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}}^t & \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{I}}^t \\ \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}}^t & \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}}^t \end{pmatrix}$$

according to the decomposition of the pushforward of the Dirac bundle.

Lemma 7.8. *If $\widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t$ and $\widehat{D}_{\zeta;V}^t$ anti-commute the off-diagonal terms*

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{I}}^t &: \Gamma(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \\ \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}}^t &: \Gamma(S, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \end{aligned}$$

vanish.

Proof. We can rewrite the off-diagonal terms as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{I}}^t &= \pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \\ &= L_{V;-\beta-m-1} D_{\zeta;V} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \\ &= L_{V;-\beta-m-1} \{D_{\zeta;V}, \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t\} \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \\ \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}}^t &= \pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t D_{\zeta;V} L_{V;-\beta-m-1} \\ &= \pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta} \{\widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t, D_{\zeta;V}\} L_{V;-\beta-m-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

7.2.2. Vertically Harmonic Sections on Vertically Scalar-Flat Fibrations. Let in the following assume that $\nu_\zeta : (X_\zeta, g_\zeta) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ is fibrewise scalar-flat and is ACF of rate $\gamma = \eta = -m$.

The following Lemma proves that if the vertical kernel bundles exists, the fibres are given by smooth elements that decay at least by a power of $1 - m$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) = \mathcal{K}_{L^2}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$$

for $\beta \in (1 - m, 0)$.

Lemma 7.9. *The fibres of the vertical kernels coincide with the kernels of*

$$D_{\zeta;V}(s) : C_{AC;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s), E_{\zeta}(s)) \rightarrow C_{AC;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s), E_{\zeta}(s))$$

independent of $0 < \alpha < 1$, k and $\beta \in [1 - m, 0)$. In particular when $\beta < -m/2$ then $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta} \cong \mathcal{K}_{L^2}$ by Theorem 7.1.

By the elliptic regularity of uniform elliptic asymptotically conical operator [Mar02] first part of the statement holds. To prove the independence in β we need the following result.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $g_{V,\zeta}|_{M_\zeta}$ be scalar-flat and let $\Phi \in \Gamma(\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s), E_\zeta)$. If $D_{\zeta;V}\Phi = 0$ and $\Phi = o(1)$ then $\nabla^k \Phi = \mathcal{O}(r^{1-m-k})$.*

Proof. We will prove this statement similar to the proof of Proposition 5.10 in [Wal17a]. Notice that $D_{\zeta;V}$ is a Dirac operator and hence the Kato inequality

$$(41) \quad |d|\Phi|| \leq \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} |\nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}} \Phi|$$

holds on the complement of the vanishing locus of Φ . Now using the Weitzenböck formula

$$(D_{\zeta;V})^2 = (\nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}})^* \nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}} + \text{cl}_{VV}(F_{\nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}}}^{tw}),$$

$|F_{\nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}}}^{tw}| = \mathcal{O}(r^{-m})$, and the identity

$$\Delta^{g_{V,\zeta}} |\Phi|^2 + 2|\nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}} \Phi|^2 = 2 \langle (\nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}})^* \nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}} \Phi, \Phi \rangle$$

we obtain the following estimate on the complement of the vanishing locus of Φ

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2(m-1)}{m-2} \Delta^{g_{V,\zeta}} |\Phi|^{\frac{m-2}{m-1}} &\leq |\Phi|^{-\frac{m+1}{m}} \left(\Delta^{g_{V,\zeta}} |\Phi|^2 + \frac{2m}{m-1} |d|\Phi|^2 \right) \\
&\leq |\Phi|^{-\frac{m}{m-1}} (\Delta^{g_{V,\zeta}} |\Phi|^2 + 2|\nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}} \Phi|^2) \\
&= 2|\Phi|^{-\frac{m}{m-1}} \langle (\nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}})^* \nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}} \Phi, \Phi \rangle \\
&\leq 2|\Phi|^{-\frac{m}{m-1}} (\langle (D_{\zeta;V})^* D_{\zeta;V} \Phi, \Phi \rangle + \langle \text{cl}_{VV}(F_{\nabla^{E_{\zeta;V}}}) \Phi, \Phi \rangle) \\
&\leq \mathcal{O}(r^{-m}) |\Phi|^{\frac{m-2}{m-1}}
\end{aligned}$$

Now let $f = |\Phi|^{\frac{m-2}{m-1}}$ on $(V_{\Phi})^c = \{m \in M_{\zeta} | \psi(m) \neq 0\}$. By the above

$$\Delta^{g_{V,\zeta}} f \lesssim f \cdot w_{AC;m}.$$

Since f is bounded, by [Joy00, Theorem 8.3.6(a)]⁸ there exists a $g = \mathcal{O}(r^{2-m})$ such that

$$\Delta g = \begin{cases} (\Delta^{g_{V,\zeta}} f)^+ & \text{on } (V_{\Phi})^c \\ 0 & V_{\Phi} \end{cases}$$

where $(\cdot)^+$ denotes the positive part. As g is superharmonic and decays at infinity, the maximum principle implies its nonnegativity. Further, the function $f - g$ is subharmonic on $(V_{\Phi})^c$, decays at infinity and is nonnegative on the boundary of $(V_{\Phi})^c$. Again using the maximum principle $f \leq g = \mathcal{O}(r^{2-m})$ and we deduce that

$$|\Phi| = \mathcal{O}(r^{1-m}).$$

□

Remark 51. From now on we assume that there exists a critical rate $\mu_{\mathcal{K}} \in (-\infty, 1-m]$ such that the kernels

$$D_{\zeta;V}(s) : C_{AC;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\nu_{\zeta}^{-1}(s), E_{\zeta}) \rightarrow C_{AC;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(\nu_{\zeta}^{-1}(s), E_{\zeta})$$

are isomorphic for all $\beta \in [\mu_{\mathcal{K}}, 0)$. This in particular, implies that for $\beta_2 > \beta_1 > \mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Co}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta_1}(\pi_{\zeta}/\nu_{\zeta}) \longrightarrow \text{Co}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta_2}(\pi_{\zeta}/\nu_{\zeta}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\beta_1 < \beta < \beta_2} \mathcal{L}_{\beta}(D_{0;V}) \longrightarrow 0$$

♡

Example 7. Let $D_{\zeta} = d \oplus d^{*g_{\zeta}}$. The vector bundle of vertical kernels will always exist and will be referred to as the vector bundle of vertical harmonic forms. In particular, we identify

$$\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_{\zeta} \bullet T^* X_{\zeta} / \nu_{\zeta}) \cong \wedge^{\bullet} T^* S \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(X_{\zeta}/S)$$

In the case (X_{ζ}, g_{ζ}^t) is given by Example 1 [Loc87] and [Joy00] ensure the existence of an isomorphism of flat vector bundles

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(X_{\zeta}/S) \cong \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(X_{\zeta}/S).$$

♣

Lemma 7.10. *Let X_{ζ} be given by Example 1 with $m = 4$, $\Gamma \subset \text{SU}(2)$ and*

$$(E, \text{cl}_g, h, \nabla^h) = (\wedge^{\bullet} T^* X, \text{cl}_g, g, \nabla^g).$$

Then $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_{\zeta}/\nu_{\zeta}) \cong \wedge^{\bullet} T^ S \otimes \mathcal{H}_{-}^2(X_{\zeta}/S)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{K}} = -4$.*

⁸Here we used a Sobolev embedding of $W^{2,p} \hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}$ for big enough $p > 1$.

Proof. In some cases we can even further improve the Kato inequality (41). The only vertical harmonic forms on X_ζ are anti-selfdual two forms. Following the results of Walter Seaman [Sea91] we improve the Kato inequality to

$$(42) \quad |d|\Phi| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\nabla^{g_\zeta; V} \Phi|.$$

This is achieved by a closer inspection of the Weitzenböck-curvature. Then by following the arguments of the proof of Proposition 7.2 with $|\Phi|^{2/3}$ being replaced by $|\Phi|^{1/2}$ we deduce the statement. \square

7.2.3. The Adiabatic Residues, Adiabatic Kernels and Cokernels. In the following we will construct an elliptic first order differential operator on sections of $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$, which we will refer to as the adiabatic residue of \widehat{D}_ζ^t . This operator will be used as a model operator for \widehat{D}_ζ^t . The idea of considering this operator as a model operator builds up on the work of Bismut in [Bis86, BL95, BC89], generalising the work of Walpuski in [Wal17a, Wal17b] and Platt in [Pla20]. This operator will be the right model operator to consider for understanding uniform elliptic theory on (X^t, g^t) as it encaptures the geometric structure of the singular strata in contrast to a more standard approach to construct a model operator by using \widehat{D}_ζ^t for the flat metric g_{st} on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 7.3. *We define the effective kernel operator and the effective cokernel operators*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta}^t &= \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \\ \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}^t &= \pi_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t \pi_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta} \end{aligned}$$

These operators are formally self-adjoint, elliptic, first order differential operators that are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta}^t &= D_{\mathcal{K};\beta} + \text{cl}_{g_S; \mathcal{K}}((\tau_{\zeta;H}^t)_{\mathcal{K};\beta}) + t^{-1} \cdot \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(\tau_{\zeta;V}^t)_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \\ &\quad - \frac{t}{4} \text{cl}_{g_S; \mathcal{K};\beta}(\text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(F_{H_\zeta} - \rho_\zeta^* F_{H_0})_{\mathcal{K};\beta}), \\ \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}^t &= D_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta} + \text{cl}_{g_S; \mathcal{CoK};\beta}((\tau_{\zeta;H}^t)_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}) + t^{-1} \cdot \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(\tau_{\zeta;V}^t)_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta} \\ &\quad - \frac{t}{4} \text{cl}_{g_S; \mathcal{CoK};\beta}(\text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(F_{H_\zeta} - \rho_\zeta^* F_{H_0})_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}), \end{aligned}$$

whereby

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathcal{K};\beta} &: \Gamma(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \\ D_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta} &: \Gamma(S, \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \end{aligned}$$

is the Dirac-operator associated to the Dirac bundle $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$. The other terms appearing are endomorphisms of the former two. The limits

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta}^t &= \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta} = D_{\mathcal{K};\beta} + \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(\dot{\tau}_{\zeta;V}^0)_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}^t &= \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta} = D_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta} + \text{cl}_{g_\zeta}(\dot{\tau}_{\zeta;V}^0)_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta} \end{aligned}$$

will be referred to as the adiabatic residues of \widehat{D}_ζ^t . Here $\dot{\tau}_{\zeta;V}^0 = \frac{d}{dt} \tau_{\zeta;V}^t \Big|_{t=0}$.

Corollary 7.1. *If $(E_\zeta, \text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}, h_\zeta^t, \nabla^{h_\zeta^t})$ is graded, so are all the constructed structures.*

Remark 52. In [Goe14] the adiabatic residue is referred to as the effective horizontal operator. \heartsuit

Example 8. Let (X_ζ, g_ζ^t) satisfy

$$(43) \quad \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathcal{H}^\bullet(X_\zeta/S) \cong \mathbb{H}^\bullet(X_\zeta/S).$$

The adiabatic residue of the Hodge-de Rham operator on is given by the self-adjoint, elliptic, first order differential operator

$$\mathfrak{D}_{GM} : \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} \Omega^p(S, \mathcal{H}^q(X_\zeta/S)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} \Omega^p(S, \mathcal{H}^q(X_\zeta/S))$$

given by

$$\mathfrak{D}_{GM} = d_{GM} \oplus d_{GM}^*.$$

The Dirac operator

$$d_{GM} \oplus d_{GM}^*$$

associated to the vertical kernel Clifford bundle, is given by the Gauß-Manin-Hodge-de Rham operator, i.e. the Hodge-de Rham operator twisted by the flat Gauß-Manin connection on $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(X_\zeta/S) \rightarrow S$. ♣

Proposition 7.4. *The analytic realisation of the adiabatic residues, seen as a bounded maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta}^t &: W_t^{k+1,p}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow W_t^{k,p}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \\ \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta}^t &: C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow C_t^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \\ \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}^t &: W_t^{k+1,p}(S, \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow W_t^{k,p}(S, \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \\ \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}^t &: C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow C_t^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \end{aligned}$$

are Fredholm. Elliptic regularity shows that the kernel and cokernels of these consists of smooth sections. Moreover, we have

$$(44) \quad \left\| (\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta}^t - \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta})\phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t \|\phi\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}}$$

$$(45) \quad \left\| (\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}^t - \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta})\psi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t \|\psi\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}}$$

Notice, that by the above and the fact that $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}$ are bounded from below of the complement of their kernels, that for small t

$$\ker(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta}^t) \hookrightarrow \ker(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta})$$

and

$$\operatorname{coker}(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}^t) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}).$$

Definition 7.7. We will refer to the space

$$\mathfrak{Ker}_{ACF;\beta}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^0) = \ker(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{CoKer}_{ACF;\beta}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^0) = \operatorname{coker}(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}).$$

as the adiabatic kernel and adiabatic cokernel.

Definition 7.8. The Dirac operator \widehat{D}_ζ^t will be called isentropic, if

$$\mathfrak{Ker}_{ACF;\beta}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^0) \cong \ker_{ACF;\beta}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{CoKer}_{ACF;\beta}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^0) \cong \operatorname{coker}_{ACF;\beta}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^0)$$

Remark 53. An isentropic process in statistical mechanics is a process that is adiabatic and reversible. Usually information about the Dirac operator is lost in the adiabatic limit, but in the case of isentropic operators, an element in the kernel of the adiabatic residue determines an element in the kernel of Dirac operator for $t \neq 0$. ♠

Lemma 7.11 (Adiabatic Wall-Crossing). *Let $\beta_1 > \beta_2$ no critical rates. Then*

$$\mathfrak{Ind}_{\beta_2}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^0) - \mathfrak{Ind}_{\beta_2}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^0) = \sum_{\beta_2 < \lambda < \beta_1} d_{\lambda + \frac{m-1}{2} - \delta(E_0)}(\widehat{D}_0)$$

Proof. This immediately follows from Lemma 7.6 and $\widehat{D}_\zeta^t \sim \widehat{D}_0^t$. □

Remark 54. Let (X_ζ, g_ζ^t) be as in Example 8. We can view the adiabatic residue of the Hodge-de Rham operator as the elliptic operator associated to the local system of

$$L^2GM^\bullet(\nu_\zeta : X_\zeta \rightarrow S) = (\Omega^\bullet(S, \mathcal{H}^\bullet(X_\zeta/S)), d_{GM}).$$

Thus, the kernel of the of the adiabatic residue of \widehat{D}_ζ^t can be identified with the cohomology

$$H^\bullet(L^2GM^\bullet(\nu_\zeta : X_\zeta \rightarrow S)).$$

If $\pi_1(S) = 0$ then Hurwitz-formula holds for the cohomology of X_ζ . Furthermore, if $\pi_p(S) = 0$ the above coincides with the group cohomology

$$\mathrm{H}^p(L^2GM^\bullet(\nu_\zeta : X_\zeta \rightarrow S)) = \mathrm{H}^p(\pi_1(S), \mathcal{H}^\bullet(M_{\zeta(s)}))$$

of the monodromy representation

$$\rho_{GM} : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \prod_{q=0}^m \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}^q(M_{\zeta(s)})).$$

♡

Furthermore, standard results from algebraic topology link the adiabatic kernel of the Hodge-de Rham operator to the Leray-Serre spectral sequence.

Theorem 7.3 ([BT⁺82]). *There exists a spectral sequence $E_N^{p,q}$ associated to the double complex*

$$(K^{\bullet, \bullet}, d) = (\check{C}^{\bullet, \bullet}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}\mathcal{U}, \Omega^{\bullet, 2}), \delta + (-1)^{\bullet, 1} d_{dR})$$

converging to the cohomology of X_ζ , i.e. $\mathrm{Tot}_{p+q=\bullet} (E_\infty^{p,q}) = \mathrm{H}^\bullet(X_\zeta)$. Here \mathcal{U} is a good cover of S .

Moreover, the second page of this spectral sequence can be identified with

$$(\check{H}^{\bullet, \bullet}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{H}^{\bullet, 2}(X_\zeta/S)), d_2^{p,q}).$$

Proposition 7.5. *Lets assume that $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SU}(m/2)$ and let $X_\zeta \rightarrow S$ be an ACF fibration whose fibres are ALE spaces resolving V/Γ . There exists an isomorphism*

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{H}^p(S) & \text{if } q = 0 \\ \mathrm{H}^p(S, \mathcal{H}^q(X_\zeta/S)) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

i.e.

$$E_2^{\bullet, \bullet} \cong \mathrm{H}^\bullet(S) \oplus \mathrm{H}^\bullet(L^2GM^\bullet(\nu_\zeta : X_\zeta \rightarrow S)).$$

Moreover, for $0 < N$ the differentials $d_{2N} = 0$.

Remark 55. Assume $(X_{t^2, \zeta}, g_{t^2, \zeta})$ as in Example 1 with $n = 8$ and $m = 4$. Then $d + d^{*g_{t^2, \zeta}}$ is isentropic if and only if the maps

$$d^{3, -2} : \mathrm{H}^0(S, \mathcal{H}^2(X_\zeta/S)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^3(S)$$

$$d^{3, -2} : \mathrm{H}^1(S, \mathcal{H}^2(X_\zeta/S)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^4(S) \cong \mathbb{R}$$

vanish.

♡

7.3. Fredholm Theory for Adiabatic Families of Asymptotically Conically Fibred Dirac Operators. In the following we will use the adiabatic tools established in section 7.2.3 to study the analytic realisation of the family of ACF Dirac operators \widehat{D}_ζ^t . We will construct certain “adapted adiabatic norms” and prove uniform elliptic estimates.

In the following we will construct adapted norms that allow uniform elliptic estimates. These norms will be essential in the uniform linear gluing results needed for the applications mentioned in the preface.

Definition 7.9. We define the $C_{AC; \beta}^{k, \alpha}$ -pushforward of the vector bundle E_ζ to be the infinite dimensional vector bundle

$$C_{ACF; \beta; t}^{k, \alpha}(U, (\nu_\zeta)_{*k_{AC; \beta}} E_\zeta) := C_{ACF; \beta}^{k, \alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}(U), E_\zeta, \widehat{\nabla}_\zeta^{\otimes t})$$

for all $U \subset S$ open. The fibres of these vector bundle are given by the vector spaces

$$C_{AC; \beta}^{k, \alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s), E_{\zeta(s)}).$$

We will write

$$(\nu_\zeta)_{*AC;\beta}^{k,\alpha} \pi_\zeta : (\nu_\zeta)_{*AC;\beta}^{k,\alpha} E_\zeta \rightarrow S.$$

We will denote the $l \leq k$ norms on $(\nu_\zeta)_{*AC;\beta}^{k,\alpha} E_\zeta$ respectively by

$$|\psi|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}}(s) = \|\psi(s)\|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s), E_\zeta(s))}.$$

Definition 7.10. We define the Hölder space of sections of $\nu_* E_\zeta$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{(k,l),\alpha}} &= \sum_{i=0}^k \sup_S \left| (\hat{\nabla}^{\otimes \zeta; H})^i \psi \right|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{l-i,\alpha}} \\ &+ \sup_{\substack{s,s' \in S, \\ \text{dist}_{g_S}(s,s') < \text{inj}_{g_S}}} \left\{ \frac{\left| (\hat{\nabla}^{\otimes \zeta; H})^i \psi(s) - \Pi^{s,s'} (\hat{\nabla}^{\otimes \zeta; H})^i \psi(y) \right|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{l-i,\alpha}}}{d_{g_S}(s,s')^\alpha} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and define

$$\|\psi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}} = \|\psi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{(k,k),\alpha}}.$$

Notice, that by definition the Banach spaces

$$(46) \quad C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta, \hat{\nabla}^{\otimes \zeta}) \cong C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(S, \text{Co}\mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta))$$

$$(47) \quad C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta, \hat{\nabla}^{\otimes \zeta}) \cong C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) \oplus \text{Co}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta-1}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta))$$

Assumption 5. In the following we will assume that $E_\zeta = (E_\zeta)_{-q}$, i.e. if $\psi \in (\nu_\zeta)_{*C_{loc}^k}(E_\zeta)_{-q}$

$$|\psi|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}} = t^{-q-\beta} \cdot |\psi|_{C_{AC;\beta;1}^{k,\alpha}}.$$

in order to keep the following proves readable and calculations short.

Lemma 7.12. Let $\Phi \in C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta, \hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t})$ and let $X \in \mathfrak{X}^{1,0}(X_\zeta)$ and $U \in \mathfrak{X}^{0,1}(X_\zeta)$ of unite norm with respect to g_ζ^t . Then there exists a $\phi \geq 1$

$$\left\| \left[\hat{\nabla}_X^{h_\zeta^t}, \hat{\nabla}_U^{h_\zeta^t} \right] \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^\phi \cdot \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}$$

Proof. This follows from (10) and the definition of the ACF Hölder norms. \square

Lemma 7.13. The identifications

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta, \hat{\nabla}^{\otimes \zeta}) &\cong C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(S, \text{Co}\mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \oplus C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \\ C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta, \hat{\nabla}^{\otimes \zeta}) &\cong C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \oplus C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(S, \text{Co}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta-1}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms of Banach spaces.

Proof. A moment of thought reveals that the only “off-diagonal” terms are dependent on the commutator estimate

$$[\hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t}; H, D_{\zeta;V}].$$

\square

Remark 56. Notice that the bundle $\text{Co}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) \subset (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta-1} E_\zeta$ and hence there exists an embedding

$$\text{Co}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta) \hookrightarrow (\nu_\zeta)_{*ACF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha} E_\zeta.$$

\heartsuit

Definition 7.11. We define the identifications

$$\begin{aligned}\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} &: C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \cong C_t^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) : \iota_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \\ \varpi_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta} &: C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \cong C_t^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{CoK}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) : \iota_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.14. Let $\beta > -m/2$ and $\beta + \mu_{\mathcal{K}} < \gamma$. The following for the operator norms of $\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}$ and $\iota_{\mathcal{K};\beta}$. Let $\psi \in \Gamma^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta))$ and $\Phi \in \Gamma_{loc}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta)$ and let $\beta + \mu_{\mathcal{K}} < \gamma$. Then

$$(48) \quad \|\iota_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\psi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{-\beta-m/2} \cdot \|\psi\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}}$$

$$(49) \quad \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{m/2+\beta-\alpha} \cdot \|\pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{(k,0),\alpha}}$$

$$(50) \quad \|\iota_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}\psi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{1-\beta-m/2} \cdot \|\psi\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}}$$

$$(51) \quad \|\varpi_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{m/2+\beta-1-\alpha} \cdot \|\pi_{\mathcal{CoK};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{(k,0),\alpha}}.$$

Proof. The proof of the first two bounds are analogous to the second two. Thus, we will resume by proofing the t -dependence of the operator norms of $\iota_{\mathcal{K};\beta}$ and $\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}$.

If $k - m/2 \geq k + \alpha \geq k - m/p$, $-m/2 < \beta$ when ever $2 > p$ or $-m/2 \leq \beta$, and $\delta > \beta$, then by the weighted embedding theorems for AC-spaces [Mar02] there exists a continuous embeddings

$$H_{AC;\beta}^k \hookrightarrow C_{AC;\beta}^{k,\alpha} \hookrightarrow W_{AC;\delta}^{k,p}.$$

Therefore we can bound the norms

$$|\psi|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim |\psi|_{H_{AC;\beta;t}^k} \lesssim |\psi|_{L_{AC;\beta;t}^2} \lesssim t^{m/2+\beta} \cdot |\psi|_{L_{AC;t}^2}.$$

Let e_k^1 be an orthonormal basis of $(\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta))_{-q}$ with respect to L_1^2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= \|e_n^t\|_{L_1^2}^2 \\ &= \int_{M_\zeta(s)} |e_n^t|_{h_\zeta^t(s)}^2 \text{vol}_{t^2 g_\zeta(s)} \\ &= t^{m-2q} \int_{M_\zeta(s)} |e_n^t|_{h_\zeta(s)}^2 \text{vol}_{g_\zeta(s)} \\ &= t^{m-2q} \|e_n^t\|_{L_1^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Let $e_n^t = t^{q-m/2} e_k^1$ be a local, orthogonal, parallel frame of $\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)$. Then we can bound

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^k \left| (\hat{\nabla}^{\otimes i} \varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi) \Big|_{h_\zeta^t}(s) \right| &\lesssim \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_n \left| \int_{\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s)} \langle (\hat{\nabla}^{\otimes i}; H)^i \Phi(s), e_n^t \rangle_{h_\zeta^t, g_\zeta^t; V} \text{vol}_{g_\zeta^t; V} \right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_n \int_{\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s)} \left| \langle (\hat{\nabla}^{\otimes i}; H)^i \Phi(s), e_n^t \rangle_{h_\zeta^t, g_\zeta^t; V} \right| \text{vol}_{g_\zeta^t; V} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_n \int_{\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s)} w_{ACF;\beta;t} \left| (\hat{\nabla}^{\otimes i}; H)^i \Phi(s) \right|_{h_\zeta^t, g_\zeta^t; V} \\ &\quad \cdot w_{ACF;-\beta;t} |e_n^t|_{h_\zeta^t, g_\zeta^t; V} \text{vol}_{g_\zeta^t; V} \\ &\lesssim t^{m/2+\beta} \|\Phi(s)\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{(l,0)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $s, s' \in S$ and $x_s, x_{s'} \in X_\zeta$ a horizontal pair, i.e. $x_{s'}$ is the end point of every horizontal path lifting a path connecting s and s' . In particular $\text{dist}_{g_\zeta^t}(x_s, x_{s'}) = \text{dist}_{g_S}(s, s')$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi(s) - \Pi_{s,s'}^{\widehat{\nabla}_{\zeta}^{\otimes t}} \varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi(s') \right|_{h_{\zeta}^t} &\lesssim \left| \int_{\nu_{\zeta}^{-1}(s)} \left\langle \Phi(x_s) - \Pi_{x_s,x'_s}^{\widehat{\nabla}_{\zeta}^{\otimes t}} \Phi(x'_s), e_n^t \right\rangle_{h_{\zeta}^t, g_{\zeta}^t; V} \text{vol}_{g_{\zeta}^t; V} \right| \\
&\lesssim \sum_n \int_{\nu_{\zeta}^{-1}(s)} \left| \Phi(x_s) - \Pi_{x_s,x'_s}^{\widehat{\nabla}_{\zeta}^{\otimes t}} \Phi(x'_s) \right|_{h_{\zeta}^t, g_{\zeta}^t; V} \cdot |e_n^t|_{h_{\zeta}^t, g_{\zeta}^t; V} \text{vol}_{g_{\zeta}^t; V} \\
&\lesssim \sum_n \int_{\nu_{\zeta}^{-1}(s)} w_{ACF;\beta-\alpha;t}(x_s, x'_s) \frac{\left| \Phi(x_s) - \Pi_{x_s,x'_s}^{\widehat{\nabla}_{\zeta}^{\otimes t}} \Phi(x'_s) \right|_{h_{\zeta}^t, g_{\zeta}^t; V}}{\text{dist}_{g_{\zeta}^t}(x_s, x'_s)^{\alpha}} \\
&\quad \cdot w_{ACF;\alpha-\beta;t}(x_s, x'_s) \cdot \text{dist}_{g_{\zeta}^t}(x_s, x'_s)^{\alpha} \cdot |e_n^t|_{h_{\zeta}^t, g_{\zeta}^t; V} \text{vol}_{g_{\zeta}^t; V} \\
&\lesssim [\Phi(s)]_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{0,\alpha}} \sum_n \int_{\nu_{\zeta}^{-1}(s)} w_{ACF;\alpha-\beta;t}(x_s, x'_s) \cdot \text{dist}_{g_{\zeta}^t}(x_s, x'_s)^{\alpha} \\
&\quad \cdot |e_n^t|_{h_{\zeta}^t, g_{\zeta}^t; V} \text{vol}_{g_{\zeta}^t; V} \\
&\lesssim t^{m/2+\beta-\alpha} \cdot [\Phi(s)]_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{0,\alpha}} \text{dist}_{g_S}(s, s')^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 7.15. *The fibrewise asymptotically conical Schauder estimates*

$$(52) \quad |\Phi|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim |t^{-1} \cdot D_{\zeta;V}\Phi|_{C_{AC;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + |\Phi|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^0}$$

hold. By running a contradiction argument as in [Wal17a, Prop. 8.7] we can improve on it by

$$(53) \quad |\Phi|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim |t^{-1} \cdot D_{\zeta;V}\Phi|_{C_{AC;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim |\Phi|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}$$

where (53) holds for all $\Phi \in C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{0,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(\pi_{\zeta}/\nu_{\zeta}))$.

In the following we will improve these Schauder estimate, by bounding individual components of

$$\widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta}^t = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}}^t & \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{I}}^t \\ \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}}^t & \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}}^t \end{pmatrix}$$

As mentioned previously, the horizontal Dirac operator restricts to a differential operator on $(\nu_{\zeta})_{*ACF;\beta}E_{\zeta}$ and $(\nu_{\zeta})_{*ACF;\beta-1}E_{\zeta}$. In order to improve the Schauder estimate for the components of $\widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta}^t$ we need to bound the “naive” off-diagonal elements.

Lemma 7.16. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota_{\mathcal{K}} &\geq \phi - m/2 - \beta & \text{and} & & \iota_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}} &\geq \phi - m/2 - \beta \\
\pi_{\mathcal{I}} &\geq \phi + m/2 + \beta - 1 - \alpha & \text{and} & & \pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I}} &\geq \phi + m/2 + \beta - 1 - \alpha
\end{aligned}$$

Then the following estimates hold true

$$(54) \quad \left\| \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I}}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{\iota_{\mathcal{K}}} \cdot \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}}$$

$$(55) \quad \left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H;\beta;\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I}\mathcal{K}}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{\pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}}} \cdot \|\pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}$$

$$(56) \quad \left\| \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H;\beta;\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}\mathcal{I}}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{\iota_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}}} \cdot \|\varpi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}}$$

$$(57) \quad \left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H;\beta;\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{\pi_{\mathcal{I}}} \cdot \|\pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}$$

Proof. Using the (39) and (40) we bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I}}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} &= \left\| R_{V;\beta} \{ D_{\zeta;V}, \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t \} \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \\
&\lesssim t^{\iota\mathcal{K}} \cdot \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}} \\
\left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H;\beta;\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{K}}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} &= \left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \{ D_{\zeta;V}, \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t \} L_{V;-\beta-m-1} \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} \\
&\lesssim t^{\pi\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I}} \cdot \|\pi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \\
\left\| \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H;\beta;\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}\mathcal{I}}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} &= \left\| L_{V;-\beta-m-1} \{ D_{\zeta;V}, \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t \} \pi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \\
&\lesssim t^{\iota\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}} \cdot \|\varpi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}} \\
\left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K};\beta} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H;\beta;\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} &= \left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K};\beta} \{ D_{\zeta;V}, \widehat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t \} R_{V;\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} \\
&\lesssim t^{\pi\mathcal{I}} \cdot \|\pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Using the above, we can improve the ACF Schauder estimate (7.1) on the components of \widehat{D}_{ζ}^t . Let in the following

$$\iota \geq \phi - m/2 - \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \pi \geq \phi + m/2 + \beta - 1 - \alpha$$

Proposition 7.6 (Improved ACF Schauder Estimates). *The following estimates hold*

$$(58) \quad \|\pi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}(\widehat{D}_{\zeta}^t) \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}}^t \pi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}$$

$$(59) \quad \left\| \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{I}}^t \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{\iota} \cdot \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}}$$

$$(60) \quad \left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K};\beta} \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}}^t \pi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{\pi} \cdot \|\pi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}$$

The map

$$\widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}}^t : C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_{\zeta}/\nu_{\zeta})) \cap C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_{\zeta}^t) \rightarrow C_t^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_{\zeta}/\nu_{\zeta}))$$

satisfies

$$(61) \quad \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}}^t \varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} + \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_t^0},$$

is Fredholm, and its kernel and cokernel coincide with

$$\ker(\widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}}^t) = \ker(\widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}}^t) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{coker}(\widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}}^t) = \text{coker}(\widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}}^t)$$

Remark 57. Notice that if $(X_{\zeta}, g_{\zeta}) = (N \times M_{\zeta}, g_N \times g_{\zeta;V})/H \rightarrow (N, g_N)/H = (S, g_S)$ for some finite subgroup $H \subset \text{SO}(n)$ then both

$$\pi = \iota = \infty.$$

This will be relevant in constructing examples of compact torsion free Spin(7)-manifolds, as most resolutions of orbifold singularities will be of this form. ♡

Remark 58. In order to prove (58) we will follow the proof of [Wal17a, Prop. 8.3] and it suffices to prove the statement for $k = 0$. ♡

Proof of (58). Following the above proof we only need to prove that

$$(62) \quad \|\pi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{0,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}}^t \pi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{0,\alpha}}.$$

holds. Again assume that (62) is false and that there exists a sequence $(t_i, \Phi_i, x_i)_i$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_i \rightarrow 0 \quad \|\Phi_i\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t_i}^{1,\alpha}} \leq C \quad w_{\zeta;\beta}^{t_i} |\Phi_i|_{h_{\zeta}^{t_i}}(x_i) = 1 \\ \left\| (D_{H;\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}}^{\otimes t_i} + t_i^{-1} \cdot D_{\zeta;V}) \Phi_i \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t_i}^{0,\alpha}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In contrast to the previous case we need to distinguish between two cases.

Case 1: The sequence x_i has a bounded subsequence and consequently by passing through a subsequence we can assume that x_i converges in the exceptional set Υ_{ζ} . We define a new sequence by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta} \hat{\Phi}_i = (t_i)^{q+\beta} \pi_{\mathcal{I}} \Phi_i.$$

By using Arzelà-Ascoli and after passing through another subsequence we can extract a limit

$$\left\| \pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta} \hat{\Phi}_{\infty} \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;1}^{0,\alpha}} \leq C \quad \left\| D_{\zeta;V} \pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta} \hat{\Phi}_{\infty} \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;1}^{0,\alpha}} = 0.$$

As $D_{\zeta;V}$ is injective on sections on $\mathcal{I}_{AC;\beta}^{1,\alpha}(\pi_{\zeta}/\nu_{\zeta})$ by (53) but

$$\left| \pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta} \hat{\Phi}_{\infty} \right|_{h_{\zeta}^1}(\hat{x}_{\infty}) = 1$$

and hence we arrived at a contradiction.

Case 2: The sequence $x_i \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. it leaves every compact subset of X_{ζ} . We know that $x_i \rightarrow \infty$

$$(E_{\zeta}, \text{cl}_{g_{\zeta}^t}, h_{\zeta}^t, \hat{\nabla}^{\otimes t}_{\zeta})(x_i) \rightarrow (E_0, \text{cl}_{g_0^t}, h_0^t, \nabla^{\otimes 0})(\rho_{\zeta}(x_i))$$

uniformly. Hence we define a new sequence by

$$\hat{\Phi}_i = (t_i r(x_i))^{q+\beta} \Psi_{r(x_i)}^* \pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta} \Phi_i \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{x}_i = \frac{\rho_{\zeta}(x_i)}{r(\rho_{\zeta}(x_i))}.$$

on $X_{\zeta} \setminus E_{\zeta} \cong X_0 \setminus S$. By using Arzelà-Ascoli and after passing through another subsequence we can extract a limit

$$\left\| \hat{\Phi}_{\infty} \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta;1}^{0,\alpha}} \leq C \quad \left\| D_{0;V} \hat{\Phi}_{\infty} \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1;1}^{0,\alpha}} = 0.$$

The operator $D_{0;V}$ is injective and

$$\left| \hat{\Phi}_{\infty} \right|_{h_{\zeta}^1}(\hat{x}_{\infty}) = 1.$$

Hence we arrived at a contradiction. □

Proof of (59). By Lemma 7.12 and (40) we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta} \hat{D}_{\zeta;\beta}^t \mathcal{K}\mathcal{I} \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} &= \left\| L_{V;-\beta-m-1} \{D_{\zeta;V}, \hat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t\} \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim t^{\phi} \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim t^{\phi-\beta-m/2} \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (60). By Lemma 7.12 and (39) we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta} \hat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}}^t \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} &= \left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta} \{ \hat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t, D_{\zeta;V} \} L_{V;-\beta-m-1} \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim t^{m/2+\beta-1-\alpha} \cdot \left\| \{ \hat{D}_{\zeta;H}^t, D_{\zeta;V} \} L_{V;-\beta-m-1} \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim t^{\phi+m/2+\beta-1-\alpha} \cdot \|\pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta} \Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (61). Combining (61) and (59) we deduce that (55)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}} &\lesssim \left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} + \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^0} \\
&\lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_{\zeta;\beta;\mathcal{K}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K}}^t \varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} + \underbrace{\left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I};\beta} \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}}_{\subset \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\left\| \pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta} \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}}_{\subset \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}}} + \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^0}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 7.12. Let $-\iota < \kappa < \pi$. We define the adiabatic norms adapted to the adiabatic family of Dirac operators \widehat{D}_ζ^t to be

$$(63) \quad \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} = \|\pi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} + t^{-\kappa} \cdot \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}}$$

$$(64) \quad \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{E}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}} = \|\pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + t^{-\kappa} \cdot \|\varpi_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}}.$$

Definition 7.13. We define the space

$$\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) := \left(\text{dom}_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t), \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \right)$$

equipped with the graph norm

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) = \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} + \left\| \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{E}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}.$$

Corollary 7.2. The space $\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)$ decomposes into

$$\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) = \text{coim}_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \oplus \mathfrak{ker}_\beta(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t).$$

Proof. The space $C^{k+1,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta))$ splits into $\text{coim}_{C^{k+1,\alpha}}(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \oplus \ker((\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta}))$. By Lemma 7.13 we conclude the statement. □

Proposition 7.7. The space $\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)$ is a Banach space and the map

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t : \mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}$$

is Fredholm. The kernel and cokernel are smooth, i.e. independent of α and k . Moreover,

$$\text{ind}_\beta(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) = \text{ind}_\beta(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)$$

and hence if $\beta_2 < \beta_1$ is a critical rate, then

$$\text{ind}_{\beta_2}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) - \text{ind}_{\beta_1}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) = \sum_{\beta_2 < \lambda < \beta_1} d_{\lambda + \frac{m-1}{2} - \delta(E_0)}(\widehat{D}_0)$$

Proof. Again this statement follows immediately from Proposition 65, elliptic bootstrapping and the proof of Proposition 6.3. □

Proposition 7.8. Assume that $-\iota < \kappa < \pi$. Then the operator

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t : \mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}$$

satisfies

$$(65) \quad \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{E}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + t^{-\kappa} \cdot \|\pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^0}$$

Further, let $\Phi \in \mathfrak{Ker}_\beta(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)^\perp$. Then

$$(66) \quad \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}.$$

Proof of Proposition 7.8. We can bound the first term by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} &\lesssim \left\| \pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta}\widehat{D}_\zeta^t\pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta}\Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta}\widehat{D}_\zeta^t\Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \left\| \pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta}\widehat{D}_\zeta^t\pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \pi_{\mathcal{I};\beta}\widehat{D}_\zeta^t\Phi \right\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \underbrace{t^t \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}}}_{C t^{-\kappa} \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}}} \\ t^{-\kappa} \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}} &\lesssim t^{-\kappa} \left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta}\widehat{D}_\zeta^t\pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} + t^{-\kappa} \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^0} \\ &\lesssim t^{-\kappa} \left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta}\widehat{D}_\zeta^t\Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}} + \underbrace{t^{-\kappa} \left\| \varpi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta}\widehat{D}_\zeta^t\pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta}\Phi \right\|_{C_t^{k,\alpha}}}_{C \|\pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}}} + t^{-\kappa} \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\Phi\|_{C_t^0} \end{aligned}$$

where the second term can also be absorb into left hand side of (66). The remanding terms sum up to the $\left\| \widehat{D}_\zeta^t\Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{0,\alpha}}$ which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 7.3. *If D^t is isentropic then it admits a uniformly bounded right-inverse*

$$R_{D^t} : \mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X^t, E^t) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X^t, E^t).$$

8. UNIFORM ELLIPTIC THEORY FOR DIRAC OPERATORS ON ORBIFOLD RESOLUTIONS OF TYPE (I)

In the following section we will proceed with constructing the uniform elliptic theory for the Dirac operator D^t on X^t , which will be derived by combining our understanding of the adiabatic limits of families of Dirac operators on X_ζ and the elliptic theory of Dirac operators on orbifolds developed in section 6.0.3.

8.1. Weighted Function Spaces on Resolutions of Orbifolds. In the following we will introduce a family of weighted Banach spaces of sections of the Dirac bundle on the orbifold resolution. As the orbifold resolution is assumed to be a compact manifold, these weights will only contribute to the operator norms and not the Fredholm properties of the analytic realisations. The upshot of choosing these weights is that they can be used to proof uniform operator bounds which are necessary for proving gluing theorems on the orbifold resolution. In order to prove these statements we rely on the fact that the subject norm and the ACF norms are equivalent for sections supported close to the exceptional set.

Notation. In the following we will need to vary the width of the tubular neighbourhood of the singular stratum. Hence we will set

$$\epsilon \sim t^\lambda$$

for a $1 > \lambda \geq 0$.

Definition 8.1. We define the function spaces

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(X^t, E^t) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(\overline{D}^t, +)$$




Figure 4. Plot of χ_i and their complements.

by completing $\Gamma^{k,\alpha}(X^t, E^t)$ and $\Gamma_c^{k,\alpha}(\bar{X}^t, \bar{E}^t)$ with respect to the norms

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}} = \|\delta_t^*((1 - \chi_4) \cdot \Phi)\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\chi_2 \cdot \Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}}$$

and

$$\|\Phi\|_{\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(\bar{D}^t)} = \|\delta_t^*(\chi_2 \cdot \Phi)\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(\hat{D}_\zeta^t)} + \|(1 - \chi_4) \cdot \Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}}.$$

Definition 8.2. The projection

$$\cup^t : \mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\hat{D}_\zeta^t) \oplus C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X^t, E^t), (\Psi \oplus \Phi) \mapsto (\Psi \cup^t \Phi)$$

has a section

$$\cap^t : \mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X^t, E^t) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\hat{D}_\zeta^t) \oplus C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +), \Psi \mapsto (\delta_t^*(1 - \chi_4)\Phi, \chi_2\Phi).$$

as well as the projection $\bar{\cup}^t$ has a section $\bar{\cap}^t$ defined in an analogous way.

Lemma 8.1. *The Banach spaces $\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(D^t)$ and $C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(X^t, E^t)$ are homeomorphic; the Banach spaces $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\bar{D}^t)$ and the Banach spaces $C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}$ are homeomorphic. Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} \min\left\{1, t^{\lambda(k+\alpha-\beta)}, t^{\kappa-m/2}\right\} \|\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}} &\lesssim \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(D^t)} \lesssim \max\left\{1, t^{-\lambda\beta}, t^{-\kappa+m/2}\right\} \|\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}} \\ \|\delta_t^*\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} &= \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \|\Phi\|_{\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(\bar{D}^t)} \lesssim \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

holds. The analogous statements hold for $\mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X^t, E^t)$ and $C_t^{k,\alpha}(X^t, E^t)$, as well as $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(\bar{X}^t, \bar{E}^t)$ and $C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(X_0, E_0)$. Moreover, given a section $\Phi \in \Gamma_{loc}^{k+1,\alpha}(X^t, E^t)$ with support in $A_{(2t^{-1}\epsilon, 3t^{-1}\epsilon)}(S)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}} &\lesssim \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(D^t)} \lesssim \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}} \\ \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}} &\lesssim \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definition of the weighted CSF-norms, we conclude that

$$\|\chi_2\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \max\{1, t^{-\lambda\beta}\} \|\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(X^t \setminus U_\epsilon^t)}$$

and

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(X^t \setminus U_{2\epsilon}^t)} \lesssim \max\{1, t^{\lambda(\beta-k-\alpha)}\} \|\chi_2\Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}}.$$

Further, using the definition of the adiabatic ACF norms, we conclude that

$$\|\delta_t^*(1 - \chi_4)\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \max\left\{1, t^{-\lambda\beta}, t^{-\kappa+m/2}\right\} \|\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(U_{4\epsilon}^t)}$$

and

$$\min\left\{1, t^{\lambda(k+\alpha-\beta)}, t^{\kappa-m/2}\right\} \|\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(U_{3\epsilon}^t)} \lesssim \|\delta_t^*(1 - \chi_4)\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}}.$$

we use

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta}(1 - \chi_4^t)\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} &\lesssim \|(1 - \chi_4^t)\|_{C_{ACF;0;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(U_{4\epsilon}^t)} \\ &\lesssim \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(U_{4\epsilon}^t)} \\ &\lesssim \max\{1, t^{-\lambda\beta}\} \|\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(U_{4\epsilon}^t)} \\ t^{-\kappa} \|\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}(1 - \chi_4^t)\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}} &\lesssim t^{-\kappa+m/2} \|\Phi\|_{C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(U_{4\epsilon}^t)} \end{aligned}$$

In order to show the uniform equivalence of the norms on the gluing neck, we observe that

$$\|\pi_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{I};\beta}\chi_2^t\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \|\chi_2^t\|_{C_{ACF;0;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_\zeta \setminus U_\epsilon^t)} \lesssim \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_\zeta \setminus U_\epsilon^t)}$$

and since

$$\nabla^{\oplus_0} \chi_i = \epsilon^{-1} \partial_r \chi_i dr$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left| (\hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t; H})^i \pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \chi_2^t \Phi \right|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{k-i}}(s) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^i \sum_n \int_{\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s)} \left| \left\langle (\hat{\nabla}^{g_\zeta^t; H})^j (\chi_2^t) \wedge (\hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t; H})^{i-j} \Phi(s), e_n^t \right\rangle_{h_\zeta^t; V} \right| \text{vol}_{t^2 g_\zeta; V} \left| e_n^t \right|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{k-i,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim t^{-m/2-\beta} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^i \sum_n \int_{\nu_\zeta^{-1}(s)} \left| \left\langle (\hat{\nabla}^{g_\zeta^t; H})^j (\chi_2^t) \wedge (\hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t; H})^{i-j} \Phi(s), e_n^t \right\rangle_{h_\zeta^t; V} \right| \text{vol}_{t^2 g_\zeta; V} \\ &\lesssim t^{-m/2-\beta} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^i \left| (\hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t})^{i-j} \Phi(s) \right|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^0(X_\zeta \setminus B_{t-1\epsilon}(X_\zeta))} \int_{t^{\lambda-1}}^\infty (rt)^\beta t^{j(1-\lambda)} r^{1-m} r^{\mu\kappa} t^m r^{m-1} dr \\ &\lesssim t^{m/2+(\lambda-1)(\beta+\mu\kappa+1)} \left| (\hat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t})^i \Phi(s) \right|_{C_{AC;\beta;t}^{k-i,\alpha}(X_\zeta \setminus B_{t-1\epsilon}(X_\zeta))}. \end{aligned}$$

A moment of thought reveals the additional exponent $(\lambda-1)\alpha$ in the presence of the Hölder exponent. Hence we can deduce

$$\|\pi_{\mathcal{K};\beta}\chi_2^t\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim t^{m/2+(\lambda-1)(\beta+\mu\kappa+1+\alpha)} \|\Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta \setminus U_\epsilon^t)}.$$

The other estimates follow from the definition of the norms and are left to the involved reader. \square

Definition 8.3. We define the approximate kernel and cokernel of D^t by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{xker}_\beta(D^t) = & \ker\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta} : C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow C_t^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta))\right) \\ & \oplus \\ & \ker\left(D : C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{\bullet,\alpha}(X, E; +) \rightarrow C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{\bullet-1,\alpha}(X, E)\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{xcoker}_\beta(D^t) = & \text{coker}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K};\beta} : C_t^{k+1,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta)) \rightarrow C_t^{k,\alpha}(S, \mathcal{C}o\mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta))\right) \\ & \oplus \\ & \text{coker}\left(D : C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{\bullet,\alpha}(X, E; +) \rightarrow C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{\bullet-1,\alpha}(X, E)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Example 9. Let $D = d + d^*$ and (X_ζ, g_ζ) be given by a fibration of ALE spaces. Then the approximate kernel for large enough $\beta < -m/2$ is isomorphic

$$\text{xker}_\beta(D^t) \cong H^\bullet(S, \mathcal{H}^\bullet(X_\zeta/S)) \oplus H^\bullet(X).$$

♣

8.2. Uniform Linear Gluing for Dirac Operators on Orbifold Resolutions. In section 4.1 we established that the equation

$$D^t(\Psi \cup^t \Phi) = 0.$$

can be rewritten as

$$\Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) \cup^t \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) = 0$$

with

$$\Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) := \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \Psi + (D^t - \widehat{D}_\zeta^t)(1 - \chi_5^t) \Psi + \text{cl}_{g^t}(\text{d}\chi_3^t)(\delta_t^* \Phi - \Psi)$$

and

$$\Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) := D\Phi + (D_t - D)\chi_1\Phi + \text{cl}_{g^t}(\text{d}\chi_3)(\Phi - \delta_{t-1}^* \Psi)$$

Using the uniform Fredholm theories for D and \widehat{D}_ζ^t developed in section 6 and 7 we are able to prove the following statement.

Theorem 8.1 (Uniform Linear Gluing). *The constants $\alpha, \beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$, λ, κ can be chosen such that*

$$-\phi + m/2 + \beta < \kappa < \phi + m/2 + \beta - 1 - \alpha.$$

The operator D^t satisfies

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \|D^t\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}$$

for all $\Phi \in \text{xker}(D^t)^\perp$. Moreover, there exists an exact sequence

$$(67) \quad \ker(D^t) \xleftarrow{i_\beta^t} \text{xker}_\beta(D^t) \xrightarrow{\text{ob}_\beta^t} \text{xcoker}_\beta(D^t) \xrightarrow{p_\beta^t} \text{coker}(D^t)$$

which implies the existence of a uniformly bounded right-inverse of D^t if $\text{ob}_\beta^t = 0$.

Remark 59. By taking the Euler characteristic of the sequence, we deduce that $\text{ind} \circ \cup^t = + \circ \text{ind}$, i.e.

$$\text{ind}(D^t) = \text{ind}_\beta(D) + \text{ind}_\beta(\widehat{D}_\zeta^0).$$

♡

Remark 60. Notice that (67) is an exact sequence of finite dimensional vector spaces. If

$$\dim(\ker(D^t)) = \dim(\text{xker}_\beta(D^t))$$

for some $\beta \notin \mathcal{C}(\widehat{D}_0)$ then $\text{ob}_\beta^t = 0$.

♡

8.2.1. Proof of Theorem 8.1. The following section is devoted to the proof of the Linear-Gluing-Theorem 8.1 for Dirac operators on orbifold resolutions.

Before we start we need to compare the operator D^t with \widehat{D}_ζ^t and D . These are bounds on the non-leading order terms of Θ_{ACF}^t and Θ_{CSF}^t . In order to prove these estimates we will begin with the following technical lemmas.

Proposition 8.1. *The commutator estimates*

$$(68) \quad \left\| \left(\bar{\cap}^t \circ D^t - \left(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t \oplus D \right) \circ \bar{\cap}^t \right) \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha} \oplus C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \max \left\{ t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)}, t^\lambda \right\} \cdot \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(A_{2\epsilon,3\epsilon}(S))}$$

$$(69) \quad \left\| \left(D^t \circ \bar{\cup}^t - \bar{\cup}^t \circ \left(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t \oplus D \right) \right) (\Psi \oplus \Phi) \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \max \left\{ t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)}, t^\lambda \right\} \cdot \|(\Psi \oplus \Phi)\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha} \oplus C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} + \|\delta_{t-1}^* \Psi - \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(A_{2\epsilon,3\epsilon}(S))}$$

$$(70) \quad \left\| \left(\bar{\cap}^t \circ \bar{D}^t - \left(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t \oplus D \right) \circ \bar{\cap}^t \right) \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha} \oplus C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \max \left\{ t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)}, t^\lambda \right\} \cdot \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\bar{D}^t)} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{0,\alpha}(A_{2\epsilon,3\epsilon}(S))}$$

$$(71) \quad \left\| \left(\bar{D}^t \circ \bar{\cup}^t - \bar{\cup}^t \circ \left(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t \oplus D \right) \right) (\Psi \oplus \Phi) \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \max \left\{ t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)}, t^\lambda \right\} \cdot \|(\Psi \oplus \Phi)\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha} \oplus C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} + \|\delta_{t-1}^* \Psi - \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(A_{2\epsilon,3\epsilon}(S))}$$

hold.

Proof. In order to proof (68) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \left\| \left((1 - \chi_3^t) D^t - \widehat{D}_\zeta^t (1 - \chi_3^t) \right) \delta_t^* \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \|(\chi_3 D_t - D \chi_3) \Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \underbrace{\left\| (D^t - \widehat{D}_\zeta^t) (1 - \chi_3^t) \delta_t^* \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}}_{(i)} + \underbrace{\| \text{cl}_{g^t} (d \chi_3^t) \delta_t^* \Phi \|_{\mathfrak{e}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}}_{\lesssim \|\delta_t^* \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(A_{2t-1\epsilon,3t-1\epsilon}(S))}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\| (D_t - D) \chi_3 \Phi \|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}}}_{(ii)} + \underbrace{\| \text{cl}_{g^t} (d \chi_3) \Phi \|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}}}_{\lesssim \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(A_{2\epsilon,3\epsilon}(S))}} \\ &\lesssim \max \left\{ t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)}, t^\lambda \right\} \cdot \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta}^{k,\alpha}(A_{2\epsilon,3\epsilon}(S))} \end{aligned}$$

where by (i) and (ii) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} (i) &= \left\| (D^t - \widehat{D}_\zeta^t) (1 - \chi_3^t) \delta_t^* \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \\ &= \left\| \left((\text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t} - \text{cl}_{g^t}) \circ \widehat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t} - \text{cl}_{g^t} \circ (\widehat{\nabla}^{h_\zeta^t} - \nabla^{h^t}) \right) (1 - \chi_3^t) \delta_t^* \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim t^\lambda \left\| (1 - \chi_4^t) \delta_t^* \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \\ (ii) &= \| (D_t - D) \chi_3 \Phi \|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} \\ &= \left\| \left((\text{cl}_g - \text{cl}_{g^t}) \circ \widehat{\nabla}^h - \text{cl}_{g^t} \circ (\widehat{\nabla}^h - \nabla^{h^t}) \right) \chi_3 \Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)} \|\chi_2 \Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner we bound (69) by

$$\begin{aligned}
LHS &= \left\| \left(D_t - \widehat{D}_{t^2, \zeta} \right) (1 - \chi_3) \delta_{t-1}^* \Psi + (D_t - D) \chi_3 \Phi + \text{cl}_{g_{t^2, \zeta}}(d\chi_3) \delta_{t-1}^* \Psi - \text{cl}_g(d\chi_3) \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} \\
&\lesssim \left\| (1 - \chi_4^t) \left(D^t - \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \right) (1 - \chi_3^t) \Psi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF; \beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} + \left\| \chi_2 (D_t - D) \chi_3 \Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF; \beta-1; \epsilon}^{k, \alpha}} \\
&\quad + \left\| \chi_2 \left(D_t - \widehat{D}_{t^2, \zeta} \right) (1 - \chi_3) \delta_{t-1}^* \Psi \right\|_{C_{CSF; \beta-1; \epsilon}^{k, \alpha}} + \left\| (1 - \chi_4^t) (D^t - \delta_t^* D) \chi_3^t \delta_t^* \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF; \beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\left\| (\text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(d\chi_3^t) - \text{cl}_{g^t}(d\chi_3^t)) \Psi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF; \beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} + \left\| (\text{cl}_g(d\chi_3) - \text{cl}_{g_t}(d\chi_3)) \Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF; \beta-1; \epsilon}^{k, \alpha}}}_{\lesssim \max\{t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)}, t^\lambda\} \|(\Psi \oplus \Phi)\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF; \beta; t}^{k+1, \alpha} \oplus C_{CSF; \beta; \epsilon}^{k+1, \alpha}}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\left\| \text{cl}_{g^t}(d\chi_3)(\Psi - \delta_t^* \Phi) \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF; \beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} + \left\| \text{cl}_{g_t}(d\chi_3)(\delta_{t-1}^* \Psi - \Phi) \right\|_{C_{CSF; \beta-1; \epsilon}^{k, \alpha}}}_{\lesssim \|\delta_{t-1}^* \Psi - \Phi\|_{C_{CF; \beta}^{k+1, \alpha}(A_{2\epsilon, 3\epsilon}(S))}} \\
&\lesssim \max\{t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)}, t^\lambda\} \cdot \|(\Psi \oplus \Phi)\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF; \beta; t}^{k+1, \alpha} \oplus C_{CSF; \beta; \epsilon}^{k, \alpha}} + \|\delta_{t-1}^* \Psi - \Phi\|_{C_{CF; \beta}^{k+1, \alpha}(A_{2\epsilon, 3\epsilon}(S))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, by a similar argument we bound (70) by

$$\begin{aligned}
LHS &= \left\| \left(\chi_3^t \overline{D}^t - \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \chi_3^t \right) \delta_t^* \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF; \beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} + \left\| ((1 - \chi_3) \overline{D}_t - D(1 - \chi_3)) \Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF; \beta-1; \epsilon}^{k, \alpha}} \\
&\lesssim \left\| (\widehat{D}_\zeta^t - \widehat{D}_0^t) \chi_3^t \delta_t^* \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF; \beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} + \left\| (D - \widehat{D}_0)(1 - \chi_3) \Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF; \beta-1; \epsilon}^{k, \alpha}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\left\| \text{cl}_{g_0^t}(d\chi_3^t) \delta_t^* \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF; \beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} + \left\| \text{cl}_{g_0}(d\chi_3) \Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF; \beta-1; \epsilon}^{k, \alpha}}}_{\lesssim \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF; \beta}^{k, \alpha}(A_{2\epsilon, 3\epsilon}(S))}} \\
&\lesssim \max\{t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)}, t^\lambda\} \cdot \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta; t}^{k+1, \alpha}(\overline{D}^t)} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF; \beta}^{k, \alpha}(A_{2\epsilon, 3\epsilon}(S))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, (71) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
LHS &= \left\| (\overline{D}_t - D) (1 - \chi_3) \Psi + \left(\overline{D}_t - \widehat{D}_{t^2, \zeta} \right) \chi_3 \delta_{t-1}^* \Phi + \text{cl}_{g_{t^2, \zeta}}(d\chi_3) \delta_{t-1}^* \Phi - \text{cl}_g(d\chi_3) \Psi \right\|_{C_{CF; \beta-1}^{k, \alpha}} \\
&\lesssim \left\| \chi_2^t \left(\widehat{D}_0^t - \widehat{D}_\zeta^t \right) \chi_3^t \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF; \beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} + \left\| (1 - \chi_4) (\overline{D}_t - D) (1 - \chi_3) \Psi \right\|_{C_{CSF; \beta-1; \epsilon}^{k, \alpha}} \\
&\quad + \left\| (1 - \chi_4) \left(\widehat{D}_0 - \widehat{D}_{t^2, \zeta} \right) \chi_3 \delta_{t-1}^* \Phi \right\|_{C_{CSF; \beta-1; \epsilon}^{k, \alpha}} + \left\| \chi_2^t \left(\overline{D}^t - \delta_t^* D \right) (1 - \chi_3^t) \delta_t^* \Psi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF; \beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\left\| (\text{cl}_{g_\zeta^t}(d\chi_3^t) - \text{cl}_{g_0^t}(d\chi_3^t)) \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF; \beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} + \left\| (\text{cl}_g(d\chi_3) - \text{cl}_{g_0}(d\chi_3)) \Psi \right\|_{C_{CF; \beta-1}^{k, \alpha}}}_{\lesssim \max\{t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)}, t^\lambda\} \|(\Psi \oplus \Phi)\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF; \beta; t}^{k+1, \alpha} \oplus C_{CSF; \beta; \epsilon}^{k+1, \alpha}}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\left\| \text{cl}_{g_0^t}(d\chi_3)(\Psi - \delta_t^* \Phi) \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF; \beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}} + \left\| \text{cl}_{g_0}(d\chi_3)(\delta_{t-1}^* \Psi - \Phi) \right\|_{C_{CSF; \beta-1; \epsilon}^{k, \alpha}}}_{\lesssim \|\delta_{t-1}^* \Psi - \Phi\|_{C_{CF; \beta}^{k+1, \alpha}(A_{\epsilon, 2\epsilon}(S))}} \\
&\lesssim \max\{t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)}, t^\lambda\} \cdot \|(\Psi \oplus \Phi)\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF; \beta; t}^{k+1, \alpha} \oplus C_{CSF; \beta; \epsilon}^{k+1, \alpha}} + \|\delta_{t-1}^* \Psi - \Phi\|_{C_{CF; \beta}^{k+1, \alpha}(A_{\epsilon, 2\epsilon}(S))}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 8.2. *The map*

$$(72) \quad D^t : \mathfrak{D}_{\beta; t}^{k+1, \alpha}(X^t, E^t) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1; t}^{k, \alpha}(X^t, E^t)$$

is Fredholm and its kernel and cokernel do neither depend on k , α , nor β . Furthermore, it satisfies

$$(73) \quad \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \|D^t \Phi\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\beta;t}^0}$$

which can be improved to

$$(74) \quad \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \|D^t \Phi\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}$$

for all $\Phi \in \text{xker}(D^t)^\perp$. Consequently the projections

$$\Pi_{L_t^2} : \text{xker}_\beta(D^t) \rightarrow \ker(D^t) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_{L_t^2} : \text{xcoker}_\beta(D^t) \rightarrow \text{coker}(D^t)$$

are surjective.

Proof. Notice, that the $\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}/\mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}$ -norms are t -dependent equivalent to the Hölder spaces of sections of $E^t \rightarrow X^t$. Hence, the first statement follows from standard elliptic regularity of D^t .

By Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 7.1 we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} &= \|(1 - \chi_4^t) \cdot \delta_t^* \Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} + \|\chi_2 \cdot \Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_\zeta^t((1 - \chi_4^t) \cdot \Phi) \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \|D(\chi_2 \cdot \Phi)\|_{C_{CSF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} \\ &\quad + \|(1 - \chi_4^t) \cdot \Phi\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta;t}^0} + \|\chi_2 \cdot \Phi\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;t}^0} \\ &\lesssim \|D^t \Phi\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \underbrace{\left\| \left(\widehat{\cap}^t \circ D^t - \left(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t \oplus D \right) \circ \widehat{\cap}^t \right) \Phi \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha} \oplus C_{CSF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}}_{\left\{ \subset \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \right\} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(A_{(t-1\epsilon, 2t-1\epsilon)}(S))}} \\ &\quad + \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\beta;t}^0} \end{aligned}$$

In order to show the uniform Schauder estimate

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \|D^t \Phi\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\beta;t}^0}$$

it remains to prove that

$$\|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(A_{(t-1\epsilon, 2t-1\epsilon)}(S))} \lesssim \|D^t \Phi\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}}.$$

By Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 7.3 we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(A_{(t-1\epsilon, 2t-1\epsilon)}(S))} &\lesssim \left\| \widehat{D}_0^t \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(A_{(2t-1\epsilon, 3t-1\epsilon)}(S))} + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^0(A_{(2t-1\epsilon, 3t-1\epsilon)}(S))} \\ &\lesssim \|D^t \Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(A_{(2t-1\epsilon, 3t-1\epsilon)}(S))} + \underbrace{\left\| (D^t - \widehat{D}_0^t) \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(A_{(2t-1\epsilon, 3t-1\epsilon)}(S))}}_{(i)} \\ &\quad + \|\Phi\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^0(A_{(2t-1\epsilon, 3t-1\epsilon)}(S))}. \end{aligned}$$

and as (i) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} (i) &= \left\| \left(\text{cl}_{g^t} \circ (\nabla^{h^t} - \nabla^{\otimes 0}) + (\text{cl}_{g^t} - \text{cl}_{g_0}) \circ \nabla^{\otimes 0} \right) \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(A_{(2t-1\epsilon, 3t-1\epsilon)}(S))} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \text{cl}_{g^t} \circ (\nabla^{h^t} - \nabla^{\otimes 0}) \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(A_{(2t-1\epsilon, 3t-1\epsilon)}(S))} + \left\| (\text{cl}_{g^t} - \text{cl}_{g_0}) \circ \nabla^{\otimes 0} \Phi \right\|_{C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k,\alpha}(A_{(2t-1\epsilon, 3t-1\epsilon)}(S))} \\ &\lesssim \max \left\{ t^{(\lambda-1)(\eta+1)}, t^\lambda \right\} \|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

it can be absorbed into the left-hand side of the Schauder estimate (73).

We will now proceed with proving the uniform lower boundedness of D^t on $\text{xker}_\beta(D^t)^\perp$. By using (73) it suffices to prove that

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{\beta;t}^0} \lesssim \|D^t\Phi\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{\beta-1,t}^{0,\alpha}}.$$

We will prove this estimate by contradiction. Assume that (74) is false and that there exist sequences $t_i \rightarrow 0$ and $\Phi_i \perp \text{xker}_\beta(D^{t_i})$ such that

$$\|\Phi_i\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{\beta;t_i}^0} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| D^{t_i} \Phi_i \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{\beta-1,t_i}^{0,\alpha}} \rightarrow 0$$

Using the uniform Schauder estimate we know that

$$\|\Phi_i\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{\beta;t_i}^{1,\alpha}} \leq 1$$

is bounded and by passing to a subsequence we can arrange that

$$\left\| (1 - \chi_4^t) \delta_t^* \Phi_i \right\|_{\mathfrak{e}_{ACF;\beta;t_i}^{0,\alpha}} + \|\chi_2 \Phi_i\|_{C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon_i}^{0,\alpha}} \lesssim C$$

Further, we pick a sequence $x_i \in X^{t_i}$ such that

$$|w_{\beta;t_i} \Phi_i|_{h^{t_i}}(x_i) = 1.$$

After passing through a subsequence we can reduce the contradiction argument to one of the following cases:

1. The sequence x_i accumulates near the exceptional set of the resolution $\rho^t : X^t \dashrightarrow X \Rightarrow \text{ACF}$ regime
2. The sequence x_i accumulates in the gluing neck of the space $X^t \Rightarrow \text{CF}$ regime
3. The sequence x_i accumulates on the regular part of the resolution $\rho^t : X^t \dashrightarrow X \Rightarrow \text{CSF}$ regime

In the following we will show that all three cases will lead to a contradiction.

Case 1: By passing to a subsequence and by using Arzelà-Ascoli we can extract a limit $x_\infty \in X_\zeta$ and a $\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi_\infty \in C^{1,\alpha/2}(S, \mathcal{K}_{AC;\beta}(\pi_\zeta/\nu_\zeta))$ satisfying

$$1 \lesssim |\varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi_\infty(\nu_\zeta(x_\infty))|_{(\nu_\zeta)_* h_\zeta}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \varpi_{\mathcal{K};\beta} \Phi_\infty = 0$$

which contradicts $\Phi_\infty \in \text{xker}_\beta(D^t)^\perp$.

Case 2: Assume that the sequence x_i accumulates in the neck of $\rho^{t_i} : X^{t_i} \dashrightarrow X$. By using Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 7.3 as well as a rescaling argument and by passing through a subsequence we can apply Arzelà-Ascoli to extract a limit $x_\infty \in X_0$ and $\Phi_\infty \in C_{CF;\beta}^{1,\alpha/2}(X_0, E_0)$ with

$$1 \lesssim |\Phi_\infty(x_\infty)|_{h_0}$$

and

$$\widehat{D}_0 \Phi_\infty = 0$$

which is a contradiction to Proposition 5.2.

Case 3: By passing through a subsequence and by Arzelà-Ascoli we can $x_\infty \in X_\zeta$ and a $\Phi_\infty \in C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon_i}^{1,\alpha/2}(X, E)$ satisfying

$$1 \lesssim |\Phi_\infty(x_\infty)|_h$$

and

$$D\Phi_\infty = 0$$

which contradicts $\Phi \in \text{xker}_\beta(D^t)^\perp$. □

In the following, we will adapted the discussion of Hutchings and Taubes in [HT09, section 9] on the linear gluing exact sequence to our setup. We will begin, by proving the existence of solutions to the so-called anti-gluing equation.

Lemma 8.2. *The so called anti-gluing map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_\zeta \setminus U_{2\epsilon}^t, E_\zeta) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Theta}^t} & C_{2t^{-1}\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(Y, E_Y) \\
\oplus & & \oplus \\
C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(\text{Tub}_{4\epsilon}(S), E) & & C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}(\text{Tub}_{4\epsilon}(S), E) \\
& & \oplus \\
& & C_{4\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(Y, E_Y)
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Psi & \longmapsto & \Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) \\
\oplus & & \oplus \\
\Phi & & \text{res}_{2t^{-1}\epsilon}(\Psi) \\
& & \oplus \\
& & \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) \\
& & \oplus \\
& & \text{res}_{4\epsilon}(\Phi)
\end{array}$$

is an isomorphism of Banach spaces.

Proof. Notice that following Proposition 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{CF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_0 \setminus \text{Tub}_{2t^{-1}\epsilon}(S), E_0) & & \widehat{D}_0^t \Psi \\
\oplus & & \oplus \\
C_{CF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X_0 \setminus \text{Tub}_{2t^{-1}\epsilon}, E_0) & \longrightarrow & C_{2t^{-1}\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(Y, E_Y) \\
\oplus & & \oplus \\
C_{CF;\beta}^{k+1,\alpha}(\text{Tub}_{4\epsilon}(S), E_0) & & C_{CF;\beta-1}^{k,\alpha}(\text{Tub}_{4\epsilon}(S), E_0) \\
& & \oplus \\
& & C_{4\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(Y, E_Y)
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Psi & \longmapsto & \widehat{D}_0^t \Psi \\
\oplus & & \oplus \\
\Phi & & \text{res}_{2t^{-1}\epsilon}(\Psi) \\
& & \oplus \\
& & \widehat{D}_0 \\
& & \oplus \\
& & \text{res}_{4\epsilon}(\Phi)
\end{array}$$

defines an isomorphism of Banach spaces with a uniformly bounded inverse. Using a left-inverse, we can write the anti-gluing map as (dropping the restriction maps)

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{L}_0 \Theta^t &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \widehat{L}_0^t \text{cl}_{g^t}(\text{d}\chi_3^t) & \widehat{L}_0^t \text{cl}_{g^t}(\text{d}\chi_3^t) \delta_t^* \\ \widehat{L}_0 \text{cl}_{g^t}(\text{d}\chi_3) \delta_{t-1}^* & 1 + \widehat{L}_0 \text{cl}_{g^t}(\text{d}\chi_3) \delta_{t-1}^* \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{L}_0^t \left((D^t - \widehat{D}_\zeta^t)(1 - \chi_5^t) + (\widehat{D}_\zeta^t - \widehat{D}_0^t) \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \widehat{L}_0 \left((D_t - D)\chi_1 + (D - \widehat{D}_0) \right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= T + S.
\end{aligned}$$

Now T is invertible with $T^{-1} = 2 - T$. Using estimate (71) we deduce that

$$\|S(\Psi \oplus \Phi)\|_{C_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha} \oplus C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}} \lesssim \max \left\{ t^\lambda, t^{(1-\lambda)(1+\eta)} \right\} \|\Psi \oplus \Phi\|_{C_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha} \oplus C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}}$$

and we deduce the statement. \square

Using the existence result for solution of the anti-gluing map we can construct the map i_β^t in the following Lemma.

Lemma 8.3. *Let $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(X^t, E^t)$, $\Xi \oplus \text{H} \in \mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta) \oplus C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}(X, E)$ such that*

$$D^t \Gamma = \Xi \cup^t \text{H}.$$

Then there exists a unique $\Psi \oplus \Phi \in \mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \oplus C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +)$ such that

$$\Gamma = \Psi \cup^t \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) = \Xi \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) = \text{H}.$$

In particular, the homomorphism

$$i_\beta^t : \ker(D^t) \rightarrow \text{xker}_\beta(D^t)$$

is well-defined.

Proof. We begin with an arbitrary decomposition of $\Gamma = \Psi' \cup^t \Phi'$. Then

$$(\Xi - \Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi' \oplus \Phi')) \cup^t (H - \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi' \oplus \Phi')) = 0$$

and by writing $\Psi \oplus \Phi = (\Psi' + \Psi'') \oplus (\Phi' + \Phi'')$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi'' \oplus \Phi'') &= \Xi - \Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi' \oplus \Phi') \\ \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi'' \oplus \Phi'') &= H - \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi' \oplus \Phi'). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 8.2, this indeed has a solution. \square

Using Proposition 6.3 and Theorem 7.2, as well as the ACF- and CSF-wall-crossing formulae we are able to make the following observation.

Proposition 8.3. *The map*

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t \oplus D : \mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \oplus C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta) \oplus C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}(X, E)$$

is Fredholm and its kernel and cokernel does not depend on k nor α . Moreover, its index does not depend on β .

Using this we are able to construct the linear obstruction map ob_β^t present in the centre of the linear gluing exact sequence.

Lemma 8.4. *The homomorphism*

$$\text{ob}_\beta^t : \text{xker}_\beta(D^t) \rightarrow \text{xcoker}_\beta(D^t)$$

is well-defined.

Proof. By Proposition 8.3 the map

$$\widehat{D}_\zeta^t \oplus D : \mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \oplus C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha}(X_\zeta, E_\zeta) \oplus C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}(X, E)$$

satisfies

$$\|(\Psi \oplus \Phi)\|_{\mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t) \oplus C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| (\widehat{D}_\zeta^t \Psi, D\Phi) \right\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{ACF;\beta-1;t}^{k,\alpha} \oplus C_{CSF;\beta-1;\epsilon}^{k,\alpha}}$$

on $\text{xker}_\beta(D^t)^\perp$. Thus there exists a uniformly bounded left-inverse

$$\widehat{L}_\zeta^t \oplus L : \text{xcoker}_\beta(D^t)^\perp \rightarrow \text{xker}_\beta(D^t)^\perp$$

By estimate (69) and by a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 8.2 we deduce that the map

$$(\Psi \oplus \Phi) \mapsto \left(\widehat{L}_\zeta^t \Theta_{ACF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi), L \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) \right)$$

is invertible. Hence, we deduce that for every $\Xi \oplus H \in \text{xker}_\beta(D^t)$ there exist unique $\Psi \oplus \Phi \in \text{xker}_\beta(D^t)^\perp$ such that

$$(75) \quad \Theta_{ACF}^t(\Xi + \Psi, H + \Phi) \oplus \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Xi + \Psi, H + \Phi) \in \text{xcoker}_\beta(D^t).$$

The linear obstruction map is define as

$$\text{ob}_\beta^t(\Xi \oplus H) = \Theta_{ACF}^t((\Xi + \Psi) \oplus (H + \Phi)) \oplus \Theta_{CSF}^t((\Xi + \Psi) \oplus (H + \Phi)).$$

\square

Finally, we are able to construct the projection p_β^t .

Lemma 8.5. *The homomorphism*

$$p_\beta^t : \text{xcoker}_\beta(D^t) \rightarrow \text{coker}(\widehat{D}_\zeta^t)$$

is well defined.

Proof. This statement follows from the self-adjointness of D , \widehat{D}_ζ^t and D^t as well as Proposition 8.2 and by $\beta \rightarrow -m - \beta - 1$. \square

Finally, we prove the exactness of the sequence.

Lemma 8.6 ([HT09]). *The sequence (67) is exact.*

Proof. We begin by proving the injectivity of i_β^t . Consider $\Gamma \in \ker(D^t)$ such that $i_\beta^t(\Gamma) = 0$. This implies that $\Gamma = \Psi \cup^t \Phi$ for $\Psi \oplus \Phi \in \text{xker}_\beta(D^t)^\perp$ and $\Theta_{ACF/CSF}^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) = 0$. By the uniqueness of the solution to (75) with $\Xi \oplus \text{H} = 0$ we deduce that $\Psi \oplus \Phi = 0$ which implies $\Gamma = 0$. Hence i_β^t is injective.

Next, we are going to show that $\ker(\text{ob}_\beta^t) = \text{im}(i_\beta^t)$. By definition $\ker(\text{ob}_\beta^t) \supseteq \text{im}(i_\beta^t)$ and hence it suffice to prove the converse inclusion $\ker(\text{ob}_\beta^t) \subseteq \text{im}(i_\beta^t)$.

Suppose that $\text{ob}_\beta^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) = 0$. Then there exists $\Xi \oplus \text{H} \in \text{xker}_\beta(D^t)^\perp$ such that

$$\Theta_{ACF}^t((\Xi + \Psi) \oplus (\text{H} + \Phi)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_{ACF}^t((\Xi + \Psi) \oplus (\text{H} + \Phi)).$$

Consequently $\Gamma = (\Xi + \Psi) \cup^t (\text{H} + \Phi) \in \ker(D^t)$ and hence $i_\beta^t(\Gamma) = \Xi \oplus \text{H}$.

Next, we are going to show that $\ker(p_\beta^t) = \text{im}(\text{ob}_\beta^t)$. By the definition of the maps ob_β^t and p_β^t it suffice to prove that $\text{im}(\text{ob}_\beta^t) \supseteq \ker(p_\beta^t)$. Let $\Psi \oplus \Phi \in \text{xcoker}_\beta(D^t)$ and suppose $p_\beta^t(\Psi \oplus \Phi) = 0$. Hence, there exists a $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_{\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(D^t)$ such that

$$D^t \Gamma = \Psi \cup^t \Phi.$$

Lemma 8.2 implies the existence of $\Xi \oplus \text{H} \in \mathfrak{D}_{ACF;\beta;t}^{k+1,\alpha}(\widehat{D}_0^t) \oplus C_{CSF;\beta;\epsilon}^{k+1,\alpha}(X, E; +)$ such that

$$\Theta_{ACF}^t(\Xi \oplus \text{H}) \oplus \Theta_{CSF}^t(\Xi \oplus \text{H}) = \Psi \oplus \Phi$$

Then by definition $\text{ob}_\beta^t(\Pi_{\text{xker}_\beta(D^t)}(\Xi \oplus \text{H})) = \Psi \oplus \Phi$.

Lastly, the surjectivity of p_β^t follows from Proposition 8.2. □

APPENDIX A. RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY OF ADIABATIC FAMILIES

The aim of this section is to discuss the decomposition of the Riemannian structures, e.g. Levi-Civita connection, Riemann curvature, etc. on a Riemannian fibration. We will need this deeper understanding in order to study the adiabatic behaviour of Dirac operators. The following discussion is based on the work of Gromoll and Walshap in [GW09] and Berline, Getzler and Vergne in [BGV92].

Let in the following $p : (M, p^*g_S + g_V) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ be a Riemannian fibration. In particular, this fibration is equipped with an Ehresmann connection

$$H = V^\perp.$$

Furthermore, the Riemannian fibration $p : (M, g) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ carries two canonical connections. The first one, is the Levi-Civita connection ∇ with respect to the metric g . The second one is the connection

$$\nabla^\oplus = p^*\nabla^{g_S} \oplus \nabla^{g_V}$$

induced by the lifting the connection ∇^{g_S} via the splitting of $TM = H \oplus VX$. Notice that the fibrewise connection ∇^{g_S} does not depend on the base metric g_S .

Let in the following

$$X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}^{1,0}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad U, V, W \in \mathfrak{X}^{0,1}(M).$$

Definition A.1. We define the two tensor $A \in \Omega^{1,0}(M, \text{Hom}(H, VM))$ by

$$A(X)Y = \nabla_X^{0,1}Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]^{0,1}$$

and

$$S \in \Omega^{1,0}(M, \text{End}(VM)) \quad \text{by} \quad S(X)U = -(\nabla_U X)^{0,1}.$$

The second fundamental form of the fibre is given by

$$\text{II}(U, V) = \langle SU, V \rangle^b.$$

By taking the vertical trace we obtain the fibrewise mean curvature, i.e. the one form

$$k = \text{tr}_{g;V}\text{II}.$$

Given $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ we define the tensor $\omega \in \Omega^1(M, \wedge^2 T^*M)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(X)(Y, Z) = & g(\text{II}(X, Z), Y) - g(\text{II}(X, Y), Z) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(g(F_H(X, Z), Y) - g(F_H(X, Y), Z) \\ & + g(F_H(Y, Z), X)). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 61. For a Riemannian fibration, the fibrewise second fundamental form II or equivalently S vanishes if and only if the fibres are totally geodesic. Furthermore, the tensor A vanishes if and only if the Ehresmann connection H is flat. ♡

Remark 62 ([BGV92]). The de Rham differential on M decomposes with respect to H and the Riemannian structure $g = p^*g_S \oplus g_V$ into

$$\begin{aligned} d = & d^{1,0} + d^{0,1} + d^{2,-1} \\ = & d_{\nabla^{g_V}} - \hat{\iota}_{\text{II}} + d^{0,1} + \hat{\iota}_{F_H}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, let vol_V denote the vertical volume form with respect to g_V . Then

$$dk(X, Y) = -2\text{div}(A(X)Y)$$

and

$$d\text{vol}_V = k \wedge \text{vol}_V + \iota_{F_H}\text{vol}_V.$$

♡

Proposition A.1 ([BGV92]). *The Levi-Civita connection ∇ and ∇^\oplus are related by*

$$g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^\oplus Y, Z) + \omega(X)(Y, Z)$$

or equivalently

$$\nabla = \nabla^\oplus + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\Lambda}(\omega).$$

Proposition A.2 ([O'N66, GW09]). *Let R , R_{g_S} and R_{g_V} denote the curvatures of the Levi-Civita connections ∇ , ∇^{g_S} as well as the fibrewise curvature with respect to ∇^{g_V} . The Riemannian curvature tensor of R is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} R^{1,0}(X, Y)Z &= p^* R_{g_S}(X, Y)Z + 2A^\dagger(Z)A(X)Y - A^\dagger(X)A(Y)Z - A^\dagger(Y)A(Z)X \\ R^{0,1}(X, Y)Z &= -(\nabla_Z A)(X)Y + S(Z)A(X)Y - S(Y)A(Z)X - S(X)A(Y)Z \\ R^{0,1}(X, U)Y &= -(\nabla_U A)(X)Y - A(Y)A^\dagger(X)U - (\nabla_X S)(Y)U + S(Y)S(X)U \\ R^{0,1}(X, Y)U &= -2(\nabla_U A)(X)Y - d^\nabla S(X, Y)U + [S(X), S(Y)]U + (A(X)A^\dagger(Y) \\ &\quad - A(Y)A^\dagger(X))U \\ R^{0,1}(U, V)W &= R_{g_V}(U, V)W - S(\Pi(V, W))U + S(\Pi(U, W))V \\ R^{0,1}(U, W)X &= (\nabla_W S)(X)U - (\nabla_U S)(X)W. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the trace, we deduce that the Ricci curvature of X is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(X, Y) &= p^* Ric_{g_S}(X, Y) - \langle A(X), A(Y) \rangle - \langle SX, SY \rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X k(Y) + \nabla_Y k(X)) \\ Ric(U, X) &= \langle \nabla^{0,-1} S(U), X \rangle + \nabla_U k(X) + \langle \nabla^{-1,0} AX, U \rangle - \langle A(X), S(U) \rangle \\ Ric(U, V) &= Ric_{g_V}(U, V) - (k, \Pi(U, V)) + (AV, AU) + \delta S(U, V) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta \Pi(U, V) = \text{tr}(X \mapsto (\nabla_X S)(U, V))$$

and the scalar curvature is given by

$$scal = p^* scal_{g_S} + scal_{g_F} - |A|^2 - |S|^2 - |k|^2 - 2\nabla^{-1,0} k$$

where $\nabla^{-1,0}$ is the adjoint of $\nabla^{1,0}$ with respect to g .

Corollary A.1. *Let $p : (M, g) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ be a Riemannian fibration with flat, totally geodesic fibres. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} R^{1,0}(X, Y)Z &= p^* R_{g_S}(X, Y)Z + 2A^\dagger(Z)A(X)Y - A^\dagger(X)A(Y)Z - A^\dagger(Y)A(Z)X \\ R^{0,1}(X, Y)Z &= -(\nabla_Z A)(X)Y \\ R^{0,1}(X, U)Y &= -(\nabla_U A)(X)Y - A(Y)A^\dagger(X)U \\ R^{0,1}(X, Y)U &= -2(\nabla_U A)(X)Y + (A(X)A^\dagger(Y) - A(Y)A^\dagger(X))U \\ R^{0,1}(U, V)W &= 0 \\ R^{0,1}(U, W)X &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the trace, we deduce that the Ricci curvature of X is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(X, Y) &= p^* Ric_{g_S}(X, Y) - \langle A(X), A(Y) \rangle \\ Ric(U, X) &= \langle \nabla^{-1,0} AX, U \rangle \\ Ric(U, V) &= (AV, AU) \end{aligned}$$

and the scalar curvature is given by

$$scal = p^* scal_{g_S} - |A|^2 = p^* scal_{g_S} - |F_H|^2.$$

Usually, instead of a fixed Riemannian fibration $p : (M, g) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$ we will consider an adiabatic family of Riemannian fibrations, i.e. $p : (M, g^t = p^*g_S + t^2g_V) \rightarrow (S, g_S)$. In particular, we are interested in the adiabatic limit of this fibrations, i.e. the limit $t \rightarrow 0$. The following proposition lists how the Riemannian tensors, e.g. connections and curvatures depend on the parameter t .

Proposition A.3 ([GW09]). *By now replacing g by g^t the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g^t satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_U^{g^t} V &= \nabla_U V + (t^2 - 1)\Pi(U, V) \\ \nabla_U^{g^t} X &= \nabla_U X + (1 - t^2)A^\dagger(X)U \\ \nabla_X^{g^t} U &= \nabla_X U + (1 - t^2)A^\dagger(X)U \\ \nabla_X^{g^t} Y &= \nabla_X Y.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, its curvature satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}R_{g^t}^{1,0}(X, Y)Z &= (1 - t^2)p^*R_{g_S}(X, Y)Z + t^2R^{1,0}(X, Y)Z \\ R_{g^t}^{0,1}(X, Y)Z &= R_g^{0,1}(X, Y)Z \\ R_{g^t}^{1,0}(U, V)W &= t^2(2 - t^2)R_g^{1,0}(U, V)W \\ R_{g^t}^{0,1}(U, V)W &= (1 - t^2)R_{g_V}(U, V)W + t^2R_g^{0,1}(U, V)W \\ R_{g^t}^{0,1}(X, U)Y &= R^{0,1}(X, U)Y - (1 - t^2)A(X)A^\dagger(Y)U \\ R_{g^t}^{1,0}(X, U)V &= t^2(R^{1,0}(U, X)V - (1 - t^2)A^\dagger(A^\dagger(V)U) \\ R_{g^t}^{1,0}(U, V)X &= R^{1,0}(U, V)X + (1 - t^2)(A^\dagger(X)A^\dagger(U)V - A^\dagger(X)A^\dagger(V)U) \\ R_{g^t}^{0,1}(X, Y)U &= R^{0,1}(X, Y)U + (1 - t^2)(A(X)A^\dagger(Y) - A(Y)A^\dagger(X))U \\ R_{g^t}^{1,0}(X, Y)U &= R^{1,0}(X, Y)U.\end{aligned}$$

By taking the trace, we further deduce the following properties of the Ricci-curvature

$$\begin{aligned}Ric_{g^t}^{1,0}(X, Y) &= (1 - t^2)p^*Ric_{g_S}(X, Y) + t^2Ric^{1,0}(X, Y) \\ Ric_{g^t}^{0,1}(X, Y) &= Ric(X, Y) - \frac{1}{3}(1 - t^2)(p^*Ric_{g_S}(X, Y) - Ric^{1,0}(X, Y)) \\ Ric_{g^t}^{1,0}(X, U) &= t^2Ric^{1,0}(X, U) \\ Ric_{g^t}^{0,1}(X, U) &= Ric^{0,1}(X, U) + (1 - t^2)\text{tr}\{V \mapsto A(X)\Pi(U, V) - \langle A^\dagger(X)U, k^\sharp(V) \rangle\} \\ Ric_{g^t}^{1,0}(U, V) &= t^2(Ric^{1,0}(U, V) - (1 - t^2)\langle \text{tr}_H(AA^\dagger U), V \rangle) \\ Ric_{g^t}^{0,1}(U, V) &= (1 - t^2)Ric_{g_V}(U, V) + t^2Ric^{0,1}(U, V)\end{aligned}$$

as well as the scalar curvature

$$\begin{aligned}\text{scal}_{g^t} &= \text{tr}_{HH}Ric_{g^t} + t^{-1} \cdot \text{tr}_{HV}Ric_{g^t} + t^{-2} \cdot \text{tr}_{VV}Ric_{g^t} \\ &= \text{tr}_{HH}Ric_g + \frac{2}{3}(1 - t^2) \cdot (p^*\text{scal}_{g_S} - \text{tr}_{HH}Ric_g^{1,0}) \\ &\quad + t^{-1} \cdot \text{tr}_{HV}(Ric_g^{0,1} + t \cdot \text{tr}_{HV}Ric_g^{1,0}) \\ &\quad + t^{-1}(1 - t^2) \cdot (\text{tr}_{HV}\text{tr}_{VV}A\Pi - \langle A^\dagger, k^\sharp \rangle) \\ &\quad + t^{-2}(1 - t^2) \cdot (\text{scal}_{g_V} - t^2 \cdot \text{tr}_{VV}\text{tr}_{HH}AA^\dagger) + \text{tr}_{VV}Ric_g\end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX B. THE SPECTRUM OF DIRAC OPERATORS ON SPHERES

Using the results of Bär [Bär96] and Ikeda and Taniguchi [IT78] we are able compute the spectra of geometric Dirac operators such as the trivially twisted Spin-Dirac operator or the Hodge-de Rham

operator on (orbifold) space-forms.

The key idea in these constructions is that that (orbifold) space forms are homogenous spaces. Thus the spectral theory boils down to representation theory and the eigenvalues and their multiplicity can be combinatorially determined.

These eigenvalues and their multiplicity are needed in section 4.2.1 in order to determine the critical rates of the normal operator \widehat{D}_0 and accordingly of the operators D and \widehat{D}_ζ^t .

B.1. The Spectrum of the Hodge-de Rham Operator. In the following we will regard the round $m - 1$ -sphere as the homogeneous space

$$\mathbb{S}^{m-1} = \text{SO}(m)/\text{SO}(m-1).$$

The spectral theory of \mathbb{S}^{m-1} is hence related to the Kasimir-operator of $\text{SO}(m)$. The following Lemma summarises the results in [IT78].

Theorem B.1 ([IT78]). *Let \mathbb{S}^{m-1} be equipped with the round metric. The L^2 -space of complex valued forms decomposes into irreducible $\text{SO}(m)$ -representations of eigenforms. The decomposition is given by*

- $(m - 1 = 2n)$

$$L^2\Omega^q(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \Phi_k^0 & \oplus & \Phi_k^{0,1} & q = 0 \\ \Phi_k^{q,0} & \oplus & \Phi_k^{q,1} & 1 \leq q \leq n-2 \\ \Phi_k^{q,0} & \oplus & \Psi_k^{q,1} & q = n-1 \\ & \oplus & \Psi_k^{q,0} & q = n \end{array} \right.$$

$\text{SO}(2n+1)$ -representation	eigenvalue
Φ_k^0	$k(k+n-1)$
$\Phi_k^{q,0}$	$(k+q)(k+n+1-q)$
$\Phi_k^{q,1}$	$(k+q+1)(k+n-q)$
$\Psi_k^{q,0}$	$(k+q)(k+q+1)$
$\Psi_k^{q,1}$	$(k+q+1)(k+q+2)$

- $(m - 1 = 2n - 1)$

$$L^2\Omega^q(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \begin{array}{llll} \Phi_k^0 & \oplus & \Phi_k^{0,1} & q = 0 \\ \Phi_k^{q,0} & \oplus & \Phi_k^{q,1} & 1 \leq q \leq n-3 \\ \Phi_k^{q,0} & \oplus & \Psi_k^{q,1} & q = n-2 \\ \vartheta_k^{q,0} & \oplus & \Psi_k^{q,0} & \oplus & \vartheta_k^{q,1} & q = n-1 \end{array} \right.$$

$\text{SO}(2n)$ -representation	eigenvalue
Φ_k^0	$k(k+n-1)$
$\Phi_k^{q,0}$	$(k+q)(k+n+1-q)$
$\Phi_k^{q,1}$	$(k+q+1)(k+n-q)$
$\vartheta_k^{q,0}$	$(k+q)^2$
$\vartheta_k^{q,1}$	$(k+q)^2$
$\Psi_k^{q,0}$	$(k+q-1)(k+q+1)$
$\Psi_k^{q,1}$	$(k+q-1)(k+q+1)$

Theorem B.2. Let Γ be a finite group acting on \mathbb{R}^m . Then the critical rates of the Laplace operator on q forms on \mathbb{R}^m/Γ are a subset of

$$\beta_{\pm} \in \left\{ \begin{aligned} &-\frac{m-2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)^2 + (q-2)(m-q) + \lambda_{q-1}}, \\ &-\frac{m}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^2 + q(m-q) + \lambda_{q-1,q}}, \\ &-\frac{m-4}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{m-4}{2}\right)^2 + (q-2)(m-q-2) + \lambda_{q-1,q}}, \\ &-\frac{m-2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)^2 + (m-q-2)q + \lambda_q} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

where $\lambda_q \in \text{Spec}(\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{R}^m}} : \Omega^q \rightarrow \Omega^q)$, i.e.

- $m-1 = 2n$

q	λ_{q-1}
$q = 1$	$k(k+n-1), (k+1)(k+n)$
$1 < q \leq n-2$	$(k+q-1)(k+n-q-2), (k+q)(k+n-q+1)$
$q = n-1$	$(k+n-2)(k+4), (k+n-1)(k+2)$
$q = n$	$(k+n-1)(k+2), (k+n)(k+n+1)$
q	λ_q
$q = 1$	$(k+1)(k+n), (k+2)(k+n-1)$
$1 < q \leq n-2$	$(k+q)(k+n+1-q), (k+q+1)(k+n-q)$
$q = n-1$	$(k+n-1)(k+2), (k+n)(k+n+1)$
$q = n$	$(k+n)(k+n+1)$

- $m-1 = 2n-1$

q	λ_{q-1}
$q = 1$	$k(k+1), (k+1)(k+1)$
$1 < q \leq n-3$	$(k+q-1)(k+n-q+2), (k+q)(k+n-q+1)$
$q = n-2$	$(k+n-3)(k+4), (k+n-2)(k+3)$
$q = n-1$	$(k+n-2)(k+3), (k+n-3)(k+n-1)$
q	λ_q
$q = 1$	$(k+1)(k+2)$
$1 < q \leq n-3$	$(k+q)(k+n-q+1), (k+q+1)(k+n-q)$
$q = n-2$	$(k+n-2)(k+n+3), (k+n-3)(k+n-1)$
$q = n-1$	$(k+n-1)^2, (k+n-2)(k+n-1)$

B.2. The Spectrum of the Trivially Twisted Spin-Dirac Operator. Let $S\mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ denote the spinor bundle on

$$\mathbb{S}^{m-1} = \text{Spin}(m)/\text{Spin}(m-1),$$

$\overline{\nabla}^{g_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}}}$ the lift of the Levi-Civita, (F, ∇^F) a trivial rank r -vector bundle and

$$D = \text{cl}_{g_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}}} \circ (\overline{\nabla}^{g_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^F)$$

the Dirac operator on $E = S\mathbb{S}^{m-1} \otimes F$. Let $\gamma = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, let $\Phi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}, E)$ and let us denote by

$$\nabla := \overline{\nabla}^{g_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^F.$$

Then Φ is called a γ -Killing spinor if

$$\nabla_X^\gamma \Phi = \nabla_X \Phi - \gamma \text{cl}_{g_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}}}(X)\Phi = 0$$

holds for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})$.

Lemma B.1 ([Bär96]). *The bundle E is ∇^γ -trivialisable. Moreover shifted Weitzenböck-formula*

$$(D + \gamma)^2 = (\nabla^\gamma)^* \nabla^\gamma + (m-2)^2$$

holds.

Lemma B.2. *Let f_i denote the eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}}}$ of eigenvalue λ_i . Then $\Phi_i^j = f_i \Phi^j$ forms a basis of*

$$L^2(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}, E)$$

where Φ^j is a basis of Killing spinors.

Corollary B.1. *The eigenvalues of D are*

$$\pm \lambda_k = \pm \left(\frac{m-1}{2} + k \right)$$

and are of multiplicity

$$m(\lambda_k) = r \cdot 2^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{k+m-2}{k}.$$

Let in the following m be even and $\Gamma \subset \text{SO}(m)$ a finite subgroup.

Lemma B.3. *Spin structures on \mathbb{S}^{m-1}/Γ are in one to one correspondence with lifts*

$$\epsilon : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Spin}(m).$$

and the bundle E descends to $E/\epsilon(\Gamma)$ on \mathbb{S}^{m-1} .

Lemma B.4 ([Bär96]). *The eigenvalues of the Dirac operator on $E/\epsilon(\Gamma)$ are*

$$\pm \lambda_k = \pm \left(\frac{m-1}{2} + k \right)$$

and are of multiplicity

$$m(\pm \lambda_k)$$

determined by the generating functions

$$F_\pm(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m(\pm(\frac{m-1}{2} + k), D) z^k = \frac{r}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \frac{\chi_\mp(\epsilon(g)) - z \cdot \chi_\pm(\epsilon(g))}{\det(1_{m-2} - z \cdot g)}$$

where χ_\pm are the characters of the irreducible half-spin representations

$$\rho_\pm : \text{Spin}(m) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Sigma^\pm).$$

REFERENCES

- [AB98] Bernd Ammann and Christian Bär. The dirac operator on nilmanifolds and collapsing circle bundles. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*, 16:221–253, 1998.
- [ADN64] Shmuel Agmon, Avron Douglis, and Louis Nirenberg. Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions ii. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 17(1):35–92, 1964.
- [AGR16] Pierre Albin and Jesse Gell-Redman. The index of Dirac operators on incomplete edge spaces. *SIGMA, Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 12:paper 089, 45, 2016.
- [AGR23] Pierre Albin and Jesse Gell-Redman. The index formula for families of dirac type operators on pseudomanifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 125(2):207–343, 2023.
- [AN61] Shmuel Agmon and Louis Nirenberg. Properties of solutions of ordinary differential equations in banach space. Technical report, ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE DURHAM NC, 1961.
- [Bär96] Christian Bär. The dirac operator on space forms of positive curvature. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 48(1):69–83, 1996.
- [BC89] Jean-Michel Bismut and Jeff Cheeger. η -invariants and their adiabatic limits. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 2(1):33–70, 1989.
- [Ber23] Gorapada Bera. Deformations and desingularizations of conically singular associative submanifolds, 2023.
- [BGV92] Nicole Berline, Ezra Getzler, and Michèle Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, volume 298 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [Bis86] Jean-Michel Bismut. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: Two heat equation proofs. *Invent. Math.*, 83:91–151, 1986.
- [BL91] Jean-Michel Bismut and Gilles Lebeau. Complex immersions and Quillen metrics. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 74:1–297, 1991.
- [BL95] Jean-Michel Bismut and John Lott. Flat vector bundles, direct images and higher real analytic torsion. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 8(2):291–363, 1995.
- [BT⁺82] Raoul Bott, Loring W Tu, et al. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, volume 82. Springer, 1982.
- [DM18] Anda Degeratu and Rafe Mazzeo. Fredholm theory for elliptic operators on quasi-asymptotically conical spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 116(5):1112–1160, 2018.
- [Don83] Simon K Donaldson. An application of gauge theory to four-dimensional topology. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 18(2):279–315, 1983.
- [DT98] S. K. Donaldson and R. P. Thomas. Gauge theory in higher dimensions. In *The geometric universe: science, geometry, and the work of Roger Penrose. Proceedings of the symposium on geometric issues in the foundations of science, Oxford, UK, June 1996 in honour of Roger Penrose in his 65th year*, pages 31–47. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [Goe14] Sebastian Goette. Adiabatic limits of Seifert fibrations, Dedekind sums, and the diffeomorphism type of certain 7-manifolds. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 16(12):2499–2555, 2014.
- [GW09] Detlef Gromoll and Gerard Walschap. *Metric foliations and curvature*, volume 268. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [HT07] Michael Hutchings and Clifford Henry Taubes. Gluing pseudoholomorphic curves along branched covered cylinders. I. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 5(1):43–137, 2007.
- [HT09] Michael Hutchings and Clifford Henry Taubes. Gluing pseudoholomorphic curves along branched covered cylinders. II. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 7(1):29–133, 2009.
- [IT78] Akira Ikeda and Yoshiharu Taniguchi. Spectra and eigenforms of the laplacian on \times^n and \mathbb{P}^n . *Osaka J. Math.*, 15(3):515–546, 1978.
- [JK21] Dominic Joyce and Spiro Karigiannis. A new construction of compact torsion-free G_2 -manifolds by gluing families of Eguchi-Hanson spaces. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 117(2):255–343, 2021.
- [Joy96a] Dominic D. Joyce. Compact 8–manifolds with holonomy $\text{Spin}(7)$. *Invent. Math.*, 123(3):507–552, 1996.
- [Joy96b] Dominic D. Joyce. Compact Riemannian 7–manifolds with holonomy G_2 . II. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 43(2):329–375, 1996.
- [Joy96c] Dominic D. Joyce. Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 . I. *Journal of differential geometry*, 43(2):291–328, 1996.
- [Joy00] Dominic D. Joyce. *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*. Oxford University Press on Demand, 2000.
- [LMO85] Robert B Lockhart and Robert C Mc Owen. Elliptic differential operators on noncompact manifolds. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze*, 12(3):409–447, 1985.
- [Loc87] Robert Lockhart. Fredholm, Hodge and Liouville theorems on noncompact manifolds. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 301(1):1–35, 1987.
- [Maj25a] Viktor F. Majewski. Cayley Submanifolds of Resolutions of $\text{Spin}(7)$ -Orbifold. *in preparation*, (expected) 2025.
- [Maj25b] Viktor F. Majewski. $\text{Spin}(7)$ -Instantons on Resolutions of $\text{Spin}(7)$ -Orbifold. *in preparation*, (expected) 2025.
- [Maj25c] Viktor F. Majewski. Resolutions of $\text{Spin}(7)$ -Orbifold. *in preparation*, (expected) 2025.
- [Mar02] Stephen P Marshal. *Deformations of special Lagrangian submanifolds*. PhD thesis, Citeseer, 2002.

- [Maz91] Rafe Mazzeo. Elliptic theory of differential edge operators i. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 16(10):1615–1664, 1991.
- [Mel92] Richard B Melrose. Calculus of conormal distributions on manifolds with corners. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 1992(3):51–61, 1992.
- [Mel96] Richard B Melrose. Differential analysis on manifolds with corners. book in preparation. *Manuscript available at math.mit.edu/rbm/book.html*, 1996.
- [Moe02] Ieke Moerdijk. Orbifolds as groupoids: an introduction. *arXiv preprint math/0203100*, 2002.
- [MV14] Rafe Mazzeo and Boris Vertman. Elliptic theory of differential edge operators, ii: Boundary value problems. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, pages 1911–1955, 2014.
- [O’N66] Barrett O’Neill. The fundamental equations of a submersion. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 13(4):459–469, 1966.
- [Par23] Gregory J. Parker. Deformations of \mathbb{Z}_2 -harmonic spinors on 3-manifolds, 2023.
- [Pfl01] Markus J. Pflaum. *Analytic and geometric study of stratified spaces*, volume 1768 of *Lect. Notes Math.* Berlin: Springer, 2001.
- [Pla20] Daniel Platt. Improved Estimates for G_2 -structures on the Generalised Kummer Construction, 2020.
- [PTW17] Hessel B. Posthuma, Xiang Tang, and Kirsten J. L. Wang. Resolutions of proper Riemannian Lie groupoids, 2017.
- [Sch91] Bert-Wolfgang Schulze. *Pseudo-differential operators on manifolds with singularities*, volume 24 of *Stud. Math. Appl.* Amsterdam etc.: North-Holland, 1991.
- [Sch98] Bert-Wolfgang Schulze. *Boundary value problems and singular pseudo-differential operators*. Pure Appl. Math., Wiley-Intersci. Ser. Texts Monogr. Tracts. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, 1998.
- [Sea91] Walter Seaman. Harmonic two-forms in four dimensions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 112(2):545–548, 1991.
- [Wal17a] Thomas Walpuski. G_2 -instantons, associative submanifolds and Fueter sections. *Commun. Anal. Geom.*, 25(4):847–893, 2017.
- [Wal17b] Thomas Walpuski. Spin (7)-instantons, Cayley submanifolds and Fueter sections. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 352(1):1–36, 2017.