

# DO CARMO'S PROBLEM FOR CMC HYPERSURFACES IN $\mathbb{R}^6$

JINGCHE CHEN, HAN HONG, AND HAIZHONG LI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that complete noncompact constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  with finite index must be minimal. This provides a positive answer to do Carmo's question in dimension 6. The proof strategy is also applicable to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and  $\mathbb{R}^5$ , thereby providing alternative proofs for those previously resolved cases.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Bernstein problem asks whether a complete minimal graph over Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  must necessarily be a hyperplane. Through a series of groundbreaking works by W. Fleming [Fle62], De Giorgi [DG65], F. Almgren [Alm66], J. Simons [Sim68], and E. Bombieri, De Giorgi, and E. Giusti [BDGG69], this problem has been fully resolved. Meanwhile, S. Chern [Che65] showed that complete constant mean curvature (CMC) graphs must be minimal. Indeed, if the mean curvature is nonzero, one can use the maximum principle to derive a contradiction by translating a sphere with the same mean curvature from above or below until it touches the graph (see Nelli [Nel23]).

The community is now interested in a stronger problem, known as the *stable Bernstein problem*, which aims to classify complete noncompact, two-sided, stable minimal hypersurfaces. R. Schoen, L. Simon and S. Yau [SSY75] made significant progress by proving that such hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  for  $n \leq 5$  must be hyperplanes under the assumption of Euclidean volume growth. Since then, efforts have been devoted to removing the volume growth assumption. In the early 1980s, D. Fischer-Colbrie and R. Schoen [FCS80], M. do Carmo and C.K. Peng [dCP79], and Pogorelov [Pog81] independently proved that complete two-sided stable minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  must be planes. Exciting progress has been made in recent years. In a series of works by O. Chodosh and C. Li [CL24, CL23], O. Chodosh, C. Li, P. Minter and D. Stryker [CLMS24], L. Mazet [Maz24], this problem has been resolved up to dimension  $n = 5$ . It is also worth noting that G. Catino, P. Mastrolia and A. Roncoroni [CMR24] provided an alternative proof in dimension  $n = 3$ . The only remaining unsolved case is stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^7$ , although R. Schoen and L. Simon [SS81], C. Bellettini [Bel23] have established some partial results in this dimension.

We can also define stability for CMC hypersurfaces from a variational perspective, similar to minimal hypersurfaces: they are critical points of the area functional under compactly supported variations that preserve the enclosed volume. Let  $M \rightarrow (X^{n+1}, g)$  be an immersed hypersurface with constant mean curvature. It is called *weakly stable* if

$$\int_M |\nabla \varphi|^2 \geq \int_M (|A_M|^2 + \text{Ric}_X(\nu, \nu)) \varphi^2$$

for any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$  satisfying

$$\int_M \varphi = 0.$$

The corresponding Jacobi operator is given by

$$J = \Delta_M + |A_M|^2 + \text{Ric}_X(\nu, \nu).$$

We say that  $M$  has *finite index* if  $\sup_i \text{Ind}(\Omega_i) < \infty$ , where  $\{\Omega_i\}$  is an exhaustion of  $M$  and  $\text{Ind}(\Omega_i)$  denotes the number of negative Dirichlet eigenvalues of  $J$  on  $\Omega_i$ .

In 1989, do Carmo [dC89] posed the following question: *Is a complete noncompact, weakly stable (even finite index), constant mean curvature hypersurface of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , necessarily minimal?* The question is natural. Because before it was posed, da Silveira [DS87], F. López and A. Ros [LR89] have confirmed it for  $n = 2$ . Moreover, It is a generalization of Chern's result since complete CMC graph must be stable. H. Alencar and M. do Carmo [AdC93] showed that a complete CMC hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with finite index and polynomial volume growth must, in fact, be minimal. Much later, the answer was proven to be positive for  $n = 3, 4$  by X. Cheng [Che06] and independently by M. Elbert, B. Nelli and H. Rosenberg [ENR07], both using a generalized Bonnet-Meyer's argument. Additionally, under the assumption that the volume entropy of  $M$  is zero, S. Ilias, B. Nelli, and M. Soret [INS16] provided a positive answer to Do Carmo's question in all dimensions. With conditions on the growth of total curvature, there are some results for stable CMC hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  (see [AdC97, dCZ00, INS12]). However, do Carmo's question remains open in higher dimensions without additional assumptions. Notably, resolving do Carmo's question is equivalent to proving that CMC hypersurfaces with nonzero mean curvature and finite index must be compact.

The goal of this paper is to answer do Carmo's question positively in dimension  $n = 5$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Complete noncompact constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  with finite index must be minimal.*

The assumption of finite index is necessary because there exist Delaunay surfaces, which have infinite index (due to their periodic nature) and nonzero mean curvature. Under the assumption of weak stability, the second author [Hon24, Theorem 6.1] has shown that complete CMC hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^6$

with nonzero mean curvature are compact. The case of finite index differs from the stable case because the hypersurface is only stable outside a compact subset. This can lead to significant challenges; for instance, obtaining a global Schoen-Yau type inequality on a CMC hypersurface with nonzero index is often difficult.

As previously mentioned, the earlier method relies on a generalized Bonnet-Myers argument, which inherently imposes a dimension restriction. Our approach to the proof, however, differs from this and focuses on establishing the polynomial volume growth of the hypersurface, specifically, at most Euclidean volume growth. Inspired by recent advancements in resolving stable Bernstein problems for minimal hypersurfaces, we employ the technique of  $\mu$ -bubbles to derive the volume growth.

To achieve this, we first show that a Sobolev-type inequality holds for CMC hypersurfaces with finite index, and that the number of ends is finite (Theorem 2.5). We then adopt the strategy outlined in [CL23, CLMS24, Maz24], utilizing a conformal metric and the  $\mu$ -bubble technique, but adapting it to CMC hypersurfaces with nonzero mean curvature. This allows us to show that CMC hypersurfaces with finite index satisfies Euclidean volume growth, i.e.,

$$|B_R^M(p)| \leq CR^n.$$

Finally, by using the relationship between the bottom of the Laplacian spectrum and volume growth, we complete the proof. In fact, we also prove the result of Theorem 1.1 in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and  $\mathbb{R}^5$ , thus providing a new idea compared to prior approaches. A similar idea was applied in [Hon24] for classifying CMC hypersurface with finite index in  $\mathbb{H}^4$ .

One particularly interesting and direct corollary of Theorem 1.1, which is worth noting, is the following maximum principle at infinity.

**Corollary 1.2.** *A properly embedded complete CMC hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  cannot lie on the mean convex side of another properly embedded complete CMC hypersurface with the same nonzero mean curvature.*

This is clear by the maximum principle if one of them is compact. If not, we can construct a compact area-minimizing CMC hypersurface with compact prescribed boundary on one of the CMC hypersurfaces, denoted by  $M_1$ . The other hypersurface  $M_2$  serves as a barrier. Then, letting the prescribed boundary tend to infinity allows us to obtain a third stable complete noncompact CMC hypersurface, which is impossible by our main theorem.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we establish a Sobolev inequality for CMC hypersurfaces with finite index and demonstrate that such hypersurfaces have a finite number of ends. In section 3, we outline the strategy of Chodosh-Li and others for CMC hypersurfaces. Section 4 is devoted for the proof of the main theorem. In the Appendix, we provide a detailed proof of extension result of Sobolev inequality.

**1.1. Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank G. Carron for clarifying for us a point in [Car98]. The second author is supported by NSFC No. 12401058 and the Talent Fund of Beijing Jiaotong University No. 2024XKRC008. The first and third authors are supported by NSFC Grant No. 12471047.

## 2. FINITE INDEX IMPLIES FINITE ENDS

This section is devoted to proving that the number of ends of a CMC hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with finite index must be finite. In fact, this result holds more generally in any  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold satisfying certain curvature conditions. Hereafter, we only assume the two-sidedness of the CMC hypersurface if the mean curvature is zero, since this property is automatic for CMC hypersurfaces with nonzero mean curvature.

To begin, let us revisit the work of P. Li and J. Wang [LW02], which establishes the finiteness of ends under certain conditions. Let  $M$  be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold, and let  $\omega$  be an  $L^2$ -harmonic 1-form on  $M$ . Denote  $h = |\omega|$ , and let  $H^1(L^2(M))$  represent the space of square-integrable harmonic 1-forms. Using an algebraic lemma, Li and Wang derived the following key result.

**Theorem 2.1** (Li-Wang[LW02]). *If  $M$  is a complete noncompact  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold satisfying the following Sobolev type inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad \left( \int_{M \setminus B_R(p)} (\phi h)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \leq C \int_{M \setminus B_R(p)} |\nabla \phi|^2 h^2$$

for some  $R > 0$ , where  $B_R(p)$  is a ball with radius  $R$  centered at some  $p \in M$ ,  $C$  is a uniformly constant only depending on  $M$ . Then  $\dim H^1(L^2(M)) < \infty$ . In particular,  $M$  has finitely many ends.

As a consequence, the number of ends of a minimal hypersurface with finite index in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is finite, owing to the existence of a Sobolev inequality on minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  [LW02]. The primary objective of this section is to extend this result to CMC hypersurfaces. The approach essentially builds on their ideas.

We shall first show the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow (X^{n+1}, g)$  be a complete noncompact constant mean curvature hypersurface. Assume that the sectional curvature of  $X$  is nonnegative, then*

$$\text{Ric}(\omega^\#, \omega^\#) \geq -\frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} |A|^2 |\omega|^2$$

for any 1-form  $\omega$  on  $M$ , where  $\omega^\#$  is the dual vector field.

*Proof.* Choose an orthonormal frame  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ , then assume that  $\omega^\# = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$ . According to the Gauss equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(\omega^\#, \omega^\#) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_j (H h_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^n h_{ik} h_{jk}) + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n a_i a_j R_{ikjk}^X \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 (H \lambda_i - \lambda_i^2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \left( \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_i \lambda_j \right) \\ &\geq - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \frac{\lambda_i^2}{n-1} + \lambda_j^2 \right) \right) \\ &= - \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} |A|^2 |\omega|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow (X^{n+1}, g)$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a complete noncompact constant mean curvature hypersurface with finite index. Assume that the sectional curvature of  $X$  is nonnegative, the asymptotic volume ratio is nonzero, and  $X$  has bounded geometry. Then,  $M$  satisfies*

$$(2.2) \quad \left( \int_M |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \leq C(n, \theta) \int_M |\nabla f|^2$$

for any function  $f$  compactly supported in  $M$ , where the constant  $C(n, \theta)$  depends on the dimension  $n$  and the asymptotic volume ratio defined by

$$\theta = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(B_R(p))}{R^{n+1}}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from [Bre23] that Michael-Simon-Sobolev inequality holds, i.e.,

$$(2.3) \quad c(n, \theta) \left( \int_M |\varphi|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \int_M |\nabla \varphi| + |\varphi H|$$

for any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ , where  $C(n, \theta)$  is a constant that depends on the dimension  $n$  and  $\theta$  of  $X$ . Taking  $\varphi = f^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}$ , we have

$$(2.4) \quad c(n, \theta) \left( \int_M |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} |f|^{\frac{n}{n-2}} |\nabla f| + |f|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H|.$$

According to the Hölder inequality, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \int_M |f|^{\frac{n}{n-2}} |\nabla f| \leq \left( \int_M |\nabla f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, since  $M$  has finite index, it follows from [FC85] that there exists a compact subset  $\Omega$  such that  $M \setminus \Omega$  is strongly stable. Without

lost of generality, we assume that  $\Omega$  is contained in a ball  $B_R(p)$  with radius  $R$  and centered at  $p \in \Omega$ . Then for any compactly supported function  $\psi \in C_c^1(M \setminus B_R)$ ,

$$\int_{M \setminus B_R} |A|^2 \psi^2 \leq \int_{M \setminus B_R} |\nabla \psi|^2.$$

Then for  $f \in C_c^1(M \setminus B_R)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |f|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H| &\leq \left( \int_M f^2 H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (2.6) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \left( \int_M n f^2 |A|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_M n |\nabla f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (2.5) and (2.6) into (2.4), we obtain the inequality

$$(2.7) \qquad \left( \int_M |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \leq C(n, \theta) \int_M |\nabla f|^2.$$

Finally, the result follows from the Proposition 5.1.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow (X^{n+1}, g)$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a complete noncompact, constant mean curvature hypersurface with finite index. Assume that the sectional curvature of  $X$  is nonnegative and  $X$  is of bounded geometry, then each end of  $M$  is nonparabolic. In particular, the number of ends of  $M$  is bounded by the dimension of  $H^1(L^2(M)) + 1$ .*

*Proof.* According to [LW02, Corollary 4] and Proposition 2.3, each end of  $M$  must either have finite volume or be non-parabolic. It is well-known that each end of complete noncompact CMC hypersurface in Riemannian manifold with bounded geometry have infinite volume. Thus, each end of  $M$  is nonparabolic. The last assertion follows from [LW02, Corollary 2]: the number of nonparabolic ends of  $M$  is bounded from above by  $\dim H^1(L^2(M)) + 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow (X^{n+1}, g)$  ( $3 \leq n \leq 6$ ) be a complete noncompact constant mean curvature hypersurface with finite index. Assume that the sectional curvature of  $X$  is nonnegative and  $X$  is of bounded geometry and the asymptotic volume ratio of  $X$  is nonzero, then  $\dim H^1(L^2(M)) < \infty$ . In particular,  $M$  has finite ends.*

*Proof.* Recall  $\omega$  is a harmonic 1-form and denote  $h = |\omega| \in L^2(M)$ . By Bochner formula and Proposition 2.2, we have

$$(2.8) \qquad h \Delta h \geq -\frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} |A|^2 h^2 + |\nabla \omega|^2 - |\nabla h|^2.$$

Using the improved Kato's inequality (see [LW02])

$$|\nabla\omega|^2 \geq \frac{n}{n-1}|\nabla h|^2,$$

we have

$$(2.9) \quad h\Delta h \geq -\frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2}|A|^2h^2 + \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{(n-1)}.$$

Multiplying (2.9) with  $\phi^2$  where  $\phi$  is a function compactly supported in  $M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)$  and integrating on  $M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)$  yield

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi^2 |A|^2 h^2 + \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi^2 h \Delta h \geq \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} |\nabla h|^2 \phi^2.$$

Using integration by parts and the stability inequality, we can estimate the terms on the left hand side as follows.

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi^2 |A|^2 h^2 + \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi^2 h \Delta h \\ & \leq \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} |\nabla(\phi h)|^2 - 2 \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi h \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla h \rangle - \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi^2 |\nabla h|^2 \\ & = \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} |\nabla \phi|^2 h^2 + (\sqrt{n-1} - 2) \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi h \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla h \rangle \\ & + \left( \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} - 1 \right) \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi^2 |\nabla h|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (2.11) into (2.10) and using Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{n-1} + 1 - \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \right) \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi^2 |\nabla h|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} |\nabla \phi|^2 h^2 + (\sqrt{n-1} - 2) \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi h \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla h \rangle \\ & \leq \left( \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left| \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} - 1 \right| \right) \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} h^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 \\ & + \epsilon \left| \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} - 1 \right| \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi^2 |\nabla h|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\forall 3 \leq n \leq 6$ , we have that  $\left( \frac{1}{n-1} + 1 - \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \right) > 0$ . Choosing  $\epsilon$  small enough, we have

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} \phi^2 |\nabla h|^2 \leq C(n) \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} h^2 |\nabla \phi|^2.$$

By Proposition 2.3 and (2.13), we have

$$(2.14) \quad \left( \int_M |\phi h|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C(n, \theta) \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)} h^2 |\nabla \phi|^2.$$

Thus, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the dimension of  $H^1(L^2(M))$  is finite. Furthermore, the number of ends is finite as shown in Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

*Remark 2.6.* One should compare Theorem 2.5 with the work of P. Li and J. Wang [LW04, Theorem 4.1]. They proved that a properly immersed minimal hypersurface with finite index in a nonnegatively curved Riemannian manifold must have finite ends. While properness is essential in their result, this assumption can be relaxed if the ambient manifold has bounded geometry, which is precisely the setting we consider. However, their proof relies heavily on the minimality of the hypersurface, specifically the subharmonicity of the Busemann function, a property that does not directly extend to constant mean curvature hypersurfaces. As a result, it is unclear how their approach could be adapted to the CMC case, leaving open the need for new techniques in this setting.

It is interesting to explore what weaker curvature conditions, compared to nonnegative sectional curvature of ambient manifolds, can imply that the number of ends of a constant mean curvature hypersurface (or even a minimal hypersurface) with finite index is finite. In a recent work by the second author and G. Wang [HW25], we prove that an index-zero CMC hypersurface  $M$  in a Riemannian manifold  $X^{n+1}$  with nonnegative biRic curvature (defined below) and dimension  $n \leq 5$  has at most two ends. This is also implicitly showed in recent works of Antonelli-Pozzetta-Xu [APX24] and Catino-Mari-Mastrolia-Roncoroni [CMMR24] independently.

Let us conclude this section by emphasizing that the Euclidean spaces  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  (for  $3 \leq n \leq 6$ ) satisfy the necessary assumptions outlined in Theorem 2.5.

### 3. CONFORMAL METRIC AND CONSTRUCTION OF $\mu$ -BUBBLE

In this section, we restrict our discussion to Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Let  $M$  be a complete noncompact CMC hypersurface with finite index in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Assume that  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  contains the origin after rigid motions. We first recall the definitions of the biRic curvature and the  $\alpha$ -biRic curvature. Under the induced metric on  $M$ , the biRic curvature operator is defined as

$$\text{biRic}(x, y) = \text{Ric}_M(x, x) + \text{Ric}_M(y, y) - R_M(x, y, x, y),$$

for any two orthonormal vectors  $x, y \in T_p M$ , where  $R_M$  is the sectional curvature operator of  $M$ . The  $\alpha$ -biRic curvature operator is defined as

$$\text{biRic}_\alpha(x, y) = \text{Ric}_M(x, x) + \alpha \text{Ric}_M(y, y) - R_M(x, y, x, y),$$



which reduces to the biRic curvature operator when  $\alpha = 1$ . Then, the biRic curvature and  $\alpha$ -biRic curvature are defined as

$$\text{biRic}(p) = \min\{\text{biRic}(x, y) : x, y \text{ are orthonormal in } T_p M\}$$

and

$$\text{biRic}_\alpha(p) = \min\{\text{biRic}_\alpha(x, y) : x, y \text{ are orthonormal in } T_p M\},$$

respectively. The study of biRic curvature on stable minimal hypersurfaces dates back to Shen and Ye [SY96].

Consider the Gulliver-Lawson conformal metric  $\tilde{g} = r^{-2}g$ , where  $r$  is the Euclidean distance function to the origin and  $g$  is the induced metric on  $M$  from the immersion. Since  $M$  has finite index,  $M \setminus B_{R_0}(0)$  is stable for a sufficiently large radius  $R_0$ . For simplicity, denote  $B_{R_0}(0)$  by  $B_{R_0}$ . We have the following result.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  ( $3 \leq n \leq 5$ ) be a complete noncompact constant mean curvature hypersurface with finite index. Then there exist  $a_n > 0$ ,  $\alpha_n > 0$ ,  $\delta_n > 0$  such that*

$$\int_M |\tilde{\nabla}\varphi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 - \frac{1}{a_n}(\delta_n - \widetilde{\text{biRic}}_{\alpha_n})\varphi^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \geq 0$$

for any  $\varphi \in C_c^1(M \setminus B_{R_0})$ .

*Proof.* Firstly as discussed above, there exists a constant  $R_0 > 0$  such that  $M \setminus B_{R_0}$  is stable. The constant  $R_0$  depends on the dimension  $n$  and the index. Thus,

$$\int_M |\nabla\varphi|^2 dV_g \geq \int_M |A|^2 \varphi^2 dV_g.$$

for  $\varphi \in C_c^1(M \setminus B_{R_0})$ . Then the conformal change under the new metric  $\tilde{g}$  yields

$$(3.1) \quad \int_M |\tilde{\nabla}\varphi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 r^{n-2} dV_{\tilde{g}} \geq \int_M |A|^2 \varphi^2 r^n dV_{\tilde{g}}$$

for  $\varphi \in C_c^1(M \setminus B_{R_0})$ . Taking  $\varphi = r^{\frac{2-n}{2}}\psi$  in (3.1), we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_M |\tilde{\nabla}\psi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \\ & \geq \int_M \left( r^2 |A|^2 \psi^2 - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} r^{-2} \psi^2 |\tilde{\nabla}r|_{\tilde{g}}^2 + (n-2)r^{-1} \psi \langle \tilde{\nabla}r, \tilde{\nabla}\psi \rangle \right) dV_{\tilde{g}} \\ & = \int_M \left( r^2 |A|^2 \psi^2 - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \psi^2 |dr|^2 - \frac{n-2}{2} \tilde{\Delta}(\log r) \psi^2 \right) dV_{\tilde{g}} \\ & = \int_M \left( r^2 |A|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{2} + \frac{n^2-4}{4} |dr|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2} \langle x, \nu \rangle H \right) \psi^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\psi \in C_c^1(M \setminus B_{R_0})$ . In the last equality we use  $\tilde{\Delta} \log r = n - n|dr|^2 - \langle x, \nu \rangle H$ . Thus,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_M |\tilde{\nabla} \psi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 + \frac{1}{a} \widetilde{\text{biRic}}_\alpha \psi^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \\ & \geq \int_M \left( \frac{1}{a} \widetilde{\text{biRic}}_\alpha + r^2 |A|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{2} + \frac{n^2-4}{4} |dr|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2} \langle x, \nu \rangle H \right) \psi^2 dV_{\tilde{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of proof is to estimate the right hand side. Let  $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  and  $\{\tilde{e}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be orthonormal basis with respected to the metric  $g$  and  $\tilde{g}$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{e}_i = r e_i$ . The sectional curvatures of  $g$  and  $\tilde{g}$  are related by

$$\tilde{R}_{ijij} = r^2 R_{ijij} + 2 - |dr|^2 - dr(e_i)^2 - dr(e_j)^2 - \langle x, \nu \rangle (A_{ii} + A_{jj})$$

(see [CLMS24, Proposition 3.5]).

Now we compute the  $\widetilde{\text{biRic}}_\alpha$ . By Gauss equation, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{\text{biRic}}_\alpha(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2) &= \sum_{i=2}^n \tilde{R}_{1i1i} + \alpha \sum_{j=3}^n \tilde{R}_{2j2j} \\ &= r^2 \sum_{i=2}^n R_{1i1i} + 2(n-1) - (n-1)|dr|^2 - (n-1)dr(e_1)^2 \\ &\quad - (|dr|^2 - dr(e_1)^2) - \langle x, \nu \rangle ((n-1)A_{11} + H - A_{11}) \\ &\quad + \alpha r^2 \sum_{j=3}^n R_{2j2j} + 2\alpha(n-2) - \alpha(n-2)|dr|^2 - \alpha(n-2)dr(e_2)^2 \\ &\quad - \alpha(|dr|^2 - dr(e_1)^2 - dr(e_2)^2) - \alpha \langle x, \nu \rangle ((n-2)A_{22} + H - A_{11} - A_{22}) \\ &= r^2 \left( \sum_{i=2}^n (A_{11}A_{ii} - A_{1i}^2) + \alpha \sum_{j=3}^n (A_{22}A_{jj} - A_{2j}^2) \right) \\ &\quad + (2(n-1) + \alpha(n-2)) - (n + \alpha(n-1))|dr|^2 \\ &\quad - ((n-2-\alpha)dr(e_1)^2 + \alpha(n-3)dr(e_2)^2) \\ &\quad - \langle x, \nu \rangle ((n-2-\alpha)A_{11} + \alpha(n-3)A_{22} + (1+\alpha)H). \end{aligned}$$

We recall the fundamental inequality from linear algebra,  $x^T A x + B^T x \geq -\frac{1}{4} B^T A^{-1} B$ , where  $A$  is a positive definite symmetric matrix and  $B$  is a  $n$ -dimension vector.

According to this fact, we take

$$(3.5) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & a & \frac{\alpha}{2} & \cdots & \frac{\alpha}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\alpha}{2} & a & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\alpha}{2} & 0 & \cdots & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{22} \\ A_{33} \\ \vdots \\ A_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$B = -\frac{1}{r}\langle \frac{x}{r}, \nu \rangle \begin{pmatrix} n-1 - \frac{n-2}{2}a \\ (n-2)\alpha + 1 - \frac{n-2}{2}a \\ 1 + \alpha - \frac{n-2}{2}a \\ \vdots \\ 1 + \alpha - \frac{n-2}{2}a \end{pmatrix} := -\frac{1}{r}\langle \frac{x}{r}, \nu \rangle \bar{B}.$$

Assume that  $a$  and  $\alpha$  are chosen so that  $A$  is positive definite, denote  $\frac{1}{4}\bar{B}^T A^{-1} \bar{B}$  by  $b$ , then  $\frac{b}{r^2}\langle \frac{x}{r}, \nu \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{4}B^T A^{-1} B$ . Then we can obtain an inequality as follows that estimates all the terms regarding the second fundamental form.

$$(3.6) \quad a \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii}^2 + \left( \sum_{i=2}^n A_{11} A_{ii} + \alpha \sum_{j=3}^n A_{22} A_{jj} \right) - \frac{1}{r}\langle \frac{x}{r}, \nu \rangle \left( (n-1 - \frac{n-2}{2}a) A_{11} \right. \\ \left. + ((n-2)\alpha + 1 - \frac{n-2}{2}a) A_{22} + (1 + \alpha - \frac{n-2}{2}a) \sum_{i=3}^n A_{ii} \right) \geq -\frac{b}{r^2}\langle \frac{x}{r}, \nu \rangle^2.$$

Using this we can estimate the term in the inequality (3.3).

$$(3.7) \quad \widetilde{biRic}_\alpha(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2) + ar^2|A|^2 + \frac{n^2-4}{4}a|dr|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2}Ha\langle x, \nu \rangle - a\frac{n(n-2)}{2} \\ \geq r^2 \left( a \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii}^2 + \left( \sum_{i=2}^n A_{11} A_{ii} + \alpha \sum_{j=3}^n A_{22} A_{jj} \right) - \frac{1}{r}\langle \frac{x}{r}, \nu \rangle \left( (n-1 - \frac{n-2}{2}a) A_{11} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + ((n-2)\alpha + 1 - \frac{n-2}{2}a) A_{22} + (1 + \alpha - \frac{n-2}{2}a) \sum_{i=3}^n A_{ii} \right) \right) \\ + 2(n-1 + \alpha(n-2)) - (n + \alpha(n-1))|dr|^2 \\ - ((n-2-\alpha)dr(e_1)^2 + \alpha(n-3)dr(e_2)^2) + \frac{n^2-4}{4}a|dr|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{2}a \\ \geq -b\langle \frac{x}{r}, \nu \rangle^2 + 2(n-1 + \alpha(n-2)) - (n + \alpha(n-1))|dr|^2 \\ - ((n-2-\alpha)dr(e_1)^2 + \alpha(n-3)dr(e_2)^2) + \frac{n^2-4}{4}a|dr|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{2}a \\ \geq 2(n-1 + \alpha(n-2)) - (2n-2 + \alpha(n-2))|dr|^2 - b(1 - |dr|^2) \\ + \frac{n^2-4}{4}a|dr|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{2}a \\ \geq \min\{2(n-1 + \alpha(n-2)) - b - \frac{n(n-2)}{2}a, (n-2)(\alpha - a\frac{n-2}{4})\} = \delta.$$

In the first inequality we assume  $2a \geq \alpha$  and  $2a \geq 1$  to control the non-diagonal terms  $A_{ij}^2$ . In the second inequality we use (3.6). The third inequality follows from the equality  $\langle \frac{x}{r}, \nu \rangle^2 = (1 - |dr|^2)$  and the fact that

$n - 2 - \alpha \geq \alpha(n - 3)$  if we assume  $\alpha \leq 1$ . The last inequality is due to that  $0 \leq |dr|^2 \leq 1$  and the function is linear about  $|dr|^2$ .

We summarize conditions that  $a$ ,  $\alpha$  should satisfy:

$$(3.8) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2(n-1 + \alpha(n-2)) - b - \frac{n(n-2)}{2}a > 0 \\ (n-2)(\alpha - a\frac{n-2}{4}) > 0 \\ 2a \geq \alpha \\ 2a \geq 1 \\ \alpha \leq 1 \\ A > 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Now, we check above conditions separately,

**Case 1:**  $n = 5, a = \frac{111}{100}, \alpha = \frac{93}{100}$  satisfy (3.8). Since  $\det(A) = \frac{1}{4}a^2(4a^3 + 3\alpha - a(4 + 3\alpha^2)) = \frac{11586754647}{40000000000} > 0$  and  $\det(C) = a^4 - \frac{3a^2\alpha^2}{4} = \frac{287535177}{400000000} > 0$  where  $C$  is the submatrix of  $A$  with column 2 to column  $n$  and row 2 to row  $n$ , then  $A$  is positive definite.

On the other hand,  $b = 4 - \frac{151a}{16} + 3\alpha + \frac{49(1-2a)^2a(4a-3\alpha)}{16(4a^3+3\alpha-a(4+3\alpha^2))}$ . Then  $2(n-1 + \alpha(n-2)) - b - \frac{n(n-2)}{2}a = 4 + \frac{31a}{16} + 3\alpha - \frac{49(1-2a)^2a(4a-3\alpha)}{16(4a^3+3\alpha-a(4+3\alpha^2))} = \frac{6339973}{100310080} > 0.06$  and  $(n-2)(\alpha - a\frac{n-2}{4}) = 0.2925 > 0$  and In this case, take  $\delta = \frac{3}{50}$ .

**Case 2:**  $n = 4, a = \frac{11}{10}, \alpha = 1$  satisfy (3.8). Since  $\det(A) = -\frac{3a^2}{4} + a^4 + \frac{a\alpha}{2} - \frac{a^2\alpha^2}{2} = \frac{627}{1250} > 0$  and  $\det(C) = a^3 - \frac{a\alpha^2}{2} = \frac{781}{1000} > 0$  where  $C$  is the submatrix of  $A$  with column 2 to column  $n$  and row 2 to row  $n$ , then  $A$  is positive definite.

On the other hand,  $b = 3 - \frac{23a}{4} + 2\alpha + \frac{9(1-2a)^2a(3a-2\alpha)}{16a^3+8\alpha-4a(3+2\alpha^2)}$ . Then  $2(n-1 + \alpha(n-2)) - b - \frac{n(n-2)}{2}a = 3 + \frac{7a}{4} + 2\alpha - \frac{9(1-2a)^2a(3a-2\alpha)}{16a^3+8\alpha-4a(3+2\alpha^2)} = \frac{1333}{304}$  and  $(n-2)(\alpha - a\frac{n-2}{4}) = \frac{9}{10}$ . In this case, take  $\delta = \frac{9}{10}$ .

**Case 3:**  $n = 3, a = 1, \alpha = 1$  satisfy (3.8). Since  $\det(A) = -\frac{a}{2} + a^3 + \frac{\alpha}{4} - \frac{a\alpha^2}{4} = \frac{1}{2} > 0$  and  $\det(C) = a^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{4} = \frac{3}{4} > 0$  where  $C$  is the submatrix of  $A$  with column 2 to column  $n$  and row 2 to row  $n$ , then  $A$  is positive definite.

On the other hand,  $b = 2 - \frac{47a}{16} + \alpha + \frac{25(1-2a)^2a}{16(-1+a(2a+\alpha))}$ . Then  $2(n-1 + \alpha(n-2)) - b - \frac{n(n-2)}{2}a = \frac{1}{16}(32 + 23a + 16\alpha - \frac{25(1-2a)^2a}{-1+a(2a+\alpha)}) = \frac{117}{32}$  and  $(n-2)(\alpha - a\frac{n-2}{4}) = \frac{3}{4}$ . In this case, take  $\delta = \frac{3}{4}$ .

Thus, it follows from (3.3) that there exist  $a > 0, \alpha > 0, \delta > 0$ , such that

$$\int_M |\tilde{\nabla}\varphi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 - \frac{1}{a}(\delta - \widetilde{biRic}_\alpha)\varphi^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \geq 0$$

for any  $\varphi \in C_c^1(M \setminus B_{R_0})$ . □

From Proposition 3.1 it follows that there exists a positive function  $\omega$  on  $M \setminus B_{R_0}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} -a\tilde{\Delta}\omega + \widetilde{biRic}_\alpha \cdot \omega = \delta\omega, & \text{on } M \setminus B_{R_0} \\ \omega = 1, & \text{on } \partial(M \setminus B_{R_0}). \end{cases}$$

We introduce the warped  $\mu$ -bubble. Let  $N$  be a domain with boundary contained in  $(M \setminus B_{R_0}, \tilde{g})$  and  $\partial N = \partial_- N \cup \partial_+ N$ . Choose  $h$  to be a smooth function on  $\dot{N}$  such that  $h \rightarrow \pm\infty$  on  $\partial_\pm N$ . Choose a Caccioppoli set  $\Omega_0$  with  $\partial\Omega_0 \subset \dot{N}$  and  $\partial_+ N \subset \Omega_0$ . We consider the following functional

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega) = \int_{\partial^*\Omega} w^\alpha d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \int_M (\chi_\Omega - \chi_{\Omega_0}) h w^\alpha d\mathcal{H}^n.$$

We call  $\Sigma = \partial^*\Omega$  a warped  $\mu$ -bubble, where  $\Omega$  minimizes  $\mathcal{A}$  over all sets of finite perimeter containing  $\partial_+ N$ . Let  $\eta$  be the unit normal vector of  $\Sigma$  pointing outside of  $\Omega$ .

The rest of the proof is basically from [Maz24, Section 4]. After computing the second derivative of  $\mathcal{A}(\Omega_t)$  and using that  $(N, \tilde{g})$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -biRic estimate, one gets the following inequality (all quantities are w.r.t  $\tilde{g}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{4-a} \int_\Sigma |\nabla\psi|^2 &\geq \int_\Sigma \psi^2 (\delta - \alpha Ric^\Sigma + |B|^2 + \alpha H^\Sigma B_{11} - \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} B_{1j}^2) \\ &\quad + a(d \ln \omega(\eta))^2 + aH^\Sigma d \ln \omega(\eta) + adh(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

If one can show

$$|B|^2 + \alpha H^\Sigma B_{11} - \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} B_{1j}^2 + a(d \ln \omega(\eta))^2 + aH^\Sigma d \ln \omega(\eta) > \beta h^2,$$

then

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{4}{4-a} \int_\Sigma |\nabla\psi|^2 \geq \int_\Sigma \psi^2 \left( \frac{\delta}{2} - \alpha Ric^\Sigma \right) + \psi^2 \left( \frac{\delta}{2} + \beta h^2 + adh(\eta) \right).$$

Using  $H^\Sigma = h - a d \ln \omega(\eta)$ , it suffices to show

$$(3.10) \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{\alpha}{n-1} - \frac{\alpha}{(n-1)^2} + \frac{1}{a} - 1 & \frac{\alpha}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{n-1}\right) & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{a} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{n-1}\right) & 1 - \alpha \frac{n-2}{n-1} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{a} & 0 & \frac{1}{a} - \beta \end{pmatrix} > 0.$$

Thus, if we can show  $G > 0$ , then we are able to construct a warped  $\mu$ -bubble on the domain in  $(M \setminus B_{R_0}, \tilde{g})$  by using the weight function  $\omega$ . Moreover, the warped  $\mu$ -bubble has a spectral Ricci curvature lower bound. In fact,

**Case 1:**  $n = 5, a = \frac{111}{100}, \alpha = \frac{93}{100}, \beta = \frac{1}{22}$ . In this case, we have  $1 - \alpha \frac{n-2}{n-1} = \frac{121}{400} > 0$  and

$$\det(G) = \frac{113639}{130240000} > 0.$$

**Case 2:**  $n = 4, a = \frac{11}{10}, \alpha = 1, \beta = \frac{1}{22}$ . In this case,  $1 - \alpha \frac{n-2}{n-1} = \frac{1}{3} > 0$  and

$$\det(G) = \frac{15}{242} > 0.$$

**Case 3:**  $n = 3, a = 1, \alpha = 1, \beta = \frac{1}{22}$ . In this case, we have  $1 - \alpha \frac{n-2}{n-1} = \frac{1}{2} > 0$  and

$$\det(G) = \frac{41}{176} > 0.$$

Hence  $G$  is positive definite. On the other hand, it is not hard to construct  $h$  such that  $\frac{\delta}{2} + \beta h^2 + adh(\eta) \geq 0$ . Thus, we obtain the following proposition from (3.9).

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume  $(M \setminus B_{R_0}, \tilde{g})$  and  $a, \alpha$  are set as above. Let  $N$  be a compact subset of  $M$  containing  $B_{R_0}$ . If there exist a point  $p \in N \setminus B_{R_0}$  s.t.  $\text{dist}_{\tilde{g}}(p, \partial N) \geq C(a, \delta) > 0$ . Then there is a relatively open subset  $\Omega$  containing  $\partial N$  with  $\Omega \subset B_{C(a, \delta)}(\partial N)$  such that on each connected component, denoted by  $\Sigma$ , of  $\partial\Omega \setminus \partial N$ , there holds*

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{4}{4-a} \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{\nabla} \varphi|^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \geq \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{\delta}{2} - \alpha \widetilde{\text{Ric}}_{\Sigma} \right) \varphi^2 dV_{\tilde{g}}$$

for any  $\varphi \in C^1(\Sigma)$ , where  $B_{C(a, \delta)}(\partial N)$  means the  $C(a, \delta)$ -neighborhood of  $\partial N$  with respect to the metric  $\tilde{g}$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we provide a proof of the main theorem. First, we need the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** ([CL24]). *Suppose that  $M$  has  $k$  ends and  $H_c^1(M; \mathbb{R})$  has finite dimension. Consider an exhaustion  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \dots \subset M$  by pre-compact regions with smooth boundary. If each component of  $M \setminus \Omega_i$  is unbounded. Then for  $i$  sufficiently large,  $\partial\Omega_i$  has  $k$  components.*

In fact, the dimension of  $H_c^1$  of CMC hypersurfaces in Euclidean space with finite index is finite.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Consider a complete noncompact CMC immersion  $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with finite index. Then  $H_c^1(M; \mathbb{R})$  has finite dimension.*

*Proof.* According to Proposition 2.3 and [Car02, proposition 2.11], we know that

$$H_c^1(M) \longrightarrow H^1(L^2(M))$$

is injective. Combining Theorem 2.5, we can obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

In what follows we shall prove that the volume growth of CMC hypersurface with finite index is Euclidean.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  ( $3 \leq n \leq 5$ ) be a complete noncompact CMC hypersurface with finite index. Then*

$$|B_\rho(p)| \leq C\rho^n$$

for  $\rho$  large enough, where  $B_\rho(p)$  is an intrinsic ball with radius  $\rho$  centered at  $p \in M$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $p = 0 \in M$ . Recall that  $M$  is a CMC hpyersurface of finite index, then there exists  $R_0 > 0$  such that  $M \setminus B_{R_0}(p)$  is stable CMC hypersurface. It follows from Theorem 2.5 that the number of ends of  $M$  is finite, then choose  $\rho > R_0$  large enough such that  $M \setminus B_\rho(0)$  has  $k$  connected unbounded components. Denote the unbounded connected component of  $M \setminus B_\rho$  with  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$ . Fix  $E_i$ , consider  $M_i = E_i \cap B_{\exp(C(a,\delta))\rho}(p)$  and denote  $M_i^c = E_i \setminus M_i$ . By Proposition 3.2, we can construct  $\partial M_i^c \subset \tilde{\Omega}_i \subset B_{C(a,\delta)}^{\tilde{g}}(\partial M_i) \cap M_i$ . We denote  $\partial \tilde{\Omega}_i \setminus \partial M_i = \bigcup_j \Sigma_{ij}$  where  $\Sigma_{ij}$  is one connected component, on which it satisfies

$$\frac{4}{4-a} \int_{\Sigma_{ij}} |\tilde{\nabla} \varphi|^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \geq \int_{\Sigma_{ij}} \left( \frac{\delta}{2} - \alpha \widetilde{\text{Ric}}_{\Sigma_{ij}} \right) \varphi^2 dV_{\tilde{g}}.$$

We construct  $\Omega_\rho = B_\rho(p) \cup (M_i \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_i) \cup S$ , where  $S$  is the set that contains all bounded connected components of  $M \setminus B_\rho(p)$ . Then we have  $\partial \Omega_\rho = \cup \Sigma_{ij}$  and  $\{\Omega_\rho\}_\rho$  is an exhaustion sequence of  $M$ . Moreover, all the components of  $M \setminus \Omega_\rho$  are unbounded. Thus, it follows from proposition4.2 and lemma4.1 that

$$\sum_i \#\{\Sigma_{ij}\} \leq k.$$

for  $\rho$  large enough.

Now we would like to obtain a uniform area upper bound and diameter bound for  $\Sigma_{ij}$ .

**Case 1:**  $n = 5$ ,  $\frac{4}{(4-a_n)\alpha_n} = \frac{40000}{26877} < \frac{3}{2} = \frac{n-2}{n-3}$ .

**Case 2:**  $n = 4$ ,  $\frac{4}{(4-a_n)\alpha_n} = \frac{40}{29} < \frac{2}{1} = \frac{n-2}{n-3}$ .

In both cases, we can apply the volume estimate of Antonelli-Xu[AX24, Theorem 1] under the metric  $\tilde{g}$  and obtain

$$|\Sigma_{ij}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$$

for a universal constant  $C$ .

**Case 3:**  $n = 3$ ,  $a_n = 1$ ,  $\alpha_n = 1$ . In this case, we can directly apply [CL23, lemma 6.1] such that

$$|\Sigma_{ij}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$$

for a universal constant  $C$ .

Using a lemma from Chodosh-Li[CL23, Lemma 6.2], we can show  $B_\rho(p) \subset \Omega_\rho \setminus S \subset B_{\exp(C(a,\delta))\rho}(p)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad |B_\rho(p)| &\leq |B_\rho(p) \cup S| \\
&\leq |\Omega_\rho| \\
&\leq C \left( \sum_{i,j} |\Sigma_{ij}|_g \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \\
&\leq C (e^{C(a,\delta)} \rho)^n \left( \sum_{i,j} |\Sigma_{ij}|_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \\
&\leq Ck\rho^n
\end{aligned}$$

for  $\rho$  large enough. In the third inequality we have used the isoperimetric inequality following from Proposition 2.3 and the fourth inequality is due to the fact that  $\Omega_\rho \setminus S \subset B_{\exp(C(a,\delta))\rho}(p)$  and  $|\Sigma_{ij}|_g = \int_{\Sigma_{ij}} r^{n-1} dv_{\tilde{g}}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Now we are ready to show the proof of the main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* It directly follows from [AdC93] or [dCZ99]. Here we think that the original argument by Cheng-Yau [CY75] suffices to give a proof directly.

It follows that for any  $\varphi \in C_c^1(M \setminus B_{R_0})$

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \geq \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}} |A|^2 \varphi^2 \geq nH^2 \int_{M \setminus B_{R_0}} \varphi^2.$$

This means that  $\lambda_1(M \setminus B_{R_0}) \geq nH^2 > 0$ . In fact, choose

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} d(x) - R_0, & d(x) \in [R_0, R_0 + 1] \\ 1, & d(x) \in [R_0 + 1, R] \\ \frac{2R - d(x)}{R}, & d(x) \in [R, 2R] \\ 0, & d(x) \in [R, +\infty) \end{cases}$$

where  $d(x) = d_M(x, 0)$  is the intrinsic distance function to the origin. Plugging it into (4.2), we obtain

$$|B_{2R} \setminus B_{R_0+1}| \leq |B_{R_0+1} \setminus B_{R_0}| + \frac{|B_{2R} \setminus B_R|}{R^2} \leq C + CR^{n-2}.$$

Iterating the above inequality few times and letting  $R$  tend to infinity result in

$$|M \setminus B_{R_0+1}| \leq C.$$

This is impossible since  $M$  is a noncompact CMC hypersurface in Euclidean space that has infinite volume.  $\square$



Let us conclude this section by discussing extensions of results to  $\delta$ -stable CMC hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . The method used in this paper can also be applied to prove results for  $\delta$ -stable CMC hypersurfaces. A CMC hypersurface is said to be  $\delta$ -stable if it satisfies

$$\int_M \delta |A|^2 f^2 \leq \int_M |\nabla f|^2$$

for any  $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$  and  $\delta \leq 1$ . We claim that for  $0.98 \leq \delta \leq 1$ , complete noncompact  $\delta$ -stable constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  must be minimal. The only change required in the proof is to replace the matrix  $A$  by  $\tilde{A} = A + (\delta - 1)aI$  in (3.5). It is not difficult to see that  $b$  decreases with  $\delta$ . Therefore, we only need to verify that for  $\delta = 0.98$ , we can choose  $a = 1.145$ ,  $\alpha = 0.935$ , and a sufficiently small  $\beta$  to satisfy all the conditions. Let us remark that in [HLW24], we studied  $\delta$ -stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . For  $0.811 \leq \delta \leq 1$ , we established the Euclidean volume growth of  $\delta$ -stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  (see [HLW24, Remark 1.11]). Furthermore, when  $\delta > 15/16 \approx 0.9375$ , it is proved that  $\delta$ -stable minimal hypersurfaces must be hyperplanes (see [HLW24, Theorem 1.7]). Therefore, we can conclude that  $\delta$ -stable ( $\delta \in [0.98, 1]$ ) CMC hypersurfaces must also be hyperplanes.

## 5. APPENDIX

We state the proposition regarding the extension Sobolev inequality of Carron. The proof is basically same as the proof of [Car98, Proposition 2.4]. For the sake of convenience of readers, we include it here.

**Proposition 5.1.** [Car98, Proposition 2.5] *Suppose  $(M, g)$  is a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with infinite volume. If there is a compact set  $K \subset M$  satisfying the following sobolev inequality*

$$\left( \int_{M \setminus K} |u|^{\frac{2p}{p-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \leq C \int_{M \setminus K} |du|^2 dx$$

for any  $u \in C_0^\infty(M \setminus K)$ . Then  $M$  also satisfies sobolev inequality

$$\left( \int_M |u|^{\frac{2p}{p-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \leq C(M) \int_M |du|^2 dx$$

for any  $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$ .

*Proof.* Take a compact set  $\tilde{K}$  containing  $K$  and a cut-off function  $\theta$  satisfies  $\theta = 1$  on  $K$  and  $\theta = 0$  outside  $\tilde{K}$ . For any  $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$ , then  $(1 - \theta)u \in C_0^\infty(M \setminus K)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad \|(1 - \theta)u\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}(M \setminus K)} &\leq C_1 \|d((1 - \theta)u)\|_{L^2(M \setminus K)} \\ &\leq C_1 (\|d\theta\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{K})} \|u\|_{L^2(\tilde{K})} + \|du\|_{L^2(M)}). \end{aligned}$$

We also notice Sobolev inequality on  $\tilde{K}$

$$(5.2) \quad \left\| u - \frac{\int_{\tilde{K}} u}{\text{Vol}(\tilde{K})} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}(\tilde{K})} \leq C_2 \|du\|_{L^2(\tilde{K})}$$

and the Poincaré inequality on  $\tilde{K}$  implies

$$(5.3) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\tilde{K})} \leq C_3 \|du\|_{L^2(\tilde{K})} + \frac{|\int_{\tilde{K}} u \, dx|}{(\text{Vol}(\tilde{K}))^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Combine (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3), we have

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}(M)} \\ & \leq \|(1-\theta)u\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}(M)} + \left\| \theta \left( u - \frac{\int_{\tilde{K}} u \, dx}{\text{Vol}(\tilde{K})} \right) \right\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}(M)} + \left\| \theta \frac{\int_{\tilde{K}} u \, dx}{\text{Vol}(\tilde{K})} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}(M)} \\ & \leq \|(1-\theta)u\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}(M \setminus K)} + \left\| \left( u - \frac{\int_{\tilde{K}} u \, dx}{\text{Vol}(\tilde{K})} \right) \right\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}(\tilde{K})} + \left\| \frac{\int_{\tilde{K}} u \, dx}{\text{Vol}(\tilde{K})} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}(\tilde{K})} \\ & \leq C_4 (\|du\|_{L^2(M)} + \left| \int_{\tilde{K}} u \, dx \right|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from [Li04, Lemma 3.10] that  $M$  is nonparabolic. According to [Anc90] and [Car98], we have

$$(5.5) \quad \left| \int_{\tilde{K}} u \right| \leq C_5 \left( \int_M |du|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Finally, we obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AdC93] Hilário Alencar and Manfredo P. do Carmo. Hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature with finite index and volume of polynomial growth. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 60(5):489–493, 1993.
- [AdC97] Hilário Alencar and Manfredo P. do Carmo. Remarks on the growth of functions and the weak stability of hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature. *An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc.*, 69(2):163–166, 1997.
- [Alm66] Jr. Almgren, Frederick Justin. Some interior regularity theorems for minimal surfaces and an extension of Bernstein’s theorem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 84:277–292, 1966.
- [Anc90] Alano Ancona. Théorie du potentiel sur les graphes et les variétés. In *École d’été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XVIII—1988*, volume 1427 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–112. Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [APX24] Gioacchino Antonelli, Marco Pozzetta, and Kai Xu. A sharp spectral splitting theorem. *arXiv:2412.12707*, 2024.
- [AX24] Gioacchino Antonelli and Kai Xu. New spectral bishop-gromov and bonnetmyers theorems and applications to isoperimetry. *arXiv:2405.08918*, 2024.
- [BDGG69] Enrico Bombieri, Ennio De Giorgi, and Enrico Giusti. Minimal cones and the Bernstein problem. *Invent. Math.*, 7:243–268, 1969.
- [Bel23] Costante Bellettini. Extensions of schoen–simon–yau and schoen–simon theorems via iteration à la de giorgi. *arXiv: 2310.01340*, 2023.

- [Bre23] Simon Brendle. Sobolev inequalities in manifolds with nonnegative curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 76(9):2192–2218, 2023.
- [Car98] Gilles Carron. Une suite exacte en  $L^2$ -cohomologie. *Duke Math. J.*, 95(2):343–372, 1998.
- [Car02] Gilles Carron.  $L^2$  harmonic forms on non-compact Riemannian manifolds. In *Surveys in analysis and operator theory (Canberra, 2001)*, volume 40 of *Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ.*, pages 49–59. Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 2002.
- [Che65] Shiing-shen Chern. On the curvatures of a piece of hypersurface in euclidean space. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 29:77–91, 1965.
- [Che06] Xu Cheng. On constant mean curvature hypersurfaces with finite index. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 86(4):365–374, 2006.
- [CL23] Otis Chodosh and Chao Li. Stable anisotropic minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . *Forum Math. Pi*, 11(e3):1–22, 2023.
- [CL24] Otis Chodosh and Chao Li. Stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^4$ . *Acta Math.*, 233(1):1–31, 2024.
- [CLMS24] Otis Chodosh, Chao Li, Paul Minter, and Douglas Stryker. Stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^5$ . *arXiv:2401.01492*, 2024.
- [CMMR24] Giovanni Catino, Luciano Mari, Paolo Mastrolia, and Alberto Roncoroni. Criticality, splitting theorems under spectral ricci bounds and the topology of stable minimal hypersurfaces. *arXiv:2412.12631*, 2024.
- [CMR24] Giovanni Catino, Paolo Mastrolia, and Alberto Roncoroni. Two rigidity results for stable minimal hypersurfaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 34(1):1–18, 2024.
- [CY75] Shiu Yuen Cheng and Shing Tung Yau. Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 28(3):333–354, 1975.
- [dC89] Manfredo P. do Carmo. Hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature. *Lecture notes in mathematics*, 1410, 1989.
- [dCP79] Manfredo P. do Carmo and Chiakuei Peng. Stable complete minimal surfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  are planes. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 1(6):903–906, 1979.
- [dCZ99] Manfredo P. do Carmo and Detang Zhou. Eigenvalue estimate on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds and applications. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 351(4):1391–1401, 1999.
- [dCZ00] Manfredo P. do Carmo and Detang Zhou. Bernstein-type theorems in hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature. *An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc.*, 72(3):301–310, 2000.
- [DG65] Ennio De Giorgi. Una estensione del teorema di Bernstein. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)*, 19:79–85, 1965.
- [DS87] Alexandre M. Da Silveira. Stability of complete noncompact surfaces with constant mean curvature. *Math. Ann.*, 277(4):629–638, 1987.
- [ENR07] Maria Fernanda Elbert, Barbara Nelli, and Harold Rosenberg. Stable constant mean curvature hypersurfaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(10):3359–3366, 2007.
- [FC85] Doris Fischer-Colbrie. On complete minimal surfaces with finite Morse index in three-manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 82(1):121–132, 1985.
- [FCS80] Doris Fischer-Colbrie and Richard Schoen. The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 33(2):199–211, 1980.
- [Fle62] Wendell H. Fleming. On the oriented Plateau problem. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)*, 11:69–90, 1962.
- [HLW24] Han Hong, Haizhong Li, and Gaoming Wang. On  $\delta$ -stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . *arXiv:2407.03222*, 2024.

- [Hon24] Han Hong. CMC hypersurface with finite index in hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^4$ . *arXiv:2404.10276*, 2024.
- [HW25] Han Hong and Gaoming Wang. A splitting theorem for manifolds with spectral nonnegative ricci curvature and mean-convex boundary. *arXiv:2503.07009*, 2025.
- [INS12] Said Ilias, Barbara Nelli, and Marc Soret. Caccioppoli’s inequalities on constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 42(4):443–471, 2012.
- [INS16] Said Ilias, Barbara Nelli, and Marc Soret. On the entropies of hypersurfaces with bounded mean curvature. *Math. Ann.*, 364(3-4):1095–1120, 2016.
- [Li04] Peter Li. Lecture on harmonic functions. pages 1–148, 2004.
- [LR89] Francisco J. López and Antonio Ros. Complete minimal surfaces with index one and stable constant mean curvature surfaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 64(1):34–43, 1989.
- [LW02] Peter Li and Jiaping Wang. Minimal hypersurfaces with finite index. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 9(1):95–103, 2002.
- [LW04] Peter Li and Jiaping Wang. Stable minimal hypersurfaces in a nonnegatively curved manifold. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 566:215–230, 2004.
- [Maz24] Laurent Mazet. Stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . *arXiv:2405.14676*, 2024.
- [Nel23] Barbara Nelli. A survey about a Do Carmo’s question on complete stable constant mean curvature hypersurfaces. *Mat. Contemp.*, 57:3–22, 2023.
- [Pog81] Aleksei V. Pogorelov. On the stability of minimal surfaces. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 260(2):293–295, 1981.
- [Sim68] James Simons. Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 88:62–105, 1968.
- [SS81] Richard Schoen and Leon Simon. Regularity of stable minimal hypersurfaces. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 34(6):741–797, November 1981.
- [SSY75] Richard Schoen, Leon Simon, and Shing Tung Yau. Curvature estimates for minimal hypersurfaces. *Acta Math.*, 134(3-4):275–288, 1975.
- [SY96] Ying Shen and Rugang Ye. On stable minimal surfaces in manifolds of positive bi-Ricci curvatures. *Duke Math. J.*, 85(1):109–116, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, 100084, BEIJING, CHINA

*Email address:* `cjc23@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, CHINA, 100044

*Email address:* `hanhong@bjtu.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, 100084, BEIJING, CHINA

*Email address:* `lihzh@tsinghua.edu.cn`