

# COMPLETENESS OF COMPACT LOCALLY SYMMETRIC LORENTZ MANIFOLDS

SOUHEIB ALLOUT AND MALEK HANOUNAH

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A compact homogeneous semi-Riemannian manifold is geodesically complete [29]. However, local homogeneity alone does not guarantee completeness. Concrete examples are the projections of left-invariant metrics on compact quotients  $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  where the Lorentz incomplete ones constitute an open cone in the space of such metrics. Beyond only the homogeneity condition, there is a locally homogeneous “almost symmetric” compact Lorentz manifolds which is geodesically incomplete! Such an example is given by a compact quotient of an incomplete homogeneous *plane wave*, see [20, Example 4.4]. The almost symmetric property is seen on the level of the curvature tensor, namely, for a plane wave one has  $\nabla_X R = 0$  for all  $X$  tangent to a codimension-one totally geodesic flat lightlike distribution ( $R$  denotes the Riemann curvature tensor). So in this sense, one can see the completeness of compact locally symmetric Lorentzian manifolds as a “sharp” result.

One of the main contributions to the completeness problem of locally symmetric spaces, was by Carrière [7] showing that compact flat Lorentz manifolds are geodesically complete. Later on, Klingler [24] generalized this result to the constant curvature case.

On the other hand, non-constant sectional curvature indecomposable Lorentz symmetric spaces are known as *Cahen–Wallach spaces*. They have a distinguished geometry that differs from that of constant curvature. In particular, they admit a globally defined (unique up to scale) lightlike parallel vector field. Compact manifolds locally isometric to a Cahen–Wallach space are shown to be complete relatively recently by Leistner and Schliebner [28]. In fact, they showed the geodesic completeness for the larger class of compact *pp-waves* (i.e. compact Lorentz manifolds that admit a parallel lightlike vector field  $V$  whose orthogonal distribution  $V^\perp$  is *flat*). The class of pp-waves fits in the larger class of *Brinkmann spacetimes*, which are defined by the existence of a parallel lightlike vector field, dropping the flatness assumption on the orthogonal distribution. Recently, Mehidi and Zeghib [30] extended the completeness results to this larger class of spacetimes.

Despite all the aforementioned completeness results, the general case of locally symmetric Lorentz manifolds remained open. Very recently, Leistner and Munn [27] observed that the completeness result in [30] can be applied to establish the completeness of compact locally symmetric Lorentz manifolds  $M$ , whose indecomposable Lorentz factor, in the (local) de Rham–Wu decomposition, is either a Cahen–Wallach space or whose maximal flat factor is isometric to  $(\mathbb{R}, -dt^2)$  (see Sect. 2 for the precise definition).

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *A compact locally symmetric Lorentz manifold is geodesically complete.*

**1.1. Calabi–Markus phenomenon.** The celebrated Calabi–Markus phenomenon states that de Sitter spacetimes do not admit compact Clifford–Klein space forms. The completeness result of Klingler [24] implies then the non-existence of compact Lorentz manifolds with positive constant sectional curvature. This naturally raises the question of whether this phenomenon extends to the product setting. Specifically, one may inquire whether there exist compact locally symmetric Lorentz manifolds for which the de Sitter space is the indecomposable Lorentz factor.

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $M$  be a compact locally symmetric Lorentz manifold. Then the indecomposable Lorentz factor, in the (local) de Rham–Wu decomposition, is not a de Sitter space  $dS^{1,n}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .*

**1.2. Beyond the Lorentz signature.** The completeness problem for compact locally symmetric manifolds remains largely open in the higher signature setting. Even the flat case of signature  $(2, 2)$  is completely unknown, i.e. it is still an open problem whether a compact flat semi-Riemannian 4-manifold of signature  $(2, 2)$  is geodesically complete. This is a very particular case of Markus’ conjecture, which asserts that a compact *affine manifold* is complete if and only if it is *unimodular*, i.e. it has a parallel volume form (see for example [15, Chapter 11]).

**Acknowledgment.** The second author is grateful to his PhD adviser Ines Kath for her valuable feedback on an early draft of this paper. The first author would like to thank his PhD adviser Stefan Suhr for his support and helpful comments on this manuscript.

The first author is supported by the SFB/TRR 191 ‘Symplectic Structures in Geometry, Algebra and Dynamics’, funded by the DFG (Projektnummer 281071066-TRR191).

## 2. SYMMETRIC SPACES

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space and let  $p \in X$ . We say that  $X$  is indecomposable if there is no proper non-degenerate subspace in  $T_p X$  invariant by the holonomy group  $\text{Hol}_p$  at the point  $p$ .

A simply connected Lorentz symmetric space  $X$  can be decomposed isometrically (see [8, 39]) as a product  $X = X^0 \times X^1 \times \dots \times X^n$  where  $X^0$  is a *maximal flat factor* of  $X$  (it is a maximal non-degenerate factor on which the holonomy acts trivially) and each  $X^i$  is an indecomposable pseudo-Riemannian symmetric factor. Moreover, this decomposition is unique up to isometry and permutation. We say that  $X$  has *flat Lorentz factor* if  $X^0$  is Lorentzian (this includes, by convention, the case  $X^0 \cong (\mathbb{R}, -dt^2)$ ).

**Notation 2.2.** We denote by  $X^L$  the Lorentz factor of  $X$  whether it is a maximal flat factor or indecomposable.

**Fact 2.3** ([4]). *Let  $X$  be a simply connected indecomposable Lorentz symmetric space. Then either  $X$  has non-zero constant sectional curvature, or isometric to a Cahen–Wallach space, or isometric to the Lorentz line  $(\mathbb{R}, -dt^2)$ .*

**Remark 2.4** (Cahen–Wallach spaces). The geometry of Cahen–Wallach spaces is quite different compared to the constant curvature case. These spaces have a (unique) parallel lightlike vector field  $V$  which is invariant by a subgroup of index-two in the isometry group. Moreover, their full isometry groups are amenable, i.e.  $K \times R$  where  $K$  is compact and  $R$  is solvable. For more details, see [23, Section 2].

**2.1. Splitting of the isometry group.** In this section we show that the isometry group of a decomposable Lorentz symmetric space also decomposes in a natural way if the Lorentz factor is not a Cahen–Wallach space.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $X = X^L \times Y$  be a simply connected Lorentz symmetric space. Assume that  $X^L$  has constant sectional curvature. Then  $\text{Isom}(X) = \text{Isom}(X^L) \times \text{Isom}(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* Let us first treat the case where  $X^L$  is the de Sitter or anti de Sitter space. For  $p = (p_1, p_2) \in X$  we have  $\text{Hol}_p = \text{Hol}_{p_1} \times \text{Hol}_{p_2}$ . The stabilizer  $\text{Stab}(p) \subset \text{Isom}(X)$  normalizes  $\text{Hol}_{p_1} \times \text{Hol}_{p_2}$ . But  $\text{Hol}_{p_1} = \text{SO}^o(1, n)$  and  $\text{Hol}_{p_2}$  is compact. This implies that  $\text{Stab}(p)$  normalizes  $\text{SO}^o(1, n)$ . So,  $\text{Stab}(p)$  leaves invariant the fixed space of  $\text{SO}^o(1, n)$  which is nothing but the subspace tangent to the  $Y$ -direction at  $p$ . Hence, it also leaves invariant its orthogonal i.e. the subspace tangent to the  $X^L$ -direction at  $p$ . This means that  $\text{Isom}(X)$  preserves the splitting  $X = X^L \times Y$ .

Assume now that  $X^L$  is the maximal flat Lorentzian factor, so it is isometric to a Minkowski space. Then  $Y = G/K$  is a symmetric Riemannian space with a trivial maximal flat factor, in

particular with semisimple isometry group  $G$ . The holonomy group at a point  $p$  coincides with the isotropy  $K$  of the factor  $Y$  (see [2, Proposition 10.79]). On the other hand, the fixed space of the  $K$ -action at  $p$  is exactly  $X^L$ . Therefore, the stabilizer of  $p$  preserves the factor  $X^L$ . The rest follows similarly as above.  $\square$

### 3. INJECTIVITY OF THE DEVELOPING MAP

In this section we assume that  $X = X^L \times Y$  is a simply connected Lorentz symmetric space whose Lorentz factor  $X^L = X_\kappa$  is the universal model of constant curvature  $\kappa$ . A compact manifold  $M$  locally isometric to  $X$  is an  $(\text{Isom}(X), X)$ -manifold in the sense of  $(G, X)$ -structures (see [15, 38]). That is, we have a local diffeomorphism  $D : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow X$  and a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{Isom}(X)$  satisfying the equivariance property  $D\gamma = \rho(\gamma)D$  for all  $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$ . The local diffeomorphism  $D$  is called the *developing map* and  $\rho$  is called the *holonomy representation*.

**3.1. Natural foliations.** Proposition 2.5 implies that a compact manifold  $M$  locally isometric to  $X = X^L \times Y$  inherits two transverse foliations  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  where the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  are Lorentz of constant curvature locally modeled on  $X_\kappa$  and the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_2$  are Riemannian modeled on  $Y$ . The same applies to  $\widetilde{M}$ , we have two foliations  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a compact manifold locally isometric to  $X$ . Each leaf of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$  is mapped, under the developing map, bijectively and isometrically onto a vertical Riemannian fiber  $\{x_0\} \times Y$ .*

*Proof.* The compactness of the manifold  $M$  implies that each leaf of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is complete (with respect to its Riemannian structure locally modeled on  $Y$ ). Hence each leaf  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(p)$  of the foliation  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$  is also complete. The developing map  $D$ , restricted to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(p)$ , is a local isometry between  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(p)$  and a corresponding fiber  $\{x_0\} \times Y$ . Since  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(p)$  is complete, then  $D : \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(p) \rightarrow \{x_0\} \times Y$  is a covering map. But  $Y$  being simply connected implies that  $D : \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(p) \rightarrow \{x_0\} \times Y$  is in fact an isometric diffeomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *The universal cover  $\widetilde{M}$  is mapped, under the the developing map, onto  $\Omega \times Y$  where  $\Omega \subset X_\kappa$  is an open subset.*

**3.2. A natural action on  $\widetilde{M}$ .** Since each leaf of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$  is identified canonically under the developing map to  $Y = G/K$ , we obtain a well-defined  $G$ -action on  $\widetilde{M}$  for which the developing map is  $G$ -equivariant. Since the developing map is isometric, we have

**Corollary 3.3.** *The  $G$ -action on  $\widetilde{M}$  is isometric, proper, and with orbits the  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$ -leaves.*

**3.3. Product structure of the universal cover.** We have seen in Corollary 3.2 that  $D(\widetilde{M}) = \Omega \times Y$  where  $\Omega \subset X_\kappa$  is an open subset. The subset  $\widehat{\Omega} = D^{-1}(\Omega \times \{y\})$  is a global cross section of the  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$ -foliation. Indeed, consider the map  $\sigma : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow Y$  where  $\sigma = \pi \circ D$  and  $\pi : X_\kappa \times Y \rightarrow Y$  is the natural projection. We have  $\sigma^{-1}(y) = \widehat{\Omega}$  and, by construction,  $\sigma$  is  $G$ -equivariant. Since each leaf  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(p)$  is identified under the map  $\sigma$  with  $Y$ , then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(p)$  intersects  $\sigma^{-1}(y) = \widehat{\Omega}$  exactly in one point. In other words,  $\widehat{\Omega}$  is a leaf of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$  which is a global cross section of the foliation  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** *The universal cover  $\widetilde{M}$  is globally isometric to  $\widehat{\Omega} \times Y$ .*

In particular,  $\widehat{\Omega}$  is a connected and simply connected Lorentz manifold of constant curvature  $\kappa$ . The injectivity of the developing map  $D : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow X_\kappa \times Y$  is then equivalent to the fact that  $\widehat{\Omega}$  isometrically embeds in  $X_\kappa$ .

**3.4. The injectivity.** We have seen in Corollary 3.4 that  $\widetilde{M} = \widehat{\Omega} \times Y$  and  $\pi_1(M) = \Gamma \subset \text{Isom}(\widehat{\Omega}) \times G$  acts freely, properly, and cocompactly on  $\widetilde{M}$  where  $G$  is the isometry group of  $Y$ . Frances in [10, Sect. 6] considers, among many other things, a similar situation (in fact a more general setting of warped products). He observed [10, Proposition 6.9] that the injectivity result in the works of Carrière and Klingler [7, 24] can be adapted to the product setting. That is,

**Proposition 3.5** ([10], Sect. 6.3).  $\widehat{\Omega}$  is isometric to  $X_\kappa$  or to a convex open subset  $\Omega$  of  $X_\kappa$  whose boundary is either a lightlike hyperplane or the disjoint union of two lightlike hyperplanes.

As mentioned above, the ideas in [7, 24] can be carried out in our product situation. Indeed, let  $\tilde{p} \in \widetilde{M}$  and let  $\gamma$  be an incomplete geodesic starting at  $\tilde{p}$  and contained in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\tilde{p})$  the Lorentzian leaf through  $\tilde{p}$ . Consider the visibility set  $E_{\tilde{p}} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\tilde{p})$  (see [24, Section 2] for the definition). Let  $\{\tilde{v}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \gamma$  be a sequence that goes outside all compact subsets. Since  $M$  is compact then, up to multiplying  $\{\tilde{v}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by a well-chosen sequence  $\alpha_n \in \pi_1(M)$ , we can assume that  $\tilde{w}_n := \alpha_n \tilde{v}_n$  is convergent. The limit point  $\tilde{w}$ , of the sequence  $\{\tilde{w}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , belongs a priori to a different  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$ -leaf. Choose a small enough product ball  $\tilde{B} = \tilde{B}_1 \times \tilde{B}_2$  around  $\tilde{w}$ , so that  $\alpha \tilde{B} \cap \tilde{B} = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha \in \pi_1(M)$  and  $\tilde{B}$  develops injectively. We obtain a sequence  $\{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of disjoint ellipsoids around  $D(\tilde{v}_n)$  where  $C_n := D(\alpha_n^{-1} \tilde{B}_1) = \rho(\alpha_n^{-1}) B_1$ . Moreover, up to multiplication on the left and the right by two sequences living in a compact neighborhood of the identity, the sequence  $g_n := \rho(\alpha_n^{-1})$  can be assumed to be in the stabilizer of the point  $D(\tilde{w})$ . Since  $\text{Stab}(D(\tilde{w}))$ , restricted to the Lorentzian leaf passing through  $D(\tilde{w})$ , has discompactness 1, we conclude that the sequence of ellipsoids  $\{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a degenerate ellipsoid of codimension 1 (see [7, 24]). Hence the Carrière–Klingler proof of the convexity (of the visibility set). Having the convexity at hand, the description of the boundary follows similarly to [24, Proposition 3].

**Corollary 3.6.** *We have that  $M = \Gamma \backslash (\Omega \times Y)$  where  $\Gamma \subset \text{Isom}(X_\kappa) \times G$  is a discrete subgroup acting freely, properly, and cocompactly on  $\Omega \times Y$  and  $\Omega \subset X_\kappa$  is a convex open subset as concluded in Proposition 3.5.*

#### 4. THE CASE OF AdS OR dS LORENTZ FACTOR

In this section,  $X_\kappa$  denotes the Lorentz universal model of constant sectional curvature  $\kappa = \pm 1$ . That is,  $X_\kappa$  either  $\widetilde{\text{AdS}}^{1, n+1}$  or  $\text{dS}^{1, m+1}$  for  $m \geq 1$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a compact Lorentz manifold locally isometric to  $X_\kappa \times Y$  where  $Y$  is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold. Then  $M$  is complete.*

*Proof.* As in Proposition 2.5 we have  $\text{Isom}(\Omega \times Y) = \text{Isom}(\Omega) \times \text{Isom}(Y)$ . Applying [10, Subsect. 6.3.1] we get our desired conclusion.  $\square$

The ideas in [10, Subsect. 6.3.1] can be briefly summarized as follows. Suppose that the boundary of  $\Omega$  consists of a single lightlike hyperplane (this is the case for  $\kappa = 1$ ). Then  $M = \Gamma \backslash (\Omega \times Y)$  admits a complete vector field (possibly defined on only a strict open subset) with non-zero constant divergence, which contradicts the compactness of  $M$ .

If the boundary of  $\Omega$  is the disjoint union of two lightlike hyperplanes (so  $\kappa = -1$ ), then either they are parallel, in which case  $M$  again admits a complete vector field with nonzero constant divergence, or they are not parallel, in which case there exists a well-defined unbounded function on  $M$ . In both cases, this contradicts the compactness of  $M$ .

It is worth noting that, in the absence of a global invariant volume, we have the following counterexample

**Example 4.2** (Transversally affine AdS-foliation). The group  $\widetilde{\text{SO}}(1, 2) \times \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$  acts transitively on  $\widetilde{\text{AdS}}^{1, 1} \times \mathbb{R}$ . The subgroup

$$\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R}) \times \text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R}) \subset \widetilde{\text{SO}}(1, 2) \times \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$$

has an open orbit  $\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \widetilde{\text{AdS}}^{1, 1} \times \mathbb{R}$  where  $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R}) \subset \widetilde{\text{SO}}(1, 2)$  acts freely transitively on  $\mathcal{O}$  (actually  $\partial \mathcal{O}$  consists of two parallel lightlike geodesics). There is an embedding of  $\text{Sol} = \text{SO}^o(1, 1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$  into  $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R}) \times \text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$  with a free transitive action on  $\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Taking a cocompact lattice  $\Gamma \subset \text{Sol}$ , we obtain a compact quotient  $\Gamma \backslash (\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R})$  with incomplete anti de-Sitter leaves (in fact the leaves are transversally affine in the sense of [6, p. 36] or [3]).

#### 4.1. The de Sitter case: Calabi–Markus phenomenon.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $M$  be a compact locally symmetric Lorentz manifold. Then the (local) indecomposable Lorentz factor of  $M$  is not a de Sitter space  $dS^{1,n}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* We argue as in the original proof of [5]. Recall that any two spacelike totally geodesic spheres (of codimension 1) in  $dS^{1,n}$  intersect non-trivially. Assume there is  $\Gamma \subset \text{SO}(1, n) \times G$  acting properly discontinuously and cocompactly on  $dS^{1,n} \times G$  where  $G$  is any connected Lie group. If the projection of  $\Gamma$  on  $G$ , denoted  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ , is discrete then  $\Gamma \cap \text{SO}(1, n)$  acts properly cocompactly on  $dS^{1,n}$  (see Lemma 5.5) which is impossible by [5]. Hence  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  is non-discrete which implies that there is a compact  $K \subset G$  and an infinite sequence  $\widehat{\gamma}_n$  of distinct elements of  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  such that  $\widehat{\gamma}_n K \cap K$  is non-empty for all  $n$ . Let  $\gamma_n$  be a lift of  $\widehat{\gamma}_n$  to  $\Gamma$  and  $S \subset dS^{1,n}$  a spacelike totally geodesic hypersphere. It follows that  $\gamma_n(S \times K) \cap (S \times K)$  is non-empty for all  $n$  which contradicts the fact that  $\Gamma$  acts properly on  $dS^{1,n} \times G$ . To conclude the proof of Proposition 4.3, observe that if  $\Gamma \subset \text{SO}(1, n) \times G$  acts properly discontinuously and cocompactly on a symmetric space  $dS^{1,n} \times Y$  then so it does on  $dS^{1,n} \times G$  which we showed to be impossible.  $\square$

**4.2. The foliated setting.** Theorem 4.1 shows that a compact manifold  $M$  locally modeled on the geometry  $(\text{Isom}(X_\kappa) \times \text{Isom}(Y), X_\kappa \times Y)$  is complete where  $\kappa = \pm 1$  and  $Y$  is any homogeneous Riemannian manifold. In particular, the leaves of the  $X_\kappa$ -foliation on  $M$  are (immersed) complete Lorentz manifolds of constant curvature  $\kappa$ .

One naturally asks whether a merely foliated version still holds. More precisely, suppose that  $M$  is a compact manifold endowed with an  $X_\kappa$ -foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e. each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is endowed with an  $X_\kappa$ -structure in a continuous way (see for example [20, Def. 2.3] or [22]). Is it true that the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are complete? If one does not impose any “transverse” property on the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , then the answer to the question is negative as Example 4.5 shows.

**Question 4.4.** Let  $M$  be a compact connected manifold endowed with an  $X_\kappa$ -foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . Does the completeness (or just the injectivity) of the leaves still hold assuming that  $\mathcal{F}$  is transversely Riemannian homogeneous? (in the sense of [3] or [34, Appendix E] by E. Ghys). More generally, what kind of “transverse conditions” on  $\mathcal{F}$  that ensure the completeness of the leaves?

On the other hand, the Calabi–Markus phenomenon still holds in a merely foliated setting (even for laminations!). More precisely, a compact manifold  $M$  does not admit a foliation by *complete*  $dS^{1,n}$ -leaves. Let us give a sketch of the idea of the proof. Suppose by contradiction that  $M$  admits such a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . By the standard Calabi–Markus phenomenon, we know that each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is not compact. Denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{F}(p)}$  the topological closure of a leaf  $\mathcal{F}(p)$  and let  $y \in \overline{\mathcal{F}(p)}$  be a point that does not belong to  $\mathcal{F}(p)$ . We denote by  $\text{Gr}_n^+(\mathcal{F})$  the Grassmannian of spacelike  $n$ -planes tangent to the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . One can find a sequence  $P_{y_i} \in \text{Gr}_n^+(\mathcal{F})$  that converges to  $P_y \in \text{Gr}_n^+(\mathcal{F})$  where  $y_i \in \mathcal{F}(p)$  converges to  $y$ . Each  $P_{y_i}$  is tangent to a unique totally geodesic spacelike hypersphere  $S_i \subset \mathcal{F}(p)$ . The limit hypersphere  $S$ , tangent to  $P_y$ , lives in a different leaf  $\mathcal{F}(y)$ . Hence one can find a neighborhood  $N(S)$  of  $S$  that separates  $S_1$  from  $S$ . But eventually,  $S_i$  is contained in  $N(S)$ . This is impossible since each  $S_i$  intersects  $S$  which shows that such a foliation cannot exist. The same ideas generalize to laminations, i.e. there cannot be a lamination by complete de Sitter leaves.

The completeness assumption of the leaves is crucial as the following example shows.

**Example 4.5** (An incomplete de Sitter foliation). Let  $\text{SO}^o(1, 2) \subset \text{SO}^o(1, 3)$  be the isotropy subgroup of a point in  $dS^{1,2}$  and  $P = \mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \text{SO}^o(1, 3)$  be a Borel subgroup (i.e.,  $P = AN$  in the  $KAN$  decomposition of  $\text{SO}^o(1, 3)$ ). The subgroup  $\text{SO}^o(1, 2)$  can be conjugated inside  $\text{SO}^o(1, 3)$  so that it becomes transverse to  $P$ . In other words,  $P$  has an open orbit when acting on  $dS^{1,2}$ . This open orbit is necessarily strict, i.e.  $P$  does not act transitively on  $dS^{1,2}$  (equivalently,  $P$  has a left invariant incomplete Lorentz metric of positive constant curvature). Consider the left action of  $P$  on  $\text{SO}^o(1, 3)/\Gamma$  where  $\Gamma \subset \text{SO}^o(1, 3)$  is a cocompact lattice. The orbits of this action define a foliation by incomplete  $dS^{1,2}$ -leaves.

## 5. THE CASE OF FLAT LORENTZ FACTOR

It remains to treat the case where the maximal flat factor of  $X$  is Lorentz. Namely,  $X = \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} \times Y$  where  $Y = G/K$  is a Riemannian symmetric space with a (algebraic) semisimple isometry group  $G$  and  $K \subset G$  is a maximal compact. In the particular situation where the Riemannian symmetric factor  $Y$  is trivial, Carrière showed that the developing map, of a compact manifold  $M$  modeled on  $X$  (i.e. flat),  $D : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$  is either a diffeomorphism (i.e.  $M$  is complete) or an embedding onto an open convex subset  $C_n \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$  which is either a half-Minkowski space whose boundary is a lightlike hyperplane or the boundary of  $C_n$  consists of two parallel lightlike hyperplanes. He then eliminated the latter two cases using a result of Goldman and Hirsch [13] that asserts that the (affine) holonomy of a compact affine manifold with a parallel volume is irreducible, i.e. does not preserve a proper affine subspace. This argument does not extend to our product situation. In fact, Frances pointed out the same observation [10, Subsect. 6.3.2] in the warped product setting where he showed the completeness assuming further dynamical properties on the isometry group of the compact manifold.

We have seen in Corollary 3.6 that if  $M$  is a Lorentz manifold locally isometric to a symmetric space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} \times Y$  then either  $\widetilde{M}$  is complete i.e. isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} \times Y$ , or  $\widetilde{M}$  is isometric  $C_n \times Y$  where  $C_n \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$  is an open convex subset whose boundary is a lightlike hyperplane or the disjoint union of two parallel lightlike hyperplanes. The latter case is easier to eliminate (see the lemma below). Thus, our problem reduces to the half-Minkowski case which turned out to be more involved.

**Lemma 5.1.**  *$C_n$  cannot be bounded between two parallel lightlike hyperplanes.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $C_n$  is bounded by two parallel lightlike hyperplanes  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . The image  $\Gamma_1 := p_1(\Gamma)$ , under the projection  $p_1 : \text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}) \times G \rightarrow \text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1})$ , preserves both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . The stabilizer of  $P_1$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R} \times \text{O}(n)) \rtimes \text{Heis}_{2n+1}$  (see Sect 5.1). Since  $\Gamma_1$  preserves also  $P_2$  then  $\Gamma_1 \subset \text{O}(n) \rtimes \text{Heis}_{2n+1}$ . But this is impossible since  $(\text{O}(n) \rtimes \text{Heis}_{2n+1}) \times G$  does not act cocompactly on  $C_n \times Y$ .  $\square$

Therefore, if  $M$  is a compact locally symmetric Lorentz manifold modeled on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} \times Y$ , then either  $M$  is complete or  $M$  is the quotient of  $C_n \times Y$  where  $C_n$  is a half-Minkowski space whose boundary is a lightlike hyperplane.

### 5.1. Algebraic formulation.

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$  be a lightlike hyperplane and  $C_n$  a half-Minkowski space with boundary  $P$ . The subgroup  $\text{LP}(n) \subset \text{O}(1, n+1) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$  of affine Lorentz transformations, leaving invariant the half-space  $C_n$ , is called the *lightlike Poincaré group*.

One can write the group  $\text{LP}(n)$  as (see Appendix A for more details)

$$\text{LP}(n) \cong (\mathbb{R} \times \text{O}(n)) \rtimes \text{Heis}_{2n+1}$$

where the  $\mathbb{R}$ -factor acts on the Heisenberg group  $\text{Heis}_{2n+1}$  by *Heisenberg homotheties*.

5.1.1. *Half-Minkowski as a homogeneous space.* The lightlike Poincaré group  $\text{LP}(n)$  acts transitively on half-Minkowski (without boundary), where the isotropy of a point is isomorphic to  $\text{O}(n) \rtimes J_n$  and  $J_n \subset \text{Heis}_{2n+1}$  an abelian (Lagrangian) subspace of dimension  $n$  which does not intersect the center of  $\text{Heis}_{2n+1}$  (see Subsect. A.1). Hence

$$C_n = \text{LP}(n) / (\text{O}(n) \rtimes J_n) \cong \text{LP}(n) / \text{Euc}_n$$

where  $\text{Euc}_n \cong \text{O}(n) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the isometry group of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclid space.

5.1.2. *Compact models.* The above discussions show that if  $M$  is a compact Lorentz manifold locally isometric to a symmetric space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} \times Y$  then either  $M$  is complete or  $M$  is the quotient of  $C_n \times Y$  by a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \text{LP}(n) \times G$  acting properly and cocompactly on  $C_n \times Y$ . One observes that if such a  $\Gamma \subset \text{LP}(n) \times G$  exists then its action on  $C_n \times G$  is also proper and cocompact. Thus, in order to prove the completeness of  $M$ , it suffices to eliminate this latter case. In fact, we prove the more general statement

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic Lie group. Then there is **no** discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \text{LP}(n) \times G$  acting properly and cocompactly on  $C_n \times G$ .*

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 5.3.** Put  $R_n = \mathbb{R} \ltimes \text{Heis}_{2n+1} \subset \text{LP}(n)$  and let

$$p_2 : \text{LP}(n) \times G = (\text{O}(n) \times G) \ltimes R_n \rightarrow \text{O}(n) \times G$$

denote the quotient projection. We define  $\Gamma_2 := p_2(\Gamma)$ .

**Fact 5.4** (Auslander [1]). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup in  $A \times B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are connected Lie groups with  $B$  solvable. Then the identity component of the closure of the projection of  $\Gamma$  to  $A$  is solvable.*

This implies that the identity component of the closure of  $\Gamma_2$  is solvable. The following lemma will be useful several times later.

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group and  $I \subset G$  a connected closed Lie subgroup. Let  $\Gamma \subset G$  be a discrete subgroup acting freely, properly, and cocompactly on  $G/I$ . Assume there is a normal closed and connected subgroup  $H \subset G$  such that the projection of  $\Gamma$  on  $G/H$  acts freely, properly, and cocompactly on  $(G/H)/(I/(I \cap H))$ . Then  $\Gamma \cap H$  acts freely, properly, and cocompactly on  $H/(H \cap I)$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  and  $\widehat{I}$  the projections of  $\Gamma$  and  $I$  on  $\widehat{G} := G/H$ . By assumption, the quotient spaces  $\Gamma \backslash (G/I)$  and  $\widehat{\Gamma} \backslash (\widehat{G}/\widehat{I})$  are compact manifolds. The natural projection  $\pi : G \rightarrow \widehat{G}$  induces a submersion  $\overline{\pi} : \Gamma \backslash (G/I) \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma} \backslash (\widehat{G}/\widehat{I})$ . Hence  $\overline{\pi}$  has closed fibers given by the projections of the cosets of  $H/(H \cap I)$  on  $\Gamma \backslash (G/I)$ . These fibers are closed submanifolds which means that  $\Gamma \cap H$  acts freely, properly, and cocompactly on  $H/(H \cap I)$ .  $\square$

Now, let  $H := \overline{\Gamma_2}$  be the closure of  $\Gamma_2$  and  $H^\circ$  be its connected identity component. The group  $H$  is a cocompact subgroup of the algebraic group  $\text{O}(n) \times G$ . If  $H^\circ = \{e\}$  then Lemma 5.5 implies that  $\Gamma \cap \text{LP}(n)$  acts freely, properly, and cocompactly on  $C_n$  which is impossible. Hence  $H^\circ$  is a non-trivial connected solvable subgroup of  $\text{O}(n) \times G$ .

Denote by  $N = N(H^\circ)$  the normalizer in  $\text{O}(n) \times G$  of the identity component  $H^\circ$ . Hence  $N$  is an algebraic group. Since  $N^\circ$  is of finite index in  $N$  and  $N$  is cocompact in  $\text{O}(n) \times G$ , then  $N^\circ$  is a cocompact connected closed Lie subgroup of  $\text{O}(n) \times G$ . Moreover,  $H \cap N^\circ$  is of finite index in  $H$ . Thus, we can assume without harm that  $H \subset N^\circ$  and, therefore,  $\Gamma \subset N^\circ \ltimes R_n$ . One checks that  $N \ltimes R_n \subset \text{LP}(n) \times G$  is the normalizer of  $H^\circ \ltimes R_n$  which shows that  $N \ltimes R_n$  is algebraic.

We also obtain that  $\pi(H) \subset N^\circ/H^\circ$  is a cocompact lattice where  $\pi : N^\circ \rightarrow N^\circ/H^\circ$  is the quotient projection. Let  $A \subset N^\circ/H^\circ$  be the amenable radical of  $N^\circ/H^\circ$ . It follows that  $\pi(H) \cap A$  is a cocompact lattice in  $A$  and the projection of  $\pi(H)$  to  $(N^\circ/H^\circ)/A$  is also a cocompact lattice, see for example [37, Theorem E.10]. We have

**Lemma 5.6.** *The subgroup  $\pi^{-1}(A) =: W \subset N^\circ$  is the amenable radical of  $N^\circ$ .*

It follows that  $q \circ p_2(\Gamma)$  is a cocompact lattice in  $N^\circ/W = (N^\circ/H^\circ)/A$  where  $q : N^\circ \rightarrow N^\circ/W$  is the quotient projection. By Lemma 5.5 we deduce that  $\Gamma_{\text{am}} = \Gamma \cap (W \ltimes R_n)$  acts properly cocompactly on  $(W \ltimes R_n)/I'$  where  $I' = (W \ltimes R_n) \cap \text{Euc}_n$ .

**Lemma 5.7.**  *$W \ltimes R_n$  is a cocompact subgroup of  $\text{LP}(n) \times W_0$  where  $W_0$  is the projection of  $W \subset \text{O}(n) \times G$  to  $G$ .*

*Proof.* The subgroup  $W \subset \mathrm{O}(n) \times G$  is cocompact in  $\mathrm{O}(n) \times W_0$ . Hence  $W \times R_n$  is cocompact in  $(\mathrm{O}(n) \times W_0) \times R_n = \mathrm{LP}(n) \times W_0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.8.**  $\Gamma_{\mathrm{am}} = \Gamma \cap (W \times R_n)$  acts properly and cocompactly on

$$(\mathrm{LP}(n) \times W_0)/\mathrm{Euc}_n = C_n \times W_0.$$

Since the amenable radical of an algebraic group is also algebraic, we obtain that  $W \times R_n \subset N \times R_n$  is, up to finite index, algebraic. Moreover,  $W \times R_n$  is amenable which implies that the discrete group  $\Gamma_{\mathrm{am}} \subset W \times R_n$  is virtually polycyclic [33, Lemma 2.2]. We apply [11, part 1.6] to obtain a syndetic hull  $S \subset W \times R_n$  (i.e. a closed connected Lie subgroup that contains the discrete group as a cocompact lattice) for a finite index subgroup of  $\Gamma_{\mathrm{am}}$  (also denoted  $\Gamma_{\mathrm{am}}$ ). Since  $\Gamma_{\mathrm{am}}$  acts properly cocompactly on  $C_n \times W_0$ , it follows that  $S$  also acts properly and cocompactly on  $C_n \times W_0$ . In particular  $S$  acts properly and cocompactly on the contractible space  $C_n \times (W_0/K_0)$  where  $K_0 \subset W_0$  is a maximal compact. Hence the  $S$ -action  $C_n \times (W_0/K_0)$  is transitive (see [19, Appendix A]). We will show that this cannot happen.

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $L$  be a connected Lie group with a maximal compact subgroup  $K_L \subset L$ . Suppose  $Q \subset \mathrm{LP}(n) \times L$  is a connected Lie subgroup acting transitively on  $C_n \times (L/K_L)$ . Then  $Q$  is non-unimodular.*

*Proof.* Let  $\widehat{J}_n$  denote the subgroup of  $\mathrm{Heis}_{2n+1}$  generated by  $J_n$  and the center of  $\mathrm{Heis}_{2n+1}$  (see Subsect. A.1). So  $\mathrm{O}(n) \times \widehat{J}_n$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathrm{LP}(n) = (\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{O}(n)) \times \mathrm{Heis}_{2n+1}$ . Let

$$\pi : \mathrm{LP}(n) \times L \rightarrow \left( \mathrm{LP}(n)/(\mathrm{O}(n) \times \widehat{J}_n) \right) \times L \simeq H_n \times L$$

denote the quotient projection where  $H_n = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is the homothetic group, i.e.  $\mathbb{R}$  acts by homotheties on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It follows that  $\pi(Q)$  acts transitively on  $H_n \times L/K_L$  which implies that  $Q_0 := \pi(Q) \cap L$  is transverse to  $K_L$  and the natural projection  $p : \pi(Q) \subset H_n \times L \rightarrow H_n$  is surjective. Let  $g = (t, k) \in \pi(Q) \subset H_n \times L$  be an element such that  $t \in H_n$  acts on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by a non-trivial homothety  $e^t \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  and  $k \in K_L \subset L$  (such an element exists since  $p$  is surjective and  $K_L$  acts transitively on  $L/Q_0$ ). It follows that  $\det(\mathrm{Ad}(g)|_{\pi(Q)}) = e^{nt}$ . Indeed,

$$\det(\mathrm{Ad}(g)|_{Q_0}) = \det(\mathrm{Ad}(k)|_{Q_0}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\mathrm{Ad}(p(g))|_{H_n}) = \det(e^t \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) = e^{nt}.$$

Now, let  $\tilde{g} \in Q$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{g}) = g$ . Then  $\det(\mathrm{Ad}(\tilde{g})|_Q) = \det(\mathrm{Ad}(\tilde{g})|_{\ker(\pi) \cap Q}) \cdot \det(\mathrm{Ad}(g)|_{\pi(Q)})$ . Since the projection of  $\tilde{g}$  to  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{O}(n)) \subset \mathrm{LP}(n)$  has  $\mathbb{R}$ -factor  $t$ , then  $\det(\mathrm{Ad}(\tilde{g})|_{\ker(\pi) \cap Q}) = e^{mt}$  for some non-negative integer  $m$ .

Consequently, we obtain  $\det(\mathrm{Ad}(\tilde{g})|_Q) = e^{(n+m)t} \neq 1$  which implies that  $Q$  is non-unimodular.  $\square$

Finally, Lemma 5.9 shows that  $S$  is non-unimodular since it acts transitively on  $C_n \times (W_0/K_0)$  which contradicts the fact that  $S$  contains a lattice. This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.3.

**Remark 5.10** (The 2-dimensional case). Observe that  $\mathrm{LP}(0) \simeq \mathrm{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$  acts simply transitively on  $C_0$ , a half-plane in  $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$  bounded by a lightlike line. If  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{LP}(0) \times G$  contradicts Theorem 5.3 (without assuming that  $G$  is algebraic), then  $\Gamma$  is a cocompact lattice in  $\mathrm{LP}(0) \times G$  which is impossible since  $\mathrm{LP}(0)$  is non-unimodular.

**Remark 5.11** (The standard case). If  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{LP}(n) \times G$  is a discrete subgroup acting freely, properly, and cocompactly on  $C_n \times G$  (without assuming  $G$  algebraic) and  $\Gamma$  is *standard*, i.e.  $\Gamma$  is a cocompact lattice in a connected Lie subgroup  $S \subset \mathrm{LP}(n) \times G$ , then we deduce as in Lemma 5.9 that  $S$  is non-unimodular which is a contradiction.

**Question 5.12** (Non-algebraic situation). It is natural to ask whether there is a Lie group  $G$  and  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{LP}(n) \times G$  that contradicts Theorem 5.3. Of course,  $G$  must be non-algebraic and, as observed in Remark 5.11,  $\Gamma$  must be non-standard!



## 6. THE REMAINING CASES

As mentioned in the introduction, the geodesic completeness of compact locally symmetric Lorentz manifolds, whose indecomposable Lorentz factor  $X^L$  is a Cahen–Wallach space or isometric to  $(\mathbb{R}, -dt^2)$ , is shown in [27] using the completeness result in [30]. In this section, we give a slightly different proof.

**6.1. The case of Cahen–Wallach factor.** Let  $X = \text{CW} \times Y$  be a simply connected symmetric space where  $Y$  is a symmetric Riemannian space and CW is a Cahen–Wallach space. Denote by  $Y^0$  the maximal flat factor of  $Y$ , i.e.  $Y = Y^0 \times Y^1$  where  $Y^1$  is a Riemannian symmetric space with a semisimple isometry group. Assume that the Cahen–Wallach factor CW is of dimension  $n + 2$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and write  $X = \mathcal{P} \times Y^1$  where  $\mathcal{P} := \text{CW} \times Y^0$  is then a *decomposable symmetric plane wave*.

**Lemma 6.1.** *The factor  $\mathcal{P}$  has a unique, up to scale, parallel lightlike vector field.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be the unique (up to scaling) parallel vector field on CW. Every other parallel  $V'$  vector field is contained in  $\mathbb{R}V \times Y^0$  in which the direction  $\mathbb{R}V$  is the unique lightlike line. Hence if  $V'$  is lightlike then necessarily  $V' \in \mathbb{R}V$ .  $\square$

**Fact 6.2** (Theorem 1.5 in [21]). *The isometry group of a complete homogeneous plane wave  $\mathcal{P}'$  (indecomposable or not) of dimension  $n + 2$  that admits a unique parallel lightlike vector field is isomorphic, up to finite index, to  $(\mathbb{R} \times K) \ltimes \text{Heis}_{2n+1}$  where  $K \subset \text{O}(n)$  is a compact connected subgroup. Moreover, the center of  $\text{Heis}_{2n+1}$  is central in a subgroup of index two in  $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{P}')$ . In addition, the flow of the (unique) parallel lightlike vector field is given by the action of center of  $\text{Heis}_{2n+1}$  on  $\mathcal{P}'$ .*

**Lemma 6.3.** *We have  $\text{Isom}(X) = \text{Isom}(\mathcal{P}) \times \text{Isom}(Y^1)$  where  $X = \mathcal{P} \times Y^1$  is as above.*

*Proof.* We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.5. Let  $p = (p_1, p_2) \in \mathcal{P} \times Y^1$ . We have  $\text{Hol}_p = \text{Hol}_{p_1} \times \text{Hol}_{p_2} \subset \mathcal{P} \times Y^1$  and it is enough to show that  $\text{Stab}(p)$  normalizes  $\text{Hol}_{p_2}$ . Indeed, since  $Y$  is a Riemannian symmetric space without a flat factor then its holonomy  $\text{Hol}_{p_2}$  coincides with the isotropy [2, Proposition 10.79]. On the other hand,  $\text{Hol}_{p_1}$  is abelian isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see [28, Proposition 3]). So  $\text{Stab}(p)$  normalizes  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \text{Hol}_{p_2}$  which implies that it also normalizes  $\text{Hol}_{p_2}$ . The fixed subspace of the  $\text{Hol}_{p_2}$ -action is the subspace at  $p$  tangent to  $\mathcal{P}$ . The rest is exactly as in the proof of Proposition 2.5.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.4.** *Let  $M$  be a compact  $(\text{Isom}(X), X)$ -manifold, then  $M$  is, up to double cover, a symmetric Brinkmann spacetime. In particular,  $M$  is geodesically complete.*

*Proof.* By Fact 6.2 and Lemma 6.3, an index-two subgroup of  $\text{Isom}(X)$  preserves a lightlike parallel vector field. Therefore, up to taking a double cover,  $M$  is a Brinkmann spacetime which is complete due to [30].  $\square$

**6.2. The timelike case.** If  $X = \mathbb{R} \times Y$  where  $Y$  is Riemannian symmetric without a flat factor, then  $\text{Isom}(X) = \text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}, -dt^2) \times \text{Isom}(Y)$ . Therefore, the isotropy of a point is  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times K$  which implies that  $X$  admits an  $\text{Isom}(X)$ -invariant Riemannian metric. In particular, any compact  $(\text{Isom}(X), X)$ -manifold is complete.

**Remark 6.5** (Timelike Killing fields). The splitting of the isometry group implies that any compact  $(\text{Isom}(X), X)$ -manifold possesses, up to a double cover, a parallel timelike vector field, which is, in particular, a Killing field. Moreover, it is shown in [35] that compact Lorentzian manifolds admitting timelike Killing fields are necessarily complete.

## 7. A GLIMPSE IN LOW DIMENSIONS

A 2-dimensional compact connected locally symmetric Lorentz manifold is, up to double cover, isometric to a Lorentz flat torus. In the 3-dimensional case, the classification is more involved and in fact contained in the works of many people. For instance, when  $M$  has a constant curvature  $\kappa$ , it follows that  $\kappa \leq 0$  by [5, 24]. In the flat case,  $M$  is up to finite cover a *solvmanifold*,

i.e.  $M = \Gamma \backslash G$  where  $G$  is a connected three dimensional unimodular solvable Lie group. The latter fact follows from [7, 11] which is extended to higher dimensions by [16, 7], showing that all compact flat Lorentz manifolds are finitely covered by solvmanifolds. In the anti de Sitter case, there are many works around the Geometry and Topology of compact  $\text{AdS}^{1,2}$ -manifolds, see for example [25, 26, 14, 12, 31, 40, 36, 18].

In the non-constant curvature case, indecomposable symmetric spaces are called Cahen–Wallach spaces. In [23] a systematic study of the existence of compact manifolds that are locally isometric to Cahen–Wallach spaces is achieved. In particular, there are no compact quotients of 3-dimensional Cahen–Wallach spaces (see also [9]).

So we are left only with the decomposable cases in dimension 3. Namely, the symmetric spaces  $\widetilde{\text{dS}}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^2$ . Only the last two examples admit compact quotients.

### 7.1. The 4-dimensional case.

*The flat case.* In addition to the fact that compact flat Lorentz manifolds are up to finite cover solvmanifold [16], an (essential) classification of their fundamental groups (in every dimension) is established in [17]. It follows, in particular, from [17, Theorem 1.10] that the fundamental group of a compact flat Lorentz 4-manifold is (virtually) either nilpotent or isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^3$ .

*The Cahen–Wallach case.* Concerning their topology, compact Cahen–Wallach are not always standard, in particular, their isometry groups are not always algebraic! In fact, there are non-standard examples even in dimension 4 [19, Example 6.3], in contrast to the 3-dimensional situation. On the other hand, their fundamental groups are fairly understood. They are either virtually nilpotent (in this case they are nilmanifolds) or virtually isomorphic  $\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma_0$  where  $\Gamma_0$  is a lattice of  $\text{Heis}_3$  (see [23, Section 8]).

*Anti de Sitter and de Sitter cases.* Due to a variant of the Gauss-Bonnet formula, compact anti de Sitter spaces do not exist in even dimensions [25, Corollary 2.10]. On the other hand, the Calabi Markus phenomenon eliminates also the de Sitter case.

*The decomposable cases.* It remains to treat the following list of decomposable Lorentz symmetric spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^3, \quad \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^3, \quad \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{H}^2, \quad \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{S}^2, \quad \widetilde{\text{dS}}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \widetilde{\text{dS}}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{H}^2, \quad \widetilde{\text{dS}}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{S}^2, \\ &\widetilde{\text{AdS}}^{1,2} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{dS}^{1,2} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{CW}_h \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{CW}_e \times \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{CW}_h$  and  $\text{CW}_e$  denote the (indecomposable) Cahen–Wallach spaces of *hyperbolic* and *elliptic* types respectively (we note that  $\text{AdS}^{1,1}$  and  $\text{dS}^{1,1}$  are the same homogeneous space, they are both equal to  $\text{SO}(1,2)/\text{SO}(1,1)$ ). A “classification” of all compact quotients of each space is achievable. When the maximal flat Lorentz factor is non-trivial we have the following result on the “rationality” of the flat leaves.

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let  $X$  be either  $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{H}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^3$  and  $M$  be a compact manifold locally modeled  $X$ . Then the flat leaves of  $M$  are closed.*

**Lemma 7.2** ([16]). *Let  $G$  be a non-compact connected amenable subgroup of  $\text{O}(1, n)$ . Then the normalizer of  $G$  is amenable.*

*Proof of Proposition 7.1.* Let  $(D, \rho)$  be a developing pair for  $M$  and put  $\Gamma := \rho(\pi_1(M))$ .

**The case where  $X = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^3$ .** Denote by  $p : \mathbb{R} \times \text{SO}(1, 3) \approx \text{Isom}(X) \rightarrow \text{SO}(1, 3)$  the natural projection and put  $H = p(\Gamma)$ . So  $H \subset \text{SO}(1, 3)$  is cocompact and  $H^o$  is solvable by Fact 5.4.

If  $H^o$  is non-compact then, by Lemma 7.2,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group contained in the amenable group  $\mathbb{R} \times H$ . In particular,  $\Gamma$  is virtually solvable. Using the fact that the product  $\mathbb{R} \times \text{SO}(1, 3)$  is an algebraic group we get a syndetic hull  $S \subset \mathbb{R} \times \text{SO}(1, 3)$  of a finite-index subgroup of  $\Gamma$

(also denoted by  $\Gamma$ ). Since  $S$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^3$  then  $\dim S \geq 4$ . If  $\dim S > 4$  then  $\dim p(S) > 3$  and  $p(S)$  is necessarily unimodular. This is impossible as all proper unimodular subgroups of  $\text{SO}(1, 3)$  are of dimension  $< 3$ . We conclude that  $\dim S = 4$  and  $\dim p(S) = 3$ . Moreover,  $p(S)$  is solvable and unimodular acting cocompactly on  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . It follows that  $p(S)$  is transverse to  $\text{SO}(3)$  and its action on  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is transitive. Because  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is simply connected, the action is free and transitive. However, such a group cannot be unimodular which is a contradiction (in fact, a theorem of Milnor [32, Theorem 1.6] shows that Lie groups admitting left-invariant negatively curved Riemannian metrics are necessarily solvable non-unimodular).

If  $H^o$  is non-trivial and compact, then  $H^o = \text{SO}(2) \subset \text{SO}(3)$  and  $N(H^o) \approx \text{SO}(1, 1) \times \text{SO}(2)$  where  $N(H^o)$  denotes the normalizer of  $H^o$ . However,  $\text{SO}(1, 1) \times \text{SO}(2) \subset \text{SO}(1, 3)$  is not cocompact which contradicts the fact that  $H \subset N(H^o)$ . If  $H^o$  is trivial, i.e.  $p(\Gamma)$  is a cocompact lattice in  $\text{SO}(1, 3)$ , then by Lemma 5.5 we get that  $\Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}$  is a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**The case when  $X = \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{H}^2$ .** Let  $\Gamma \subset G := \text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^{1,1}) \times \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2)$  be a discrete group that acts properly and cocompactly on  $X$ . The identity component  $H^o$  of  $H := \overline{p(\Gamma)}$  is solvable by Fact 5.4 where  $p : G \rightarrow \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2) = \text{SO}(1, 2)$  is the projection. If  $H^o$  is trivial then by Lemma 5.5 we have that  $\Gamma \cap \text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^{1,1})$  acts cocompactly on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$  and we are done. If  $H^o$  is not trivial then the identity component of the normalizer  $N(H^o)$  isomorphic to  $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$ . Indeed, if  $H^o$  is a hyperbolic (or elliptic) one-parameter group then, up to finite index,  $N(H^o)$  is  $H^o$  itself which is not cocompact in  $\text{SO}(1, 2)$ . If  $H^o$  is parabolic then its normalizer is, up to finite index, a copy of  $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$ . It follows that (virtually)  $\Gamma \subset G' := \text{Sol} \times \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$  where  $\text{Sol} = \text{SO}(1, 1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$ . So  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic and, because  $G'$  is an algebraic subgroup of the algebraic group  $G$ , we obtain a syndetic hull  $S \subset G'$  of  $\Gamma$ . The  $S$ -action on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{H}^2$  is therefore proper and transitive, which implies that  $\dim(S)$  is exactly 4. One checks that there is no 4-dimensional unimodular group  $S \subset G'$  with these properties.  $\square$

We conclude that if  $M$  is a compact connected 4-manifold locally modeled on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^3$  (resp. on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{H}^2$ ), then  $M$  is (geometrically) an  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -bundle over a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold (resp. a  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle over a closed hyperbolic surface).

#### APPENDIX A. THE LIGHTLIKE POINCARÉ GROUP

Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the Lorentz inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ , with coordinates  $(v, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, u)$ , whose quadratic form equals  $2dvdu + \sum x_i^2$ . Let  $L_n \subset \text{O}(1, n+1)$  be the subgroup of Lorentz linear transformations leaving invariant the lightlike hyperplane  $P := \{u = 0\}$ . An element  $B \in L_n$  has the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \beta^\top & a \\ 0 & A & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $A \in \text{O}(n)$ . For  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  we have

$$BX = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \beta^\top & a \\ 0 & A & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \delta \\ u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda v + \beta^\top \delta + au \\ A\delta + u\alpha \\ \lambda^{-1}u \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies  $X = (v, \delta, u)^\top$ . A straightforward computation shows that

$$\langle BX, BX \rangle = 2vu + \|\delta\|^2 + 2u(\lambda^{-1}\beta^\top \delta + (A\delta)^\top \alpha) + u^2(2\lambda^{-1}a + \|\alpha\|^2) = 2vu + \|\delta\|^2$$

for all  $v, u$  and  $\delta$ . This shows that

$$(\lambda^{-1}\beta^\top \delta + (A\delta)^\top \alpha) = (2\lambda^{-1}a + \|\alpha\|^2) = 0$$

for all  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . But,

$$(\lambda^{-1}\beta^\top \delta + (A\delta)^\top \alpha) = (\lambda^{-1}\beta^\top \delta + (A^{-1}\alpha)^\top \delta) = (\lambda^{-1}\beta + A^{-1}\alpha)^\top \delta.$$

We obtain that  $\alpha = -\lambda^{-1}A\beta$  and  $a = -\frac{\lambda^{-1}}{2}\|\beta\|^2$ . In other words, the elements of  $L_n$  are

$$L_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \beta^\top & -\frac{\lambda^{-1}}{2}\|\beta\|^2 \\ 0 & A & -\lambda^{-1}A\beta \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, A \in O(n) \right\}.$$

One verifies that  $L_n \simeq (\mathbb{R}^* \times O(n)) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n \simeq \text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denotes the affine similarity group of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We denote by  $L_n^+$  the index-two subgroup of  $L_n$  with  $\lambda > 0$ .

The *lightlike Poincaré group*  $\text{LP}(n)$  is the subgroup  $\text{LP}(n) := L_n^+ \ltimes P$  of the Poincaré group  $O(1, n+1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ . We can rewrite  $\text{LP}(n)$  as

$$\text{LP}(n) = ((\mathbb{R} \times O(n)) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{n+1} = (\mathbb{R} \times O(n)) \ltimes (\mathbb{R}^n \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) = (\mathbb{R} \times O(n)) \ltimes \text{Heis}_{2n+1}$$

where  $(\mathbb{R} \times O(n))$  acts on  $\text{Heis}_{2n+1}$  by *Heisenberg similarities* in the following sense. Let  $\omega$  denote the standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{heis}_{2n+1}$  of the Heisenberg group  $\text{Heis}_{2n+1}$  can be written as  $\mathfrak{heis}_{2n+1} = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  endowed with the bracket relation  $[v, w] = \omega(v, w)\xi$  for all  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . The orthogonal group  $O(n)$  acts on  $\mathfrak{heis}_{2n+1}$  fixing the center  $\mathbb{R}\xi$  and acting diagonally on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$  where the splitting is the standard Lagrangian splitting. The homothety action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $\mathfrak{heis}_{2n+1} = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is given by the representation  $t \mapsto e^{2t}\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus e^t\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ . This  $\mathbb{R}$ -action commutes with the  $O(n)$ -action and together they generate the Heisenberg similarity action of  $\mathbb{R} \times O(n)$  on  $\text{Heis}_{2n+1}$ .

**A.1. Action on half-Minkowski.** Let  $C_n$  denote the half-Minkowski space, defined as  $C_n := \{u > 0\}$ . The group  $\text{LP}(n)$  acts transitively on  $C_n$ . Let  $I_n \subset \text{LP}(n)$  be the stabilizer inside  $\text{LP}(n)$  of the vector  $u = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in C_n$ . An element  $E \in I_n$  has the form

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \beta^\top & -\frac{\lambda^{-1}}{2}\|\beta\|^2 \\ 0 & A & -\lambda^{-1}A\beta \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \delta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One checks that  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $v = \frac{\|\beta\|^2}{2}$ , and  $\delta = A\beta$ . In other words,

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta^\top & -\frac{\|\beta\|^2}{2} \\ 0 & A & -A\beta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\|\beta\|^2}{2} \\ A\beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,  $I_n = O(n) \ltimes J_n \subset (\mathbb{R} \times O(n)) \ltimes \text{Heis}_{2n+1}$  where  $J_n \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  is an  $O(n)$ -invariant subgroup of  $\text{Heis}_{2n+1}$  that does not intersect the center of  $\text{Heis}_{2n+1}$ . So

$$C_n = ((\mathbb{R} \times O(n)) \ltimes \text{Heis}_{2n+1}) / (O(n) \ltimes J_n).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Louis Auslander. On radicals of discrete subgroups of Lie groups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 85:145–150, 1963.
- [2] Arthur L Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Springer, 2007.
- [3] Robert A. Blumenthal. Transversely homogeneous foliations. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 29(4):vii, 143–158, 1979.
- [4] M. Cahen and N. Wallach. Lorentzian symmetric spaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76:585–591, 1970.
- [5] E. Calabi and L. Markus. Relativistic space forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 75:63–76, 1962.
- [6] Yves Carrière. Flots riemanniens. *Astérisque*, 116:31–52, 1984.
- [7] Yves Carrière. Autour de la conjecture de L. Markus sur les variétés affines. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 95(3):615–628, 1989.
- [8] Georges de Rham. Sur la reductibilité d'un espace de Riemann. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 26:328–344, 1952.
- [9] Sorin Dumitrescu and Abdelghani Zeghib. Géométries lorentziennes de dimension 3: classification et complétude. *Geom. Dedicata*, 149:243–273, 2010.
- [10] Charles Frances. Isometry group of Lorentz manifolds: a coarse perspective. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 31(5):1095–1159, 2021.
- [11] David Fried and William M Goldman. Three-dimensional affine crystallographic groups. *Advances in Mathematics*, 47(1):1–49, 1983.
- [12] Étienne Ghys. Flots d'Anosov dont les feuilletages stables sont différentiables. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 20(2):251–270, 1987.

- [13] William Goldman and Morris W. Hirsch. The radiance obstruction and parallel forms on affine manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 286(2):629–649, 1984.
- [14] William M. Goldman. Nonstandard Lorentz space forms. *J. Differential Geom.*, 21(2):301–308, 1985.
- [15] William M Goldman. *Geometric structures on manifolds*, volume 227. American Mathematical Society, 2022.
- [16] William M Goldman and Yoshinobu Kamishima. The fundamental group of a compact flat lorentz space form is virtually polycyclic. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 19(1):233–240, 1984.
- [17] Fritz Grunewald and Gregori Margulis. Transitive and quasitransitive actions of affine groups preserving a generalized Lorentz-structure. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 5(4):493–531, 1988.
- [18] Francois Guéritaud and Fanny Kassel. Maximally stretched laminations on geometrically finite hyperbolic manifolds. *Geom. Topol.*, 21(2):693–840, 2017.
- [19] Malek Hanounah, Ines Kath, Lilia Mehidi, and Abdelghani Zeghib. Topology and dynamics of compact plane waves. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2025.
- [20] Malek Hanounah and Lilia Mehidi. On completeness of foliated structures, and null killing fields. *Mathematische Annalen*, pages 1–40, 2025.
- [21] Malek Hanounah, Lilia Mehidi, and Abdelghani Zeghib. On homogeneous plane waves. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.07459*, 2023.
- [22] Takashi Inaba and Kazuo Masuda. Tangentially affine foliations and leafwise affine functions on the torus. *Kodai Mathematical Journal*, 16(1):32–43, 1993.
- [23] Ines Kath and Martin Olbrich. Compact quotients of Cahen-Wallach spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 262(1264):v+84, 2019.
- [24] Bruno Klingler. Complétude des variétés lorentziennes à courbure constante. *Mathematische Annalen*, 306(2):353–370, 1996.
- [25] Ravi S. Kulkarni. Proper actions and pseudo-Riemannian space forms. *Adv. in Math.*, 40(1):10–51, 1981.
- [26] Ravi S. Kulkarni and Frank Raymond. 3-dimensional Lorentz space-forms and Seifert fiber spaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 21(2):231–268, 1985.
- [27] Thomas Leistner and Thomas Munn. Completeness of certain compact Lorentzian locally symmetric spaces. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 361:819–824, 2023.
- [28] Thomas Leistner and Daniel Schliebner. Completeness of compact lorentzian manifolds with abelian holonomy. *Mathematische Annalen*, 364(3-4):1469–1503, 2016.
- [29] Jerrold Marsden. On completeness of homogeneous pseudo-riemannian manifolds. *Ind. Univ. Math. J.*, 22:1065–1066, 1973.
- [30] Lilia Mehidi and Abdelghani Zeghib. On completeness and dynamics of compact brinkmann spacetimes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.07243*, 2022.
- [31] Geoffrey Mess. Lorentz spacetimes of constant curvature. *Geom. Dedicata*, 126:3–45, 2007.
- [32] John Milnor. Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups. *Advances in Math.*, 21(3):293–329, 1976.
- [33] John Milnor. On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds. *Advances in Mathematics*, 25(2):178–187, 1977.
- [34] Pierre Molino and Grant Cairns. *Riemannian foliations*, volume 73. Springer, 1988.
- [35] Alfonso Romero and Miguel Sánchez. Completeness of compact lorentz manifolds admitting a timelike conformal killing vector field. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 123(9):2831–2833, 1995.
- [36] Francois Salein. Variétés anti-de Sitter de dimension 3 exotiques. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 50(1):257–284, 2000.
- [37] Alexander N. Starkov. *Dynamical systems on homogeneous spaces*, volume 190 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. Translated from the 1999 Russian original by the author.
- [38] William P Thurston. *The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds: With a Preface by Steven P. Kerckhoff*, volume 27. American Mathematical Society, 2022.
- [39] H. Wu. On the de Rham decomposition theorem. *Illinois J. Math.*, 8:291–311, 1964.
- [40] Abdelghani Zeghib. On closed anti-de Sitter spacetimes. *Math. Ann.*, 310(4):695–716, 1998.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT,  
BOCHUM, GERMANY  
*Email address:* souheib.allout@rub.de

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, GREIFSWALD UNIVERSITÄT,  
GREIFSWALD, GERMANY  
*Email address:* malek.hanounah@uni-greifswald.de