

# Anisotropic conformal change of conic pseudo-Finsler surfaces, II

Nabil L. Youssef<sup>1</sup>, S. G. Elgendi<sup>2</sup>, A. A. Kotb<sup>3</sup> and Ebtsam H. Taha<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Islamic University of Madinah, Madinah, Kingdom of Saudia Arabia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Damietta University, Damietta , Egypt

nlyoussef@sci.cu.edu.eg, nlyoussef2003@yahoo.fr  
selgendi@iu.edu.sa, salahelgendi@yahoo.com  
alikutb@du.edu.eg, kotbmath2010@yahoo.com  
ebtsam.taha@sci.cu.edu.eg, ebtsam.h.taha@hotmail.com

## Abstract

This paper is a continuation of our investigation of the anisotropic conformal change of a conic pseudo-Finsler surface  $(M, F)$ , namely, the change  $\bar{F}(x, y) = e^{\phi(x, y)} F(x, y)$  [24]. We obtain the relationship between some important geometric objects of  $F$  and their corresponding objects of  $\bar{F}$ , such as Berwald, Landsberg and Douglas tensors, as well as the T-tensor. In contrast to isotropic conformal transformation, under an anisotropic conformal transformation, we find out the necessary and sufficient conditions for a Riemannian surface to be anisotropically conformal transformed to Berwald or Landsberg or Douglas surfaces. Consequently, we determine under what condition the geodesic spray of a two-dimensional pseudo-Berwald metric  $\bar{F}$  is Riemann metrizable by a two-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian metric  $F$ . We show an example of a conformal transformation of a Riemannian metric  $F$  that is not geodesically equivalent to a Riemannian metric but is instead Berwaldian. Also, we determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for  $F$  to be anisotropically conformally flat (i.e.,  $\bar{F}$  is Minkowskian). Moreover, we identify the required conditions for preserving the  $T$ -condition under an anisotropic conformal change. Finally, we establish the necessary conditions for a Riemannian metric to be anisotropically conformal to a Douglas metric.

**Keywords:** conic pseudo-Finsler surface; modified Berwald frame; anisotropic conformal change; Landsberg surfaces; Berwald surfaces; T-tensor

**MSC 2020:** 53B40, 53C60

# Introduction

A conic pseudo-Berwald space is a subclass of conic pseudo-Finsler spaces that admit a unique metric-compatible, torsion-free affine connection. In these spaces, the coefficients of the geodesic spray are quadratic in the directional argument  $y$  or, equivalently, the nonlinear (Barthel) connection coefficients are linear in  $y$  [2]. A conic pseudo-Finsler metric is said to be conformally Berwald or conformally flat if it can be conformally changed to a Berwald or Minkowski metric, respectively. Under (an isotropic) conformal transformation, Hashiguchi [10] discussed some special Finsler metrics such as Minkowski, Landsberg and Berwald metrics. Furthermore, he found the conditions for a Finsler space to be conformal to one of these spaces. Under a non-homothetic conformal transformation of Finsler surfaces, he found a necessary condition for the properties of being Landsbergian, Minkowskian and Berwaldian to be preserved. The necessary and sufficient conditions for certain  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics as well as Finsler metrics to have a scalar field  $S(x, y)$  satisfying either Kikuchi's assumption or conditions weaker than Kikuchi's assumption to be conformally Berwald have been found in [11, 14, 20, 22]. The conditions under which Randers space is conformally flat have been obtained in [12]. Additionally, the criteria for a three-dimensional Landsberg conformally flat space is Berwaldian, as has been determined in [17]. The class of two-dimensional Finsler spaces exhibits unique geometric properties that distinguish it from higher dimensional Finsler spaces [1, 2, 15, 18, 21]. Studying anisotropic conformal transformation encounters some difficulties as the conformal factor depends not only on the position (as in conformal transformation) but also on the directional argument. In [24], the investigation of anisotropic conformal change of a conic pseudo-Finsler surface ( $F(x, y) \mapsto \bar{F}(x, y) = e^{\phi(x, y)} F(x, y)$ ) has been started. In this paper, we continue what we have started in [24]. In §2, we give some important technical lemmas that will be used in the sequel. In §3, we find out the anisotropic transformation of Berwald curvature. Consequently, we get the required condition for  $F$  to be anisotropically conformally Berwald. The Riemannian metric can be changed to any non-Riemannian conic pseudo-Finsler in an anisotropic way, as opposed to an isotropic way. For example, see Proposition 3.4. Consequently, we discuss under what conditions the geodesic spray of  $(M, \bar{F})$  coincides with the geodesic spray of a Riemannian surface  $(M, F)$ , which is called the Riemann metrizable of the Berwald surface  $(M, \bar{F})$ .

In the case of positive definite Finsler metrics, Szabó's metrization theorem [19] states that every metric of Berwald type is Riemannian metrizable. In other words, there exists a Riemannian metric on  $M$  and the Berwald connection is exactly the Levi-Civita connection associated with this Riemannian metric. In [8, 13, 23], the authors have investigated the conditions required for extending Szabó's metrization theorem to Finsler spacetime. A new characterization of Finsler metrics of Berwald type has been introduced in [16]. Under the anisotropic conformal change, we investigate under what conditions the geodesic spray of a Berwald metric  $\bar{F}$  coincides with the geodesic spray of a Riemannian metric  $F$ . We provide an example in which the geodesic spray of a Berwald metric  $\bar{F}$  does not coincide with the geodesic spray of a Riemannian metric  $F$ . This example is a counterexample to Szabó's metrization theorem [19] of pseudo-Finsler surfaces.

Additionally, in §4 we find the transformation of the Landsberg scalar and  $T$ -tensor. The  $T$ -condition refers to the vanishing of the  $T$ -tensor [7]. Under an anisotropic conformal change, we find out the conditions that preserve the  $T$ -condition. Moreover, we investigate the conditions under which the conic pseudo-Finsler metric  $\bar{F}$  is Landsbergian. Consequently, Proposition 4.8 gives the conditions that make a Landsberg metric  $\bar{F}$  Berwaldian. Finally, in §5 we examine the conditions under which  $\bar{F}$  becomes either a Minkowski or Douglas metric under an anisotropic conformal transformation. We deduce that  $F$  is anisotropically conformally flat if and only if  $F\partial_i\phi + \partial_i F = 0$ .

The Douglas tensor remains invariant under projective changes. Consequently, if  $F$  is Riemannian, Berwald or projectively flat with  $Q = 0$ , then  $\overline{F}$  is a Douglas metric. More generally, for a conic pseudo-Berwald Finsler surface,  $\overline{F}$  is a Douglas metric if and only if  $3\psi = \varepsilon\chi_{;2} + \mathcal{I}\chi$ , where the functions  $\psi$  and  $\chi$  are explicitly defined in terms of  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and their derivatives.

We end the paper with a conclusion that highlights the present work.

## 1 Notation and Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$  denote the canonical projection. Let  $TM_0 := TM \setminus (0)$  be the slit tangent bundle and  $(x^i, y^i)$  be the local coordinate system on  $TM$ . The almost-tangent structure  $J$  of  $TM$  is the vector 1-form given by  $J = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes dx^i$ . The globally defined vertical vector field  $\mathcal{C} = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$  on  $TM$  is known as the Liouville vector field. The notation  $C^\infty(TM_0)$  typically refers to the set of smooth functions on  $TM_0$ . A function  $f \in C^\infty(TM_0)$  is said to be positively homogeneous of degree  $r$  in the directional argument  $y$  (and denoted by  $h(r)$ ) if

$$f(x, \lambda y) = \lambda^r f(x, y) \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

A spray on  $M$  is a special vector field  $S$  on  $TM$  such that  $JS = \mathcal{C}$  and  $[\mathcal{C}, S] = S$ . Locally, a spray is given by [9]

$$S = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i},$$

where  $G^i(x, y)$  are the spray coefficients which are  $h(2)$ . A nonlinear (Ehresmann or Barthel) connection provides a smooth decomposition of the tangent bundle  $TM_0$  into horizontal  $H(TM_0)$  and vertical  $V(TM_0)$  subbundles. For each point  $u = (x, y)$  on  $TM_0$ , the local basis of  $V_u(TM_0)$  and  $H_u(TM_0)$  are defined, respectively by,  $\dot{\partial}_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$  and  $\delta_i := \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - G_i^j \dot{\partial}_j$ , where  $G_i^j = \dot{\partial}_i G^j$  are the coefficients of the Barthel connection. The Berwald connection and Berwald curvature are given, respectively, by  $G_{ij}^h := \dot{\partial}_i G_j^h$  and  $G_{ijk}^h := \dot{\partial}_k G_{ij}^h$ .

A conic sub-bundle of  $TM$  is a non-empty open subset  $\mathcal{A} \subset TM_0$  which is invariant under scaling of tangent vectors by positive real numbers and satisfies  $\pi(\mathcal{A}) = M$ .

**Definition 1.1.** [23] *A conic pseudo-Finsler metric on  $M$  is a smooth  $h(1)$  function  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies for each point of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the Finsler metric tensor  $g_{ij}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j F^2(x, y)$  is non-degenerate. The pair  $(M, F)$  is called a conic pseudo-Finsler manifold and  $L = F^2$  is called the conic pseudo-Finsler Lagrangian.*

For a conic pseudo-Finsler surface, the angular metric matrix  $(h_{ij})$  has rank one. Thus, a unique 1-form  $m_i(x, y)$  can be chosen with  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  to express  $h_{ij}$  [1] as  $h_{ij} = \varepsilon m_i m_j$ . Since we have  $g_{ij} = \ell_i \ell_j + h_{ij}$ , where  $\ell_i = \dot{\partial}_i F$  and  $\ell^i = \frac{y^i}{F}$ . Then, the Finsler metric tensor can be written as

$$g_{ij} = \ell_i \ell_j + \varepsilon m_i m_j. \quad (1.1)$$

Obviously,

$$\ell^i \ell_i = 1 \quad \ell^i m_i = \ell_i m^i = 0, \quad m^i m_i = \varepsilon. \quad (1.2)$$

Hence  $(\ell^i, m^i)$  is an orthonormal frame which is called a modified Berwald frame. Moreover, we have  $\mathbf{g} = \det(g_{ij}) = \varepsilon(\ell_1 m_2 - \ell_2 m_1)^2$ . Hence, we can say that  $\varepsilon$  is the signature of the metric. Furthermore, by [24, Lemma 2.7], we obtain

$$(m^1, m^2) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon \mathbf{g}}}(-\ell_2, \ell_1), \quad (m_1, m_2) = \sqrt{\varepsilon \mathbf{g}}(-\ell^2, \ell^1), \quad (1.3)$$

It should be noted that there is a missing  $\varepsilon$  in the formulae of  $m^1$  and  $m^2$  in [24, Lemma 2.7].

From (1.3) and  $\delta_i F = \partial_i F - G_i^r \ell_r = 0$ , we get

$$2G^i = y^r (\partial_r F) \ell^i + \frac{F^2 (\dot{\partial}_2 \partial_1 F - \dot{\partial}_1 \partial_2 F)}{h} m^i, \quad (1.4)$$

The main scalar  $\mathcal{I}(x, y)$  is an  $h(0)$  smooth function defined, from the Cartan tensor [5], by

$$FC_{ijk} = \mathcal{I} m_i m_j m_k. \quad (1.5)$$

**Lemma 1.2.** [15] *Assume  $(M, F)$  is a conic pseudo-Finsler surface. Then we get the following:*

- (a)  $F \dot{\partial}_j \ell_i = \varepsilon m_i m_j = h_{ij}, \quad F \dot{\partial}_j \ell^i = \varepsilon m^i m_j,$   
(b)  $F \dot{\partial}_j m_i = -(\ell_i - \varepsilon \mathcal{I} m_i) m_j, \quad F \dot{\partial}_j m^i = -(\ell^i + \varepsilon \mathcal{I} m^i) m_j.$

For  $f \in C^\infty(TM_0)$ , we define the v-scalar derivatives  $(f_{;1}, f_{;2})$  and h-scalar derivatives  $(f_{,1}, f_{,2})$  with respect to  $F$  by [1, §3.5]:

$$f_{|i} = F \dot{\partial}_i f = f_{;1} \ell_i + f_{;2} m_i \quad f|_i = \delta_i f = f_{,1} \ell_i + f_{,2} m_i, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$f_{;1} = y^i \dot{\partial}_i f, \quad f_{;2} = \varepsilon F (\dot{\partial}_i f) m^i, \quad (1.7)$$

$$f_{,1} = (\delta_i f) \ell^i, \quad f_{,2} = \varepsilon (\delta_i f) m^i. \quad (1.8)$$

**Definition 1.3.** *A function  $f \in C^\infty(TM_0)$  is said to be horizontally constant if  $\delta_i f = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $f_{,1} = f_{,2} = 0$ .*

Specifically, if  $f$  is  $h(r)$ , then  $f_{;1} = rf$ . The commutation formulas of  $h(0)$  function  $f$  are given by [5]:

$$f_{,1;2} - f_{,2;1} = -Rf_{;2}, \quad (1.9)$$

$$f_{,1;2} - f_{,2;1} = f_{;2}, \quad (1.10)$$

$$f_{;2;2} - f_{;2;2} = -\varepsilon (f_{,1} + \mathcal{I} f_{;2} + \mathcal{I}_{,1} f_{;2}), \quad (1.11)$$

where  $R$  is the Gauss curvature or the  $h$ -scalar curvature.

**Definition 1.4.** [2] *The  $T$ -tensor, Landsberg scalar, Berwald curvature and Douglas tensor of the conic pseudo-Finsler surface  $(M, F)$  are given, respectively, by*

$$FT_{ijkh} = \mathcal{I}_{;2} m_i m_j m_k m_h, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\mathcal{J} = S(\mathcal{I}) = F \mathcal{I}_{,1}, \quad (1.13)$$

$$FB_{jkr}^i = [-2\mathcal{I}_{,1} \ell^i + \mathcal{I}_2 m^i] m_j m_k m_r, \quad (1.14)$$

$$3FD_{jkr}^i = - (6\mathcal{I}_{,1} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{2;2} + 2\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}_2) \ell^i m_h m_j m_k, \quad (1.15)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}_{,1;2} + \mathcal{I}_{,2}$ .

Consequently, in a Finsler surface  $(M, F)$  we have the following:

- (a)  $F$  is Landsbergian if and only if  $\mathcal{I}_{,1} = 0$ .

(b)  $F$  is Berwaldian if and only if  $\mathcal{I}_{,1} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{,2} = 0$ .

(c)  $F$  satisfies the  $T$ -condition ( $F$  has a vanishing  $T$ -tensor) if and only if  $\mathcal{I}_{,2} = 0$ .

(d)  $F$  is Douglasian if and only if  $6\mathcal{I}_{,1} + \varepsilon\mathcal{I}_{2,2} + 2\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}_2 = 0$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be a two-dimensional conic pseudo-Finsler space and  $f$  be a smooth  $h(0)$  function on  $TM_0$ . The function  $f$  is constant if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

(a)  $f_{,1} = f_{,2} = 0$ .

(b)  $f_{,2} = f_{;2} = 0$ .

(c)  $f$  is a horizontally constant function provided that  $R \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is direct consequence of (1.9), (1.10) and (1.11).  $\square$

**Definition 1.6.** [24] *The anisotropic conformal change of a conic pseudo-Finsler metric  $F$  is defined as*

$$F \longmapsto \bar{F}(x, y) = e^{\phi(x, y)} F(x, y), \quad F^2(\dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j \phi + (\dot{\partial}_i \phi)(\dot{\partial}_j \phi)) m^i m^j + \varepsilon \neq 0, \quad (1.16)$$

given that the conformal factor  $\phi(x, y)$  is a smooth  $h(0)$  function on  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this case, we say that  $F$  is anisotropically conformally changed to  $\bar{F}$ .

In [24], we have discussed the anisotropic conformal change of a conic pseudo-Finsler surface  $(M, F)$  equipped with a modified Berwald frame and determined how this change affects the components of the Berwald frame  $(\ell, m)$  of  $F$ , that is,

$$\bar{\ell}_i = e^\phi [l_i + \phi_{;2} m_i], \quad \bar{\ell}^i = e^{-\phi} \ell^i, \quad (1.17)$$

$$\bar{m}_i = e^\phi \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}} m_i, \quad \bar{m}^i = e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\varepsilon \rho} [m^i - \varepsilon \phi_{;2} \ell^i], \quad (1.18)$$

where,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{\sigma + \varepsilon - (\phi_{;2})^2}, \quad \sigma = \phi_{;2;2} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I} \phi_{;2} + 2(\phi_{;2})^2. \quad (1.19)$$

Consequently, the anisotropic conformal transformation of the main scalar  $\mathcal{I}$  is given by [24]

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}} = (\varepsilon \rho)^{\frac{3}{2}} [\mathcal{I}(1 + \varepsilon \sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{;2} + \phi_{;2}(\sigma + 2\varepsilon)], \quad (1.20)$$

$$= \sqrt{\varepsilon \rho} [\mathcal{I} + 2\varepsilon \phi_{;2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\ln \rho)_{;2}]. \quad (1.21)$$

Furthermore, the anisotropic conformal change of the geodesic spray coefficients, Barthel connection and Berwald connection are given, respectively, by

$$\bar{G}^i = G^i + Q m^i + P \ell^i, \quad (1.22)$$

$$\bar{G}_j^i = G_j^i + \frac{1}{F} \{2P \ell^i \ell_j + (P_{;2} - Q) \ell^i m_j + 2Q \ell_j m^i + (\varepsilon P + Q_{;2} - \varepsilon \mathcal{I} Q) m^i m_j\}, \quad (1.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{G}_{jk}^i &= G_{jk}^i + \frac{1}{F^2} [(2P \ell^i + 2Q m^i) \ell_j \ell_k + \{(P_{;2} - Q) \ell^i + (\varepsilon P + Q_{;2} - \varepsilon \mathcal{I} Q) m^i\} \\ &\quad (\ell_j m_k + \ell_k m_j) + \{(\varepsilon P + P_{;2;2} - 2Q_{;2} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I} P_{;2}) \ell^i + (2\varepsilon P_{;2} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon Q + Q_{;2;2} - \varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{,2} Q - \varepsilon \mathcal{I} Q_{;2}) m^i\} m_j m_k], \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

$$2Q = \varepsilon\rho F^2(\phi_{;2}\phi_{,1} + \phi_{,1;2} - 2\phi_{,2}), \quad (1.25)$$

$$2P = -\rho F^2\phi_{;2}(\phi_{;2}\phi_{,1} + \phi_{,1;2} - 2\phi_{,2}) + F^2\phi_{,1}. \quad (1.26)$$

Clearly, from (1.25) and (1.26), we get

$$2\varepsilon\phi_{;2}Q + 2P = F^2\phi_{,1}. \quad (1.27)$$

Since, any conic pseudo-Finsler metric is horizontally constant, we obtain

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} (1) F^2\ell^k \partial_k\phi = F^2\phi_{,1} + 2G^k\phi_{;2} m_k, & (2) Fm^k \partial_k\phi = \varepsilon F\phi_{,2} + G_k^i\phi_{;2} m^k m_i, \\ (3) \ell^k \partial_k F^2 = 4G^k\ell_k, & (4) m^k \partial_k F^2 = 2FG_k^i\ell_i m^k. \end{array} \right\} \quad (1.28)$$

## 2 Technical Lemmas and Some Properties

Assume that  $(M, F)$  is a two-dimensional conic pseudo-Finsler space equipped with the modified Berwald frame  $(l, m)$ . Under the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16),  $(l, m)$  is transformed to  $(\bar{l}, \bar{m})$ . Consequently, one can assume that for all  $f \in C^\infty(TM_0)$ , the v-scalar derivatives  $(f_{;a}, f_{;b})$  and h-scalar derivatives  $(f_{,a}, f_{,b})$  with respect to  $\bar{F}$  are defined by

$$\bar{F}\dot{\partial}_i f = f_{;a}\bar{\ell}^i + f_{;b}\bar{m}_i, \quad \bar{\delta}_i f = f_{,a}\bar{\ell}^i + f_{,b}\bar{m}_i, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$f_{;a} = y^i \dot{\partial}_i f, \quad f_{;b} = \varepsilon \bar{F}(\dot{\partial}_i f) \bar{m}^i, \quad (2.2)$$

$$f_{,a} = (\bar{\delta}_i f) \bar{\ell}^i, \quad f_{,b} = \varepsilon (\bar{\delta}_i f) \bar{m}^i. \quad (2.3)$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\bar{F} = e^\phi F$  be the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16) of  $F$ . The h- and v-scalar derivatives of a function  $f \in C^\infty(TM_0)$  satisfy the following properties:*

$$f_{;a} = f_{,1}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$f_{;b} = \sqrt{\varepsilon\rho}(f_{;2} - \phi_{;2}f_{,1}), \quad (2.5)$$

$$f_{,a} = e^{-\phi} \left[ f_{,1} - \frac{2}{F^2}(Pf_{,1} + \varepsilon Qf_{,2}) \right], \quad (2.6)$$

$$f_{,b} = e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\varepsilon\rho} \left[ f_{,2} - \phi_{;2}f_{,1} - \frac{1}{F^2} \{ (P_{;2} - Q - 2\phi_{;2}P)f_{,1} + \varepsilon(\varepsilon P + Q_{;2} - \varepsilon IQ - 2\phi_{;2}Q)f_{,2} \} \right]. \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof.* From (1.7) and (2.2), we get  $f_{;a} = f_{,1}$ .

By (1.7) and (2.2), we have

$$f_{;b} = \varepsilon \bar{F}(\dot{\partial}_i f) \bar{m}^i = \sqrt{\varepsilon\rho}[\varepsilon F(\dot{\partial}_i f)m^i - \phi_{;2}F(\dot{\partial}_i f)\ell^i] = \sqrt{\varepsilon\rho}(f_{;2} - \phi_{;2}f_{,1}).$$

Also, from (1.7), (1.8), (1.22) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{,a} &= \frac{y^i}{\bar{F}} \bar{\delta}_i f = \frac{e^{-\phi}}{F} [y^i \partial_i f - 2\bar{G}^i \dot{\partial}_i f] = \frac{e^{-\phi}}{F} [y^i \partial_i f - 2G^i \dot{\partial}_i f - 2P\ell^i \dot{\partial}_i f - 2Qm^i \dot{\partial}_i f] \\ &= e^{-\phi} \left[ f_{,1} - \frac{2}{F^2}(Pf_{,1} + \varepsilon Qf_{,2}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from (1.7), (1.8), (1.23) and (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_{,b} &= \varepsilon \bar{m}^i \bar{\delta}_i f = \varepsilon e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\varepsilon \rho} (m^i - \varepsilon \phi_{;2} \ell^i) [\partial_i f - \bar{G}_i^r \partial_r f] \\ &= e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\varepsilon \rho} \left[ f_{;2} - \phi_{;2} f_{,1} - \frac{1}{F^2} [(P_{;2} - Q - 2\phi_{;2} P) f_{,1} + \varepsilon(\varepsilon P + Q_{;2} - \varepsilon \mathcal{I} Q - 2\phi_{;2} Q) f_{;2}] \right]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Under the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16), the  $h$ -scalar and  $v$ -scalar derivatives of the main scalar  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$  of  $\bar{F}$  are given by:*

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;b} = \sqrt{\varepsilon \rho} \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;2}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,a} = e^{-\phi} \left[ \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,1} - \frac{2\varepsilon}{F^2} Q \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;2} \right], \quad (2.9)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,b} = e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\varepsilon \rho} \left[ \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;2} - \phi_{;2} \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{F^2} (\varepsilon P + Q_{;2} - \varepsilon \mathcal{I} Q - 2\phi_{;2} Q) \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;2} \right], \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;2}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,1}$ , and  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2}$  are the components of the  $v$ -scalar and  $h$ -scalar derivatives of the main scalar  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$  with respect to  $F$ . Moreover, we have:

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;2} = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon \rho}}{2\rho} [\rho_{;2} (\mathcal{I} + 2\varepsilon \phi_{;2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\ln \rho)_{;2}) + 2\rho (\mathcal{I}_{;2} + 2\varepsilon \phi_{;2;2}) - \varepsilon \rho_{;2;2}]. \quad (2.11)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,1} = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon \rho}}{2\rho} [\rho_{,1} (\mathcal{I} + 2\varepsilon \phi_{;2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\ln \rho)_{;2}) + 2\rho (\mathcal{I}_{,1} + 2\varepsilon \phi_{;2,1}) - \varepsilon \rho_{;2,1}]. \quad (2.12)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2} = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon \rho}}{2\rho} [\rho_{,2} (\mathcal{I} + 2\varepsilon \phi_{;2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\ln \rho)_{;2}) + 2\rho (\mathcal{I}_{,2} + 2\varepsilon \phi_{;2,2}) - \varepsilon \rho_{;2,2}]. \quad (2.13)$$

*Proof.* It follows by applying Proposition 2.1 to the formula (1.21) of  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$  taking into account that  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$  is  $h(0)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** (a) *From (2.4), the  $v$ -scalar derivative of a function  $f \in C^\infty(TM_0)$  in the direction  $\ell_i$  is anisotropically conformally invariant, i.e. ,  $f_{;a} = f_{,1}$ .*

(b) *From (2.5), the  $v$ -scalar derivative of a function  $f \in C^\infty(TM_0)$  in the direction  $m_i$  is  $\sqrt{\varepsilon \rho}$  invariant under the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16) if and only if either the conformal factor is a function of  $x$  only or  $f$  is an  $h(0)$  function.*

(c) *From (1.19), we get*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{,1} &= -\rho^2 (\phi_{;2;2,1} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{,1} \phi_{;2} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I} \phi_{;2,1} + 2\phi_{;2} \phi_{;2,1}). \\ \rho_{,2} &= -\rho^2 (\phi_{;2;2,2} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{,2} \phi_{;2} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I} \phi_{;2,2} + 2\phi_{;2} \phi_{;2,2}). \\ \rho_{;2,1} &= -2\rho \rho_{,1} (\phi_{;2;2,2} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{,2} \phi_{;2} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I} \phi_{;2,2} + 2\phi_{;2} \phi_{;2,2}) - \rho^2 (\phi_{;2;2,2,1} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{;2,1} \phi_{;2} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{,2} \phi_{;2,1} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{,1} \phi_{;2,2} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I} \phi_{;2,2,1} + 2\phi_{;2,1} \phi_{;2,2} + 2\phi_{;2} \phi_{;2,2,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get  $\rho_{;2}$ ,  $\rho_{;2;2}$  and  $\rho_{;2,2}$ .

(d) *Under the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16), the condition  $\sigma = (\phi_{;2})^2$  mentioned in [24, Theorem 2.11] is equivalent to the property  $\bar{\mathbf{g}} = e^{4\phi} \mathbf{g}$ , where  $\mathbf{g} = \det(g_{ij})$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $F$  be a Landsberg surface and  $f$  be an  $h(0)$  smooth function on  $TM_0$ . If  $f$  is horizontally constant, then  $f_{;2}$  and  $f_{;2;2}$  are horizontally constant.*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be horizontally constant and  $F$  a Landsberg metric. Applying (1.10) and (1.11) to  $f$ , we obtain  $f_{;2,2} = f_{;2,1} = 0$ , which means that  $f_{;2}$  is horizontally constant. Similarly, applying (1.10) and (1.11) for  $f = f_{;2}$ , we get  $f_{;2;2,2} = f_{;2;2,1} = 0$ , that is,  $f_{;2;2}$  is horizontally constant.  $\square$

Using Remark 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, where  $f = \phi_{;2}$ , we get the following result.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be a Riemannian surface and (1.16) be the anisotropic conformal transformation provided that,  $\phi_{;2}$  is horizontally constant. Then  $\rho$  and  $\rho_{;2}$  are horizontally constant. That is,  $\rho_{;1} = \rho_{;2} = \rho_{;2,1} = \rho_{;2,2} = 0$ .*

**Definition 2.6.** *A smooth function  $f$  on  $TM_0$  is called a first integral of a geodesic spray  $S$  if  $S(f) = 0$ .*

In our context, a smooth function  $f$  is a first integral of the geodesic spray  $S$  if and only if  $f_{;1} = 0$ , this is because  $S(f) = y^i \delta_i f = F \ell^i \delta_i f = F f_{;1} = 0$ .

**Remark 2.7.** *Let  $f$  be a smooth function on  $TM_0$  and (1.16) be the anisotropic conformal transformation of a conic pseudo-Finsler metric  $F$ . Then, we have the following assertions:*

- (a) *The function  $f$  is a first integral of the geodesic spray  $\bar{S}$  if and only if  $FS(f) = 2(f_{;1}P + \varepsilon f_{;2}Q)$ .*
- (b) *The property that  $f$  is a first integral of the geodesic spray is  $e^{-\phi}$  invariant ( $f_{;a} = e^{-\phi} f_{;1}$ ) if and only if  $f_{;1}P + \varepsilon f_{;2}Q = 0$ .*
- (c) *The property that an  $h(0)$  function  $f$  is a first integral of the geodesic spray is  $e^{-\phi}$  invariant if and only if either  $f$  is a function of  $x$  only or the two sprays  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  are projectively equivalent.*
- (d) *Assume that  $f$  is an  $h(0)$  function of  $y$  only, then  $f_{;1} \ell_i + f_{;2} m_i = \delta_i f = -\frac{f_{;2}}{F} G_i^r m_r$ . Consequently, multiplying by  $\ell^i$ , the function  $f$  is a first integral of  $S$  if and only if either  $f_{;2} = 0$  or  $G^r m_r = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $f$  is either a constant function or the Finsler metric  $F$  is projectively flat [24, Remark 4.6].*

Let us end this section by introducing the following identity.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be a conic pseudo-Finsler surface. Under the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16), we have the following identity:*

$$\phi_{;2} P + P_{;2} + \varepsilon \phi_{;2} Q_{;2} - (\mathcal{I} \phi_{;2} + 1) Q - F^2 \phi_{;2} = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

*Proof.* Applying (; 2) to (1.27), we obtain

$$P_{;2} = -\varepsilon \phi_{;2;2} Q - \varepsilon \phi_{;2} Q_{;2} + \frac{F^2}{2} \phi_{;1;2}. \quad (2.15)$$

By using the expression of  $P$  in (1.27) and (2.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{;2} + \phi_{;2} P + \varepsilon \phi_{;2} Q_{;2} - \mathcal{I} \phi_{;2} Q - F^2 \phi_{;2} - Q &= -\varepsilon \phi_{;2;2} Q + \frac{F^2}{2} \phi_{;1;2} + \frac{F^2}{2} \phi_{;2} \phi_{;1} - \varepsilon (\phi_{;2})^2 Q \\ &\quad - \mathcal{I} \phi_{;2} Q - F^2 \phi_{;2} - Q \\ &= -\varepsilon Q (\phi_{;2;2} + (\phi_{;2})^2 + \varepsilon \mathcal{I} \phi_{;2} + \varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \frac{F^2}{2} (\phi_{;2} \phi_{;1} + \phi_{;1;2} - 2\phi_{;2}). \end{aligned}$$

From (1.19) and (1.25), we deduce  $P_{;2} + \phi_{;2} P + \varepsilon \phi_{;2} Q_{;2} - \mathcal{I} \phi_{;2} Q - F^2 \phi_{;2} - Q = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} Q + \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} Q = 0$ .  $\square$



### 3 Anisotropically Conformally Berwald

Our next goal is to show how the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16) can transform a Riemannian metric  $F$  to a Finsler metric  $\overline{F}$ . Specifically, we discuss the case where a pseudo-Riemannian surface is anisotropically conformally transformed to a conic pseudo-Berwald surface. Consequently, we study the property that the conic pseudo-Berwald  $\overline{F}$  is Riemann metrizable by  $F$ . Furthermore, we find under what conditions the conic-pseudo Finsler  $F$  is anisotropically conformally changed to a conic pseudo-Berwald  $\overline{F}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A Finsler space  $(M, F)$  is said to be anisotropically conformally Berwald if  $F$  is anisotropically conformally related to a Berwald metric  $\overline{F}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Under the anisotropic conformal change (1.16), the components of the Berwald curvatures  $\overline{B}_{jkr}^i$  and  $B_{jkr}^i$  are related by

$$\begin{aligned} F^3 \overline{B}_{jkr}^i = & F^3 B_{jkr}^i + \{(-3 + \mathcal{I}_{;2})\varepsilon Q + (1 + \mathcal{I}_{;2} + 2\varepsilon \mathcal{I}^2)\varepsilon P_{;2} + P_{;2;2;2} - 3Q_{;2;2} \\ & + 3\varepsilon \mathcal{I}P_{;2;2} - 3\varepsilon \mathcal{I}Q_{;2} + 2\mathcal{I}P\} \ell^i + \{3P - (\mathcal{I} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{;2;2} + \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}_{;2})Q \\ & - (2\varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{;2} + \mathcal{I}^2 - \varepsilon)Q_{;2} + 3\varepsilon P_{;2;2} + Q_{;2;2;2} + 3\mathcal{I}P_{;2}\} m_j m_k m_r. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* This formula can be obtained by multiplying (1.24) by  $F$ , then differentiating with respect to  $y^r$  and using Lemma 1.2.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3. (a)** The property of a conic pseudo-Finsler surface being Berwaldian is preserved under the anisotropic conformal change (1.16), is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (-3 + \mathcal{I}_{;2})\varepsilon Q - 3\varepsilon \mathcal{I}Q_{;2} - 3Q_{;2;2} + 2\mathcal{I}P + (1 + \mathcal{I}_{;2} + 2\varepsilon \mathcal{I}^2)\varepsilon P_{;2} + 3\varepsilon \mathcal{I}P_{;2;2} + P_{;2;2;2} &= 0, \\ -(\mathcal{I} + \varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{;2;2} + \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}_{;2})Q - (2\varepsilon \mathcal{I}_{;2} + \mathcal{I}^2 - \varepsilon)Q_{;2} + Q_{;2;2;2} + 3P + 3\mathcal{I}P_{;2} + 3\varepsilon P_{;2;2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

**(b)** If  $P = Q = 0$  (which is equivalent to the anisotropic conformal factor  $\phi$  being horizontally constant), then property of  $F$  being Berwaldian is invariant.

Under the anisotropic conformal change (1.16), we can determine the conditions under which a Riemannian surface is anisotropically conformally Berwald, in the following results:

**Proposition 3.4.** Assume that the conic pseudo-Finsler surface  $(M, F)$  is anisotropically related to  $(M, \overline{F})$ . If  $F$  is Riemannian, then  $\overline{F}$  is Berwaldian under the conditions that

$$P + \varepsilon P_{;2;2} = 0, \quad Q + \varepsilon Q_{;2;2} = 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $F$  is a Riemannian metric, then we have  $\mathcal{I} = 0$ ,  $B_{jkr}^i = 0$ . Thus, (3.1) becomes

$$\overline{B}_{jkr}^i = \frac{1}{F^3} \{ -3\varepsilon Q + \varepsilon P_{;2} + P_{;2;2;2} - 3Q_{;2;2} \} \ell^i + \{ 3P + \varepsilon Q_{;2} + 3\varepsilon P_{;2;2} + Q_{;2;2;2} \} m_j m_k m_r. \quad (3.2)$$

Hence,  $\overline{F}$  is Berwaldian if  $P + \varepsilon P_{;2;2} = 0$  and  $Q + \varepsilon Q_{;2;2} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(M, F)$  be conic pseudo-Riemannian surface related to  $(M, \overline{F})$  by the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16). Then  $\overline{F}$  is Berwaldian if and only if

$$-3\varepsilon Q - 3Q_{;2;2} + \varepsilon P_{;2} + P_{;2;2;2} = 0, \quad 3P + 3\varepsilon P_{;2;2} + \varepsilon Q_{;2} + Q_{;2;2;2} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Additionally, whenever  $\overline{S}$  and  $S$  are projectively equivalent,  $\overline{F}$  is Berwaldian if and only if

$$\phi_{,1} + \varepsilon \phi_{,1;2;2} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $F$  is Riemannian. From (3.2), it is clear that  $\overline{B}_{jkr}^i = 0$  if and only if  $P$  and  $Q$  satisfy (3.3).

Assume  $S$  and  $\overline{S}$  are projectively equivalent, that is,  $Q = 0$  and  $P = \frac{1}{2}F^2\phi_{,1}$  (by [24, Theorem 4.2]). Hence, (3.3) is simplified to be  $P + \varepsilon P_{;2;2} = 0$ . Since  $P = \frac{1}{2}F^2\phi_{,1}$ , then  $\overline{F}$  is Berwaldian if and only if  $\phi_{,1} + \varepsilon\phi_{,1;2;2} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Under the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16), if the conformal factor is first integral of the geodesic spray  $S$  and the two sprays  $S$  and  $\overline{S}$  are projectively equivalent, then the property of Berwaldian is preserved.*

*Proof.* The conformal factor  $\phi$  is a first integral of the geodesic spray  $S$  means that  $F\phi_{,1} = 0$ . Thereby, (1.27) becomes

$$\varepsilon\phi_{;2}Q + P = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Since,  $S$  and  $\overline{S}$  are projectively equivalent, i.e.,  $Q = 0$ , then by (3.4), we get  $P = 0$ . Therefore,  $\overline{S} = S$  by (1.22). Since the geodesic spray is anisotropically conformally invariant and  $F$  is Berwaldian then  $\overline{F}$  is Berwaldian. In this case, we conclude that Berwald spaces are preserved under anisotropic conformal transformation.  $\square$

Under the anisotropic conformal transformation, we find the required conditions for a conic pseudo-Finsler surface to be anisotropically conformally changed to a conic pseudo-Berwald surface.

**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $R \neq 0$  and  $\phi_{;2}$  is horizontally constant, then the property of  $F$  being Berwaldian is conserved under the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16).*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a Berwald metric, that is,  $\mathcal{I}_{,1} = 0 = \mathcal{I}_{,2}$ . Applying (1.10) for  $f = \mathcal{I}$ , we get

$$\mathcal{I}_{;2,1} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Assume that  $\phi_{;2}$  is horizontally constant, i.e.,  $\phi_{;2,1} = \phi_{;2,2} = 0$ . Consequently, by Lemma 2.4, we have  $\phi_{;2;2}$  and  $\phi_{;2;2;2}$  are horizontally constant, that is,  $\phi_{;2;2,1} = \phi_{;2;2,2} = \phi_{;2;2;2,1} = \phi_{;2;2;2,2} = 0$ . Therefore, from Remark 2.3 (c), we get

$$\rho_{,1} = \rho_{,2} = \rho_{;2,1} = \rho_{;2,2} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus, by (2.12) and (2.13), we have  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,1} = \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,2} = 0$ . Applying (1.11) for  $f = \overline{\mathcal{I}}$ , (since  $R \neq 0$ ), we get  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{;2} = 0$ . Hence,  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,a} = \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,b} = 0$ , by (2.9) and (2.10), which means  $\overline{F}$  is a Berwald metric.  $\square$

According to [16, Eqn.(20)] using a pseudo-Riemannian Lagrangian  $A(x, y) = a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j$ , they found a necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be anisotropically conformally transformed to a conic pseudo-Berwald Lagrangian  $L$ , via,  $L = \Omega A$ , where  $\Omega$  is the anisotropic conformal factor which is a smooth  $h(0)$  function on  $\mathcal{A}$ . This condition is equivalent to  $A\delta_i\Omega = y^k M_{ik}^j \dot{\partial}_j L$  for any smooth symmetric tensor  $M_{ik}^j(x)$ . In our context, under the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16) provided that  $F$  is a conic pseudo-Riemannian metric, the necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\overline{F}$  to be a conic pseudo-Berwald metric is the existence of a symmetric tensor  $M_{jk}^i$  of type (1, 2) on the base manifold  $M$  such that

$$F^2 \delta_i(e^{2\phi}) = y^k M_{ik}^j \dot{\partial}_j \overline{F}^2 \quad (3.7)$$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $F$  be a conic pseudo-Riemannian metric anisotropically conformally changed to  $\overline{F}$  by the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16). The following assertions are equivalent:*

(a) The functions  $P$  and  $Q$ , given by (1.26) and (1.25), are satisfy

$$-3\varepsilon Q - 3Q_{;2;2} + \varepsilon P_{;2} + P_{;2;2;2} = 0, \quad 3P + 3\varepsilon P_{;2;2} + \varepsilon Q_{;2} + Q_{;2;2;2} = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

(b) There exists a symmetric tensor on the base manifold  $M$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{jk}^i = & \frac{1}{F^2} [2(P\ell^i + Qm^i)\ell_j\ell_k + \{(P_{;2} - Q)\ell^i + (\varepsilon P + Q_{;2})m^i\}(\ell_j m_k + \ell_k m_j) \\ & + \{(\varepsilon P + P_{;2;2} - 2Q_{;2})\ell^i + (2\varepsilon P_{;2} + \varepsilon Q + Q_{;2;2})m^i\}m_j m_k]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

(c)  $\bar{F}$  is Berwaldian.

*Proof.* (a)  $\implies$  (b) Let  $(M, F)$  be a conic pseudo-Riemannian surface such that the functions  $P$  and  $Q$ , determined by (1.26) and (1.25), satisfy (3.8). Then, by Theorem 3.5,  $(M, F)$  is Berwaldian. If  $G_{jk}^i$  and  $\bar{G}_{jk}^i$  are the Berwald connection coefficients of  $F$  and  $\bar{F}$ , respectively, then the difference between them is a symmetric tensor of type  $(1, 2)$  say  $M_{jk}^i$ . From (1.24) and  $F$  being Riemannian metric, the formula of the tensor  $M_{jk}^i$  is given by (3.9). Clearly,  $M_{jk}^i$  is symmetric in  $j, k$ .

Now, showing that  $\dot{\partial}_r M_{jk}^i = 0$  is sufficient to complete the proof of (a)  $\implies$  (b). Since  $F$  is a conic pseudo-Riemannian metric, Lemma 1.2 gives raise to

$$F\dot{\partial}_j \ell_i = \varepsilon m_i m_j, \quad F\dot{\partial}_j \ell^i = \varepsilon m^i m_j, \quad F\dot{\partial}_j m_i = -\ell_i m_j, \quad F\dot{\partial}_j m^i = -\ell^i m_j.$$

After some slightly tedious straightforward calculations, we conclude that all terms of  $\dot{\partial}_r M_{jk}^i$  vanish except the following two terms

$$\dot{\partial}_r M_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{F^3} [(-3\varepsilon Q - 3Q_{;2;2} + \varepsilon P_{;2} + P_{;2;2;2})\ell^i + (3P + 3\varepsilon P_{;2;2} + \varepsilon Q_{;2} + Q_{;2;2;2})m^i] m_j m_k m_r.$$

The symmetric tensor  $M_{jk}^i$  does not depend on the directional argument since

$$-3\varepsilon Q - 3Q_{;2;2} + \varepsilon P_{;2} + P_{;2;2;2} = 0, \quad 3P + 3\varepsilon P_{;2;2} + \varepsilon Q_{;2} + Q_{;2;2;2} = 0.$$

(b)  $\iff$  (c) It suffices to prove that (3.9) satisfies (3.7). Thereby, plugging (3.9) into (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2e^{2\phi} F^2 (\phi_{,1} \ell_i + \phi_{,2} m_i) = & \frac{1}{F^2} [(2P\ell^j + 2Qm^j)\ell_i \ell_k + \{(P_{;2} - Q)\ell^j + (\varepsilon P + Q_{;2})m^j\}(\ell_i m_k + \ell_k m_i) \\ & + \{(\varepsilon P + P_{;2;2} - 2Q_{;2})\ell^j + (2\varepsilon P_{;2} + \varepsilon Q + Q_{;2;2})m^j\}m_i m_k] [2e^{2\phi} F^2 \ell_j \ell^k \\ & + 2e^{2\phi} F^2 \phi_{,2} \ell^k m_j]. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $\ell_i m^i = 0$ , we obtain  $F^2 (\phi_{,1} \ell_i + \phi_{,2} m_i) = \{2P + 2\varepsilon \phi_{;2} Q\} \ell_i + \{(P_{;2} - Q) + \phi_{;2}(P + \varepsilon Q_{;2})\} m_i$ . Consequently, by contracting with  $\ell^i$  and  $m^i$ , we deduce

$$F^2 \phi_{,1} = 2P + 2\varepsilon \phi_{;2} Q, \quad F^2 \phi_{,2} = (P_{;2} - Q) + \phi_{;2}(P + \varepsilon Q_{;2}).$$

From (1.27) and (2.14), where  $\mathcal{I} = 0$ , we infer that the previous two formulae are satisfied because they are identities. Hence, (3.7) is satisfied which means  $\bar{F}$  is Berwaldian.

(c)  $\iff$  (a) It follows directly from Theorem 3.5 □

**Remark 3.9.** Let  $(M, F)$  be a conic pseudo-Riemannian surface. The Berwaldian property of  $(M, \bar{F})$  under the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16) depends on the existence of a symmetric tensor  $M_{ik}^j$  satisfies (3.7). In Theorem 3.8, we have found an explicit expression of  $M_{ik}^j$ . Now, assuming that the functions  $P$  and  $Q$  satisfy

$$P + \varepsilon P_{;2;2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q + \varepsilon Q_{;2;2} = 0.$$

Thus, the tensor  $M_{jk}^i$  given by (3.9) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} M_{jk}^i = & \frac{1}{F^2} [2(P\ell^i + Qm^i)\ell_j\ell_k + \{(P_{;2} - Q)\ell^i + (\varepsilon P + Q_{;2})m^i\}(\ell_j m_k + \ell_k m_j) \\ & + 2\{-Q_{;2}\ell^i + \varepsilon P_{;2}m^i\}m_j m_k]. \end{aligned}$$

In case of regular ( $\mathcal{A} = TM_0$ ) positive definite Finsler metrics, any affine Berwald connection corresponds to Levi-Civita connection of some Riemannian metric on the same manifold. This result is known as ‘‘Szabó’s metrization theorem’’ [19]. Consequently, a Berwald space is Riemann metrizable if its Berwald connection coincides with the Levi-Civita connection of some Riemannian metric on the same manifold.

However, Szabó’s metrization theorem **does not** extend to conic pseudo-Finsler surfaces. Using an anisotropic conformal transformation of a conic pseudo-Finsler surface, we provide a counterexample to Szabó’s theorem (see, Example 3.12). Furthermore, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\bar{F}$  to be Berwald metrizable by  $F$ , as stated in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.10.** Let  $(M, F)$  be a conic pseudo-Riemannian surface anisotropically conformally changed to conic pseudo-Berwald surface  $(M, \bar{F})$ . Then  $\bar{F}$  is Riemann metrizable if and only if

$$\phi_{,1} = \phi_{,2} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a conic pseudo-Riemannian metric anisotropically conformally changed to conic pseudo-Berwald metric  $\bar{F}$ . Since the difference between two the connection coefficients  $\bar{G}_{jk}^i$  and  $G_{jk}^i$  is a tensor, that is,  $\bar{G}_{jk}^i - G_{jk}^i = M_{jk}^i$ . In view of Theorem 3.8, the symmetric tensor  $M_{jk}^i(x)$  of type (1, 2) is given by (3.9). The conic pseudo-Berwald  $\bar{F}$  is Riemann metrizable by  $F$  if and only if  $M_{jk}^i = 0$ , i.e.,

$$0 = (2P\ell^i + 2Qm^i)\ell_j\ell_k + \{(P_{;2} - Q)\ell^i + (\varepsilon P + Q_{;2})m^i\}(\ell_j m_k + \ell_k m_j) \quad (3.10)$$

$$+ \{(\varepsilon P + P_{;2;2} - 2Q_{;2})\ell^i + (2\varepsilon P_{;2} + \varepsilon Q + Q_{;2;2})m^i\}m_j m_k, \quad (3.11)$$

which is equivalent to  $P = Q = 0$ . This is because  $P = Q = 0$  obviously implies  $M_{jk}^i = 0$ . On the other hand, contracting (3.11) by  $\ell_i\ell^j\ell^k$  and  $m^i\ell^j\ell^k$ , we get  $P = Q = 0$ .

From [24, Theorem 4.11],  $P = Q = 0$  is also equivalent to  $\phi_{,1} = \phi_{,2} = 0$ .  $\square$

We provide the following example of a conic pseudo-Riemannian metric that anisotropically conformally changed to a conic pseudo-Berwald and they have the same connection. In other words, the conic pseudo-Berwald is Riemann metrizable. For the calculations of the following examples, a Maple’s code is posted on

<https://github.com/salahelgendi/Maple-s-code-for-calculations-of-Examples-3.11-3.12>

**Example 3.11.** Let  $M = \mathbb{B}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $x \in M$ ,  $y \in T_x \mathbb{B}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $a$  is a constant vector with  $|a| < 1$ . Let

$$z^i = \frac{(1 + \langle a, x \rangle)y^i - \langle a, y \rangle x^i}{\langle a, y \rangle}.$$

Define the Finsler metric  $F$  by

$$F = \frac{\langle a, y \rangle \sqrt{(z^1)^2 + (z^2)^2}}{(1 + \langle a, x \rangle)^2}.$$

The spray coefficients are given by

$$G^i = -\frac{\langle a, y \rangle}{1 + \langle a, x \rangle} y^i.$$

Now, let  $\bar{F} = e^\phi F = \frac{\langle a, y \rangle \sqrt{(z^1)^2 + (z^2)^2}}{(1 + \langle a, x \rangle)^2} \exp\left(\sqrt{(z^1)^2 + (z^2)^2}\right)$ , where  $\phi = \sqrt{(z^1)^2 + (z^2)^2}$ .

One can easily check that  $\bar{F}$  is a conic pseudo-Finsler metric and  $\delta_i \phi = 0$ . Furthermore,

$$\bar{G}^i = G^i = -\frac{\langle a, y \rangle}{1 + \langle a, x \rangle} y^i.$$

By making use of Berwald-Rund metric [4], we find a counterexample to Szabó's theorem, which show that not all conic pseudo-Berwald spaces are Riemann metrizable.

**Example 3.12.** Let  $M = \mathbb{R}^2$  and the coordinates of  $(x, y)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  can be written as  $(x^1, x^2; y^1, y^2)$ . Define the conic pseudo-Finsler metric  $F$  on  $M$  by

$$F = \frac{1}{x_2} \sqrt{2y_1 y_2 x_2^2 + c y_2^2},$$

where  $c := 1 - 2x_1 x_2 + \sqrt{1 - 4x_1 x_2}$ . The main scalar of  $F$  vanishes ( $\mathcal{I} = 0$ ), i.e., it is a conic pseudo-Riemannian metric. The anisotropic conformal factor is expressed as

$$\phi = \frac{3}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2x_2^2 y_1 + c y_2}{x_2^2 y_2} \right).$$

We get the conic pseudo-Finsler metric

$$\bar{F} = e^\phi F = \sqrt{2} y_2 \left( \frac{c}{2x_2^2} + \frac{y_1}{y_2} \right)^2,$$

where  $\sigma - \phi_{;2}^2 + \varepsilon \neq 0$  (necessary and sufficient for  $\bar{F}$  to be pseudo-Finsler metric [24]). One can easily check that  $\bar{F}$  satisfies Theorem 3.4, that is,

$$-3\varepsilon Q - 3Q_{;2;2} + \varepsilon P_{;2} + P_{;2;2} = 0, \quad 3P + 3\varepsilon P_{;2;2} + \varepsilon Q_{;2} + Q_{;2;2} = 0.$$

Consequently,  $\bar{F}$  is a conic pseudo-Berwald metric. From Proposition 3.10, the conic pseudo-Berwald metric  $\bar{F}$  is not Riemann metrizable by  $F$  because  $\phi_{;1}$  and  $\phi_{;2}$  do not vanish.

## 4 Landsberg Surface and T-Condition

Given a Finsler manifold, the  $T$ -condition refers to the vanishing of the  $T$ -tensor. In particular, for a Finsler surface, the  $T$ -condition and  $\sigma$ -condition (when Finsler space  $(M, F)$  admits a non-constant function  $\sigma(x)$  such that  $(\partial_i \sigma) T_{jkr}^i = 0$ ) are equivalent [7].

Suppose that  $(M, F)$  is a conic pseudo-Finsler surface anisotropically conformally changed to  $(M, \bar{F})$ . From (1.18) and (2.8), the  $\bar{T}$ -tensor ( $\bar{F} \bar{T}_{ijkh} := \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;b} \bar{m}_i \bar{m}_j \bar{m}_k \bar{m}_l$ ) of  $(M, \bar{F})$  can be expressed as follows

$$\bar{F} \bar{T}_{ijkh} = e^{4\phi} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;2} m_i m_j m_h m_k. \quad (4.1)$$

**Remark 4.1.** (a) From (4.1), the Finsler metric  $\bar{F}$  satisfies the  $\bar{T}$ -condition if and only if  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;2} = 0$ .

(b) From (2.11) and (1.12), we get

$$\bar{T}_{ijkh} = \frac{\varepsilon e^{3\phi}}{\rho} \left[ T_{ijkh} + \frac{1}{2F\rho} \left( 4\varepsilon\rho\phi_{;2;2} + \rho_{;2}(\mathcal{I} + 2\varepsilon\phi_{;2} + \frac{\varepsilon\rho_{;2}}{2\rho}) - \varepsilon\rho_{;2;2} \right) m_i m_j m_h m_k \right]. \quad (4.2)$$

**Proposition 4.2.** Under the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16), we have

(a) If  $\phi_{;2}$  is an isotropic function then the  $T$ -condition is preserved.

(b) Suppose that  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = e^{4\phi} \mathfrak{g}$  then the  $T$ -condition is preserved if and only if  $\phi_{;2;2} = 0$ .

*Proof.* (a) If the Finsler metric  $F$  satisfies the  $T$ -condition and  $\phi_{;2}$  is an isotropic function, then

$$\phi_{;2;2} = \mathcal{I}_{;2} = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Consequently, from (1.19), we deduce  $\rho_{;2} = \rho_{;2;2} = 0$ . Hence,  $\bar{T}_{ijkh} = 0$ .

(b) Since  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = e^{4\phi} \mathfrak{g}$  is equivalent to  $\sigma = (\phi_{;2})^2$  (by Remark 2.3 (d)). We get  $\rho = \varepsilon$ , by (1.19). Thereby,  $\rho_{;2} = \rho_{;2;2} = 0$ . Now, suppose  $F$  satisfies  $T$ -condition. Thus, from (4.2), we get  $\bar{F}$  satisfies  $\bar{T}$ -condition if and only if  $\phi_{;2;2} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** Suppose that (1.16) is the anisotropic conformal change of the two-dimensional conic pseudo-Finsler metric  $F$ . The Landsberg scalar  $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$  of  $\bar{F}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F\bar{\mathcal{J}} = F\sqrt{\varepsilon\rho}\mathcal{J} + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\rho}}{2\rho} [(F^2\rho_{;1} - 2\varepsilon Q\rho_{;2})(\mathcal{I} + 2\varepsilon\phi_{;2} + \frac{\varepsilon\rho_{;2}}{2\rho}) + F^2(4\varepsilon\rho\phi_{;2;1} - \varepsilon\rho_{;2;1}) \\ - 2Q(2\varepsilon\rho\mathcal{I}_{;2} + 4\rho\phi_{;2;2} - \rho_{;2;2})]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

*Proof.* The Landsberg scalar  $\bar{\mathcal{J}} := \bar{S}(\bar{\mathcal{I}}) = S(\bar{\mathcal{I}}) - 2\bar{G}^i \partial_i \bar{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{(1.22)}{=} S(\bar{\mathcal{I}}) - 2P\ell^i \partial_i \bar{\mathcal{I}} - 2Q m^i \partial_i \bar{\mathcal{I}}$ . From the homogeneity of  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$  and (1.21) we get,

$$F\bar{\mathcal{J}} = FS(\bar{\mathcal{I}}) - 2\varepsilon Q \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;2} = F^2 \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;1} - 2\varepsilon Q \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Hence, the result follows directly from (2.11) and (2.12).  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** (a) One can obtain an equivalent formula of  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$  by using (1.20) and (4.5), that is,

$$\begin{aligned} F\overline{\mathcal{J}} &= \frac{3\varepsilon}{2}(\varepsilon\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}(F^2\rho_{,1} - 2\varepsilon Q\rho_{,2}) \left( \mathcal{I}(1 + \varepsilon\sigma) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{,2} + \phi_{,2}(\sigma + 2\varepsilon) \right) \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}[(1 + \varepsilon\sigma)(F^2\mathcal{I}_{,1} - 2\varepsilon Q\mathcal{I}_{,2}) + (\varepsilon\mathcal{I} + \phi_{,2})(F^2\sigma_{,1} - 2\varepsilon Q\sigma_{,2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(F^2\sigma_{,2,1} - 2\varepsilon Q\sigma_{,2;2}) + (\sigma + 2\varepsilon)(F^2\phi_{,2,1} - 2\varepsilon Q\phi_{,2;2})]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

(b) We can get (2.9) directly from (4.5), where  $\overline{F}\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,a} = \overline{\mathcal{J}}$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Consider the anisotropic conformal change (1.16) of the conic pseudo-Finsler surface  $(M, F)$  and the main scalar  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$  is a first integral of the geodesic  $S$  spray. Then  $\overline{F}$  is a Landsberg metric if and only if either  $\phi_{,2}\phi_{,1} + \phi_{,1;2} - 2\phi_{,2} = 0$  or  $\overline{F}$  is Berwaldian.

*Proof.* From (2.9),  $\overline{F}$  is a Landsberg metric if and only if  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,1} = \frac{2\varepsilon}{F^2}Q\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,2}$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,1} = 0$ , that is,  $\overline{F}$  is Landsbergian if and only if either  $Q = 0$  or  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,2} = 0$ . From (1.25) and (1.10),  $\overline{F}$  is a Landsbergian metric if and only if either  $\phi_{,2}\phi_{,1} + \phi_{,1;2} - 2\phi_{,2} = 0$  or  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,2} = 0$ . The latter gives  $0 = \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,1} = \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,2} = \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,2}$  which means, by (2.9) and (2.10), that  $\overline{F}$  is Berwaldian.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** Suppose that  $(M, F)$  is a conic pseudo-Finsler surface anisotropically conformally transformed by (1.16) with  $\sigma = (\phi_{,2})^2$  to  $(M, \overline{F})$ . Then, we get

(a)  $F\overline{\mathcal{J}} = F\mathcal{J} + 2\varepsilon F^2\phi_{,2,1} - 2\varepsilon Q(\mathcal{I}_{,2} + 2\varepsilon\phi_{,2;2})$ .

(b) If  $\phi$  is the first integral of the geodesic spary  $S$ , then the property of being Landsbergian is preserved if and only if either  $\phi$  is horizontally constant or  $\mathcal{I}_{,2} + 2\varepsilon\phi_{,2;2} = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma = (\phi_{,2})^2$ . From (1.19), we get  $\rho = \varepsilon$  and  $\phi_{,2;2} + \varepsilon\mathcal{I}\phi_{,2} + (\phi_{,2})^2 = 0$ .

(a) It follows from (4.4).

(b) If  $\phi_{,1} = 0$ , by (1.25), we get  $Q = -F^2\phi_{,2}$ . Thus, (a) becomes

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{J} + 2\varepsilon F[\phi_{,2,1} + \phi_{,2}(\mathcal{I}_{,2} + 2\varepsilon\phi_{,2;2})].$$

Applying (1.10) for  $f = \phi$ , we get  $\phi_{,2,1} = -\phi_{,2}$ , which implies

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{J} + 2\varepsilon F\phi_{,2}[\mathcal{I}_{,2} + 2\varepsilon\phi_{,2;2} - 1].$$

Then the property of being Landsbergian is preserved if and only if either  $\mathcal{I}_{,2} + 2\varepsilon\phi_{,2;2} = 1$  or  $\phi_{,2} = 0$ . Clearly,  $\phi_{,1} = 0$  together with  $\phi_{,2} = 0$  gives  $\phi$  is horizontally constant.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** Assume that (1.16) is the anisotropic conformal change of conic pseudo Riemannian metric  $F$  with  $\phi_{,2}$  being horizontally constant. The Finsler metric  $\overline{F}$  is Landsberg if and only if either  $\overline{T}$ -tensor vanishes identically or  $\phi_{,2}\phi_{,1} = \phi_{,2}$ .

*Proof.* From Corollary 2.5 and (2.12), we obtain

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,1} = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Applying (1.10) for  $f = \phi$ , by (1.25), we get  $2Q = \varepsilon\rho F^2(\phi_{,2}\phi_{,1} + \phi_{,2,1} - \phi_{,2})$ , but  $\phi_{,2}$  is horizontally constant, then

$$2Q = \varepsilon\rho F^2(\phi_{,2}\phi_{,1} - \phi_{,2}). \quad (4.8)$$

Plugging (4.7) and (4.8) into (2.9), we have  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,a} = -e^{-\phi}\rho\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,2}(\phi_{,2}\phi_{,1} - \phi_{,2})$ . Hence,  $\overline{F}$  is Landsbergian ( $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,a} = 0$ ) if and only if either  $\overline{F}$  satisfies  $\overline{T}$ -condition ( $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{,2} = 0$ ) or  $\phi_{,2}\phi_{,1} = \phi_{,2}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *Assume that  $(M, F)$  is anisotropically conformally changed to  $(M, \bar{F})$ . The Finsler metric  $\bar{F}$  is Berwaldian if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (a)  $\bar{F}$  has an isotropic main scalar and  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,1} = 0$ .
- (b)  $\bar{F}$  is Landsbergian provided that  $F^2\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2} = (P + \varepsilon Q_{;2} - \mathcal{I}Q)\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2}$ .

*Proof.* (a) The fact that  $\bar{F}$  having an isotropic main scalar  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$  implies  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,a} = 0$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,b} = \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2}$ .

Applying (1.10) for  $f = \bar{\mathcal{I}}$ , we get  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2} = 0$ . Hence,  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,a} = 0$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,b} = 0$  which means  $\bar{F}$  is Berwaldian.

- (b) Since  $\bar{F}$  is Landsbergian, it is equivalent to  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,a} = 0$ , then by (2.9)

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,1} = \frac{2\varepsilon}{F^2}Q\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2}. \quad (4.9)$$

Plugging (4.9) into (2.10), we get

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,b} = \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2} - \frac{2\varepsilon}{F^2}\phi_{;2}Q\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{F^2}(\varepsilon P + Q_{;2} - \varepsilon\mathcal{I}Q - 2\phi_{;2}Q)\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2}. \quad (4.10)$$

As  $F^2\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2} = (P + \varepsilon Q_{;2} - \mathcal{I}Q)\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,2}$  (by assumption), then  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,b} = 0$ . Hence,  $\bar{F}$  is Berwaldian.  $\square$

## 5 Anisotropically Conformally Flat and Douglas Surfaces

### 5.1 Locally Minkowski surfaces

**Definition 5.1.** [6] *A Finsler space is called locally Minkowski metric if, at each point  $x \in M$ , there exists local coordinates  $(x^i, y^i)$  on  $TM$  such that  $F$  is a function of  $y$  only, in addition,  $G^i = 0$ . This coordinate system is called adopted coordinate system.*

In this subsection, we work in the adopted coordinate system with out mentioning that.

**Definition 5.2.** *A pseudo-Finsler manifold  $(M, F)$  is said to be anisotropically conformally flat if  $F$  is anisotropically conformally related to a locally Minkowski metric.*

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let the conic pseudo-Finsler surface  $(M, F)$  be anisotropically conformally changed to  $(M, \bar{F})$ . Then, the following properties are equivalent:*

- (a)  $F\partial_j\phi + \partial_jF = 0$ .
- (b)  $F$  is anisotropically conformally flat.
- (c)  $P = -\frac{1}{2}y^r(\partial_rF)$  and  $Q = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{F^2(\partial_2\partial_1F - \partial_1\partial_2F)}{h}$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\iff$  (b) Under the anisotropic transformation  $\bar{F} = e^\phi F$ , we have

$$\partial_i\bar{F} = e^\phi(F\partial_i\phi + \partial_iF). \quad (5.1)$$

Hence,  $F$  being anisotropically conformally flat is equivalent to  $F\partial_j\phi + \partial_jF = 0$ .

(b)  $\iff$  (c) By (1.4) and (1.22), we obtain

$$\bar{G}^i = G^i + Qm^i + P\ell^i = \left(\frac{1}{2}y^r\partial_rF + P\right)\ell^i + \left(\frac{F^2(\partial_2\partial_1F - \partial_1\partial_2F)}{2h} + Q\right)m^i.$$



The Finsler metric  $F$  is anisotropically flat if and only if  $\overline{G}^i = 0$ , that is,

$$0 = \left(\frac{1}{2}y^r \partial_r F + P\right)\ell^i + \left(\frac{F^2(\dot{\partial}_2 \partial_1 F - \dot{\partial}_1 \partial_2 F)}{2h} + Q\right)m^i. \quad (5.2)$$

Multiple (5.2) by  $\ell_i$  and  $m_i$  we get  $y^r (\partial_r F) + 2P = 0$  and  $\frac{F^2(\dot{\partial}_2 \partial_1 F - \dot{\partial}_1 \partial_2 F)}{h} + 2Q = 0$ . Hence,  $F$  being anisotropically conformally flat is equivalent to  $P = -\frac{1}{2}y^r (\partial_r F)$  and  $Q = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{F^2(\dot{\partial}_2 \partial_1 F - \dot{\partial}_1 \partial_2 F)}{h}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *Given that  $(M, F)$  is a locally Minkowskian surface. Then,  $F$  is anisotropically conformally flat under the anisotropic change (1.16) if and only if the conformal factor is either a function of  $y$  alone or homothetic.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.3, the Finsler metric  $\overline{F}$  is locally Minkowskian surface if and only if

$$F \partial_j \phi + \partial_j F = 0.$$

Since  $F$  is a locally Minkowskian metric ( $\partial_j F = 0$ ), then  $F$  is anisotropic conformally flat if and only if the anisotropic conformal factor is either function of  $y$  only or homothetic.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $F$  be an anisotropic conformally flat conic pseudo-Finsler metric. If  $F^2 \phi_{,1} + y^k \partial_k F = 0$ , then  $F$  is either projectively flat or  $\phi$  is an isotropic function on some coordinate system of  $TM$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be anisotropic conformally flat. By Proposition 5.3, we have  $\ell^k (F \partial_k \phi + \partial_k F) = 0$ . From (1.28), we get

$$F^2 \phi_{,1} + 2\phi_{;2} G^k m_k + 2G^k \ell_k = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Assume that  $F^2 \phi_{,1} + y^k \partial_k F = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $F^2 \phi_{,1} + 2G^k \ell_k = 0$ , by (1.28). Substituting into (5.3), we obtain  $\phi_{;2} G^k m_k = 0$ . Therefore,  $F$  is either projectively flat ( $G^k m_k = 0$ ) or  $\phi$  is an isotropic function ( $\phi_{;2} = 0$ ).  $\square$

In view proposition 5.5, if  $F$  is anisotropically conformally flat, then  $\overline{F}$  is projectively flat in some coordinate system of  $TM$ , namely, the adopted coordinate system. Moreover, the condition  $F^2 \phi_{,1} + 2G^k \phi_{;2} m_k + 2G^k \ell_k = 0$  is necessary but not sufficient for a conic pseudo-Finsler  $F$  to be anisotropically conformally flat.

## 5.2 Douglas surfaces

Since, the Douglas tensor is an invariant under projective change, we end our results by investigating it under the anisotropic conformal change (1.16).

**Definition 5.6.** *A pseudo-Finsler metric is of Douglas type, if its Douglas tensor vanishes identically or equivalently [3] if the functions  $D^{ij} := G^i y^j - G^j y^i$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree three in  $(y^i)$ .*

By using (1.17) and (1.22), we obtain

$$F \overline{D}^{ij} = F D^{ij} + Q(m^i \ell^j - m^j \ell^i). \quad (5.4)$$

It is clear from (5.4), the property of  $F$  being a Douglas metric is preserved under the anisotropic conformal change (1.16), if and only if  $Q = 0$  (or equivalently  $\phi_{;2} \phi_{,1} + \phi_{,1;2} - 2\phi_{;2} = 0$ ). Consequently,

the anisotropic conformal transformation, with  $\phi_{;2}\phi_{;1} + \phi_{;1;2} - 2\phi_{;2} = 0$ , of a Riemann (or Berwald) metric is of Douglas type.

Assume that  $F$  is a projectively flat metric and  $Q = 0$ , then  $\bar{F}$  is projectively flat [24]. Consequently,  $\bar{F}$  is of Douglas type when  $F$  is projectively flat if and only if  $3R_{;2} - R_{;2;1} = 0$  and  $F$  is a Douglas metric (i.e.,  $6\mathcal{I}_{;1} + \varepsilon\mathcal{I}_{;2;2} + 2\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}_2 = 0$ ) [2]. Hence we have the following:

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let (1.16) be the anisotropic conformal transformation. Then  $\bar{F}$  is a Douglas metric if one of the following holds*

(a)  $F$  is Riemannian (or Berwald) metric and  $Q = 0$ .

(b)  $F$  is projectively flat metric and  $Q = 0$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** *Assuming that  $(M, F)$  is a conic pseudo-Berwald Finsler surface, (1.16) is the anisotropic conformal transformation. The Finsler metric  $\bar{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if  $3\psi = \varepsilon\chi_{;2} + \mathcal{I}\chi$ , where  $\psi$  and  $\chi$  are given by*

$$F^2\psi = [(-3 + \mathcal{I}_{;2})\varepsilon Q + (1 + \mathcal{I}_{;2} + 2\varepsilon\mathcal{I}^2)\varepsilon P_{;2} + P_{;2;2;2} - 3Q_{;2;2} + 3\varepsilon\mathcal{I}P_{;2;2} - 3\varepsilon\mathcal{I}Q_{;2} + 2\mathcal{I}P], \quad (5.5)$$

$$F^2\chi = [3P - (\mathcal{I} + \varepsilon\mathcal{I}_{;2;2} + \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}_{;2})Q - (2\varepsilon\mathcal{I}_{;2} + \mathcal{I}^2 - \varepsilon)Q_{;2} + 3\varepsilon P_{;2;2} + Q_{;2;2;2} + 3\mathcal{I}P_{;2}]. \quad (5.6)$$

*Proof.* Let  $(M, F)$  be a conic pseudo-Finsler surface. The Berwald curvature written as

$$FB_{jkr}^i = [-2\mathcal{I}_{;1}\ell^i + \mathcal{I}_2 m^i]m_j m_k m_r. \quad (5.7)$$

Under the anisotropic conformal transformation (1.16), the Berwald curvature of  $\bar{F}$  is given by

$$\bar{F} \bar{B}_{jkr}^i = [-2\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;a}\bar{\ell}^i + \bar{\mathcal{I}}_d \bar{m}^i]\bar{m}_j \bar{m}_k \bar{m}_r.$$

From (1.17) and (1.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F\bar{B}_{jkr}^i &= e^\phi[-2\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;a}\ell^i + \sqrt{\varepsilon\rho}\bar{\mathcal{I}}_d(m^i - \varepsilon\phi_{;2}\ell^i)]\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}m_j m_k m_r \\ &= e^\phi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\{[-2\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;a} - \varepsilon\sqrt{\varepsilon\rho}\phi_{;2}\bar{\mathcal{I}}_d]\ell^i + \sqrt{\varepsilon\rho}\bar{\mathcal{I}}_d m^i\}m_j m_k m_r. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

From (3.1) and (5.7) we get

$$F\bar{B}_{jkr}^i = [(-2\mathcal{I}_{;1} + \psi)\ell^i + (\mathcal{I}_2 + \chi)m^i]m_j m_k m_r. \quad (5.9)$$

Then, we have from (5.8) and (5.9)

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_d = \varepsilon e^{-\phi}\rho(\mathcal{I}_2 + \chi), \quad \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;a} = \frac{-1}{2}e^{-\phi}(\varepsilon\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}[-2\mathcal{I}_{;1} + \psi + \varepsilon\phi_{;2}(\mathcal{I}_2 + \chi)], \quad (5.10)$$

where  $\psi, \chi$  defined by (5.5) and (5.6). Since  $F$  is Berwaldian ( $\mathcal{I}_{;1} = \mathcal{I}_2 = 0$ ), (5.10) has the form:

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_d = \varepsilon e^{-\phi}\rho\chi, \quad \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;a} = \frac{-1}{2}e^{-\phi}(\varepsilon\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}[\psi + \varepsilon\phi_{;2}\chi]. \quad (5.11)$$

From (2.8) and the first equation of (5.11), we get

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{d;b} = \sqrt{\varepsilon\rho}\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{d;2} = \varepsilon e^{-\phi}\sqrt{\varepsilon\rho}[-\phi_{;2}\rho\chi + \rho_{;2}\chi + \rho\chi_{;2}] \quad (5.12)$$

From (1.21), (5.11) and (5.12), the Finsler metric  $\bar{F}$  is a Douglas metric if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 6\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,a} + \varepsilon\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{d;b} + 2\bar{\mathcal{I}}\bar{\mathcal{I}}_d \\ &= e^{-\phi}(\varepsilon\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}[-3\psi - 4\varepsilon\phi_{;2}\chi + \varepsilon\frac{\rho_{;2}}{\rho}\chi + \varepsilon\chi_{;2}] + 2e^{-\phi}(\varepsilon\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}[\mathcal{I}\chi + \varepsilon\phi_{;2}\chi - \frac{\varepsilon\rho_{;2}}{2\rho}\chi] \\ &= e^{-\phi}(\varepsilon\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}[\mathcal{I}\chi - 3\psi + \varepsilon\chi_{;2}]. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Corollary 5.9.** *If  $(M, F)$  is a conic pseudo-Riemannian surface, then  $\bar{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if  $9\varepsilon Q + 10Q_{;2;2} + \varepsilon Q_{;2;2;2} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $I = 0$ , by assumption. From Theorem 5.8,  $\bar{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if  $3\psi = \varepsilon\chi_{;2}$ . Setting  $I = 0$  in (5.5), (5.6), the proof follows.  $\square$

## 6 Conclusion

We complete what we started in [24] by developing the framework of anisotropic conformal transformations of Finsler surfaces, exploring the relationships between important Finslerian geometric objects such as Berwald, Landsberg, and Douglas tensors. We emphasize on how an anisotropic conformal transformation can convert a pseudo-Riemannian metric into non-Riemannian pseudo-Finsler metric which contrasts isotropic conformal transformations.

The following points are to be highlighted:

- The v-scalar derivatives  $(f_{;a}, f_{;b})$  and h-scalar derivatives  $(f_{,a}, f_{,b})$  has been defined in  $(M, \bar{F})$  for a scalar field  $f \in C^\infty(TM_0)$ . Consequently, we find  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{;b}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,a}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,b}$  which characterize all special conic pseudo Finsler surfaces associated with  $\bar{F}$  to be either Berwaldian or Landsbergian or Douglasian. We have found out the anisotropic conformal transformation of Berwald curvature and Landsberg scalar.
- We have found two equivalent conditions for a Riemannian metric to be anisotropically conformal transformed to a Berwald metric. The first is a relation between  $P$ ,  $Q$  and their derivatives. The second is an expression of the symmetric tensor  $M_{ik}^j$  on the base manifold satisfies  $F^2\delta_i(e^{2\phi}) = y^k M_{ik}^j \hat{\partial}_j \bar{F}^2$ .
- The conic pseudo-Finsler metric  $\bar{F}$  satisfies the  $T$ -condition if and only if its main scalar is isotropic with respect to  $F$ . Additionally, under the assumption that the determinant of the Finsler metric tensor is invariant, we find an equivalent condition such that the  $T$ -condition is preserved under the anisotropic conformal transformation.
- Under the anisotropic conformal transformation, we have found necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\bar{F}$  to be Landsbergian. Moreover, we found the conditions for the Landsberg metric  $\bar{F}$  to be Berwaldian.
- If the conformal factor depends on position alone, the anisotropic conformal transformation reduced to the well-known isotropic conformal transformation. Consequently, the results

obtained align precisely with those derived from the isotropic conformal transformation. For example, in this case, we have:

$$\phi_{,2} = 0, \quad \sigma = 0, \quad \rho = \varepsilon, \quad \bar{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{I}, \quad Q = -\frac{1}{2}F^2\phi_{,2}, \quad P = \frac{1}{2}F^2\phi_{,1}. \quad (6.1)$$

– The property of being Berwaldian is preserved under the anisotropic conformal transformation if either the conformal factor is homothetic or  $F$  satisfies the  $T$ -condition.

– From (6.1) in (4.4), we get  $\bar{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{J} + 2F\phi_{,2}\mathcal{I}_{,2}$ . We have  $\bar{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{J}$  if and only if either  $\phi_{,2} = 0$  or  $\mathcal{I}_{,2} = 0$ . If  $\phi_{,2} = 0$ , then by (1.11) and  $\phi_{,2} = 0$ , we get  $\phi$  is a constant function, that is,  $\phi$  is homothetic (trivial case). Consequently,  $\bar{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{J}$  if  $\mathcal{I}_{,2} = 0$  ( $F$  satisfies  $T$ -condition) which is also mentioned in [7, Theorem 3.9].

– Now, plugging (6.1) into (2.9) and (2.10), respectively, we obtain

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,a} = e^{-\phi}[\mathcal{I}_{,1} + \varepsilon\phi_{,2}\mathcal{I}_{,2}], \quad \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,b} = e^{-\phi}[\mathcal{I}_{,2} - (\phi_{,1} + \mathcal{I}\phi_{,2})\mathcal{I}_{,2}]. \quad (6.2)$$

Applying (1.11) for  $f = \phi$ , we get  $0 = -\varepsilon(\phi_{,1} + \mathcal{I}\phi_{,2})$ . Thus,  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{,b} = e^{-\phi}[\mathcal{I}_{,2}]$ . Therefore, the property of Berwaldian is preserved if and only if either  $F$  satisfies the  $T$ -condition or the conformal factor is homothetic which is also mentioned in [7, Theorem 3.11].

## References

- [1] P. L. Antonelli, R. S. Ingarden and M. Matsumoto, *The theory of sprays and Finsler spaces with applications in physics and biology*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
- [2] S. Bacso and M. Matsumoto, *Reduction theorems of certain Landsberg spaces to Berwald spaces*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **48** / **3-4**, (1996), 357–366.
- [3] S. Bacso and M. Matsumoto, *On Finsler spaces of Douglas type A generalization of the notion of Berwald spaces*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **51** / **3-4**, (1997), 385–406.
- [4] D. Bao, S. S. Chern and Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 200, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [5] L. Berwald, *On Finsler and Cartan geometries. III Two-dimensional Finsler spaces with rectilinear extremals*, Annals Math., **42** (1941), 84-112.
- [6] S. S. Chern, W. H. Chen and K. S. Lam, *Lectures on differential geometry*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 2000.
- [7] S. G. Elgendi, *Finsler surfaces with vanishing  $T$ -tensor*, J. Geom. Phys., **198** (2024), 105110.
- [8] A. Fuster, S. Heefer, C. Pfeifer and N. Voicu, *On the non metrizable of Berwald Finsler spacetimes*, Universe, **6** (5) (2020), 64.
- [9] J. Grifone, *Structure presque-tangente et connexions I*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **22** (1) (1972), 287-334.
- [10] M. Hashiguchi, *On conformal transformations of Finsler metrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **16** (1976), 25–50.

- [11] S. Hojo, M. Matsumoto and K. Okubo, *Theory of conformally Berwald Finsler spaces and its applications to  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl., **5**, **1**, (2000), 107–118.
- [12] Y. Ichijyo and M. Hashiguchi, *On the condition that a Randers space be conformally flat*, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ., **22** (1989), 7-14.
- [13] S. Heefer, C. Pfeifer, J. van Voorthuizen and A. Fuster, *On the metrizable of  $m$ -Kropina spaces with closed null one-form*, J. Math. Phys., **64** (2023).
- [14] M. Matsumoto, *Conformally Berwald and conformally flat Finsler spaces*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **58**, (2001), 275–285.
- [15] M. Matsumoto, *Finsler geometry in the 20th-century, Handbook of Finsler geometry*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2003.
- [16] C. Pfeifer, S. Heefer and A. Fuster, *Identifying Berwald Finsler geometries*, Diff. Geom. App. **79** (2021) 101817.
- [17] B. Prasad, T. Pandey and M. Singh, *Three dimensional conformally flat Landsberg and Berwald spaces*, J. Int. Acad. Phys. Sci., **13-3** (2009), 299-309.
- [18] Z. Shen, *Two-dimensional Finsler metrics with constant flag curvature*, Manuscripta Math., **109**, 2002, 349–366.
- [19] Z. Szabó, *Positive definite Berwald spaces*, Tensor New Ser., **35** (1981), 25–39.
- [20] A. A Tamim and N. L Youssef, *On generalized Randers manifolds*, Algebras, Groups and Geometries, **16** (1999), 115-126.
- [21] A. Tayebi and W. S. Koh, *On the condition that a Randers space be conformally flat*, Scalar. Mathematics **12** (2024), 2141.
- [22] A. Tayebi, M. Amini and B. Najafi, *On conformally Berwald  $m$ -th root  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics*, Facta Universitatis (NIS), Ser. Math. Inform., **35(4)** (2020), 963–981.
- [23] N. Voicu and S. G. Elgendi, *Metrizability of  $SO(3)$ -invariant connections: Riemann versus Finsler*, Class. Quantum Grav., **41** (2024), 155012.
- [24] N. L. Youssef, S. G. Elgendi, A. A. Kotb and E. H. Taha, *Anisotropic conformal change of conic pseudo-Finsler surfaces*, Class. Quantum Grav., **41** (2024), 175005.