

Diamond of triads

A. Mironov^{b,c,d,*}, A. Morozov^{a,c,d,†}, A. Popolitov^{a,c,d,‡}, Z. Zakirova^{e,d§}

^a *MIPT, Dolgoprudny, 141701, Russia*

^b *Lebedev Physics Institute, Moscow 119991, Russia*

^c *NRC “Kurchatov Institute”, 123182, Moscow, Russia*

^d *Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow 127994, Russia*

^e *Kazan State Power Engineering University, Kazan, Russia*

Abstract

The triad refers to embedding of two systems of polynomials, symmetric ones and those of the Baker-Akhiezer type into a power series of the Noumi-Shiraishi type. It provides an alternative definition of Macdonald theory and its extensions. The basic triad is associated with the vector representation of the Ding-Iohara-Miki (DIM) algebra. We discuss lifting this triad to two elliptic generalizations and further to the bi-elliptic triad. At the algebraic level, it corresponds to elliptic and bi-elliptic DIM algebras. This completes the list of polynomials associated with Seiberg-Witten theory with adjoint matter in various dimensions.

1 Introduction

Interrelations between the low-energy limit of supersymmetric gauge theories called Seiberg-Witten theory [1] and $2d$ conformal theories (the AGT correspondence [2]) is one of the main issues in string theory of the last two decades. In fact, the main players behind the scene are integrable systems [3] and Nekrasov functions [4]. Recently, it has become clear that there is the third important ingredient: the Macdonald theory [5] and its generalizations. In particular, this is related with the algebraic setup underlying the AGT correspondence, the Ding-Iohara-Miki algebra [6,7] and its generalizations [7,8]. In particular, the Hamiltonians of the integrable system underlying Seiberg-Witten theory are elements of the commutative subalgebra of these algebras. Eigenfunctions of these Hamiltonians [9–11] are related with a notion of triad.

In [12,13], we defined **triad** as a power series of $2N$ complex variables x_i, y_i $i = 1, \dots, N$ that admits **two polynomial reductions**: one, at $y_i = q^{\mu_i} t^{N-i}$ with $\{\mu_i\}$ being a partition, giving rise to a symmetric polynomial, another one, at $t = q^{-m}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ leading to a non-symmetric polynomial Baker-Akhiezer (BA) function, the latter one generating the former one at $y_i = q^{\mu_i} t^{N-i}$ with $\{\mu_i\}$ being a partition *only after summing* over the Weyl group. The simplest example of the triad, the basic triad presented in [12] is given by the Noumi-Shiraishi power series [14], and the two polynomial reductions are just the ordinary Macdonald polynomials [5] and the Chalykh BA function [15].

The basic triad admits three types of deformations. First of all, this is an extension to arbitrary root systems. Indeed, one can construct the Macdonald polynomials [16,17] and the BA function [15] for any root system, but a counterpart of the NS function for the case of other than A_{N-1} root systems is not known. The latter still has to be eigenfunctions of the corresponding Koornwinder-Macdonald operators [16,18], however, explicit formulas for the NS functions are not known in this case.

The second deformation is to the twisted case, when one knows the BA function [9,19], and one can construct a counterpart of the Macdonald polynomial [11], but again the corresponding NS function is not known.

*mironov@lpi.ru, mironov@itep.ru

†morozov@itep.ru

‡popolit@gmail.com


§zolya_zakirova@mail.ru

At last, there is the third deformation involving elliptic deformations. We discuss in [13] the elliptic triad, which can be constructed via an elliptic deformation with an elliptic parameter w , and this deformation gives rise to eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonians dual to the elliptic Ruijsenaars Hamiltonians. There is another elliptic deformation with an elliptic parameter p , which is called the Shiraishi function [20–22], which, in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit, gives rises polynomials orthogonal to the eigenfunctions of the elliptic Ruijsenaars Hamiltonians under the Schur scalar product. Hence, on the integrable theory side, the first of these deformations corresponds to the system with an elliptic dependence on momenta, while the second deformation, to the systems with an elliptic dependence on coordinates. The system that unities both of these elliptic dependencies is called *bi-elliptic*, and an appropriate adjustment of Hamiltonians makes it self-dual, then it is named *double-elliptic* (DELL). In the present case, we deal with a *bi-elliptic* system, which is described by elliptic lift of the Shiraishi function (ELS function) [23], which, in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit, leads to eigenfunctions [24] of the bi-elliptic Koroteev-Shakirov (KS) Hamiltonians [25].

This still does not generate eigenfunctions of the DELL Hamiltonians, which were introduced in [26] in order to describe $6d$ Seiberg-Witten theories with adjoint matter: in the degenerate case, the elliptic triad is related to eigenfunctions of the degenerate DELL Hamiltonians by bi-orthogonality [24], however, this relation has not been lifted to the non-degenerate (bi-elliptic \leftrightarrow DELL) case yet (see a detailed discussion of these issues in [27]).

Our goal in this note is to study first this second elliptic deformation, i.e. we start with construction of the triad associated with the Shiraishi function, and then we extend it to the ELS triad. One of the essential new features of the Shiraishi and ELS triads is that, under polynomial reductions, they generates infinite sets of polynomials. Hence, we extend the notion of the triad. However, still there are two reductions: one of them gives rise to an infinite set of symmetric polynomials labeled by a partition, another one, to an infinite set of BA functions (nicknamed this way after [28]) labeled by N complex parameters. One may say that the new triads contain infinitely many subtriads each admitting two proper polynomial reductions.

The diamond of four triads looks as follows.



In terms of parameters, the most general ELS function at the top of the picture depends on $2N$ complex variables x_i and y_i , and is parameterized by two elliptic parameters, p and w , and by three more parameters s , q and t . On the physical side, this function is associated with the $6d$ Seiberg-Witten theory with adjoint matter, and these parameters have the following meaning [23]:

q , s are two Ω -background deformation parameters on the gauge theory side, s governs the non-stationarity. The limit of $s \rightarrow 1$ reduces the system to the quantum integrable system, and the non-stationary KS equation to the eigenvalue bi-elliptic Hamiltonian problem. On the algebra side, they rescale the dimensions of the operators.

t is the central charge parameter on the algebra side, and the coupling constant parameter on the integrable side. The integrable system becomes free upon $t \rightarrow 1$. On the gauge theory side, it is related to the mass of the adjoint hypermultiplet, $t = e^{-m}$.

p is the elliptic parameter that controls the coupling in the gauge theory (the bare torus and the bare charge). On the integrable side, it is associated with the torus where the coordinates live. On the algebra side, it is associated with the torus where the $2d$ fields in the $4d$ limit live. In the limit $p \rightarrow 0$, the instanton corrections disappear, and one gets the perturbative limit.

w is the elliptic parameter that is associated with the Kaluza-Klein torus in the gauge theory (remind that one considers Seiberg-Witten $6d$ theory with two dimensions compactified onto a $2d$ torus). On the integrable side, it is associated with the torus where the momenta live.

$$w = e^{2\pi i\tau}, \quad p = e^{2\pi i\tau}, \quad t = e^{-m}, \quad q = e^{-2\pi i\epsilon_1}, \quad s = e^{-2\pi i\epsilon_2} \quad (1)$$

Notation. We use the q -Pochhammer symbols

$$(u; q)_\infty := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^i u), \quad (u; q)_n := \frac{(u; q)_\infty}{(q^n u; q)_\infty}, \quad (u; q, w)_\infty := \prod_{i,j=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^i w^j u) \quad (2)$$

We also define the odd theta-function as

$$\theta_w(z) := (z; w)_\infty (w/z; w)_\infty = \frac{1}{(w; w)_\infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n w^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} z^n \quad (3)$$

We also introduce the function

$$\mathcal{R}(x, n) := \frac{(x; q)_n}{(xqt^{-1}; q)_n} = \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1 - q^r x)}{(1 - q^{r+1} t^{-1} x)} \quad (4)$$

and its elliptic counterpart

$$\mathcal{R}_p^{ell}(x, n) := \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_p(q^r x)}{\theta_p(q^{r+1} t^{-1} x)} \quad (5)$$

2 Basic triad

We start with the basic triad, which is given by the Noumi-Shiraishi (NS) power series [14], which is a formal power series of $2N$ variables $x_i = q^{z_i}$ and $y_i = q^{\lambda_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$

$$\mathfrak{NS}_{q,t}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\lambda_i} \cdot t^{-\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\rho}} \cdot \sum_{k_{ij}} \psi_{N,N}(\vec{y}, \{k_{ij}\}; q, t) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left(\frac{x_j}{x_i} \right)^{k_{ij}} \quad (6)$$

where $\vec{\rho}$ is the Weyl vector, i.e. $\vec{\rho} \cdot \vec{z} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (N - 2i + 1) z_i$, and the sum goes over all non-negative integer k_{ij} with $i < j$, while the coefficients $\psi_{N,M}(\vec{y}, \{k_{ij}\}; q, t)$ are

$$\psi_{N,M}(\vec{y}, \{k_{ij}\}; q, t) := \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{\prod_{\substack{j,n \\ i < j \leq n}}^M \mathcal{R}\left(t \cdot q^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i + \sum_{a>n} (k_{ia} - k_{ja})}, k_{in}\right)}{\prod_{\substack{j,n \\ i \leq j < n}}^M \mathcal{R}\left(q^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i - k_{jn} + \sum_{a>n} (k_{ia} - k_{ja})}, k_{in}\right)} \right] \quad (7)$$

This power series enjoys the two properties: (i) it is an eigenfunction of the Macdonald-Ruijsenaars operator [5, 29] (**Hamiltonian equation**),

$$\hat{H}_{MR} = \sum_{i=1}^N \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j} q^{x_i \partial_i} \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{H}_{MR} \cdot \mathfrak{NS}_{q,t}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \left(\sqrt{t} \sum_i y_i \right) \cdot \mathfrak{NS}_{q,t}(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$$

and (ii) it **admits two polynomial reductions**. First of all, the power series (6) can be made a symmetric polynomial in variables x_i by choosing $\vec{\lambda} = \vec{\mu} + \vec{\rho} \log_q t$, where $\vec{\mu}$ has all non-negative integer components μ_j , $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_N \geq 0$ at $j = 1, \dots, N$. This symmetric polynomial is nothing but the Macdonald polynomial [14]:

$$\boxed{M_{\mu}(\{x_i\}; q, t) = \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{S}_{q,t}(\vec{x}, \vec{\mu} + \vec{\rho} \log_q t)} \quad (9)$$

Instead of getting symmetric polynomials with integrality requirements for $\vec{\lambda}$, one can choose $t = q^{-m}$, which gives rise to a polynomial of x_i though non-symmetric, but instead $\vec{\lambda}$ can be kept to be N arbitrary complex parameters. This polynomial is nothing but the BA function $\Psi_m(\vec{x}, \vec{\lambda})$ [15]:

$$\boxed{\Psi_m(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{S}_{q,q^{-m}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y})} \quad (10)$$

which can be unambiguously (up to normalization) determined by the periodicity property giving rise to a system of linear equations:

$$\Psi_m(x_k q^j, \vec{y}) = \Psi_m(x_l q^j, \vec{y}) \quad \forall k, l \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m \quad \text{at } x_k = x_l \quad (11)$$

3 Shiraishi triad

3.1 The Shiraishi function

Definition. In order to introduce an elliptic parameter, one can achieve a periodicity by choosing

$$x_{i+N} = p x_i, \quad y_{i+N} = s y_i \quad (12)$$

in the system with infinitely many x_i and y_i . This procedure, however, requires some regularization. One way to make it is to restrict all the products over i by N [21] and keep all other products up to infinity so that (6) changes for

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{S}_N(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t) = \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\lambda_i} \cdot t^{-\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\rho}} \cdot \sum_{k_{ij}} \psi_{N,\infty}(\vec{y}, \{k_{ij}\}; q, t, s) \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j>i}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x_j}{x_i}\right)^{k_{ij}}}$$
(13)

with the periodic conditions imposed on $k_{i+N, j+N} = k_{ij}$, and (12) for x_i, y_i .

$\mathfrak{S}_N(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t)$ is just the Shiraishi function [20, 21].

3.2 Properties of the Shiraishi function

Spectral duality. Upon choosing a proper normalization [20, Formula (1)],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_N^{(1)}(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t) &:= \mathcal{N}_1(\vec{y}, s|q, t) \mathfrak{S}_N(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t) \\ \mathcal{N}_1(\vec{y}, s|q, t) &:= \prod_{i<j} \frac{\left(q \frac{y_j}{y_i}; q, s^N\right)_{\infty}}{\left(\frac{q y_j}{t y_i}; q, s^N\right)_{\infty}} \cdot \prod_{i<j} \frac{\left(q s^N \frac{y_i}{y_j}; q, s^N\right)_{\infty}}{\left(s^N \frac{q y_i}{t y_j}; q, s^N\right)_{\infty}} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

the Shiraishi function becomes symmetric under permuting the sets $(\vec{x}, p) \longleftrightarrow (\vec{y}, s)$ [20, Formula (2)] and [21, Formula (2.12)],

$$\mathfrak{S}_N^{(1)}(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t) = \mathfrak{S}_N^{(1)}(\vec{y}; s|\vec{x}; p|q, t) \quad (15)$$

and so does the NS function (6) [14, Formula (1.17)] [11, 15] with a similar normalization factor

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_1(\vec{y}|q, t) := \prod_{i<j} \frac{\left(q \frac{y_j}{y_i}; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(\frac{q y_j}{t y_i}; q\right)_{\infty}} \quad (16)$$

Poincaré duality. Further, choosing another normalization [20, Formula (1)],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_N^{(2)}(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t) &:= \mathcal{N}_2(\vec{y}, s|q, t) \mathfrak{S}_N(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t) \\ \mathcal{N}_2(\vec{y}, s|q, t) &:= \prod_{i < j} \frac{\left(\frac{qx_i}{tx_i}; q, p^N\right)_\infty}{\left(\frac{qx_i}{x_i}; q, p^N\right)_\infty} \cdot \prod_{i < j} \frac{\left(p^N \frac{qx_i}{tx_j}; q, p^N\right)_\infty}{\left(p^N \frac{qx_i}{x_j}; q, p^N\right)_\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

the Shiraishi function does not change under the transformation $t \rightarrow q/t$ [20, Formula (3)] and [21, Formula (2.12)],

$$\mathfrak{S}_N^{(2)}(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t) = \mathfrak{S}_N^{(2)}(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, q/t) \quad (18)$$

and so does the NS function (6) [14, Formula (1.17)] [11, 15] with the normalization factor

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_2(\vec{y}|q, t) := \prod_{i < j} \frac{\left(\frac{qx_i}{tx_i}; q\right)_\infty}{\left(\frac{qx_i}{x_i}; q\right)_\infty} \quad (19)$$

Hamiltonian equation. The equation for the Shiraishi function is rather involved [21], and is nicknamed “non-stationary elliptic Ruijsenaars equation” for the following reason: in the limit of¹ $s \rightarrow 1$, the Shiraishi function becomes an eigenfunction of the elliptic Ruijsenaars Hamiltonian [20],

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{ellR} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\theta_p(x_i/x_j)} q^{x_i \partial_i} \\ \hat{H}_{ellR} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_N(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s \rightarrow 1|q, t) &= \Lambda(y_i; p, q, t) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_N(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s \rightarrow 1|q, t) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Thus, in accordance with what we explained in the Introduction, s controls the non-stationarity, and the limit of $s \rightarrow 1$ corresponds to the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit.

3.3 Polynomial reductions

In order to generate polynomial reductions of the Shiraishi function, one has to expand it in powers of p :

$$\mathfrak{S}_N(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} p^{Nn} \cdot \frac{\mathfrak{S}_N^{(n)}(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t)}{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^n} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{S}_N^{(n)}(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{p}{x_i}\right)^n \quad (21)$$

Reduction to symmetric polynomials. Thus, one gets an infinite set of power series $\mathfrak{S}_N^{(n)}(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t)$ labeled by an additional *level* n , each of them admitting two polynomial reductions. The first one emerges upon choosing $y_i = q^{\mu_i} t^{N-i}$ with $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \mu_N \geq 0$, and multiplying $\mathfrak{S}_N^{(n)}(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, t)$ with $\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\mu_i}$:

$$\mathfrak{M}_\mu(\vec{x}; p; s|q, t) = \mathfrak{S}_N(\vec{x}; p|\{q^{\mu_i} t^{N-i}\}; s|q, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{M}_\mu^{(n)}(\vec{x}; s|q, t) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{p}{x_i}\right)^n \quad (22)$$

$\mathfrak{M}_\mu^{(n)}(\vec{x}; s|q, t)$ is a symmetric polynomial, and $\mathfrak{M}_\mu^{(0)}(\vec{x}; s|q, t)$ does not depend on s , and is the standard Macdonald polynomial $M_\mu(\vec{x}; q, t)$.

BA reduction. Another polynomial reduction emerges upon choosing $t = q^{-m}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. This polynomial reduction gives rise to the BA function:

$$\Psi_m(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s) = \mathfrak{S}_N(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, q^{-m}) := \sum_n \Psi_m^{(n)}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; s) \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{p}{x_i}\right)^n \quad (23)$$

¹In this limit, the Shiraishi function is singular, and one has to change the normalization, so that the Shiraishi function becomes regular in the limit of $s \rightarrow 1$.

and

$$\Psi_m^{(n)}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; s) = \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\lambda_i - m\rho_i} \cdot \sum_{k_{ij}} \psi_m^{(n)}(\vec{y}, \{k_{ij}\}, s; q) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left(\frac{x_j}{x_i} \right)^{k_{ij}} \quad (24)$$

with $0 \leq k_{ij} \leq m + 2n$, and $\Psi_m^{(n)}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; s)$ still satisfies the same periodicity property (11):

$$\Psi_m(x_k q^j, \vec{y}) = \Psi_m(x_l q^j, \vec{y}) \quad \forall k, l \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m \quad \text{at } x_k = x_l \quad (25)$$

In fact, $\Psi_m^{(0)}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; s) = \Psi_m^{(0)}(\vec{x}; \vec{y})$ does not depend on s .

Two remarks are in order. First of all, the both symmetricity properties (15) and (18) are inherited by the full BA function $\Psi_m(\vec{x}; p | \vec{y}; s)$, but not by the separate polynomials $\Psi_m^{(n)}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; s)$ at $n \neq 0$. In particular, this means that, in contrast with the $n = 0$ case, one cannot use the BA function for constructing symmetric polynomials at $t = q^{m+1}$.

Second, note that the periodicity property is enough to unambiguously fix the BA function $\Psi_m^{(0)}(\vec{x}; \vec{y})$ [15], however, this is no longer the case for higher $\Psi_m^{(n)}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; s)$: the number of equations necessary for fixing the BA function $\Psi_m^{(n)}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; s)$ grows with n . It is, therefore, an open question whether periodicity equations at $n \neq 0$ can be augmented by more equations so that each $\Psi_m^{(n)}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; s)$ could be defined purely from these equations unambiguously.

Relation of the two reductions. In the case of the basic triad, one can make the Macdonald polynomial from the BA function at non-negative integer components of $\vec{\lambda} + m\vec{\rho}$ ordered in non-increasing order (and this is Chalykh's original relation between the two, see [15, Theorem 5.11]), with help of averaging over Weyl group action:

$$M_{\vec{\lambda} + m\vec{\rho}}(\{q^{z_i}\}; q, q^{-m}) = \sum_{w \in W} \Psi_m(w\vec{z}, \vec{\lambda}) \quad (26)$$

Here w is an element of the A_{N-1} Weyl group. It turns out that this relation persists to be correct at the level of the Shiraishi functions:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\vec{\lambda} + m\vec{\rho}}^{(n)}(\vec{x}; p; s | q, q^{-m}) = \sum_{w \in W} \Psi_m^{(n)}(w\vec{x}; \vec{y}; s) \quad (27)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathfrak{S}_N(\vec{x}; p | \{q^{\mu_i} t^{N-i}; s | q, t) = \sum_{w \in W} \mathfrak{S}_N(w\vec{x}; p | \vec{y}; s | q, q^{-m}) \quad (28)$$

4 ELS triad

Definition. Now, this is absolutely immediate to construct the ELS triad: one just extends the coefficients in (7) replacing differences for the theta-functions as it was done in [13, 23, 30]:

$$\psi_{N,M}^{ell}(\vec{y}, \{k_{ij}\}; q, t, w) : = \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ i < j \leq n}}^M \mathcal{R}_w^{ell}\left(t \cdot q^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i + \sum_{a>n} (k_{ia} - k_{ja}), k_{in}}\right)}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \leq j < n}}^M \mathcal{R}_w^{ell}\left(q^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i - k_{jn} + \sum_{a>n} (k_{ia} - k_{ja}), k_{in}}\right)} \right] \quad (29)$$

Then, again implying the periodic conditions imposed on $k_{i+N, j+N} = k_{ij}$, and (12) for x_i, y_i , one immediately obtains the ELS power series [23]

$$\mathfrak{S}_N^{ell}(\vec{x}; p | \vec{y}; s | q, t, w) = \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\lambda_i} \cdot t^{-\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\rho}} \cdot \sum_{k_{ij}} \psi_{N,\infty}^{ell}(\vec{y}, \{k_{ij}\}; q, t, w) \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j>i}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x_j}{x_i} \right)^{k_{ij}} \quad (30)$$

Polynomial reductions. In this case, one literally repeats the steps we did in the case of the Shiraishi triad, in order to get the infinite set of symmetric polynomials

$$\mathfrak{M}_\mu^{\text{ell}}(\vec{x}; p; s|q, t, w) = \mathfrak{S}_N\left(\vec{x}; p|\{q^{\mu_i} t^{N-i}\}; s|q, t, w\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{M}_\mu^{(\text{ell}, n)}(\vec{x}; s|q, t, w) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{p}{x_i}\right)^n \quad (31)$$

and the infinite set of the BA polynomials:

$$\Psi_m^{\text{ell}}(\vec{x}; p|\vec{y}; s|q, w) = \mathfrak{S}_N^{\text{ell}}\left(|x; p|\vec{y}; s|q, q^{-m}, w\right) := \sum_n \Psi_m^{(\text{ell}, n)}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; s, w) \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{p}{x_i}\right)^n \quad (32)$$

Note that the fact that $\mathfrak{M}_\mu^{(\text{ell}, n)}(\vec{x}; s|q, t, w)$ are symmetric polynomials is highly non-trivial [31], and is a corollary of a series of theta-function identities [23]. The relation between the two polynomial reductions, (27)-(28) still persists in this ELS triad case. However, a counterpart of (11) in the elliptic case is yet to be constructed [13].

Hamiltonian equation. Similarly to the Shiraishi triad case, in order to get a “stationary” equation in the ELS triad, one has to take the limit of $s \rightarrow 1$ with a proper changing of normalization. Then, the ELS triad becomes an eigenfunction of the KS Hamiltonians [24]. These Hamiltonians can be presented either [27, Eqs.(97),(137)] in the original KS form [25], or [27, Eqs.(127),(138)] in the form proposed in [32]. The Hamiltonians are non-local and quite involved, and we do not reproduce them here.

5 Conclusion

In this letter, we considered an additional elliptic deformation of known triads: the basic triad from [12] and the elliptic triad from [13]. This allowed us to extend the notion of triad so that it allows both reductions to infinite sets of polynomials. The resulting **bi-elliptic ELS triad** is the largest triad associated with $6d$ Seiberg-Witten theory with adjoint matter, and the four constructed triads exhaust polynomial systems associated with Seiberg-Witten theories of this type. An open question remains of bringing it to an explicit DELL [27] (self-dual) form.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No.23-41-00049).

References

- [1] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, Nucl.Phys., **B426** (1994) 19-52, hep-th/9408099; *ibid.*, **B431** (1994) 484-550, hep-th/9407087
- [2] L. Alday, D. Gaiotto, Y. Tachikawa, Lett.Math.Phys. **91** (2010) 167-197, arXiv:0906.3219
N. Wyllard, JHEP **0911** (2009) 002, arXiv:0907.2189
A. Mironov, A. Morozov, Nucl.Phys. **B825** (2009) 1-37, arXiv:0908.2569
- [3] A.Gorsky, I.Krichever, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov, A.Morozov, Phys.Lett. **B355** (1995) 466, hep-th/9505035
A. Gorsky, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, Nucl. Phys. **B527** (1998) 690-716, hep-th/9802007
A. Gorsky, A. Mironov, hep-th/0011197
- [4] N. Nekrasov, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. **7** (2004) 831-864, hep-th/0206161
R. Flume, R. Poghossian, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A18** (2003) 2541, hep-th/0208176
A. Mironov, A. Morozov, Phys.Lett. **B680** (2009) 188-194, arXiv:0908.2190
- [5] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford University Press, 1995
- [6] J. Ding, K. Iohara, Lett.Math.Phys. **41** (1997) 181-193, q-alg/9608002
K. Miki, J.Math.Phys. **48** (2007) 123520
H. Awata, B. Feigin, A. Hoshino, M. Kanai, J. Shiraishi, S. Yanagida, arXiv:1106.4088

- B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, E. Mukhin, Kyoto J. Math. **52** (2012) 621-659, arXiv:1110.5310
H. Awata, B. Feigin, J. Shiraishi, arXiv:1112.6074
H. Awata, H. Kanno, T. Matsumoto, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, And. Morozov, Y. Ohkubo, Y. Zenkevich, JHEP **07** (2016) 103, arXiv:1604.08366
- [7] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, Y. Zenkevich, Phys. Lett. B **762** (2016) 196-208, arXiv:1603.05467
- [8] Y. Saito, arXiv:1301.4912
M. Ghoneim, C. Kozçaz, K. Kurşun, Y. Zenkevich, Nucl. Phys. B **978** (2022) 115740, arXiv:2012.15352
- [9] O. Chalykh, M. Fairon, J.Gem.Phys. **121** (2017) 413-437, arXiv:1704.05814
- [10] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, A. Popolitov, JHEP **2024** (2024) 200; arXiv:2406.16688
- [11] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, A. Popolitov, Phys.Lett. **B** (2025) 139380, arXiv:2410.10685
A. Mironov, A. Morozov, A. Popolitov, Nucl. Phys. **B1012** (2025) 116809, arXiv:2411.14194
- [12] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, A. Popolitov, arXiv:2411.16517
- [13] A.Mironov, A.Morozov, A.Popolitov, Z.Zakirova, arXiv:2412.19588
- [14] M. Noumi, J. Shiraishi, arXiv:1206.5364
- [15] O. Chalykh, Adv.Math. **166(2)** (2002) 193-259, math/0212313
- [16] I.G. Macdonald, *Orthogonal polynomials associated with root systems*, preprint (1987); mathQA/0011046
- [17] I. Cherednik, q-alg/9412016
I. Cherednik, The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, **141** (1995) 191-216
- [18] T.H. Koornwinder, *Jack Polynomials, and Applications (Tampa, FL, 1991)*, Contemp. Math. **138** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, 189-204
- [19] O. Chalykh, P. Etingof, Advances in Mathematics, **238** (2013) 246-289, arXiv:1111.0515
- [20] J. Shiraishi, J.Integrable.Syst. **4** (2019) xyz010, arXiv:1903.07495
- [21] E. Langmann, M. Noumi, J. Shiraishi, SIGMA **16** (2020) 105, arXiv:2006.07171
- [22] H. Awata, H. Kanno, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, JHEP **2020** (2020) 212, arXiv:1912.12897
- [23] H. Awata, H. Kanno, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, JHEP **08** (2020) 150, arXiv:2005.10563
- [24] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, Y. Zenkevich, Eur.Phys.J. **C81** (2021) 461, arXiv:2103.02508
- [25] P. Koroteev, S. Shakirov, Lett. Math. Phys. **110** (2020) 969-999, arXiv:1906.10354
- [26] H.W. Braden, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, Nucl.Phys. **B573** (2000) 553-572, hep-th/9906240
A. Mironov, A. Morozov, Phys.Lett. **B475** (2000) 71-76, hep-th/9912088
A. Mironov, A. Morozov, hep-th/0001168
- [27] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, Nucl. Phys. **B999** (2024) 116448, arXiv:2309.06403
- [28] O.A. Chalykh, M.V. Feigin, A.P. Veselov, Comm.Math.Phys. **206** (1999) 533-566, math-ph/9903019
- [29] J. Sekiguchi, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **12** (1977) 455-459
A. Debiard, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris (ser. I) **296** (1983) 529-532
S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, Comm.Math.Phys. **110** (1987) 191-213
I.G. Macdonald, Springer Lecture Notes **1271** (1987) 189-200
- [30] M. Fukuda, Y. Ohkubo, J. Shiraishi, SIGMA **16** (2020) 116, arXiv:2002.00243
- [31] H. Awata, H. Kanno, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, Eur. Phys. J. **C80** (2020) 994, arXiv:2002.12746
- [32] A. Grekov, A. Zotov, SciPost Phys. **10** (2021) 055 arXiv:2010.08077