

RECONSTRUCTING CURRENTS FROM THEIR PROJECTIONS

AIDAN BACKUS

ABSTRACT. We prove an inversion formula for the exterior k -plane transform. As a consequence, we show that if $m < k$ then an m -current in \mathbf{R}^n can be reconstructed from its projections onto \mathbf{R}^k , which proves a conjecture of Solomon.

A basic problem in analysis and geometry is to reconstruct an object in euclidean space from its orthogonal projections. Here we are interested in reconstructing an oriented submanifold, or more generally a current, from its projections. The geometry needed to prove our main theorem was worked out by Solomon [Sol11], who conjectured that the analysis would work out as well. In this brief note, we prove that conjecture.

Throughout, fix integers $0 \leq m < k < n$. A *compactly supported m -current* is a continuous linear functional on the space of smooth m -forms [Sim84, §6.2]. If T is a compactly supported m -current and α is a smooth m -form, we write $\int_T \alpha$ for $T(\alpha)$. Thus every compact, oriented, C^1 submanifold of \mathbf{R}^n is a compactly supported m -current.

Let G be the Grassmannian variety of k -dimensional linear subspaces of \mathbf{R}^n . There is a natural $O(n)$ -action on G , which induces a unique $O(n)$ -invariant Borel probability measure on G . For any $P \in G$, we have two operations:

- (1) Given an m -form α on P , the *pullback* $P^*\alpha$ is the m -form on \mathbf{R}^n which is the pullback of α by the orthogonal projection, $\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow P$.
- (2) Given a compactly supported m -current T on \mathbf{R}^n , the *pushforward* P_*T is the compactly supported m -current on P such that for every m -form α on P ,

$$\int_{P_*T} \alpha = \int_T P^*\alpha.$$

It is very important to work in the category of compactly supported currents for the pushforward by an orthogonal projection to make sense. Indeed, one can only push forward a noncompactly supported current by a proper map, which orthogonal projections are not; moreover, it does not really make sense to make sense to push forward a submanifold of \mathbf{R}^n .

We take the convention $0 \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ be the Japanese bracket. By $A \lesssim_k B$ we mean that there exists $C > 0$ (which depends on k) for every A, B , $A \leq CB$. The *Schwartz space* is the Fréchet space \mathcal{S} of m -forms α such that for every $N \in \mathbf{N}$, $|\alpha(x)| \lesssim_N \langle x \rangle^{-N}$ [Hör15, §7.1]. A density argument shows that a compactly supported m -current is determined by its action on \mathcal{S} .

Our main theorem establishes [Sol11, Conjectures 6.5 and 6.8]:

Theorem 1. *For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ and $P \in G$, there exists a smooth m -form α_P on P such that for every $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$,*

$$\alpha(x) = \int_G P^*(\alpha_P)(x) dP, \tag{1}$$

Date: March 11, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 44A12.

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation's Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. DGE-2040433.

and for every compactly supported m -current T on \mathbf{R}^n ,

$$\int_T \alpha = \int_G \int_{P_*T} \alpha_P \, dP. \quad (2)$$

In order to decompose α into α_P s, Solomon constructed a Radon-like transform [Sol11, §3]. Let $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ be the *tautological bundle*, the vector bundle whose fiber over $P \in G$ is P itself. For a vector space Q , let $\Lambda^m(Q)$ be the m th exterior power of Q , so that m -forms on Q are mappings $Q \rightarrow \Lambda^m(Q)$. For any $P \in G$, the inclusion map $P \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ induces a linear map $\Lambda^m(P) \rightarrow \Lambda^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and we denote its adjoint by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^m(\mathbf{R}^n) &\rightarrow \Lambda^m(P) \\ v &\mapsto v|_P. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2 ([Sol11, Definition 3.2]). The *exterior k -plane transform* of an m -form α is the mapping $\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{R}\alpha(P, x) := \int_{P^\perp} \alpha(x + y)|_P \, dy. \quad (3)$$

In (3), $\int_{P^\perp} \alpha(x + y)|_P \, dy$ is not the integral in the sense of differential forms (indeed, it cannot be, since $\alpha|_P$ is valued in $\Lambda^m(P)$ but we are integrating it over P^\perp). Rather, it is an $\Lambda^m(P)$ -valued integral. Moreover, (3) only makes sense when for almost every P , $\alpha|_P$ is integrable on P^\perp , so \mathcal{R} is ill-defined in L^p when p is large [Chr84]. We study \mathcal{R} on the completion X of \mathcal{S} under the norm

$$\|\alpha\|_X^2 := \|\alpha\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathcal{R}\alpha\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2.$$

To prove Theorem 1, we must establish the inversion formula for \mathcal{R} , so now we recall Solomon's construction of the inverse of \mathcal{R} . Let \mathcal{F} denote the Fourier transform, normalized

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(x) \, dx.$$

For each $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, let H_ξ be the hyperplane orthogonal to ξ , and let

$$\Pi(\xi) : \Lambda^m(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \Lambda^m(H_\xi)$$

be the orthogonal projection. Let $\Psi(\xi)$ be the orthogonal projection onto the orthocomplement of $\Lambda^m(H_\xi)$ in $\Lambda^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$, so that $\Pi(\xi) + \Psi(\xi) = I$, and introduce the 0-homogeneous symbol

$$\begin{aligned} h : \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\} &\rightarrow GL(\Lambda^m(\mathbf{R}^n)) \\ \xi &\mapsto \frac{k \operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1}) \binom{n-1}{m}}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{S}^{k-1}) \binom{n-k}{m}} \left[\frac{\Pi(\xi)}{k-m} + \frac{\Psi(\xi)}{n-m} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and the Fourier multiplier,

$$\mathcal{Q}\gamma(x) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} |\xi|^{n-k} h(\xi) \mathcal{F}\gamma(\xi) \, d\xi.$$

Lemma 3 ([Sol11, §3.2]). *The formal adjoint of \mathcal{R} , applied to a mapping $\beta : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$, is*

$$\mathcal{R}^*\beta(x) = \int_G \beta(P, x_P)|_P \, dP,$$

where x_P is the orthogonal projection of x onto P . The restriction, α_P , of $\beta(P, x_P)|_P$ to P is an m -form on P such that

$$P^*(\alpha_P)(x) = \beta(P, x_P)|_P.$$

Theorem 4 (inversion formula). *For every $\alpha \in X$,*

$$\alpha = \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}\alpha. \quad (4)$$

Proof. By [Sol11, Theorem 6.1], (4) holds if $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$. Let \dot{H}^s be the homogeneous Sobolev space of order s . We claim that for every $\alpha \in X$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}\alpha\|_{\dot{H}^{n-k}} \lesssim \|\alpha\|_{L^2}. \quad (5)$$

It is enough to prove this when $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$, so that (4) holds; thus, since $h(\xi)$ is invertible,

$$|\xi|^{n-k} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}\alpha)(\xi) = h(\xi)^{-1} \mathcal{F}\alpha(\xi).$$

Thus (5) follows from Plancherel's theorem.

To prove (4), let $\alpha \in X$ and let $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfy $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in X . Since h is 0-homogeneous, \mathcal{Q} maps \dot{H}^{n-k} boundedly into L^2 , so since (4) holds for α_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}\alpha - \alpha\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}(\alpha_n - \alpha)\|_{L^2} + \|\alpha_n - \alpha\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}(\alpha_n - \alpha)\|_{\dot{H}^{n-k}} + \|\alpha_n - \alpha\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\alpha_n - \alpha\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 5. *Suppose that α is a measurable m -form such that $|\alpha(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-n}$. Then $\alpha \in X$.*

Proof. Let (α_j) be a sequence in \mathcal{S} such that $|\alpha_j(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-n}$ uniformly in j , and $\|\alpha_j - \alpha\|_{L^2} \leq 1/j$. Such a sequence can be constructed, for example, by taking a smooth cutoff of α , then running the heat equation for a short time; this is routine, and we omit the details. To show that $\alpha \in X$, we must show that $\|\mathcal{R}(\alpha_j - \alpha)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \rightarrow 0$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/10]$ and $R := \varepsilon^{-4}$. For every $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $x \cdot y = 0$, $|x + y| \geq \max(|x|, |y|)$, so

$$\langle x + y \rangle^{-n} \leq \langle x \rangle^{-k+1/4} \langle y \rangle^{-(n-k)-1/4},$$

we use this fact to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_G \int_P \left| \int_{P^\perp \cap \{|y| > R\}} (\alpha(x+y) - \alpha_j(x+y))|_P dy \right|^2 dx dP \\ &\lesssim \int_G \int_P \left| \int_{P^\perp \cap \{|y| > R\}} \langle x+y \rangle^{-n} dy \right|^2 dx dP \\ &\leq \int_G \int_P \langle x \rangle^{-2k+1/2} \left| \int_{P^\perp \cap \{|y| > R\}} \langle y \rangle^{-(n-k)-1/4} dy \right|^2 dx dP \\ &\lesssim \int_R^\infty r^{-(n-k)-1/4+(n-k-1)} dr \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now we restrict to $j \geq R^{k/2}/\varepsilon^{1/2}$; then, by Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_G \int_P \left| \int_{P^\perp \cap \{|y| \leq R\}} (\alpha(x+y) - \alpha_j(x+y))|_P dy \right|^2 dx dP \\ &\lesssim \int_G \int_P R^k \int_{P^\perp \cap \{|y| \leq R\}} |\alpha(x+y) - \alpha_j(x+y)|^2 dy dx dP \\ &\leq R^k \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\alpha(z) - \alpha_j(z)|^2 dz \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|\mathcal{R}(\alpha_j - \alpha)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \lesssim \varepsilon$, as required. \square

Lemma 6. \mathcal{Q} maps \mathcal{S} boundedly into X . Furthermore, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$, $\theta \in [0, 1)$, $s \in \mathbf{N}$, $P, Q \in G$, and $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s} \mathcal{R}\mathcal{Q}\alpha(P, x_P) - \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s} \mathcal{R}\mathcal{Q}\alpha(Q, x_Q) \right| \lesssim_{\alpha, \theta, s} \text{dist}(P, Q)^\theta. \quad (6)$$

Proof. For every multiindex A of order s ,

$$\frac{\partial^A}{\partial x^A} \mathcal{Q}\alpha(x) = (2\pi i)^s \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|\xi| > \varepsilon\}} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \xi^A h(\xi) |\xi|^{n-k} \mathcal{F}\alpha(\xi) d\xi. \quad (7)$$

Since $e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} = \partial_{\xi_j} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} / (2\pi i x_j)$, integration by parts and induction on n gives, for each j ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\{|\xi| > \varepsilon\}} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \xi^A h(\xi) |\xi|^{s+n-k} \mathcal{F}\alpha(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ & \lesssim |x_j|^{-n} \left| \int_{\{|\xi| > \varepsilon\}} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right)^n (p_{1, s+n-k}(\xi) \mathcal{F}\alpha(\xi)) d\xi \right| \\ & \quad + |x_j|^{-n} \left| \int_{\{|\xi| = \varepsilon\}} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} p_{1,0}(\xi) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right)^{n-1} (p_{2, s+n-k}(\xi) \mathcal{F}\alpha(\xi)) d\xi \right| \\ & =: |x_j|^{-n} (I_1 + I_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_{\sigma, \tau}$ denotes a τ -homogeneous function. The gradient of a τ -homogeneous function is $\tau - 1$ -homogeneous, so for every $N \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \lesssim \sum_{t=1}^n \int_{\{|\xi| > \varepsilon\}} |\nabla^t p_{1, s+n-k}(\xi)| \cdot |\nabla^{n-t} \mathcal{F}\alpha(\xi)| d\xi \\ & \lesssim_{N, \alpha} \sum_{t=1}^n \int_{\{|\xi| > \varepsilon\}} |\xi|^{s+n-k-t} \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} d\xi \\ & \lesssim \sum_{t=1}^n \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} r^{s+n-k-t+n-1} \cdot \langle r \rangle^{-N} dr. \end{aligned}$$

We can choose N large enough (depending on s) so that each term in this sum is $\lesssim_s 1$. Indeed, the worst case scenario is that $(t, s) = (n, 0)$, which is acceptable since $n - k \geq 1$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & \lesssim \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \int_{\{|\xi| = \varepsilon\}} |\nabla^t p_{2, s+n-k}(\xi)| \cdot |\nabla^{n-t-1} \mathcal{F}\alpha(\xi)| d\xi \\ & \lesssim_{\alpha} \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \int_{\{|\xi| = \varepsilon\}} |\xi|^{s+n-k-t} d\xi \\ & \lesssim \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon^{s+n-k-t+n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and again all terms are acceptable. So by (7), $|\nabla^s \mathcal{Q}\alpha(x)| \lesssim_{\alpha, s} \min_j |x_j|^{-n}$; (7) also easily implies that $|\nabla^s \mathcal{Q}\alpha(x)| \lesssim_{\alpha, s} 1$. Therefore

$$|\nabla^s \mathcal{Q}\alpha(x)| \lesssim_{\alpha, s} \langle x \rangle^{-n}. \quad (8)$$

Taking $s = 0$, and applying Lemma 5, we obtain $\mathcal{Q}\alpha \in X$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{Q}\alpha$ exists, so (6) makes sense.

To prove (6), we may restrict to the case that P, Q are contained in a small ball $G_0 \subset G$. In particular, there exists a smooth family of linear isometries, $\sigma_N : \mathbf{R}^{n-k} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, parametrized by $N \in G_0$, such that the image of σ_N is N^\perp . Consider the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma : G_0 \times \mathbf{R}^n &\rightarrow \Lambda^m(\mathbf{R}^n) \\ (N, x) &\mapsto \mathcal{R}\mathcal{Q}\alpha(N, x_N). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau_N : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow N$ be the orthogonal projection $x \mapsto x_N$, so

$$\frac{\partial^s \gamma}{\partial x^s}(N, x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k}} ((\nabla^s \mathcal{Q}\alpha)(\tau_N x + \sigma_N y)|_N) \tau_N^{\otimes s} dy$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial^s \gamma}{\partial x^s}(P, x) - \frac{\partial^s \gamma}{\partial x^s}(Q, x) \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k}} |(\nabla^s \mathcal{Q}\alpha)(\tau_P x + \sigma_P y) - (\nabla^s \mathcal{Q}\alpha)(\tau_Q x + \sigma_Q y)| dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k}} |(\nabla^s \mathcal{Q}\alpha)(\tau_Q x + \sigma_Q y)| \cdot (||P - Q| + |\tau_P^{\otimes s} - \tau_Q^{\otimes s}|) dy \\ &=: J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate using (8), the θ -Hölder continuity of $N \mapsto (\tau_N, \sigma_N)$, and the fact that $\theta < 1 \leq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\lesssim_{\alpha, s} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k}} \langle \tau_P x + \sigma_P y \rangle^{-n} \cdot |(\tau_P - \tau_Q)x + (\sigma_P - \sigma_Q)y| dy \\ &\lesssim \text{dist}(P, Q)^\theta \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k}} \langle \tau_P x + \sigma_P y \rangle^{-n} |\tau_P x + \sigma_P y|^\theta dy \\ &\lesssim_\theta \text{dist}(P, Q)^\theta. \end{aligned}$$

A similar and even easier calculation shows $J_2 \lesssim_{\alpha, s} \text{dist}(P, Q)^\theta$ and therefore (6). \square

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 6, $\mathcal{Q}\alpha \in X$, so $\beta := \mathcal{R}\mathcal{Q}\alpha$ is well-defined. By Theorem 4 and the Fourier inversion formula,

$$|\xi|^{n-k} h(\xi) \mathcal{F}\alpha(\xi) = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{Q}\alpha(\xi) = |\xi|^{n-k} h(\xi) \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}^* \beta)(\xi).$$

Since $h(\xi)$ is invertible, we obtain $\alpha = \mathcal{R}^* \beta$.

For each $P \in G$, let α_P be the restriction of $\beta(P, \cdot)|_P$ to P . By Lemma 3, α_P is an m -form on P such that for each $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$\beta(P, x_P)|_P = \alpha_P(x_P) = P^*(\alpha_P)(x). \quad (9)$$

In particular,

$$\alpha(x) = \mathcal{R}^* \beta(x) = \int_G \beta(P, x_P)|_P dP = \int_G P^*(\alpha_P)(x) dP,$$

establishing (1).

The sets $\{\gamma \in C^\infty : \|\gamma\|_{C^\ell(B_R)} < \varepsilon\}$ generate the filter \mathcal{F} of open neighborhoods of 0 in C^∞ . So by differentiating both sides of (1) repeatedly, and viewing the right-hand side of (1) as a limit of Riemann sums, we see that for every $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a partition $E_1^{\mathcal{U}, \varepsilon}, \dots, E_{I^{\mathcal{U}, \varepsilon}}^{\mathcal{U}, \varepsilon}$ of G into Borel sets such that $\text{diam}(E_i^{\mathcal{U}, \varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$ and whenever $P_i^{\mathcal{U}, \varepsilon} \in E_i^{\mathcal{U}, \varepsilon}$,

$$\left(\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^{I^{\mathcal{U}, \varepsilon}} \text{vol}(E_i^{\mathcal{U}, \varepsilon})(P_i^{\mathcal{U}, \varepsilon})^*(\alpha_{P_i^{\mathcal{U}, \varepsilon}}) \right) \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (10)$$

For every compactly supported m -current T and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for every $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$, $|\int_T \gamma| < \varepsilon$, so by (10),

$$\left| \int_T \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^{I^{\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}} \text{vol}(E_i^{\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}) \int_T (P_i^{\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon, \varepsilon})^*(\alpha_{P_i^{\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}}) \right| < \varepsilon. \quad (11)$$

By (6) and (9), $P \mapsto \int_T P^*(\alpha_P)$ is continuous, so since $\text{diam}(E_i^{\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$, the Riemann sums converge:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{I^{\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}} \text{vol}(E_i^{\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}) \int_T (P_i^{\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon, \varepsilon})^*(\alpha_{P_i^{\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}}) = \int_G \int_T P^*(\alpha_P) dP = \int_G \int_{P_*T} \alpha_P dP.$$

Combining this equation with (11) completes the proof of (2). \square

When $m = 0$, sharper conditions are available for the invertibility of \mathcal{R} [Rub04; Jen04], and it is natural to conjecture the same when $m \geq 1$. Since they are necessary for the proof of Theorem 2, I have not seriously attempted to generalize them.

REFERENCES

- [Chr84] M. Christ. “Estimates for the k -plane Transform”. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* 33.6 (1984), 891–910. ISSN: 00222518, 19435258. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/45010281> (visited on 10/04/2024).
- [Hör15] L. Hörmander. *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I: Distribution Theory and Fourier Analysis*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2015. ISBN: 9783642614972. URL: <https://books.google.com/b>
- [Jen04] S. R. Jensen. “Sufficient conditions for the Inversion Formula for the k -plane Radon Transform in \mathbb{R}^n ”. *Mathematica Scandinavica* 94.2 (June 2004), 207–226. DOI: [10.7146/math.scand.a-14440](https://doi.org/10.7146/math.scand.a-14440). URL: <https://www.msand.dk/article/>
- [Rub04] B. Rubin. “Reconstruction of functions from their integrals over k -planes”. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 141.1 (Dec. 2004), 93–117. ISSN: 1565-8511. DOI: [10.1007/BF02772213](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02772213). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02772213>.
- [Sim84] L. Simon. *Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory*. Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis. Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, 1984. ISBN: 9780867844290. URL: <https://books.google.com/books?id=nV4R6jW3rwUC>.
- [Sol11] B. Solomon. “X-rays of forms and projections of currents”. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 363.1 (2011), 143–164. ISSN: 00029947. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40997325> (visited on 10/04/2024).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY

Email address: aidan_backus@brown.edu