

VANISHING THEOREMS FOR HODGE NUMBERS AND THE CALABI CURVATURE OPERATOR

KYLE BRODER, JAN NIENHAUS, PETER PETERSEN, JAMES STANFIELD, AND MATTHIAS WINK

ABSTRACT. It is shown that a compact n -dimensional Kähler manifold with $\frac{n}{2}$ -positive Calabi curvature operator has the rational cohomology of complex projective space. For even n , this is sharp in the sense that the complex quadric with its symmetric metric has $\frac{n}{2}$ -nonnegative Calabi curvature operator, yet $b_n = 2$. Furthermore, the compact Kähler manifolds with $\frac{n}{2}$ -nonnegative Calabi curvature operator are completely classified.

In addition, the previously known results for the Kähler curvature operator are improved when the metric is Kähler–Einstein.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
	Acknowledgments	4
2.	Preliminaries and conventions	5
2.1.	Conventions on tensors and norms	5
2.2.	Complexification	5
2.3.	Curvature tensors	6
2.4.	The curvature term of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian	8
3.	Bochner formulae and a calculus for curvature tensors	9
4.	Main estimates and proofs of Theorems A–D	15
5.	The Kähler curvature operator and proof of Theorem E	23
	References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

The Bochner technique is an analytic method for understanding how curvature interacts with the topology, and in particular the cohomology, of a Riemannian manifold. In complex geometry, the Bochner technique has established itself as the primary technique for proving several foundational estimates, including those used to establish the existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics [Aub78, CDS15a, CDS15b, CDS15c, Tia15, Yau78]. Moreover, its role in proving vanishing theorems on sheaf cohomology goes back to Bochner [Boc46], who proved that a compact Kähler manifold with k -positive Ricci curvature does not admit holomorphic

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q10, 32Q15, 32Q20, 53C21, 53C55.

Key words and phrases. Kähler manifolds, Bochner technique, Vanishing theorems.

p -forms for $k \leq p \leq n$. This has inspired several results in this direction, see, e.g., [BG65, Bou95, Dem12, GW72, KW70, NZ18, NZ22, Yan18].

We also recall that a self-adjoint operator with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m$ is said to be k -nonnegative for some $k \geq 1$ if

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{[k]} + (k - [k])\lambda_{[k]+1} \geq 0.$$

The operator is said to be k -positive if the above inequality is strict.

In [PW21b], the third and fifth named authors obtained a cohomological characterization of \mathbf{P}^n in terms of eigenvalues of the Kähler curvature operator $\mathfrak{K}: \Omega_X^{1,1} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{1,1}$. Namely, if X^n is a compact Kähler manifold with $(3 - \frac{2}{n})$ -positive Kähler curvature operator, then X has the rational cohomology of \mathbf{P}^n . The results in [PW21b] in fact establish vanishing results for individual Hodge numbers $h^{p,q}(X) := \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$. In particular, for (p, p) -forms one only requires $(n + 1 - p)$ -positive Kähler curvature operator to conclude $h^{p,p}(X) = 1$ while the curvature conditions for $(p, 0)$ -forms are stronger than those required by Bochner.

In the present article, we overcome this obstacle by using the framework of the Calabi curvature operator. The Calabi curvature operator $\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{S}_X^{2,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_X^{2,0}$ is defined by

$$g(\mathcal{C}(\xi \odot \mu), \bar{\eta} \odot \bar{\nu}) := 4R(\xi, \bar{\eta}, \bar{\nu}, \mu),$$

where $\xi \odot \mu := \xi \otimes \mu + \mu \otimes \xi \in \mathcal{S}_X^{2,0} := T^{1,0}X \odot T^{1,0}X$.

The Calabi curvature operator first appears in a paper of Calabi–Vesentini [CV60] and subsequently appeared in [Bor60, OT80, Sit73]. Our first main theorem improves the result of Ogiue–Tachibana [OT80], which established a cohomological characterization of \mathbf{P}^n under the assumption of positive, i.e., 1-positive, Calabi curvature operator.

Theorem A. Compact n -dimensional Kähler manifolds with $\frac{n}{2}$ -positive Calabi curvature operators have the rational cohomology of \mathbf{P}^n .

For n even, this result is sharp, as the complex quadric $\mathrm{SO}(n+2)/(\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(n))$ has $\frac{n}{2}$ -nonnegative Calabi curvature operator and Betti number $b_n = 2$. Moreover, for n odd, the complex quadric is a rational cohomology \mathbf{P}^n . This raises the question of whether a compact Kähler manifold with $\frac{n}{2}$ -positive Calabi curvature operator is biholomorphic to \mathbf{P}^n .

The rigidity case of Theorem A is established in the following result.

Theorem B. If X^n is a compact Kähler manifold with $\frac{n}{2}$ -nonnegative Calabi curvature operator, then one of the following holds:

- (i) The holonomy is irreducible and X has either the rational cohomology of \mathbf{P}^n or is isometric to the complex quadric $\mathrm{SO}(n+2)/(\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(n))$ with its symmetric metric.
- (ii) The holonomy is reducible, and a finite cover is isometric to $\mathbf{T}^k \times Y$, where Y is a product of spaces that are biholomorphic to projective spaces.

Theorem B generalizes to a local holonomy classification in the spirit of [NPWW23].

Theorem C. If X^n is a (not necessarily complete) Kähler manifold with \mathcal{C} or $-\mathcal{C}$ being $\frac{n}{2}$ -nonnegative, then one of the following holds.

- (i) The holonomy is irreducible and is either $U(n)$ or the space is locally isometric to the complex quadric $SO(n+2)/(SO(2) \times SO(n))$ with its symmetric metric, or its dual $SO_0(n,2)/(SO(2) \times SO(n))$.
- (ii) The holonomy is reducible and given by $U(n_1) \times \dots \times U(n_k)$ where $n_1 + \dots + n_k \leq n$.

In order to prove Theorem A, we establish vanishing results for primitive real forms in $\Omega_X^{p,q} \oplus \Omega_X^{q,p}$. This turns out to be key in proving the required estimates. Since $\Omega_X^{p,q}$ is conjugate isomorphic to $\Omega_X^{q,p}$, controlling the real forms in $\Omega_X^{p,q} \oplus \Omega_X^{q,p}$ is sufficient to control the Hodge numbers. To obtain results for Hodge numbers, note that due to the Lefschetz decomposition theorem, any form is uniquely given by $\varphi = \sum_{k \geq 0} L^k \varphi_k$, where $L: \Omega_X^{p,q} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{p+1,q+1}$ is the Lefschetz map and φ_k are primitive. Therefore, control of primitive forms leads to complete control of the Hodge and Betti numbers.

We next explain the precise estimate and vanishing theorem for primitive (p, q) -forms on a compact Kähler manifold X of dimension n . To this end, we set

$$\Upsilon_{p,q} := \frac{(p+q)(n+1) - 2pq}{2 + 4 \min\left(p, q, \frac{\sqrt{pq}}{2}\right)}.$$

Theorem D. Let X^n be a compact Kähler manifold. If the Calabi curvature operator \mathcal{C} is $\Upsilon_{p,q}$ -nonnegative, then the real primitive harmonic forms in $\Omega_X^{p,q} \oplus \Omega_X^{q,p}$ are parallel. If \mathcal{C} is $\Upsilon_{p,q}$ -positive, then the real primitive harmonic forms in $\Omega_X^{p,q} \oplus \Omega_X^{q,p}$ vanish.

Note that $\Upsilon_{p,q} \geq \frac{n}{2}$ whenever $1 \leq p+q \leq n$ and the minimum is achieved when $p = q = 1$. Further, $\Upsilon_{p,p} = \frac{p((n+1)-p)}{1+p}$ and $\Upsilon_{p,0} = \frac{p(n+1)}{2}$. In particular, $\Upsilon_{n,0} = \dim S_X^{2,0}$, which recovers the result of Bochner [Boc46] that $h^{n,0}$ is controlled by the scalar curvature; this strongly contrasts the previous work [PW21b]. The positivity condition that ensures the vanishing of Hodge numbers is given by applying Theorem D to each summand in the Lefschetz decomposition.

It is worth noting that topological invariants such as characteristic classes only contribute to Hodge numbers that occur in degree (p, p) . Moreover, Kähler manifolds with symmetry, such as flag varieties [CG10] and, in particular, compact Hermitian symmetric spaces [BH58] only have nonzero Hodge numbers in degree (p, p) . Other interesting examples of this type, such as twistor spaces, can be found in [CLS11, LS94, Sal82].

Remark. The Ricci tensor is bounded from below by the sum of the lowest n eigenvalues of the Calabi curvature operator. In particular, if the sum of the lowest $\Upsilon_{p,q}$ eigenvalues of the

Calabi curvature operator is bounded from below, we automatically obtain a lower bound on the Ricci curvature. This allows us to obtain estimates for Hodge numbers of compact Kähler manifolds in terms of their upper diameter bound, as in [PW21b, Theorem D].

With regard to the setup and the use of the Calabi curvature operator, we would like to note that it was already observed by Calabi–Vesentini [CV60] that the curvature tensor induced by an algebraic Calabi curvature operator, i.e., a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{S}_p^{2,0}$, automatically satisfies the algebraic Bianchi identity. Therefore, the setup of the Calabi curvature operator allows us to incorporate the algebraic Bianchi identity, which is a significant improvement over the setup in [PW21b]. The price to pay is that elements of $\mathcal{S}_X^{2,0}$ acting as endomorphisms do not preserve the type of the form. More precisely, in contrast with the $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ -action considered in [PW21b], the operators we consider transform (p, q) -forms to $(p - 1, q + 1)$ -forms.

A more technical outline of the proof is given at the beginning of Section 4.

In addition to the results for the Calabi curvature operator, we prove an analog of Theorem A for the Kähler curvature operator $\mathfrak{K}: \Omega_X^{1,1} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{1,1}$ of a Kähler–Einstein metric. As explained at the beginning of the introduction, the results in [PW21b] for general Kähler manifolds required $(3 - \frac{2}{n})$ -positive Kähler curvature operator to obtain vanishing of all primitive forms, while all primitive (p, p) -forms already vanish if \mathfrak{K} is $(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$ -positive.

In the case of Kähler–Einstein manifolds, we note that the Kähler form is an eigenvector with the Einstein constant as the corresponding eigenvalue. Therefore, we may restrict the Kähler curvature operator to primitive $(1, 1)$ -forms and obtain the following result.

Theorem E. Let (X^n, g) be a compact Kähler–Einstein manifold. If the restriction of the Kähler curvature operator to primitive $(1, 1)$ -forms $\mathfrak{K}|_{\mathfrak{su}(n)}: \Lambda_0^{1,1} TX \rightarrow \Lambda_0^{1,1} TX$ is $(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$ -nonnegative, then all primitive harmonic forms are parallel. If $\mathfrak{K}|_{\mathfrak{su}(n)}$ is $(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$ -positive, then the real primitive harmonic (p, q) -forms vanish.

In Section 5, we in fact establish curvature conditions depending on (p, q) so that the corresponding Hodge number vanishes, similar to Theorem D. The proof relies on extending the techniques in [PW21b] for Kähler–Einstein metrics.

Acknowledgments. K. Broder and P. Petersen thank Ramiro Lafuente and the University of Queensland (UQ) for funding P. Petersen’s research visit to UQ and for their hospitality. K. Broder, P. Petersen, and J. Stanfield would also like to thank MATRIX for their hospitality during the workshop on Geometric Analysis and Symmetry in February 2025.

K. Broder was supported by the Australian Research Council Discovery Projects DP220102530 and DP240101772. J. Stanfield was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy EXC 2044 –390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure and by the CRC 1442 “Geometry: Deformations and Rigidity” of the DFG.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND CONVENTIONS

2.1. Conventions on tensors and norms. Let (V, g) be a Euclidean \mathbf{R} -vector space. We define the wedge product as in [Pet25]. In particular, for $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$ we have

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k := \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sign}(\sigma) v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(k)}$$

and specifically for $v, w \in V$, $v \wedge w := v \otimes w - w \otimes v$. Similarly, for $v, w \in V$, we set $v \odot w := v \otimes w + w \otimes v$. Using the metric g , the map $v \otimes w \mapsto g(v, \cdot)w$ identifies $V \otimes V \simeq V^* \otimes V$. The canonical isomorphism $V^* \otimes V \simeq \text{End}(V)$ then yields

$$\begin{aligned} (v \otimes w)z &= g(v, z)w, \\ (v \wedge w)z &= g(v, z)w - g(w, z)v, \\ (v \odot w)z &= g(v, z)w + g(w, z)v. \end{aligned}$$

This induces an identification of $\bigwedge^2 V$ with $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ and of $\bigodot^2 V$ with the vector space of self-adjoint endomorphisms of V .

For a tensor $T \in \bigotimes^k V \otimes \bigotimes^l V^*$ we use the tensor norm,

$$|T|^2 = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \\ j_1, \dots, j_l}} (T^{i_1 \dots i_k}_{j_1 \dots j_l})^2.$$

Consequently, if e_1, \dots, e_k are orthonormal, then

$$|e_1 \wedge e_2|^2 = |e_1 \odot e_2|^2 = 2 \text{ and } |e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_k|^2 = k!.$$

Declaring the identification $V \otimes V \simeq V \otimes V^* \simeq \text{End}(V)$ to be an isometry, the induced inner product on $\text{End}(V)$ is

$$g(L_1, L_2) = \text{tr}(L_1 L_2^*).$$

Lemma 2.1. (a) If $L \in \text{End}(V) \simeq V \otimes V$ and $u, v \in V$, then $g(L, u \otimes v) = g(Lu, v)$.

(b) If $L \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$ and $u, v \in V$, then $g(L, u \wedge v) = 2g(Lu, v)$.

Proof. Note that $g(z \otimes w, u \otimes v) = g(z, u)g(w, v) = g((z \otimes w)u, v)$. This implies (a). For (b), if $L \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$, then $g(L, u \wedge v) = g(L, u \otimes v - v \otimes u) = g(Lu, v) - g(Lv, u) = 2g(Lu, v)$. \square

2.2. Complexification. Let J be a compatible (almost) complex structure on (V, g) , i.e., $J^2 = -\text{Id}$ and $g(J \cdot, J \cdot) = g(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} V = 2n$ and let e_1, \dots, e_{2n} be a J -adapted orthonormal basis for V . By convention, $J e_a = e_{a+n}$ for $a = 1, \dots, n$. Consider $V^{\mathbf{C}} = V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ and extend all \mathbf{R} -linear maps to be \mathbf{C} -linear. Note that

$$\text{tr}_{\mathbf{R}}(L) = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{C}}(L^{\mathbf{C}}) = 2 \text{tr}_{\mathbf{R}}(L^{\mathbf{C}}),$$

where $L^{\mathbf{C}}$ denotes the \mathbf{C} -linear extension. In the following, we will not differentiate between L and $L^{\mathbf{C}}$. With this convention, the metric g is extended \mathbf{C} -bilinearly and we obtain the identification

$$\text{End}(V^{\mathbf{C}}) \simeq (V^{\mathbf{C}})^* \otimes V^{\mathbf{C}} \simeq V^{\mathbf{C}} \otimes V^{\mathbf{C}}.$$

The space $V^{\mathbf{C}}$ decomposes into the $\pm\sqrt{-1}$ -eigenspaces of J , i.e., $V^{\mathbf{C}} = V^{1,0} \oplus V^{0,1}$. The corresponding $(1,0)$ - and $(0,1)$ -vectors are denoted by

$$Z_a := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_a - \sqrt{-1}Je_a), \quad \overline{Z}_a := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_a + \sqrt{-1}Je_a)$$

for $a = 1, \dots, n$ and we obtain a basis Z_1, \dots, Z_n for $V^{1,0}$ and a basis $\overline{Z}_1, \dots, \overline{Z}_n$ for $V^{0,1} = \overline{V^{1,0}}$. Note that

$$g(Z_a, Z_b) = g(\overline{Z}_a, \overline{Z}_b) = 0, \quad g(Z_a, \overline{Z}_b) = \delta_{ab}.$$

Furthermore, we obtain the decomposition $\bigwedge^2 V^{\mathbf{C}} = \bigwedge^{2,0} V \oplus \bigwedge^{1,1} V \oplus \bigwedge^{0,2} V$ from the basis

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z_a \wedge Z_b \text{ for } 1 \leq a < b \leq n, \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z_a \wedge \overline{Z}_b \text{ for } 1 \leq a, b \leq n, \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{Z}_a \wedge \overline{Z}_b \text{ for } 1 \leq a < b \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. We also raise indices using the metric according to the formulae $Z^a = g(\cdot, \overline{Z}_a)$ and $\overline{Z}^a = g(Z_a, \cdot)$. In particular, note that for $L \in \text{End}(V^{\mathbf{C}})$, $\overline{L}(v) = \overline{L(\overline{v})}$ is complex linear.

Remark 2.3. Note that if $A \in \bigotimes^2 V^*$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2n} A(e_j, e_j) = \sum_{a=1}^n (A(Z_a, \overline{Z}_a) + A(\overline{Z}_a, Z_a)).$$

2.3. Curvature tensors. An algebraic curvature tensor is an element $R \in \bigotimes^4 V^*$ such that

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = -R(Y, X, Z, W) = -R(X, Y, W, Z) = R(Z, W, X, Y)$$

which satisfies the algebraic Bianchi identity.

Furthermore, we define $R(X, Y)Z$ via $g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = R(X, Y, Z, W)$ and the *curvature operator* via

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}: \bigwedge^2 V &\rightarrow \bigwedge^2 V, \\ g(\mathfrak{R}(X \wedge Y), Z \wedge W) &:= 2R(X, Y, Z, W). \end{aligned}$$

With this convention, the curvature operator of the round sphere is the identity.

Let (V, J, g) be a Euclidean vector space with a compatible complex structure. An algebraic curvature tensor is an *algebraic Kähler curvature tensor* if

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = R(JX, JY, Z, W) = R(X, Y, JZ, JW).$$

It follows that $R(Z_a, Z_b) = R(\overline{Z}_a, \overline{Z}_b) = 0$ and $R(Z_a, \overline{Z}_b) \in \text{End}(V^{\mathbf{C}})$ induces endomorphisms of $V^{1,0}$ and $V^{0,1}$, respectively. Since the endomorphism $R(\cdot, \cdot) \in \text{End}(V^{\mathbf{C}})$ is defined via $g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = R(X, Y, Z, W)$, we see that $R(X, Y)$ is skew-adjoint with respect to g . In particular, with the identification $\mathfrak{so}(V^{\mathbf{C}}) = \bigwedge^2 V^{\mathbf{C}}$, we have

$$R(Z_a, \overline{Z}_b) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c,d} g\left(R(Z_a, \overline{Z}_b), \overline{Z}_c \wedge \overline{Z}_d\right) Z_c \wedge \overline{Z}_d$$

for a Kähler curvature tensor R . Furthermore, we note that a Kähler curvature tensor has the symmetries

$$R(X, \overline{Y}, Z, \overline{W}) = R(Z, \overline{Y}, X, \overline{W}) = R(X, \overline{W}, Z, \overline{Y}).$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in V^{1,0}$.

Recall that the curvature operator of a Riemannian manifold vanishes on the orthogonal complement of the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{u}(n) \simeq \bigwedge^{1,1} V$. For Kähler manifolds, this induces the Kähler curvature operator.

Definition 2.4. For an algebraic Kähler curvature operator R we define the induced *Kähler curvature operator* $\mathfrak{K}: \bigwedge^{1,1} V \rightarrow \bigwedge^{1,1} V$ by

$$g(\mathfrak{K}(X \wedge \overline{Y}), Z \wedge \overline{W}) := 2R(X, \overline{Y}, Z, \overline{W})$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in V^{1,0}$.

In addition, an algebraic Kähler curvature tensor R also induces a self-adjoint operator on $\odot^2 V^{1,0}$. It was introduced and studied by Calabi–Vesentini in [CV60]. In honor of Calabi, we call it the *Calabi curvature operator*.

Definition 2.5. For an algebraic Kähler curvature operator R we define the induced *Calabi curvature operator* $\mathcal{C}: \odot^2 V^{1,0} \rightarrow \odot^2 V^{1,0}$ by

$$g(\mathcal{C}(X \odot Y), \overline{Z} \odot \overline{W}) := 4R(X, \overline{Z}, \overline{W}, Y)$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in V^{1,0}$.

Remark 2.6. Both the Kähler curvature operator \mathfrak{K} and the Calabi curvature operator \mathcal{C} are self-adjoint with respect to g .

If (X, g) is a Kähler manifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature, then the Calabi curvature operator of g is a scalar multiple of the identity. Specifically, the Calabi curvature operator of \mathbf{P}^n with the Fubini–Study metric and holomorphic sectional curvature one is the identity operator.

Remark 2.7. Nonnegativity (respectively, positivity) of the Calabi curvature operator implies that the holomorphic bisectional curvature is nonnegative (respectively, positive). Hence, compact Kähler manifolds with positive Calabi curvature operator are classified by the Mori [Mor79] and Siu–Yau [SY80] resolution of the Frankel–Hartshorne conjecture. Compact Hermitian symmetric spaces of rank ≥ 2 do not have nonnegative Calabi curvature operator [CV60]. Hence, Mok’s theorem [Mok88] implies that the universal cover of a compact Kähler manifold with nonnegative Calabi curvature operator is the product of Euclidean and complex projective spaces.

Remark 2.8. It was observed by Calabi–Vesentini [CV60] (see also [Sit73]) that the space of algebraic Kähler curvature operators on V is in one-to-one correspondence with the space of self-adjoint operators of $\odot^2 V^{1,0}$. On the other hand, a given self-adjoint map of $\bigwedge^{1,1} V$ does not always correspond to a Kähler curvature operator, as the algebraic Bianchi identity may fail.

Remark 2.9. If $\Sigma_\nu \in \odot^2 V^{1,0}$ is an eigenbasis for the Calabi curvature operator \mathcal{C} with corresponding eigenvalues σ_ν such that $g(\Sigma_\nu, \overline{\Sigma_\mu}) = \delta_{\nu\mu}$, then

$$R(Z_a, \overline{Z_b}) = - \sum_{\nu} \sigma_{\nu} \overline{\Sigma_{\nu}} Z_a \wedge \Sigma_{\nu} \overline{Z_b}.$$

2.4. The curvature term of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian. Let $\varphi \in \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^k X$ be a smooth k -form on a compact Kähler manifold X . Here, we take φ to be complex-valued, but the Bochner technique also finds important applications to bundle-valued k -forms (see, e.g., [BS23, GW72, KW70, Yan24]). If Δ_g denotes the Hodge Laplacian of a Kähler metric g , then the Bochner–Weitzenböck formula asserts that

$$\Delta_g \varphi = (\mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^* + \mathrm{d}^*\mathrm{d})\varphi = \nabla^* \nabla \varphi + \mathrm{Ric}_L(\varphi),$$

where $\mathrm{Ric}_L(\varphi)$ is tensorial and a contraction of $\nabla^2 \varphi$, and therefore, must be a contraction of $R \otimes \varphi$. Explicitly, it is given by the formula

$$\mathrm{Ric}_L(\varphi)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) := \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (R(\xi_s, e_j)\varphi)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{s-1}, e_j, \xi_{s+1}, \dots, \xi_k)$$

where e_1, \dots, e_{2n} is an orthonormal basis for $T_p X$. Here, $R(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathrm{End}(TX_{\mathbb{C}})$ is considered as a skew-adjoint endomorphism acting as a derivation. Specifically, for a linear map L and a tensor T we have

$$(LT)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) = - \sum_{i=1}^k T(\xi_1, \dots, L\xi_i, \dots, \xi_k). \tag{1}$$

We emphasize that the subscript L indicates that Ric_L is the curvature term that appears in the *Lichnerowicz Laplacian* $\Delta_L := \nabla^* \nabla + c\mathrm{Ric}_L$, for some $c > 0$ (see, e.g., [Pet16]). If, in

addition, φ is harmonic, then

$$0 = g(\nabla^* \nabla \varphi, \bar{\varphi}) + g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \bar{\varphi}). \quad (2)$$

If $g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \bar{\varphi}) \geq 0$, the divergence theorem or maximum principle can be used to show that φ is parallel, and thus to estimate Betti numbers.

The precise form of the curvature term appearing (2) depends on which irreducible tensor module φ belongs to. If $\varphi \in \Omega_X^{1,0}$, then $g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \bar{\varphi}) = \text{Ric}_g(\varphi, \bar{\varphi})$, while if $\varphi \in \Omega_X^{n,0}$, $g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \bar{\varphi}) = \text{scal}_g |\varphi|^2$. In general, this curvature term does not afford such a kind expression. For (1,1)-forms, it corresponds to quadratic orthogonal bisectional curvature [BG65, YB53, WYZ09].

If the curvature term is strictly positive on an irreducible module (even at just one point), then this forces $\varphi \equiv 0$, and thus one obtains vanishing theorems. Note that the curvature term may have a natural kernel; for example, in the Kähler case, the powers of the Kähler form.

3. BOCHNER FORMULAE AND A CALCULUS FOR CURVATURE TENSORS

In this section, we present a new technique to derive the curvature term for the Lichnerowicz Laplacian on forms using the Calabi curvature operator. The formula established in Lemma 3.7 first appeared (without proof) in the work of Ogiue–Tachibana [OT80]. Our new approach is based more generally on curvature operators on tensor bundles and also recovers the corresponding identity for the curvature operator of the second kind in [NPW23].

Definition 3.1. Let R be an algebraic curvature tensor on (V, g) . For $i = 1, 2$ the curvature operators $\mathcal{R}^i: \otimes^2 V \rightarrow \otimes^2 V$ are defined on generators by

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathcal{R}^1(X \otimes Y), Z \otimes W) &= R(X, Y, Z, W), \\ g(\mathcal{R}^2(X \otimes Y), Z \otimes W) &= R(X, Z, W, Y) \end{aligned}$$

and extended bilinearly. Note that \mathcal{R}^i are self-adjoint operators with respect to g .

Remark 3.2. From the definition, we easily compute that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathcal{R}^1(X \wedge Y), Z \wedge W) &= 4R(X, Y, Z, W), \\ g(\mathcal{R}^1(X \wedge Y), Z \odot W) &= 0, \\ g(\mathcal{R}^1(X \odot Y), Z \odot W) &= 0, \\ g(\mathcal{R}^2(X \wedge Y), Z \wedge W) &= -\frac{1}{2}g(\mathcal{R}^1(X \wedge Y), Z \wedge W), \\ g(\mathcal{R}^2(X \wedge Y), Z \odot W) &= 0, \\ g(\mathcal{R}^2(X \odot Y), Z \odot W) &= 2(R(X, Z, W, Y) + R(X, W, Z, Y)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have the induced operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^1|_{\wedge^2 V}: \wedge^2 V &\rightarrow \wedge^2 V, \\ \mathcal{R}^2|_{\odot^2 V}: \odot^2 V &\rightarrow \odot^2 V.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{R}^1|_{\wedge^2 V} = 2 \mathfrak{R}$ is (two times) the curvature operator and $\mathcal{R}^2|_{\odot^2 V} = \overline{R}$ as defined in [NPW23] so that $\text{pr}_{S_0^2(V)} \circ \mathcal{R}^2|_{S_0^2(V)} = \mathcal{R}$ is the curvature operator of the second kind.

Moreover, for a complex vector space (V, J, g) we have that

$$\mathcal{R}^2|_{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}: \odot^2 V^{1,0} \rightarrow \odot^2 V^{1,0}$$

and this operator agrees with the Calabi curvature operator since

$$\begin{aligned}g(\mathcal{R}^2(X \odot Y), \overline{Z} \odot \overline{W}) &= 2(R(X, \overline{Z}, \overline{W}, Y) + R(X, \overline{W}, \overline{Z}, Y)) \\ &= 4R(X, \overline{Z}, \overline{W}, Y) \\ &= g(\mathcal{C}(X \odot Y), \overline{Z} \odot \overline{W})\end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in V^{1,0}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $T \in \otimes^k V^*$ be a tensor. For a subspace $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C})$ we define $T^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \otimes^k V^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$ by

$$T^{\mathfrak{g}}(X_1, \dots, X_k)(L) = (LT)(X_1, \dots, X_k)$$

for all $L \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Explicitly, if Ξ_{α} is a basis for \mathfrak{g} , then

$$T^{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{\alpha} \Xi_{\alpha} T \otimes \Xi_{\alpha}^*$$

where Ξ_{α} acts on T as a derivation. Note that if $E \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an endomorphism, then $E(T^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \sum_{\alpha} \Xi_{\alpha} T \otimes E^*(\Xi_{\alpha}^*)$. In the particular case where $\{\Xi_{\alpha}\}$ forms a unitary basis with respect to g , under the induced identification of \mathfrak{g}^* with $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, we get that $T^{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{\alpha} \Xi_{\alpha} T \otimes \overline{\Xi_{\alpha}}$ and $R(T^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \sum_{\alpha} \Xi_{\alpha} T \otimes R(\overline{\Xi_{\alpha}})$ for g -self-adjoint operators R .

In the following we will consider in particular $\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}$, $\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}}}$, where $\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{gl} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$, and for a complex vector space (V, J, g) also $\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}$.

Proposition 3.4. With respect to the tensor norm on $\otimes^p V$ we have for $\varphi \in \wedge^p V$

$$g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}), \varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}) = -\frac{p(p-1)}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_p} R_{ijkl} \varphi_{ij i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{kl i_3 \dots i_p}.$$

Proof. Note that $(e_i \otimes e_j)e_k = \delta_{ik}e_j$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 g((e_i \otimes e_j)\varphi, (e_k \otimes e_l)\varphi) &= \sum_{r,s} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \delta_{ii_r} \delta_{ki_s} \varphi_{i_1 \dots j \dots i_p} \varphi_{i_1 \dots l \dots i_p} \\
 &= \sum_{r \neq s} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \delta_{ii_r} \delta_{ki_s} \varphi_{j i_1 \dots \widehat{j} \dots \widehat{i_s} \dots i_p} \varphi_{i_r l i_1 \dots \widehat{i_r} \dots \widehat{l} \dots i_p} \\
 &\quad + \sum_r \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \delta_{ii_r} \delta_{ki_r} \varphi_{j i_1 \dots \widehat{j} \dots i_p} \varphi_{l i_1 \dots \widehat{l} \dots i_p} \\
 &= p(p-1) \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_p} \varphi_{j k i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{l i_3 \dots i_p} + p \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_p} \delta_{ik} \varphi_{j i_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{l i_2 \dots i_p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}} = \sum_{i,j} ((e_i \otimes e_j)\varphi) \otimes (e_i \otimes e_j)$$

and $g(\mathcal{R}^2(e_i \otimes e_j), e_k \otimes e_l) = R_{iklj}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}), \varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}) &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} g(\mathcal{R}^2(e_i \otimes e_j), e_k \otimes e_l) g((e_i \otimes e_j)\varphi, (e_k \otimes e_l)\varphi) \\
 &= p(p-1) \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_p} R_{iklj} \varphi_{j k i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{l i_3 \dots i_p} \\
 &\quad + p \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_p} \delta_{ik} R_{iklj} \varphi_{j i_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{l i_2 \dots i_p} \\
 &= p(p-1) \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_p} R_{iklj} \varphi_{j k i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{l i_3 \dots i_p} \\
 &= p(p-1) \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_p} (-R_{klij} - R_{likj}) \varphi_{j k i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{l i_3 \dots i_p} \\
 &= -p(p-1) \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_p} R_{jlik} \varphi_{k j i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{l i_3 \dots i_p} \\
 &\quad - p(p-1) \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_p} R_{iljk} \varphi_{j k i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{l i_3 \dots i_p} \\
 &= -p(p-1) \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_p} R_{iklj} \varphi_{j k i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{l i_3 \dots i_p} \\
 &\quad - p(p-1) \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_p} R_{ijkl} \varphi_{i j i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{k l i_3 \dots i_p} \\
 &= -g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}), \varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}) - p(p-1) \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_p} R_{ijkl} \varphi_{i j i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{k l i_3 \dots i_p},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we use that the third line reappears at the end of the computation. \square

Proposition 3.5. If $\varphi \in \wedge^p V$, then

$$\frac{3}{2}g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi) = g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{S^2}), \varphi^{S^2}) + p \sum_{i,j} \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_p} R_{ij} \varphi_{ii_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{ji_2 \dots i_p}.$$

Proof. Combining the Bochner formula on forms in [Bes87, NPW23] with Proposition 3.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi) &= -\frac{p(p-1)}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_p} R_{ijkl} \varphi_{ij i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{kl i_3 \dots i_p} + p \sum_{i,j} \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_p} R_{ij} \varphi_{ii_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{ji_2 \dots i_p} \\ &= g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}), \varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}) + p \sum_{i,j} \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_p} R_{ij} \varphi_{ii_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{ji_2 \dots i_p}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, Remark 3.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}), \varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}) &= g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{S^2}), \varphi^{S^2}) + g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{5^0}), \varphi^{5^0}) \\ &= g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{S^2}), \varphi^{S^2}) - \frac{1}{2}g(\mathcal{R}^1(\varphi^{5^0}), \varphi^{5^0}) \\ &= g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{S^2}), \varphi^{S^2}) - \frac{1}{2}g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows. \square

Remark 3.6. Note that indeed

$$g(\mathcal{R}^1(\varphi^{5^0}), \varphi^{5^0}) = 2g(\mathfrak{A}(\varphi^{5^0}), \varphi^{5^0}) = g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi)$$

due to [Pet16, Lemma 9.3.3] and the definition of the curvature operator in Section 2.3 in the tensor norm.

Lemma 3.7. Let R be an algebraic Kähler curvature operator on (V, J, g) with $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} V = 2n$. Let $\Sigma_\nu \in \odot^2 V^{1,0}$ be an eigenbasis for the associated Calabi curvature operator \mathcal{C} with corresponding eigenvalues σ_ν and let $\varphi \in \wedge^p (V^{\mathbf{C}})^*$ be a real form. If the basis $\{\Sigma_\nu\}$ is unitary, i.e., if $g(\Sigma_\nu, \overline{\Sigma_\mu}) = \delta_{\nu\mu}$, then

$$g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi) = 2 \sum_{\nu=1}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sigma_\nu |\Sigma_\nu \varphi|^2.$$

Proof. Recall from the proof of Proposition 3.5 that

$$g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi) - p \sum_{i,j} \sum_{|I|=p-1} R_{ij} \varphi_{ii_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{ji_2 \dots i_p} = g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}), \varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}}) = g(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}}}), \overline{\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}}}}),$$

where $\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{gl} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$.

To compute $g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_C}), \overline{\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_C}}\right)$ we first note that due to Remark 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}
 g((Z_a \otimes \overline{Z_b})\varphi, (\overline{Z_c} \otimes Z_d)\varphi) &= \\
 &= \sum_{r,s} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \otimes \overline{Z_b})e_{i_r}, \dots, e_{i_p}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (\overline{Z_c} \otimes Z_d)e_{i_s}, \dots, e_{i_p}) \\
 &= \sum_{r \neq s} \sum_{e,f} \sum_{|I|=p-2} \left\{ \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \otimes \overline{Z_b})Z_e, \dots, Z_f, \dots, e_{i_p}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, \overline{Z_e}, \dots, (\overline{Z_c} \otimes Z_d)\overline{Z_f}, \dots, e_{i_p}) \right. \\
 &\quad + \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \otimes \overline{Z_b})Z_e, \dots, \overline{Z_f}, \dots, e_{i_p}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, \overline{Z_e}, \dots, (\overline{Z_c} \otimes Z_d)Z_f, \dots, e_{i_p}) \\
 &\quad + \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \otimes \overline{Z_b})\overline{Z_e}, \dots, Z_f, \dots, e_{i_p}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, Z_e, \dots, (\overline{Z_c} \otimes Z_d)\overline{Z_f}, \dots, e_{i_p}) \\
 &\quad \left. + \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \otimes \overline{Z_b})\overline{Z_e}, \dots, \overline{Z_f}, \dots, e_{i_p}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, Z_e, \dots, (\overline{Z_c} \otimes Z_d)Z_f, \dots, e_{i_p}) \right\} \\
 &+ \sum_r \sum_e \sum_{|I|=p-2} \left\{ \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \otimes \overline{Z_b})Z_e, \dots, e_{i_p}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (\overline{Z_c} \otimes Z_d)\overline{Z_e}, \dots, e_{i_p}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \otimes \overline{Z_b})\overline{Z_e}, \dots, e_{i_p}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (\overline{Z_c} \otimes Z_d)Z_e, \dots, e_{i_p}) \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{r \neq s} \sum_{e,f} \sum_{|I|=p-2} \delta_{ae} \delta_{cf} \varphi_{\overline{b}f i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{e d i_3 \dots i_p} + \sum_r \sum_e \sum_{|I|=p-1} \delta_{ae} \delta_{ce} \varphi_{\overline{b}i_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{d i_2 \dots i_p} \\
 &= p(p-1) \sum_{|I|=p-2} \varphi_{\overline{b}c i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{a d i_3 \dots i_p} + p \sum_{|I|=p-1} \delta_{ac} \varphi_{\overline{b}i_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{d i_2 \dots i_p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, note that

$$g(\mathcal{R}^2(\overline{Z_a} \otimes Z_b), Z_c \otimes \overline{Z_d}) = R_{\overline{a}c\overline{d}b}$$

and

$$\sum_{b,c} R_{\overline{a}c\overline{d}b} \varphi_{b c i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{\overline{a} d i_3 \dots i_p} = 0$$

since $R_{\overline{a}c\overline{d}b} = R_{\overline{a}b\overline{d}c}$ but $\varphi_{b c i_3 \dots i_p} = -\varphi_{c b i_3 \dots i_p}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{a,b,c,d} g((Z_a \otimes \overline{Z_b})\varphi, (\overline{Z_c} \otimes Z_d)\varphi) g(\mathcal{R}^2(\overline{Z_a} \otimes Z_b), Z_c \otimes \overline{Z_d}) &= \\
 &= p(p-1) \sum_{a,b,c,d} \sum_{|I|=p-2} R_{\overline{a}c\overline{d}b} \varphi_{\overline{b}c i_3 \dots i_p} \varphi_{a d i_3 \dots i_p} + p \sum_{a,b,c,d} \sum_{|I|=p-1} \delta_{ac} R_{\overline{a}c\overline{d}b} \varphi_{\overline{b}i_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{d i_2 \dots i_p} \\
 &= p \sum_{a,b,d} \sum_{|I|=p-1} R_{\overline{a}a\overline{d}b} \varphi_{b i_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{\overline{d} i_2 \dots i_p} \\
 &= -p \sum_{b,d} \sum_{|I|=p-1} R_{\overline{b}d} \varphi_{b i_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{\overline{d} i_2 \dots i_p} \\
 &= \frac{p}{2} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{|I|=p-1} R_{ij} \varphi_{i i_2 \dots i_p} \varphi_{j j_2 \dots i_p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The decomposition $V_{\mathbf{C}} = V^{1,0} \oplus V^{0,1}$ induces a decomposition of $\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}}}$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}}} &= \sum_{a,b} (Z_a \otimes Z_b) \varphi \otimes (\overline{Z}_a \otimes \overline{Z}_b) + \sum_{a,b} (Z_a \otimes \overline{Z}_b) \varphi \otimes (\overline{Z}_a \otimes Z_b) \\ &\quad + \sum_{a,b} (\overline{Z}_a \otimes Z_b) \varphi \otimes (Z_a \otimes \overline{Z}_b) + \sum_{a,b} (Z_a \otimes Z_b) \varphi \otimes (\overline{Z}_a \otimes \overline{Z}_b) \\ &= \varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{1,0}} + \varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{0,1}} + \varphi^{V^{0,1} \otimes V^{1,0}} + \varphi^{V^{0,1} \otimes V^{0,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that \mathcal{R}^2 operates on $\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}}}$ by acting only on the component in $V_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes V_{\mathbf{C}}$. Since R is Kähler, we have, for example

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathcal{R}^2(Z_a \otimes Z_b), Z_c \otimes \overline{Z}_d) &= R(Z_a, Z_c, \overline{Z}_d, Z_b) = 0 \quad \text{or} \\ g(\mathcal{R}^2(Z_a \otimes \overline{Z}_b), Z_c \otimes \overline{Z}_d) &= R(Z_a, Z_c, \overline{Z}_d, \overline{Z}_b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}}}), \overline{\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}}}}\right) &= g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{1,0}}}\right) + g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{V^{0,1} \otimes V^{0,1}}), \overline{\varphi^{V^{0,1} \otimes V^{0,1}}}\right) \\ &\quad + g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{0,1}}), \overline{\varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{0,1}}}\right) + g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{V^{0,1} \otimes V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{V^{0,1} \otimes V^{1,0}}}\right) \\ &= 2g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{1,0}}}\right) + 2g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{0,1}}), \overline{\varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{0,1}}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{1,0}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a,b} (Z_a \odot Z_b) \varphi \otimes (\overline{Z}_a \odot \overline{Z}_b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a < b} (Z_a \wedge Z_b) \varphi \otimes (\overline{Z}_a \wedge \overline{Z}_b) = \varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}} + \varphi^{\wedge^2 V^{1,0}}.$$

From Remark 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{V^{1,0} \otimes V^{1,0}}}\right) &= g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}}\right) + g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\wedge^2 V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{\wedge^2 V^{1,0}}}\right) \\ &= g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}}\right) - \frac{1}{2} g\left(\mathcal{R}^1(\varphi^{\wedge^2 V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{\wedge^2 V^{1,0}}}\right) \\ &= g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

since any Kähler curvature operator vanishes on $\wedge^2 V^{1,0}$.

Overall we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}}}), \overline{\varphi^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{C}}}}\right) &= 2 \sum_{a,b,c,d} g((Z_a \otimes \overline{Z}_b) \varphi, (\overline{Z}_c \otimes Z_d) \varphi) g(\mathcal{R}^2(\overline{Z}_a \otimes Z_b), Z_c \otimes \overline{Z}_d) \\ &\quad + 2g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}}\right) \\ &= -p \sum_{i,j} \sum_{|I|=p-1} R_{ij} \varphi_{i\overline{i}2\dots i_p} \varphi_{j\overline{j}2\dots i_p} + 2g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and hence, from Remark 3.2, we have

$$g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi) = 2g\left(\mathcal{R}^2(\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}}\right) = 2g\left(\mathcal{C}(\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}), \overline{\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}}\right).$$

□

4. MAIN ESTIMATES AND PROOFS OF THEOREMS A–D

In this section, we provide the proofs of the main theorems. The starting point is the expression for the curvature term in the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula on forms,

$$g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi) = 2 \sum_{\nu} \sigma_{\nu} |\Sigma_{\nu} \varphi|^2$$

where Σ_a is a local unitary frame with $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_a) = \sigma_a \Sigma_a$, as established in Lemma 3.7. Following the general principles of [PW21a, PW21b, NPW23], the strategy is to compute $|\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}|^2 = \sum_a |\Sigma_a \varphi|^2$ for a primitive real form φ and to establish an estimate of the form $|S\varphi|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\mathfrak{F}} |S|^2 |\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}|^2$. This is accomplished in Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.6. The weight principle in Proposition 4.8 then provides conditions on eigenvalues for the Calabi curvature operator so that the curvature term $g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi)$ is nonnegative.

Proposition 4.1. If $\varphi \in \wedge^{p,q} V^*$, then

$$(p+q)(p+q-1) \sum_{a,b} \left| \iota_{Z_a} \iota_{\overline{Z}_b} \varphi \right|^2 = pq |\varphi|^2.$$

Proof. Note that if $Z^I \wedge \overline{Z}^J = Z^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge Z^{i_p} \wedge \overline{Z}^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{Z}^{j_q}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| Z^I \wedge \overline{Z}^J \right|^2 &= (p+q)!, \\ \left| \iota_{Z_a} \iota_{\overline{Z}_b} Z^I \wedge \overline{Z}^J \right|^2 &= \begin{cases} (p+q-2)! & \text{if } a \in I \text{ and } b \in J, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows. □

Lemma 4.2. A real form $\varphi \in \wedge^{p,q} V^* \oplus \wedge^{q,p} V^*$ satisfies

$$\left| \varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{4} ((p+q)(n+1) - 2pq) |\varphi|^2 - \frac{1}{2(p+q)(p+q-1)} |\Lambda(\varphi)|^2,$$

where Λ is the formal adjoint of the Lefschetz operator. In particular, if φ is a primitive form, then

$$\left| \varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{4} ((p+q)(n+1) - 2pq) |\varphi|^2.$$

Proof. Let $k = p + q$ and note that due to Remark 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g((Z_a \odot Z_b)\varphi, (\overline{Z}_a \odot \overline{Z}_b)\varphi) = \\
 &= \sum_{r,s} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \odot Z_b)e_{i_r}, \dots, e_{i_k}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (\overline{Z}_a \odot \overline{Z}_b)e_{i_s}, \dots, e_{i_k}) \\
 &= \sum_{r \neq s} \sum_{c,d} \sum_{|I|=k-2} \left\{ \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \odot Z_b)Z_c, \dots, Z_d, \dots, e_{i_k}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, \overline{Z}_c, \dots, (\overline{Z}_a \odot \overline{Z}_b)\overline{Z}_d, \dots, e_{i_k}) \right. \\
 &\quad + \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \odot Z_b)Z_c, \dots, \overline{Z}_d, \dots, e_{i_k}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, \overline{Z}_c, \dots, (\overline{Z}_a \odot \overline{Z}_b)Z_d, \dots, e_{i_k}) \\
 &\quad + \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \odot Z_b)\overline{Z}_c, \dots, Z_d, \dots, e_{i_k}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, Z_c, \dots, (\overline{Z}_a \odot \overline{Z}_b)\overline{Z}_d, \dots, e_{i_k}) \\
 &\quad \left. + \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \odot Z_b)\overline{Z}_c, \dots, \overline{Z}_d, \dots, e_{i_k}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, Z_c, \dots, (\overline{Z}_a \odot \overline{Z}_b)Z_d, \dots, e_{i_k}) \right\} \\
 &+ \sum_r \sum_c \sum_{|I|=k-2} \left\{ \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \odot Z_b)Z_c, \dots, e_{i_k}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (\overline{Z}_a \odot \overline{Z}_b)\overline{Z}_c, \dots, e_{i_k}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (Z_a \odot Z_b)\overline{Z}_c, \dots, e_{i_k}) \varphi(e_{i_1}, \dots, (\overline{Z}_a \odot \overline{Z}_b)Z_c, \dots, e_{i_k}) \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{r \neq s} \sum_{c,d} \sum_{|I|=k-2} (\delta_{ac}\varphi_{b\bar{d}i_3 \dots i_k} + \delta_{bc}\varphi_{a\bar{d}i_3 \dots i_k}) (\delta_{ad}\varphi_{c\bar{b}i_3 \dots i_k} + \delta_{bd}\varphi_{c\bar{a}i_3 \dots i_k}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_r \sum_c \sum_{|I|=k-1} (\delta_{ac}\varphi_{bi_2 \dots i_k} + \delta_{bc}\varphi_{ai_2 \dots i_k}) (\delta_{ac}\varphi_{\bar{b}i_2 \dots i_k} + \delta_{bc}\varphi_{\bar{a}i_2 \dots i_k}) \\
 &= \sum_{r \neq s} \sum_{|I|=k-1} 2(\varphi_{a\bar{b}i_3 \dots i_k} \varphi_{b\bar{a}i_3 \dots i_k} + \varphi_{a\bar{a}i_3 \dots i_k} \varphi_{b\bar{b}i_3 \dots i_k}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_r \sum_{|I|=k-2} (\varphi_{ai_2 \dots i_k} \varphi_{\bar{a}i_2 \dots i_k} + \varphi_{bi_2 \dots i_k} \varphi_{\bar{b}i_2 \dots i_k} + 2\delta_{ab}\varphi_{ai_2 \dots i_k} \varphi_{\bar{a}i_2 \dots i_k}) \\
 &= k(k-1) \sum_{|I|=k-1} 2(\varphi_{a\bar{b}i_3 \dots i_k} \varphi_{b\bar{a}i_3 \dots i_k} + \varphi_{a\bar{a}i_3 \dots i_k} \varphi_{b\bar{b}i_3 \dots i_k}) \\
 &\quad + k \sum_{|I|=k-2} (\varphi_{ai_2 \dots i_k} \varphi_{\bar{a}i_2 \dots i_k} + \varphi_{bi_2 \dots i_k} \varphi_{\bar{b}i_2 \dots i_k} + 2\delta_{ab}\varphi_{ai_2 \dots i_k} \varphi_{\bar{a}i_2 \dots i_k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 4 \left| \varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}} \right|^2 &= \sum_{a,b} g((Z_a \odot Z_b)\varphi, (\overline{Z}_a \odot \overline{Z}_b)\varphi) \\
 &= -2k(k-1) \sum_{a,b} \sum_{|I|=k-2} (\varphi_{a\bar{b}i_3 \dots i_k} \varphi_{b\bar{a}i_3 \dots i_k} + \varphi_{a\bar{a}i_3 \dots i_k} \varphi_{b\bar{b}i_3 \dots i_k}) \\
 &\quad + 2k(n+1) \sum_a \sum_{|I|=k-1} \varphi_{ai_2 \dots i_k} \varphi_{\bar{a}i_2 \dots i_k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the formal adjoint of the Lefschetz map satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda\varphi)(v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}) &= k(k-1) \sum_a \varphi(e_a, e_{a+n}, v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}) \\ &= -\sqrt{-1}k(k-1) \sum_a \varphi(Z_a, \overline{Z}_a, v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Together with Proposition 4.1 and Remark 2.3 this implies

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \left| \varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}} \right|^2 &= -2pq|\varphi|^2 - \frac{2}{k(k-1)} |\Lambda\varphi|^2 + k(n+1)|\varphi|^2 \\ &= (k(n+1) - 2pq)|\varphi|^2 - \frac{2}{k(k-1)} |\Lambda\varphi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.3. Let (V, J, g) be a Euclidean vector space with a compatible complex structure and $S \in \odot^2 V^{1,0}$. There exists a unitary basis Z_1, \dots, Z_n for $V^{1,0}$ and constants $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n \geq 0$ such that

$$S = \sum_{a=1}^n \rho_a Z_a \otimes Z_a.$$

Proof. Note that via $X \odot Y \mapsto g(\cdot, \overline{X})Y + g(\cdot, \overline{Y})X$ we can assign to $S \in \odot^2 V^{1,0}$ a complex linear operator $\hat{S} : V^{1,0} \rightarrow V^{1,0}$. By construction, \hat{S} is symmetric with respect to the Hermitian inner product $g(\cdot, \overline{\cdot})$ on $V^{1,0}$. It follows that there is a unitary basis Z_1, \dots, Z_n for $V^{1,0}$ and $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\hat{S}(Z_a) = \rho_a Z_a$. It follows that $S = \sum_a \rho_a Z_a \otimes Z_a$ and by replacing Z_a with $\sqrt{-1}Z_a$ we may assume $\rho_a \geq 0$. □

Proposition 4.4. The action of $\otimes^2 V^{1,0}$ on $\wedge^{p,q} V^*$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_a \otimes Z_b)(Z^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes Z^{i_p} \otimes \overline{Z}^{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{Z}^{j_q}) &= \\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^p \delta_{bi_k} Z^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{Z}^a \otimes \dots \otimes Z^{i_p} \otimes \overline{Z}^{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{Z}^{j_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_a \otimes Z_b)Z^c &= -Z^c((Z_a \otimes Z_b)\cdot) = -g(Z_a, \cdot)Z^c(Z_b) = -\delta_{bc}\overline{Z}^a \\ (Z_a \otimes Z_b)\overline{Z}^c &= -\overline{Z}^c((Z_a \otimes Z_b)\cdot) = -g(Z_a, \cdot)\overline{Z}^c(Z_b) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

follows from the definition of the action on tensors in (1). □

Remark 4.5. For two multi-indices $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_q)$ we define K to be the multi-index with $p+q$ entries, consisting of the indices of I and \overline{J} . The entries of K are ordered lexicographically and if $i_k = j_l$, then $K = (\dots, i_k, \overline{j}_l, \dots)$.

Conversely, given a multi-index K as above, we recover I_K and J_K so that I_K and \overline{J}_K yield K .

Furthermore, given K we denote by Z^K the corresponding (p, q) -form with $|Z^K|^2 = 1$. Note that $\varphi = Z^1 \wedge \dots \wedge Z^p \wedge \overline{Z}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{Z}^q$ satisfies $|\varphi|^2 = (p+q)!$. By convention, if $K = \emptyset$, we set $Z^K = 0$.

For example, if $I = (2, 3)$ and $J = (1, 2)$, then $K = (\overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}, 3)$ and $Z^K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}} \overline{Z}^1 \wedge Z^2 \wedge \overline{Z}^2 \wedge Z^3$.

Since $Z^K = 0$ if any index appears twice in I or J , we may assume throughout that this is not the case.

Proposition 4.6. *If $\psi \in \wedge^{p,q} V^* \oplus \wedge^{q,p} V^*$ is real, then*

$$|S\psi|^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \min \left(p, q, \frac{\sqrt{pq}}{2} \right) \right) |S|^2 |\psi|^2,$$

for all $S \in \odot^2 V^{1,0}$. In particular, if ψ is primitive, then

$$|S\psi|^2 \leq \frac{2 + 4 \min \left(p, q, \frac{\sqrt{pq}}{2} \right)}{(p+q)(n+1) - 2pq} |S|^2 |\psi|^2,$$

for all $S \in \odot^2 V^{1,0}$.

Proof. The result is a combination of three estimates. To start, due to Proposition 4.3, we may assume that $S = \sum_a \lambda_a Z_a \otimes Z_a$ with $\lambda_a \geq 0$. With the notation introduced in Remark 4.5, consider a (p, q) -form $\varphi = \sum_K \varphi_K Z^K$. Proposition 4.4 shows that

$$S\varphi = - \sum_a \sum_K \lambda_a \varphi_K Z^{K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}}},$$

where $K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}}$ is constructed from K by replacing a with \bar{a} if $a \in I_K$ and $K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}} = \emptyset$ otherwise. Note that this turns a (p, q) -form into a $(p-1, q+1)$ -form.

Cauchy-Schwarz, together with the fact that there are at most p possibilities for a , yields

$$|S\varphi|^2 \leq \left(\sum_a \lambda_a^2 \right) \left(\sum_a \left| \sum_K \varphi_K Z^{K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}}} \right|^2 \right) \leq |S|^2 p \sum_K |\varphi_K|^2 = p |S|^2 |\varphi|^2. \quad (3)$$

Note that $Z^K = 0$ if an index appears in I_K or J_K twice.

For the second estimate, we relabel indices giving

$$\begin{aligned} S\varphi &= - \sum_a \sum_K \lambda_a \varphi_K Z^{K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}}} = - \sum_L \left(\sum_{b \in J_L \setminus I_L} \lambda_b \varphi_{L_{\bar{b} \rightarrow b}} \right) Z^L = - \sum_L \left(\sum_b \lambda_b \varphi_{L_{\bar{b} \rightarrow b}} \right) Z^L \\ &= - \sum_L \sum_a \lambda_a \varphi_{L_{\bar{a} \rightarrow a}} Z^L, \end{aligned}$$

where I_L, J_L are the index sets so that I_L and \overline{J}_L yield L as in Remark 4.5.

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |S\varphi|^2 &= \sum_L \left| \sum_b \lambda_b \varphi_{L_{\bar{b} \mapsto b}} \right|^2 = \sum_L \sum_{a,b} \lambda_a \lambda_b \varphi_{L_{\bar{a} \mapsto a}} \overline{\varphi_{L_{\bar{b} \mapsto b}}} \\
 &= \sum_K \sum_{a,b} \lambda_a \lambda_b \varphi_K \overline{\varphi_{K_{a \mapsto \bar{a}, \bar{b} \mapsto b}}} = \sum_{a,b} \lambda_a \lambda_b \sum_K \varphi_K \overline{\varphi_{K_{a \mapsto \bar{a}, \bar{b} \mapsto b}}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{a \mapsto \bar{a}, \bar{b} \mapsto b}$ denotes K if $a = b \in I_K \setminus J_K$ and otherwise consists of the simultaneous replacements $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ and $\bar{b} \mapsto b$. For two indices a, b , define

$$\varphi_{ab} = \sum_{K: a \in I, b \in J} \varphi_K Z^K \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{ab} = \sum_{K: a \in I, b \in J} \varphi_{K_{a \mapsto \bar{a}, \bar{b} \mapsto b}} Z^K$$

and note that

$$\varphi_{ba} = \sum_{K: b \in I, a \in J} \varphi_K Z^K = \sum_{K: a \in I, b \in J} \varphi_{K_{a \mapsto \bar{a}, \bar{b} \mapsto b}} Z^{K_{a \mapsto \bar{a}, \bar{b} \mapsto b}}$$

implies $|\omega_{ab}| = |\varphi_{ba}|$ and hence

$$\sum_K \varphi_K \overline{\varphi_{K_{a \mapsto \bar{a}, \bar{b} \mapsto b}}} = g(\varphi_{ab}, \overline{\omega_{ab}}) \leq |\varphi_{ab}| |\omega_{ab}| = |\varphi_{ab}| |\varphi_{ba}|.$$

Using the inequality $\text{tr}(A^2) \leq \|A\|_2^2 = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}^2$ on the matrix $(\lambda_a |\varphi_{ab}|)_{ab}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |S\varphi|^2 &= \sum_{a,b} \lambda_a \lambda_b \sum_K \varphi_K \overline{\varphi_{K_{a \mapsto \bar{a}, \bar{b} \mapsto b}}} \\
 &\leq \sum_{a,b} \lambda_a \lambda_b |\varphi_{ab}| |\varphi_{ba}| \\
 &\leq \sum_{a,b} \lambda_a^2 |\varphi_{ab}|^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_a \lambda_a^2 (q+1) |\varphi|^2 \\
 &= (q+1) |S|^2 |\varphi|^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

noting that for a fixed a , a given φ_K appears in no more than $(q+1)$ many φ_{ab} .

Applying the estimates (3) and (4) to a real form $\psi = \varphi + \overline{\varphi} \in \Lambda^{p,q} V^* \oplus \Lambda^{q,p} V^*$, we obtain

$$|S\psi|^2 = |S\varphi|^2 + |S\overline{\varphi}|^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \min(p, q) \right) |S|^2 |\psi|^2.$$

For the third estimate, note that the real form ψ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 |S\psi|^2 &= \sum_L \left| \sum_{b \in J_L \setminus I_L} \lambda_b \psi_{L_{\bar{b} \rightarrow b}} \right|^2 = \sum_L \sum_{a, b \in J_L \setminus I_L} \lambda_a \lambda_b \psi_{L_{\bar{a} \rightarrow a}} \overline{\psi_{L_{\bar{b} \rightarrow b}}} \\
 &= \sum_K \sum_{a \in I_K \setminus J_K} \sum_{b \in (J_K \setminus I_K) \cup \{a\}} \lambda_a \lambda_b \psi_K \overline{\psi_{K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rightarrow b}}} \\
 &= \sum_K \sum_{a \in I_K \setminus J_K} \lambda_a^2 |\psi_K|^2 + \sum_K \sum_{\substack{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \\ b \in J_K \setminus I_K}} \lambda_a \lambda_b \psi_K \overline{\psi_{K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rightarrow b}}} \\
 &= \sum_{K \in (p, q)} \left(\sum_{a \in I_K \setminus J_K} \lambda_a^2 |\psi_K|^2 + \sum_{\substack{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \\ b \in J_K \setminus I_K}} \lambda_a \lambda_b \psi_K \overline{\psi_{K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rightarrow b}}} \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{K \in (q, p)} \left(\sum_{a \in I_K \setminus J_K} \lambda_a^2 |\psi_K|^2 + \sum_{\substack{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \\ b \in J_K \setminus I_K}} \lambda_a \lambda_b \psi_K \overline{\psi_{K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rightarrow b}}} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{K \in (p, q)} \left(\sum_{a \in I_K \setminus J_K} \lambda_a^2 |\psi_K|^2 + \sum_{\substack{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \\ b \in J_K \setminus I_K}} \lambda_a \lambda_b \psi_K \overline{\psi_{K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rightarrow b}}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{a \in J_K \setminus I_K \\ b \in I_K \setminus J_K}} \lambda_a^2 |\psi_{\bar{K}}|^2 + \sum_{\substack{a \in J_K \setminus I_K \\ b \in I_K \setminus J_K}} \lambda_a \lambda_b \psi_{\bar{K}} \overline{\psi_{\bar{K}_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rightarrow b}}} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{K \in (p, q)} \left(\sum_{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \cup J_K \setminus I_J} \lambda_a^2 |\psi_K|^2 + \sum_{\substack{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \\ b \in J_K \setminus I_K}} 2\lambda_a \lambda_b \operatorname{Re}(\psi_K \overline{\psi_{K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rightarrow b}}}) \right), \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $K \in (p, q)$ means K is an index set corresponding to a (p, q) -form. We wish to maximize $|S\psi|^2$ subject to the constraints $|S|^2 = 1$ and $|\psi|^2 = 1$.

The constraint $|\psi|^2 = 1$ implies there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, at the critical point ψ ,

$$2\alpha\psi = \operatorname{grad}(\phi \mapsto g(S\phi, \overline{S\phi})) = 2\overline{S}(S\psi).$$

Hence, $\alpha = g(\overline{S}(S\psi), \overline{\psi}) = |S\psi|^2$. Since we are at a critical point, this lets us read off the gradient from (5), and we get for each K that

$$2\alpha\psi_K = \sum_{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \cup J_K \setminus I_J} \lambda_a^2 \psi_K + \sum_{\substack{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \\ b \in J_K \setminus I_K}} 2\lambda_a \lambda_b \psi_{K_{a \rightarrow \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rightarrow b}}.$$

Looking at the K such that $|\psi_K|$ is maximal, we get

$$2\alpha|\psi_K| \leq \left(\sum_{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \cup J_K \setminus I_K} \lambda_a^2 + \sum_{\substack{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \\ b \in J_K \setminus I_K}} 2\lambda_a \lambda_b \right) |\psi_K|$$

and hence

$$2\alpha \leq \sum_{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \cup J_K \setminus I_K} \lambda_a^2 + \sum_{\substack{a \in I_K \setminus J_K \\ b \in J_K \setminus I_K}} 2\lambda_a \lambda_b.$$

The right hand side is maximized when

$$\lambda_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|I \setminus J|}} & \text{if } i \in I \setminus J, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|J \setminus I|}} & \text{if } i \in J \setminus I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{|I \setminus J| \cdot |J \setminus I|}}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{pq}}{2},$$

which yields the claim when combined with the previous two estimates. \square

Remark 4.7. For given (p, q) , the form $\text{Re}(\sum_K Z^K)$, where K runs over all (p, q) -index sets such that $I_K \cup J_K = \{1, \dots, p+q\}$, satisfies $|S\psi|^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{pq}{p+q}\right) |S|^2 |\psi|^2$ for $S = \sum_{a=1}^{p+q} Z_a \otimes Z_a$, which we suspect to be the actual optimal constant. On $(p, 0)$, $(0, q)$, and (p, p) -forms, this agrees with the estimate in Proposition 4.6, which is therefore sharp in these degrees.

Proposition 4.8. Suppose that $\mathcal{C} : \odot^2 V^{1,0} \rightarrow \odot^2 V^{1,0}$ is an algebraic Calabi curvature operator and let $\kappa \leq 0$. If the eigenvalues $\sigma_1 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{\binom{n+1}{2}}$ of \mathcal{C} satisfy

$$\sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_{\lfloor \Upsilon \rfloor} + (\Upsilon - \lfloor \Upsilon \rfloor) \sigma_{\lfloor \Upsilon \rfloor + 1} \geq \kappa \Upsilon,$$

then for any primitive real form $\varphi \in \wedge^{p,q}(V^*) \oplus \wedge^{q,p}(V^*)$, we have

$$g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi) = 2 \sum_a \sigma_a |\Sigma_a \varphi|^2 \geq 2\kappa |\varphi|^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}|^2.$$

If \mathcal{C} is Υ -positive, then $g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi) \geq 0$ and equality holds if and only if $\varphi = 0$.

Proof. The first part is a consequence of Lemma 3.7 and the proof of [PW21a, Lemma 2.1], see also [NPW23, Lemma 3.4]. Note that Proposition 4.6 asserts that $|S\varphi|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\Upsilon} |S|^2 |\varphi|^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}|^2$

for all $S \in \odot^2 V^{1,0}$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{a=1}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sigma_a |\Sigma_a \varphi|^2 &\geq \sigma_{[\Upsilon]+1} \sum_{a=[\Upsilon]+1}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} |\Sigma_a \varphi|^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{[\Upsilon]} \sigma_a |\Sigma_a \varphi|^2 \\
 &= \sigma_{[\Upsilon]+1} |\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}|^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{[\Upsilon]} (\sigma_a - \sigma_{[\Upsilon]+1}) |\Sigma_a \varphi|^2 \\
 &\geq \sigma_{[\Upsilon]+1} |\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}|^2 + \frac{1}{\Upsilon} \sum_{a=1}^{[\Upsilon]} (\sigma_a - \sigma_{[\Upsilon]+1}) |\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}|^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Upsilon} ((\Upsilon - [\Upsilon])\sigma_{[\Upsilon]+1} + \sigma_{[\Upsilon]} + \dots + \sigma_1) |\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}|^2 \\
 &\geq \kappa |\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the final claim, note that nonnegativity follows by setting $\kappa = 0$. If furthermore \mathcal{C} is Υ -positive, then the above computation shows

$$g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi) \geq \frac{2}{\Upsilon} (\sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_{[\Upsilon]} + (\Upsilon - [\Upsilon])\sigma_{[\Upsilon]+1}) c(p, q) |\varphi|^2 > 0$$

unless $\varphi = 0$, since $|\varphi^{\odot^2 V^{1,0}}|^2 = c(p, q) |\varphi|^2$ for primitive forms according to Lemma 4.2. \square

We now turn to proving our main theorems for the Calabi curvature operator.

Proof of Theorem D. Suppose X is a compact Kähler manifold with $\Upsilon_{p,q}$ -nonnegative Calabi curvature operator for some pair (p, q) . If $\varphi \in \Omega_X^{p,q} \oplus \Omega_X^{q,p}$ is a real primitive harmonic form, then the Bochner formula (2) and Proposition 4.8 imply

$$g(\nabla^* \nabla \varphi, \varphi) = -g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \varphi) \leq 0,$$

hence $0 \geq \int_X g(\nabla^* \nabla \varphi, \varphi) = \int_X |\nabla \varphi|^2$, so φ is parallel. Moreover, $g(\text{Ric}_L \varphi, \varphi) = 0$. If the Calabi curvature operator is in addition $\Upsilon_{p,q}$ -positive, then Proposition 4.8 implies φ must vanish identically. \square

Proof of Theorem A. By Serre duality and the Hodge decomposition theorem for Kähler manifolds, it suffices to show that all real primitive harmonic (p, q) -forms vanish whenever $1 \leq p + q \leq n$. This will follow from Theorem D once we show that $\Upsilon_{p,q} \geq \frac{n}{2}$ for all (p, q) such that $1 \leq p + q \leq n$. This is equivalent to showing

$$n \left(p + q - 2 \min \left(p, q, \frac{\sqrt{pq}}{2} \right) - 1 \right) + p + q - 2pq \geq 0,$$

which is elementary. \square

Proof of Theorem C. Suppose X^n is a connected Kähler manifold with \mathcal{C} or $-\mathcal{C}$ $\frac{n}{2}$ -nonnegative. Starting with case (i), suppose that the holonomy is irreducible. If X is

not locally symmetric, then from Berger's classification, the restricted holonomy must be $U(n)$, $SU(n)$ or $Sp(n)$. The latter two imply X is Ricci-flat, but since $\pm\mathcal{C}$ is $\frac{n}{2}$ -nonnegative, this in turn implies that X^n is flat, contradicting irreducibility, so X must have holonomy $U(n)$. If X is locally symmetric, then the eigenvalues of \mathcal{C} are known by [CV60]. In particular, the only Hermitian symmetric spaces with $\frac{n}{2}$ -nonnegative $\pm\mathcal{C}$ is the complex quadric $SO(n+2)/(SO(2) \times SO(n))$, or its dual $SO_0(n,2)/(SO(2) \times SO(n))$, which completes the proof of part (i).

For part (ii), suppose that the holonomy representation is reducible. Then some neighborhood around each $x \in X$ is biholomorphically isometric to a product $X_0^{n_0} \times X_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times X_k^{n_k}$ of Kähler manifolds where X_0 is flat and each X_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, has irreducible holonomy representation. It is easily checked that the Calabi curvature operator vanishes on the subbundle $\bigoplus_{i < j} T^{1,0}X_i \odot T^{1,0}X_j$, hence $\dim \ker \mathcal{C} \geq d := n_0 + \sum_{i < j} n_i n_j$. It follows that the operator $\pm\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_k \in \text{End}(\bigoplus_i T^{1,0}X_i \odot T^{1,0}X_i)$ is $(\frac{n}{2} - d)$ -nonnegative, where each \mathcal{C}_i is the Calabi curvature operator of X_i . However since $n = n_0 + \sum_i n_i$, it holds that $\frac{n}{2} - d \leq 0$, so $\pm\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_k$, and hence each $\pm\mathcal{C}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, is actually nonnegative. Repeating the argument of part (i) for each factor implies X_i has holonomy $U(n_i)$ or is locally symmetric. However, by [CV60], the only irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces with $\pm\mathcal{C}$ nonnegative are complex projective space or complex hyperbolic space, which have holonomy $U(n)$. Thus, the holonomy is given by $U(n_1) \times \dots \times U(n_k)$. \square

Proof of Theorem B. First, by Theorem D and the fact that $\Upsilon_{p,q} \geq \frac{n}{2}$ for all $1 \leq p+q \leq n$, all real primitive harmonic forms on X are parallel. Starting with part (i), suppose that the holonomy of X is irreducible. By Theorem C, either the holonomy of X is $U(n)$, or X is locally isometric to $SO(n+2)/(SO(2) \times SO(n+2))$. If we are in the former situation, then all primitive parallel forms vanish. Hence all real primitive harmonic forms vanish and so X must have the rational cohomology of \mathbf{P}^n . On the other hand if X is locally isometric to the complex quadric, then it has positive Ricci curvature, hence it is Fano and therefore simply connected, so the isometry is global.

For part (ii), suppose the holonomy of X is reducible. By the proof of Theorem C, we have that \mathcal{C} is nonnegative, and the result follows by Remark 2.7. \square

5. THE KÄHLER CURVATURE OPERATOR AND PROOF OF THEOREM E

In this section, we will show that the results achieved in [PW21b] can be improved if the metric is Kähler–Einstein and prove Theorem E.

Let (V, J, g) be a Euclidean vector space of real dimension $2n$ with a compatible complex structure. Suppose that

$$\mathfrak{R}: \bigwedge_{23}^{1,1} V \rightarrow \bigwedge^{1,1} V$$

is an algebraic Kähler curvature operator and let $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{n^2}$ denote its eigenvalues.

If \mathfrak{K} is in addition Kähler-Einstein, $\text{Ric} = \lambda g$, then

$$\lambda = \frac{\text{scal}}{2n} = \frac{\text{tr}(\mathfrak{K})}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha}$$

and the bi-vector corresponding to the Kähler form, $\omega_K = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{a=1}^n Z_a \wedge \overline{Z}_a$, is an eigenvector of \mathfrak{K} with eigenvalue λ . In particular, \mathfrak{K} induces an operator

$$\mathfrak{K}|_{\mathfrak{su}(n)}: \mathfrak{su}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n).$$

It will be useful to note that, since $\frac{1}{2} \text{scal} = \text{tr}(\mathfrak{K}) = \lambda + \text{tr}(\mathfrak{K}|_{\mathfrak{su}(n)}) = \frac{1}{2n} \text{scal} + \text{tr}(\mathfrak{K}|_{\mathfrak{su}(n)})$, we have

$$\text{tr}(\mathfrak{K}|_{\mathfrak{su}(n)}) = (n-1)\lambda.$$

Lemma 5.1. For a primitive (p, q) -form $\varphi \in \bigwedge^{p,q} V$, we have

$$|\varphi^{\text{su}}|^2 = \left(2pq + (p+q)(n+1-(p+q)) - \frac{(p-q)^2}{n} \right) |\varphi|^2.$$

Proof. We recall from [PW21b, Proposition 3.2] that a primitive form $\varphi \in \bigwedge^{p,q} V$ satisfies

$$|\varphi^{\text{u}}|^2 = (2pq + (p+q)(n+1-(p+q))) |\varphi|^2.$$

Furthermore, it follows from [PW21b, Proposition 1.3] that the bi-vector corresponding to the Kähler form, $\omega_K = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{a=1}^n Z_a \wedge \overline{Z}_a$, acts on (p, q) -forms by multiplication with $\sqrt{-1}(p-q)$. Note that moreover, $|\omega_K|^2 = n$ and hence

$$|(\omega_K)\varphi|^2 = \frac{(p-q)^2}{n} |\omega_K|^2 |\varphi|^2.$$

Thus, the decomposition $|\varphi^{\text{u}}|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\omega_K)\varphi \right|^2 + |\varphi^{\text{su}}|^2$ implies

$$|\varphi^{\text{su}}|^2 = (2pq + (p+q)(n+1-(p+q))) |\varphi|^2 - \frac{(p-q)^2}{n} |\varphi|^2$$

for primitive $\varphi \in \bigwedge^{p,q} V$. □

Remark 5.2. If $\varphi \in \bigwedge^{p,q} V$ is primitive and $L \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$, then [PW21b, Proposition 3.4] shows that

$$|L\varphi|^2 \leq (p+q)|L|^2|\varphi|^2.$$

Proposition 5.3. Suppose that $\mathfrak{K}: \bigwedge^{1,1} V \rightarrow \bigwedge^{1,1} V$ is an algebraic Kähler-Einstein curvature operator over (V, J, g) . If the induced curvature operator $\mathfrak{K}|_{\mathfrak{su}(n)}: \mathfrak{su}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)$ is $\Gamma_{p,q}$ -nonnegative, where

$$\Gamma_{p,q} = \frac{n(n^2-1)(p+q) - 2n(n-1)pq}{n(n-1)(p+q) + (p-q)^2},$$

and $\varphi \in \bigwedge^{p,q} V$ is a primitive form, then

$$g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \bar{\varphi}) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Since \mathfrak{K} is Kähler-Einstein, we can find an orthonormal eigenbasis $\{\Xi_a\}$ for $\mathfrak{K}_{|\text{su}(n)}$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\{\lambda_\alpha\}$. Hence, [PW21b, Proposition 1.6] shows that

$$\frac{1}{2}g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \bar{\varphi}) = \lambda \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(\omega_K)\varphi \right|^2 + \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} |\Xi_{\alpha}\varphi|^2,$$

where the additional factor stems from the tensor norm convention as in Remark 3.6. The previous computations thus imply

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \bar{\varphi}) &= \lambda \frac{(p-q)^2}{n} |\varphi|^2 + \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} |\Xi_{\alpha}\varphi|^2 \\ &= \frac{(p-q)^2}{n(n-1)} \text{tr}(\mathfrak{K}_{|\text{su}(n)}) |\varphi|^2 + \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} |\Xi_{\alpha}\varphi|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} \left(\frac{(p-q)^2}{n(n-1)} |\varphi|^2 + |\Xi_{\alpha}\varphi|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $|\varphi|^2 = 1$. In order to estimate the sum, we use the weight principle [NPW23, Theorem 3.6]. That is, we need to compare the total weight

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{(p-q)^2}{n(n-1)} |\varphi|^2 + |\Xi_{\alpha}\varphi|^2 \right) &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) (p-q)^2 |\varphi|^2 + \left| \varphi^{\text{su}(n)} \right|^2 \\ &= (p-q)^2 + 2pq + (p+q)(n+1 - (p+q)) \\ &= (p+q)(n+1) - 2pq \end{aligned}$$

to the highest weight, which we can estimate by

$$\frac{(p-q)^2}{n(n-1)} |\varphi|^2 + |\Xi_{\alpha}\varphi|^2 \leq \frac{(p-q)^2}{n(n-1)} + (p+q).$$

Note that we used Lemma 5.1 and Remark 5.2 in the computation. The weight principle [NPW23, Theorem 3.6] now says that the weighted sum

$$\sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} \left(\frac{(p-q)^2}{n(n-1)} |\varphi|^2 + |\Xi_{\alpha}\varphi|^2 \right)$$

is nonnegative provided that

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{[\Gamma_{p,q}]} + (\Gamma_{p,q} - [\Gamma_{p,q}]) \lambda_{[\Gamma_{p,q}]+1} \geq 0,$$

where $\Gamma_{p,q}$ is the quotient of the total weight by the highest weight. □

Remark 5.4. Note that $\Gamma_{n,0} = \frac{n^2-1}{n}$ and $\Gamma_{p,p} = n+1-p$.

Proof of Theorem E. Any harmonic primitive form $\varphi \in \Omega_X^{p,q}$ satisfies the Bochner identity

$$\Delta \frac{1}{2} |\varphi|^2 = |\nabla \varphi|^2 + g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \bar{\varphi}).$$

If $\Gamma_{p,q} \geq 0$, then Proposition 5.3 implies that $g(\text{Ric}_L(\varphi), \bar{\varphi}) \geq 0$ and thus φ is parallel. If $\Gamma_{p,q} > 0$, then φ vanishes. To show that all primitive harmonic forms vanish, we may restrict to $p+q \leq n$ due to Serre duality. Theorem E now follows from the observation that all $\Gamma_{p,q}$ are nonnegative (respectively positive), if $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{su}(n)}: \Lambda_0^{1,1} TX \rightarrow \Lambda_0^{1,1} TX$ is $(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$ -nonnegative (respectively $(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$ -positive). \square

REFERENCES

- [Aub78] Thierry Aubin, *équations du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **102** (1978), no. 1, 63–95.
- [Bes87] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [BG65] R. L. Bishop and S. I. Goldberg, *On the second cohomology group of a Kaehler manifold of positive curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 119–122.
- [BH58] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, *Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces. I*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 458–538.
- [Boc46] S. Bochner, *Vector fields and Ricci curvature*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1946), 776–797.
- [Bor60] Armand Borel, *On the curvature tensor of the Hermitian symmetric manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **71** (1960), 508–521.
- [Bou95] Thierry Bouche, *Two vanishing theorems for holomorphic vector bundles of mixed sign*, Math. Z. **218** (1995), no. 4, 519–526.
- [BS23] Kyle Broder and James Stanfield, *A general schwarz lemma for hermitian manifolds*, arXiv:2309.04636 (2023).
- [CDS15a] Xiuxiong Chen, Simon Donaldson, and Song Sun, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. I: Approximation of metrics with cone singularities*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), no. 1, 183–197.
- [CDS15b] ———, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. II: Limits with cone angle less than 2π* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), no. 1, 199–234.
- [CDS15c] ———, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. III: Limits as cone angle approaches 2π and completion of the main proof*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), no. 1, 235–278.
- [CG10] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston, Ltd., Boston, MA, 2010, Reprint of the 1997 edition.
- [CLS11] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 124, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [CV60] Eugenio Calabi and Edoardo Vesentini, *On compact, locally symmetric Kähler manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **71** (1960), 472–507.
- [Dem12] Jean-Pierre Demailly, *Analytic methods in algebraic geometry*, Surveys of Modern Mathematics, vol. 1, International Press, Somerville, MA; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012.
- [GW72] R. E. Greene and H. Wu, *Curvature and complex analysis. II*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972), 866–870.

- [KW70] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Hung-Hsi Wu, *On holomorphic sections of certain hermitian vector bundles*, Math. Ann. **189** (1970), 1–4.
- [LS94] Claude LeBrun and Simon Salamon, *Strong rigidity of positive quaternion-Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), no. 1, 109–132.
- [Mok88] Ngaiming Mok, *The uniformization theorem for compact Kähler manifolds of nonnegative holomorphic bisectional curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **27** (1988), no. 2, 179–214.
- [Mor79] Shigefumi Mori, *Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 3, 593–606.
- [NPW23] Jan Nienhaus, Peter Petersen, and Matthias Wink, *Betti numbers and the curvature operator of the second kind*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **108** (2023), no. 4, 1642–1668.
- [NPWW23] Jan Nienhaus, Peter Petersen, Matthias Wink, and William Wylie, *Holonomy restrictions from the curvature operator of the second kind*, Differential Geom. Appl. **88** (2023), Paper No. 102010, 9.
- [NZ18] Lei Ni and Fangyang Zheng, *Comparison and vanishing theorems for Kähler manifolds*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **57** (2018), no. 6, Paper No. 151, 31.
- [NZ22] ———, *Positivity and the Kodaira embedding theorem*, Geom. Topol. **26** (2022), no. 6, 2491–2505.
- [OT80] Koichi Ogiue and Shun-ichi Tachibana, *Kähler manifolds of positive curvature operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1980), no. 4, 548–550.
- [Pet16] Peter Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, third ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 171, Springer, 2016.
- [Pet25] ———, *Manifold theory*, <https://www.math.ucla.edu/~petersen/manifolds.pdf> (2025).
- [PW21a] Peter Petersen and Matthias Wink, *New curvature conditions for the Bochner technique*, Invent. Math. **224** (2021), no. 1, 33–54.
- [PW21b] ———, *Vanishing and estimation results for Hodge numbers*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **780** (2021), 197–219.
- [Sal82] Simon Salamon, *Quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), no. 1, 143–171.
- [Sit73] Malladi Sitaramayya, *Curvature tensors in Kähler manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **183** (1973), 341–353.
- [SY80] Yum Tong Siu and Shing Tung Yau, *Compact Kähler manifolds of positive bisectional curvature*, Invent. Math. **59** (1980), no. 2, 189–204.
- [Tia15] Gang Tian, *K-stability and Kähler-Einstein metrics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **68** (2015), no. 7, 1085–1156.
- [WYZ09] Damin Wu, Shing-Tung Yau, and Fangyang Zheng, *A degenerate Monge-Ampère equation and the boundary classes of Kähler cones*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** (2009), no. 2, 365–374.
- [Yan18] Xiaokui Yang, *RC-positivity, rational connectedness and Yau’s conjecture*, Camb. J. Math. **6** (2018), no. 2, 183–212.
- [Yan24] ———, *RC-positivity and the generalized energy density I: Rigidity*, J. Differential Geom. **128** (2024), no. 3, 1315–1347.
- [Yau78] Shing Tung Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), no. 3, 339–411.
- [YB53] K. Yano and S. Bochner, *Curvature and Betti numbers*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. No. 32, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1953.

Vanishing theorems for Hodge numbers and the Calabi curvature operator

THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND, ST. LUCIA, QLD 4067, AUSTRALIA

Email address: `k.broder@uq.edu.au`

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, 520 PORTOLA PLAZA, CA, 90095

Email address: `nienhaus@math.ucla.edu`

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, 520 PORTOLA PLAZA, CA, 90095

Email address: `petersen@math.ucla.edu`

CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE MATHEMATICS MÜNSTER, ORLÉANS-RING 10, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

Email address: `james.stanfield@uni-muenster.de`

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, SOUTH HALL, CA, 93106

Email address: `wink@math.uscb.edu`