

SOME RESULTS ON SEMICLASSICAL SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

YURI A. KORDYUKOV

Dedicated to Bernard Helffer on the occasion of his 75th birthday

ABSTRACT. In our recent papers, we studied semiclassical spectral problems for the Bochner-Schrödinger operator on a manifold of bounded geometry. We survey some results of these papers in the setting of the magnetic Schrödinger operator in the Euclidean space and describe some ideas of the proofs.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

In our recent papers [21, 22, 24, 25, 26], we studied semiclassical spectral problems for the Bochner-Schrödinger operator on a manifold of bounded geometry. We survey some results of these papers in the setting of the magnetic Schrödinger operator in the Euclidean space and describe some ideas of the proofs.

1.1. The setting. We consider a semiclassical Schrödinger operator in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d of the form

$$(1.1) \quad H_{\hbar} = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - A_j(x) \right)^2 + \hbar V(x), \quad \hbar > 0,$$

where the components of the magnetic potential $A_j, j = 1, \dots, d$, and the electric potential V are real-valued smooth functions in \mathbb{R}^d .

The magnetic field is given by the antisymmetric matrix $B = (B_{jk})$ given by

$$B_{jk} = \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_k}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, d.$$

We assume that B_{jk} and V are uniformly bounded along with all derivatives of arbitrary order, $B_{jk}, V \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The operator H_{\hbar} is essentially self-adjoint in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with initial domain $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and we still denote by H_{\hbar} its unique self-adjoint extension.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58J50; Secondary 58J37, 35P20.

Key words and phrases. Magnetic Schrödinger operator, semiclassical spectral asymptotics, eigenfunction estimates.

Remark 1.1. In [21, 22, 24, 25, 26], the setting was following. Let (X, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension d , (L, h^L) a Hermitian line bundle on X with a Hermitian connection ∇^L and (E, h^E) a Hermitian vector bundle of rank r on X with a Hermitian connection ∇^E . Suppose that (X, g) is a manifold of bounded geometry and L and E have bounded geometry. This means that the curvatures R^{TX} , R^L and R^E of the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{TX} , connections ∇^L and ∇^E , respectively, and their derivatives of any order are uniformly bounded on X in the norm induced by g , h^L and h^E , and the injectivity radius r_X of (X, g) is positive.

The magnetic field is the real-valued closed differential 2-form \mathbf{B} given by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{B} = iR^L.$$

where R^L is the curvature of the connection ∇^L defined as $R^L = (\nabla^L)^2$.

For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let $L^p := L^{\otimes p}$ be the p th tensor power of L and let

$$\nabla^{L^p \otimes E} : C^\infty(X, L^p \otimes E) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, T^*X \otimes L^p \otimes E)$$

be the Hermitian connection on $L^p \otimes E$ induced by ∇^L and ∇^E . Consider the induced Bochner Laplacian $\Delta^{L^p \otimes E}$ acting on $C^\infty(X, L^p \otimes E)$ by

$$\Delta^{L^p \otimes E} = (\nabla^{L^p \otimes E})^* \nabla^{L^p \otimes E},$$

where $(\nabla^{L^p \otimes E})^* : C^\infty(X, T^*X \otimes L^p \otimes E) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, L^p \otimes E)$ is the formal adjoint of $\nabla^{L^p \otimes E}$. Let $V \in C^\infty(X, \text{End}(E))$ be a self-adjoint endomorphism of E . We assume that V and its derivatives of any order are uniformly bounded on X in the norm induced by g and h^E . The main object is the Bochner-Schrödinger operator H_p acting on $C^\infty(X, L^p \otimes E)$ by

$$H_p = \frac{1}{p} \Delta^{L^p \otimes E} + V.$$

The current setting described above is related with this general one as follows. The Riemannian manifold (X, g) is the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d equipped with the standard flat metric. The Hermitian line bundle (L, h^L) is trivial, and (E, h^E) is the trivial Hermitian line bundle with the trivial connection ∇^E . The Hermitian connection ∇^L is given by

$$\nabla^L = d - i\mathbf{A},$$

where \mathbf{A} is the real-valued differential 1-form on \mathbb{R}^d given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{j=1}^d A_j(x) dx_j.$$

Then the magnetic field \mathbf{B} coincides with the de Rham differential of \mathbf{A} :

$$\mathbf{B} = d\mathbf{A} = \sum_{j < k} B_{jk}(x) dx_j \wedge dx_k.$$

The operator H_p is related with the semiclassical magnetic Schrödinger operator H_{\hbar} given by (1.1) as follows:

$$H_p = \hbar^{-1} H_{\hbar}, \quad \hbar = \frac{1}{p}.$$

In the general setting, the parameter p is a natural number, that gives rise to a discrete set of values of \hbar . When the line bundle L is trivial, p can be considered to be an arbitrary non-negative real number.

1.2. Rough asymptotic description of the spectrum. We will need some particular second order differential operators (the model operators) associated with an arbitrary point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, introduced by Demailly [9, 10]. They are obtained from H_{\hbar} by freezing its coefficients at x_0 .

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Consider the magnetic potential

$$(1.3) \quad A_{j,x_0}(Z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d B_{kj}(x_0) Z_k, \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

with constant magnetic field $B_{x_0} = (B_{jk}(x_0))_{j,k=1,\dots,d}$.

The model operator $\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}^{(x_0)}$ is the semiclassical Schrödinger operator in \mathbb{R}^d given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}^{(x_0)} = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j} - A_{j,x_0}(Z) \right)^2 + \hbar V(x_0),$$

Here it is natural to consider Z as a tangent vector to \mathbb{R}^d at x_0 , so the model operator is a second order differential operator on the tangent space $T_{x_0}\mathbb{R}^d \cong \mathbb{R}^d$. By simple rescaling, this operator is unitarily equivalent to the operator $\hbar \mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$, where

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{H}^{(x_0)} = \mathcal{H}_1^{(x_0)} = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j} - A_{j,x_0}(Z) \right)^2 + V(x_0).$$

Suppose that the rank of B_{x_0} equals $2n = 2n_{x_0}$. Its non-zero eigenvalues have the form $\pm i a_j(x_0)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, with $a_j(x_0) > 0$ and, if $d > 2n$, zero is an eigenvalue of multiplicity $d - 2n$.

For $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, set

$$(1.5) \quad \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n (2k_j + 1) a_j(x_0) + V(x_0).$$

In the full-rank case $d = 2n$, the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$ is a countable set of eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}) = \Sigma_{x_0} := \{ \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0) : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \}.$$

If $d > 2n$, the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$ is a semiaxis:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}) = [\Lambda_0(x_0), +\infty),$$

where

$$\Lambda_0(x_0) := \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) + V(x_0).$$

Theorem 1.2 ([21]). *Assume that the magnetic field B is of full rank at each point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then, for any $K > 0$, there exist $c > 0$ and $\hbar_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0]$, the spectrum of H_\hbar in the interval $[0, K\hbar]$ is contained in the $c\hbar^{5/4}$ -neighborhood of $\hbar\Sigma$, where Σ be the union of the spectra of the model operators:*

$$(1.6) \quad \Sigma = \bigcup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}} \Sigma_{x_0} = \{\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0) : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}\}.$$

In [4], L. Charles proved a better asymptotic estimate for the spectrum of the Bochner Laplacian on a compact manifold than in [21]. It is quite possible that the technique developed in [4] allows us to prove an optimal estimate of order $\hbar^{3/2}$ instead of $\hbar^{5/4}$ as in Theorem 1.2 in the current setting.

When the magnetic field is non-degenerate and has discrete wells, an asymptotic description of the spectrum of H_\hbar in an interval of the form $[0, K\hbar]$ in terms of the spectrum of an effective pseudodifferential operator is given in dimension two by Helffer-Kordyukov [14] and Raymond-Vũ Ngọc [36] (see also a survey paper [13]) and in higher dimensions by Morin [32]. For constant rank magnetic fields with discrete wells, similar results are obtained by Helffer-Kordyukov-Raymond-Vũ Ngọc [15] in dimension 3 and by Morin [33] in higher dimensions.

1.3. Functions of the Schrödinger operator. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the linear bounded operator $\varphi(H_\hbar/\hbar)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by the spectral theorem. It is a smoothing operator with smooth Schwartz kernel $K_{\varphi(H_\hbar/\hbar)} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\varphi(H_\hbar/\hbar)u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_{\varphi(H_\hbar/\hbar)}(x, x')u(x')dx', \quad u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Using the finite propagation speed property of solutions of hyperbolic equations, one can show that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any multi-indices $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$,

$$(1.7) \quad \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x'}^{\alpha'} K_{\varphi(H_\hbar/\hbar)}(x, x') \right| = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty), \quad |x - x'| > \varepsilon.$$

The following theorem states a complete asymptotic expansion for the function $K_{\varphi(H_\hbar/\hbar)}$ as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ in a fixed neighborhood of the diagonal (independent of \hbar).

Theorem 1.3 ([25]). *There are a family of smooth real-valued functions $\Phi^{(x_0)} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ parameterized by $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a sequence of smooth functions $F_{r, x_0}(Z, Z')$, $r \geq 0$, of variables $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $Z, Z' \in T_{x_0}\mathbb{R}^d \cong \mathbb{R}^d$, such*

that the following asymptotic expansion holds true uniformly on $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$(1.8) \quad \hbar^{\frac{d}{2}} K_{\varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar)}(x_0 + Z, x_0 + Z') \\ \cong e^{i(\Phi^{(x_0)}(x_0+Z) - \Phi^{(x_0)}(x_0+Z'))/\hbar} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} F_{r,x_0}(\hbar^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z, \hbar^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z') \hbar^{\frac{r}{2}}, \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0.$$

More precisely, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the function

$$R_{j,\hbar,x_0}(Z, Z') = \hbar^{\frac{d}{2}} K_{\varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar)}(x_0 + Z, x_0 + Z') \\ - e^{i(\Phi^{(x_0)}(x_0+Z) - \Phi^{(x_0)}(x_0+Z'))/\hbar} \sum_{r=0}^j F_{r,x_0}(\hbar^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z, \hbar^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z') \hbar^{\frac{r}{2}}$$

satisfies the following condition. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ with $|\alpha| + |\alpha'| \leq m$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|} R_{j,\hbar,x_0}(Z, Z')}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} \right| \\ \leq C \hbar^{-\frac{j-m+1}{2}} (1 + \hbar^{-\frac{1}{2}}|Z| + \hbar^{-\frac{1}{2}}|Z'|)^M (1 + \hbar^{-\frac{1}{2}}|Z - Z'|)^{-N}, \\ \hbar \geq 0, \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Putting $Z = Z' = 0$, we get on-diagonal expansion for $K_{\varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar)}$.

Corollary 1.4. *For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a sequence of distributions $f_r(x_0) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$, $r \geq 0$, such that the following asymptotic expansion holds true as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on x_0 :*

$$(1.9) \quad K_{\varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar)}(x_0, x_0) \sim \hbar^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \langle f_r(x_0), \varphi \rangle \hbar^{\frac{r}{2}}, \quad \langle f_r(x_0), \varphi \rangle = F_{r,x_0}(0, 0).$$

On a compact manifold, Corollary 1.4 immediately implies an asymptotic expansion for the trace of the operator $\varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar)$ with $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ of the form

$$(1.10) \quad \text{tr } \varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar) \sim \hbar^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \langle f_r, \varphi \rangle \hbar^{\frac{r}{2}}, \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0.$$

The formula (1.10) is a particular case of the Gutzwiller trace formula for the semiclassical magnetic Schrödinger operator and the zero energy level of the classical Hamiltonian, which is critical in this case. We refer the interested reader to the survey [24] for more information.

In the current setting of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , the spectrum of H_{\hbar} is, generally, continuous, so the operator $\varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar)$ is not of trace class. Nevertheless, Theorem 1.7 below provides a sufficient condition for the spectrum of H_{\hbar} to be discrete on some interval $(\hbar\alpha, \hbar\beta)$. Combined with a rough

polynomial bound for the number of eigenvalues in $(\hbar\alpha, \hbar\beta)$ and the exponential localization result for the corresponding eigenfunctions given by Theorem 1.8, this allows us to show that the operator $\varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar)$ with $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ supported in the interval $(\hbar\alpha, \hbar\beta)$ is of trace class for sufficiently small $\hbar > 0$ and, using Theorem 1.3, we can prove that its trace admits an asymptotic expansion as in (1.10). The details will be given elsewhere.

Explicit formulas for the coefficients F_{r,x_0} and $f_r(x_0)$ in the asymptotic expansions (1.8) and (1.9) involve the model operators introduced above. First of all, we have the following theorem for the leading coefficients.

Theorem 1.5. *The leading coefficient F_{0,x_0} is the Schwartz kernel $K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}(x_0))}$ of the corresponding function $\varphi(\mathcal{H}(x_0))$ of the model operator $\mathcal{H}(x_0)$:*

$$F_{0,x_0}(Z, Z') = K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}(x_0))}(Z, Z'), \quad Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

As a consequence, we get

$$f_0(x_0) = K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}(x_0))}(0, 0).$$

One can compute the Schwartz kernel $K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}(x_0))}$ and get an explicit formula for $f_0(x_0)$. In the full-rank case $d = 2n$, we have

$$f_0(x_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) \right) \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \varphi(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0)),$$

and, for $d > 2n$,

$$f_0(x_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d-n}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j(x_0) \right) \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-2n}} \varphi(|\xi|^2 + \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0)) d\xi.$$

For the next coefficients in the asymptotic expansion (1.8), we get

$$(1.11) \quad F_{r,x_0}(Z, Z') = \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| \leq 3r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_r} D_{\mathbf{k},\ell} K_{\varphi^{(\ell-1)}(\mathcal{H}(x_0) - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot a)}(Z, Z'), \quad Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $D_{\mathbf{k},\ell}$ is a differential operator with polynomial coefficients in Z of order $3r$ and N_r is a some natural number.

Assume that the magnetic field B is of full rank $d = 2n$ at each point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $\inf |B_{x_0}| \geq c > 0$ for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Consider the spectral projection $E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}$ of the operator H_{\hbar} associated to an interval $[\hbar a, \hbar b]$ such that $a, b \notin \Sigma$. By Theorem 1.2, there exists $\mu_0 > 0$ and $\hbar_0 > 0$ such that for any $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0)$ the extreme points $\hbar a$ and $\hbar b$ of the interval are in gaps of the spectrum of the operator H_{\hbar} . So, replacing $E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}$ with the operator $\varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar)$ for an appropriate function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, we infer that the off-diagonal estimate (1.7) and the asymptotic expansion of Theorem 1.3 hold true for the smooth Schwartz kernel $E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}(x, x')$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

In fact, better estimates can be proved [21]. First, one can show an exponential off-diagonal estimate for $E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}(x, x')$, which is the analog of

[30, Theorem 1] and [27, Theorem 1.2] for the Bergman kernel. There exists $c > 0$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_k > 0$ such that for any multi-indices α and α' with $|\alpha| + |\alpha'| \leq k$, for any $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0)$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we have

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x'}^{\alpha'} E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}(x, x')| \leq C_k \hbar^{-(n + \frac{k}{2})} e^{-c|x-x'|/\hbar^{1/2}}.$$

Second, one can prove a similar exponential estimate for the remainder R_{j, \hbar, x_0} in the asymptotic expansion of Theorem 1.3 for $E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}(x, x')$ (see [21] for more details). We also mention that, on a compact manifold, an asymptotic expansion of $E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}(x, x')$ (without exponential estimates) was proved by Charles in [4].

For a compact manifold, the Toeplitz operator calculus associated with the spectral projection $E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}$ as above is developed in [5, 22]. It is shown that this calculus provides a Berezin-Toeplitz quantization of the manifold equipped with the symplectic form \mathbf{B} . In [5], Charles also computes the dimension of the corresponding eigenspace as a Riemann-Roch number. The constructions of [22] are extended in [23] to manifolds of bounded geometry, in particular, to the current setting of the Euclidean space.

1.4. Localization of the spectral projection and eigenfunctions. As an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.4 and the description of the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion given by (1.11), we obtain the following result on the asymptotic localization of the Schwartz kernel of the spectral projection on the diagonal in the case when the magnetic field is of full rank, which is an improvement of [4, Theorem 1.3].

Theorem 1.6 ([25]). *Assume that, for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the rank of B_{x_0} equals $d = 2n$ and an interval $[a, b]$ does not contain any $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0)$ with $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$.*

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset (a, b)$,

$$|K_{\varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar)}(x_0, x_0)|_{C^k} = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, if an interval $[a, b]$ does not contain any $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0)$ with $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, then the Schwartz kernel of the spectral projection $E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}$ of the operator H_{\hbar} associated to $[\hbar a, \hbar b]$ satisfies

$$|E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}(x_0, x_0)| = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty), \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 1.6, we conclude that, if, for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, an interval $[a, b]$ does not contain any $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0)$ with $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, then, for any sequence $\{u_{\hbar} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), \hbar > 0\}$ of eigenfunctions of H_{\hbar} with the corresponding eigenvalues λ_{\hbar} in $[\hbar a, \hbar b]$, we have

$$|u_{\hbar}(x_0)| = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty), \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0.$$

In other words, the essential support of the sequence $\{u_{\hbar}, \hbar > 0\}$ is contained in the closed subset $\mathcal{K}_{[a, b]} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0) \in [a, b]$ for some $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$:

$$\mathcal{K}_{[a, b]} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : \Sigma_x \cap [a, b] \neq \emptyset\}.$$

This result complements the asymptotic description of the spectrum of H_{\hbar} in terms of $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(x_0)$ given in [4, 21].

Theorem 1.6 states that the spectral function $E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}(x_0, x_0)$ outside of $\mathcal{K}_{[a, b]}$ has rapid decay in \hbar as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. It gives no information on dependence of this decay on the distance from x_0 to $\mathcal{K}_{[a, b]}$. Such an information is obtained in [26] in the case when the magnetic field is of full rank and $\mathcal{K}_{[a, b]}$ is compact. Under this assumption, we show that this part of spectrum is discrete and the corresponding eigenfunctions exponentially localized away the compact set $\mathcal{K}_{[a, b]}$. In particular, each eigenfunction outside of $\mathcal{K}_{[a, b]}$ has pointwise exponential decay in \hbar as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 1.7 ([26]). *Assume that the magnetic field B is of full rank at each point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $\inf |B_{x_0}| \geq c > 0$ for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Moreover, assume that, for $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, the set $\mathcal{K}_{[a, b]}$ is compact. Then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $\hbar_0 > 0$ such that for any $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0]$ the spectrum of H_{\hbar} in $[\hbar a + \epsilon \hbar^{5/4}, \hbar b - \epsilon \hbar^{5/4}]$ is discrete.*

As in Theorem 1.2, the order $\hbar^{5/4}$ doesn't seem to be optimal and, probably, can be improved.

Theorem 1.8 ([26]). *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.7, for any $a_1 > a$ and $b_1 < b$, there exist $\hbar_0 > 0$ and $C, c > 0$ such that, for any eigenfunction $u_{\hbar} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ of the operator H_{\hbar} with $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0]$ and with the corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda_{\hbar} \in [\hbar a_1, \hbar b_1]$:*

$$H_{\hbar} u_{\hbar} = \lambda_{\hbar} u_{\hbar},$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{2cd(x, \mathcal{K}_{[a, b]})/\hbar^{1/2}} |u_{\hbar}(x)|^2 dx \leq C \|u_{\hbar}\|^2,$$

where $d(x, \mathcal{K}_{[a, b]})$ is the distance from x to $\mathcal{K}_{[a, b]}$.

The exponential decay in Theorem 1.8 is probably non-optimal in some cases. For instance, in the case of two-dimensional magnetic wells, a faster exponential decay of low energy eigenfunctions was proven in [3] under analyticity assumptions on the magnetic field. It seems to be optimal in the C^{∞} -category, see also a discussion on p. 57 in [3].

This study is partly motivated by the spectral theory of Schrödinger operators with magnetic walls (see discussion and some references in [26]). It is highly interesting to study the case when the set $\mathcal{K}_{[a, b]}$ is non-compact. In this case, the spectrum of H_{\hbar} in $(\hbar a, \hbar b)$ is, generally, continuous, but one can consider functions in the image of the spectral projection $E_{[\hbar a, \hbar b]}$ of H_{\hbar} associated to $(\hbar a, \hbar b)$. In the quantum Hall effect theory, they are usually called edge states. For the Iwatsuka model proposed in [19] and its generalizations, exponential localization of edge states was established in [18] (see also [35] and references therein). In this model, $\mathcal{K}_{[a, b]}$ is a strip in the plane.

2. FUNCTIONS OF THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR

Theorem 1.3 is the analog of Theorem 4.18' in [8] and Theorem 1 in [20] on the full off-diagonal expansions for the (generalized) Bergman kernels. In the case of Bergman kernels, the remainder estimates in asymptotic expansions are exponentially decreasing for large $|Z - Z'|$. It is clear that, for general functions from the Schwartz class, these remainder estimates should be only rapidly decreasing.

The proof combines methods of functional analysis (first of all, the functional calculus based on the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula [17] and norm estimates in suitable Sobolev spaces) with methods of local index theory developed in [8, 27, 28, 29, 30] for the study of the asymptotic behavior of the (generalized) Bergman kernels and originating in the paper by Bismut and Lebeau [2]. Note that, in contrast to the above mentioned papers [8, 28, 29], we do not require that the magnetic field be of full rank. A similar strategy was applied in a close situation by Savale in [37, 38].

2.1. A particular gauge. We start with choosing a particular magnetic potential adapted to an arbitrary point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. First, we introduce new coordinates $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by the formula $x = x_0 + Z$. As mentioned above, it is convenient to think of Z as a tangent vector to \mathbb{R}^d at x_0 .

Let us consider the radial vector field \mathcal{R} in \mathbb{R}^d given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum_{j=1}^d Z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j}.$$

Given the magnetic potential $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{j=1}^d A_j(x_0 + Z) dZ_j$, we make a gauge transformation such that the new magnetic potential

$$\mathbf{A}^{(x_0)} = \mathbf{A} + d\Phi^{(x_0)} = \sum_{j=1}^d A_j^{(x_0)}(x_0 + Z) dZ_j,$$

satisfies the conditions

$$\mathbf{A}^{(x_0)}(x_0) = 0, \quad \iota_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{A}^{(x_0)}(x_0 + Z) = \sum_{j=1}^d Z_j A_j^{(x_0)}(x_0 + Z) = 0.$$

In a geometric language, we use the trivialization of the trivial line bundle over \mathbb{R}^d by parallel transport along the rays $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto x_0 + tZ \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This gauge is sometimes called the Fock-Schwinger, Poincaré or transverse gauge. In local index theory, it was introduced in [1] and called the synchronous framing. Such a gauge was used by Helffer and Mohamed in [16]. It plays a crucial role in the gauge covariant magnetic perturbation theory elaborated by H. Cornean and G. Nenciu (see, for instance, [6, 7, 34]).

In order to find such a gauge transformation, we have to solve the differential equation

$$\mathcal{R}[\Phi^{(x_0)}](x_0 + Z) = -\iota_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{A}(x_0 + Z),$$

which gives

$$\Phi^{(x_0)}(x_0 + Z) = - \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^1 A_j(x_0 + \tau Z) Z_j d\tau.$$

The potential $\mathbf{A}^{(x_0)}$ is given by

$$A_j^{(x_0)}(x_0 + Z) = \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\int_0^1 B_{kj}(x_0 + \tau Z) \tau d\tau \right) Z_k, \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Expanding both parts of the last formula in Taylor series in Z , we get the following well-known fact proved in [1, Appendix II] (see also [28, Lemma 1.2.4]): for any $r \geq 0$ and $j = 1, \dots, d$,

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \partial^\alpha A_j^{(x_0)}(x_0) \frac{Z^\alpha}{\alpha!} = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{|\alpha|=r-1} \sum_{k=1}^d \partial^\alpha B_{kj}(x_0) Z_k \frac{Z^\alpha}{\alpha!}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.2) \quad A_j^{(x_0)}(x_0 + Z) = A_{j,x_0}(Z) + \mathcal{O}(|Z|^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

where $A_{j,x_0}(Z)$ is given by (1.3).

Finally, we have the corresponding unitary transformation of operators:

$$(2.3) \quad H_\hbar = e^{i\Phi^{(x_0)}/\hbar} H_\hbar^{(x_0)} e^{-i\Phi^{(x_0)}/\hbar},$$

where

$$H_\hbar^{(x_0)} = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - A_j^{(x_0)}(x) \right)^2 + \hbar V(x), \quad \hbar > 0.$$

2.2. Rescaling and formal expansions. We use the rescaling introduced in [29, Section 1.2].

Denote $t = \sqrt{\hbar}$. For $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, set

$$S_t u(x) = u\left(\frac{x - x_0}{t}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The operator S_t depends on $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, but, for simplicity of notation, we will often omit x_0 .

Consider the rescaling of the operator $H_\hbar^{(x_0)}/\hbar$ defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_t = S_t^{-1} (H_\hbar^{(x_0)}/\hbar) S_t.$$

By construction, it is a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and its spectrum coincides with the spectrum of H_\hbar/\hbar .

We get a family \mathcal{H}_t of second order differential operators on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which depend on $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, given by the formula

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{H}_t = \sum_{j=1}^d \nabla_{t,e_j}^2 + V(x_0 + tZ),$$

where (e_1, \dots, e_d) is the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^d and ∇_t is the rescaled covariant derivative given by

$$(2.6) \quad \nabla_{t,e_j} = \frac{1}{t} S_t^{-1} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - A_j(x) \right) S_t = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j} - \frac{1}{t} A_j(x_0 + tZ).$$

By (2.2), the operators ∇_{t,e_i} depend smoothly on $t = \sqrt{\hbar}$ up to $t = 0$,

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_{t,e_j} = \nabla_{e_j}^{(x_0)} + O(t),$$

where $\nabla^{(x_0)}$ is the covariant derivative associated with the magnetic potential $(A_{j,x_0}(Z))_{1 \leq j \leq d}$:

$$\nabla_{e_j}^{(x_0)} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j} - A_{j,x_0}(Z), \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

By (2.1), we have an asymptotic expansion

$$\frac{1}{t} A_j(x_0 + tZ) = A_{j,x_0}(Z) + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} t^r A_{j,r,x_0}(Z),$$

where

$$A_{j,r,x_0}(Z) = -\frac{1}{r+2} \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \sum_{k=1}^d (\partial^\alpha B_{jk})_{x_0} Z_k \frac{Z^\alpha}{\alpha!}$$

is homogeneous of degree $r+1$.

Expanding the coefficients of the operator \mathcal{H}_t in Taylor series in t , for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + \sum_{r=1}^m \mathcal{H}^{(r)} t^r + \mathcal{O}(t^{m+1}),$$

where there exists $m' \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ the derivatives up to order k of the coefficients of the operator $\mathcal{O}(t^{m+1})$ are bounded by $C t^{m+1} (1 + |Z|)^{m'}$.

By (2.7), the leading term $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ coincides with the operator $\mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}$ given by (1.4):

$$\mathcal{H}^{(0)} = -\sum_{j=1}^d (\nabla_{e_j}^{(x_0)})^2 + V(x_0) = \mathcal{H}^{(x_0)}.$$

The next terms $\mathcal{H}^{(r)}$, $r \geq 1$, are first order differential operators of the form

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{H}^{(r)} = \sum_{j=1}^d b_{jr} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j} + c_r,$$

where

$$b_{jr} = 2A_{j,r,x_0}$$

is a polynomial in Z of degree $r + 1$ and

$$c_r = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial A_{j,r+1,x_0}}{\partial Z_j} + \sum_{l=1}^r A_{j,l,x_0} A_{j,r-l,x_0} \right) + \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \partial^\alpha V(x_0) \frac{Z^\alpha}{\alpha!}$$

is a polynomial in Z of degree $r + 2$.

By (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar) = e^{i\Phi(x_0)/\hbar} \varphi(H_{\hbar}^{(x_0)}/\hbar) e^{-i\Phi(x_0)/\hbar} = e^{i\Phi(x_0)/\hbar} S_t \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) S_t^{-1} e^{-i\Phi(x_0)/\hbar}.$$

Accordingly, for the Schwartz kernels, we get

$$(2.10) \quad K_{\varphi(H_{\hbar}/\hbar)}(x_0 + Z, x_0 + Z') \\ = t^{-d} e^{i(\Phi(x_0)(x_0+Z) - \Phi(x_0)(x_0+Z'))/\hbar} K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t, x_0)}(t^{-1}Z, t^{-1}Z'), \quad Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Thus, we have arrived at the family of t -dependent smoothing operators $\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t, x_0)$ in \mathbb{R}^d parametrized by $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We will study the asymptotic behavior of their Schwartz kernels $K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t, x_0)}(Z, Z')$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

2.3. Norm estimates. Next, we establish norm estimates for the operators $\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)$ and its derivatives of any order with respect to t .

For $t > 0$, set

$$\|u\|_{t,0}^2 = \|u\|_0^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(Z)|^2 dZ, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$,

$$\|u\|_{t,m}^2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell=1}^d \|\nabla_{t,e_{j_1}} \cdots \nabla_{t,e_{j_\ell}} u\|_{t,0}^2, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where ∇_t is the rescaled connection defined by (2.6).

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{t,m}$ denote the inner product on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ corresponding to $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}^2$. Let H_t^m be the Sobolev space of order m with norm $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}$. For any integer $m < 0$, we define the Sobolev space H_t^m by duality.

For a fixed $t > 0$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}$ is equivalent to the standard Sobolev norm given for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\|u\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_0^2, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Therefore, the space H_t^m coincides with the usual Sobolev space $H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as a topological vector space. But this norm equivalence is not uniform as $t \rightarrow 0$. In order to have control of the Sobolev norms by the norms $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}$, uniform up to $t = 0$, we introduce some weighted Sobolev norms with power weights as in [29].

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, we will use the standard notation

$$Z^\alpha = Z_1^{\alpha_1} Z_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots Z_d^{\alpha_d}, \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For any $t > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we set

$$\|u\|_{t,m,M} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} \|Z^\alpha u\|_{t,m}, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

One can show that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|u\|_{t,m,m}, \quad t \in (0, 1], \quad u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Moreover, as in [20], we introduce an additional family of weight functions parameterized by $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Unlike [20], where similar weight functions are exponential, here we consider power weights.

For any $t > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$\|u\|_{t,m,M,N,W} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M, |\beta| \leq N} \left\| Z^\alpha (Z - W)^\beta u \right\|_{t,m}, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

It is clear that

$$\|u\|_{t,m,M,0,W} = \|u\|_{t,m,M}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *For any $r \geq 0$, $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for any $t \in (0, t_0]$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) u \right\|_{t,m,M,N,W} \leq C \|u\|_{t,m',M+2r,N,W}, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The proof is based on the Helffer-Sjostrand formula [17]:

$$(2.11) \quad \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda) (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} d\mu d\nu,$$

where $\tilde{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ is an almost-analytic extension of φ satisfying

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda) = O(|\nu|^\ell), \quad \lambda = \mu + i\nu, \quad \nu \rightarrow 0,$$

for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Applying the formula (2.11) to the function $\psi(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda)(a - \lambda)^K$ with any $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a < 0$, we get

$$(2.12) \quad \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda) (a - \lambda)^K (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} (a - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-K} d\mu d\nu.$$

Now we differentiate (2.12):

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \lambda} (a - \lambda)^K \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} [(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} (a - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-K}] d\mu d\nu,$$

and use the following formula for the derivatives of the resolvent:

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} \\ = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in I_r} \frac{r!}{\mathbf{r}!} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} \frac{\partial^{r_1} \mathcal{H}_t}{\partial t^{r_1}} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} \cdots \frac{\partial^{r_j} \mathcal{H}_t}{\partial t^{r_j}} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_r = \left\{ \mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_j) : \sum_{i=1}^j r_i = r, r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}.$$

In the proof of Theorem 2.1, we first prove weighted estimate for the resolvent $(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1}$ and then apply the above formulas (see [25, Section 4] for more details).

2.4. End of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Using the Sobolev embedding theorem, we derive pointwise estimates of the Schwartz kernels of smoothing operators from their mapping properties in Sobolev spaces in a standard way.

Theorem 2.2. *For any $r \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $M > 0$ such that, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C > 0$ such that, for any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\sup_{|\alpha|+|\alpha'| \leq m} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}(Z, Z') \right| \leq C(1+|Z|+|Z'|)^M(1+|Z-Z'|)^{-N}.$$

Observe the following fact, which is an immediate consequence of the mean-value theorem: If f is a differentiable function on the interval $(0, 1)$ with values in a Banach space B such that $\sup_{t \in (0,1)} \|f'(t)\|_B < \infty$, then there exists $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)$. From this fact and Theorem 2.2, we infer that, for any $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r \geq 0$, there exists the limit

$$(2.15) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}(Z, Z') = F_r(Z, Z'),$$

for some $F_r = F_{r,x_0} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Consider an operator $F_r : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by the Schwartz kernel $F_r(Z, Z')$. Using the Taylor formula

$$\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t) - \sum_{r=0}^j F_r t^r = \frac{1}{j!} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^j \frac{\partial^{j+1} \varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}{\partial t^{j+1}}(\tau) d\tau, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

and Theorem 2.2, we immediately get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *For any $j, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $M > 0$ such that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|\alpha|+|\alpha'| \leq m} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} \left(K_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_t)}(Z, Z') - \sum_{r=0}^j F_r(Z, Z') t^r \right) \right| \\ \leq C t^{j+1} (1+|Z|+|Z'|)^M (1+|Z-Z'|)^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.10), this completes the proof of the asymptotic expansion (1.8) in Theorem 1.3.

2.5. Computation of the coefficients. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^j \mathcal{H}_t}{\partial t^j} = j! \mathcal{H}^{(j)}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$ is the coefficient in the asymptotic expansion (2.8) given by (2.9), from (2.14), we get

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_t)^{-1} \\ = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in I_r} r! (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \mathcal{H}^{(r_1)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \dots \mathcal{H}^{(r_j)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1}.$$

By (2.13) with $K = 0$ and (2.15), we infer that

$$(2.17) \quad F_r = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in I_r} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} (\lambda) (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \\ \times \mathcal{H}^{(r_1)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} \dots \mathcal{H}^{(r_j)} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} d\mu d\nu.$$

For $r = 0$, we immediately get

$$F_0 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} (\lambda) (\lambda - \mathcal{H}^{(0)})^{-1} d\mu d\nu = \varphi(\mathcal{H}^{(0)}),$$

which proves Theorem 1.5.

To compute lower order coefficients and prove the formula (1.11), we use (2.17) and the technique of creation and annihilation operators (see [25] for more details).

3. LOCALIZATION OF THE SPECTRAL PROJECTION AND EIGENFUNCTIONS

3.1. Discreteness of the spectrum. The following proposition plays a crucial role both in the proof of Theorem 1.7 and in the proof of Theorem 1.8.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded interval and*

$$\Omega_{[a,b]} := \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \mathcal{K}_{[a,b]} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : \Sigma_x \cap [a, b] = \emptyset\}.$$

Then there exist $C > 0$ and $\hbar_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\lambda \in (a, b)$, for any $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0]$ and for any $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ compactly supported in $\Omega_{[a,b]}$, we have

$$\|(H_{\hbar}/\hbar - \lambda)u\| \geq (d(\lambda, \Sigma) - C\hbar^{1/4})\|u\|,$$

where $d(\lambda, \Sigma)$ stands for the distance from λ to Σ .

The proof of Proposition 3.1 follows the lines of the proof given in [16, pp. 106–110]. It is based on approximation of the operator H_{\hbar} by the model operator $\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}^{(x_0)}$ in a sufficiently small neighborhood of an arbitrary point x_0 (with the use of a special gauge given by local Darboux coordinates for the magnetic field B) and localization with the help of standard partition of unity of size $\hbar^{1/4}$.

Remark 3.2. In case $\Omega_{[a,b]} = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, Proposition 3.1 immediately implies Theorem 1.2. This proof of Theorem 1.2 is different from the original proof given in [21], which is based on a construction of an approximate inverse for the operator $H_{\hbar}/\hbar - \lambda$ (see also [4, 12]).

Theorem 1.7 follows immediately from Proposition 3.1 and the following lemma, which is a consequence of [31, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\hbar > 0$. Suppose that there exist $\delta > 0$ and a compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \|(H_{\hbar} - \lambda)u\| \geq \delta\|u\|$$

for any $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ supported in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus K$. Then $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_{\hbar})$.

3.2. Eigenfunction estimates. Asymptotic localization of eigenfunctions of the magnetic Schrödinger operator associated with eigenvalues below the bottom of the essential spectrum usually follows from Agmon type estimates. But when we consider eigenvalues in gaps of the essential spectrum, this method doesn't work, because it is based on the use of quadratic forms. Instead, we use a slight modification of the method developed in [21, 25] (see also the references therein) to prove exponential localization away the diagonal for Schwartz kernels of functions of the Bochner-Schrödinger operator and in [11] to prove exponential localization of the boundary states of the Robin magnetic Laplacian away the boundary. The proof of Theorem 1.8 follows the scheme of the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [11]. The main difference is that, instead of weighted estimates for the resolvent used in [11], our proof is based on the norm estimates given by Proposition 3.1.

We will use some weight functions. By standard averaging, one can show that, for any $\hbar > 0$, there exists a function $\Phi_{\hbar} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, satisfying the following conditions:

(1) we have

$$(3.2) \quad |\Phi_{\hbar}(x) - d(x, \mathcal{K}_{[a,b]})| < \hbar^{1/2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad \hbar > 0;$$

(2) for any $k > 0$, there exists $C_k > 0$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \hbar^{(k-1)/2} \left| \nabla^k \Phi_{\hbar}(x) \right| < C_k, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad \hbar > 0.$$

Define a family of differential operators on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ by

$$(3.4) \quad H_{\hbar, \tau} := e^{\tau \Phi_{\hbar}/\hbar^{1/2}} H_{\hbar} e^{-\tau \Phi_{\hbar}/\hbar^{1/2}}, \quad \hbar > 0, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

An easy computation gives

$$(3.5) \quad H_{\hbar, \tau} = H_{\hbar} + \tau A_{\hbar} + \tau^2 B_{\hbar},$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad A_{\hbar} = -2i\hbar^{1/2} d\Phi_{\hbar} \cdot \nabla_{\hbar} + \hbar^{3/2} \Delta \Phi_{\hbar}, \quad B_{\hbar} = -\hbar |d\Phi_{\hbar}|^2.$$

Here, for $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, $d\Phi_{\hbar} \cdot \nabla_{\hbar} u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ stands for the pointwise inner product of $d\Phi_{\hbar} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, T^*\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $\nabla_{\hbar} u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, T^*\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ determined by the Riemannian metric.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Suppose that $u_h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ is such that

$$H_h u_h = \lambda_h u_h$$

with some $\hbar > 0$ and $\lambda_h \in (a, b)$. Then, for $v_h = e^{\tau\Phi_h/\hbar^{1/2}} u_h$, we have

$$(3.7) \quad H_{h,\tau} v_h = \lambda_h v_h.$$

Choose an arbitrary a_2 and b_2 such that $a_1 > a_2 > a$ and $b_1 < b_2 < b$. Let

$$\Omega_1 = \Omega_{[a_2, b_2]} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : \Sigma_x \cap [a_2, b_2] = \emptyset\}.$$

This is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} , which contains $\bar{\Omega} = \overline{\Omega_{[a, b]}}$. Moreover, since B and V are C^∞ -bounded, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\Omega_{1,\epsilon} := \{x \in \Omega_1 : d(x, \partial\Omega_1) > \epsilon\}$ contains $\bar{\Omega}$.

Now let $\phi_h \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ be supported in Ω_1 and $\phi_h \equiv 1$ on $\Omega_{1,\hbar^{1/2}} = \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) > \hbar^{1/2}\} \subset \Omega$:

$$|\nabla\phi_h| < \hbar^{-1/2}, \quad |\nabla^2\phi_h| < \hbar^{-1}.$$

Then

$$H_{h,\tau}(\phi_h v_h) = \lambda_h \phi_h v_h + [H_{h,\tau}, \phi_h] v_h.$$

By Proposition 3.1, there exist $C_0 > 0$ and $\hbar_0 > 0$, such that for any $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0]$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \|(H_h - \lambda_h)\phi_h v_h\| \geq C_0 \hbar \|\phi_h v_h\|.$$

Lemma 3.4. *For any $\tau > 0$ small enough, there exists $C_0 > 0$, such that for any $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0]$, we have*

$$(3.9) \quad \|(H_{h,\tau} - \lambda_h)\phi_h v_h\| \geq C_0 \hbar \|\phi_h v_h\|.$$

Proof. We can write

$$(3.10) \quad \|(H_{h,\tau} - \lambda_h)\phi_h v_h\| \geq \|(H_h - \lambda_h)\phi_h v_h\| - \|(H_{h,\tau} - H_h)\phi_h v_h\|.$$

By (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$H_{h,\tau} - H_h = \tau(-2i\hbar^{1/2}d\Phi_h \cdot \nabla_h + \hbar^{3/2}\Delta\Phi_h) - \tau^2\hbar|d\Phi_h|^2.$$

Using (3.3), we get

$$(3.11) \quad \|(H_{h,\tau} - H_h)\phi_h v_h\| \leq C_1 \tau \hbar^{1/2} \|\nabla_h \phi_h v_h\| + C_2 \tau \hbar \|\phi_h v_h\| + C_3 \tau^2 \hbar \|\phi_h v_h\|.$$

To estimate the first term in the right hand side of (3.11), we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h(\phi_h v_h)\|^2 &= ((\nabla_h)^2 v_h, v_h) = ((H_h - \hbar V)\phi_h v_h, \phi_h v_h) \\ &= ((H_h - \lambda_h)\phi_h v_h, \phi_h v_h) + ((\lambda_h - \hbar V)\phi_h v_h, \phi_h v_h) \\ &\leq \|(H_h - \lambda_h)\phi_h v_h\| \|\phi_h v_h\| + C\hbar \|\phi_h v_h\|^2 \\ &\leq \epsilon^2 \hbar^{-1} \|(H_h - \lambda_h)\phi_h v_h\|^2 + (C + \epsilon^{-2})\hbar \|\phi_h v_h\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

with an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ to be chosen later. Eventually, we get

$$\|\nabla_{\hbar}(\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar})\| \leq \epsilon\hbar^{-1/2}\|(H_{\hbar} - \lambda_{\hbar})\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| + (C + \epsilon^{-1})\hbar^{1/2}\|\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\|.$$

Using this estimate, from (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(H_{\hbar,\tau} - H_{\hbar})\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| &\leq C_1\tau\epsilon\|(H_{\hbar} - \lambda_{\hbar})\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| \\ &\quad + (C_2 + C_3\epsilon^{-1})\tau\hbar\|\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| + C_4\tau^2\hbar\|\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing ϵ such that $C_1\tau\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\|(H_{\hbar,\tau} - H_{\hbar})\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|(H_{\hbar} - \lambda_{\hbar})\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| + C_5\hbar\tau\|\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| + C_6\hbar\tau^2\|\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\|.$$

and, using (3.8), from (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(H_{\hbar,\tau} - \lambda_{\hbar})\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|(H_{\hbar} - \lambda_{\hbar})\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| - C_5\hbar\tau\|\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| - C_6\hbar\tau^2\|\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}C_0\hbar\|\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| - C_5\hbar\tau\|\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| - C_6\hbar\tau^2\|\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking τ small enough, we complete the proof. \square

Let us fix τ as in Lemma 3.4. By (3.7), we have

$$(3.12) \quad (H_{\hbar,\tau} - \lambda_{\hbar})\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar} = [H_{\hbar,\tau}, \phi_{\hbar}]v_{\hbar}.$$

By (3.5) and (3.6), we compute

$$(3.13) \quad [H_{\hbar,\tau}, \phi_{\hbar}] = (-2i\hbar d\phi_{\hbar} \cdot \nabla_{\hbar} + \hbar^2\Delta\phi_{\hbar}) - 2\tau\hbar^{3/2}d\Phi_{\hbar} \cdot d\phi_{\hbar}.$$

Since $d\phi_{\hbar}$ and $\Delta\phi_{\hbar}$ are supported in $\Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega_{1,\hbar^{1/2}}}$, we have

$$(3.14) \quad \|(d\Phi_{\hbar} \cdot d\phi_{\hbar})v_{\hbar}\| \leq C\hbar^{-1/2}\|\psi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\|, \quad \|\Delta\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| \leq C\hbar^{-1}\|\psi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\|,$$

where $\psi_{\hbar} \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ is supported in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{2\hbar^{1/2}}}$ and $\psi_{\hbar} \equiv 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \Omega_{\hbar^{1/2}}$, in particular on $\text{supp } d\phi_{\hbar} \subset \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_{\hbar^{1/2}}}$.

Therefore, by (3.13), (3.3) and (3.14), we get

$$\|[H_{\hbar,\tau}, \phi_{\hbar}]v_{\hbar}\| \leq 2\hbar\|d\phi_{\hbar} \cdot \nabla_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| + C_2\hbar\|\psi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\|.$$

Next, we show the following estimate:

$$\|d\phi_{\hbar} \cdot \nabla_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| \leq C\|\psi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\|.$$

Its proof is standard and quite lengthy, so we will omit it. We infer that

$$\|[H_{\hbar,\tau}, \phi_{\hbar}]v_{\hbar}\| \leq C_1\hbar\|\psi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\|.$$

From this estimate, taking into account (3.8) and (3.12), we get

$$\|\phi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\| \leq C_1\|\psi_{\hbar}v_{\hbar}\|.$$

Now we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} e^{2\tau\Phi_h(x)/h^{1/2}} |u_h(x)|^2 dx &\leq \int_{\Omega_{1,h^{1/2}}} e^{2\tau\Phi_h(x)/h^{1/2}} |u_h(x)|^2 dx \\
&= \|v_h\|_{L^2(\Omega_{h^{1/2}})}^2 \leq \|\phi_h v_h\|^2 \leq C_1^2 \|\psi_h v_h\|^2 = C_1^2 \|\psi_h v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{1,2h^{1/2}}})}^2 \\
&\leq C_1^2 \|v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{1,2h^{1/2}}})}^2 = C_1^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{1,2h^{1/2}}}} e^{2\tau\Phi_h(x)/h^{1/2}} |u_h(x)|^2 dx \\
&\leq C_1^2 \|u_h\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\Phi_h = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{1,2h^{1/2}}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \Omega$, that completes the proof of Theorem 1.8. \square

Acknowledgment: I am grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments, which helped me to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Atiyah, R. Bott and V. K. Patodi, *On the heat equation and the index theorem*, Invent. Math. **19** (1973), 279–330.
- [2] J.-M. Bismut and G. Lebeau, *Complex immersions and Quillen metrics*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **74** (1991).
- [3] Y. G. Bonthonneau, N. Raymond and S. Vũ Ngọc, *Exponential localization in 2D pure magnetic wells*, Ark. Mat. **59** (2021), 53–85.
- [4] L. Charles, *On the spectrum of non degenerate magnetic Laplacian*, Anal. PDE **17** (2024), 1907–1952.
- [5] L. Charles, *Landau levels on a compact manifold*, Ann. H. Lebesgue **7** (2024), 69–121.
- [6] H. D. Cornean and Gh. Nenciu, *On eigenfunction decay of two dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operators*, Commun. Math. Phys., **192** (1998), 671–685
- [7] H. D. Cornean and Gh. Nenciu, *Two dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operators: width of mini-bands in the tight-binding approximation*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **1** (2000), 203–222
- [8] X. Dai, K. Liu and X. Ma, *On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel*, J. Differential Geom. **72** (2006), 1–41.
- [9] J.-P. Demailly, *Champs magnétiques et inégalités de Morse pour la d'' -cohomologie*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **35** (1985), 189–229.
- [10] J.-P. Demailly, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities*. In *Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989)*, 93–114, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 52, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [11] R. Fahs, L. Le Treust, N. Raymond and S. Vu Ngoc, *Boundary states of the Robin magnetic Laplacian*, Doc. Math. **29** (2024), 1157–1200.
- [12] F. Faure and M. Tsujii, *Prequantum transfer operator for symplectic Anosov diffeomorphism*. Astérisque No. **375** (2015).
- [13] B. Helffer and Yu. A. Kordyukov, *Semiclassical spectral asymptotics for a magnetic Schrödinger operator with non-vanishing magnetic field*. Geometric methods in physics, 259–278, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [14] B. Helffer and Yu. A. Kordyukov, *Accurate semiclassical spectral asymptotics for a two-dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operator*. Ann. Henri Poincaré **16** (2015), 1651–1688.
- [15] B. Helffer, Y. Kordyukov, N. Raymond, and S. Vũ Ngọc, *Magnetic wells in dimension three*, Anal. PDE **9** (2016), 1575–1608.

- [16] B. Helffer and A. Mohamed, *Caractérisation du spectre essentiel de l'opérateur de Schrödinger avec un champ magnétique*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **38** (1988), 95–112.
- [17] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, *Équation de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique et équation de Harper*. In *Schrödinger operators (Sønderborg, 1988)*, 118–197, Lecture Notes in Phys., 345, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [18] P. Hislop and E. Soccorsi, *Edge states induced by Iwatsuka Hamiltonians with positive magnetic fields*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **422** (2015), 594–624.
- [19] A. Iwatsuka, *Examples of absolutely continuous Schrödinger operators in magnetic fields*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **21** (1985), 385–401.
- [20] Yu. A. Kordyukov, *On asymptotic expansions of generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds (Russian)*, Algebra i Analiz **30** (2018), no. 2, 163–187; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **30** (2019), no. 2, 267–283.
- [21] Yu. A. Kordyukov, *Semiclassical spectral analysis of the Bochner-Schrödinger operator on symplectic manifolds of bounded geometry*, Anal. Math. Phys. **12** (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 22, 37 pp.
- [22] Yu. A. Kordyukov, *Berezin-Toeplitz quantization associated with higher Landau levels of the Bochner Laplacian*, J. Spectral Theory **12** (2022), 143–167.
- [23] Yu. A. Kordyukov, *Berezin-Toeplitz quantization on symplectic manifolds of bounded geometry (Russian)*, Mat. Zametki, **112** (2022), no. 4, 586 – 600; translation in Math. Notes **112** (2022), no. 4, 576 – 587.
- [24] Yu. A. Kordyukov, *Trace formula for the magnetic Laplacian at zero energy level*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **77** (2022), no. 6, 159–202; translation in Russian Math. Surv. **77** (2022), no. 6, 1107–1148.
- [25] Yu. A. Kordyukov, *Semiclassical asymptotic expansions for functions of the Bochner-Schrödinger operator*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **30** (2023), 192–208.
- [26] Yu. A. Kordyukov, *Exponential localization for eigensections of the Bochner-Schrödinger operator*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **31** (2024), 461–476.
- [27] Yu. A. Kordyukov, X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds of bounded geometry*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **44** (2019), 1037–1071.
- [28] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, Progress in Mathematics, 254. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [29] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), 1756–1815.
- [30] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Exponential estimate for the asymptotics of Bergman kernels*, Math. Ann. **362** (2015), 1327–1347.
- [31] A. Mohamed, *Quelques remarques sur le spectre de l'opérateur de Schrödinger avec un champ magnétique*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **13** (1988), 1415–1430.
- [32] L. Morin, *A semiclassical Birkhoff normal form for symplectic magnetic wells*, J. Spectr. Theory **12** (2022), 459–496.
- [33] L. Morin, *A semiclassical Birkhoff normal form for constant-rank magnetic fields*, Anal. PDE **17** (2024), 1593–1632.
- [34] G. Nenciu, *On asymptotic perturbation theory for quantum mechanics: almost invariant subspaces and gauge invariant magnetic perturbation theory*. J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002), 1273–1298.
- [35] N. Raymond and E. Soccorsi, *Magnetic quantum currents in the presence of a Neumann wall*, J. Math. Phys. **64** (2023), Paper No. 073506, 18 pp.
- [36] N. Raymond and S. Vũ Ngọc, *Geometry and spectrum in 2D magnetic wells*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **65** (2015), 137–169.
- [37] N. Savale, *Koszul complexes, Birkhoff normal form and the magnetic Dirac operator*, Anal. PDE **10** (2017), 1793–1844.

- [38] N. Savale, *A Gutzwiller type trace formula for the magnetic Dirac operator*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **28** (2018), 1420–1486.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UFA FEDERAL RESEARCH CENTRE, RUSSIAN ACADEMY
OF SCIENCES, 112 CHERNYSHEVSKY STR., 450008 UFA, RUSSIA

Email address: `yurikor@matem.anrb.ru`