

INFINITELY MANY SOLUTIONS FOR A BOUNDARY YAMABE PROBLEM

LUCA BATTAGLIA, YIXING PU, AND GIUSI VAIRA

ABSTRACT. We consider the classical geometric problem of prescribing the scalar and the boundary mean curvature problem in the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n endowed with the standard Euclidean metric. We will deal with the case of negative scalar curvature showing the existence of infinitely many non-radial positive solutions when $N \geq 5$. This is the first result of existence of solutions in the case of negative prescribed scalar curvature problem in higher dimensions.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important problems in differential geometry is the so-called prescribed curvature problem, i.e. *given (M, g) be a Riemannian closed manifold of dimension $N \geq 3$ and a smooth function $\mathbf{K} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, finding a metric \tilde{g} conformal to the original metric g whose scalar curvature is \mathbf{K}* (see [11, 26, 27, 33]).

As it is well known, being $\tilde{g} = u^{\frac{4}{N-2}}g$, this is equivalent to finding a positive solution of the semi-linear elliptic equation:

$$(1.1) \quad -\frac{4(N-1)}{N-2}\Delta_g u + k_g u = \mathbf{K}u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}, \quad u > 0, \quad \text{in } M,$$

where k_g denotes the scalar curvature of M with respect to g and Δ_g is the Beltrami-Laplace operator.

If M is a manifold with boundary, given a smooth function $\mathbf{H} : \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, it is natural to ask if there exists a conformal metric whose scalar curvature and boundary mean curvature can be prescribed as \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{H} respectively. As in (1.1), the geometric problem turns out to be equivalent to a semi-linear elliptic equation with a nonlinear Robin boundary condition:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{4(N-1)}{N-2}\Delta_g u + k_g u = \mathbf{K}u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}, & u > 0, \quad \text{in } M, \\ \frac{2}{N-2}\partial_\nu u + h_g u = \mathbf{H}u^{\frac{N}{N-2}}, & \text{on } \partial M, \end{cases}$$

where, k_g and h_g denote the scalar and boundary mean curvatures of M with respect to g and ν is the outward normal unit vector with respect to the metric g .

When \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{H} are constants, the problem is known as the Escobar problem, since it was first proposed and studied by Escobar in 1992 in the case $\mathbf{H} = 0$ ([22, 23]) and in the case $\mathbf{K} = 0$ ([21]). Afterwards, many subsequent contributions for this problem are given in [3, 9, 30, 31].

The case of non-zero constants \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{H} (with $\mathbf{K} > 0$) it was first studied by Han & Li in [24, 25] and then completed by Chen, Ruan & Sun in [13].

In all these results, the existence of solutions for the problem (1.2) strongly depends on the dimension of the manifold, on the properties of the boundary (i.e. being umbilical or not) and on vanishing properties of the Weyl tensor.

Work partially supported by the MUR-PRIN-P2022YFAJH "Linear and Nonlinear PDE's: New directions and Applications", by the MUR-PRIN-2022AKNSE "Variational and Analytic aspects of Geometric PDEs" and by the INdAM-GNAMPA project "Fenomeni non lineari: problemi locali e non locali e loro applicazioni", CUP E5324001950001.

The case of non-constant functions \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{H} is less studied and all the available results are for special manifolds (typically the unit ball and the half-sphere). We refer to [2, 6, 7, 28, 29] for the case $\mathbf{H} = 0$ and to [1, 10, 19, 36] for the case $\mathbf{K} = 0$.

When both \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{H} are not constant, the problem becomes more difficult. Djadli, Malchiodi & Ahmedou [20] considered problem (1.2) on the three-dimensional half-sphere and proved some existence and compactness results. Chen, Ho & Sun [12] proved the existence of solutions for (1.2) when \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{H} are negative functions and the boundary ∂M has negative Yamabe invariant. Ambrosetti, Li & Malchiodi [4] considered the perturbation problem in the unit ball when both \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{H} are positive. That is, they consider $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_0 + \varepsilon\mathcal{K} > 0$ and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + \varepsilon\mathcal{H} > 0$, where $\mathbf{K}_0 > 0$, $\mathbf{H}_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ is small, and \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{H} are smooth functions. They proved an existence result when \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{H} satisfy some conditions.

The first result concerning the case of negative prescribed scalar curvature (namely $\mathbf{K} < 0$) is due to Cruz-Blázquez, Malchiodi & Ruiz in [15]. They introduce the scaling invariant quantity

$$\mathfrak{D} := \sqrt{N(N-1)} \frac{H(p)}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{K}(p)|}}, \quad p \in \partial M$$

and established the existence of a solution to (1.2) whenever $\mathfrak{D} < 1$ along the whole boundary. On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{D} > 1$ at some boundary points, they got a solution only in a three dimensional manifold, for a generic choice of \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{H} .

Their proof relies on a careful blow-up analysis: first they show that the blow-up phenomena occur at boundary points p with $\mathfrak{D} \geq 1$, with different behaviours depending on whether $\mathfrak{D} = 1$ or $\mathfrak{D} > 1$. To deal with the loss of compactness at points with $\mathfrak{D} > 1$, where bubbling of solutions occurs, it is shown that in dimension three all the blow-up points are isolated and simple. As a consequence, the number of blow-up points is finite and the blow-up is excluded via integral estimates. In that regard, $N = 3$ is the maximal dimension for which one can prove that the blow-up points with $\mathfrak{D} > 1$ are isolated and simple for generic choices of \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{H} . In the closed case such a property is assured up to dimension four (see [29]) but, as observed in [20], the presence of the boundary produces a stronger interaction of the bubbling solutions with the function \mathbf{K} .

A linearly perturbed problem was considered in [16] and it is shown that, at least for $4 \leq N \leq 7$ the blow-up points are not anymore isolated and simple since a cluster-type solution exists. Moreover in [17] it is addressed the question of existence of solutions for problem (1.2) in a perturbative setting. Afterwards, in [5], the authors considered the perturbation problem in the ball under the condition $\mathbf{K} < 0$ and $\mathbf{H} > 0$. i.e., $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_0 + \varepsilon\mathcal{K} < 0$ and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + \varepsilon\mathcal{H} > 0$, where $\mathbf{K}_0 < 0$, $\mathbf{H}_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ is small, and \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{H} are smooth functions showing the existence of solutions with some constraint of \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{H} .

At this time, as far as we know, it remains completely open whether solutions exist in any kind of manifolds with $\mathbf{K} < 0$ and \mathbf{H} whatever but non-zero and not constants.

In this paper we consider the problem (1.2) in the unit ball, i.e.

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{4(N-1)}{N-2} \Delta u = \mathbf{K} u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}, \quad u > 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{B}^N, \\ \frac{2}{N-2} \partial_\nu u + u = \mathbf{H} u^{\frac{N}{N-2}}, & \text{on } \mathbb{S}^{N-1}. \end{cases}$$

and we focus on the case $\mathbf{K} < 0$ and $\mathbf{H} > 0$ are functions which satisfy some conditions showing the existence of infinitely many non-radial solutions to (1.3).

This type of solutions are known in the closed case (see [35]) and for problem (1.3) with $\mathbf{K} = 0$ and $\mathbf{H} > 0$ in [34] (see also [8] for some general assumptions on \mathbf{H} and again $\mathbf{K} = 0$).

The basic idea, in order to consider problem (1.3), is to use the conformal equivalence between \mathbb{B}^N

and \mathbb{R}_+^N . Indeed, (1.3) is equivalent to the problem:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{4(N-1)}{N-2}\Delta u = -K(x)u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ -\frac{2}{N-2}\partial_{x_N}u = H(\bar{x})u^{\frac{N}{N-2}}, & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N, \end{cases}$$

where $K := -\mathbf{K} \circ \mathcal{S} > 0$ and $H := \mathbf{H} \circ \mathcal{S} > 0$ are positive bounded functions in \mathbb{R}_+^N and $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N$ respectively and the conformal map $\mathcal{S} : \mathbb{R}_+^N \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^N$ is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{S}(\bar{x}, x_N) = \left(\frac{2\bar{x}}{|\bar{x}|^2 + (x_N + 1)^2}, \frac{1 - |\bar{x}|^2 - x_N^2}{|\bar{x}|^2 + (x_N + 1)^2} \right), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) = (\bar{x}, x_N) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, +\infty).$$

$$(1.6)$$

In this paper we consider the simplest situation in which K and H are rotationally invariant, i.e. $K(x) = K(|x|)$ and $H(\bar{x}) = H(|\bar{x}|)$ are positive, bounded and assume that there is a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$(\mathbf{K}) \quad K(r) = K(r_0) - c_0|r - r_0|^m + \mathcal{O}(|r - r_0|^{m+\theta}), \quad r \in (r_0 - \delta, r_0 + \delta),$$

and

$$(\mathbf{H}) \quad H(r) = H(r_0) - d_0|r - r_0|^n + \mathcal{O}(|r - r_0|^{n+\theta}), \quad r \in (r_0 - \delta, r_0 + \delta),$$

where $\theta > 0$, $\delta > 0$ are constants and $m, n \in [2, N - 2)$.

To make sure that such m, n exist, we consider the problem for $N \geq 5$.

We also set

$$(1.7) \quad \mathfrak{D} := \sqrt{N(N-1)} \frac{H(r_0)}{\sqrt{K(r_0)}}$$

and we assume that $\mathfrak{D} > 1$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $K(r_0) = 1$. Moreover, by (1.7), we get that

$$H(r_0) := \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}}.$$

We finally let $\mathbf{m} := \min\{m, n\}$. Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $N \geq 5$. We let $K(|x|), H(|\bar{x}|)$ satisfy (\mathbf{K}) and (\mathbf{H}) respectively, with $m, n \in [2, N - 2)$, and moreover:*

$$\begin{cases} c_0 < 0, d_0 \in \mathbb{R}, & \text{if } m < n, \\ c_0 < 0, d_0 > 0, & \text{if } m = n, \\ c_0 \in \mathbb{R}, d_0 > 0, & \text{if } m > n. \end{cases}$$

Then problem (1.4) has infinitely many non-radial solutions.

We outline that, going back to the conformal equivalence, Theorem 1.1 shows that problem (1.2) in the unit ball with $\mathbf{K} < 0$ has a solution for $N \geq 5$. We remark that this is the first existence result for problem (1.2) in higher dimensions for the pure geometric case (not in a perturbative setting) even if in special cases of manifolds (i.e. in the unit ball).

Some remarks are in order.

Remark 1. The conditions (\mathbf{K}) and (\mathbf{H}) are local conditions. Moreover, the sign conditions on c_0 and d_0 in Theorem 1.1 mean that K and H have a local maximum or a local minimum at r_0 according to the sign of c_0 and d_0 respectively.

In particular, if $m < n$ then the prevailing phenomena is due to the prescribed scalar curvature and a solution like the one in Theorem 1.1 exists if K has a local minimum at r_0 (so $-K$ has a

local maximum) and H is whatever. If $m > n$ then we assume that H has a local maximum at r_0 and K is whatever and hence the boundary mean curvature determines the existence result. The case $m = n$ is the one in which there is a competition between the two effects and the existence is guaranteed if again K has a local minimum at r_0 and H has a local maximum at r_0 . This last condition can be relaxed (see Remark 4 in the end).

Remark 2. The radial symmetry of K and H can be relaxed as usual. Indeed, it is, in our opinion, a suitable exercise to let $K : \mathbb{R}_+^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded and such that for any $(x', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{N-2}$ with $x_N > 0$ we have

$$K(x', x'') = K(|x'|, x'') = K(r, x''),$$

while $H : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded and such that for any $(x', \tilde{x}'') \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{N-3}$ we have

$$H(x', \tilde{x}'') = H(|x'|, \tilde{x}'') = H(r, \tilde{x}'').$$

If one assume that $K(r, x'')$ and $H(r, \tilde{x}'')$ have a common stable critical point at some (r_0, \tilde{x}_0'') that satisfies suitable assumption then arguing as in [8] or as in [32] (by using local Pohozaev identities) the result will follow. Here we remark that the pure interest is in the geometrical problem, namely we are interested in looking for examples of existence of solutions for problem (1.2) with $\mathbf{K} < 0$ in higher dimensions.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we will use a widely used technique in singularly perturbed elliptic problems which takes the number of the bubbles in the solutions as a large parameter. This technique was successfully used also in other contexts and for other problems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we obtain some preliminary estimates and we introduce the weighted space in which the reduction will be made. In Section 3, we perform the finite-dimensional reduction studying the nonlinear projected problem. In Section 4, we come to the variational reduction procedure and we prove Theorem 1.1. Finally we give a list of some useful estimates in Section 6.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

In what follows we set

$$c_N := \frac{4(N-1)}{N-2}.$$

Let us fix a large positive integer $k \geq k_0$, for k_0 to be determined later, and a scaling parameter μ defined as

$$\mu = k^{\frac{N-2}{N-2-m}}.$$

Using the transformation $u(y) \mapsto \mu^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)$, we find that (1.4) is equivalent to

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} -c_N \Delta u = -K\left(\frac{|y|}{\mu}\right) u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ -\frac{2}{N-2} \partial_{y_N} u = H\left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu}\right) u^{\frac{N}{N-2}}, & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^N. \end{cases}$$

We recall that for the problem

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} -c_N \Delta u = -u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}, u > 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ -\frac{2}{N-2} \partial_{y_N} u = \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} u^{\frac{N}{N-2}}, & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \end{cases}$$

a classification results is known (see [14]) and all solutions of (2.2) have the form

$$(2.3) \quad U_{z,\Lambda}(\bar{y}, y_N) := \Lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2}} U_{\mathbf{0},1}(\Lambda(y-z)) = \frac{\alpha_N \Lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\left(\Lambda^2 |\bar{y} - \bar{z}|^2 + (\Lambda y_N + \mathfrak{D})^2 - 1\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}},$$

where $\alpha_N := (4N(N-1))^{\frac{N-2}{4}}$ and for $\Lambda > 0$, $z = (\bar{z}, 0) \in \partial \mathbb{R}_+^N \times \{0\}$.

Recently, in [16], it was shown that $U_{\mathbf{0},1}$ is also non-degenerate, that is if we let $v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$, a solution of the following the linearized problem

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} -c_N \Delta v + \frac{N+2}{N-2} U_{\mathbf{0},1}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \\ -\frac{2}{N-2} \partial_{y_N} v - \frac{N}{N-2} \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} U_{\mathbf{0},1}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} v = 0 & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^N \end{cases}$$

then v is the linear combination of the functions

$$(2.5) \quad \mathfrak{z}^i(y) := \frac{\partial U_{\mathbf{0},1}}{\partial y_i} = \alpha_N \frac{(2-N)y_i}{(|\bar{y}|^2 + (y_N + \mathfrak{D})^2 - 1)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{z}^0(y) &:= \frac{\partial U_{z,\Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda} \Big|_{(z,\Lambda)=(0,1)} = \left(\frac{2-N}{2} U_{\mathbf{0},1}(y) - \nabla U_{\mathbf{0},1}(y) \cdot (y + \mathfrak{D} \mathbf{e}_n) + \mathfrak{D} \frac{\partial U_{\mathbf{0},1}}{\partial y_N} \right) \\ &= \alpha_N \frac{N-2}{2} \frac{|\bar{y}|^2 + 1 - \mathfrak{D}^2}{(|\bar{y}|^2 + (y_N + \mathfrak{D})^2 - 1)^{\frac{N}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$H_s = \left\{ u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) : u \text{ is even in } y_h, \forall h = 2, \dots, N-1, \right. \\ \left. u(r \cos \alpha, r \sin \alpha, y_3, \dots, y_N) = u\left(r \cos\left(\alpha + \frac{2\pi j}{k}\right), r \sin\left(\alpha + \frac{2\pi j}{k}\right), y_3, \dots, y_N\right) \right\}.$$

We also let

$$\mathbf{x}_j := (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j, 0) = \left(r \cos \frac{2(j-1)\pi}{k}, r \sin \frac{2(j-1)\pi}{k}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{N-2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$r \in \left[\mu r_0 - \frac{1}{\mu^{\bar{\theta}}}, \mu r_0 + \frac{1}{\mu^{\bar{\theta}}} \right], \quad L_0 \leq \Lambda \leq L_1,$$

and $\bar{\theta} > 0$ is a small number, $L_1 > L_0 > 0$ are some constants. We define

$$(2.7) \quad W_{r,\Lambda}(y) := \sum_{j=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j,\Lambda}.$$

We will find the solution to (2.1) in the form $W_{r,\Lambda} + \phi$, with $\phi \in H_s$ satisfying a suitable set of orthogonality conditions and having small norm in some space that will be better specified later.

We finally observe that

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{|\bar{y} - \bar{z}|^2 + |y_n + \mathfrak{D}|^2 - 1} \leq \frac{1}{|y - (\bar{z}, 0)|^2 + \mathfrak{D}^2 - 1} \leq C \frac{1}{(|y - (\bar{z}, 0)| + 1)^2},$$

which means

$$U_{\mathbf{x}_i,\Lambda}(y) \leq C \frac{1}{(|y - (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i, 0)| + 1)^{N-2}}.$$

At the end we also define

$$\Omega_\ell := \left\{ y = (y', y_3, \dots, y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{N-3} \times \mathbb{R}_+ : \left\langle \frac{y'}{|y'|}, \frac{\mathbf{x}_\ell}{|\mathbf{x}_\ell|} \right\rangle \geq \cos \frac{\pi}{k} \right\}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Omega}_\ell := \Omega_\ell \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N = \left\{ y = (y', y_3, \dots, y_{N-1}, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{N-3} \times \{0\} : \left\langle \frac{y'}{|y'|}, \frac{\mathbf{x}_\ell}{|\mathbf{x}_\ell|} \right\rangle \geq \cos \frac{\pi}{k} \right\}.$$

Throughout this paper, we denote C as a generic positive constant independent of k . Moreover, when we write $f \lesssim g$ we intend that there exists some positive $C > 0$ independent of k so that $f \leq Cg$.

3. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL REDUCTION

In this section we perform a finite-dimensional reduction. To do so we will introduce the following weighted norms

$$(3.1) \quad \|\phi\|_* = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \right)^{-1} |\phi(y)|,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \|h_1\|_{**} = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2} + \tau}} \right)^{-1} |h_1(y)|,$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|h_2\|_{***} &= \sup_{y \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N}{2} + \tau}} \right)^{-1} |h_2(y)|, \\ &= \sup_{\bar{y} \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |\bar{y} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j|)^{\frac{N}{2} + \tau}} \right)^{-1} |h_2(\bar{y}, 0)|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau > 0$ is fixed. Define

$$(3.4) \quad Z_{i,1} := \frac{\partial U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}}{\partial r}, \quad Z_{i,2} := \frac{\partial U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

It is easy to show that

$$(3.5) \quad Z_{i,1} := \frac{\partial U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}}{\partial r} = U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda} \frac{(N-2)\Lambda^2 (y - \mathbf{x}_i)}{\Lambda^2 |\bar{y} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i|^2 + (\Lambda y_N + \mathfrak{D})^2 - 1} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{x}_i}{r},$$

$$(3.6) \quad Z_{i,2} := \frac{\partial U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda} = U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda} \frac{N-2}{2\Lambda} \cdot \frac{\mathfrak{D}^2 - \Lambda^2 y_N^2 - \Lambda^2 |\bar{y} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i|^2 - 1}{\Lambda^2 |\bar{y} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i|^2 + (\Lambda y_N + \mathfrak{D})^2 - 1}.$$

The strategy to solve (2.1) is the following: since we look for a solution of the form $W_{r, \Lambda} + \phi$, we first solve a nonlinear projected problem, i.e. we solve the problem

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{in}(\phi) = \mathcal{E}_{in} + \mathcal{N}_{in}(\phi) + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \mathbf{c}_\ell \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell}, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \mathcal{L}_{bd}(\phi) = \mathcal{E}_{bd} + \mathcal{N}_{bd}(\phi) + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \mathbf{c}_\ell \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell}, & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \phi \in H_s, \\ \langle Z_{i,\ell}, \phi \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k, \ell = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

for some numbers \mathbf{c}_ℓ , where

$$\langle Z_{i,\ell}, \phi \rangle := -2\sqrt{N(N-1)\mathfrak{D}} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell} \phi + (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell} \phi$$

and the linear operators $\mathcal{L}_{in}, \mathcal{L}_{bd}$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{in}(\phi) := -c_N \Delta \phi + \frac{N+2}{N-2} K \left(\frac{|y|}{\mu} \right) W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \phi,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{bd}(\phi) := -\frac{2}{N-2} \partial_{y_N} \phi - \frac{N}{N-2} H \left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu} \right) W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} \phi,$$

while the error terms $\mathcal{E}_{in}, \mathcal{E}_{bd}$ and the nonlinear terms $\mathcal{N}_{in}, \mathcal{N}_{bd}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{in} &:= K \left(\frac{|y|}{\mu} \right) W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} + c_N \Delta W_{r,\Lambda}, \\ \mathcal{N}_{in}(\phi) &:= K \left(\frac{|y|}{\mu} \right) \left[(W_{r,\Lambda} + \phi)^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} - W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} - \frac{N+2}{N-2} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \phi \right], \\ \mathcal{E}_{bd} &:= H \left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu} \right) W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{N}{N-2}} + \frac{2}{N-2} \partial_{y_N} W_{r,\Lambda}, \\ \mathcal{N}_{bd}(\phi) &:= H \left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu} \right) \left[(W_{r,\Lambda} + \phi)^{\frac{N}{N-2}} - W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{N}{N-2}} - \frac{N}{N-2} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} \phi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

After that we will find the parameters Λ and r so that $\mathbf{c}_\ell = 0$ for $\ell = 1, 2$.

3.1. Estimate of the error terms. Here we estimate $\|\mathcal{E}_{in}\|_{**}$ and $\|\mathcal{E}_{bd}\|_{***}$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $N \geq 5$, then there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{in}\|_{**} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{m}{2} + \sigma}, \quad \|\mathcal{E}_{bd}\|_{***} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{n}{2} + \sigma}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 2.5 of [35] and Lemma 2.1 of [34], so we omit the details. \square

3.2. The linear operators. In order to study the invertibility of the linear part, we will consider the following linear problem

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{in}(\phi) = h_{in} + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \mathbf{c}_\ell \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_{i,\Lambda}}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell}, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \mathcal{L}_{bd}(\phi) = h_{bd} + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \mathbf{c}_\ell \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_{i,\Lambda}}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell}, & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \phi \in H_s, \\ \langle Z_{i,\ell}, \phi \rangle = 0, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k, \ell = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

For any fixed $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$, we denote by $G(x, y)$ the Green's function of the problem

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta G(x, y) = \delta_y, & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ G(x, y) = 0, & \text{for } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \\ \partial_{x_N} G(x, y) = 0, & \text{for } x_N = 0. \end{cases}$$

We remark that $G(x, y)$ has the explicit expression:

$$(3.10) \quad G(x, y) = \frac{1}{\omega_N (N-2)} \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2}} + \frac{1}{|x-y^s|^{N-2}} \right),$$

where ω_N is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N and $y^s = (\bar{y}, -y_N)$ which means the symmetric point of y with respect to $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that ϕ_k solves (3.8) for $h = (h_{in}, h_{bd}) = ((h_{in})_k, (h_{bd})_k) = h_k$. If*

$$\|h_{in}\|_{**} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \quad \|h_{bd}\|_{***} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} 0,$$

then

$$\|\phi_k\|_* \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

Proof. We argue by contradiction.

Assume that there are $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $h = h_k$, $\Lambda_k \in [L_1, L_2]$, $r_k \in \left[r_0\mu - \frac{1}{\mu^\theta}, r_0\mu + \frac{1}{\mu^\theta} \right]$, and $\phi_k \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ solving (3.8) for $h = h_k$, $\Lambda = \Lambda_k$, $r = r_k$, with $\|(h_{in})_k\|_{**} \rightarrow 0$, $\|(h_{bd})_k\|_{***} \rightarrow 0$, and $\|\phi_k\|_* \geq c'$ for some constant $c' > 0$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\|\phi_k\|_* = 1$. For simplicity, we drop the subscript k .

First, we estimate c_ℓ , $\ell = 1, 2$. Multiplying (3.8) by $Z_{1,\ell}$, integrating and using the equation satisfied by $Z_{1,\ell}$ we find that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell} Z_{1,\ell} + C_N \frac{N-2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell} Z_{1,\ell} \\ &= -C_N \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} h_{bd} Z_{1,\ell} - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} h_{in} Z_{1,\ell} + \frac{N+2}{N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \left(K\left(\frac{|y|}{\mu}\right) - 1 \right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{1,\ell} \phi \\ &+ \frac{N+2}{N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} K\left(\frac{|y|}{\mu}\right) \left(W_{r, \Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} - U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \right) Z_{1,\ell} \phi - \frac{c_N N}{2} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} H\left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu}\right) \left(W_{r, \Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} - U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} \right) Z_{1,\ell} \phi \\ &- \frac{c_N N}{2} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} \left(H\left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu}\right) - \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{1,\ell} \phi. \end{aligned}$$

In a standard way it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 c_j \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell} Z_{1,\ell} + C_N \frac{N-2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell} Z_{1,\ell} = c_\ell (A + o(1)),$$

for some $A > 0$.

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 6.1 and by (4.1), (3.5) and (3.6) that, for any

$$1 < \alpha \leq \min \left\{ N-2, \frac{N+2}{2} + \tau \right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} h_{in} Z_{1,\ell} &\lesssim \|h_{in}\|_{**} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} dy \\ &\lesssim \|h_{in}\|_{**} \left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{3}{2}N-1+\tau-\alpha}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \|h_{in}\|_{**}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} h_{bd} Z_{1,\ell} \lesssim \|h_{bd}\|_{***}.$$

Now, by arguing as in [35] it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \left(K\left(\frac{|y|}{\mu}\right) - 1 \right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{1,\ell} \phi \lesssim o(1) \|\phi\|_*, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} K\left(\frac{|y|}{\mu}\right) \left(W_{r, \Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} - U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \right) Z_{1,\ell} \phi \lesssim o(1) \|\phi\|_*$$

and by arguing as in [34] we get that

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} H\left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu}\right) \left(W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} - U_{\mathbf{x}_1,\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}}\right) Z_{1,\ell}\phi \lesssim o(1)\|\phi\|_*$$

and

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} \left(H\left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu}\right) - \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}}\right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1,\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{1,\ell}\phi \lesssim o(1)\|\phi\|_*.$$

We obtain

$$(3.12) \quad |c_\ell| \lesssim (\|h_{in}\|_{**} + \|h_{bd}\|_{***}) + o(1)\|\phi\|_* = o(1).$$

By Green's representation formula, we can rewrite (3.8) as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y) &= \frac{1}{c_N} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} G(y, z) \left[-\frac{N+2}{N-2} K\left(\frac{|z|}{\mu}\right) W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(z)\phi(z) + h_{in}(z) + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 c_\ell \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_i,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(z) Z_{i,\ell}(z) \right] dz \\ &\quad + \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} G(y, z) \left[\frac{N}{N-2} H\left(\frac{|\bar{z}|}{\mu}\right) W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}}(z)\phi(z) + h_{bd}(z) + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 c_\ell \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_i,\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}}(z) Z_{i,\ell}(z) \right] d\bar{z}, \end{aligned}$$

where G is given as in (3.10). Since $|G(y, z)| \leq \frac{C}{|z-y|^{N-2}}$, we can apply Lemma 6.2 and derive that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(y)| &\leq C\|\phi\|_* \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{N-2}} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} dz \\ &\quad + C\|h_{in}\|_{**} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{N-2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} dz \\ &\quad + C \sum_{\ell=1}^2 |c_\ell| \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{N-2}} \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_i|)^{N+2}} dz \\ &\quad + C\|\phi\|_* \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{N-2}} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} dz \\ &\quad + C\|h_{bd}\|_{***} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{N-2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N}{2}+\tau}} dz \\ &\quad + C \sum_{\ell=1}^2 |c_\ell| \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{N-2}} \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_i|)^N} dz \\ &\leq C\|\phi\|_* \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau+\theta}} \\ &\quad + C(\|h_{in}\|_{**} + \|h_{bd}\|_{***}) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant $\theta > 0$. Thus,

$$\|\phi\|_* \lesssim (\|h_{in}\|_{**} + \|h_{bd}\|_{***}) + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau+\theta}}}{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}}}.$$

Since $\|\phi\|_* = 1$, we derive that there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \cap B_R(\mathbf{x}_i))} \geq a > 0,$$

for some i .

But, by elliptic regularity, we have that $\phi(y - \mathbf{x}_i)$ converges uniformly in compact sets to some $\hat{\phi}$ that satisfies

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} -c_N \Delta \hat{\phi} + \frac{N+2}{N-2} U_{\mathbf{0}, \Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \hat{\phi} = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ -\frac{2}{N-2} \partial_{y_N} \hat{\phi} - \frac{N}{N-2} U_{\mathbf{0}, \Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} \hat{\phi} = 0, & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^N, \end{cases}$$

for some $\Lambda \in [L_1, L_2]$. Moreover it follows also that (passing to the limit into the orthogonality condition) $\langle \hat{\phi}, \mathbf{z}^i \rangle = 0$, so the non-degeneracy of $U_{\mathbf{0}, \Lambda}$ [16, Theorem 2.1] implies that $\hat{\phi} = 0$ and it is a contradiction. \square

From Lemma 3.2, using the same argument as in the proof of [18, Proposition 4.1], we can prove the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *There exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $C > 0$, independent of k , such that for all $k \geq k_0$ and all $(h_{in}, h_{bd}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times L^\infty(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N)$, problem (3.8) has a unique solution ϕ denoted as $\phi := L_k(h_{in}, h_{bd})$. Besides,*

$$(3.15) \quad \|L_k(h_{in}, h_{bd})\|_* \leq C \|h_{in}\|_{**} + C \|h_{bd}\|_{***}, \quad |\mathbf{c}_\ell| \leq C \|h_{in}\|_{**} + C \|h_{bd}\|_{***}, \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, 2.$$

3.3. The fixed point argument. As usual, by using a standard contraction argument we can show the existence of ϕ solution of (3.7) (for the proof, see Proposition 2.3 in [35]).

Proposition 3.4. *There exists $k_0 > 0$, such that for each $k \geq k_0$, $L_0 \leq \Lambda \leq L_1$, $|r - \mu r_0| \leq \frac{1}{\mu^{\bar{\theta}}}$ where $\bar{\theta}$ is a fixed small constant, (3.7) has a unique solution $\phi = \phi(r, \Lambda)$, satisfying*

$$\|\phi\|_* \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{m}{2} + \sigma}, \quad |\mathbf{c}_\ell| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{m}{2} + \sigma}, \quad \ell = 1, 2,$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is a small constant.

4. THE REDUCED PROBLEM: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we need to find Λ and r so that \mathbf{c}_ℓ is zero for $\ell = 1, 2$.

The problem (1.4) is variational, that is its solutions are the critical points of the C^2 - functional

$$J(u) := \frac{c_N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} K\left(\frac{|y|}{\mu}\right) (u)_+^{2^*} - (N-2) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} H\left(\frac{|y|}{\mu}\right) (u)_+^{2^\sharp}$$

where $2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$ and $2^\sharp := \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}$.

We also define the reduced functional

$$F(r, \Lambda) := J(W_{r, \Lambda} + \phi)$$

where ϕ is the function found in Proposition 3.4.

First we need a condition for which $\mathbf{c}_\ell = 0$.

Proposition 4.1. *If (r, Λ) is a critical point of the reduced functional $F(r, \Lambda)$ then $\mathbf{c}_1 = \mathbf{c}_2 = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\frac{\partial F}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Lambda} = 0$, we only need to show the non-degeneracy of the coefficient matrix with respect to $(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2)$ in the following system.

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{1,1} & M_{1,2} \\ M_{2,1} & M_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1 \\ \mathbf{c}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Lambda} \end{pmatrix},$$

where, for $\ell = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1,\ell} &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell} \left(\frac{\partial W_{r,\Lambda}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) + \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell} \left(\frac{\partial W_{r,\Lambda}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right), \\ M_{2,\ell} &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell} \left(\frac{\partial W_{r,\Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \Lambda} \right) + \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{i,\ell} \left(\frac{\partial W_{r,\Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \Lambda} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), by direct calculation, we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{\partial Z_{i,\ell}}{\partial r} \right| \leq C U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial Z_{i,\ell}}{\partial \Lambda} \right| \leq C U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}, \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,1} \left(\frac{\partial W_{r,\Lambda}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,1} \sum_{j=1}^k Z_{j,1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,1} Z_{1,1} + \mathcal{O} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|^{N-2}} \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \sum_{i=1}^k \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{i,1} \right) \\ &= k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{1,1}^2 + k \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^m + \mathcal{O} \left(\|\phi\|_* \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_i|)^N} \sum_j \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} dy \right) \\ &= k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{1,1}^2 + k \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^m + k \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}+\sigma} \\ &= k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{1,1}^2 + k \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}+\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} \sum_{i=1}^k U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{i,1} \left(\frac{\partial W_{r,\Lambda}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) = k \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{1,1}^2 + k \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}+\sigma}.$$

Thus,

$$M_{1,1} = k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{1,1}^2 + k \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{1,1}^2 + k \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}+\sigma}.$$

Using the same discussion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{2,2} &= k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} Z_{1,2}^2 + k \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x},\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}} Z_{1,2}^2 + k \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}+\sigma}, \\ M_{1,2} &= k \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}+\sigma}, \quad M_{2,1} = k \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}+\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

We can therefore expand the reduced functional.

Before stating the result, we remark that, for any $\beta_0 \geq \frac{N-2-m}{N-2}$ and large k ,

$$\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|x_j - x_1|^{\beta_0}} = \frac{1}{2^{\beta_0}} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{r^{\beta_0} \left(\sin \frac{|j-1|\pi}{k} \right)^{\beta_0}} \leq \frac{Ck^{\beta_0}}{\mu^{\beta_0}} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{j^{\beta_0}}.$$

Since $\mu = k^{\frac{N-2}{N-2-m}}$, by symmetry, it holds

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|x_j - x_1|^{\beta_0}} \lesssim \begin{cases} \frac{k^{\beta_0}}{\mu^{\beta_0}} = O\left(\mu^{-\frac{m\beta_0}{N-2}}\right), & \beta_0 > 1, \\ \frac{k^{\beta_0} \ln k}{\mu^{\beta_0}} = O\left(\mu^{-\frac{m}{N-2}} \ln \mu\right), & \beta_0 = 1, \\ \frac{k}{\mu^{\beta_0}} = O\left(\mu^{\frac{N-2-m}{N-2} - \beta_0}\right), & \beta_0 < 1. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.2. *We have that*

$$\begin{aligned} F(r, \Lambda) &= J(W_{r,\Lambda}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) \\ &= k \left[A - B \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{\Lambda^{N-2} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} - \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{c_0}{\Lambda^m \mu^m} \mathfrak{d}_{3,N} + (N-2) \frac{d_0}{\Lambda^n \mu^n} \mathfrak{d}_{5,N} \right] \\ &+ k \left[-\frac{m(m-1)c_0}{2 \cdot 2^*} \mathfrak{d}_{4,N} \frac{(\mu r_0 - r)^2}{\Lambda^{m-2} \mu^m} + \frac{n(n-1)(N-2)d_0}{2} \mathfrak{d}_{6,N} \frac{(\mu r_0 - r)^2}{\Lambda^{n-2} \mu^n} \right] \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^m} |\mu r_0 - r|^{2+\theta}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma > 0$ and $\theta > 0$ are fixed and small and $A, B, \mathfrak{d}_{i,N}$, $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$, are some positive constants.

Proof. Arguing as in [34] and in [35] it is easy to show that

$$F(r, \Lambda) = J(W_{r,\Lambda}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right).$$

Now

$$J(W_{r,\Lambda}) := \underbrace{\frac{c_N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla W_{r,\Lambda}|^2}_{(I)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} K\left(\frac{|y|}{\mu}\right) (W_{r,\Lambda})_+^{2^*} - (N-2) \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} H\left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu}\right) (W_{r,\Lambda})_+^{2^\#}}_{(II)}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
(I) &= \frac{c_N}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda}|^2 + \frac{c_N}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \nabla U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \nabla U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda}^{2^*} + \frac{(N-1)}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathfrak{D} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda}^{2^\sharp} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda}^{2^*-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda} + \frac{(N-1)}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathfrak{D} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda}^{2^\sharp-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} k \mathbf{a}_N + \frac{(N-1)}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathfrak{D} k \mathbf{b}_N \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} k \sum_{i=2}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^*-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda} + \frac{(N-1)}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathfrak{D} k \sum_{i=2}^k \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^\sharp-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_i, \Lambda} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} k \mathbf{a}_N + \frac{(N-1)}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathfrak{D} k \mathbf{b}_N \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} k \mathfrak{d}_{1,N} \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{\Lambda^{N-2} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} + \frac{(N-1)}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathfrak{D} k \mathfrak{d}_{2,N} \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{\Lambda^{N-2} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O} \left(k \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2+\sigma}} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} k \mathbf{a}_N + \frac{(N-1)}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathfrak{D} k \mathbf{b}_N \\
&\quad + k \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{d}_{1,N} + \frac{(N-1)}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{d}_{2,N} \right) \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{\Lambda^{N-2} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} + \mathcal{O} \left(k \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2+\sigma}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}_N := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{0},1}^{2^*}; \quad \mathbf{b}_N := \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{0},1}^{2^\sharp}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{d}_{1,N} := \alpha_N \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{0},1}^{2^*-1}; \quad \mathfrak{d}_{2,N} := \alpha_N \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{0},1}^{2^\sharp-1}.$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
(II) &= \frac{1}{2^*} k \int_{\Omega_1} K \left(\frac{|y|}{\mu} \right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^*} + k \sum_{j=2}^k \int_{\Omega_1} K \left(\frac{|y|}{\mu} \right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^*-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \\
&\quad - (N-2) k \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} H \left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu} \right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^\sharp} - 2(N-1) k \sum_{j=2}^k \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} H \left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu} \right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^\sharp-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O} \left(k \int_{\Omega_1} U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^*-2} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \right)^2 \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(k \int_{\Omega_1} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \right)^{2^*} \right) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O} \left(k \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^\sharp-2} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \right)^2 \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(k \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \right)^{2^\sharp} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that, by Lemma 6.1, for any $y \in \Omega_1, 0 < \alpha < N - 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} &\lesssim \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(|y - \mathbf{x}_j| + 1)^{N-2}} \lesssim \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(|y - \mathbf{x}_j| + 1)^{N-2-\alpha}} \frac{1}{(|y - \mathbf{x}_j| + 1)^\alpha} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^\alpha} \frac{1}{(|y - \mathbf{x}_1| + 1)^{N-2-\alpha}} \lesssim \left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right)^\alpha \frac{1}{(|y - \mathbf{x}_1| + 1)^{N-2-\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $\alpha > 1$, using (4.1) we get

$$\int_{\Omega_1} U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^*-2} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \right)^2 \lesssim \left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right)^{2\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{(|y - \mathbf{x}_1| + 1)^{2N-2\alpha}} = \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right)^{2\alpha} \right).$$

Choosing

$$\alpha := (\mathbf{m} + \sigma) \frac{N-2}{2\mathbf{m}},$$

then

$$\int_{\Omega_1} U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^*-2} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right)^{2\alpha} = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{\mathbf{m}+\sigma}} \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \right)^{2^*} \lesssim \left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right)^{2^*\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{(|y - \mathbf{x}_1| + 1)^{2^*(N-2-\alpha)}} = \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right)^{2^*\alpha} \right)$$

provided $1 < \alpha < \frac{N-2}{2}$. Then we take

$$\alpha := (\mathbf{m} + \sigma) \frac{N-2}{2^*\mathbf{m}} = \frac{\mathbf{m} + \sigma}{\mathbf{m}} \frac{(N-2)^2}{2N},$$

so that

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \right)^{2^*} = \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right)^{2^*\alpha} \right) = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{\mathbf{m}+\sigma}} \right).$$

With a similar argument, we can also show that

$$\int_{\Omega_1} U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^\sharp-2} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \right)^2 = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{\mathbf{m}+\sigma}} \right), \quad \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \right)^{2^\sharp} = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{\mathbf{m}+\sigma}} \right).$$

Next, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^k \int_{\Omega_1} K \left(\frac{|y|}{\mu} \right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^*-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} &= \sum_{j=2}^k \int_{\Omega_1} U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^*-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} + \sum_{j=2}^k \int_{\Omega_1} \left(K \left(\frac{|y|}{\mu} \right) - 1 \right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^*-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \\ &= \mathfrak{d}_{1,N} \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{\Lambda^{N-2} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{\mathbf{m}+\sigma}} \right) \\ \sum_{j=2}^k \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} H \left(\frac{|\tilde{y}|}{\mu} \right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^\sharp-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} &= \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \sum_{j=2}^k \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^\sharp-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^k \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} \left(H \left(\frac{|\tilde{y}|}{\mu} \right) - \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^\sharp-1} U_{\mathbf{x}_j, \Lambda} \\ &= \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathfrak{d}_{2,N} \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{\Lambda^{N-2} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{\mathbf{m}+\sigma}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, arguing as in [35] we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_1} K\left(\frac{|y|}{\mu}\right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^*} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} U_{\mathbf{0}, 1}^{2^*} - \frac{c_0}{\mu^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} ||y - \mathbf{x}_1| - \mu r_0|^m U_{\mathbf{0}, 1}^{2^*} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) \\
&= \mathbf{a}_N - \frac{c_0}{\Lambda^m \mu^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |y_1|^m U_{\mathbf{0}, 1}^{2^*} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} m(m-1) \frac{c_0}{\Lambda^{m-2} \mu^m} (\mu r_0 - r)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |y_1|^{m-2} U_{\mathbf{0}, 1}^{2^*} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) \\
&= \mathbf{a}_N - \frac{c_0}{\Lambda^m \mu^m} \mathfrak{d}_{3, N} - \frac{1}{2} m(m-1) \frac{c_0}{\Lambda^{m-2} \mu^m} (\mu r_0 - r)^2 \mathfrak{d}_{4, N} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mu^m} |\mu r_0 - r|^{2+\theta}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{d}_{3, N} := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |y_1|^m U_{\mathbf{0}, 1}^{2^*}, \quad \mathfrak{d}_{4, N} := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |y_1|^{m-2} U_{\mathbf{0}, 1}^{2^*}.$$

Finally, arguing as in [34] we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} H\left(\frac{|\bar{y}|}{\mu}\right) U_{\mathbf{x}_1, \Lambda}^{2^\sharp} &= \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathbf{b}_N - \frac{d_0}{\Lambda^n \mu^n} \mathfrak{d}_{5, N} - \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \frac{d_0}{\Lambda^{n-2} \mu^n} (\mu r_0 - r)^2 \mathfrak{d}_{6, N} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mu^n} |\mu r_0 - r|^{2+\theta}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{d}_{5, N} := \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} |y_1|^n U_{\mathbf{0}, 1}^{2^\sharp}, \quad \mathfrak{d}_{6, N} := \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} |y_1|^{n-2} U_{\mathbf{0}, 1}^{2^\sharp}.$$

Putting together the various terms we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J(W_{r, \Lambda}) &= k \left[A - B \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{\Lambda^{N-2} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} - \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{c_0}{\Lambda^m \mu^m} \mathfrak{d}_{3, N} + (N-2) \frac{d_0}{\Lambda^n \mu^n} \mathfrak{d}_{5, N} \right] \\
&\quad + k \left[-\frac{m(m-1)c_0}{2 \cdot 2^*} \mathfrak{d}_{4, N} \frac{(\mu r_0 - r)^2}{\Lambda^{m-2} \mu^m} + \frac{n(n-1)(N-2)d_0}{2} \mathfrak{d}_{6, N} \frac{(\mu r_0 - r)^2}{\Lambda^{n-2} \mu^n} \right] \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^m} |\mu r_0 - r|^{2+\theta}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad A &:= \left(\frac{1}{2^*} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \mathbf{a}_N + \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathbf{b}_N \\
B &:= -\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{d}_{1, N} + \frac{N-1}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}} \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{d}_{2, N}.
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that $B > 0$ (see Remark 3). □

Arguing as in Proposition 3.2 of [35] and Proposition A.2 of [34], we can also show the following result.

Proposition 4.3. *We have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F(r, \Lambda)}{\partial \Lambda} &= k \left[B \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{N-2}{\Lambda^{N-1} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} + \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{c_0 m}{\Lambda^{m+1} \mu^m} \mathfrak{d}_{3, N} - (N-2) \frac{d_0 n}{\Lambda^{n+1} \mu^n} \mathfrak{d}_{5, N} \right] \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^m} |\mu r_0 - r|^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Since

$$|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1| = 2|\mathbf{x}_1| \sin \frac{(j-1)\pi}{k}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} &= \frac{1}{(2|\mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2}} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{\left(\sin \frac{(j-1)\pi}{k}\right)^{N-2}} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2|\mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2}} \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{1}{\left(\sin \frac{(j-1)\pi}{k}\right)^{N-2}} + \frac{1}{(2|\mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2}}, & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{(2|\mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2}} \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{\left(\sin \frac{(j-1)\pi}{k}\right)^{N-2}}, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 < c' \leq \frac{\sin \frac{(j-1)\pi}{k}}{\frac{(j-1)\pi}{k}} \leq c'', \quad j = 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

where

$$\lfloor x \rfloor := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq x\}$$

and c', c'' are some positive constants.

So, there exists a constant $B_0 > 0$, such that

$$\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} = \frac{B_0 k^{N-2}}{|\mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} + O\left(\frac{k}{|\mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}}\right).$$

Thus, by Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(r, \Lambda) &= k \left[A - B_1 \frac{k^{N-2}}{\Lambda^{N-2} r^{N-2}} - \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{c_0}{\Lambda^m \mu^m} \mathfrak{d}_{3,N} + (N-2) \frac{d_0}{\Lambda^n \mu^n} \mathfrak{d}_{5,N} \right] \\ &\quad + k \left[-\frac{m(m-1)c_0}{2 \cdot 2^*} \mathfrak{d}_{4,N} \frac{(\mu r_0 - r)^2}{\Lambda^{m-2} \mu^m} + \frac{n(n-1)(N-2)d_0}{2} \mathfrak{d}_{6,N} \frac{(\mu r_0 - r)^2}{\Lambda^{n-2} \mu^n} \right] \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^m} |\mu r_0 - r|^{2+\theta}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2}{r^{N-2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $B_1 = B \cdot B_0 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F(r, \Lambda)}{\partial \Lambda} &= k \left[B_1 \frac{(N-2)k^{N-2}}{\Lambda^{N-1} r^{N-2}} + \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{c_0 m}{\Lambda^{m+1} \mu^m} \mathfrak{d}_{3,N} - (N-2) \frac{d_0 n}{\Lambda^{n+1} \mu^n} \mathfrak{d}_{5,N} \right] \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^m} |\mu r_0 - r|^2\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2}{r^{N-2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $\mathbf{m} := m < n$ then we have that the reduced functional and its derivative become

$$\begin{aligned} F_m(r, \Lambda) &= k \left[A - B_1 \frac{k^{N-2}}{\Lambda^{N-2} r^{N-2}} - \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{c_0}{\Lambda^m \mu^m} \mathfrak{d}_{3,N} - \frac{m(m-1)c_0}{2 \cdot 2^*} \mathfrak{d}_{4,N} \frac{(\mu r_0 - r)^2}{\Lambda^{m-2} \mu^m} \right] \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^m} |\mu r_0 - r|^{2+\theta}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2}{r^{N-2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_m(r, \Lambda)}{\partial \Lambda} = & k \left[B_1 \frac{(N-2)k^{N-2}}{\Lambda^{N-1}r^{N-2}} + \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{c_0 m}{\Lambda^{m+1}\mu^m} \mathfrak{d}_{3,N} \right] \\ & + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^m} |\mu r_0 - r|^2\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2}{r^{N-2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume $c_0 < 0$ and let $\Lambda_{0,m}$ be the solution of

$$B_1 \frac{(N-2)}{\Lambda^{N-1}r_0^{N-2}} + \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{c_0 m}{\Lambda^{m+1}} \mathfrak{d}_{3,N} = 0,$$

that is

$$\Lambda_{0,m} = \left(\frac{2^* B_1 (N-2)}{-c_0 m \mathfrak{d}_{3,N} r_0^{N-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{N-2-m}}.$$

Now, if $\mathbf{m} = n < m$ then we have that the reduced functional and its derivative become

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(r, \Lambda) = & k \left[A - B_1 \frac{k^{N-2}}{\Lambda^{N-2}r^{N-2}} + (N-2) \frac{d_0}{\Lambda^n \mu^n} \mathfrak{d}_{5,N} + \frac{n(n-1)(N-2)d_0}{2} \mathfrak{d}_{6,N} \frac{(\mu r_0 - r)^2}{\Lambda^{n-2}\mu^n} \right] \\ & + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{n+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^n} |\mu r_0 - r|^{2+\theta}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2}{r^{N-2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_n(r, \Lambda)}{\partial \Lambda} = & k \left[B_1 \frac{(N-2)k^{N-2}}{\Lambda^{N-1}r^{N-2}} - (N-2) \frac{d_0 n}{\Lambda^{n+1}\mu^n} \mathfrak{d}_{5,N} \right] \\ & + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{n+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^n} |\mu r_0 - r|^2\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2}{r^{N-2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume $d_0 > 0$ and let $\Lambda_{0,n}$ be the solution of

$$B_1 \frac{(N-2)}{\Lambda^{N-1}r_0^{N-2}} - (N-2) \frac{d_0 n}{\Lambda^{n+1}} \mathfrak{d}_{5,N} = 0,$$

that is

$$\Lambda_{0,n} = \left(\frac{B_1}{d_0 n \mathfrak{d}_{5,N} r_0^{N-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{N-2-n}}.$$

Finally, if $\mathbf{m} = m = n$ then the reduced functional is

$$\begin{aligned} F_m(r, \Lambda) = & k \left[A - B_1 \frac{k^{N-2}}{\Lambda^{N-2}r^{N-2}} + B_2 \frac{1}{\Lambda^m \mu^m} + B_3 \frac{(\mu r_0 - r)^2}{\Lambda^{m-2}\mu^m} \right] \\ & + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^m} |\mu r_0 - r|^{2+\theta}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2}{r^{N-2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.1) \quad B_2 := -\frac{c_0}{2^*} \mathfrak{d}_{3,N} + d_0 (N-2) \mathfrak{d}_{5,N}$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad B_3 := -\frac{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{m}-1)c_0}{2 \cdot 2^*} \mathfrak{d}_{4,N} + \frac{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{m}-1)d_0(N-2)}{2} \mathfrak{d}_{6,N}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_m(r, \Lambda)}{\partial \Lambda} = & k \left[B_1 \frac{(N-2)k^{N-2}}{\Lambda^{N-1}r^{N-2}} - B_2 \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\Lambda^{m+1}\mu^m} \right] \\ & + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^{m+\sigma}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{\mu^m} |\mu r_0 - r|^2\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2}{r^{N-2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_2 > 0$ (see Remark 4), let $\Lambda_{0,m}$ be the solution of

$$B_1 \frac{(N-2)}{\Lambda^{N-1} r_0^{N-2}} - \frac{B_2 m}{\Lambda^{m+1}} = 0,$$

that is

$$\Lambda_{0,m} = \left(\frac{B_1(N-2)}{B_2 m r_0^{N-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{N-2-m}}.$$

In any case the structure of $F_j(r, \Lambda)$ with $j = m$ or $j = n$ or $j = m$ is the same. So we let

$$\begin{aligned} F_j(r, \Lambda) &= k \left[A - B_1 \frac{k^{N-2}}{\Lambda^{N-2} r^{N-2}} + \frac{A_1}{\Lambda^j \mu^j} + A_2 \frac{(\mu r_0 - r)^2}{\Lambda^{j-2} \mu^j} \right] \\ &+ \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{k}{\mu^{j+\sigma}} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{k}{\mu^j} |\mu r_0 - r|^{2+\theta} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{k^2}{r^{N-2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial F_j(r, \Lambda)}{\partial \Lambda} = k \left[B_1 \frac{(N-2)k^{N-2}}{\Lambda^{N-1} r^{N-2}} - \frac{A_1 j}{\Lambda^{j+1} \mu^j} \right] + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{k}{\mu^{j+\sigma}} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{k}{\mu^j} |\mu r_0 - r|^2 \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{k^2}{r^{N-2}} \right).$$

where

$$A_1 = \begin{cases} -\frac{c_0}{2^*} \mathfrak{d}_{3,N} & \text{if } j = m \\ (N-2)d_0 \mathfrak{d}_{5,N} & \text{if } j = n \\ B_2 & \text{if } j = m. \end{cases} \quad A_2 = \begin{cases} -\frac{m(m-1)c_0}{2 \cdot 2^*} \mathfrak{d}_{4,N} & \text{if } j = m \\ \frac{n(n-1)(N-2)d_0}{2} \mathfrak{d}_{6,N} & \text{if } j = n \\ B_3 & \text{if } j = m. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$D_j = \left\{ (r, \Lambda) : r \in \left[\mu r_0 - \frac{1}{\mu^{\bar{\theta}}}, \mu r_0 + \frac{1}{\mu^{\bar{\theta}}} \right], \Lambda \in \left[\Lambda_{0,j} - \frac{1}{\mu^{\frac{3}{2}\bar{\theta}}}, \Lambda_{0,j} + \frac{1}{\mu^{\frac{3}{2}\bar{\theta}}} \right] \right\},$$

where $\bar{\theta} > 0$ is a small constant and $j = m$ or $j = n$ or $j = m$ depending on the value of m and n .

For any $(r, \Lambda) \in D_j$, we have

$$\frac{r}{\mu} = r_0 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{1+\bar{\theta}}} \right),$$

which implies

$$r^{N-2} = \mu^{N-2} \left(r_0^{N-2} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{1+\bar{\theta}}} \right) \right).$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad F_j(r, \Lambda) &= k \left(A + \left(\frac{A_1}{\Lambda^j} - \frac{B_1}{\Lambda^{N-2} r_0^{N-2}} \right) \frac{1}{\mu^j} + \frac{A_2}{\Lambda^{j-2} \mu^j} (\mu r_0 - r)^2 \right. \\ &\left. + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{j+\sigma}} + \frac{1}{\mu^j} |\mu r_0 - r|^{2+\bar{\sigma}} + \frac{k}{\mu^{N-2}} \right) \right), \quad (r, \Lambda) \in D_j, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{\partial F_j(r, \Lambda)}{\partial \Lambda} = k \left(-\frac{A_1 j}{\Lambda^{j+1}} + \frac{B_1(N-2)}{\Lambda^{N-1} r_0^{N-2}} \right) \frac{1}{\mu^j} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{k}{\mu^{j+\sigma}} + \frac{k}{\mu^j} |\mu r_0 - r|^2 + \frac{k^2}{\mu^{N-2}} \right), \quad (r, \Lambda) \in D_j.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The existence of a critical point of $F_j(r, \Lambda)$ in D_j can be proved as in Propositions 3.3, 3.4 of [35].

Moreover, arguing as in [34], the solution is also positive. \square

Remark 3. In this remark we will show that B which is defined in (4.2) is positive.

First we define

$$I_m^\alpha := \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\rho^\alpha}{(1 + \rho^2)^m} d\rho \quad \text{for } \alpha + 1 < 2m$$

and

$$\varphi_m := \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{(t^2 - 1)^m} dt.$$

By using Lemma A.1 of [16] we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}_{1,N} &:= \alpha_N^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{(|\bar{z}|^2 + (z_N + \mathfrak{D})^2 - 1)^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} dz = \alpha_N^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} \omega_{N-1} I_{\frac{N+2}{2}}^{N-2} \varphi_{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &= (4N(N-1))^{\frac{N}{2}} \omega_{N-1} I_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N-2} \left(-1 + \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}^2 - 1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

in view of the explicit computation of $\varphi_{\frac{3}{2}}$.

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}_{2,N} &:= \alpha_N^{\frac{2(N-1)}{N+2}} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{(|\bar{z}|^2 + \mathfrak{D}^2 - 1)^{\frac{N}{2}}} d\bar{z} = \alpha_N^{\frac{2(N-1)}{N+2}} \omega_{N-1} I_{\frac{N}{2}}^{N-2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}^2 - 1}} \\ &= (4N(N-1))^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \omega_{N-1} N I_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N-2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}^2 - 1}} \end{aligned}$$

since

$$I_{\frac{N}{2}}^{N-2} = N I_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N-2}.$$

Then we compute B defined in (4.2).

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (4N(N-1))^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \omega_{N-1} I_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N-2} \left[-\frac{1}{2} (4N(N-1))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(-1 + \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}^2 - 1}} \right) + (N(N-1))^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}^2 - 1}} \right] \\ &= (4N(N-1))^{\frac{N-1}{2}} (N(N-1))^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_{N-1} I_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N-2} = \frac{\alpha_N^{2*}}{2} \omega_{N-1} I_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N-2} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4. We remark also that if $c_0 < 0$ then $B_2 > 0$ and $B_3 > 0$.

6. BASIC ESTIMATES

For each fixed i and j , $i \neq j$, we consider the following function

$$(6.1) \quad g_{ij}(y) = \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^\alpha} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^\beta},$$

where $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$ are two constants. We first introduce the following lemma, whose proof can be found in [35, Appendix B].

Lemma 6.1. *For any $\sigma \in (0, \min\{\alpha, \beta\})$, there is a constant $C > 0$, such that*

$$(6.2) \quad g_{ij}(y) \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|^\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^{\alpha+\beta-\sigma}} + \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\alpha+\beta-\sigma}} \right).$$

Lemma 6.2. *For any constant $\sigma \in (0, N-2)$, there is a constant $C > 0$, such that*

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{|y - z|^{N-2}} \frac{1}{(1 + |z|)^{2+\sigma}} dz \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |y|)^\sigma},$$

and

$$(6.4) \quad \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{N-2}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{1+\sigma}} d\bar{z} \leq \frac{C}{(1+|y|)^\sigma}.$$

The result is well known. See also [35, Lemma B.2] and [34, Lemma A.4].

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that $N \geq 5$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \left(0, \frac{3}{2}\right)$. Then there exist small $\theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$, such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{N-2}} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(z) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau_1}} dz \leq C \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau_1+\theta_1}},$$

and

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{N-2}} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{2}{N-2}}(z) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau_2}} d\bar{z} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau_2+\theta_2}}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Abdelhedi, H. Chtioui, M. Ould Ahmedou, A Morse theoretical approach for the boundary mean curvature problem on \mathbb{B}^4 , *J. Funct. Anal.*, **254** (5), (2008) 1307–1341 .
- [2] M. Ahmedou, M. Ben Ayed, The Nirenberg problem on high dimensional half spheres: the effect of pinching conditions, *Cal c. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **60** (4):Paper No. 148, 41, (2021).
- [3] S.M. Almaraz, An existence theorem of conformal scalar flat metrics on manifolds with boundary, *Pacific J. Math.*, **248** (2010), no. 1, 1–22.
- [4] A. Ambrosetti, Y.Y. Li, A. Malchiodi, On the Yamabe problem and the scalar curvature problems under boundary conditions, *Math. Ann.*, **322** (4) (2002), 667–699.
- [5] L. Battaglia, S. Cruz-Blázquez, A. Pistoia, Prescribing nearly constant curvatures on balls, to appear on *Proceeding of the Royal Soc. of Ed. Sec. A*, doi.org/10.1017/prm.2023.111
- [6] M. Ben Ayed, K. El Mehdi, M. Ould Ahmedou, Prescribing the scalar curvature under minimal boundary conditions on the half sphere, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, **2** (2) (2002) 93–116.
- [7] M. Ben Ayed, K. El Mehdi, M. Ould Ahmedou, The scalar curvature problem on the four dimensional half sphere *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **22** (4):465–482, 2005.
- [8] Q.X. Bian, J. Chen, J. Yang, On the prescribed boundary mean curvature problem via local Pohozaev identities, *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series*, **39** no. 10 (2023), 1951–1979.
- [9] S. Brendle, S.Y.S. Chen, An existence theorem for the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* , **16** (2014), no. 5, 991–1016.
- [10] S. Y. A. Chang, X. Xu, P. C. Yang, A perturbation result for prescribing mean curvature, *Math. Ann.*, **310** (3):473–496, 1998.
- [11] W. Chen, C. Li, *Methods on Nonlinear Elliptic Equations*, vol. 4 of AIMS Series on Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems. American Institute of Mathematical Sciences (AIMS), Springfield, MO, 2010.
- [12] X. Chen, P. T. Ho, L. Sun, Prescribed scalar curvature plus mean curvature flows in compact manifolds with boundary of negative conformal invariant. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* , **53** (1):121–150, 2018.
- [13] X. Chen, Y. Ruan, L. Sun, The Han-Li conjecture in constant scalar curvature and constant boundary mean curvature problem on compact manifolds, *Adv. Math.*, **358** (2019), 56 pp.
- [14] M. Chipot, I. Shafrir, M. Fila, On the solutions to some elliptic equations with nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions. *Adv. Differential Equations*, **1** (1):91–110, 1996.
- [15] S. Cruz-Blázquez, A. Malchiodi, D. Ruiz, Conformal metrics with prescribed scalar and mean curvature, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **789** (2022), 211–251.

- [16] S. Cruz-Blázquez, A. Pistoia, G. Vaira, Clustering phenomena in low dimensions for a boundary Yamabe problem. *to appear on Annali Scuola Norm. Superiore* doi: 10.2422/2036 – 2145.202309 – 002
- [17] S. Cruz-Blázquez, G. Vaira, Positive blow-up solutions for a linearly perturbed boundary Yamabe problem. *to appear on Discrete and Cont. Dyn. Systems* doi: 10.3934/dcds.2024174
- [18] M. del Pino, P. Felmer, M. Musso, Two-bubble solutions in the super-critical Bahri-Coron’s problem. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, **20** (2):231–233, June 2004.
- [19] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi, M. Ould Ahmedou, The prescribed boundary mean curvature problem on \mathbb{B}^4 . *J. Differential Equations*, **206** (2):373–398, 2004.
- [20] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi, M. Ould Ahmedou, Prescribing scalar and boundary mean curvature on the three dimensional half sphere. *J. Geom. Anal.*, **13** (2):255–289, 2003.
- [21] J. F. Escobar, Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to a scalar flat metric with constant mean curvature on the boundary. *Ann. of Math.*, **2**, 136(1):1–50, 1992.
- [22] J. F. Escobar, The Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary. *J. Differential Geom.*, **35** (1):21–84, 1992.
- [23] J. F. Escobar, Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to a constant scalar curvature metric with constant mean curvature on the boundary. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **45** (4):917–943, 1996.
- [24] Z-C. Han, Y.Y. Li, The Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary: existence and compactness results. *Duke Math. J.*, **99** (3):489–542, 1999.
- [25] Z-C. Han, Y.Y. Li, The existence of conformal metrics with constant scalar curvature and constant boundary mean curvature. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, **8** (4):809–869, 2000.
- [26] E. Hebey, *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds: Sobolev Spaces and Inequalities*, vol. 5. American Mathematical Soc., 2000.
- [27] J.L. Kazdan, F. W. Warner, Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds. *Ann. of Math.*, **2**, 99:14–47, 1974.
- [28] Y.Y. Li, Prescribing scalar curvature on \mathbb{S}^n and related problems. I. *J. Differential Equations*, **120** (2):319–410, 1995.
- [29] Y.Y. Li, Prescribing scalar curvature on \mathbb{S}^n and related problems. II. Existence and compactness. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **49** (6):541–597, 1996.
- [30] F.C. Marques, Existence results for the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **54** (6):1599–1620, 2005.
- [31] M. Mayer, C. B. Ndiaye, Barycenter technique and the Riemann mapping problem of Cherrier-Escobar. *J. Differential Geom.*, **107** (3):519–560, 2017.
- [32] S. Peng, C. Wang, S. Wei, Constructiong solutions for the prescribed scalar curvature problem via local Pohozaev identities. *J. Diff. Equations*, **267** (2019) 2503–2530.
- [33] R. Schoen, S-T. Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry*, volume I of Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology. International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [34] L. Wang, C. Zhao, Infinitely many solutions for the prescribed boundary mean curvature problem in \mathbb{B}^N . *Canad. J. Math.*, **65** (4):927–960, 2013.
- [35] J. Wei, S. Yan, Infinitely many solutions for the prescribed scalar curvature problem on \mathbb{S}^N . *J. Funct. Anal.*, **258** (9):3048–3081, 2010.
- [36] X. Xu, H. Zhang, Conformal metrics on the unit ball with prescribed mean curvature. *Math. Ann.*, **365** (1-2):497–557, 2016.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI ROMA TRE
Email address: luca.battaglia@uniroma3.it

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, CHINA
Email address: yxpu@stu.ecnu.edu.cn

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BARI ALDO MORO, ITALY
Email address: giusi.vaira@uniba.it