

The study of double kicked top: a classical and quantum perspective

Avadhut V. Purohit^{1,*} and Udaysinh T. Bhosale^{1,†}

¹*Department of Physics, Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur 440010, India*

(Dated: March 11, 2025)

We study the double kicked top (DKT), which is an extension of the standard quantum kicked top (QKT) model. The model allows us to study the transition from time-reversal symmetric to broken time-reversal symmetric dynamics. Our transformation in the kick strength parameter space $(k, k') \rightarrow (k_r, k_\theta)$ reveals interesting features. The transformed kicked strength parameter k_r drives a higher growth of chaos and is equivalent to the standard QKT, whereas the other transformed kicked strength parameter k_θ leads to a weaker growth. We discuss the fixed points, their stability, and verify results obtained by computing the largest Lyapunov exponent (LLE) and the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy (KSE). We exactly solve 2- to 4-qubit versions of DKT by obtaining its eigenvalues, eigenvectors and the entanglement dynamics. Furthermore, we find the criteria for periodicity of the entanglement dynamics. We investigate measures of quantum correlations from two perspectives: the deep quantum and the semi-classical regime. Signatures of phase-space structure are numerically shown in the long-time averages of the quantum correlations. Our model can be realised experimentally as an extension of the standard QKT.

I. Introduction

The seminal model of kicked top [1] has played an important role in our understanding of classical and quantum chaos [2–22]. It consists of a top constantly precessing around a magnetic field and undergoing a periodic sequence of impulsive non-linear kicks. For small values of the kick strength k , it shows regular behaviour in the phase-space and gradually becomes chaotic for higher values of k . Applications of random matrix theory (RMT) [23, 24] showed that the QKT follows Poisson statistics in the regular region and the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble statistics when it is fully chaotic [3, 25, 26]. The Hilbert space dimension of QKT is finite; thus, it does not suffer from the different statistical properties arising due to different truncation schemes employed for systems having infinite-dimensional Hilbert space [1, 27].

The RMT reveals that the statistics of eigenvalues of the Floquet operator U for the kicked top models fall under different universality classes depending on the existence or absence of non-conventional time-reversal symmetry [1, 4, 25, 28]. Although a broken time-reversal symmetric extension of the standard QKT was proposed [1] to study quadratic level repulsion, not much classical, quantum, or semi-classical analysis has been conducted.

The advantage of the standard QKT model is that it can also be written as a spin Hamiltonian where the nature of interaction is Ising and all-to-all [14, 29]. This property facilitates its analysis from the perspective of quantum information theory. The quantum correlations as signatures of chaos have been investigated earlier [5, 7, 15–17, 20, 22, 30]. Studies have shown that infinite-time averages of the quantum correlations reproduce coarse-grained phase-space structures of the kicked top [31]. Such correspondence is observed even for two qubits [7]. Remarkably, 2- to 4-qubit systems are exactly solvable and show signatures of chaos [14]. The QKT has been implemented in various experimental setups [15–17, 20, 22].

Among the two major approaches to quantum chaos, one looks for the quantum analogue of the Lyapunov exponent [32–35]. The other approach looks for the phase-space trajectory-independent description of quantum chaos [13, 30, 36, 37]. In this approach, various quantum correlations such as the entanglement entropy, have been explored as signatures of chaos. The phase-space averaged entanglement entropy shows excellent agreement with the classical chaos indicators [7, 20, 36, 38]. The quasi periodic behaviour of quantum discord has been observed in the regular region. Whereas in the chaotic region neither periodic nor quasi periodic behaviour is observed [30]. Quantum-correlations measures are capable of showing signatures of bifurcations [12].

Controlling classical and quantum chaos is one of the major reasons to study it [39–44]. This includes atmospheric systems, biological systems, and kicked rotor [40, 41, 45, 46]. The most widely used technique to control chaos is known as *targeting* [40–42, 44]. The procedure involves the application of a weak perturbation to the chaotic system. Continuous quantum measurements have also been used to control chaos [44].

In this work, we study the extension of QKT by breaking its time-reversal symmetry [1]. Here, the QKT further suffers periodic sequences of impulsive non-linear kicks of strength denoted by k' , perpendicular to both the precession axis and the first non-linear kick. Our transformation $(k, k') \rightarrow (k_r, k_\theta)$ eases the classical dynamics by dividing it into two distinct parts. The dynamics corresponding to k_r , for a fixed value of k_θ , is similar to that of the standard kicked top. Whereas the parameter k_θ twists the phase-space regions around the trivial fixed points. This parameter enables us to investigate the transition from time-reversal symmetry to its lack thereof. Here, we investigate the fixed points and their stability. The results are then compared with the computed LLE [47, 48] and the KSE [36]. We show that if the standard kicked top (DKT with $k' = 0$) is chaotic for the kick strength k , then the choice of another kick strength $k' = -k$ minimizes classical chaos.

Similar to QKT [14, 29, 49], the DKT can also be expressed as a system of qubits. We exactly solve for eigenvalues, eigenstates, and entanglement dynamics of the 2- to 4-qubit system.

* avdhoot.purohit@gmail.com

† udaysinhbosale@phy.vnit.ac.in

The study extends exploration of quantum correlations as signatures of chaos to the broken time-reversal symmetry. We find conditions for which the DKT shows periodicity in the dynamics of entanglement in 2- to 4-qubit systems [50–53]. If the entanglement dynamics of QKT (DKT with $k' = 0$) is not periodic for a particular value of the kick strength k . Then, in the corresponding DKT, it can be made periodic by choosing k' such that $k_r = (k + k')/2 = j\pi/2$. This will be discussed in the further parts of the paper.

In the semi-classical analysis, we numerically investigate the behaviour of von Neumann entropy, quantum discord, and concurrence as functions of k_r and k_θ . The obtained results are first compared among these quantum correlations, then with those from the deep quantum regime, and finally with the KSE. The high-spin systems show a fine-grained phase-space structures. Nevertheless, quantum effects remain significant for certain values of j , k_r and k_θ . Our findings indicate that k_θ shows features that are absent in the corresponding classical dynamics. In addition, we study homoclinic point to see if quantum effects change the stability of the corresponding state.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Sec. II defines the Floquet operator and the classical dynamics of our model. The fixed points and their stability are examined in Subsection II A. The symmetries are covered in Subsection II B. To characterise chaos, Subsection II C computes the LLE and the KSE. In Sec. III the many-body version of our model having

all-to-all and Ising interaction is defined. In Sec IV relevant measures of quantum correlations are defined. In Secs. V, VI, and VII entanglement and its dynamics are studied using linear entropy for 2, 3, and 4 qubits (deep quantum regime), respectively. Sec. VI also studies quantum correlations, such as von Neumann entropy, concurrence, and quantum discord. The von Neumann entropy is computed in Secs. V and VII to check the consistency with the results of Sec. VI. Sec. VIII analyses these quantum measures in the semi-classical regime to compare results obtained with the classical chaos indicators. Results are summarised in Sec. IX, and Sec. X concludes the paper with a discussion.

II. Double kicked top model

The classical dynamics corresponding to the Floquet operator is studied in this section. As discussed in the earlier section, the first non-linear kick, denoted by k , produces a torque around the z -axis. The second kick, denoted by k' , produces a torque around the x -axis, immediately following the first. The Floquet operator for this DKT is given by

$$\mathcal{U} = \exp\left(-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{\pi}{2}J_y\right). \quad (1)$$

This operator leads to the classical map $\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X})$, described by the following equations (see supplementary material for detailed derivation):

$$X' = Z \cos(kX) + Y \sin(kX), \quad (2)$$

$$Y' = [Y \cos(kX) - Z \sin(kX)] \cos[k' [Z \cos(kX) + Y \sin(kX)]] + X \sin[k' [Z \cos(kX) + Y \sin(kX)]], \quad (3)$$

$$Z' = -X \cos[k' [Z \cos(kX) + Y \sin(kX)]] + [Y \cos(kX) - Z \sin(kX)] \sin[k' [Z \cos(kX) + Y \sin(kX)]], \quad (4)$$

where $X = \frac{J_x}{j}$, $Y = \frac{J_y}{j}$, and $Z = \frac{J_z}{j}$. The transformation given by

$$k_r = \frac{k + k'}{2}, \quad k_\theta = \frac{k - k'}{2}, \quad (5)$$

resolves the dynamics by identifying two distinct kinds of motions. Here, the transformed kick strength parameter k_r is responsible for bifurcations, as shown in Fig. 1. This motion as a function of k_r can be considered as a *radial* in the sense of outwards bifurcations of the stable orbits. The other parameter, k_θ , *rotates* the phase-space structures around the fixed points $(0, \pm 1, 0)$ and $(\pm 1, 0, 0)$, as illustrated in Fig. 2.

The special case $k_\theta = k_r$ can be translated to ($k' = 0, k \neq 0$) gives us the standard kicked top [1]:

$$\mathcal{U} = e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} e^{-i\pi J_y}. \quad (6)$$

Conversely, the case of $k_\theta = -k_r$ implies ($k = 0, k' \neq 0$),

gives us the following kicked top:

$$\mathcal{U} = e^{-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2} e^{-i\pi J_y}. \quad (7)$$

The transformation in Eq. (5) establishes a connection between time-reversal symmetric kicked top with the broken time-reversal symmetric kicked top.

The phase-space at $k_r = 1$ shows a complex and rich structure as shown in Fig. 1(b). Therefore, we fix $k_r = 1$ while analysing k_θ (see Fig. 2). It can be observed that points in the phase-space rotate clockwise around the fixed points $(\pm 1, 0, 0)$ and $(0, \pm 1, 0)$ as k_θ increases from -1 to 1 . This is clearly illustrated in Fig. 2. In the subsection II B, we show that Figs. 2(a), 2(b), 2(c), and 2(d) are isomorphic to Figs. 2(i), 2(h), 2(g), and 2(f), respectively. Notably, the chaotic boundaries of the period-4 cycles are narrowest when $k_\theta = 0$, as shown in Fig. 2(e), and these boundaries become broader with $|k_\theta|$.

As we shall see later in this section, the case $k_\theta = 0$ is more stable compared to other cases, i.e., $k_\theta \neq 0$. Hence, we fix




FIG. 1. The phase-space portrait with 25×25 initial conditions and 200 kicks is presented for $k_\theta = 0$, (a) $k_r = 0.75$, (b) $k_r = 1.0$, (c) $k_r = 1.25$, (d) $k_r = 1.5$, (e) $k_r = 1.75$ and (f) $k_r = 2.0$.

$k_\theta = 0$ while analysing the dynamics for k_r (see Fig. 1). At small values of k_r , the kicked top shows regular behaviour (see Fig. 1(a)). For $k_r = 1$, the fixed points at $Y = \pm 1$ are marginally stable. Around these bifurcated fixed points, pairs of period-3, period-4, period-5 cycles, and higher-order cycles emerge, occupying significant portions of the phase-space. Thin chaotic regions (see Fig. 1(b)) begin to form around the period-4 cycle and its R_x -image. Points ($\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = \pm\pi/2$) become hyperbolic at $k_r = 1.25$ with chaotic neighbourhood as shown in Fig. 1(c). Although the regular regions containing the period-3 and period-4 cycles shrink, they remain stable. Chaos becomes dominant at $k_r = 1.5$, leaving only the bifurcated orbits and the equatorial period-4 cycles as stable features (see Fig. 1(d)). By the time kick strength reaches $k_r = 2$, even the equatorial period-4 cycles lose their stability, leaving only a narrow regular region around the bifurcated fixed points (see Fig. 1(f)). For $k_r > 3$, the system transitions into a fully chaotic state.

A. Stability Analysis

The trivial fixed points of our map correspond to poles:

$$X = Z = 0, Y = \pm 1. \quad (8)$$

These poles remain invariant under the transformation \mathbf{F} for any value of k and k' . Non-trivial fixed points, however, emerge for sufficiently large k and/or k' and are determined by solving $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$. Thus, the fixed point $\mathbf{X} = (X, Y, Z)$ is

given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= -X \sin\left(\frac{k-k'}{2}X\right) \csc\left(\frac{k+k'}{2}X\right), \\ Y &= X \cos\left(\frac{k-k'}{2}X\right) \csc\left(\frac{k+k'}{2}X\right) \text{ and} \quad (9) \\ f(X) &= \frac{\sin^2\left(\frac{k+k'}{2}X\right)}{1 + \sin^2\left(\frac{k+k'}{2}X\right)} - X^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

These fixed points occur in pairs, with one member of each pair generated from the other by an $R_y(\pi)$ rotation. Thus, it suffices to consider only the fixed points with $X > 0$.

Since $f(X)$ involves transcendental equations, one can find the fixed points through graphical analysis. We approximate $f(x)$ for small values of $x = \left(\frac{k+k'}{2}\right)X \ll 1$, to get $f(x) \approx x^2 - \frac{4}{(k+k')^2}x^2$. Thus, the first non-trivial solution is given by

$$(k+k')_0 = 2. \quad (10)$$

Additional pairs of non-trivial fixed points $(k+k')_m$ can be determined (graphically) by solving $f'(X) = 0$ in conjunction with $f(X) = 0$ and ensuring $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 1$.

To analyse the stability of the non-trivial fixed points, we first linearise the map \mathbf{F} . This involves evaluating the tangent map at the fixed point \mathbf{X}_n , whose stability is to be determined.

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{X}_n) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_n)}{\partial \mathbf{X}_n}. \quad (11)$$

Among three eigenvalues of the above tangent map, one is always equal to unity due to $|\mathbf{X}|^2 = 1$. The modulus of other two eigenvalues is also unity if they satisfy

$$\left| (k+k')X \cot\left(\frac{k+k'}{2}X\right) + \cos[(k+k')X] - 1 \right| < 2. \quad (12)$$

Since we know that the first term in the $f(X)$ is maximum at $x = \left(\frac{k+k'}{2}\right)X = \frac{\pi}{2} + m\pi$ for any integer m , solving $f(X) = 0$ gives us the maximum value of $(k+k')_0 = \sqrt{2}\pi$ after which, the emerging fixed points lose their stability.

This shows that the non-trivial fixed points emerging at $(k+k') \geq 2$ remain stable until $(k+k') \leq \sqrt{2}\pi$. Among the pair of fixed points appearing at $(k+k') > (k+k')_m$, the fixed points with small X are unstable, while the others are stable for

$$(k+k')_m \leq (k+k') \leq (k+k')_m = (2m+1)\sqrt{2}\pi. \quad (13)$$

Beyond this range, these fixed points lose stability and bifurcate




FIG. 2. The phase-space portrait with 25×25 initial conditions and 200 kicks is presented for $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = -1$, (b) $k_\theta = -0.75$, (c) $k_\theta = -0.5$, (d) $k_\theta = -0.25$, (e) $k_\theta = 0$, (f) $k_\theta = 0.25$, (g) $k_\theta = 0.5$, (h) $k_\theta = 0.75$ and (i) $k_\theta = 1$.

into period-2 solutions, obtained as follows:

$$X_1 = \frac{(2j+1)\pi}{(k+k')} = -Z_1, \quad Y_1 = \sqrt{1 - 2 \frac{(2j+1)^2 \pi^2}{(k+k')^2}},$$

$$X_2 = \frac{(2j+1)\pi}{(k+k')} = -Z_2, \quad Y_2 = -\sqrt{1 - 2 \frac{(2j+1)^2 \pi^2}{(k+k')^2}}.$$

As $(k+k')$ increases further, these orbits lose stability, leading to period-4 orbits. For even higher values of $(k+k')$, a cascade of period-doubling bifurcations occurs. A period-4 cycle exists on the equator, with $\mathbf{X} = (0, 0, \pm 1)$ and $\mathbf{X} = (\pm 1, 0, 0)$. These period-4 orbits remain stable as long as $(k+k')$ satisfies the following condition:

$$\left| \frac{(k+k')^2}{2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{k+k'}{2} \right) + 4 [\sin(k+k') + \cos(k+k')] \right| < 4. \quad (14)$$

Following this analysis, one can find higher n -cycles, including the period-3 cycles. However, due to their complexity, the resulting expressions are not presented here. We can recover the standard QKT results [1] by setting $k' = 0$ in the above analysis.

B. Symmetries

Our kicked top model can be divided into four quadrants in the parameter space of (k, k') . The first quadrant corresponds to $(k > 0, k' > 0)$, the second quadrant to $(k > 0, k' < 0)$, the third quadrant to $(k < 0, k' > 0)$, and the fourth quadrant to $(k < 0, k' < 0)$. Due to the symmetry of the map \mathbf{F} under a rotation around the precession axis by an angle π , the first and fourth quadrants $(k, k') \cong (-k, -k')$ are isomorphic, as are the

second and third quadrants $(k, -k') \cong (-k, k')$. However, the first quadrant (k, k') is not isomorphic to the second quadrant $(k, -k')$.

To show this distinction between two quadrants discussed above, we rewrite Eq. (9) in terms of (k_r, k_θ) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= -X \sin(k_\theta X) \csc(k_r X), \\ Y &= X \cos(k_\theta X) \csc(k_r X) \quad \text{and} \quad (15) \\ f(X) &= \frac{\sin^2(k_r X)}{1 + \sin^2(k_r X)} - X^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The second quadrant is related to the first quadrant by the transformation $(k, k') \rightarrow (k, -k')$, which is equivalent to the transformation $(k_r, k_\theta) \rightarrow (k_r, -k_\theta)$. Therefore, in the second




FIG. 3. The phase-space portrait with 25×25 initial conditions and 200 kicks is presented for $k_r = 1.0$, (a) $k_\theta = 1.25$, (b) $k_\theta = 1.75$, (c) $k_\theta = 3.0$ and (d) $k_\theta = 3.75$.

quadrant, equations for the fixed points become:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= -X \sin(k_r X) \csc(k_\theta X), \\ Y &= X \cos(k_r X) \csc(k_\theta X) \text{ and} \\ f(X) &= \frac{\sin^2(k_\theta X)}{1 + \sin^2(k_\theta X)} - X^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It is clear that $(k, k') \not\equiv (k, -k')$, meaning that we have two distinct classes of solutions, one of which is related to the other by the transformation $(k_r, k_\theta) \rightarrow (k_\theta, k_r)$.

In the first quadrant, with $k_\theta \in (-k_r, k_r)$, all points in the phase-space rotate with the same angular velocity around the trivial fixed points. However, in the second quadrant, when $k_\theta > k_r$, torsion occurs around trivial fixed points, as shown in Fig. 3.

As mentioned earlier, the classical map \mathbf{F} is invariant under rotations around the precession axis by an angle π :

$$R_x \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ -Y \\ -Z \end{pmatrix}, R_y \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -X \\ Y \\ -Z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

This yields the following symmetry relations:

$$R_y \cdot \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F} \cdot R_y, \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbf{F} \cdot R_x = R_x \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot R_y \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{F}^2 \cdot R_x = R_x \cdot \mathbf{F}^2. \quad (19)$$

The R_y -image of every n -cycle of \mathbf{F} is an n -cycle of \mathbf{F} . Furthermore, if a $(2n + 1)$ -th cycle is not symmetric under R_y , then every such $(2n + 1)$ -cycle, along with its R_y -image, is mapped by R_x into a $2(2n + 1)$ -cycle of \mathbf{F} .

The Floquet operator with $(k \neq 0, k' = 0)$ is the standard QKT [1] and shows a non-conventional [3] time-reversal symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} T \cdot \left(e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} e^{-ipJ_y} \right) \cdot T^{-1} &= e^{ipJ_y} e^{i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2}, \\ &= \left(e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} e^{-ipJ_y} \right)^\dagger, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $T = (e^{ipJ_y} e^{i\pi J_z}) K$ is the anti-unitary, non-conventional time-reversal operator (see supplementary material for the derivation), and K is the conjugation operator.

We found absence of the non-conventional time-reversibility of our kicked top :

$$\begin{aligned} T \cdot \mathcal{U} \cdot T^{-1} &= \exp(ipJ_y) \exp\left(i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right) \exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2\right) \\ &\neq \mathcal{U}^\dagger, \quad \text{for } k \neq k' \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The time-reversal symmetry can be achieved for $k = 0$ or $k' = 0$. However, the case $k' = k$ also has time-reversal symmetry for the following non-conventional time-reversal operator:

$$T = \left(e^{ipJ_y} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}J_y} e^{i\pi J_z} \right) K. \quad (22)$$

Due to the observation of a linear level repulsion for the case $k' = k$, Haake et al. [1] suggested the existence of a time-reversal operator. But its explicit expression was not given. In supplementary material, we derive the above non-conventional time-reversal operator.

C. LLE results

In this subsection, we examine the chaotic behaviour of the system by calculating the largest Lyapunov exponent (LLE), denoted by λ_+ , which quantifies how close initial points in the phase-space diverge over time. Computing the full spectrum of Lyapunov exponents is computationally intensive. However, the LLE dominates the system's behaviour and provides sufficient insight into its chaotic nature. Therefore, we use the LLE unless a more sensitive measure of chaos is needed. This method relies on the divergence of trajectories in phase-space, where the Lyapunov exponent quantifies the rate at which nearby trajectories separate. Following the work of Ref. [48, 54], we define:

$$\lambda_+ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left[\frac{\|\delta \mathbf{X}_n\|}{\|\delta \mathbf{X}_0\|} \right]. \quad (23)$$

Here, $\delta \mathbf{X}_n$ is the tangent vector evolved at time n , which depends on the product of tangent maps. These tangent maps govern the divergence of nearby trajectories, reflecting the system's chaotic nature. The time-evolved tangent vector $\delta \mathbf{X}_n$ is given by

$$\delta \mathbf{X}_n = \mathcal{T}[\mathbf{X}_{n-1}] \cdot \delta \mathbf{X}_{n-1} = \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{T}[\mathbf{X}_l] \cdot \delta \mathbf{X}_0, \quad (24)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}[\mathbf{X}_{n-1}] &= \frac{\delta \mathbf{X}_n}{\delta \mathbf{X}_{n-1}}, \\ \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{T}[\mathbf{X}_l] &= \mathcal{T}[\mathbf{X}_{n-1}] \cdot \mathcal{T}[\mathbf{X}_{n-2}] \cdots \mathcal{T}[\mathbf{X}_0]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

We compute the LLE for a set of kick strengths to compare the phase portraits presented earlier.

The $\lambda_+ \approx 0$ indicated by the blue region for $k_r = 0.75$ shows regular motion (see Fig. 4(a)). The yellow boundaries of the




FIG. 4. The LLE (λ_+) in the unit phase-space of 200×200 grid points is presented. Each point in the phase-space is evolved for 1500 kicks keeping $k_\theta = 0$, (a) $k_r = 0.75$, (b) $k_r = 1.0$, (c) $k_r = 1.25$, (d) $k_r = 1.5$, (e) $k_r = 1.75$ and (f) $k_r = 2.0$. The values of λ_+ are colour coded using the colour map shown by the site.




FIG. 5. The LLE (λ_+) in the unit phase-space of 200×200 grid points is presented. Each point in the phase-space is evolved for 1500 kicks keeping $k_r = 1.0$, (a) $k_\theta = 0$, (b) $k_\theta = 0.25$, (c) $k_\theta = 0.75$ and (d) $k_\theta = 1.0$. The values of λ_+ are colour coded using the colour map shown by the site.

period-4 orbits become chaotic for $k_r = 1.0$ (see Fig. 4(b)). Chaotic neighbourhood (green colour) arise near hyperbolic trajectories at $k_r = 1.25$. The LLE confirms the emergence of pairs of 3-cycles, 4-cycles, and higher-order cycles for this k_r . It increases to 0.4, occupying most of the region shown in red colour except for bifurcated blue regions for $k_r = 1.5$ (see Fig. 4(d)). The equatorial period-4 cycles further bifurcate, and the rest of the region becomes chaotic, as shown in Fig. 4(e). At $k_r = 2.0$, the dynamics become fully chaotic, except for a pair of bifurcated islands.

We study the effect of stability with the variation of k_θ for $k_r = 1.0$ by analysing the LLE as shown in Figs. 5 and 6. The LLE show excellent agreement with the phase-space structures. However, there are no observable changes apart from *rotation* of the phase-space structures with the variation of k_θ . Hence, we analytically check this feature. The LLE is

given by

$$\lambda_+ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\mu_+(\mathbf{X}_n))}{n}, \quad (26)$$

to check if it shows dependence on k_θ . Here, μ_+ denotes the largest eigenvalue of the product tangent map $\prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{T}[\mathbf{X}_l]$. The tangent map \mathbf{M} evaluated at \mathbf{X}_n follows a characteristic polynomial of the form:

$$\mathbf{M}^3 - T_2\mathbf{M}^2 + T_1\mathbf{M} - T_0 = 0, \quad (27)$$

with coefficients defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= kB + (1 + k'B) \cos(kX + k'A) + k'X \sin(kX + k'A), \\ T_1 &= k'B + kY \cos(k'A) + \cos(kX + k'A) + kZ \sin(k'A), \text{ and} \\ T_0 &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $A = Z \cos kX + Y \sin kX$ and $B = Y \cos kX - Z \sin kX$. Since $|\mathbf{X}_n|^2 = 1$, one of the eigenvalues is always 1 (see Appendices B and D of Ref. [21]). Then, the characteristic polynomial can be rewritten as:

$$(\mathbf{M} - 1) (\mathbf{M}^2 + B_1\mathbf{M} + B_2) = 0, \quad (29)$$

where, $B_1 = 1 - T_2$ and $B_2 = T_0$. Then, the largest eigenvalue is given by

$$M_+ = \frac{T_2 - 1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(1 - T_2)^2 - 4}. \quad (30)$$

By applying the ergodic hypothesis and transitioning from a time average to a phase-space average over the unit sphere, we arrive at:

$$\bar{\lambda}_+ = \frac{1}{4\pi} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \ln |M_+| \right), \quad (31)$$

when, solved up to first-order approximation, yields:

$$\bar{\lambda}_+ \approx \ln \left(\frac{k + k'}{2} \right). \quad (32)$$

The phase-space averaged LLE $\bar{\lambda}_+$ remains largely constant along the line $k + k' = \text{const.}$ (see Fig. 7(a)). Hence, the LLE does not vary with k_θ up to the linear order of (k, k') .

Since, the LLE fails to capture any notable change with respect to k_θ , as shown in Fig. 7(a). We use the KSE as a more sensitive indicator of chaotic behaviour. The KSE [36], which measures the rate of information loss in a dynamical system, is defined as follows:

$$h_{KS} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n=1}^t \log_2 l_n, \quad (33)$$

where l_n is the changing distance between two nearby points at time n . The $\delta\mathbf{X}_n$ are evolved by iterating the *tangent map* \mathbf{M} . Fig. 7(b) presents the KSE averaged over the phase-space for $k_r = 3.0$ and varying values of k_θ .

The results show that the KSE increases with $|k_\theta|$, indicating a growth in chaotic behaviour as k_θ deviates from zero. The




FIG. 6. The LLE (λ_+) in the unit phase-space of 200×200 grid points is presented. Each point in the phase-space is evolved for 1500 kicks keeping $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 1.25$, (b) $k_\theta = 1.75$, (c) $k_\theta = 3.0$ and (d) $k_\theta = 3.75$ is presented. The values of λ_+ are colour coded using the colour map shown by the site.




FIG. 7. (a) The LLE is averaged ($\bar{\lambda}_+$) over a grid of 200×200 points in the phase-space with each point evolved for 1500 kicks. Here, intervals $k, k' \in (-10, 10)$ are divided into a grid of 100×100 points. (b) KSE is also averaged over a grid of 200×200 points in the phase-space with each point evolved for 10^4 kicks, $k_r = 3.0$ and $k_\theta \in (-3.5, 3.5)$.

case $k_\theta = 0$ exhibits time-reversal symmetry and corresponds to the minimum level of chaos. The other two cases, $k_\theta = \pm k_r$, are also time-reversal symmetric; however, both show higher levels of chaos compared to the $k_\theta = 0$ for the same value of k_r . The chaos continues to increase without showing a sharp transition at $k_\theta = \pm k_r$. There is qualitative but not noteworthy quantitative change in the behaviour from $k_\theta < k_r$ to $k_\theta > k_r$.

III. Many-body model with all-to-all Ising interaction

We consider N spin-half particles with all-to-all interactions, with the total spin of the system given by $j = N/2$. Replacing $J_{x,y,z}$ with $\sum_{l=1}^{2j} \sigma_l^{x,y,z} / 2$ [5, 49, 55], the Floquet operator in Eq. (1) is expressed as follows:

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \exp\left(-i\frac{k'}{4j} \sum_{l' < l=1}^N \sigma_{l'}^x \sigma_l^x\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{k}{4j} \sum_{l' < l=1}^N \sigma_{l'}^z \sigma_l^z\right) \times \exp\left(-i\frac{\pi}{4} \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^y\right). \quad (34)$$

Since, the transformed parameters (k_r, k_θ) resolve dynamics into two qualitatively distinct parts. We use $k = k_r + k_\theta$ and $k' = k_r - k_\theta$, anticipating their distinct roles in the quantum dynamics. For our initial states, we utilise the standard $SU(2)$ coherent states [56, 57], which are expressed in the qubit basis as follows:

$$|\theta_0, \phi_0\rangle = \otimes^{2j} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) |0\rangle + e^{-i\phi_0} \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) |1\rangle \right]. \quad (35)$$

The quantum system under consideration is described by the Floquet operator $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ with permutation symmetry. The following property [49]:

$$\left[\hat{\mathcal{U}}, \otimes_{l=1}^{2j} \sigma_l^y\right] = 0, \quad (36)$$

simplifies the quantum dynamics by allowing it to be expressed in a block-diagonal form using a specific basis of states. These basis states are defined [49] as follows:

$$|\Phi_q^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|W_q\rangle \pm i^{2j-2q} |\bar{W}_q\rangle \right), \quad (37)$$

$$|W_q\rangle = \binom{2j}{q}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \left(\otimes^q |1\rangle \otimes^{2j-q} |0\rangle \right)_{\mathcal{P}}, \quad (38)$$

$$|\bar{W}_q\rangle = \binom{2j}{q}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \left(\otimes^q |0\rangle \otimes^{2j-q} |1\rangle \right)_{\mathcal{P}}, \quad (39)$$

where \mathcal{P} denotes the sum over all possible permutations of the qubits. Here, $0 \leq q \leq \frac{2j-1}{2}$ for odd j and $0 \leq q \leq j$ for even j , excluding the state $|\Phi_j^\pm\rangle$. Since these states are referred to as parity eigenstates [49], given by

$$\otimes_{l=1}^{2j} \sigma_l^y |\Phi_j^\pm\rangle = \pm |\Phi_j^\pm\rangle, \quad (40)$$

significantly simplify the study of the system's quantum dynamics.

IV. Measures of quantum correlations

For standard QKT, time-averaged quantum correlations seem to show good agreement [7, 8, 14, 20, 31] with the corresponding classical phase-space. On similar lines, we analyse quantum correlations in the light of broken time-reversal symmetry. In this section, we define linear entropy, von Neumann entropy, quantum discord, and concurrence.

The linear entropy for a single-qubit reduced density matrix (RDM) ρ_1 [14, 58] is given by

$$S = 1 - \text{tr} [\rho_1^2]. \quad (41)$$

This quantity measures the degree of mixing of a given state. The $S = 0$ corresponds to a pure state, whereas $S = 0.5$ corresponds to a maximally mixed state. In the study of entanglement dynamics, linear entropy is easier to analyse and effectively captures qualitative features such as the periodicity of entanglement. Therefore, we focus on linear entropy in the analytical part of this paper.

The von Neumann entropy [20, 36] for a single-qubit RDM ρ_1 , in the log 2 base, is given by

$$S_{vn} = -\text{tr}(\rho_1 \log_2 \rho_1). \quad (42)$$

It is commonly used to investigate the generation of entanglement during chaotic dynamics and its correlation with classical chaotic measures, such as the Lyapunov exponent. We employ this entropy in our computations to enable a comparison between our analytical results and numerical findings in the deep quantum regime. Then, we compare the results in the high-spin regime with the LLE [47, 48] and KSE [36].

To investigate quantum correlations in addition to entanglement, we calculated the quantum discord [59, 60]. The mutual quantum information shared between two qubits is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{I}(B : A) = S_{vn}(\rho_A) + S_{vn}(\rho_B) - S_{vn}(\rho_{AB}). \quad (43)$$

A quantum measurement on subsystem A , represented by a positive-operator valued measure (POVM) $\Pi_i \otimes \mathbb{I}_B$, is performed such that the conditional state of B for a given outcome i is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{B|i} &= \text{Tr}_A(\rho_{AB|i})/p_i, \\ p_i &= \text{Tr}_{A,B}(\rho_{AB|i}), \\ \rho_{AB|i} &= \frac{\Pi_i \otimes \mathbb{I}_B \rho_{AB} \Pi_i \otimes \mathbb{I}_B}{\text{Tr}(\Pi_i \otimes \mathbb{I}_B \rho_{AB})}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The conditional entropy is defined as $\tilde{S}_{vn, \{\Pi_i\}}(B|A) = \sum_i p_i S_{vn}(\rho_{B|i})$. The mutual quantum information is then expressed as:

$$\mathcal{J}(B : A) = \max_{\{\Pi_i\}} [S_{vn}(\rho_B) - \tilde{S}_{vn, \{\Pi_i\}}(B|A)]. \quad (45)$$

Consequently, the quantum discord is defined by:

$$\mathcal{D}(B : A) = \mathcal{I}(B : A) - \mathcal{J}(B : A). \quad (46)$$

The concurrence serves as a quantifier of entanglement between two qubits. For a given 2-qubit RDM ρ_{12} , the concurrence is defined [61, 62] as follows:

$$C(\rho_{12}) = \max\left(0, \sqrt{\Lambda_1} - \sqrt{\Lambda_2} - \sqrt{\Lambda_3} - \sqrt{\Lambda_4}\right), \quad (47)$$

where Λ_i 's are the eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian matrix $\rho_{12}(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \rho_{12}^*(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)$ taken in descending order.

V. Exact Solution for 2-Qubits

The entanglement dynamics of the 2-qubit system have been studied [7] in the standard QKT. In this section, we present an exact solution of the 2-qubit DKT system. We analyse the time evolution of the linear entropy and its infinite-time average of a 2-qubit system, specifically for initial states: $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ and $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$. Furthermore, we also find the infinite-time-averaged linear entropy analytically for

a general initial state and compare it with the computed long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy.

As mentioned earlier, we use parity eigenstates [49] as a basis states:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_0^\pm\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle \text{ and} \\ |\Phi_1^\pm\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

The Floquet operator $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ in this basis takes a block-diagonal form:

$$\mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}_+ & 0_{2 \times 1} \\ 0_{1 \times 2} & \mathcal{U}_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (49)$$

where the components of the block-diagonal form are given by

$$\mathcal{U}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{-\frac{ik_r}{2}} \\ e^{i\frac{k_r}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{U}_- = e^{-\frac{ik_r}{2}}. \quad (50)$$

The eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ are $\{-i, i, e^{-\frac{ik_r}{2}}\}$ with corresponding eigenvectors $\left\{[-ie^{-\frac{ik_r}{2}}, 1, 0]^T, [-ie^{\frac{ik_r}{2}}, 1, 0]^T, [0, 0, 1]^T\right\}$, respectively. The time-evolved Floquet operators for each block are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_+^n &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(n\pi/2) & -\sin(n\pi/2)e^{-\frac{ik_r}{2}} \\ \sin(n\pi/2)e^{\frac{ik_r}{2}} & \cos(n\pi/2) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{U}_-^n &= e^{-\frac{in k_r}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

This allows us to evolve any initial state, providing a way to study the entanglement dynamics as system evolves.

A. Initial State: $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$

This state is of particular interest as it belongs to a period-4 cycle. Evolving this state under the Floquet operator yields the following quantum state at time n :

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n\rangle &= \frac{\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}}|\Phi_0^+\rangle + \frac{e^{\frac{ik_r}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}}|\Phi_1^+\rangle \\ &+ \frac{e^{-\frac{in k_r}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}}|\Phi_0^-\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

To analyse the entanglement between 2 qubits, we compute the single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n) = \text{tr}_2(|\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n|)$. For odd n , the single-qubit RDM is given as follows:

$$\rho_1(n) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i^{n+1} \cos\left(\frac{nk_r + k_r}{2}\right) \\ -i^{n+1} \cos\left(\frac{nk_r + k_r}{2}\right) & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (53)$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are $\left(1 \pm \cos\left(\frac{nk_r + k_r}{2}\right)\right)/2$. For even n , the single-qubit RDM is given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + i^n \cos\left(\frac{nk_r}{2}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - i^n \cos\left(\frac{nk_r}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

The linear entropy is then calculated using these eigenvalues as follows:

$$S_{(0,0)}^{(2)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \sin^2\left(\frac{nk_r+k_\theta}{2}\right) & \text{odd } n \\ \frac{1}{2} \sin^2\left(\frac{nk_r}{2}\right) & \text{even } n. \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

From the above expression, we can clearly observe that the linear entropy show periodicity for all $k_r = a\pi$ with $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ and independent of k_θ . The infinite-time-averaged linear entropy is calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_{(0,0)}^{(2)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S_{(0,0)}^{(2)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

indicates that, on an average, the linear entropy saturates to a value of 1/4, regardless of the kick strengths k_r and k_θ . Interestingly, for $k_r = 0$, the infinite-time-averaged linear entropy shows a discontinuity, signalling a qualitative change in the entanglement behaviour.

B. Initial State: $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$

The other interesting state belongs to the fixed points $(0, \pm 1, 0)$ in the phase-space. This initial state, also referred to as the positive parity state $|++\rangle$, evolves into the following state:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n\rangle &= \left[\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) - i e^{-\frac{ik_\theta}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \right] |\Phi_0^+\rangle \\ &\quad + \left[e^{\frac{ik_\theta}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) + i \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \right] |\Phi_1^+\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Taking the partial trace over one qubit from the state $|\psi_n\rangle$, we obtain the single-qubit RDM as follows:

$$\rho_1(n) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \cos(k_\theta) \\ i \cos(k_\theta) & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{odd } n, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{even } n. \end{cases} \quad (58)$$

For odd n , the eigenvalues are $\cos^2\left(\frac{k_\theta}{2}\right)$ and $\sin^2\left(\frac{k_\theta}{2}\right)$. For even n , they are 1 and zero. Then, the linear entropy is given by

$$S_{(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2})}^{(2)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \sin^2\left(\frac{k_\theta}{2}\right) & \text{odd } n, \\ 0 & \text{even } n. \end{cases} \quad (59)$$

The infinite-time-averaged linear entropy for this initial state is calculated as follows:

$$\langle S_{(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2})}^{(2)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sin^2\left(\frac{k_\theta}{2}\right). \quad (60)$$

It shows that the infinite-time-averaged linear entropy for this state does not depend on k_r . It is minimum when k_θ is an even-integer multiple of π and maximum when k_θ is an odd-integer multiple of π .

C. General initial state: $|\theta_0, \phi_0\rangle$

We consider the general 2 qubit state evolved using Floquet operator \mathcal{U} . The resulting state is given by

$$|\psi_n\rangle = c_0 |\Phi_0^+\rangle + c_1 |\Phi_1^+\rangle + c_2 |\Phi_0^-\rangle, \quad (61)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{2}(k_\theta+2\phi_0)}}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ -\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \sin(\theta_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{\frac{i}{2}k_\theta} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) [\cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + i \sin(\phi_0)] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \frac{e^{-\phi_0}}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \sin(\theta_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{\frac{i}{2}k_\theta} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) [\cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + i \sin(\phi_0)] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

$$c_2 = \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{2}(nk_r+2\phi_0)}}{\sqrt{2}} [\cos(\phi_0) + i \cos(\theta_0) \sin(\phi_0)]. \quad (64)$$

It allows us to find the single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ as follows:

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \text{Re}[c_0 c_2^*] & \text{Re}[c_1 c_2^*] + i \text{Im}[c_0 c_1^*] \\ \text{Re}[c_1 c_2^*] - i \text{Im}[c_0 c_1^*] & \frac{1}{2} - \text{Re}[c_0 c_2^*] \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, using its eigenvalues $1/2 \pm \sqrt{\text{Re}[c_0 c_2^*]^2 + \text{Re}[c_1 c_2^*]^2 + \text{Im}[c_0 c_1^*]^2}$, we obtain the linear entropy as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(\theta_0, \phi_0)}^{(2)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) &= \frac{1}{2} - 2\text{Re}[c_0 c_2^*]^2 - 2\text{Re}[c_1 c_2^*]^2 \\ &\quad - 2\text{Im}[c_0 c_1^*]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

The terms $\text{Re}[c_0 c_2^*]$, $\text{Re}[c_1 c_2^*]$ and $\text{Im}[c_0 c_1^*]$ are polynomials in k_r , ensure the periodicity of the linear entropy when:

$$k_r = a\pi \quad \text{where } a \in \mathbb{Q}. \quad (66)$$

The infinite-time-averaged linear entropy is obtained as follows: (see the supplementary material)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle S_{(\theta_0, \phi_0)}^{(2)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle &= \frac{106 + 8 \cos(2\theta_0) + 14 \cos(4\theta_0) - 4 \cos(2\theta_0 - 4\phi_0) + \cos(4\theta_0 - 4\phi_0) + 6 \cos(4\phi_0) + \cos(4\theta_0 + 4\phi_0)}{1024} \\
&+ \frac{32 \cos(2k_\theta) \sin^2(\theta_0) [\cos(2\phi_0)(3 + \cos(2\theta_0)) - 2 \sin^2(\theta_0)] - 4 \cos(2\theta_0 + 4\phi_0)}{1024} \\
&+ \frac{1}{128} [3 + \cos(2\theta_0) + 2 \cos(2\phi_0) \sin^2(\theta_0)]^2 + \frac{1}{16} \sin(2k_\theta) \sin(\theta_0) \sin(2\theta_0) \sin(2\phi_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$




FIG. 8. Long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy for single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$, $n = 1000$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $j = 1$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 0$, (b) $k_\theta = 0.25$, (c) $k_\theta = 0.75$ and (d) $k_\theta = 1$.

Although the periodicity of the linear entropy is determined solely by k_r , the infinite-time-averaged linear entropy does not depend on the chaos parameter k_r in the 2-qubit system.

We observe that the infinite-time-averaged linear entropy produces the same qualitative results as the long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy. Since we numerically analyse von Neumann entropy together with quantum discord and concurrence in the semi-classical regime, we plot von Neumann entropy to compare results with the deep quantum regime. The entanglement increases significantly with k_θ as shown in Fig. 8. The results are consistent with phase-space structures, with minimum entanglement corresponding to the regular region. This includes an observation of k_θ being responsible for twisting the phase-space region around trivial fixed points. Interestingly, the two low time-averaged entanglement (blue colour) regions separate further along the $Z = -X$ line with increase in the value of k_θ from zero to 1 for $k_r = 1$.

VI. Exact Solution for 3-Qubits

The 3-qubit QKT system is an exactly solvable model [14] that shows ergodicity and thermalisation signatures. In this section, we present an exact solution for the 3-qubit DKT system. Moreover, we study the periodicity of entanglement dynamics, infinite-time-averaged linear entropy, and also analyse the relationship between different measures of quantum correlations.

We consider the following basis states for the 3-qubit system:

$$|\Phi_0^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|000\rangle \pm |111\rangle), \tag{68}$$

$$|\Phi_1^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|W\rangle \pm i|\bar{W}\rangle), \tag{69}$$

where $|W\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} |001\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}$, $|\bar{W}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} |110\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}$, and $\sum_{\mathcal{P}}$ denotes summation over all possible permutations. The Floquet operator in these basis states is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}_+ & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{U}_- \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{U}_\pm &= \pm e^{\mp i \frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{i}{3} k_r} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \mp \beta^* \\ \pm \beta & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) + \frac{i}{4} \left[3 \cos\left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) \right], \\
\beta &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \left[\cos\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3}\right) + 2i \sin\left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3}\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues of the Floquet operator are $-e^{\frac{3i\pi}{4}} \{\text{Re}[\alpha] \mp C/2, -i \text{Re}[\alpha] \mp iC/2\}$, with corresponding eigenvectors given by $(2\beta)^{-1} \{[-i\text{Im}[\alpha] \mp C, 1, 0, 0]^T, [0, 0, -i\text{Im}[\alpha] \mp C, 1]^T\}$ respectively. Here, $C = \sqrt{2 \text{Re}[\alpha^2] - 2|\beta|^2} - 2$. By expressing \mathcal{U}_+ as a rotation $e^{-i\gamma \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\eta}}$ by an angle γ about an axis $\hat{\eta} = \sin \theta \cos \chi \hat{x} + \sin \theta \sin \chi \hat{y} + \cos \theta \hat{z}$ and comparing terms, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos \gamma &= \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right), \quad \sin \theta = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sin \gamma} \quad \text{and} \\
\tan \chi &= - \frac{\cos\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3}\right)}{2 \sin\left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3}\right)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

The time-evolved Floquet operator is given by

$$\mathcal{U}_{\pm}^n = (\pm 1)^n e^{\mp i n \frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{i}{3} n k_r} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n & \mp \beta_n^* \\ \pm \beta_n & \alpha_n^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (72)$$

$$\alpha_n = \cos(n\gamma) + \frac{i}{4} \frac{\sin(n\gamma)}{\sin \gamma} \left[3 \cos\left(\frac{2k_{\theta}}{3}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) \right], \quad (73)$$

$$\beta_n = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \frac{\sin(n\gamma)}{\sin \gamma} \left[\cos\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{2k_{\theta}}{3}\right) + 2i \sin\left(\frac{2k_{\theta}}{3}\right) \right]. \quad (74)$$

The standard QKT with $k \neq 0$ and $k' = 0$ can be recovered by setting $k_{\theta} = k_r$ and $2k_r = \kappa_0$. In this case, we recover to Eqs. (13) and (14) of Ref. [14]. As with the 2-qubit kicked top, we study two initial states: $|0, 0\rangle$ and $|\pi/2, -\pi/2\rangle$, as these states are significant for their entanglement and dynamical properties.

A. Initial state : $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$

As discussed in the earlier section, this state belongs to a period-4 cycle. Similar to the earlier section, we analyse the entanglement dynamics and infinite-time average linear entropy and provide conditions for the periodicity of entanglement. This initial state is evolved, yielding:

$$|\psi_n\rangle = \frac{e^{-i \frac{n k_r}{3}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[e^{-\frac{i n \pi}{4}} \alpha_n |\Phi_0^+\rangle + e^{-\frac{i n \pi}{4}} \beta_n |\Phi_1^+\rangle + (-1)^n e^{\frac{i n \pi}{4}} \alpha_n |\Phi_0^-\rangle - (-1)^n e^{\frac{i n \pi}{4}} \beta_n |\Phi_1^-\rangle \right]. \quad (75)$$

In the case $k_{\theta} = k_r$ and by setting $2k_r = \kappa_0$, we recover the state $|\psi_n\rangle$ of the standard QKT, as given in Eq. (16) of Ref. [14]. The single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ for this state is given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & 1 - p_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (76)$$

where


$$p_1 = |\alpha_n|^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{3n\pi}{4}\right) + \frac{|\beta_n|^2}{6} \left[3 + \cos\left(\frac{3n\pi}{2}\right) \right] \text{ and} \\ p_{12} = - \left(\frac{|\beta_n|^2}{3} + \frac{\text{Im}[\alpha_n \beta_n^*]}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \sin\left(\frac{3n\pi}{2}\right).$$

For even n , $\rho_1(n)$ becomes diagonal. Let $n = 2m$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The odd n state can be obtained via the inverse Floquet operator:

$$|\psi_{2m-1}\rangle = \mathcal{U}^{-1} |\psi_{2m}\rangle. \quad (77)$$

For even m , the surviving terms are:

$$|\psi_{2m}\rangle = \frac{e^{-i \left(\frac{k_r}{3} + \frac{3\pi}{4} \right) 2m}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\alpha_{2m} |000\rangle + i \beta_{2m} |\overline{W}\rangle \right). \quad (78)$$



For $k_{\theta} \neq k_r$ (i.e., $k \neq 0$ and $k' \neq 0$), the action of \mathcal{U}^{-1} does not yield a local phase factor on $|000\rangle$ or $|\overline{W}\rangle$. As a result, $|\psi_{2m-1}\rangle$ is not locally unitarily equivalent to the state after even n applications of \mathcal{U} . Therefore, the entanglement and concurrence do not show the step-like features seen in the standard QKT where $k_{\theta} = k_r$.

Using the eigenvalues of above single-qubit RDM $\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(1 - 2p_1)^2 + 4|p_{12}|^2}$, we get the linear entropy as follows:

$$S_{(0,0)}^{(3)}(n, k_r, k_{\theta}) = 2p_1(1 - p_1) - 2|p_{12}|^2. \quad (79)$$

Since the periodicity of eigenvalues of $\rho_1(n)$ implies periodicity of the entanglement dynamics, we focus on the following non-trivial part:

$$(1 - 2p_1)^2 + 4|p_{12}|^2 = A_{30} \cos^2\left(\frac{3n\pi}{2}\right) + B_{30} \sin^2\left(\frac{3n\pi}{2}\right), \quad (80)$$

where,

$$A_{30} = \frac{(4|\beta_n|^2 - 3)^2}{9} \text{ and } B_{30} = \frac{4(|\beta_n| + \sqrt{3}\text{Im}[\alpha_n \beta_n^*])^2}{9}. \quad (81)$$

The above expression is a polynomial in γ , and γ depends only on k_r as given in Eq. (71). Thus, the periodicity depends only on k_r . The eigenvalues are periodic when $\gamma = a\pi$ with $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, and the corresponding k_r values are:

$$\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) = \cos(a\pi) \text{ and } \frac{1}{3} \leq a \leq \frac{2}{3}. \quad (82)$$

The lowest value of $a = \frac{1}{3}$ gives $k_r = 3\pi/4$. The periodicity can be confirmed in the computations of the linear entropy for $k_r = 3\pi/4$ as shown in Fig. 9. The results further show that the periodicity remains unaffected by k_{θ} . Here, the periodicity condition for the standard QKT with $\kappa_0 = 3\pi/2$ [14] is a special case of our model. This analysis shows that for countably infinite values of k_r , the linear entropy is periodic, and for each such k_r , non-countably infinite values of k_{θ} also show periodic behaviour.

The infinite-time-averaged linear entropy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_{(0,0)}^{(3)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle = & \frac{1}{64} \left[7 + \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) \right]^{-2} \left\{ 1026 + 13 \cos\left(\frac{8k_r}{3}\right) + \left[304 - 52 \cos\left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3}\right) \right] \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) - 112 \cos\left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + 8 \cos\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3}\right) \left[-2 + 9 \cos\left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) \right] - 27 \cos\left(\frac{8k_\theta}{3}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

In the case $k_\theta = k_r$ and by setting $2k_r = \kappa_0$, the above expression reduces to Eq. (29) of Ref. [14]:

$$\langle S_{(0,0)}^{(3)}(k_r) \rangle = 4 \frac{4 + \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right)}{\left[7 + \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) \right]^2}. \quad (84)$$

B. Initial state: $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$

The initial state, denoted as $|+++ \rangle$, is a positive parity state given by

$$|+++ \rangle = \frac{1}{2} |\Phi_0^+\rangle + i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} |\Phi_1^+\rangle. \quad (85)$$

This state is evolved using Floquet operator \mathcal{U} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n\rangle = & \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{in\pi}{4}} \left(\alpha_n - i\sqrt{3}\beta_n^* \right) |\Phi_0^+\rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{in\pi}{4}} \left(\beta_n + i\sqrt{3}\alpha_n^* \right) |\Phi_1^+\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

The density matrix $\rho_1(n)$ corresponding to the state $|\psi_n\rangle$ is given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & -\frac{i}{3} |\delta_n|^2 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Im}(\eta_n \delta_n^*) \\ \frac{i}{3} |\delta_n|^2 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Im}(\eta_n \delta_n^*) & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (87)$$

where,

$$\eta_n := \frac{1}{2} \left(\alpha_n - i\sqrt{3}\beta_n^* \right) \text{ and } \delta_n := \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta_n + i\sqrt{3}\alpha_n^* \right). \quad (88)$$

The eigenvalues of $\rho_1(n)$ are $\frac{1}{2} \pm \left(\frac{1}{3} |\delta_n|^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Im}(\eta_n \delta_n^*) \right)$. The linear entropy associated with $\rho_1(n)$ is given by

$$S_{\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}^{(3)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) = \frac{1}{2} - 2 \left(\frac{1}{3} |\delta_n|^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Im}(\eta_n \delta_n^*) \right)^2.$$

It can be shown that the state $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$ satisfies the same periodicity condition by Eq. (82). The infinite-time-averaged linear entropy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_{\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}^{(3)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle = & \frac{1}{32} \left[7 + \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) \right]^{-2} \left\{ 410 + 5 \cos\left(\frac{8k_r}{3}\right) + 4 \left[28 - 9 \cos\left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3}\right) \right] \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) - 144 \cos\left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + 8 \cos\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3}\right) \left[10 + 9 \cos\left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) \right] - 27 \cos\left(\frac{8k_\theta}{3}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

In the case $k_\theta = k_r$ and by setting $2k_r = \kappa_0$, the above infinite-time-averaged linear entropy reduces to Eq. (40) of Ref. [14].

$$\langle S_{\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}^{(3)}(k_r) \rangle = 2 \frac{11 + 5 \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right)}{\left[7 + \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) \right]^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right). \quad (90)$$

Similar to the previous state $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$, here we also observe that the periodicity of the linear entropy does not depend on k_θ , as shown in Fig. 10. The maximum possible

value of the linear entropy for $k_\theta = k_r$ is $\frac{1}{3}$, and for $k_\theta = 0$, it is $\frac{5}{16}$.

C. General initial state: $|\theta_0, \phi_0\rangle$

A general state is evolved using the Floquet operator $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ to obtain:

$$|\psi_n\rangle = c'_0 |\Phi_0^+\rangle + c'_1 |\Phi_1^+\rangle + c'_2 |\Phi_0^-\rangle + c'_3 |\Phi_1^-\rangle, \quad (91)$$




FIG. 10. Linear entropy is plotted as a function of discrete time n for the 3-qubit state $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$. Here, $k_r = 3\pi/4$, (a) $k_\theta = 0$, (b) $k_\theta = 1$, (c) $k_\theta = 1.5$ and (d) $k_\theta = 3\pi/4$.

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
 c'_0 &= \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{4}(n\pi+6\phi_0)}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0 + \phi_0}{2}\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0 - \phi_0}{2}\right) \right] \left[2\alpha_n \cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) - \sqrt{3}\beta_n^* \sin(\theta_0) + i\alpha_n [\sin(\theta_0) + 2 \sin(\phi_0)] \right], \\
 c'_1 &= \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{4}(n\pi+6\phi_0)}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0 + \phi_0}{2}\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0 - \phi_0}{2}\right) \right] \left[2\beta_n \cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + \sqrt{3}\alpha_n^* \sin(\theta_0) + i\beta_n [\sin(\theta_0) + 2 \sin(\phi_0)] \right], \\
 c'_2 &= \frac{e^{\frac{i}{4}(5n\pi-6\phi_0)}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0 - \phi_0}{2}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0 + \phi_0}{2}\right) \right] \left[2\alpha_n \cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + \sqrt{3}\beta_n^* \sin(\theta_0) - i\alpha_n [\sin(\theta_0) - 2 \sin(\phi_0)] \right], \\
 c'_3 &= -\frac{e^{\frac{i}{4}(5n\pi-6\phi_0)}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0 - \phi_0}{2}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0 + \phi_0}{2}\right) \right] \left[2\beta_n \cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) - \sqrt{3}\alpha_n^* \sin(\theta_0) - i\beta_n [\sin(\theta_0) - 2 \sin(\phi_0)] \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

The single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ associated with the above state

is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_1(n) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\text{Re}[c'_1 c'_3]^* + \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}[c'_2 c'_4]^*}{p'_{12}^*} \right) \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\text{Re}[c'_1 c'_3]^* - \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}[c'_2 c'_4]^*}{p'_{12}^*}, \\
 p'_{12} &= -\frac{i}{3}(c'_2 + c'_4)(c'_2 - c'_4)^* + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(c'_1 + c'_3)(c'_2 + c'_4)^* - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(c'_2 - c'_4)(c'_1 - c'_3)^*,
 \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

The eigenvalues of the above single-qubit RDM are $1/2 \pm \sqrt{\left(\text{Re}[c'_1 c'_3]^* + \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}[c'_2 c'_4]^*\right)^2 + |p'_{12}|^2}$. Then, the linear entropy is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{(\theta_0, \phi_0)}^{(3)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) &= \frac{1}{2} - 2 \left(\text{Re}[c'_1 c'_3]^* + \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}[c'_2 c'_4]^* \right)^2 \\
 &\quad - 2|p'_{12}|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

The numerical calculations show that if the entanglement dynamics is periodic for a particular initial state, then it is periodic for every initial state (see Fig. 11) and every state satisfies the same periodicity condition given by Eq. (82).

D. Measures of quantum correlations in deep quantum regime

This subsection presents computational results regarding various measures of quantum correlations for a 3-qubit system. We particularly focus on the kick strength k_θ as it decides the temporal symmetry.

The long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy for the 3-qubit system produces similar results as that of the 2-qubit system as shown in Fig. 12. However, the two blue regions indicating low entanglement get closer in the case of $k_\theta = 1$ in the 3-qubits compared to the 2-qubit system. Note that the k_θ is a weak chaos parameter. It increases chaos by twisting the phase-space structures. However, it is not responsible for bifurcation in the classical dynamics. We analyse the semi-




FIG. 11. Linear entropy is plotted as a function of discrete time n for $j = 1.5$ and $k_\theta = 0$. The choice $a = 9/20 \implies k_r = 3\pi/2 - 3 \sin^{-1} [2 \sin(\pi/20)]/2$ is used for sub-figures: (a) $|\theta_0 = 0.2, \phi_0 = 1.3\rangle$ and (b) $|\theta_0 = 1.9, \phi_0 = 0.72\rangle$. The choice $a = 7/20 \implies k_r = 3\pi/2 - 3 \sin^{-1} [2 \sin(3\pi/20)]/2$ is used for sub-figures: (c) $|\theta_0 = 0.35, \phi_0 = 0.6\rangle$ and (d) $|\theta_0 = 0.86, \phi_0 = 0.45\rangle$.




FIG. 12. Long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy for single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$, $n = 1000$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $j = 1.5$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 0$, (b) $k_\theta = 0.25$, (c) $k_\theta = 0.75$ and (d) $k_\theta = 1$.

classical regime in Sec. VIII to clarify this issue.

The long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy for the second quadrant ($k > 0, k' < 0$) is illustrated in Figs. 13. We observe a twisting effect in the coarse-grained phase-space structures. The entanglement show deviation from the coarse-grained phase-space for large values of k_θ .

The long-time averaged quantum discord shows that the quantum correlations increase with k_θ for states $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = \pm\pi/2\rangle$ and $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ as shown in Fig. 14. Whereas, the state $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ remains unchanged. The minimum value of the long-time averaged quantum discord for $k_\theta = 0$ is close to zero. However, for $k_\theta = 1$, it is approximately 0.2 with the both cases having 0.4 maximum long-time averaged value of the quantum correlations. It further indicates that, on an average, the long-time averaged quantum correlations increase with k_θ . We observe excellent agreement between the quantum discord and the von Neumann entropy.

Although the long-time averaged concurrence captures features of formation of pairs of blue regions in the case ($k_r = 1, k_\theta = 0.75$), the blue coloured subregions become yellow for $k_\theta = 1$ leaving high valued regions outside them unchanged




FIG. 13. Long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy for single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$, $n = 1000$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $j = 1.5$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 1.25$, (b) $k_\theta = 1.75$, (c) $k_\theta = 3.0$ and (d) $k_\theta = 3.75$.




FIG. 14. Long-time-averaged quantum discord for the RDM $\rho_{12}(n)$, $n = 1000$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $j = 1.5$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 0$, (b) $k_\theta = 0.25$, (c) $k_\theta = 0.75$ and (d) $k_\theta = 1$.

(see Fig. 15). In contrast to earlier two measures, the long-time averaged concurrence of states $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ and $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ increases with slower rate with k_θ . The long-time averaged concurrence, thus, have distinct features and does not fully agree with the earlier two measures of quantum correlations.




FIG. 15. Long-time-averaged concurrence for the RDM $\rho_{12}(n)$, $n = 1000$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $j = 1.5$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 0$, (b) $k_\theta = 0.25$, (c) $k_\theta = 0.75$ and (d) $k_\theta = 1$.

VII. Exact Solution for 4-Qubits

The 4-qubit kicked top represents the smallest quantum system showing all-to-all interactions [14], which differ from nearest-neighbour interactions. Despite the non-integrability of this system, an exact solution can be derived. Following the approach used in previous studies [14], we use basis states in which the Floquet operator takes on a block-diagonal form given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_0^\pm\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0000\rangle \pm |1111\rangle), \\ |\Phi_1^\pm\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|W\rangle \mp |\bar{W}\rangle) \text{ and} \\ |\Phi_2^\pm\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} |0011\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}, \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

where $|W\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} |0001\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}$, $|\bar{W}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} |1110\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}$, and $\sum_{\mathcal{P}}$ denotes the sum over all possible permutations. In this basis, the n -th power of the Floquet operator is given by

$$\mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{U}_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{U}_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (96)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_+ &= e^{-\frac{i}{2}(k_r+\pi)} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' & i\beta'^* \\ i\beta' & \alpha'^* \end{pmatrix} \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{U}_- &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-\frac{3i}{4}(k_r-k_\theta)} \\ -e^{-\frac{3i}{4}(k_r+k_\theta)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

further, α' and β' are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &= \frac{1}{2} \sin(k_r) + \frac{i}{4} [3 \cos(k_\theta) - \cos(k_r)] \text{ and} \\ \beta' &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} [\cos(k_r) + \cos(k_\theta) + 2i \sin(k_\theta)]. \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing method analogous to the 3-qubit system, we derive the time-evolved Floquet operator as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_+^n &= e^{-\frac{i}{2}n(k_r+\pi)} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_n & i\beta_n'^* \\ i\beta_n' & \alpha_n'^* \end{pmatrix} \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{U}_-^n &= e^{-\frac{3i}{4}nk_r} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) & -\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{3i}{4}k_\theta} \\ \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) e^{\frac{3i}{4}k_\theta} & \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

where α'_n and β_n' are given by

$$\alpha'_n = \cos(n\gamma) + \frac{i}{4} \frac{\sin(n\gamma)}{\sin(\gamma)} [3 \cos(k_\theta) - \cos(k_r)], \quad (99)$$

$$\beta_n' = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \frac{\sin(n\gamma)}{\sin(\gamma)} [\cos(k_r) + \cos(k_\theta) + 2i \sin(k_\theta)], \quad (100)$$

and $\cos \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \sin k_r$. In the special case where $k_\theta = k_r$ and $2k_r = \kappa_0$, we recover the 3-qubit system discussed in Ref. [14].

A. Initial State: $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$

Following the analysis of earlier two sections, we evolve this 4-qubit state using Floquet operator as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n\rangle &= e^{-\frac{i}{2}n(k_r+\pi)} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha'_n |\Phi_0^+\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_n' |\Phi_2^+\rangle \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{4}nk_r} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) |\Phi_0^-\rangle \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{4}(nk_r-3k_\theta)} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) |\Phi_1^-\rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

The single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ associated with the above state is given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{pmatrix} p_4 & q_4 \\ q_4^* & 1 - p_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (102)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} p_4 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \text{Re}[\alpha'_n \delta_n^*] \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \right), \\ q_4 &= \frac{1}{4} \text{Re}[\varepsilon_n^* (\alpha'_n + i\sqrt{3}\beta_n')] \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right), \\ \delta_n &= e^{-\frac{i}{4}nk_r} \text{ and } \varepsilon_n = e^{-\frac{i}{4}(nk_r-3k_\theta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

The eigenvalues of $\rho_1(n)$ for odd n are $1/2 \pm \text{Re}[\varepsilon_n^* (\alpha'_n + i\sqrt{3}\beta_n')]/4$. For even n , the eigenvalues are $(1 \pm \text{Re}[\alpha'_n \delta_n^*])/2$. Then, the linear entropy is given by

$$S_{(0,0)}^{(4)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{4 - \text{Re}[\varepsilon_n^* (\alpha'_n + i\sqrt{3}\beta_n')]^2}{8} & \text{odd } n \\ \frac{1 - \text{Re}[\alpha'_n \delta_n^*]^2}{2} & \text{even } n. \end{cases} \quad (104)$$

Before analysing the infinite-time-averaged linear entropy, we find the condition for the periodicity of entanglement. Hence, we examine the first term of the single-qubit RDM given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_1)_{11} &= \frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{4} (1 + e^{in\pi}) \cos\left(\frac{nk_r}{4}\right) \cos(n\gamma) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{7 + \cos(2k_r)}{\sin^2(\gamma)} \right) \sin^2(n\gamma) \\ &\quad + \frac{1 + e^{in\pi}}{16} \left(\frac{\cos(k_r) - 3 \cos(k_\theta)}{\sin(\gamma)} \right) \sin(n\gamma) \sin\left(\frac{nk_r}{4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The above expression is periodic if there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sin(k_r) &= \cos(a\pi), \quad \frac{1}{3} \leq a \leq \frac{2}{3} \text{ and} \\ \frac{k_r}{4} &= b\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

We present several values of k_r that show periodicity in the entanglement, as illustrated in Fig. 16. Additionally, we numerically




FIG. 16. Linear entropy is plotted as a function of discrete time n for the 4-qubit state $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ and $k_\theta = 0$. Here, (a) $k_r = \pi/2$ and (c) $k_r = 3\pi/2$ has a period of 24, (b) $k_r = \pi$ has a period of 4, and (d) $k_r = 2\pi$ has a period of 2.




FIG. 17. Linear entropy is plotted as a function of discrete time n for the 4-qubit initial coherent state $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$. Here, (a) $k_r = \pi, k_\theta = 0.2\pi$, (b) $k_r = \pi, k_\theta = 0.4\pi$, (c) $k_r = 2\pi, k_\theta = 0.2\pi$, and (d) $k_r = 4\pi, k_\theta = 0.2\pi$.

cally confirm the independence of periodicity of entanglement on the values of k_θ (see Fig. 17).

Now, the infinite-time-averaged linear entropy is given by

$$\langle S_{(0,0)}^{(4)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle = \frac{160 + 25 \cos(2k_r) - 9 \cos(2k_\theta)}{64 [7 + \cos(2k_r)]}. \quad (106)$$

Similar to the 3-qubit case, we find that $\langle S_{(0,0)}^{(4)}(k_r, k_\theta = k_r) \rangle \geq \langle S_{(0,0)}^{(4)}(k_r, k_\theta = 0) \rangle$. The maximum value of the infinite-time-averaged linear entropy for $k_\theta = k_r$ is $\frac{3}{8}$, while for $k_\theta = 0$, it is $\frac{11}{32}$. In the case $k_\theta = k_r$ and by setting $2k_r = \kappa_0$, we can recover the result presented in Eq. (55) of [14]:

$$\langle S_{(0,0)}^{(4)}(k_r) \rangle = \frac{10 + \cos(2k_r)}{4[7 + \cos(2k_r)]}. \quad (107)$$

B. Initial state: $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$

This 4-qubit state lies within the positive parity subspace of the five-dimensional permutation symmetric space of the 4-qubit system. It is expressed as follows:

$$|++++\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}|\Phi_0^+\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}|\Phi_1^+\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}|\Phi_2^+\rangle. \quad (108)$$

The time-evolved state $|\psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{U}^n |++++\rangle$ is given by

$$|\psi_n\rangle = \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2}} \left[e^{i\delta'_n} \left(\frac{\alpha'_n - i\sqrt{3}\beta'_n}{2} \right) |\Phi_0^+\rangle + i|\Phi_1^+\rangle - e^{i\delta'_n} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\alpha'_n - i\beta'_n}{2} \right) |\Phi_2^+\rangle \right], \quad (109)$$

where $\delta'_n = n(\pi - k_r)/2$. The single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ associated with the above state is given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & \xi_n(k_r, k_\theta) \\ \xi_n^*(k_r, k_\theta) & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \xi_n(k_r, k_\theta) = \frac{i}{2} \text{Re} \left[\alpha'_n \cos \delta'_n + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \beta'_n \sin \delta'_n \right] - \frac{i}{4} \text{Im}[\alpha'_n] \sin \delta'_n.$$

Using eigenvalues of the above single-qubit RDM, $1/2 \pm |\xi_n|^2$, we get the linear entropy as follows:

$$S_{(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2})}^{(4)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) = \frac{1}{2} - 2|\xi_n(k_r, k_\theta)|^2. \quad (110)$$

Numerical analysis shows that the periodicity of the entanglement dynamics is independent of k_θ (see Fig. 18). This observation confirms that the state satisfies the periodicity conditions established in Eq. (105). Now, the infinite-time-averaged linear entropy is given by

$$\langle S_{(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2})}^{(4)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle = \frac{3}{8} - \frac{[\cos(k_r) + 3 \cos(k_\theta)]^2}{16[7 + \cos(2k_r)]}. \quad (111)$$

In the case $k_\theta = k_r$ and by setting $2k_r = \kappa_0$, we recover the expression provided in Eq. (59) of [14]:

$$\langle S_{(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2})}^{(4)}(k_r) \rangle = \frac{17 - \cos(2k_r)}{8[7 + \cos(2k_r)]}. \quad (112)$$

The maximum value of the infinite-time-averaged linear entropy is found to be $\frac{3}{8}$ for $k_\theta = k_r$, while for $k_\theta = 0$, it is $\frac{11}{32}$. Our results show that, similar to 3-qubit system, the 4-qubit system also exhibits higher entanglement for the case $k_\theta = k_r$ than the case $k_\theta = 0$.

C. General initial state: $|\theta_0, \phi_0\rangle$

We consider a general coherent state and evolve using Floquet operator to get the following state:

$$|\psi_n\rangle = c_0''|\Phi_0^+\rangle + c_1''|\Phi_1^+\rangle + c_2''|\Phi_2^+\rangle + c_3''|\Phi_0^-\rangle + c_4''|\Phi_1^-\rangle,$$

where the coefficients are defined as follows:




FIG. 18. Linear entropy is plotted as a function of discrete time n corresponding to the 4-qubit initial coherent state $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$ for $k_r = \pi$ and $k_\theta \in (-k_r, k_r)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
c''_0 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(nk_r+n\pi+8\phi_0)} \left[2\alpha_n \left(e^{4i\phi_0} \cos^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) + i \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right) + i\sqrt{3} e^{2i\phi_0} \beta_n^* \sin^2\theta_0 \right], \\
c''_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-1)^n e^{-2i\phi_0} \sin(\theta_0) [\cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + i \sin(\phi_0)], \\
c''_2 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(nk_r+n\pi+8\phi_0)} \left[2i\beta_n \left(e^{4i\phi_0} \cos^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) + i \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right) + \sqrt{3} e^{2i\phi_0} \alpha_n^* \sin^2(\theta_0) \right], \\
c''_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{4}(3nk_r+3k_\theta+16\phi_0)} \left[e^{\frac{3i}{4}k_\theta} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \left(e^{4i\phi_0} \cos^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) - \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right) - e^{2i\phi_0} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \sin(\theta_0) (\cos(\phi_0) + \cos(\theta_0) \sin(\phi_0)) \right], \\
c''_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{3i}{4}nk_r} \left[e^{\frac{3i}{4}k_\theta} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \left(\cos^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) - e^{-4i\phi_0} \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right) + e^{-2i\phi_0} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \sin(\theta_0) (\cos(\phi_0) + \cos(\theta_0) \sin(\phi_0)) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

The single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ corresponding to the above state is expressed as follows:

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{pmatrix} p''_{11} & p''_{12} \\ p''_{12}^* & 1 - p''_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{114}$$

where,

$$p''_{11} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + 2 \operatorname{Re}[c''_0 c''_3^*] + 2 \operatorname{Re}[c''_1 c''_4^*]) \tag{115}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p''_{12} &= \frac{1}{4} (c''_1 - c''_4)(c''_3 - c''_0)^* + \frac{1}{4} (c''_0 + c''_3)(c''_1 + c''_4)^* \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} c''_2 (c''_4 - c''_1)^* + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} c''_2^* (c''_4 + c''_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

Using eigenvalues of the above single-qubit RDM, $1/2 \pm \sqrt{\left(\operatorname{Re}[c''_0 c''_3^*] + \operatorname{Re}[c''_1 c''_4^*]\right)^2 + |p''_{12}|^2}$, the linear entropy is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{(\theta_0, \phi_0)}^{(4)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) &= \frac{1}{2} - 2(\operatorname{Re}[c''_0 c''_3^*] + \operatorname{Re}[c''_1 c''_4^*])^2 \\
&\quad - 2|p''_{12}|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

Using this expression, we plot the linear entropy for various initial states as shown in Fig. 19. Our analysis of the 4-qubit system shows also shows initial state independence of the periodicity of entanglement dynamics. However, the period depends on the initial state.




FIG. 19. Linear entropy is plotted as a function of discrete time n for 4-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ with $k_r = 3\pi/2$ and $k_\theta = 0$. Here, the initial coherent states are: (a) $|\theta_0 = 0.2, \phi_0 = 1.3\rangle$, (b) $|\theta_0 = 1.9, \phi_0 = 0.72\rangle$, (c) $|\theta_0 = 0.35, \phi_0 = 0.6\rangle$ and (d) $|\theta_0 = 0.86, \phi_0 = 0.45\rangle$.

Similar to 2-qubit and 3-qubit cases, the 4-qubit case also shows formation of low long-time averaged entanglement subregions (see Fig. 20). For the case $(k_r = 1, k_\theta = 1)$, the low long-time averaged entanglement regions do not fully split into further two. The results, thus, show consistency with the 2-qubit and 3-qubit systems.




FIG. 20. Long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy for single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$, $n = 1000$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $j = 2$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 0$, (b) $k_\theta = 0.25$, (c) $k_\theta = 0.75$ and (d) $k_\theta = 1$.

VIII. High-spin system

In this section, we analyse the average quantum correlations as a function of k_r and k_θ over the entire phase-space. Additionally, we study a special initial coherent state, $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$, since its corresponding point in the classical dynamics bifurcates at $k_r = 1$. Notably, this point is a saddle point in phase-space, and the behaviour of average quantum correlations for this state reveals an interesting dependence on j . These quantum correlations in the high-spin limit are then compared with those from the deep quantum regime and the classical phase-space. To explore the semi-classical regime, we consider system of qubits as high as $j = 500.5$.

A. von Neumann entropy

In classical dynamics, it was noted that k_r is a strong chaos parameter and is responsible for bifurcations. The k_θ , on the other hand, is a weak chaos parameter. It only shrinks the regular region by twisting the phase-space structures without causing bifurcations. In the 2-qubit system, however, we observe that the low valued regions of long-time averaged entanglement further divide into two subregions with an increase in k_θ (see Fig. 8). The distinction between these two subdivided low valued regions of the long-time averaged entanglement becomes less pronounced as j is increased from one to two (see Figs. 8, 12, and 20).

The long-time averaged von Neumann entropy reveals fine-grained phase-space structures (see Fig. 21). The low valued long-time averaged entanglement of states $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = \pm\pi/2\rangle$ corresponds to the trivial fixed points for $k_r = 0.75$, as shown in Fig. 21(a). States $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ and $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ show lower entropy compared to the deep quantum regime (see Figs. 8, 12, and 20). Interestingly, their long-time averaged entanglement decreases for $k_r = 1.0$ (see Fig. 21(b)), remains close to zero for $k_r = 1.25$ (see Fig. 21(c)), and for $k_r > 1.5$, it increases rapidly. States belonging to the boundaries of the period-4 cycle have high long-time averaged entanglement and saturate to maximum




FIG. 21. Long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy for a single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $n = 1000$, $j = 50.5$, $k_\theta = 0$. (a) $k_r = 0.75$, (b) $k_r = 1$, (c) $k_r = 1.25$, (d) $k_r = 1.5$, (e) $k_r = 1.75$ and (f) $k_r = 2$.




FIG. 22. Long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy for a single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $n = 1000$, $j = 75.5$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 0$, (b) $k_\theta = 0.25$, (c) $k_\theta = 0.75$ and (d) $k_\theta = 1$.




FIG. 23. Long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy for a single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $n = 1000$, $j = 75.5$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 1.25$, (b) $k_\theta = 1.75$, (c) $k_\theta = 3.0$ and (d) $k_\theta = 3.75$.

(see Fig. 21(c)). The formation of low valued regions of long-time averaged entanglement corresponds to stable fixed points, and high long-time averaged entanglement corresponds to the

chaotic boundaries of the period-4 cycles. For $k_r = 1.5$, the long-time averaged entanglement closely follows fine-grained phase-space structures, as shown in Fig. 21(d). However, points $(\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = \pm\pi/2)$ are chaotic in the classical dynamics (see Fig. 1(d)). In contrast, the corresponding states have lower value of long-time averaged entanglement. The results of Figs. 21(e) and 21(f) are consistent with the corresponding phase-space structures.

The KSE indicates that the fixed point transitions into a homoclinic point (intersection of unstable and stable manifolds) at $k_r = 1$, initially showing slow growth until $k_r = 1.25$, followed by faster increase (see Fig. 24(a)). The quantum dynamics of the state $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$ splits into three regions, as shown in Fig. 24(b). The first region, $0 \leq k_r < 1$, has an extremely low value of the long-time averaged entanglement. The second region starts at $k_r = 1$ onwards until it reaches a value that depends on the total spin- j . At this value of k_r , a transition occurs, and the long-time averaged entanglement quickly saturates to maximum. For $j = 25.5$, $j = 50.5$, and $j = 200.5$, the transition point occurs at $k_r = 1.55$, $k_r = 1.475$, and $k_r = 1.325$, respectively. Beyond $j = 200.5$, the shift in the transition point becomes extremely slow. This is evident from the overlap between the $j = 200.5$ and $j = 500.5$ plots. In the limit $j \rightarrow \infty$, the transition point gradually approaches its classical counterpart. It shows that the quantum effects extend the stability of the state $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$ (see Figs. 21(c) and 21(d)).

As noted earlier, the role of k_r is analogous to that of k in the standard QKT. In contrast, k_θ is a unique feature of our model. The long-time averaged entanglement shows excellent agreement with the classical phase-space structures for $k_\theta < k_r$ (see Figs. 2, 5 and 22). In contrast, when $k_\theta > k_r$, regions of high long-time averaged entanglement grow rapidly with k_θ (see Fig. 23) compared to its classical counterpart (see Figs. 3, 6 and 7(b)).

Building on these observations, we analyse how long-time averaged entanglement depends on j and k_θ . It can be observed that $\langle S_{j=500}(k_\theta) \rangle < \langle S_{j=100}(k_\theta) \rangle < \langle S_{j=50}(k_\theta) \rangle < \langle S_{j=25}(k_\theta) \rangle$, as shown in Fig. 25. For these cases, the $\langle S_{j>25}(k_\theta = 0) \rangle$ is minimum and grows rapidly with $|k_\theta|$. This behaviour arises due to the stretching caused by k_θ that shrinks the width of fixed orbits. Consequently, states associated with these fixed orbits get higher long-time averaged entanglement with more twist k_θ due to the spread of wave packets. The deep quantum regime deviates from the above trend, specifically, for large values of k_θ .

Recall that the two low valued regions of the long-time averaged entanglement further split into two, as k_θ increases from zero to one in the deep quantum regime (see Figs. 8, 12, and 20). An excellent agreement is observed between low-spin and high-spin systems for the case ($k_r = 1, k_\theta = 0$), as shown in Figs. 8(a), 12(a), 20(a), and 22(a). However, for $k_\theta = k_r = 1$, the distinction between two low valued subregions of the long-time averaged entanglement gets less pronounced with a increase in j (see Figs. 8(d), 12(d), 20(d), and 22(d)).




FIG. 24. (a) KSE versus k_r for the point $(\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2)$ evolved for 10^5 kicks keeping $k_\theta = 0$. (b) Long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy plotted versus k_r for the initial coherent state $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$, $n = 1000$ and $k_\theta = 0$.




FIG. 25. Long-time-averaged von Neumann entropy for the single-qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $n = 1000$ and $k_r = 1$.

B. Quantum discord

In this subsection, we will discuss the long-time averaged quantum discord as a function of k_r and k_θ in the high-spin regime. Then, these results are compared with the corresponding long-time averaged von Neumann entropy and KSE.

The long-time averaged quantum discord computed in Figs. 26(a), 26(b), 26(c), 26(e), 27, and 28 show excellent




FIG. 26. Long-time-averaged quantum discord for a 2-qubit RDM $\rho_{12}(n)$, $n = 1000$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $j = 50.5$, $k_\theta = 0$, (a) $k_r = 0.75$, (b) $k_r = 1$, (c) $k_r = 1.25$, (d) $k_r = 1.5$, (e) $k_r = 1.75$ and (f) $k_r = 2$.




FIG. 27. Long-time-averaged quantum discord for 2-qubit RDM $\rho_{12}(n)$, $n = 1000$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $j = 75.5$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 0$, (b) $k_\theta = 0.25$, (c) $k_\theta = 0.75$ and (d) $k_\theta = 1$.




FIG. 28. Long-time-averaged quantum discord for 2-qubit RDM $\rho_{12}(n)$, $n = 1000$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $j = 75.5$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 1.25$, (b) $k_\theta = 1.75$, (c) $k_\theta = 3.0$ and (d) $k_\theta = 3.75$.

agreement with that of the long-time averaged von Neumann entropy computed in Figs. 21(a), 21(b), 21(c), 21(e), 22, and 23 respectively. The low value of the long-time averaged quantum

discord (see 26(d)) for states $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = \pm\pi/2\rangle$ further supports results of the von Neumann entropy (see Fig. 21(d)). However, states associated with bifurcated orbits have relatively higher long-time averaged quantum discord, as shown in Fig. 26(d). Soon for $k_r = 2$, the long-time averages of the quantum discord for all initial coherent states get saturated to maximum (see 26(f)). Since the quantum discord may qualitatively differ with the KSE, it can be seen as a slightly weaker signature of chaos.

C. Concurrence

In this subsection, we will discuss the long-time averaged concurrence as a function of k_r and k_θ in the high-spin regime. Then, these results are compared with the corresponding long-time averaged von Neumann entropy, quantum discord and KSE. We also compare results obtained with the long-time averaged concurrence in the deep quantum regime.

It can be seen from the Figs. 15 and 29 that the long-time averaged concurrence decays with j , indicating multipartite nature of the entanglement. States associated with the trivial fixed points have relatively higher values compared to that for other states (see Fig. 29). For $k_\theta \leq k_r$, the rate of decay for the long-time averaged concurrence is greater for states $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ and $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ than that for states $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = \pm\pi/2\rangle$ with increase in k_θ (see Fig. 29). The converse is true for $k_\theta > k_r$ (see Fig. 30). Concurrence, therefore, complements with the results of von Neumann entropy in the high-spin regime and show partial deviations in the deep quantum regime.




FIG. 29. Long-time-averaged concurrence for 2-qubit RDM $\rho_{12}(n)$, $n = 1000$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $j = 75.5$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 0$, (b) $k_\theta = 0.25$, (c) $k_\theta = 0.75$ and (d) $k_\theta = 1$.

IX. Results

The classical dynamics of DKT presents a rich phase-space structure, with one part governed by k_r being *equivalent* to the kicking strength of the standard kicked top. The other part, governed by k_θ , is a unique feature of our model. It allows us to study the dynamics in a system with broken time-reversal




FIG. 30. Long-time-averaged concurrence for 2-qubit RDM $\rho_{12}(n)$, $n = 1000$ and a grid of 200×200 initial coherent states. Here, $j = 75.5$, $k_r = 1$, (a) $k_\theta = 1.25$, (b) $k_\theta = 1.75$, (c) $k_\theta = 3.0$ and (d) $k_\theta = 3.75$.

symmetry. It can be further divided into two parts. For $k_\theta < k_r$, the phase-space structure *rotates* with the same angular speed around the trivial fixed points (see Fig. 2). Whereas for $k_\theta > k_r$, however, the phase-space structure *rotates* at an angular speed that depends on its distance from the trivial fixed points (see Fig. 3). Although this qualitative change occurs at the time-reversal symmetric case $k_\theta = \pm k_r$, the KSE keeps increasing without any noteworthy change at these points. We also obtained the time-reversal operator for the case $k_\theta = 0$.

The paper presents exact solutions for 2- to 4-qubit systems and provides analytical expressions for the Floquet operator, its eigenvalues and the entanglement dynamics.

We give a criterion for the periodicity of entanglement in systems with two to four qubits. Although the infinite-time averaged entanglement depends on k_θ , the periodicity of entanglement dynamics does not. We numerically verify that the periodicity of entanglement dynamics is independent of the initial coherent state. Nevertheless, the *period* depends on the initial state. Since the periodicity depends only on k_r , it remains unaffected by the time-reversal symmetry.

In the deep quantum regime, the long-time averaged quantum correlations produce coarse-grained phase-space structures. Here, the von Neumann entropy shows good agreement with the quantum discord and shows partial agreement with the concurrence. It also shows good agreement with the LLE and KSE. In this regime, our study reveals an interesting phenomenon that does not occur in the corresponding classical counterpart. The regions with low values of the long-time averaged entanglement further split into two subregions as k_θ increases from zero onwards.

In the semi-classical regime, for $k_\theta < k_r$, the long-time averaged von Neumann entropy shows excellent agreement with the LLE and KSE. For $k_\theta > k_r$, however, the results depend strongly on the values of j . For extremely high values of j , the long-time averaged von Neumann entropy shows good agreement but deviates as j decreases. This happens because of quantum effects associated with the twisting of classical phase-space structures. In contrast to the long-time averaged

von Neumann entropy, the long-time averaged quantum discord and the long-time averaged concurrence show slightly weaker agreement with the KSE. When averaged over all initial states, the long-time averaged entanglement is minimum for $k_\theta = 0$. This result aligns with that of the corresponding KSE. The quantum correlations give signatures of bifurcations for states associated with the homoclinic point.

We show that if the standard kicked top (DKT with $k' = 0$) is in the chaotic regime for a given kick strength k , then chaos can be minimised by setting $k' = -k$, that is $k_r = 0$. In the corresponding QKT, the aperiodic dynamics ($k \neq j\pi/2$) can be tuned to be periodic by setting k' such that $k_r = (k+k')/2 = j\pi/2$. This, in turn, minimises the average entanglement of the quantum system.

X. Discussion

The time-reversal symmetric cases $k_\theta = 0$ and $k_\theta = k_r$, both show linear level repulsion. For a particular k_r , both these cases have similar structures related by a *rotation* around the trivial fixed points. The role of k_r in the DKT is similar to that of the kick strength k in the standard kicked top. The k_θ increases chaos without introducing bifurcations, which is an interesting feature of our model.

Our analysis shows that the case $k_\theta = k_r$ for which there exists a time-reversal symmetry in the quantum dynamics does show qualitative changes in the corresponding classical system. However, these observed changes are only qualitative and could be quantified in the future. These results provide deeper insights into the quantum-classical correspondence.

In the semi-classical limit, we observe that each of the two low-entropy regions corresponding to the trivial fixed points further splits into two as k_θ increases from zero onwards. This split is prominent in the deep quantum regime. This bifurcation-like phenomenon is absent in the corresponding classical dynamics. By analysing the stability of a given state using measures such as fidelity, one can find its dependence on j . In turn, it would tell us when and how far quantum effects dominate over the underlying classical system.

Finally, we give a mechanism to minimise classical and quantum chaos in the standard kicked top systems by introducing an appropriate second kick k' , applied perpendicular to both: the free precession and the first kick k . Specifically, any aperiodic quantum dynamics in QKT (DKT with $k' = 0$) can be made periodic and thus can minimise the entanglement generation by using a suitable choice of the kick strength k' . Following the broad range of applications and experimental realisations of the QKT [15–17, 20, 22], our model can be used to control chaos in the classical systems and entanglement growth in the quantum system. Moreover, the chaos resulting from k_θ can be observed experimentally.

XI. Acknowledgments

-
- [1] F. Haake, M. Kuś, and R. Scharf, *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter* **65**, 381 (1987).
- [2] F. Haake, H. Wiedemann, and K. Życzkowski, *Annalen der Physik* **504**, 531 (1992).
- [3] F. Haake, *Quantum Signatures of Chaos*, 1st ed., Springer Series in Synergetics (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1991).
- [4] O. Bohigas, M. J. Giannoni, and C. Schmit, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, 1 (1984).
- [5] X. Wang, S. Ghose, B. C. Sanders, and B. Hu, *Phys. Rev. E* **70**, 016217 (2004).
- [6] M. Lombardi and A. Matzkin, *Phys. Rev. E* **83**, 016207 (2011).
- [7] J. B. Ruebeck, J. Lin, and A. K. Pattanayak, *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 062222 (2017).
- [8] J. N. Bandyopadhyay and A. Lakshminarayan, *Phys. Rev. E* **69**, 016201 (2004).
- [9] J. N. Bandyopadhyay and A. Lakshminarayan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 060402 (2002).
- [10] V. Madhok, V. Gupta, D.-A. Trottier, and S. Ghose, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 032906 (2015).
- [11] T. Bhattacharya, S. Habib, and K. Jacobs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4852 (2000).
- [12] U. T. Bhosale and M. S. Santhanam, *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 012216 (2017).
- [13] M. Kumari and S. Ghose, *Phys. Rev. E* **97**, 052209 (2018).
- [14] S. Dogra, V. Madhok, and A. Lakshminarayan, *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 062217 (2019).
- [15] S. Chaudhury, A. Smith, B. Anderson, S. Ghose, and P. S. Jessen, *Nature* **461**, 768 (2009).
- [16] V. R. Krithika, M. S. Santhanam, and T. S. Mahesh, *Phys. Rev. A* **108**, 032207 (2023).
- [17] V. R. Krithika, V. S. Anjusha, U. T. Bhosale, and T. S. Mahesh, *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 032219 (2019).
- [18] M. Kumari and S. Ghose, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 042311 (2019).
- [19] U. T. Bhosale and M. S. Santhanam, *Phys. Rev. E* **98**, 052228 (2018).
- [20] C. Neill, P. Roushan, M. Fang, Y. Chen, M. Kolodrubetz, Z. Chen, A. Megrant, R. Barends, B. Campbell, B. Chiaro, A. Dunsworth, E. Jeffrey, J. Kelly, J. Mutus, P. J. J. O'Malley, C. Quintana, D. Sank, A. Vainsencher, J. Wenner, T. C. White, A. Polkovnikov, and J. M. Martinis, *Nature Physics* **12**, 1037 (2016).
- [21] M. H. Muñoz Arias, P. M. Poggi, P. S. Jessen, and I. H. Deutsch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 110503 (2020).
- [22] E. J. Meier, J. Ang'ong'a, F. A. An, and B. Gadway, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 013623 (2019).
- [23] E. P. Wigner, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **47**, 790 (1951).
- [24] E. P. Wigner, *Annals of Mathematics* **62**, 548 (1955).
- [25] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 3rd ed. (Elsevier Academic Press, London, 2004).
- [26] U. T. Bhosale, *Phys. Rev. E* **107**, 024132 (2023).
- [27] F. M. Izrailev, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **22**, 865 (1989).
- [28] M. V. Berry and M. Robnik, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **19**, 649 (1986).
- [29] S. Ghose, R. Stock, P. Jessen, R. Lal, and A. Silberfarb, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, 042318 (2008).
- [30] V. Madhok, V. Gupta, D.-A. Trottier, and S. Ghose, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 032906 (2015).
- [31] V. Madhok, S. Dogra, and A. Lakshminarayan, *Optics Communications* **420**, 189 (2018).
- [32] J. Li, R. Fan, H. Wang, B. Ye, B. Zeng, H. Zhai, X. Peng, and J. Du, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 031011 (2017).
- [33] J. Chávez-Carlos, B. López-del Carpio, M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, P. Stránský, S. Lerma-Hernández, L. F. Santos, and J. G. Hirsch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 024101 (2019).
- [34] V. Khemani, D. A. Huse, and A. Nahum, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 144304 (2018).
- [35] D. A. Trunin, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 105023 (2023).
- [36] R. Zarum and S. Sarkar, *Phys. Rev. E* **57**, 5467 (1998).
- [37] H. Yan, Q. Wang, and M. Robnik, *Phys. Rev. E* **110**, 064222 (2024).
- [38] V. Madhok, S. Dogra, and A. Lakshminarayan, *Optics Communications* **420**, 189 (2018).
- [39] E. Ott, C. Grebogi, and J. A. Yorke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 1196 (1990).
- [40] S. Tomsovic, J. D. Urbina, and K. Richter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 020201 (2023).
- [41] S. Tomsovic, J. D. Urbina, and K. Richter, *Phys. Rev. E* **108**, 044202 (2023).
- [42] L. Beringer, M. Steinhuber, J. Diego Urbina, K. Richter, and S. Tomsovic, *New Journal of Physics* **26**, 073002 (2024).
- [43] M. Gruebele and P. G. Wolynes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 060201 (2007).
- [44] J. K. Eastman, J. J. Hope, and A. R. Carvalho, *Scientific reports* **7**, 44684 (2017).
- [45] B. B. Ferreira, A. S. de Paula, and M. A. Savi, *Journal of Applied Chaos Theory* **12**, 123 (2025).
- [46] S. J. Schiff, *Journal of Neurophysiology* **75**, 1370 (1996).
- [47] M. H. Muñoz Arias, P. M. Poggi, and I. H. Deutsch, *Phys. Rev. E* **103**, 052212 (2021).
- [48] V. Constantoudis and N. Theodorakopoulos, *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 5189 (1997).
- [49] H. Sharma and U. T. Bhosale, *Phys. Rev. B* **109**, 014412 (2024).
- [50] H. K. Owusu, K. Wagh, and E. A. Yuzbashyan, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **42**, 035206 (2008).
- [51] A. Doikou, S. Evangelisti, G. Feverati, and N. Karaiskos, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **25**, 3307 (2010).
- [52] A. Gubin and L. F. Santos, *American Journal of Physics* **80**, 246 (2012).
- [53] E. A. Yuzbashyan and B. S. Shastry, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **150**, 704 (2013).
- [54] Q. Wang and M. Robnik, *Entropy-switz*. **23** (2021).
- [55] G. J. Milburn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 220 (1999).
- [56] R. J. Glauber and F. Haake, *Phys. Rev. A* **13**, 357 (1976).
- [57] R. R. Puri, *Mathematical Methods of Quantum Optics*, Springer Series in Optical Sciences, Vol. 79 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001).
- [58] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information* (Cambridge university press, 2010).
- [59] H. Ollivier and W. H. Zurek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 017901 (2001).
- [60] M. S. Sarandy and L. M. Filho, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 052323 (2005).
- [61] S. A. Hill and W. K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 5022 (1997).
- [62] W. K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 2245 (1998).
- [63] J. J. Sakurai and J. Napolitano, *Modern Quantum Mechanics*, revised ed. (Addison-Wesley, San Francisco, CA, 1994).

Supplementary Material for “The double kicked top: classical, quantum and semi-classical analysis”

XII. Introduction

This supplementary material provides detailed analytical calculations of the classical map and its tangent map (see Secs. XIII and XIV) for our model from the main text. In Sec. XV, we derive non-conventional time-reversal operators for the cases discussed in the main text. Then we study the entanglement dynamics in the deep quantum regime. To be precise, we derive expressions for the eigenvalues, eigenvectors, and the time-evolved linear entropy for an arbitrary initial spin-coherent state for 2-, 3- and 4-qubit systems (see Secs. XVI, XVII and XVIII). In addition, we obtain an infinite-time average linear entropy for any general initial spin-coherent state $|\theta_0, \phi_0\rangle$ for the case of 2-qubits. Whereas, in the case of 3- and 4-qubits, we obtain an infinite-time average linear entropy for two initial states $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ and $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$.

XIII. Classical Map

In this section, we first derive the following transformations:

$$J'_l = \mathcal{U}^\dagger J_l \mathcal{U}, \quad (\text{S1})$$

and then obtain the classical map by taking limit $j \rightarrow \infty$. Here, $l = x, y, z$ and the Floquet operator is given by

$$\mathcal{U} = \exp\left(-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2\right) \exp(-ipJ_y). \quad (\text{S2})$$

The derivation is organized into four subsections: (A) transformations of J_l around x -axis, (B) transformations of J_l around z -axis, (C) transformations of J_l around y -axis, and finally (D) the full derivation combining these transformations.

A. Transformation around x -axis

The transformation induced by the operator $\exp\left(i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right)$ is evaluated as follows. Since $\exp\left(i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right)$ commutes with J_x , we have:

$$\exp\left(i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right)J_x \exp\left(-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right) = J_x. \quad (\text{S3})$$

To transform J_y , we use the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula [63]:

$$\exp\left(i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right)J_y \exp\left(-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(i\frac{k'}{2j}\right)^n [J_x^2, J_y]_n, \quad (\text{S4})$$

where $[A, B]_n$ represents the nested commutator defined recursively as $[A, B]_0 = B$ and $[A, B]_n = [A, [A, B]_{n-1}]$. Expanding the first few terms, we find:

$$[J_x^2, J_y]_0 = J_y \quad (\text{S5})$$

$$[J_x^2, J_y]_1 = [J_x^2, J_y] = J_x [J_x, J_y] + [J_x, J_y] J_x = 2iJ_z J_x + J_y, \quad (\text{S6})$$

$$[J_x^2, J_y]_2 = [J_x^2, [J_x^2, J_y]] = 2^2 J_y J_x^2 + 4iJ_z J_x + J_y, \quad (\text{S7})$$

$$[J_x^2, J_y]_3 = [J_x^2, [J_x^2, [J_x^2, J_y]]] = 2^3 iJ_z J_x^3 + 12J_y J_x^2 - 6iJ_z J_x + J_y, \quad (\text{S8})$$

$$[J_x^2, J_y]_4 = [J_x^2, [J_x^2, [J_x^2, [J_x^2, J_y]]]] = 2^4 J_y J_x^4 + 30iJ_z J_x^3 + 24J_y J_x^2 + 8iJ_z J_x + J_y, \quad (\text{S9})$$

$$[J_x^2, J_y]_5 = [J_x^2, [J_x^2, [J_x^2, [J_x^2, [J_x^2, J_y]]]]] = 2^5 iJ_z J_x^5 + 76J_y J_x^4 + 78iJ_z J_x^3 + 40J_y J_x^2 + 10iJ_z J_x + J_y. \quad (\text{S10})$$

While applying commutation relations, we set $\hbar = 1$. Combining and re-arranging all these terms, we get

$$e^{i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2} J_y e^{-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2} = J_y \left(1 - \frac{\left(\frac{k'}{j}\right)^2}{2!} J_x^2 + \frac{\left(\frac{k'}{j}\right)^4}{4!} J_x^4 \dots \right) - J_z \left(\frac{k'}{j} J_x - \frac{\left(\frac{k'}{j}\right)^3}{3!} J_x^3 + \frac{\left(\frac{k'}{j}\right)^5}{5!} J_x^5 + \dots \right). \quad (\text{S11})$$

In the large j limit, we get the following equation:

$$e^{i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2}J_y e^{-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2} = J_y \cos\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) - J_z \sin\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right). \quad (\text{S12})$$

Similarly, for J_z , we have

$$e^{i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2}J_z e^{-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2} = J_z \cos\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) + J_y \sin\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right). \quad (\text{S13})$$

B. Transformation around z -axis

In this subsection, we analyze the transformation around the z -axis using the $|j, m\rangle$ basis. The approach involves evaluating the transformation properties of the creation operator J_+ and annihilation operator J_- . These results are subsequently utilized to determine the transformations of the spin components J_x and J_y .

The transformation of the operator J_+ is evaluated as follows:

$$\langle j, m | e^{i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} J_+ e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} | j, n \rangle = e^{i\frac{k}{2j}(m^2 - n^2)} C_{jm, n+1} \delta_{m, n+1}, \quad (\text{S14})$$

where the right-hand side is nonzero only if $m = n + 1$. Therefore, the expression simplifies to

$$\langle j, m | e^{i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} J_+ e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} | j, n \rangle = e^{i\frac{k}{j}(n+\frac{1}{2})} C_{jn+1}. \quad (\text{S15})$$

This implies the operator identity:

$$e^{i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} J_+ e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} = J_+ e^{i\frac{k}{j}(J_z + \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (\text{S16})$$

Similarly, for the annihilation operator J_- , we obtain:

$$\langle j, m | e^{i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} J_- e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} | j, n \rangle = e^{i\frac{k}{j}(-n+\frac{1}{2})} C_{jn-1} \implies e^{i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} J_- e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} = J_- e^{i\frac{k}{j}(-J_z + \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (\text{S17})$$

Using the standard definitions of the spin components, $J_x := \frac{1}{2}(J_+ + J_-)$ and $J_y := \frac{1}{2i}(J_+ - J_-)$, we derive their transformations:

$$e^{i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} J_x e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[J_+ e^{i\frac{k}{j}(J_z + \frac{1}{2})} + J_- e^{-i\frac{k}{j}(J_z - \frac{1}{2})} \right], \quad (\text{S18})$$

$$e^{i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} J_y e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} = \frac{1}{2i} \left[J_+ e^{i\frac{k}{j}(J_z + \frac{1}{2})} - J_- e^{-i\frac{k}{j}(J_z - \frac{1}{2})} \right]. \quad (\text{S19})$$

In the large j -limit, the transformations simplify to:

$$e^{i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} J_x e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} = J_x \cos\left(\frac{k}{j}J_z\right) - J_y \sin\left(\frac{k}{j}J_z\right), \quad (\text{S20})$$

$$e^{i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} J_y e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} = J_y \cos\left(\frac{k}{j}J_z\right) + J_x \sin\left(\frac{k}{j}J_z\right), \quad (\text{S21})$$

$$e^{i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} J_z e^{-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2} = J_z. \quad (\text{S22})$$

C. Transformation around y -axis

In this subsection, we present the derivation of the transformations of the angular momentum operators J_x , J_y , and J_z under a rotation around the y -axis. Using the BCH formula, the transformed operators are obtained as follows. The transformation of J_x under a rotation by an angle p around the y -axis is expressed as:

$$e^{ipJ_y} J_x e^{-ipJ_y}. \quad (\text{S23})$$

Using the BCH formula, this can be expanded as:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ipJ_y} J_x e^{-ipJ_y} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ip)^n}{n!} \underbrace{[J_y, J_x]_n}_{\text{nested commutators}} \\ &= J_x + (ip)[J_y, J_x] + \frac{(ip)^2}{2!} [J_y, [J_y, J_x]] + \frac{(ip)^3}{3!} [J_y, [J_y, [J_y, J_x]]] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the commutators using the angular momentum algebra $[J_y, J_x] = iJ_z$ and $[J_y, J_z] = -iJ_x$, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ipJ_y} J_x e^{-ipJ_y} &= J_x + pJ_z - \frac{p^2}{2!} J_x - \frac{p^3}{3!} J_z + \dots \\ &= J_x \cos(p) + J_z \sin(p). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S24})$$

The operator J_y commutes with the unitary operator e^{ipJ_y} , since $[J_y, J_y] = 0$. Thus, the transformation of J_y is trivial:

$$e^{ipJ_y} J_y e^{-ipJ_y} = J_y. \quad (\text{S25})$$

The transformation of J_z under a rotation around the y -axis is given by:

$$e^{ipJ_y} J_z e^{-ipJ_y} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ip)^n}{n!} [J_y, J_z]_n. \quad (\text{S26})$$

Expanding this transformation using the BCH formula:

$$e^{ipJ_y} J_z e^{-ipJ_y} = J_z + (ip)[J_y, J_z] + \frac{(ip)^2}{2!} [J_y, [J_y, J_z]] + \frac{(ip)^3}{3!} [J_y, [J_y, [J_y, J_z]]] + \dots$$

Using the commutation relations, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ipJ_y} J_z e^{-ipJ_y} &= J_z - pJ_x - \frac{p^2}{2!} J_z + \frac{p^3}{3!} J_x + \dots \\ &= J_z \cos(p) - J_x \sin(p). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S27})$$

D. Derivation of the final classical map

To facilitate the derivation, we first establish a general result for any polynomial $f(J_l)$ under the transformation

$$e^{ig(J_m)} f(J_l) e^{-ig(J_m)} = f\left(e^{ig(J_m)} J_l e^{-ig(J_m)}\right), \quad (\text{S28})$$

where $g(J_m)$ is any function of the angular momentum operators \mathbf{J} . To verify this, consider $f(J_x) = \sin\left(\frac{k'}{j} J_x\right)$. Using the Taylor series expansion of the sine function, we write:

$$\sin\left(\frac{k'}{j} J_x\right) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l+1)!} \left(\frac{k'}{j} J_x\right)^{2l+1}. \quad (\text{S29})$$

Applying the transformation $e^{ig(J_m)} \cdot e^{-ig(J_m)}$ term by term yields:

$$e^{ig(J_m)} \sin\left(\frac{k'}{j} J_x\right) e^{-ig(J_m)} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l+1)!} \left[e^{ig(J_m)} \left(\frac{k'}{j} J_x\right)^{2l+1} e^{-ig(J_m)} \right] \quad (\text{S30})$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l+1)!} \left[\frac{k'}{j} \left(e^{ig(J_m)} J_x e^{-ig(J_m)} \right)^{2l+1} \right] \quad (\text{S31})$$

$$= \sin\left[\frac{k'}{j} \left(e^{ig(J_m)} J_x e^{-ig(J_m)} \right) \right]. \quad (\text{S32})$$

The transformation of J_x is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} J'_x &= \mathcal{U}^\dagger J_x \mathcal{U} \\ &= e^{ipJ_y} \left[e^{i\frac{k'}{2j}J_z^2} \left(e^{i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2} J_x e^{-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2} \right) e^{-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_z^2} \right] e^{-ipJ_y}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S33})$$

Using Eq. (S3), the inner transformation becomes:

$$e^{i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2} J_x e^{-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2} = J_x. \quad (\text{S34})$$

For the outer bracket, we use the established commutation relations and Eq. (S28). Applying $e^{i\frac{k'}{2j}J_z^2}$ yields:

$$e^{i\frac{k'}{2j}J_z^2} J_x e^{-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_z^2} = J_x \cos\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_z\right) - J_y \sin\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_z\right). \quad (\text{S35})$$

Finally, applying the rotation e^{ipJ_y} , we get

$$J'_x = \left(e^{ipJ_y} J_x e^{-ipJ_y} \right) \cos\left[\frac{k'}{j}\left(e^{ipJ_y} J_z e^{-ipJ_y} \right)\right] - J_y \sin\left[\frac{k'}{j}\left(e^{ipJ_y} J_z e^{-ipJ_y} \right)\right]. \quad (\text{S36})$$

Similarly, the transformation equations for the variables J_y and J_z , expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} J'_y &= \left[J_y \cos\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) - J_z \sin\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) \right] \cos\left\{\frac{k'}{j}\left[J_z \cos\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) + J_y \sin\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) \right]\right\} \\ &\quad + J_x \sin\left\{\frac{k'}{j}\left[J_z \cos\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) + J_y \sin\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) \right]\right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S37})$$

$$\begin{aligned} J'_z &= -J_x \cos\left\{\frac{k'}{j}\left[J_z \cos\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) + J_y \sin\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) \right]\right\} \\ &\quad + \left[J_y \cos\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) - J_z \sin\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) \right] \sin\left\{\frac{k'}{j}\left[J_z \cos\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) + J_y \sin\left(\frac{k'}{j}J_x\right) \right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S38})$$

Now, we introduce the normalized variables $X = \frac{J_x}{j}$, $Y = \frac{J_y}{j}$, $Z = \frac{J_z}{j}$. In the limit $j \rightarrow \infty$, the transformations in Eqs. (S36), (S37) and (S38) converge to a classical map for the variables X , Y , and Z . The resulting classical map is given by:

$$X' = Z \cos(kX) + Y \sin(kX), \quad (\text{S39})$$

$$Y' = [Y \cos(kX) - Z \sin(kX)] \cos[k'(Z \cos(kX) + Y \sin(kX))] + X \sin[k'(Z \cos(kX) + Y \sin(kX))], \quad (\text{S40})$$

$$Z' = -X \cos[k'(Z \cos(kX) + Y \sin(kX))] + [Y \cos(kX) - Z \sin(kX)] \sin[k'(Z \cos(kX) + Y \sin(kX))]. \quad (\text{S41})$$

The corresponding phase space is plotted for various values of k and k' in Figs. 1, 2 and 3 in the main text.

XIV. Derivation of the Tangent map

In this section, we give the explicit form of the tangent map for the classical map \mathbf{F} evaluated at a point $\mathbf{X} := (X, Y, Z)$. The tangent map $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{X}) := \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{X}}$ is given as follows:

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{X}_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{11} & \mathbf{M}_{12} & \mathbf{M}_{13} \\ \mathbf{M}_{21} & \mathbf{M}_{22} & \mathbf{M}_{23} \\ \mathbf{M}_{31} & \mathbf{M}_{32} & \mathbf{M}_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S42})$$

where the elements \mathbf{M}_{ij} are found explicitly as follows:

$$\mathbf{M}_{11} = kY \cos(kX) - kZ \sin(kX), \quad (\text{S43})$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{12} = \sin(kX), \quad (\text{S44})$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{13} = \cos(kX), \quad (\text{S45})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{21} = & -kk' [Y \cos(kX) - Z \sin(kX)]^2 \sin [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] \\ & + kk'X [Y \cos(kX) - Z \sin(kX)] \cos [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] \\ & - k [Y \sin(kX) + Z \cos(kX)] \cos [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] + \sin [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S46})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{22} = & \cos(kX) \cos [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] + k'X \sin(kX) \cos [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] \\ & + k' \sin(kX) [Z \sin(kX) - Y \cos(kX)] \sin [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S47})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{23} = & k'X \cos(kX) \cos [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] - \sin(kX) \cos [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] \\ & - k' \cos(kX) [Y \cos(kX) - Z \sin(kX)] \sin [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S48})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{31} = & \cos [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] \left[kk'Y^2 \cos^2(kX) - kk'YZ \sin(2kX) + kk'Z^2 \sin^2(kX) - 1 \right] \\ & + k [\cos(kX)(k'XY - Z) - \sin(kX)(k'XZ + Y)] \sin [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S49})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{32} = & k' \sin(kX) [Y \cos(kX) - Z \sin(kX)] \cos [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] \\ & + \cos(kX) \sin [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] + k'X \sin(kX) \sin [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S50})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{33} = & k' \cos(kX) [Y \cos(kX) - Z \sin(kX)] \cos [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] \\ & + k'X \cos(kX) \sin [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)] - \sin(kX) \sin [k'Y \sin(kX) + k'Z \cos(kX)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S51})$$

This tangent map is used to compute the largest Lyapunov exponent and the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy in the main text.

XV. Derivation of the time-reversal operator

In this section, we find the non-conventional time-reversal operator $T = UK$ for our model with K being a conjugation operator. Here, we find unitary operator U , one for each of two cases: $k' = k \neq 0$ and $(k' = 0, k \neq 0)$ or equivalently $(k = 0, k' \neq 0)$. A model is said to be time-reversal symmetric if the floquet operator \mathbf{F} obeys the following transformation (see Secs. 2.11 and 2.12 of Ref. [3]):

$$T\mathbf{F}T^{-1} = \mathbf{F}^\dagger, \quad (\text{S52})$$

where the Floquet operator is given by

$$\mathbf{F} = \exp\left(-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2\right) \exp(-ipJ_y). \quad (\text{S53})$$

Applying conjugation operator K to the transformation given above, we get

$$UK \left(\exp\left(-i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2\right) \exp(-ipJ_y) \right) K^{-1}U^\dagger = U \left(\exp\left(i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right) \exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2\right) \exp(-ipJ_y) \right) KK^{-1}U^\dagger. \quad (\text{S54})$$

Here, arguments of the first two exponential terms in the bracket are purely imaginary. Whereas the argument of the third term is real. Therefore, arguments of the first two exponential terms gets opposite sign leaving the third unchanged during conjugation operation. Let us consider $U = \exp(ipJ_y)V$ then, we get

$$T\mathbf{F}T^{-1} = \exp(ipJ_y)V \left(\exp\left(i\frac{k'}{2j}J_x^2\right) \exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j}J_z^2\right) \exp(-ipJ_y) \right) V^\dagger \exp(-ipJ_y). \quad (\text{S55})$$

Now, we choose a unitary operator $V = W \exp(i\pi J_z)$. Here, $\exp(i\pi J_z)$ transforms $(J_y \rightarrow -J_y, J_x \rightarrow -J_x)$. Inserting identity operator $I = \exp(-i\pi J_z) \exp(i\pi J_z)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T \mathbf{F} T^{-1} &= \exp(ipJ_y) (W \exp(i\pi J_z)) \left(\exp\left(i\frac{k'}{2j} J_x^2\right) \exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j} J_z^2\right) \cdot I \cdot \exp(-ipJ_y) \right) \left(\exp(-i\pi J_z) W^\dagger \right) \exp(-ipJ_y) \\ &= \exp(ipJ_y) W \exp(i\pi J_z) \left(\exp\left(i\frac{k'}{2j} J_x^2\right) \exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j} J_z^2\right) \right) \exp(-i\pi J_z) \exp(ipJ_y) W^\dagger \exp(-ipJ_y) \\ &= \exp(ipJ_y) W \left(\exp\left(i\frac{k'}{2j} J_x^2\right) \exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j} J_z^2\right) \right) \exp(ipJ_y) W^\dagger \exp(-ipJ_y). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S56})$$

For case $k' = 0$, it can be shown that the choice $W = I$ satisfies Eq. (S52). Thus, the time-reversal operator for the standard QKT is given by

$$T = \exp(ipJ_y) \exp(i\pi J_z) K. \quad (\text{S57})$$

However, for case $k' = k$, we need an operator that transforms $(J_x \rightarrow J_z, J_z \rightarrow -J_x)$. Hence, we choose $W = \exp(i\frac{\pi}{2} J_y)$. For this choice of T operator, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T \mathbf{F} T^{-1} &= \exp(ipJ_y) \exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{2} J_y\right) \left(\exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j} J_x^2\right) \exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j} J_z^2\right) \right) \exp(ipJ_y) \exp\left(-i\frac{\pi}{2} J_y\right) \exp(-ipJ_y) \\ &= \exp(ipJ_y) \exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{2} J_y\right) \left(\exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j} J_x^2\right) \exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j} J_z^2\right) \right) \exp\left(-i\frac{\pi}{2} J_y\right) \\ &= \exp(ipJ_y) \exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j} J_z^2\right) \exp\left(i\frac{k}{2j} J_x^2\right) \\ &= \mathbf{F}^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S58})$$

Therefore, the time-reversal operator for case $k' = k$ is given by

$$T = \exp(ipJ_y) \exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{2} J_y\right) \exp(i\pi J_z) K. \quad (\text{S59})$$

This operator is used in the main text.

XVI. Exact analytical solution for 2-qubits

In this section, we derive time evolved linear entropy, and its infinite-time average for any initial spin-coherent state $|\psi_0\rangle = |\theta_0, \phi_0\rangle$ in the case of two qubits. The initial spin-coherent state is given by

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \otimes^2 \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) |0\rangle + e^{-i\phi_0} \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) |1\rangle \right], \quad (\text{S60})$$

where $|0\rangle = [1, 0]^T$ and $|1\rangle = [0, 1]^T$. The basis states $|\Phi_0^\pm\rangle$ and $|\Phi_1^\pm\rangle$ are defined in the qubit basis as follows:

$$|\Phi_0^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |00\rangle \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |11\rangle = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^T, \quad (\text{S61})$$

$$|\Phi_1^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |10\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |01\rangle = \left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0 \right]^T. \quad (\text{S62})$$

The initial spin-coherent state can be represented in the Φ -basis as follows:

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ e^{-i\phi_0} (\cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + i \sin(\phi_0)) |\Phi_0^+\rangle + e^{-i\phi_0} \sin(\phi_0) |\Phi_1^+\rangle + \left[\cos^2\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) + i \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right] |\Phi_0^-\rangle \right\}. \quad (\text{S63})$$

The n -th power of the Floquet operator in the Φ -basis is given by

$$\mathcal{U}^n = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) & -\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) e^{-i\frac{k\theta}{2}} & 0 \\ \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) e^{i\frac{k\theta}{2}} & \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\frac{nk\pi}{2}} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{S64})$$

Thus, the time-evolved state $|\psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{U}^n |\psi_0\rangle$ can be expressed as follows:

$$|\psi_n\rangle = c_0 |\Phi_0^+\rangle + c_1 |\Phi_1^+\rangle + c_2 |\Phi_0^-\rangle, \quad (\text{S65})$$

where the coefficients c_0 , c_1 , and c_2 are given by

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(k_\theta + 2\phi_0)} \left[-\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \sin(\theta_0) + e^{i\frac{k_\theta}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) (\cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + i \sin(\phi_0)) \right], \quad (\text{S66})$$

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\phi_0} \left[\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \sin(\theta_0) + e^{i\frac{k_\theta}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) (\cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + i \sin(\phi_0)) \right] \text{ and} \quad (\text{S67})$$

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(nk_r + 2\phi_0)} (\cos(\phi_0) + i \cos(\theta_0) \sin(\phi_0)). \quad (\text{S68})$$

The density matrix $\rho_{12}(n) = |\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n|$ in the qubit basis is:

$$\rho_{12}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} |c_0 + c_2|^2 & (c_0 + c_2)c_1^* & (c_0 + c_2)c_1^* & -(c_0 + c_2)(c_0 - c_2)^* \\ (c_0 + c_2)^*c_1 & |c_1|^2 & |c_1|^2 & -c_1(c_0 - c_2)^* \\ (c_0 + c_2)^*c_1 & |c_1|^2 & |c_1|^2 & -c_1(c_0 - c_2)^* \\ -(c_0 - c_2)(c_0 + c_2)^* & -(c_0 - c_2)c_1^* & -(c_0 - c_2)c_1^* & 1 - |c_1|^2 - c_2c_0^* \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{S69})$$

The partial trace over one qubit yields the reduced density matrix (RDM) $\rho_1(n)$ given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \text{Re}[c_0c_2^*] & \text{Re}[c_1c_2^*] + i \text{Im}[c_0c_1^*] \\ \text{Re}[c_1c_2^*] - i \text{Im}[c_0c_1^*] & \frac{1}{2} - \text{Re}[c_0c_2^*] \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{S70})$$

Its eigenvalues are $R_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\text{Re}[c_0c_2^*]^2 + \text{Im}[c_0c_1^*]^2 + \text{Re}[c_1c_2^*]^2}$ and eigenvectors are given by

$$\left[\frac{\text{Re}[c_0c_2^*] \pm \sqrt{\text{Re}[c_0c_2^*]^2 + \text{Re}[c_1c_2^*]^2 + \text{Im}[c_0c_1^*]^2}}{\text{Re}[c_1c_2^*] - i \text{Im}[c_0c_1^*]}, 1 \right]^T. \quad (\text{S71})$$

Then, the linear entropy is given by

$$S_{(\theta_0, \phi_0)}^{(2)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) = 2R_+R_- = \frac{1}{2} - 2(\text{Re}[c_0c_2^*]^2 + \text{Im}[c_0c_1^*]^2 + \text{Re}[c_1c_2^*]^2). \quad (\text{S72})$$

The coefficients $\text{Re}[c_0c_2^*]$, $\text{Im}[c_0c_1^*]$, and $\text{Re}[c_1c_2^*]$ are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}[c_0c_2^*] &= -\frac{1}{4} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \left[2 \cos\left(\frac{k_\theta - nk_r}{2}\right) \cos(\phi_0) \sin(\theta_0) + \sin\left(\frac{k_\theta - nk_r}{2}\right) \sin(2\theta_0) \sin(\phi_0) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \left[2 \cos\left(\frac{nk_r}{2}\right) \cos(\theta_0) + \sin\left(\frac{nk_r}{2}\right) \sin^2(\theta_0) \sin(2\phi_0) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S73})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}[c_1c_2^*] &= \frac{1}{4} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \left[2 \cos\left(\frac{nk_r}{2}\right) \cos(\phi_0) \sin(\theta_0) - \sin\left(\frac{nk_r}{2}\right) \sin(2\theta_0) \sin(\phi_0) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \left[2 \cos\left(\frac{nk_r + k_\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta_0) + \sin\left(\frac{nk_r + k_\theta}{2}\right) \sin^2(\theta_0) \sin(2\phi_0) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S74})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}[c_0c_1^*] &= \frac{1}{16} \sin\left(\frac{k_\theta}{2}\right) \sin(n\pi) (1 + 3 \cos(2\theta_0) - 2 \cos(2\phi_0) \sin^2(\theta_0)) - \frac{1}{4} \cos(\phi_0) \sin(k_\theta) \sin^2\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \sin(2\theta_0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} [1 + \cos(k_\theta) - (1 - \cos(k_\theta)) \cos(n\pi)] \sin(\theta_0) \sin(\phi_0). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S75})$$

Finally, the infinite-time average [14] linear entropy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_{(\theta_0, \phi_0)}^{(2)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S_{(\theta_0, \phi_0)}^{(2)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) \\ &= \frac{106 + 8 \cos(2\theta_0) + 14 \cos(4\theta_0) - 4 \cos(2\theta_0 - 4\phi_0) + \cos(4\theta_0 - 4\phi_0) + 6 \cos(4\phi_0) + \cos(4\theta_0 + 4\phi_0)}{1024} \\ &\quad + \frac{32 \cos(2k_\theta) \sin^2(\theta_0) [\cos(2\phi_0)(3 + \cos(2\theta_0)) - 2 \sin^2(\theta_0)] - 4 \cos(2\theta_0 + 4\phi_0)}{1024} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{128} [3 + \cos(2\theta_0) + 2 \cos(2\phi_0) \sin^2(\theta_0)]^2 + \frac{1}{16} \sin(2k_\theta) \sin(\theta_0) \sin(2\theta_0) \sin(2\phi_0). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S76})$$

In principle, one can derive similar expression for the von Neumann entropy. But we observe that the above equation agrees qualitatively with that of the computed long-time average von Neumann entropy as shown in the Fig. 8.

XVII. Exact analytical solution for 3-qubits

In this section, we derive the time evolved linear entropy for the general initial spin-coherent state $|\psi_0\rangle = |\theta_0, \phi_0\rangle$. Further, we obtain infinite-time average linear entropy for two specific initial states, namely $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ and $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$. The initial spin-coherent state for the 3-qubit system is expressed as follows:

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \otimes^3 \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)|0\rangle + e^{-i\phi_0} \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)|1\rangle \right]. \quad (\text{S77})$$

The states $|\Phi_0^\pm\rangle$ and $|\Phi_1^\pm\rangle$ in the qubit basis are written as:

$$|\Phi_0^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|000\rangle \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|111\rangle = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \mp \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^T, \quad (\text{S78})$$

$$|\Phi_1^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|W\rangle \pm \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}|\bar{W}\rangle = \left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \pm \frac{i}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \pm \frac{i}{\sqrt{6}}, \pm \frac{i}{\sqrt{6}}, 0 \right]^T, \quad (\text{S79})$$

where $|W\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} |001\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}$, $|\bar{W}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} |110\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}$, and $\sum_{\mathcal{P}}$ denotes the sum over all permutations. The general initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ in the Φ -basis is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_0\rangle = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos^3\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) + i e^{-3i\phi_0} \sin^3\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right] |\Phi_0^+\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} e^{-2i\phi_0} \left[-i + e^{i\phi_0} \cot\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right] \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \sin(\theta_0) |\Phi_1^+\rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos^3\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) - i e^{-3i\phi_0} \sin^3\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right] |\Phi_0^-\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} e^{-2i\phi_0} \left[i + e^{i\phi_0} \cot\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right] \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \sin(\theta_0) |\Phi_1^-\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S80})$$

Thus, the time-evolved state $|\psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{U}^n |\psi_0\rangle$ can be expressed as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}^n = e^{-\frac{i}{3}nk_r} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-in\frac{\pi}{4}}\alpha_n & -e^{-in\frac{\pi}{4}}\beta_n^* & 0 & 0 \\ e^{-in\frac{\pi}{4}}\beta_n & e^{-in\frac{\pi}{4}}\alpha_n^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (-1)^n e^{n\frac{\pi}{4}}\alpha_n & (-1)^n e^{n\frac{\pi}{4}}\beta_n^* \\ 0 & 0 & -(-1)^n e^{n\frac{\pi}{4}}\beta_n & (-1)^n e^{n\frac{\pi}{4}}\alpha_n^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S81})$$

where,

$$\alpha_n = \cos(n\gamma) + \frac{i}{4} \frac{\sin(n\gamma)}{\sin\gamma} \left[3 \cos\left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) \right] \text{ and} \quad (\text{S82})$$

$$\beta_n = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \frac{\sin(n\gamma)}{\sin\gamma} \left[\cos\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3}\right) + 2i \sin\left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3}\right) \right]. \quad (\text{S83})$$

The time-evolved state $|\psi_n\rangle$ is expanded as follows:

$$|\psi_n\rangle = c'_0 |\Phi_0^+\rangle + c'_1 |\Phi_1^+\rangle + c'_2 |\Phi_0^-\rangle + c'_3 |\Phi_1^-\rangle, \quad (\text{S84})$$

where the coefficients c'_i are given as follows:

$$c'_0 = \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{4}(n\pi+6\phi_0)}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0+\phi_0}{2}\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0-\phi_0}{2}\right) \right] \left[2\alpha_n \cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) - \sqrt{3}\beta_n^* \sin(\theta_0) + i\alpha_n (\sin(\theta_0) + 2\sin(\phi_0)) \right], \quad (\text{S85})$$

$$c'_1 = \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{4}(n\pi+6\phi_0)}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0+\phi_0}{2}\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0-\phi_0}{2}\right) \right] \left[2\beta_n \cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + \sqrt{3}\alpha_n^* \sin(\theta_0) + i\alpha_n (\sin(\theta_0) + 2\sin(\phi_0)) \right], \quad (\text{S86})$$

$$c'_2 = \frac{e^{\frac{i}{4}(5n\pi-6\phi_0)}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0-\phi_0}{2}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0+\phi_0}{2}\right) \right] \left[2\alpha_n \cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + \sqrt{3}\beta_n^* \sin(\theta_0) - i\alpha_n (\sin(\theta_0) - 2\sin(\phi_0)) \right], \quad (\text{S87})$$

$$c'_3 = -\frac{e^{\frac{i}{4}(5n\pi-6\phi_0)}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0-\phi_0}{2}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0+\phi_0}{2}\right) \right] \left[2\beta_n \cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) - \sqrt{3}\alpha_n^* \sin(\theta_0) - i\beta_n (\sin(\theta_0) - 2\sin(\phi_0)) \right]. \quad (\text{S88})$$

The two-qubit RDM $\rho_{12}(n) = \text{tr}_3 |\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n|$ is given by

$$\rho_{12}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_2^* & \frac{1}{3}(|c'_1|^2 + |c'_3|^2) & \frac{1}{3}(|c'_1|^2 + |c'_3|^2) & a_4 \\ a_2^* & \frac{1}{3}(|c'_1|^2 + |c'_3|^2) & \frac{1}{3}(|c'_1|^2 + |c'_3|^2) & a_4 \\ a_3^* & a_4^* & a_4^* & a_5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S89})$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{1}{2}|c'_0 + c'_2|^2 + \frac{1}{6}|c'_1 + c'_3|^2, a_2 = -\frac{i}{6}(c'_1 + c'_3)(c'_1 - c'_3)^* + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(c'_0 + c'_2)(c'_1 + c'_3)^*, \\ a_3 &= \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}}(c'_1 + c'_3)(c'_0 - c'_2)^* - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}}(c'_0 + c'_2)(c'_1 - c'_3)^*, a_4 = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(c'_1 - c'_3)(c'_0 - c'_2)^* - \frac{i}{6}(c'_1 + c'_3)(c'_1 - c'_3)^* \text{ and} \\ a_5 &= \frac{1}{2} - \text{Re}[c'_0 c'_2^*] - \frac{1}{3}(|c'_1|^2 + |c'_3|^2) - \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}[c'_1 c'_3^*]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S90})$$

Then, the single qubit RDM $\rho_1(n) = \text{tr}_{23} |\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n|$ is given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \text{Re}[c'_0 c'_2^*] + \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}[c'_1 c'_3^*] & a_2 + a_4 \\ (a_2 + a_4)^* & \frac{1}{2} - \text{Re}[c'_0 c'_2^*] - \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}[c'_1 c'_3^*] \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S91})$$

where,

$$a_2 + a_4 = -\frac{i}{3}(c'_1 + c'_3)(c'_1 - c'_3)^* + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(c'_0 + c'_2)(c'_1 + c'_3)^* - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(c'_1 - c'_3)(c'_0 - c'_2)^*. \quad (\text{S92})$$

Its eigenvalues are $\frac{1}{2} \pm 2 \left[\left(\text{Re}[c'_0 c'_2^*] + \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}[c'_1 c'_3^*] \right)^2 + 2|a_2 + a_4|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and eigenvectors are given by

$$\left[\frac{\text{Re}[c'_0 c'_2^*] + \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}[c'_1 c'_3^*] \pm \sqrt{\left(\text{Re}[c'_0 c'_2^*] + \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}[c'_1 c'_3^*] \right)^2 + |a_2 + a_4|^2}}{a_2^* + a_4^*}, 1 \right]^T. \quad (\text{S93})$$

Thus, linear entropy is given by

$$S_{(\theta_0, \phi_0)}^{(3)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) = \frac{1}{2} - 2 \left(\text{Re}[c'_0 c'_2^*] + \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}[c'_1 c'_3^*] \right)^2 - 2|a_2 + a_4|^2. \quad (\text{S94})$$

While it is, in principle, possible to calculate the infinite-time average linear entropy $\langle S_{(\theta_0, \phi_0)}^{(3)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle$, the resulting expression is exceedingly lengthy and thus is not explicitly presented here.

A. Initial State: $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$

Here, we derive the expression for an infinite-time average linear entropy for the state $|\psi_0\rangle = |000\rangle$. The time-evolved state $|\psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{U}^n |000\rangle$ is then obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{U}^n |\Phi_0^+\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{U}^n |\Phi_0^-\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{4}n\pi} \alpha_n |\Phi_0^+\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{4}n\pi} \beta_n |\Phi_1^+\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-1)^n e^{-\frac{i}{4}n\pi} \alpha_n |\Phi_0^-\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-1)^n e^{-\frac{i}{4}n\pi} \beta_n |\Phi_1^-\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S95})$$

The single qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$, obtained by performing a partial trace over any two qubits and is given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha_n|^2 \cos^2\left(\frac{3n\pi}{4}\right) + \frac{|\beta_n|^2}{6} \left[3 - \cos\left(\frac{3n\pi}{2}\right) \right] & -\left(\frac{|\beta_n|^2}{3} + \frac{\text{Im}[\alpha_n \beta_n^*]}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \sin\left(\frac{3n\pi}{2}\right) \\ -\left(\frac{|\beta_n|^2}{3} + \frac{\text{Im}[\alpha_n \beta_n^*]}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \sin\left(\frac{3n\pi}{2}\right) & |\alpha_n|^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{3n\pi}{4}\right) + \frac{|\beta_n|^2}{6} \left[3 + \cos\left(\frac{3n\pi}{2}\right) \right] \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S96})$$

The corresponding linear entropy for this state is given by

$$S_{(0,0)}^{(3)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) = 2 \left(|\alpha_n|^2 \cos^2 \left(\frac{3n\pi}{4} \right) + \frac{|\beta_n|^2}{6} \left[3 - \cos \left(\frac{3n\pi}{2} \right) \right] \right) \left(|\alpha_n|^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{3n\pi}{4} \right) + \frac{|\beta_n|^2}{6} \left[3 + \cos \left(\frac{3n\pi}{2} \right) \right] \right) - 2 \left(\frac{|\beta_n|^2}{3} + \frac{\text{Im}[\alpha_n \beta_n^*]}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{3n\pi}{2} \right). \quad (\text{S97})$$

Finally, the infinite-time average linear entropy is given by

$$\langle S_{(0,0)}^{(3)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle = \frac{1026 + 13 \cos \left(\frac{8k_r}{3} \right) + \left[304 - 52 \cos \left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3} \right) \right] \cos \left(\frac{4k_r}{3} \right) - 112 \cos \left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3} \right)}{64 \left[7 + \cos \left(\frac{4k_r}{3} \right) \right]^2} + \frac{8 \cos \left(\frac{2k_r}{3} \right) \cos \left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3} \right) \left[-2 + 9 \cos \left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3} \right) + \cos \left(\frac{4k_r}{3} \right) \right] - 27 \cos \left(\frac{8k_\theta}{3} \right)}{64 \left[7 + \cos \left(\frac{4k_r}{3} \right) \right]^2}. \quad (\text{S98})$$

The special case $k_\theta = k_r$ of this expression is derived in the Ref. [14].

B. Initial State: $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle = |+++ \rangle$

Here, we derive the expression for an infinite-time average linear entropy for the initial positive parity state $|\psi_0\rangle = |+++ \rangle$. The time-evolved state $|\psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{U}^n |+++ \rangle$ is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n\rangle &= \mathcal{U}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} |\Phi_0^+\rangle + i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} |\Phi_1^+\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{i}{4}n\pi} \left(\alpha_n - i\sqrt{3}\beta_n^* \right) |\Phi_0^+\rangle + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{i}{4}n\pi} \left(\beta_n + i\sqrt{3}\alpha_n^* \right) |\Phi_1^+\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S99})$$

Denoting $\eta_n = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_n - i\sqrt{3}\beta_n^*)$ and $\delta_n = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_n + i\sqrt{3}\alpha_n^*)$ for brevity, the RDM $\rho_{12}(n)$ is given by

$$\rho_{12}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}|\eta_n|^2 + \frac{1}{6}|\delta_n|^2 & -\frac{i}{6}|\delta_n|^2 + \frac{\eta_n \delta_n^*}{2\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{i}{6}|\delta_n|^2 + \frac{\eta_n \delta_n^*}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{\text{Im}[\eta_n \delta_n^*]}{2\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{i}{6}|\delta_n|^2 + \frac{\eta_n^* \delta_n}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{|\delta_n|^2}{3} & \frac{|\delta_n|^2}{3} & -\frac{i}{6}|\delta_n|^2 - \frac{\delta_n \eta_n^*}{2\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{i}{6}|\delta_n|^2 + \frac{\eta_n^* \delta_n}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{|\delta_n|^2}{3} & \frac{|\delta_n|^2}{3} & -\frac{i}{6}|\delta_n|^2 - \frac{\delta_n \eta_n^*}{2\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{\text{Im}[\eta_n \delta_n^*]}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{i}{6}|\delta_n|^2 - \frac{\delta_n^* \eta_n}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{i}{6}|\delta_n|^2 - \frac{\delta_n^* \eta_n}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2}|\eta_n|^2 + \frac{1}{6}|\delta_n|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S100})$$

Then, the single qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ is given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{i}{3}|\delta_n|^2 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Im}(\eta_n \delta_n^*) \\ \frac{i}{3}|\delta_n|^2 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Im}(\eta_n \delta_n^*) & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S101})$$

The corresponding linear entropy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}^{(3)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) &= \frac{1}{2} - 2 \left(\frac{1}{3}|\delta_n|^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Im}(\eta_n \delta_n^*) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - 2 \left(\frac{1}{12}|\alpha_n - i\sqrt{3}\beta_n^*|^2 - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} \text{Im} \left[(\alpha_n - i\sqrt{3}\beta_n^*)(\beta_n^* - i\sqrt{3}\alpha_n) \right] \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S102})$$

Finally, the infinite-time average linear entropy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_{(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2})}^{(3)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle = & \frac{410 + 5 \cos\left(\frac{8k_r}{3}\right) + 4 \left[28 - 9 \cos\left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3}\right) \right] \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) - 144 \cos\left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3}\right)}{32 \left[7 + \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) \right]^2} \\ & + \frac{8 \cos\left(\frac{2k_r}{3}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2k_\theta}{3}\right) \left[10 + 9 \cos\left(\frac{4k_\theta}{3}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) \right] - 27 \cos\left(\frac{8k_\theta}{3}\right)}{32 \left[7 + \cos\left(\frac{4k_r}{3}\right) \right]^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S103})$$

The special case $k_\theta = k_r$ of this expression is derived in the Ref. [14].

XVIII. Exact analytical solution for 4-qubits

In this section, we derive the time evolved linear entropy for the general initial spin-coherent state $|\psi_0\rangle = |\theta_0, \phi_0\rangle$. Further, we obtain infinite-time average linear entropy for two specific initial states, namely $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$ and $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$. The initial spin-coherent state for the 4-qubit system is expressed as follows:

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \otimes^4 \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) |0\rangle + e^{-i\phi_0} \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) |1\rangle \right]. \quad (\text{S104})$$

The states $|\Phi_0^\pm\rangle$, $|\Phi_1^\pm\rangle$ and $|\Phi_2^\pm\rangle$ are written in qubit basis as follows:

$$|\Phi_0^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0000\rangle \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1111\rangle = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^T, \quad (\text{S105})$$

$$|\Phi_1^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |W\rangle \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\bar{W}\rangle = \left[0, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, \mp \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, \mp \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, 0, \mp \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, \mp \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, 0 \right]^T, \quad (\text{S106})$$

$$|\Phi_2^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} |0011\rangle = \left[0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, 0, 0, 0 \right]^T, \quad (\text{S107})$$

where $|W\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} |0001\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}$, $|\bar{W}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} |1110\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\sum_{\mathcal{P}}$ sums over all possible permutations. Now, the general initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ in the above basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_0\rangle = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) + e^{-4i\phi_0} \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right] |\Phi_0^+\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-2i\phi_0} \sin(\theta_0) [\cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + i \sin(\phi_0)] |\Phi_1^+\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} e^{-2i\phi_0} \sin^2(\theta_0) |\Phi_2^+\rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) - e^{-4i\phi_0} \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right] |\Phi_0^-\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-2i\phi_0} \sin(\theta_0) [\cos(\phi_0) + i \cos(\theta_0) \sin(\phi_0)] |\Phi_1^-\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S108})$$

The n -th power of the Floquet operator in the Φ -basis is given by

$$\mathcal{U}^n = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{2}n(k_r+\pi)} \alpha'_n & 0 & ie^{-\frac{i}{2}n(k_r+\pi)} \beta'_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^n e^{-\frac{i}{2}n(k_r+\pi)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ ie^{-\frac{i}{2}n(k_r+\pi)} \beta'_n & 0 & e^{-\frac{i}{2}n(k_r+\pi)} \alpha'_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{3i}{4}nk_r} & -\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{3i}{4}k_\theta} e^{-\frac{3i}{4}nk_r} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{3i}{4}k_\theta} e^{-\frac{3i}{4}nk_r} & \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{3i}{4}nk_r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S109})$$

where,

$$\alpha'_n = \cos(n\gamma) + \frac{i}{4} \frac{\sin(n\gamma)}{\sin(\gamma)} [3 \cos(k_\theta) - \cos(k_r)] \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{S110})$$

$$\beta'_n = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \frac{\sin(n\gamma)}{\sin(\gamma)} [\cos(k_r) + \cos(k_\theta) + 2i \sin(k_\theta)]. \quad (\text{S111})$$

Thus, the time-evolved state $|\psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{U}^n |\psi_0\rangle$ can be expressed as follows:

$$|\psi_n\rangle = c''_0 |\Phi_0^+\rangle + c''_1 |\Phi_1^+\rangle + c''_2 |\Phi_2^+\rangle + c''_3 |\Phi_0^-\rangle + c''_4 |\Phi_1^-\rangle, \quad (\text{S112})$$

where the coefficients c''_i are given by

$$c''_0 = \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{2}(nk_r + n\pi + 8\phi_0)}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left\{ 2\alpha_n \left[\cos^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) + e^{-4i\phi_0} \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right] + i\sqrt{3}e^{2i\phi_0}\beta_n^* \sin^2(\theta_0) \right\}, \quad (\text{S113})$$

$$c''_1 = (-1)^n \frac{e^{-2i\phi_0}}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(\theta_0) [\cos(\theta_0) \cos(\phi_0) + i \sin(\phi_0)], \quad (\text{S114})$$

$$c''_2 = \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{2}(nk_r + n\pi + 8\phi_0)}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left\{ 2i\beta_n \left[e^{4i\phi_0} \cos^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) + \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right] + \sqrt{3}e^{2i\phi_0}\beta_n^* \sin^2(\theta_0) \right\}, \quad (\text{S115})$$

$$c''_3 = \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{4}(3k_\theta + 3nk_r + 16\phi_0)}}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ e^{\frac{3ik_\theta}{4}} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \left[e^{4i\phi_0} \cos^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) - \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right] - e^{2i\phi_0} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \sin(\theta_0) [\cos(\phi_0) + i \cos(\theta_0) \sin(\phi_0)] \right\}, \quad (\text{S116})$$

$$c''_4 = \frac{e^{-\frac{3ink_r}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ e^{\frac{3ik_\theta}{4}} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \left[\cos^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) - e^{-4i\phi_0} \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \right] + e^{-2i\phi_0} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \sin(\theta_0) [\cos(\phi_0) + i \cos(\theta_0) \sin(\phi_0)] \right\}. \quad (\text{S117})$$

The two-qubit RDM $\rho_{12}(n) = \text{tr}_{34} |\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n|$ is given by

$$\rho_{12}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_2 & b_3 \\ b_2^* & \frac{|c''_1|^2 + |c''_4|^2}{4} + \frac{|c''_2|^2}{3} & \frac{|c''_1|^2 + |c''_4|^2}{4} + \frac{|c''_2|^2}{3} & b_4 \\ b_2^* & \frac{|c''_1|^2 + |c''_4|^2}{4} + \frac{|c''_2|^2}{3} & \frac{|c''_1|^2 + |c''_4|^2}{4} + \frac{|c''_2|^2}{3} & b_4 \\ b_3^* & b_4^* & b_4^* & b_5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S118})$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= \frac{1}{6}|c''_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|c''_0 + c''_3|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|c''_1 + c''_4|^2, \\ b_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(c''_1 + c''_4)c''_2^* - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12}(c''_1 - c''_4)^*c''_2 + \frac{1}{4}(c''_0 + c''_3)(c''_1 + c''_4)^*, \\ b_3 &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(c''_0 + c''_3)c''_2^* + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(c''_0 - c''_3)^*c''_2 - \frac{1}{4}(c''_1 + c''_4)(c''_1 - c''_4)^*, \\ b_4 &= -\frac{1}{4}(c''_1 - c''_4)(c''_0 - c''_3)^* + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12}(c''_1 + c''_4)c''_2^* - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(c''_1 + c''_4)^*c''_2, \\ b_5 &= \frac{1}{2} - \text{Re}[c''_0 c''_3^*] - \frac{1}{3}|c''_2|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|c''_1 + c''_4|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S119})$$

and the single qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ is given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2 \text{Re}[c''_0 c''_3^*]) + 2 \text{Re}[c''_1 c''_4^*] & p''_{12} \\ p''_{12}^* & \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2 \text{Re}[c''_0 c''_3^*] - 2 \text{Re}[c''_1 c''_4^*]) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S120})$$

where

$$p''_{12} = \frac{1}{4}(c''_1 - c''_4)(c''_3 - c''_0)^* + \frac{1}{4}(c''_0 + c''_3)(c''_1 + c''_4)^* + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}c''_2(c''_4 - c''_1)^* + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}c''_2^*(c''_4 + c''_1). \quad (\text{S121})$$

Its eigenvalues are $\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\text{Re}[c''_0 c''_3^*] + \text{Re}[c''_1 c''_4^*] + |p''_{12}|^2}$ and eigenvectors are given by

$$\left[\frac{\text{Re}[c''_0 c''_3^*] + \text{Re}[c''_1 c''_4^*] \pm \sqrt{\text{Re}[c''_0 c''_3^*] + \text{Re}[c''_1 c''_4^*] + |p''_{12}|^2}}{p''_{12}^*}, 1 \right]^T. \quad (\text{S122})$$

Then the corresponding linear entropy is given by

$$S_{(\theta_0, \phi_0)}^{(4)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) = \frac{1}{2} - 2(\text{Re}[c_0''c_2''^*] + \text{Re}[c_1''c_4''^*])^2 - 2|p_{12}''|^2. \quad (\text{S123})$$

Here also, in principle, it is possible to calculate the infinite-time average linear entropy $\langle S_{(\theta_0, \phi_0)}^{(4)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle$. However, the resulting expression is exceedingly lengthy and thus is not explicitly presented here.

A. The initial state: $|\theta_0 = 0, \phi_0 = 0\rangle$

Here, we derive the expression for an infinite-time average linear entropy for the state $|\psi_0\rangle = |0000\rangle$. The time-evolved state $|\psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{U}^n|0000\rangle$ is then obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{U}^n|\Phi_0^+\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{U}^n|\Phi_0^-\rangle \\ &= e^{-\frac{i}{2}n(k_r+\pi)} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\alpha_n'\Phi_0^+\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\beta_n'\Phi_2^+\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-\frac{i}{4}nk_r} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right)|\Phi_0^-\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-\frac{i}{4}(nk_r-3k_\theta)} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right)|\Phi_1^-\rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S124})$$

The two qubit RDM $\rho_{12}(n)$ is obtained by carrying out partial trace over any two qubits and is given by

$$\rho_{12}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_2 & b_3 \\ b_2^* & \frac{1-(-1)^n}{16} + \frac{|\beta_n|^2}{6} & \frac{1-(-1)^n}{16} + \frac{|\beta_n|^2}{6} & b_4 \\ b_2^* & \frac{1-(-1)^n}{16} + \frac{|\beta_n|^2}{6} & \frac{1-(-1)^n}{16} + \frac{|\beta_n|^2}{6} & b_4 \\ b_3^* & b_4^* & b_4^* & b_5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S125})$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= -\frac{|\beta_n|^2}{6} + \frac{1}{16} \left[7 + (-1)^n + 8 \text{Re}[\alpha_n'\delta_n] \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \right], \quad b_2 = \frac{1}{24} \left(-2i\sqrt{3}\varepsilon_n\beta_n^* + (3\alpha_n + i\sqrt{3}\beta_n) \varepsilon_n \right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right), \\ b_3 &= \frac{1-(-1)^n}{16} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12}\beta_n\alpha_n^* - i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} \left[\alpha_n\beta_n^* + \text{Re}[\beta_n\delta_n^*] \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \right], \quad b_4 = \frac{1}{24} \left(3\varepsilon_n\alpha_n^* - i\sqrt{3}\varepsilon_n\beta_n^* + 2i\sqrt{3}\beta_n\varepsilon_n^* \right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right), \text{ and} \\ b_5 &= -\frac{|\beta_n|^2}{6} + \frac{1}{16} \left[7 + (-1)^n - 8 \text{Re}[\alpha_n'\delta_n] \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S126})$$

The single qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ is given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2(1 + \text{Re}[\alpha_n'\delta_n^*]) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) & \text{Re}[\varepsilon_n^*(\alpha_n' + i\sqrt{3}\beta_n')] \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \\ \text{Re}[\varepsilon_n^*(\alpha_n' + i\sqrt{3}\beta_n')] \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) & 2(1 - \text{Re}[\alpha_n'\delta_n^*]) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S127})$$

where, $\delta_n = e^{-\frac{i}{4}nk_r}$ and $\varepsilon_n = e^{-\frac{i}{4}(nk_r-3k_\theta)}$. The eigenvalues of $\rho_1(n)$ for odd n are $1/2 \pm \text{Re}[\varepsilon_n^*(\alpha_n' + i\sqrt{3}\beta_n')]$. For even n , the eigenvalues are $1/2 \pm \text{Re}[\alpha_n'\delta_n^*]$. The corresponding linear entropy is given by

$$S_{(0,0)}^{(4)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1 + \cos(n\pi)}{4} \text{Re}[\alpha_n'\delta_n^*]^2 + \frac{-1 + \cos(n\pi)}{16} \text{Re}[\varepsilon_n^*(\alpha_n' + i\sqrt{3}\beta_n')]^2, \quad (\text{S128})$$

and the infinite-time average linear entropy is obtained as follows:

$$\langle S_{(0,0)}^{(4)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle = \frac{160 + 25 \cos(2k_r) - 9 \cos(2k_\theta)}{64 [7 + \cos(2k_r)]}. \quad (\text{S129})$$

The special case $k_\theta = k_r$ of this expression is derived in the Ref. [14].

B. The initial state: $|\theta_0 = \pi/2, \phi_0 = -\pi/2\rangle$

Here, we derive the expression for an infinite-time average linear entropy for the initial positive parity state $|\psi_0\rangle = |++++\rangle$. The time-evolved state $|\psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{U}^n|++++\rangle$ is then given by

$$|++++\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}|\Phi_0^+\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}|\Phi_1^+\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}|\Phi_2^+\rangle. \quad (\text{S130})$$

The time evolved state $|\psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{U}^n |+++ \rangle$ is given by

$$|\psi_n\rangle = (-1)^n \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\delta'_n} \left(\frac{\alpha'_n - i\sqrt{3}\beta'_n}{2} \right) |\Phi_0^+\rangle + i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\Phi_1^+\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\delta'_n} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\alpha'_n - i\beta'_n}{2} \right) |\Phi_2^+\rangle \right], \quad (\text{S131})$$

where, $\delta'_n = n(\pi - k_r)/2$. Then, the two qubit RDM $\rho_{12}(n)$ obtained by carrying out partial trace over any two qubits, is given by

$$\rho_{12}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_2 & b_3 \\ b_2^* & \frac{1}{24} (7 - 4|\xi_n|^2) & \frac{1}{24} (7 - 4|\xi_n|^2) & b_4 \\ b_2^* & \frac{1}{24} (7 - 4|\xi_n|^2) & \frac{1}{24} (7 - 4|\xi_n|^2) & b_4 \\ b_3^* & b_4^* & b_4^* & b_5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S132})$$

where,

$$b_1 = \frac{3}{8} - \frac{|\xi_n|^2}{6}, b_2 = -\frac{1}{24} e^{-i\delta'_n} \left(-ie^{2i\delta'_n} (3\xi_n - i\sqrt{3}\chi_n) + 2\sqrt{3}\chi_n^* \right), b_3 = -\frac{1}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \text{Im}[\xi_n\chi_n^*], \quad (\text{S133})$$

$$b_4 = \frac{1}{24} e^{-i\delta'_n} \left[-3i\xi_n^* + \sqrt{3} \left(-2e^{2i\delta'_n}\chi_n + \chi_n^* \right) \right], b_5 = \frac{3}{8} - \frac{|\xi_n|^2}{6}, \xi_n = \frac{\alpha'_n - i\sqrt{3}\beta'_n}{2} \text{ and } \chi_n = \frac{\sqrt{3}\alpha'_n - i\beta'_n}{2}.$$

The single qubit RDM $\rho_1(n)$ is given by

$$\rho_1(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & -\frac{i}{8} \left[e^{i\delta'_n} (\xi_n - i\sqrt{3}\chi_n) + e^{-i\delta'_n} (\xi_n - i\sqrt{3}\chi_n)^* \right] \\ \frac{i}{8} \left[e^{i\delta'_n} (\xi_n - i\sqrt{3}\chi_n) + e^{-i\delta'_n} (\xi_n - i\sqrt{3}\chi_n)^* \right] & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding linear entropy is given by

$$S_{\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}^{(4)}(n, k_r, k_\theta) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{32} \left[e^{i\delta'_n} (\xi_n - i\sqrt{3}\chi_n) + e^{-i\delta'_n} (\xi_n - i\sqrt{3}\chi_n)^* \right]^2, \quad (\text{S134})$$

and the infinite-time average linear entropy is obtained as follows:

$$\langle S_{\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}^{(4)}(k_r, k_\theta) \rangle = \frac{3}{8} - \frac{[\cos(k_r) + 3\cos(k_\theta)]^2}{16[7 + \cos(2k_r)]}. \quad (\text{S135})$$

The special case $k_\theta = k_r$ of this expression is derived in the Ref. [14].