

KNOT SURGERED ELLIPTIC SURFACES WITHOUT 1- AND 3-HANDLES FOR A $(2, 2h + 1)$ -TORUS KNOT

NAOYUKI MONDEN AND REO YABUGUCHI

ABSTRACT. We show that for any positive integer h , a knot surgered elliptic surface $E(n)_{T(2,2h+1)}$ for a $(2, 2h + 1)$ -torus knot $T(2, 2h + 1)$ and the elliptic surface $E(1)_{2,2h+1}$ admit handle decompositions without 1- and 3-handles using the Kirby diagrams “on surfaces” derived from Lefschetz fibrations on them.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is a central problem in 4-dimensional differential topology to determine whether a given smooth 4-manifold admits an exotic smooth structure or not. For example, between 2005 and 2010, various exotic smooth structures on $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# n \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ ($2 \leq n \leq 8$) had been intensively constructed by many authors (for example, [23, 26, 10, 24, 3, 4]). However, it remains open whether \mathbb{S}^4 and $\mathbb{C}P^2$ admit an exotic smooth structure or not. If such a structure exists, then any handle decomposition of it must contain at least either a 1- or 3-handle (cf. [31]). In contrast, there is the following, which is known as Problem 4.18 in Kirby’s problem list [17]:

Problem 1.1. Does every simply connected, closed 4-manifold have a handlebody decomposition without 1-handles? Without 1- and 3-handles?

In fact, many classical simply connected 4-manifolds are known to admit neither 1- nor 3-handles in their handle decompositions (see, for example, [13, 32, 2]). Note that if a 4-manifold admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles or 3-handles, then it is simply connected. In this paper, we focus on knot surgered elliptic surfaces for a $(2, 2h + 1)$ -torus knot for Problem 1.1.

To state our main result, we explain a knot surgery operation introduced by Fintushel-Stern [8]. Let $\nu(K)$ be an open tubular neighborhood of a knot K in \mathbb{S}^3 . Suppose that a 4-manifold X contains an embedded torus T of self-intersection 0, and hence a (closed) tubular neighborhood of T in X can be identified with $T \times \mathbb{D}^2$, where \mathbb{D}^2 is the 2-dimensional disk. The *knot surgery manifold* X_K is defined by $X_K = (X - \text{Int}(T \times \mathbb{D}^2)) \cup (\mathbb{S}^1 \times (\mathbb{S}^3 - \nu(K)))$, where they are glued together in such a way that the homology class $[pt \times \partial \mathbb{D}^2]$ is identified with $[pt \times \lambda]$, and λ is the class of a longitude of K . Note that if $X - T$ is simply connected, then X_K is homeomorphic to X . Let $E(n)$ be the simply connected elliptic surface, and let $T_{p,q}$ be a (p, q) -torus knot for relatively prime integers p and q . Now, we state our main result.

Theorem 1.2. *For any positive integer h and $n \geq 1$, $E(n)_{T(2,2h+1)}$ admits a handle decomposition without 1- and 3-handles.*

In this paper, we show Theorem 1.2 by introducing a Kirby diagram “on a surface” derived from a Lefschetz fibration on $E(n)_{T(2,2h+1)}$. We give some related results. Okamori [21] constructed an exotic $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ without 1-handles in a handle decomposition using a standard Kirby diagram derived from its genus-2 Lefschetz fibration structure. Based on the idea, the second author [29, 30] gave a handle decomposition of $E(1)_K$ without 1-handles for any genus-1 fibered knot K . Baykur [5] showed that there exist infinitely many irreducible 4-manifolds, which are obtained by fiber summing of Lefschetz fibrations given in [6], with prescribed signature and spin type admitting handle decompositions without 1- and 3-handles using their Lefschetz fibration structures (and without using explicit Kirby diagrams). As a corollary, he gave a negative answer to Problem 4.91 in Kirby’s problem list [17].

Here, we present some topics on Problem 1.1 for $E(n)_{p,q}$ ($n \geq 1$, $p, q \geq 2$ and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$), which is defined a complex surface obtained by a pair of p - and q -log transforms on $E(n)$. Harer, Kas and Kirby [15] conjectured that every handle decomposition of $E(1)_{2,3}$, which is an exotic $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$, must have both 1- and 3-handles. Moreover, the following is noted by Gompf in [12]: *it is a good conjecture that $E(n)_{p,q}$ has no handle decomposition without 1- and 3-handles.* Around 2010, these conjectures were disproved in [32, 2]. Akbulut [2] proved that $E(1)_{2,3}$ admits a handle decomposition without 1- and 3-handles, and Yasui [32] showed that $E(n)_{p,q}$ has a handle decomposition without 1-handles for $n \geq 1$ and $(p, q) = (2, 3), (2, 5), (3, 4), (4, 5)$. In [1], Akbulut showed that for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there is an infinite family X_n of mutually non-diffeomorphic exotic copies of $E(1)$ without 1- and 3-handles such that $X_1 = E(1)_{2,3}$ and $X_n = E(1)_{K_n}$, where K_n are knots with distinct Alexander polynomials. See also [31, 33, 21] for related results.

Recently, their alternative proofs or generalizations on [32, 2] were given in [25, 29, 30, 19, 28]. Sakamoto in [25] (resp. the second author [29, 30]) constructed a handle decomposition of $E(1)_{2,7}$ (resp. $E(1)_{2,3}$) without 1-handles. Kusuda [19] showed that $E(n)_{5,6}$, $E(n)_{6,7}$, $E(n)_{7,8}$ and $E(n)_{8,9}$ have handle decompositions without 1-handles for $n \geq 4$, $n \geq 5$, $n \geq 9$ and $n \geq 24$, respectively. Taki [27] studied an upper bound of the minimal number l that $E(n)_{p,q} \# l\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ has a handle decomposition without 1-handles. Tange [28] showed that $E(n)_K$ admits a handle decomposition with no 1-handles for a knot K with bridge number at most $9n$, and hence, $E(1)_{p,q}$ also admits a handle decomposition with no 1-handles for $\min\{p, q\} \leq 9$ since $E(1)_{T_{p,q}}$ is diffeomorphic to $E(1)_{p,q}$ (see [11, 22]). He also constructed a handle decomposition of $E(n)_{p,q}$ without 1-handles for $\min\{p, q\} \leq 4$. Note that $E(n)_{p,q}$ is not diffeomorphic to $E(n)_{T(p,q)}$ for $n > 1$ since their Seiberg-Witten invariants are different. We do not know that the handle

decompositions given in [25, 19, 28] have no 1- and 3-handles. On the other hand, we obtain the following consequence from Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.3. *For any positive integer h , $E(1)_{2,2h+1}$ admits a handle decomposition without 1- and 3-handles.*

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present the basics of Lefschetz fibrations. In Section 3, we briefly review Kirby diagrams derived from Lefschetz fibrations and introduce Kirby diagrams “on surfaces”. Section 4 gives facts on Lefschetz fibrations on $E(n)_K$ for a fibered knot K . In the last section, we prove Theorem 1.2.

2. BASICS OF LEFSCHETZ FIBRATIONS

In this section, we present some definitions and facts concerning Lefschetz fibrations. More details can be found in [20, 13].

Let X be a closed, connected, oriented, smooth 4-manifold, and let B be the 2-dimensional disk \mathbb{D}^2 or the 2-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . We say that a proper smooth map $f : X \rightarrow B$ is a genus- g *Lefschetz fibration* if a regular fiber of f is diffeomorphic to a Riemann surface Σ_g of genus g , all critical values of f lie in $\text{Int}B$ and for each critical point p and its image $f(p)$, there are complex local coordinate charts agreeing with the orientations of X and B for which f is of the form $f(z_1, z_2) = z_1 z_2$. Throughout this paper, we require that the restriction of f to the set C of critical points is injective and that no fiber contains a sphere of self-intersection -1 . For a genus- g Lefschetz fibration, any fiber containing a critical point is called a *singular fiber*, which is obtained by collapsing a simple closed curve, called the *vanishing cycle*, in a nearby regular fiber to the critical point.

Let Γ_g^b be *mapping class group* of the compact oriented surface Σ_g^b obtained by removing b disjoint open disks from Σ_g , that is the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving self-diffeomorphisms of Σ_g^b . We assume that diffeomorphisms and isotopies fix the points of the boundary. To simplify notation, we write $\Sigma_g = \Sigma_g^0$ and $\Gamma_g = \Gamma_g^0$. In this paper, we use the same symbol for a diffeomorphism and its isotopy class, or a simple closed curve and its isotopy class. For ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 in Γ_g^b , the notation $\phi_2 \phi_1$ means that we first apply ϕ_1 and then ϕ_2 . Let t_c be the Dehn twist about a simple closed curve c on Σ_g^b . It is well-known that the relation $t_{\phi(c)} = \phi t_c \phi^{-1}$ holds for an element ϕ in Γ_g^b . For a product $V = t_{v_m} \cdots t_{v_2} t_{v_1}$ of Dehn twist, we set $\phi(V) = t_{\phi(v_m)} \cdots t_{\phi(v_2)} t_{\phi(v_1)}$ for an element ϕ in Γ_g^b .

The *global monodromy* of a genus- g Lefschetz fibration $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^2$ with the vanishing cycles v_1, \dots, v_n of the singular fibers comprises a product of right-handed Dehn twist in Γ_g as $V = t_{v_m} \cdots t_{v_2} t_{v_1} \in \Gamma_g$. Conversely, we obtain a genus- g Lefschetz fibration over \mathbb{D}^2 with the vanishing cycles v_1, \dots, v_n from $V = t_{v_m} \cdots t_{v_2} t_{v_1}$ in Γ_g . These hold for a genus- g Lefschetz fibration over \mathbb{S}^2 , but we require that $V = \text{id}$.

Two Lefschetz fibrations $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow B$ and $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow B$ are said to be *isomorphic* if there exist orientation preserving diffeomorphisms $H : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and $h : B \rightarrow B$ such that $f_2 \circ H = h \circ f_1$. It is well-known that when we apply a cyclic permutation to the global monodromy $V = t_{v_m} \cdots t_{v_2} t_{v_1}$ of a genus- g Lefschetz fibration $f : X \rightarrow B$, the genus- g Lefschetz fibration f' with the resulting global monodromy is the same as the original one, and therefore f' is isomorphic to f . Moreover, by theorems of Kas [16] and Matsumoto [20], a Lefschetz fibration f' with a global monodromy $\phi(V)$ for any element ϕ in Γ_g is isomorphic to f .

3. KIRBY DIAGRAMS

In this section, we introduce a Kirby diagram on a surface with a boundary component derived from a Lefschetz fibration.

3.1. A Kirby diagram derived from a Lefschetz fibration. We recall how to draw a Kirby diagram of X admitting a genus- g Lefschetz fibration $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^2$ with a global monodromy $t_{v_m} \cdots t_{v_2} t_{v_1}$ in Γ_g . For the terminology, we refer to the reader to [13].

We start with a handle decomposition of $\Sigma_g \times \mathbb{D}^2$ with one 0-handle, $2g$ 1-handles, and one 0 framed 2-handle, which runs over all 1-handles, from a fixed handle decomposition of Σ_g . For example, when we consider a handle decomposition of Σ_g as in the upper part of Figure 1, the Kirby diagram of $\Sigma_g \times \mathbb{D}^2$ obtained from the handle decomposition of Σ_g is depicted in the lower part of Figure 1. Thus, we get a handle decomposition of X by attaching m 2-handles h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m to $\Sigma_g \times \mathbb{D}^2$ along the simple closed curves v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m on different fibers of $\Sigma_g \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ with framing on less than the product framing of $\Sigma_g \times \mathbb{S}^1$. From the observation above, a Kirby diagram of X is obtained from the Kirby diagram of $\Sigma_g \times \mathbb{D}^2$ by adding m 2-handles h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m along vanishing cycles v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m with framing -1 in parallel level with index increasing toward the reader. The framing of the 2-handle derived from $\Sigma_g \times \mathbb{D}^2$ is 0. (For example, the right part of Figure 2 depicts a Kirby diagram of X_0 admitting the genus-2 Lefschetz fibration $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^2$ with the global monodromy $t_{x_3} t_{x_2} t_{x_1}$, where x_1, x_2, x_3 are the simple closed curves on Σ_2 as in the left part of Figure 2.)

In this paper, we employ the dotted circle notation for 1-handles. We fix the subsurface $\Sigma_g^1 (\subset \mathbb{S}^3)$ obtained by removing the 2-dimensional 2-handle from the fixed handle decomposition of Σ_g . Then, we redraw this Kirby diagram of X “on Σ_g^1 ” so that (-1) -framed 2-handles are drawn on $\text{Int}\Sigma_g^1 (\subset \mathbb{S}^3)$ preserving over- and under-crossing information, the 0-framed attaching circle is the boundary curve of Σ_g^1 , and each (4-dimensional) 1-handle encircles the belt sphere of each 2-dimensional 1-handle of Σ_g^1 (see, for example, the left part of Figure 3). Strictly speaking, the Kirby diagram in \mathbb{S}^3 is regarded with that in $\Sigma_g^1 \times [0, 1] (\subset \mathbb{S}^3)$, but for convenience, we consider all 2-handles in the Kirby diagram as those on Σ_g^1 . Note that by

forgetting the surface Σ_g^1 , we obtain a standard Kirby diagram of X (see, for example, the right part of Figure 3). Let $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g$ be the standard generators of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g^1, p)$ at the base point p so that α_i (resp. β_i) passes through $(2i - 1)$ -th (resp. $2i$ -th) 2-dimensional 2-handle of Σ_g^1 only once and does not pass through the other handles (see for example, Figure 4). We denote by α_i^* (resp. β_i^*) the 4-dimensional 1-handle (or the dotted circle) encircling α_i (resp. β_i).

3.2. A Kirby diagram on a surface with a boundary component.

It is troublesome to draw simple closed curves or 2-handles on the fixed subsurface Σ_g^1 arising from the fixed handle decomposition of Σ_g . For this reason, we first draw simple closed curves or 2-handles on Σ_g (preserving over- and under-crossing information), and take a small open disk D on Σ_g . Moreover, we fix an ambient isotopy which deforms $\Sigma_g - D$ to Σ_g^1 . Next, we consider handle silding operations “on $\Sigma_g - D$ ”. Finally, by deforming $\Sigma_g - D$ to Σ_g^1 by the isotopy, we obtain a Kirby diagram “on Σ_g^1 ”. Here, we omit the framings of 2-handles since we don’t need it to prove Theorem 1.2. Each small empty box in Figures means some full twist, but we also omit the number since we don’t need it to prove Theorem 1.2. For example, let h_1, h_2 be the 2-handles on $\Sigma_2 - D$ as in the left upper part of Figure 5, where h'_1 is a framing of h_1 . We slide h_2 over h_1 as in the left lower part of this figure. The right part of this Figure expresses the corresponding operation for the 2-handles h_1, h_2 on the Σ_2^1 arising from the given handle decomposition of Σ_2 . Then, by isotopy, we obtain h_1 and a new 2-handle as in Figure 6.

The dotted circles (i.e. 4-dimensional 1-handles) in a Kirby diagram on $\Sigma_g - D$ can be drawn using the fixed ambient isotopy from the dotted circles (i.e. 4-dimensional 1-handles) in a given Kirby diagram on Σ_g^1 . However, it is also troublesome to draw them explicitly (cf. the left and right parts of Figure 8. The thin dashed lines go through the inside of $\Sigma_g - D$). For this reason, we consider dotted circles as dotted arcs as follows: We project dotted circles (i.e., 1-handles) α_i^*, β_i^* to belt spheres of 2-dimensional 1-handles of Σ_g^1 , and the images are drawn as “dotted segments” so that all 2-handles in $\int \Sigma_g^1$ either are disjoint from them or undercross them. By deforming Σ_g^1 to $\Sigma_g - D$ by the fixed ambient isotopy, we regard $2g$ 1-handles to “ $2g$ disjoint arcs with dots connecting two disjoint points in $\partial \Sigma_g - D$ ” (see, for example, Figure 9). The dotted arcs are “dual arcs” of the standard generators of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g - D, p)$, and the disk D is a “dual disk” of p .

Figure 7 (with the framing indicated by double-strand notation) shows the effect of a handle canceling operation of a canceling 1-handle/2-handle pair in a Kirby diagram on Σ_g^1 . This is the same as that in the standard Kirby diagram corresponding to the diagram on Σ_g^1 since this is obtained by forgetting Σ_g^1 . However, when we apply a handle canceling operation to a Kirby diagram on $\Sigma_g - D$, we need to pay attention as follows: Let us consider a canceling pair of a 1-handle H and a 2-handle h . When there

are other 2-handles h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m running over H , we slide h_i to h so that we form a bund-sum of h and h_i along a band parallel to the dotted arc corresponding to H (see, for example, Figure 9, with the framing indicated by double-strand notation). Then, we apply canceling operation to the pair (H, h) .

A Kirby diagram “on a surface” (i.e. “on $\Sigma_g - D$ ”) has the advantage that we can directly draw 2-handles from vanishing cycles. It can be found in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 5.

4. KNOT SURGERED ELLIPTIC SURFACES

Fintshel-Stern [9] showed that for a genus- h fibered knot K , $E(n)_K$ admits a genus- $(2h + n - 1)$ Lefschetz fibration over \mathbb{S}^2 . In particular, the fibration is a fiber sum of two copies of a genus- $(2h + n - 1)$ Lefschetz fibration $f_{h,n}$ on $(\Sigma_h \times \mathbb{S}^2) \# 4\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ by gluing a diffeomorphism Φ_K on Σ_{2h+n-1} . We omit the definition of a fiber sum operation, but we explain the precise definition of Φ_K . Let us decompose Σ_{2h+n-1} into three surfaces Σ_h^1 , Σ_{n-1}^2 and Σ_h^1 , which are the subsurfaces of the right, middle and left of Σ_{2h+n-1} as in Figure 10, respectively. The diffeomorphism Φ_K is the map so that the restriction of Φ_K to the first Σ_h^1 is ϕ_K , and the restrictions to Σ_{n-1}^2 and the second Σ_h^1 is the identity maps, where ϕ_K is the monodromy of the genus- h fibered knot K . Yun [35] gave an explicit global monodromy of the Lefschetz fibration on $E(n)_K$. To state this, let us introduce some notations and the background on the global monodromy. Let $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2n-1}, D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{2h}$ be the simple closed curves on Σ_{2h+n-1} as in Figure 10, we set

$$W = t_{c_{2n-2}} \cdots t_{c_2} t_{c_1} t_{c_1} t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_{2n-2}} t_{D_0} t_{D_1} \cdots t_{D_{2h}} t_{c_{2n-1}}.$$

Gurtas [14] showed that the isotopy class of a certain involution ι on Σ_{2h+n-1} is expressed as W , and hence $W^2 = \text{id}$ in Γ_{2h+n-1} (see also [20, 7, 18]). Yun [34] also verified this fact, up to Hurwitz equivalence, and showed that the global monodromy of the Lefschetz fibration $f_{h,n}$ is W . We now can state the global monodromy of the Lefschetz fibration on $E(n)_K$.

Theorem 4.1 ([9],[35]). *Let K be a genus- h fibered knot in \mathbb{S}^3 . Then the knot surgery 4-manifold $E(n)_K$ admits a genus- $(2h+n-1)$ Lefschetz fibration $f : E(n)_K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ with the global monodromy $\Phi_K(W)^2 \cdot W^2$.*

Note that the monodromy $\phi_{T_{2,2h+1}}$ in Γ_h^1 of a $(2, 2h+1)$ -torus knot $T_{2,2h+1}$ is

$$\Phi_{T_{2,2h+1}} = t_{a_{2h}}^{-1} \cdots t_{a_2}^{-1} t_{a_1}^{-1},$$

where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2h} are the simple closed curves on Σ_h^1 as a subsurface of Σ_{2h+n-1} as in Figure 10 (see, for example [35]).

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. The following two lemmas are used to show Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ be a genus- g Lefschetz fibration, and let $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_1$ and $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_2$ be genus- g Lefschetz fibrations by cutting the base \mathbb{S}^2 of f into two disks \mathbb{D}_1 and \mathbb{D}_2 , respectively. If X_1 and X_2 admit handle decompositions without 1- and 3-handles, respectively, then X admits a handle decomposition without 1- and 3-handles.*

Proof. We note that a Lefschetz fibration over the disk has a handle decomposition without 3- and 4-handles (see Section 3). Therefore, from the assumption, the handle decompositions of X_1 and X_2 only have one 0-handle and some 2-handles. By turning the handlebody of X_2 “upside down”, we obtain a handle decomposition of X_2 which only has some 2-handles and one 4-handle. Since X is obtained by gluing X_1 and X_2 along their boundaries, we get a required handle decomposition of X . \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2h}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2n-1}, D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{2h}$ be simple closed curves on Σ_{2h+n-1} as in Figure 10, and set $D'_{2h} = t_{a_1} t_{a_2} \cdots t_{a_{2h}}(D_{2h})$. The handle decomposition of X arising from the Lefschetz fibration $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^2$ with the global monodromy $W \cdot W'$ has no 1-handles, where*

$$W = t_{c_{2n-2}} \cdots t_{c_2} t_{c_1} t_{c_1} t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_{2n-2}} t_{D_0} t_{D_1} \cdots t_{D_{2h}} t_{c_{2n-1}},$$

$$W' = t_{c_{2n-2}} \cdots t_{c_2} t_{c_1} t_{c_1} t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_{2n-2}} t_{a_1(D_0)} t_{a_2(D_1)} \cdots t_{a_{2h}(D_{2h-1})} t_{D'_{2h}} t_{c_{2n-1}},$$

We give a proof of Lemma 5.2 after the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. To shorten notation, we write K instead of a $(2, 2h + 1)$ -torus knot $T_{2,2h+1}$. Recall the genus- $(2h + n - 1)$ Lefschetz fibration $f : E(n)_K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ with the global monodromy $\Phi_K(W)^2 \cdot W^2$ defined in Section 4. By applying cyclic permutations to $\Phi_K(W)^2 \cdot W^2$, we obtain a genus- $(2h + n - 1)$ Lefschetz fibration $f' : E(n)_K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ with the global monodromy $\Phi_K(W) \cdot W \cdot W \cdot \Phi_K(W)$, which is isomorphic as f (see Section 2). By suitably cutting the base \mathbb{S}^2 of f' into two disks D_1 and D_2 , we get two genus- $(2h + n - 1)$ Lefschetz fibrations $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow D_1$ with the global monodromy $\Phi_K(W) \cdot W$ and $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow D_2$ with the global monodromy $W \cdot \Phi_K(W)$. Since $W \cdot \Phi_K(W)$ is obtained by applying cyclic permutations to $\Phi_K(W) \cdot W$, f_2 is isomorphic to f_1 . Here, since

$$\Phi_K^{-1}(\Phi_K(W) \cdot W) = W \cdot \Phi_K^{-1}(W),$$

the genus- $(2h + n - 1)$ Lefschetz fibration $f'_1 : X'_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^2$ with the global monodromy $W \cdot \Phi_K^{-1}(W)$ is isomorphic to f_1 (see Section 2). Summarizing, f'_1 is isomorphic to f_1 and f_2 , and hence X'_1 is diffeomorphic to X_1 and X_2 .

By the definition of $\Phi_K (= \Phi_{T_{2,2h+1}})$ (see Section 4), we see that

$$\Phi_K^{-1} = t_{a_1} t_{a_2} \cdots t_{a_{2h-1}} t_{a_{2h}}$$

in Γ_{2h+n-1} , where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2h} are the simple closed curves on $\Sigma_h^1 \subset \Sigma_{2h+n-1}$ as in Figure 10. Since a_l is disjoint from c_k for any l, k , we see that $\Phi_K^{-1}(c_k) = c_k$ (see, for example, Figure 10). Similarly, since D_j is disjoint from a_l for $j + 2 \leq l$, we have $\Phi_K^{-1}(D_j) = t_{a_1} t_{a_2} \cdots t_{a_{j+1}}(D_j)$ (see

the upper sides of Figures 11 and 12). Moreover, it is easy to check that $t_{a_{j+1}}(D_j)$ is disjoint from a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j , and hence $\Phi_K^{-1}(D_j) = t_{a_{j+1}}(D_j)$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2h - 1$ (see Figures 11 and 12). From these observations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W' &:= \Phi_K^{-1}(W) \\ &= t_{c_{2n-2}} \cdots t_{c_2} t_{c_1} t_{c_1} t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_{2n-2}} t_{a_1}(D_0) t_{a_2}(D_1) \cdots t_{a_{2h}}(D_{2h-1}) t_{\Phi_K^{-1}(D_{2h})} t_{c_{2n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the Lefschetz fibration f in Lemma 5.2 is just f'_1 .

Therefore, since X'_1 admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles from Lemma 5.2, X_1 and X_2 also admit such a handle decomposition, and hence, Theorem 1.2 immediately follows from Lemma 5.1. \square

In the rest of the section, we show Lemma 5.2. Let The 4-dimensional $\alpha_1^*, \beta_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \beta_2^*, \dots, \alpha_{2h+n-1}^*, \beta_{2h+n-1}^*$ are as in Figure 13.

Let $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2n-1}, D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{2h}, t_{a_1}(D_0), t_{a_2}(D_1), \dots, t_{a_{2h}}(D_{2h-1})$ be simple closed curves on Σ_{2h+n-1} as in Figures 10, 11 and 12. We take a small open disk D on Σ_{2h+n-1} as in this figure. Let us consider the Kirby diagram of X “on $\Sigma_{2h+n-1} - D$ ” described in Section 3.2. We set

$$\mathcal{V} = \{c_{2n-2}, \dots, c_2, c_1, D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{2h}, t_{a_1}(D_0), t_{a_2}(D_1), \dots, t_{a_{2h}}(D_{2h-1})\}$$

which is the set vanishing cycles of the genus- $(2h+n-1)$ Lefschetz fibration $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^2$ in Lemma 5.2 without overlapping.

We now prove Lemma 5.2.

Proof of Lemma 5.2. We consider the case of $h = 3$. Let h_v be the corresponding 2-handle of a vanishing cycle v in \mathcal{V} .

Let us consider a subdiagram \mathcal{D}_0 of the Kirby diagram on Σ_{6+n-1}^1 of X arising from the Lefschetz fibration f in Lemma 5.1 whose 2-handles are $h_{c_1}, h_{c_2}, \dots, h_{c_{2n-2}}, h_{D_0}, h_{D_1}, \dots, h_{D_6}$ and $h_{t_{a_1}(D_0)}, h_{t_{a_2}(D_1)}, \dots, h_{t_{a_6}(D_5)}$ (see Figures 14 and 15). Note that $h_{c_{2n-2}}$ is closer to the reader than $h_{t_{a_{i+1}}(D_i)}$ and h_{D_j} . We slide $h_{t_{a_{i+1}}(D_i)}$ over h_{D_i} for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 5$ as in Figures 16 and 17, and let h_{i+1} be the resulting 2-handle. An isotopy gives Figures 18 and 19. Then, the resulting diagram \mathcal{D}_1 has 2-handles $h_{c_1}, h_{c_2}, \dots, h_{c_{2n-2}}, h_{D_0}, h_{D_1}, \dots, h_{D_6}$ and h_1, h_2, \dots, h_6 . We next slide $h_{D_{6-j}}$ over $h_{D_{5-j}}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 5$ as in Figures 22 and 23 (for convenience, see Figures 20 and 21, which have the framings of $h_{D_0}, h_{D_1}, \dots, h_{D_5}$), and let $h_{5-j,6-j}$ be the resulting 2-handle. An isotopy gives Figures 24 and 25. Then, the resulting diagram \mathcal{D}_3 has $h_{D_0}, h_{c_1}, h_{c_2}, \dots, h_{c_{2n-2}}, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_6$ and $h_{0,1}, h_{1,2}, \dots, h_{5,6}$. Since in the rest of the proof, we do not use the 2-handle h_{D_0} , we consider the diagram \mathcal{D}_4 removed h_{D_0} from \mathcal{D}_3 (see Figures 26 and 27). An isotopy gives Figures 29 and 30.

We now apply handle canceling operations.

The first step is to remove the 1-handles α_k^* and β_i^* for $k = 6 + 1, 6 + 2, \dots, 6 + n - 1$ ($2h = 6$). The 2-handles $h_{c_1}, h_{c_2}, \dots, h_{c_{2n-2}}$ are as in the left part of Figure 28. An isotopy gives the right part of Figure 28. Since

the pair $(\alpha_{6+n-1}^*, h_{c_1})$ is a canceling pair, we remove it. Then, the pair $(\alpha_{6+n-2}^*, h_{c_3})$ becomes a canceling pair since the 1-handle α_{6+n-1}^* was removed, and hence we remove $(\alpha_{6+n-2}^*, h_{c_3})$. Similarly, the pair $(\alpha_{6+n-3}^*, h_{c_5})$ also becomes a canceling pair since we do not have the 1-handle α_{6+n-2}^* , and hence we remove $(\alpha_{6+n-3}^*, h_{c_5})$. By repeating this argument, the pairs $(\alpha_{6+n-1}, h_{c_1}), (\alpha_{6+n-2}, h_{c_3}), \dots, (\alpha_{6+1}, h_{c_{2n-3}})$ are removed. Note that the pairs $(\alpha_{6+n-1}^*, h_{c_2}), (\alpha_{6+n-2}^*, h_{c_4}), \dots, (\alpha_{6+1}^*, h_{c_{2n-2}})$ are canceling pairs, we remove them.

In the rest of the proof, we refer to Figures 29 and 30.

The second step is to remove the 1-handles $\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \alpha_3^*$ ($h = 3$). We see that the pair (α_1^*, h_1) is a canceling pair by an isotopy (see, for example, Figure 31), and therefore, we remove it. Then, the pair (α_2^*, h_3) becomes a canceling pair by choosing an isotopy. Similarly, the pair (α_3^*, h_5) becomes a canceling pair after removing (α_2^*, h_3) .

The third step is to remove the 1-handles $\beta_1^*, \beta_2^*, \beta_3^*$ ($h = 3$). For each $i = 1, 2, 3$, by choosing an isotopy, the pair (β_i^*, h_{2i}) becomes a canceling pair. Therefore, we remove $(\beta_1^*, h_2), (\beta_2^*, h_4), (\beta_3^*, h_6)$.

The fourth step is to remove the 1-handles $\alpha_4^*, \alpha_5^*, \alpha_6^*$ ($2h = 6$). Since the 1-handle α_1^* was already removed, the pair $(\alpha_6^*, h_{0,1})$ becomes a canceling pair by an isotopy. Therefore, we remove it, and then, the pair $(\alpha_5^*, h_{2,3})$ becomes a canceling pair by choosing an isotopy since the 1-handles $\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2, \alpha_6$ were already removed. Similarly, the pair $(\alpha_4^*, h_{4,5})$ becomes a canceling pair by an isotopy since the 1-handles $\alpha_2^*, \alpha_3^*, \alpha_5^*$ were removed.

The final step is to remove the 1-handles $\beta_4^*, \beta_5^*, \beta_6^*$ ($2h = 6$). Since the 1-handle β_i^* was already removed for $i = 1, 2, 3$, each pair $(\beta_{7-i}^*, h_{2i-1, 2i})$ becomes a canceling pair by an isotopy. Therefore, we remove the pairs $(\beta_4^*, h_{5,6}), (\beta_3^*, h_{3,4}), (\beta_4^*, h_{1,2})$.

From the argument above, we obtain a diagram without 1-handles from \mathcal{D}_3 . The proof for general h is similar. This finishes the proof of Lemma 5.1. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP20K03613. The second author was supported by the JSPS OU-SPRING, and the Public Interest Incorporated Foundation "Ohmoto ikueikai" in carrying out this research. The authors would like to thank Kenta Hayano, Motoo Tange and Kouichi Yasui for their comments on an earlier version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Akbulut *An infinite family of exotic Dolgachev surfaces without 1- and 3-handles*, J. Gökova Geom. Topol. GGT **3** (2009), 22–43.
- [2] S. Akbulut, *The Dolgachev surface. Disproving the Harer-Kas-Kirby conjecture*, Comment. Math. Helv. **87** (2012), no.1, 187–241.

- [3] . Akhmedov and B. D. Park, *Exotic Smooth Structures on Small 4-Manifolds*, Invent. Math. **173** (2008), 209–223.
- [4] A. Akhmedov and B. D. Park, *Exotic Smooth Structures on Small 4-Manifolds with Odd Signatures*, Invent. Math. **181** (2010), 577–603.
- [5] R. I. Baykur, *On four-manifolds without 1- and 3-handles*, [arXiv:2403.14586](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.14586).
- [6] R. I. Baykur and N. Hamada, *Lefschetz fibrations with arbitrary signature*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **26** (2024), no. 8, 2837–2895
- [7] C. Cadavid, *On a remarkable set of words in the mapping class group*, Thesis (Ph.D.), The University of Texas at Austin, 1998.
- [8] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Knots, links, and 4-manifolds*, Invent. Math. **134** (1998), 363–400.
- [9] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Families of simply connected 4-manifolds with the same Seiberg-Witten invariants*, Topology **43** (2004), no. 6, 1449–1467.
- [10] R. Fintushel and R. J. Stern, *Double node neighborhoods and families of simply connected 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), no. 1, 171–180.
- [11] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Six lectures on 4-manifolds*, In Low dimensional topology, IAS/Park City Math. Ser. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, 265–315.
- [12] R. E. Gompf, *Nuclei of elliptic surfaces*, Topology **30** (1991) 479–511.
- [13] R. E. Gompf and A. I. Stipsicz, *4-Manifolds and Kirby Calculus*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [14] Y. Gurtas, *Positive Dehn twist expressions for some new involutions in mapping class group*, preprint, 2004, [arXiv:math.GT/0404310](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0404310).
- [15] J. Harer, A. Kas and R. Kirby, *Handlebody decompositions of complex surfaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1986).
- [16] A. Kas, *On the handlebody decomposition associated to a Lefschetz fibration*, Pacific J. Math. **89** (1980), 89–104.
- [17] R. Kirby, *Problems in low-dimensional topology*, Geometric topology, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics 2.2 (ed. W. Kazez; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997) 35–473.
- [18] M. Korkmaz, *Noncomplex smooth 4-manifolds with Lefschetz fibrations*, Internat. Math. Res. Not. (2001), no.3, 115–128.
- [19] D. Kusuda, *On elliptic surfaces which have no 1-handles*, [arXiv:2410.16900](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.16900).
- [20] Y. Matsumoto, *Lefschetz fibrations of genus two — a topological approach*, Topology and Teichmüller spaces (Katinkulta, 1995), 123–148, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1996.
- [21] K. Okamori, *A construction of an exotic $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ admitting a Lefschetz fibration structure*, Master Thesis, (in Japanese), Osaka University, (2011).
- [22] J. Park, *Non-complex symplectic 4-manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$* , Bull. London Math. Soc. **36** (2004), 231–240.
- [23] J. Park, *Simply connected symplectic 4-manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$ and $c_1^2 = 2$* , Invent. Math. **159** (2005), no. 3, 657–667.
- [24] J. Park, A. I. Stipsicz and Z. Szabó, *Exotic smooth structures on $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$* , Math. Res. Lett. **12** (2005), no. 5-6, 701–712.
- [25] R. Sakamoto, *A geometrically simply connected elliptic surface*, Master thesis, (in Japanese) Osaka University (2023).
- [26] A. I. Stipsicz and Z. Szabó, *An exotic smooth structure on $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 6\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$* , Geom.Topol. **9** (2005), 813–832.
- [27] K. Taki, *Geometrically simply connectedness of elliptic surfaces and blow-ups*, Master thesis, (in Japanese) Osaka University (2024).
- [28] M. Tange, *Exotic elliptic surfaces without 1-handles*, [arXiv:2501.03935](https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.03935).
- [29] R. Yabuguchi, *Knot surgered elliptic surfaces without 1-handles for genus-1 fibered knots*, Master Thesis, (in Japanese), Okayama University, (2024).

- [30] R. Yabuguchi, *Knot surgered elliptic surfaces without 1-handles for genus-1 fibered knots*, preprint.
- [31] K. Yasui, *Exotic rational elliptic surfaces without 1-handles*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **8** (2008), 971–996.
- [32] K. Yasui, *Elliptic surfaces without 1-handles*, *Journal of Topology* **1** (2008), 857–878.
- [33] K. Yasui, *Small exotic rational surfaces without 1- and 3-handles*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), no. 11, 5893–5907.
- [34] K.-H. Yun, *On the signature of a Lefschetz fibration coming from an involution*, *Topology Appl.* **153** (2006), 1994–2012.
- [35] K.-H. Yun, *Twisted fiber sums of Fintushel-Stern’s knot surgery 4-manifolds*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), 5853–5868.




FIGURE 1. A handle decomposition of Σ_g and a Kirby diagram of $\Sigma_g \times \mathbb{D}^2$.




FIGURE 2. The vanishing cycles x_1, x_2, x_3 of the genus-2 Lefschetz fibration f_0 on X_0 and a Kirby diagram of X_0 .




FIGURE 3. RIGHT: a Kirby diagram “on Σ_g^1 ” of X_0 , LEFT: a standard Kirby diagram of X_0 .




FIGURE 4. The generators α_i, β_i of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g^1, p)$, and the 1-handles β_i^*, α_i^* for $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE,, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA
700-8530, JAPAN

Email address: n-monden@okayama-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE,, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA
700-8530, JAPAN

Email address: p21o36b2@s.okayama-u.ac.jp




FIGURE 5.




FIGURE 6.




FIGURE 7. A canceling operation on a Kirby diagram on Σ_g^1 .




FIGURE 8. THE LEFT: the deformed 1-handles α_1^*, β_2^* , THE RIGHT: the 1-handles $\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \beta_1^*, \beta_2^*$.




FIGURE 9. A canceling operation.




FIGURE 10. The simple closed curves c_i, D_j, a_k on Σ_{2h+n-1} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1, j = 0, 1, \dots, 2h$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2h$, and the small open disk D on Σ_{2h+n-1} .




FIGURE 11. The simple closed curves D_{2k} and $\Phi_K(D_{2k}) = \Phi_{T_{2,2h+1}}(D_{2k}) = t_{a_{2k+1}}(D_{2k})$.




FIGURE 12. The simple closed curves D_{2k+1} and $\Phi_K(D_{2k+1}) = \Phi_{T_{2,2h+1}}(D_{2k+1}) = t_{a_{2k+2}}(D_{2k+1})$.




FIGURE 13. 1-handles $\alpha_1^*, \beta_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \beta_2^*, \dots, \alpha_{2h+n-1}^*, \beta_{2h+n-1}^*$.




FIGURE 14.




FIGURE 15.




FIGURE 16.




FIGURE 17.




FIGURE 18.




FIGURE 19.




FIGURE 20.




FIGURE 21.




FIGURE 22.




FIGURE 23.




FIGURE 25.




FIGURE 26.




FIGURE 27.




FIGURE 28.




FIGURE 29.




FIGURE 30.




FIGURE 31.