

VECTORIAL KATO INEQUALITY FOR p -HARMONIC MAPS WITH OPTIMAL CONSTANT

ANDREAS GASTEL, KATARZYNA MAZOWIECKA, AND MICHAŁ MIŚKIEWICZ

ABSTRACT. We derive the sharp vectorial Kato inequality for p -harmonic mappings. Surprisingly, the optimal constant differs from the one obtained for scalar valued p -harmonic functions by Chang, Chen, and Wei [2]. As an application we demonstrate how this inequality can be used in the study of regularity of p -harmonic maps. Furthermore, in the case of p -harmonic maps from B^3 to \mathbb{S}^3 , we enhance the known range of p values for which regularity is achieved. Specifically, we establish that for $p \in [2, 2.642]$, minimizing p -harmonic maps must be regular.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Kato inequality	4
3. Application of Kato's inequality to regularity of p -harmonic maps	9
4. Regularity for minimizing p -harmonic maps $u: B^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$	13
Appendix A. Stability and Bochner inequalities	17
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

For differentiable vector fields, or sections of a vector bundle with metric connection ∇ , the trivial pointwise inequality $|\nabla V| \geq |\nabla|V||$ is known as Kato's inequality. It has been known for a long time that the constant 1 in this inequality can be improved for solutions of (systems of) partial differential equations. This dates back at least as early as 1975 when Schoen, Simon, and Yau [12] proved $|\nabla A|^2 \geq \frac{n+2}{n} |\nabla|A||^2$ for the second fundamental form A of any minimal hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . This may not have been stated explicitly in [12], see [1, Lemme 3] for this interpretation.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58E20, 35B65, 35J60.

For harmonic maps $u: \mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^d$, the vector field to be considered is ∇u , giving estimates between $|\nabla^2 u|$ and $|\nabla|\nabla u||$. The most striking application of Kato inequalities here is Okayasu’s paper [11]. He proved $|\nabla|\nabla u||^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n} |\nabla^2 u|^2$ in the sense of distributions, with optimal constant, and used this to improve the results by Schoen and Uhlenbeck [13] about partial regularity for harmonic maps to spheres, resulting in optimal regularity for maps to \mathbb{S}^4 and \mathbb{S}^5 . Note, however, that Schoen and Uhlenbeck already had some improved (but non-optimal) Kato-type inequality with constant $\frac{2nd}{2nd+1}$ instead of $\frac{n-1}{n}$.

Improved Kato type inequalities are most significant in regularity proofs where the values of constants are crucial. This is the case in all of the papers mentioned so far, and more generally in many regularity proofs that perform “dimension reduction of the singular set” by ruling out conical solutions — representing the blow-up of a solution near a singular point — by playing out a stability inequality against some Bochner–Weitzenböck formula. As this technique has widespread applications, the search for optimal constants in Kato’s inequality is relevant for solutions of many types of equations and systems.

For harmonic maps to targets of any dimension, Okayasu’s constant $\frac{n-1}{n}$ is optimal. The situation is different for p -harmonic maps, which are defined as critical points of

$$(1.1) \quad E_p(u) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} |\nabla u|^p \quad \text{among } u \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

It is remarkable that the optimal constant for p -harmonic maps is not the same in the scalar and the vectorial case. This may be one of the reasons why Kato-type inequalities for p -harmonic maps have not been proven but recently. Let us write the inequalities in the form $|\nabla^2 u|^2 \geq \kappa |\nabla|\nabla u||^2$ here. In 2016, Chang, Chen, and Wei [2] proved a Kato inequality for p -harmonic *functions* (\mathbb{R} -valued) with the optimal constant $\kappa = \min\{1 + \frac{(p-1)^2}{n-1}, 2\}$. In 2019, the first-named author [6] studied a micropolar elasticity model which couples p -harmonic maps with some other equation. In the course of a proof that the theory is sort of well-behaved also for exponents p slightly larger than 2, he provided a first Kato-type inequality for targets other than \mathbb{R} , with some non-optimal $\kappa > 1$ for suitable combinations of n and p . This prompted the second- and third-named authors [8] in 2023 to study the $n = 2$ case more thoroughly, with the optimal result $\kappa = \min\{1 + (p-1)^2, 2\}$, which happens to coincide with the constant for scalar-valued case mentioned above.

These results, combined with the harmonic case $p = 2$, might lead one to guess that $\kappa = \min\{1 + \frac{(p-1)^2}{n-1}, 2\}$ could be the best constant in the general case $n \geq 2$, $p > 1$. But [8] also gave numerical evidence that in some cases the constant should be worse than that. This was our motivation to tackle the problem of the optimal Kato constant for p -harmonic maps in general. Our result is that the constant is given by

$$\kappa(p, n) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{(p-1)^2}{n-1} & \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq 1 + \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2n-1}} \\ 2 - \frac{(p-\sqrt{2n})^2}{(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{2})^2} & \text{for } 1 + \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2n-1}} \leq p \leq \sqrt{2n} \\ 2 & \text{for } p \geq \sqrt{2n}. \end{cases}$$

The constant above is sharp in a pointwise sense: it is achieved by some map that solves the p -harmonic equation at the given point. This is the main result of the present paper and it is proved in Section 2.




FIGURE 1. A graph showing the dependence of $\kappa(p, n)$ on p (assuming $d \geq 2$). The dashed curve illustrates the best constant $\kappa(p, n)$ in the scalar case $d = 1$ [2]. Note that the shape presented above corresponds to the asymptotic case $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the first two regimes have the same length. For small n , the middle regime can be very little (its length is ≈ 0.07 for $n = 3$) or even absent (for $n = 2$, as found in [8]). In general, $\kappa(p, n)$ is a piecewise quadratic function of class C^1 .

In Section 3 we show how our Kato type inequality can be used to study the regularity of p -harmonic maps. As already mentioned in this introduction, the reasoning is classical and follows by combining a stability inequality with a Bochner formula, as in [13]. For suitably chosen p , n and d , this leads to full or partial regularity of minimizing p -harmonic maps $B^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$. In particular, if n and d are chosen so that harmonic maps from B^n into \mathbb{S}^d are known to be regular (see [8] for a summary of all such cases), then we provide an interval of $p \in [2, p_0(n, d)]$ for which p -harmonic maps are also regular. To give a concrete example, we study the case of p -harmonic maps $B^4 \rightarrow S^4$ in detail, obtaining the interval $p \in [2, p_0]$ with $p_0 = \frac{5+\sqrt{13}}{3} \approx 2.86$.

Section 4 provides further improvement in the special case of p -harmonic maps $B^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$, which was the main focus in [8]. There regularity was established for all $2 \leq p \leq 2.366$ and $p \geq 2.962$. Here, the main innovation lies in a new choice of test functions for both the stability and Bochner inequalities: instead of $|\nabla u|$ and $|\nabla u|^{p-2}$ (respectively), which is the natural choice, one can take $|\nabla u|^{1+\gamma}$ and $|\nabla u|^{p-2+2\gamma}$ with an additional parameter γ .

By formal calculation, one can check that choosing γ strictly below zero leads to a better constant. However, an optimal choice $\gamma \approx -0.6$ is not admissible, as the exponent $p-2+2\gamma$ becomes negative in this case. For this reason, we perform a non-trivial regularization procedure, and finally obtain regularity of minimizing p -harmonic maps $B^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ for all $2 \leq p \leq 2.642$ as a result.

Acknowledgments. The project is co-financed by:

- the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, project no. 441380936 (AG);
- the Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange within Polish Returns Programme - BPN/PPO/2021/1/00019/U/00001 (KM);
- the National Science Centre, Poland grant 2022/01/1/ST1/00021 (KM);
- the National Science Centre, Poland grant 2019/35/B/ST1/02030 (MM).

2. KATO INEQUALITY

We may assume that \mathcal{N} is isometrically embedded into some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^L . We recall the Euler–Lagrange equations for p -harmonic maps $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$

$$(2.1) \quad -\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = |\nabla u|^{p-2} A(\nabla u, \nabla u),$$

where A is the second fundamental form of the isometric embedding $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{R}^L$.

Lemma 2.1 (an elementary fact from Linear Algebra). *For any linear map $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^d)$, there is an orthonormal basis (b_1, \dots, b_n) of \mathbb{R}^n for which (Ab_1, \dots, Ab_n) are pairwise orthogonal in \mathbb{R}^d .*

Proof. Let (e_1, \dots, e_n) be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n . We need a $B \in SO(n)$ such that $\langle ABe_i, ABe_j \rangle = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This is equivalent to $\langle B^T A^T A B e_i, e_j \rangle = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$, which means all we have to do is diagonalize $A^T A \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. But, since $A^T A$ is symmetric, such $B \in SO(n)$ exists. Now $b_i := B e_i$ proves the assertion. \square

Having this, we prove

Theorem 2.2 (Kato’s inequality for p -harmonic maps). *Let \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} be smooth Riemannian manifolds of dimensions $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 2$. Let $u: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a p -harmonic map, $p > 1$. Then, at any point $q \in \mathcal{M}$ where $\nabla u(q) \neq 0$, we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \kappa(p, n) |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 \leq |\nabla^2 u|^2,$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \kappa(p, n) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{(p-1)^2}{n-1} & \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq 1 + \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2n-1}} \\ 2 - \frac{(p-\sqrt{2n})^2}{(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{2})^2} & \text{for } 1 + \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2n-1}} \leq p \leq \sqrt{2n} \\ 2 & \text{for } p \geq \sqrt{2n} \end{cases}$$

is the sharp constant in (2.2), in the sense that there is map that solves the p -harmonic equation at q and achieves equality at that point.

Remark 2.3. Note that for $n = 2$ in the formula (2.3) we have the equality

$$1 + \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2n}-1} = 2 = \sqrt{2n}$$

and hence the formula corresponds to the one in [8], i.e., $\kappa(p, 2) = \min \{1 + (p-1)^2, 2\}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. From now, we fix a point $q \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\nabla u(q) \neq 0$ and use normal coordinates around $q \in \mathcal{M}$ and $u(q) \in \mathcal{N}$. Then, the p -harmonic equation at this particular point takes the Euclidean form. That is, for $a \in \{1, \dots, d\}$,

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ii}^a + \frac{p-2}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{b=1}^d u_i^a u_j^b u_{ij}^b = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we may choose orthonormal bases of $T_q \mathcal{M}$ and $T_{u(q)} \mathcal{N}$ in which ∇u has only diagonal components. In other words, a linear change of coordinates ensures that

$$(2.5) \quad u_i^a = \alpha_i \delta_i^a \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad a \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

From now on, we will additionally assume $|\nabla u(q)|^2 = 1$, which translates to the condition $\sum_{a=1}^n \alpha_a^2 = 1$. Otherwise, we would just work with coefficients $\tilde{u}_i^a = u_i^a / |\nabla u|$ instead of u_i^a . Moreover, for notational convenience we shall adopt the convention that $u_{ij}^a = 0$ whenever $a > d$. Thus, the p -harmonic system (2.4) takes the form

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta u^a + (p-2) \alpha_a \sum_{b=1}^n \alpha_b u_{ab}^b = 0 \quad \text{for each } a \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

Using (2.5), we introduce the quantity

$$(2.7) \quad I_i := |\partial_i |\nabla u||^2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{b=1}^n u_j^b u_{ij}^b \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{b=1}^n \alpha_b u_{ib}^b \right)^2 \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and aim to show the estimate

$$(2.8) \quad \kappa(p, n) I_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^n (u_{jj}^i)^2 + 2 \sum_{b \neq i} (u_{ib}^b)^2.$$

Once we establish (2.8), the Theorem follows, since then

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 u|^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{a=1}^d (u_{ij}^a)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (u_{jj}^i)^2 + 2 \sum_{b \neq i} (u_{ib}^i)^2 \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa(p, n) I_i = \kappa(p, n) |\nabla |\nabla u||^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note the first inequality becomes an equality if and only if $u_{ij}^a = 0$ for all triples i, j, a of pairwise distinct indices. Thus, it suffices to show (2.8). For simplicity of notation we will focus on the case when $i = 1$ (the remaining cases are proved in the same way).

First, we rewrite the equation (2.6) with $a = 1$ in the following way:

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{j=2}^n u_{jj}^1 = -u_{11}^1 - (p-2)\alpha_1 \sum_{b=1}^n \alpha_b u_{1b}^b.$$

Combining this with the elementary inequality between the quadratic and arithmetic means, we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{j=2}^n (u_{jj}^1)^2 \geq \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=2}^n u_{jj}^1 \right)^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(u_{11}^1 + (p-2)\alpha_1 \sum_{b=1}^n \alpha_b u_{1b}^b \right)^2.$$

Note that equality in (2.11) occurs when all terms u_{jj}^1 coincide for $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$.

Applying (2.11), we see that (2.8) reduces to showing

$$(2.12) \quad \kappa(p, n) \left(\sum_{b=1}^n \alpha_b u_{1b}^b \right)^2 \leq (u_{11}^1)^2 + \frac{1}{n-1} \left(u_{11}^1 + (p-2)\alpha_1 \sum_{b=1}^n \alpha_b u_{1b}^b \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{b=2}^n (u_{1b}^b)^2.$$

As a consequence, our problem now simplifies to the elementary inequality above, which we shall show is valid for any α_i ($i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) satisfying $\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 = 1$, and any u_{1b}^b ($b \in \{1, \dots, n\}$).

The next step can be seen as a further reduction to the case $d = 2$. Let us rewrite the above, extracting all Hessian terms other than u_{11}^1 :

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &\kappa(p, n) \left(\alpha_1 u_{11}^1 + \sum_{b=2}^d \alpha_b u_{1b}^b \right)^2 \\ &\leq (u_{11}^1)^2 + \frac{1}{n-1} \left(u_{11}^1 + (p-2)\alpha_1 \left(\alpha_1 u_{11}^1 + \sum_{b=2}^d \alpha_b u_{1b}^b \right) \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{b=2}^d (u_{1b}^b)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $\beta = |(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)|$ and choose y satisfying $\beta y = \sum_{b=2}^d \alpha_b u_{1b}^b$ (if $\beta = 0$, choose $y = 0$). By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, $(\beta y)^2 \leq \beta^2 \sum_{b=2}^d (u_{1b}^b)^2$, which we can rewrite

as $y^2 \leq \sum_{b=2}^d (u_{1b}^b)^2$. Thus, after denoting $x := u_{11}^1$ and $\alpha := \alpha_1$, our inequality reduces to

$$(2.14) \quad \kappa(p, n) (\alpha x + \beta y)^2 \leq x^2 + \frac{1}{n-1} (x + (p-2)\alpha(\alpha x + \beta y))^2 + 2y^2.$$

which holds, as we shall see, for all x, y, α, β satisfying $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$. The appearance of $\alpha x + \beta y$ suggests a change of variables (a rotation), which we now perform:

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{cases} z = \alpha x + \beta y, \\ w = \beta x - \alpha y, \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} x = \alpha z + \beta w, \\ y = \beta z - \alpha w. \end{cases}$$

Inequality (2.14) now becomes

$$(2.16) \quad 0 \leq z^2 \left(-\kappa(p, n) + 2 + \alpha^2 \left(\frac{(p-1)^2}{n-1} - 1 \right) \right) + w^2 \left(2 - \frac{n-2}{n-1} \beta^2 \right) - zw \frac{2\alpha\beta(n-p)}{n-1}.$$

Now (2.16) is a quadratic form in z and w , with a positive coefficient of w^2 . Hence the inequality is univervally true if only its discriminant Δ_{zw} is nonpositive, that is

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \geq \Delta_{zw} &= \left(\frac{2\alpha\beta(n-p)}{n-1} \right)^2 - 4 \left(-\kappa(p, n) + 2 + \alpha^2 \left(\frac{(p-1)^2}{n-1} - 1 \right) \right) \left(2 - \frac{n-2}{n-1} \beta^2 \right) \\ &= -\frac{4}{n-1} \left(-\kappa(p, n)((n-2)\alpha^2 + n) + \alpha^4(p-2)^2 + \alpha^2(p^2 - 4) + 2n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used the equality $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$ to eliminate β . Now (2.17) is equivalent to

$$(2.18) \quad \kappa(p, n) \leq \frac{\alpha^4(p-2)^2 + \alpha^2(p^2 - 4) + 2n}{(n-2)\alpha^2 + n}$$

for all $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$. Substituting $a := \alpha^2 \in [0, 1]$, we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad \kappa(p, n) = \min_{a \in [0, 1]} \frac{a^2(p-2)^2 + a(p^2 - 4) + 2n}{(n-2)a + n} =: \min_{a \in [0, 1]} f(a).$$

Minimization of $f(a)$ is straightforward, but its result depends — quite unsurprisingly — on n and p . Let us compute:

$$(2.20) \quad f'(a) = \frac{a^2(n-2)(p-2)^2 + 2an(p-2)^2 + n(p^2 - 2n)}{(a(n-2) + n)^2}.$$

Note that the numerator of $f'(a)$ is a quadratic polynomial with nonnegative discriminant $\Delta_a = 8n(p-2)^2(n-p)^2$. Thus, $f'(a)$ is nonpositive exactly on an interval $a \in [a_-, a_+]$ satisfying $a_+ + a_- = -2n(p-2)^2$ and $a_+ \cdot a_- = n(p^2 - 2n)$. Naturally, the behavior of f on the interval $[0, 1]$ is different in the following three cases:

CASE 1: $f'(0) \geq 0$. This happens when $p \geq \sqrt{2n}$. In that case, we see that a_-, a_+ are both nonpositive, so f is increasing on $[0, 1]$. In conclusion:

$$\kappa(p, n) = f(0) = 2 \quad \text{for } p \geq \sqrt{2n}.$$

CASE 2: $f'(1) \leq 0$. If we restrict to $p \geq 1$, this happens when $p \leq 1 + \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2n-1}}$. In that case, we see $a_- \leq 0 \leq 1 \leq a_+$, so f is decreasing on $[0, 1]$, and in consequence:

$$\kappa(p, n) = f(1) = 1 + \frac{(p-1)^2}{n-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq 1 + \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2n-1}}.$$

CASE 3: $f'(0) < 0 < f'(1)$. By elimination, this is true in the regime $1 + \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2n-1}} < p < \sqrt{2n}$. In that case, we have $a_+ \in (0, 1)$ and this is where the minimum is achieved. We have already computed the discriminant – since in this regime $p \in [2, n]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\Delta_a} &= 2\sqrt{2n}(p-2)(n-p), \\ a_+ &= \frac{-n(p-2) + \sqrt{2n}(n-p)}{(n-2)(p-2)}, \\ \kappa(p, n) = f(a_+) &= 2 - \frac{(p - \sqrt{2n})^2}{(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{2})^2} \quad \text{in the middle regime.} \end{aligned}$$

This analysis completes the proof of the Kato inequality.

Finally, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.2 by showing that the constant $\kappa(p, n)$ defined in (2.3) is sharp. For simplicity, we shall do so for a map $u: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. One can then obtain an \mathbb{R}^d -valued map by the inclusion $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, and a map between manifolds via normal coordinates (as in the beginning of the proof). To find the values of the derivatives u_i^a and u_{ij}^a (at 0), we work backwards so that each step is optimal:

- Take $a \in [0, 1]$ for which the minimum $\min_{a \in [0, 1]} f(a)$ in (2.19) is achieved, and set $\alpha := \sqrt{a}$, $\beta := \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}$. In the case $d = 2$, we then simply put

$$u_1^1 := \alpha_1 = \alpha, \quad u_2^2 := \alpha_2 = \beta,$$

and all other first order derivatives equal zero.

- By this choice, we have $\Delta_{zw} = 0$ in (2.17). This means that we can pick a nonzero pair (z, w) for which equality in (2.16) is achieved. Reversing the change of variables in (2.15), we obtain a nonzero pair (x, y) achieving equality in (2.14).
- Choose $u_{11}^1 = x$, $u_{12}^2 = y$. For $d = 2$, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality is trivially an equality. In consequence, (2.13) and (2.12) are also equalities.
- In order to satisfy the p -harmonic equation (2.10), we choose

$$u_{22}^1, \dots, u_{nn}^1 := -\frac{1}{n-1} \left(u_{11}^1 + (p-2)\alpha_1 \sum_{b=1}^n \alpha_b u_{1b}^b \right).$$

That way, the quadratic–arithmetic mean inequality between these terms (2.11) is an equality, which means that (2.8) is, too:

$$\kappa(p, n) |\partial_1 |\nabla u||^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (u_{jj}^i)^2 + 2 \sum_{b \neq i} (u_{ib}^b)^2.$$

- We let all other Hessian terms be zero, which makes the p -harmonic system (2.5), (2.6) satisfied on all coordinates. Also, the equality above is then actually the equality between $\kappa(p, n)|\nabla|\nabla u||^2$ and $|\nabla^2 u|^2$, as all other terms on both sides vanish.

□

Remark 2.4. The p -harmonic map $u: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $u(x) := |x|^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}}$, realizes the bound $\kappa(p, n) \leq 1 + \frac{(p-1)^2}{n-1}$. It can be concatenated with any geodesic to give p -harmonic maps from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathcal{N} .

The mapping $v: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$, $v(x) := \frac{(x_1, \dots, x_R, 0, \dots, 0)}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_R^2}}$ is p -harmonic for all $p > 1$ and all $R \leq \min\{d+1, n\}$, and it realizes the bound $\kappa(p, n) \leq 2$ in all relevant dimensions.

These examples show that the first and the third case of Theorem 2.2 are optimal, not only in the pointwise sense. We would expect the same for the second case.

3. APPLICATION OF KATO'S INEQUALITY TO REGULARITY OF p -HARMONIC MAPS

As an application of the vectorial Kato inequality, we can obtain regularity results for p -harmonic mappings into spheres.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that $n \geq 3$ and $2 \leq p < d$, with n, d both integers. If $n \leq n_0(p, d)$, then every minimizing p -harmonic map $u \in W^{1,p}(B^n, \mathbb{S}^d)$ is regular in the interior of B^n . If $n = n_0(p, d) + 1$, then every minimizing p -harmonic map $u \in W^{1,p}(B^n, \mathbb{S}^d)$ has at most isolated singularities. In general the singular set of a minimizing p -harmonic map $u \in W^{1,p}(B^n, \mathbb{S}^d)$ is a closed set of Hausdorff dimension at most $n - n_0(p, d) - 1$.

For fixed p and d , the number $n_0(p, d)$ is defined as the largest integer n satisfying the inequalities

$$(3.1) \quad \kappa(p, n-1) \geq \frac{(d+p-2)(n-2)}{(d-p)(n-1)} - (p-2),$$

$$(3.2) \quad d(n-p)^2 < 4(d-p)(n-1).$$

Remark 3.2. Observe the following properties:

- If conditions (3.1), (3.2) are satisfied for $n = n_0$ (with p, d fixed), then they are also satisfied for any $p < n \leq n_0$.
- If conditions (3.1), (3.2) are satisfied for some d (with p, n_0 fixed), then they are also satisfied for any larger d .

Proof of Remark 3.2. Item (a). It follows from the formula (2.3) for $\kappa(p, n)$ that it is a non-increasing function of n . On the other hand, $\frac{n-2}{n-1}$ is increasing for $n > 1$, so (3.1) is true for all $1 < n \leq n_0$. As for (3.2), it is trivially true for $n = p$, so it also holds for all $p \leq n \leq n_0$ by convexity of the left-hand side.

Item (b). We simply note that $\kappa(p, n - 1)$ does not depend on d , whereas both functions $\frac{d+p-2}{d-p}$ and $\frac{d}{d-p}$ are decreasing in d . \square

In the proof of Theorem 3.1 we will follow the general strategy of Schoen and Uhlenbeck [13], let us begin with the definition of a tangent map.

Definition 3.3. *A p -harmonic map $u: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is called tangent if it is radially symmetric, i.e., $u(x) = \tilde{u}(\frac{x}{|x|})$ for a mapping $\tilde{u}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. Since u is uniquely determined by \tilde{u} it will be useful for us to treat tangent maps as maps defined on the sphere without changing the notation¹. If in addition, u minimizes the E_p energy on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , we call it a minimizing tangent map.*

We will use the following two inequalities for tangent maps.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $p \geq 2$ and $u: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$ is a minimizing p -harmonic tangent map. Then the following estimates hold:*

(a) *Stability inequality:*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^{p-4} (d|\nabla u|^2 |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 + (p-2) |\langle \nabla u, \nabla |\nabla u| \rangle|^2) \\ & \geq (d-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^{p+2} - \frac{d(n-p)^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^p; \end{aligned}$$

(b) *Bochner inequality:*

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^{p-2} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + (p-2) |\nabla |\nabla u||^2) \\ & \leq \frac{n-2}{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^{p+2} - (n-2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^p. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the Stability inequality (3.3) essentially follows from [9, Lemmata 2 and 3]. Similarly, the proof of Bochner's inequality (3.4) also relies on Nakauchi [9, Lemma 1] (see also [10, Lemma 2]), with a minor modification: omitting the step $|\nabla |\nabla u|| \leq |\nabla^2 u|$. For the reader's convenience, we provide the proofs with the necessary modifications in Appendix A.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By [7, Theorem 4.5] it suffices to prove that if $n \leq n_0(p, d)$, then every minimizing tangent map $\bar{u}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$ must be constant. Note that the cases $n \leq p$ already follow from the general result [7, Corollary 2.6], valid for any target manifold. Thus, we only consider $p < n \leq n_0(p, d)$ in the following. Recall that both conditions (3.1) and (3.2) are still satisfied for n by Remark 3.2.

¹Of course, since the map u is p -harmonic, the map $\tilde{u}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation for p -harmonic maps from \mathbb{S}^{n-1} to \mathcal{N} .

We apply Lemma 3.4 to \bar{u} . Combining the Bochner inequality (3.4) with the Kato inequality Theorem 2.2 $|\nabla^2 \bar{u}|^2 \geq \kappa |\nabla |\nabla \bar{u}||^2$, we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\kappa + p - 2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} |\nabla |\nabla \bar{u}||^2 \\ & \leq \frac{n-2}{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p+2} - (n-2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the largest possible κ is $\kappa(p, n-1)$ given by (2.2) in Theorem 2.2, but we may work with a smaller constant as well. Next, applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to the inner product appearing in the Stability inequality (3.3), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} |\nabla |\nabla \bar{u}||^2 \geq \frac{d-p}{d+p-2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p+2} - \frac{d(n-p)^2}{4(d+p-2)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^p.$$

Combining the last two inequalities, we can eliminate the second order terms, which leads to

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{(d-p)(\kappa+p-2)}{d+p-2} - \frac{n-2}{n-1} \right) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p+2} \\ & + \left(n-2 - \frac{d(n-p)^2(\kappa+p-2)}{4(d+p-2)} \right) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^p \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we will show that both terms in the brackets are nonnegative, and the second one is nonzero. In consequence, we can conclude that $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^p = 0$, which means that \bar{u} is constant, as required.

Thus, we proceed to check the sign of these two terms. To this end, let us rewrite our claim in terms of κ :

$$(3.8) \quad E_1 := \frac{(n-2)(d+p-2)}{(n-1)(d-p)} \leq \kappa + p - 2 \leq \frac{4(n-2)(d+p-2)}{d(n-p)^2} =: E_2.$$

As we already remarked, the bounds (3.1) and (3.2) hold for our choice of p , d and n . In the present notation, these two bounds are equivalent to $\kappa(p, n-1) + p - 2 \geq E_1$ and $E_1 \leq E_2$, respectively. Thus, we can choose

$$\kappa := \min(\kappa(p, n-1), E_1 - (p-2)),$$

so that our claim holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Although Theorem 3.1 gives an explicit condition (3.1), it is still difficult to see for which p (depending on n, d) we actually obtain regularity. To illustrate how such analysis can be performed, we state a separate corollary for $n = d = 4$.

Corollary 3.5. *Minimizing p -harmonic mappings $u \in W^{1,p}(B^4, \mathbb{S}^4)$ are regular whenever*

$$p \in [2, p_0], \quad \text{where} \quad p_0 = \frac{5 + \sqrt{13}}{3} \approx 2.8685.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, it is enough to check that the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied for $n = d = 4$ and $p \in [2, p_0]$. In our case, these conditions read

$$\kappa(p, 3) \geq \frac{2(p+2)}{3(4-p)} - (p-2), \quad 4 \cdot (4-p)^2 < 4 \cdot (4-p) \cdot 3,$$

respectively. The latter condition reduces to $p > 1$, so we now focus on the former. We employ the Kato constant from Theorem 2.2:

$$\kappa(p, 3) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{(p-1)^2}{2} & \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq \frac{7+2\sqrt{6}}{5} \\ 2 - \frac{(p-\sqrt{6})^2}{(\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{2})^2} & \text{for } \frac{7+2\sqrt{6}}{5} \leq p \leq \sqrt{6} \\ 2 & \text{for } p \geq \sqrt{6}. \end{cases}$$

One can easily graph the functions $\kappa(p, 3)$ and $\frac{2(p+2)}{3(4-p)} - (p-2)$ to see when the desired inequality occurs. For the sake of completeness, we provide a rigorous justification.




FIGURE 2. The graph suggests that the function $\frac{2(p+2)}{3(4-p)} - (p-2)$ does not reach the value $\frac{3}{2}$ until $p = \frac{5}{2}$, while for $\kappa \geq \frac{5}{2}$, $\kappa(p, 3)$ is simply 2. The point of intersection is $p \approx 2.8685$.

CASE 1: $2 \leq p \leq \frac{5}{2}$. In this interval, we have

$$\frac{2(p+2)}{3(4-p)} - (p-2) \leq \frac{3}{2} \leq \kappa(p, 3).$$

Indeed, the first inequality simplifies to $6p^2 - 23p + 20 \leq 0$, which is true for $p = 2$ and $p = \frac{5}{2}$, in consequence also on the whole interval. As for the second inequality, $\kappa(p, 3)$ is a nondecreasing function of p , and so $\kappa(p, 3) \geq \kappa(2, 3) = \frac{3}{2}$.

CASE 2: $p \geq \frac{5}{2}$. Since $\frac{5}{2} > \sqrt{6}$, we simply have $\kappa(p, 3) = 2$. After rearranging, our condition is

$$(p - p_-)(p - p_+) \leq 0,$$

where $p_- = \frac{5-\sqrt{13}}{3} \approx 0.46$ and $p_+ = \frac{5+\sqrt{13}}{3} \approx 2.8685$. Since $\frac{5}{2}$ lies between these two roots, the condition is satisfied for $p \in [\frac{5}{2}, p_+]$, as required. \square

4. REGULARITY FOR MINIMIZING p -HARMONIC MAPS $u: B^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$

The goal of this Section is to show how to improve the regularity of minimizing p -harmonic mappings $u: B^3 \rightarrow S^3$ obtained in [8].

Theorem 4.1. *Minimizing p -harmonic maps $u \in W^{1,p}(B^3, \mathbb{S}^3)$ are regular for $p \in [2, p_0]$, where $p_0 \approx 2.6427$ (see (4.11) for the precise formula for p_0).*

Remark 4.2. *Our interest in the case $p = d = 3$ comes from a Cosserat type elasticity model for which the existence of nonconstant minimizing p -harmonic tangent maps is the obstruction to full regularity; see [6] for details. In [6, Proposition 6.4], it was proven that no such tangent maps exist if $p \in [2, 2.133]$ or $p \geq 3$. In [8, Theorems 4.1 and 5.1], this was improved to $p \in [2, 2.366]$ or $p \geq 2.961$. Here, we improve the first range further to $p \in [2, 2.6427]$. This still leaves a gap for p between 2.6427 and 2.961 where we cannot exclude point singularities in energy minimizing Cosserat elastic bodies.*

In order to prove this Theorem, we will derive improved Stability and Bochner inequalities and an appropriate mixed Kato–Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. We will be working with *minimizing tangent maps*, which were defined in Definition 3.3.

Lemma 4.3 (Improved Stability and Bochner inequalities). *Let $u: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ be a minimizing p -harmonic tangent map for some $2 \leq p < 3$, and let $-\frac{p}{2(p-1)} < \gamma \leq 0$. Then the following inequalities hold:*

(a) *Stability inequality:*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & 3(1 + \gamma)^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p-2+2\gamma} |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 + (p-2)(1 + \gamma)^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p-2+2\gamma} \left| \left\langle \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}, \nabla |\nabla u| \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ & \geq (3-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2+2\gamma} - \frac{3}{4}(3-p)^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2\gamma}; \end{aligned}$$

(b) *Bochner inequality:*

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & ((p-2) + 2\gamma(p-1)) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p-2+2\gamma} |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 + \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p-2+2\gamma} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2+2\gamma} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Inequalities (a) and (b) are slightly ill-defined. We adopt the (somewhat counterintuitive) convention that all ill-defined terms with a negative exponent of $|\nabla u|$ (e.g., $|\nabla u|^{p-2+2\gamma} |\nabla |\nabla u||^2$) are assumed to be zero if $|\nabla u| = 0$.

As already observed, the improved Stability and Bochner inequality involve some ill-defined expressions that are hard to work with directly. Instead, we will work with a regularized version, which roughly means that we replace $|\nabla u|$ with $|\nabla u|_\delta := (\delta^2 + |\nabla u|^2)^{1/2}$ (for some

given $\delta > 0$) and then take $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we will need the following two lemmata, whose proofs are postponed to Appendix A.

Lemma 4.4 (Regularized Stability and Bochner inequalities). *Let u , p and γ be as in the previous Lemma. Moreover, for $\delta > 0$ denote $|\nabla u|_\delta := (\delta^2 + |\nabla u|^2)^{1/2}$. Then we have*

(a) δ -Stability inequality:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & 3 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 (|\nabla u|_\delta^\gamma + \gamma |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla u|_\delta^{\gamma-2})^2 \\ & + (p-2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p-4} |\langle \nabla u, \nabla |\nabla u| \rangle|^2 (|\nabla u|_\delta^\gamma + \gamma |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla u|_\delta^{\gamma-2})^2 \\ & \geq (3-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} - \frac{3}{4} (3-p)^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^p |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma}; \end{aligned}$$

(b) δ -Bochner inequality:

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 ((1+2\gamma)(p-2) |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} + 2\gamma |\nabla u|^p |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma-2}) + |\nabla^2 u|^2 |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} -|\nabla u|^p |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^{p+2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.5 (Mixed Kato–Cauchy–Schwarz inequality). *Let u , p and γ be as before. Then at all points where $\nabla u \neq 0$ we have:*

$$(4.5) \quad 3|\nabla |\nabla u||^2 + (p-2) \left| \left\langle \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}, \nabla |\nabla u| \right\rangle \right|^2 \leq C (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + ((p-2) + 2\gamma(p-1)) |\nabla |\nabla u||^2)$$

with $C = \frac{3}{p+2\gamma(p-1)}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We will show how this Lemma follows from Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 4.4 (b) \Rightarrow Lemma 4.3 (b), (δ -Bochner implies Bochner):

Recall that u is C^1 on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . Thus, the right-hand side of (4.2) converges to the right-hand side of (4.4). As for the left-hand side of (4.2), let us first consider pointwise convergence of the integrand. At points where $|\nabla u| \neq 0$, this is evident. Whenever $|\nabla u| = 0$, the integrand is actually zero for each $\delta > 0$ (we have a power of $|\nabla u|$ with a positive exponent), so the limit is also zero. This agrees with our convention of interpreting Lemma 4.3.

Note that the integrand is always nonnegative. Indeed, due to the standard (sharp) Kato inequality $|\nabla^2 u|^2 \geq |\nabla |\nabla u||^2$ from Theorem 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 ((1+2\gamma)(p-2) |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} + 2\gamma |\nabla u|^p |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma-2}) + |\nabla^2 u|^2 |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} \\ & \geq |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} ((2 + (1+2\gamma)(p-2)) + 2\gamma |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla u|_\delta^{-2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $|\nabla u|^2 |\nabla u|_\delta^{-2} \in [0, 1]$ and $\gamma < 0$, so it is enough to have

$$0 \leq 2 + (1 + 2\gamma)(p - 2) + 2\gamma = p + 2\gamma(p - 1),$$

which we assume from now on. By Fatou's lemma, the integral of the limiting function is at most the lower limit of the integrals, which means that the inequality \leq is preserved. This finishes the proof.

Lemma 4.4 (a) \Rightarrow Lemma 4.3 (a), (δ -stability implies stability):

This inequality has an opposite direction when compared to Bochner, so we cannot use Fatou's lemma. Instead, we will employ the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, relying on the parts of Lemma 4.3 we have already proved.

As before, the convergence of the right-hand side of (4.1) is straightforward. The integrand of the left-hand side of (4.1) also converges pointwise to its counterpart in Lemma 4.3 due to the presence of positive powers of $|\nabla u|$. It remains to establish a uniform bound for the integrand.

To this end, we first bound the term

$$(|\nabla u|_\delta^\gamma + \gamma |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla u|_\delta^{\gamma-2})^2 = |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} (1 + \gamma |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla u|_\delta^{-2})^2 \leq |\nabla u|^{2\gamma}.$$

This holds since $-1 < \gamma \leq 0$ and $|\nabla u| \leq |\nabla u|_\delta$, in particular; the expression $1 + \gamma |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla u|_\delta^{-2}$ lies between $1 + \gamma$ and 1. It will be convenient to denote by Stab_δ and Stab the integrands appearing on the left-hand side of our stability inequalities (i.e., in inequalities (4.3) and (4.1), respectively), and by Boch the integrand in the limiting Bochner estimate (i.e., in (4.2)). The inequality above shows that $\text{Stab}_\delta \leq (1 + \gamma)^{-2} \text{Stab}$ pointwise. Then, the mixed Kato–Cauchy–Schwarz inequality from Lemma 4.5 implies $\text{Stab} \leq C \cdot \text{Boch}$ with some constant C . To summarize, we have

$$0 \leq \text{Stab}_\delta \leq (1 + \gamma)^{-2} C \cdot \text{Boch},$$

and finally, we employ the previous step to infer that Boch is indeed integrable (its integral being controlled by integrals of $|\nabla u|^{p+2\gamma}$ and $|\nabla u|^{p+2+2\gamma}$). This justifies the use of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and establishes the limiting stability inequality. \square

We are ready to proceed with the proof of the main result of the Section.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Assume that $u: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ is a minimizing p -harmonic tangent map. Exactly as in, e.g., [8, Proof of Theorem 5.1] it suffices to show that u is a constant map.

Consider some $\gamma > -\frac{p}{2(p-1)}$ to be fixed later. Combining the stability inequality (4.1) with the mixed Kato–Cauchy–Schwarz inequality (4.5), we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & C \cdot (1 + \gamma)^2 \left(((p-2) + 2\gamma(p-1)) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p-2+2\gamma} |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 + \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p-2+2\gamma} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \right) \\ & \geq (3-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2+2\gamma} - (3-p)^2 \cdot \frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

with the constant $C = \frac{3}{p+2\gamma(p-1)}$. Next, we combine this result with the Bochner inequality (4.2), which eliminates the second order terms:

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & (3-p) (C \cdot (1 + \gamma)^2)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2+2\gamma} - \frac{3}{4} (3-p)^2 (C \cdot (1 + \gamma)^2)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2\gamma} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2+2\gamma} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Reordering the terms, we get

$$(4.8) \quad A \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2+2\gamma} + B \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|^{p+2\gamma} \leq 0,$$

where

$$(4.9) \quad A = \left(\frac{(3-p)(p+2\gamma(p-1))}{3(1+\gamma)^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad B = \left(1 - \frac{(3-p)^2(p+2\gamma(p-1))}{4(1+\gamma)^2} \right).$$

Our aim now is to choose $\gamma > -\frac{p}{2(p-1)}$ for which $A \geq 0$ and $B > 0$. If this holds, one can infer that u must be constant, which completes the proof. It will be useful to consult the diagram below. First, since we only consider $2 \leq p < 3$, the condition $\gamma > -\frac{p}{2(p-1)}$ is satisfied for all $\gamma > -\frac{3}{4}$. If we choose $\gamma = 0$, the condition $B > 0$ is automatically satisfied, while $A \geq 0$ holds for all $p \in [2, 2.36]$; for more details see our previous work [8, Thm. 5.1], where we followed exactly this approach. Thus, we can focus on larger values of p .

Let us analyze the condition $A \geq 0$, or equivalently

$$(4.10) \quad 2(3-p)(p+2\gamma(p-1)) \geq 3(1+\gamma)^2.$$

For a fixed p , this is a quadratic inequality in γ , which has a solution if and only if the discriminant is nonnegative. Thus, the maximal p for which this happens can be described as a root of $2p^4 - 16p^3 + 47p^2 - 63p + 36 = 0$ or, after factoring out the trivial root 3, of

$$2p^3 - 10p^2 + 17p - 12 = 0.$$

This cubic equation has only real root:

$$(4.11) \quad p_0 = \frac{1}{3} \left(5 + \frac{\sqrt[3]{2(59 + 9\sqrt{43})}}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2(59 + 9\sqrt{43})}} \right) \approx 2.6427.$$




FIGURE 3. The graph suggests that one can find some suitable γ for each $p \leq p_0$. However, no value of γ is suitable for all $2 \leq p \leq p_0$.

For the optimal $p = p_0$, the required value of γ is

$$\gamma = \frac{-2p_0^2 + 8p_0 - 9}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \left(-1 + \sqrt[3]{\sqrt{43} - 4} - \frac{3}{\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{43} - 4}} \right) \approx -0.60874.$$

It follows from our discussion that if we choose such γ , (4.10) holds for all $p \in [2, p_0]$. To complete the reasoning, let us also consider the condition $B > 0$. Since $\gamma \leq -\frac{1}{2}$, we have $p + 2\gamma(p - 1) \leq 1$ and thus $B > 0$ reduces to $3 - p < 2(1 + \gamma)$. This clearly holds for all p larger than, say, 2.3; smaller values of p have already been covered.

In conclusion, we have shown for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ (with two different choices of γ) that any tangent map u must be constant, which implies that all minimizing p -harmonic maps $B^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ are regular. \square

APPENDIX A. STABILITY AND BOCHNER INEQUALITIES

Lemma A.1 (Stability inequality). *Assume that $u: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$ is a stable-stationary p -harmonic tangent map. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^{p-4} (d|\nabla u|^2 |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 + (p-2) |\langle \nabla u, \nabla |\nabla u| \rangle|^2) \\ & \geq (d-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^{p+2} - \frac{d(n-p)^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^p. \end{aligned}$$

The proof can be found in [9, Lem. 2, 3], but the result there is simplified, and thus slightly weaker. For this reason, certain technical details of the calculations will be omitted here.

Proof of Lemma A.1. We start with the derivation from [9, Lem. 2], with one final step omitted. It will be useful to consider the map $u: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$ (not restricted to the sphere), as we will exploit the stable stationary condition, i.e., the fact that the second variation is nonnegative. Following [13, Proof of Thm. 2.7] let us choose the perturbation family as in [14]

$$u_t(x) := \frac{u(x) + t\varphi(x)\alpha}{|u(x) + t\varphi(x)\alpha|},$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is a fixed unit vector and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ (later we will take an average over all α and build φ based on $|\nabla u|$).

The stability condition tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \frac{1}{p} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} E_p(u_t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 (1 - |\langle u, \alpha \rangle|^2) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \varphi^2 (p |\nabla \langle u, \alpha \rangle|^2 + (|\langle u, \alpha \rangle|^2 - 1) |\nabla u|^2) \\ &\quad + (p-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^{p-4} |\langle \nabla \langle u, \alpha \rangle, \nabla \varphi \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing the above over all α 's in a chosen orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , we obtain

$$0 \leq d \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 - (d-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p \varphi^2 + (p-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^{p-4} |\langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle|^2.$$

Crucially, *we choose not to bound the last term via Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.* Instead, we proceed as in [9, Lem. 3] and choose φ in the form

$$\varphi(rz) = f(r)g(z), \quad \text{with } f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) \text{ and } g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

Rewriting the previous inequality in this special case is straightforward; one thing worth noticing is that since u is 0-homogeneous

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \varphi(rz)|^2 &= f'(r)^2 g(z)^2 + r^{-2} f(r)^2 |\nabla g(z)|^2 \\ \text{but } |\langle \nabla u(rz), \nabla \varphi(rz) \rangle|^2 &= r^{-4} f(r)^2 |\langle \nabla u(z), \nabla g(z) \rangle|^2, \end{aligned}$$

the latter expression not involving $f'(r)^2$. Thus, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq &\left(d \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^{p-2} g(z)^2 dz \right) \left(\int_0^\infty f'(r)^2 r^{n-p+1} dr \right) \\ &+ \left(d \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^{p-2} |\nabla g(z)|^2 dz + (p-2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^{p-4} |\langle \nabla u(z), \nabla g(z) \rangle|^2 dz \right. \\ &\left. - (d-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^p g(z)^2 dz \right) \left(\int_0^\infty f(r)^2 r^{n-p-1} dr \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can now optimize over all f . Using

$$\inf_{f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} \frac{\int_0^\infty f'(r)^2 r^{n-p+1} dr}{\int_0^\infty f(r)^2 r^{n-p-1} dr} = \frac{(n-p)^2}{4}$$

(which can be derived by ODE considerations as in [13, Lemma 1.3]), we can conclude that

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & d \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^{p-2} |\nabla g(z)|^2 dz + (p-2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^{p-4} |\langle \nabla u(z), \nabla g(z) \rangle|^2 dz \\ & - (d-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^p g(z)^2 dz \geq -\frac{(n-p)^2}{4} d \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^{p-2} g(z)^2 dz. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by approximating $|\nabla u|$ with smooth functions, we obtain the same inequality for $g(z) = |\nabla u(z)|$, which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & d \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^{p-2} |\nabla |\nabla u|(z)|^2 dz + (p-2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^{p-4} |\langle \nabla u(z), \nabla |\nabla u|(z) \rangle|^2 dz \\ & - (d-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^{p+2} dz \geq -\frac{(n-p)^2}{4} d \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(z)|^p dz. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 4.4 (a) (δ -Stability inequality). We test (A.1) with the function $g = |\nabla u| |\nabla u|_\delta^\gamma$, where $|\nabla u|_\delta = (\delta^2 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $\nabla g = (|\nabla u|_\delta^\gamma + \gamma |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla u|_\delta^{\gamma-2}) \nabla |\nabla u|$, which leads to the desired inequality. □

We now turn to the Bochner inequality of Lemma 4.4 (b). The starting point is the general Bochner–Weitzenböck formula, which can be found e.g. in [10, proof of Lemma 2], [3, eq. (3.13)] or [5, p. 123] (the last reference contains a proof). For the sake of completeness, we include a direct coordinate-free derivation.

Lemma A.2. *Consider a smooth map $u: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ between Riemannian manifolds (\mathcal{M}, g) and (\mathcal{N}, \hat{g}) . Denote the Riemannian curvature of \mathcal{M} by R and its Ricci curvature by Ric , and similarly, by \hat{R} the Riemannian curvature of \mathcal{N} . Then, we have*

$$(A.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \langle \nabla \Delta u, \nabla u \rangle - \hat{R}_{k\ell ij} \nabla_a u^k \nabla_b u^\ell \nabla^b u^i \nabla^a u^j + \text{Ric}_{bc} \nabla^b u_i \nabla^c u^i$$

In particular, for a map $u: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ the following inequality holds

$$(A.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla u|^2 \geq |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \langle \nabla \Delta u, \nabla u \rangle + |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^4.$$

A few words on notation are in order. We are using Penrose’s abstract index notation to ease the computation and make the curvature terms in (A.2) unambiguous. We will consider the pullback bundle $u^*T\mathcal{N}$ with its pullback connection and curvature \hat{R}' . Moreover, we will use ∇ to denote connections on both $T\mathcal{M}$ and $T\mathcal{M} \otimes u^*T\mathcal{N}$, with the specific meaning being clear from the context. By Δ we denote the connection Laplacian.

Recall two basic identities for the pullback connection, taken from [4, pp. 4-6]:

$$(A.4) \quad \nabla_X (du \cdot Y) - \nabla_Y (du \cdot X) = du \cdot [X, Y],$$

$$(A.5) \quad \hat{R}'(X, Y)Z = \hat{R}(du \cdot X, du \cdot Y)Z,$$

for any tangent fields X, Y, Z on \mathcal{M} . In our notation, these read:

$$(A.6) \quad \nabla_a \nabla_b u^i = \nabla_b \nabla_a u^i,$$

$$(A.7) \quad \hat{R}'_{abi}{}^j = \hat{R}_{kli}{}^j \nabla_a u^k \nabla_b u^\ell.$$

Proof. We start with computing $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla u|^2$:

$$(A.8) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla u|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_a \nabla^a (\nabla^b u_i \nabla_b u^i)$$

$$(A.9) \quad = \nabla_a (\nabla^b u_i \nabla^a \nabla_b u^i)$$

$$(A.10) \quad = \nabla_a \nabla^b u_i \nabla^a \nabla_b u^i + \nabla^b u_i \nabla_a \nabla^a \nabla_b u^i.$$

The first term we obtained is $|\nabla^2 u|^2$. In the second term, we can replace $\nabla^a \nabla_b u^i$ by $\nabla_b \nabla^a u^i$, since the Hessian of u is symmetric as in (A.6). If we exchange the order of differentiation once more, we obtain the desired term

$$(A.11) \quad \nabla^b u_i \nabla_b \nabla_a \nabla^a u^i = \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle.$$

However, this change results in adding a curvature term, according to (A.7):

$$(A.12) \quad \nabla^b u_i (\nabla_a \nabla_b \nabla^a u^i - \nabla_b \nabla_a \nabla^a u^i) = \nabla^b u_i R_{abc}{}^a \nabla^c u^i + \nabla^b u_i \hat{R}'_{abj}{}^i \nabla^a u^j$$

$$(A.13) \quad = \nabla^b u_i R_{abc}{}^a \nabla^c u^i + \nabla^b u_i \hat{R}_{klij}{}^i \nabla_a u^k \nabla_b u^\ell \nabla^a u^j$$

$$(A.14) \quad = \text{Ric}_{bc} \nabla^b u_i \nabla^c u^i - \hat{R}_{klij} \nabla_a u^k \nabla_b u^\ell \nabla^b u^i \nabla^a u^j.$$

This completes the derivation.

In the special case of maps $u: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$, recall that $R_{abcd} = g_{ad}g_{bc} - g_{ac}g_{bd}$ and so $\text{Ric}_{bc} = g_{bc}$, yielding

$$(A.15) \quad \text{Ric}_{bc} \nabla^b u_i \nabla^c u^i = |\nabla u|^2.$$

Similarly, $\hat{R}_{klij} = \hat{g}_{kj}\hat{g}_{li} - \hat{g}_{ki}\hat{g}_{lj}$ gives us

$$(A.16) \quad \hat{R}_{klij} \nabla_a u^k \nabla_b u^\ell \nabla^b u^i \nabla^a u^j = |\nabla u|^4 - \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^2 \langle \nabla_\alpha u, \nabla_\beta u \rangle^2,$$

where the summation is over an orthonormal basis. Discarding the terms with $\alpha \neq \beta$ and applying the inequality between means of order 2 and 4, we get

$$(A.17) \quad \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^2 \langle \nabla_\alpha u, \nabla_\beta u \rangle^2 \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 |\nabla_\alpha u|^4 \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^2 |\nabla_\alpha u|^2 \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^4.$$

This leads to the final form of our inequality. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.4 (b) (δ -Bochner inequality). In order to prove (4.4), we proceed similarly as [13, pp. 93-94], [9, Lemma 1], or [10, Lemma 2], see also [3, p. 24]. That is, we

employ the Bochner–Weitzenböck formula, or rather its corollary, the inequality (A.3) for maps $u: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$. We test this with

$$g = |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma}, \quad \text{where } |\nabla u|_\delta = (\delta^2 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and integrate by parts. We do not need to exchange the order of derivatives, so the computation follows like in the flat case: we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(A.18)} \\ & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \langle \nabla |\nabla u|^2, \nabla (|\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma}) \rangle \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} + |\nabla u|^p |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^{p+2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} - \langle \Delta u, \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} \nabla u) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

First, we note that the term on the left-hand side of (A.18) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(A.19)} \\ & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \langle \nabla |\nabla u|^2, \nabla (|\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma}) \rangle \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \langle 2|\nabla u| |\nabla |\nabla u||, (p-2)|\nabla u|^{p-3} \nabla |\nabla u| |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} + |\nabla u|^{p-2} 2\gamma |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma-2} |\nabla u| |\nabla |\nabla u|| \rangle \\ & = -\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 ((p-2)|\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} + 2\gamma |\nabla u|^p |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, let us transform the last term of (A.18) by exploiting the equation of p -harmonic mappings

$$0 = \Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u).$$

It will also be useful to write this equation in a different form, at least at points where $\nabla u \neq 0$:

$$0 = |\nabla u|^{2-p} \Delta_p u = \Delta u + (p-2) \Delta_\infty u, \quad \text{where } \Delta_\infty u := \langle \nabla |\nabla u|, \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \rangle$$

is the normalized (i.e., homogeneous) ∞ -Laplace operator. This way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X & := -\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \langle \Delta u, \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} \nabla u) \rangle \\ & = -\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \langle \Delta u, \nabla |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} \cdot |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \rangle \\ & = -\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \langle \Delta u, 2\gamma |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma-2} |\nabla u| |\nabla |\nabla u|| \cdot |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \rangle \\ & = -2\gamma \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \langle \Delta u, \Delta_\infty u \rangle |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma-2} |\nabla u|^p \\ & = 2\gamma(p-2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\Delta_\infty u|^2 |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma-2} |\nabla u|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p > 2$, the pointwise inequality $|\Delta_\infty u| \leq |\nabla|\nabla u||$ gives us

$$(A.20) \quad |X| \leq 2|\gamma|(p-2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma-2} |\nabla u|^p |\nabla|\nabla u||^2.$$

Applying (A.19), (A.20) in the inequality (A.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla|\nabla u||^2 ((p-2)|\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} + 2\gamma |\nabla u|^p |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma-2}) \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} + |\nabla u|^p |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^{p+2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} + 2\gamma(p-2) |\nabla|\nabla u||^2 |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla|\nabla u||^2 ((1+2\gamma)(p-2) |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} + 2\gamma |\nabla u|^p |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma-2}) + |\nabla^2 u|^2 |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} -|\nabla u|^p |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^{p+2} |\nabla u|_\delta^{2\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 4.5 (mixed Kato–Cauchy–Schwarz inequality). We will derive an optimal constant in the inequality (at points where $\nabla u \neq 0$)

$$3|\nabla|\nabla u||^2 + A \left| \left\langle \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}, \nabla|\nabla u| \right\rangle \right|^2 \leq C (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + B|\nabla|\nabla u||^2),$$

where $A = p - 2$, $B = (p - 2) + 2\gamma(p - 1)$, $\gamma < 0$.

We follow the proof of [8, Lemma 5.2 (c)]. Similarly to equation (5.5) on page 3934 therein, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & 3(\theta_1 x + \theta_2 y)^2 + A\theta_1^2(\theta_1 x + \theta_2 y)^2 \\ & \leq C \left(x^2 + 2y^2 + (x + (p-2)\theta_1(\theta_1 x + \theta_2 y))^2 + B(\theta_1 x + \theta_2 y)^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all θ_1, θ_2 satisfying $\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 = 1$. Taking the same steps, we introduce the rotated coordinates $z = \theta_1 x + \theta_2 y$, $w = \theta_2 x - \theta_1 y$, notice $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 + w^2$, $x = \theta_1 z + \theta_2 w$, and thus rearrange the above to the form

$$3z^2 + A\theta_1^2 z^2 \leq C (2(z^2 + w^2) + Bz^2 + (p-2)^2 \theta_1^2 z^2 + 2(p-2)\theta_1 z w)$$

or, substituting $C = \frac{3}{2+B}$:

$$A(2+B)\theta_1^2 z^2 \leq 3(p(p-2)\theta_1^2 z^2 + 2w^2 + 2(p-2)\theta_1 \theta_2 z w).$$

Now take into account that $A = p - 2$ and $2 + B = p - E$, where $E = -2\gamma(p - 1) \geq 0$. Then the inequality becomes

$$0 \leq (2p + E)\theta_1^2 z^2 + \frac{6}{p-2} w^2 + 6\theta_1 \theta_2 z w,$$

and the discriminant is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= 36 \theta_1^2 \theta_2^2 - 4 \cdot (2p + E) \theta_1^2 \cdot \frac{6}{p-2} \\ &= \frac{12 \theta_1^2}{p-2} (3(p-2) \theta_2^2 - 2(2p+E)) \\ &\leq \frac{12 \theta_1^2}{p-2} (3p-4p) \\ &\leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that indeed we have

$$3|\nabla|\nabla u||^2 + A \left| \left\langle \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}, \nabla|\nabla u| \right\rangle \right|^2 \leq C (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + B|\nabla|\nabla u||^2),$$

with $C = \frac{3}{2+B}$ in our case. □

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bérard. Remarques sur l'équation de J. Simons. In *Differential geometry*, volume 52 of *Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 47–57. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1991. [1](#)
- [2] S.-C. Chang, J.-T. Chen, and S. W. Wei. Liouville properties for p -harmonic maps with finite q -energy. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(2):787–825, 2016. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [3] J. Eells and L. Lemaire. A report on harmonic maps. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 10(1):1–68, 1978. [19](#), [20](#)
- [4] J. Eells and L. Lemaire. *Selected topics in harmonic maps*, volume 50 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1983. [19](#)
- [5] J. Eells, Jr. and J. H. Sampson. Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 86:109–160, 1964. [19](#)
- [6] A. Gastel. Regularity issues for Cosserat continua and p -harmonic maps. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 51(6):4287–4310, 2019. [2](#), [13](#)
- [7] R. Hardt and F.-H. Lin. Mappings minimizing the L^p norm of the gradient. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 40(5):555–588, 1987. [10](#)
- [8] K. Mazowiecka and M. Miśkiewicz. Regularity of minimizing p -harmonic maps into spheres and sharp Kato inequality. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (5):3920–3946, 2024. [2](#), [3](#), [5](#), [13](#), [15](#), [16](#), [22](#)
- [9] N. Nakauchi. Regularity of minimizing p -harmonic maps into the sphere. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (7)*, 10(2):319–332, 1996. [10](#), [17](#), [18](#), [20](#)
- [10] N. Nakauchi. Regularity of minimizing p -harmonic maps into the sphere. In *Proceedings of the Third World Congress of Nonlinear Analysts, Part 2 (Catania, 2000)*, volume 47, pages 1051–1057, 2001. [10](#), [19](#), [20](#)
- [11] T. Okayasu. Regularity of minimizing harmonic maps into S^4 , S^5 and symmetric spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 298(2):193–205, 1994. [2](#)
- [12] R. Schoen, L. Simon, and S. T. Yau. Curvature estimates for minimal hypersurfaces. *Acta Math.*, 134(3-4):275–288, 1975. [1](#)
- [13] R. Schoen and K. Uhlenbeck. Regularity of minimizing harmonic maps into the sphere. *Invent. Math.*, 78(1):89–100, 1984. [2](#), [3](#), [10](#), [18](#), [19](#), [20](#)

- [14] Y. L. Xin. Nonexistence and existence for harmonic maps in Riemannian manifolds. In *Proceedings of the 1981 Shanghai symposium on differential geometry and differential equations (Shanghai/Hefei, 1981)*, pages 529–538. Sci. Press Beijing, Beijing, 1984. [18](#)

(Andreas Gastel) FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, THEA-LEYMANN-STR. 9, 45127 ESSEN, GERMANY

Email address: `andreas.gastel@uni-due.de`

(Katarzyna Mazowiecka) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, BANACHA 2, 02-097 WARSZAWA, POLAND

Email address: `k.mazowiecka@mimuw.edu.pl`

(Michał Miśkiewicz) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, BANACHA 2, 02-097 WARSZAWA, POLAND

Email address: `m.miskiewicz@mimuw.edu.pl`