

THE INTEGRAL CHOW RING OF $\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{ct}}$

JOSEPH HELFER, ERIC JOVINELLY, ERIC LARSON, ANDA TENIE, CHENGXI WANG

ABSTRACT. This paper computes the integral Chow ring of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{ct}}$ of stable genus 2 curves of compact type. This is done by excising boundary strata from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ one-by-one. During this process, we determine the Chow rings of all other open strata in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ with $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chow rings of moduli spaces of stable curves were first investigated by Mumford [Mum83], who determined $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)$ with rational coefficients and defined certain natural classes in $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ known as tautological classes. At the time, these Chow rings could only be defined with rational coefficients; however, Totaro, Edidin, Graham, and Kresch later developed a theory of integral Chow rings that applies to \mathcal{M}_g and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ [Tot99; EG98; Kre99].

Since Mumford's original work, many rational Chow rings of moduli spaces of curves have been computed: Faber computed those of \mathcal{M}_3 , $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3$, and \mathcal{M}_4 [Fab90a; Fab90b]; Izadi computed that of \mathcal{M}_5 [Iza95], Penev–Vakil computed that of \mathcal{M}_6 [PV15]; and H. Larson–Canning computed that of \mathcal{M}_g for $g \leq 9$ as well as those of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ for $g \leq 7$ [CL24; CL23]. Additionally, many integral Chow rings have been computed:

- $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n})$ for all n was computed by Keel [Kee92];
- $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_{1,n})$ for $n \leq 10$ was computed by Edidin–Graham and Bishop [EG98; Bis24b];
- $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$ for $n \leq 4$ was computed by Edidin–Graham, Di Lorenzo–Vistoli, and Bishop [EG98; Bis24a; BL24];
- $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_{2,n})$ for $n \leq 2$ has been computed by Vistoli, Pernice and Landi, and Landi, respectively [Vis98; Per23; Lan24];
- $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n})$ for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ was computed by E. Larson and Di Lorenzo–Pernice–Vistoli, respectively [Lar21; DPV24].


A stable curve is of *compact type* if its Jacobian is proper. In this paper, we compute the integral Chow ring of the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{ct}}$ of stable genus 2 curves of compact type. This stack is of particular interest because of its close relationship to abelian surfaces: the Torelli map $J: \mathcal{M}_2^{\text{ct}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is a bijection on closed points.

Theorem 1.1. *Over a field of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$, we have*

$$\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{ct}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1] / (24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 24\delta_1\lambda_2, 168\lambda_1\lambda_2^2, 10\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1, 2\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2 + \delta_1\lambda_1 - \delta_1^2).$$

Along the way, we also compute the Chow rings with $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ -coefficients of all other open strata of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ – see Theorem 5.6 for a precise statement.

Date: December 2024.

FIGURE 1. The boundary stratification of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$.

1.1. The Boundary Stratification. Let us recall the boundary stratification of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$. To each stable curve C we associate its *dual graph*, whose vertices represent the irreducible components of the curve and whose edges represent the nodes. In Figure 1, the names of the strata are displayed to the left, and the dual graphs of the curves they parametrize on the right. Our convention is that these names always refer to the *closed* strata, i.e., each node in the diagram includes all the ones below it. Notice from the diagram that we have $\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{ct}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_0$ since the compact type curves are precisely those whose dual graph is a tree.

1.2. Methods. Our approach is to use the computation of the integral Chow ring of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ in [Lar21] and excise the strata Δ_{001} , $[\Delta_{01} \setminus \Delta_{001}]$, Δ_{000} , $[\Delta_{00} \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})]$, and $[\Delta_0 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01})]$ in order. The first two of these turn out to be fairly straightforward to excise: Using the presentation of Δ_1 as a quotient of an affine space by a group action from [Lar21, §3.1], we find explicit descriptions of the strata Δ_{01} and Δ_{001} .

Unfortunately, the remaining strata are challenging to excise, because the presentation of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ in [Lar21] involves patching together two quotient stacks, one for Δ_1 and one for its complement. Thus, while it is easy to understand the pushforward maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CH}^*(\Delta_{000}) &\rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_1) \\ \text{CH}^*(\Delta_{00} \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})) &\rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_1)) \\ \text{CH}^*(\Delta_0 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01})) &\rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_1)), \end{aligned}$$

this does not quite determine the needed pushforward maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CH}^*(\Delta_{000}) &\rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01}) \\ \text{CH}^*(\Delta_{00} \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})) &\rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{01})) \\ \text{CH}^*(\Delta_0 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01})) &\rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01})). \end{aligned}$$

To resolve the ambiguity in the needed pushforward maps, we use a combination of two techniques: First, we use the test family of bielliptic curves described in [Lar21, §11] to determine

relations via pullback. Second, we use the Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch formula to establish certain relations in $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{st}})$.

Acknowledgements This project began at the 2023 AGNES Summer School, which was held at Brown University and funded by NSF grant DMS-2312088.

The authors would like to thank Dan Abramovich, Juliette Bruce, Samir Canning, Renzo Cavaliere, Melody Chan, Dawei Chen, Emily Clader, Aitor Iribar Lopez, Hannah Larson, Andrea di Lorenzo, Michele Pernice, Brandon Story, Angelo Vistoli, Isabel Vogt, Rachel Webb, Angelina Zheng, and other members of the Brown University math department, for helpful conversations about Chow rings of moduli spaces and/or for organizing the summer school. Finally, the authors would like to acknowledge the generous support of the National Science Foundation: Eric Jovinelly was supported by an NSF postdoctoral research fellowship DMS-2303335; Eric Larson was supported by NSF grant DMS-2200641.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Excising Δ_{001} and Δ_{01}	6
4. Bielliptic Curves	8
5. Excising $\Delta_0 \setminus \Delta_{01}$	19
References	25

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Assumptions and conventions. Throughout, all schemes and stacks will be over a fixed field k of characteristic different from 2 or 3. We do not assume k is algebraically closed.

A *global quotient stack* is the quotient X/G of an algebraic space X by a linear algebraic group G . All quotients of spaces by group actions in this paper are stack quotients unless noted otherwise.

In this paper, a *stack* is an Artin stack that admits a stratification by global quotient stacks (see [Kre99, Def. 3.5.3]). For such stacks, we let CH^* denote the Chow functor defined in [Kre99, Definition 2.1.11]. By [Kre99, Theorem 2.1.12], these Chow groups have familiar properties, such as excision (i.e. localization) sequences and a ring structure for smooth stacks.

Given a vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$, we let $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ denote the space of lines in \mathcal{E} . By [Kre99, Theorem 2.1.12], the pullback map induces isomorphisms on Chow groups:

$$(1) \quad \text{CH}^*(\mathcal{E}) \cong \text{CH}^*(X) \text{ and } \text{CH}^*(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}) \cong \text{CH}^*(X)[\zeta]/(\zeta^r + c_1(\mathcal{E})\zeta^{r-1} + \dots + c_r(\mathcal{E}))$$

where r is the rank of \mathcal{E} and $c_i(\mathcal{E}) \in \text{CH}^*(X)$ are the Chern classes of \mathcal{E} . For a line bundle \mathcal{L} on X , the pullback of $\zeta_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}}$ under the twisting isomorphism $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L})$ is $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}} - c_1(\mathcal{L})$.

2.2. Equivariant Computations. For a smooth global quotient stack X/G , the ring $\text{CH}^*(X/G)$ is naturally isomorphic to the G -equivariant Chow ring $\text{CH}_G^*(X)$ of [EG98], and we will use these interchangeably. Below we recall some facts about equivariant bundles.

Let G be a quasi-compact group scheme acting on a scheme X , and consider the map to the quotient stack $\pi: X \rightarrow X/G$. The pullback $\pi^*E \rightarrow X$ of any vector bundle $E \rightarrow X/G$ inherits

the structure of a G -equivariant bundle – i.e., a G -action on π^*E by vector bundle automorphisms making the projection $\pi^*E \rightarrow X$ equivariant. By fpqc descent, this gives an equivalence of categories

$$\pi^*: \mathbf{Vect}(X/G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_G(X).$$

That is, we can study vector bundles on X/G in terms of equivariant vector bundles on X . The bundles on X/G which are pulled back along $X/G \rightarrow \text{pt}/G$ correspond to the equivariant vector bundles on X of the form $X \times V \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X$ for some G -representation V , where G acts simultaneously on both factors of $X \times V$. As a special case, vector bundles on $BG = \text{pt}/G$ correspond to equivariant vector bundles on pt , i.e., G -representations.

2.3. Common groups and classes. The following notation is consistent with [Lar21].

Definition 2.1. Throughout this paper, let G denote the wreath product

$$G := (\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},$$

where the action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ permutes its factors.

One can describe representations of G through the sign representation Γ of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and representations of \mathbb{G}_m .

Definition 2.2. We let L_n denote the 1-dimensional representation of \mathbb{G}_m with weight n . Set

$$L_{a_1, \dots, a_n} := L_{a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus L_{a_n}.$$

Let W_{a_1, \dots, a_n} be the representation of G whose underlying vector space is

$$W_{a_1, \dots, a_n} := L_{a_1, \dots, a_n} \oplus L_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$$

and where $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \subset G$ acts naturally on $L_{a_1, \dots, a_n} \oplus L_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ permutes its factors.

Lastly, we let V denote the standard representation of GL_2 and set $V_n := \text{Sym}^n V^*$ as well as $V_n(m) := V_n \otimes (\det V)^{\otimes m}$.

Definition 2.3. With the above notation, set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= c_i(V) \in \text{CH}^*(\text{BGL}_2), \\ \beta_i &= c_i(W_1) \in \text{CH}^*(BG), \\ \gamma &= c_1(\Gamma) \in \text{CH}^*(B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

and for $i = 1, 2$ let $t_i \in \text{CH}^*(\text{B}(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m))$ be the pullback of $c_1(L_1)$ along the i -th projection map $\text{B}(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m$.

Whenever we are working in the Chow ring of a space equipped with a map to BGL_2 , BG , or $\text{B}(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$, we will denote by α_i , β_i , etc., the pullbacks of these classes from $\text{CH}^*(\text{BGL}_2)$, etc. We have the following explicit presentations of these rings:

Lemma 2.4 ([Tot14, Theorem 2.13 and Lemma 2.12; Lar21, Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 7.1]). *We have the presentations*

$$\text{CH}^*(\text{BGL}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2], \quad \text{CH}^*(BG) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma]/(2\gamma, \gamma^2 + \beta_1\gamma), \quad \text{CH}^*(\text{B}(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_2].$$

Moreover, the norm (or transfer map) from $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ to G – in other words, the pushforward $\pi_: \text{CH}^*(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(G)$ along the index 2 inclusion $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G$ – is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*(1) &= 2 & \pi_*(t_1^a) &= \beta_1 \pi_*(t_1^{a-1}) - \beta_2 \pi_*(t_1^{a-2}) \text{ for } a \geq 2 \\ \pi_*(t_1) &= \beta_1 + \gamma & \pi_*(t_1^a t_2^b) &= \beta_2^{\min(a,b)} \pi_*(t_1^{|a-b|}), \end{aligned}$$

while the pullback along π is given by

$$\pi^*\beta_1 = t_1 + t_2, \quad \pi^*\beta_2 = t_1t_2, \quad \pi^*\gamma = 0.$$

Note that the image of $\pi_*: \text{CH}^*(BG) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(B(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_2]$ is the subring generated by $t_1 + t_2$ and t_1t_2 , and $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_2]$ is generated as a module over this ring by 1 and t_1 (or by 1 and t_2). In particular (by §2.4 below), pushing forward an ideal under π_* amounts to pushing forward a set of generators of this ideal and their products with t_1 (or with t_2).

2.4. Push pull. We recall the “push-pull” property for Chow rings: this says that, given a proper morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of stacks with X and Y smooth, $f_*: \text{CH}^*(X) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(Y)$ is a $\text{CH}^*(Y)$ -module homomorphism. Hence, more generally, given a second map $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ (with Z smooth), f_* is a $\text{CH}^*(Z)$ -module homomorphism.

We will be concerned with finding the images of several such pushforward morphisms f_* . To this end, we note that if $S \subset \text{CH}^*(X)$ generate $\text{CH}^*(X)$ as a $\text{CH}^*(Z)$ -module, then $f_*(S)$ generates the image of f_* as an $\text{CH}^*(Z)$ -module. Thus, in particular, if $(gf)^*: \text{CH}^*(Z) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(X)$ is surjective, then the image of f_* is generated as an ideal by the image $f_*(1)$ of the fundamental class of X .

This situation will arise for us with $Z = BH$ for some group H , and X and Y open subsets of vector bundles over Z .

A related fact we will be using repeatedly is that “flat pullback commutes with projective pushforward” [Kre99, §2.2]: given a pullback square of stacks as below on the left with the horizontal morphisms projective and the vertical morphisms flat, the resulting square of Chow rings on the right is commutative.

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X \\ h' \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow h \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{CH}^*(X') & \xrightarrow{f'_*} & \text{CH}^*(X) \\ (h')^* \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow h^* \\ \text{CH}^*(Y') & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \text{CH}^*(Y) \end{array}$$

2.5. Chow rings of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ and Δ_1 . We recall the main result of [Lar21], which is the starting point of our computations.

Theorem 2.5 (Theorem 1.1, [Lar21]). *Over any base field of characteristic distinct from 2 and 3, the Chow ring of the moduli space of stable curves of genus 2 is given by*

$$\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1] / (24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \delta_1^3 + \delta_1^2\lambda_1, 2\delta_1^2 + 2\delta_1\lambda_1),$$

where λ_1, λ_2 denote the Chern classes of the Hodge line bundle and δ_1 denotes the class of the boundary substack parameterizing curves with a disconnecting node.

In this basis, the class of the boundary substack parameterizing curves with a nonseparating node is given by $\delta_0 = 10\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1$.

Definition 2.6. As in Definition 2.3, we will write $\delta_0, \delta_1, \lambda_1,$ and λ_2 for the pullbacks of the respective class from $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)$, whenever this makes sense.

Theorem 2.7 ([Lar21, §9]). *The Chow ring of Δ_1 is given by*

$$\text{CH}^*(\Delta_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \gamma] / (2\gamma, \gamma^2 + \lambda_1\gamma, 24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 24\lambda_1\lambda_2).$$

In [Lar21, §9], It will be more convenient for us to present this in terms of the generators $\lambda_1, \lambda_2,$ and δ_1 . By [Lar21, Lemma 6.1] we have that $\delta_1 = \gamma - \lambda_1$ on Δ_1 , and hence:

$$(3) \quad \text{CH}^*(\Delta_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1] / (2(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), \delta_1(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 24\lambda_1\lambda_2)$$

3. EXCISING Δ_{001} AND Δ_{01}

In this section, we carry out the first two steps of the program outlined in §1.2, by excising the loci Δ_{001} and $\Delta_{01} \setminus \Delta_{001}$. Along the way, we will also determine the Chow rings of $\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001}$ and $\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{01}$:

Proposition 3.1. *The rings $\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001})$, $\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{01})$, $\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001})$, and $\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01})$ are given by the following presentations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001}) &\cong \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1)/(144\lambda_2) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(2(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), \delta_1(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 24\lambda_1\lambda_2, 144\lambda_2) \\ \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{01}) &\cong \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001})/(12\delta_1, 24\lambda_2) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(2(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), \delta_1(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 12\lambda_1, 24\lambda_2) \\ \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001}) &\cong \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)/(144\delta_1\lambda_2) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \delta_1^3 + \delta_1^2\lambda_1, 2\delta_1^2 + 2\delta_1\lambda_1, 144\delta_1\lambda_2) \\ \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01}) &\cong \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001})/(12\delta_1(2\lambda_1 + \delta_1), 24\delta_1\lambda_2) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \delta_1^3 + \delta_1^2\lambda_1, \\ &\quad 2\delta_1^2 + 2\delta_1\lambda_1, 12\delta_1\lambda_1, 24\delta_1\lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of this section will be devoted to the proof of this proposition. The computation proceeds in four steps, by using the following excision sequences. In other words, our starting point is the Chow rings of Δ_1 and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ by [Lar21] (see §2.5). In each case, having already computed the second term in the sequence, we compute the third term by finding the image of the first arrow.

$$\begin{array}{llllll} \text{(i)} & \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{001}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \text{(ii)} & \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{01} \setminus \Delta_{001}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{01}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \text{(iii)} & \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{001}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \text{(iv)} & \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{01} \setminus \Delta_{001}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

For (i) and (ii), we take advantage of the fact that we are working entirely inside of Δ_1 , which has a nice presentation as a global quotient stack [Lar21, §3.1]. For (iii) and (iv), we reuse the computations in (i) and (ii), factoring the leftmost maps as $\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{001}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)$ and $\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{01} \setminus \Delta_{001}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001})$, respectively.

3.1. Quotient presentations of Δ_{01} and Δ_{001} . We may represent $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ as a global quotient stack $\mathbb{P}(4, 6) \cong (L_{4,6} \setminus 0)/\mathbb{G}_m$ using the Weierstrass form for elliptic curves [EG98, Section 5.4]. As in [Lar21, §3.1], we thus obtain a presentation of $\Delta_1 \cong \mathrm{Sym}^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1})$ as a global quotient stack

$$(4) \quad \Delta_1 \cong (W_{4,6} \setminus (L_{4,6} \times 0 \cup 0 \times L_{4,6}))/G \cong ((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2)/G.$$

The ring $\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1)$ is thus a quotient of $\mathrm{CH}^*(BG) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma]/(2\gamma, \gamma^2 + \beta_1\gamma)$. With respect to this presentation, the Hodge bundle on Δ_1 is the pullback under $\Delta_1 \rightarrow BG$ of W_1 [Lar21, §3.1], and hence the classes λ_i on $\Delta_1 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ coincide with β_i .

Inside of $L_{4,6}$ (the parameter space for Weierstrass curves $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$), we have the discriminant locus $D = \{4a^3 + 27b^2 = 0\}$. With respect to the presentation (4) of Δ_1 , the strata $\Delta_{01}, \Delta_{001} \subset \Delta_1$ are given by

$$\Delta_{01} = \left[\left((D \setminus 0) \times (L_{4,6} \setminus 0) \right) \cup \left(L_{4,6} \setminus 0 \times (D \setminus 0) \right) \right] / G \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{001} = (D \setminus 0)^2 / G.$$

3.2. Chow ring of $\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001}$. We use the excision sequence (i) displayed above, or equivalently, by §3.1, the sequence:

$$(5) \quad \text{CH}_G^*((D \setminus 0)^2) \rightarrow \text{CH}_G^*((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2) \rightarrow \text{CH}_G^*((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2 \setminus (D \setminus 0)^2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since we have a \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant isomorphism $L_2 \setminus 0 \cong D \setminus 0$, given by $t \mapsto (3t^2, 2t^3)$, it follows from §2.4 that the image of the first map in (5) is generated as an ideal by the image of the fundamental class. Referring to the statement of Proposition 3.1, we wish to show that this image is $144\lambda_2$.

The curve $D \setminus 0 \subset L_{4,6} \setminus 0$ is the vanishing locus of the function $4a^3 + 27b^2$, which can be considered as an equivariant section of the equivariant vector bundle $(L_{4,6} \setminus 0) \times_{B\mathbb{G}_m} L_{12}$, since $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $L_{4,6}$ by $a \mapsto \lambda^4 a$ and $b \mapsto \lambda^6 b$, and hence $4a^3 + 27b^2 \mapsto \lambda^{12}(4a^3 + 27b^2)$. Therefore, $[D \setminus 0]_G = c_1^G(L_{12}) \in \text{CH}_G^*(L_{4,6} \setminus 0)$.

Similarly, $(D \setminus 0)^2 \subset (L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2$ is the vanishing locus of an equivariant section of $(L_{4,6} \setminus 0) \times_{BG} W_{12}$. Using [Lar21, Lemma 7.2], we conclude

$$[(D \setminus 0)^2]_G = c_2(W_{12}) = 144\beta_2 = 144\lambda_2 \in \text{CH}_G^*((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2),$$

as required.

3.3. Chow ring of $\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{01}$. This time, we will use the exact sequence (ii) above, or equivalently, by §3.1, the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CH}_G^*\left(\left((D \setminus 0) \times (L_{4,6} \setminus D)\right) \cup \left((L_{4,6} \setminus D) \times (D \setminus 0)\right)\right) \rightarrow \\ & \rightarrow \text{CH}_G^*((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2 \setminus (D \setminus 0)^2) \rightarrow \text{CH}_G^*((L_{4,6} \setminus D)^2) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that we have a commutative square of stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left[(D \setminus 0) \times (L_{4,6} \setminus D) \right] / (\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & \left((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2 \setminus (D \setminus 0)^2 \right) / (\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \\ \left[\left((D \setminus 0) \times (L_{4,6} \setminus D) \right) \cup \left((L_{4,6} \setminus D) \times (D \setminus 0) \right) \right] / G & \longrightarrow & \left((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2 \setminus (D \setminus 0)^2 \right) / G \end{array}$$

where the leftmost vertical arrow is an isomorphism. Hence, to compute the image of pushforward along the bottom horizontal morphism, we may instead compute the image of the composite of pushforwards along the top and right morphisms.

We begin with

$$(6) \quad \text{CH}_{\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*\left((D \setminus 0) \times (L_{4,6} \setminus D)\right) \rightarrow \text{CH}_{\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*\left(\left((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2 \setminus (D \setminus 0)^2\right)\right),$$

the image of which is generated as an ideal by the pushforward of the fundamental class by §2.4.

This image is the fundamental class of $(D \setminus 0) \times (L_{4,6} \setminus D) \subset \left((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2 \setminus (D \setminus 0)^2 \right)$, which is the vanishing locus of an equivariant section of L_{12} pulled back from the first factor. Hence the class in question is just $12t_1$ (with t_1 as in Definition 2.3), and thus the image of (6) is $(12t_1)$.

It remains to find the image of $(12t_1)$ under the pushforward map

$$(7) \quad \text{CH}_{\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*\left(\left((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2 \setminus (D \setminus 0)^2\right)\right) \rightarrow \text{CH}_G^*\left(\left((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2 \setminus (D \setminus 0)^2\right)\right),$$

i.e., under the norm map $\pi_*: \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(BG)$. By Lemma 2.4, this image is generated by

$$\pi_*(12t_1) = 12\pi_*(t_1) = 12(\beta_1 + \gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_*(12t_1t_2) = 12\pi_*(t_1t_2) = 12\beta_2\pi_*(t_1^0) = 24\beta_2.$$

In conclusion, the image of

$$\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{01} \setminus \Delta_{001}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001})$$

is the ideal $(12(\lambda_1 + \gamma), 24\lambda_2) = (12(2\lambda_1 + \delta_1), 24\lambda_2) = (12\lambda_1, 24\lambda_2)$, this last equality coming from the fact that $2\delta_1 = -2\lambda_1$ in $\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001})$. This gives the presentation of $\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001})$ claimed in Proposition 3.1.

3.4. Chow rings of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01}$. We see from Theorems 2.5 and 2.7 that the pullback map $i^*: \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1)$ is surjective, and hence that the pushforward map $\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)$ is determined by the rule $(i^*x) \cdot 1 \mapsto x \cdot \delta_1$.

We factor the first map of the excision sequence (iii) as

$$\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{001}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2).$$

For the first of these, we already computed its image in §3.2 to be $(144\lambda_2)$. Hence, the image of the composite is $(144\delta_1\lambda_2)$, giving the claimed presentation of $\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001})$.

Next, we use the excision sequence (iv) and again factor the first map as

$$\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{01} \setminus \Delta_{001}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001}).$$

The image of the first of these maps was determined in §3.3 to be $(12(\lambda_1 + \gamma), 24\lambda_2)$. Hence, the image of the composite is $(12(2\lambda_1 + \delta_1)\delta_1, 24\delta_1\lambda_2)$, giving the claimed presentation of $\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01})$.

4. BIELLIPTIC CURVES

In [Lar21, §11], the stack of bielliptic curves B is constructed as an open substack of the quotient stack

$$\overline{B} := [V_3(1) \times (V_1(-1) \boxtimes W_{-2})] / (\mathrm{GL}_2 \times G),$$

where \boxtimes is the external product of representations, and where G acts trivially on $V_3(1)$. We view elements of $V_3(1)$ as cubic polynomials $H = ex^3 + fx^2y + gxy^2 + hy^3$ and elements of $V_1(-1) \boxtimes W_{-2}$ as pairs of linear polynomials $(N_1, N_2) = (ax + by, cx + dy)$.

Specifically, let $\widehat{B} \subset V_3(1) \times (V_1(-1) \boxtimes W_{-2})$ be the open subscheme consisting of those (H, N_1, N_2) such that $N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot H$ is non-zero and does not have a triple root. We note that \widehat{B} is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times G$ and set

$$B = \widehat{B} / (\mathrm{GL}_2 \times G).$$

In §4.1, we will construct a family of stable curves of genus 2 over B , i.e., a map $\pi: B \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$. In fact, this realizes B as the moduli stack of bielliptic curves, as explained in [Lar21, §11.1], though we will not need this fact.

In [Lar21, Theorem 11.3], the Chow ring of B is computed to be:

$$(8) \quad \mathrm{CH}^*(B) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\gamma, \delta_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2] / (2\gamma, \gamma^2 + \lambda_1\gamma, \delta_1^2 + \delta_1\gamma + 8\lambda_1^2 - 12\lambda_2, 24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, \\ 2\delta_1^2 + 2\lambda_1\delta_1, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, 8\lambda_1^3 - 8\lambda_1\lambda_2).$$

Here, γ is pulled back along $B \rightarrow BG$ and δ_1, λ_1 , and λ_2 are pulled back along the map $\pi: B \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ which we construct below. In the remainder of §4, we prove the following proposition, which we will use to probe $\mathrm{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{st}})$ in §5.

Proposition 4.1. *The rings $\text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{000}))$, $\text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{00}))$, and $\text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_0))$ have the following presentations.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{000})) &\cong \text{CH}^*(B)/(16\lambda_1^2 - 20\delta_1\lambda_1) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\gamma, \delta_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2]/(2\gamma, \gamma^2 + \lambda_1\gamma, \delta_1^2 + \delta_1\gamma + 8\lambda_1^2 - 12\lambda_2, 24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, \\ &\quad 2\delta_1^2 + 2\lambda_1\delta_1, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, 8\lambda_1^3 - 8\lambda_1\lambda_2, 16\lambda_1^2 - 20\delta_1\lambda_1) \\ \text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{00})) &\cong \text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{000}))/ (4\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1) \\ &\cong \text{CH}^*(B)/(4\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\gamma, \delta_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2]/(2\gamma, \gamma^2 + \lambda_1\gamma, \delta_1^2 + \delta_1\gamma + 8\lambda_1^2 - 12\lambda_2, \\ &\quad 6\lambda_1\delta_1, 12\lambda_1\lambda_2, 8\lambda_1^3 - 8\lambda_1\lambda_2, 4\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1), \\ \text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_0)) &\cong \text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{00}))/ (6\lambda_1, \delta_1^2 + \delta_1\lambda_1) \\ &\cong \text{CH}^*(B)/(4\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1, 6\lambda_1, \delta_1^2 + \delta_1\lambda_1) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\gamma, \delta_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2]/(2\gamma, \gamma^2 + \lambda_1\gamma, \delta_1^2 + \delta_1\gamma + 2\lambda_1^2 - 12\lambda_2, \\ &\quad 2\lambda_1^3 - 2\lambda_1\lambda_2, 4\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1, 6\lambda_1, \delta_1^2 + \delta_1\lambda_1). \end{aligned}$$

4.1. The universal family. As in [Lar21], we equip B with a map $\pi: B \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ by constructing a family of stable curves over it as follows. Consider the following family of curves in weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 2)$ with coordinates $[x : y : z : w]$:

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} z^2 &= N_1 \cdot H \\ w^2 &= N_2 \cdot H, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the subspace of $\widehat{B} \times \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 2)$ defined by the above equations; we denote this subspace by \widehat{X} . Note that \widehat{X} is disjoint from the locus $\{x = y = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 2)$ containing all points with non-trivial isotropy. In particular, the projection $[x : y]: \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 2) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ restricts to a regular map $\widehat{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, which factors through each of the maps $[x : y : z]: \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ and $[x : y : w]: \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$. This results in a cartesian square of schemes over \widehat{B} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{X} & \longrightarrow & E_1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ E_2 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{B} \times \mathbb{P}^1. \end{array}$$

Here, E_1 and E_2 are the families in $\widehat{B} \times \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ defined by $z^2 = N_1 \cdot H$ and by $w^2 = N_2 \cdot H$, respectively. Each E_i is a family of nodal elliptic curves over \widehat{B} and the maps $E_i \rightarrow \widehat{B} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are families of degree two covers. The fiber of $E_1 \rightarrow \widehat{B}$ (resp. $E_2 \rightarrow \widehat{B}$) acquires a singularity when $N_1 \cdot H$ (resp. $N_2 \cdot H$) has a double root.

By considering the natural actions of GL_2 on (x, y) and of G on (z, w) , we obtain an action of $\text{GL}_2 \times G$ on \widehat{X} , with respect to which the projection map $\widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{B}$ is equivariant. The quotient

$$\widehat{X}/(\text{GL}_2 \times G) \rightarrow B$$

is *almost* our desired universal family. The problem is that the fibres are not genus 2 stable curves, so we first need to resolve them by performing the following weighted blowup.

Consider the rational map $\widehat{X} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(2, 2, 3)$ given by

$$((a, \dots, h), [x : y : z : w]) \mapsto [z : w : H].$$

(where $H = ex^3 + fx^2y + gx^2y + hy^3$ as above) and define $\widehat{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 2) \times V_3(1) \times (V_1(-1) \boxtimes W_1) \times \mathbb{P}(2, 2, 3)$ to be the closure of the graph of this map. Note that, exceptionally, we are considering the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(2, 2, 3)$ not as a stack but as a scheme.

Explicitly, \widehat{Y} is defined by the equations

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{lll} z^2 = N_1 \cdot H & t^3 H^2 = v^2 z^3 & t^3 z = v^2 N_1^2 \\ w^2 = N_2 \cdot H & t^2 u H^2 = v^2 z^2 w & t^2 u w = v^2 N_1 N_2 \\ t w = u z & t u^2 H^2 = v^2 z w^2 & t u^2 z = v^2 N_1 N_2 \\ t^2 N_2 = u^2 N_1 & u^3 H^2 = v^2 w^3 & u^3 w = v^2 N_2^2, \end{array}$$

where $[t : u : v]$ are the coordinates on $\mathbb{P}(2, 2, 3)$. Again, \widehat{Y} inherits a $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times G$ action and we have an equivariant map $\widehat{Y} \rightarrow \widehat{B}$. Taking quotients, the resulting map $Y := \widehat{Y}/(\mathrm{GL}_2 \times G) \rightarrow B$ is a family of nodal curves, as we will show below. We summarize the situation:

Lemma 4.2. *The family $Y \rightarrow B$ is a family of genus 2 stable curves, and the resulting map $\pi: B \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ satisfies the following properties:*

- $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_0) \subset B$ is the locus of $(H, N_1, N_2) \in B$ such that $N_1 \mid H$ or $N_2 \mid H$ or H has a double root.
- $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_{00}) \subset B$ is the locus of $(H, N_1, N_2) \in B$ such that $N_1, N_2 \mid H$ or H has a double root.
- $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_{000}) \subset B$ is the locus of $(H, N_1, N_2) \in B$ such that H has a double root, and one of N_1, N_2 divides H .
- $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_1)$ is the locus of (H, N_1, N_2) such that N_1 and N_2 differ by scaling.

Proof. Fix a geometric point $p = (H, N_1, N_2)$ of B , consider the geometric fibre C over B , and write $C \rightarrow C_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $i = 1, 2$, for the intermediate semistable elliptic curves. We claim C is a degree 2 cover of C_1 , ramified exactly over the preimages of the root of N_2 . Indeed, recall C_1 is defined by the equation $z^2 = N_1 \cdot H$. There are four different values of (z, w) for any point in \mathbb{P}^1 not contained in $V(H \cdot N_1 \cdot N_2)$. Similarly, there are two distinct values of w over $V(N_1)$, but only one value for z . Lastly, as the blow up $\widehat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ keeps track of the ratio of z and w when H approaches 0, there are two distinct values for $[z : w]$. This implies our claim. In particular, the Riemann–Hurwitz formula implies that C is a stable curve of genus 2.

Which of the above strata of B the point p lies in depends on how many nodes the curve C has, and whether or not they are disconnecting. The analysis in the previous paragraph determines the number of nodes of C ; namely that

- C acquires two nodes in the fibre over a double root of H , and
- C acquires a single node in the fibre over a common zero of any two of N_1, N_2 and H ,

which is as required. It just remains to see that the resulting node in C is disconnecting if and only if we are in the case in which the roots of N_1 and N_2 collide.

To verify this, we may suppose that only one pair of roots collides, since if we fix our attention on one node, we can smooth the other nodes without changing whether or not it is disconnecting.

Suppose that the roots of N_1 and N_2 coincide. Then in (9), we have $z^2 = \mu w^2$ for some constant μ , and hence C is reducible. Since C has a single node, this node must be disconnecting.

Suppose that the roots of N_1 and N_2 are distinct. Without loss of generality, we may assume that N_2 does not divide H . Then $N_1 \cdot H$ has at least one simple root, over which $C_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is

simply branched; thus C_1 is irreducible by monodromy considerations. Similarly, $C \rightarrow C_1$ is simply branched point over the two preimages in C_1 of the root of N_2 , and hence is also irreducible. \square

4.2. General strategy for the excision computations. We now wish to excise various closed substacks from B (namely the closed substacks $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_*)$ for $\star \in \{0, 00, 000\}$ appearing in the above proposition) and compute the resulting Chow rings. We recall the general strategy for doing this from [Lar21, §11].

To begin with, \overline{B} is a fibre product

$$\overline{B} \cong U_1 \times_X U_2$$

of the vector bundles $U_1 := V_3(1)/(\text{GL}_2 \times G)$ and $U_2 := (V_1(-1) \boxtimes W_{-2})/(\text{GL}_2 \times G)$ over $X := B(\text{GL}_2 \times G)$. Hence, the Chow ring of \overline{B} is isomorphic to $\text{CH}^*(X) \cong \text{CH}^*(\text{BGL}_2) \otimes \text{CH}^*(\text{BG})$ (Chow Künneth holds here by [Tot14, Lemma 2.12]), and so by Lemma 2.4, we have:

$$(11) \quad \text{CH}^*(\overline{B}) \cong \text{CH}^*(\text{BGL}_2) \otimes \text{CH}^*(\text{BG}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma]/(2\gamma, \gamma^2 + \beta_1\gamma).$$

The open substack $B \subset \overline{B}$ is the locus parameterizing nonzero polynomials $N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot H$ with no triple roots. In particular, the Chow ring of B is a further quotient of this polynomial ring, as is the Chow ring of the open substack $B \setminus Z \subset B$ for the various other closed substacks $Z = \pi^{-1}(\Delta_*) \subset B$ of interest. As a first step, we excise the zero sections $U_1 \times 0$ and $0 \times U_2$; this is an Euler class computation, and is carried out in [Lar21, §11.3].

We may then pass to the projectivizations

$$\mathbb{P}U_1 \times_X \mathbb{P}U_2 \cong (\mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}))/(\text{GL}_2 \times G),$$

where we have used the invariance of projectivization under twisting by line bundles to replace $V_3(1)$ and $V_1(-1)$, by V_3 and V_1 , respectively. Moreover, each of the closed substacks Z of interest is invariant under scaling in U_1 and U_2 , and hence we obtain an induced closed substack

$$\mathbb{P}Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}U_1 \times_X \mathbb{P}U_2.$$

We have a pullback square and thus a commutative square of Chow rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z \setminus 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (U_1 \setminus 0) \times_X (U_2 \setminus 0) & \text{CH}^*(Z \setminus 0) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}^*((U_1 \setminus 0) \times_X (U_2 \setminus 0)) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{P}(Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}U_1 \times_X \mathbb{P}U_2 & \text{CH}^*(\mathbb{P}Z) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}^*(\mathbb{P}U_1 \times_X \mathbb{P}U_2). \end{array}$$

The homomorphism $\text{CH}^*(\mathbb{P}Z) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(Z \setminus 0)$ is surjective (since $Z \setminus 0$ is an open substack of a vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}Z$). Hence to compute the image of $\text{CH}^*(Z \setminus 0) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*((U_1 \setminus 0) \times_X (U_2 \setminus 0))$, we may first compute the image of $\text{CH}^*(\mathbb{P}Z) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\mathbb{P}U_1 \times_X \mathbb{P}U_2)$ (for which [Lar21] provides tools recalled in §4.3 below), and then pull back the resulting ideal under $(U_1 \setminus 0) \times_X (U_2 \setminus 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}U_1 \times_X \mathbb{P}U_2$. This last step is done by means of the projective bundle formula, as in Lemma 4.9 below.

The above procedure is carried out in [Lar21] with $Z \subset \overline{B}$ the triple root locus in order to compute the Chow ring of B as a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma]$.

Finally, [Lar21] gives explicit formulas, which we recall in Lemma 4.10, allowing us to re-express $\text{CH}^*(B)$ as generated by the pullbacks of γ from $\text{CH}^*(\text{BG})$ and $\delta_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ from $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)$.

4.3. Preparations for the excision computations. We collect here some notation and facts that we will use repeatedly in the computations that follow. Recall $V_r = \text{Sym}^r(V^*)$ is the r^{th} symmetric product of the dual of the standard representation V of GL_2 .

We will adopt the convention in this section that for any fibre product $Y = \mathbb{P}E_1 \times_X \cdots \times_X \mathbb{P}E_k$ of projective bundles over some base X , we write

$$x_i \in \text{CH}^*(Y)$$

for the pullback under the projection $\pi_i: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}E_i$ of the hyperplane class on $\mathbb{P}E_i$. Recall from the end of §2.1 that $\text{CH}^*(Y)$ is generated as a $\text{CH}^*(X)$ -algebra by x_1, \dots, x_k .

Following [Lar21, Definition 4.1], we write

$$s_r^j \in \text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2}^j(\mathbb{P}V_r),$$

for $0 \leq j \leq r$, for the pushforward of $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_j$ under the multiplication map $(\mathbb{P}V_1)^j \times \mathbb{P}V_{r-j} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_r$. Moreover, given a product $Y = \mathbb{P}V_{r_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}V_{r_k}$, we write

$$u_i^j \in \text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2}^j(Y),$$

for the pullback along $\pi_i: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_{r_i}$ of $s_{r_i}^j \in \text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2}^j(\mathbb{P}V_{r_i})$. We recall the following results that we shall need from [Lar21].

Lemma 4.3 ([Lar21, Lemma 4.2]). *The classes $u_i^j \in \text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2}^j(\mathbb{P}V_{r_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}V_{r_k})$ are given by the recursive formula:*

$$(12) \quad u_i^0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_i^{j+1} = (x_i - j\alpha_1) \cdot u_i^j + j(r_i + 1 - j)\alpha_2 \cdot u_i^{j-1}$$

Lemma 4.4 ([Lar21, Lemma 4.4]). *The pushforward in $\text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2}^*$ along the multiplication map*

$$\text{mul}: \mathbb{P}V_a \times \mathbb{P}V_b \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_{a+b}$$

is given by

$$u_1^\alpha \cdot u_2^\beta \mapsto \binom{a - \alpha + b - \beta}{a - \alpha} \cdot u_1^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Lemma 4.5 ([Lar21, Lemma 4.7]). *The pushforward in $\text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2}^*$ along the squaring map*

$$\text{sq}: \mathbb{P}V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_2$$

is given by

$$u_1^0 \mapsto 2u_1^1 - 2\alpha_1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_1^1 \mapsto u_1^2 - 2\alpha_2.$$

Lemma 4.6 ([Lar21, Lemma 4.5]). *The pushforward of the fundamental class along the diagonal map $\mathbb{P}V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1$ — i.e., the class of the diagonal $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1$ — is given by*

$$[\Delta]_{\text{GL}_2} = x_1 + x_2 - \alpha_1 \in \text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2}^*(\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1).$$

We will make frequent use of the following projection map:

Definition 4.7. Let I be the union of $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes (L_{-2} \times 0))$ and $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes (0 \times L_{-2}))$ inside $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2})$. We denote by

$$\varphi: \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1$$

the map induced by the linear projections

$$V_1 \boxtimes L_{-2} \xleftarrow{\text{id} \boxtimes \pi_1} V_1 \boxtimes (L_{-2} \times L_{-2}) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \boxtimes \pi_2} V_1 \boxtimes L_{-2}.$$

On the codomain of φ , the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on each factor is a $\text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant (but not $(\text{GL}_2 \times G)$ -equivariant) vector bundle, and hence we have classes $x_1, x_2 \in \text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^1(\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1)$.

Lemma 4.8. *For $i = 1, 2$, we have:*

$$\varphi^* x_i = x_1 - 2t_i \in \text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I)$$

Proof. In general, given vector bundles $E_1, E_2 \rightarrow X$ over a base X and a bundle map $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, and writing $\mathbb{P}f: \mathbb{P}E_1 \setminus \mathbb{P}(\ker f) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}E_2$ for the induced map, we have $(\mathbb{P}f)^* x_1 = x_1$. For each $i = 1, 2$, we now apply this to the map

$$[\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I]/H \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathbb{P}((V_1 \boxtimes L_{-2}) \oplus (V_1 \boxtimes L_{-2})) \setminus I]/H \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}\pi_i} \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes L_{-2})/H \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}V_1/H$$

of projective bundles over BH , where $H = \text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$, and use that the last of these maps pulls back x_1 to $x_1 - 2t_i$ by the last sentence of §2.1. \square

Finally, we record the formulas mentioned at the end of §4.2:

Lemma 4.9. *The pullback in either $\text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2 \times G}$ or $\text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}$ along the projectivization map*

$$[V_3(1) \setminus 0] \times [(V_1(-1) \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2})$$

is given by $x_1 \mapsto \alpha_1$ and $x_2 \mapsto -\alpha_1$.

Proof. In general, for a vector bundle \mathcal{E} , the hyperplane class on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ vanishes upon pulling back under the projectivization map $\mathcal{E} \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. Thus, pulling back under the composite $V_3(1) \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_3(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}(V_3)$, we obtain $x_1 \mapsto x_1 + c_1(\det V) \mapsto 0 + c_1(\det V) = \alpha_1$, using the twisting formula at the end of §2.1. The computation on the $(V_1(-1) \boxtimes W_{-2})$ factor is similar. \square

Lemma 4.10 ([Lar21, Section 11.6]). *The generators $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1$, and β_2 for $\text{CH}^*(B)$ are expressed in terms of $\gamma \in \text{CH}^*(BG)$ and the pullbacks of $\delta_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)$ along $\pi: B \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= -2\lambda_1 + \delta_1 + \gamma & \beta_1 &= 3\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1 \\ \alpha_2 &= 2\delta_1\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_1\gamma - 8\lambda_2 & \beta_2 &= 2\lambda_1^2 - 3\delta_1\lambda_1 + \delta_1^2 + \lambda_1\gamma + \gamma^2 + \lambda_2 \end{aligned}$$

4.4. The Chow ring of $B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{000})$. By Lemma 4.2, $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_{000}) \subset B$ is the locus of

$$(H, N_1, N_2) \in B \subset [V_3(1) \times (V_1(-1) \boxtimes W_{-2})]/(\text{GL}_2 \times G)$$

where H is of the form $N_1 R^2$ or $N_2 R^2$ for some linear polynomial R . Let

$$\tilde{B} \subset [V_3(1) \times (V_1(-1) \boxtimes W_{-2})]/(\text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$$

be the complement of the locus where $H \cdot N_1 \cdot N_2$ has a triple root, and let $\pi^{-1}(\widetilde{\Delta_{000}}) \subset \tilde{B}$ denote the locus consisting of (H, N_1, N_2) with $H = N_1 R^2$ for some R . We have a commutative square

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(\widetilde{\Delta_{000}}) & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{B} \\ \wr \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{000}) & \hookrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

where the arrow on the left is an isomorphism. Thus, the pushforward along the bottom arrow is the composite of the pushforwards along the top and right arrow. The second of these is given by the norm map from $\text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ to $\text{GL}_2 \times G$.

We now pass to the projectivizations

$$[\mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2})]/(\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$$

as in §4.2. Let θ be the multiplication map

$$\theta: \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1$$

defined by $(f, g, h) \mapsto (f^2g, g, h)$. We have a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\pi^{-1}(\widetilde{\Delta_{000}})) & \xrightarrow{i} & [\mathbb{P}V_3 \times (\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I)]/(\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \times \varphi \\ [\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1]/(\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) & \xleftarrow{\theta} & (\mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1)/(\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \end{array}$$

and hence a commutative square of Chow rings

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathbb{P}(\pi^{-1}(\widetilde{\Delta_{000}}))) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*(\mathbb{P}V_3 \times (\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow (\mathrm{id} \times \varphi)^* \\ \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*(\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*} & \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*(\mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1). \end{array}$$

The vertical maps in the top square are \mathbb{G}_m -bundles, and hence the vertical arrows in the bottom square are surjective. Thus our desired image $\mathrm{im}(i_*)$ can be computed as the image of the composite of θ_* and $(\mathrm{id} \times \varphi)^*$.

Pullback along θ is not surjective on Chow groups; instead, the fundamental class and x_1 generate $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*(\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1)$ as a $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*(\mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1)$ module. Thus, by §2.4, the image of θ_* is generated as an ideal by $\theta_*(1)$ and $\theta_*(x_1)$.

The map θ factors through a diagonal map on the middle $\mathbb{P}V_1$ factor

$$(15) \quad \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \times \Delta \times \mathrm{id}} \mathbb{P}V_1 \times (\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1) \times \mathbb{P}V_1,$$

followed by a squaring map on the first factor

$$(16) \quad \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sq} \times \mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id}} \mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1,$$

and finally a multiplication map on the first two factors

$$(17) \quad (\mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}V_1) \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{mul} \times \mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id}} \mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1.$$

From Lemma 4.6, the pushforward of the fundamental class along (15) is $[\Delta_{23}] = x_2 + x_3 - \alpha_1$, and since pullback along (15) takes x_1 to x_1 , the pushforward of x_1 along (15) is thus $x_1[\Delta_{23}]$ by §2.4. Next, by Lemma 4.5, and since pullback along (16) takes Δ_{23} to Δ_{23} , we have that pushing forward under (16) gives

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta_{23}] &= u_1^0 \cdot [\Delta_{23}] \\ &\mapsto (2u_1^1 - 2\alpha_1)[\Delta_{23}] = (2u_1^1 - 2\alpha_1)(x_2 + x_3 - \alpha_1) \\ x_1[\Delta_{23}] &= u_1^1 \cdot [\Delta_{23}] \\ &\mapsto (u_1^2 - 2\alpha_2)[\Delta_{23}] = (u_1^2 - 2\alpha_2)(x_2 + x_3 - \alpha_1). \end{aligned}$$

To pushforward along (17), we use Lemma 4.4, and the fact that pullback along (17) takes x_2 to x_3 :

$$\begin{aligned} (2u_1^1 - 2\alpha_1)(x_2 + x_3 - \alpha_1) &= (2u_1^1 - 2u_1^0\alpha_1)(u_2^1 + u_2^0(x_3 - \alpha_1)) \\ &\mapsto 2u_1^2 + 4u_1^1(x_2 - \alpha_1) - 2\alpha_1(u_1^1 + 3u_1^0(x_2 - \alpha_1)) \\ (u_1^2 - 2\alpha_2)(x_2 + x_3 - \alpha_1) &= (u_1^2 - 2u_1^0\alpha_2)(u_2^1 + u_2^0(x_3 - \alpha_1)) \\ &\mapsto u_1^3 + u_1^2(x_2 - \alpha_1) - 2\alpha_2(u_1^1 + 3u_1^0(x_2 - \alpha_1)). \end{aligned}$$

We have thus computed the image of θ_* as the ideal generated by the above two elements. To pull these back along $\text{id} \times \varphi$, we substitute $x_2 \mapsto x_2 - 2t_1$ as per Lemma 4.8. Then, to pull back from the projectivization, we substitute $x_1 \mapsto \alpha_1$ and $x_2 \mapsto -\alpha_1$ as per Lemma 4.9. At the same time, we use Lemma 4.3 to plug in for the various u_i^j :

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} u_1^0 &= 1 & u_1^2 &= (x_1 - \alpha_1)u_1^1 + 3\alpha_2u_1^0 \mapsto 3\alpha_2 \\ u_1^1 &= x_1 \mapsto \alpha_1 & u_1^3 &= (x_1 - 2\alpha_1)u_1^2 + 4\alpha_2u_1^1 \mapsto \alpha_1\alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2u_1^2 + 4u_1^1(x_2 - \alpha_1) - 2\alpha_1(u_1^1 + 3u_1^0(x_2 - \alpha_1)) &\mapsto 6\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_1(-2\alpha_1 - 2t_1) - 2\alpha_1(\alpha_1 + 3(-2\alpha_1 - 2t_1)) \\ &= 6\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_1t_1 + 2\alpha_1^2, \\ u_1^3 + u_1^2(x_2 - \alpha_1) - 2\alpha_2(u_1^1 + 3u_1^0(x_2 - \alpha_1)) &\mapsto \alpha_1\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_2(-2\alpha_1 - 2t_1) - 2\alpha_2(\alpha_1 + 3(-2\alpha_1 - 2t_1)) \\ &= 5\alpha_1\alpha_2 + 6\alpha_2t_1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we need to push forward the ideal generated by the above elements along the right-hand vertical arrow in (13), which is a base change of the norm map $\pi_*: \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}G$. Referring to Lemma 2.4, we need to push forward the generators and their products with t_2 . We obtain:

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} 6\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_1t_1 + 2\alpha_1^2 &\mapsto 12\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1(\beta_1 + \gamma) \\ t_2(6\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_1t_1 + 2\alpha_1^2) &\mapsto (6\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1^2)(\beta_1 + \gamma) + 4\alpha_1(2\beta_2) \\ 5\alpha_1\alpha_2 + 6\alpha_2t_1 &\mapsto 10\alpha_1\alpha_2 + 6\alpha_2(\beta_1 + \gamma) \\ t_2(5\alpha_1\alpha_2 + 6\alpha_2t_1) &\mapsto 5\alpha_1\alpha_2(\beta_1 + \gamma) + 6\alpha_2(2\beta_2). \end{aligned}$$

The last three relations are already equivalent to 0 in $\text{CH}^*(B)$, and using Lemma 4.10, the first relation is equal to $-16\lambda_1^2 + 20\lambda_1\delta_1$, giving the relation for $\text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{000}))$ appearing in Proposition 4.1.

4.5. The Chow ring of $B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{00})$; first part. By Lemma 4.2, $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_{00}) \subset B$ is the locus of (H, N_1, N_2) where the cubic H has a double root, or where both linear factors N_i divide H .

We excise the first of these here, and carry out the second – which is somewhat more involved, but turns out not to produce any new relations – in §4.6 below. The present computation is similar to the one in the previous section, but easier, since we do not need to break the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ symmetry and pass from G to $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$.

As before, we pass to the product of projectivizations

$$[\mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2})]/(\text{GL}_2 \times G).$$

The locus we want to excise can be described as the image of the map

$$\theta: \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2})$$

given by $(f, g, h_1, h_2) \rightarrow (f^2g, h_1, h_2)$. As a $\text{CH}^*(\mathbb{P}V_3)$ module, $\text{CH}^*(\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1)$ is generated by the fundamental class $1 = u_1^0$ and the hyperplane class $x_1 = u_1^1$ on the first $\mathbb{P}V_1$ factor. Thus, by §2.4, the images of these classes will generate $\text{im}(\theta_*)$ as an ideal.

The map θ factors as a squaring map

$$(20) \quad \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \xrightarrow{\text{sq} \times \text{id} \times \text{id}} \mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2})$$

followed by a multiplication map

$$(21) \quad (\mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}V_1) \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \xrightarrow{\text{mul} \times \text{id}} \mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}).$$

Using Lemmas 4.5 and 4.4, pushing forward along (20) and (21) gives

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^0 &\mapsto 2u_1^1 - 2\alpha_1 = (2u_1^1 - 2\alpha_1) \cdot u_2^0 \mapsto 4u_1^1 - 6\alpha_1 \\ u_1^1 &\mapsto u_1^2 - 2\alpha_2 = (u_1^2 - 2\alpha_2) \cdot u_2^0 \mapsto u_1^2 - 6\alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

Pulling back along the projectivization map using Lemma 4.9 and plugging in the values of the u_1^i using (18), we obtain relations $-2\alpha_1$ and $-3\alpha_2$. The latter element is already 0 in $\text{CH}^*(B)$, and by Lemma 4.10, the first is equal to $4\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1$, giving the relation for $B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{00})$ appearing in Proposition 4.1.

4.6. The Chow ring of $B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{00})$; second part. We now excise the locus where both linear factors divide the cubic. After passing to projectivizations, this is the image of the map

$$(22) \quad \mathbb{P}V_1 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_3 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I]$$

given by $(f, g, h) \mapsto (fgh, g, h)$. Since the pullback under this map is surjective on Chow rings, it suffices by §2.4 to push forward the fundamental class.

We define the map

$$\psi: \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_2$$

by $(f, g) \mapsto f \cdot g$. In other words, $\psi = \text{mul} \circ \varphi$, with φ as in Definition 4.7. We may then factor (22) as the map

$$(23) \quad \mathbb{P}V_1 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I] \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \langle \psi, \text{id} \rangle} \mathbb{P}V_1 \times (\mathbb{P}V_2 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I]),$$

given by $(f, g, h) \mapsto (f, g \cdot h, g, h)$, followed by the multiplication map

$$(24) \quad (\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_2) \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I] \xrightarrow{\text{mul} \times \text{id}} \mathbb{P}V_3 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I].$$

To begin with, we compute the image of the pushforward of the fundamental class under

$$\langle \psi, \text{id} \rangle: [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_2 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I].$$

This is the class

$$\Psi \in \text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2 \times G}^*(\mathbb{P}V_2 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I])$$

of the graph of ψ .

Lemma 4.11. *We have*

$$\Psi = 2\alpha_2 + 8\beta_2 + 2\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1\beta_1 - 4\alpha_1x_2 - 4\beta_1x_2 - 3\alpha_1x_1 - 2\beta_1x_1 + 2x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 + x_1^2.$$

Proof. Since the graph of ψ has codimension 2, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= a\alpha_2 + b\beta_2 + c\alpha_1^2 + d\beta_1^2 + e\alpha_1\beta_1 + f\alpha_1x_2 + g\beta_1x_2 + h\alpha_1x_1 \\ &\quad + i\beta_1x_1 + jx_1x_2 + kx_2^2 + lx_1^2 + m\alpha_1\gamma + n\beta_1\gamma + p\gamma x_2 + q\gamma x_1 + r\gamma^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some undetermined coefficients $a, \dots, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the relation $\gamma^2 + \beta_1\gamma = 0$, we assume $r = 0$. We will determine most of these coefficients by pulling back to $\text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*(\mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}))$. By Lemma 2.4, the pullback $\Psi_{\text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}$ has class

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_{\text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m} &= a\alpha_2 + bt_1t_2 + c\alpha_1^2 + d(t_1 + t_2)^2 + e\alpha_1(t_1 + t_2) + f\alpha_1x_2 + g(t_1 + t_2)x_2 \\ &\quad + h\alpha_1x_1 + i(t_1 + t_2)x_1 + jx_1x_2 + kx_2^2 + lx_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the commutative squares of Chow rings induced by the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I & \xrightarrow{\langle \psi, \text{id} \rangle} & \mathbb{P}V_2 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I] \\ \downarrow \varphi & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{id} \times \varphi \\ \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & (\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1) \times (\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1) \xrightarrow{\text{mul} \times \text{id}} \mathbb{P}V_2 \times (\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1), \end{array}$$

$\Psi_{\text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}$ can be described as the pullback under

$$(26) \quad \text{id} \times \varphi: \mathbb{P}V_2 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_2 \times (\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1)$$

of the pushforward of the bi-diagonal $[\Delta_{13}] \cdot [\Delta_{24}]$ under

$$(\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1) \times (\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1) \xrightarrow{\text{mul} \times \text{id}} \mathbb{P}V_2 \times (\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1).$$

By Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta_{13}] \cdot [\Delta_{24}] &= x_1x_2 + x_1x_4 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4 - \alpha_1(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) + \alpha_1^2 \\ &= x_1x_2 + x_1(x_4 - \alpha_1) + x_2(x_3 - \alpha_1) + x_3x_4 - \alpha_1(x_3 + x_4) + \alpha_1^2 \\ &= u_1^1 \cdot u_2^1 + (u_1^1 \cdot u_2^0)(x_4 - \alpha_1) + (u_1^0 \cdot u_2^1)(x_3 - \alpha_1) + (u_1^0 \cdot u_2^0)(x_3x_4 - \alpha_1(x_3 + x_4) + \alpha_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

Pushing this forward along $\text{mul} \times \text{id}$ using Lemma 4.4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta_{24}] \cdot [\Delta_{13}] &\mapsto u_1^2 + u_1^1(x_3 - \alpha_1) + u_1^1(x_2 - \alpha_1) + 2u_1^0(x_2x_3 - \alpha_1(x_2 + x_3) + \alpha_1^2) \\ &= u_1^2 + u_1^1(x_2 + x_3 - 2\alpha_1) + 2u_1^0(x_2 - \alpha_1)(x_3 - \alpha_1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, pulling back along (26) by making the substitutions $x_2 \mapsto x_2 - 2t_1$ and $x_3 \mapsto x_2 - 2t_2$ as per Lemma 4.8, and using the values

$$(27) \quad u_1^0 = 1, \quad u_1^1 = x_1, \quad \text{and} \quad u_1^2 = (x_1 - \alpha_1)x_1 + 2\alpha_2$$

from Lemma 4.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\text{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m} &= (x_1 - \alpha_1)x_1 + 2\alpha_2 + x_1(2x_2 - 2\alpha_1 - 2t_1 - 2t_2) + 2(x_2 - \alpha_1 - 2t_1)(x_2 - \alpha_1 - 2t_2) \\ &= x_1^2 - 3\alpha_1x_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2x_1x_2 - 2x_1(t_1 + t_2) + 2x_2^2 - 4\alpha_1x_2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_1^2 - 4x_2(t_1 + t_2) + 4\alpha_1(t_1 + t_2) + 8t_1t_2. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with (25), we obtain $a = 2$, $b = 8$, $c = 2$, $d = 0$, $e = 4$, $f = -4$, $g = -4$, $h = -3$, $i = -2$, $j = 2$, $k = 2$, and $l = 1$. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= 2\alpha_2 + 8\beta_2 + 2\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1\beta_1 - 4\alpha_1x_2 - 4\beta_1x_2 - 3\alpha_1x_1 \\ &\quad - 2\beta_1x_1 + 2x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 + x_1^2 + m\alpha_1\gamma + n\beta_1\gamma + p\gamma x_2 + q\gamma x_1. \end{aligned}$$

To determine m, n, p, q , we pull Ψ back to $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}^*$. Under this pullback, the representation W_1 of G pulls back to the trivial representation plus the sign representation of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and hence we have $\beta_1 \mapsto \gamma$ and $\beta_2 \mapsto 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(28) \quad \Psi_{\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} &= 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1\gamma - 4\alpha_1x_2 - 4\gamma x_2 - 3\alpha_1x_1 \\
&\quad - 2x_1\gamma + 2x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 + x_1^2 + m\alpha_1\gamma + n\gamma^2 + p\gamma x_2 + q\gamma x_1 \\
&= 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1^2 - 4\alpha_1x_2 - 3\alpha_1x_1 + 2x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 + x_1^2 \\
&\quad + m\alpha_1\gamma + n\gamma^2 + p\gamma x_2 + q\gamma x_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used that $2\gamma = 0$. Consider the pullback of $\Psi_{\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$ along the $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant inclusion $f: \mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes L_{-2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_2 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I]$ induced by the diagonal embedding $L_{-2} \rightarrow W_{-2}$. Since $f^*x_i = x_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have the same expression for $f^*\Psi_{\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$ as the one given for $\Psi_{\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$ above.

Note that $\psi: \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_2$ restricts to the squaring map $\mathrm{sq}: \mathbb{P}V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_2$ on $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes L_{-2})$, and hence $f^*\Psi_{\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$ is the class of the graph of sq . Working as above, we may compute this class as the pullback under $\mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes L_{-2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}V_1$ of the pushforward of the diagonal $[\Delta]$ under the squaring map

$$\mathrm{sq} \times \mathrm{id}: \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}V_1.$$

By Lemma 4.6, we have $[\Delta] = x_1 + x_2 - \alpha_1 = u_1^1 + u_1^0(x_2 - \alpha_1)$. Using Lemma 4.5 and the values of the u_1^i from (27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{sq} \times \mathrm{id})_*[\Delta] &= u_1^2 - 2\alpha_2 + (2u_1^1 - 2\alpha_1)(x_2 - \alpha_1) \\
&= x_2^2 - 3\alpha_1x_1 + 2x_1x_2 - 2\alpha_1x_2 + 2\alpha_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with (28), we obtain $m, n, p, q = 0$, as required, since $x_2^2 - \alpha_1x_2 + \alpha_2 = 0$ by the projective bundle formula (1). \square

We return to our task of pushing forward the fundamental class along (23) and (24). Writing

$$\pi_2: \mathbb{P}V_1 \times (\mathbb{P}V_2 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I]) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_2 \times [\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I]$$

for the projection map, the pushforward of the fundamental class along (23) is $\pi_2^*\Psi$. By Lemma 4.11, this is given by

$$\pi_2^*\Psi = 2\alpha_2 + 8\beta_2 + 2\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1\beta_1 - 4\alpha_1x_3 - 4\beta_1x_3 - 3\alpha_1x_2 - 2\beta_1x_2 + 2x_2x_3 + 2x_3^2 + x_2^2.$$

To push this forward along the multiplication map (24), we first re-express the powers of x_2 in terms of u_2^i using that $u_2^0 = 1$, $u_2^1 = x_2$, and $u_2^2 = (x_2 - \alpha_1)x_2 + 2\alpha_2$ as in (27). We obtain

$$\pi_2^*\Psi = u_2^2 + u_2^1(2x_3 - 2\beta_1 - 2\alpha_1) + u_2^0(8\beta_2 + 2\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1\beta_1 - 4\alpha_1x_3 - 4\beta_1x_3 + 2x_3^2).$$

Pushing $\pi_2^*\Psi = u_1^0 \cdot \pi_2^*\Psi$ forward under (24) using Lemma 4.4 then gives

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1^0 \cdot \pi_2^*\Psi &= u_1^0 \cdot [u_2^2 + u_2^1(2x_3 - 2\beta_1 - 2\alpha_1) + u_2^0(8\beta_2 + 2\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1\beta_1 - 4\alpha_1x_3 - 4\beta_1x_3 + 2x_3^2)] \\
&\mapsto u_1^2 + 2u_1^1(2x_2 - 2\beta_1 - 2\alpha_1) + 3u_1^0(8\beta_2 + 2\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1\beta_1 - 4\alpha_1x_2 - 4\beta_1x_2 + 2x_2^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we pull back along the projectivization by substituting $x_2 \mapsto -\alpha_1$, and by using (18) for the values of the u_1^i :

$$\begin{aligned}
&3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1(-2\alpha_1 - 2\beta_1 - 2\alpha_1) + 3(8\beta_2 + 2\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1\beta_1 + 4\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1\beta_1 + 2\alpha_1^2) \\
&= 3\alpha_2 + 24\beta_2 + 16\alpha_1^2 + 20\alpha_1\beta_1.
\end{aligned}$$

This does not give us a new relation for $\text{CH}^*(B \setminus \Delta_{00})$, as it is implied by the relation found in the previous section.

4.7. The Chow ring of $B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_0)$. By Lemma 4.2, $B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_0)$ is the locus of (H, N_1, N_2) in which one of the N_i divides H or H has a double root. The latter locus we have already excised above. Thus it remains to excise the former.

Arguing as in (13) in §4.4, this can be described as the norm from $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ to G of the locus where a chosen linear factor divides the cubic. After passing to projectivizations, the latter is the pullback under

$$(29) \quad \text{id} \times \varphi: \mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_{-2}) \setminus I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_3 \times (\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1)$$

of the image of

$$\theta: \mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1$$

defined by $(f, g, h) \rightarrow (fg, g, h)$. Arguing as in (14) in §4.4, the sought-after ideal in $\text{CH}_{\mathbb{G}L_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*$ is $(\text{id} \times \varphi)^* \text{im}(\theta_*)$. Since pullback along θ is surjective, the ideal $\text{im}(\theta_*)$ is generated by the pushforward of the fundamental class.

The map θ factors through a diagonal map on the middle $\mathbb{P}V_1$ factor

$$(30) \quad \text{id} \times \Delta \times \text{id}: \mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_2 \times (\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1) \times \mathbb{P}V_1$$

followed by the multiplication map

$$(31) \quad \text{mul} \times \text{id} \times \text{id}: (\mathbb{P}V_2 \times \mathbb{P}V_1) \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_3 \times \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_1.$$

The pushforward of the fundamental class along (30) is $[\Delta_{23}] = x_2 + x_3 - \alpha_1$ by Lemma 4.6. To pushforward along (31), we use Lemma 4.4:

$$[\Delta_{23}] = u_1^0 \cdot (u_2^1 + u_2^0 \cdot (x_3 - \alpha_1)) \mapsto u_1^1 + 3u_1^0(x_3 - \alpha_1).$$

To pull back along (29) and pull back from the projectivization, we substitute $x_3 \mapsto -\alpha_1 - 2t_2$ by Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9, and use Lemma 4.3 to plug in for the u_1^i . This yields

$$u_1^1 + 3u_1^0(x_3 - \alpha_1) \mapsto \alpha_1 + 3(-\alpha_1 - 2t_2 - \alpha_1) = -5\alpha_1 - 6t_2.$$

Pushing this and its product with t_1 forward along the norm map from $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ to BG using Lemma 2.4 as in (19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -5\alpha_1 - 6t_2 &\mapsto -10\alpha_1 - 6(\beta_1 + \gamma) = -10\alpha_1 - 6\beta_1 \\ t_1(-5\alpha_1 - 6t_2) &\mapsto -5\alpha_1(\beta_1 + \gamma) - 6(2\beta_2) = -5\alpha_1\beta_1 + \alpha_1\gamma - 12\beta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.10, these give the two relations for $\text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_0))$ in Proposition 4.1.

5. EXCISING $\Delta_0 \setminus \Delta_{01}$

As explained in the introduction, having excised Δ_{001} and $\Delta_{01} \setminus \Delta_{001}$ from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$, we will now excise $\Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r 1})$, for $r = 3, 2, 1$, where 0^r denotes a string of r zeroes, and where by convention, we set $\Delta_{0000} = \Delta_{0001} = \emptyset$.

The starting point of our computation is the computation in [Vis98, Lemmas 3.3, 3.9] of the images of the first maps in the excision sequences

$$(32) \quad \text{CH}^*(\Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r 1})) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_1)) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^r} \cup \Delta_1)) \rightarrow 0,$$

which for $r = 1$ yields $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_2)$. This computation proceeds entirely inside of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_1$, which admits a nice quotient presentation, which we will recall shortly. Our task will then be to “lift”

the result of these computations to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01}$, where such a quotient presentation is not available. This will allow us to compute the corresponding image in the excision sequences

$$(33) \quad \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1})) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{01})) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^r} \cup \Delta_{01})) \rightarrow 0,$$

which for $r = 1$ yields $\mathrm{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathrm{st}})$.

We recall from [Vis98] or [Lar21] that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_1$ admits a presentation as an open substack of $V_6(2)/\mathrm{GL}_2$ by tracking the branch points of the hyperelliptic map $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of a genus 2 curve C . Specifically, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_1 \subset V_6(2)/\mathrm{GL}_2$ is the complement of the locus of polynomials with triple roots.

With respect to this presentation, the substack $\Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_1)$ for $r = 1, 2, 3$ is given by the locus of polynomials with exactly r double roots. Note that this is the pre-image under $\pi_{\mathbb{P}}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_6$ of the image of the squaring and multiplication map

$$\pi_r = \mathrm{mul} \circ (\mathrm{sq} \times \mathrm{id}): \mathbb{P}V_r \times \mathbb{P}V_{6-2r} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_6.$$

We thus have a pullback square

$$(34) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}}} & (\mathbb{P}V_r \times \mathbb{P}V_{6-2r})/\mathrm{GL}_2 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi_r \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_1) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}}} & \mathbb{P}V_6/\mathrm{GL}_2. \end{array}$$

We will be focused on the following particular classes:

Definition 5.1. Let $\xi_r \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^*(\mathbb{P}V_r \times \mathbb{P}V_{6-2r})$ denote the pullback along the first projection map of the hyperplane class on $\mathbb{P}V_r$. For $1 \leq r \leq 3$ and $0 \leq i \leq r$, we define

$$\beta_{ri} \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1}))$$

to be the pushforward of $\pi_{\mathbb{P}}^*(\xi_r)^i \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1}))$ along the inclusion

$$\Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1}) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1}).$$

We also write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ri} &\in \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_1)) \\ \bar{\beta}_{ri} &\in \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{01})) \end{aligned}$$

for the restrictions of β_{ri} to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_1)$ and $\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{01}))$ respectively.

Note that since $\xi_r^0 = 1$ for each r , it follows that β_{r0} is simply the fundamental class of $\Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1})$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1})$.

Our definition of the α_{ri} is compatible with the one in [Vis98], so we have:

Lemma 5.2 ([Vis98, Lemmas 3.3, 3.9]). *The classes $\alpha_{ri} \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_1))$ generate the image of $\mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1})) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_1))$ as an ideal, and they are given by the following formulas:*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{30} &= -24\lambda_1^3 + 128\lambda_1\lambda_2 = 0 & \alpha_{20} &= -12\lambda_1^2 + 144\lambda_2 & \alpha_{10} &= 10\lambda_1 \\ \alpha_{31} &= 24\lambda_1^4 - 128\lambda_1^2\lambda_2 = 0 & \alpha_{21} &= 24\lambda_1^3 - 168\lambda_1\lambda_2 = 0 & \alpha_{11} &= 2\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2 \\ \alpha_{32} &= -24\lambda_1^5 + 128\lambda_1^3\lambda_2 = 0 & \alpha_{22} &= -24\lambda_1^4 + 148\lambda_1^2\lambda_2 = 0 & & \\ \alpha_{33} &= 24\lambda_1^6 - 128\lambda_1^4\lambda_2 = 0. & & & & \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the sequences (32), the rings $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^r} \cup \Delta_1))$ are completely determined by the α_{ri} . Similarly, by Lemma 5.3 below, and the sequences (33), the rings $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^r} \cup \Delta_{01}))$ are completely determined by the β_{ri} ; see §5.4.

Lemma 5.3. *The images of $\text{CH}^*(\Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1})) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1}))$ respectively $\text{CH}^*(\Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1})) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{01}))$ are generated as an ideal by the β_{ri} respectively the $\bar{\beta}_{ri}$. Moreover, the classes β_{ri} satisfy the following conditions.*

(i) Each β_{ri} maps to α_{ri} under the restriction map

$$\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1})) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_1)).$$

(ii) Each β_{ri} maps to 0 under the restriction map

$$\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1})) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{0^r1}).$$

(iii) Each β_{ri} maps to 0 under pullback

$$\pi^*: \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1})) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{0^r}))$$

along the map $\pi: B \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ constructed in §4.

Proof. By the projective bundle formula, the $\xi_r^i \in \text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2}^*(\mathbb{P}V_r \times \mathbb{P}V_{6-2r})$ for $i = 0, \dots, r$ generate $\text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2}^*(\mathbb{P}V_r \times \mathbb{P}V_{6-2r})$ as a $\text{CH}_{\text{GL}_2}^*(\mathbb{P}V_6)$ -module. Using (34), we find $\text{CH}^*(\Delta_{0^r} \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1}))$ is generated as a $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1}))$ -module by their pullbacks $\pi_{\mathbb{P}}^* \xi_r^i$. This proves the β_{ri} and $\bar{\beta}_{ri}$ generate the claimed images.

Property (i) is simply the definition of the α_{ri} . Properties (ii) and (iii) hold since β_{ri} already vanishes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{0^r}$, and the maps from $\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{0^r1}$ and $B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{0^r})$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{0^{r+1}} \cup \Delta_{0^r1})$ both factor through $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{0^r}$. \square

It now remains to determine the β_{ri} . The rest of this section is devoted to proving the following:

Proposition 5.4. *The classes β_{ri} are given as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{30} &= 96\delta_1\lambda_2 & \beta_{20} &= 60\lambda_1^2 - 12\delta_1\lambda_1 & \beta_{10} &= 10\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1 \\ \beta_{31} &= 0 & \beta_{21} &= 24w_{21}\delta_1\lambda_2 & \beta_{11} &= 2\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2 + \delta_1\lambda_1 - \delta_1^2 \\ \beta_{32} &= 24w_{32}\delta_1\lambda_2^2 & \beta_{22} &= 0 & & \\ \beta_{33} &= 0 & & & & \end{aligned}$$

for some $w_{21}, w_{32} \in \{0, 1\}$.

Note that as β_{10} is the fundamental class of Δ_0 inside of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \setminus \Delta_{01})$, its value is already given by Theorem 2.5.

5.1. Computations of the β_{3i} . We recall from Theorem 2.5 the presentation:

$$(35) \quad \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1] / (24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \delta_1^3 + \delta_1^2\lambda_1, 2\delta_1^2 + 2\delta_1\lambda_1).$$

Referring to (3) in §2.5, we find that

$$\ker(\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\Delta_1)) = (2(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), \delta_1(\delta_1 + \lambda_1)) \subset \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2).$$

By Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\ker(\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{000}))) \\ &= (48\lambda_2, 24\lambda_2 + 6\delta_1^2, 24\lambda_2\delta_1, 4\lambda_1\lambda_2 + 4\lambda_2\delta_1, 8\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2 - 2\delta_1^2, 16\lambda_2\delta_1 + 2\delta_1^3) \subset \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Considerations in §3.4 show the kernel of $\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_1)$ is $(\delta_1) \subset \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)$.

By Lemma 5.3, and since each $\alpha_{3i} = 0$, all the β_{3i} must lie in the intersection of these three ideals, which is given by

$$(24\lambda_2\delta_1) \subset \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2).$$

This ideal has no non-zero elements in degrees 4 or 6, and is generated by $24\lambda_2^2\delta_1$ in degree 5. We conclude that $\beta_{31} = \beta_{33} = 0$, and that

$$\beta_{30} = 24x\lambda_2\delta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{32} = 24y\lambda_2^2\delta_1$$

for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\beta_{30} = 96\lambda_2\delta_1$ and $\lambda_2\delta_1 \neq 0$ in $\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by [Mum83, §10, p. 321], it follows that $x = 4$. Explicit calculations of intersection products in $\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ then show $x = 4$ [Mum83, §10, p. 321]. We may moreover take $y \in \{0, 1\}$ since $48\lambda_2^2\delta_1 = 0 \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)$.

5.2. Computations of the β_{2i} . We work in the ring $\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001}))$, which by Proposition 3.1 and the above computation of the β_{3i} is given by

$$(36) \quad \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})) \cong \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001}) / (\beta_{30}, \beta_{31}, \beta_{32}, \beta_{33})$$

$$(37) \quad \cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1] / (24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2,$$

$$(38) \quad \delta_1^2(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 2\delta_1(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 48\delta_1\lambda_2, \beta_{32}).$$

Referring to Proposition 3.1, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \ker(\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001})) = \\ & = (2(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), \delta_1(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 24\lambda_1\lambda_2, 144\lambda_2) \subset \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \ker\left(\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{00}))\right) \\ & = (24\lambda_2, 4\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1, 6\delta_1^2, 6\lambda_2\delta_1, 2\lambda_2\delta_1 - 2\delta_1^3,) \subset \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})). \end{aligned}$$

The intersection of these two ideals is

$$(39) \quad (4\lambda_1(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 4\lambda_2(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 144\lambda_2) \subset \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})).$$

The kernel of $\mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{001})$ is again the principal ideal (δ_1) . The intersection of this with (39) is

$$(24\lambda_2\delta_1, 72\delta_1^2) \subset \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})).$$

By Lemma 5.3 and since $\alpha_{21} = \alpha_{22} = 0$, the classes β_{21} and β_{22} must lie in the above intersection. This intersection is trivial in degree 4, and is generated by $24\lambda_2\delta_1$ in degree 3. We conclude that $\beta_{22} = 0$ and that $\beta_{21} = 24x\lambda_2\delta_1$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can take $x \in \{0, 1\}$ since $48\lambda_2\delta_1 = 0 \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001}))$.

As for β_{20} , since it lies in (39) and has degree 2, it must be equal to $4y\lambda_1(\delta_1 + \lambda_1) + 144z\lambda_2$ for some $y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\beta_{20} = -12\delta_1\lambda_1 + 60\lambda_1^2$ rationally by [Mum83, §10, p. 321], it follows that $y = -3$ and $z = 1$.

5.3. **Computation of β_{11} .** We work in the ring

$$(40) \quad \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01})) \cong \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01})/(\beta_{30}, \beta_{31}, \beta_{32}, \beta_{33}, \beta_{20}, \beta_{21}, \beta_{22})$$

$$(41) \quad \cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \delta_1^3 + \delta_1^2\lambda_1,$$

$$(42) \quad 2\delta_1^2 + 2\delta_1\lambda_1, 12\delta_1\lambda_1, 24\delta_1\lambda_2, 60\lambda_1^2 - 12\delta_1\lambda_1)$$

Referring to Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01})) &\rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{01})) \\ &= (2(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), \delta_1(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 12\delta_1, 24\lambda_2) \subset \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the general form of β_{11} is

$$\beta_{11} = (2a\lambda_1 + b\delta_1)(\delta_1 + \lambda_1) + 24c\lambda_2,$$

where we can assume $b \in \{0, -1\}$.

Using $\beta_{11} \mapsto \alpha_{11} \in \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01}))$, we obtain

$$2a\lambda_1^2 + 24c\lambda_2 = 2\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2 \in \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01})) / (\delta_1)$$

and hence $a = 1 + 12x$ and $c = -1 - 2x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. As usual, since $24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2 = 0 \in \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01})$, we may take $x = 0$.

Thus, we have

$$\beta_{11} = 2\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2 + (2 + b)\delta_1\lambda_1 + b\delta_1^2.$$

Unfortunately, the condition $\beta_{11} \mapsto 0 \in \text{CH}^*(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_0))$ does not help in determining b . Instead, we show directly that β_{11} vanishes in $\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{st}}$ for $b = -1$. This implies that $b = -1$, as we will now show. Indeed, if $b = 0$, it would follow that the value of β_{11} for $b = -1$ lies in the ideal of $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01}))$ generated by $\beta_{10} = 10\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1$ and the value of β_{11} for $b = 0$, which one can easily check is not the case.

To show directly that β_{11} vanishes in $\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{st}}$ when $b = -1$, we may first suppose the characteristic is zero. Indeed, since $\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{st}}$ is smooth over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, we have by [Ful98, Corollary 20.3] a specialization map: a ring homomorphism $\text{CH}^*((\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{st}})_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*((\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{st}})_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ from the Chow ring of the general fibre to that of a special fibre ($p \neq 2, 3$).

Consider the universal curve $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2^{\text{st}}$ and the universal separating node $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}$. In characteristic zero, [Lar21, §6.2] shows that $\pi_*(c_1(\omega_\pi) \cdot [\mathcal{S}_1]) = 12\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2$.

Lemma 5.5. *The line bundle $\omega_\pi|_{\mathcal{S}_1}$ is isomorphic to the pullback of the representation Γ from BG .*

Proof. Recall the presentation $\Delta_1 \cong ((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2)/G$ from §3.1. Pulling back to the double cover $((L_{4,6} \setminus 0)^2)/(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$, the residue map along a chosen factor $(L_{4,6} \setminus 0)/\mathbb{G}_m \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ gives a trivialization of $\omega_\pi|_{\mathcal{S}_1}$. As the residue on the other factor differs by a sign, we moreover obtain a G -equivariant isomorphism of $\omega_\pi|_{\mathcal{S}_1}$ with the pullback of Γ from BG . \square

Thus, $\pi_*(c_1(\omega_\pi) \cdot [\mathcal{S}_1]) = 12\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2$ is the pushforward from $\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_{01}$ of γ , which is $\delta_1^2 + \delta_1\lambda_1$. We therefore conclude

$$12\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2 = \delta_1^2 + \delta_1\lambda_1 \quad \text{and hence} \quad 2\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2 + \delta_1\lambda_1 - \delta_1^2 = 0$$

in $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{st}})$, as required, since $10\lambda_1^2 - 2\delta_1\lambda_1 = \lambda_1\beta_{10} = 0 \in \text{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{st}})$.

5.4. Conclusion. We now put together Theorem 2.5, Lemma 5.3 and Proposition 5.4 to compute the Chow rings of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{000}$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{00}$, and $\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{ct}}$. We also recall from Proposition 3.1 and (36), (40) the Chow rings of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001}$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01}$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})$, and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01})$, and using Lemma 5.3 and Proposition 5.4 again, we further compute those of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{01})$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_1)$, and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_1)$. Finally, for completeness, we also recall the Chow ring of $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_2)$ from [Vis98].

We note that the only Chow rings that are not determined completely are those of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{000}$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{00}$, and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})$, and these are each determined up to two or three possibilities.

Theorem 5.6. *Over any field of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$, we have, for some $w_{21}, w_{32} \in \{0, 1\}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \delta_1^3 + \delta_1^2\lambda_1, 2\delta_1^2 + 2\delta_1\lambda_1) \\
\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{000}) &\cong \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)/(96\delta_1\lambda_2, 24w_{32}\delta_1\lambda_2^2) \\
&\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \delta_1^3 + \delta_1^2\lambda_1, 2\delta_1^2 + 2\delta_1\lambda_1, \\
&\quad 96\delta_1\lambda_2, 24w_{32}\delta_1\lambda_2^2), \\
\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{00}) &\cong \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001}))/ (60\lambda_1^2 - 12\delta_1\lambda_1, 24w_{21}\delta_1\lambda_2) \\
&\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \delta_1^2(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 2\delta_1(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), \\
&\quad 48\delta_1\lambda_2, 24w_{32}\delta_1\lambda_2^2, 60\lambda_1^2 - 12\delta_1\lambda_1, 24w_{21}\delta_1\lambda_2), \\
\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{ct}}) &\cong \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01}))/ (10\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1, 2\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2 + \delta_1\lambda_1 - \delta_1^2) \\
&\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(12\delta_1\lambda_1, 24\delta_1\lambda_2, 10\lambda_1 - 2\delta_1, 2\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2 + \delta_1\lambda_1 - \delta_1^2) \\
\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{001}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \delta_1^3 + \delta_1^2\lambda_1, \\
&\quad 2\delta_1^2 + 2\delta_1\lambda_1, 144\delta_1\lambda_2) \\
\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{001})) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \\
&\quad \delta_1^2(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 2\delta_1(\delta_1 + \lambda_1), 48\delta_1\lambda_2, 24w_{32}\delta_1\lambda_2^2) \\
\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \delta_1^3 + \delta_1^2\lambda_1, \\
&\quad 2\delta_1^2 + 2\delta_1\lambda_1, 12\delta_1\lambda_1, 24\delta_1\lambda_2) \\
\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_{01})) &\cong \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01})/(\beta_{30}, \beta_{31}, \beta_{32}, \beta_{33}) \cong \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_{01}) \\
\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_{01})) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 4\delta_1\lambda_2, \delta_1^3 + \delta_1^2\lambda_1, \\
&\quad 2\delta_1^2 + 2\delta_1\lambda_1, 12\delta_1\lambda_1, 24\delta_1\lambda_2, 60\lambda_1^2 - 12\delta_1\lambda_1) \\
\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_1) &\cong \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)/(\delta_1) \\
&\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2) \\
\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{000} \cup \Delta_1)) &\cong \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_1)/(\beta_{30}, \beta_{31}, \beta_{32}, \beta_{33},) \cong \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_1) \\
\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus (\Delta_{00} \cup \Delta_1)) &\cong \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2 \setminus \Delta_1)/(\beta_{30}, \beta_{31}, \beta_{32}, \beta_{33}, \beta_{20}, \beta_{21}, \beta_{22}) \\
&\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]/(24\lambda_1^2 - 48\lambda_2, 20\lambda_1\lambda_2, 60\lambda_1^2) \\
\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_2) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]/(10\lambda_1, 2\lambda_1^2 - 24\lambda_2).
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [Bis24a] Martin Bishop. *The integral Chow ring of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,3}$* . 2024. arXiv: 2402.05020 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.05020>.
- [Bis24b] Martin Bishop. “The integral Chow ring of $M_{1,n}$ for $n = 3, \dots, 10$ ”. In: *Forum Math. Sigma* 12 (2024), Paper No. e103. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/fms.2024.110>.
- [BL24] Luca Battistella and Andrea Di Lorenzo. *Wall-crossing integral Chow rings of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$* . 2024. arXiv: 2402.14644 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.14644>.
- [CL23] Samir Canning and Hannah Larson. *On the Chow and cohomology rings of moduli spaces of stable curves*. 2023. arXiv: 2208.02357 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.02357>.
- [CL24] Samir Canning and Hannah Larson. “The Chow rings of the moduli spaces of curves of genus 7, 8, and 9”. In: *J. Algebraic Geom.* 33.1 (2024), pp. 55–116.
- [DPV24] Andrea Di Lorenzo, Michele Pernice, and Angelo Vistoli. “Stable cuspidal curves and the integral Chow ring of 2,1”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 28.6 (2024), pp. 2915–2970. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2024.28.2915>.
- [EG98] Dan Edidin and William Graham. “Equivariant intersection theory (With an Appendix by Angelo Vistoli: The Chow ring of M2) (With an Appendix by Angelo Vistoli: The Chow ring of M2)”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 131.3 (1998), pp. 595–634.
- [Fab90a] Carel Faber. “Chow rings of moduli spaces of curves. I. The Chow ring of M3”. In: *Ann. of Math.* 132.2 (1990), pp. 331–419.
- [Fab90b] Carel Faber. “Chow rings of moduli spaces of curves. II. Some results on the Chow ring of M4”. In: *Ann. of Math.* 132.3 (1990), pp. 421–449.
- [Ful98] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*. Second. Vol. 2. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, pp. xiv+470. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1700-8>.
- [Iza95] E. Izadi. “The Chow ring of the moduli space of curves of genus 5”. In: *The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994)*. Vol. 129. Progr. Math. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995, pp. 267–304. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4264-2_10.
- [Kee92] Sean Keel. “Intersection theory of moduli space of stable N-pointed curves of genus zero”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 330.2 (1992), pp. 545–574.
- [Kre99] Andrew Kresch. “Cycle groups for Artin stacks”. In: *Invent. Math.* 138.3 (1999), pp. 495–536. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220050351>.
- [Lan24] Alberto Landi. *The Integral Chow Ring of the Stack of Pointed Hyperelliptic Curves*. 2024. arXiv: 2404.15873 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.15873>.
- [Lar21] Eric Larson. “The integral Chow ring of \overline{M}_2 ”. In: *Algebr. Geom.* 8.3 (2021), pp. 286–318. URL: <https://doi.org/10.14231/ag-2021-007>.
- [Mum83] David Mumford. “Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves”. In: *Arithmetic and Geometry: Papers Dedicated to IR Shafarevich on the Occasion of His Sixtieth Birthday. Volume II: Geometry* (1983), pp. 271–328.
- [Per23] Michele Pernice. *The (almost) integral Chow ring of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3^1$* . 2023. arXiv: 2303.05493 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.05493>.

- [PV15] Nikola Penev and Ravi Vakil. “The Chow ring of the moduli space of curves of genus six”. In: *Algebr. Geom.* 2.1 (2015), pp. 123–136. URL: <https://doi.org/10.14231/AG-2015-006>.
- [Tot14] Burt Totaro. *Group cohomology and algebraic cycles*. Vol. 204. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014, pp. xvi+228. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139059480>.
- [Tot99] Burt Totaro. “The Chow ring of a classifying space”. In: *Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997)*. Vol. 67. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 249–281. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/pspum/067/1743244>.
- [Vis98] Angelo Vistoli. “The Chow ring of \mathcal{M}_2 . Appendix to “Equivariant intersection theory” [Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 3, 595–634; MR1614555 (99j:14003a)] by D. Edidin and W. Graham”. In: *Invent. Math.* 131.3 (1998), pp. 635–644. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220050215>.