

Reconstruction of Complex Baseband Signals via M -Periodic Nonuniform Bandpass Sampling and Least-Squares Optimal Time-Varying FIR Filters

Håkan Johansson

Dept. Electrical Engineering
Linköping University, Sweden
Email: hakan.johansson@liu.se

Abstract—This paper considers the reconstruction of digital complex baseband signals from M -periodically nonuniformly sampled real bandpass signals. With such a sampling, bandpass signals with arbitrary frequency locations can be sampled and reconstructed, as opposed to uniform sampling which requires the signal to be within one of the Nyquist bands. It is shown how the reconstruction can be carried out via an M -periodic time-varying finite-length impulse response (FIR) filter or, equivalently, a set of M time-invariant FIR filters. Then, a least-squares design method is proposed in which the M filter impulse responses are computed in closed form. This offers minimal filter orders for a given desired bandwidth. This is an advantage over an existing technique where ideal filters are first derived (ensuring perfect reconstruction) and then windowed and truncated, which leads to suboptimal filters and thus higher filter orders and implementation complexity. A design example illustrates the efficiency of the proposed design technique.

I. INTRODUCTION

Traditional communication receivers use analog mixers (modulators) and filters prior to sampling [1], [2]. The sampling is either carried out for a real low-IF signal using one analog-to-digital converter (ADC), or for a complex zero-IF signal using two ADCs (at half the low-IF sampling rate) for the real (I) and imaginary (Q) parts, respectively. In the zero-IF case, the desired digital complex baseband signal (also referred to as complex envelop) is obtained directly after the sampling. In the low-IF case, additional digital modulation and filtering are required. An alternative is to sample the real bandpass signal directly and then digitally reconstruct the complex baseband signal. Thereby, analog mixing (demodulation) is no longer needed. When the bandpass signal is located within one of the Nyquist bands (for a given sampling frequency), uniform sampling can be used as aliasing is then avoided and the complex baseband signal can be readily obtained through regular digital filtering and modulation. This causes a challenge for flexible radio receivers as different sampling frequencies then have to be used for signals in different frequency bands, even if they have the same bandwidth. A solution to this problem is to use M -periodic nonuniform sampling with a fixed sampling rate, as considered recently in [3]. In that case, bandpass signals with arbitrary frequency locations can be handled. In practice, such sampling can be implemented using a nonuniform-sampling M -channel time-interleaved ADC (TI-ADC), as opposed to

conventional uniform-sampling TI-ADCs [4], [5]. A challenge here is to design and implement reconstruction filters with low implementation complexity, which is addressed in this paper. Before proceeding, it is noted that reconstruction techniques for M -periodic sampling have been proposed in several papers in the past. However, as discussed in [3], they are either not applicable or have limitations for the reconstruction problem considered here. The contribution of the paper is as follows.

The reconstruction is carried out via an M -periodic time-varying FIR filter, which can be described by a set of M time-invariant FIR filters. Based on that, it is shown how the whole sampling and reconstruction system can be described by an M -periodic time-varying frequency function or, equivalently, a set of M time-invariant frequency functions. This is different from [3] in which the sampling and reconstruction were described in terms of downsamplers, upsamplers, and filters (which do not coincide with the filters mentioned above), which correspond to a multirate filter-bank representation, having a distortion function (ideally one) and aliasing functions (ideally zero). Both alternative representations are always feasible for M -periodic time-varying systems [6], [7], but an advantage of the time-varying system representation in this paper lies in the design. Specifically, it will be shown how the M impulse responses¹ can be determined separately in closed form, via least-squares minimization of error functions that incorporate the corresponding frequency functions. This gives minimal filter orders for a given desired bandwidth. In [3], ideal filters were first derived and then windowed and truncated which results in suboptimal filters due to the windowing technique [8], [9]. In addition, in [3], closed-form solutions were derived only for $M = 2, 3, 4$, and the derivations become more difficult as M increases. The technique in this paper, on the other hand, works equally well for arbitrary M . In addition, the derivations in this paper, which differ from those in [3], offer further insights into the reconstruction problem.

Following this introduction, Section II considers the sampling and reconstruction problem and the proposed reconstruction. Sections III and IV consider efficient implementation and least-squares design, respectively. Section V provides design examples whereas Section VI concludes the paper.

¹For even M , it suffices to design and implement $M/2$ filters, as explained in Section III.

II. SAMPLING AND RECONSTRUCTION

The point of departure is a complex continuous-time baseband signal $x_c(t)$ with bandwidth B (in practice obtained from a digitally generated baseband signal via digital-to-analog conversion). The corresponding real continuous-time bandpass signal, $x_r(t)$, centered at ω_c , is obtained from $x_c(t)$ as

$$x_r(t) = \Re\{x_c(t)e^{j\omega_c t}\} = \frac{1}{2}(x_c(t)e^{j\omega_c t} + x_c^*(t)e^{-j\omega_c t}), \quad (1)$$

where $*$ denotes conjugate. The corresponding Fourier transform is

$$X_r(j\omega) = \frac{1}{2}(X_c(j\omega - j\omega_c) + X_c^*(-j\omega - j\omega_c)). \quad (2)$$

Example spectra for the two signals are seen in Fig. 1(a) and (b). Further, let $x_1(n)$ and $x_2(m)$ correspond to sampled versions of $x_c(t)$ with sampling periods T_1 and T_2 , respectively. That is,

$$x_1(n) = x_c(nT_1) \quad x_2(m) = x_c(mT_2). \quad (3)$$

The sampling frequencies are thus $f_{s1} = 1/T_1$ and $f_{s2} = 1/T_2$. To enable sampling of $x_r(t)$ and further signal reconstruction, we require $f_{s1} > 2B$. Further, throughout this paper, we assume that $f_{s1} = 2f_{s2}$ because $x_2(m)$ is then readily obtained from $x_1(n)$ via downsampling by two, i.e.,

$$x_2(m) = x_1(2m). \quad (4)$$

Under the above assumptions, we have the Fourier-domain relations

$$X_k(e^{j\omega T_k}) = f_{sk}X_c(j\omega), \quad |\omega| < \pi B/2, \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (5)$$

and

$$X_2(e^{j\omega T_2}) = \frac{1}{2}X_1(e^{j\omega T_1}), \quad |\omega T_2| < \pi. \quad (6)$$

Example spectra of these discrete-time signals, corresponding to the continuous-time signals in Fig. 1(a) and (b), are as seen in Fig. 1(c) and (d).

A. Nonuniform Sampling and Proposed Reconstruction

The objective is to first sample the real signal $x_r(t)$ and then, from the obtained samples, generate (approximate) the digital complex baseband signal $x_2(m)$. Here, M -periodic nonuniform sampling of $x_r(t)$ is adopted (as in [3]), with the sampling period T_1 to fulfill the sampling theorem. Hence, the sampling generates the sequence $v(n)$ according to

$$v(n) = x_r(nT_1 + d_n T_1), \quad d_n = d_{n+M}, \quad (7)$$

where d_n represent time skews, i.e., $d_n T_1$ represent deviations from a uniform-sampling grid nT_1 . In practice, as depicted in Fig. 2(a), $v(n)$ can be generated via an M -channel TI-ADC where each channel ADC operates at the M times lower sampling frequency f_{s1}/M . When the signal $x_r(t)$ is located within one of the Nyquist bands ($|\omega| \in [pf_{s1}/2, (p+1)f_{s1}/2], p \in \{\mathbb{Z}\}_{\geq 0}$), uniform sampling can be used (i.e., all $d_n = 0$) as aliasing is then not introduced and reconstruction is not needed. However, for arbitrary frequency locations, uniform sampling introduces aliasing and the desired signal




Figure 1. Example spectra.




Figure 2. (a) Generation of $v(n)$ using an M -channel TI-ADC. (b) Proposed reconstruction scheme using an M -periodic time-varying filter $h_n(k)$. (c) Equivalent scheme with the modulation after downsampling. The scheme can be efficiently implemented as outlined in Section III.

$x_2(m)$ cannot be generated from the uniform-grid samples $x_r(nT_1)$. In such cases, nonuniform sampling must be adopted to enable the generation of $x_2(m)$ from the nonuniform-grid samples $x_r(nT_1 + d_n T_1)$, in which case at least one of d_n is nonzero. The feasible selections of d_n were considered in [3] and will not be further discussed here due to the limited space.

Given $v(n)$, the objective is now to generate a new sequence $y_2(m)$ that ideally should equal (in practice approximate) the digital complex baseband signal $x_2(m)$. To ease the derivation of the final implementation, as well as the design, we will first generate $y_1(n)$ which ideally should equal $x_1(n)$. Then, $y_2(m)$ is obtained via downsampling by two, i.e., $y_2(m) = y_1(2m)$. The sequence $y_1(n)$ is generated by applying $v(n)$ as an input to an M -periodic linear system (filter) characterized by the M -

periodic impulse response $h_n(k) = h_{n+M}(k)$. It is assumed that $h_n(k)$ is a finite-length impulse response (FIR) filter of even order N , in which case the output $y_1(n)$ can be computed via linear convolution as²

$$y(n) = \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2} v(n-k)h_n(k). \quad (8)$$

To see how to determine $h_n(k)$, we first rewrite $v(n)$ in terms of its inverse Fourier transform, also utilizing (2) and the bandlimitation assumption, as

$$\begin{aligned} v(n) &= x_r(nT_1 + d_nT_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\omega| \in [\omega_c - B/2, \omega_c + B/2]} X_r(j\omega) e^{j\omega T_1(n+d_n)} d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\omega_c - B/2}^{\omega_c + B/2} X_c(j\omega - j\omega_c) e^{j\omega T_1(n+d_n)} d\omega \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\omega_c - B/2}^{-\omega_c + B/2} X_c^*(-j\omega - j\omega_c) e^{j\omega T_1(n+d_n)} d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Then, inserting (9) in (8), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} y(n) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\omega_c - B/2}^{\omega_c + B/2} A_n(j\omega T_1) X_c(j\omega - j\omega_c) e^{j\omega T_1 n} d\omega \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\omega_c - B/2}^{-\omega_c + B/2} (A_n(j\omega T_1) X_c^*(-j\omega - j\omega_c) \\ &\quad \times e^{j\omega T_1 n}) d\omega, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$A_n(j\omega T_1) = \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2} h_n(k) e^{-j\omega T_1(k-d_n-k)}. \quad (11)$$

Via the variable substitutions $\omega \rightarrow \omega T_1$, $\omega \rightarrow \omega - \omega_c$, and $\omega \rightarrow \omega + \omega_c$, and utilizing (5), one finally obtains

$$\begin{aligned} y(n) &= e^{j\omega_c T_1 n} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-(B/2)T_1}^{(B/2)T_1} (A_n(j\omega T_1 + j\omega_c T_1) \\ &\quad \times X_1(e^{j\omega T_1}) e^{j\omega T_1 n} d(\omega T_1)) \\ &\quad + e^{-j\omega_c T_1 n} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-(B/2)T_1}^{(B/2)T_1} (A_n(j\omega T_1 - j\omega_c T_1) \\ &\quad \times X_1^*(e^{j\omega T_1}) e^{j\omega T_1 n} d(\omega T_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Utilizing the inverse Fourier transform in (12), it is seen that, if

$$A_n(j\omega T_1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega T_1 \in [\omega_c T_1 - BT_1/2, \omega_c T_1 + BT_1/2] \\ 0, & \omega T_1 \in [-\omega_c T_1 - BT_1/2, -\omega_c T_1 + BT_1/2], \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

then $y(n) = (1/2) \times x_1(n) e^{j\omega_c T_1 n}$. Hence, we obtain the sequence $y_1(n)$ via digital modulation as $y_1(n) = 2y(n) e^{-j\omega_c T_1 n}$. Finally, $y_2(m)$ is obtained from $y_1(n)$ via downsampling by two. The reconstruction scheme is depicted in Fig. 2(b). Moving the modulation to after the downsampling by two results in the equivalent scheme in Fig. 2(c).

²For simplicity in the mathematical expressions and design, even-order noncausal filters are assumed. A causal filter is obtained by right-shifting the noncausal filter $N/2$ samples. Odd-order filters can also be handled after minor appropriate modifications.




Figure 3. (a) Implementation of an L -periodic time-varying filter $g_n(k)$ followed by downsampling by two. (b) Equivalent scheme for even L , using the corresponding set of $L/2$ time-invariant filters with transfer functions $G_n(z)$, $n = 0, 2, \dots, L-2$. (c) Equivalent scheme where all filtering operations run at the lower sampling rate.

III. EFFICIENT IMPLEMENTATION

The scheme in Fig. 2 shows the principle of the proposed reconstruction, where the periodic filter $h_n(k)$ operates at the high sampling rate f_{s1} . This representation is convenient for the analysis and design, to be considered in Section IV, but a corresponding straightforward implementation is inefficient. This section provides efficient implementations via polyphase decomposition [6], in which case redundant operations are removed and all filtering operations are carried out at the lower sampling rate f_{s1}/M . They are derived via the equivalences seen in Fig. 3. The scheme in (b) follows from that in (a) by utilizing properties of upsamplers and downsamplers [6], [10]. The scheme in (c) follows from that in (b) via polyphase decomposition of the filters $z^n G_n(z)$ according to

$$z^n G_n(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} z^l P_{nl}(z^L), \quad (14)$$

with $P_{nl}(z)$ denoting the l th polyphase component of $z^n G_n(z)$, by which one can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_0(z) \\ z^2 G_2(z) \\ \vdots \\ z^{L-2} G_{L-2}(z) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}(z^L) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ z \\ \vdots \\ z^{L-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

where $\mathbf{R}(z)$ is the $(L/2) \times L$ polyphase matrix

$$\mathbf{R}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{00}(z) & P_{01}(z) & \cdots & P_{0,L-1}(z) \\ P_{20}(z) & P_{21}(z) & \cdots & P_{2,L-1}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{L-2,0}(z) & P_{L-2,1}(z) & \cdots & P_{L-2,L-1}(z) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

There are now two different cases to consider, depending on whether M is even or odd.

A. Even M

Generating $y_2(m)$ from $y_1(n)$ via downsampling by two means that the outputs of the odd-indexed filters $h_{2n+1}(k)$ will be discarded when M is even. Hence, $h_{2n+1}(k)$ will not be used, which means that the reconstruction can be implemented with the scheme in Fig. 3 with $L = M$ and $g_{2n}(k) = h_{2n}(k)$, $n = 0, 2, \dots, M-2$. As seen in Fig. 3(c), there are M inputs to the matrix $R(z)$ which corresponds to a block of M samples from the TI-ADC output seen in Fig. 2(a). Further, in the design, it suffices to determine only $M/2$ impulse responses.

B. Odd M

When M is odd, all M filters $h_n(k)$ will be used but, due to the downsampling by two, in the order $h_0(k), h_2(k), \dots, h_{M-1}(k), h_1(k), h_3(k), \dots, h_{M-2}(k)$. In this case, the reconstruction can be implemented with the scheme in Fig. 3 with $L = 2M$, $g_{2p}(k) = h_{2p}(k)$ for $p = 0, 1, \dots, (M-1)/2$, and $g_{2p+M-1}(k) = h_{2p-1}(k)$ for $p = 1, 2, \dots, (M-1)/2$. Further, as seen in Fig. 3(c), there are in this case $L = 2M$ inputs to the matrix $R(z)$ which correspond to two consecutive blocks of M samples from the TI-ADC output in Fig. 2(a).

IV. LEAST-SQUARES DESIGN

This section proposes a least-squares design technique for the M complex-valued (since $A_n(j\omega T_1)$ in (13) are not conjugate symmetric) impulse responses $h_n(k)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$. In this proposal, $h_n(k)$ are determined separately and in closed form via matrix inversion. To this end, it follows from (12) and (13) that it is appropriate to use the M error functions

$$P_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\omega_1 T_1}^{\omega_2 T_1} |A_n(j\omega T_1) - 1|^2 d(\omega T_1) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\omega_2 T_1}^{-\omega_1 T_1} |A_n(j\omega T_1)|^2 d(\omega T_1), \quad (17)$$

where $\omega_1 T_1 = \omega_c T_1 - BT_1/2$ and $\omega_2 T_1 = \omega_c T_1 + BT_1/2$. With

$$\mathbf{h}_n = [h_n(-N/2) \quad h_n(-N/2+1) \quad \dots \quad h_n(N/2)]^T, \quad (18)$$

where T denotes transpose, each \mathbf{h}_n is then obtained by minimizing the corresponding error function P_n . After some algebraic manipulations and computations of integrals, one finds the M separate closed-form solutions

$$\mathbf{h}_n = \mathbf{S}_n^{-1} \mathbf{c}_n, \quad (19)$$

where \mathbf{c}_n are $(N+1) \times 1$ column vectors with entries $c_{n,k}$, $k = -N/2, -N/2+1, \dots, N/2$, and \mathbf{S}_n are $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrices with entries $s_{n,kp}$, $k, p = -N/2, -N/2+1, \dots, N/2$, given by

$$c_{n,k} = \begin{cases} (\omega_2 T_1 - \omega_1 T_1)/(2\pi), & k - d_{n-k} = 0 \\ \frac{\exp[j\omega_2 T_1(k-d_{n-k})]}{j2\pi(k-d_{n-k})}, & k - d_{n-k} \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

$$s_{n,kp} = \begin{cases} (\omega_2 T_1 - \omega_1 T_1)/\pi, & k = p \\ \frac{\sin[\omega_2 T_1(k+d_{n-p}-p-d_{n-k})]}{\pi(k+d_{n-p}-p-d_{n-k})} \\ - \frac{\sin[\omega_1 T_1(k+d_{n-p}-p-d_{n-k})]}{\pi(k+d_{n-p}-p-d_{n-k})}, & k \neq p. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$




Figure 4. Spectrum of the reconstructed digital complex baseband signal.

V. DESIGN EXAMPLE

We consider the same scenario as in the first example of [3], viz. $M = 2$, $d_0 = 0$, and $d_1 = -0.15$. The baseband signal is a four-tone complex signal, according to (76) and (77) in [3], with additive noise corresponding to a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 61.8 dB. Normalized with respect to $\omega T_1/\pi$, the real bandpass signal has a bandwidth of 0.8 centered at 5.15, thus covering parts of both the fifth and sixth Nyquist bands. Using the design technique proposed in Section IV, with $\omega_1 T_1 = 4.75\pi$ and $\omega_2 T_1 = 5.55\pi$, and a filter order of 60, the spectrum of the reconstructed signal becomes as shown in Fig. 4. The spurious-free dynamic range (SFDR) is about 80 dB whereas the SNR is some 59.6 dB³. For the technique in [3] with filters of order 60, the SFDR and SNR are approximately 65 and 58.2, respectively⁴. For the proposal, those values can be reached with a filter order of 46. Hence, the proposed least-squares design is superior to the windowing-based design in [3].

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper considered the problem of reconstructing digital complex baseband signals via M -periodic nonuniform bandpass sampling and time-varying FIR filters. A least-squares design method was proposed and demonstrated to offer a lower reconstruction filter complexity than that in an existing alternative reconstruction method. Ongoing work encompasses a comprehensive study regarding filter order (complexity) versus M , bandwidth, and reconstruction error, as well as finding the optimal nonuniform sampling pattern when noise propagation is taken into account. It also addresses the effect of undesired sampling instance errors (time-skews), and more generally ADC-channel frequency response mismatches, that will appear in practical nonuniform-sampling TI-ADCs [3]. When the ADC channel characteristics change, the filters need to be modified (redesigned) in real time and it is desired to minimize the complexity of the update. This requires efficient reconfigurable reconstructors, which have been developed for regular uniform-sampling TI-ADCs and real signals [11]–[15]. They are however not applicable here and it thus remains to develop such reconstructors for complex baseband signals. The design technique proposed in this paper serves as a starting point for this development.

³Increasing the filter order beyond 60 offers a modest improvement and the SNR saturates slightly below the original SNR of 61.8 due to a slight noise amplification through the reconstruction filters.

⁴In [3], a mean-square error (MSE) of -53.42 was reported. For the signal in the example, $\text{SNR} = -\text{MSE} + 4.77$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Mirabbasi and K. Martin, "Classical and modern receiver architectures," *IEEE Comm. Mag.*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 132–139, Nov. 2000.
- [2] E. Venosa, F. J. Harris, and F. Palmieri, *Software Radio, Sampling Rate Selection, Design and Synchronization*. Springer, 2012.
- [3] M. Wahab and B. C. Levy, "Direct complex envelope sampling of bandpass signals with M -channel time-interleaved ADCs," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 70, pp. 4804–4819, 2022.
- [4] W. C. Black and D. A. Hodges, "Time interleaved converter arrays," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. SC-15, no. 6, pp. 1022–1029, Dec. 1980.
- [5] H. Johansson, *Sampling and Quantization*. Signal Processing Theory and Machine Learning: Academic Press Library in Signal Processing, 2024.
- [6] P. P. Vaidyanathan, *Multirate Systems and Filter Banks*. Prentice Hall, 1993.
- [7] A. S. Mehr and T. Chen, "Representations of linear periodically time-varying and multirate systems," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 50, no. 9, pp. 2221–2229, Sept. 2002.
- [8] L. B. Jackson, *Digital Filters and Signal Processing (3rd Ed.)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [9] L. Wanhammar and H. Johansson, *Digital Filters using Matlab*. Linköping University, 2013.
- [10] H. Johansson and P. Löwenborg, "Reconstruction of nonuniformly sampled bandlimited signals by means of time-varying discrete-time FIR filters," *J. Applied Signal Processing, Special Issue on Frames and Overcomplete Representations in Signal Processing, Communications, and Information Theory*, vol. 2006, Article ID 64185, 2006.
- [11] H. Johansson, P. Löwenborg, and K. Vengattaramane, "Least-squares and minimax design of polynomial impulse response FIR filters for reconstruction of two-periodic nonuniformly sampled signals," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 877–888, Apr. 2007.
- [12] S. Tertinek and C. Vogel, "Reconstruction of nonuniformly sampled bandlimited signals using a differentiator-multiplier cascade," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I: Regular papers*, vol. 55, no. 8, pp. 2273–2286, Sep. 2008.
- [13] C. Vogel and S. Mendel, "A flexible and scalable structure to compensate frequency response mismatches in time-interleaved ADCs," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I: Regular papers*, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 2463–2475, Nov. 2009.
- [14] H. Johansson, "A polynomial-based time-varying filter structure for the compensation of frequency-response mismatch errors in time-interleaved ADCs: Special issue on DSP techniques for RF/analog circuit impairments," *IEEE J. Selected Topics Signal Processing*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 384–396, June 2009.
- [15] Y. Wang, H. Johansson, M. Deng, and Z. Li, "On the compensation of timing mismatch in two-channel time-interleaved ADCs: Strategies and a novel parallel compensation structure," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 70, pp. 2460–2475, May 2022.