

ACTION REPRESENTABILITY IN CATEGORIES OF UNITARY ALGEBRAS

M. MANCINI  AND F. PIAZZA 

ABSTRACT. In a recent article [13], G. Janelidze introduced the concept of *ideally exact* categories as a generalization of semi-abelian categories, aiming to incorporate relevant examples of *non-pointed* categories, such as the categories **Ring** and **CRing** of unitary (commutative) rings. He also extended the notion of action representability to this broader framework, proving that both **Ring** and **CRing** are action representable.

This article investigates the representability of actions of *unitary* non-associative algebras. After providing a detailed description of the monadic adjunction associated with any category of unitary algebra, we use the construction of the *external weak actor* [4] in order to prove that the categories of unitary (commutative) associative algebras and that of unitary alternative algebras are action representable. The result is then extended for unitary (commutative) Poisson algebras, where the explicit construction of the *universal strict general actor* is employed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of *internal actions* was introduced by F. Borceux, G. Janelidze, and G. M. Kelly in [1] with the goal of extending the correspondence between actions and split extensions from the setting of groups to more general semi-abelian categories [14]. Internal actions are exceptionally well behaved, in the sense that the actions on each object X are *representable*. This means that for each object X , there exists an object $[X]$ such that the functor $\text{Act}(-, X) \cong \text{SplExt}(-, X)$, which assigns to any object B the set of actions of B on X (isomorphism classes of split extensions of B by X), is naturally isomorphic to the functor $\text{Hom}(-, [X])$. The study of action representability in semi-abelian categories was further developed in [2], where it was shown, for example, that the category of commutative associative algebras over a field fails to be action representable. Later, the article [10] established that among varieties of non-associative algebras over an infinite field of characteristic different from 2, only the category **AbAlg** of abelian algebras and the category **Lie** of

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 08A35; 08C05; 16B50; 16W25; 17A36; 17B63; 17D05; 18E13.

Key words and phrases. Action representable category, ideally exact category, split extension, non-associative algebra, associative algebra, alternative algebra, Poisson algebra.

The authors are supported by the University of Palermo, by the “National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures and their Applications” (GNSAGA – INdAM), by the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 4, Component 2, Investment 1.1, Call for tender No. 1409 published on 14/09/2022 by the Italian Ministry of University and Research (MUR), funded by the European Union – NextGenerationEU – Project Title Quantum Models for Logic, Computation and Natural Processes (QM4NP) – CUP: B53D23030160001 – Grant Assignment Decree No. 1371 adopted on 01/09/2023 by the Italian Ministry of University and Research (MUR); by the SDF Sustainability Decision Framework Research Project – MISE decree of 31/12/2021 (MIMIT Dipartimento per le politiche per le imprese – Direzione generale per gli incentivi alle imprese) – CUP: B79J23000530005, COR: 14019279, Lead Partner: TD Group Italia Srl, Partner: University of Palermo. The first author is also a postdoctoral researcher of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique–FNRS. The second author is also supported by the University of Messina.

Lie algebras satisfy this property. The restrictive nature of action representability naturally led to the introduction of weaker, yet related, notions.

In [3], D. Bourn and G. Janelidze introduced the notion of *action accessible* category to encompass significant examples that do not satisfy action representability, such as (non-necessarily unitary) rings, associative algebras, and Leibniz algebras [19]. A. Montoli later established in [22] that every *Orzech category of interest* [23] is action accessible. Additionally, in [5], the authors introduced a broader notion of a representing object in any Orzech categories of interest: the *universal strict general actor*.

More recently, G. Janelidze proposed in [12] the notion of *weakly action representable* category. This weaker condition requires for each object X in a semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} , the existence of a *weakly representing object* $T = T(X)$ along with a natural monomorphism of functors $\tau: \text{Act}(-, X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, T)$. Examples of weakly action representable categories are the variety of associative algebras [12] and the variety of Leibniz algebras [6].

In [4], the concept of weakly representable actions was explored within the framework of varieties of non-associative algebras over a field. The authors worked toward the construction of an *external* weakly representing object $\mathcal{E}(X)$ for actions on/split extensions of an object X of a variety of non-associative algebras \mathcal{V} . They actually obtained a *partial algebra*, called *external weak actor* of X , together with a monomorphism of functors $\text{SplExt}(-, X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(U(-), \mathcal{E}(X))$, where U is the forgetful functor from \mathcal{V} to the category of partial algebras.

G. Janelidze later extended the notions of action accessibility and (weak) action representability to the broader setting of *ideally exact categories* [13], which were introduced as a generalization of semi-abelian categories in order to include relevant examples of *non-pointed* categories, such as the categories **Ring** and **CRing** of (commutative) unitary rings, the category **MValg** of *MV-algebras*, and any category of unitary algebras. It was shown that both **Ring** and **CRing** are action representable categories.

The aim of this paper is to study the representability of actions within the setting of categories of unitary non-associative algebras. Using on the construction of the external weak actor, we prove that the categories of unitary (commutative) associative algebras and that of unitary alternative algebras are action representable, with the actor of each object X being isomorphic to X itself. The study is then extended to the categories of unitary (commutative) Poisson algebras, where we employ the explicit construction of the universal strict general actor given in [6]). While the question of whether the category of Poisson algebras has weakly representable actions remains open, we establish that its subcategories of unitary (commutative) Poisson algebras satisfy this condition.

We end the article with an open question.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Internal actions in semi-abelian categories. Let \mathcal{C} be a semi-abelian category [14], and let B and X be objects of \mathcal{C} . A *split extension* of B by X is a diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{k} A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta} \end{array} B \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.1)$$

in \mathcal{C} such that $\alpha \circ \beta = \text{id}_B$ and (X, k) is the kernel of α .

For any object X in \mathcal{C} , one can define the functor

$$\text{SplExt}(-, X): \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

which assigns to each object B in \mathcal{C} the set $\text{SplExt}(B, X)$ of isomorphism classes of split extensions of B by X , and to any morphism $f: B' \rightarrow B$ the *change of base map* $f^*: \text{SplExt}(B, X) \rightarrow \text{SplExt}(B', X)$ given by pullback along f .

Given a semi-abelian category, one may define the notion of internal action [1]. Internal actions on an object X give rise to a functor

$$\text{Act}(-, X): \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

and one may prove there exists a natural isomorphism $\text{Act}(-, X) \cong \text{SplExt}(-, X)$ (see [2]). We do not describe here in detail internal actions, since split extensions offer a more practical framework, particularly in the study of non-associative algebras.

Definition 2.2 ([2]). A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is said to be *action representable* if, for every object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X)$ is representable. That is, there exists an object $[X]$ in \mathcal{C} along with a natural isomorphism of functors

$$\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, [X]).$$

Examples of action representable categories are the category **Grp** of groups and the category **Lie** of Lie algebras over a commutative unitary ring. In the case of groups, every action of B on X corresponds to a group homomorphism $B \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$, where $\text{Aut}(X)$ is the automorphism group of X . Similarly, for Lie algebras, every action of B by X is described by a Lie algebra homomorphism $B \rightarrow \text{Der}(X)$, where $\text{Der}(X)$ is the Lie algebra of derivations of X .

However, action representability is a rather restrictive property. For instance, it was shown in [10] that among varieties of non-associative algebras over an infinite field of characteristic different from 2, the only action representable examples are the category **AbAlg** of abelian algebras and the category **Lie** of Lie algebras.

Definition 2.3 ([12]). A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is said to be *weakly action representable* if, for each object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X)$ admits a weak representation. This means there exists an object $T = T(X)$ in \mathcal{C} and a natural monomorphism of functors

$$\tau: \text{SplExt}(-, X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, T).$$

A morphism $\varphi: B \rightarrow T$ that belongs to $\text{Im}(\tau_B)$ is called *acting morphism*.

Examples of weakly action representable categories include the variety **Assoc** of associative algebras [12], where $T = \text{Bim}(X)$ is the associative algebra of *bimultipliers* of X [20]; the variety **Leib** of Leibniz algebras, where $T = \text{Bim}(X)$ is the Leibniz algebra of *biderivations* of X [19, 21]; and the varieties of 2-nilpotent (commutative, anti-commutative, and non-commutative) algebras [4, 15, 16, 17].

An important result obtained by G. Janelidze in [12] is that every weakly action representable category is action accessible. We thus have

$$\text{action representability} \Rightarrow \text{weak action representability} \Rightarrow \text{action accessibility}.$$

Later, J. R. A. Gray observed in [11] that the converse of the second implication is not true: he proved that the varieties of k -solvable groups ($k \geq 3$) are not weakly action representable.

Varieties of non-associative algebras. We now describe the algebraic framework in which we work: *varieties of non-associative algebras* over a field \mathbb{F} . We think of those as collections of algebras satisfying a chosen set of polynomial equations. We address the reader to [27] for more details.

A *non-associative algebra* over \mathbb{F} is a vector space X equipped with a bilinear multiplication operation $X \times X \rightarrow X$, denoted by $(x, y) \mapsto xy$. In general, the

existence of a multiplicative identity is not assumed. The category of *all* non-associative algebras over \mathbb{F} is denoted by **Alg** and it has as morphisms the linear maps that preserve the multiplication.

Definition 2.4. An *identity* of an algebra X is a non-associative polynomial $\varphi = \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. We say that the algebra X *satisfies* the identity φ .

If I is a set of identities, then the *variety of non-associative algebras* \mathcal{V} determined by I is the class of all algebras which satisfy all the identities of I . Conversely, we say that a variety *satisfies the identities in* I if every algebras in it satisfy the given set of identities. In particular, if the variety is determined by a set of *multilinear* polynomials, then we say that the variety is *operadic*.

Each variety of non-associative algebras \mathcal{V} forms a full subcategory of **Alg** and is a semi-abelian category.

Examples 2.5.

- (1) **AbAlg** is the variety of *abelian* algebras, which is determined by the identity $xy = 0$.
- (2) **Assoc** is the variety of *associative* algebras, which is determined by *associativity* $x(yz) = (xy)z$.
- (3) **CAssoc** is the subvariety of **Assoc** of commutative associative algebras.
- (4) **Lie** is the variety of *Lie algebras*, which is determined by $x^2 = 0$ and the *Jacobi identity*.
- (5) **Leib** is the variety of (*right*) *Leibniz algebras*, which is determined by the (*right*) *Leibniz identity*, that is $(xy)z - (xz)y - x(yz) = 0$.
- (6) **Alt** is the variety of *alternative algebras*, which is determined by the identities $(yx)x - yx^2 = 0$ and $x(xy) - x^2y = 0$. We recall that every associative algebra is alternative, while an example of an alternative algebra which is not associative is given by the *octonions* \mathbb{O} .

When $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$, the multilinearisation process [24] shows that **Alt** is equivalent to the variety defined by

$$(xy)z + (xz)y - x(yz) - x(zx) = 0$$

and

$$(xy)z + (yx)z - x(yz) - y(xz) = 0.$$

The representability of actions of non-associative algebras was extensively studied in [4], where the authors proved that for any object X of an *operadic* and *action accessible* variety \mathcal{V} , there exists a *partial algebra* $\mathcal{E}(X) \leq \text{End}(X) \times \text{End}(X)$, called *external weak actor* of X , together with a monomorphism of functors

$$\text{SplExt}(-, X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PAlg}}(U(-), \mathcal{E}(X)),$$

called *external weak representation*, where **PAlg** is the category of *partial algebras* and $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{PAlg}$ denotes the forgetful functor.

More in detail, if \mathcal{V} is determined by a set of multilinear identities

$$\Phi_{k,i}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where k is the degree of the polynomial $\Phi_{k,i}$, and we fix $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbb{F}$ which determine a choice of λ/μ rules (see [8, 9]) the external weak actor $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is defined as the subspace of all pairs $(f * -, - * f) \in \text{End}(X) \times \text{End}(X)$ satisfying

$$\Phi_{k,i}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n,$$

for each choice of $\alpha_j = f$ and $\alpha_t \in X$, where $t \neq j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $fx := f * x$, $xf := x * f$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is endowed with a *bilinear partial operation*

$\langle -, - \rangle: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X)$, where Ω is the preimage $\langle -, - \rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{E}(X))$ of the inclusion $\mathcal{E}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(X)^2$, and

$$\langle (f * -, - * f), (g * -, - * g) \rangle = (h * -, - * h),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x * h &= \lambda_1(x * f) * g + \lambda_2(f * x) * g + \lambda_3g * (x * f) + \lambda_4g * (f * x) \\ &\quad + \lambda_5(x * g) * f + \lambda_6(g * x) * f + \lambda_7f * (x * g) + \lambda_8f * (g * x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h * x &= \mu_1(x * f) * g + \mu_2(f * x) * g + \mu_3g * (x * f) + \mu_4g * (f * x) \\ &\quad + \mu_5(x * g) * f + \mu_6(g * x) * f + \mu_7f * (x * g) + \mu_8f * (g * x). \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.6. If $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Assoc}$ and we fix the standard choice of the λ/μ rules ($\lambda_1 = \mu_8 = 1$ and $\lambda_i = \mu_j = 0$ for any $i \neq 1$ and $j \neq 8$), then $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is isomorphic to the associative algebra

$$\text{Bim}(X) = \{(f * -, - * f) \in \text{End}(X) \times \text{End}(X)^{\text{op}} \mid \dots$$

$$\dots \mid f*(xy) = (f*x)y, (xy)*f = x(y*f), x(f*y) = (x*f)y, \forall x, y \in X\}$$

of *bimultipliers* of X (see [20]), where the multiplication is induced by the usual composition of functions in $\text{End}(X)$, and we get a weak representation

$$\text{SplExt}(-, X) \rightsquigarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Assoc}}(-, \text{Bim}(X)).$$

In a similar way, if $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{CAssoc}$, then $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is isomorphic to the associative algebra

$$\text{M}(X) = \{f \in \text{End}(X) \mid f(xy) = f(x)y \forall x, y \in X\}$$

of *multipliers* of X , and we obtain an external representation

$$\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{CAssoc}}(-, \text{M}(X)).$$

We observe that, although the external actor is not a commutative algebra, it was proved in [4, Theorem 2.11] that the variety \mathbf{CAssoc} is weakly action representable.

Example 2.7. Let $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$. If $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Lie}$, we then obtain that $\mathcal{E}(X) \cong \text{Der}(X)$ is the actor of X .

We will use the construction of the external weak actor in order to study the representability of actions of unitary algebras. To do this, we need to recall the following definition.

Definition 2.8 ([26]). A variety of non-associative algebras \mathcal{V} is said to be *unitary closed* if for any algebra X of \mathcal{V} , the algebra \tilde{X} obtained by adjoining to X the external unit 1, together with the identities $x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$, is still an object of \mathcal{V} .

For instance, the varieties \mathbf{Assoc} and \mathbf{Alt} are unitary closed, while the variety \mathbf{Leib} , or any variety of anti-commutative algebras over a field of characteristic different from 2 are examples of non-unitary closed varieties. Thus, the condition of being unitary closed depends on the set of identities which determine \mathcal{V} .

When \mathcal{V} is unitary closed, one may consider the subcategory \mathcal{V}_1 of unitary algebras of \mathcal{V} , with the arrows being the algebra morphisms that preserve the unit. We will see that \mathcal{V}_1 is an ideally-exact category in the sense of G. Janelidze.

Ideally exact categories. We now recall the definition of *ideally exact category* introduced by G. Janelidze in [13].

Definition 2.9 ([13]). Let \mathcal{C} be a category with pullbacks, with initial object 0 and terminal object 1. \mathcal{C} is said to be *ideally exact* if it is Barr exact, Bourn protomodular, has finite coproducts and the unique morphism $0 \rightarrow 1$ in \mathcal{C} is a regular epimorphism.

Since the pullback functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C} \downarrow 0)$ along $0 \rightarrow 1$ is monadic, we have the following characterization.

Theorem 2.10 ([13]). *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{C} is ideally exact;
- (2) \mathcal{C} is Barr-exact, has finite coproducts and there exists a monadic functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{V} is a semi-abelian category;
- (3) there exists a monadic functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{V} is a semi-abelian category, such that the underlying functor of the corresponding monads preserves regular epimorphisms and kernel pairs. \square

Remark 2.11 ([13]). Let \mathcal{C} be an ideally exact categories. It follows from Theorem 2.10 that there exists a monadic adjunction

$$\mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{F} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{U} \end{array} \mathcal{V} \quad (2.2)$$

with \mathcal{V} semi-abelian. This adjunction is associated with the unique morphism $0 \rightarrow 1$ (up to an equivalence) if and only if the unit of the adjunction is cartesian. For instance, one may take $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{C} \downarrow 0)$ with U and F defined in the obvious way, but this may not be the most convenient choice. For instance, if \mathcal{C} is already semi-abelian, it might be most convenient to take $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{C}$.

In addition to all semi-abelian categories, examples of ideally exact categories are the categories **Ring** and **CRing** of (commutative) unitary rings, the category of *MV-algebras* [18], any cotopos and every category of unitary algebras over a field.

Remark 2.12. Let \mathcal{V} be a unitary closed variety of non-associative algebras. We observe that the monadic adjunction of Remark 2.11 is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_1 \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{F} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{U} \end{array} \mathcal{V}$$

where U is the forgetful functor and F maps every algebra X of \mathcal{V} to the semi-direct product $\mathbb{F} \ltimes X$ with multiplication

$$(\alpha, x) \cdot (\alpha', x') = (\alpha\alpha', xx' + \alpha x' + \alpha' x)$$

and unit $(1, 0)$. Moreover, the unit $\eta: 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow UF$ of the adjunction is cartesian since the map $\eta_X: X \rightarrow U(\mathbb{F} \ltimes X): x \mapsto (0, x)$ is the kernel of

$$U(\pi_1): U(\mathbb{F} \ltimes X) \rightarrow U(\mathbb{F}): (\alpha, x) \mapsto \alpha.$$

Thus, by Remark 2.11, $U \vdash F$ is the adjunction associated with the unique morphism $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \{0\}$ in \mathcal{V}_1 (up to an equivalence). The same construction can be done in the case \mathcal{V} is the category of unitary rings by replacing the field \mathbb{F} with the ring of integers \mathbb{Z} .

It is possible to re-define the notion of action representability in the setting of ideally exact categories.

Definition 2.13 ([13]). Let \mathcal{C} be an ideally exact category and let $U \vdash F$ be the monadic adjunction of Remark 2.11. Then \mathcal{C} is *action representable* if the functor

$$\text{SplExt}(-, U(X)) \cong \text{Act}(-, U(X)): \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

is representable for any object X of \mathcal{C} .

Now, let \mathcal{C} be the category of rings or the category of commutative rings. As it is shown in [2], actions on a (commutative) ring X are representable, in particular, when X is unitary. In this case, the canonical ring homomorphism

$$\mu: X \rightarrow M_X: x \mapsto (x \cdot -, - \cdot x),$$

where M_X is the ring of *bimultiplications* of X [20], is an isomorphism.

Thus, we have the following.

Theorem 2.14 ([13]). *The categories **Ring** and **CRing** are action representable. Moreover, the actor of unitary (commutative) ring X is isomorphic to X itself.* \square

In a similar way, one may also re-define the notions of action accessibility and weak action representability.

We aim now to study the representability of actions in the context of categories of unitary algebras. Using the construction of the external weak actor in the varieties of associative and alternative algebras, we prove that the ideally exact categories **Assoc**₁, **CAssoc**₁ and **Alt**₁ are action representable. Moreover, we extend this study to the categories **Pois**₁ and **CPois**₁ of unitary (commutative) Poisson algebras, where we use the explicit construction of the universal strict general actor given in [6].

3. ASSOCIATIVE AND ALTERNATIVE ALGEBRAS

Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Assoc}$, let X be an associative algebra and consider the weak representation

$$\tau: \text{SplExt}(-, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Assoc}}(-, \text{Bim}(X))$$

which maps any isomorphism class of split extension of B by X

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{k} A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta} \end{array} B \longrightarrow 0$$

to the acting morphism

$$B \rightarrow \text{Bim}(X): b \mapsto (b * -, - * b)$$

where $b * x = \alpha(b) \cdot_A k(x)$ and $x * b = k(x) \cdot_A \alpha(b)$, for any $b \in B$ and $x \in X$.

As shown in [6, Proposition 1.3], a morphism $(\varphi: B \rightarrow \text{Bim}(X)) \in \text{Im}(\tau_B)$ if and only if

$$(b * x) * b' = b * (x * b'), \quad (3.1)$$

which means that the left multiplier $b * -$ and the right multiplier $- * b'$ are *permutable*, for any $b, b' \in B$.

It is well known that if X has trivial *annihilator* or is *perfect* (which means $X^2 = X$), then eq. (3.1) holds for any $(f * -, * - f), (g * -, - * g) \in \text{Bim}(X)$ (see [5]). Thus, τ becomes a natural isomorphism and actions on X are representable.

Now, consider the canonical morphism of \mathbb{F} -algebras

$$\text{Inn}: X \rightarrow \text{Bim}(X)$$

which sends any $x \in X$ to the *inner* bimultiplier $(x \cdot -, - \cdot x)$. Straightforward computations show that Inn is an isomorphism if and only if X is a unitary algebra.

Finally, since unitary algebras are perfect and with trivial annihilator, we get a natural isomorphism

$$\text{SplExt}(-, U(X)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Assoc}}(-, U(X))$$

for any unitary associative algebra X , where $U: \mathbf{Assoc}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Assoc}$ denotes the forgetful functor.

We observe that the same result may be obtained in the variety \mathbf{CAssoc} of commutative associative algebras, if one replaces $\text{Bim}(X)$ with the associative algebra $M(X)$ of multipliers of X . We thus can state the following.

Theorem 3.1. *The categories \mathbf{Assoc}_1 and \mathbf{CAssoc}_1 are action representable. Moreover, the actor of a unitary (commutative) associative algebra X is isomorphic to X itself. \square*

We now aim to generalize Theorem 3.1 to the ideally exact category \mathbf{Alt}_1 of unitary alternative algebras over a field \mathbb{F} with $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$.

We recall from [4, Example 3.7] that in this case the external weak actor $\mathcal{E}(X)$ consists of all pairs $(f * -, - * f) \in \text{End}(X)^2$ satisfying the following set of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f * (xy) &= (x * f)y + (f * x)y - x(f * y), \\ (xy) * f &= x(f * y) + x(y * f) - (x * f)y, \\ x(y * f) &= (yx) * f + (xy) * f - y(x * f) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(f * x)y = f * (yx) + f * (xy) - (f * y)x$$

for any $x, y \in X$. Moreover, the bilinear partial multiplication

$$\langle (f * -, - * f), (g * -, - * g) \rangle = (h * -, - * h)$$

is defined by

$$h * x = -(f * x) * g + f * (g * x) + f * (x * g)$$

and

$$x * h = (x * f) * g + (f * x) * g - f * (x * g).$$

One may check that $\langle -, - \rangle$ does not define in general a *total* algebra structure.

Now, let X be an object of \mathbf{Alt}_1 with unit element e and consider the canonical partial algebra homomorphism

$$\text{Inn}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X): x \mapsto (x \cdot -, - \cdot x).$$

We show that, again, Inn is an isomorphism. In fact, the elements of $\mathcal{E}(X)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} f * x &= (x * f)e + (f * x)e - x(f * e), \\ x * f &= e(f * x) + e(x * f) - (e * f)x, \\ x * f &= x * f + x * f - x(e * f), \\ f * x &= f * x + f * x - (f * e)x, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in X$. Thus, if $\alpha := f * e$ and $\beta := e * f$, one has

$$f * x = \alpha x = \beta x, \quad x * f = x\alpha = x\beta$$

and, for $x = e$, one obtains $\alpha = \beta$. In other words, an element of $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is uniquely determined by an element $\alpha = f * e = e * f$ of X , i.e., the map Inn is surjective. To obtain injectivity, one may observe that any unitary alternative algebra has trivial annihilator. This means that $\ker(\text{Inn})$ is trivial and $\mathcal{E}(X) \cong X$ is an alternative algebra. As a consequence, if $U: \mathbf{Alt}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Alt}$ denotes the forgetful functor and B is an object of \mathbf{Alt} , any morphism $\varphi: B \rightarrow U(X)$ induces a split extension of B

by $U(X)$ in **Alt**. In fact, one may verify that the semi-direct product $B \rtimes_{\varphi} U(X)$ with multiplication

$$(b, x) \cdot (b', x') = (bb', xx' + \varphi(b)x' + x\varphi(b'))$$

is an alternative algebra. We thus have a natural isomorphism

$$\text{SplExt}(-, U(X)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alt}}(-, U(X))$$

and we can state the following.

Theorem 3.2. *The category \mathbf{Alt}_1 is action representable with the actor of a unitary alternative algebra X being isomorphic to X itself. \square*

4. POISSON ALGEBRAS

The aim of this section is to prove that the categories \mathbf{Pois}_1 of unitary Poisson algebras and \mathbf{CPois}_1 of unitary commutative Poisson algebras over a field \mathbb{F} with $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ are action representable.

We recall that a Poisson algebra is a vector space X over \mathbb{F} equipped with two bilinear multiplications

$$\cdot: X \times X \rightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad [-, -]: X \times X \rightarrow X$$

such that (X, \cdot) is an associative algebra, $(X, [-, -])$ is a Lie algebra and the *Poisson identity* holds:

$$[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z], \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$$

A Poisson algebra is said to be *commutative* (resp. *unitary*) if the underlying associative algebra is commutative (resp. unitary).

It was proved in [6] that for any Poisson algebra X there exists a natural monomorphism of functors

$$\tau: \text{SplExt}(-, X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}^2}(\tilde{U}(-), [X]),$$

where \mathbf{Alg}^2 denotes the category of algebras with two non-necessarily associative bilinear operations, $\tilde{U}: \mathbf{Pois} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}^2$ is the forgetful functor and

$[X] = \{f = (f * -, - * f, [f, -]) \in \text{Bim}(X) \times \text{Der}(X) \mid \dots$
 $\dots \mid f * [x, y] = [f * x, y] - [f, y]x, [x, y] * f = [x * f, y] - x[f, y], [f, xy] = [f, x]y + x[f, y]\}$
 is the universal strict general actor of X , which is endowed with the bilinear multiplications

$$f \cdot g = (f * (f' * -), (- * f) * f'), f * [f', -] + [f, -] * f'$$

and

$$[f, g] = (f * [f', -] - [f', f * -], [f', -] * f - [f', - * f], [f, [f', -]] - [f', [f, -]]).$$

Furthermore, a morphism $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3): \tilde{U}(B) \rightarrow [X]$ belongs to $\text{Im}(\tau_B)$ if and only if $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2): (B, \cdot) \rightarrow \text{Bim}(X)$ is an acting morphism in **Assoc**.

If X has trivial annihilator or $(X^2, \cdot) = (X, \cdot)$, then eq. (3.1) holds in $\text{Bim}(X)$ and we have a natural isomorphism

$$\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}^2}(\tilde{U}(-), [X]).$$

This happens, for instance, when X is a unitary Poisson algebra. In this case, it is possible to prove that $[X]$ is a Poisson algebra. Indeed, by the results in Section 3, the universal strict general actor $[X]$ may be described as the subalgebra of all pairs $(\alpha, [f, -]) \in X \times \text{Der}(X)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha[x, y] &= [\alpha x, y] - [f, y]x, \\ [x, y]\alpha &= [x\alpha, y] - x[f, y] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[f, xy] = [f, x]y + x[f, y]$$

for any $x, y \in X$. If we denote by e the unit of X , one has

$$[e, x] = e \cdot [e, x] = [e, x] - [f, x]e$$

and, hence, $[f, x] = 0$ for any $x \in X$. It follows that

$$[X] \cong \{\alpha \in X \mid \alpha[x, y] = [\alpha x, y], [x, y]\alpha = [x\alpha, y], \forall x, y \in X\}$$

Applying the Poisson identity to $[\alpha x, y]$, one may see that the elements of $[X]$ are precisely the elements of the center $Z(X)$ of the underlying Lie algebra $(X, [-, -])$, which is a Poisson subalgebra of X with trivial Lie bracket. We thus have a natural isomorphism

$$\text{SplExt}(-, U(X)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Pois}}(-, U(Z(X))),$$

where $U: \mathbf{Pois}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Pois}$ is the forgetful functor. Notice that $Z(X)$ is a unitary algebra, since

$$[x, e] = [x, e^2] = [x, e]e + e[x, e] = 2[x, e]$$

and, hence, $[x, e] = 0$. Furthermore, the isomorphism classes of split extension of a Poisson algebra B by $U(X)$ are in bijection with the semi-direct products $B \rtimes_{\varphi} U(X)$ with multiplications

$$(b, x) \cdot (b', x') = (bb', xx' + \varphi(b)x' + x\varphi(b'))$$

and

$$[(b, x), (b', x')] = ([b, b'], [x, x']),$$

where $\varphi: B \rightarrow U(Z(X))$ is a Poisson algebra homomorphism.

We further observe that the same result may be reached in the category \mathbf{CPois}_1 of unitary commutative Poisson algebras, where an easier description of the universal strict general actor is available (see [6]). Hence, we conclude with the following.

Theorem 4.1. *The categories \mathbf{Pois}_1 and \mathbf{CPois}_1 are action representable, with the actor of a unitary (commutative) Poisson algebra X being isomorphic to the Poisson subalgebra $Z(X)$ of X . \square*

5. CONCLUSIONS

Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 prove that, although action representability is a rather restrictive notion in the context of semi-abelian varieties of non-associative algebras, it is possible to find different examples of action representable categories of unitary algebras. Moreover, while it remains an open problem whether the category of Poisson algebras is weakly action-representable or not, the explicit construction of the universal strict general actor has been sufficient to establish that the subcategories of unitary (commutative) Poisson algebras have representable actions.

It remains an open question to check whether (weak) action representability always holds in this setting or if there exists a category of unitary non-associative algebras that fails to be (weakly) action representable. Notice that such a counterexample was found in [7] in the context of semi-abelian varieties of algebras, where it was proved that the varieties of k -nilpotent ($k \geq 3$) and n -solvable ($n \geq 2$) Lie algebras are not weakly action representable.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, *Internal object actions*, Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae **46** (2005), no. 2, 235–255.
- [2] F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, *On the representability of actions in a semi-abelian category*, Theory and Applications of Categories **14** (2005), no. 11, 244–286.
- [3] D. Bourn and G. Janelidze, *Centralizers in action accessible categories*, Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques **50** (2009), no. 3, 211–232.
- [4] J. Brox, X. García-Martínez, M. Mancini, T. Van der Linden and C. Vienne, *Weak representability of actions of non-associative algebras*, Journal of Algebra **669** (2025), no. 18, 401–444.
- [5] J. M. Casas, T. Datuashvili and M. Ladra, *Universal strict general actors and actors in categories of interest*, Applied Categorical Structures **18** (2010), 85–114.
- [6] A. S. Cigoli, M. Mancini and G. Metere, *On the representability of actions of Leibniz algebras and Poisson algebras*, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society **66** (2023), no. 4, 998–1021.
- [7] X. García-Martínez and M. Mancini, *Action accessible and weakly action representable varieties of algebras*, submitted.
- [8] X. García-Martínez and T. Van der Linden, *A characterisation of Lie algebras amongst anti-commutative algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **223** (2019), no. 11, 4857–4870.
- [9] X. García-Martínez and T. Van der Linden, *A characterisation of Lie algebras via algebraic exponentiation*, Advances in Mathematics **341** (2019), 92–117.
- [10] X. García-Martínez, M. Tsishyn, T. Van der Linden and C. Vienne, *Algebras with representable representations*, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society **64** (2021), no. 2, 555–573.
- [11] J. R. A. Gray, *A note on the relationship between action accessible and weakly action representable categories*, Theory and Applications of Categories **44** (2025), no. 8, 272–276.
- [12] G. Janelidze, *Central extensions of associative algebras and weakly action representable categories*, Theory and Applications of Categories **38** (2022), no. 36, 1395–1408.
- [13] G. Janelidze, *Ideally exact categories*, Theory and Applications of Categories **41** (2024), no. 11, 414–425.
- [14] G. Janelidze, L. Márki and W. Tholen, *Semi-abelian categories*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **168** (2002), no. 2, 367–386.
- [15] G. La Rosa and M. Mancini, *Two-step nilpotent Leibniz algebras*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **637** (2022), no. 7, 119–137.
- [16] G. La Rosa and M. Mancini, *Derivations of two-step nilpotent algebras*, Communications in Algebra **51** (2023), no. 12, 4928–4948.
- [17] G. La Rosa, M. Mancini and G. P. Nagy, *Isotopisms of nilpotent Leibniz algebras and Lie racks*, Communications in Algebra **52** (2024), no. 9, 3812–3825.
- [18] S. Lapenta, G. Metere, L. Spada, *Relative ideals in homological categories, with an application to MV-algebras*, Theory and Applications of Categories **42** (2024), no. 27, 878–893.
- [19] J.-L. Loday, *Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz*, L’Enseignement Mathématique **39** (1993), no. 3–4, 269–293.
- [20] S. Mac Lane, *Extensions and obstructions for rings*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics **2** (1958), no. 3, 316–345.
- [21] M. Mancini, *Biderivations of Low-Dimensional Leibniz Algebras*, Non-Associative Algebras and Related Topics II, NAART 2020 (H. Albuquerque, J. Brox, C. Martínez, P. Saraiva, P., eds), Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol. 427, no. 8, Springer, Cham, 2023, pp. 127–136.
- [22] A. Montoli, *Action accessibility for categories of interest*, Theory and Applications of Categories **23** (2010), no. 1, 7–21.
- [23] G. Orzech, *Obstruction theory in algebraic categories I and II*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **2** (1972), no. 4, 287–314 and 315–340.
- [24] J. M. Osborn, *Varieties of algebras*, Advances in Mathematics **8** (1972), 163–369.
- [25] Ü. Reimaa, T. Van der Linden and C. Vienne, *Associativity and the cosmash product in operadic varieties of algebras*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics **67** (2023), no. 3, 563–59.
- [26] I. P. Shestakov and V. S. Bittencourt, *Nonmatrix varieties of nonassociative algebras*, Algebra and Logic **62** (2024), 532–547.
- [27] T. Van der Linden, *Non-associative algebras*, New Perspectives in Algebra, Topology and Categories (M. M. Clementino, A. Facchini, and M. Gran, eds.), Coimbra Mathematical Texts, vol. 1, Springer, Cham, 2021, pp. 225–258.

Email address: `manuel.mancini@unipa.it`; `manuel.mancini@uclouvain.be`

Email address: `federica.piazza07@unipa.it`; `federica.piazza1@studenti.unime.it`

(M. Mancini, F. Piazza) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PALERMO, VIA ARCHIRAFI 34, 90123 PALERMO, ITALY.

(M. Mancini) INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE EN MATHÉMATIQUE ET PHYSIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN, CHEMIN DU CYCLOTRON 2 BTE L7.01.02, B-1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIUM.

(F. Piazza) DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE E INFORMATICHE, SCIENZE FISICHE E SCIENZE DELLA TERRA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MESSINA, VIALE FERDINANDO STAGNO D'ALCONTRES 31, 98166 MESSINA, ITALY.