

ON THE BIRATIONAL GEOMETRY OF \mathbb{Q} -FANO THREEFOLDS OF LARGE FANO INDEX, II

YURI PROKHOROV

ABSTRACT. This paper is a sequel to [Pro24a]. We investigate the rationality problem for \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds of Fano index ≥ 3 .

1. INTRODUCTION

A \mathbb{Q} -Fano variety is a projective variety with only terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial singularities such that $-K_X$ is ample and $\text{rk Pic}(X) = 1$. These varieties are very important in birational geometry since they naturally appear as one of the outputs of the Minimal Model Program. In this paper is a continuation of the series of our works [Pro22a], [Pro22b], [Pro24a], [Pro24b] where we discuss the birational geometry of \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds of large Fano index.

The \mathbb{Q} -Fano index of a \mathbb{Q} -Fano variety X is the maximal integer $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ that divides the canonical class K_X in the Weil divisor class group modulo torsion (see (2.3)). It is known that in the three-dimensional case this invariant takes the value in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19\}$ (see [Suz04] and [Pro10]). A Weil divisor A such that $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)A$ we call the *fundamental divisor* and denote it by A_X . There is another important set of invariants of a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold:

$$p_n(X) := \max \{h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \mid D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} nA_X\}.$$

If the Weil divisor class group $\text{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free, then the above definition becomes simpler:

$$p_n(X) = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nA_X)).$$

1.1. Theorem ([Pro22b], [Pro24a]). *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 2$. If one of the following conditions hold then X is rational*

- (i) $p_1(X) \geq 4$,
- (ii) $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 3$ and $p_1(X) \geq 3$,
- (iii) $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 4$ and $p_1(X) \geq 2$,
- (iv) $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 5$, $p_2(X) \geq 2$, and $A_X^3 \neq 1/12$,
- (v) $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 6$ and $p_3(X) \geq 2$,
- (vi) $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 8$.

In this paper we improve these results and investigate birational properties of “extremal” varieties. Below we present three distinguished \mathbb{Q} -Fano weighted hypersurfaces. According to [Oka19] *very general* members of these families are not stably rational. However this “very general” condition is not explicit. We are interested in detailed birational geometry of some of varieties of this kind.

1.2. Example. Let $X = X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 2, 3)$ be a hypersurface of degree 6. A general variety of this type is quasi-smooth and it is a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with

$$q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 3, \quad A_X^3 = 1/2, \quad \mathbf{B}(X) = (2^3), \quad \dim |A_X| = 1, \quad \dim |2A_X| = 4.$$

This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant no. 23-11-00033, <https://rscf.ru/project/23-11-00033/>.

According to [Pro24b] X is not rational if any non-Gorenstein singularity is moderate [Kaw92], any Gorenstein singularity is either a node or cusp, and the number of these Gorenstein singularities is at most 4.

1.3. Example. Let $X = X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ be a hypersurface of degree 10. A general variety of this type is quasi-smooth and it is a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with

$$q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5, \quad A_X^3 = 1/12, \quad \mathbf{B}(X) = (2^2, 3, 4), \quad \dim |A_X| = 0, \quad \dim |2A_X| = 1.$$

Thus X has a unique point of index 3 that is a cyclic quotient and a unique point of index 4 that is either a cyclic quotient or a singularity of type $cAx/4$.

1.4. Example. Let $X = X_{14} \subset \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 4, 5, 7)$ be a hypersurface of degree 14. A general variety of this type is quasi-smooth and it is a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with

$$q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7, \quad A_X^3 = 1/60, \quad \mathbf{B}(X) = (2^3, 3, 4, 5).$$

Thus X has a unique point of index 5 that is a cyclic quotient, a unique point of index 3 that is also a cyclic quotient, and a unique point of index 4 that is either a cyclic quotient or a singularity of type $cAx/4$.

The main results of this paper are as follows.

1.5. Theorem. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 2$.*

- (i) *If $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 6$, then X is rational.*
- (ii) *If $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7$ and X is not rational, then $p_1(X) = 0$ and X is birationally equivalent to a hypersurface as in Example 1.3.*
- (iii) *Assume that $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5$, X is not rational and at least one of the following conditions holds:*
 - (a) $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2^2, 3, 4)$,
 - (b) $A_X^3 = 1/12$ and $g(X) \geq 5$,
 - (c) $p_2(X) \geq 2$.*Then X is isomorphic to a hypersurface as in Example 1.3.*
- (iv) *Let $X = X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ be a hypersurface as in Example 1.3. Assume that every non-Gorenstein singularity of X is a cyclic quotient and every Gorenstein singularity is either node or cusp. Furthermore, assume that the number of Gorenstein singularities is at most two. Then X is not rational.*

Let us briefly describe the structure of this paper. Section 2 is preliminary and in Section 3, we present several basic facts about \mathbb{Q} -conic bundles which are used in the proofs of nonrationality of \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds. Then in Section 4 we describe the main tool used in our birational transformations: Sarkisov links. Section 5 is devoted to \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds with nontrivial torsions in the Weil divisor class group. Main results there are Corollary 5.5.1 and Proposition 5.6. Section 6 we study a family of “extremal” \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds of index 5 and prove (iii) of Theorem 1.5. In Section 7 we establish rationality of \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds if index 6 and “most” of \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds if index 7. The assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.5 will be proved there. Finally, in Section 8 and 9 we study nonrational \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds of index 7. The results there are not complete. This will be the subject of future research.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation.

- \sim (resp. $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$) denotes the linear (resp. \mathbb{Q} -linear) equivalence of Weil divisors, we write $D_1 \stackrel{P}{\sim} D_2$ if the linear equivalence $D_1 \sim D_2$ holds in a neighborhood of the point P ;
- $\text{Cl}(X)$ denotes the Weil divisors class group of a normal variety and $\text{Cl}(X)_t$ denotes the torsion part of $\text{Cl}(X)$;
- $\text{Cl}(X, P)$ denotes the local Weil divisors class group, that is, $\text{Cl}(U)$ for a sufficiently small neighborhood of the point $P \in X$;
- $r(X, P)$ is the Gorenstein index of X of a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein singularity $P \in X$ and $r(X)$ be the global Gorenstein index of X , that is, $r(X) := \text{lcm}\{r(X, P) \mid P \in X\}$;
- $\mathbf{B}(X)$ is the collection of indices of singularities in the basket of a terminal threefold X (see 2.2.1);
- $\text{aw}(X, P)$ is the axial weight of a threefold singularity (see Proposition 2.2.1);
- $g(X) := \dim | -K_X | - 1$ is the genus of a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold X .

2.2. Singularities. Recall that a hypersurface n -dimensional singularity is called *node* the Hessian matrix of its local equation is nondegenerate. A hypersurface n -dimensional singularity is called *cusp* if its local equation in some analytic coordinates has the form $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + x_{n+1}^3 = 0$. In both cases the blowup of this singularity is a resolution and the exceptional divisor is an irreducible quadric.

For the classification of threefold terminal singularities we refer to [Mor85] and [Rei87]. Basically, we need only two types of terminal singularities: a threefold terminal singularity $X \ni P$ is said to be of type cA/r (resp. $\text{cAx}/4$) if it is analytically isomorphic to the quotient

$$(2.1) \quad \text{type cA}/r: \quad \{x_1x_2 + \phi(x_3^r, x_4) = 0\} / \mu_r(a, -a, 1, 0), \quad \gcd(r, a) = 1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \text{type cAx}/4: \quad \{x_1^2 + x_3^2 + \phi(x_2, x_4) = 0\} / \mu_4(1, 1, 3, 2), \quad \phi \in \mathfrak{m}^2,$$

where ϕ is a semi-invariant of the corresponding weight.

2.2.1. Proposition-Definition ([Rei87]). *Any threefold terminal singularity $X \ni P$ admits a small deformation $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ over a disk $\mathbf{D} \ni 0$ such that the central fiber \mathfrak{X}_0 is isomorphic to X and the nearby fibers \mathfrak{X}_s , $s \neq 0$ have only terminal cyclic quotient singularities. Such a deformation is called \mathbb{Q} -smoothing. The collection of cyclic quotient singularities of \mathfrak{X}_s is called the basket of $X \ni P$ and the size of the basket is called the axial weight of $X \ni P$. It is denoted by $\text{aw}(X, P)$.*

For our purposes only indices of the points in the basket are important. So, we will write $\mathbf{B}(X, P) = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ if r_1, \dots, r_n are indices of cyclic quotient singularities in the corresponding basket. Thus $n = \text{aw}(X, P)$. If X is a threefold having only terminal singularities, then the basket of X is the (disjoint) union of the baskets of singular points of X and denote $\mathbf{B}(X) = \sqcup_{P \in X} \mathbf{B}(X, P)$.

2.2.2. Remark. For a terminal singularity $X \ni P$ of index $r > 1$ we have

$$\mathbf{B}(X, P) = \begin{cases} (r, \dots, r) & \text{if } X \ni P \text{ is not of type cAx}/4, \\ (4, 2, \dots, 2) & \text{if } X \ni P \text{ is of type cAx}/4. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for a singularity given by (2.1) or (2.2) we have

$$\text{aw}(X, P) := \text{mult}_0(\phi(0, t)).$$

2.2.3. Lemma ([Kaw88, Lemma 5.1]). *Let $(X \ni P)$ be a threefold terminal singularity and let $\text{Cl}^{\text{sc}}(X, P)$ be the subgroup of the (analytic) Weil divisor class group consisting of Weil divisor classes which are \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. Then the group $\text{Cl}^{\text{sc}}(X, P)$ is cyclic of order $r(X, P)$ and is generated by the canonical class K_X .*

Recall that an *extremal blowup* of a threefold X with terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial singularities is a birational morphism $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that \tilde{X} also has only terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial singularities and $\rho(\tilde{X}/X) = 1$. In this situation the anticanonical divisor $-K_{\tilde{X}}$ must be f -ample.

2.2.4. Lemma. *Let $X \ni P$ be a threefold terminal point of index $r > 1$ and let $f : (\tilde{X} \supset E) \rightarrow (X \ni P)$ be an extremal blowup, where E is the exceptional divisor with $f(E) = P$. Write $K_{\tilde{X}} = f^*K_X + \frac{k}{r}E$.*

- (i) *If $X \ni P$ is a point of type other than cA/r , $cD/2$ or $cE/2$, then $k = 1$.*
- (ii) *If $X \ni P$ is of type cA/r , then $n \equiv 0 \pmod k$, where $n = \text{aw}(X, P)$.*

Proof. Follows from [Kaw05, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3] (see [Pro13, Lemma 2.6]). □

2.3. \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds. For a Fano variety X with at worst log terminal singularities we define its *Fano* and *\mathbb{Q} -Fano indices* as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} q_W(X) &:= \max\{q \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -K_X \sim qA, A \text{ is a Weil divisor}\}, \\ q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) &:= \max\{q \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} qA, A \text{ is a Weil divisor}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $q_W(X)$ divides $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$, and $q_W(X) = q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ if the group $\text{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free. The *fundamental divisor* of X is a Weil divisor A_X such that

$$-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)A_X.$$

Note that if $\text{Cl}(X)_t \neq 0$, then the class of A_X is not uniquely defined modulo linear equivalence. However, in the case $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = q_W(X)$ we always take A_X so that

$$-K_X \sim q_W(X)A_X.$$

The *Hilbert series* of a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold X is the following formal power series [ABR02]:

$$h_X(t) = \sum_{m \geq 0} h^0(X, mA_X) \cdot t^m.$$

It is computed by using the orbifold Riemann-Roch formula [Rei87]. If the group $\text{Cl}(X)$ contains an element T of N -torsion, we define *T -Hilbert series* $h_X(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[[t, \sigma]]/(\sigma^N - 1)$ as follows:

$$h_X(t, \sigma) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h^0(X, mA_X + jT) \cdot t^m \sigma^j.$$

Obviously, the above definition depends on the choice the class of A_X in $\text{Cl}(X)$. Typically calculating $h_X(t)$ or $h_X(t, \sigma)$ for our purposes we need only a few initial terms of the series.

2.3.1. Proposition. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold such that $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 6$ or 7 and $\dim |3A_X| \leq 0$. Then the numerical invariants of X are described by Table 1.*

	A_X^3	$\mathbf{B}(X)$	$g(X)$	$\dim kA_X $						$[B^+]$	$\exists?$	Ref
				1	2	3	4	5	6			
$q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 6$												
1°	2/85	(5, 17)	1	0	0	0	0	1		41465	?	[CD20a], [CD20b]
2°	2/77	(7, 11)	2	-1	0	0	1	1		41461	?	
3°	1/55	(5, 11)	1	0	0	0	0	1		41464	+	
$q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7$												
4°	1/60	$(2^3, 3, 4, 5)$	2	-1	0	0	1	1	2	41474	+	[BS07]
5°	1/40	$(2^3, 5, 8)$	3	-1	0	0	1	2	3	41475	+	[CD20a], [CD20b]
6°	1/72	(3, 8, 9)	1	-1	-1	0	0	1	1	41472	?	Example 5.8
7°	1/30	(2, 6, 10)	5	0	0	0	1	2	4	41479	+	
8°	1/78	(2, 3, 13)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	41478	?	

TABLE 1. \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 6$ or 7 and $\dim |3A_X| \leq 0$

In all cases, except for 7° , the group $\text{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free. In the case 7° the group $\text{Cl}(X)$ may have 2-torsion and then

$$(2.4) \quad h_X(t) = 1 + t + t^2(1 + \sigma) + t^3(1 + 2\sigma) + t^4(2 + 3\sigma) + t^5(3 + 4\sigma) + \dots$$

2.3.2. Proposition (see [Pro22b, § 3]). *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 5$ and $\text{Cl}(X)_t \neq 0$. Then $q_{\mathbb{W}}(X) = q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5$ or 7 and the numerical invariants of X are described by Table 2.*

	A_X^3	$\mathbf{B}(X)$	$g(X)$	$h_X(t, \sigma)$
$q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7, \text{Cl}(X)_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$				
1°	1/24	$(2^2, 3, 4, 8)$	6	$1 + t\sigma + t^2 + t^2\sigma + 2t^3 + 2t^3\sigma + 3t^4 + 3t^4\sigma + 4t^5 + 4t^5\sigma + \dots$
2°	1/30	(2, 6, 10)	5	$1 + t + t^2 + t^2\sigma + t^3 + 2t^3\sigma + 2t^4 + 3t^4\sigma + 3t^5 + 4t^5\sigma + \dots$
$q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5, \text{Cl}(X)_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$				
3°	1/18	$(2, 9^2)$	2	$1 + t + t^2 + t^2\sigma + t^2\sigma^2 + t^3 + 2t^3\sigma + 2t^3\sigma^2 + \dots$
$q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5, \text{Cl}(X)_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$				
4°	1/6	$(2, 4^2, 6)$	10	$1 + t + t\sigma + 2t^2 + 3t^2\sigma + 4t^3 + 5t^3\sigma + 8t^4 + 7t^4\sigma + 12t^5 + 11t^5\sigma + \dots$
5°	1/8	$(2^2, 4, 8)$	7	$1 + t + t\sigma + 2t^2 + 2t^2\sigma + 3t^3 + 4t^3\sigma + 6t^4 + 6t^4\sigma + 9t^5 + 9t^5\sigma + \dots$
6°	1/12	$(4^2, 12)$	4	$1 + t + t^2 + t^2\sigma + 2t^3 + 2t^3\sigma + 4t^4 + 4t^4\sigma + 6t^5 + 6t^5\sigma + \dots$
7°	1/28	(2, 4, 14)	1	$1 + t + t^2 + t^3 + t^3\sigma + 2t^4 + 2t^4\sigma + 3t^5 + 3t^5\sigma + \dots$

TABLE 2. \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 5$ and $\text{Cl}(X)_t \neq 0$

3. \mathbb{Q} -CONIC BUNDLES

In this section we collect basic facts on threefold Mori fiber spaces with one-dimensional fibers. For more detailed information and references we refer to [MP08a], [MP08b], [Pro23].

3.1. Definition ([MP08a]). A \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle is a contraction $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ from a threefold to a surface such that Y is normal and has only terminal singularities, all fibers are one-dimensional,

and $-K_Y$ is π -ample. We say that a \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ is *extremal* if Y is \mathbb{Q} -factorial and the relative Picard number $\rho(Y/S)$ equals 1. We say that $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ is a *\mathbb{Q} -conic bundle germ* over a point $o \in S$ if S (resp. Y) is regarded as a germ at o (resp. along $\pi^{-1}(o)$). The *discriminant divisor* of a \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ is the curve $\Delta_\pi \subset S$ that is the union of one-dimensional components of the set

$$\{s \in S \mid \pi \text{ is not smooth over } s\}.$$

We say that a fiber $\pi^{-1}(o)$ is *standard*, if Y is smooth along $\pi^{-1}(o)$, in other words, if π is a standard conic bundle in a neighborhood of $\pi^{-1}(o)$.

3.2. Theorem ([MP08a, Theorem 1.2.7]). *Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ be a \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle. Then the singularities of the base S are at worst Du Val of type A.*

3.3. Lemma. *Let S be a Du Val del Pezzo surface. Then $\dim |kA_S| \geq k-1$ for $k > 0$. Moreover, if $k < K_S^2 < 8$, then the equality $\dim |kA_S| = k-1$ holds.*

Proof. By [Dem80, III, Theorem 1] a general member $C \in |-K_S|$ is a smooth elliptic curve. By the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(kA_S + K_S)) = 0$. Hence we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(kA_S - C)) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(kA_S)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(kA_S)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now the assertion follows from the Riemann-Roch formula on C . □

3.3.1. Corollary. *Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ be a \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle, where the variety Y is rationally connected and $\text{rk Cl}(Y) = 2$. Then S is a del Pezzo surface with Du Val singularities of type A. Furthermore, assume that $\text{Cl}(Y) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\text{Cl}(S) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and S is isomorphic to one of the following four surfaces described in Table 3, where S_{DP_5} is a quasi-smooth hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$.*

S	K_S^2	$q_w(S)$	A_S^2	$\text{Sing}(S)$	$\dim kA_S $				
					1	2	3	4	5
\mathbb{P}^2	9	3	1	\emptyset	2	5	9	14	20
$\mathbb{P}(1^2, 2)$	8	4	1/2	A_1	1	3	5	8	11
$\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$	6	6	1/6	A_1A_2	0	1	2	3	4
S_{DP_5}	5	5	1/5	A_4	0	1	2	3	5

TABLE 3. Del Pezzo surfaces with singularities of type A and $\text{Cl}(S) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$

3.4. Lemma. *Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ be a \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle, where the variety Y is projective, and let $\Delta_\pi \subset S$ be its discriminant curve. Let H be an ample divisor on S and let $F := \pi^{-1}(H)$. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad K_Y \cdot F^2 = -2H^2,$$

$$(3.2) \quad K_Y^2 \cdot F = -4K_S \cdot H - H \cdot \Delta_\pi.$$

Below we provide several “basic” examples of \mathbb{Q} -conic bundles. We are interested in the local structure of them near the singular fiber. Denote by ζ_r a primitive r -th root of unity.

3.5. Example (Type (IF₁)). The variety Y is given in $\mathbb{P}_{y_1, y_2, y_3}^1 \times \mathbb{C}_{u, v}^2$ by the equation

$$y_1^2 + y_2^2 + uv y_3^2 = 0,$$

and $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ is the projection to $S := \mathbb{C}^2$. The singular locus of Y consists of one ordinary double point, $\Delta_\pi = \{uv = 0\}$, and the singular fiber $C := \pi^{-1}(0)_{\text{red}}$ is a pair of lines.

3.6. **Example** (Type (T_r)). The variety Y is the quotient of $\mathbb{P}_{y_1, y_2}^1 \times \mathbb{C}_{u, v}^2$ by the μ_r -action

$$(y_1, y_2; u, v) \mapsto (y_1, \zeta_r y_2; \zeta_r^a u, \zeta_r^{-a} v),$$

where $\gcd(r, a) = 1$, and $\pi: Y \rightarrow S$ is the projection to $S := \mathbb{C}^2/\mu_r$. The singular locus of Y consists of two (terminal) cyclic quotient singularities of types $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, -a)$ and $\frac{1}{r}(-1, a, -a)$. The singularity of S at the origin is of type A_{r-1} . In this case $\Delta_\pi = \emptyset$ and for the singular fiber $C := \pi^{-1}(0)_{\text{red}}$ we have $-K_Y \cdot C = 2/r$.

3.7. **Example** (Type $(k2A_r)$). The variety Y is the quotient of the hypersurface

$$Y' = \{y_1^2 + uy_2^2 + vy_3^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{y_1, y_2, y_3}^2 \times \mathbb{C}_{u, v}^2$$

by the μ_r -action

$$(y_1, y_2, y_3; u, v) \mapsto (\zeta_r^a y_1, \zeta_r^{-1} y_2, y_3; \zeta_r u, \zeta_r^{-1} v),$$

where $r = 2a + 1$ and $\pi: Y \rightarrow S$ is the projection to $S := \mathbb{C}^2/\mu_r$. The singular locus of Y consists of two (terminal) cyclic quotient singularities of types $\frac{1}{r}(a, -1, 1)$ and $\frac{1}{r}(a + 1, 1, -1)$. The singularity of S at the origin is of type A_{r-1} . In this case $\Delta_\pi = \{uv = 0\}/\mu_r$ and for the singular fiber $C := \pi^{-1}(0)_{\text{red}}$ we have $-K_Y \cdot C = 1/r$.

3.8. **Example** (Type (ID_1^\vee)). The variety Y is the quotient of the hypersurface

$$\{y_1^2 + y_2^2 + uv y_3^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{y_1, y_2, y_3}^2 \times \mathbb{C}_{u, v}^2,$$

by the μ_2 -action

$$(y_1, y_2, y_3; u, v) \mapsto (-y_1, y_2, y_3; -u, -v).$$

Then Y has a unique singular point that is moderate of index 2 and axial weight 2. The singularity of S at the origin is of type A_1 . In this case $\Delta_\pi = \{uv = 0\}/\mu_m$ and for the singular fiber $C := \pi^{-1}(0)_{\text{red}}$ we have $-K_Y \cdot C = 1$.

3.9. **Remark.** In all cases (IF_1) , (T_r) , $(k2A_r)$ (ID_1^\vee) the pair (S, Δ_π) is lc.

The following fact is a direct consequence of [MP08a, Theorem 1.2] and [MP08b, Theorem 1.3].

3.10. **Proposition.** *Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow S \ni o$ be a \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle germ, where the singularities of Y are moderate of indices ≤ 3 . Assume that $S \ni o$ is singular. Then $S \ni o$ is of type A_1 or A_2 . Furthermore,*

- (i) *if $S \ni o$ is a singularity of type A_1 , then π is of type (T_2) or (ID_1^\vee) ;*
- (ii) *if $S \ni o$ is a singularity of type A_2 , then π is of type (T_3) or $(k2A_3)$.*

In particular, the pair (S, Δ_π) is lc.

3.11. **Theorem** (cf. [Pro18, Sect. 11]). *Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow S \ni o$ be a \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle germ of one of the types (IF_1) , (T_r) , $(k2A_r)$, or (ID_1^\vee) . Let $P \in Y$ be a singular point, let r be its index, and let $p: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be an extremal blowup of P with discrepancy $1/r$. Then p can be completed to a type **I** Sarkisov link*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\chi} & Y' \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ Y & & S' \\ \pi \downarrow & \swarrow \theta & \\ S & & \end{array}$$

where χ is a birational transformation that is isomorphism in codimension one, π' is a \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle, and θ is a crepant contraction with $\rho(S'/S) = 1$ in the cases (T) , $(k2A)$, (ID_1^\vee) , and θ is the blowup of o in the case (IF_1) .

- (i) If $\pi : Y \rightarrow S \ni o$ is of type (T_r) , then $\pi' : Y' \rightarrow S'$ has two (or one) singular fibers and corresponding \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle germs are of type (T_a) and (T_{r-a}) .
- (ii) If $\pi : Y \rightarrow S \ni o$ is of type $(k2A_r)$, then $\pi' : Y' \rightarrow S'$ has two (or one) singular fibers and corresponding \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle germs are of type $(k2A_{r-2})$ and of type (ID_1^\vee) . In this case $\Delta_{\pi'} = \theta^* \Delta_\pi$.
- (iii) If $\pi : Y \rightarrow S \ni o$ is of type (ID_1^\vee) , then $\pi' : Y' \rightarrow S'$ is a standard conic bundle and $\Delta_{\pi'} = \theta^* \Delta_\pi$.
- (iv) If $\pi : Y \rightarrow S \ni o$ is a Gorenstein \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle germ such that the singularities of X are either nodes or cusps, then so are the singularities of Y' , the number of these singularities is one less, $\pi' : Y' \rightarrow S'$ is a Gorenstein conic bundle, and $\Delta_{\pi'}$ is the proper transform of Δ_π .

In all cases we have

$$(3.4) \quad K_{S'} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\pi'} = \theta^* (K_S + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_\pi).$$

Proof. For (i) and (ii) we refer to [Pro18, § 11] and for (iii) we refer to [Pro24b, Theorem 4.14]. The assertion (iv) is proved in the same style (see [Pro18, Construction 11.1] and [Avi14, Lemma 8]). In this situation $\Delta_{\pi'}$ is the proper transform of Δ_π by [Pro18, Lemma 10.10]. \square

3.12. Theorem. *Let $\varphi : Y \rightarrow S$ be a \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle such that the singularities of Y are moderate of indices ≤ 3 and S is a weak del Pezzo surface with $d := (-K_S)^2$. Assume that*

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta_\varphi \sim -2K_S.$$

Furthermore, assume that outside the fibers over $\text{Sing}(S)$ they are nodes or cusps, and the number of the singularities on $Y \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\text{Sing}(S))$ is at most $d - 4$. Then Y is not rational.

Proof. Let $P_1, \dots, P_n \in X$ be Gorenstein singular points and let $o_i := \varphi(P_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. First of all note that by Proposition 3.10 the \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle φ is of type (T_2) or (ID_1^\vee) over each A_1 -point of S and it is of type (T_3) or $(k2A_3)$ over each A_2 -point. Hence the points $o_1, \dots, o_n \in S$ are smooth. By Theorem 3.11 there exists the following composition of Sarkisov links:

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xleftarrow{-\tau} & \tilde{Y} \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ S & \xleftarrow{\mu} & \tilde{S} \end{array}$$

where τ is a birational map, μ is the composition of the minimal resolution and blowups of the (possibly infinite near) points o_1, \dots, o_n , and π is a standard conic bundle. By (3.4) and (3.5) we have

$$(3.7) \quad \Delta_\pi \sim -2K_{\tilde{S}}.$$

Moreover, Δ_π is a nodal curve, hence the pair (\tilde{S}, Δ_π) is lc.

We need two lemmas.

3.12.1. Lemma. *Let S be a projective smooth rational surface such that $|-2K_S| \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that the linear system $|-2K_S|$ contains a reduced divisor D such that for any irreducible component $C \subset D$ that is a smooth rational curve we have $(D - C) \cdot C > 2$. Then $-K_S$ is nef.*

Proof. Assume that for some irreducible curve $C \subset S$ we have $K_S \cdot C > 0$. Then $D \cdot C < 0$, C is a component of D , and $C^2 < 0$. Put $D' := D - C$. Then D' is effective and does not contain C as a component. We have

$$2p_a(C) - 2 = K_S \cdot C + C^2 = \frac{1}{2}C^2 - \frac{1}{2}D' \cdot C < 0.$$

Hence, $p_a(C) = 0$, $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, and so

$$4 = D' \cdot C - C^2 > D' \cdot C - C^2 + D \cdot C = 2D' \cdot C.$$

This contradicts our assumption. \square

3.12.2. Lemma. *Let S be a smooth surface such that $-K_S$ is nef and $K_S^2 \geq 4$, and let $D \in |-2K_S|$ be a reduced divisor on S . Suppose that there exists a decomposition $D = D' + D''$, where D', D'' are effective divisors and $D' \cdot D'' = 2$. Then either $-K_S \cdot D' = 0$ or $-K_S \cdot D'' = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $-K_S \cdot D' > 0$ and $-K_S \cdot D'' > 0$. We have

$$(-2K_S)^2 = D'^2 + D''^2 + 4 \geq 16,$$

hence we may assume that $D''^2 > 0$. Then by the Hodge index theorem $4 = (D' \cdot D'')^2 \geq D'^2 D''^2$. This implies that $D'^2 \leq 0$. Then

$$2 = D' \cdot D'' = D' \cdot (-2K_S - D') = -2K_S \cdot D' - D'^2 \geq 2.$$

and we obtain $K_S \cdot D' = -1$ and $D'^2 = 0$. On the other hand, by the genus formula the number $K_S \cdot D' + D'^2$ must be even, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.12 (continued). Let $d := K_{\tilde{S}}^2$. We use the diagram (3.6). Then \tilde{S} is a smooth weak del Pezzo surface of degree $d - n \geq 4$ by Lemma 3.12.1, hence the linear system $|-K_{\tilde{S}}|$ is base point free and defines a crepant contraction $\psi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ [HW81, Corollary 4.5(i)]. Let $\bar{\Delta} := \psi_* \Delta_{\tilde{\pi}}$. By (3.7) we have

$$\bar{\Delta} \sim -2K_{\bar{S}}.$$

For any ψ -exceptional curve \tilde{E} we have $K_{\tilde{S}} \cdot \tilde{E} = \Delta_{\tilde{\pi}} \cdot \tilde{E} = 0$. Hence, ψ is log crepant with respect to $K_{\tilde{S}} + \Delta_{\tilde{\pi}}$, the pair $(\tilde{S}, \Delta_{\tilde{\pi}})$ is lc, and the curve $\bar{\Delta}$ has at worst nodal singularities. We claim that the curve $\bar{\Delta}$ satisfies the condition (S) of [Sho84, Main Theorem]. Indeed, assume that $\bar{\Delta} = \bar{\Delta}' \cup \bar{\Delta}''$ so that $\#(\bar{\Delta}' \cap \bar{\Delta}'') = 2$. Let $\tilde{\Delta}' = \psi^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}')$ and $\tilde{\Delta}'' = \psi^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}) - \tilde{\Delta}'$. Then $\tilde{\Delta}' \cdot \tilde{\Delta}'' = 2$ and by Lemma 3.12.2 either $\tilde{\Delta}'$ or $\tilde{\Delta}''$ is contracted by ψ , a contradiction.

Let $\tilde{\tau} : \hat{\Delta}_{\tilde{\pi}} \rightarrow \Delta_{\tilde{\pi}}$ be the double cover associated to $\tilde{\pi}$. Then $\tilde{\tau}$ induces a double cover $\bar{\tau} : \hat{\Delta} \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}$ that coincides with $\tilde{\tau}$ over $\Delta_{\tilde{\pi}} \setminus \text{Exc}(\psi)$ and by [Sho84, Corollary 3.16] we have a natural isomorphism of Prym varieties

$$\text{Pr}(\hat{\Delta}_{\tilde{\pi}}/\Delta_{\tilde{\pi}}) \simeq \text{Pr}(\hat{\Delta}/\bar{\Delta}).$$

By the adjunction the divisor $K_{\bar{\Delta}} = -K_{\bar{S}}|_{\bar{\Delta}}$ is very ample. Hence the curve $\bar{\Delta}$ is not hyperelliptic. Moreover, since $\bar{\Delta} \in |-2K_{\bar{S}}|$ and \bar{S} is a du Val del Pezzo surface of degree $d - n \geq 4$, the canonical model of $\bar{\Delta}$ is an intersection of quadrics by [HW81, Theorem 4.4]. Hence, $\bar{\Delta}$ is not trigonal nor quasi-trigonal, and also $\bar{\Delta}$ is not a plane quintic (cf. [Pro24b, Lemma 6.5]). Then by [Sho84, Main Theorem] the Prym variety $\text{Pr}(\hat{\Delta}/\bar{\Delta})$ is not a sum of Jacobians of curves, hence \tilde{Y} is not rational (see e.g. [Bea77]). \square

4. SARKISOV LINK

Below we will frequently use the following Sarkisov link. For more detailed explanation of this construction we refer to [Ale94], [Pro10], [Pro13], [Pro16].

4.1. Notation. Let X be a non-Gorenstein \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold of \mathbb{Q} -Fano index $q = \mathrm{q}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) > 1$. Let \mathcal{M} be a nonempty linear system without fixed components such that $\mathcal{M} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} nA_X$ with $n < q$. Let $c := \mathrm{ct}(X, \mathcal{M})$ be the canonical threshold of the pair (X, \mathcal{M}) . We assume that $c \leq 1$ (see Lemma 4.1.1 below). According to [Cor95, Proposition 2.10] (see also [Pro21, Claim 4.5.1]) there exists an extremal blowup $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ that is crepant with respect to $K_X + c\mathcal{M}$. Thus $\rho(\tilde{X}) = 2$ and $-(K_{\tilde{X}} + c\tilde{\mathcal{M}})$ is nef and big, there $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is the proper transform of \mathcal{M} . Run the log minimal model program on \tilde{X} with respect to $K_{\tilde{X}} + c\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ (see e.g. [Ale94, 4.2] or [Pro21, 12.2.1]). We obtain the following Sarkisov link:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\chi} \bar{X} \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow \bar{f} \\ X & & \hat{X} \end{array}$$

where χ is an isomorphism in codimension 1, the variety \bar{X} also has only terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial singularities, $\rho(\bar{X}) = 2$, and $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is an extremal $K_{\bar{X}}$ -negative Mori contraction that can be either divisorial or fiber type. Denote by $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ the proper transform of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ on \bar{X} .

Let $E \subset \tilde{X}$ be the f -exceptional divisor and let $\bar{E} \subset \bar{X}$ be its proper transform. Write

$$(4.2) \quad K_{\tilde{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*K_X + \alpha E, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*\mathcal{M} - \beta E.$$

Then

$$c = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}.$$

4.1.1. Lemma ([Pro10, Lemma 4.2]). *Let $P \in X$ be a point of index $r > 1$ such that \mathcal{M} is not Cartier at P . Write $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{P}{\sim} -mK_X$, where $0 < m < r$.¹ Then $\mathrm{ct}(X, \mathcal{M}) \leq 1/m$. Therefore,*

$$(4.3) \quad \beta \geq m\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad q\beta - n\alpha \geq \alpha > 0.$$

For a positive integer k put $\mathcal{M}_k := |kA_X|$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{M}$ if \mathcal{M} is a complete linear system. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_k$ and $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k$ be proper transforms of \mathcal{M}_k on \tilde{X} and \bar{X} , respectively. If $\mathcal{M}_k \neq \emptyset$, write

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_k \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*\mathcal{M}_k - \beta_k E.$$

4.2. Birational case. Assume that the contraction \bar{f} is birational. Then \hat{X} is a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold. In this case, denote by \bar{F} the \bar{f} -exceptional divisor, by $\tilde{F} \subset \tilde{X}$ its proper transform, and $F := f(\tilde{F})$. The divisor \bar{E} is not contracted by \bar{f} , i.e. $\bar{E} \neq \bar{F}$ (see e.g. [Pro10, Claim 4.6]). Let $\hat{\mathcal{M}} := \bar{f}_*\bar{\mathcal{M}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_k := \bar{f}_*\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k$, $\hat{E} := \bar{f}_*\bar{E}$, and let $A_{\hat{X}}$ be a fundamental divisor on \hat{X} . Write

$$F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} dA_X, \quad \hat{E} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} eA_{\hat{X}}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{M}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} sA_{\hat{X}}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{M}}_k \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} s_kA_{\hat{X}},$$

where $d, e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $s, s_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For short, we also put $\hat{q} := \mathrm{q}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\hat{X})$.

4.2.1. Lemma ([Pro10, Lemma 4.12]). *If $\mathrm{Cl}(X)_t = 0$, then $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})_t$ is a cyclic group of order d/e . If $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})_t = 0$, then $\mathrm{Cl}(X)_t$ is a cyclic group of order e/d .*

4.2.2. Remark. In the above notation $s > 0$ and $s_k = 0$ if and only if $\dim \mathcal{M}_k = 0$ and the unique element $M_k \in \mathcal{M}_k$ coincides with the \bar{f} -exceptional divisor \bar{F} .

¹Recall that $D_1 \stackrel{P}{\sim} D_2$ means that the linear equivalence $D_1 \sim D_2$ holds in a neighborhood of P .

4.3. Fibrations. Assume that \bar{f} is a fibration. Then we denote by \bar{F} a general geometric fiber. Then \bar{F} is either a smooth rational curve or a smooth del Pezzo surface. The image of the restriction map $\text{Cl}(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\bar{F})$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . Let Ξ be its ample generator. As above, we can write

$$-K_{\bar{X}}|_{\bar{F}} = -K_{\bar{F}} \sim \hat{q}\Xi, \quad \bar{E}|_{\bar{F}} \sim e\Xi, \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}|_{\bar{F}} \sim s\Xi, \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}_k|_{\bar{F}} \sim s_k\Xi,$$

where $\hat{q} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $e, s, s_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Note that \bar{E} is \bar{f} -ample [Pro10, Claim 4.6], hence $e > 0$.

4.3.1. Remark ([Pro24a, Lemma 5.1]). If in the above assumption X is not rational, then $\hat{q} = 1$.

4.4. Numerical constraints. Taking the expressions (4.2) into account, one can easily deduce the following relations (see [Pro24a, (4.2.2)]). They will be frequently used below.

$$(4.4) \quad n\hat{q} = qs + (q\beta - n\alpha)e,$$

$$(4.5) \quad k\hat{q} = qs_k + (q\beta_k - k\alpha)e,$$

where $q\beta - n\alpha > 0$ (see (4.3)). If furthermore $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = q_{\mathbb{W}}(X)$, then $q\beta - n\alpha$ is a positive integer.

Now assume that the morphism \bar{f} is birational. Similar to (4.2) we can write

$$K_{\bar{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{f}^* K_{\bar{X}} + b\bar{F}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}_k \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{f}^* \hat{\mathcal{M}}_k - \gamma_k \bar{F}, \quad \bar{E} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{f}^* \hat{E} - \delta \bar{F}.$$

If $\text{Cl}(\hat{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, then similar to (4.5) we have the following relations (see [Pro16, 2.6]):

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} be &= \hat{q}\delta - q, \\ e\gamma_k &= s_k\delta - k. \end{aligned}$$

5. \mathbb{Q} -FANO THREEFOLDS WITH TORSIONS IN $\text{Cl}(X)$

In this section we collect facts on \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds having nontrivial torsions in $\text{Cl}(X)$.

5.1. Lemma ([Pro10, Proposition 2.9]). *Let X be a Fano threefold with terminal singularities and let T be an n -torsion element in the Weil divisor class group. Let $\mathbf{B}(X)^T$ be the collection of points $P \in \mathbf{B}(X)$ such that T is not Cartier at P . Assume furthermore that n is prime. Then*

- (i) $n \in \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$.
- (ii) If $n = 7$, then $\mathbf{B}(X)^T = (7, 7, 7)$.
- (iii) If $n = 5$, then $\mathbf{B}(X)^T = (5, 5, 5, 5), (10, 5, 5)$, or $(10, 10)$.
- (iv) If $n = 3$, then $\sum_{P \in \mathbf{B}(X)^T} r(X, P) = 18$.
- (v) If $n = 2$, then $\sum_{P \in \mathbf{B}(X)^T} r(X, P) = 16$.

5.2. Lemma ([Pro10, Lemma 3.2], [Pro22b, Lemma 2.3]). *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold, let $q := q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$, and let $r := r(X)$ be the global Gorenstein index of X . Then the equality $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = q_{\mathbb{W}}(X)$ holds if and only if q and r are coprime, and if and only if the order of $K_X + qA_X$ in the group $\text{Cl}(X)$ is prime to q .*

5.3. Lemma ([Pro22b, Proposition 3.3]). *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \neq q_{\mathbb{W}}(X)$. Then $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \leq 4$.*

5.4. Lemma. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 3$, $\text{Cl}(X)_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, and $A_X^3 = 1/4$. Then X is rational.*

The existence of varieties satisfying the conditions of the lemma is not known. It is expected that they are quotients of codimension-4 \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds # 41218 in [B⁺].

Proof. In this case $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \neq q_{\mathbb{W}}(X)$ by Lemma 5.2, hence $q_{\mathbb{W}}(X) = 1$ and by [Pro24a, Table 3] we have

$$\mathbf{B}(X) = (2, 3^2, 12) \quad \text{and} \quad A_X^3 = 1/4.$$

Put

$$T := K_X + 3A_X.$$

Then T is a generator of the group $\text{Cl}(X)_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and it is not Cartier at any point whose index is divisible by 3 (see Lemma 5.1(iv)). Let $P \in X$ be the point of index 12. Then by Lemma 2.2.3 we have $T \stackrel{P}{\sim} -lK_X$, where $0 < l < 12$ and $3l \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$, hence $l = 4$ or 8 . On the other hand, $3A_X = T - K_X \stackrel{P}{\sim} (l+1)(-K_X)$. Hence $4(l+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ and so $l = 8$. Thus $3A_X \stackrel{P}{\sim} 9(-K_X)$ and so $A_X \stackrel{P}{\sim} a(-K_X)$, where $a \in \{3, 7, 11\}$. Replacing A_X with $A_X \pm T$, if necessary, we may assume that $A_X \stackrel{P}{\sim} 11(-K_X)$ and then $2A_X \stackrel{P}{\sim} 10(-K_X)$.

By the orbifold Riemann-Roch (see [Pro24a, Proposition 3.2]) we have

$$\dim |2A_X| = \dim |2A_X + T| = \dim |2A_X + 2T| = 1.$$

Assume that X is not rational. Apply the construction (4.1) with $\mathcal{M} = |2A_X|$. Then $\beta \geq 10\alpha$ by (4.3). Hence the relation (4.4) has the form

$$2\hat{q} = 3s + (3\beta - 2\alpha)e \geq 3s + 28\alpha e.$$

Since $\alpha \geq 1/12$, the above relation implies that $\hat{q} > 1$. Then \bar{f} is birational (see Remark 4.3.1), $s > 0$, and $\hat{q} \geq 3$. On the other hand, $\hat{q} \leq 7$ by Theorem 1.1. If $\alpha \geq 1/3$, then $\alpha = 1/3$, $\hat{q} = 7$, $s = 1$, hence $p_1(\hat{X}) \geq 2$. Again by Theorem 1.1 the variety X is rational in this case, a contradiction.

Therefore, $\alpha = 1/12$ and $f(E) = P$. If $\hat{q} = 3$, then $s = e = 1$ and $\beta = 19/18 \notin \frac{1}{12}\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\hat{q} \geq 4$. Then $s \geq 2$ by Theorem 1.1, hence $\hat{q} \geq 5$. If $\hat{q} = 5$, then $s = 2$, $e = 1$, $3\beta - 2\alpha = 4$, and again $\beta = 25/18 \notin \frac{1}{12}\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Thus, $\hat{q} \geq 6$ and $s \geq 4$ by Theorem 1.1, hence $\hat{q} \geq 8$. The contradiction concludes the proof. \square

5.5. Lemma. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5$ and $A_X^3 = 1/18$. Assume that X is not rational. Then $\text{Cl}(X)_t = 0$.*

Note that \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5$ and $A_X^3 = 1/18$ appears in $[\mathbf{B}^+]$ as #41414. Such varieties do exist: see Example 5.8, 3° . However, the existence of such varieties with torsion free group $\text{Cl}(X)$ is not known.

Proof. By Lemma 5.3 we have $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = q_{\mathbb{W}}(X)$ and applying the computer search (or $[\mathbf{B}^+]$) we obtain

$$\mathbf{B}(X) = (2, 9^2), \quad \dim |A_X| = \dim |2A_X| = \dim |3A_X| = 0, \quad \dim |4A_X| = 1.$$

Moreover, if $\text{Cl}(X)_t \neq 0$, then $\text{Cl}(X)_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and for a generator $T \in \text{Cl}(X)_t$ we have

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |A_X + T| &= \dim |A_X + 2T| = \emptyset, \\ \dim |2A_X + T| &= \dim |2A_X + 2T| = 0, \\ \dim |3A_X + T| &= \dim |3A_X + 2T| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $|4A_X|$ is a pencil without fixed components (regardless of torsions in $\text{Cl}(X)$). Apply the construction (4.1) with $\mathcal{M} = |4A_X|$. Note that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{1/2, 1/9, 2/9\}$ (see Lemma 2.2.4) and $\beta \geq 8\alpha$. The relations (4.4) and (4.5) with $k = 1$ have the form

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 4\hat{q} &= 5s + (5\beta - 4\alpha)e \geq 5s + 36\alpha e \geq 5s + 4e, \\ \hat{q} &= 5s_1 + (5\beta_1 - \alpha)e. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that only the following possibilities can occur:²

- (a) $\hat{q} = 1$, $\alpha = 1/9$, $e = 1$, $s = s_1 = 0$;
- (b) $\hat{q} = 6$, $\alpha = 1/9$, $e = 1$, $s = 4$, $s_1 \in \{0, 1\}$;
- (c) $\hat{q} = 7$, $e\alpha = 2/9$, $e \in \{1, 2\}$, $s = 4$, $s_1 \in \{0, 1\}$, $e - s_1 \leq 1$.

If $\hat{q} = 1$, then $s = 0$ and equalities hold in (5.2). This is the case (a). Let $\hat{q} > 1$, then \bar{f} is birational, $s > 0$, and $\hat{q} \geq 3$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.4 we obtain $\hat{q} = 6$ or 7 . In this case $s \geq 4$, then by (5.2) we have $s = 4$ and there are only the possibilities (b) and (c).

Now assume that $\text{Cl}(X)_t \neq 0$. Since $\alpha = 1/9$ or $2/9$, $P := f(E)$ is a point of index 9. Then $\text{Cl}(X, P) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$ (see Lemma 2.2.3) and elements T and $3A_X$ have order 3 in this group. Hence, replacing T with $-T$ is necessary, we may assume that $3A + T$ is Cartier at P . It follows from (5.1) that $\mathcal{M}' = |3A + T|$ a pencil without fixed components. For this linear system similar to (4.5) we have

$$3\hat{q} = 5s'_3 + (5\beta'_3 - 3\alpha)e \geq 5s'_3 - 1.$$

because β'_3 is a nonnegative integer and $3\alpha e < 1$. If $\hat{q} = 1$, then $s'_3 = 0$, $e = 1$, and $\alpha = 1/9$. Hence $5\beta'_3 = 10/3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\hat{q} \in \{6, 7\}$ and $s'_3 \geq 4$ by Theorem 1.1. In this case, $s'_3 = 4$ and the number $5\beta'_3 = 3\alpha + 1/e$ is not an integer in both cases (b) and (c). The contradiction completes the proof. \square

5.5.1. Corollary. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold such that $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 3$, $\text{Cl}(X)_t \neq 0$, and either $|\text{Cl}(X)_t| \geq 3$ or $p_1(X) \geq 2$. Then X is rational except, possibly, for the following case:*

$$*) \quad q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 3, \quad q_{\mathbb{W}}(X) = 1, \quad \text{Cl}(X)_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathbf{B}(X) = (3^4, 5, 6), \quad A_X^3 = 1/10.$$

Note however, that the existence of \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds is in *) is not known.

Proof. If $p_1(X) \geq 2$, then X is rational by Theorem 1.1 and [Pro24a, Proposition 6.4]. Thus we may assume that $|\text{Cl}(X)_t| \geq 3$. Consider the case $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = q_{\mathbb{W}}(X)$. By [Pro24a, Proposition 3.4] we have $\text{Cl}(X)_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \neq 4$. By Lemma 5.2 the \mathbb{Q} -Fano index $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ must be prime to the order of $\text{Cl}(X)_t$ and so $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 5$. Then by Proposition 2.3.2 the variety X is described by 3° in Table 2, i.e. we are in the situation of Lemma 5.5. Then $\text{Cl}(X)_t = 0$ which contradicts our assumption.

Therefore, $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \neq q_{\mathbb{W}}(X)$. Then by [Pro24a, Proposition 3.2] we have $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 3$ and $\text{Cl}(X)_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and X is one of the varieties 1° , 2° , 3° from [Pro24a, Table 3]. The variety 1° (resp. 3°) is rational by [Pro24a, Proposition 3.3] (resp. by Lemma 5.4). Then we are left with the case *). \square

5.6. Proposition. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 5$ and $\text{Cl}(X)_t \neq 0$. Then X is rational.*

First, we prove the following lemma.

5.7. Lemma. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7$ and $A_X^3 = 1/30$ (case 7° of Table 1). Then X is rational.*

Proof. In this case $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2, 6, 10)$ and X has only cyclic quotient singularities. Note that the linear system $|6A_X|$ has no fixed components and $\dim |6A_X| = 4$. Apply the construction (4.1) with $\mathcal{M} = |6A_X|$. If P is the point of index 10, then $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{P}{\sim} 8(-K_X)$ and so $\beta \geq 8\alpha$ by (4.3). Since $6M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $6\beta_1 \geq \beta$. Hence $\beta_1 \geq \frac{1}{6}\beta \geq \frac{4}{3}\alpha$. The relation (4.4) can be written as follows:

$$(5.3) \quad 6\hat{q} = 7s + (7\beta - 6\alpha)e \geq 7s + 50\alpha e \geq 7s + 5e.$$

²We will show below in Propositions 7.2 and 7.1 that in the cases (b) and (c) the variety X is rational.

If $\alpha \geq 1/2$, then $\hat{q} \geq 5$, hence $s \geq 1$, $\hat{q} \geq 6$, and $s \leq 2$. This contradicts Theorem 1.1. Therefore, $\alpha = 1/r$, where $r = 6$ or 10 .

Assume that $r = 6$. Then (4.4) with $k = 1$ gives us $6\beta_1 = (6\hat{q} + e)/(7e)$. Since $6\beta_1$ is an integer and $\hat{q} \leq 7$, we obtain $\hat{q} = e$ and $\beta_1 = 1/6$. This contradicts our inequality $\beta_1 > \alpha = 1/r$.

Therefore, $r = 10$. As above we obtain $s_1 = 0$ and $\hat{q} = 2e$. Then $3 \geq e \geq s$ by (5.3). If $e = 1$ or 3 , we get a contradiction by Theorem 1.1 because $\dim \mathcal{M} = 4$. Hence $e = 2$. Then $\hat{q} = 4$ and $s = 2$. In this case $\text{Cl}(X)_t \neq 0$ by Lemma 4.2.1 because $s_1 = 0$ and $e = 2$. Moreover, $\text{Cl}(X)_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 5.1. Let $T \in \text{Cl}(X)$ be the 2-torsion element. Then $\mathcal{M}' := |3A_X + T|$ is a pencil without fixed components (see (2.4)). We have $\mathcal{M}'_3 = f^* \mathcal{M}'_3 - \beta'_3 E$, where $\beta'_3 = l/10$, l is an integer and $l > 0$ because $3A_X + T$ is not Cartier at P . Similar to (4.5) we have

$$12 = 3\hat{q} = 7s'_3 + (7\beta'_3 - 3\alpha)e = 7s'_3 + \frac{7l-3}{5}, \quad 5s'_3 + l = 9.$$

Thus $s'_3 = 1$ and so $p_1(\hat{X}) \geq 2$. This contradicts Theorem 1.1. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.6. Assume that X is not rational. By Proposition 2.3.2 we have $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = q_{\mathbb{W}}(X)$, $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \neq 6$, and $p_3(X) \geq 2$ in the case $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7$. The latter is impossible by Lemma 5.7. Hence $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5$ and we have only the cases 6° and 7° of Table 2 (again by Theorem 1.1). Then $\mathbf{B}(X) = (4^2, 12)$ and $(2, 4, 14)$ in these cases, respectively. In both cases $\text{Cl}(X)_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let T be the generator of $\text{Cl}(X)_t$. Apply the construction (4.1) with $\mathcal{M} = |4A_X|$. By Proposition 2.3.2 we have $\dim \mathcal{M} = 3$ in the case 6° and $\dim \mathcal{M} = 1$ in the case 7° . If P is the point P of index 12 (resp. index 14), then $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{P}{\sim} 8(-K_X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{P}{\sim} 12(-K_X)$) and so $\beta \geq 8\alpha$ by (4.3). In both cases we have (see (4.4)):

$$(5.4) \quad 4\hat{q} = 5s + (5\beta - 4\alpha)e \geq 5s + 36\alpha e.$$

First, assume that $\alpha \geq 1/4$. Then $\hat{q} > 1$, hence $s > 0$, $\hat{q} \geq 4$, $s \geq 2$ by Theorem 1.1 and so $\hat{q} \geq 5$. If $\hat{q} \geq 6$, then $s \geq 4$ by Theorem 1.1 and so $\hat{q} > 7$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\hat{q} = 5$. In this case, $s = 2$ and $e = 1$. Moreover, $\alpha \leq 5/18$, hence $f(E)$ is a point of index 4, $\alpha = 1/4$ and $\beta = 11/5$. On the other hand, β must be an integer because \mathcal{M} is Cartier at $f(E)$. The contradiction shows that $\alpha < 1/4$.

Then $f(E) = P$ is a cyclic quotient singularity of index $r = 12$ (resp. $r = 14$) in the case 6° (resp. 7°). In particular, $\alpha = 1/r$ and $\beta = l/r$, where l is an integer. The relation (5.4) can be rewritten as follows:

$$(5.5) \quad 4\hat{q}r = 5sr + (5l - 4)e \geq 5sr + 36e.$$

Consider the case $\hat{q} = 1$. Then $e = 1$, $s = 0$, and $r = 14$, hence we are in the case 7° and \bar{f} is a fibration. Moreover, the linear system $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ is \bar{f} -vertical, i.e. $\bar{\mathcal{M}} = \bar{f}^* \mathcal{N}$, where \mathcal{N} is a complete linear system on \hat{X} such that $\dim \mathcal{N} = 1$. Since $4M_1 \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}$, the divisor \bar{M}_1 is \bar{f} -vertical as well. If \hat{X} is a surface, then $\bar{M}_1 = \bar{f}^* N_1$, where N_1 is an effective irreducible divisor on \hat{X} such that $\mathcal{N} \sim 4N_1$. But then $\dim \mathcal{N} \geq 3$ by Corollary 3.3.1, a contradiction. Therefore, $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, $|\mathcal{N}| = |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|$, and N_1 is a multiple fiber of \bar{f} . Now, consider the linear system $\mathcal{M}' := |4A_X + T|$. It is also a pencil without fixed components. Similar to (5.4) we have the following relation

$$4 = 4\hat{q} = 5s'_4 + (5\beta'_4 - 4\alpha)e = 5s'_4 + 5\beta'_4 - \frac{2}{7}.$$

Then $s'_4 = 0$. As above, $\bar{\mathcal{M}}' = \bar{f}^* \mathcal{N}'$, where \mathcal{N}' is a complete linear system on $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\dim \mathcal{N}' = 1$. But then we must have $\mathcal{N}' = \mathcal{N}$ and so $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\hat{q} > 1$, \bar{f} is a birational contraction, and $s > 0$.

Assume that $r = 12$ (i.e. we are in the case 6°). Consider the linear system $\mathcal{M}' := |3A_X + T|$. As above, it is a pencil without fixed components. Note that $T \stackrel{P}{\sim} 6(-K_X)$ and $\mathcal{M}' \stackrel{P}{\sim} 9(-K_X)$.

Hence $\beta'_3 \equiv 9\alpha = 3/4 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ and we can write $\beta'_3 = 3/4 + l'$ with $l' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Similar to (5.4) we have

$$(5.6) \quad 3\hat{q} = 5s'_3 + (5\beta'_3 - 3\alpha)e = 5s'_3 + \left(\frac{7}{2} + 5l'\right)e.$$

Now, (5.5) implies that $12\hat{q} + e \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ and $4\hat{q} > 3e$. This gives us only the following possibilities: $(\hat{q}, e) = (2, 1), (4, 2), (5, 5), (6, 3), (7, 1), (7, 6)$. In the cases $(\hat{q}, e) = (2, 1)$ and $(5, 5)$ we have $s = 1$ (again by (5.5)). This contradicts Theorem 1.1 because $\dim \mathcal{M} = 3$. The same arguments work in the cases $(\hat{q}, e) = (6, 3)$ and $(7, 6)$. In the case $(\hat{q}, e) = (4, 2)$ we have $s'_3 = 1$ (see (5.6)), hence $p_1(\hat{X}) \geq 2$ which again contradicts Theorem 1.1. Finally, in the case $(\hat{q}, e) = (7, 6)$ we again get a contradiction by (5.6).

Therefore, we are in the case 7° and $r = 14$. Then $\beta \geq 12\alpha$ and the inequalities in (5.4) and (5.5) can be improved:

$$\begin{aligned} 4\hat{q} &= 5s + (5\beta - 4\alpha)e \geq 5s + 56\alpha e, \\ 56\hat{q} &= 70s + (5l - 4)e \geq 70s + 56e. \end{aligned}$$

As above $14\hat{q} + e \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ and $\hat{q} \geq e$. Taking into account that $s > 0$ whenever $\hat{q} > 1$, we obtain only three possibilities: $(\hat{q}, e) = (1, 1), (6, 1),$ and $(7, 2)$. Now, consider the linear system $\mathcal{M}' := |4A_X + T|$. It is a pencil without fixed components. Note that $T \stackrel{P}{\sim} 7(-K_X)$ and $\mathcal{M}' \stackrel{P}{\sim} 5(-K_X)$. Hence $\beta'_4 \equiv 5\alpha = 5/14 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ and we can write $\beta'_4 = 5/14 + l'$ with $l' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Similar to (5.4) we have the following relation

$$4\hat{q} = 5s'_4 + (5\beta'_4 - 4\alpha)e = 5s'_4 + \frac{3}{2}e + 5l'e.$$

Then e must be even, so $(\hat{q}, e) = (7, 2)$. If $\text{Cl}(X)_t \neq 0$, then $p_3(X) \geq 2$ by Proposition 2.3.2, so \hat{X} is rational by Theorem 1.1. Therefore, the group $\text{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free. Then by Lemma 4.2.1 $d = 1$, i.e. $s_1 = 0$, and from (4.5) we obtain $\beta_1 = 5/7$. On the other hand, $A_X \stackrel{P}{\sim} 3(-K_X)$ and so $\beta_1 \equiv 3\alpha \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$, a contradiction. \square

5.8. Examples. Here are some examples of \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 5$ and $\text{Cl}(X)_t \neq 0$ (cf. Table 2).

- 1 $^\circ$: $X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3^2, 4)/\mu_2(0, 1, 0, 1, 1)$,
- 2 $^\circ$: $X_8 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)/\mu_2(0, 1, 1, 1, 1)$,
- 3 $^\circ$: $X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2^2, 3^2)/\mu_3(0, 1, 2, 1, 2)$,
- 4 $^\circ$: $X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2^2, 3)/\mu_2(0, 1, 1, 1, 0)$,
- 5 $^\circ$: $X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3, 4)/\mu_2(0, 1, 1, 1, 1)$.

The existence of \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds of types 6° or 7° of Table 2 is not known.

6. A FAMILY OF NONRATIONAL \mathbb{Q} -FANO THREEFOLDS OF INDEX 5

In this section we discuss the birational properties of an ‘‘extremal’’ \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold of index 5, see Theorem 1.1(iv).

6.1. Set-up. Let X be a *nonrational* \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with

$$q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5, \quad A_X^3 = 1/12, \quad \mathbf{B}(X) = (2^2, 3, 4).$$

In this situation the group $\text{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free (see Lemma 5.1), and

$$(6.1) \quad \dim |kA_X| = k - 1 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \quad \dim |4A_X| = 4, \quad \dim |5A_X| = 6.$$

This variety has id number #41422 in $[\mathbf{B}^+]$. A quasi-smooth weighted hypersurface $X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ satisfies these conditions (see Corollary 6.4.1 below). Conversely, we will show

below in Theorem 6.5 that any *nonrational* \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5$ and $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2^2, 3, 4)$ is isomorphic to a hypersurface $X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$.

Since $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2^2, 3, 4)$, the collection of non-Gorenstein singularities of X is as follows:

- a unique point P_3 of index 3 that is a cyclic quotient,
- a unique point P_4 of index 4 that is either a cyclic quotient or a singularity of type $cA_X/4$,
- index 2 singularities $P_2^{(1)}, \dots, P_2^{(m)}$, where $0 \leq m \leq 2$ and $\text{aw}(X, P_4) + \sum \text{aw}(X, P_2^{(i)}) = 3$.

6.2. Proposition. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold satisfying 6.1. Then there exists a Sarkisov link of the form (4.1) such that*

- (i) f is an extremal blowup of the index-4 point P_4 ,
- (ii) \bar{f} is \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle.

More precise description of this link will be given in Proposition 6.4.

Proof. It follows from (6.1) that $|2A_X|$ and $|3A_X|$ are linear systems without fixed components and $|A_X| \neq \emptyset$. Apply the construction (4.1) with $\mathcal{M} = |3A_X|$. If P is the point of index 4, then $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{P}{\sim} 3(-K_X)$ and so $\beta \geq 3\alpha$ by (4.3). The relation (4.4) in this case has the form

$$(6.2) \quad 3\hat{q} = 5s + (5\beta - 3\alpha)e \geq 5s + 12\alpha e \geq 5s + 3e.$$

Assume that $s > 0$. Then $\hat{q} \geq 3$ and the contraction \bar{f} is birational by Remark 4.3.1. Since $\dim \mathcal{M} = 2$ and \hat{X} is not rational, $s \geq 2$ by Theorem 1.1. Hence $\hat{q} \geq 5$ by (6.2) and $s \geq 3$ again by Theorem 1.1. Then we conclude successively $\hat{q} \geq 6$, $s \geq 4$, and $\hat{q} > 7$, a contradiction.

Thus $s = 0$. Then \bar{f} is a fibration by Remark 4.2.2 and $\hat{q} = 1$ by Remark 4.3.1. From (6.2) we conclude that $s = 0$ and $e = 1$ and from (4.5) with $k = 2$ we obtain $s_2 = 0$. Thus $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_2 = \bar{f}^* \hat{\mathcal{M}}_2$ and $\bar{\mathcal{M}} = \bar{f}^* \hat{\mathcal{M}}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_2$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ are complete linear systems with $\dim \hat{\mathcal{M}}_2 = 1$ and $\dim \hat{\mathcal{M}} = 2$. If $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, then $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_2 = |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}} = |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)|$, hence $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \sim 2\hat{\mathcal{M}}_2$. Pushing down this relation to X we get a contradiction. Therefore \hat{X} is a surface and \bar{f} is a \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle. \square

6.2.1. Corollary. *In the above notation we have $\bar{M}_1 \sim \bar{f}^* A_{\hat{X}}$, $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_2 = \bar{f}^* |2A_{\hat{X}}|$, and $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_3 = \bar{f}^* |3A_{\hat{X}}|$.*

6.2.2. Corollary. $P_4 \notin \text{Bs } |4A_X|$.

Proof. Since $\dim |4A_X| > \dim |3A_X|$, the linear system $|4A_X|$ has no fixed components. Assume that $P_4 \in \text{Bs } |4A_X|$. Then $\beta_4 > 0$. From (4.5) for $k = 4$ we obtain $s_4 = 0$. Then as above $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_4 = \bar{f}^* |mA_{\hat{X}}|$ for some m . Pushing down this relation to X we obtain $m = 4$ and so $\dim |4A_{\hat{X}}| = \dim \bar{\mathcal{M}}_4 = 4$. This contradicts Corollary 3.3.1. Therefore, $s_4 > 0$ and $\beta_4 = 0$. \square

6.3. Proposition. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold satisfying 6.1. Let $P_3 \in X$ be the point of index 3 and let $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the Kawamata blowup of P_3 . Then f can be completed to the following Sarkisov link*

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow \bar{f} \\ X & & \hat{X} \end{array}$$

where \hat{X} is a \mathbb{Q} -Fano hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1^2, 2^2, 3)$ and \bar{f} contracts a divisor \tilde{M}_1 to a smooth rational curve $\hat{Y} \subset \hat{X}$ such that $A_{\hat{X}} \cdot \hat{Y} = 1/2$.

Proof. First, we claim that the linear system $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_4$ is nef. Indeed, assume that $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_4 \cdot \tilde{\Gamma} < 0$ for some irreducible curve $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Then $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \text{Bs } \bar{\mathcal{M}}_4$ and $\tilde{\Gamma} \cap E \neq \emptyset$, hence $E \cap \text{Bs } \bar{\mathcal{M}}_4 \neq \emptyset$ and $P_4 \in \text{Bs } \bar{\mathcal{M}}_4$.

This contradicts Corollary 6.2.2. Therefore, $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset E$. On the other hand, $\rho(\tilde{X}/X) = 1$, hence $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4$ is f -ample, a contradiction. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4$ is nef.

Since $E^3 = 9/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4^2 \cdot \tilde{M}_1 &= \mathcal{M}_4^2 \cdot M_1 - \beta_4^2 \beta_1 E^3 = \frac{4}{3} - \frac{9}{2} \beta_4^2 \beta_1, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 \cdot \tilde{M}_1^2 &= \mathcal{M}_4 \cdot M_1^2 - \beta_4 \beta_1^2 E^3 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{9}{2} \beta_4 \beta_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_4 \stackrel{P_3}{\sim} M_1 \stackrel{P_3}{\sim} -2K_X$, we have $\beta_4 \equiv \beta_1 \equiv 2\alpha = 2/3 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, $\beta_4, \beta_1 \geq 2/3$. Since the linear system $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4$ is nef, we have $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4^2 \cdot \tilde{M}_1 \geq 0$, hence $\beta_4 = \beta_1 = 2/3$. Thus

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^* \mathcal{M}_4 - \frac{2}{3} E, \quad \tilde{M}_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^* M_1 - \frac{2}{3} E.$$

This implies

$$(6.4) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4^2 \cdot \tilde{M}_1 = 0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 \cdot \tilde{M}_1^2 = -1.$$

Note that $3\mathcal{M}_4$ is Cartier (see Lemma 2.2.3). Hence so is

$$3\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 + 2E \sim f^*(3\mathcal{M}_4).$$

Since f is the Kawamata blowup of the point P_3 of type $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 2)$, the variety \tilde{X} has on E a unique singularity that is of type $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1)$. Hence $2E$ and $3\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4$ are Cartier. Since the indices of points of \tilde{X} are coprime to 3, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4$ is also Cartier. Furthermore,

$$-K_{\tilde{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(-K_X) - \frac{1}{3}E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 5f^*A_X - \frac{1}{3}E = \frac{5}{4}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 + \frac{1}{2}E,$$

hence $-K_{\tilde{X}}$ is ample. Since $\rho(\tilde{X}) = 2$, there exists an extremal Mori contraction $\bar{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ other than f . Then (6.4) implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4$ is not ample and is trivial on the fibers of \bar{f} . By the base point free theorem we can write

$$(6.5) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 = \bar{f}^* \hat{\mathcal{M}}_4,$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_4$ is a linear system of Cartier divisors on \hat{X} . Moreover, the contraction \bar{f} is birational and contracts \tilde{M}_1 to a curve $\hat{\Upsilon} \subset \hat{X}$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_4 \cdot \hat{\Upsilon} = -\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 \cdot \tilde{M}_1^2 = 1$. In particular, \hat{X} is a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold. By Lemma 4.2.1 the group $\text{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free and $\bar{E} = \bar{f}(E)$ is a generator of $\text{Cl}(\hat{X})$. Since $-K_{\tilde{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 5\tilde{M}_1 + 3E$, we have $\text{q}_W(\hat{X}) = 3$ and

$$(6.6) \quad \hat{\mathcal{M}}_4 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 2\bar{E}.$$

Since $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_4$ is Cartier, \hat{X} is isomorphic to a hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1^2, 2^2, 3)$ by [CF93, Theorem 1.5] (see also [Pro24a, Theorem 2.2.5]). Then the linear system $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_4 = |2A_{\hat{X}}|$ is base point free and defines a finite degree 2 morphism $\psi : \hat{X} \rightarrow Q' \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, where $Q' \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 2)$ is a quadric of corank 2. Since $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_4 \cdot \hat{\Upsilon} = 1$, $\psi(\hat{\Upsilon})$ is a line on Q' . Therefore, $\hat{\Upsilon}$ is a smooth rational curve. \square

6.3.1. Remark. The restriction $\bar{f}_E : E \rightarrow \hat{E}$ coincides with the normalization. Moreover, $\hat{\Upsilon} \subset \hat{E}$ is the nonnormal locus and $\bar{f}_E^{-1}(\hat{\Upsilon}) = E \cap \tilde{M}_1$.

6.3.2. Corollary. $P_3 \notin \text{Bs}|3A_X|$.

Proof. Since $\dim \mathcal{M}_3 = 2$ and $\dim |A_{\hat{X}}| = 1$, we have $s_3 \geq 2$. Then from (4.5) for $k = 3$ we obtain $s_3 = 2$ and $\beta_3 = 0$. \square

6.3.3. Corollary. For general members $M_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2$, $M_3 \in \mathcal{M}_3$, and $M_4 \in \mathcal{M}_4$ we have

$$M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3 = \{P_4\} \quad \text{and} \quad M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3 \cap M_4 = \emptyset.$$

Proof. By Corollary 6.3.2 we have $M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3 \not\supseteq P_3$. Assume that $M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3$ contains a curve, say C . Then $M_4 \cdot C \in \mathbb{Z}$ because M_4 is Cartier along C . On the other hand, $M_4 \cdot C \leq M_1 \cdot M_2 \cdot M_4 = 2/3$, a contradiction. Therefore, the set $M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3$ is zero-dimensional. Since $M_1 \cdot M_2 \cdot M_3 = 1/2$ and $M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3 \ni P_4$, we have $M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3 = \{P_4\}$. \square

6.3.4. Corollary. *In the above notation the following assertions hold.*

- (i) $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_2 = |A_{\hat{X}}|$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_4 = |2A_{\hat{X}}|$;
- (ii) $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_3 \subset |2A_{\hat{X}}|$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_5 \subset |3A_{\hat{X}}|$ are subsystems of codimension two consisting all the members passing through \hat{Y} ;
- (iii) $\text{Bs } \hat{\mathcal{M}}_4 = \emptyset$ and $\text{Bs } \hat{\mathcal{M}}_2$ is an irreducible smooth rational curve that is different from \hat{Y} ;
- (iv) $\hat{E} \in |A_{\hat{X}}|$ and \hat{E} is singular along \hat{Y} .

Proof. It follows from the proof of Proposition 6.3 that $e = 1$ and $s_4 = 2$. From (4.5) we obtain $s_2 \leq 1$. Since \mathcal{M}_2 is movable, $s_2 > 0$, hence $s_1 = 1$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_2 \subset |A_{\hat{X}}|$. Since $\dim |2A_X| = \dim |A_{\hat{X}}| = 1$, we obtain $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_2 = |A_{\hat{X}}|$. The equality $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_4 = |2A_{\hat{X}}|$ follows from (6.5) and (6.6). This proves (i). For (ii) we note that $s_3 \leq 2$ and $s_5 \leq 3$ by (4.5). Since $\dim |3A_X| = \dim |2A_{\hat{X}}| - 2$ and $\dim |5A_X| = \dim |3A_{\hat{X}}| - 2$, one can see that $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_3 \subset |2A_{\hat{X}}|$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_5 \subset |3A_{\hat{X}}|$ are subsystems of codimension two. Further, the relations (4.6) in our case have the form

$$\begin{aligned} b &= 3\delta - 5, \\ \gamma_k &= s_k\delta - k, \quad k = 2, 3, 4, 5. \end{aligned}$$

Since \bar{f} is generically a blowup of a curve, $b = 1$. Then $\delta = 2$, $\gamma_2 = \gamma_4 = 0$, and $\gamma_3 = \gamma_5 = 1$. The last equality means that $\hat{Y} \subset \text{Bs } \hat{\mathcal{M}}_3$ and $\hat{Y} \subset \text{Bs } \hat{\mathcal{M}}_5$. This proves (ii). Since $\gamma_2 = 0$, we have $\hat{Y} \not\subset \text{Bs } \hat{\mathcal{M}}_2$. Denote $\hat{\Gamma} := \text{Bs } |A_{\hat{X}}|$. It follows from the explicit equations (see [Pro24b, Proposition 5.2]) that $\hat{\Gamma}$ can be given in $\mathbb{P}(2, 2, 3) \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 3)$ by one of the following equations

$$x_3^2 + x_2y_2(x_2 + y_2) = 0, \quad x_3^2 + x_2^2y_2 = 0, \quad x_3^2 + x_2^3 = 0.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\hat{\Gamma}$ is smooth. This proves (iii). The assertion (iv) follows from equalities $e = 1$ and $\delta = 2$. \square

6.3.5. Corollary. *The collection of non-Gorenstein points of \hat{X} is either one index-2 point \hat{P}_4 with $\text{aw}(\hat{X}, \hat{P}_4) = 3$ or two index-2 points \hat{P}_4 and \hat{P}_2 with $\text{aw}(\hat{X}, \hat{P}_4) = 2$ and $\text{aw}(\hat{X}, \hat{P}_2) = 1$. In particular, \hat{X} is not quasi-smooth. The points $\hat{P}_2^{(i)} = \bar{f}(f^{-1}(P_2^{(i)}))$ are distinct and they are Gorenstein (terminal) singularities of \bar{X} .*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2^2, 3, 4)$ and f is a Kawamata blowup of P_3 , we have $\mathbf{B}(\tilde{X}) = (2^3, 4)$. Thus the non-Gorenstein points of \tilde{X} are as follows: the index-4 point $\tilde{P}_4 = f^{-1}(P_4)$ that is of the same type as $P_4 \in X$, either one or two index-2 points $\tilde{P}_2^{(i)} = f^{-1}(P_2^{(i)})$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ that are also of the same type as $\tilde{P}_2^{(i)} \in X$, and the “new” index-2 point $\tilde{P}_2 \in E$. We distinguish two possibilities:

- a) $\tilde{P}_2 \notin \tilde{M}_1$,
- b) $\tilde{P}_2 \in \tilde{M}_1$.

Let $\tilde{M}_3 \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3$ be a general member and let $\Lambda := \tilde{M}_3 \cap \tilde{M}_1$. Note that the points $P_4, P_2^{(1)}, \dots, P_2^{(m)}$ lie on the curve $f(\Lambda)$ and $f(\Lambda) \not\supseteq P_3$ because $\beta_3 = 0$ by (4.5). Hence we have $\tilde{P}_4, \tilde{P}_2^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{P}_2^{(m)} \in \Lambda$,

$$2A_{\hat{X}} \cdot \bar{f}(\Lambda) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 \cdot \Lambda = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 \cdot M_3 \cdot \tilde{M}_1 = (4A_X) \cdot (3A_X) \cdot A_X = 1.$$

Since $2A_{\hat{X}}$ is an ample Cartier divisor, $\bar{f}(\Lambda)$ is irreducible. Since $f(\Lambda)$ does not pass through P_3 , the divisor $4A_X$ is Cartier along $f(\Lambda)$ and $f(\Lambda)$ is irreducible because $f^*(4A_X) \cdot \Lambda = 1$. Therefore, Λ is irreducible as well and \bar{f} induces an isomorphism between Λ and \hat{Y} . This implies, that the points $P_4, P_2^{(1)}, \dots, P_2^{(m)}$ lie on different fibers of $\bar{f}_{\tilde{M}_1} : \tilde{M}_1 \rightarrow \hat{Y}$. In particular, the points $\bar{f}(\tilde{P}_4), \bar{f}(\tilde{P}_2^{(1)}), \dots, \bar{f}(\tilde{P}_2^{(m)})$ are distinct. Since the curve \hat{Y} is smooth, for any singular point $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{X}$ the variety \hat{X} must be singular at $\bar{f}(\tilde{P})$. In particular, the points $\bar{f}(\tilde{P}_4), \bar{f}(\tilde{P}_2^{(1)}), \dots, \bar{f}(\tilde{P}_2^{(m)})$ are singular. By [KM92, Theorem 4.7] the points $\bar{f}(\tilde{P}_2^{(1)}), \dots, \bar{f}(\tilde{P}_2^{(m)})$ are Gorenstein. On the other hand, the point \hat{P}_4 must be of index 2 because $\mathbf{B}(\hat{X}) = (2^3)$. This point is not a cyclic quotient by [Kaw96]. Moreover, $\text{aw}(\hat{X}, \hat{P}_4) = 2$ in the case a) and $\text{aw}(\hat{X}, \hat{P}_4) = 3$ in the case b). \square

6.4. Proposition. *In the notation of Proposition 6.2 the link has the following form*

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow \bar{f} \\ X & & \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \end{array}$$

where \bar{f} is a \mathbb{Q} -conic bundle with discriminant curve $\Delta_{\bar{f}} \in |-2K_{\mathbb{P}(1,2,3)}|$.

Proof. First, we claim that the linear system $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3$ is nef. Indeed, assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3 \cdot \tilde{C} < 0$ for some irreducible curve \tilde{C} . Then $\tilde{C} \subset \text{Bs } \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3$ and $\tilde{C} \cap E \neq \emptyset$, hence $E \cap \text{Bs } \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3 \neq \emptyset$ and $P_3 \in \text{Bs } \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3$. This contradicts Corollary 6.3.2. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3$ is nef. Now it follows from (6.2) that $\beta_3 = 3/4$, i.e. $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^* \mathcal{M}_3 - \frac{3}{4}E$. Then the relation

$$-K_{\tilde{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(5A_X) - \frac{1}{4}E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{5}{3}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3 + E$$

implies that $-K_{\tilde{X}}$ is ample because $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3$ is nef. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3 = \bar{f}^*|3A_{\tilde{X}}|$, the linear system is not big and neither is $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3$. Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3$ is a supporting linear system for an extremal nonbirational Mori contraction $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$. This implies that in the diagram (4.1) the map χ is an isomorphism and $\tilde{X} = \hat{X}$. Further,

$$0 = (\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3)^3 = (3A_X)^3 - \left(\frac{3}{4}E\right)^3 = \frac{9}{4} - \frac{27}{64}E^3,$$

which implies $E^3 = 16/3$. Then by (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\tilde{X}}^2 &= -\frac{1}{2}K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot \tilde{M}_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}(5f^*A_X - \frac{1}{4}E) \cdot (f^*A_X - \frac{1}{4}E)^2 = 5A_X^3 - \frac{1}{64}E^3 = \frac{1}{6}, \\ A_{\tilde{X}} \cdot \Delta_{\bar{f}} &= -K_{\tilde{X}}^2 \cdot \tilde{M}_1 - 4K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot A_{\tilde{X}} = -(5f^*A_X - \frac{1}{4}E)^2 \cdot (f^*A_X - \frac{1}{4}E) + 24A_{\tilde{X}}^2 = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$ (see Corollary 3.3.1) and $\Delta_{\bar{f}} \sim 12A_{\hat{X}} \sim -2K_{\hat{X}}$. \square

6.4.1. Corollary. *Assume that every non-Gorenstein singularity of X is a cyclic quotient and every Gorenstein singularity is either node or cusp. Furthermore, assume that the number of Gorenstein singularities is at most two. Then X is not rational.*

Proof. We have an isomorphism $X \setminus \{P_4\} \simeq \tilde{X} \setminus E$. Hence every non-Gorenstein singularity of \tilde{X} is a cyclic quotient and $\mathbf{B}(\tilde{X}) = (2^2, 3^2)$. By Proposition 3.10 the germ of \bar{f} over the type A_1 point $o_1 \in \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$ has type (T_2) and over the type A_2 point $o_2 \in \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$ it has type (T_3) . In particular, Gorenstein singularities of \tilde{X} are not contained in the fibers over o_1 nor o_2 . By Theorem 3.12 the variety \tilde{X} is not rational. \square

6.5. Theorem. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $\text{q}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5$. Assume that X is not rational and at least one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2^2, 3, 4)$,

- (ii) $A_X^3 = 1/12$ and $g(X) \geq 5$,
- (iii) $p_2(X) \geq 2$.

Then X is isomorphic to a hypersurface $X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. In particular, the group $\text{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free.

Proof. By [Pro24a, Proposition 7.4] for a nonrational \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold X with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5$ the condition (iii) implies both (i) and (ii). Conversely, the computer search shows that either (i) or (ii) implies (iii). Therefore, in the assumptions of Theorem 6.5 the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) are equivalent. Thus for the proof of Theorem 6.5 we may assume that X is a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold satisfying the conditions 6.1.

For $m = 1, \dots, 5$, let ς_m be a general element of $H^0(X, mA_X)$. By Corollary 6.3.3 the map

$$\Psi : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4), \quad P \longmapsto (\varsigma_1(P), \varsigma_2(P), \varsigma_3(P), \varsigma_4(P)).$$

is a morphism. For short, let $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4)$ and let $A_{\mathbb{P}}$ be the positive generator of $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{P})$. By the construction, $A_X = \Psi^*A_{\mathbb{P}}$. Since $A_X^3 = 1/12$ and $A_{\mathbb{P}}^3 = 1/24$, the morphism Ψ is finite of degree 2. By the Hurwitz formula we have

$$\Psi^*(5A_{\mathbb{P}}) = 5A_X = -K_X = \Psi^*(-K_{\mathbb{P}} + \frac{1}{2}R) = \Psi^*(10A_{\mathbb{P}} - \frac{1}{2}R),$$

where R is the branch divisor. This gives us $R \sim 10A_{\mathbb{P}}$. Thus $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4)$ is a double cover branched over a divisor $R \sim 10A_{\mathbb{P}}$. Then X must be a hypersurface of degree 10 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. \square

6.6. Proposition. *Let X be a hypersurface of degree 10 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. Assume that the singularities of X are terminal. Then in some coordinate system x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 the equation of X can be written in one of following forms:*

$$(6.8) \quad x_5^2 + x_4^2x_2 + x_4\phi_6(x_1, x_3) + \phi_{10}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0,$$

$$(6.9) \quad x_5^2 + x_4x_3^2 + \lambda x_4^2x_1^2 + x_4\phi_6(x_1, x_2) + \phi_{10}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0,$$

where λ is a constant, x_i is a variable of degree i and, in the case (6.8), either $\phi_6 \ni x_3^2$ or $\phi_{10} \ni x_3^3x_1$. The index-4 point is a cyclic quotient in the case (6.8) and has type $\text{cAx}/4$ in the case (6.9).

Proof. Let $\phi = 0$ be an equation of X . If ϕ does not contain x_5^2 , then the point P_5 lies on X and it is the quotient of a hypersurface singularity by $\mu_5(1, 2, 3, 4)$. Such a point cannot be terminal. Thus $\phi \ni x_5^2$. Completing the square we may assume that ϕ does not contain other terms that depend on x_5 . The point P_3 lies on X and must be a cyclic quotient singularity, hence ϕ contains either $x_1x_3^3$ or $x_4x_3^2$. Further, $P_4 \in X$ and if it is a cyclic quotient, then $\phi \ni x_4^2x_2$ and we are done with (6.8). Otherwise $P_4 \in X$ is the quotient of a hypersurface singularity by $\mu_4(1, 2, 3, 1)$. Such a point must be of type $\text{cAx}/4$ and so $\phi \ni x_4x_3^2$. Then the equation can be reduced to (6.9). \square

6.6.1. Corollary. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5$ and $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2^2, 3, 4)$ (see Theorem 6.5). If X has an index-2 point $P \in X$ that not a cyclic quotient singularity, then $P \in X$ is of type $\text{cA}/2$ or $\text{cAx}/2$. In this case the index-4 point is a cyclic quotient singularity.*

6.6.2. Corollary. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 5$ and $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2^2, 3, 4)$ (see Theorem 6.5). If the index-4 point is not a cyclic quotient singularity, then X is rational.*

Proof. Assume that X is not rational. By Theorem 6.5 X is a hypersurface $X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ and we may assume that its equation has the form (6.9) (see Proposition 6.6). If $\lambda = 0$, then the projection $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$ is a birational map, which proves the rationality of X . Thus

we may assume that $\lambda = -1$ and then in the affine chart $x_1 \neq 0$ this equation can be written as follows:

$$(x_5 - x_4)(x_5 + x_4) + x_4x_3^2 + x_4\phi_6(1, x_2) + \phi_{10}(1, x_2, x_3) = 0.$$

Applying the linear coordinate change $x'_5 = x_5 - x_4$, $x'_4 = x_5 + x_4$ one can see that X is rational. \square

7. \mathbb{Q} -FANO THREEFOLDS OF INDEX 6 AND 7: RATIONALITY

In this section we generalize Theorem 1.1 in the cases $\mathrm{q}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7$ and 6.

7.1. Proposition. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7$. If $\mathrm{p}_1(X) > 0$, then X is rational.*

Proof. Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7$ and $\mathrm{p}_1(X) > 0$. Assume that X is not rational. By Proposition 5.6 the group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free, hence $|A_X| \neq \emptyset$. Recall that by Theorem 1.1 we have $\mathrm{p}_3(X) \leq 1$, hence by Proposition 2.3.1 there are only two numerical possibilities: 7° and 8° in Table 1. The former case is impossible by Lemma 5.7. Consider the latter one.

Case 8° . Then $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2, 3, 13)$. By Proposition 2.3.1 we have $\dim |A_X| = \dim |4A_X| = 0$ and $\dim |6A_X| = 1$. So, the linear system $|6A_X|$ is movable and has no fixed components. Apply the construction (4.1) with $\mathcal{M} = |6A_X|$. If P is the point of index 13, then $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{P}{\sim} 12(-K_X)$ and so $\beta \geq 12\alpha$ by (4.3). Since $6M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $6\beta_1 \geq \beta$. Hence $\beta_1 \geq \frac{1}{6}\beta \geq 2\alpha$. The relation (4.5) for $k = 1$ and (4.4) have the form

$$(7.1) \quad \hat{q} = 7s_1 + (7\beta_1 - \alpha)e \geq 7s_1 + 13\alpha e \geq 7s_1 + e,$$

$$(7.2) \quad 6\hat{q} = 7s + (7\beta - 6\alpha)e \geq 7s + 78\alpha e \geq 7s + 6e.$$

Since $\hat{q} \leq 7$, from (7.1) we obtain $s_1 = 0$.

Assume that $\hat{q} = 1$. Then $s = s_1 = 0$ by (7.2). Hence, \bar{f} is a fibration. If \hat{X} is a surface, then $\bar{\mathcal{M}} = \bar{f}^*\mathcal{N}$ and $\bar{M}_1 = \bar{f}^*N_1$ for some complete linear system \mathcal{N} and Weil divisor N_1 on \hat{X} such that $\dim \mathcal{N} = 1$ and $\dim |N_1| = 0$. Therefore, \hat{X} is either $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$ or S_{DP_5} (see Corollary 3.3.1). But then $\mathcal{N} \sim 2N_1$ and so $\bar{\mathcal{M}} \sim 2\bar{M}_1$. Pushing this relation down to X we obtain $6A_X \sim \mathcal{M} \sim 2M_1 \sim A_X$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and \bar{f} is a del Pezzo fibration. Then \bar{M}_1 is a multiple fiber of multiplicity 6. In this case by [MP09] the general fiber \bar{X}_η of \bar{f} is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 6 over the field $\mathbb{C}(\hat{X})$. Since $\mathbb{C}(\hat{X})$ is a c_1 -field, the surface \bar{X}_η has a $\mathbb{C}(\hat{X})$ -point. Hence \bar{X}_η is rational over $\mathbb{C}(\hat{X})$ (see e. g. [Man74, Theorem 29.4]) and so is \bar{X} over \mathbb{C} , a contradiction.

Thus $\hat{q} > 1$. Then \bar{f} is birational and $s > 0$ [Pro24a, Lemma 5.1]. Since $s_1 = 0$, the group $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free and $e = 1$ (see Lemma 4.2.1). If $\alpha \geq 1/3$, then $\hat{q} \geq 6$ and $s \leq 2$. This contradicts by Theorem 1.1. Thus $f(E) = P$ and $\alpha = 1/13$. Then (7.1) gives us $13\beta_1 = (13\hat{q} + 1)/7$. Since $13\beta_1$ is an integer and $\hat{q} \leq 7$, the only possibility is $\hat{q} = 1$, a contradiction. \square

7.2. Proposition. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 6$. Then X is rational.*

Proof. Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 6$. Assume that X is not rational. Then $\mathrm{p}_3(X) \leq 1$ by Theorem 1.1 and we have one of the cases 1° , 2° , 3° of Table 1. In all these cases the group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free and $|5A_X|$ is a pencil without fixed components. Apply the construction (4.1) with $\mathcal{M} = |5A_X|$. If P is the point of index 11 (resp. index 17), then

$\mathcal{M} \stackrel{P}{\sim} 10(-K_X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{P}{\sim} 15(-K_X)$) and so $\beta_5 \geq 10\alpha$ by (4.3). The relation (4.4) has the form

$$(7.3) \quad 5\hat{q} = 6s_5 + (6\beta_5 - 5\alpha)e \geq 6s_5 + 55\alpha e.$$

First, consider the cases where $s_5 = 0$. Then \bar{f} is a fibration, $\hat{q} = 1$, $e = 1$, and $\alpha \leq 1/11$. In this case $\mathcal{M} = \bar{f}^*\mathcal{N}$ for some complete linear system with $\dim \mathcal{N} = 1$. Assume that $|A_X| \neq \emptyset$. Then $\dim |A_X| = \dim |2A_X| = 0$. From (4.4) we obtain $s_1 = 0$, hence \bar{M}_1 is \bar{f} -vertical and $5\bar{M}_1 \sim \bar{\mathcal{M}}$. If \hat{X} is a surface, then $\bar{M}_1 = \bar{f}^*N_1$, where N_1 is an effective divisor such that $\dim |N_1| = 0$. In this case $\mathcal{N} \sim 5N_1$. This contradicts Corollary 3.3.1. Thus $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathcal{N} = |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|$. Since $5\bar{M}_1 \sim \bar{\mathcal{M}}$, \bar{M}_1 is a fiber of multiplicity 5. By [MP09] the general fiber \bar{X}_η of \bar{f} is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 5 over the field $\mathbb{C}(\hat{X})$. Then \bar{X}_η is rational over $\mathbb{C}(\hat{X})$ (see e. g. [SB92]) and so is \bar{X} over \mathbb{C} , a contradiction. Therefore, $|A_X| = \emptyset$. Then $\dim |2A_X| = \dim |3A_X| = 0$ and so the linear system $|2A_X|$ (resp. $|3A_X|$) consists of a unique irreducible divisor M_2 (resp. M_3). From (4.4) we obtain $s_2 = s_3 = 0$, hence the divisors \bar{M}_2 and \bar{M}_3 are vertical with respect to \bar{f} , that is, they do not dominate \bar{f} . If \hat{X} is a surface, then $\bar{M}_2 = \bar{f}^*N_2$ and $\bar{M}_3 = \bar{f}^*N_3$ for some effective Weil divisors N_2, N_3 such that $\dim |N_1| = \dim |N_2| = 0$. Since $\text{Cl}(\hat{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, we have $N_2 \sim N_3$, a contradiction. Hence $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathcal{N} = |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|$. Then \bar{M}_2 and \bar{M}_3 are contained in fibers. Since the divisors \bar{M}_2 and \bar{M}_3 are reduced and irreducible, they must be multiple fibers: $m_2\bar{M}_2 \sim m_3\bar{M}_3 \sim \bar{\mathcal{M}}$. Pushing down this relations to X we obtain $m_2M_2 \sim m_3M_3 \sim \mathcal{M} \sim 5A_X$, a contradiction.

Thus $s_5 > 0$, $\hat{q} > 1$, and \bar{f} is birational (see Remark 4.3.1). If $\alpha \geq 1$, then $\hat{q} > 7$ by (7.3). The contradiction shows that $\alpha = 1/r$, where $r \in \{5, 7, 11, 17\}$ because the singularities of X are cyclic quotients. Then from (7.3) one obtains two possibilities:

- $\hat{q} = 7$, $e = 1$;
- $\hat{q} = 5$, $e = 1$, $r = 7$, and $s_5 \leq 2$.

In the former case we have $p_1(\hat{X}) > 0$. This contradicts Proposition 7.1. In the latter case, we are in the situation of 2° in Table 1 and $p_2(\hat{X}) \geq 2$. Hence $|A_X| = \emptyset$ and $\text{Cl}(X)_t \neq 0$ by Lemma 4.2.1. This contradicts Theorem 6.5. \square

8. NONRATIONAL \mathbb{Q} -FANO THREEFOLDS OF INDEX 7

In this section we study \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds of index 7 that can potentially be nonrational. According to our results in the previous section and Proposition 2.3.1 there are only three “numerical candidates”.

8.1. Theorem. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7$. Assume that X is not rational. Then X is birationally equivalent to a \mathbb{Q} -Fano hypersurface of degree 10 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$.*

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of this theorem. Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7$. Assume that X is not rational. Then $p_3(X) \leq 1$ and $p_1(X) = 0$ by Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 7.1. By Proposition 2.3.1 we have only three numerical possibilities: 4° , 5° , 6° in Table 1. Thus, Theorem 8.1 is a consequence of Propositions 8.2.1, 8.3.1, and 8.4.1 below.

8.2. Case 4° . Then $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2^3, 3, 4, 5)$. Denote by P_3 , P_4 , and P_5 points of index 3, 4, and 5, respectively.

8.2.1. Proposition. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold of type 4° in Table 1. Assume that X is not rational. Then there exists a Sarkisov link of the form (4.1), where \hat{X} is a hypersurface of degree 10 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$, f is the Kawamata blowup of the point of index 5, and \bar{f} contracts the proper transform of the unique element $M_3 \in |3A_X|$ to a curve.*

More precise description of this link will be given in Proposition 9.3.

Proof. In this case the linear system $|4A_X|$ is a pencil without fixed components. Apply the construction (4.1) with $\mathcal{M} = |4A_X|$. If P is the point of index 5, then $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{P}{\sim} 2(-K_X)$ and so $\beta \geq 2\alpha$ by (4.3). The relation (4.4) has the form

$$(8.1) \quad 4\hat{q} = 7s + (7\beta - 4\alpha)e \geq 7s + 10\alpha e \geq 7s + 2e.$$

Assume that $\hat{q} = 1$. Then $s = 0$, $\alpha \leq 1/3$, and $e \leq 2$ because $\alpha \geq 1/5$. Therefore, $f(E)$ is a point of index $r \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ and $\alpha = 1/r$, hence $\beta \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}$. From (8.1) we obtain $7\beta = 4/e + 4/r \geq 14/r$ and $2r \geq 5e$. If $e = 1$, then $\beta = 4(r+1)/(7r) \notin \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $e = 2$ and $r = 5$. Then from (4.5) for $k = 3$ we obtain

$$3 = 3\hat{q} = 7s_3 + (7\beta_3 - 3\alpha)e = 7s_3 + 14\beta_3 - 6/5, \quad s_3 + 2\beta_3 = 3/5.$$

The only possibility is $s_3 = 0$ and then $\beta_3 = 3/10 \notin \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction.

Thus $\hat{q} > 1$ and $s > 0$. By (8.1) we have $\hat{q} \geq 3$. If $\hat{q} = 3$, then $s = 1$, $p_1(\hat{X}) \geq 2$, and then the group $\text{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free by Corollary 5.5.1. Hence $e > 1$ by Lemma 4.2.1. As above, $7\beta = 4/r + 5/e \geq 14/r$ and $r \geq 2e$. Since $\beta \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}$, we get a contradiction.

Therefore, $\hat{q} \geq 4$, $p_1(\hat{X}) \leq 1$, and $s \geq 2$ by Theorem 1.1. If $e = 1$, then $\text{Cl}(\hat{X})_t \neq 0$ by Lemma 4.2.1 because $|A_X| = \emptyset$. In this situation, $\hat{q} = 4$ by Proposition 5.6 and $\text{Cl}(\hat{X})_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by Corollary 5.5.1. Hence, $q_W(\hat{X}) \neq q_{\mathbb{Q}}(\hat{X})$ (see Lemma 5.2). Further, (8.1) implies $s = 2$ and $\alpha = 1/5$. By [Pro24a, Proposition 3.2] there exists only one effective divisor D such that $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_{\hat{X}}$. Since $e = 1$, $D = \hat{E}$. On the other hand, from (4.5) we obtain $s_2, s_3 \in \{0, 1\}$, so either $D = \hat{M}_2$ or \hat{M}_3 , a contradiction.

Thus $e > 1$ and $\hat{q} \geq 5$. If $\hat{q} \geq 6$, then $s \geq 4$ by Theorem 1.1. This contradicts (8.1). It remains to consider the case $\hat{q} = 5$. Then $s = 2$, hence $p_2(\hat{X}) \geq 2$. By Theorem 6.5 the only possible case is where \hat{X} is isomorphic to a hypersurface $X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. From (4.5) we obtain $e = 3$, $s_2 = 1$, $s_3 = 0$, $s_6 = 3$, that is, $\hat{E} \sim 3A_{\hat{X}}$, $M_2 \sim A_{\hat{X}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \subset |2A_{\hat{X}}|$, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_6 \subset |3A_{\hat{X}}|$. Comparing the dimensions of linear systems we see that $\hat{\mathcal{M}} = |2A_{\hat{X}}|$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_6 = |3A_{\hat{X}}|$. The relations (4.6) have the form

$$\begin{aligned} 3b &= 5\delta - 7, \\ 3\gamma_2 &= \gamma_6 = \delta - 2, \\ 3\gamma_4 &= 2\delta - 4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\delta \geq 2$ and $b \geq 1$. If $\delta > 2$, then $\gamma_2, \gamma_4, \gamma_6 > 0$. This means that $\bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is contained in the base loci of linear systems $|2A_{\hat{X}}|$ and $|3A_{\hat{X}}|$. On the other hand, it can be seen from the equation (6.8) that $\text{Bs } |2A_{\hat{X}}| \cap \text{Bs } |3A_{\hat{X}}|$ is a single point that has index 4. But in this case $b = 1/4$ by Lemma 2.2.4, a contradiction. Hence $\delta = 2$, $b = 1$, $\gamma_2 = \gamma_4 = \gamma_6 = 0$, and $\gamma_5 = 1$. This shows that $\bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is a curve that is not contained in $\text{Bs } |2A_{\hat{X}}|$ nor in $\text{Bs } |3A_{\hat{X}}|$. \square

8.2.2. Corollary. *In the notation of Proposition 8.2.1 we have $\beta_k < 1$ for $k = 2, \dots, 6$.*

8.2.3. Corollary. $P_5 \notin \text{Bs } |5A_X|$.

Proof. Follows from the equality $\beta_5 = 0$. \square

8.3. Case 6°. Then $\mathbf{B}(X) = (3, 8, 9)$, $|A_X| = |2A_X| = \emptyset$, $\dim |3A_X| = \dim |4A_X| = 0$, and $\dim |5A_X| = \dim |6A_X| = 1$.

8.3.1. Proposition. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold of type 6° in Table 1. Assume that X is not rational. Then there exists a Sarkisov link of the form (4.1), where f is the Kawamata blowup of the point of index 9, the variety \hat{X} is isomorphic to a hypersurface $\hat{X}_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$, and \bar{f} contracts a divisor to a Gorenstein (or smooth) point.*

Proof. In this case the linear system $|6A_X|$ is a pencil without fixed components. Apply the construction (4.1) with $\mathcal{M} = |6A_X|$. If P is the point of index 9, then $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{P}{\sim} 6(-K_X)$ and so $\beta \geq 6\alpha$ by (4.3). The relation (4.4) has the form

$$(8.2) \quad 6\hat{q} = 7s + (7\beta - 6\alpha)e \geq 7s + 36\alpha e \geq 7s + 4e.$$

If $\alpha \geq 1$, then $\hat{q} \geq 6$, $s \geq 2$, and $\hat{q} > 7$, a contradiction. Thus $f(E)$ is a cyclic quotient singularity of index $r \in \{3, 8, 9\}$ and $\alpha = 1/r$. The number $\lambda := \beta r$ is integral, $\lambda \geq 6$ and (8.2) can be rewritten in the following form

$$6\hat{q}r = 7sr + (7\lambda - 6)e \geq 7sr + 36e, \quad 6(\hat{q}r + e) = 7(sr + \lambda e).$$

If $\hat{q} = 1$, then $s = 0$, $e = 1$, and $6(r + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, a contradiction. Hence, $\hat{q} > 1$, \bar{f} is birational and $s \geq 1$. If $\hat{q} = 2$, then again $s = e = 1$, and $6(2r + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, hence $r = 3$ and $\lambda = 3 < 6$, a contradiction. Hence, $\hat{q} \geq 3$. Assume that $\hat{q} = 3$. If $e \geq 2$, then $s = 1$, $e = 2$. In this case r must be even, so $r = 8$ and λ is not an integer, a contradiction. Hence, $e = 1$. Then $s \leq 2$. Since $|A_X| = |2A_X| = \emptyset$, $|\text{Cl}(\hat{X})_t| \geq 3$ by Lemma 4.2.1. Then by Corollary 5.5.1 the variety \hat{X} is rational, a contradiction. Thus $\hat{q} \geq 4$ and by Theorem 1.1 we may assume that $s \geq 2$

If $\hat{q} = 4$. Then $s = 2$, $e \leq 2$, and $4r + e \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. The only possibility is $r = 3$, $e = 2$, and $\lambda = 3 < 6$, a contradiction. If $\hat{q} = 6$, then \hat{X} is rational by Proposition 7.2. If $\hat{q} = 7$, then e must be divisible by 7 and $s \geq 4$ by Theorem 1.1. This contradicts (8.2).

Finally, assume that $\hat{q} = 5$. Then $s \leq 3$. If $s = 3$, then $e \leq 2$ and $e = 1$ because $sr + \lambda e \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. But then $\hat{q}r + e = 5r + 1 \pmod{7}$, which is impossible for $r \in \{3, 8, 9\}$. Thus $s = 2$ and so $p_2(\hat{X}) \geq 2$. By Theorem 6.5 the variety \hat{X} is isomorphic to a hypersurface $X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. Hence $\text{Cl}(\hat{X}) = 0$ and $e > 2$ by Lemma 4.2.1. From (8.2) and (4.4) with $k = 3, 4, 5$ we obtain $r = 9$, $\alpha = 1/9$, $e = 4$, $s_3 = 1$, $s_4 = 0$, $s_5 = 3$, $s = 2$, that is, $\hat{E} \sim 4A_{\hat{X}}$, $M_3 \sim A_{\hat{X}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_5 \subset |3A_{\hat{X}}|$, $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \subset |2A_{\hat{X}}|$. Comparing the dimensions of linear systems we see that $\hat{\mathcal{M}} = |2A_{\hat{X}}|$. The relations (4.6) have the form

$$\begin{aligned} 4b &= 5\delta - 7, \\ 4\gamma_3 &= \delta - 3. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain $\delta \geq 3$ and $b \geq 2$. Therefore, $\bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is a Gorenstein (or smooth) point by Lemma 2.2.4 and Corollary 6.6.1. \square

8.4. Case 5° . Then $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2^3, 5, 8)$, $|A_X| = \emptyset$, $\dim |2A_X| = \dim |3A_X| = 0$, and $\dim |4A_X| = 1$.

8.4.1. Proposition. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold of type 5° in Table 1. Assume that X is not rational. Then there exists a Sarkisov link of the form (4.1), where f is the Kawamata blowup of the point of index 8, the variety \hat{X} is isomorphic to a hypersurface $\hat{X}_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$, and \bar{f} contracts a divisor to a Gorenstein (or smooth) point.*

Proof. In this case the linear system $|4A_X|$ is a pencil without fixed components. Apply the construction (4.1) with $\mathcal{M} = |4A_X|$. If P is the point of index 8, then $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{P}{\sim} 4(-K_X)$ and so

$\beta \geq 4\alpha$ by (4.3). The relation (4.4) has the form

$$(8.3) \quad 4\hat{q} = 7s + (7\beta - 4\alpha)e \geq 7s + 24\alpha e \geq 7s + 3e.$$

If $\hat{q} = 1$, then $s = 0$, $e = 1$, $\alpha = 1/8$, and $\beta = 9/14 \notin \frac{1}{8}\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Thus $\hat{q} > 1$, hence $s \geq 1$ and $\hat{q} \geq 3$. If $\alpha \geq 1/2$, then $\hat{q} \geq 5$. In this case $s \geq 2$ by Theorem 1.1, then $\hat{q} \geq 6$, $s \geq 4$, and $\hat{q} > 7$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $\hat{q} \geq 3$, $f(E)$ is a point of index $r > 1$, and $\alpha = 1/r$, where $r \in \{5, 8\}$. If $\hat{q} = 3$, then $s = e = 1$ and $\beta = (5r + 4)/7 \notin \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\hat{q} \geq 4$ and $s \geq 2$ by Theorem 1.1. In this case $\hat{q} \geq 5$ by (8.3). Assume that $\hat{q} \geq 6$. In this case $s \geq 4$ by Theorem 1.1 and so $\hat{q} > 7$. Again we get a contradiction. Therefore, $\hat{q} = 5$ and so $s = 2$. By Theorem 6.5 the variety \hat{X} is isomorphic to a hypersurface $\hat{X}_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. Hence $\text{Cl}(\hat{X}) = 0$ and $e \geq 2$ by Lemma 4.2.1 because $|A_X| = \emptyset$. From (8.3) we obtain $e = 2$, $\alpha = 1/8$, and $r = 8$.

From (4.4) we also obtain $s_k = k - 2$ for $k = 2, \dots, 6$, that is, $\hat{E} \sim 2A_{\hat{X}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_k \subset |(k-2)A_{\hat{X}}|$ for $k = 3, \dots, 6$. Comparing the dimensions of linear systems we see that $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_4 = |2A_{\hat{X}}|$, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_5 = |3A_{\hat{X}}|$, and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_6$ is a codimension 1 subsystem in $|4A_{\hat{X}}|$. Finally, the relations (4.6) in our situation have the form

$$\begin{aligned} 2b &= 5\delta - 7, \\ 2\gamma_k &= (k-2)\delta - k \quad \text{for } k = 3, \dots, 6. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain $\delta \geq 3$ and $b \geq 4$. Hence, $\bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is a Gorenstein (or smooth) point by Lemma 2.2.4 and Corollary 6.6.1. \square

8.4.2. Corollary. $\beta_k < 1$ for $k = 2, \dots, 6$.

9. A FAMILY OF NONRATIONAL \mathbb{Q} -FANO THREEFOLDS OF INDEX 7

In this section we consider \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds as in 4° of Table 1. Thus we assume that

$$q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7, \quad \mathbf{B}(X) = (2^3, 3, 4, 5), \quad A_X^3 = \frac{1}{60}$$

and then

$$|A_X| = \emptyset, \quad \dim |2A_X| = \dim |3A_X| = 0, \quad \dim |4A_X| = \dim |5A_X| = 1, \quad \dim |6A_X| = 2.$$

The collection of non-Gorenstein singularities of X is as follows:

- a unique point P_5 of index 5 that is a cyclic quotient,
- a unique point P_3 of index 3 that is a cyclic quotient,
- a unique point P_4 of index 4 that is either a cyclic quotient or a singularity of type $cAx/4$,
- index 2 singularities $P_2^{(1)}, \dots, P_2^{(m)}$, where $0 \leq m \leq 3$ and $\text{aw}(X, P_4) + \sum \text{aw}(X, P_2^{(i)}) = 4$.

We will show in Theorem 9.4 that a *nonrational* variety of this type is isomorphic to a hypersurface $X_{14} \subset \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 4, 5, 7)$. Moreover, we explicitly describe a birational transformation of this variety to a *special* hypersurface of degree 10 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ (see Sect. 6). First, we need auxiliary facts (Lemmas 9.1 and 9.2) describing two Sarkisov links that allow us to make conclusion on some linear systems on X (see Corollaries 9.1.1, 9.2.1, and 9.2.2).

9.1. Lemma. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold of type 4° in Table 1. Assume that X is not rational. Then there exists a Sarkisov link of the form (4.1), where f is extremal blowup of the point of index 4, \bar{f} is birational, \hat{X} is a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(\hat{X}) = 3$ and $\text{Cl}(\hat{X})_t = 0$, $p_1(\hat{X}) \geq 2$, $p_2(\hat{X}) \geq 3$, and \bar{f} contracts the divisor \bar{M}_2 to a point. Moreover, we have*

$$s_2 = 0, \quad s_3 = s_5 = 1, \quad e = s_4 = s_6 = 2, \quad \text{and } \beta_k < 1 \text{ for } k = 2, \dots, 6.$$

Proof. Apply the construction (4.1) with $\mathcal{M} = |5A_X|$. Then $\beta_5 \geq 3\alpha$ by (4.3). In particular, $f(E) \neq P_5$ by Corollary 8.2.3. Taking Theorem 1.1 into account we obtain the following possibilities:

- (1) $\alpha = 1/2, \hat{q} = 3, e = 1, s_5 = 1,$
- (2) $\alpha = 1/4, \hat{q} = 3, e = 2, s_5 = 1,$
- (3) $\alpha = 1/4, \hat{q} = 5, e = 1, s_5 \leq 3.$

Thus $p_1(\hat{X}) \geq 2$ if $\hat{q} = 3$. Then the group $\text{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free by Corollary 5.5.1. Recall that $|A_X| = \emptyset$. Therefore, $e > 1$ by Lemma 4.2.1 and we are in the case (2). Then from (4.4) we obtain $s_2 = 0, s_3 = 1, s_4 = s_6 = 2,$ and $\beta_k < 1$ for $k = 2, \dots, 6$. In particular, $\beta_4 = 0$. Finally, (4.6) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} 2b &= 3\delta - 7, \\ 2\gamma_5 &= \delta - 5. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\delta \geq 5$ and $b \geq 4$. Hence, $\bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is a point. \square

9.1.1. Corollary. $P_4 \notin \text{Bs}|4A_X|$.

9.2. Lemma. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold of type 4° in Table 1. Assume that X is not rational. Then there exists a Sarkisov link of the form (4.1), where f is the Kawamata blowup of the point of index 3, \bar{f} is birational and contracts the divisor \bar{M}_2 . Moreover, one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\hat{q} = 2, e = 1, \text{Cl}(\hat{X})_t \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z};$
- (ii) $\hat{q} = 4, e = 2, \text{Cl}(\hat{X})_t = 0.$

In both cases $s_2 = 0$ and $s_3 = e$.

Sketch of the proof. Apply the construction (4.1) with noncomplete linear system $\mathcal{M} \subset |6A_X|$ consisting of all divisors passing through P_3 . Clearly, $\dim \mathcal{M} \geq 1$ and \mathcal{M} has no fixed components. Apply the construction (4.1) with \mathcal{M} . Then $\beta \geq 3\alpha$ by (4.3). By Theorem 1.1 we have $s > 1$ if $\hat{q} \geq 4$. Assume that $e = 1$. Then $\text{Cl}(\hat{X})_t \neq 0$ by Lemma 4.2.1 because $|A_X| = \emptyset$. In the case $\hat{q} = 3$ we have $s > 1$ by Corollary 5.5.1. In the case $\hat{q} = 5$ we have $s > 2$ by Theorem 6.5. Taking these facts into account in addition to (i) and (ii) we obtain the following possibilities:

- (1) $\alpha = 1/4, \hat{q} = 5, e = 1,$
- (2) $\alpha = 1/5, \hat{q} = 1, e = 2,$
- (3) $\alpha = 1/5, \hat{q} = 4, e = 1,$
- (4) $\alpha = 1/5, \hat{q} = 5, e = s = 3, \beta = 3/5,$

In the case (2) we get a contradiction by (4.5) with $k = 3$. In the case (3) by Corollary 8.2.2 we have $\beta_2 < 1$ and then $s_2 > 0$ again by (4.5). This implies that $|\text{Cl}(\hat{X})_t| \geq 3$ and then \hat{X} is rational by Corollary 5.5.1, a contradiction. Similar arguments work in the case (1) (here we can use Proposition 5.6). Thus we are left with the case (4) and then $\text{ct}(X, \mathcal{M}) = 1/3$. Moreover, both P_5 and P_3 is a center of canonical singularities for $(X, \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{M})$. Then the Kawamata blowup of P_3 is crepant with respect to $K_X + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{M}$, hence a Sarkisov link of the form (4.1) with center P_3 exists and it must satisfy either (i) or (ii). Finally, from (4.5) with $k = 2$ and 3 we obtain $s_2 = 0$ and $s_3 = e$. \square

9.2.1. Corollary. $P_3 \notin M_3$ and $P_3 \notin \text{Bs}|6A_X|$.

Proof. In both cases (i) and (ii) by (4.5) we have $\beta_3 = 0$, that is, $\tilde{M}_3 = f^*M_3$. Since $3M_3 \in |6A_X|$, for the linear system $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_6 = |6A_X|$ we also have $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_6 = f^*\mathcal{M}_6$. \square

9.2.2. Corollary. *For general members $M_4 \in \mathcal{M}_4$ and $M_5 \in \mathcal{M}_5$ we have*

$$M_2 \cap M_3 \cap M_4 = \{P_5\} \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 \cap M_3 \cap M_4 \cap M_5 = \emptyset.$$

Proof. By Corollaries 9.1.1 and 9.2.1 we have $M_2 \cap M_3 \cap M_4 \not\supseteq P_4$ and $M_2 \cap M_3 \cap M_4 \not\supseteq P_3$. Assume that $M_2 \cap M_3 \cap M_4$ contains a curve, say C . Then $M_5 \cdot C \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ by Corollary 8.2.3. On the other hand, $M_2 \cdot M_3 \cdot M_5 = 1/2$, hence $C = M_2 \cap M_3 \ni P_4$, a contradiction. Therefore, the set $M_2 \cap M_3 \cap M_4$ is zero-dimensional. Since $M_2 \cdot M_3 \cdot M_4 = 2/5 < 1/2$, this set does not contain points of index ≤ 2 , hence $M_2 \cap M_3 \cap M_4 = \{P_5\}$. \square

9.3. Proposition. *In the notation of Proposition 8.2.1 the map χ is an isomorphism, i.e. the link (4.1) has the form*

$$(9.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow \bar{f} \\ X & \overset{g}{\dashrightarrow} & \hat{X} \end{array}$$

and \bar{f} contracts a divisor \tilde{M}_3 to a smooth rational curve $\hat{Y} \subset \hat{X}$ such that $A_{\hat{X}} \cdot \hat{Y} = 1/2$. The image of the f -exceptional divisor $E \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 4)$ is a member of $|3A_{\hat{X}}|$ that is singular along \hat{Y} . The non-Gorenstein singularities of \hat{X} are as follows:

- a cyclic quotient singularity \hat{Q}_4 of index 4;
- a cyclic quotient singularity $\hat{P}_3 = g(P_3)$ of index 3;
- index-2 singularity $\hat{P}_4 = g(P_4)$ that is not a cyclic quotient.

In particular, \hat{X} is not quasi-smooth.

Proof. We use the notation of the proof of Proposition 8.2.1. First, we claim that $\dim(M_3 \cap \text{Bs } |4A|) \leq 0$. Indeed, assume that there exists an irreducible curve Γ contained in $M_3 \cap \text{Bs } |4A|$. By Corollaries 9.1.1 and 9.2.1 we have $P_3, P_4 \notin \Gamma$. Let $M_5 \in \mathcal{M}_5$ be a general member. By Corollary 8.2.3 $P_5 \notin M_5$. Therefore, $M_5 \cdot \Gamma \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, $\Gamma \subset M_2 \cap M_3$ and so $0 < M_5 \cdot \Gamma \leq M_5 \cdot M_2 \cdot M_3 = 1/2$. Hence $\Gamma = M_2 \cap M_3 \ni P_4$, a contradiction. Thus, $\dim(M_3 \cap \text{Bs } |4A|) \leq 0$.

Now we claim that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4$ is nef. Assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 \cdot \tilde{\Gamma} < 0$ for some irreducible curve $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4$ has no fixed components and $\rho(E) = 1$, we have $E \cdot \tilde{\Gamma} \geq 0$ and $\tilde{\Gamma} \notin E$. On the other hand, it follows from (4.5) that $\beta_3 = 4/5$ and $\beta_4 = 2\beta_2 = 2/5$. Therefore,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(4A_X) - \frac{2}{5}E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 2\tilde{M}_2 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{4}{3}\tilde{F} + \frac{2}{3}E.$$

Hence $\tilde{F} \cdot \tilde{\Gamma} < 0$. Thus the curve $\Gamma := f(\tilde{\Gamma})$ is contained in $\text{Bs } |4A_X|$ and in the surface M_3 . In other words, Γ is contained in the set $\{x_2 = x_4 = x_3 = 0\} \cap X$ that is zero-dimensional, a contradiction. This proves that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4$ is nef.

Since $\gamma_4 = 0$, we have $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{f}^* \hat{\mathcal{M}}_4$. In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4$ is nef. Since the varieties \tilde{X} and \bar{X} are isomorphic in codimension one, we see that for $n \gg 0$ the linear systems $|n\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4|$ and $|n\hat{\mathcal{M}}_4|$ define morphisms $\Phi_{|n\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4|} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ and $\Phi_{|n\hat{\mathcal{M}}_4|} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ with the same image that must coincide with \hat{X} . This implies that $\Phi_{|n\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4|}$ contracts a divisor and so χ is an isomorphism. Let $\hat{Y} := \bar{f}(\tilde{M}_3)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_E(E) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,4)}(5)$, we have $E^3 = 25/4$. Taking this fact into account, we obtain

$$2A_{\hat{X}} \cdot \hat{Y} = -\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4 \cdot (\tilde{M}_3)^2 = -(f^*(4A_X) - \frac{2}{5}E) \cdot (f^*(3A_X) - \frac{4}{5}E)^2 = 1.$$

The index-5 point of X is of type $\frac{1}{5}(1, 1, 4)$. Hence the non-Gorenstein singularities of \tilde{X} are as follows:

- a cyclic quotient singularity \tilde{Q}_4 of index 4, where $\tilde{Q}_4 \in E$;
- index-2 singularities $\tilde{P}_2^{(i)} = f^{-1}(P_2^{(i)})$, where $\tilde{P}_2^{(i)} \in \tilde{M}_3$, $\tilde{P}_2^{(i)} \notin E$;

- index-4 singularity $\tilde{P}_4 = f^{-1}(P_4)$, where $\tilde{P}_4 \in \tilde{M}_3$, $\tilde{P}_4 \notin E$;
- a cyclic quotient singularity $\tilde{P}_3 = f^{-1}(P_3)$ of index 3, where $\tilde{P}_3 \notin \tilde{M}_3$, $\tilde{P}_3 \notin E$.

Then $\hat{P}_3 := \bar{f}(\tilde{P}_3)$ is a cyclic quotient singularity of index 3, $\bar{f}(\tilde{P}_4)$ is a point of index < 4 , and $\bar{f}(\tilde{P}_2^{(i)})$ are Gorenstein points. Since $\mathbf{B}(\hat{X}) = (2^2, 3, 4)$, we see that $\hat{Q}_4 := \bar{f}(\tilde{Q}_4)$ must be a point of index 4, hence \bar{f} is an isomorphism near \hat{Q}_4 . Hence $\tilde{Q}_4 \notin \tilde{M}_3$ and $\hat{Q}_4 \notin \hat{Y}$. Then $\bar{f}(\tilde{P}_4)$ must be a point of index 2 that is not a cyclic quotient by [Kaw96]. The curve \hat{Y} does not pass through \hat{P}_3 nor through \hat{Q}_4 . Hence the divisor $2A_{\hat{X}}$ is Cartier near \hat{Y} . It can be seen from (6.8) and (6.9) that the set $\hat{E} \cap \text{Bs } |2A_{\hat{X}}|$ is given by $\{x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$, hence $\hat{E} \cap \text{Bs } |2A_{\hat{X}}| = \{\hat{Q}_4\}$. Since $\hat{Q}_4 \notin \hat{Y}$, the restriction of $|2A_{\hat{X}}|$ to \hat{Y} is a linear system of positive dimension (and degree 1). This implies that \hat{Y} is a smooth rational curve. \square

9.4. Theorem. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold with $\text{q}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 7$, $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2^3, 3, 4, 5)$, and $A_X^3 = 1/60$. Assume that X is not rational. Then X is isomorphic to a hypersurface $X_{14} \subset \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 4, 5, 7)$.*

Proof. For $m = 3, \dots, 7$, let ς_m be a general element of $H^0(X, mA_X)$. By Corollary 9.2.2 the map

$$\Psi : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 4, 5), \quad P \longmapsto (\varsigma_2(P), \varsigma_3(P), \varsigma_4(P), \varsigma_5(P))$$

is a morphism. For short, let $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 4, 5)$ and let $A_{\mathbb{P}}$ be the positive generator of $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{P})$. By the construction, $A_X = \Psi^* A_{\mathbb{P}}$. Since $A_X^3 = 1/60$ and $A_{\mathbb{P}}^3 = 1/120$, the morphism Ψ is finite of degree 2. By the Hurwitz formula we have

$$\Psi^*(7A_{\mathbb{P}}) = 7A_X = -K_X = \Psi^* \left(-K_{\mathbb{P}} + \frac{1}{2}R \right) = \Psi^* \left(14A_{\mathbb{P}} - \frac{1}{2}R \right),$$

where R is the branch divisor. This gives us $R \sim 14A_{\mathbb{P}}$. Therefore, X is a hypersurface of degree 14 in $\mathbb{P}(2, 3, 4, 5, 7)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [ABR02] Selma Altınok, Gavin Brown, and Miles Reid. Fano 3-folds, $K3$ surfaces and graded rings. In *Topology and geometry: commemorating SISTAG*, volume 314 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 25–53. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [Ale94] Valery Alexeev. General elephants of \mathbf{Q} -Fano 3-folds. *Compositio Math.*, 91(1):91–116, 1994.
- [Avi14] A. A. Avilov. Existence of standard models of conic fibrations over non-algebraically-closed fields. *Sb. Math.*, 205(12):1683–1695, 2014.
- [B⁺] Gavin Brown et al. Graded Ring Database.
- [Bea77] Arnaud Beauville. Variétés de Prym et jacobiniennes intermédiaires. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 10(3):309–391, 1977.
- [BS07] Gavin Brown and Kaori Suzuki. Computing certain Fano 3-folds. *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.*, 24(3):241–250, 2007.
- [CD20a] Stephen Coughlan and Tom Ducat. Constructing Fano 3-folds from cluster varieties of rank 2. *Compositio Math.*, 156(9):1873–1914, 2020.
- [CD20b] Stephen Coughlan and Tom Ducat. Table of codimension 4 Fanos in cluster format. available at sites.google.com/view/stephencoughlan/research/cluster-fanos, 2020.
- [CF93] F. Campana and H. Flenner. Projective threefolds containing a smooth rational surface with ample normal bundle. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 440:77–98, 1993.
- [Cor95] Alessio Corti. Factoring birational maps of threefolds after Sarkisov. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4(2):223–254, 1995.
- [Dem80] M. Demazure. Surfaces de del Pezzo. I. II. III. IV. V. In *Semin. sur les singularités des surfaces, Cent. Math. Ec. Polytech., Palaiseau 1976-77*, volume 777 of *Lect. Notes Math.*, pages 21–69, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1980. Springer.
- [HW81] Fumio Hidaka and Keiichi Watanabe. Normal Gorenstein surfaces with ample anti-canonical divisor. *Tokyo J. Math.*, 4(2):319–330, 1981.

- [Kaw88] Yujiro Kawamata. Crepant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 127(1):93–163, 1988.
- [Kaw92] Yujiro Kawamata. Moderate degenerations of algebraic surfaces. In *Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990)*, volume 1507 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 113–132. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [Kaw96] Yujiro Kawamata. Divisorial contractions to 3-dimensional terminal quotient singularities. In *Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994)*, pages 241–246. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.
- [Kaw05] Masayuki Kawakita. Three-fold divisorial contractions to singularities of higher indices. *Duke Math. J.*, 130(1):57–126, 2005.
- [KM92] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. Classification of three-dimensional flips. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(3):533–703, 1992.
- [Man74] Yu. I. Manin. *Cubic forms: algebra, geometry, arithmetic*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1974. Translated from the Russian by M. Hazewinkel, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 4.
- [Mor85] Shigefumi Mori. On 3-dimensional terminal singularities. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 98:43–66, 1985.
- [MP08a] Shigefumi Mori and Yuri Prokhorov. On \mathbf{Q} -conic bundles. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 44(2):315–369, 2008.
- [MP08b] Shigefumi Mori and Yuri Prokhorov. On \mathbf{Q} -conic bundles. II. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 44(3):955–971, 2008.
- [MP09] S. Mori and Yu. Prokhorov. Multiple fibers of del Pezzo fibrations. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 264(1):131–145, 2009.
- [Oka19] Takuzo Okada. Stable rationality of orbifold Fano 3-fold hypersurfaces. *J. Algebr. Geom.*, 28(1):99–138, 2019.
- [Pro10] Yuri Prokhorov. \mathbf{Q} -Fano threefolds of large Fano index, I. *Doc. Math.*, 15:843–872, 2010.
- [Pro13] Yu. Prokhorov. Fano threefolds of large Fano index and large degree. *Sbornik: Math.*, 204(3):347–382, 2013.
- [Pro16] Yu. Prokhorov. \mathbf{Q} -Fano threefolds of index 7. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 294:139–153, 2016.
- [Pro18] Yuri Prokhorov. The rationality problem for conic bundles. *Russian Math. Surv.*, 73(3):375–456, 2018.
- [Pro21] Yuri Prokhorov. Equivariant minimal model program. *Russian Math. Surv.*, 76(3):461–542, 2021.
- [Pro22a] Yuri Prokhorov. Conic bundle structures on \mathbf{Q} -Fano threefolds. *Electron. Res. Arch.*, 30(5):1881–1897, 2022. Special issue on birational geometry and moduli of projective varieties.
- [Pro22b] Yuri Prokhorov. *Rationality of \mathbf{Q} -Fano threefolds of large Fano index*, volume 478 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, page 253–274. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [Pro23] Yuri Prokhorov. Effective results in the three-dimensional minimal model program. In Dmitry Beliaev and Stanislav Smirnov, editors, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, July 6–14, 2022, Vol. 3*, pages 2324 – 2345. EMS Press, 2023.
- [Pro24a] Yuri Prokhorov. On the birational geometry of \mathbf{Q} -Fano threefolds of large Fano index, I. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara*, 70(3):955–985, 2024. Special Issue: Edge Days: 2018–2022.
- [Pro24b] Yuri Prokhorov. On the birational geometry of sextic threefold hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}(1, 1, 2, 2, 3)$. *Arxiv e-print*, 2412.05120, 2024.
- [Rei87] Miles Reid. Young person’s guide to canonical singularities. In *Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985)*, volume 46 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 345–414. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [SB92] N. I. Shepherd-Barron. The rationality of quintic Del Pezzo surfaces—a short proof. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 24(3):249–250, 1992.
- [Sho84] V. V. Shokurov. Prym varieties: theory and applications. *Math. USSR Izvestiya*, 23(1):83–147, 1984.
- [Suz04] Kaori Suzuki. On Fano indices of \mathbf{Q} -Fano 3-folds. *Manuscripta Math.*, 114(2):229–246, 2004.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, MOSCOW, RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Email address: prokhoro@mi-ras.ru