

Classical periodic orbits in extended phase space for spherical harmonic oscillator with spin-orbit coupling

Ken-ichiro Arita*

*Department of Physical Science and Engineering,
Nagoya Institute of Technology, Nagoya 466-8555, Japan*

(Dated: March 7, 2025)

A complete analysis of classical periodic orbits (POs) and their bifurcations was conducted in spherical harmonic oscillator system with spin-orbit coupling. The motion of the spin is explicitly considered using the spin canonical variables derived by semiclassical approximation to the spin coherent state path integral representation. In addition to the diametric and two circular PO families with frozen spin, solutions that bridge two circular POs are found in which orbital motion is coupled to spin precession. In addition, each bridge encounters a secondary bifurcation on the way from one circular PO to the other and generates a new PO, that survives at higher energies while maintaining a constant period. The generic expressions for those POs are obtained explicitly, and all the above peculiar bifurcation scenarios are described fully analytically.

I. INTRODUCTION

Classical periodic orbits (POs) play central roles in semiclassical quantization for both integrable and non-integral Hamiltonian systems[1–4]. Gutzwiller derived a famous trace formula that represents the quantum density of states in terms of the contributions of classical POs[5], based on the semiclassical approximation to the path integral representation using the stationary phase method. The formula works particularly well for strongly chaotic systems. In another approach, Balian and Bloch derived the semiclassical density of states for infinite well potential systems of arbitrary boundary shapes[6]. It is based on the multiple reflection expansion of the transition amplitude, and can be applied to both integrable and nonintegrable models. For integrable Hamiltonian systems whose energy spectra can be approximately obtained by the Einstein-Brillouin-Keller quantization rule (an extension of the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition to multiply-periodic systems of arbitrary dimensions), Berry and Tabor showed that the oscillating part of the level density is generally represented in the form of the periodic orbit sum[7]. The extension of the Gutzwiller formula to the case of continuous symmetries was conducted by Creagh and Littlejohn[8, 9]. Its extension to bifurcation regions, where the original form of the Gutzwiller formula breaks down, was proposed by Sieber et al. using the uniform approximations[10–13] and by Magner et al. using the improved stationary-phase method [14, 15]. In general, semiclassical approximation of quantum mechanical level density

for a conservative Hamiltonian system is given by a trace formula expressed in the form

$$g_{\text{cl}}(E) = \bar{g}(E) + \sum_{\text{PO}} A_{\text{PO}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} S_{\text{PO}} - \frac{\pi}{2} \mu_{\text{PO}}\right). \quad (1)$$

On the right-hand side, the average level density \bar{g} is given by the Thomas-Fermi (or extended Thomas-Fermi) approximation [4], and the oscillating part is given by a summation over contributions of the classical POs. In each contribution, the action integral $S_{\text{PO}}(E) = \oint_{\text{PO}} \mathbf{p} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ along the orbit PO, the Maslov index μ_{PO} which is related to the geometric character of the orbit, and the amplitude A_{PO} which is related to the degeneracy and stability of the orbit, are all determined by the classical dynamics.

One of the most significant advantages in the trace formula is that it can explain the origin of the regular oscillations in level distribution, which is generally cannot be understood by purely quantum mechanical concepts. It is especially useful for analyzing gross shell structures in finite quantum systems. It has been applied to nuclear deformation soon after its derivation[16, 17], and then to various quantum systems such as metallic clusters[18–20] and quantum dots[21]. Periodic-orbit theory (POT) has also been applied to the problems of quantum transport. The oscillation of magnetoresistance in mesoscopic conductors, which is related to the electron density of states at the Fermi energy, is successfully explained by the contributing POs to the semiclassical level density[22, 23]. The significance of PO bifurcations as the microscopic origin of the deformed shell structure has been emphasized in [14, 20, 24–28], and its effect on the magnetoresistance was discussed in [21].

In addition to the orbital degrees of freedom, quantum particles have a spin degree of freedom. The Dirac Hamiltonian for a charged fermion in an electromagnetic field includes the spin-orbit potential, whose effect can be measured as the spin-orbit splitting of the atomic energy levels. In atomic nuclei, strong spin-orbit coupling is inevitable in the mean field of a nucleon to account for the nuclear “magic numbers”, numbers of con-

$$g_{\text{qm}}(E) = \sum_n \delta(E - E_n)$$

* arita@nitech.ac.jp

stituent particles (neutrons or protons) of closed-shell configurations[29–31]. Thus, the inclusion of spin in semiclassical POT is important for a deeper understanding of nuclear shell structures and related phenomena.

To deal with spin-orbit coupling in semiclassical theory, two different prescriptions have been proposed. The first one is the coupled-channel WKB method[32, 33] in which the multicomponent wave equation is diagonalized using the Wigner-Weyl calculus and is reduced to a set of uncoupled equations corresponding to the individual channels. This method was applied to the problem of the spin-orbit coupling[34] by treating the spin as a channel. This is a kind of adiabatic approximation in which spin is regarded as a slow variable. The semiclassical trace formula is then constructed in terms of the POs in those adiabatic Hamiltonians that correspond to individual spin channels. The weakness of this prescription lies in the occurrence of the so-called mode conversion. Adiabatic approximation cannot be justified in the mode conversion region where two adiabatic Hamiltonians cross with each other and classical dynamics become singular. To deal with this problem, a use of diabatic representation has been proposed, which allows a spin flip at the mode conversion point to remove the singularity[35]. It seems working well in some numerical applications, however, it remains ambiguous and lacks theoretical basis[36].

In another prescription, the spin degree of freedom is explicitly incorporated into the equations of motion (EOM). The classical phase space is extended by introducing a spin canonical variables. The classical dynamics of the spin are derived by a semiclassical approximation of the spin coherent state path integral representation[37]. Theoretically, the semiclassical approximation is justified for large spins, but in practice, it also gives reasonable results for small spins in many cases. Thus, we can expect it to provide a better prescription for a semiclassical treatment of the spin, free from the problems like mode conversions. The compensation is the increased degrees of freedom, which usually complicate classical motions. Pletyukov and Zeitsef derived a trace formula which explicitly consider the spin motion[38]. They also showed that the formula works well for small spins (such as $s = \hbar/2$ for electrons and nucleons) in case Hamiltonians depend linearly on the spin. Thus, the proposed method can be safely applied to the spin-orbit problem in electron and nucleon systems. The application to the 2-dimensional Rashba model to electrons in quantum dots was performed in [36, 39].

In this paper, I investigate the 3-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator model with a simple spin-orbit coupling term:

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{M\omega^2\mathbf{r}^2}{2} - \kappa(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{s}. \quad (2)$$

This Hamiltonian is physically important as the mean field model for light nuclei, corresponding to the Nilsson model without l^2 term[31, 40]. Classical dynamics in the same Hamiltonian have been studied numerically in

[41]. Quantum energy eigenvalue problem for the Hamiltonian (2) is exactly solved, and the energy eigenvalues for particles with spin $s = \hbar/2$ are expressed as

$$E_{n\hat{l}\hat{j}} = \begin{cases} \hbar\omega(2n + \hat{l} + \frac{3}{2} - \hat{\kappa}\hat{l}) & (\hat{j} = \hat{l} + 1/2) \\ \hbar\omega(2n + \hat{l} + \frac{3}{2} + \hat{\kappa}(\hat{l} + 1)) & (\hat{j} = \hat{l} - 1/2) \end{cases}, \quad (3)$$

$$(\hat{\kappa} = \kappa s/\omega, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad \hat{l} = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

where n, \hat{l}, \hat{j} are radial, spin, orbital angular and total angular momentum quantum numbers, respectively. Each level specified by \hat{j} has $(2\hat{j} + 1)$ -fold degeneracies because the energy eigenvalues are independent on the magnetic quantum number m_j ($-\hat{j} \leq m_j \leq \hat{j}$).

For a system in which the energy eigenvalues are explicitly given in terms of the quantum numbers, Berry-Tabor formula[7] can be applied as the semiclassical density of states, and the following formula has been obtained in Ref. [36] (see also Appendix A)

$$g(E) = \bar{g}(E) + \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \delta g_L^{(\sigma)}(E) + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \delta g_N^{(0)}(E), \quad (4)$$

$$\delta g_L^{(\pm)}(E) = A_L^{(\pm)} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi LE}{\hbar\omega(1 \mp \hat{\kappa})} - \frac{\pi}{2} L\sigma_{\pm}\right) + B_L^{(\pm)} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi LE}{\hbar\omega(1 \mp \hat{\kappa})} - \frac{\pi}{2} L\sigma_{\pm}\right),$$

$$\delta g_N^{(0)}(E) = B_N^{(0)} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi NE}{\hbar\omega} - \frac{\pi}{2} N\sigma_0\right).$$

Thus, the terms $\delta g_L^{(\pm)}$ and δg_N can be interpreted as the contributions of POs with the primitive periods

$$T_{\pm} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega(1 \mp \hat{\kappa})} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega \mp \kappa s} \quad \text{and} \quad T_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\omega},$$

respectively. In the coupled-channel WKB prescription, two adiabatic Hamiltonians $H_{\pm} = H_0 \mp \kappa|\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}|s$ are obtained, and circular PO families with periods $T = T_+$ and T_- are found in the Hamiltonians H_+ and H_- , respectively. There is also a diametric PO family with $T = T_0$, but it has the orbital angular momentum $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p} = 0$ and lies on the mode conversion point ($H_+ = H_-$). Hence, its contribution cannot be evaluated in this prescription. Another problem is the inconsistency in the semiclassical order of the orbit contributions. In general, the amplitude factor of D parameter family is proportional to $\hbar^{-D/2}$. Since both the circular and diametric orbit families have $D = 2$ due to the spherical symmetry, the amplitude is expected to be proportional to \hbar^{-1} , however, the leading-order term of the amplitudes $A_L^{(\pm)}$ in the Berry-Tabor formula (4) is proportional to \hbar^{-2} , which suggests a contribution of 4-parameter family. Then, it is an interesting question if the classical POs in which spin dynamics is explicitly considered in the EOM could help us overcome the above difficulties and obtain a more appropriate quantum-classical correspondence.

This paper is organized as follows. Sec. II recapitulates the basic concepts of the classical counterpart of the spin and its dynamics derived by the spin coherent-state path integral representation. In Sec. III, special types of classical orbits with frozen spin and their properties are discussed. In Sec. IV, generic solutions to the classical EOM with spin precession are derived. Using this solution, periodic orbits are investigated and their bifurcation scenarios with varying energies are clarified. Sec. V is devoted to a summary and perspective.

II. SPIN CANONICAL VARIABLES AND THE CLASSICAL EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The spin is a quantum mechanical quantity analogous to the angular momentum. In quantum mechanics, the spin operator \hat{s}_i satisfies the following angular momentum algebra,

$$[\hat{s}_i, \hat{s}_j] = i\hbar\varepsilon_{ijk}\hat{s}_k, \quad (5)$$

where ε_{ijk} stands for a completely antisymmetric unit tensor (Levi-Civita symbol), and the sum is taken over identical subscripts in each term following the usual Einstein convention. Spin raising and lowering operators $\hat{s}_\pm \equiv \hat{s}_x \pm i\hat{s}_y$ satisfy

$$[\hat{s}_+, \hat{s}_-] = 2\hbar\hat{s}_z, \quad [\hat{s}_z, \hat{s}_\pm] = \pm\hbar\hat{s}_\pm. \quad (6)$$

The classical counterpart of the spin is introduced via the spin coherent state[37, 42] defined by

$$|\zeta\rangle = \frac{1}{(1 + |\zeta|^2)^s} e^{\zeta\hat{s}_+/\hbar} |\hat{s}, -\hat{s}\rangle, \quad (7)$$

where \hat{s} represents the spin quantum number and $|\hat{s}, m_s\rangle$ represents the spin eigenstate satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s}^2 |\hat{s}, m_s\rangle &= \hbar^2 \hat{s}(\hat{s} + 1) |\hat{s}, m_s\rangle \\ \hat{s}_z |\hat{s}, m_s\rangle &= \hbar m_s |\hat{s}, m_s\rangle, \quad m_s = -\hat{s}, -\hat{s} + 1, \dots, \hat{s}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

ζ is an arbitrary complex number, and $|\zeta\rangle$ forms a non-orthogonal, overcomplete set of spin state vectors. The classical counterparts of the spin variables are defined through the expectation values of the spin operators as

$$\begin{aligned} s_x &= \langle \zeta | \hat{s}_x | \zeta \rangle = s \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi, \\ s_y &= \langle \zeta | \hat{s}_y | \zeta \rangle = s \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi, \\ s_z &= \langle \zeta | \hat{s}_z | \zeta \rangle = s \cos \vartheta, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the angles ϑ, φ are related to the complex parameter ζ by

$$\frac{1}{\zeta} = \tan \frac{\vartheta}{2} e^{i\varphi}. \quad (10)$$

The semiclassical approximation to the coherent state path integral representation[37] derives the classical EOM as

$$\dot{\zeta} = \frac{(1 + |\zeta|^2)^2}{2is} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \zeta^*}, \quad \dot{\zeta}^* = -\frac{(1 + |\zeta|^2)^2}{2is} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \zeta}, \quad (11)$$




FIG. 1. Precession of angular momentum vectors.

with the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \langle \zeta | \hat{H} | \zeta \rangle$. The EOM are translated to those for the spin variables as

$$\dot{s}_z = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \varphi}, \quad \dot{\varphi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial s_z}, \quad (12)$$

showing that the classical spin motion can be described by a set of canonical variables $(q_s, p_s) = (\varphi, s_z)$. Then, the Poisson brackets between spin components satisfy

$$\{s_i, s_j\} = \frac{\partial s_i}{\partial q_s} \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p_s} - \frac{\partial s_i}{\partial p_s} \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial q_s} = \varepsilon_{ijk} s_k \quad (13)$$

which is in exact correspondence with the quantum spin commutation relation (5).

For the Hamiltonian (2), classical EOM are expressed as

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{M} - \kappa \mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{r}, \quad (14a)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = -M\omega^2 \mathbf{r} + \kappa \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{s}, \quad (14b)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{s}} = \{\mathbf{s}, H\} = -\kappa(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}) \times \mathbf{s}. \quad (14c)$$

Time evolution of the orbital angular momentum $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ becomes

$$\dot{\mathbf{l}} = \kappa(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}) \times \mathbf{s} \quad (15)$$

and the total angular momentum \mathbf{j} is shown to be conserved:

$$\dot{\mathbf{l}} + \dot{\mathbf{s}} = 0, \quad \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{j} = \text{const.} \quad (16)$$

Eqs. (14c) and (15) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{\mathbf{s}} = -\kappa \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{s}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{l}} = -\kappa \mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{l} = -\kappa \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{l} \quad (17)$$

and one sees that both \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{l} precess around the conserved vector \mathbf{j} with angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\omega}_p = -\kappa \mathbf{j}$ (see Fig. 1).

III. ORBITS WITH FROZEN SPIN

By putting $\mathbf{j} = (0, 0, j)$, the spin components satisfying Eq. (17) are explicitly given by the functions of time t as

$$\begin{aligned} s_x &= s_\perp \sin \omega_p t, & s_y &= s_\perp \cos \omega_p t, \\ (s_\perp &= \sqrt{s^2 - s_z^2}, & \omega_p &= \kappa j) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$




FIG. 2. Circular families of frozen-spin orbits.

with s_z being constant. First, let us consider the orbit with $s_{\perp} = 0$, for which spin vector $\mathbf{s} = (0, 0, s_z)$ is conserved. In this case, the EOM become

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \frac{p_x}{M} + \kappa s_z y, & \dot{p}_x &= -M\omega^2 x + \kappa s_z p_y, \\ \dot{y} &= \frac{p_y}{M} - \kappa s_z x, & \dot{p}_y &= -M\omega^2 y - \kappa s_z p_x, \\ \dot{z} &= \frac{p_z}{M}, & \dot{p}_z &= -M\omega^2 z \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

with $l_x = l_y = 0$. The motion in z direction becomes a harmonic vibration with angular frequency ω . Taking $x = y = 0$, one obtains the diametric PO

$$z(t) = z_0 \sin \omega t, \quad z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{M\omega^2}} \quad (20)$$

with period $T = 2\pi/\omega$. Since the orientation of the spin (the choice of z axis) is arbitrary, the orbit forms a 2-parameter family under given energy E .

On the other hand, one has a planar orbit in xy plane by putting $z = 0$. To solve the EOM (19) for x and y parts, it is convenient to define the complex variables

$$\xi = x - \frac{i}{M\omega} p_x, \quad \eta = y - \frac{i}{M\omega} p_y. \quad (21)$$

The EOM (19) are then transformed into

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ \dot{\eta} \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} \omega & -i\kappa s_z \\ i\kappa s_z & \omega \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

and one obtains two eigenmodes with angular frequencies $\omega \pm \kappa s_z$. For the frequency $\omega_c = \omega - \kappa s_z$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= i\eta = r_c e^{i\omega_c t}, \\ x &= \text{Re } \xi = r_c \cos \omega_c t, \quad y = \text{Re } \eta = r_c \sin \omega_c t, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

which gives circular POs with angular frequencies $\omega_c = \omega \mp \kappa s \equiv \omega_{\pm}$ corresponding to $s_z = \pm s$. From the EOM (19), momentum components become

$$\begin{aligned} p_x &= M(\dot{x} - \kappa s_z y) = -Mr_c \omega \sin \omega_c t, \\ p_y &= M(\dot{y} + \kappa s_z x) = Mr_c \omega \cos \omega_c t. \end{aligned}$$

The orbital angular momentum l_z and energy E are then expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} l_z &= xp_y - yp_x = Mr_c^2 \omega, \\ E &= \frac{(Mr_c \omega)^2}{2M} + \frac{M\omega^2 r_c^2}{2} - \kappa l_z s_z = Mr_c^2 \omega (\omega - \kappa s_z) = l_z \omega_c. \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 3. Examples of 3D frozen-spin orbits for (a) $\kappa s = \omega/6$ and (b) $\kappa s = \omega/4$.

and the radii of the circular orbits are given by

$$r_c = \sqrt{\frac{l_z}{M\omega}} = \sqrt{\frac{E}{M\omega\omega_{\pm}}} \equiv r_{\pm}. \quad (24)$$

The angular frequencies ω_+ and ω_- are of the POs whose orbital angular momenta are parallel and anti-parallel to the spin, respectively (see Fig. 2). Each of them forms a 2-parameter family, as well as the diametric family.

In special cases where ω_+ and ω_- are commensurable with each other, all planar orbits become periodic. For a spin-orbit parameter satisfying

$$\kappa s = \frac{m}{n} \omega, \quad (25)$$

with integers n and m being relatively prime ($n > |m| \geq 1$), period of the orbit becomes

$$T = \frac{2\pi(n-m)}{\omega_+} = \frac{2\pi(n+m)}{\omega_-} = \frac{2\pi n}{\omega}. \quad (26)$$

In this case, ω_{\pm} is also commensurable with ω , and three-dimensional (3D) POs can be realized by combining motion in the z direction. Such orbits have $\mathbf{l} = 0$, for which the spin vector $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{j}$ is conserved, and the position vector \mathbf{r} always pass through the origin (see Fig. 3).

IV. ORBITS WITH SPIN PRECESSION

A. Bifurcations of circular orbits

If the spin direction in each circular PO is slightly tilted, the spin vector begins to precess around the total angular momentum following the EOM (17). Using Eq. (24) and the EOM (19), the orbital angular momentum of the circular PO are obtained as

$$l_z = Mr_{\pm}^2\omega = \frac{E}{\omega_{\pm}} \quad (27)$$

and the angular frequency of the spin precession is given by

$$\omega_p = \kappa j = \kappa \left(\frac{E}{\omega_{\pm}} \pm s \right). \quad (28)$$

If this frequency becomes commensurable with that of the orbital motion, ω_{\pm} , a new PO will emerge in which orbital motion and spin precession are combined. The condition for such bifurcation is given by

$$\omega_p = \frac{m}{k}\omega_{\pm}, \quad (29)$$

where m and k denote mutually prime integers. These conditions are successively satisfied by each circular PO with varying energy E . From Eqs. (28) and (29), the energies of these bifurcation points are given by

$$E_{k,m}^{\pm} = \frac{\omega_{\pm}(\frac{m}{k}\omega_{\pm} - \kappa s_z)}{\kappa}, \quad s_z = \pm s \quad (30)$$

B. General solution to the equations of motion

For a given total angular momentum $\mathbf{j} = (0, 0, j)$, EOM for the spin (17) can be solved, and the spin components are explicitly given as functions of time by Eqs. (18). Using this solution, the EOM for the orbital degrees of freedom are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \frac{p_x}{M} - \kappa(zs_y - ys_z), \\ \dot{y} &= \frac{p_y}{M} + \kappa(zs_x - xs_z), \\ \dot{z} &= \frac{p_z}{M} + \kappa(xs_y - ys_x), \\ \dot{p}_x &= -M\omega^2x - \kappa(p_zs_y - p_ys_z), \\ \dot{p}_y &= -M\omega^2y + \kappa(p_zs_x - p_xs_z), \\ \dot{p}_z &= -M\omega^2z + \kappa(p_xs_y - p_ys_x) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The task is to find a solution to the above coupled linear equations for \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{p} with time-dependent coefficients $s_x(t)$ and $s_y(t)$ given by Eq. (18). By introducing complex variables

$$\xi = x - \frac{ip_x}{M\omega}, \quad \eta = y - \frac{ip_y}{M\omega}, \quad \zeta = z - \frac{ip_z}{M\omega}, \quad (32)$$

EOM (31) are transformed into a compact form as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\xi} &= i\omega\xi - \kappa(\zeta s_y - \eta s_z), \\ \dot{\eta} &= i\omega\eta + \kappa(\zeta s_x - \xi s_z), \\ \dot{\zeta} &= i\omega\zeta + \kappa(\xi s_y - \eta s_x). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The energy E and component l_z of the orbital angular momentum are expressed as

$$E = \frac{M\omega^2}{2}(|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 + |\zeta|^2) - \kappa(l_z s_z - s_{\perp}^2), \quad (34)$$

$$l_z = xp_y - yp_x = \frac{iM\omega}{2}(\xi^*\eta - \xi\eta^*). \quad (35)$$

Because of the relation $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{s} = (0, 0, j)$, x and y components of the orbital angular momentum satisfy $l_x = -s_x$ and $l_y = -s_y$. By a further transformation $(u, v) = (\xi + i\eta, \xi - i\eta)$ which replaces the trigonometric functions in the coefficients of EOM with exponential functions, one obtains the relations

$$E = \frac{M\omega^2}{2} \left(\frac{|u|^2 + |v|^2}{2} + |\zeta|^2 \right) - \kappa(l_z s_z - s_{\perp}^2) \quad (36)$$

$$l_z = \frac{M\omega}{4}(|u|^2 - |v|^2) \quad (37)$$

and the EOM (33) appear as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u} &= i(\omega - \kappa s_z)u - \kappa s_{\perp}\zeta e^{-i\omega_p t} \\ \dot{v} &= i(\omega + \kappa s_z)v - \kappa s_{\perp}\zeta e^{i\omega_p t} \\ \dot{\zeta} &= i\omega\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\kappa s_{\perp}(ue^{i\omega_p t} + ve^{-i\omega_p t}) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Putting $ue^{i\omega_p t} = U$ and $ve^{-i\omega_p t} = V$, one finally obtains coupled EOM with constant coefficients as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\zeta} &= i\omega\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\kappa s_{\perp}(U + V) \\ \dot{U} - i\omega_p U &= i(\omega - \kappa s_z)U - \kappa s_{\perp}\zeta \\ \dot{V} + i\omega_p V &= i(\omega + \kappa s_z)V - \kappa s_{\perp}\zeta \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

that are combined into an eigenmode equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ U \\ V \end{pmatrix} &= i\mathbf{M} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ U \\ V \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{M} &= \begin{pmatrix} \omega & -i\kappa s_{\perp}/2 & -i\kappa s_{\perp}/2 \\ i\kappa s_{\perp} & \omega + \omega_p - \kappa s_z & 0 \\ i\kappa s_{\perp} & 0 & \omega - \omega_p + \kappa s_z \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

By solving the secular equation $\det(\mu - \mathbf{M}) = 0$, the three eigenvalues μ of the matrix \mathbf{M} are obtained as

$$\mu = \omega, \quad \omega \pm \kappa l, \quad (41)$$

where I have used the relation

$$(\omega_p - \kappa s_z)^2 + (\kappa s_{\perp})^2 = (\kappa l_z)^2 + (-\kappa l_{\perp})^2 = (\kappa l)^2.$$

The generic orbit can then be expressed by a linear combination of these three modes:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ U \\ V \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Z_0 \\ U_0 \\ V_0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} Z_+ \\ U_+ \\ V_+ \end{pmatrix} e^{i\kappa l t} + \begin{pmatrix} Z_- \\ U_- \\ V_- \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\kappa l t} \right\} e^{i\omega t}, \quad (42)$$

with the coefficients satisfying the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
U_0 &= -V_0 = \frac{is_\perp}{l_z} Z_0, \\
U_\pm &= \frac{is_\perp}{\pm l - l_z} Z_\pm = -\frac{\pm l + l_z}{is_\perp} Z_\pm, \\
V_\pm &= \frac{is_\perp}{\pm l + l_z} Z_\pm = -\frac{\pm l - l_z}{is_\perp} Z_\pm.
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

In the above, $l_z \neq 0$ is assumed, which is satisfied by most of the orbits under consideration. (Possible POs with $l_z = 0$ are described in Appendix B.) By applying Eqs. (42) and (43) to Eq. (37), one obtains the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
l_z &= \frac{1}{4} M\omega (|U|^2 - |V|^2) \\
&= M\omega \left[\frac{ll_z}{s_\perp^2} (|Z_+|^2 - |Z_-|^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{l}{l_z} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (Z_0^* Z_+ - Z_0 Z_-^*) e^{i\kappa l t} \right\} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

In order that l_z be independent of time, one must have

$$Z_0^* Z_+ - Z_0 Z_-^* = 0.$$

Nonvanishing Z_0 leads to $|Z_+| = |Z_-|$ and thus to improper result $l_z = 0$. Therefore, $Z_0 = 0$ and one obtains

$$|Z_+|^2 - |Z_-|^2 = \frac{s_\perp^2}{M\omega l}. \tag{45}$$

C. Bridge between circular orbits

The periodicity condition is now considered. There are three independent angular frequencies; ω_p for the spin precession, and $\omega \pm \kappa l$ for the orbital motion in z direction. The frequencies for the motion in x and y directions ($\omega \pm \kappa l \pm \omega_p$) are given by the combinations of the above three. Let us first consider the case where one of the coefficients Z_\pm vanishes. In such case, only one of the two frequencies $\omega \pm \kappa l$ should be considered in the periodicity condition. Taking into account the relation (45), let us put

$$Z_- = 0, \quad Z_+ = \frac{s_\perp}{\sqrt{M\omega l}} e^{i\gamma} \tag{46}$$

where γ is an arbitrary phase parameter. The periodicity condition then requires

$$\omega + \kappa l = \frac{n}{m} \omega_p \tag{47}$$

where n and m are relatively prime integers satisfying $n > m$, and the period of the PO is given by

$$T = \frac{2\pi m}{\omega_p} = \frac{2\pi n}{\omega + \kappa l} = \frac{2\pi(n \pm m)}{\omega + \kappa l \pm \omega_p}. \tag{48}$$




FIG. 4. Plot of the energy E (in units of $\hbar\omega$) vs. period T (in units of $2\pi/\omega$) of the periodic orbits. The vertical lines represent the diametric orbits (dotted line) and two types of circular orbits C_\pm (dashed lines). Open circles indicate the bifurcation points (30), and the curves connected them represent the bridge orbits (n, m) .

Rewriting Eq. (47) in terms of j and s_z yields

$$\omega + \kappa\sqrt{j^2 - 2js_z + s^2} = \frac{n}{m}\kappa j, \tag{49}$$

with which j can be expressed as a function of s_z for each set of (n, m) ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa j &= \frac{m}{n^2 - m^2} \left(n\omega - m\kappa s_z \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sqrt{(n\omega - m\kappa s_z)^2 - (n^2 - m^2)(\omega^2 - \kappa^2 s^2)} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Then, one can determine $\omega_p = \kappa j$, $l_z = j - s_z$, $l = \sqrt{l_z^2 + s_\perp^2}$, and the coefficients U_+ , V_+ via Eq. (46) that are necessary to calculate the PO for each value of s_z . Using these quantities, the energy (36) of the PO is obtained as

$$E = \omega\sqrt{j^2 - 2js_z + s^2} - \kappa(j s_z - s^2). \tag{51}$$

Figure 4 shows the relation between energy E and period T of the above PO for various sets of (n, m) with varying s_z from s to $-s$. Each such PO forms a bridge between the two circular orbits, as it emerge from the circular orbit C_+ , increasing spin obliquity with energy and finally submerge into another circular orbit C_- . It can be easily checked that the above orbit coincides the circular orbits (23) in the limits $s_z \rightarrow \pm s$. The bifurcation scenario of the bridge orbit is illustrated in Fig. 5.

Each bridge orbit forms a 3-parameter family since the orientation of the total angular momentum \mathbf{j} and the phase γ in Eq. (46) are arbitrary. Figure 6 displays some examples of bridge orbits (n, m) for several values of s_z . As the spin vector tilts, the orbital ripples develop and eventually subside toward spin flip.




FIG. 5. Illustration of the bifurcation scenario of the bridge orbit. Lower to upper panels in energy order.

D. Secondary bifurcation

Each bridge orbit (n, m) on the way from C_+ to C_- , the period $2n\pi/(\omega + \kappa l)$ never misses matching the middle of those for C_\pm , namely, a multiple of $2\pi/\omega$. Since $\omega + \kappa l$ becomes commensurable with ω at that point, it is also commensurable with $\omega - \kappa l$ and the combination of the modes $\mu = \omega \pm \kappa l$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ ue^{i\kappa jt} \\ ve^{-i\kappa jt} \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Z_+ \\ U_+ \\ V_+ \end{pmatrix} e^{i\kappa lt} + \begin{pmatrix} Z_- \\ U_- \\ V_- \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\kappa lt} \right\} e^{i\omega t} \quad (52)$$

provides the periodic solution. The commensurability condition

$$\frac{\omega + \kappa l}{n} = \frac{\kappa j}{m} = \frac{\omega}{k} \quad (53)$$

and trigonometric inequality $|j - l| \leq s$ lead to the following relation:

$$|j - l| = \left| j - \frac{n - k}{m} j \right| = \frac{|m - (n - k)|}{m} j \leq s. \quad (54)$$

This condition is satisfied at least once for all (n, m) at $k = n - m$. For sufficiently small j and large m satisfying $ms/j > 1$, one finds several integers k which satisfy (54), but solutions other than $k = n - m$ correspond to rather long orbits with period $T > 2\pi/\kappa s$ and are irrelevant to the long shell structure.

The PO is given by Eq. (52) with coefficients satisfying Eqs. (43) and (45). By inserting these relations into




FIG. 6. Some bridge orbits (n, m) with $n - m = 1$, calculated for several values of s_z (in units of \hbar) between s and $-s$. The parameters $\kappa = 0.1\omega/\hbar$ and $s = \hbar/2$ are used. Note that the vertical magnification is set approximately 10 times the horizontal one.

Eq. (36), the energy is expressed as

$$E = \frac{M\omega^2 l^2}{s_\perp^2} (|Z_+|^2 + |Z_-|^2) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa s^2. \quad (55)$$

The orbit bifurcated from the bridge $(2, 1)$ are displayed in Fig. 7 for several values of energy.

Using Eqs. (45) and (55), one obtains

$$|Z_\pm|^2 = \frac{s_\perp^2}{2M\omega^2 l^2} \left\{ E - \frac{1}{2}\kappa s^2 \pm \omega l \right\}. \quad (56)$$

The bifurcation energy corresponding to $|Z_-| = 0$ is given by

$$E_{\text{bif}}^{(n,m,k)} = \frac{n - k}{k} \frac{\omega^2}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa s^2. \quad (57)$$

and the orbit exists for $E \geq E_{\text{bif}}$. Each orbit (n, m, k) forms a 4-parameter family since the orientation of \mathbf{j} and




FIG. 7. PO bifurcated from the bridge $(n, m) = (2, 1)$ at several values of energy E (in units of $\hbar\omega$). $\kappa = 0.1\omega/\hbar$, $s = \hbar/2$ are taken, and $E_{\text{bif}} = 10.025\hbar\omega$.




FIG. 8. E - T plot for the orbits bifurcated from the middle of the bridge orbits. The solid and broken lines represent the circular orbits and bridge between them, respectively. The open triangles indicate the bifurcation points of the bridges, and the straight lines on the top of them represent the new orbits. The units for T and E are same as in Fig. 4.

the arguments of the complex coefficients Z_{\pm} are arbitrary, and As displayed in the E - T plots in Fig. 8, POs with the same period $T = 2\pi k/\omega$ but having different

geometries (n, m, k) successively emerge with increasing energy.

V. SUMMARY

Classical motion was investigated in the extended phase space for the system of a spherical harmonic oscillator with simple spin-orbit coupling. General periodic solutions to the equations of motion were obtained and the bifurcation scenarios were fully clarified in both generic and specific values of the spin-orbit parameter. For arbitrary value of the spin-orbit parameter, there are two-parameter families of diametric and circular POs with frozen spin. There are two types of circular families C_{\pm} in which spin is parallel or antiparallel to the orbital angular momentum. These circular orbits encounter successive bifurcations with increasing energy and generate three-parameter bridge PO families. On its way from one circular PO to another, each bridge encounters another bifurcation and the four-parameter PO family emerges, which has a constant period and survives for any higher energy. For specific values of spin-orbit parameter, different types of periodic solutions are also possible, for both frozen and moving spins.

The Berry-Tabor formula for the system under consideration suggests 4-parameter family of POs with period T_{\pm} , but the 4-parameter families found in this work has period T_0 . The enhanced contribution of T_{\pm} orbits might be explained as the effect of successive bifurcations of the circular POs and may include the contribution of bridge orbits whose periods are between T_+ and T_- . Concerning to the reduced contribution of the 4-parameter family with period T_0 , cancellation may occur among the orbits proliferating with increasing energy and having different geometries. The trace formula in extended phase space [38] combined with the uniform approximations may solve these problems, that is left for a future subject.

Appendix A: Berry-Tabor Formula

From the eigenvalue spectrum (3), densities of states $g^{(\pm)}$ for $j = l \pm 1/2$ levels are given by

$$g^{(+)}(E) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+2) \delta\left(E - \hbar\omega\left(2n + l + \frac{3}{2} - \kappa l\right)\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l'=1}^{\infty} 2l' \delta\left(E - \hbar\omega\left(2n + l' + \frac{1}{2} - \kappa(l'-1)\right)\right),$$

$$g^{(-)}(E) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2l \delta\left(E - \hbar\omega\left(2n + l + \frac{3}{2} + \kappa(l+1)\right)\right) \quad (\text{A1})$$

By applying the Poisson's sum formula of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) = \frac{1}{2}f(0) + \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} d\nu e^{2\pi i N \nu} f(\nu), \quad (\text{A2})$$

to the both of the double sum in Eq. (A1) and taking one of the new sums over integers using the expansion formula of trigonometric function into fractions

$$\cot z = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z - n\pi} \quad \left(\equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{1}{z - n\pi} \right), \quad \operatorname{cosec}^2 z = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z - n\pi)^2}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

the Berry-Tabor formula is obtained as

$$g(E) = g^{(+)}(E) + g^{(-)}(E) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \bar{g}^{(\sigma)}(E) + \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \delta g_L^{(\sigma)}(E) + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \delta g_N^{(0)}(E), \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\bar{g}^{(\pm)}(E) = \frac{\epsilon_{\pm}^2}{2\hbar\omega(1 \mp \kappa)^2}, \quad \epsilon_{\pm} = \frac{E}{\hbar\omega} - 1 \pm \frac{1}{2} - \kappa \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\delta g_L^{(\pm)}(E) = \frac{2}{\hbar\omega(1 \mp \kappa)^2} \left(\epsilon_{\pm} \cot \frac{2\pi L}{1 \mp \kappa} \sin \frac{2\pi L}{1 \mp \kappa} \epsilon_{\pm} + \operatorname{cosec}^2 \frac{2\pi L}{1 \mp \kappa} \cos \frac{2\pi L}{1 \mp \kappa} \epsilon_{\pm} \right), \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\delta g_N^{(0)}(E) = -\frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \operatorname{cosec}^2(\kappa\pi N) \cos(2\pi N \epsilon_{\pm}), \quad (\text{A7})$$

which is equivalent to that in [36]. It appears to provide the trace formula (1) with contributions of POs with primitive periods $T_{\pm} = 2\pi/\omega(1 \mp \kappa)$ and $T_0 = 2\pi/\omega$, but the irrational ‘‘Maslov indices’’ and the amplitude factors have yet to be explained by the classical dynamics.

Appendix B: Other minor orbits with spin precession

For the sake of completeness, I consider here the $l_z = 0$ POs with spin precession, which are not considered in the main part because of their insignificant contribution to the trace formula. Note that $j = s_z$ and $l = s_{\perp}$, and the coefficients in Eq. (42) are related as

$$Z_0 = 0, \quad U_0 = -V_0, \quad U_{\pm} = V_{\pm} = \pm i Z_{\pm}.$$

Inserting these into the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} l_z &= \frac{M\omega}{4} (|u|^2 - |v|^2) = 0, \\ l_x + il_y &= \frac{M\omega}{2} (\zeta v^* - \zeta^* u) = -is_{\perp} e^{-i\omega_p t}, \\ E &= \frac{M\omega^2}{2} \left(\frac{|u|^2 + |v|^2}{2} + |\zeta|^2 \right) + \kappa s_{\perp}^2, \end{aligned}$$

one has

$$U_0 = 0, \quad |Z_+|^2 - |Z_-|^2 = \frac{s_{\perp}}{M\omega}, \quad |Z_+|^2 + |Z_-|^2 = \frac{E - \kappa s_{\perp}^2}{M\omega^2}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

For $Z_- = 0$, the periodicity condition is

$$\frac{\kappa s_z}{m} = \frac{\omega + \kappa s_{\perp}}{n}$$

and (s_z, s_{\perp}) are determined as function of κ for given set of (n, m) . The period is given by

$$T = \frac{2\pi n}{\omega + \kappa s_{\perp}} = \frac{2\pi m}{\kappa s_z},$$




FIG. 9. $l_z = 0$ PO with spin precession. Broken curves represent $Z_- = 0$ orbit (n, m) with $m = 1$, and the solid lines on top of the bifurcation point marked by open circles represent $Z_- \neq 0$ orbit (n, m, k) . Energy of PO (in units of $\hbar\omega$) is plotted as a function of the LS coupling strength κ (in units of ω/\hbar). Broken curves represent the PO with $Z_- = 0$, and the open dots represent bifurcation points. At those values of spin-orbit parameter κ , the 4 parameter PO families with $Z_- \neq 0$ having constant periods $T = 2\pi k/\omega$ ($k < n$) emerge, and they survive for higher energies.

where $n > \omega/\kappa s_{\perp}$ becomes a large integer for a typical value of κ for atomic nuclei ($\kappa \approx 0.06$) and corresponds to a long PO. As shown from Eq. (B1), this orbit can exist only at the energy

$$E = \omega s_{\perp} + \kappa s_{\perp}^2$$

and cannot contribute to the shell structure. With varying spin-orbit parameter κ , it disappears in the limit $s_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$ ($\kappa \rightarrow m\omega/ns$).

For $Z_- \neq 0$, the periodicity condition becomes

$$\frac{\kappa s_z}{m} = \frac{\omega + \kappa s_\perp}{n} = \frac{\omega - \kappa s_\perp}{n'} = \frac{\omega}{k} \quad (n' = 2k - n),$$

$$\frac{s_z}{s_\perp} = \frac{m}{n - k},$$

and the period is given by

$$T = \frac{2\pi k}{\omega}.$$

This condition is satisfied only by specific values of κ and certain spin orientations for which κs_z and κs_\perp are both commensurable with ω , but the orbit can exist for

continuous values of energy with coefficients

$$|Z_\pm|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{E - \kappa s_\perp^2}{M\omega^2} \pm \frac{s_\perp}{M\omega} \right), \quad E \geq E_{\text{bif}} = \omega s_\perp + \kappa s_\perp^2.$$

$k = m\omega/\kappa s_z > \omega/\kappa s$ is a large integer, which again gives a long PO. Figure 9 shows where the shortest $l_z = 0$ POs are found that exist around $\kappa = 0.12 \omega/\hbar$. In the case of $(n, m, k) = (25, 1, 24)$, for instance, one sees

$$s_z = s_\perp = \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \kappa = \frac{\omega}{24s_z} \doteq 0.118 \frac{\omega}{\hbar}, \quad E_{\text{bif}} \doteq 0.368 \hbar\omega.$$

-
- [1] Gutzwiller M C 1990 *Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, New York)
- [2] Tabor M 1989 *Chaos and Integrability in Nonlinear Dynamics* (Wiley, New York)
- [3] Ozorio de Almeida A M 1988 *Hamilton Systems: Chaos and Quantization* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge)
- [4] Brack M and Bhaduri R K 2003 *Semiclassical Physics* (Westview Press, Boulder)
- [5] Gutzwiller M C 1972 *J. Math. Phys.* **12** 10
- [6] Balian R and Bloch C 1972 *Ann. Phys.* **69** 76
- [7] Berry M V and Tabor M 1976 *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **349** 101
- [8] Creagh S C and Littlejohn R G 1991 *Phys. Rev. A* **44** 836
- [9] Creagh S C 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** 95
- [10] Sieber M 1996 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29** 4715
- [11] Schomerus H and Sieber M 1997 *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **30** 4537
- [12] Sieber M 1998 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 165
- [13] Bartsch T, Main J and Wunner G 1999 *Ann. Phys.* **277** 19–73
- [14] Magner A G, Fedotkin S N, Arita K, Misu T, Matsuyanagi K, Schachner T and Brack M 1999 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **102** 551
- [15] Magner A G and Arita K 2017 *Phys. Rev. E* **96** 042206
- [16] Strutinsky V M and Magner A G 1976 *Sov. J. Part. Nucl.* **7** 138
- [17] Strutinsky V M, Magner A G, Ofengenden S R and Døssing T 1977 *Z. Phys. A* **283** 269
- [18] Nishioka H, Hansen K and Mottelson B R 1990 *Phys. Rev. B* **42** 9377
- [19] Frisk H 1990 *Nucl. Phys. A* **511** 309–323
- [20] Arita K 2016 *Physica Scripta* **91** 063002
- [21] Blaschke J and Brack M 2000 *Europhys. Lett.* **50** 293
- [22] Weiss D, Richter K, Menshig A, Bergmann R, Schweizer H and von Klitzing K 1993 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26** 4118
- [23] Hackenbroich G and von Oppen F 1995 *Z. Phys. B* **97** 157–170
- [24] Arita K and Matsuyanagi K 1995 *Nucl. Phys. A* **592** 9–32
- [25] Magner A G, Arita K, Fedotkin S N and Matsuyanagi K 2002 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **108** 853
- [26] Arita K and Brack M 2008 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 385207
- [27] Arita K and Brack M 2008 *Phys. Rev. E* **77** 056211
- [28] Arita K and Mukumoto Y 2014 *Phys. Rev. C* **89** 054308
- [29] Mayer M G and Jensen J H D 1955 *Elementary Theory of Nuclear Shell Structure* (Wiley, New York)
- [30] Bohr A and Mottelson B R 1969 *Nuclear Structure Vol. I* (W. A. Benjamin INC, Reading)
- [31] Ring P and Shuck P 1980 *The Nuclear Many-Body Problem* (Springer-Verlag, New York)
- [32] Yabana H and Horiuchi H 1987 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **77** 517
- [33] Littlejohn R G and Flynn W G 1991 *Phys. Rev. A* **44** 5239
- [34] Littlejohn R G and Flynn W G 1992 *Phys. Rev. A* **45** 7697
- [35] Frisk H and Guhr T 1993 *Ann. Phys.* **221** 229
- [36] Amann C and Brack M 2002 *J. of Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** 6009–6032
- [37] Kuratusji H and Suzuki T 1980 *J. Math. Phys.* **21** 472
- [38] Pletyukhov M and Zaitsev O 2003 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** 5181–5210
- [39] Pletyukhov M, Amann C, Mehta M and Brack M 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** 116601
- [40] Nilsson S G 1955 *Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk.* **29** No. 16
- [41] Rozmej P and Arvieu R 1992 *Nucl. Phys. A* **545** 497c
- [42] Klauder J R 1979 *Phys. Rev. D* **19** 2349