

Rogue wave patterns associated with Adler-Moser polynomials in the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Tingyou Wang^a, Zhenyun Qin^{a,*}, Gui Mu^b, Fuzhuang Zheng^a

^a*School of Mathematics, Key Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, PR China*

^b*School of Mathematics, Kunming University, Kunming, Yunnan 650214, PR China*

Abstract

In this work, we focus on new rogue waves patterns in the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation. Utilizing the asymptotic analysis approach, we show that when multiple free parameters get considerably large, its rogue wave patterns can be approximately predicted by the root structures of the Adler-Moser polynomials. Adler-Moser polynomials, as a generalization of Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomial hierarchies have more diverse root structures, such as heart-shaped. We divide the (x, t) -plane into three regions and through the combination of asymptotic results in different regions, novel rogue wave patterns can be obtained. We also compare the predicted outcomes with true solutions both graphically and numerically to demonstrate good agreement between them.

Keywords: Nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Rogue wave patterns, Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy reduction, Adler-Moser polynomials

1. Introduction

Rogue waves originate from oceanography and describe unexpectedly large displacements from an equilibrium position or an otherwise tranquil background [1]. In recent years, such waves were linked to the other physical systems, such as Bose-Einstein condensates [2], optical fibers [3, 4], water

*Corresponding author

Email address: zyqin@fudan.edu.cn (Zhenyun Qin)

tanks [5–7], superfluid helium [8, 9] and plasma [10]. Due to their physical importance, rogue waves have received intensive experimental and theoretical studies in the past decades [11–13]. Mathematically, the rational solution (also called Peregrine solution) of focusing nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation is initially used to explain such rogue wave phenomenon in these contexts. Starting with the pioneer work made by Akhmediev research group [14], various techniques have been taken to derive the N th-order rational solutions of NLS equation [15–18]. Even though the mechanisms behind their generation still need to be explored, analytical expressions of rogue waves have been derived in a wide variety of integrable physical models, such as Manakov system [19], Sasa-Satsuma equation [20] and many others. To construct rational solutions of integrable systems, several effective methods are available, such as Darboux transformation method [21–26], Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy reduction technique [16, 27–33], and inverse scattering transform [34].

The investigation of rogue wave patterns holds significant importance, as it enables the prediction of later rogue wave events through historical rogue wave forms. Rogue wave patterns were first studied for the NLS equation, revealing that under specific parameters, its rogue waves can exhibit several specific geometric structures with symmetry and regularity, such as triangles, pentagons, and heptagons [35, 36]. These findings contribute significantly to the study of the dynamics of rogue waves and have certain implications for other fields as well. It is noteworthy that Yang and Yang pioneered the discovery that by setting some free parameter to be vary large, rogue wave patterns in the NLS equation are related to the root system of the root structures of Yablonskii-Vorob’ev polynomial hierarchies [37]. Specifically, the patterns can be approximately predicted by the roots of Yablonskii-Vorob’ev polynomial hierarchies through rotation and contraction. Further research shows that rogue waves can be associated with the root structures of more polynomial hierarchies, such as Yablonskii-Vorob’ev polynomial hierarchies [38], Okamoto polynomial hierarchies [39, 40], generalized Wronskian Hermite polynomial hierarchies [41, 42], and Adler-Moser polynomials [43, 44]. For rogue waves whose τ -functions have jump-3 or arbitrary jump structures, Okamoto polynomial hierarchies and generalized Wronskian Hermite polynomial hierarchies respectively provide effective predictive frameworks for these rogue wave patterns [39–42].

Very recently, Zhang and Wu found that for the 1+1-dimensional nonlocal NLS equation, by dividing the plane into several regions and making two free

parameters get large, root structures of two different Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomials can be used to predict its rogue wave patterns [45]. When multiple free parameters are appropriately scaled to large values, it can be found that the rogue wave patterns are related to the root structures of Adler-Moser polynomials, which are more concise and diversified than the relationship with Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomial hierarchies [43].

As an extension of the classical NLS equation, there has been extensive research on nonlocal NLS equations [46–50]. It is worth to mention that the nonlocal NLS equation with \mathcal{PT} -symmetry

$$iu_t(x, t) = u_{xx}(x, t) + 2u^2(x, t)u^*(-x, t), \quad (1)$$

proposed by Ablowitz and Musslimani possesses rogue wave solutions [46], where i denotes the imaginary unit, $u(x, t)$ is a complex-valued function of the real variables x and t , the "*" represents the complex conjugation, and the subscripts x and t indicate the derivatives with respect to x and t , respectively. The \mathcal{PT} -symmetry means equation (1) is invariant under space inversion $x \rightarrow -x$ and time reversal $t \rightarrow -t$ [51]. Its rogue waves were derived by Darboux transformation [52], and three families of rogue waves were reported, which means the nonlocal NLS equation has a much wider variety of rogue waves than the local one. With the KP reduction method, one of the most explicit forms of its rogue wave solutions was given by Yang and Yang [53]. Inspired by the above researches, a natural question arises: Can we use Adler-Moser polynomials to predict the rogue wave patterns of the nonlocal NLS equation to obtain more diverse geometric structures?

In this paper, we show that by dividing the (x, t) -plane into different regions and making the two sets of free parameters get larger values respectively, we can predict the shapes of rogue waves asymptotically by root structures of Adler-Moser polynomials through a dilation and a rotation. As a consequence, we can get some new rogue wave patterns in the nonlocal NLS equation.

This paper is organized in the way below. Firstly in Section 2, general rogue waves of the nonlocal NLS equation are presented by applying the KP hierarchy reduction technique, and Adler-Moser polynomials will also be introduced. In Section 3 the rogue wave patterns of the nonlocal NLS equation predicted by root structures of Adler-Moser polynomials are presented, which is the main result of this paper. In Section 4 we show some rogue wave patterns for specific parameters, and compares the predicted results with the

real results. In Section 5, we give the proof of the result in Section 3. Finally we summarize the main results of this paper in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

We will consider the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the form (1) and give its rogue wave solutions with τ -functions.

2.1. Rogue wave solutions of the nonlocal NLS equation

Nonlinear wave solutions in the nonlocal NLS equation such as solitons, breathers, and rogue waves has been derived by various methods before [47–49, 52, 53]. The most explicit forms of the rogue wave solutions are the ones that were derived by the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili reduction method in Ref. [53] and then further simplified in Ref. [45].

The Schur polynomials $S_n(\mathbf{x})$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ are defined via the generating function

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k(\mathbf{x}) \epsilon^k = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \epsilon^k \right). \quad (2)$$

Specifically, we have

$$S_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1, \quad S_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1, \quad S_2(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + x_2, \dots,$$

$$S_k(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{l_1+2l_2+\dots+ml_m=k} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{x_i^{l_i}}{l_i!} \right),$$

and for $k < 0$, we define $S_k(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$.

Lemma 1. *The nonlocal NLS equation (1) has rational solutions*

$$u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t) = e^{-2it} \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0}, \quad (3)$$

with

$$\sigma_n = \begin{vmatrix} \Gamma_{1,1}^{(n)} & \Gamma_{1,2}^{(n)} \\ \Gamma_{2,1}^{(n)} & \Gamma_{2,2}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

and the $N_i \times M_i$ matrices $\Gamma_{i,j}^{(n)}$ are given by

$$\Gamma_{i,j}^{(n)} = \left(m_{2k-i, 2l-j}^{(n)} \Big|_{p=1, q=1} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N_i, 1 \leq l \leq M_j}, \quad (5)$$

where N_1, N_2, M_1 and M_2 are integers satisfying $N_1 + N_2 = M_1 + M_2$. The definition of elements $m_{i,j}^{(n)}$ is

$$m_{i,j}^{(n)} = \sum_{v=0}^{\min(i,j)} \frac{1}{4^v} S_{i-v}(\mathbf{x}^+(n) + v\mathbf{s}) S_{j-v}(\mathbf{x}^-(n) + v\mathbf{s}) \quad (6)$$

with vectors $\mathbf{x}^\pm(n) = (x_1^\pm, 0, x_3^\pm, 0, \dots)$ and \mathbf{s} defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^+(n) &= x - 2it + n + a_1, & x_1^-(n) &= x + 2it - n + b_1, \\ x_{2k+1}^+ &= \frac{x - 2^{2k+1}it}{(2k+1)!} + a_{2k+1}, & x_{2k+1}^- &= \frac{x + 2^{2k+1}it}{(2k+1)!} + b_{2k+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k \lambda^k = \ln \left(\frac{2}{\lambda} \tanh \frac{\lambda}{2} \right),$$

where $a_k, b_k \in i\mathbb{R}$ are free parameters.

The τ function in these rogue wave solutions possesses a 2×2 block matrix and the matrix element $m_{i,j}^{(n)}$ has only one summation symbol. This simplified form will facilitate the analysis of the rogue wave patterns.

2.2. The Adler-Moser polynomial and their root structures

In this subsection, we present the definition of the Adler-Moser polynomials, which are related to rational solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation and point vortex dynamics [54–56].

The Adler-Moser polynomials $\Theta_N(z)$ can be written as a $N \times N$ determinant

$$\Theta_N(z) = c_N \begin{vmatrix} \theta_1(z) & \theta_0(z) & \cdots & \theta_{2-N}(z) \\ \theta_3(z) & \theta_2(z) & \cdots & \theta_{4-N}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \theta_{2N-1}(z) & \theta_{2N-2}(z) & \cdots & \theta_N(z) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

where $\theta_k(z)$ are Schur polynomials defined by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_k(z) \epsilon^k = \exp \left(z\epsilon + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \kappa_j \epsilon^{2j+1} \right), \quad (9)$$

$\theta_k \equiv 0$ if $k < 0$, $c_N = \prod_{j=1}^N (2j-1)!!$, and κ_j ($j \geq 1$) are arbitrary complex constants. Note that the choice of the κ_j constants is more flexible than the choice in Ref. [56], which will be more convenient for our purpose.

The first few Adler-Moser polynomials are

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1(z) &= z, \\ \Theta_2(z) &= z^3 - 3\kappa_1, \\ \Theta_3(z) &= z^6 - 15\kappa_1 z^3 + 45\kappa_2 z - 45\kappa_1^2, \\ \Theta_4(z) &= z^{10} - 45\kappa_1 z^7 + 315\kappa_2 z^5 - 1575\kappa_3 z^3, \\ &\quad + 4725\kappa_1 \kappa_2 z^2 - 4725\kappa_1^3 z - 4725\kappa_2^2 + 4725\kappa_1 \kappa_3. \end{aligned}$$

Some properties of the Adler-Moser polynomials $\Theta_N(z)$ will be listed below.

- It is easy to see from (9) that $\theta'_k(z) = \theta_{k-1}(z)$, where the prime denotes derivative with respect to z . Therefore, $\Theta_N(z)$ can be written as a Wronskian determinant

$$\Theta_N(z) = \text{Wr} [\theta_1(z), \theta_3(z), \dots, \theta_{2N-1}(z)].$$

- These Adler-Moser polynomials $\Theta_N(z)$ are monic with degree $N(N+1)/2$. It can be seen by noticing that the highest z term of θ_k is $z^k/k!$, so $\Theta_N(z)$ in (8) with element θ_k replaced by its highest z term can be calculated as $z^{N(N+1)/2}$.
- When all constants κ_j ($j \geq 1$) are set as zero except for one of them, the Adler-Moser polynomials will reduce to the Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomial hierarchies, which are linked to rogue wave patterns when two free parameters are large.

It is important to study root structures of the Adler-Moser polynomials, since they are linked to the rogue wave patterns in the later text. Their root structures are much more diverse than root structures of Yablonskii-Vorob'ev

hierarchy due to the choice of the free complex parameters $\{\kappa_j\}$. Actually, when all parameters κ_j are setting as zero except one of them, we can get root structures of Yablonskii-Vorob'ev hierarchy polynomials, which have various shapes such as triangles, pentagons and heptagons. When we continuous change those values of κ_j , the root structures will smoothly deform from one type to another. Indeed, if a root happens to be a multiple root, it can split into several simple roots when we give a small perturbation to $\{\kappa_j\}$. Therefore, we will assume that all roots of $\Theta_N(z)$ are simple in this artical, which means that $\Theta_N(z)$ has $N(N + 1)/2$ simple roots.

To illustrate some root structures of the Adler-Moser polynomials, we choose three sets of $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4)$ for $\Theta_5(z; \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4)$ as

$$(i, i, i, i), (1, 1, 1, 1), (i/4, 3i/10, -8i, i/9). \quad (10)$$

Their root structures are displayed in Figure 1.




Figure 1: The root structures of $\Theta_5(z)$ for parameter values $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4)$ given in (10).

3. Analytical predictions for rogue patterns with multiple large internal parameters

In this subsection we consider the analytical predictions for rogue patterns of the nonlocal NLS equation with multiple large internal parameters. Specifically, suppose parameters $(a_3, a_5, \dots, a_{2N-1})$ and $(b_3, b_5, \dots, b_{2N-1})$ in $u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t)$ are of the following form

$$a_{2j+1} = \kappa_j A^{2j+1}, \quad b_{2j+1} = l_j B^{2j+1}, \quad (11)$$

where $1 \leq j \leq N - 1$ and $A, B \gg 1$ are large positive constant, and $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{N-1}), (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{N-1})$ are $O(1)$ complex constants not being all zero. Suppose that roots of the Adler-Moser polynomials $\Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(z)$ with parameters $\{\kappa_j\}$ and $\Theta_N^{[l]}(z)$ with parameters $\{l_j\}$ are all simple. Then we have the following theorem about the analytical prediction on the patterns of rogue wave solution $u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t)$.

Theorem 1. *If all roots of Adler-Moser polynomials $\Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(z)$ and $\Theta_N^{[l]}(z)$ are simple. Under the parameter restrictions that*

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2j+1} &= \kappa_j A^{2j+1}, & b_{2j+1} &= l_j B^{2j+1}, \\ A, B &\gg 1 & \text{and} & \quad A^2 = O(B) \end{aligned}$$

we have the following asymptotic result on the solution $u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t)$ in the (x, t) -plane.

- *In the outer region on the (x, t) -plane, where $\sqrt{x^2 + t^2} = O(B)$, the (N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2) -th order rogue waves separate into several single rogue waves. These single waves are*

$$\hat{u}_1(x, t) = e^{-2it} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(x - \tilde{x}_{1,0}) + 2i(t - \tilde{t}_{1,0})} \right), \quad (12)$$

where their positions $(\tilde{x}_{1,0}, \tilde{t}_{1,0})$ satisfy

$$\tilde{x}_{1,0} + 2i\tilde{t}_{1,0} = B\tilde{z}_{1,0},$$

and $\tilde{z}_{1,0}$ is a nonzero simple root of $\Theta_{\delta_M}^{[l]}(z)$.

- *In the middle region on the (x, t) -plane, where $\sqrt{x^2 + t^2} = O(A)$, the (N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2) -th order rogue waves separate into several single rogue waves. These single waves are*

$$\tilde{u}_1(x, t) = e^{-2it} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(x - \tilde{x}_{2,0}) - 2i(t - \tilde{t}_{2,0})} \right) \quad (13)$$

where their positions $(\tilde{x}_{2,0}, \tilde{t}_{2,0})$ satisfy

$$\tilde{x}_{2,0} - 2i\tilde{t}_{2,0} = A\tilde{z}_{2,0},$$

and $\tilde{z}_{2,0}$ is a nonzero root of $\Theta_{\delta_N}^{[\kappa]}(z)$.

- In the inner region on the (x, t) -plane, where $\sqrt{x^2 + t^2} = O(1)$. If zero is not a root of $\Theta_{\delta_N}^{[\kappa]}(z)$ or $\Theta_{\delta_M}^{[l]}(z)$, the (N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2) -th order rogue waves $u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t)$ asymptotically approaches the constant background e^{-2it} as $A, B \rightarrow +\infty$. Otherwise, there are three cases need to be considered as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
& u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t) \\
&= \begin{cases} e^{-2it} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x+2it}\right) (1 + O(A^{-2})), & \text{if } \Theta_{\delta_N}^{[\kappa]}(0) \neq 0, \Theta_{\delta_M}^{[l]}(0) = 0, \\ e^{-2it} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x-2it}\right) (1 + O(A^{-1})), & \text{if } \Theta_{\delta_N}^{[\kappa]}(0) = 0, \Theta_{\delta_M}^{[l]}(0) \neq 0, \\ e^{-2it} \left(1 + \frac{16it-4}{4x^2+16t^2+1}\right) (1 + O(A^{-1})), & \text{if } \Theta_{\delta_N}^{[\kappa]}(0) = \Theta_{\delta_M}^{[l]}(0) = 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

4. Comparison between true rogue patterns and analytical predictions

4.1. Single rogue waves in Theorem1

There are three types single rogue waves mentioned in Theorem1, and we will illustrated them in this subsection. Setting $(N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2) = (0, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1)$ and $(1, 0, 1, 0)$ in Lemma1 respectively, we can obtain these specific rogue waves as

$$u_{0110}(x, t) = e^{-2it} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x + 2it}\right), \tag{15}$$

$$u_{1001}(x, t) = e^{-2it} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x - 2it}\right), \tag{16}$$

$$u_{1010}(x, t) = e^{-2it} \left(1 + \frac{16it - 4}{16t^2 + 4x^2 + 1}\right). \tag{17}$$

It is easy to see that $u_{1010}(x, t)$ is a Peregrine soliton while the other two with singularities. The corresponding figures are shown in Figure 2.

It is worth noting that only $u_{0110}(x - \tilde{x}_{1,0}, t - \tilde{t}_{1,0})$ will appear in the outer region and only $u_{1001}(x - \tilde{x}_{2,0}, t - \tilde{t}_{2,0})$ will appear in the middle region in the asymptotic expression of the rogue waves in Theorem1. However, in the inner region the asymptotic solutions for the three cases which don't approach the constant background happen to be $u_{0110}(x, t), u_{1001}(x, t)$ and $u_{1010}(x, t)$ respective.




Figure 2: Single rogue wave solutions in (15)-(17). From left to right are $u_{0110}(x,t)$, $u_{1001}(x,t)$, and $u_{1010}(x,t)$.

4.2. Rogue wave patterns in nonlocal NLS equation

In this subsection, we will compare the analytical predictions with true rogue wave patterns.

Firstly we consider the case $N_1 = M_1 = N, N_2 = M_2 = 0$, which means the τ -function is a single block matrix. When $N = 2, 3$, the predicted positions of rogue wave patterns and the real rogue waves with different free parameters κ_j correspond to Figures 3 and 4 respectively.




Figure 3: Comparison between analytical predictions and true $(2, 0, 2, 0)$ -th rogue solutions in nonlocal NLS equation for $A = 5$ with (a) $a_3 = 625i, b_3 = -46875i$, (b) $a_3 = 625i, b_3 = 46875i$ from left to right.

Then we investigate rogue wave patterns in general patterns. As illustrated in Figure 6, for $(0, 4, 4, 0)$ -order rogue wave solutions, the selections of the free parameters $\{\kappa_j\}$ in Theorem1 facilitate the generation of some novel excitation patterns, such as heart-triangle, oval-triangle.




Figure 4: Comparison between analytical predictions (upper row) and true $(3, 0, 3, 0)$ -th rogue solutions (lower row) in nonlocal NLS equation for $A = 5$ with (a) $a_3 = 375i, a_5 = 3125, b_3 = -375i, b_5 = 3125i$, (b) $a_3 = 0, a_5 = 9375i, b_3 = -375i, b_5 = 3125i$, (c) $a_3 = 375i, a_5 = 3125i, b_3 = 0, b_5 = 9375i$, (d) $a_3 = 0, a_5 = 3125i, b_3 = 0, b_5 = 9375i$ from left to right.

4.3. Numerical confirmation

Now we numerically verify Theorem 1 by comparing its predictions with real rogue waves. This comparison will be done for the $(3, 0, 3, 0)$ -order rogue waves for brevity.

The analytical predictions $|u_{3030}^{(p)}(x, t)|$ can be assembled into a simple formula with Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u_{3030}^{(p)}(x, t)| &= \sum_{j=1}^{6-\delta_\kappa} \left(|u_{1001} \left(x - \hat{x}_{2,0}^{(j)}, t - \hat{t}_{2,0}^{(j)} \right)| - 1 \right) \\
 &+ \sum_{j=1}^{6-\delta_l} \left(|u_{0110} \left(x - \hat{x}_{1,0}^{(j)}, t - \hat{t}_{1,0}^{(j)} \right)| - 1 \right) + \hat{u}_0(x, t),
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $\delta_\kappa = \delta_{\Theta_3^{[\kappa]}(0),0}$, $\delta_l = \delta_{\Theta_3^{[l]}(0),0}$, and $\hat{u}_0(x, t)$ is the constant background 1 or the single rogue wave in the $O(1)$ neighborhood of the origin which is determined by Theorem 1. $(\hat{x}_{1,0}^{(j)}, \hat{t}_{1,0}^{(j)})$ and $(\hat{x}_{2,0}^{(j)}, \hat{t}_{2,0}^{(j)})$ are nonzero roots of the




Figure 5: Comparison between analytical predictions (upper row) and true $(0, 4, 4, 0)$ -th rogue solutions (lower row) in nonlocal NLS equation for $A = 6$ with (a) $a_3 = -648i/5, a_5 = 972i, b_3 = 216i, b_5 = 15552i, b_7 = 2239488i$, (b) $a_3 = 0, a_5 = 15552i, b_3 = 108i/5, b_5 = -23328i, b_7 = 139968i/5$, (c) $a_3 = 23328i/5, a_5 = 972i, b_3 = 54i, b_5 = 11664i/5, b_7 = -2239488i$ from left to right.

polynomials $\Theta_3^{[l]}(z)$ and $\Theta_3^{[k]}(z)$ respectively. Quantitatively, we can measure the error of the analytical predictions versus the A and B value.

5. Proof of Theorem1

Firstly we consider the case $N_1 = M_1 = N, N_2 = M_2 = 0$, which means the τ -function can be represented by a single block matrix. Then we will verify the rogue wave patterns by dividing the region into three parts. The proof for the general case where σ_n is a $K_1 \times K_2 (1 \leq K_1, K_2 \leq 2)$ block matrix is similar to Ref. [45].

The main idea of the proof of Theorem1 resembles that Theorem1 in Ref. [45] for two large internal parameter case, so we only sketch the main differences below.

As before, we use the determinant identities and the Laplace expansion to rewrite σ_n as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n &= \sum_{0 \leq v_1 < \dots < v_N \leq 2N-1} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \left[\frac{1}{2^{v_j}} S_{2i-1-v_j}(\mathbf{x}^+(n) + v_j \mathbf{s}) \right] \\ &\quad \times \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \left[\frac{1}{2^{v_j}} S_{2i-1-v_j}(\mathbf{x}^-(n) + v_j \mathbf{s}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

5.1. Outer region

When $\sqrt{x^2 + t^2} = O(B)$, by recalling the definition and properties of Schur polynomials, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(x^+(n) + vs) &= S_k(x_1^+, vs_2, x_3^+, vs_4, \dots) \\ &= B^k S_k(x_1^+ B^{-1}, vs_2 B^{-2}, x_3^+ B^{-3}, vs_4 B^{-4}, \dots) \\ &= B^k S_k((x - 2it)B^{-1}, 0, 0, \dots) (1 + O(B^{-2})) \\ &= S_k(x - 2it, 0, 0, \dots) (1 + O(B^{-1})) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(x^-(n) + vs) &= S_k(x_1^-, vs_2, x_3^-, vs_4, \dots) \\ &= B^k S_k(x_1^- B^{-1}, vs_2 B^{-2}, x_3^- B^{-3}, vs_4 B^{-4}, \dots) \\ &= B^k S_k((x + 2it - n)B^{-1}, 0, l_1, 0, l_3, \dots) (1 + O(B^{-2})) \\ &= S_k(x + 2it - n, 0, l_1 B^3, 0, l_3 B^5, \dots) (1 + O(B^{-2})). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Then we can relate these Schur polynomials to $\theta_k^{[l]}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(x - 2it, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots) &= \frac{(x - 2it)^k}{k!}, \\ S_k(x + 2it - n, 0, l_1 B^3, 0, l_3 B^5, \dots) &= B^k \theta_k^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where

$$\tilde{z}_1 = B^{-1}(x + 2it - n). \quad (23)$$

Using these formulas, we have

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} [S_{2i-j}(x^+(n) + v_j \mathbf{s})] = \gamma(x - 2it)^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} (1 + O(B^{-1})) \quad (24)$$

and

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} [S_{2i-j}(x^-(n) + v_j s)] = c_N^{-1} B^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} \Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1)(1 + O(B^{-2})), \quad (25)$$

with γ is a real constant and $c_N = \prod_{j=1}^N (2j - 1)!!$.

Since the highest order term of σ_n in (19) comes from the index choices of $v_j = j - 1$, we have

$$\sigma_n(x, t) = \frac{\gamma c_N^{-1}}{2^{N(N-1)}} B^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} (x - 2it)^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} \Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1)(1 + O(B^{-1})). \quad (26)$$

It is easy to see that in the outer region

$$\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0} \sim 1$$

except at or near (x, t) locations $(\tilde{x}_{1,0}, \tilde{t}_{1,0})$, where

$$\tilde{z}_{1,0} = B^{-1} (\tilde{x}_{1,0} + 2i\tilde{t}_{1,0}) \quad (27)$$

is a nonzero root of the polynomial $\Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1)$.

When (x, t) is in the $O(1)$ neighborhood of $(\tilde{x}_{1,0}, \tilde{t}_{1,0})$, we expand $\Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1)$ around $\tilde{z}_1 = \tilde{z}_{1,0}$. Recalling $\Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_{1,0}) = 0$, we have

$$\Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1) = B^{-1} [(x - \tilde{x}_{1,0}) + 2i(t - \tilde{t}_{1,0}) - n] \left(\Theta_N^{[l]} \right)'(\tilde{z}_{1,0})(1 + O(B^{-1})). \quad (28)$$

Inserting this equation into (26), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(x, t) &= \frac{\gamma c_N^{-1}}{2^{N(N-1)}} B^{\frac{N(N+1)-2}{2}} [(x - \tilde{x}_{1,0}) + 2i(t - \tilde{t}_{1,0}) - n] \\ &\quad \times (x - 2it)^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} \left(\Theta_N^{[l]} \right)'(\tilde{z}_{1,0})(1 + O(B^{-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

It should be noted that the highest order term in (19) of the index choices $v = (1, 2, \dots, N - 2, N)$ is not the leading order term in this case, which is different from local cases. Since the root $\tilde{z}_{1,0}$ has been assumed simple, $\left(\Theta_N^{[l]} \right)'(\tilde{z}_{1,0}) \neq 0$. Thus, the above leading order term asymptotics for σ_n does

not vanish. Therefore, when A, B is large and (x, t) in the $O(1)$ neighborhood of $(\tilde{x}_{1,0}, \tilde{t}_{1,0})$, by setting n to 0 and 1 respectively in (29), we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} & u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t) \\ &= e^{-2it} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(x - \tilde{x}_{1,0}) + 2i(t - \tilde{t}_{1,0})} \right) (1 + O(B^{-1})), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

which is the single rogue wave $u_{0110}(x - \tilde{x}_{1,0}, t - \tilde{t}_{1,0})$, and the error of this prediction is $O(B^{-1})$

5.2. Middle region

In the middle region $\sqrt{x^2 + t^2} = O(A)$, we should impose some additional restrictions on the large parameters A and B to avoid confusion between the root systems of $\Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(z)$ and $\Theta_N^{[l]}(z)$.

For fixed N , we denote

$$R_N = \min \left\{ |\tilde{z}_1| \mid \tilde{z}_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1) = 0 \right\}, \quad (31)$$

and choose sufficiently large A and B such that

$$O(A) \ll BR_N = O(A^2) = O(B).$$

This indicates that $\Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1)$ has no zeros in the middle region $\sqrt{x^2 + t^2} = O(A)$ in this case. Similar to the case in the outer region, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_k(x^+(n) + vs) \\ &= S_k(x_1^+, vs_2, x_3^+, vs_4, \dots) \\ &= A^k S_k(x_1^+ A^{-1}, vs_2 A^{-2}, x_3^+ A^{-3}, vs_4 A^{-4}, \dots) \\ &= A^k S_k((x - 2it + n)A^{-1}, 0, \kappa_1, 0, \kappa_2 \dots) (1 + O(A^{-2})) \\ &= S_k(x - 2it + n, 0, \kappa_1 A^3, 0, \kappa_2 A^5 \dots) (1 + O(A^{-2})), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and

$$S_k(x^-(n) + vs) = S_k(x + 2it - n, 0, l_1 B^3, 0, l_3 B^5 \dots) (1 + O(B^{-2})). \quad (33)$$

Therefore, we can relate these Schur polynomials to $\theta_k^{[\kappa]}(z)$ and $\theta_k^{[l]}(z)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & S_k(x - 2it + n, 0, \kappa_1 A^3, 0, \kappa_3 A^5, \dots) = A^k \theta_k^{[\kappa]}(\tilde{z}_2), \\ & S_k(x + 2it - n, 0, l_1 B^3, 0, l_3 B^5, \dots) = B^k \theta_k^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where

$$\tilde{z}_2 = A^{-1}(x - 2it + n), \quad (35)$$

and \tilde{z}_1 has been given by (23).

Using these formulas, we have

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} [S_{2i-j}(x^+(n) + v_j s)] = c_N^{-1} A^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} \Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(\tilde{z}_2)(1 + O(A^{-2})) \quad (36)$$

and

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} [S_{2i-j}(x^-(n) + v_j s)] = c_N^{-1} B^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} \Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1)(1 + O(A^{-4})). \quad (37)$$

The highest order term of σ_n in this case still comes from the index choices of $v_j = j - 1$, so we have

$$\sigma_n(x, t) = \frac{c_N^{-2}}{2^{N(N-1)}} A^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} B^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} \Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(\tilde{z}_2) \Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1) (1 + O(A^{-2})). \quad (38)$$

Thus, we can deduct that

$$\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0} \sim 1$$

except at or near (x, t) locations $(\tilde{x}_{2,0}, \tilde{t}_{2,0})$, where

$$\tilde{z}_{2,0} = A^{-1}(\tilde{x}_{2,0} - 2i\tilde{t}_{2,0}) \quad (39)$$

is a nonzero root of the polynomial $\Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(\tilde{z}_2)$.

When (x, t) is in the $O(1)$ neighborhood of $(\tilde{x}_{2,0}, \tilde{t}_{2,0})$, we have the similar expansion as the outer region

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(\tilde{z}_2) &= A^{-1} [(x - \tilde{x}_{2,0}) - 2i(t - \tilde{t}_{2,0}) + n] \\ &\times \left(\Theta_N^{[\kappa]} \right)'(\tilde{z}_{2,0})(1 + O(A^{-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Inserting it into (38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(x, t) &= \frac{c_N^{-2}}{2^{N(N-1)}} A^{\frac{N(N+1)-2}{2}} B^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} [(x - \tilde{x}_{1,0}) - 2i(t - \tilde{t}_{1,0}) + n] \\ &\times \left(\Theta_N^{[\kappa]} \right)'(\tilde{z}_{2,0}) \Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_{2,0})(1 + O(A^{-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Since the root $\tilde{z}_{2,0}$ has been assumed simple and $\Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1)$ has no zeros in the middle region, $\left(\Theta_N^{[l]}\right)'(\tilde{z}_{2,0})\Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_{2,0}) \neq 0$. Thus, the above leading order term asymptotics for σ_n does not vanish. Therefore, when A, B is large and (x, t) in the $O(1)$ neighborhood of $(\tilde{x}_{2,0}, \tilde{t}_{2,0})$, we get from (41) that

$$\begin{aligned} & u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t) \\ &= e^{-2it} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(x - \tilde{x}_{2,0}) - 2i(t - \tilde{t}_{2,0})} \right) (1 + O(A^{-1})), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

which is the single rogue wave $u_{1001}(x - \tilde{x}_{2,0}, t - \tilde{t}_{2,0})$, and the error of this prediction is $O(A^{-1})$.

5.3. Inner Region

In the inner region $\sqrt{x^2 + t^2} = O(1)$, there are several cases to be considered for analytical prediction on the rogue wave patterns.

If 0 is neither a root of $\Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(z)$ nor a root of $\Theta_N^{[l]}(z)$, then we have

$$\sigma_n(x, t) = \frac{c_N^{-2}}{2^{N(N-1)}} A^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} B^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} \Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(0) \Theta_N^{[l]}(0) (1 + O(A^{-1})). \quad (43)$$

In this case, the highest order term asymptotic for σ_n does not vanish, so

$$u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t) = e^{-2it} \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0} \sim e^{-2it} \quad \text{as } A, B \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (44)$$

If 0 is a root of $\Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(z)$ but not a root of $\Theta_N^{[l]}(z)$, we can insert the expansion

$$\Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(\tilde{z}_2) = A^{-1} (x - 2it + n) \left(\Theta_N^{[\kappa]} \right)'(0) (1 + O(A^{-1})) \quad (45)$$

into (38), then we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(x, t) &= \frac{c_N^{-2}}{2^{N(N-1)}} A^{\frac{N(N+1)-2}{2}} B^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} (x - 2it + n) \\ &\quad \times \left(\Theta_N^{[\kappa]} \right)'(0) \Theta_N^{[l]}(0) (1 + O(A^{-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Therefore, the analytical prediction of rogue wave solution in this case is

$$u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t) = e^{-2it} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x - 2it} \right) (1 + O(A^{-1})) \quad (47)$$

If 0 is a root of $\Theta_N^{[l]}(z)$ but not a root of $\Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(z)$, similarly we insert the expansion

$$\Theta_N^{[l]}(\tilde{z}_1) = B^{-1} (x + 2it - n) \left(\Theta_N^{[l]} \right)' (0) (1 + O(A^{-2})) \quad (48)$$

into (38) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(x, t) &= \frac{c_N^{-2}}{2^{N(N-1)}} A^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} B^{\frac{N(N+1)-2}{2}} (x + 2it - n) \\ &\quad \times \left(\Theta_N^{[l]} \right)' (0) \Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(0) (1 + O(A^{-2})). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

The analytical prediction of rogue wave solution in this case is

$$u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t) = e^{-2it} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x + 2it} \right) (1 + O(A^{-2})). \quad (50)$$

In the last case that 0 is both a root of $\Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(z)$ and a root of $\Theta_N^{[l]}(z)$, the leading order term asymptotic for σ_n is different from the previous cases. The dominant contribution in the Laplace expansion (19) of σ_n comes from two index choices $v = (0, 1, \dots, N)$ and $v = (0, 1, \dots, N-2, N)$.

With the first index choice, the determinant involving $\mathbf{x}^+(n)$ inside the summation of (19) is asymptotically

$$\frac{c_N^{-1}}{2^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}} A^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} \Theta_N^{[\kappa]}(\tilde{z}_2) (1 + O(A^{-2})). \quad (51)$$

Inserting the expansion (45) into (51), the determinant involving $\mathbf{x}^+(n)$ becomes

$$\frac{c_N^{-1}}{2^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}} A^{\frac{N(N+1)-2}{2}} (x - 2it + n) \left(\Theta_N^{[\kappa]} \right)' (0) (1 + O(A^{-1})). \quad (52)$$

Similarly, the determinant involving $\mathbf{x}^-(n)$ inside this summation becomes

$$\frac{c_N^{-1}}{2^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}} B^{\frac{N(N+1)-2}{2}} (x + 2it - n) \left(\Theta_N^{[l]} \right)' (0) (1 + O(A^{-2})). \quad (53)$$

Next, we consider the contribution from the second index choice of $v = (0, 1, \dots, N-2, N)$. For this choice, the determinant involving $\mathbf{x}^+(n)$ becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{c_N^{-1}}{2^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}} A^{\frac{N(N+1)-2}{2}} \left(\Theta_N^{[\kappa]} \right)' (0) (1 + O(A^{-1})), \quad (54)$$

and the determinant involving $\mathbf{x}^-(n)$ inside this summation becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{c_N^{-1}}{2^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}} B^{\frac{N(N+1)-2}{2}} \left(\Theta_N^{[l]} \right)' (0) (1 + O(A^{-2})). \quad (55)$$

Summarizing the above two dominant contributions in the Laplace expansion (19), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(x, t) &= \frac{c_N^{-2}}{2^{N(N-1)}} A^{\frac{N(N+1)-2}{2}} B^{\frac{N(N+1)-2}{2}} \left(x^2 + 4t^2 + 4int - n^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \\ &\times \left(\Theta_N^{[\kappa]} \right)' (0) \left(\Theta_N^{[l]} \right)' (0) (1 + O(A^{-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Since the root 0 has been assumed simple, $\left(\Theta_N^{[\kappa]} \right)' (0) \left(\Theta_N^{[l]} \right)' (0) \neq 0$. Thus, the above leading-order term asymptotics for σ_n does not vanish. Therefore, we have

$$u_{N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2}(x, t) = e^{-2it} \left(1 + \frac{16it - 4}{4x^2 + 16t^2 + 1} \right) (1 + O(A^{-1})), \quad (57)$$

which is the single rogue wave $u_{1010}(x, t)$ and the error of this prediction is $O(A^{-1})$.

6. Conclusions

In summary, we demonstrate some new rogue waves patterns in the nonlocal NLS equation. Specifically, we show that when multiple free parameters get considerably large, rogue wave patterns of nonlocal NLS equation can be approximately predicted by the root structures of the Adler-Moser polynomials utilizing the asymptotic analysis approach. Adler-Moser polynomials as a generalization of Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomial hierarchies have more free parameters, so they have more diverse root structures, such as heart-shaped, fan-shaped and many others. Specially, we have divided the (x, t) -plane into three regions. In the outer and middle regions, the rogue wave patterns exhibit different distributions characterized by nonzero roots of two different Adler-Moser polynomials, while the inner region may contain a possible single rogue wave $u_{1010}(x, t)$, $u_{1001}(x, t)$, or $u_{0110}(x, t)$. Many novel rogue wave patterns can be obtained through the combination of patterns in different regions. We also present some comparisons between the predicted patterns and the real rogue waves, and numerically demonstrate that these predictions are in good agreement with the actual results.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12171098, 11571079, 11701322), The Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (No. 14ZR1403500), Shanghai Pujiang Program (No. 14PJD007).

References

- [1] K. Dysthe, H. E. Krogstad, P. Müller, Oceanic rogue waves, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 40 (2008) 287-310.
- [2] Y. V. Bludov, V. V. Konotop, N. Akhmediev, Matter rogue waves, *Phys. Rev. A* 80 (2009) 033610.
- [3] B. Kibler, J. Fatome, C. Finot, G. Millot, F. Dias, G. Genty, N. Akhmediev and J. M. Dudley, The Peregrine soliton in nonlinear fibre optics, *Nat. Phys.* 6 (2010) 790-795.
- [4] D. R. Solli, C. Ropers, P. Koonath and B. Jalali, Optical rogue waves, *Nature*, 450 (2007) 1054-1057.
- [5] A. Chabchoub, N. P. Hoffmann, and N. Akhmediev, Rogue wave observation in a water wave tank, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106 (2011), 204502.
- [6] A. Chabchoub, N. Hoffmann, M. Onorato and N. Akhmediev, Super rogue waves: observation of a higher-order breather in water waves, *Phys. Rev. X* 2 (2012) 011015.
- [7] A. Chabchoub, N. Hoffmann, M. Onorato, A. Slunyaev, A. Sergeeva, E. Pelinovsky, and N. Akhmediev, Observation of a hierarchy of up to fifth-order rogue waves in a water tank, *Phys. Rev. E* 86 (2012) 056601.
- [8] A. Ganshin, V. Efimov, G. Kolmakov, L. Mezhov-Deglin, and P. V. McClintock, Observation of an inverse energy cascade in developed acoustic turbulence in superfluid helium, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101 (2008) 065303.
- [9] V. Efimov, A. Ganshin, G. Kolmakov, P. McClintock, and L. Mezhov-Deglin, Rogue waves in superfluid helium, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* 185 (2010) 181-193.

- [10] H. Bailung, S. Sharma, and Y. Nakamura, Observation of Peregrine solitons in a multicomponent plasma with negative ions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107 (2011) 255005.
- [11] N. Akhmediev, J. M. Soto-Crespo and A. Ankiewicz, Extreme waves that appear from nowhere: On the nature of rogue waves, *Phys. Lett. A* 373 (2009) 2137-2145.
- [12] R. Grimshaw and A. Tovbis, Rogue waves: Analytical predictions, *Proc. Royal Soc.* 469 (2013) 20130094.
- [13] D. H. Peregrine, Water waves, nonlinear Schrödinger equations and their solutions, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. B* 25 (1983) 16-43.
- [14] N. Akhmediev, A. Ankiewicz and J. M. Soto-Crespo, Rogue waves and rational solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Phys. Rev. E* 80 (2009) 026601.
- [15] B. L. Guo, L. M. Ling, and Q. P. Liu, Nonlinear Schrödinger equation: Generalized Darboux transformation and rogue wave solutions, *Phys. Rev. E* 85 (2012) 026607.
- [16] Y. Ohta, J. Yang, General high-order rogue waves and their dynamics in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* 468 (2012) 1716-1740.
- [17] P. Dubard, P. Gaillard, C. Klein, and V. B. Matveev, On multi-rogue wave solutions of the NLS equation and positon solutions of the KdV equation, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* 185 (2010) 247-258.
- [18] G. Mu, Z. Y. Qin, Nth-Order Rogue Waves to Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation Revisited: A Variable Separation Technique, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 83 (2014) 104001.
- [19] L. M. Ling, B. L. Guo, and L. C. Zhao, High-order rogue waves in vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Phys. Rev. E* 89 (2014) 041201.
- [20] B. F. Feng, C. Shi, G. Zhang, and C. Wu, “Higher-order rogue wave solutions of the Sasa-Satsuma equation,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 55 (2022) 235701.

- [21] N. Akhmediev, A. Ankiewicz and J. M. Soto-Crespo, Rogue waves and rational solutions of the Hirota equation, Phys. Rev. E 81 (2010) 046602.
- [22] Y. S. Tao, J. S. He, Multisolitons, breathers, and rogue waves for the Hirota equation generated by the Darboux transformation, Phys. Rev. E 85 (2012) 026601.
- [23] Z. Y. Qin and G. Mu, Matter rogue waves in an $F=1$ spinor Bose-Einstein condensate, Phys. Rev. E, 86 (2012) 036601.
- [24] S. Xu, J. He, L. Wang, The Darboux transformation of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Phys. A. 44 (2011) 305203.
- [25] X. Wen, Z. Yan, Modulational instability and higher-order rogue waves with parameters modulation in a coupled integrable AB system via the generalized Darboux transformation, Chaos 25 (2015) 123115.
- [26] B. Guo, L. Ling, Q. P. Liu, High-order solutions and generalized Darboux transformations of derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Stud. Appl. Math. 130 (2013) 317-344.
- [27] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Solitons and infinite dimensional Lie algebras, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 19 (1983) 943-1001.
- [28] R. Hirota, The Direct Method in Soliton Theory, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [29] G. Mu and Z. Y. Qin, Rogue waves for the coupled Schrödinger-Boussinesq equation and the coupled Higgs Equation, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 81 (2012) 084001.
- [30] Y. Ohta and J. Yang, Rational solutions in the Davey-Stewartson I equation, Phys. Rev. E 86 (2012) 036604.
- [31] G. Mu and Z. Y. Qin, Two spatial dimensional N-rogue waves and their dynamics in Mélnikov equation, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 18 (2014) 1-13.
- [32] T.Y. Wang, Z.Y. Qin, G. Mu, F.Z. Zheng, General high-order rogue waves in the Hirota equation, Appl. Math. Lett. 140 (2023) 108571.

- [33] Z. Q. Yang, G. Mu, Z. Y. Qin, Modulation instability and rogue waves for two and three dimensional nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, *Chaos* 34 (2024) 093134.
- [34] M. J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*, SIAM (1981).
- [35] D. J. Kedziora, A. Ankiewicz, and N. Akhmediev, Circular rogue wave clusters, *Phys. Rev. E* 84, (2011) 056611.
- [36] D. J. Kedziora, A. Ankiewicz, and N. Akhmediev, Classifying the hierarchy of nonlinear-Schrödinger-equation rogue-wave solutions, *Phys. Rev. E* 88 (2013) 013207.
- [37] B. Yang and J. Yang, Rogue wave patterns in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Phys. D* 419 (2021) 132850.
- [38] B. Yang and J. Yang, Universal rogue wave patterns associated with the Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomial hierarchy, *Phys. D* 425 (2021) 132958.
- [39] B. Yang and J. Yang, Rogue wave patterns associated with Okamoto polynomial hierarchies, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 151 (2023) 60-115.
- [40] L. Ling and H. Su, Rogue waves and their patterns for the coupled Fokas Lenells equations, *Phys. D* 461 (2024) 134111.
- [41] G. Zhang, P. Huang, B. F. Feng, and C. Wu, Rogue waves and their patterns in the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* 33 (2023) 116.
- [42] H. Lin and L. Ling, Rogue wave pattern of multi-component derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Chaos* 34 (2024) 043126.
- [43] B. Yang and J. Yang, "Rogue wave patterns associated with Adler-Moser polynomials in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 148 (2024) 108871.
- [44] H. Lin and L. Ling, Rogue wave patterns associated with Adler-Moser polynomials featuring multiple roots in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 154 (2025) e12782.

- [45] G. X. Zhang, C. F. Wu, Rogue wave patterns in the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Phys. Fluids* 36 (2024) 117123.
- [46] M. J. Ablowitz, Z. H. Musslimani, Integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 110 (2013) 064105.
- [47] M. J. Ablowitz, Z. H. Musslimani, Inverse scattering transform for the integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Nonlinearity* 29 (2016) 915-946.
- [48] X. Y. Wen, Z. Yan, Y. Yang, Dynamics of higher-order rational solitons for the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the self-induced parity-time-symmetric potential. *Chaos* 26 (2016) 063123.
- [49] X. Huang, L. M. Ling, Soliton solutions for the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* 131 (2016) 148.
- [50] V. S. Gerdjikov, A. Saxena, Complete integrability of nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Math. Phys.* 58 (2017) 013502.
- [51] V. V. Konotop, J. Yang, and D. A. Zezyulin, Nonlinear waves in \mathcal{PT} -symmetric systems, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 88 (2016) 035002.
- [52] B. Yang, J. Yang, Rogue waves in the nonlocal \mathcal{PT} -symmetric nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 109 (2019) 945-973.
- [53] B. Yang and J. Yang, On general rogue waves in the parity-time-symmetric nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 487 (2020) 124023.
- [54] M. Adler, J. Moser, On a class of polynomials connected with the Korteweg-deVries equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 61 (1978) 1.
- [55] H. Aref, Vortices and polynomials, *Fluid Dyn. Res.* 39 (2007) 5.
- [56] P. A. Clarkson, Vortices and Polynomials, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 123 (2009) 37.