

A filtered Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg theorem for real Hochschild homology

Lucy Yang

March 4, 2025

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a notion of derived involutive algebras in C_2 -Mackey functors which simultaneously generalize commutative rings with involution and the (non-equivariant) derived algebras of Bhatt–Mathew and Raksit. We show that the ∞ -category of derived involutive algebras admits involutive enhancements of the cotangent complexes, de Rham complex, and de Rham cohomology functors; furthermore, their real Hochschild homology is defined. We identify a filtration on the real Hochschild homology of these derived involutive algebras via a universal property and show that its associated graded may be identified with the involutive de Rham complex. Using C_2 - ∞ -categories of Barwick–Dotto–Glasman–Nardin–Shah, we show that our filtered real Hochschild homology specializes to the HKR-filtered Hochschild homology considered by Raksit.

Contents

Contents	1
1 Introduction	2
1.1 The Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem	2
1.2 The main result	3
1.3 Related work	6
1.4 Outline	7
1.5 Notation & Conventions	8
1.6 Acknowledgements	8
2 Genuine equivariance	8
2.1 Parametrized ∞ -categories	9
2.2 G -spaces and G -spectra.	13
2.3 Genuine equivariant algebra	19
3 Filtered and graded objects	21
3.1 Definitions	22
3.2 Slices and truncations	27
4 Bialgebras and their modules	33
4.1 Parametrized bialgebras and tensor products	33
4.2 The Tate construction	43
5 Derived involutive algebra	45
5.1 Filtered monads	46
5.2 Derived rings with involution	49
5.3 Examples	56
5.4 Filtered and graded derived involutive rings	60
6 Involutive cohomological invariants	63

6.1	The involutive cotangent complex	63
6.2	Involutive derived de Rham complex	67
7	Real Hochschild homology	75
7.1	The involutive filtered circle	76
7.2	HKR-filtered real Hochschild homology	80
7.3	Filtered orbits, fixed points, Tate construction	81
7.4	Computations & comparisons	82
A	Parametrized module categories	86
A	References	90

1 Introduction

1.1 The Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem

There is a two-way dialogue between vector bundles and topology:

- ▶ the collection of all isomorphism classes of finite rank vector bundles on a compact topological space X reflects the homotopy type of X : For instance, the collection of complex line bundles on X up to isomorphism is in bijection with cohomology classes in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$; for a line bundle \mathcal{L} on X , its associated invariant in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is its first *Chern class* $c_1(\mathcal{L})$.
- ▶ vector bundles can in turn be used to study topology: If $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is a fiber bundle with compact fibers, then for each ℓ , the cohomology of the fibers $H^\ell(E_x; \mathbb{C})$ assemble into a complex vector bundle over X which reflects ‘how twisted’ the fiber bundle π is.

While two complex vector bundles on a compact space X which have the same Chern classes are not necessarily stably equivalent, we do know this: The homomorphism $\text{ch}: \text{KU}^0(X) \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ induced by sending a complex vector bundle \mathcal{V} on X to its Chern classes is a rational equivalence, i.e. $\text{ch} \otimes \mathbb{Q}: \text{KU}^0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism.

The collection of algebraic vector bundles on a scheme X reflects both the arithmetic and algebro-geometric structure of X . Because algebraic vector bundles are significantly less well-understood than their topological counterparts, algebraic Chern classes are crucial to understanding algebraic K-theory. The *algebraic Chern character* is constructed as follows for affine schemes. Let A be a commutative ring and B an commutative A -algebra; *Hochschild homology* $\text{HH}(B/A)$ is a simplicial commutative A -algebra with S^1 -action. There is a map $K(B) \rightarrow \text{HH}(B/A)$ called the Dennis trace, which factors through the homotopy fixed points of the S^1 -action: $K(B) \rightarrow \text{HH}(B/A)^{hS^1}$. That the Dennis trace and its corresponding lift to $\text{HH}(B/A)^{hS^1}$ comprise an algebraic analogue of the Chern character in part relies on the following classical result.

Theorem 1.1.1 ([HKR62]). *Let B be a smooth A -algebra. Then there are canonical isomorphisms $\text{HH}_*(B/A) \simeq \Omega_{B/A}^i$. Moreover, the S^1 -action on $\text{HH}(B/A)$ induces the de Rham differential on $\text{HH}_*(B/A) \simeq \Omega_{B/A}^*$.*

Theorem 1.1.1 is also a starting point for many recent advances in mixed characteristic cohomological invariants for schemes; let us note a few. This characterization of $\text{HH}(-/\mathbb{Z})$ implies powerful descent results for topological Hochschild homology, which in turn were used by Bhatt–Morrow–Scholze to relate TC and TC^- to syntomic and A_{inf} -cohomology, resp. [BMS19]. Furthermore, that the aforementioned trace map can be lifted to a filtered map is crucial to Elmanto–Morrow’s construction of motivic cohomology theory for not necessarily smooth schemes [EM23].

Observe that Theorem 1.1.1 could just as well be rephrased as: there exists a filtration on $\mathrm{HH}(B/A)$ whose associated graded is identified with the de Rham complex $\Omega_{B/A}^\bullet$. In recent work, Raksit has proven a systematic generalization of Theorem 1.1.1 which is functorial in B and simultaneously characterizes HH , the derived de Rham complex, and filtered Hochschild homology by universal property [Rak20]. Raksit’s result completely and precisely describes how the filtration interacts with both the S^1 -action and algebra structure on Hochschild homology.

Vector bundles often are naturally equipped with additional structure. For instance, if $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is a bundle of compact orientable $4n$ -manifolds over X equipped with a compatible family of orientations, then the vector bundle on X determined by $H^{2n}(E_x; \mathbb{R})$ acquires a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form via Poincaré duality. Such vector bundles with symmetric bilinear forms assemble into a cohomology theory called *real K-theory*; it may be regarded as an algebraic analogue of KO . More broadly, non-degenerate symmetric bilinear forms (or variants such as conjugate linear forms) play an important role in number theory, algebraic geometry, and algebraic surgery theory [Ati66; Con00; Jac17; Mil70; Qui72; Voe96; Wal62; Wit37]. Recent progress in enriched enumerative geometry uses real K-theory to explain the failure of certain counting formulas over non-algebraically closed fields [BW23; Lev20; McK21; SW21].

In this work, we will be interested in characteristic classes for real K-theory and the cohomology group(s) that they take values in. Hesselholt–Madsen have introduced real Hochschild homology, which receives a natural transformation from real K-theory [HM15; HNS]. Using said natural transformation, Cortiñas defined Stiefel–Whitney characteristic classes for real algebraic vector bundles [Cor93a]. The purpose of this paper is to prove a functorial Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg-style theorem for real Hochschild homology. In other words, we identify a natural filtration on real Hochschild homology and characterize its associated graded.

Before we proceed, let us comment on the nature of the desired result. Just as any vector bundle with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form has an underlying vector bundle, real K-theory has an underlying spectrum given by ordinary algebraic K-theory, real Hochschild homology has an underlying functor given by ordinary Hochschild homology, and so on. This paper shows not only that real Hochschild homology admits a functorial filtration, but also that on underlying objects, said filtration *agrees* with that of Theorem 1.1.1. The theory of C_2 -Mackey functors and C_2 - ∞ -categories (the latter due to Barwick–Dotto–Glasman–Nardin–Shah) allows us to systematically keep track of the relationship between our definitions and results and their non-involutive counterparts. Moreover, working with C_2 - ∞ -categories has theoretical significance, in addition to facilitating bookkeeping: The universal property of real Hochschild homology is internal to C_2 - ∞ -categories (compare [QS22]).

1.2 The main result

Let us elucidate a setting in which a real Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem can be expected to hold. Real topological Hochschild homology is a functor which takes C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras to C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras with S^0 -action; real Hochschild homology is a *relative* variation on the same construction. In order for real Hochschild homology to inherit coherently commutative multiplication, it must be taken relative to a base which has sufficient algebraic structure. Furthermore, while Hochschild homology is defined for any \mathbb{E}_∞ - \mathbb{Z} -algebra, the (non-equivariant) Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem applies only to commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebras and their derived counterparts. Thus, to formulate and prove a real enhancement of the Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem, we require these auxiliary results and constructions:

- Fix a discrete commutative ring k with an involution. Our earlier work implies that the fixed point C_2 -Mackey functor \underline{k} admits a canonical enhancement to a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra—in particular, there exists a notion of C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ - \underline{k} -algebras [Yan25]. It follows that the real topological Hochschild homology relative to \underline{k} is defined and takes C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ - \underline{k} -algebras to C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ - \underline{k} -algebras.
- We will extend the theory of nonconnective simplicial commutative k -algebras of Bhatt–Mathew to C_2 -Mackey functors over the fixed point C_2 -Mackey functor \underline{k} (Definition 5.2.12). We will call these objects *derived involutive \underline{k} -algebras*¹ and denote the category of such objects by $\mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma$. The objects of $\mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma$ bear a certain resemblance to Tambara functors, but they are less general; they may be thought of as derived versions of *cohomological C_2 -Tambara functors* (see Variant 5.3.11(2)). On the other hand, any derived k -algebra can be regarded canonically as a derived involutive \underline{k} -algebra by endowing it with the trivial C_2 -action (Remark 5.3.10). The category $\mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma$ admits forgetful functors both to C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ - \underline{k} -algebras and to the derived k -algebras of [Rak20]. It also admits all C_2 -colimits and C_2 -limits (Proposition 5.2.14); hence, the real Hochschild homology of (the underlying C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra of) a derived involutive \underline{k} -algebra A is itself a derived involutive \underline{k} -algebra.
- For any derived involutive algebra A , we introduce a notion of h_σ^+ -differential graded A -module. This is a twisted analogue of a homotopy coherent cochain complex: An object consists of a graded A -module $\{X_*\}_{* \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with differentials $d: \Sigma^\sigma X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ which square to zero in a homotopy coherent manner. On underlying objects, the differential $\Sigma^1 X_i^e \rightarrow X_{i+1}^e$ is antilinear with respect to the C_2 -action (see Remark 6.2.5). Furthermore, there is a notion of a derived involutive algebra in the category of h_σ^+ -dg A -modules (Definition 6.2.10), which we refer to as h_σ^+ -dg derived involutive A -algebras and denote by $\mathrm{DG}_+^\sigma \mathrm{DAlg}_A^\sigma$.

With these notions in place, we prove:

Theorem 1.2.1. *Let k be a discrete commutative ring with an involution, and let \underline{k} be the associated fixed point C_2 -Mackey functor (Example 2.3.5).*

- (1) (see §7.1) *There exists a functor called real Hochschild homology*

$$\mathrm{HR}(-/\underline{k}) : \mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma$$

which is a linearization of THR (Remark 7.1.5) and whose underlying object is Hochschild homology.

- (2) (Proposition 6.1.7) *There exists a functor called the involutive cotangent complex*

$$\mathbb{L}_{-/\underline{k}} : \mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\underline{k}};$$

for a derived involutive \underline{k} -algebra A , the underlying k -module of $\mathbb{L}_{A/\underline{k}}$ is the ordinary derived cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{A^e/k}$.

- (3) (Theorem 6.2.22) *There exists a functor called the involutive derived de Rham complex*

$$\mathbb{L}\Omega_{-/\underline{k}}^{\sigma, \bullet} : \mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{DG}_+^{\sigma, \geq 0} \mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma$$

¹In keeping with [Cal+23], one might prefer to call these *derived commutative \underline{k} -algebras with genuine involution*.

whose underlying h_+ -dg k -algebra is the derived de Rham complex of A^e over k in the sense of [Rak20, Definition 5.3.3]. For a derived involutive \underline{k} -algebra A , the underlying graded derived involutive \underline{k} -algebra of the involutive derived de Rham complex of A over \underline{k} can be computed as

$$\mathbb{L}\Omega_{A/\underline{k}}^{\sigma, \bullet} \simeq \mathbb{L}\mathrm{Sym}_A^\sigma(\Sigma^\sigma \mathbb{L}_{A/k}(1)),$$

where $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{Sym}_A^\sigma$ is the free graded derived involutive A -algebra functor.

- (4) (Theorem 7.2.6) Given $A \in \mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma$, there exists a natural decreasing $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -indexed filtration $\mathrm{fil}^{\geq \bullet} \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k})$ on $\mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k})$ with natural isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{gr}^\bullet \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k}) \simeq \mathbb{L}\Omega_{A/\underline{k}}^{\sigma, \bullet} \quad \mathrm{fil}^0 \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k}) \simeq \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k}).$$

Moreover, the underlying filtered object of $\mathrm{fil}^{\geq \bullet} \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k})$ is the filtered Hochschild homology of A^e over k in the sense of [Rak20].

We refer to the filtration in Theorem 1.2.1(4) as the *real Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem*, or the HKR filtration. We expect a characterization of the individual terms in the involutive de Rham complex in terms of an involutive analogue of derived exterior powers, but we defer this question to future work (see Remark 6.0.1).

Remark 1.2.2 (The filtered involutive circle). As in [Rak20], we characterize filtered real Hochschild homology by a universal property. In order to do this, we introduce the notion of a filtered S^σ -action (roughly, an action of S^σ which increases the filtration degree) on a \mathbb{Z} -module. A filtered S^σ -action on a filtered \mathbb{Z} -module determines a S^σ -action on its underlying \mathbb{Z} -module; its associated graded \mathbb{Z} has a “twisted” differential graded structure. The universal property of filtered real Hochschild homology is in the C_2 - ∞ -category of filtered derived involutive algebras with filtered S^σ -action. This definition is made possible by the existence of certain involutive bialgebra structure on \mathbb{Z}^{S^σ} , which itself hinges on a remarkable coincidence: the regular slice and Postnikov connective covers agree on \mathbb{Z}^{S^σ} .

Remark 1.2.3. In the non-involutive setting, one may *a posteriori* identify the resulting filtration on $\mathrm{HH}(A/k)$ (where k, A are discrete and A is smooth over k) with the Postnikov filtration. Here, we hope to take up the questions of whether our filtration on HR arises from a filtration intrinsic to the C_2 - ∞ -category of \underline{k} -modules and whether our filtration is complete in future work. However, let us note that existing work suggests that addressing these questions will be less straightforward than in the non-equivariant case (compare [HP23], in particular see Lemma 4.26).

We define filtrations on real negative cyclic homology and real periodic cyclic homology, which are equivariant analogues of negative cyclic homology and periodic cyclic homology. In this direction, we show:

Theorem 1.2.4. *Let k be a discrete commutative ring with an involution, and let \underline{k} be the associated fixed point C_2 -Mackey functor (Example 2.3.5).*

- (1) (see §6.2) *There exists a functor called the Hodge-filtered Hodge complete involutive de Rham cohomology ${}^\sigma \mathrm{dR}_{-/\underline{k}}^{\wedge, \geq i} : \mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\infty \mathrm{Alg}(\mathrm{Fil}^\wedge(\mathrm{Mod}_{\underline{k}}))$ whose underlying object is ordinary Hodge-filtered Hodge-completed derived de Rham cohomology.*

(2) (see §7.3) Let A be a derived involutive algebra over \underline{k} . There are decreasing \mathbb{Z} -indexed filtrations on real negative cyclic homology $\mathrm{fil}^\bullet \mathrm{HCR}^-$ and real periodic cyclic homology $\mathrm{fil}^\bullet \mathrm{HPR}$ with associated graded pieces given by

$$\mathrm{gr}^i \mathrm{HCR}^-(A/\underline{k}) \simeq {}^\sigma \mathrm{dR}_{A/\underline{k}}^{\wedge, \geq i}[\rho i] \quad \mathrm{gr}^i \mathrm{HPR}(A/\underline{k}) \simeq {}^\sigma \mathrm{dR}_{A/\underline{k}}^{\wedge}[\rho i] \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here $(-)[\rho i]$ denotes a shift by i copies of the regular representation sphere. These isomorphisms are compatible with the equivalences of [Rak20, Proposition 1.2.4].

Remark 1.2.5. We expect the \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra structure on the involutive derived de Rham cohomology of a derived involutive algebra (1) to promote to a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ algebra structure (Remark 6.2.28). Our results in Theorem 1.2.4(2) are only partial: We were unable to delineate precise conditions under which the filtrations in (2) are complete and exhaustive, and hope to return to this in future work.

At first glance, the main results of this paper are purely formal and take their ideas directly from [Rak20]. However, underpinning the main theorems is a whole host of technical results devoted to showing that certain C_2 - ∞ -categories, C_2 - ∞ -operads, and objects therein have good structural properties. The reader who is more interested in parametrized ∞ -category theory and/or genuine equivariant homotopy theory might find these results to be of independent interest; let us survey a selection here.

Theorem 1.2.6 (Corollary 3.1.13, Proposition 3.1.20). *The C_2 - ∞ -category $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Fil}(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2})$) of graded (resp. filtered) C_2 -spectra admits a canonical C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure given by parametrized Day convolution. Moreover, the associated graded functor promotes canonically to a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 -functor.*

Proposition 1.2.7 (Proposition 3.2.22). *Let A denote a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in Sp^{C_2} .*

(1) *The regular slice filtration on A -modules is right separated, i.e. the intersection $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_{\leq n}^{\mathrm{rslice}} \mathrm{Mod}_A(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2})$ is zero.*

(2) *The regular slice filtration on Mod_A is right complete, i.e. the canonical functor*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_A \rightarrow \lim(\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{A, \mathrm{rslice} \geq -1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{A, \mathrm{rslice} \geq 0})$$

is an equivalence.

1.3 Related work

This work was very much inspired by [Rak20]; the reader who is familiar with that work will immediately notice how many of the ideas in this text (such as the proof of Theorem 7.2.6) are borrowed from there. However, the usage of genuine equivariant homotopy theory and C_2 - ∞ -categories inevitably introduces additional complexity (for instance, see Warning 2.2.7) and requires us to prove new foundational results outside of the scope of the earlier cited work. Furthermore, a number of the results presented here are weaker than might be expected from examining their non-equivariant counterparts; often this is due to aforementioned subtleties, or because an existing result in higher algebra has not (yet) been generalized to parametrized higher algebra.

A common subtlety which arises when considering equivariant enhancements of a non-equivariant notion is: There may be more than one choice (possibly infinitely many). Indeed, another construction which may be regarded as an equivariant generalization of Hochschild homology is to use

the definition of Hochschild homology *in the symmetric monoidal category of k -modules*. (Contrast: $A \otimes_{A \otimes A} A$ with $A \otimes_{N_{C_2 A}} A$.) Related work of Mehrle–Quigley–Stahlhauer studies the former (which exists for arbitrary finite groups G) [MQS24], while we study the latter. Similar considerations apply when comparing our work with that of Blumberg–Gerhardt–Hill–Lawson [Blu+19]. Finally, Michael Hill has introduced a notion of Kähler differentials for Tambara functors for any finite group G in [Hil17]. Hill’s Kähler differentials is broader in scope than our involutive cotangent complex; for instance, ours is not defined on the category of all C_2 -Tambara functors—only the cohomological ones. We hazard a guess that our notions agree where they are both defined, but we do not compare the two theories here as it is out of the scope of the current work.

The relationship between real Hochschild homology and ordinary Hochschild homology with (naïve) C_2 -action simplifies substantially when 2 acts invertibly on the base ring. In this setting, our work is related to and builds off of earlier work of Cortiñas, Loday, Lodder, and Solotar–Vigué–Poirrier [Cor93a; Cor93b; Lod87; Lod96; SV96]; we discuss how they compare in §7.4.

Some of the main results in this paper were developed independently by Hornbostel and Park [HP23]. In Theorem 1.1 of their paper, they exhibit a filtration on real Hochschild homology with associated graded expressed in terms of the cotangent complex, just as we do here. Let us remark on some key differences between our works here:

- ▶ The scopes of our works are different: Hornbostel–Park not only prove a Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem for real Hochschild homology, but also use their result on real Hochschild homology to prove results about real topological Hochschild homology, while our work does not address these questions. On the other hand, while [HP23] consider arbitrary rings with involution, their main results are more thorough for the case of rings with trivial involution (compare Theorem 4.31 with the discussion in and after Remark 4.36 *ibid.*). The perspective in this paper puts all rings with involution on equal footing, regardless of whether or not the involution is trivial.
- ▶ The methods by which the respective filtrations are constructed diverge; while [HP23] uses the slice filtration to construct a filtration on HR, we endow HR with a filtration by first showing $\tau_{\geq *} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{S^\sigma}$ has the structure of a filtered involutive bialgebra, then defining $\mathrm{fil}_{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathrm{HR}$ to be the universal filtered derived involutive algebra with an action of the filtered involutive circle. In particular, we introduce a notion of derived (not necessarily connective) involutive algebras in order to make sense of this algebraic structure on $\tau_{\geq *} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{S^\sigma}$, while [HP23] rely on existing theories of derived equivariant rings.

Angelini-Knoll, Kong, and Quigley have forthcoming work on the real motivic filtration for real THH and real TC; in the future, we hope to investigate if and how these filtrations are related.

1.4 Outline

What follows is a brief summary of the contents of the paper; for more context and motivation for the contents of each section, we invite the reader to peruse their introductions. §2 is devoted to preliminaries concerning equivariance. In particular, §2.1 recalls the ideas, constructions, and notation regarding parametrized ∞ -categories we will use throughout the remainder of the text, while §2.2 collects structural properties of the genuine equivariant stable category. In §2.3, we discuss homotopy-coherent genuine equivariant algebraic structures. In §3, we discuss filtered and graded objects in a C_2 - ∞ -category and a C_2 -parametrized version of the Day convolution monoidal structure. §4 introduces C_2 -bialgebras and monoidal structures on their module categories. In §5,

we introduce the theory of derived involutive algebras, a simultaneous generalization of derived algebras to the equivariant setting and cohomological C_2 -Tambara functors to the derived setting. We will use this theory to endow the filtered involutive circle with additional structure. We define the C_2 -analogues of the cotangent complex and the de Rham complex and clarify the [involutive] cochain complex structure inherited by the involutive de Rham complex in §6. We introduce real Hochschild homology and the filtered involutive circle in §7.1 and arrive at a definition of filtered real Hochschild homology and prove the main theorem in §7.2, and it is subsequently extended to the real analogues of HP and HC^- in §7.3. In §7.4, we revisit classical computations of real Hochschild homology and dihedral homology to put our results in context.

1.5 Notation & Conventions

We use freely the language of ∞ -categories as developed in [Lur09]. We review the theory of parametrized ∞ -categories and parametrized algebras as developed by Barwick, Dotto, Glasman, Nardin, and Shah [Bar+16a; Bar+16b; Nar17; NS22; Sha23], but the reader should consult the former references for more details. Remark 2.1.6 gives a precise delineation of what it means for a C_2 - ∞ -category or C_2 -functor to promote an ordinary ∞ -category or functor of ∞ -categories. In particular, if a C_2 - ∞ -category is a C_2 -equivariant enhancement of an ordinary ∞ -category, our convention is to denote it with an underline (see, for instance, Notation 2.3.9 regarding parametrized module categories or Definition 5.2.12); this is not to be mistaken for [Bar+16b, Definition 7.4].

We co-opt most of the notation of [Rak20], adding a superscript $(-)^{\sigma}$ to indicate objects which should be thought of as a direct C_2 -equivariant analogue of an existing definition.

1.6 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Araminta Amabel, Elden Elmanto, Peter Haine, Denis Nardin, Arpon Raksit, and Jay Shah for their generosity with their time and ideas. The author also benefited from numerous discussions with Emanuele Dotto, Jeremy Hahn, Michael Hopkins, Piotr Pstragowski, and Dylan Wilson. The author owes much of her understanding of the slice and regular slice filtrations to Michael Hill. The intellectual debt this work owes to [Rak20] is obvious and profound. The author would like to thank David Mehrle, J.D. Quigley, and Doosung Park for discussions pertaining to related work, and Andrew Blumberg, Søren Galatius, and Elden Elmanto for feedback on an earlier draft. The author gratefully acknowledges support from the NSF Graduate Fellowship Research program under Grant No. 1745303. Finally, the author thanks Northwestern University for its hospitality during an extended visit during which part of this work was completed.

2 Genuine equivariance

Let k be a field and let V be a k -vector space. The space of bilinear maps $\mathrm{hom}_{k \otimes k}(V \otimes V, k)$ has a canonical C_2 -action induced by the isomorphism $\tau: V \otimes V \simeq V \otimes V$; in coordinates, it is given by sending a matrix to its transpose. An element of $\mathrm{hom}_{k \otimes k}(V \otimes V, k)$ is *symmetric* if it is fixed under this C_2 -action. In this way, we see that actions of the cyclic group of order two is indispensable to the study of symmetric bilinear forms.

In this section, we introduce the background and language we will use to describe higher algebraic structures equipped with an action of the group C_2 . We will use the language of *parametrized*

∞ -categories of Barwick–Glasman–Nardin–Shah [Nar17; Sha23; Sha21a; NS22] because it will allow us to compare our constructions and results to those of [Rak20] in a systematic way. In §2.1, we recall the basic definitions of C_2 - ∞ -categories; in particular, ordinary ∞ -categorical notions such as functors, adjunctions between functors, and limits and colimits have versions internal to C_2 - ∞ -categories. §2.2 is devoted to examples in and structural results pertaining to genuine equivariant homotopy theory. We survey existing theories of equivariant algebra and homotopy coherent equivariant algebra in §2.3; this will set the stage for our notion of strictly commutative equivariant algebras, to be introduced in §5.

2.1 Parametrized ∞ -categories

Let G be a finite group.

Recollection 2.1.1. The orbit category \mathcal{O}_G is the category with objects finite transitive G -sets and morphisms G -equivariant maps. We let Fin_G denote the finite coproduct completion of \mathcal{O}_G , i.e. the category of finite G -sets and G -equivariant maps. We recall that $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ is an *orbital* ∞ -category in the sense of Definition 1.2 of [Nar17].

Definition 2.1.2 ([Bar+16b, Definition 1.3]). A G - ∞ -category is a cocartesian fibration $p: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$. A morphism of G - ∞ -categories is a map F of ∞ -categories over $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D} \\ p \searrow & & \swarrow q \\ & \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} & \end{array}$$

which takes p -cocartesian arrows in \mathcal{C} to q -cocartesian arrows in \mathcal{D} . We will write $G\text{Cat}_\infty$ for the large ∞ -category of small G - ∞ -categories.

Note that for each $G/H \in \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$, the pullback of the cocartesian fibration p along the inclusion $\{G/H\} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ determines an ordinary ∞ -category, which we denote interchangeably by \mathcal{C}^H or $\mathcal{C}_{G/H}$. When $H = \{e\}$ is the trivial subgroup, we will denote \mathcal{C}^H by \mathcal{C}^e and refer to it as the *underlying ∞ -category of the G - ∞ -category \mathcal{C}* .

Remark 2.1.3 ([Lur09, §3.2.2; Sha23, Example 2.5]). Let Cat_∞ denote the large ∞ -category of small ∞ -categories. There is a universal cocartesian fibration $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ such that pullback induces an equivalence

$$\text{Fun}\left(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}, \text{Cat}_\infty\right) \simeq \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}}^{\text{cocart}}.$$

Informally, a C_2 - ∞ -category is the data of

- ▶ an ∞ -category \mathcal{C}^{C_2} ,
- ▶ an ∞ -category with C_2 -action \mathcal{C}^e , and
- ▶ a functor $\mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$ which lifts along the C_2 homotopy fixed points $(\mathcal{C}^e)^{hC_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$. In particular, if \mathcal{C}^e is endowed with the trivial C_2 -action, then $(\mathcal{C}^e)^{hC_2} \simeq (\mathcal{C}^e)^{BC_2} \simeq \text{Fun}(BC_2, \mathcal{C}^e)$ comprises objects in \mathcal{C}^e with (naïve) C_2 -action.

In particular, we see that a cocartesian section $\sigma: \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is determined by its value on $\sigma(C_2/C_2)$. Informally, we regard the category of cocartesian sections of \mathcal{C} as the category of objects in \mathcal{C} .

Notation 2.1.4. To lighten notational burden, we write G - ∞ -category instead of $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ - ∞ -category, and similarly for other phrases. If we want to say that a G - ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is such that \mathcal{C}_t has a certain property for all $t \in \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$, we say that it has that property *fiberwise* or *pointwise*. The same applies to modifiers for functors of G - ∞ -categories.

Recollection 2.1.5 ([Bar+16b, §10; Sha23, Recollection 5.18]). Let \mathcal{C} be a G - ∞ -category. Then the *vertical opposite* \mathcal{C}^{vop} to \mathcal{C} is the G - ∞ -category characterized by canonical equivalences $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{vop}})^H \simeq \mathcal{C}^{H,\text{op}}$ for each $G/H \in \mathcal{O}_G$ so that under these equivalences, the restriction functors in \mathcal{C}^{vop} classified by morphisms in $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ are identified with (the opposite of) the restriction functors in \mathcal{C} . In other words, if \mathcal{C} is classified by the functor $F: \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ (see Remark 2.1.3), then \mathcal{C}^{vop} is classified by the composite $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{F} \text{Cat}_\infty \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{op}}} \text{Cat}_\infty$.

Remark 2.1.6. Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be C_2 - ∞ -categories, suppose $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a C_2 -functor and $G: \mathcal{C}^e \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^e$. If there is an equivalence $F^e \simeq G$, we say that F *recovers* G on *underlying* ∞ -categories or that G *can be promoted to a C_2 -functor*. If $H: \mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{C_2}$ and there is an equivalence $F^{C_2} \simeq H$, we say that F *recovers* H on C_2 -fixed points.

Notation 2.1.7. The ∞ -category of C_2 - ∞ -categories has finite limits [Bar+16b, §9]. If \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are two C_2 - ∞ -categories, we write $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ for the product C_2 - ∞ -category, and likewise for fiber products. We do not use the less ambiguous notation $(-)\underline{\times}(-)$ of *loc. cit.* in order to streamline notation for fiber products.

We will use the notion of *parametrized functor categories* of [Sha23, §3] to define real Hochschild homology.

Proposition 2.1.8 ([Sha23, Proposition 3.1; Bar+16b, Construction 5.2]). *Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ be cocartesian fibrations. Then there exists a cocartesian fibration $\underline{\text{Fun}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ such that under the straightening-unstraightening equivalence of Remark 2.1.3, $\underline{\text{Fun}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ represents the presheaf*

$$\mathcal{E} \mapsto \text{hom}_{\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

Definition 2.1.9 ([Lur17, Definition 7.3.2.2]). Suppose given a commutative diagram of ∞ -categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xleftarrow{G} & \mathcal{D} \\ q \searrow & & \swarrow p \\ & \mathcal{E} & \end{array} . \quad (2.1.10)$$

We will say that G *admits a left adjoint relative to \mathcal{E}* if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- (1) The functor G admits a left adjoint F . Moreover, for every object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the functor q carries the unit of the adjunction $u_C: C \rightarrow G(F(C))$ to an equivalence in \mathcal{E} .
- (2) There exists a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and a natural transformation $u: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G \circ F$ which exhibits F as a left adjoint to G , with the property that $q(u)$ is the identity transformation from q to itself.

Definition 2.1.11 ([Sha23, Definition 8.3]). Suppose given a diagram (2.1.10) so that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ and suppose that \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are G - ∞ -categories. The functors F, G comprise a G -adjunction if they satisfy both

- ▶ The functors F and G are both G -functors
- ▶ The functors F and G form a relative adjunction relative to $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$.

While the left adjoint in a relative adjunction over $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ is automatically a G -functor, the right adjoint does not necessarily preserve cocartesian arrows (contrast the dual to [Lur17, Proposition 7.3.2.6] with [Lur17, Proposition 7.3.2.11]). The following results provide conditions under which the existence of a G -adjunction can be checked pointwise.

Proposition 2.1.12. *Let a diagram as in (2.1.10) be given and assume that q and p are coCartesian categorical fibrations and that G takes p -cocartesian edges to q -cocartesian edges. Assume further that for every morphism $\alpha: E \rightarrow E' \in \mathcal{E}$:*

- (1) *The functors $\alpha^*: \mathcal{C}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{E'}$ and $\alpha^*: \mathcal{D}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{E'}$ admit right adjoints $\alpha_*: \mathcal{C}_{E'} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_E$ and $\alpha_*: \mathcal{D}_{E'} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_E$.*
- (2) *The canonical natural transformation $G_{E'} \circ \alpha_* \rightarrow \alpha_* G_E$ is an equivalence for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$.*

Then if for each object $E \in \mathcal{E}$, $G_E: \mathcal{D}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_E$ admits a left adjoint F_E , then G admits a left adjoint F relative to \mathcal{E} which agrees with F_E fiberwise. Moreover, F takes q -cocartesian edges to p -cocartesian edges.

Corollary 2.1.13. *Suppose $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a C_2 -functor so that \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C} admit finite C_2 -products which are preserved by G . If G admits left adjoints fiberwise, then it admits a left C_2 -adjoint.*

Proof. The assumption that \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{C} admit finite C_2 -products which are preserved by G is a restatement of the assumptions of Proposition 2.1.12; the result follows immediately. \square

There is a dual statement, proved in a similar way.

Corollary 2.1.14. *Suppose $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a C_2 -functor so that \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C} admit finite C_2 -coproducts which are preserved by G . If G admits right adjoints fiberwise, then it admits a right C_2 -adjoint.*

Proof of Proposition 2.1.12. Let $C \in \mathcal{C}_E$, and write $D = F_E(C)$ and u for the counit $u: C \rightarrow G(F_E(C))$. By the proof of [Lur17, Proposition 7.3.2.11], it suffices to show that for all $D' \in \mathcal{D}$, u induces an equivalence

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(D, D') \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, G(D')).$$

By assumption, we know that for all $D' \in \mathcal{D}_E$, we have an equivalence

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_E}(D, D') \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_E}(C, G(D')).$$

Since p and q are cocartesian fibrations, for any $D' \in \mathcal{D}$, we have maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(D, D') & \xrightarrow{h_p} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(p(D), p(D')) \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, G(D')) & \xrightarrow{h_q} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(q(C), q \circ G(D')) \end{array} \quad (2.1.15)$$

To show that the left vertical arrow in (2.1.15) is an equivalence, it suffices to show that for any $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(p(D), p(D')) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(q(C), q \circ G(D'))$, the fibers of the horizontal maps over α are equivalent. The result follows from the identifications (where $\stackrel{(1)}{\simeq}$ indicates that an equivalence follows from assumption (1) in the statement of the proposition)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{fib}_{\alpha}(h_q) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{p(D')}}(\alpha^*(C), G_{p(D')}(D')) \\
&\stackrel{(1)}{\simeq} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{p(D)}}(C, \alpha_* G_{p(D')}(D')) \\
&\stackrel{(2)}{\simeq} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{p(D)}}(C, G_{p(D)} \alpha_*(D')) \\
&\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_E}(F_E(C), \alpha_*(D')) \\
&\stackrel{(1)}{\simeq} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{p(D')}}(\alpha^* F_E(C), D') \simeq \text{fib}_{\alpha}(h_p). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

There are parametrized notions of limits and colimits.

Notation 2.1.16 ([Sha23, Notation 2.29]). Let \mathcal{B} be a G - ∞ -category and write $\text{Ar}^{\text{cocart}}(\mathcal{B})$ for the full subcategory of $\text{Ar}(\mathcal{B})$ on the cocartesian edges of \mathcal{B} . Given an object $b \in \mathcal{B}_t$, write

$$\underline{b} := \{b\} \times_{\mathcal{B}, \text{ev}_0} \text{Ar}^{\text{cocart}}(\mathcal{B})$$

which we think of as a “ G -point of \mathcal{B} .” Given a morphism $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of G - ∞ -categories, we write

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{b}} := \underline{b} \times_{\text{ev}_1, \mathcal{B}, \pi} \mathcal{E}$$

for the *parametrized fiber* of π over b . Note that $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{b}}$ is a $(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}})^{t/-}$ - ∞ -category.

Definition 2.1.17 ([Sha23, Definition 5.1-2]). Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ be a G - ∞ -category and let $\sigma: \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a cocartesian section. We say that σ is *S-initial* if $\sigma(s)$ is an initial object for all $s \in \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$. Dually, we say that σ is *S-final* if $\sigma(s)$ is a final object for all $s \in \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$.

Let K, \mathcal{C} be G - ∞ -categories and let $\bar{p}: K \star_{\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be an extension of a G -functor $p: K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bar{p}}} & \underline{\text{Fun}}_G(K \star_{\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) \\
\parallel & & \downarrow \\
S & \xrightarrow{\sigma_p} & \underline{\text{Fun}}_G(K, \mathcal{C})
\end{array}$$

so that $\sigma_{\bar{p}}$ defines a cocartesian section $\sigma_{\bar{p}}$ of $\mathcal{C}^{(p, \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}})^{t/-}}$. We say that \bar{p} is a *G-colimit diagram* if $\sigma_{\bar{p}}$ is a G -final object.

If \bar{p} is a G -colimit diagram, we say that $\bar{p}|_{\sigma_{\bar{p}}}$ is a G -colimit of p .

Dually, substituting $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \star_{\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}} K$ for $K \star_{\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ leads to the definition of a *G-limit diagram*.

Relative adjunctions and parametrized colimits interact in the expected way:

Proposition 2.1.18 ([Sha23, Corollary 8.9]). *Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be \mathcal{T} - ∞ -categories, and let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}: G$ be a \mathcal{T} -adjunction $F \dashv G$. Then F preserves \mathcal{T} -colimits and G preserves \mathcal{T} -limits.*

The following is a consequence of [Sha23, Proposition 5.11]. Note that our notation differs somewhat from that of Shah’s—what we think of as pullback/restriction $\alpha^*: \mathcal{C}^K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^H$ along a morphism $\alpha: G/H \rightarrow G/K$ is denoted by $\alpha_!$ in their work.

Proposition 2.1.19 ([Sha23, Proposition 5.11]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a G - ∞ -category and let $\alpha: G/H \rightarrow G/K$ be a morphism in \mathcal{O}_G . Let $\pi: M \rightarrow \Delta^1$ be the **cartesian** morphism classified by $\alpha^*: \mathcal{C}^K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^H$. Let*

$$p: \left(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}\right)^{G/H} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}} \left(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}\right)^{G/K}$$

be a $\left(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}\right)^{G/K}$ -functor and let $x = p(\text{id}_{G/H}) \in \mathcal{C}^H$. Then the data of a $\left(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}\right)^{G/K}$ -colimit diagram extending p yields a π -cocartesian edge e in M with $d_0(e) = x$ and lifting $0 \rightarrow 1$.

Example 2.1.20 ([Sha23, Proposition 5.11]). Let $G = C_2$ and $\mathcal{C} = \underline{\text{Spc}}^{C_2}$. Let $X: \left(\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}\right)^{C_2/e} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ classify a C_2 -space. Then the C_2 -colimit of the diagram X exists and is given by the C_2 -space $\emptyset \rightarrow X \sqcup X$ where C_2 acts by swapping the factors of X in the disjoint union.

The notion of a parametrized colimit diagram not only generalizes Definition 2.1.17 but also allows us to show that G -colimits have suitable functoriality.

Definition 2.1.21 ([Sha23, Definition 9.13]). Let $\phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a G -cocartesian fibration. A G -functor $\bar{F}: \mathcal{C} \star_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a \mathcal{D} -parametrized G -colimit diagram if for every object $x \in \mathcal{D}$, the \underline{x} -functor $\bar{F}|_{\mathcal{C}_{\underline{x}} \star_{\underline{x}} \underline{x}}: \mathcal{C}_{\underline{x}} \star_{\underline{x}} \underline{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\underline{x}}$ is a \underline{x} -colimit diagram.

The existence of parametrized G -colimits can be checked ‘fiberwise.’

Theorem 2.1.22 ([Sha23, Theorem 9.15]). *Let $\phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a G -cocartesian fibration and let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be a G -functor. Suppose that for every object $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $F: \mathcal{C}_{\underline{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\underline{x}}$ admits a \underline{x} -colimit. Then there exists a \mathcal{D} -parametrized G -colimit diagram $\bar{F}: \mathcal{C} \star_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ extending F .*

2.2 G -spaces and G -spectra.

In this section, we consider two examples of G - ∞ -categories: G -spaces and G -spectra. In particular, we introduce several objects in these categories which we will see later on, and discuss structural properties of these categories which we will need.

Recollection 2.2.1. A G -space is a functor $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$, or equivalently, a functor $\text{Fin}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$ which take coproducts in \mathcal{O}_G to products in Spc . We will denote the category of G -spaces by Spc^G . By [Bar+16b, Theorem 7.8], there is a G - ∞ -category $\underline{\text{Spc}}^G$ so that the fiber over G/H is the ordinary ∞ -category Spc^H .

Given a G -space $X: \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$ and a G -equivariant map $S \xrightarrow{f} T$, we will refer to $f^* = X(f): X(T) \rightarrow X(S)$ as the *restriction map associated to f* .

The G - ∞ -category of G -spectra $\underline{\text{Sp}}^G$ is [Nar16, Definition 7.3 & Corollary 7.4.1] applied to $D = \underline{\text{Spc}}^G$.

Example 2.2.2 (Sign representation sphere). Let $G = C_2 = \{1, \sigma\}$. There is a C_2 -space, denoted by S^σ , whose underlying space with C_2 -action is given by $(S^\sigma)^e = S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$, with the C_2 action via complex conjugation. Its C_2 -fixed points are given by $(S^\sigma)^{C_2} = \{\pm 1\} = S^0$, and the restriction map $(S^\sigma)^{C_2} \rightarrow (S^\sigma)^e$ is given by the inclusion. This C_2 -space is denoted S^σ because it is the one-point compactification of the sign representation of C_2 on \mathbb{R} .

Because complex conjugation respects multiplication of complex numbers, the group structure on S^1 lifts to a C_2 -monoid structure on S^σ in the category of C_2 -spaces.

Example 2.2.3 (Regular representation sphere). Consider the regular representation $\mathbb{R}[C_2]$ of C_2 , and write S^ρ for its one-point compactification. Then $(S^\rho)^e \simeq S^2$ with C_2 -action given by reflection about a plane P , and the C_2 fixed points $(S^\rho)^{C_2}$ is given by S^1 , and the restriction map $(S^\rho)^{C_2} \rightarrow (S^\rho)^e$ is given by the natural identification $S^2 \cap P \simeq S^1$.

The category of G -spectra is obtained from the category of G -spaces by asking for the existence of transfers indexed by finite G -sets. We begin by recalling that such an indexing category is well-defined as an ∞ -category.

Proposition 2.2.4. *Let G be a finite group. Then there exists an ∞ -category $\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)$ having*

- ▶ the same objects as Fin_G
- ▶ homotopy classes of morphisms from V to U in $\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)$ are in bijection with diagrams $V \leftarrow T \rightarrow U$ up to isomorphism of diagrams fixing V and U .
- ▶ The composite of $V \leftarrow T \rightarrow U$ and $U \leftarrow S \rightarrow W$ is equivalent to the diagram $V \leftarrow T \times_U S \rightarrow W$.

Moreover, $\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)$ is semiadditive, i.e. finite coproducts and products are isomorphic, and are given on underlying G -sets by the disjoint union.

Proof. The construction of $\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)$ is [Bar17, Proposition 5.6] applied to [Bar17, Example 5.4]. The (0-)semiadditivity of $\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)$ follows from noticing that $\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)$ is a module over $\text{Span}(\text{Fin})$ and [Har20, Corollary 3.19]. \square

Definition 2.2.5. Let G be a finite group and let $\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)$ be the span category of Proposition 2.2.4. Let \mathcal{C} be an additive ∞ -category. Then the category of \mathcal{C} -valued G -Mackey functors is given by

$$\text{Mack}_G(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Fun}^\Sigma(\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G), \mathcal{C})$$

where the right-hand side denotes the full subcategory on functors which take direct sums in $\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)$ to products in \mathcal{C} . We will denote the category of *genuine equivariant G -spectra* by $\text{Sp}^G = \text{Mack}_G(\text{Sp})$.

Let G/H be a transitive G -set. Note there is a map $\text{Span}(\text{Fin}) \rightarrow \text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)$ given by $S \mapsto \sqcup_S G/H$. Since this map preserves coproducts, restriction along this map induces a functor $(-)^H: \text{Mack}_G(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$ which lands in spectrum objects in \mathcal{C} . This is the H -categorical fixed points. When $H = \{e\}$ will sometimes call this the *underlying object*.

Since our primary group of interest is $G = C_2$, hereafter we will understand ‘Mackey functor’ (without a specified G) as referring to a C_2 -Mackey functor. Note that given a G -Mackey functor $X: \text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G) \rightarrow \text{Spc}$, restriction along the inclusion $\text{Fin}_G^{\text{op}} = \text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G, \text{all}, =) \rightarrow \text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)$ gives a G -space. However, a G -Mackey functor comprises more data: given any map of finite G -sets $f: S \rightarrow T$, we will refer to its covariant image $X(f): X(S) \rightarrow X(T)$ as the *transfer map associated to f* .

Example 2.2.6 (Constant Mackey functors). Given a discrete abelian group k , we consider it equipped with the trivial involution and associate to it the constant Mackey functor \underline{k} with $\underline{k}^{C_2} = \underline{k}^e = k$. Since the inclusion $\text{Mod}_k^\heartsuit \rightarrow \text{Mod}_k$ preserves direct sums, taking Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectra gives us a Mackey functor $\underline{k} \in \text{Mack}_{C_2}(\text{Sp})$.

Warning 2.2.7. There are several competing notions of ‘linearization’ in the genuine equivariant setting. The C_2 -Mackey functor $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ should not be confused with $\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{S}^0$ (or $\text{triv}_{C_2}(\mathbb{Z})$ in the notation of [PSW22]; also see [Kal11]) or the C_2 -Burnside Mackey functor \mathbb{A}_{C_2} with \mathbb{Z} -coefficients [GS14]; the former is *cohomological* in the sense of [Web00, §7].

On module categories, we see the forgetful functor $\text{Mod}_k \rightarrow \text{Mack}_{C_2}(\text{Mod}_k) \simeq \text{Mod}_{k \otimes \mathbb{S}^0}$ is far from being an equivalence. In particular, the (k -linearized) Burnside functor is not in the image of the forgetful functor. See [TW95, Proposition 16.3] for a 1-categorical version of this statement. Explicitly, the C_2 -Mackey functors $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ and \mathbb{A}_{C_2} satisfy

$$\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{C_2} = \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathbb{A}_{C_2}^{C_2} = \mathbb{Z}\{C_2, C_2/C_2\}$$

while the C_2 -fixed points of $\text{triv}_{C_2}(\mathbb{Z})$ lives in a pullback diagram (2.2.14)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{triv}_{C_2}(\mathbb{Z})^{C_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow ; \\ \mathbb{Z}^{hC_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{tC_2} \end{array}$$

in particular, it is not discrete.

Notation 2.2.8 ([Lew88, p.57]). The data of a C_2 -Mackey functor M can be summarized in a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{c} M^{C_2} \\ \text{Res} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \text{Tr} \\ M^e \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \\ m \rightarrow \sigma(m) \end{array}$$

where we write σ for the nontrivial element of C_2 and the lower loop expresses the C_2 -action on the underlying object of M . Such a diagram is referred to as a *Lewis diagram*.

Remark 2.2.9. Though our description of G -spectra is quite algebraic, the Guillou–May theorem [GM17, Theorem 0.1] implies that the category of G -spectra may alternatively be regarded as a stabilization of the category of G -spaces with respect to smashing by representation spheres. In particular, the classical adjunction between G -suspension spectra lifts to a G -adjunction $\Sigma_G^\infty : \underline{\text{Sp}}_G^G \rightleftarrows \underline{\text{Sp}}_G^G : \Omega_G^\infty$ whose value on each orbit G/H is the $(\Sigma_H^\infty, \Omega_H^\infty)$ adjunction between H -spaces and H -spectra.

Recollection 2.2.10. If \mathcal{C} is a presentable symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, then $\text{Mack}_G(\mathcal{C})$ inherits a symmetric monoidal structure via Day convolution so that [BGS20]. We defer more detailed discussion of algebraic structures (and their parametrized enhancements) to §2.3.

Notation 2.2.11. If V is a G -representation on a finite-dimensional real vector space, we write $\Sigma^V(-) = \Sigma_G^\infty S^V \otimes - : \mathrm{Sp}^G \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^G$ and refer to it as suspension by the representation V . In particular, we have $\Sigma^\rho(-)$ and $\Sigma^\sigma(-)$ of Examples 2.2.3 and 2.2.2, resp. We will use the notations $\Sigma^\rho(-)$ and $(-)[\rho]$ interchangeably, and likewise for arbitrary representation spheres.

There is an alternative way of understanding $\mathrm{Mack}_G(\mathcal{C})$ as a recollement *when \mathcal{C} is stable and cocomplete*. Since the complexity of this formula grows with the complexity of the lattice of subgroups of G , we recall the result for $G = C_p$ cyclic of prime order.

Proposition 2.2.12. *There is an equivalence of stable ∞ -categories*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sp}^{C_p} &= \mathrm{Mack}_{C_p}(\mathrm{Sp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^{BC_p} \times_{\mathrm{Sp}} \mathrm{Ar}(\mathrm{Sp}) \\ X &\mapsto \left(X^e, \Phi^{C_p} X := \mathrm{cofib} \left((X^e)_{hC_p} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} X^{C_p} \right) \rightarrow (X^e)^{tC_p} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.13)$$

where the map $\mathrm{Ar}(\mathrm{Sp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ is evaluation at the target. We call $\Phi^{C_p} X$ the $[C_p]$ -geometric fixed points of X .

Given a triple $(X^e, \Phi^{C_p} X \rightarrow (X^e)^{tC_p})$ on the right hand side of (2.2.13), we can reconstruct the C_p -categorical fixed points of the image of the triple under the inverse equivalence of Proposition 2.2.12 from the following pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{C_2} & \longrightarrow & \Phi^{C_2} X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X^e)^{hC_2} & \longrightarrow & X^{tC_2} \end{array} . \quad (2.2.14)$$

We will refer to the pullback square (2.2.14) as the *recollement square for X* . We will refer to the exact sequence of spectra

$$X_{hC_2} \longrightarrow X^{C_2} \longrightarrow \Phi^{C_2} X \quad (2.2.15)$$

as the *isotropy separation sequence for X* . There is also a relative version of Proposition 2.2.12:

Proposition 2.2.16. *Let A be an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring in Sp^{C_p} . Then there is a stable recollement*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{A^e}^{BC_2} \xleftarrow{(-)^e} \mathrm{Mod}_A(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}) \xrightleftharpoons[\Phi^{C_2}]{} \mathrm{Mod}_{\Phi^{C_2} A}(\mathrm{Sp}) .$$

Proof. The result follows from [Sha21b, Theorem 1.2], which implies that the recollement of Proposition 2.2.12 is symmetric monoidal in the sense of [Sha21b, Definition 2.20]. \square

Recollection 2.2.17. (Postnikov t-structure on genuine C_2 -spectra) [Deg+19, Corollary 1.3.16; BGS20, Example 6.3] There is a t-structure on Sp^{C_2} where

- ▶ An object X is connective if X^e and X^{C_2} are both connective.
- ▶ An object X is coconnective iff X^e and X^{C_2} are both coconnective.

The heart of this t-structure is equivalent to C_2 -Mackey functors valued in abelian groups.

Suppose $X \in \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$ such that X^e is n -connective. By the isotropy separation sequence (2.2.15), X^{C_2} is n -connective if and only if $\Phi^{C_2} X$ is n -connective. It follows that the Postnikov filtration is symmetric monoidal, i.e. if $X, Y \in \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$ are n, m -connective respectively, then $X \otimes Y$ is $(n + m)$ -connective.

Lemma 2.2.18. *Let A be a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring in Sp^{C_2} . Then the t-structure on $\mathrm{Mod}_A = \mathrm{Mod}_A(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2})$ is right-complete.*

Proof. Because $(-)^e$ and $(-)^{C_2}$ are jointly conservative, the Postnikov t-structure on Mod_A is right-separated. Now notice that Mod_A admits countable coproducts, and moreover countable coproducts of coconnective objects remain coconnective. Then by [AN21, Lemma A.6], the t-structure on Mod_A is right-complete. \square

We recall the regular slice filtration on Sp^{C_2} of [Ull13].

Definition 2.2.19 ([Ull13, §3]). Let $H \subseteq C_2$ be a subgroup. We will refer to a C_2 -genuine equivariant spectrum of the form $C_2 \otimes_H S^{n\rho_H}$ as a *regular slice cell*, and say that the regular slice cell has *dimension* $n \cdot |H|$.

We write $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathrm{rslice}} \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$ for the localizing subcategory of Sp^{C_2} generated by regular slice cells of dimension $\geq n$, and describe the objects therein as *regular slice n -connective*.

We say that a C_2 -spectrum X is *regular slice n -coconnective* (cf. [HHR21, Definition 11.1.1; HHR21, Definition 4.8]) if, for all regular slice cells S of dimension $> n$, the mapping space $\mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}}(S, X)$ is contractible.

Example 2.2.20. Let $n \leq 0$. Then $S^{n\sigma}$ is a regular n -slice, i.e. it is regular slice n -connective but not regular slice $(n + 1)$ -connective.

To show that $S^{n\sigma}$ is not regular slice $(n + 1)$ -connective, it suffices to observe that if $M \in \mathrm{Sp}_{\geq n+1}^{C_2}$, then M^e must be (non-equivariantly) $(n + 1)$ -connective. To show that $S^{n\sigma}$ is regular slice n -connective, we induct on $|n|$ and use the exact sequence

$$\mathbb{S}^{-n\sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{-(n-1)\sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-(n-1)\sigma} C_2 \simeq \Sigma^{-(n-1)} C_2 .$$

For future reference, we note that for any m , $S^{m\rho}$ is a regular $(2m)$ -slice.

Observation 2.2.21. The equivalence $- \otimes S^\rho: \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$ restricts to an equivalence of full subcategories $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathrm{rslice}} \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\geq n+2}^{\mathrm{rslice}} \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$.

Remark 2.2.22. The inclusion of regular slice n -connective C_2 -spectra into all C_2 -spectra admits a right adjoint $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathrm{rslice}}$. It follows immediately from the definitions that a C_2 -spectrum X is regular slice n -coconnected if and only if $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathrm{rslice}} X \simeq 0$.

Observation 2.2.23. There are inclusions of regular slice-connective localizing subcategories as follows: $\tau_{\geq k}^{\mathrm{rslice}} \mathrm{Sp}^G \subset \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathrm{rslice}} \mathrm{Sp}^G$ ($\tau_{\geq k}^{\mathrm{rslice}} \mathrm{Mod}_A \subset \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathrm{rslice}} \mathrm{Mod}_A$) for all $k \geq n$.

The following result allows us to check regular slice connectivity of a C_2 -spectrum one orbit at a time; it should be compared to [HHR16, §4.4.1; Hil12, Theorem 6.14 & Remark 6.15].

Lemma 2.2.24. *Let $X \in \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathbb{C}_2}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Mod}_A(\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathbb{C}_2})$) where A is an \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathbb{C}_2}$ so that A^e and $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2} A$ are both connective). Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The object X is regular slice n -connective.*
- (2) *X^e is n -connective and $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2} X$ is $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ -connective.*

Proof of Lemma 2.2.24. We discuss the proof in the case $A = \mathbb{S}^0$; the general case follows from the same argument in view of [Lur17, Proposition 7.1.1.13]. That (1) implies (2) follows readily from Definition 2.2.19 and the fact that $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2}(\Sigma^\infty \mathbb{S}^{m\rho}) = \Sigma^\infty((\mathbb{S}^{m\rho})^{\mathbb{C}_2}) = \mathbb{S}^m$.

To show the converse statement, we introduce some notation which will be used in the proof. Write $\tilde{E}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2}, E\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2}$ for the \mathbb{C}_2 -spaces

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{E}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2})^{\mathbb{C}_2} &= * & (\tilde{E}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2})^e &= \mathbb{S}^0 \\ (E\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2})^{\mathbb{C}_2} &= * & (E\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2})^e &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

of [May96, §V.4] (compare [MNN17, §6]). We first show that the geometric spectrum $\tilde{E}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2} \otimes \mathbb{S}^m$ is regular slice $\geq n$ if $m \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. The inequality implies that $2m \geq n$, hence in the exact sequence

$$E\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{m\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m\rho} \rightarrow \tilde{E}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{m\rho} \simeq \tilde{E}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2} \otimes \mathbb{S}^m$$

the left and middle terms are both regular slice $\geq n$. By definition of a localizing subcategory, it follows that the right-hand term is also regular slice $\geq n$. Now for an arbitrary \mathbb{C}_2 -spectrum X , consider the exact sequence

$$E\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2} \otimes X \rightarrow X \rightarrow \tilde{E}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2} \otimes X.$$

By our assumption that X^e is n -connective, the left-hand term is regular slice $\geq n$. Now by the previous argument and our assumption that $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2} X$ is $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ -connective, the right hand term is regular slice $\geq n$. Again by definition of a localizing subcategory, it follows that X is also regular slice $\geq n$. \square

Lemma 2.2.25. *Let $X \in \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathbb{C}_2}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Mod}_A(\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathbb{C}_2})$) where A is an \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathbb{C}_2}$ so that A^e and $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2} A$ are both connective). Suppose that X is regular slice n -coconnective for $n \leq 0$. Then X^e is n -coconnective and $X^{\mathbb{C}_2}$ is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ -coconnective.*

Remark 2.2.26. In view of Lemma 2.2.24, the usage of categorical fixed points in Lemma 2.2.25 (instead of geometric fixed points) may be surprising. An illustrative example is $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$: Despite $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ not being n -coconnective for any $n \geq 0$, $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ is regular slice 0-coconnective. In particular, even though there exists a nontrivial map $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2}(\Sigma^2 \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2}(\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$, it does not arise as $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2}$ of any map of $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -modules. To see this, note that any map $f: \Sigma^2 \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ determines a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_{\geq 2} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{t\mathbb{C}_2} \simeq \Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2}(\Sigma^2 \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2} f} & \Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2}(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \simeq \tau_{\geq 0} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{t\mathbb{C}_2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\Sigma^2 \underline{\mathbb{Z}})^{t\mathbb{C}_2} & \xrightarrow{(f^e)^{t\mathbb{C}_2}} & \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{t\mathbb{C}_2}. \end{array}$$

Since $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ is coconnective, f^e is trivial, hence so is $(f^e)^{t\mathbb{C}_2}$. Since the vertical maps are injective on π_* , $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}_2} f$ must be the zero map.

Proof of Lemma 2.2.25. We discuss the proof in the case $A = \mathbb{S}^0$; the general case follows from the same argument in view of [Lur17, Proposition 7.1.1.13]. Recall that $C_2 \otimes \mathbb{S}^m$ is a regular slice m -cell. If X is regular slice n -coconnective, then the mapping space $\mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}C_2}(C_2 \otimes \mathbb{S}^m, X) \simeq \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{-m} X^e$ is contractible for all $m > n$. In particular, it follows immediately that X^e is n -coconnective.

To prove the statement about X^{C_2} , let us replace n by $2n + 1$ and induct on $|n|$. Suppose X is regular slice (-1) -coconnective. Then $\mathbb{S}^{0\rho} = \mathbb{S}^0$ is a regular slice 0-cell and the mapping space $\mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}C_2}(\mathbb{S}^0, X) \simeq \Omega^\infty X^{C_2}$ is contractible, so X^{C_2} is (-1) -connective.

Now suppose X is regular slice $(2n - 1)$ -coconnective. By the inductive hypothesis, $\Sigma^\rho X$ is regular slice $(2n + 1)$ -coconnective, so $(\Sigma^\rho X)^{C_2}$ is $\lfloor \frac{2n+1}{2} \rfloor = n$ -coconnective. Writing $\mathbb{S}^\rho \simeq \Sigma \mathbb{S}^\sigma \simeq \mathrm{cofib}(\Sigma C_2 \rightarrow \Sigma C_2 / C_2)$, we have an exact sequence of spectra $\Sigma X^e \rightarrow \Sigma(X^{C_2}) \rightarrow (\Sigma^\rho X)^{C_2}$. In particular, in the long exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow \pi_\ell X^e \rightarrow \pi_\ell X^{C_2} \rightarrow \pi_{\ell+1} (\Sigma^\rho X)^{C_2} \rightarrow \cdots$, the left and right terms are both zero for all $\ell + 1 \geq n + 1$, hence X^{C_2} is $n - 1 = \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{2} \rfloor$ -coconnective as desired. \square

2.3 Genuine equivariant algebra

In this section, we introduce G - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras and show that the Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectra associated to certain discrete Mackey functors (Notation 2.3.5) inherit a G - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra structure. Just as G -Mackey functors are (roughly) obtained from abelian groups by allowing addition indexed by finite sets with G -action (in addition to the existing addition indexed by finite sets), G - \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings are (roughly) obtained from \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings by allowing multiplication indexed by finite G -sets. In particular, the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel norms N_H^G play the role of a smash product indexed by the G -set G/H . We will use the notion of C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ rings introduced in [NS22], which are expected to agree with N_∞ -algebras of [BH15].

Since we do not need the full strength of parametrized ∞ -operads in this work, we sketch the definitions and constructions we will need for this paper; the interested reader is invited to peruse [NS22] for more detail.

Recollection 2.3.1 ([NS22, §2]). Write Fin_{C_2} for the category of finite sets with C_2 -action, i.e. the finite coproduct completion of \mathcal{O}_{C_2} . There is a parametrized ∞ -category $\underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ whose fiber over C_2/e is $(\mathrm{Fin}_{C_2})_{C_2/-/C_2}$ and whose fiber over C_2/C_2 is $(\mathrm{Fin}_{C_2})_{*/-/*}$. The restriction map is given by pullback. Now, given an object $U \in (\mathrm{Fin}_{C_2})_{T/-/T}$ and an orbit $W \subseteq U$, write $i_W: U \rightarrow W$ for the map which collapses $U \setminus W$ to the basepoint T . A C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category is a cocartesian fibration $p: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ so that for all $T \in \mathcal{O}_{C_2}$ and for all $U \in (\mathrm{Fin}_{C_2})_{T/-/T}$, the p -cocartesian maps over i_W induce equivalences

$$\mathcal{C}_U^\otimes \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{W \in \mathrm{Orbit}(U)} \mathcal{C}_W^\otimes.$$

Given a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category $p: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$, the C_2 - ∞ -category $C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ of C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras in \mathcal{C} is the C_2 - ∞ -category of sections of p which carry inert morphisms in $\underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ to p -cocartesian morphisms. If $p: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$, $q: \mathcal{D}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ are C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -categories, a C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} is a morphism of cocartesian fibrations from p to q .

Example 2.3.2 ([NS22, Example 2.4.2]). There is a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category $(\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{C_2})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ whose underlying C_2 - ∞ -category is $\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{C_2}$. In particular, the p -cocartesian morphism associated to the map $C_2/e \rightarrow C_2/C_2$ classifies the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel norm $N_e^{C_2}: \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$ [HHR16, Definition A.52].

The (large) ∞ -category of presentable ∞ -categories with left adjoint functors, equipped with the symmetric monoidal Lurie tensor product, is an indispensable tool to higher category theory. Next, we recall the C_2 -parametrized analogue of these ideas. We begin with the parametrized analogue of the condition that a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ of ordinary ∞ -categories preserves colimits separately in each variable.

Recollection 2.3.3 ([NS22, Definition 3.2.4; Nar17, §3.3; QS22, Definition 5.16]). Let \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} be a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. The C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{C} is said to be C_2 -*distributive* if, roughly, it preserves C_2 -colimits separately in each variable. Let us note that $\underline{\mathrm{Spc}}^{C_2}$ with the smash product C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure and $\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{C_2}$ with the smash product and Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel norm C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure are distributive ([NS22, p.34] and [Nar17, Corollary 3.28], resp.).

Recollection 2.3.4. There is a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category $C_2\mathrm{Pr}^L$ of presentable C_2 - ∞ -categories with morphisms given by distributive functors [Nar17, Definition 3.24]. By [NS22, Theorem 5.1.4(3)], $C_2\mathbb{E}_{\infty}\underline{\mathrm{Alg}}(C_2\mathrm{Pr}^L)$ has coproducts. Given presentable C_2 - ∞ -categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , regard them as objects of $C_2\mathbb{E}_{\infty}\underline{\mathrm{Alg}}(C_2\mathrm{Pr}^L)$ via C_2 -cocartesian C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure [NS22, Example 2.4.1]. Denote their coproduct in $C_2\mathbb{E}_{\infty}\underline{\mathrm{Alg}}(C_2\mathrm{Pr}^L)$ by $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{C_2} \mathcal{D}$.

Next, we show that C_2 -Green functors which arise as the fixed point functors associated to discrete rings with involution may be regarded as C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -ring spectra in a canonical way.

Notation 2.3.5. Let k be a commutative ring with involution endowed with an involution. Write \underline{k} for the C_2 -Green functor with $\underline{k}^{C_2} = k^{C_2}$, where k^{C_2} denotes the strict fixed points of the C_2 -action on k , and $\underline{k}^e = k$. When k is given the trivial involution, this agrees with Example 2.2.6.

Proposition 2.3.6. *Let k be a discrete commutative ring with a given involution. Then the fixed point C_2 -Green functor \underline{k} (Notation 2.3.5) canonically acquires the structure of a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebra. Moreover, suppose that k' is another discrete commutative ring with an involution and $f: k \rightarrow k'$ is a map of commutative rings respecting the involution. Then f canonically induces a map $\underline{k} \rightarrow \underline{k}'$ of C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -rings.*

Proof. The result follows from a nearly identical argument to that of [Yan25, Theorem 5.1]; one only needs to observe that the strict fixed points k^{C_2} of the involution on k satisfies $k^{C_2} \simeq \pi_0(k^{hC_2}) \simeq \tau_{\geq 0}k^{hC_2}$. \square

The C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -structure on \underline{k} allows us to define a relative norm.

Definition 2.3.7. Let A be a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -ring. We define the *relative norm* to be

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{N}^{C_2}: \mathrm{Mod}_{A^e}(\mathrm{Sp}) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_A(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}) \\ M &\mapsto A \otimes_{N^{C_2}A^e} N^{C_2}M. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.3.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be a distributive C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category and let A be a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in \mathcal{C} . Then the C_2 - ∞ -category $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$ admits a C_2 -symmetric monoidal refinement. Moreover, the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_A$ is distributive in the sense of Recollection 2.3.3.*

Notation 2.3.9. In the setting of Proposition 2.3.8, we will write $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_A$ for the C_2 - ∞ -category $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$, we will write \mathbf{Mod}_A for the ∞ -category $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_A^{C_2}$, and we will write \mathbf{Mod}_A^e for the underlying ∞ -category \mathbf{Mod}_{A^e} .

Proof of Proposition 2.3.8. The first statement can be proved using the same strategy as [Yan25, Proposition A.8]. The latter statement follows from the fact that \mathcal{C} was assumed to be distributive and C_2 -colimits in $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_A$ are computed in \mathcal{C} Proposition A.0.21. \square

Remark 2.3.10. For the purposes of this paper, a ‘ C_p -Tambara functor’ is a C_p - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra object in $\mathbf{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^\heartsuit$ (see Variant 2.3.12) with respect to the box product and the norm on discrete Mackey functors [Maz13]. This agrees with the definition in terms of polynomial functors; see [Cno+24].

Definition 2.3.11 ([DPJ22, Appendix A; Sul23, Definition 3.13]). A G -Tambara functor B is said to be *cohomological* if for all subgroups $K \leq H \leq G$ and $x \in B^H$, $N_K^H \text{Res}_K^H(x) = x^{[H:K]}$.

The condition appearing in Definition 2.3.11 can be regarded as the multiplicative analogue of asking for Mackey functors to be cohomological. If M is a cohomological G -Mackey functor, the free G -Tambara functor on M is not necessarily cohomological *as a Tambara functor*.

Variant 2.3.12. By [Lur17, Proposition 1.4.4.11; AN21, Proposition A.15], there is a t-structure on $\mathbf{Mod}_{\underline{k}}(\text{Sp}^{C_2})$ where an object X is connective if X^e and X^{C_2} are both connective in Sp^G , that is $\mathbf{Mod}_{\underline{k}}(\text{Sp}^G)_{\geq 0} = \mathbf{Mod}_{\underline{k}}(\text{Sp}_{\geq 0}^G)$. The heart of this t-structure is equivalent to modules over \underline{k} in C_2 -Mackey functors.

The following is a special case of [LM06, Theorem 1.3].

Proposition 2.3.13. *The $\mathbf{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^\heartsuit$ -tensor product given on Mackey functors M, N by $\pi_0(M \otimes N)$ can be identified with the box product on C_2 -Mackey functors of Lewis [Lew81, p. 5; Lew88, p. 61; Shu10, p. 9].*

Remark 2.3.14. Commutative algebras with respect to the symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathbf{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^\heartsuit$ are often referred to as *Green functors* [Dre73, p. 19].

Notation 2.3.15. Let \underline{k} be the constant C_2 -Mackey functor associated to a commutative ring k . Suppose S is a finite C_2 -set. We will write $\underline{k}[S] := \underline{k} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_0} \Sigma_{C_2,+}^\infty S \in \mathbf{Mod}_{\underline{k}}(\text{Sp}^{C_2})$ and abbreviate $\underline{k} = \underline{k}[C_2/C_2]$.

Warning 2.3.16. Let $M \in \mathbf{Mod}_{\underline{k}}$. We write $M^\vee = \text{hom}_{\underline{k}}(M, \underline{k})$ for the dual in Mackey functors, which is not to be confused with a different type of duality which utilizes precomposing with the anti-autoequivalence $\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G) \simeq \text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)^{\text{op}}$ [TW95, §4].

3 Filtered and graded objects

Just as filtered and graded objects are indispensable to the ordinary Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem, filtered and graded objects in parametrized ∞ -categories will play a key role in our main

theorem. In §3.1, we introduce filtered and graded objects in a C_2 - ∞ -category and show that they inherit parametrized enhancements of structural properties possessed by ordinary ∞ -categories of filtered and graded objects. In §3.2, we introduce a parametrized version of the filtrations considered in [Wil17] and discuss various filtrations on C_2 - ∞ -categories of filtered and graded objects which will be useful to us later.

While writing this section, this author wanted to prove a C_2 -symmetric monoidal enhancement of the equivalence of [Rak20, Theorem 3.2.14], but was stymied by the absence of a parametrized Tannakian reconstruction result. We content ourselves with Proposition 3.1.24 for now. While we expect similar statements to hold for filtered and graded objects in suitable G - ∞ -categories for other finite groups G , we do not pursue this matter here.

3.1 Definitions

In this section, we introduce filtered and graded objects in C_2 - ∞ -categories and prove C_2 -parametrized enhancements of many of the results contained in [Lur14, §3.1-2]. In particular, we show that if \mathcal{C} is a distributive C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category, then the C_2 - ∞ -categories of graded and filtered objects in \mathcal{C} themselves admit C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures given by parametrized Day convolution (Corollary 3.1.13). Moreover, the associated graded C_2 -functor is C_2 -symmetric monoidal (Proposition 3.1.20).

Recollection 3.1.1. Consider \mathbb{Z} as a (1-)category with objects the integers and a unique morphism $n \rightarrow m$ if $n \geq m$. We will abuse notation and denote the ∞ -categorical nerve of \mathbb{Z} similarly. Let \mathbb{Z}^δ be the category with the same objects but no nontrivial morphisms, i.e. a discrete category. There is evidently an inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{Z}^\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The operation of addition on the integers endows both \mathbb{Z}^δ and \mathbb{Z} with symmetric monoidal structures.

Definition 3.1.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 - ∞ -category, and write $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}, \mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^\delta$ for the constant C_2 - ∞ -categories at \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}^δ , respectively [Bar+16b, Example 2.2]. The C_2 - ∞ -category of *filtered objects in \mathcal{C}* is given by $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) := \underline{\text{Fun}}(\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}, \mathcal{C})$ and the C_2 - ∞ -category of *graded objects in \mathcal{C}* is $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) = \underline{\text{Fun}}(\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^\delta, \mathcal{C})$, where $\underline{\text{Fun}}(-, -)$ denotes the parametrized functor categories of Proposition 2.1.8.

Remark 3.1.3. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 - ∞ -category. A C_2 -object in $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$ is a morphism $X : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of cocartesian fibrations over $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$, or equivalently, an ordinary functor $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{C_2}$. Thus we see that under the equivalence of Remark 2.1.3, the C_2 - ∞ -category $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$ is given by the diagram $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}^{C_2}) \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}^e) \curvearrowright C_2$, where the C_2 -action on $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}^e)$ is inherited from \mathcal{C}^e . We may abuse notation and denote a filtered or graded object by X_* where $*$ $\in \mathbb{Z}$.

Recollection 3.1.4. Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a C_2 - ∞ -category which admits sequential colimits pointwise, which are preserved by the restriction functor $\mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$.

- (a) Given a filtered object $X : \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$, we can associate to it its parametrized colimit $|X| = \text{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X_n$ (compare [Sha23, Corollary 5.9]). Since the underlying C_2 - ∞ -category of $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^\delta$ is constant, by [Sha23, Proposition 5.8] the parametrized colimit is computed pointwise by the usual colimit of filtered objects.
- (b) Restriction along the constant map $c : \mathbb{Z}_{C_2} \rightarrow \{*\}$ defines a C_2 -functor $c : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$ sending every object $Y : \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to the *constant* filtered object $c(Y)$. A *filtration on Y* is a morphism

of filtered objects $\alpha: X_* \rightarrow c(Y)$. A filtration on Y is *exhaustive* if α induces an equivalence on colimits $|X| \simeq |c(Y)| \simeq Y$.

By [Sha23, Theorem 10.5], there is a C_2 -adjunction $\text{colim} \dashv c$.

Notation 3.1.5. Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can restrict along the inclusion $\text{ev}_n: \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $\text{ev}_n: \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. These functors admit fully faithful left C_2 -adjoints $\text{ins}_n: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}), \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 3.1.6. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -presentable C_2 - ∞ -category. We can form the *associated graded* of a filtered object, which participates in a C_2 -adjunction:

$$\begin{aligned} X_* &\mapsto \text{gr}(X)_n := \text{cofib}(X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n) \\ \text{gr}: \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) &\rightleftarrows \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}): \zeta \\ \{\cdots \xrightarrow{0} X_n \xrightarrow{0} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{0} \cdots\} &\leftarrow X_* \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.7}$$

Definition 3.1.8. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -stable C_2 - ∞ -category which admits sequential limits, which are preserved by the restriction functor $\mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$. A filtered object X_* is said to be *complete* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = 0$. We denote the full C_2 -subcategory on complete filtered objects by $\text{Fil}^\wedge(\mathcal{C})$.

The next observation is an immediate consequence of its non-parametrized counterpart [GP18, Lemma 2.15] and Corollary 2.1.13.

Observation 3.1.9. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -presentable C_2 -stable C_2 - ∞ -category which admits sequential limits, which are preserved by the restriction functor $\mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$. The inclusion $\text{Fil}^\wedge(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$ admits a left C_2 -adjoint, which we call completion and denote by $(-)^^\wedge$.

Remark 3.1.10. Restriction along the canonical fiberwise inclusion $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{C_2}$ induces a C_2 -functor $\text{und}: \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ which associates to a filtered object its underlying graded object. The functor und admits a left C_2 -adjoint $\text{spl}: \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$ given on objects by $\text{spl}(X_*)_j \simeq \bigsqcup_{i \geq j} X_i$. We will say that a filtered object X is *split* if there is an equivalence $\text{spl}(\text{gr}(X)) \simeq X$ in $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$.

We need a bit more preparation in order to be able to make sense of filtered and graded equivariant algebras.

Construction 3.1.11. Let $\mathbb{Z}^\delta, \mathbb{Z}$ denote the monoidal categories used to define filtered and graded objects in Definition 3.1.2. Consider the functors

$$\begin{array}{ll} g: \text{Span}(\text{Fin}_{C_2}) \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty & f: \text{Span}(\text{Fin}_{C_2}) \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty \\ U \simeq \bigsqcup_{W \in \text{Orbit}(U)} W \mapsto \prod_{W \in \text{Orbit}(U)} (\mathbb{Z}^\delta) & U \simeq \bigsqcup_{W \in \text{Orbit}(U)} W \mapsto \prod_{W \in \text{Orbit}(U)} \mathbb{Z} \\ (W^{\sqcup n} \xrightarrow{\nabla} W) \mapsto ((\mathbb{Z}^\delta)^n \xrightarrow{+} \mathbb{Z}^\delta) & (W^{\sqcup n} \xrightarrow{\nabla} W) \mapsto (\mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{+} \mathbb{Z}) \\ (W^{\sqcup n} \leftarrow W: \iota_i) \mapsto ((\mathbb{Z}^\delta)^n \xrightarrow{p_i} \mathbb{Z}^\delta) & (W^{\sqcup n} \leftarrow W: \iota_i) \mapsto (\mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{p_i} \mathbb{Z}) \\ (C_2 \rightarrow C_2/C_2) \mapsto (\mathbb{Z}^\delta \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}^\delta) & (C_2 \rightarrow C_2/C_2) \mapsto (\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}) \\ (C_2/C_2 \leftarrow C_2) \mapsto (\mathbb{Z}^\delta = \mathbb{Z}^\delta) & (C_2/C_2 \leftarrow C_2) \mapsto (\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

where ι_i denotes inclusion of the i th component, p_i is projection onto the i th component, and W denotes some object in the orbit category $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$. Notice that g and f are determined by their values on the aforementioned objects and morphisms.

Consider the composites $\underline{\text{Fin}}_{C_2,*} \xrightarrow{s} \text{Span}(\text{Fin}_{C_2}) \xrightarrow{g} \text{Cat}_\infty$ and $\underline{\text{Fin}}_{C_2,*} \xrightarrow{s} \text{Span}(\text{Fin}_{C_2}) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Cat}_\infty$. Denote the corresponding Grothendieck constructions by $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^{\delta,+} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^+ \rightarrow \underline{\text{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$, respectively. Notice that the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}^\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ induces a natural transformation $g \Rightarrow f$, which in turn induces a morphism $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^{\delta,+} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^+$ of cocartesian fibrations.

Lemma 3.1.12. *The C_2 - ∞ -categories $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^{\delta,+} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^+ \rightarrow \underline{\text{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ of Construction 3.1.11 are $\underline{\text{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ -promonoidal in the sense of Definition 3.1.1 of [NS22].*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^{\delta,+} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^+ \rightarrow \underline{\text{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ were defined as cocartesian fibrations over $\underline{\text{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$, it suffices to show that the structure morphisms exhibit $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^{\delta,+}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^+$ as C_2 - ∞ -operads. This follows from unravelling the definitions of f and g , as the morphism spaces in \mathbb{Z}^δ and \mathbb{Z} are either empty or contractible. \square

Corollary 3.1.13. *For any distributive C_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C}^\otimes (Recollection 2.3.3), there are C_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes := \widetilde{\text{Fun}}(\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^\delta, \mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes := \widetilde{\text{Fun}}(\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}, \mathcal{C})$. Their underlying C_2 - ∞ -categories are given by $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) = \underline{\text{Fun}}(\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^\delta, \mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) = \underline{\text{Fun}}(\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}, \mathcal{C})$, respectively. Moreover, the symmetric monoidal structures on $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})_t$ and $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})_t$ for any $t \in \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$ agree with the usual Day convolution symmetric monoidal structure.*

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 3.2.6 of [NS22] and Lemma 3.1.12. The descriptions of the underlying C_2 - ∞ -categories follows from Proposition 3.1.9 of *loc. cit.* The last statement follows by definition of a C_2 -colimit diagram (compare the proof of [Sha23, Corollary 5.9]). \square

Corollary 3.1.14. *Let A be a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in Sp^{C_2} . Then parametrized Day convolution induces a C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on $\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_A)$ and $\text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_A)$. On underlying ∞ -categories, this recovers the Day convolution symmetric monoidal structure on $\text{Gr}(\text{Mod}_{A^e}(\text{Sp}))$ and $\text{Fil}(\text{Mod}_{A^e}(\text{Sp}))$, resp.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2.3.8 and Corollary 3.1.13. \square

Remark 3.1.15. In the situation of Notation 3.1.5, if \mathcal{C} is in addition endowed with a C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure, then ins_0 is a C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor, so ev_0 is lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal.

Variante 3.1.16. Suppose \mathcal{C} is pointed. We denote $\text{Fil}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0, C_2}, \mathcal{C})$, equivalently given by the full C_2 -subcategory of $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$ on filtered objects X_* such that the map $X_n \simeq X_{n-1}$ for $n \leq 0$. Similarly, we have a C_2 - ∞ -category $\text{Gr}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0, C_2}^\delta, \mathcal{C})$, equivalently given by the full C_2 -subcategory of $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ on filtered objects X_* such that $X_n \simeq *$ for $n < 0$. Restriction along the inclusions $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0, C_2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{C_2}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0, C_2}^\delta \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^\delta$ induce C_2 -adjunctions $\text{ins}^{\geq 0}: \text{Fil}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}): \text{ev}^{\geq 0}$ and $\text{ins}^{\geq 0}: \text{Gr}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}): \text{ev}^{\geq 0}$.

When \mathcal{C} is C_2 -symmetric monoidal, the functors $\text{ins}^{\geq 0}$ are C_2 -symmetric monoidal, so $\text{ev}^{\geq 0}$ are lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal.

As with ordinary Day convolution, the norm on graded and filtered objects (i.e., Day convolution indexed by the C_2 -set C_2) admits an explicit description.

Lemma 3.1.17. *Let $(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2})^\otimes$ be the C_2 - ∞ -category of C_2 -spectra, endowed with the distributive C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure of [NS22, Example 2.4.2].*

- Let $A \in \mathrm{Sp}$ and write $A(\ell) \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp})$ for the graded object which is concentrated in degree ℓ . Under the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure of Corollary 3.1.13 and (2.2.14), we have

$$\left(N^{C_2}(A(\ell))\right)^e \simeq (A(\ell))^{\otimes 2} \simeq (A \otimes A)(2\ell) \quad \Phi^{C_2}\left(N^{C_2}(A(\ell))\right) \simeq A(2\ell).$$

In particular, if B is any graded object, then $(N^{C_2}(B))_m^e \simeq \bigoplus_{i+j=m} B_i^e \otimes B_j^e$ and $\Phi^{C_2}(N^{C_2}B)_{2m} \simeq B_m^e$.

- Let A be a filtered object in Sp . Then the norm of A as a filtered object in Sp^{C_2} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \left(N^{C_2}(A)\right)_m^e &\simeq (A^e \otimes^{\tau} A^e)_m && \text{in } \mathrm{Sp}^{BC_2} \\ \Phi^{C_2}\left(N^{C_2}(A)\right)_{2m} &\simeq \Phi^{C_2}\left(N^{C_2}(A)\right)_{2m+1} \simeq A_m^e && \text{in } \mathrm{Sp}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_2 acts on $A^e \otimes^{\tau} A^e$ by both permuting the factors of A^e and acting on both components with the given action on A^e .

Proof. We prove the case of filtered objects; the case for graded objects is similar.

Taking $\underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_{C_2,*} = \mathcal{O}^\otimes$, $\mathcal{C}^\otimes = \mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^+$, and $x = C_2$, $y = C_2/C_2$ in Proposition 3.2.2 of [NS22] gives a formula for the norm of a filtered object in terms of a parametrized left Kan extension. Unraveling definitions, we see that \mathcal{C}_x^\otimes has non parametrized fibers $(\mathcal{C}_x^\otimes)_{C_2/C_2} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathcal{C}_x^\otimes)_{C_2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ while on the other hand, $(\mathcal{C}_y^\otimes)_{C_2/C_2} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_y^\otimes)_{C_2} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. By a similar argument to that of the proof of [Yan25, Theorem 4.15], a C_2 -colimit of an $\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{C_2}$ -valued diagram may be computed, under the recollement of Proposition 2.2.12, as two ordinary colimit diagrams satisfying compatibilities. The result follows immediately from these considerations. \square

Observation 3.1.18. Consider the adjunction $(\mathrm{spl}, \mathrm{und})$ of Remark 3.1.10 and assume that \mathcal{C} is a distributive C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category [NS22, Definition 3.2.4]. By [Lur09, Proposition 1.1.2.2 & Corollary 2.4.6.5], $\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^{\delta,+} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^+$ is a fibration of C_2 - ∞ -operads ([NS22, Definition 2.2.1]). Taking $\mathcal{C}^\otimes = \mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^{\delta,+}$, $\mathcal{O}^\otimes = \mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^+$, and $\mathcal{P}^\otimes = \underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_{C_2,*}$ in [NS22, Remark 4.3.6], it follows that spl is C_2 -symmetric monoidal and und is lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal.

Lemma 3.1.19. *Write $\mathrm{S}[t] = \mathrm{und}(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{fil}})$ where $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{fil}}$ is the unit object in filtered C_2 -spectra. Since und is lax symmetric monoidal (Observation 3.1.18), $\mathrm{S}[t]$ has a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra structure. The forgetful C_2 -functor*

$$\mathrm{und}: \mathrm{Fil}\left(\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{C_2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}\left(\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{C_2}\right)$$

promotes to a C_2 -symmetric monoidal equivalence

$$\theta: \mathrm{Fil}\left(\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{C_2}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathrm{S}[t]}\left(\mathrm{Gr}\left(\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{C_2}\right)\right).$$

On underlying ∞ -categories, θ recovers the ordinary symmetric monoidal equivalence of [Lur14, Proposition 3.1.6] (also denoted θ).

Proof. That θ is lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal follows from Corollary 3.1.13. Building on that, it suffices to show that if X is a filtered C_2 -spectrum, the canonical map $N^{C_2}(\theta(X)) \otimes_{N^{C_2}(\mathbb{S}[t])} \mathbb{S}[t] \simeq \theta(N^{C_2}(X))$ is an equivalence. The result follows from Lemma 3.1.17 and the observation that, as a module over $N^{C_2}(\mathbb{S}[t])$, there is an equivalence $\mathbb{S}[t] \simeq N^{C_2}(\mathbb{S}[t]) \oplus N^{C_2}(\mathbb{S}[t])(-1)$. \square

Proposition 3.1.20. *Let \mathcal{C} be a distributive C_2 -stable C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. Then the associated graded functor (3.1.7) promotes to a C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor $\text{gr}: \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes}$, where filtered and graded objects in \mathcal{C} are given the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures of Corollary 3.1.13.*

Proof. Our proof will be quite similar to [Lur14, §3.2]. We will consider the universal case $\mathcal{C} = \underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2}$; the result for general \mathcal{C} follows from the equivalences $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2}) \otimes \mathcal{C}$ and $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2}) \otimes \mathcal{C}$ in the (large) ∞ -category of C_2 -presentable C_2 - ∞ -categories.

Now we may regard $\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2} \simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}(\{0\}, \underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2})$ as a C_2 -localization of $\text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2})$ via the inclusion $\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. This localization is compatible with the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures on $\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2}$ and $\text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2})$ in the sense of [NS22, §2.9, in particular see Remark 2.9.3]; this follows from the description of the norm in Lemma 3.1.17. As a consequence, there is a unique C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra structure on the filtered C_2 -spectrum \mathbb{A} characterized by

$$\mathbb{A}^n = \begin{cases} \mathbb{S} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

so that the unit map $\mathbb{S}^{\text{fil}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ restricts to an equivalence $\mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}^0$. On underlying spectra, the map of algebras $\mathbb{S}^{\text{fil}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ agrees with that of [Lur14, Proposition 3.2.5].

Now the previous argument together with Lemma 3.1.19 imply that there is an equivalence

$$\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{A}}(\text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{A}} \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{S}[t]}(\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2})) \simeq \text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2}). \quad (3.1.21)$$

The result follows from noting that the associated graded C_2 -functor is equivalent to the composite

$$\text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2}) \xrightarrow{-\otimes \mathbb{A}} \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{A}}(\text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Sp}}^{C_2}),$$

where the latter equivalence is (3.1.21). \square

Remark 3.1.22. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category admitting geometric realizations. Let A be an augmented C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ algebra object in \mathcal{C} . Since the forgetful C_2 -functor $\underline{\mathbb{E}}_1 \underline{\text{Alg}}(C_2 \mathbb{E}_\infty \underline{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow C_2 \mathbb{E}_\infty \underline{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence by [Ste25], using Proposition A.0.14, we may take the bar construction in $C_2 \mathbb{E}_\infty \underline{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{C})$ to obtain $\text{Bar}(A)$ as a C_2 -object in $\underline{\text{coAlg}}(C_2 \mathbb{E}_\infty \underline{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{C}))$, i.e. a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -co- $\underline{\mathbb{E}}_1$ -bialgebra object in \mathcal{C} . Since $C_2 \mathbb{E}_\infty \underline{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves geometric realizations, the underlying $\underline{\mathbb{E}}_1$ -coalgebra object of $\text{Bar}(A)$ agrees with the ordinary bar construction for $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$ -cocartesian families of augmented algebra objects.

Notation 3.1.23. Let \mathcal{C} be a distributive C_2 -presentable C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. Write $\mathbb{D}_-^\vee = \text{Bar}(\mathbb{1}^{\text{gr}}[t])$; by Remark 3.1.22, it is a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -co- $\underline{\mathbb{E}}_1$ -bialgebra object in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$. We write

\mathbb{D}_- for the dual of \mathbb{D}_-^\vee , which we regard as an \mathbb{E}_1 -co- C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebra in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ by Proposition 4.1.30. It also follows from Remark 3.1.22 that the underlying object of \mathbb{D}_- admits a formula as in [Rak20, Notation 3.2.11]:

$$\mathbb{D}_- \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{1} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mathbb{1}[-1] & \text{if } n = -1 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.1.24. *Let \mathcal{C} be a distributive C_2 -stable C_2 -presentable C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category, and let $\mathbb{1}$ denote the unit. Suppose further that \mathcal{C} admits C_2 -limits indexed by \mathbb{Z}_{C_2} . There is a canonical $\text{Com}_{\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}}$ -monoidal equivalence $\overline{\text{gr}}: \text{Fil}^\wedge(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_-}(\mathcal{C})$ (see Notation 3.1.23) making the following diagram commute*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{gr}} & \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \\ (-)^\wedge \downarrow & & \uparrow u \\ \text{Fil}^\wedge(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\text{gr}}} & \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_-}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})). \end{array}$$

Remark 3.1.25. As noted at the beginning of §3, we expect the equivalence of Proposition 3.1.24 to refine to one of C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -categories.

Proof of Proposition 3.1.24. By Proposition A.0.14, the result is equivalent to exhibiting an equivalence of $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$ -cocartesian families of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories. The result follows from observing that [Rak20, Theorem 3.2.14] is suitably natural in colimit-preserving symmetric monoidal functors. \square

3.2 Slices and truncations

In this section, we introduce various filtrations on categories of graded and filtered objects which will be useful later. Unlike in [Rak20], where the filtrations under consideration arise from t-structures, we will consider filtrations which do not arise from a t-structure. This can be thought of as reflecting the peculiarities of the C_2 -equivariant category (which admits infinitely many possible filtrations which measure connectivity of underlying and geometric fixed point spectra at different speeds, cf. [Wil17]). Alternatively, this might be regarded as reflecting the ‘motivic’ nature of working C_2 -equivariantly [Hea19].

Definition 3.2.1 ([Wil17, Definition 1.41]). Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -stable C_2 - ∞ -category. A *filtration* \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{C} is a sequence of full C_2 -subcategories

$$\cdots \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\geq n} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\geq n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{C}$$

so that for each n , the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{\geq n} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ admits a right C_2 -adjoint $P^n: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\geq n}$. Write $\mathcal{C}_{\leq n-1}$ for the full C_2 -subcategory of \mathcal{C} on those objects $X \in \mathcal{C}_t$ which $P^n(X) = 0 \in (\mathcal{C}_{\geq n})_t$. Write $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{C}_{\geq n} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\leq n}$ and refer to this as the subcategory of n -slices. We will refer to \mathcal{F}_0 as the *heart* of the filtration.

This generalizes the filtrations we are familiar with.

Proposition 3.2.2. *Consider the sequences of full C_2 -subcategories*

- (a) $\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}_{\mathrm{rslice} \geq n}^{\mathcal{C}_2}$ generated by those \mathcal{C}_2 -spectra which are regular slice n -connective (Definition 3.2.20).
- (b) $\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{C}_2}$ generated by those \mathcal{C}_2 -spectra which are n -connective with respect to the Postnikov t -structure (Definition 2.2.17).

Both sequences of \mathcal{C}_2 -subcategories define a filtration on $\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{\mathcal{C}_2}$ in the sense of Definition 3.2.1. A similar result holds for $\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A$, where A is a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathcal{C}_2}$.

Proof. We sketch the proof for $A = \mathbb{S}^0$; the general case is identical. Note that the left adjoint $\mathcal{C}_2 \otimes - : \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathcal{C}_2}$ to the restriction functor evaluated on an n -connective ordinary spectrum is both regular slice n -connective (see Lemma 2.2.24) and Postnikov n -connective. The result now follows from Corollary 2.1.14. \square

Definition 3.2.3. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -category. A filtration \mathcal{F} on the underlying \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is *compatible* with the \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal structure if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) The subcategory of coconnective objects $\mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$ is closed under filtered colimits;
- (ii) The unit object $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$;
- (iii) If $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$, then $X \otimes Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$.
- (iv) If $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}^e$, then $N_e^{\mathcal{C}_2} X \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$.

Remark 3.2.4. If \mathcal{C} is a \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -category with a filtration which is compatible with the \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal structure, then \mathcal{C}^e is an ordinary symmetric monoidal ∞ -category equipped with a compatible filtration.

The following is an immediate consequence of [NS22, Theorem 2.9.2].

Proposition 3.2.5. Let \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} be a \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -category. Suppose \mathcal{C} is equipped with a filtration $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$ which is compatible with the \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal structure in the sense of Definition 3.2.3. Then

- (a) $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ inherits a canonical \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal structure so that the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal structure.
- (b) The heart $(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})_{\leq 0}$ inherits the structure of a \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category so that $\tau_{\leq 0} : \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})_{\leq 0}$ admits a \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal structure.

Definition 3.2.6. Given a filtration \mathcal{F} on a \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ inherits several filtrations.

- (a) A graded object $X_* \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ is n -connective in the *neutral* \mathcal{F} -filtration if $X_* \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq n}$ for all n .
- (b) An object $X_* \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ is n -connective in the *positive* \mathcal{F} -filtration if $X_* \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq n+*}$ for all n . Denote the \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -category $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ equipped with this filtration by $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{F}^+}$.
- (c) An object $X_* \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ is n -connective in the *negative* \mathcal{F} -filtration if $X_* \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq n-*}$ for all n . Denote the \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -category $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ equipped with this filtration by $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{F}^-}$.

When $\mathcal{C} = \underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{\mathbb{C}_2}$ or $\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A$ for A a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum in $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathbb{C}_2}$, we will denote the latter two by $\mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{\mathbb{C}_2} \right)_{\mathrm{rslice}^\pm}$ or $\mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A \right)_{\mathrm{rslice}^\pm}$, respectively.

Remark 3.2.7. Let A be a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum in $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathbb{C}_2}$. Consider the negative regular slice filtration of Definition 3.2.6 for $\mathcal{C} = \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A$. The heart of this filtration can be identified with the full \mathbb{C}_2 -subcategory of $\underline{\mathrm{Fun}} \left(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}_2}^\delta, \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A \right)$ on those functors $F: \mathbb{Z}^\delta \times \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_2}^{\mathrm{op}} \right)_{t/f} \rightarrow (\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)_\pm$ so that for each n , $F(n, -)$ takes values in regular $(-n)$ -slices. Similarly, the heart of the positive regular slice filtration of Definition 3.2.6 can be identified with the full \mathbb{C}_2 -subcategory of $\underline{\mathrm{Fun}} \left(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}_2}^\delta, \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A \right)$ on those functors $F: \mathbb{Z}^\delta \times \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_2}^{\mathrm{op}} \right)_{t/f} \rightarrow (\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)_\pm$ so that for each n , $F(n, -)$ takes values in regular n -slices. We will denote these \mathbb{C}_2 - ∞ -categories by $\mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A \right)_{\mathrm{rslice}^\pm}^\heartsuit$ or $\mathrm{Gr} \left(\mathrm{rslice}_{\mp}^\heartsuit \right)$ if A is understood.

Observation 3.2.8. Let \underline{k} denote the fixed point \mathbb{C}_2 -Green functor associated to a discrete ring with involution. Recall [Ull13, Corollary 8.9] which characterizes regular 1-slices as those discrete cohomological \mathbb{C}_2 -Mackey functors whose restriction maps are injective and the fact that $\otimes S^\rho$ defines an equivalence from the category of regular n -slices to the category of $(n+2)$ -slices. It follows that the functor $- \left[-\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \rho + \sigma \right] \simeq - \left[-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \rho - 1 \right]$ defines an equivalence² from the category of regular n -slices to the category of 1-slices when n is odd. Thus, we have \mathbb{C}_2 -functors

$$\begin{aligned} (-) \left[-\lceil \frac{*}{2} \rceil \rho + \varepsilon(*)\sigma \right] : \mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}} \right)_{\mathrm{rslice}^+}^\heartsuit &\rightarrow \mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}} \right)^\heartsuit \\ X_* &\mapsto F(X)_n := X_n \left[-\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \rho + \varepsilon(n)\sigma \right] \\ (-) \left[\lceil \frac{*}{2} \rceil \rho - \varepsilon(*)1 \right] : \mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}} \right)_{\mathrm{rslice}^-}^\heartsuit &\rightarrow \mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}} \right)^\heartsuit \end{aligned}$$

(where $\varepsilon(n) = 1$ if n is odd and zero otherwise) which are fully faithful and have the same essential image: those graded \underline{k} -modules M_n in \mathbb{C}_2 -Mackey functors so that the restriction map on M_n is injective for all n odd. Write $\mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}} \right)_{r^\pm}^\heartsuit$ for their essential image.

Write $\mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}} \right)_r^\heartsuit$ for the heart of the neutral regular slice filtration on $\mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}} \right)$; this category is canonically identified with $\mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}} \right)^\heartsuit$.

Proposition 3.2.9. *Let A a \mathbb{C}_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathbb{C}_2}$ which is connective with respect to the Postnikov t -structure. Then the neutral, positive, and negative regular slice filtrations of Definition 3.2.6 (also see Proposition 3.2.2) are all compatible with the \mathbb{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{Gr} \left(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A \right)$ from Corollary 3.1.13.*

Remark 3.2.10. The analogue of Proposition 3.2.9 does not hold for the Postnikov filtration, because $N^{\mathbb{C}_2}$ does not take n -connective spectra to $2n$ -connective \mathbb{C}_2 -spectra unless $n \leq 0$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2.9. The result follows from the definition of compatibility in view of Lemma 2.2.24 and Lemma 3.1.17. \square

²In writing this equivalence, we made a choice; we could have used the functor $- \left[-\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \rho + 1 \right] \simeq - \left[-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \rho - \sigma \right]$ which identifies regular n -slices with those cohomological \mathbb{C}_2 -Mackey functors for which the transfer map $M^e \rightarrow M^{\mathbb{C}_2}$ is surjective.

Remark 3.2.11. Let \underline{k} be the fixed point C_2 -Mackey functor associated to a discrete ring with an involution. By Proposition 3.2.5, there are induced C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures on the hearts of the neutral, positive, and negative regular slice filtrations on $\mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}})$. In particular, the positive and negative regular slice filtrations induce a Koszul C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure \otimes_K on $\mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}})_{r\pm}^\heartsuit$, while the neutral slice filtration induces the Day convolution C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure \otimes_D on $\mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}})_{r\pm}^\heartsuit$. Identifying $\mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}})_{r\pm}^\heartsuit$ with full subcategories of $\mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}})^\heartsuit$ using Observation 3.2.8, this agrees with the usual C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on graded Mackey functors, with tensor product and norm given by parametrized Day convolution, but with two changes. In addition to the symmetry isomorphism incorporating the Koszul sign convention, the C_2 -action on the norm is twisted by (-1) . In particular, if A is a C_2 -commutative algebra in $\mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}})^\heartsuit$ with respect to the Koszul sign rule and $a \in A_{2\ell+1}^e$ is in odd degree, then $n(a) = -n(\sigma(a)) \in A_{4\ell+2}^{C_2}$. We will write $\mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}})_{r\pm}^{\heartsuit, \otimes_K}$ for the C_2 -category $\mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{k}})_{r\pm}^\heartsuit$ with the Koszul C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure.³

An important aspect of [Rak20] is relating positive and negative t-structures on graded objects; in particular, this allows Raksit to define cohomology for a h_+ -cochain complex. We prove an involutive enhancement of this correspondence in Proposition 3.2.15. In order to do so, we first introduce a parametrized enhancement of the Picard space for a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category.

Notation 3.2.12. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. Write $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ for its corresponding Picard space; at each orbit, $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ is the ordinary Picard space of $\mathcal{C}^{C_2/H}$. Since invertible objects are closed under symmetric monoidal functors, $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ is a grouplike C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -monoid in C_2 -spaces. In particular, we may regard it as the infinite loop space associated to a connective C_2 -spectrum.

Observation 3.2.13. Let A be a connective C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in Sp^{C_2} . As in [MS16, Example 2.2.2], there is an isomorphism $\pi_1 \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Mod}_A) \simeq \pi_0(\Omega^\infty A)^\times$ of C_2 -Mackey functors, where $\pi_0(\Omega^\infty A)^\times$ is the C_2 -Mackey functor of units in the C_2 -Tambara functor $\pi_0 A$. Furthermore, there is an equivalence of C_2 -spaces $\tau_{\geq 1} \Omega \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Mod}_A) \simeq \tau_{\geq 1}(\Omega^\infty A)^\times$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear C_2 -stable C_2 -presentable distributive C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category and consider the C_2 -functor which shears

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) &\xrightarrow{[\pm\rho^*]} \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \\ X_* &\mapsto F(X)_n := \Sigma^{\pm\rho n} X_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.14}$$

Proposition 3.2.15. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear C_2 -stable C_2 -presentable distributive C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category.*

- (1) *The shear functor $[\pm\rho^*]$ of (3.2.14) is a C_2 -symmetric monoidal equivalence of C_2 - ∞ -categories with inverse $[\mp\rho^*] : X_* \mapsto \Sigma^{\mp\rho^*} X_n$. Suppose $\mathcal{C} = \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A$ for A a connective C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ - \mathbb{Z} -algebra. Then the functors $[\pm\rho^*]$ promote to filtered equivalences*

$$[\rho^*] : \mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)_{\mathrm{rslice}^-} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)_{\mathrm{rslice}^+} : [-\rho^*]$$

³We have not compared our notion of C_2 -graded commutative algebra with that of [AB18], but let us note that Angeltveit–Bohmann consider a more general notion: Their indexing category is the representation ring $RO(G)$.

between the negative and positive regular slice filtrations of Definition 3.2.6 on $\mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)$. In particular, they induce inverse equivalences on hearts

$$[\rho_*]: \mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)_{\mathrm{rslice}^-}^{\heartsuit} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gr}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)_{\mathrm{rslice}^+}^{\heartsuit} : [-\rho_*].$$

(2) On underlying spectra, $[\pm\rho_*]$ recovers the equivalences $[\pm 2_*]$ of [Rak20, Proposition 3.3.4].

Proof of Proposition 3.2.15. (1) Similarly to [Rak20, Proof of Proposition 3.3.4], a C_2 -functor

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\pm}: \mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^{\delta} &\rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \\ n &\mapsto \Sigma^{\pm n\rho_{C_2}} \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.16)$$

defines an autoequivalence of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ via the adjoint of

$$\mathbb{Z}_{C_2}^{\delta} \times \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{(\psi_{\pm} \circ \pi_{1, \mathrm{ev}})} \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{C}.$$

By the universal property of the parametrized Day convolution [NS22, Proposition 3.1.7], it suffices to upgrade the map of spaces (3.2.16) to a morphism of grouplike C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} - C_2 -spaces. Using Observation 3.2.13, consider the Whitehead tower of the Picard C_2 -space

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_1(\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}})) [1] & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\geq 1} \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \\ \simeq \uparrow & & \simeq \uparrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[1] & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}) & \longrightarrow & A \end{array} \quad (3.2.17)$$

where A is the Burnside C_2 -Mackey functor. To obtain our desired map, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_0 \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \simeq A$ classified by $[C_2] \in A^{C_2}$ admits lifts (as maps of connective C_2 -spectra) along each stage of this tower.

Since the map $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{[C_2]} A$ is homotopic to the composite $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{[C_2/C_2]} A \xrightarrow{tr} A$, the composite $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[2]$ is homotopic to the composite $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{[C_2/C_2]} A \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[2] \xrightarrow{tr} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[2]$, which is canonically nullhomotopic because the transfer map is zero on the constant Mackey functor with value $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

(2) Let ψ_{\pm} be as in the proof of (1); taking underlying ∞ -groupoids, ψ_{\pm}^e are maps of grouplike \mathbb{E}_{∞} -spaces. The result follows from the observation that ψ_{\pm}^e are canonically identified with the maps $\pm\phi$ which are used to define the functors $[\pm 2_*]$ in [Rak20, Proposition 3.3.4]. \square

Now, let us consider filtrations on $\mathrm{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$. Doing so will allow us to show that given a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} algebra A in Sp^{C_2} , the regular slice-connective covers $\tau_{\geq *}^{\mathrm{rslice}} A$ interact nicely with the normed multiplication structure on A (Remark 3.2.21).

Notation 3.2.18. Let A be a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebra in Sp^{C_2} which is connective. Let $\mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)_{\geq *}^{\mathrm{rslice}}$ denote the full C_2 -subcategory of those filtered objects $X_{\geq *}$ such that X_n is regular slice n -connective for all n . By Lemma 2.2.24, its fiber over C_2 is $\mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{A^e}(\mathrm{Sp}))_{\geq *}^{\mathrm{P}}$, where the latter denotes the category of [Rak20, Construction 3.3.6], i.e. consisting of those filtered objects X^* so that X^n is n -connective for all n . Write ι for the inclusion C_2 -functor $\iota: \mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)_{\geq *}^{\mathrm{rslice}} \subseteq \mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)$, which exists by Observation 2.2.23.

Proposition 3.2.19. *Let A be a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in Sp^{C_2} which is connective.*

- (a) *The inclusion C_2 -functor $\iota : \mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)_{\geq *}^{\mathrm{rslice}} \subseteq \mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)$ admits a right C_2 -adjoint $\tau_{\geq *}^R$.*
- (b) *The C_2 -functor ι is C_2 -symmetric monoidal, and $\tau_{\geq *}^R$ is lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal.*

Proof. Note that $\mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)$ and $\mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)_{\geq *}^{\mathrm{rslice}}$ have C_2 -coproducts, which are preserved by the inclusion ι . Now (a) follows from Corollary 2.1.14. To prove (b), note that the subcategory is compatible with the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures on $\mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)$ (Corollary 3.1.13) in the sense of [NS22, Proposition 2.9.1] by Lemmas 2.2.24 and 3.1.17. The result follows from Theorem 2.9.2 of *loc. cit.* \square

Definition 3.2.20 (cf. [Ull13; Wil17]). *Let A be a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in Sp^{C_2} which is connective. Denote the diagonal C_2 -functor by $\delta : \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)$ and let $\tau_{\geq *}^R$ denote the connective cover with respect to the regular slice filtration (Proposition 3.2.19). Use $\tau_{\geq *}^{\mathrm{rslice}}$ to denote the composite*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A) \xrightarrow{\tau_{\geq *}^R} \mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)_{\geq *}^{\mathrm{rslice}}$$

which we call the *regular slice filtration*.

Remark 3.2.21. *The regular slice filtration functor $\tau_{\geq *}^{\mathrm{rslice}}$ is lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal since $\delta, \tau_{\geq *}^R$ both are. In particular, it takes C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra objects to C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra objects.*

Proposition 3.2.22. *Let A denote a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in Sp^{C_2} .*

- (1) *The regular slice filtration is right separated, i.e. the intersection $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_{\leq n}^{\mathrm{rslice}} \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2})$ is zero.*
- (2) *The regular slice filtration on $\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A$ is right complete, i.e. the canonical C_2 -functor*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A \rightarrow \lim(\cdots \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{A, \mathrm{rslice} \geq -1} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{A, \mathrm{rslice} \geq 0})$$

is an equivalence.

Corollary 3.2.23. *Let A denote a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in Sp^{C_2} . The composite*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A \xrightarrow{\tau_{\geq *}^{\mathrm{rslice}}} \mathrm{Fil}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{colim}} \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A$$

is canonically equivalent to the identity functor.

Proof of Proposition 3.2.22. Part (1) follows from Lemma 2.2.25 and right-separatedness of the Postnikov t-structure on Sp^{C_2} . In view of the fact that limits in diagram categories are computed pointwise, Part (2) follows from (1). \square

4 Bialgebras and their modules

Let S^σ denote the circle-with-flip-action, regarded as a C_2 -monoid object in Spc^{C_2} . Then there is an equivalence of C_2 - ∞ -categories

$$\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}[S^\sigma]} \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Fun}}(BS^\sigma, \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \quad (4.0.1)$$

which recovers the equivalence $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}[S^1]} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(BS^1, \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ on underlying ∞ -categories. Moreover, we may regard (4.0.1) as an equivalence of $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -families of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories, which recovers the pointwise symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{Fun}(BS^1, \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}})$. However, the C_2 -monoid structure endows $\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}[S^\sigma]}$ with additional structure: the *twisted diagonal* map $S^\sigma \rightarrow \prod_{C_2} S^1$, $x \mapsto (x, \sigma x)$ induces a map of C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras $\Delta^\tau: \underline{\mathbb{Z}}[S^\sigma] \rightarrow \underline{N}^{C_2}\mathbb{Z}[S^1]$ where \underline{N}^{C_2} is the relative norm of Definition 2.3.7. Given a $\mathbb{Z}[S^1]$ -module M , we may canonically regard $\underline{N}^{C_2}M$ as a $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[S^\sigma]$ -module via the map Δ^τ .

In §4.1, we show that the aforementioned map is part of a C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on $\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}[S^\sigma]}$. Much of our material on C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures on modules over a C_2 -bialgebra directly generalizes the main results of [Rak20, §2] to the C_2 -parametrized context, and also serves a similar purpose: We will use these notions to define filtered involutive circle actions and involutive chain complexes, which do not admit a convenient description in terms of functor categories. In §4.2, we discuss generalizations of orbits, fixed points, and the Tate construction, closely following [QS22]. Certain constructions and proofs in this section follow from a fiberwise/pointwise application of the corresponding result for ordinary ∞ -categories; when this occurs, we only give an indication of the necessary modifications (if any).

4.1 Parametrized bialgebras and tensor products

In this section, we introduce C_2 -bialgebras and show that modules over a C_2 -bialgebra inherit a C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure. Our proofs will take us on a lengthy digression on parametrized (co)cartesian symmetric monoidal structures. The reader who is interested only in real trace theories may wish to skip the material between Proposition 4.1.3 and Definition 4.1.16, though the reader who is more interested in parametrized ∞ -categories and parametrized ∞ -operads may find that material of independent interest. Part of this section is written in slightly greater generality than needed in the rest of the paper; if assuming our finite group G is C_2 did not simplify proofs substantially, then we did not make such an assumption. However, we did not concern ourselves with proving the most general statement possible for parametrized ∞ -categories.

Definition 4.1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a G -symmetric monoidal G - ∞ -category. We say that a G - \mathbb{E}_∞ -coalgebra object of \mathcal{C} is a G - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra object of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{vop}}$.

We write $G\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{coAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ for the G - ∞ -category $G\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{vop}})^{\mathrm{vop}}$ of G - \mathbb{E}_∞ -coalgebra objects in \mathcal{C} .

Notation 4.1.2. In the following, $\mathrm{coAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ will denote (co)algebras with respect to the operad \mathbb{E}_1 of Definition A.0.5.

The G -symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{C} induces canonical G -symmetric monoidal structures on the G - ∞ -categories $G\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{coAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ and $G\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ [NS22, §5.3]. Thus, we may consider parametrized algebras endowed with the structure of both an algebra and a coalgebra.

Proposition 4.1.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a G -symmetric monoidal G - ∞ -category. Then there are canonical equivalences of G -symmetric monoidal G - ∞ -categories*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{G}\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{coAlg}(\mathrm{G}\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})) &\simeq \mathrm{G}\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}(\mathrm{G}\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{coAlg}(\mathcal{C})) \\ \mathrm{G}\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{coAlg}(\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})) &\simeq \mathrm{Alg}(\mathrm{G}\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{coAlg}(\mathcal{C})) & \mathrm{coAlg}(\mathrm{G}\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})) &\simeq \mathrm{G}\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}(\mathrm{coAlg}(\mathcal{C})) \end{aligned}$$

commuting with the (G -symmetric monoidal) forgetful functors to \mathcal{C} . On underlying symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories, this recovers [Rak20, Proposition 2.1.2] (see also [Lur18, Corollary 3.3.4]).

Proof. The first equivalence follows from Lemma 4.1.7. The latter two equivalences follow from a parametrized adaptation of the argument in [Rak20, Proposition 2.1.2]; let us verify that the necessary ingredients for the argument carry over to the parametrized setting. Firstly, note that Nardin–Shah have shown that for any G -distributive G -symmetric monoidal G - ∞ -category \mathcal{D} , there is a free-forgetful G -adjunction $\mathrm{G}\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which is fiberwise monadic [NS22, Theorem 4.3.4 & Corollary 5.1.5]. Furthermore, any G -symmetric monoidal G - ∞ -category \mathcal{D} can be embedded into a G -distributive G -symmetric monoidal G - ∞ -category of presheaves, endowed with the parametrized Day convolution G -symmetric monoidal structure [NS22, Corollary 6.0.12]. Additionally, the G - ∞ -category $\mathrm{G}\mathbb{E}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{D})$ has finite G -coproducts which are computed by the parametrized tensor product [NS22, §5.3]. The result follows from universal properties of G -cocartesian G - ∞ -operads of Definition 4.1.5, which are proved in Lemma 4.1.10 and Proposition 4.1.12. \square

Recollection 4.1.4 ([NS22, Definition 5.2.1]). Let \mathcal{T} be an orbital ∞ -category. A \mathcal{T} - ∞ -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes is *unital* if for all orbits $V \in \mathcal{T}$ and objects $x \in \mathcal{O}_V^\otimes$, the space of multimorphisms $\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(\emptyset, x)$ is contractible.

Definition 4.1.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category. We will say that a \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal structure \mathcal{C}^\otimes on \mathcal{C} is *\mathcal{T} -cartesian* if it satisfies

- (1) The unit \mathcal{T} -object $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ is \mathcal{T} -final
- (2) For each pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_t$, the canonical maps $X \otimes 1_t \leftarrow X \otimes Y \rightarrow 1_t \otimes Y$ exhibit $X \otimes Y$ as a product of X and Y in the ∞ -category \mathcal{C}_t
- (3) For each morphism $\alpha: s \rightarrow t$ in \mathcal{T} , the functor $\mathcal{C}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_t$ classified by $s = s \xrightarrow{\alpha} t$ in $\mathrm{Span}(\mathrm{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}})$ is right adjoint to the restriction functor $\mathcal{C}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_s$ classified by α regarded as a morphism in $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

There is an analogous dual characterization of those \mathcal{T} - ∞ -operads which are \mathcal{T} -cocartesian. We will denote \mathcal{T} -cartesian \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal structures by \mathcal{C}^Π and \mathcal{T} -cocartesian \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal structures by \mathcal{C}^\sqcup .

A \mathcal{T} - ∞ -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes is *cartesian* (resp. *cocartesian*) if it is equivalent to \mathcal{C}^Π (resp. \mathcal{C}^\sqcup) for some \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category \mathcal{C} .

Remark 4.1.6. Unravelling definitions, it is not too difficult to see that Definition 4.1.5 agrees with the parametrized (co)cartesian operad of [NS22, Example 2.4.1].

Lemma 4.1.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be a G -symmetric monoidal G - ∞ -category.*

- (a) The ∞ -category $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ of G -bialgebra objects is G -semiadditive in the sense of [Nar17, Definition 2.19].
- (b) The G -symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{C} induces a G -symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ which is both G -cartesian and G -cocartesian.
- (c) Let \mathcal{E} any G -semiadditive G - ∞ -category, and regard \mathcal{E} as a G -symmetric monoidal G - ∞ -category with its G -cartesian (equivalently, G -cocartesian) G -symmetric monoidal structure. Then the forgetful functor $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces an equivalence of G - ∞ -categories $\mathrm{Fun}^{G\otimes}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fun}^{G\otimes}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{C})$.

Proof. We prove (b) first; (a) follows from (b) by unravelling definitions. Recall that the G -symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ is G -cocartesian [NS22, Corollary 5.3.8]. Applying Theorem 5.1.3 *ibid.* to $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})^{\mathrm{vop}}$, we deduce that $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ admits finite G -coproducts, and that the forgetful functor $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ preserves finite G -coproducts.

Moreover, [NS22, Corollary 5.3.8] also implies that the symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ is cartesian. To show that the symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ is also G -cocartesian, we must check the three conditions of Definition 4.1.5; the verification of the first two conditions are straightforward parametrized generalizations of those in the proof of [Lur18, Proposition 3.3.3]. It remains to verify

- (3) Let $G/K \rightarrow G/H$ be any map of orbits, and write R for the restriction functor $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)_{G/H} \rightarrow \mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)_{G/K}$. The norm functor $N_K^H: \mathcal{C}^K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^H$ lifts to a right adjoint of R (which we also denote by N_K^H) by G -cartesianness of the G -symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)$. We must check that N_K^H is also the left adjoint to R . Since the forgetful functor $\theta: \mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ creates G -colimits, it suffices to show that N_K^H computes the left adjoint to $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})_{G/H} \rightarrow \mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})_{G/K}$. This follows immediately from the fact that the G -symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ is cocartesian [NS22, Corollary 5.3.8].

We now prove part (c). Write the forgetful functor as a composite

$$\mathrm{Fun}^{G\otimes}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{coAlg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathrm{Fun}^{G\otimes}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathrm{Fun}^{G\otimes}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{C}).$$

Since the G -symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{E} is G -cocartesian, by Lemma 4.1.10, we can identify φ_2 with the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Fun}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}\left(\mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathrm{GE}_{\infty}\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\right)$. This functor is an equivalence by Proposition 4.1.12. A similar line of reasoning shows that φ_1 is an equivalence. \square

Notation 4.1.8. Let \mathcal{C} be a G - ∞ -category and assume that $\alpha: U \rightarrow V$ is a map in \mathcal{O}_G . In the following lemma, we will write Res_U^V for the restriction functor $\alpha^*: \mathcal{C}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_U$ associated to α . If α^* has a right adjoint α_* , we may denote it by $\mathrm{coInd}_U^V: \mathcal{C}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V$.

Construction 4.1.9. Let G be a finite group and let \mathcal{C} be a G - ∞ -category which admits all finite G -coproducts. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\sqcup} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Fin}}_{G,*}$ be the associated G -cocartesian operad of [NS22, Example 2.4.1]. There is a canonical G -functor

$$\Theta: \mathcal{C} \times \underline{\mathbf{Fin}}_{G,*} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\sqcup}$$

$$(c \in \mathcal{C}_T, W \rightarrow T) \mapsto \left(\left(\text{Res}_U^T(c) \right)_U \in \prod_{U \in \text{Orbit}(W)} \mathcal{C}_U \right).$$

Lemma 4.1.10. Let \mathcal{D} be a G -symmetric monoidal G - ∞ -category, and let \mathcal{C} a G - ∞ -category which admits finite G -coproducts. Then restriction along the functor Θ of Construction 4.1.9 induces an equivalence $\underline{\mathbf{Alg}}_{\mathcal{C}^{\sqcup}}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Fun}}(\mathcal{C}, \underline{\mathbf{GE}}_{\infty} \underline{\mathbf{Alg}}(\mathcal{D}))$ of G - ∞ -categories.

Remark 4.1.11. While this work was being written, we learned that Natalie Stewart had already proved similar results in [Ste25, Appendix A]. We leave our results on the universal properties of G -cocartesian operads here, but make no claim to originality.

Proof of Lemma 4.1.10. Throughout this proof, let us write $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_G$. By a similar maneuver to that in [BH21, Corollary C.2], we may reduce to the case where the G -symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{D} is G -cartesian. Then there is an equivalence of C_2 - ∞ -categories $\underline{\mathbf{GE}}_{\infty} \underline{\mathbf{Alg}}(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \underline{\mathbf{CMon}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{D})$ by [Nar17, Theorem 2.32]. Emulating [BH21, Lemma C.4], it suffices to show that restriction along Θ

$$\underline{\mathbf{Fun}}(\mathcal{C}^{\sqcup}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Fun}}(\mathcal{C} \times \underline{\mathbf{Fin}}_{G,*}, \mathcal{D})$$

induces an equivalence between the subcategories

- ▶ functors $f: \mathcal{C}_t^{\sqcup} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_t$ that preserve $\mathcal{T}^{/t}$ -products
- ▶ functors $\mathcal{C}_t \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{CMon}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{D})_t$

for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Without loss of generality, replace $\mathcal{T}^{/t}$ by \mathcal{T} . Let $M: \mathcal{C} \times \underline{\mathbf{Fin}}_{G,*} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ so that the adjoint $M^{\dagger}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Fun}}(\underline{\mathbf{Fin}}_{G,*}, \mathcal{D})$ has image in $\underline{\mathbf{Fun}}^{\times}(\underline{\mathbf{Fin}}_{G,*}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \underline{\mathbf{CMon}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{D})$. Note that a general object of \mathcal{C}_T^{\sqcup} is a tuple

$$x = \left((x_U)_{U \in \text{Orbit}(W)} \in \prod_{U \in \text{Orbit}(W)} \mathcal{C}_{U, W \rightarrow T} \right) \in \mathcal{C}_T^{\sqcup};$$

we will write $x_U = (x_U \in \mathcal{C}_U, U \subseteq W \rightarrow T)$. To prove the claim, it suffices to show that the \mathcal{T} -limit of

$$M^x: (\mathcal{C} \times \underline{\mathbf{Fin}}_{G,*}) \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\sqcup}} (\mathcal{C}^{\sqcup})^{\mathbb{Z}/} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

is $\prod_{U \in \text{Orbit}(W)} \text{coInd}_U^T M(c_U) \in \mathcal{D}_T$. Recall that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{\times, \text{op}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^{\sqcup} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Fin}_G^{\text{op}} & \longleftarrow \mathbf{Triv}_G^{\otimes} \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathbf{Fin}}_{G,*} \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{C}^\times is defined between Propositions 5.11 and 5.12 in [Sha23] (in particular, both vertical arrows are cocartesian fibrations) and $\underline{\mathbf{Triv}}_G^\otimes$ is the trivial operad of [NS22, Example 2.4.3]. The inclusion

$$\mathcal{Q} := (\mathcal{C} \times \underline{\mathbf{Triv}}_G^\otimes) \times_{\mathcal{C}^\times} (\mathcal{C}^\times)^{\mathbb{X}'} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C} \times \underline{\mathbf{Fin}}_{G,*}) \times_{\mathcal{C}^\sqcup} (\mathcal{C}^\sqcup)^{\mathbb{X}'}$$

admits a fiberwise right adjoint by the discussion in the introduction to appendix C of [BH21], hence it is G -coinitial by [Sha23, Theorem 6.7]. Thus it suffices to compute the G -limit of the restriction of M^x to \mathcal{Q} . Now an object of $\mathcal{C} \times \underline{\mathbf{Triv}}_G^\otimes$ is a pair $(c \in \mathcal{C}_S, W \rightarrow S)$. Its image in $\mathcal{C}_W^{\times, \text{op}}$ is $(\text{Res}_Z^S(c))_{Z \in \text{Orbit}(W)}$. The map $S \rightarrow T$ in \mathcal{T} classifies the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_W^\times &\simeq \prod_{U \in \text{Orbit}(W)} \mathcal{C}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{S \times_T W}^\times \simeq \prod_{Y \in \text{Orbit}(S \times_T W)} \mathcal{C}_Y \\ \text{sending } (x_U) &\mapsto (\text{Res}_Y^{U_Y}(x_{U_Y})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we may regard a $(\mathcal{T}^{\text{op}})^{S'}$ -object of \mathcal{Q} as a tuple

$$q = \left(c \in \mathcal{C}_S, V \rightarrow S, \sigma, \left\{ \text{Res}_Y^{U_Y}(x_{U_Y}) \rightarrow \text{Res}_Y^S(c) \right\}_{Y \in \text{Orbit}(S \times_T W)} \right)$$

where σ is a morphism in $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}}$ making the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xleftarrow{\sigma} & S \times_T W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \xlongequal{\quad} & S \end{array}$$

commute. Note that the data of a map $\text{Res}_Y^{U_Y}(x_{U_Y}) \rightarrow \text{Res}_Y^S(c)$ is equivalent to the data of a map $x_{U_Y} \rightarrow \text{coInd}_Y^{U_Y} \text{Res}_Y^S(c)$. Since M preserves G -products in its second variable, $M(q) \simeq \prod_{Z \in \text{Orbit}(V)} \text{Res}_Z^S M(c)$. We claim that the restriction of M^x to \mathcal{Q} is \mathcal{C}_2 -right Kan extended from the subcategory

$$\mathcal{R} := (\mathcal{C} \times \underline{\{*\}}) \times_{\mathcal{C}^\times} (\mathcal{C}^\times)^{\mathbb{X}'} \simeq \bigsqcup_{U \in \text{Orbit}(W)} \mathcal{C}^{x_U'}.$$

Indeed for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, the parametrized comma category is $\mathcal{R} \times_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}^{q'} \simeq \bigsqcup_{Z \in \text{Orbit}(V)} \mathcal{C}^{\text{Res}_Z^S(c)'}$, and the claim follows from the pointwise formula for parametrized right Kan extensions [Sha23, §10]. Now

$$\underline{\lim}_{\mathcal{R}} M \simeq \prod_{U \in \text{Orbit}(W)} \underline{\lim}_{\mathcal{C}^{x_U'}} M \simeq \prod_{U \in \text{Orbit}(W)} \text{coInd}_U^T M(x_U)$$

where the latter equivalence follows from the canonical identification $\mathcal{C}^{x_U'} \simeq \mathcal{T}'^U$ and [Sha23, Proposition 5.11]. \square

Proposition 4.1.12. *Let \mathcal{T} be an orbital ∞ -category. Let \mathcal{O}^\otimes be a unital \mathcal{T} - ∞ -operad, and let \mathcal{C}^\otimes be a cocartesian \mathcal{T} - ∞ -operad (Definition 4.1.5). Then the restriction*

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Fun}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C})$$

is an equivalence of \mathcal{T} - ∞ -categories.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category and recall the definition of \mathcal{C}^{\sqcup} from [NS22, Example 2.4.1]. In particular, we have $\mathcal{C}^{\sqcup} = \text{Span}(\mathcal{C}^{\times}, \text{all}, \mathcal{C}_{p\text{-cocart}}^{\times}) \rightarrow \text{Span}(\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}})$ where $p^{\times}: \mathcal{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \text{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a cartesian fibration defined in [Sha23, Proposition 5.12] and the triple structure on \mathcal{C}^{\times} is given the triple structure where the backward morphisms are ‘everything’ and forward morphisms consist of those morphisms which are *cocartesian* over $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}}$ [Bar17, Definition 11.3].

Now if \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -operad, then an \mathcal{O} -algebra in \mathcal{C}^{\sqcup} is a functor B making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathcal{C}^{\sqcup} = \text{Span}(\mathcal{C}^{\times}, \text{all}, \mathcal{C}_{p\text{-cocart}}^{\times}) \\ & \searrow q^{\dagger} & \swarrow \\ & \text{Span}(\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}}) & \end{array}$$

commute. By [Hau+23, Theorem 2.18], this is equivalent to the data of a commutative diagram of adequate triples

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{TwAr}^r(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}) & \xrightarrow{B^{\dagger}} & (\mathcal{C}^{\times}, \text{all}, \mathcal{C}_{p\text{-cocart}}^{\times}) \\ & \searrow q & \swarrow \\ & (\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}}, \text{all}, \text{all}) & \end{array} \quad (4.1.13)$$

where TwAr^r is given the triple structure where a morphism $[f: c \rightarrow c']$ to $[g: d \rightarrow d']$ is *ingressive* (resp *egressive*) if ev_1 (resp. ev_2) takes it to an equivalence. Let $\varphi: x \rightarrow y$ be an object of $\text{TwAr}^r(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes})$. Then the image of φ under q^{\dagger} is a span $q^{\dagger}(x) \leftarrow q^{\dagger}(\varphi) \rightarrow q^{\dagger}(y)$. The functor q takes φ to the object $q^{\dagger}(\varphi)$. Now write $\text{TwAr}^r(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes})_{t=0}$ for the full subcategory on those arrows whose target is a zero object. Since \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} was assumed to be unital, the inclusion $\iota: \text{TwAr}^r(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes})_{t=0} \rightarrow \text{TwAr}^r(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes})$ has a left and right adjoint and they agree; call it π . Notice that the components of the unit and counit $\eta: \text{id} \rightarrow \iota \circ \pi$ and $\varepsilon: \iota \circ \pi \rightarrow \text{id}$ are *egressive*. Therefore, the morphism of adequate triples (4.1.13) is equivalent to a diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{TwAr}^r(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes})_{t=0} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^{\times} \simeq (\text{ev}_1)_*(\text{ev}_0)^*(\mathcal{C}^{\vee}) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow p^{\times} \\ & \text{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}} & \end{array} \quad (4.1.14)$$

For the next step, we introduce some notation: write $X \subset \text{Ar}(\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}})$ for the full subcategory on arrows with source in \mathcal{T} . Then we have maps

$$\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}} \xleftarrow{\text{ev}_1} X \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} \mathcal{T}.$$

By [Sha23, Theorem 2.24], (4.1.14) is equivalent to the data of a diagram of cartesian⁴ fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q} := (\text{ev}_0)_!(\text{ev}_1)^*\text{TwAr}^r(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes})_{t=0} & \xrightarrow{\bar{A}} & \mathcal{C}^{\vee} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow p^{\vee} \\ & \mathcal{T} & \end{array}$$

⁴Note that $(\text{ev}_0)_!(\text{ev}_1)^*$ is only left Quillen by *op. cit.*, so a priori we must perform fibrant replacement on $(\text{ev}_0)_!(\text{ev}_1)^*\text{TwAr}^r(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes})_{t=0}$. However, we may use the naive description of $(\text{ev}_0)_!$ as postcomposition with ev_0 because ev_0 is a cartesian fibration.

over \mathcal{T} , or equivalently [BGN18], a map $A := \overline{A}^\vee: \mathcal{Q}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{T} .

Now we proceed as in [Lur17, Proposition 2.4.3.16]. Such a \mathcal{T} -functor A determines a map of \mathcal{T} - ∞ -operads if and only if

- (*) Let α be a morphism in \mathcal{Q}^\vee whose image under the ‘source’ functor in \mathcal{O}^\otimes is inert. Then $A(\alpha)$ is p -cocartesian.⁵

Write $j_0: \mathcal{O}^\vee \rightarrow X$ for the composite of the structure map $\mathcal{O}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ with the identity section $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$. Moreover, choosing a map $j_1: (\mathcal{O}^\otimes)^\vee \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{TwAr}}^\ell(\mathcal{O}^\otimes)^{\text{op}} \simeq \underline{\mathbf{TwAr}}^r(\mathcal{O}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathbf{TwAr}^r(\mathcal{O}^\otimes)$ corresponding to a coherent choice of morphism with target zero object (see [Hau22, Warning 2.2.5]) canonically factors through $\mathbf{TwAr}^r(\mathcal{O}^\otimes)_{t=0}$. Since j_0 and j_1 agree after composing with the projection to $\mathbf{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}}$, they assemble to a \mathcal{T} -functor $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$.

Thus it suffices to show

- (a) A functor A is a left \mathcal{T} -Kan extension of $A|_{\mathcal{O}}$ if and only if it satisfies (*)
- (b) Every functor $A_0: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a left \mathcal{T} -Kan extension satisfying the conditions of (a)

Now, for $W \in \mathcal{O}_C^{\text{op}}$, an object of \mathcal{Q}_W is a pair (X, W) where $X \in \mathcal{O}_{[S \rightarrow V]}^\otimes$ and $W \rightarrow S$ is a map in $\mathbf{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}}$ and W is an orbit. Write ρ_W for the inert map $S \leftarrow W = W$ in $\mathbf{Span}(\mathbf{Fin}_{\mathcal{T}})$, and choose an inert morphism $X \rightarrow X_W$ lying over ρ_W . This gives rise to a map $f_W: (X, W \rightarrow S) \rightarrow (X_W, W)$ in \mathcal{Q} . Now for every $Y \in \mathcal{O}_{[W=W]}$, the composite

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{Q}}(Y, (X, W)) \xrightarrow{f_W \circ -} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{Q}}(Y, (X_W, W)) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{O}_W}(Y, X_W) \quad (4.1.15)$$

is an equivalence. Now taking $Y = X_W$ in (4.1.15), we see that f_W admits a right inverse g_W . Furthermore, the preceding discussion implies that the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_W \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_W$ admits a right adjoint. Since the inert map $X \rightarrow X_W$ is cocartesian over \mathcal{T}^{op} , the aforementioned right adjoints promote to a right \mathcal{T} -adjoint. The remainder of the proof proceeds as in [Lur17, Proposition 2.4.3.16]; we omit the details here. \square

Hereafter, we only concern ourselves with the case $G = C_2$.

Definition 4.1.16. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, and recall the equivalences of . Set $C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{biAlg}(\mathcal{C}) := C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{coAlg}(C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}))$; we will identify this C_2 - ∞ -category with $C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{coAlg}(\mathcal{C}))$ using Proposition 4.1.3, and refer to objects therein as C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebra objects. We will refer to objects of $C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{coAlg}(\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}))$ (resp. $\text{coAlg}(C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}))$) as \mathbb{E}_1 -co- C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebra objects (resp. C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -co- \mathbb{E}_1 -bialgebra objects) of \mathcal{C} .

Notation 4.1.17. The construction $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{coAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ determines a C_2 -functor $\text{coAlg}: \text{Alg}\left(\text{Fun}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \text{Cat}_\infty\right)\right) \rightarrow \text{Fun}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \text{Cat}_\infty\right)$, classified by a C_2 -cocartesian fibration that we denote $C_2\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{coAlg}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}(C_2\text{Cat}_\infty)$. We identify $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$ -cartesian sections of $C_2\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{coAlg}}$ as a $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$ -coCartesian family \mathcal{C} of monoidal ∞ -categories and A is a $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$ -coCartesian family of coalgebra objects in \mathcal{C} .

⁵Note that if α is fiberwise inert, then $A(\alpha)$ is an equivalence. Hence this recovers the condition (*) in *op. cit.* when $\mathcal{T} = \{*\}$.

Similarly, there is a coCartesian fibration $C_2\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{RMod}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}(C_2\text{Cat}_\infty)$. We identify $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$ -cartesian sections of $C_2\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{coAlg}}$ as a $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$ -coCartesian family \mathcal{C} of monoidal ∞ -categories and a C_2 - ∞ -category \mathcal{M} which is right-tensored over \mathcal{C} in the sense of Definition A.0.11.

Remark 4.1.18. The C_2 - ∞ -categories $C_2\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{RMod}}$, $C_2\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{coAlg}}$ admit finite products as in [Rak20, Remark 2.2.5]. Moreover, they also admit finite products indexed by C_2 -sets. For instance,

$$\prod_{C_2}(\mathcal{C}, A) \simeq \left(\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}, A \mapsto (A, A) \right)$$

$$\prod_{C_2}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \left(\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \right).$$

where C_2 acts on $\prod_{C_2} \mathcal{C}$ and $\prod_{C_2} \mathcal{M}$ by permuting the factors.

Construction 4.1.19. The assignment $A \mapsto \underline{\text{coMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$ extends to a C_2 -functor $C_2\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{coAlg}} \rightarrow C_2\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{RMod}}$ over $\text{Alg}(C_2\text{Cat}_\infty)$. The functor preserves finite products indexed by C_2 -sets, thus we can regard it as a C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor μ .

Proposition 4.1.20. *Let \mathcal{C} be a small C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. Then the assignment $A \mapsto \underline{\text{coMod}}_A$ promotes to a C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor $\mu: \underline{\text{coAlg}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C_2\text{Cat}_\infty)$.*

Proof. By Corollary A.0.15, if A is an \mathbb{E}_1 -coalgebra in \mathcal{C} , then the C_2 - ∞ -category $\underline{\text{coMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$ is right-tensored over \mathcal{C} . By [Ste25], we can regard \mathcal{C} as a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in $\mathbb{E}_1\text{Alg}(C_2\text{Cat}_\infty)$. This induces C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures on the parametrized fibers

$$C_2\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{coAlg}} \times_{\text{Alg}(C_2\text{Cat}_\infty)} \{\mathcal{C}\} \simeq \underline{\text{coAlg}}(\mathcal{C}) \quad C_2\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{RMod}} \times_{\text{Alg}(C_2\text{Cat}_\infty)} \{\mathcal{C}\} \simeq \underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C_2\text{Cat}_\infty).$$

Since the functor μ of Construction 4.1.19 is C_2 -symmetric monoidal, it restricts to the desired C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor $\underline{\text{coAlg}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C_2\text{Cat}_\infty)$. \square

Construction 4.1.21. Let \mathcal{C} be a small C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category and let A be a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebra object of \mathcal{C} . By Proposition 4.1.20 and by the argument of [Rak20, Construction 2.2.2], we obtain a C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on $\underline{\text{coMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$ and a C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on the forgetful functor $\underline{\text{coMod}}_A(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Variation 4.1.22. Let \mathcal{C} be a small C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category and let A be a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebra object of \mathcal{C} . Applying Construction 4.1.21 with \mathcal{C}^{vop} induces a C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on $\underline{\text{Mod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$ and a C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on the forgetful functor $\underline{\text{Mod}}_A(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Example 4.1.23. Let G be a C_2 -commutative monoid in $\underline{\text{Spc}}^{C_2}$. Since $\underline{\text{Spc}}^{C_2}$ has all C_2 -limits (i.e., it has a C_2 -cartesian C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure), we may regard G as a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -co- C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebra object in $\underline{\text{Spc}}^{C_2}$. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -presentable C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category [Nar17, §3]. Then there is a unique C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 -functor $F: \underline{\text{Spc}}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which preserves C_2 -colimits.

We claim that there is a canonical C_2 -symmetric monoidal equivalence $\underline{\text{LMod}}_{F(G)}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}(BG, \mathcal{C})$, where the latter is equipped with the pointwise C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure of [NS22, §3.3].

By Proposition 4.1.25, there is an equivalence $\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_{F(G)}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_G(\underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2})$ in $C_2\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{Alg}(C_2\mathbf{Pr}^L)$. By [Nar17, Example 3.26], there is an equivalence $\underline{\mathbf{Fun}}(BG, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes \underline{\mathbf{Fun}}(BG, \underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2})$. It suffices to prove the result for $\mathcal{C} = \underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2}$, wherein the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures on $\underline{\mathbf{Fun}}(BG, \underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2})$ and $\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_G(\underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2})$ are C_2 -cartesian, hence we are done.

Recollection 4.1.24 ([Nar17, §3.4, in particular Proposition 3.25]). The C_2 - ∞ -category of presentable C_2 - ∞ -categories and distributive C_2 -functors admits a C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure. We will denote the C_2 - ∞ -category of presentable C_2 - ∞ -categories and distributive C_2 -functors by $C_2\mathbf{Pr}^L$.

Proposition 4.1.25. *Let $F: \underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a morphism in $C_2\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{Alg}(C_2\mathbf{Pr}^L)^{C_2}$, and let A be an algebra object of $\underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2}$. Then the C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_A(\underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{F(A)}(\mathcal{D})$ induces a map $\mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_A(\underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{F(A)}(\mathcal{D})$ in $C_2\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{Alg}(C_2\mathbf{Pr}^L)^{C_2}$ which is an equivalence.*

Proof. It suffices to check that the induced functor is an equivalence at the level of underlying C_2 -presentable C_2 - ∞ -categories. In particular, it suffices to show the induced functor is an equivalence over each orbit. The result follows from Lemma 4.1.26 and applying [Lur17, Theorem 4.8.4.6] to each orbit. \square

Lemma 4.1.26. *Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be two C_2 -presentable C_2 - ∞ -categories. Notice that \mathcal{C}^e and \mathcal{C}^{C_2} are presentable ordinary ∞ -categories (and likewise for \mathcal{D}). Then there is an equivalence*

$$\mathcal{C} \otimes_{C_2} \mathcal{D} \simeq \left(\mathcal{C}^{C_2} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2}} \mathcal{D}^{C_2} \xrightarrow{\text{Res} \otimes \text{Res}} \mathcal{C}^e \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{Spc}}} \mathcal{D}^e \right) \quad (4.1.27)$$

in $C_2\mathbf{Pr}^L$, where on the right-hand side of (4.1.27), $\otimes_{(-)}$ denotes the tensor product in \mathbf{Pr}^L and the C_2 -action on $\mathcal{C}^e \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{Spc}}} \mathcal{D}^e$ is induced by the componentwise C_2 -action on $\mathcal{C}^e \times \mathcal{D}^e$.

Remark 4.1.28. Taking $\mathcal{D} = \underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2}$ in (4.1.27), we see that indeed $\underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2}$ is the unit in $C_2\mathbf{Pr}^L$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1.26. Write $\mathcal{C} \otimes' \mathcal{D}$ for the C_2 - ∞ -category on the right-hand side of (4.1.27). We will show that $\mathcal{C} \otimes' \mathcal{D}$ satisfies the universal property defining $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{C_2} \mathcal{D}$, hence they are canonically equivalent. By definition of the tensor product in \mathbf{Pr}^L , we have a C_2 -functor $G: \mathcal{C} \times_{C_2} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes' \mathcal{D}$ which preserves fiberwise colimits separately in each variable. Now if $L_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the left adjoint to the restriction functor $R_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$, notice that the composite $L_{\mathcal{C}} \circ R_{\mathcal{C}}$ agrees with $\mathcal{C}^{C_2} \times \{*\} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{C_2} \times \underline{\mathbf{Spc}} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}^{C_2}} \times (\sqcup_{C_2})} \mathcal{C}^{C_2} \times \underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{C}^{C_2}$, and likewise for \mathcal{D} . Since $\underline{\mathbf{Spc}}^{C_2}$ is generated under ordinary colimits by $*$ and C_2 , we see that G preserves C_2 -coproducts separately in each variable. Moreover, for any C_2 -presentable C_2 - ∞ -category \mathcal{E} , the data of a C_2 -functor $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ preserving C_2 -colimits separately in each variable is equivalent to the data of a functor $\mathcal{C} \otimes' \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ which preserves C_2 -colimits. \square

Recollection 4.1.29. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. An object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is *dualizable* if there exists an object $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ with maps $\eta: \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes Y$ and $\varepsilon: X \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ such that the composites

$$\begin{aligned} X &\xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \text{id}_X} X \otimes Y \otimes X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X \otimes \varepsilon} X \\ Y &\xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \text{id}_Y} Y \otimes X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Y \otimes \varepsilon} Y \end{aligned}$$

are both equivalences. One can check that if such a Y exists, it must be unique (up to contractible choice), so we write $Y \simeq X^\vee$ and call it the dual of X (in \mathcal{C}). Furthermore, X^\vee is dualizable with dual X . We denote the full \mathcal{C}_2 -subcategory on dualizable objects by $\mathcal{C}^{\text{fd}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Since fully dualizable objects are preserved under symmetric monoidal functors, \mathcal{C}^{fd} is closed under the norm map and thus inherits a \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal structure from \mathcal{C} . Furthermore, dualization refines to a \mathcal{C}_2 -functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{fd}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^{\text{fd}})^{\text{vop}}$.

Proposition 4.1.30. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -category. There exists an essentially unique \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal functor $\beta: \underline{\text{coAlg}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fd}}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fd}})^{\text{vop}} \simeq \underline{\text{coAlg}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fd}}^{\text{vop}})$ making the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\text{coAlg}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fd}}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{coAlg}}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{Cat}_{\infty})_{/\mathcal{C}} \\ \downarrow \beta & & & & \downarrow (-)^{\text{vop}} \\ \underline{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fd}}^{\text{vop}}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{vop}}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{vop}}}(\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{Cat}_{\infty})_{/\mathcal{C}^{\text{vop}}} \end{array}$$

commute. Moreover, the diagram of \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{coAlg}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fd}}) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \underline{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fd}}^{\text{vop}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}_{\text{fd}} & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\vee}} & \mathcal{C}_{\text{fd}}^{\text{vop}} \end{array}$$

commutes canonically.

Corollary 4.1.31. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal \mathcal{C}_2 -category, and A a \mathcal{C}_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} - \mathbb{E}_1 -bialgebra object of \mathcal{C} whose underlying object (i.e. forgetting the bialgebra structure) is dualizable. Then there exists an \mathbb{E}_1 -co- \mathcal{C}_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -bialgebra structure on A^\vee and a \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal equivalence of \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -categories*

$$\underline{\text{Mod}}_A(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\text{coMod}}_{A^\vee}(\mathcal{C}).$$

On underlying ∞ -categories, this recovers the equivalence of [Rak20, Corollary 2.3.3].

Proof of Proposition 4.1.30. The existence of the functor β follows from an argument similar to the proof of [Rak20, Proposition 2.3.2], using Proposition 4.1.34 and the pointwise description of module categories over \mathbb{E}_1 -algebras discussed in §A. That β is \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal follows from the observation that $\beta \circ \beta$ is canonically equivalent to the identity. \square

Remark 4.1.32. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -category and suppose \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} are \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -categories right tensored over \mathcal{C} . If $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a \mathcal{C}_2 -left adjoint functor of \mathcal{C} -linear \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -categories, then its right adjoint G is canonically lax \mathcal{C} -linear (compare [Lur17, Remark 7.3.2.9]).

Lemma 4.1.33. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, U: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C})$ be a \mathcal{C}_2 -object of $\underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{Cat}_{\infty})_{/\mathcal{C}}$ so that U admits a right \mathcal{C}_2 -adjoint $G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ which is \mathcal{C} -linear. Then there is a \mathbb{E}_1 -coalgebra A in \mathcal{C} equipped with a map $\alpha: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \underline{\text{coMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$ in $\underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{Cat}_{\infty})_{/\mathcal{C}}$ so that, for any $B: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1\text{coAlg}(\mathcal{C})$, the map*

$$\underline{\text{Map}}_{\mathbb{E}_1\text{coAlg}}(A, B) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Map}}_{\underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{Cat}_{\infty})_{/\mathcal{C}}}(\mathcal{M}, \underline{\text{coMod}}_B(\mathcal{C}))$$

is an equivalence of \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -groupoids.

Proof. The result follows from the same argument as [Rak20, Lemma 2.3.5], but using $(\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}\text{-points of})$ parametrized functor categories in place of ordinary functor categories. \square

Proposition 4.1.34. *Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. The C_2 -functor $\mu: \underline{\text{coAlg}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C_2\text{Cat}_{\infty})_{/\mathcal{C}}$ of Proposition 4.1.20 is fully faithful. Over each fiber $t \in \mathcal{T}$, its essential image consists of those pairs (\mathcal{M}, U) where \mathcal{M} is a $\mathcal{T}^{/t}$ - ∞ -category right-tensored over $C_{\underline{t}}$ and U is a $C_{\underline{t}}$ -linear $\mathcal{T}^{/t}$ -functor (in the sense of Definition A.0.12) so that*

- (a) U is fiberwise comonadic, in particular it admits a right $\mathcal{T}^{/t}$ -adjoint $G: C_{\underline{t}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$
- (b) G is $C_{\underline{t}}$ -linear.

Proof. We may define a C_2 -subcategory $\underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C_2\text{Cat}_{\infty})_{/\mathcal{C}}^0$ of $\underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C_2\text{Cat}_{\infty})_{/\mathcal{C}}$ consisting of those pairs (\mathcal{M}, U) which are in the essential image of μ . By Lemma 2.3.5 *ibid.*, μ admits a left adjoint ν . Write q for the structure map $\underline{\text{RMod}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C_2\text{Cat}_{\infty})_{/\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$. By Lemma 4.1.33, the unit $u: \text{id} \rightarrow \mu \circ \nu$ satisfies $q(u)$ is the identity on $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$, hence (μ, ν) is a C_2 -adjunction. The rest of the proof is similar to that of [Rak20, Proposition 2.3.6]. \square

4.2 The Tate construction

Hochschild homology $\text{HH}(A/k)$ is a k -module with S^1 -action; taking the homotopy orbits, fixed points, and Tate construction with respect to the S^1 -action gives rise to cyclic homology, negative cyclic homology, and periodic cyclic homology, respectively. One defines real versions of these trace theories using a parametrized version of the Tate construction [QS22]. In this section, we consider a variant on Quigley–Shah’s parametrized Tate construction which will allow us to apply fixed points, orbits, and the Tate construction to filtered objects with filtered S^σ -action.

Recollection 4.2.1 (Tate construction). [Rak20, Construction 2.4.4; MNN17; QS22, Definition 5.48] Let A be a dualizable bialgebra object of a stable presentable symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} . Restriction along the counit $A \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ defines a forgetful functor $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{1}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$. Denote the left and right adjoints to the restriction by $(-)_A, (-)^A$ respectively. Assume further that we are given an equivalence of A -modules $A \simeq A^\vee \otimes \omega_A$ for some invertible $\omega_A \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$. Then given any A -module M , we have a morphism

$$M_A \otimes \omega_A \simeq (M \otimes_A \mathbb{1}) \otimes \omega_A \rightarrow M \otimes_A (\mathbb{1} \otimes \omega_A \otimes A^\vee) \simeq M \otimes_A A \simeq M$$

Since the A -module structure on the left hand side factors canonically through the counit $A \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$, the map above is adjoint to a map $\text{Nm}_M: M \otimes \omega_A \rightarrow \text{hom}_A(k, M)$. The *Tate construction* of M is the cofiber of the norm map

$$M^{tA} := \text{cofib}(\text{Nm}_M).$$

Since the previous discussion was functorial in M , we have a functor $\text{Nm}_{(-)}: \text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$ which induces $(-)^{tA} \simeq \text{cofib} \circ \text{Nm}_{(-)}: \text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Proposition 4.2.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category which is C_2 -stable, fiberwise presentable, and C_2 -complete and C_2 -cocomplete⁶, and suppose A is a dualizable cocommutative bialgebra object of \mathcal{C}*

⁶See [Sha23, Remark 6.11] on why assuming presentability is not sufficient.

which satisfies the assumptions of Recollection 4.2.1. Then the Tate construction of loc. cit. promotes to a C_2 -functor

$$(-)^{tA}: \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C},$$

and the norm map Nm_- promotes to a natural transformation $(-)^A \rightarrow (-)^{tA}$ of C_2 -functors $\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $(-)^A$ is the right C_2 -adjoint to base change along the unit map $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow A$ of Proposition A.0.21(c).

Remark 4.2.3. In the situation of Proposition 4.2.2, unraveling definitions we see that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C}^{C_2}) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{tA}} & \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C}^{C_2}) \\ \downarrow (-)^e & & \downarrow (-)^e \\ \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_{A^e}(\mathcal{C}^e) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{tA^e}} & \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_{A^e}(\mathcal{C}^e). \end{array}$$

Proof of Proposition 4.2.2. Since \mathcal{C} is assumed to be C_2 -complete and C_2 -cocomplete, in particular it admits both C_2 -products and C_2 -coproducts. By [Sha23, Proposition 5.11] and its dual, it follows that the restriction map $\mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$ has both a left and right adjoint, and therefore it preserves limits and colimits. The result follows from [Rak20, Remark 2.4.12]. \square

Next, we show that the parametrized Tate construction of Proposition 4.2.2 is lax monoidal (compare [QS22]).

Definition 4.2.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -stable C_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, and let A be a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra object of \mathcal{C} . Write $\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the smallest stable full C_2 -subcategory of $\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$ containing the objects $A \otimes X$ for $X \in \mathcal{C}^{C_2}$ and $A^e \otimes Y$ for $Y \in \mathcal{C}^e$. We refer to this as the subcategory of *induced* A -modules. By construction, it is a full C_2 -stable C_2 -subcategory of $\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 4.2.5. The category $\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathcal{C})$ is fiberwise a \otimes -ideal; by construction it is closed under the restriction functor $\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})^{C_2} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})^e$. Now write \overline{N}^{C_2} for the norm map on \mathcal{C} and N^{C_2} for the relative norm map on A -modules (see [Yan25, Appendix A]). Since $N^{C_2}(A^e \otimes Y) \simeq (\overline{N}^{C_2}(A^e \otimes Y)) \otimes_{\overline{N}^{C_2} A^e} A \simeq A \otimes \overline{N}^{C_2} Y$, the subcategory $\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathcal{C})$ is also closed under the relative norm map $\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})^e \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})^{C_2}$. Therefore, it is a C_2 - \otimes -ideal in the sense of [QS22, Definition 5.24].

Observation 4.2.6. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -stable C_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, and let A be a dualizable C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebra object of \mathcal{C} satisfying the assumptions in Recollection 4.2.1. Let $M \in \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathcal{C})$. Then $M^{tA} \simeq 0$.

Proposition 4.2.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 -stable C_2 - ∞ -category and let A be a dualizable bialgebra object of \mathcal{C} . Assume that \mathcal{C} is C_2 -complete and C_2 -cocomplete, and that \mathcal{C} is fiberwise compactly generated. Then there is a unique pair of data:*

- ▶ A lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on the functor $(-)^{tA}: \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$
- ▶ A lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on the natural transformation $(-)^A \rightarrow (-)^{tA}$.

Proof. Take $C = \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$, $D = \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_A^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathcal{C})$ in [QS22, Theorem 5.28], $E = \mathcal{C}$, and keep the notation L for the functor $\mathrm{Fun}_{C_2}^{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{lax}}(C, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_{C_2}^{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{lax}}(C/D, E)$ which is left adjoint to restriction

along the quotient $C \rightarrow C/D$. By the theorem cited above, there exists an essentially unique lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor $L((-)^A) : C/D \rightarrow E$ and (regarding $L((-)^A)$ as a functor $C \rightarrow E$ which vanishes on D) and the unit of the adjunction $(-)^A \rightarrow L((-)^A)$ acquires a canonical lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure. It remains to check that $L((-)^A) \simeq (-)^{tA}$. As in the proof of [NS18, Theorem I.3.1], it suffices to check the equivalence at the level of C_2 -exact C_2 -functors. This follows by definition of the Tate construction, Observation 4.2.6, and Lemma 4.2.9. \square

Remark 4.2.8. It follows from Proposition 4.2.7 that when $\mathcal{C} = \underline{\mathrm{Sp}}^{C_2}$ and $A = \mathbb{S}[K]$ for K any finite group or compact Lie group sitting in an extension $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \widehat{K} \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow 1$ (compare [QS22]), the norm map and Tate construction agree with the norm map and *parametrized Tate construction* of [QS22] under the equivalence of Example 4.1.23.

Lemma 4.2.9. *Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 -stable C_2 - ∞ -category and let A be an algebra object of \mathcal{C} . Assume that \mathcal{C} is C_2 -complete and C_2 -cocomplete, and that \mathcal{C} is fiberwise compactly generated. Let $X \in \underline{\mathrm{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})_t$. Then the following maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{colim}_{Y \in (\underline{\mathrm{LMod}}_A^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathcal{C})_t)_{/X}} Y & \rightarrow & X \\ \mathrm{colim}_{Y \in (\underline{\mathrm{LMod}}_A^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathcal{C})_t)_{/X}} \mathrm{cofib}(Y \rightarrow X)^A & \rightarrow & \mathrm{colim}_{Y \in (\underline{\mathrm{LMod}}_A^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathcal{C})_t)_{/X}} \mathrm{cofib}(Y \rightarrow X)^{tA} \end{array}$$

are equivalences in \mathcal{C}_t .

Proof. The result follows immediately from [Rak20, Lemma 2.4.9]. \square

Remark 4.2.10. Let \mathcal{D} be a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 -stable C_2 - ∞ -category and let F be a C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Let A be a dualizable algebra object of \mathcal{C} .

For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a canonical sequence of transformations $F(X)_{F(A)} \rightarrow F(X_A) \xrightarrow{F(\mathrm{Nm})} F(X^A) \rightarrow F(X)^{F(A)}$ whose composite may be identified with the norm map with respect to $F(A)$. If F preserves all C_2 -colimits, then the canonical map $F(X)_{F(A)} \rightarrow F(X_A)$ is an equivalence. In particular, there is a natural transformation $F((-)^{tA}) \rightarrow F(-)^{tF(A)}$. If F additionally preserves all C_2 -limits, then the aforementioned natural transformation is an equivalence.

5 Derived involutive algebra

In this section, we define derived algebras in C_2 -Mackey functors over \underline{k} (Notation 2.3.5) which admit norm maps; these are our derived rings with involution. Each derived ring with involution over \underline{k} has an underlying C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra over \underline{k} .

The purpose for defining derived involutive algebras is twofold: Let us reiterate the reasons discussed in §1.2. First, while real Hochschild homology is defined for all C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ - \underline{k} -algebras, we do not expect a real Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem to hold at this level of generality: The ordinary Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem applies only to derived rings. Furthermore, we will need to equip cochains on the involutive circle $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{S^\sigma}$ and its filtered cousin $\tau_{>*} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{S^\sigma}$ and $\mathbb{D}_+^{\sigma, \vee}$ with additional structure in order to formulate the universal property of filtered real Hochschild homology and the involutive de Rham complex. In particular, the latter are not connective; thus it is not sufficient to take our derived involutive algebras to be simplicial objects in cohomological

C_2 -Tambara functors (up to some notion of weak equivalence). We expect our theory of derived involutive algebra to be relevant to the relationship between involutions in algebraic geometry and genuine involutions in the sense of [CHN25].

To construct derived involutive algebras, we modify the formalism of Bhatt–Mathew and Mathew (recorded in [Rak20, §4]). Our definition uses the language of filtered monads from *loc. cit.*, which we recall in §5.1 and extend to C_2 - ∞ -categories. The general construction is contained in §5.2. In §5.4, we discuss how derived involutive algebra structures behave under various (co)connectivity assumptions; the results contained therein will be used to endow the filtered involutive circle \mathbb{Z}^{S^σ} and its associated graded with the additional structure needed to state and prove the main theorem(s) of this paper.

5.1 Filtered monads

Let k be a discrete commutative ring with an involution. We will define (not necessarily connective) derived k -algebras with involution using the formalism of monads, similar to the approach taken in [Rak20, §4.1-2]. In particular, we will utilize the notion of *filtered monad* (Definition 4.1.2 of *loc. cit.*). The reader unfamiliar with the formalism of monads may find it useful to compare the Barr–Beck–Lurie theorem [Lur17, Theorem 4.7.3.5] and the characterization of \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras in a presentable symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} as modules over the symmetric algebra monad [Rak20, Construction 4.1.1].

Definition 5.1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 - ∞ -category. A *monad* on \mathcal{C} is an \mathbb{E}_1 -algebra object in the monoidal ∞ -category of C_2 -endofunctors $\text{End}_{C_2}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}_{C_2}(\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\text{End}}(\mathcal{C}))$.

A *filtered monad* on \mathcal{C} is a lax monoidal functor $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\times \rightarrow \text{End}_{C_2}(\mathcal{C})$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\times$ denotes the partially ordered set of nonnegative integers regarded as a monoidal category via multiplication and $\text{Fun}_{C_2}(\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\text{End}}(\mathcal{C}))$ is equipped with the composition monoidal structure.

More generally, if \mathcal{E} is a monoidal full subcategory of $\text{End}_{C_2}(\mathcal{C})$, we will refer to algebra objects of \mathcal{E} as \mathcal{E} -*monads* and lax monoidal functors $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ as *filtered \mathcal{E} -monads*.

Remark 5.1.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category and suppose that for all $\alpha: s \rightarrow t$, the restriction functor $\alpha^*: \mathcal{C}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_s$ preserves all sifted colimits. Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a \mathcal{T} -functor. It follows from [Sha21a, Theorem D(2)] that F preserves all \mathcal{T} -sifted \mathcal{T} -colimits [Sha21a, Definition 1.12] if and only if F preserves all sifted colimits fiberwise, i.e. if $F_t: \mathcal{C}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_t$ preserves sifted colimits for every $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Moreover, a \mathcal{T} -subcategory $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ generates \mathcal{C} under \mathcal{T} -sifted colimits if and only if, for each $t \in \mathcal{T}$, \mathcal{D}_t generates \mathcal{C}_t under sifted colimits.

Construction 5.1.3. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 - ∞ -category. Under the identification of Proposition A.0.14, a monad on \mathcal{C} is equivalently a $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$ -cocartesian family of \mathbb{E}_1 -algebra objects in the $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$ -cocartesian family of \mathbb{E}_1 -monoidal ∞ -categories $\underline{\text{End}}(\mathcal{C})^\circ$. Any monad T on \mathcal{C} admits a C_2 - ∞ -category of left modules (for instance, by applying the constructions of [Lur17, §4.7.1] for each object $t \in \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$).

Remark 5.1.4. Notice that for any C_2 - ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , we have monoidal restriction functors $\text{End}_{C_2}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{C}^{C_2})$ and $\text{End}_{C_2}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{C}^e)$.

Lemma 5.1.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 - ∞ -category which admits finite C_2 -products and let T be a monad on \mathcal{C} in the sense of Definition 5.1.1. Then there is a C_2 -adjunction $U: \underline{\text{Mod}}_T(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}: T$ which recovers the adjunction $\text{Mod}_{T^e}(\mathcal{C}^e) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}^e$ on underlying ∞ -categories, where T^e is the image of T under the restriction functor of Remark 5.1.4.

Proof. Follows from Example A.0.19, Proposition A.0.20, and Corollary 2.1.13. \square

The following proposition is a straightforward generalization of [Rak20, Proposition 4.1.4], hence we omit its proof.

Proposition 5.1.6. *Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E} be as in Definition 5.1.1. Assume that*

- (a) \mathcal{C} admits all small C_2 -colimits,
- (b) \mathcal{E} is closed under pointwise sequential colimits, so we have an adjunction $\text{colim}: \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{E}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{E}: \delta$, where δ denotes the diagonal functor,
- (c) Each $F \in \mathcal{E}$ commutes with sequential colimits.

Then the adjunction (colim, δ) canonically lifts to a relative adjunction $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{E}^\circ) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{E}^\circ: \delta$ over Assoc^\otimes . In particular, the colimit of a filtered \mathcal{E} -monad is canonically an \mathcal{E} -monad.

Recollection 5.1.7. Let $\mathbb{F}_{C_2, *}$ denote the C_2 - ∞ -groupoid whose objects consist of finite pointed sets with C_2 -action; it is a C_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category via (parametrized) disjoint union. For any presentable C_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , let $\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C}) = \underline{\text{Fun}}(\mathbb{F}_{C_2, *}, \mathcal{C})$ denote the C_2 - ∞ -category of C_2 -symmetric sequences in \mathcal{C} [Ste25, §2.6], regarded as a C_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category via parametrized Day convolution [NS22, Definition 3.1.6].

C_2 -left Kan extension along the inclusion of the basepoint $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{C_2, *}$ induces a fully faithful, C_2 -colimit-preserving, C_2 -symmetric monoidal embedding $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})$. Composing the parametrized Yoneda embedding of [Bar+16b, Definition 10.2] $\mathbb{F}_{C_2, *} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{C_2, *}^{\text{vop}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Fun}}(\mathbb{F}_{C_2, *}, \underline{\text{Spc}}^{C_2})$ with the unique map $\underline{\text{Spc}}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ in $C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\text{Pr}^L)$, we obtain a C_2 -functor $y: \mathbb{F}_{C_2, *} \rightarrow \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})$.

There is an additional (non)-symmetric monoidal structure on $\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})$ called the *composition monoidal structure*. For any $\mathcal{D} \in C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\text{Pr}^L)_{C/-}$, evaluation at $y(\{\{C_2/C_2 = C_2/C_2\}\})$ determines an equivalence of C_2 - ∞ -categories

$$\text{Fun}_{C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\text{Pr}^L)_{C/-}}(\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathcal{D}$$

by [NS22, Corollary 2.4.5]. Taking $\mathcal{D} = \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})$, the reverse of the composition monoidal structure on the left hand side transports to a monoidal structure on $\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})$ which we will call the *composition monoidal structure*. Explicitly, if A and B are C_2 -symmetric sequences, the value of their composition product on the C_2 -set $\{*\}$ with trivial action is computed as a parametrized colimit

$$(A \circ B)(C_2/C_2) \simeq \text{colim}_{S \in \underline{\text{Fin}}_{C_2}} \left(A(S) \otimes B^{\otimes S} \right)_{h\text{Aut}_{C_2}(S)},$$

where \otimes denotes the tensoring of $\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})$ over \mathcal{C} , \otimes denotes the Day convolution product, and $\text{Aut}_{C_2}(S)$ denotes C_2 -equivariant automorphisms of S .

An \mathbb{E}_1 -algebra object with respect to the composition monoidal structure on $\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a C_2 - ∞ -operad.⁷ Finally, there is a fiberwise monoidal functor

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C}) &\rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})) \\ A &\mapsto A \circ (-) \end{aligned}$$

We also use θ to denote the induced functor $C_2\text{Op}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathbb{E}_1\text{Alg}(\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1\text{Alg}\underline{\text{End}}(\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C}))$.

Construction 5.1.8. Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable C_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. Let A be the constant C_2 -symmetric sequence with value the unit object $\mathbb{1}$.

Write $G : C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})) \rightleftarrows \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C}) : F$ denote the free-forgetful C_2 -adjunction of [NS22, Theorem 4.3.4]. Then by Corollary 5.1.5 of *loc. cit.*, $G \circ F \simeq C_2\text{Sym}_{\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})}$ carries a canonical algebra (monad) structure. By a similar argument to that of [Rak20, Construction 4.1.6], the monad $G \circ F$ is of the form $\theta(A)$ for A the constant C_2 -symmetric sequence at $\mathbb{1}$. Hence A inherits an operad structure.

Construction 5.1.9. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $\underline{\text{SSeq}}^{\leq i}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the full C_2 -subcategory spanned by those C_2 -symmetric sequences such that $A(S \rightarrow C_2/H)$ is an initial object of \mathcal{C}^H whenever $|S \times_{C_2/H} C_2|/2 > i$.

Now consider the ∞ -category $\text{Func}_{C_2}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C}))$, and let $\text{SSeq}^{\leq *}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the full subcategory spanned by those functors F such that $F(i, -) \in \underline{\text{SSeq}}^{\leq i}(\mathcal{C})$ for all $i \geq 0$. The inclusion $\varphi : \text{SSeq}^{\leq *}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Func}_{C_2}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathcal{O}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C}))$ admits a right adjoint ψ .

Now $\text{Func}_{C_2}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})^{C_2, \circ})$ acquires a monoidal structure via parametrized Day convolution; write $p : \text{Func}_{C_2}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})^{\circ})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Assoc}^{\otimes}$ for the corresponding fibration of ∞ -operads. As in [Rak20, Construction 4.1.7], the full subcategory $\text{SSeq}^{\leq *}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes} \subseteq \text{Func}_{C_2}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})^{\circ})^{\otimes}$ determined by the inclusion φ is closed under the Day convolution product and p is a locally co-cartesian fibration, hence ψ promotes to a map of ∞ -operads $\text{Func}_{C_2}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})^{\circ})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{SSeq}^{\leq *}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes}$.

Finally, we will write $(-)^{\leq *}$ for the composite

$$\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})^{C_2, \circ} \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Func}_{C_2}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})^{\circ})^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{SSeq}^{\leq *}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes}$$

and for the induced composite on algebra objects

$$C_2\text{Op}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathbb{E}_1\text{Alg}(\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})^{C_2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1\text{Alg}\text{Func}_{C_2}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})^{\circ}) \simeq \text{Func}_{C_2}^{\text{Iax}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})^{\circ}).$$

Construction 5.1.10 (C_2 -symmetric powers filtered monad). Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable C_2 -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. Let \mathcal{E} denote the full C_2 -subcategory of $\underline{\text{End}}(\mathcal{C})$ on those endofunctors which preserve sifted colimits pointwise.

⁷We assume this equivalence for the moment and defer its proof to future work. Such an equivalence is generally expected to hold, (cf. [Hau22] for the non-parametrized version of the statement). We thank Rune Haugseng for sharing his ideas in this direction.

Let \mathcal{E}' denote the full C_2 -subcategory of $\underline{\text{End}}(\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C}))$ on those endofunctors which preserve both sifted colimits and the essential image of the embedding $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})$. Write $\rho: \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ for the canonical inclusion functor.

From Construction 5.1.8, there is a C_2 - ∞ -operad A with an equivalence of monads $\theta(A) \simeq C_2\text{Sym}_{\underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})}$. Applying Construction 5.1.9, we obtain a lax monoidal C_2 -functor $A^{\leq*}: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})^{\circ}$. Write $C_2\text{Sym}^{\leq*}$ for the composite

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times} \xrightarrow{A^{\leq*}} \underline{\text{SSeq}}(\mathcal{C})^{C_2, \circ} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{E}.$$

Then a modification of the argument of [Rak20, Proposition 4.1.4] implies that there is an equivalence of \mathcal{E} -monads $\text{colim } C_2\text{Sym}^{\leq*} \simeq C_2\text{Sym}$.

5.2 Derived rings with involution

The goal of this subsection is to define *derived rings with (genuine) involution*. Just as ordinary derived algebras over \mathbb{Z} can be regarded as generalizations of discrete commutative rings, our derived rings with involution can be regarded as generalizations of cohomological C_2 -Tambara functors (see Variant 5.3.11(2)).

Let us recall the definition of ordinary derived algebras. To define derived rings with involution, Raksit identifies a filtered monad $\text{CSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$ on $\text{Proj}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, extends $\text{CSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$ to a filtered monad $\text{LSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$ on connective \mathbb{Z} -modules $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{cn}}$ via left Kan extension, and extends $\text{LSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$ to a filtered monad on all \mathbb{Z} -modules using Goodwillie calculus. Derived algebras are defined to be modules over $\text{LSym}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \text{colim}_* \text{LSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$ the extended monad. To define $\text{CSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$, Raksit takes $\text{CSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*} := \pi_0 \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$ where $\text{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$ is the filtered free \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebra monad. In particular, the property that $\text{CSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$ preserves the subcategory $\text{Proj}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is crucial, for means that $\text{CSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$ inherits a filtered monad structure from $\text{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$. Furthermore, the resulting map $\text{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*} \rightarrow \text{LSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq*}$ implies that any derived algebra A has an underlying \mathbb{E}_{∞} - \mathbb{Z} -algebra.

By virtue of the domain of definition of real trace theories, a derived involutive algebra should have an underlying C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebra. By Proposition 2.3.6, the constant C_2 -Mackey functor $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a suitable base. Many of the ideas and constructions in [Rak20, §4.2] generalize directly to $\text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}$; for instance, using the parametrized monads of Definition 5.1.1 in place of ordinary monads, and the free C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} - $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -algebra in place of the free \mathbb{E}_{∞} - \mathbb{Z} -algebra. However, despite the fact that $\text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}$ has a t-structure which is compatible with its C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure, it is no longer true that $\underline{\pi}_0$ of the free C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebra on a free $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module on some finite C_2 -set is a free $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module on some finite C_2 -set. We use the zeroth slice functor in place of $\underline{\pi}_0$ to define $C_2\text{CSym}$ from the free C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} - $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -algebra monad.

We will define derived involutive algebras for any C_2 - ∞ -category satisfying a list of axioms, though the relevant examples for us consist primarily of $\text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}$ and its filtered and graded variants, and are discussed in greater detail in §5.3.

Definition 5.2.1. A *derived involutive algebraic context* consists of a C_2 -stable C_2 -presentable C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category, a compatible t-structure (Definition 3.2.3), a localization C_2 -functor $P: \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ with essential image contained in \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit} , and a small full subcategory $\mathcal{C}^0 \subseteq P(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}) =: \mathcal{C}^s$ satisfying:

- (a) the t-structure is right-complete

- (b) P is compatible with the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ in the sense of [NS22, §2.9]
- (c) $\mathcal{C}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a C_2 -symmetric monoidal subcategory which is closed under \mathcal{C}^s C_2 -symmetric powers (see [NS22, Example 4.3.7])
- (d) \mathcal{C}^0 is closed under finite coproducts in \mathcal{C} and its objects form a set of compact generators for $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$.

We will often denote a derived involutive algebraic context by \mathcal{C} and regard the additional data as implicit. A *morphism of derived involutive algebraic contexts* is a C_2 -functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which is right t-exact, C_2 -symmetric monoidal, compatible with the localizations $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{D}}$ and satisfies $F(\mathcal{C}^0) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^0$.

Remark 5.2.2. In this situation, the norm functor $N^{C_2}: \mathcal{C}^e \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{C_2}$ is 2-excisive. First, observe that N^{C_2} preserves sifted colimits by the distributivity assumption and [Sha21a, Proposition 8.19]. By the proofs of Propositions 4.2.14 and 4.2.15 of [Rak20], it suffices to show that $(\mathcal{C}^0)^e \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{C_2}$ is of degree 2. The observation follows from [Nar17, Example 3.17].

Remark 5.2.3. Let \mathcal{C} be a derived involutive algebraic context. If we furthermore assume that $(P^0)^e \simeq \pi_0^e$ as functors $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}^e \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}^e$, then \mathcal{C}^e is a derived algebraic context in the sense of [Rak20, Definition 4.2.1].

We record an auxiliary lemma which will be used to construct the derived involutive symmetric powers as a monad.

Lemma 5.2.4. *Let $F: \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ be a C_2 -functor which preserves sifted C_2 -colimits (see Remark 5.1.2). Then for any $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$, the canonical map $X \rightarrow P^0 X$ induces an equivalence*

$$P^0(F(X)) \rightarrow P^0\left(F\left(P^0 X\right)\right).$$

On underlying ∞ -categories, this recovers the equivalence of [Rak20, Lemma 4.2.17].

Proof. Observe that the C_2 - ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ is generated pointwise under sifted colimits by $(\mathcal{C}^{C_2})^0$ and $(\mathcal{C}^e)^0$, and $P^0 \circ F$ and $P^0 \circ F \circ P^0$ preserve sifted colimits as functors $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^s$. Therefore, it suffices to show that they agree on the subcategory \mathcal{C}^0 . However, P^0 acts by the identity on \mathcal{C}^0 by assumption, hence the result follows. \square

Remark 5.2.5. Let us regard the (ordinary ∞ -)category of C_2 -endofunctors $\text{End}_{C_2}(\mathcal{C})$ as a monoidal ∞ -category under composition. Write $\text{End}_{C_2}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$ for the full subcategory of $\text{End}_{C_2}(\mathcal{C})$ on those C_2 -functors which preserve sifted colimits pointwise. Consider the following full subcategories of $\text{End}_{C_2}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$:

- ▶ $\text{End}_{C_2,0}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$ spanned by those C_2 -functors F which both preserve sifted colimits pointwise and satisfy $F(\mathcal{C}^0) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^0$.
- ▶ $\text{End}_{C_2,2}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$ spanned by those C_2 -functors F which both preserve sifted colimits pointwise and satisfy $PF(\mathcal{C}^0) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^0$.

The monoidal structure on $\text{End}_{C_2}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$ descends to one on $\text{End}_{C_2,0}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$, and by Lemma 5.2.4, $\text{End}_{C_2,2}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$ is a monoidal subcategory of $\text{End}_{C_2}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$. We have an inclusion $\text{End}_{C_2,0}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}) \subseteq \text{End}_{C_2,2}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$ which is moreover monoidal. The inclusion admits a left adjoint⁸ $\underline{\tau}: \text{End}_{C_2,2}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{C_2,0}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$. Since \mathcal{C}^0 generates $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ under sifted colimits, we identify sifted colimit-preserving C_2 -functors $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ with their restrictions to \mathcal{C}^0 . From this description, it follows that the left adjoint $\underline{\tau}$ is given by composition with P . Since the inclusion is monoidal, $\underline{\tau}$ is oplax monoidal, and Lemma 5.2.4 furthermore implies that $\underline{\tau}$ is strictly monoidal.

Furthermore, under the restriction functor of Remark 5.1.4, $\underline{\tau}$ is sent to τ of [Rak20, Remark 4.2.18] by Corollary 5.3.5.

Definition 5.2.6. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 - ∞ -category and let \mathcal{B} be a C_2 -stable C_2 - ∞ -category. We say that a C_2 -functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is *n-excisive* if both F^e and F^{C_2} (see Remark 5.1.4) are *n-excisive*. We say that a C_2 -functor is *excisively polynomial* if it is *n-excisive* for some n .

Observation 5.2.7. Let \mathcal{C} be a derived involutive algebraic context. Then it follows from Remark 5.2.2 that $C_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\leq i}(-)$ is *i-excisive*.

Definition 5.2.8. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be additive C_2 - ∞ -categories and suppose \mathcal{B} is idempotent-complete. Say that a C_2 -functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is of *degree n* if F^e and F^{C_2} are both of degree n in the sense of [Rak20, Definition 4.2.10].

Example 5.2.9. Let \mathcal{A} be a distributive C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. Then the C_2 -functor $C_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\leq i}$ is of degree i .

Recollection 5.2.10. Let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{End}_{C_2}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the full subcategory spanned by those C_2 -endofunctors which are excisively polynomial, preserve sifted colimits pointwise, and preserve the full C_2 -subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \text{End}_{C_2}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$ denote the full subcategory spanned by those C_2 -endofunctors which are excisively polynomial and preserve sifted colimits pointwise. Because the Postnikov t -structure on \mathcal{C} is right-complete, we conclude by Proposition 4.2.15 of [Rak20] that the monoidal restriction functor $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ is an equivalence.

Construction 5.2.11 (Derived involutive symmetric powers monad). We will construct a filtered \mathcal{E} -monad $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\sigma, \leq *}$ on the C_2 - ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , with a map of filtered \mathcal{E} -monads $\theta^{\leq *}: C_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\leq *}(-) \rightarrow \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\sigma, \leq *}(-)$, where $C_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\leq *}(-)$ is the C_2 -symmetric powers filtered monad of Construction 5.1.10.

By Recollection 5.2.10, it will suffice to construct filtered \mathcal{E}' -monads $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}}^{\sigma, \leq *}$ and $\theta^{\leq i}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ instead. By Observation 5.2.7, $C_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}}^{\leq *}(-)$ is a filtered \mathcal{E}' -monad. By definition of an derived involutive algebraic context, $C_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}}^{\leq i}(-) \in \text{End}_{C_2,2}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$ for all $i \geq 0$. Using Remark 5.2.5, define $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}}^{\sigma, \leq *}(-) = \underline{\tau}C_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}}^{\leq *}(-)$. Because $\underline{\tau}$ is monoidal, $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}}^{\sigma, \leq *}(-)$ inherits a filtered monad structure from $C_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}}^{\leq *}(-)$. Finally, the unit map of the adjunction $\underline{\tau}$ induces a map of filtered monads $\theta^{\leq *}: C_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}}^{\leq *}(-) \rightarrow \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}}^{\sigma, \leq *}(-)$ in $\text{End}_{C_2,2}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0})$.

By Example 5.2.9 and [Rak20, Proposition 4.2.14], $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}}^{\sigma, \leq i}$ is a filtered \mathcal{E}' -monad, and $\theta^{\leq *}$ is a map of filtered \mathcal{E}' -monads.

⁸We break with our notational conventions and write $\underline{\tau}$ for a non-parametrized functor; the motivation for doing so is reflected in the last sentence of this remark.

Definition 5.2.12. Let \mathcal{C} be an derived involutive algebraic context. Let $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\sigma}$ denote the colimit of the derived involutive symmetric powers filtered monad $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\sigma, \leq^*}$ of Construction 5.2.11. By Proposition 5.1.6, $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\sigma}$ is a monad on \mathcal{C} . We will refer to left modules over the monad $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\sigma}$ on \mathcal{C} as *derived involutive algebras in \mathcal{C}* , and denote the C_2 - ∞ -category of such objects (Construction 5.1.3) by $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$.

We will denote the fiber of $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$ over the C_2 -set C_2/C_2 by $\text{DAlg}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$, and we will denote the fiber of $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$ over the C_2 -set C_2/e by $\text{DAlg}(\mathcal{C}^e)$ or $\text{DAlg}(\mathcal{C})^e$.

Every derived involutive algebra has an underlying C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebra.

Notation 5.2.13. Recall the map of filtered monads $\theta^{\leq^*}: C_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\leq^*}(-) \rightarrow \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\sigma, \leq^*}(-)$ of Construction 5.2.11. Taking colimits, we obtain a map $\theta: C_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}}(-) \rightarrow \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\sigma}(-)$ of monads on \mathcal{C} . This induces a forgetful C_2 -functor $\Theta: \underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow C_2\mathbb{E}_{\infty}\underline{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 5.2.14. (1) *The C_2 - ∞ -category of derived involutive algebras in \mathcal{C} strongly admits all C_2 -small C_2 -colimits.*

(2) *The C_2 - ∞ -category of derived involutive algebras in \mathcal{C} strongly admits all C_2 -small C_2 -limits.*

(3) *The forgetful C_2 -functor $\Theta: \underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow C_2\mathbb{E}_{\infty}\underline{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{C})$ strongly preserves all small C_2 -colimits and C_2 -limits.*

Proof. Since we work with a fixed derived involutive algebraic context \mathcal{C} , we will mostly suppress \mathcal{C} from notation and write $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}$ instead of $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$ throughout the proof. To show (1), by Theorem B of [Sha21a], it suffices to check the conditions

- (a) for every C_2 -set $T = C_2/H$, the fiber $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}_T$ admits all small κ -colimits, and for every morphism $\alpha: T \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{O}_{C_2} , the restriction map $\alpha^*: \underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}_S \rightarrow \underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}_T$ preserves κ -small colimits.
- (b) for every map of finite C_2 -sets $\alpha: U \rightarrow V$, the restriction functor $\alpha^*: \underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}_V \rightarrow \underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}_U$ admits a left adjoint $\alpha_!$
- (c) $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}$ satisfies the Beck–Chevalley condition: For every pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & U \\ \alpha' \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ V' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & V \end{array}$$

in Fin_{C_2} , the exchange map [Lur09, immediately before Definition 7.3.1.1]

$$\alpha'_! \beta'^* \implies \beta^* \alpha_!$$

is an equivalence.

For criterion (a), each fiber $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}_T$ is presentable by [Rak20, Proposition 4.1.10]. Now the only non-isomorphism is $\alpha: C_2 \rightarrow C_2/C_2$. The functor α^* preserves sifted colimits because the forgetful functors $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{C})^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{C_2}$ and $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{C})^e \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$ detect sifted colimits, and the functor

$\alpha^*: \mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$ preserves sifted colimits. To show that the restriction functor α^* preserves coproducts, we can argue as in [Rak20, Proposition 4.2.27] to reduce to showing that the canonical map $\mu: \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(X^e \oplus Y^e) \rightarrow \alpha^* \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^\sigma(X \oplus Y)$ is an equivalence for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}^{C_2}$. Because the map μ arises canonically as the colimit of a map $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^{\leq*}(X^e \oplus Y^e) \rightarrow \alpha^* \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\sigma, \leq*}(X \oplus Y)$ in $\mathcal{C}^{C_2} \times \mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{C}^e)$ which is polynomially excisive in each variable, it suffices to show that μ is an equivalence for all $X, Y \in (\mathcal{C}^0)^{C_2}$. This follows from the corresponding map where $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^\sigma$ is replaced by $\text{C}_2\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an equivalence.

To verify criterion (b), we show that α^* satisfies the conditions of the adjoint functor theorem [Lur09, Theorem 5.5.2.9(2)]. That $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathcal{U}}^\sigma, \underline{\text{DAlg}}_V^\sigma$ are presentable and α^* is accessible follow from the verification of criterion (a). It remains to show that α^* preserves all small limits. Since limits in $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathcal{U}}^\sigma \simeq \prod_{W \in \text{Orbit}(\mathcal{U})} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_W^\sigma$ are created by the forgetful functor to $\prod_{W \in \text{Orbit}(\mathcal{U})} \mathcal{C}_W$ (and likewise for V), (b) follows from the fact that the restriction functor $\alpha^*: \mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$ preserves all small limits.

We show how to check criterion (c) in the special case where the pullback square is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathcal{C}_2 \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \mathcal{C}_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{C}_2 / \mathcal{C}_2 \end{array}$$

in $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{C}_2}$; the general case is similar. If $\alpha^* = (-)^e: \underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathcal{C}_2 / \mathcal{C}_2}^\sigma \rightarrow \underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathcal{C}_2 / e}^\sigma$ denotes restriction along $\mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 / \mathcal{C}_2$, let us write $(-)^{\boxtimes \mathcal{C}_2}$ for its left adjoint. Fixing a trivialization $\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \sqcup \mathcal{C}_2$, we may identify the left adjoint to restriction along π_1 as a twisted form of the coproduct $- \boxtimes -: \underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2}^\sigma \rightarrow \underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^\sigma$. Now unraveling definitions, the exchange map $\pi_{1!} \pi_2^* \implies \alpha^* \alpha_!$ is given on $A \in \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^e$ by a composite

$$A \boxtimes A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} (A^{\boxtimes \mathcal{C}_2})^e \boxtimes (A^{\boxtimes \mathcal{C}_2})^e \rightarrow ((A^{\boxtimes \mathcal{C}_2})^e)^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\mu} (A^{\boxtimes \mathcal{C}_2})^e.$$

Because the functors $\pi_{1!}, \pi_2^*, \alpha_!, \alpha^*$ all preserve sifted colimits, it suffices to show that the exchange map is an equivalence when $A = \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(N)$ for some $N \in \mathcal{C}^e$. Because $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}$ preserves finite coproducts, we have $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(N) \boxtimes \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(N) \simeq \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(N \oplus N)$. Because $(\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma)^e \simeq \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(-^e)$, the corresponding diagram of left adjoints commutes and we have an equivalence $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(N)^{\boxtimes \mathcal{C}_2} \simeq \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}_2 \otimes N)$. Thus we may regard the exchange map as a morphism $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(N \oplus N) \rightarrow \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}_2 \otimes N)$. Furthermore, let us observe that the exchange map arises as the colimit of a filtered map $\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^{\leq*}(N \oplus N) \rightarrow \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^{\sigma, \leq*}(\mathcal{C}_2 \otimes N)$. Because each filtered piece preserves filtered colimits in N and is excisively polynomial, it suffices to show the exchange map is an equivalence for $N \in (\mathcal{C}^0)^e$, and this is true by construction.

To show (2), in view of [Sha23, Corollary 5.25], by Theorem B of [Sha21a], it suffices to check the duals to the conditions outlined in the proof of part (1). For each \mathcal{C}_2 -set $T \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2}$, the fiber $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_T^\sigma$ admits all small limits because they are computed in \mathcal{C}_T . For each $\alpha: T \rightarrow S$, the restriction functor $\alpha^*: \underline{\text{DAlg}}_S^\sigma \rightarrow \underline{\text{DAlg}}_T^\sigma$ preserves all small limits because they are computed in \mathcal{C}_S and \mathcal{C}_T , respectively and $\alpha^*: \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_T$ preserves all small limits. For each map of finite \mathcal{C}_2 -sets $\alpha: U \rightarrow V$, the restriction functor $\alpha^*: \underline{\text{DAlg}}_V^\sigma \rightarrow \underline{\text{DAlg}}_U^\sigma$ admits a right adjoint α_* by the adjoint functor theorem [Lur09, Theorem 5.5.2.9(1)] and the proof of part (1). Finally (the dual to) condition (c) follows from the fact that limits in $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_T^\sigma$ are computed in \mathcal{C}_T .

The forgetful C_2 -functor Θ preserves small sifted colimits and all limits indexed by constant diagrams because they are reflected by the forgetful C_2 -functors to \mathcal{C} . By [BH21, Lemma 2.8; Sha21a, Theorem 8.6(a)], to prove (3), it suffices to show that Θ preserves finite C_2 -coproducts. In other words, we need to show that for $A \in \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^e$, the canonical map $\underline{N}^{C_2}(\Theta(A)) \rightarrow \Theta(A^{\boxtimes C_2})$ is an equivalence, where \boxtimes_{C_2} denotes the left adjoint to the forgetful functor $\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^e$. Since each A is a geometric realization of free derived algebras and Θ and $(-)^{\boxtimes C_2}$ and \underline{N}^{C_2} commute with sifted colimits, we may without loss of generality take $A = \mathrm{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(M)$ for $M \in \mathcal{C}^e$. That is, we need to show that the canonical map $\varepsilon_M: \underline{N}^{C_2}(\Theta \mathrm{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(M)) \rightarrow \Theta(\mathrm{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(M)^{\boxtimes C_2})$ is an equivalence for $M \in \mathcal{C}^e$. Since $\mathrm{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma$ is a left C_2 -adjoint by Lemma 5.1.5, we have a canonical equivalence $\mathrm{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(M)^{\boxtimes C_2} \simeq \mathrm{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(C_2 \otimes M)$. Thus, arguing as in [Rak20, Proposition 4.2.27], it suffices to show that the map $\underline{N}^{C_2}(\mathrm{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(M)) \rightarrow (\mathrm{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(C_2 \otimes M))$ is an equivalence. The map ε arises as the colimit of a filtered map $\varepsilon_M^{\leq n}: \left(\Theta \mathrm{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^{\sigma, \leq n}(M)\right)^{\otimes C_2} \rightarrow \Theta\left(\mathrm{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^{\sigma, \leq n}(C_2 \otimes M)\right)$, where $(-)^{\otimes C_2}$ denotes the Day convolution C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on $\underline{\mathrm{Fil}}(\mathcal{C})$ of Corollary 3.1.13. Since $\varepsilon_M^{\leq n}$ is excisively polynomial for each n and preserves sifted colimits, by [Rak20, Proposition 4.2.15] it suffices to show that it induces an equivalence on colimits for $M \in \mathcal{C}^0$. This follows from the fact that $C_2 \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}}$ takes C_2 -coproducts to the norm as a functor $\mathcal{C}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and P is C_2 -symmetric monoidal. \square

Let \mathcal{C} be a derived algebraic context, and write Π_{C_2} for the right adjoint to the restriction functor $\mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$. By Proposition 5.2.14(1), the restriction functor $\alpha^*: \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^e$ admits a right adjoint α_* , where $\alpha: C_2/e \rightarrow C_2/C_2$.

Proposition 5.2.15. *Let \mathcal{C} be a derived involutive algebraic context, and write Π_{C_2} for the right adjoint to the restriction functor $\mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$. Write $U: \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ for the forgetful C_2 -functor. Then in the adjunction (α^*, α_*) between $\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^{C_2}$ and $\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^e$, the right adjoint α_* agrees with U on underlying objects, i.e. there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^e & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow U^e & & \downarrow U^{C_2} \\ \mathcal{C}^e & \xrightarrow{\Pi_{C_2}} & \mathcal{C}^{C_2} \end{array}$$

Proof. By definition, the functor $\alpha_*: \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^e \rightarrow \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^{C_2}$ satisfies that for all $B \in \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^{C_2}$ and $A \in \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^e$, there is an equivalence

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})}(B, \alpha_*(A)) \simeq \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})^e}(B^e, A).$$

Suppose $B = \mathrm{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}^e}^\sigma(M)$ for some $M \in \mathcal{C}^{C_2}$. The aforementioned equivalence becomes

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{C_2}}(M, U^{C_2} \alpha_*(A)) \simeq \mathrm{hom}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(M^e, U^e A).$$

Since Π_{C_2} is the right adjoint to $(-)^e: \mathcal{C}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$, we have shown that the underlying \underline{k} -module of $\alpha_*(A)$ is equivalent to $\Pi_{C_2} U^e A$. \square

Remark 5.2.16. Given a morphism $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of derived involutive algebra contexts, there is an induced C_2 -functor $F': \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{D})$ which strongly preserves C_2 -colimits so that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{F'} & \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{F'} & \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{D}) \\ \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^\sigma \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{D}}^\sigma \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

commute canonically. By Corollary 2.1.14, F' and F admit right C_2 -adjoints G' and G , and commutativity of the right-hand diagram above implies that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) & \xleftarrow{G'} & \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{D}) \\ \downarrow u_{\mathcal{C}} & & \downarrow u_{\mathcal{D}} \\ \mathcal{C} & \xleftarrow{G} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

also commutes. On underlying ∞ -categories, these diagrams recover those of [Rak20, Remark 4.2.25].

Definition 5.2.17. We say that A is a *derived involutive bialgebra* if A is a dualizable C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -coalgebra object of $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$. There is a C_2 - ∞ -category of derived involutive bialgebras $\underline{\text{coAlgDAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ whose underlying ∞ -category is the ∞ -category of derived bicommutative bialgebra objects of [Rak20, Definition 4.2.30].

If A is a derived involutive bialgebra object, then comodules over A admits a notion of derived involutive algebra objects.

Construction 5.2.18. Let A be a derived involutive bialgebra object over k . Then because the forgetful C_2 -functor $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is canonically C_2 -symmetric monoidal, the argument of [Rak20, Construction 4.2.32] applies to show the existence of a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{coMod}}_{A^\vee} & \xrightarrow{\text{LSym}_{A^\vee}^\sigma} & \underline{\text{coMod}}_{A^\vee} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^\sigma} & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

of C_2 -functors of C_2 - ∞ -categories, where we have abbreviated $\underline{\text{coMod}}_A = \underline{\text{coMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$. Note that taking ‘underlying’ recovers the diagram of [Rak20, Construction 4.2.32].

Suppose that A is moreover dualizable. We write $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\underline{\text{Mod}}_A) := \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\text{LSym}^\sigma}(\underline{\text{coMod}}_{A^\vee})$ and write $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\text{Mod}_A)$ for its C_2 -fixed points. We will refer to these as the (C_2) - ∞ -category of *derived involutive algebra objects of Mod_A* .

Remark 5.2.19. Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a morphism of derived involutive algebra contexts. Let B be a dualizable C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ bialgebra object of \mathcal{C} equipped with a lift of B^\vee to a derived involutive bialgebra

object in \mathcal{C} . Then $F(B)$ and $F(B^\vee) \simeq F(B)^\vee$ inherit the same structure in \mathcal{D} , and there is an induced functor $F_B: \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_B(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_{F(B)}(\mathcal{D})$. By Remark 5.2.16, there is an induced C_2 -functor

$$F'_B: \underline{\mathbf{DAlg}}^\sigma(\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_B(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \underline{\mathbf{DAlg}}^\sigma(\underline{\mathbf{coLMod}}_{B^\vee}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{DAlg}}^\sigma(\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_{F(B)}(\mathcal{D})) \simeq \underline{\mathbf{DAlg}}^\sigma(\underline{\mathbf{coLMod}}_{F(B)^\vee}(\mathcal{D}))$$

making the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbf{DAlg}}^\sigma(\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_B(\mathcal{C})) & \xrightarrow{F'_B} & \underline{\mathbf{DAlg}}^\sigma(\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_B(\mathcal{C})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_B(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{F} & \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_{F(B)}(\mathcal{D}) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbf{DAlg}}^\sigma(\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_B(\mathcal{C})) & \xrightarrow{F'_B} & \underline{\mathbf{DAlg}}^\sigma(\underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_B(\mathcal{C})) \\ \text{LSym}^\sigma \uparrow & & \text{LSym}^\sigma \uparrow \\ \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_B(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{F} & \underline{\mathbf{LMod}}_{F(B)}(\mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

commute, where the vertical arrows on the left are forgetful C_2 -functors.

5.3 Examples

In this section, we discuss the derived involutive algebraic contexts which we will use in the rest of the paper. Before we discuss the prototypical example (Example 5.3.8), we fix some notation and include some auxiliary results and definitions needed to show that modules over a fixed point C_2 -Mackey functor may be regarded as an derived involutive algebraic context. We discuss filtered and graded variants on the prototypical example and relate the examples here to their non-equivariant counterparts. Finally, we include a few examples of objects in these C_2 - ∞ -categories.

Notation 5.3.1. Throughout this section, k will denote some discrete ring with involution and \underline{k} will denote the associated fixed point Green functor of Notation 2.3.5. We will write $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}^0$ for the full C_2 -subcategory of $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}$ spanned by the free \underline{k} -modules on finite C_2 -sets. Note that there is an inclusion $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}^0 \subseteq \underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}^\heartsuit$.

Definition 5.3.2 ([HHR16; Hil12, Definition 2.1]). For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\text{slc}_{\geq n}$ denote the localizing subcategory of $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}(\text{Sp}^{C_2})$ generated by $C_2 \otimes_H S^{\ell\rho_H - \varepsilon} \otimes_{\mathbb{S}} \underline{k}$, where H is a subgroup of C_2 , $\ell \cdot |H| - \varepsilon \geq n$, and $\varepsilon = 0, 1$. We say that an object $X \in \underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}$ is *slice n -connective* if it is in $\text{slc}_{\geq n}$.

We will write $\underline{\mathbf{slc}}_{\geq n}$ for the full C_2 -subcategory of $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}$ on $\text{slc}_{\geq n}$.

A word of warning: the slice filtration does *not* agree with the *regular* slice filtration of Definition 3.2.20.

Remark 5.3.3. The inclusion $\underline{\mathbf{slc}}_{> n} \subseteq \underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}(\text{Sp}^{C_2})$ admits a right C_2 -adjoint $\tau_{\geq n}^{\text{slc}}$ by a similar argument to Proposition 3.2.2; the key is that $\underline{\mathbf{slc}}_{\geq n}$ is closed under C_2 -coproducts [Hil12, Proposition 2.6]. We will also write $\tau_{\geq n}^{\text{slc}}$ for the composite $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\geq n}^{\text{slc}}} \underline{\mathbf{slc}}_{> n} \subseteq \underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}$. Let $\tau_{\leq n}^{\text{slc}}$ denote the C_2 -endofunctor $\text{cofib}(\tau_{\geq n+1}^{\text{slc}} \rightarrow \text{id})$ of $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}$. Since there is an inclusion $\text{slc}_{\geq n} \subseteq \text{slc}_{\geq n-1}$, there are canonical natural transformations $\tau_{\leq n}^{\text{slc}} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq n-1}^{\text{slc}}$. The fiber of the natural transformation $P^n = \text{fib}(\tau_{\leq n}^{\text{slc}} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq n-1}^{\text{slc}})$ will be called the *n th slice*. We will abuse notation and also write $\tau_{\leq n}^{\text{slc}}$ for the composite of $\tau_{\leq n}^{\text{slc}}$ with the inclusion $\underline{\mathbf{slc}}_{\geq n} \subseteq \underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}(\text{Sp}^{C_2})$, and likewise for P^n .

We will mainly be concerned with the case $n = 0$.

Proposition 5.3.4 ([Hil12, Corollary 2.16]). *Let \underline{k} be the fixed point C_2 -Mackey functor associated to a commutative ring k with an involution (Notation 2.3.5). For any $M \in \text{Mod}_{\underline{k}}$, the zeroth slice $P^0 M$ is the largest quotient of $\pi_0 M$ on which the restriction map $\pi_0 M^{C_2} \rightarrow \pi_0 M^e$ is injective.*

The next corollary follows immediately from Remark 5.3.3 and the preceding proposition.

Corollary 5.3.5. *Same assumptions as in Proposition 5.3.4. The functor P^0 admits a C_2 -parametrized enhancement $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{k}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}$ which we also denote by P^0 . The underlying component of the parametrized functor P^0 is given by π_0 .*

Lemma 5.3.6. *Let \underline{k} be the fixed point Green functor associated to a commutative ring with an involution. Then the zeroth slice functor $P^0: \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{k}, \geq 0} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}^{\text{slc}=0}$ is compatible with the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{k}, \geq 0}$.*

Proof. It suffices to check the conditions in [NS22, Remark 2.9.3]. Condition (1) follows from the same argument as [Lur17, Proposition 2.2.1.8]. To verify condition (2), we must show that for any $X \in \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{k}, \geq 0}^e \simeq \text{Mod}_{k^e, \geq 0}$, the canonical map $X \rightarrow \pi_0 X$ induces an equivalence $P^0 \underline{N}^{C_2} X \rightarrow P^0 \underline{N}^{C_2} \pi_0 X$. Because the functors $P^0 \circ \underline{N}^{C_2}$ and $P^0 \underline{N}^{C_2} \pi_0$ preserve sifted colimits as functors $\text{Mod}_{k^e, \geq 0} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^{\text{slc}=0}$, it suffices to show that they agree on $\text{Mod}_{k^e}^0$. Since π_0 acts by the identity on $\text{Mod}_{k^e}^0$, the result follows. \square

Notation 5.3.7. The subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^{\heartsuit}$ on the zero slices will be denoted $\text{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^{\text{slc}=0}$. We will similarly write $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}^{\text{slc}=0}$ for the full C_2 -subcategory of $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}$ on $\text{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^{\text{slc}=0}$. By Corollary 5.3.5, we have $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}^{\text{slc}=0}(C_2/e) \simeq \text{Mod}_{k^e}^{\heartsuit}$.

Example 5.3.8. Let $\mathcal{C} = \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{k}}$ be equipped with the Postnikov t-structure (Variant 2.3.12), take \mathcal{C}^0 to be the full C_2 -subcategory spanned by the free \underline{k} -modules on finite C_2 -sets, and let \mathcal{C}^s to be the zero slices of Notation 5.3.7 (hence P is the zero slice functor of Corollary 5.3.5). That P is compatible with the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{C} follows from Lemma 5.3.6. We will denote $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{k}})$ by $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}$ and refer to objects therein as *derived involutive \underline{k} -algebras* or *derived involutive algebras/rings* when $k = \mathbb{Z}$ with the trivial action. Similarly, we will denote the fiber of $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^{\sigma}$ over C_2/C_2 by $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\underline{k}}^{\sigma}$.

Unraveling Proposition 5.2.14 and Remark 2.1.3, we see how the formalism of parametrized ∞ -categories allows us to compare our derived involutive algebras with the (non-equivariant) derived algebras of [Rak20, Definition 4.2.22].

Remark 5.3.9. The fiber of $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma}$ over the C_2 -set C_2/e may be identified with the ordinary ∞ -category $\text{Mod}_{(\text{LSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma})^e}(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \simeq \text{Mod}_{\text{LSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}})$, where $\text{LSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the monad of [Rak20, Example 4.3.1], and the map $C_2/e \rightarrow C_2/C_2$ of C_2 -sets classifies a functor $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma} \rightarrow \underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Moreover, there is a colimit-preserving functor $(-)^e: \underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\underline{k}}^{\sigma} \rightarrow \underline{\text{DAlg}}_{k^e}$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\underline{k}}^{\sigma} & \xrightarrow{(-)^e} & \underline{\text{DAlg}}_{k^e}^{BC_2} \\ u \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ \text{Mod}_{\underline{k}} & \xrightarrow{(-)^e} & \text{Mod}_{k^e} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\underline{k}} & \xrightarrow{(-)^e} & \underline{\text{DAlg}}_{k^e} \\ \text{LSym}^{\sigma} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{LSym} \\ \text{Mod}_{\underline{k}} & \xrightarrow{(-)^e} & \text{Mod}_{k^e} \end{array}$$

canonically commute.

Remark 5.3.10. Let ℓ be a discrete ring with an involution, and let $\underline{\ell}$ denote the associated C_2 -Mackey functor. Write $k := \ell^{C_2}$ for the subring of elements which are fixed by the involution. The assignment $M \mapsto (M \rightarrow M \otimes_k \ell)$ where $M \otimes_k \ell$ is given the induced C_2 -action promotes to a functor $\otimes_k \underline{\ell}: \text{Mod}_k \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\underline{\ell}}$. Observe that $\otimes_k \underline{\ell}$ makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}_k & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & \text{Mod}_k \\ \otimes_k \underline{\ell} \downarrow & & \downarrow \otimes_k \underline{\ell} \\ \text{Mod}_{\underline{\ell}} & \xrightarrow{P^0} & \text{Mod}_{\underline{\ell}} \end{array}$$

commute and sends discrete finite free k -modules to free $\underline{\ell}$ -modules on C_2 -sets with trivial action. Moreover, $\otimes_k \underline{\ell}$ is symmetric monoidal and for any $\underline{\ell}[S]$ where C_2 acts trivially on S , the canonical map $P^0 \text{Sym}_{\underline{\ell}}(\underline{\ell}[S]) \rightarrow P^0 C_2 \text{Sym}_{\underline{\ell}}(\underline{\ell}[S])$ is an equivalence. It follows that $\otimes_k \underline{\ell}$ induces a functor of ∞ -categories $\text{DAlg}_k^\sigma \rightarrow \text{DAlg}_{\underline{\ell}}^\sigma$. The precise argument is similar to Remark 5.2.16, and we leave the details to the reader. When ℓ has the trivial C_2 -action (so $k^{C_2} = \ell$), this functor sends a derived algebra over ℓ to the constant derived involutive algebra over $\underline{\ell}$.

Variant 5.3.11. Let $\text{DAlg}_k^{\sigma, \text{conn}} = \text{DAlg}_k^\sigma \times_{\text{Mod}_k} \text{Mod}_{k, \geq 0}$ and $\text{DAlg}_k^{\sigma, \text{slc}=0} = \text{DAlg}_k^\sigma \times_{\text{Mod}_k} \text{Mod}_k^{\text{slc}=0}$.

- (1) Let \mathcal{D}^0 denote the full subcategory of $\text{DAlg}_k^{\sigma, \text{conn}}$ spanned by the objects $\text{LSym}_k^\sigma(X)$ for $X \in \text{Mod}_k^0$. Then $\text{DAlg}_k^{\sigma, \text{conn}}$ is projectively generated by \mathcal{D}^0 , so there is a canonical equivalence $\text{DAlg}_k^{\sigma, \text{conn}} \simeq \text{pShv}^\Sigma(\mathcal{D}^0)$.
- (2) Consider the localization $P^0: \text{Mod}_{k, \geq 0} \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_k^{\text{slc}=0}: \iota$. Then by [Rak20, Proposition 4.1.9], there is an equivalence $\text{DAlg}_k^{\sigma, \text{slc}=0} \simeq \text{Mod}_{T_0}(\text{Mod}_k^{\text{slc}=0})$ where $T_0 = P^0 \text{LSym}_k^\sigma \iota$ is the induced monad on $\text{Mod}_k^{\text{slc}=0}$. Unravelling definitions, we see that an object A of $\text{DAlg}_k^{\sigma, \text{slc}=0}$ consists of a Tambara functor A so that the restriction map $R: A^{C_2} \rightarrow A^e$ is injective and the composite of the restriction with the internal norm is given by squaring, i.e. if $a \in A^{C_2}$, then $n(a^e) = a^2$. In other words, A is cohomological as a C_2 -Tambara functor (Definition 2.3.11).

Construction 5.3.12. Let \mathcal{C} be a derived involutive algebraic context and consider $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$. These categories inherit t-structures from \mathcal{C} where a graded (resp. filtered) object X^* is connective if and only if each X^n is connective. Moreover, by Corollary 3.1.13, they inherit C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures from \mathcal{C} . Take $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})^0$ (resp. $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})^0$) to be the full C_2 -subcategory on finite coproducts of $\text{ins}^n(X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{C}^0$ and let $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})^s$ be the full subcategory on those objects A_* so that $\text{ev}^n(A_*) = A_n \in \mathcal{C}^s$, and similarly for $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$. These choices allow us to regard $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})^0$ and $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})^0$ as derived involutive algebraic contexts. We define graded (resp. filtered) derived involutive algebras of \mathcal{C} to be derived involutive algebras in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})^0$ (resp. $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})^0$), which we denote by GrDAlg^σ and $\text{FilDAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$, respectively. Similarly, we have nonnegatively graded and filtered variants, which we denote by $\text{Gr}^{\geq 0} \text{DAlg}^\sigma$ and $\text{Fil}^{\geq 0} \text{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$, respectively.

Remark 5.3.13. The C_2 -functor $\text{ins}_0: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ is a morphism of derived involutive algebraic contexts with right C_2 -adjoint ev_0 , whence we have an induced C_2 -adjunction $\text{ins}_0: \text{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows$

$\text{GrDAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) : \text{ev}_0$ by Remark 5.2.16. Similar statements apply to the functors $\text{ins}^{\geq 0} : \text{Gr}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, $\text{gr} : \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, and $\text{colim} : \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ in place of ins_0 (compare [Rak20, Remark 4.3.6]).

Example 5.3.14. Let \mathcal{C} be an derived involutive algebraic context and let $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the full \mathcal{C}_2 -subcategory of $\text{Gr}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C})$ on those nonnegatively graded objects X_* so that $X_* = 0$ if $* \neq 0, 1$. Write ι for the inclusion functor. Then $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a \mathcal{C}_2 -stable subcategory of $\text{Gr}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C})$, the slice filtration on $\text{Gr}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C})$ restricts to a slice filtration on $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C})$ so that the inclusion ι preserves connective objects, and ι admits a \mathcal{C}_2 -left adjoint λ which is compatible with the \mathcal{C}_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on $\text{Gr}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C})$ in the sense of [NS22, Theorem 2.9.2], and λ sends the canonical compact projective generators of $\text{Gr}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C})$ to the compact projective generators of $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C})$. Then by a similar argument to that of [Rak20, Example 4.3.8], $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a derived involutive algebra context and λ, ι are morphisms of derived involutive algebraic contexts which fit into a localizing adjunction $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \text{Gr}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C})$. Note in particular that the norm on $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C})$ is given by

$$N^{\mathcal{C}_2}(X^0, X^1) \simeq \left(N^{\mathcal{C}_2}(X^0), \mathcal{C}_2 \otimes (X^0 \otimes X^1) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{C}_2 \otimes -$ denotes the left adjoint to the restriction functor $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{C}_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$. We will write $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}\underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ for the \mathcal{C}_2 - ∞ -category of derived involutive algebras in $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C})$.

We close this section with a few examples of (\mathcal{C}_2) -objects in the categories introduced earlier in this section (for instance, in $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_k^\sigma$ of Example 5.3.8).

Example 5.3.15. The free derived involutive \underline{k} -algebra $\underline{k}[x^{\text{triv}}]$ on the \underline{k} -module \underline{k} is given by the Lewis diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} k[x] \\ \text{Res} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \text{Tr} \\ k[x] \\ \text{Tr} \\ \text{Res} \\ x \mapsto x \end{array}$$

The restriction map is the identity and the transfer map is multiplication by 2. Note that the norm map satisfies $N(\text{Res}(x)) = x^2$.

Example 5.3.16. The free derived involutive algebra $\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]$ on the \underline{k} -module $\underline{k}[C_2]$ is given by the Lewis diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} k[t_i, x \cdot x_\sigma] / \left(\begin{array}{l} t_i \cdot t_j = t_{i+j} + (xx_\sigma)^j \cdot t_{i-j} \text{ when } i > j \\ t_i \cdot t_i = t_{2i} + 2(xx_\sigma)^i \end{array} \right) \\ \text{Res} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \text{Tr} \\ k[x, x_\sigma] \\ \text{Tr} \\ \text{Res} \\ x \mapsto x_\sigma \end{array}$$

The restriction map takes $t_i \mapsto x^i + x_\sigma^i$ and the transfer map takes $f \mapsto f + \sigma(f)$. Note that $\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]^{tC_2} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} k^{tC_2}\{x_N^n\}$ and $\Phi^{C_2}\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] = \tau_{\geq 0}\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]^{tC_2} = \tau_{\geq 0}k^{tC_2}[x_N]$.

Example 5.3.17. Let A be a finite type \mathbb{R} -algebra. Then by Variant (2), there is an involutive algebra whose underlying Lewis diagram is

$$\begin{array}{c} A = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (f_1, \dots, f_m) \\ \text{Res} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \text{Tr} \\ \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (f_1, \dots, f_m) \\ \uparrow \text{ } \\ \text{ } \xrightarrow{ax_i^n \mapsto \bar{a}x_i^n} \end{array} .$$

The restriction is induced by the canonical inclusion $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the transfer is induced by the transfer map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which sends $a \mapsto a + \bar{a}$.

Example 5.3.18. Let G be an abelian group. Endow G with the involution given by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ and regard \mathbb{Z} as having the trivial involution. Then $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[G]$ is an involutive algebra, where $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[G]^{C_2}$ is given by the group ring on the 2-torsion subgroup of G .

5.4 Filtered and graded derived involutive rings

Recall that, given an \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra A in a category in \mathcal{C} and a lax symmetric monoidal functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $F(A)$ naturally acquires the structure of a \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in \mathcal{D} . In particular, given a t-structure on \mathcal{C} which is compatible with the symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{C} , the filtered object $\tau_{\geq *}$ A naturally acquires the structure of an \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$. Similarly, given a filtration (which may or may not come from a t-structure) on a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category \mathcal{C} which is compatible with the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{C} and a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra A in \mathcal{C} , the filtered object $\tau_{\geq *}A$ naturally acquires the structure of an C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$. Unfortunately for us, a lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor between derived involutive algebraic contexts need not induce a functor on categories of derived involutive algebras. In particular, $\tau_{\geq *}A$ is not necessarily a filtered derived algebra when A is connective but not truncated.⁹

We record some results in this section which allow us to endow graded and filtered $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -modules with *derived* involutive algebra structures from connectivity considerations alone. They will be used to define involutive cochain complexes and the (dual) filtered involutive circle later on.

Lemma 5.4.1. *Let $k \leq 0$ and $n \geq 0$, and let $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the constant C_2 -Mackey functor at \mathbb{Z} . Then*

- *The fibers of the maps*

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathbb{Z}[-k]}: C_2\text{Sym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^m(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[-k]) &\rightarrow \text{LSym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\sigma, m}(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[-k]) \\ c_{\mathbb{Z}[C_2][-k]}: C_2\text{Sym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^m(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[C_2][-k]) &\rightarrow \text{LSym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\sigma, m}(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[C_2][-k]) \end{aligned}$$

are mk -connective in the Postnikov t-structure on $\text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}$ (Variant 2.3.12).

- *The aforementioned maps $c_{\mathbb{Z}[-k]}$ and $c_{\mathbb{Z}[C_2][-k]}$ induce isomorphisms on $\pi_{mk}(-)^e$ and surjections on $\pi_{mk}(-)^{C_2}$.*

Proof. Follows from the same argument as [Rak20, Lemma 4.5.2]. □

⁹We thank Arpon Raksit for explaining this point to us.

Proposition 5.4.2. *Let $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the constant C_2 -Mackey functor valued at \mathbb{Z} , regarded as a connective derived algebra with involution. The graded derived symmetric algebra monad $\text{LSym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^\sigma: \text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})$ of Variant 5.3.11 preserves the full C_2 -subcategory $\text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_{\geq *}$ consisting of those graded modules X^* such that $X^n \simeq 0$ for $n > 0$ and $X^n \in \tau_{\geq n} \text{Mod}_{\underline{k}}$ is n -connective in the Postnikov t -structure on $\text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}$ for $n \leq 0$.*

Proof. The subcategory $\text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_{\geq *}$ is closed under colimits, tensor products, and norms, and has compact projective generators given by finite coproducts of objects $C_2 \otimes \Sigma^n \underline{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ and $\Sigma^n \underline{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$. Now a $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module X is n -connective if and only if X^e and X^{C_2} are both n -connective if and only if X^e and $X^{\varphi^{C_2}}$ are both n -connective. Notice that $\Phi^{C_2} \text{LSym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\sigma, m}(M) = \tau_{\geq 0} \left(\left(\pi_0 M_{h\Sigma_m}^{\otimes m} \right)^{tC_2} \right)$ for $M \in \text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^0$, that is the functor $\Phi^{C_2} \text{LSym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\sigma, m}$ is degree m on $\text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^0$. Therefore $\Phi^{C_2} \text{LSym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\sigma, m}$ is m -excisive on $\text{Mod}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}$. The result follows from noting that the fiber of the map $\Phi^{C_2} \circ \theta: \Phi^{C_2} C_2 \text{Sym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^m(M) \rightarrow \Phi^{C_2} \text{LSym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\sigma, m}(M)$ is connective for $M = \underline{\mathbb{Z}}, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}[C_2]$ and the same downward induction argument of [Rak20, Lemma 4.5.3]. \square

Definition 5.4.3. Define an *graded involutive commutative algebra monad*

$$\text{CSym}^\sigma: \text{Gr}(\underline{\text{slc}}_{=0}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\underline{\text{slc}}_{=0})$$

which sends a graded zero-slice over $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ to the free C_2 -graded-commutative graded cohomological Tambara functor (compare Remark 3.2.11).

Notation 5.4.4. We have a fully faithful embedding

$$\iota: \text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{slc}}_{=0}) \xrightarrow{[*]} \text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_+^\heartsuit \rightarrow \text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_{\geq 0+}$$

which admits a left C_2 -adjoint

$$\pi: \text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_{\geq 0+} \xrightarrow{[-*]} \text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_+^\heartsuit \xrightarrow{P^0} \text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{slc}}_{=0})$$

where the last functor is the zeroth slice functor pointwise.

Lemma 5.4.5. *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_{\geq 0+} & \xrightarrow{\text{LSym}^\sigma} & \text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_{\geq 0+} \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{slc}}_{=0}) & \xrightarrow{\text{CSym}^\sigma} & \text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{slc}}_{=0}) \end{array}$$

of C_2 - ∞ -categories, where LSym^σ is the restriction of the free graded derived involutive algebra from Proposition 5.4.2, π is from Notation 5.4.4, and CSym^σ is the functor of Definition 5.4.3.

Proof. For each $M \in \text{Gr}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_{\geq 0+}$, there is a unit map $M \rightarrow \text{LSym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^\sigma(M)$ which induces a map $\pi(M) \rightarrow \pi \text{LSym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^\sigma(M)$. Since π is C_2 -symmetric monoidal with respect to the Koszul C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on the target¹⁰, the C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra structure on $\text{LSym}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^\sigma(M)$ induces

¹⁰This is no longer true if we replace $P^0 \underline{\mathcal{L}}_0$ by $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0$.

a natural graded C_2 -commutative algebra structure on $\pi\text{LSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma}(M)$, hence there is a canonical map

$$c_M: \text{CSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma}(\pi(M)) \rightarrow \pi\left(\text{LSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma}(M)\right). \quad (5.4.6)$$

Since the functors preserve sifted colimits and send direct sums to tensor products, it suffices to show that (5.4.6) is an equivalence for $M = \mathbb{Z}[-k](k)$ and $\mathbb{Z}[C_2][-k](k)$. The result follows from Lemma 5.4.1, combined with the fact that, given two n -connective \mathbb{Z} -modules X, Y and a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ so that $\pi_n f^e: \pi_n X^e \rightarrow \pi_n Y^e$ is an isomorphism and $\pi_n f^{C_2}: \pi_n X^{C_2} \rightarrow \pi_n Y^{C_2}$ is surjective, then $P^0(\pi_n X \rightarrow \pi_n Y)$ is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition 5.4.7. *The adjunction $\iota \dashv \pi$ of Notation 5.4.4 induces an equivalence between the C_2 - ∞ -categories*

- ▶ *The full C_2 -subcategory of $\text{Gr}^{\leq 0}\underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma}$ on those graded derived involutive algebras A such that A^n has (Mackey functor) homotopy groups concentrated in degree $-n$ for all $n \leq 0$ and the restriction map on $\pi_{-n}A^n$ is injective.*
- ▶ *The full C_2 -subcategory of $C_2\text{E}_{\infty}\text{AlgGr}^{\leq 0}\left(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\heartsuit}\right)^{\otimes k}$ spanned by those ordinary graded C_2 -Tambara functors B such that $B^n \simeq 0$ for $n > 0$ and the restriction map on each B^n , $n \leq 0$ is injective.*

Proof. Using the result of Lemma 5.4.5, the result follows from the same argument as in [Rak20, Proposition 4.5.6]. \square

Lemma 5.4.8. *Let \mathbb{Z} be the constant C_2 -Mackey functor at \mathbb{Z} . The filtered derived symmetric algebra monad $\text{LSym}^{\leq *}: \text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ of Construction 5.3.12 preserves the full C_2 -subcategory $\text{Fil}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}})_{\geq *}$ consisting of those filtered modules X^* such that $X^n \simeq 0$ for $n > 0$ and $X^n \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\text{Post}}\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is n -connective with respect to the Postnikov t -structure on $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (Variant 2.3.12) for $n \leq 0$.*

Proof. Similar to proof of Proposition 5.4.2. \square

Lemma 5.4.9. *Let \mathbb{Z} be the constant C_2 -Mackey functor at \mathbb{Z} . There is a commutative diagram of C_2 - ∞ -categories*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fil}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}, \leq 0})_{\geq 0} & \xrightarrow{\text{colim}} & \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq 0} \\ \text{LSym}^{\sigma} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{LSym}^{\sigma} \\ \text{Fil}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}, \leq 0})_{\geq 0} & \xrightarrow{\text{colim}} & \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq 0} \end{array}$$

where $\text{Fil}^{\leq 0}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}, \leq 0})_{\geq 0}$ denotes those filtered \mathbb{Z} -modules $M^{\geq 0}$ such that $M^n \simeq 0$ for $n > 0$ and M^n is n -connective and (0-)cocconnective for all $n \leq 0$.

Its proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.4.5, so we omit it.

Proposition 5.4.10. *Let \mathbb{Z} be the constant C_2 -Mackey functor at \mathbb{Z} . Then the Postnikov filtration functor $\tau_{\geq *}^{\text{Post}}: \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ induces a fully faithful embedding $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma, \text{ccn}} \hookrightarrow \text{Fil}\underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma}$ where $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma, \text{ccn}}$ denotes the full C_2 -subcategory on those derived involutive algebras whose underlying \mathbb{Z} -module is cocconnective.*

Proof. Note that because the t-structure on $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is right-complete (Lemma 2.2.18), $\tau_{\geq *}$ is fully faithful. By Lemma 5.4.9, we have an equivalence $\text{colim } \circ \text{LSym}^{\sigma} \circ \tau_{\geq *} \simeq \text{LSym}^{\sigma}$ of C_2 -endofunctors on $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq 0}$. Then [Rak20, Proposition 4.1.9] implies there is a localizing adjunction on categories of modules over the respective monads. \square

6 Involutive cohomological invariants

In this section, we introduce involutive enhancements of the cotangent complex and de Rham complex for the involutive algebras defined in §5.

We construct the involutive cotangent complex in much the same way as its classical counterpart: as the C_2 -left adjoint to a square-zero extension functor. However, we remark that in pivoting from \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebras to C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebras, we should regard such a functor as associating to a pair (A, M) an square- and *norm-zero* C_2 - \mathbb{E}_{∞} A -algebra with underlying object $A \oplus M$, and likewise for ordinary derived algebras and derived involutive algebras

The ordinary derived de Rham complex is characterized by the structure present on it: $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{A/k}^{\bullet}$ is the initial h_+ -differential graded derived commutative k -algebra under A . The involutive (derived) de Rham complex $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{-/k}^{\sigma, \bullet}$ is similarly characterized by a universal property: To define $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{-/k}^{\sigma}$ we specify the type of structure it should have. Non-equivariantly, one typically starts by defining differential graded objects, then introducing differential graded algebras. Here, the involutive enhancement of differential graded objects is *not* differential graded objects in k -modules as one might expect: In [SV96, §2], Solotar–Vigué–Poirrier show that given an algebra A with an involution ω , its de Rham complex naturally acquires the structure of a dg module so that the differential d is *antilinear* with respect to the involution; that is, $d\omega = -\omega d$. Thus, the appropriate enhancement of h_+ -differential graded objects for our purposes are the h_+^{σ} -differential graded objects of Definition 6.2.4. Our h_+^{σ} -dg objects still have a relationship to complete filtered objects, albeit with a twist (see Proposition 6.2.11, which the interested reader may contrast with [Rak20, Remark 5.1.12]).

Remark 6.0.1. An essential feature of the ordinary (derived) de Rham complex $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{A/k}^{\bullet}$ is that the individual terms appearing in it can be expressed as shifts of higher exterior powers of the cotangent complex \mathbb{L}_A (cf. [Rak20, Theorem 5.3.6]). In this context, we expect a corresponding involutive enhancement of such a statement. However, in attempting to prove such a statement, we quickly find ourselves straying from the goal of this work. Thus, we set this question aside for the moment and hope to return to it in future work.

6.1 The involutive cotangent complex

In this subsection, we introduce a definition of involutive cotangent complexes for derived involutive algebras.

We show that the involutive cotangent complex of a derived involutive ring is a genuine equivariant enhancement of the ordinary cotangent complex considered in [Rak20, §4.4]. We show that the involutive cotangent complex is computable like its classical counterpart; the reader who wishes to get a feel for computational aspects of the involutive cotangent complex is invited to proceed directly to Example 6.1.11.

Throughout this section, we will work with a derived involutive algebraic context \mathcal{C} equipped with a fixed map of derived involutive algebraic contexts $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (see Example 5.3.8).

Notation 6.1.1. Let $C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg Mod}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the C_2 - ∞ -category whose $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}^{\text{op}}$ -objects consist of pairs (A, M) where A is a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in \mathcal{C} and M is an A -module in \mathcal{C} . Write

$$\underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma \text{Mod}(\mathcal{C}) := \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \times_{C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})} C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg Mod}(\mathcal{C}).$$

If A is a derived involutive algebra object of \mathcal{C} , write $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma \text{Mod}_A := \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma \text{Mod}(\mathcal{C})_{(A,0)/-}$.

Proposition 6.1.2. (a) *There is an equivalence of C_2 - ∞ -categories between $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ and $C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg Mod}(\mathcal{C})$ which commutes with the forgetful functors to $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C})$.*

(b) *There is an equivalence of C_2 - ∞ -categories between $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}\underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ of Example 5.3.14 and $\underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma \text{Mod}(\mathcal{C})$ which commutes with the forgetful functors to $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. To prove part (a), we proceed as in the proof of [Rak20, Lemma 4.4.2]. We first regard $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ as a subcategory of $\text{Gr}^{\geq 0}C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$. Now $\text{Gr}^{\geq 0}C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ can be identified with the ∞ -category of lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal functors $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Then $0 \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\delta)^{C_2/C_2}$ has a unique C_2 -commutative algebra structure, and $1 \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\delta)^{C_2/C_2}$ has a unique module structure over 0. Thus there is an induced C_2 -functor $\alpha: \text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg Mod}(\mathcal{C})$. The result follows from observing that α commutes with the respective forgetful C_2 -functors to $C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ and appealing to the Barr–Beck–Lurie theorem pointwise [Lur17, Corollary 4.7.3.16].

To prove part (b), notice that Remark 5.3.13 and the argument of [Rak20, Lemma 4.4.3] furnish a C_2 -functor $\alpha': \text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}\underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma \text{Mod}(\mathcal{C})$ making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}\underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma \text{Mod}(\mathcal{C}) \\ & \searrow G & \swarrow G' \\ & & \text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C}) \end{array} .$$

Now we show that the functors G, G' admit left C_2 -adjoints F, F' respectively. The functors G, G' evidently admit fiberwise left adjoints whose values on the fiber over C_2/C_2 are given by $F = \text{LSym}_{\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C})}^\sigma$ and $F'(X^0, X^1) = (\text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^\sigma(X^0), \text{LSym}_{\mathcal{C}}^\sigma(X^0) \otimes X^1)$. The functors F, F' promote to left C_2 -adjoints by Corollary 2.1.13; the functors G and G' evidently commute with C_2 -products. Moreover, both C_2 -adjunctions are fiberwise monadic, the former by definition. Unravelling the definition of LSym^σ on $\text{Gr}^{\{0,1\}}(\mathcal{C})$, we see that the canonical map $\alpha' \circ F \rightarrow F'$ is an equivalence, hence α' is an equivalence by [Lur17, Corollary 4.7.3.16]. \square

Notation 6.1.3. Let $\mathbb{D}^\vee, \mathbb{D}_{C_2}^\vee \in \text{GrDAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma, \text{sfc}=0}$ denote the graded C_2 -cohomological Tambara functors with underlying objects given by $\mathbb{D}^\vee := \mathbb{1} \oplus \mathbb{1}(-1)$ and $\mathbb{D}_{C_2}^\vee := \mathbb{1} \oplus \mathbb{1}[C_2](-1)$. By Variant 5.3.11.(2) and Construction 5.3.12, there is a canonical embedding $\text{GrDAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma, \text{sfc}=0} \rightarrow \text{GrDAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\sigma$, so we naturally regard \mathbb{D}^\vee and $\mathbb{D}_{C_2}^\vee$ as objects of $\text{GrDAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\sigma$.

Remark 6.1.4. Writing U for the forgetful C_2 -functor $\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$, $U: (A, M) \mapsto A$, the unit $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^\vee$ and counit $\mathbb{D}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ induce natural transformations $\eta: U \rightarrow G$ and $\varepsilon: G \rightarrow U$ so that $\varepsilon \circ \eta \simeq \mathrm{id}$. Similar statements hold for the forgetful C_2 -functor $U: \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_A \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma_A$.

Construction 6.1.5. Then the *square-zero and norm-zero extension functor* is given by the C_2 -functor

$$G: \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{\{0,1\}} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$$

$$(A, M) \mapsto \mathrm{ev}_0((A, M) \otimes \mathbb{D}^\vee),$$

where we have used the equivalence of Proposition 6.1.2(b). Furthermore, G induces a C_2 -functor $G: \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_A \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma_A$.

Definition 6.1.6. (cf. [Rak20, Definition 4.4.7]) Let $A \in \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ and let $B \in \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma_{A/}(\mathcal{C})$ and $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_B(\mathcal{C})$. An *A-linear derivation of B into M* is a morphism of derived involutive algebras $\delta: B \rightarrow B \oplus M$ in $\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})_{A/-/B}$. We may abuse notation by identifying a derivation δ with the map of A -modules $d: B \rightarrow M$ obtained by post-composing δ with the projection $B \oplus M \rightarrow M$.

Proposition 6.1.7. *The square-zero extension functor $G: \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_A \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma_A$ of Construction 6.1.5 admits a left C_2 -adjoint \mathbb{L} . On underlying ∞ -categories, this recovers the adjunction of [Rak20, Proposition 4.4.8].*

Proof. Observe that C_2 - ∞ -categories $\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma_A$ and $\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_A$ admit finite C_2 -products; we will write \prod_{C_2} for the right adjoints to both restriction functors. By Corollary 2.1.13, it suffices to show that G admits fiberwise left adjoints and G preserves finite C_2 -products (or equivalently, that the left adjoints satisfy a Beck-Chevalley condition). The former is true because G evidently preserves all limits. To show that G preserves finite C_2 -products, we must check that the canonical transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_{A^e} & \xrightarrow{\prod_{C_2}} & \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma_A \\ \uparrow G & \swarrow & \uparrow G \\ \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}} \mathrm{Mod}_{A^e} & \xrightarrow{\prod_{C_2}} & \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_A \end{array}$$

is an equivalence. This is true because the forgetful C_2 -functor $\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma_A \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A$ is conservative, and the transformation is evidently an equivalence on underlying objects. \square

Definition 6.1.8. Let $A \in \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$, let B be an involutive A -algebra. By Remark 6.1.4, there is a unique B -module $\mathbb{L}_{B/A}$ equipped with an equivalence $\mathbb{L}(B) \simeq (B, \mathbb{L}_{B/A})$ in $\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_A$, where \mathbb{L} is the left C_2 -adjoint of Proposition 6.1.7. We will refer to $\mathbb{L}_{B/A}$ as the *involutive cotangent complex of B over A*.

The unit of the adjunction equips $\mathbb{L}_{B/A}$ with a universal A -linear derivation $d: B \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{B/A}$.

Remark 6.1.9. Given a pushout diagram in $\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B, \end{array}$$

the universal property of the involutive cotangent complex immediately implies that there is a canonical equivalence of B -modules $B \otimes_{B'} \mathbb{L}_{B'/A'} \simeq \mathbb{L}_{B/A}$.

For the remainder of this subsection, we specialize to the derived involutive algebra contexts of Example 5.3.8.

Remark 6.1.10. Let k be a discrete commutative ring with involution and let \underline{k} denote the associated fixed point C_2 -Green functor. Let $\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ denote the full C_2 -subcategory on those pairs (A, M) where both A and M are connective. This C_2 - ∞ -category is generated under point-wise sifted colimits and restrictions by the full subcategory $\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^0$ on those (A, M) where $A = \mathrm{LSym}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma(M)$ for some $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^0$ and $M \simeq A \otimes_{\underline{k}} \underline{k}[U]$ for some finite C_2 -set U . Since the square-zero extension functor G preserves sifted colimits, $G|_{\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^{\mathrm{conn}}}$ is the left derived functor of its restriction $G|_{\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^0}$. Now the restriction $G|_{\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_{\underline{k}}^0}$ takes values in $\mathrm{DAlg}^{\sigma, \mathrm{slc}=0}$, and it follows that this restriction agrees with the square-zero extension considered in [Hil17, §3; Str12, §14]. The notion of square-zero extension, derivation, and cotangent complex in this setting are explored in the following example.

Example 6.1.11 (Free derived involutive algebras). Consider a free derived involutive \underline{k} -algebra on a connective \underline{k} -module P . Then there is a canonical equivalence of $\mathrm{LSym}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma(P)$ -modules

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{LSym}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma(P)/\underline{k}} \simeq \mathrm{LSym}_{\underline{k}}^\sigma(P) \otimes_{\underline{k}} P.$$

Let's unravel two special cases to see how this works. Let $(B, M) \in \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{slc}=0}$ be arbitrary.

- Suppose $P = \underline{k}$. We want to find a pair $\mathbb{L}(\underline{k}[x]) \in \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_{\underline{k}}$ such that

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}}(\mathbb{L}(\underline{k}[x]), (B, M)) \simeq \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma}(\underline{k}[x], B \oplus M)$$

It follows from the definitions that a morphism of involutive algebra objects $\varphi: \underline{k}[x] \rightarrow B \oplus M$ in $\mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^{\sigma, \mathrm{slc}=0}$ is equivalent to the data of a map of involutive algebras $\pi_1 \circ \varphi: \underline{k}[x] \rightarrow B^{C_2}$ and the image of $\pi_2 \circ \varphi^{C_2}(x) \in M^{C_2}$. Hence $\mathbb{L}(\underline{k}[x]) \simeq (\underline{k}[x], \underline{k}[x]\{dx\})$.

- Suppose $P = \underline{k}[C_2]$. We want to find a pair $\mathbb{L}(\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]) \in \mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}_{\underline{k}}$ such that

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod}}(\mathbb{L}(\underline{k}[x]), (B, M)) \simeq \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{DAlg}^\sigma}(\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma], B \oplus M).$$

We see that a morphism of $\varphi: \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] \rightarrow B \oplus M$ in $\mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{k}}^{\sigma, \mathrm{slc}=0}$ determines a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[x_T^i, x_N]_{i \in \mathbb{N}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{C_2}} & B^{C_2} \oplus M^{C_2} \\ \mathrm{Res} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \mathrm{Tr} \end{array} \right) & & \mathrm{Res} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \mathrm{Tr} \end{array} \right) \\ k[x, x_\sigma] & \xrightarrow{\varphi^e} & B^e \oplus M^e \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{hook} \\ \downarrow \\ x \mapsto x_\sigma \end{array} & & \end{array}$$

in which certain squares commute; in particular,

$$\varphi^{C_2}(x_T^n) = \varphi^{C_2}(\mathrm{Tr}(x^n)) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varphi^e(x^n)) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varphi_1^e(x)^n + n\varphi_1^e(x)^{i-1}\varphi_2^e(x)).$$

Since xx_σ is in the image of $\pi_0\mathrm{LSym}^2(k[x, x_\sigma])^{hC_2} \rightarrow k[x, x_\sigma]^{C_2}$, we deduce that the image of $\varphi(xx_\sigma)$ is determined by the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0\mathrm{LSym}^2(k[x, x_\sigma])^{hC_2} & \longrightarrow & \pi_0\mathrm{LSym}^2(B^e \oplus M^e)^{hC_2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k[x, x_\sigma]^{C_2} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B^{C_2} \oplus M^{C_2}. \end{array}$$

In particular, since the restriction map $B^{C_2} \oplus M^{C_2} \rightarrow B^e \oplus M^e$ is injective, we have $\varphi_2^{C_2}(x_N) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varphi_1^e(x)\varphi_2^e(x_\sigma))$. Hence the data of φ is determined by a map of rings $\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] \rightarrow B$ and $\varphi_2^e(x) \in M^e$. Hence we see that $\mathbb{L}(\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]) \simeq (\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma], \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] \otimes C_2)$ where we identify $C_2 \simeq \{dx, dx_\sigma\}$.

Example 6.1.12 (Hyperelliptic involutions). Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial with \mathbb{C} coefficients and consider the algebra $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^2 - f(x))$ with the involution which sends y to $-y$ and acts by the identity on \mathbb{C} and x . Let us regard $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^2 - f(x))$ as the underlying algebra with C_2 -action of a C_2 -Green functor A over the constant C_2 -Green functor $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$. Now there is a pushout square in $\mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{\mathbb{C}}}^\sigma$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbb{C}}[z, w] & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \underline{\mathbb{C}}[y, y_\sigma, x] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ \underline{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathbb{C}}[x, y]/(y^2 - f(x)) \end{array},$$

where C_2 acts trivially on z, w, x and permutes y and y_σ . The morphisms satisfy $\varphi(z) = y + y_\sigma$, $\varphi(w) = -yy_\sigma - f(x)$ and $\psi(y) = y$, $\psi(x) = x$. Since $\mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{\mathbb{C}}}^\sigma \mathrm{Mod} \rightarrow \mathrm{DAlg}_{\underline{\mathbb{C}}}^\sigma$ is a cocartesian fibration and the cocartesian pushforward maps preserve colimits (of modules), the involutive cotangent complex of $A = \underline{\mathbb{C}}[x, y]/(y^2 - f(x))$ is determined by the cofiber sequence

$$A\{dz, dw\} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{l} dz \mapsto dy + dy_\sigma \\ dw \mapsto -ydy_\sigma - y_\sigma dy - f'(x)dx \end{array}} A\{dy, dy_\sigma, dx\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{A/\underline{\mathbb{C}}}$$

of A -modules. In particular, its Lewis diagram is

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{C}[x]/f(x)\{dx\}/(f'(x)dx) \\ \mathrm{Res} \left(\uparrow \right) \mathrm{Tr} \\ \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^2 - f(x))\{dx, dy\}/(2ydy - f'(x)dx) \\ \uparrow \end{array}$$

where C_2 acts trivially on dx and by multiplication by -1 on dy .

6.2 Involutive derived de Rham complex

In this section, we will introduce involutive enhancements of the derived de Rham complex and de Rham cohomology. We begin with equivariant analogues of differential graded objects. Recall that non-equivariantly, a h_\pm -dg structure on a graded object X_* encodes differentials of the form

$X_i[\pm 1] \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ (see [Rak20, §5]). While we wish to define equivariant dg objects which specialize to the non-equivariant notions on underlying objects, in doing so, we must make a choice. For instance, maps $X_i[-1] \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ and $X_i[-\sigma] \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ in any C_2 -stable C_2 - ∞ -category both induce maps $X_i^e[-1] \rightarrow X_{i+1}^e$ on underlying objects. In this work, we promote h_- -dg structures to the equivariant setting naïvely (i.e. so that the differentials are of the form $X_i[-1] \rightarrow X_{i+1}$) and we promote h_+ -dg structures to the equivariant setting with a twist: An h_+^σ -differential graded object has differentials of the form $X_i[\sigma] \rightarrow X_{i+1}$. We will define the desired differential graded objects as modules over certain algebras \mathbb{D}_- and \mathbb{D}_+^σ which are trivial square- and norm-zero extensions of $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}(0)$. Next, we show that there is a natural notion of the cohomology of a h_+^σ -differential graded object. That \mathbb{D}_- and \mathbb{D}_+^σ are both C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebras allows us to (by §4) define C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures on h_+^σ - and h_- -differential graded objects and h_+^σ - and h_- -differential graded C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras. We will see that \mathbb{D}_+^σ has more structure than \mathbb{D}_- ; its dual may be canonically regarded as a derived involutive bialgebra. This structure on \mathbb{D}_+^σ will allow us to define a derived involutive enhancement of strictly commutative differential graded algebras. The involutive derived de Rham complex of A over B is defined as the universal involutive h_+^σ -differential graded B -algebra under A .

We begin by showing that certain graded $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -modules have C_2 -bialgebra structures.

Lemma 6.2.1. *Write $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ for the constant C_2 -Mackey functor at \mathbb{Z} . Write \mathbb{Z}_- for the Mackey functor*

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Z}_-^{C_2} = 0 \\ \text{Res} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \text{Tr} \cdot \\ \mathbb{Z}_-^e = \mathbb{Z} \\ \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ m \mapsto -m \end{array} \end{array}$$

Then there is an equivalence of $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -modules

$$\Sigma^{-\sigma} \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \Sigma^{-1} \mathbb{Z}_-.$$

In particular, \mathbb{Z}_- is a regular (-1) -slice.

We thank Michael Hill for assistance with this aspect of the story.

Proof. Follows from computation of $\pi_{-1} \mathbb{T}^{-\sigma}$ of Proposition 7.1.11. □

Recall that there are C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures on the C_2 - ∞ -categories $\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_k)_{\text{rslice}^\pm}^\heartsuit$ so that the truncation functors $\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_k)_{\text{rslice} \geq 0^\pm} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_k)_{\text{rslice}^\pm}^\heartsuit$ are C_2 -symmetric monoidal (Proposition 3.2.9).

Construction 6.2.2. We will construct C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -co- C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ algebras \mathbb{D}_+^σ and \mathbb{D}_- in $\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})$ so that their underlying objects are given by

$$\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma \simeq (\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \Sigma^\sigma \underline{\mathbb{Z}}(1)) \quad \mathbb{D}_- \simeq (\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \Sigma^{-1} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}(1))$$

and so that there are equivalences of bicommutative bialgebras $(\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma)^e \simeq \mathbb{D}_+$ and $(\mathbb{D}_-)^e \simeq \mathbb{D}_-$ over \mathbb{Z} , where \mathbb{D}_\pm are the graded bialgebras from [Rak20, Construction 5.1.1].

There are graded C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebras $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[\varepsilon_\pm] = \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{Z}}[\varepsilon_\pm] / (\varepsilon_\pm^2)$ in $\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_{r^\pm}^{\heartsuit, \otimes k}$, where ε is in grading degree ± 1 , the comultiplication takes $\varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \varepsilon$ and the C_2 -coalgebra structure takes $\varepsilon \mapsto e \otimes \varepsilon - \sigma \otimes \varepsilon$. Notice that $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[\varepsilon_\pm]$ are in the essential image of the functors of Observation 3.2.8. Now define

$$\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma = (\iota_+ \underline{\mathbb{Z}}[\varepsilon_-])^\vee \quad \mathbb{D}_- = (\iota_- \underline{\mathbb{Z}}[\varepsilon_-])^\vee.$$

Note that under the (inverse) equivalences of Observation 3.2.8, \mathbb{D}_+^σ and \mathbb{D}_- have the desired underlying objects.

Now, by Proposition 3.2.5, the inclusions $\iota_\pm : \text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_{\text{rslice}^\pm}^\heartsuit \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_{\text{rslice} \geq 0^\pm}$ are lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal. By Example 2.2.20, the functors ι_\pm are C_2 -symmetric monoidal on the full C_2 -subcategory of $\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}})_{\text{rslice}^\pm}^\heartsuit$ on those graded objects X^* so that X^n is a free $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module on a direct sum of regular slice cells of dimension $\pm n$. Therefore, \mathbb{D}_+^σ and \mathbb{D}_- inherit C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -co- C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ bialgebra structures from $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[\varepsilon]$.

Finally, the identification of the underlying graded bialgebras over \mathbb{Z} follows from noting that $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[\varepsilon]^e$ agrees with the graded bialgebra $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$ considered in [Rak20, Construction 5.1.1].

Notation 6.2.3. Let \mathcal{C} be a $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -linear C_2 -stable C_2 -presentable C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. The unique C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 -colimit-preserving C_2 -functor $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 -functor $\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, so we may regard $\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma, \mathbb{D}_-$ as bi- C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ bialgebra objects of $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.

We now have what we need to introduce the involutive enhancements of cochain complexes in which the differential anti-commutes with the C_2 -action.

Definition 6.2.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -linear C_2 -stable C_2 -presentable C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. The C_2 - ∞ -category of h_+^σ - (resp. h_- -) differential graded objects of \mathcal{C} with involution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{DG}}_+^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) &:= \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})) \\ \underline{\text{DG}}_-(\mathcal{C}) &:= \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_-}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.2.8 and Variant 4.1.22, $\underline{\text{DG}}_+^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ and $\underline{\text{DG}}_-(\mathcal{C})$ are C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -categories.

Remark 6.2.5. Consider the derived involutive algebraic context of Example 5.3.8 where $k = \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 6.2.1, we can identify $(\mathbb{D}_+^{\sigma, \vee})^e \simeq \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \Sigma^{-1} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{-1}(-1)$.

Thus informally, an object X_* of h_+^σ cochain complexes/involutive differential graded objects of \mathcal{C} is given by a collection X_* of $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -modules with C_2 -action equipped with a $\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma = \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{Z}}[\sigma](1)$ -module structure on X_* . In particular, for each n , there is a map $d : \Sigma^\sigma X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ so that $d \circ (\Sigma^\sigma d) = 0$.

- Consider the action of d on the underlying graded \mathbb{Z} -module $(X_*)^e$. Because the module structure map $\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma \otimes X_* \rightarrow X_*$ is C_2 -equivariant with respect to the diagonal action on the source and the given action on the target, if $m \in \pi_* X_k$ and $\sigma \neq e \in C_2$,

$$\sigma(\varepsilon \cdot m) = (\sigma\varepsilon) \cdot \sigma(m) = (-\varepsilon) \cdot \sigma(m).$$

In particular, the differential is σ -antilinear.

If X_*^e consists of $\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right]$ -modules, then we can canonically write $X_*^e = X_*^+ \oplus X_*^-$ as the direct sum of the ± 1 eigenspaces, and we see that $\cdot \varepsilon : X_n^+ \rightarrow X_{n+1}^-$ and vice versa (cf. [SV96]).

- We may adjoin d to obtain a map $X_n \rightarrow \text{hom}(S^\sigma, X_{n+1})$. In particular, on homotopy groups, d induces a map of abelian groups

$$\pi_*(X_n^{C_2}) \rightarrow \pi_* \text{fib} \left(X_{n+1}^{C_2} \rightarrow X_{n+1}^e \right).$$

so that the composite

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_*(X_n^{C_2}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \text{fib} \left(X_{n+1}^{C_2} \rightarrow X_{n+1}^e \right) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \pi_*(X_{n+1}^{C_2}) \longrightarrow \pi_* \text{fib} \left(X_{n+2}^{C_2} \rightarrow X_{n+2}^e \right) \end{array}$$

is zero. Alternatively, we may regard d as inducing maps $\pi_V X_n \rightarrow \pi_{V+\sigma} X_{n+1}$ on $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups.

Remark 6.2.6. We contrast the structure present on $X_* \in \text{DG}_+^\sigma(\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_k))$ with that on $Y_* \in \text{DG}_-(\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_k))$: Y_* can be regarded as having a differential d which lowers degree (or in other words, Y_* is a chain complex), but the differential d commutes with the C_2 -action. In other words, the C_2 -action on Y_* is an action via maps of chain complexes, while the C_2 -action on X_* is not an action via maps of cochain complexes. Thus, we refer to objects of $\text{DG}_+^\sigma(\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_k))$ as involutive cochain complexes, while we refer to objects of $\text{DG}_-(\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_k))$ as chain complexes *with involution*.

Using the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structures on $\underline{\text{DG}}_+^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ and $\underline{\text{DG}}_-(\mathcal{C})$, we can formulate notions of homotopy coherent (h_+^σ - or h_- -) differential graded C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ algebras. However, in the h_+^σ setting, we may define a variant of this algebraic structure (to be thought of as endowed with strictly commutative multiplication and strictly equivariant norm maps) using additional structure on \mathbb{D}_+^σ and the theory of derived involutive algebra of §5.

Observation 6.2.7. Note that \mathbb{D}_+^σ is dualizable—denote the dual by $(\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma)^\vee$. By Corollary 4.1.31, $(\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma)^\vee$ is a C_2 -bicommutative bialgebra.

Proposition 6.2.8. *There is a unique C_2 -bicommutative derived involutive algebra structure on $(\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma)^\vee$ in $\text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ promoting its C_2 -bicommutative bialgebra structure. Moreover, under (the graded variant of) the forgetful functor of Remark 5.3.9, the derived algebra structure on $((\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma)^\vee)^e$ agrees with that of \mathbb{D}_+^\vee from [Rak20, Proposition 5.1.7].*

Proof. It suffices to observe that both $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_-$ are zero slices, and $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_-(-1)$ admits the structure of an involutive commutative derived algebra (Definition 5.4.3). The result follows from Proposition 5.4.7. The second statement follows from the description of the Postnikov filtration on Mod_k in Variant 2.3.12. \square

Remark 6.2.9. Recall $\mathbb{D}_+^\vee, \mathbb{D}_{C_2}^\vee \in \text{GrDAI}g_{\mathbb{Z}}^\sigma$ of Notation 6.1.3. Note that there is a canonical identification $\mathbb{D}_+^{\sigma,\vee} \simeq \mathbb{1} \times_{\mathbb{D}_{C_2}^\vee} \mathbb{D}_+^\vee$ in $\text{GrDAI}g_{\mathbb{Z}}^\sigma$.

Definition 6.2.10. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear derived involutive algebraic context and let A be a derived involutive algebra object of \mathcal{C} . The C_2 - ∞ -category of h_+^σ -differential graded derived involutive A -algebras is given by

$$\text{DG}_+^\sigma \underline{\text{DAI}}g_A^\sigma := \text{coMod}_{(\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma)^\vee} \left(\text{GrDAI}g^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \right).$$

Finally, despite the caveat of Remark 6.2.6, the shear functor of (3.2.14) allows us to relate involutive cochain complexes with chain complexes with involution.

Proposition 6.2.11. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear derived involutive algebraic context.*

- (1) *The functor $[-\rho*]$ of (3.2.14) lifts to a C_2 -symmetric monoidal equivalence of C_2 - ∞ -categories $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{[-\rho*]} \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_-}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}))$ with inverse $[\rho*]$.*
- (2) *On underlying spectra, $[\pm\rho*]$ recovers the equivalences $[\pm 2*]$ of [Rak20, Remark 5.1.12], i.e. there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}))^{C_2} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{[-\rho*]} & \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_-}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}))^{C_2} \\ \downarrow (-)^e & & \downarrow (-)^e \\ \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{D}_+}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}^e)) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{[-2*]} & \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{D}_-}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}^e)) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal equivalences have inverses $[\rho*]$ and $[2*]$, respectively.

Remark 6.2.12. Compare [Hil12, Corollaries 2.20 and 2.21].

Proof of Proposition 6.2.11. (1) It suffices to observe that the equivalence $[-\rho*]$ takes the bicommutative bialgebra \mathbb{D}_+^σ to the bicommutative bialgebra \mathbb{D}_- . The statement about the algebra structures follows from the assertion about the hearts in Proposition 3.2.15.

- (2) This follows from the observation that $(S^\rho)^e \simeq S^2$. □

Given a homotopy coherent cochain complex, we can consider cocycles and coboundaries to be the orbits and fixed points (resp.) with respect to \mathbb{D}_\pm . Then, we can think of the *cohomology* of said cochain complex to be the Tate construction with respect to \mathbb{D}_\pm . The relevant question for our purposes is: What is the cohomology of an involutive cochain complex in the sense of Definition 6.2.4? However, the question is more subtle due to Remark 6.2.6. Suppose M^* is an involutive cochain complex of \mathbb{Z} -Mackey functors, and let us consider the graded abelian group with C_2 -action $(M^*)^e$. In particular, $(M^n)^e$ is concentrated in degree n . Let us define a new graded abelian group with C_2 -action N^* as follows: As graded abelian groups, $N^\ell = M^\ell$ and if ℓ is odd, allow $\sigma \neq e \in C_2$ to act on N^ℓ by $M^\ell \xrightarrow{\sigma(-)} M^\ell \xrightarrow{(-1)} M^\ell$. Otherwise if ℓ is even, then the C_2 -action on N^ℓ agrees with the C_2 -action on M^ℓ . The differential on M^ℓ induces a differential on N^ℓ ; however, the differential on N^ℓ is C_2 -equivariant. In particular, we can take the cohomology of N in the usual sense, and it is a graded $\mathbb{Z}[C_2]$ -module.

Remark 6.2.13. The bi- C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebras \mathbb{D}_+^σ and \mathbb{D}_- satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 4.2.2, so we have a norm map and parametrized Tate construction for objects of $\underline{\text{LMod}}_{\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$, $\underline{\text{LMod}}_{\mathbb{D}_-}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, we can take $\omega_{\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma} = \mathbb{Z}[\sigma](1)$.

Definition 6.2.14. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear C_2 -stable C_2 -presentable C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category (see Remark 6.2.3). Let $M_* \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$ (resp. $M_* \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{D}_-}(\mathcal{C})$) an involutive h_+^σ (resp. h_-) chain complex in \mathcal{C} . Then the *cohomology* of M is the Tate construction

$$H^*(M) \simeq M^{t\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma} \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \quad \left(\text{resp. } H^*(M) \simeq M^{t\mathbb{D}_-} \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \right).$$

Remark 6.2.15. Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a \mathbb{Z} -linear C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category which is C_2 -complete, C_2 -cocomplete, and fiberwise compactly generated. Then by Proposition 4.2.7, the functors $H^*(-): \text{DG}_-(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{DG}_+^\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ are lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal. Given a C_2 -commutative algebra in $\text{DG}_-(\mathcal{C})$ or $\text{DG}_+^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$, its cohomology is canonically a C_2 -commutative algebra in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.

We can relate the (co)homology with respect to \mathbb{D}_\pm^σ using the following.

Definition 6.2.16 ([Rak20, Definition 5.2.4]). Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a \mathbb{Z} -linear C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category which is C_2 -complete, C_2 -cocomplete, and fiberwise compactly generated. Let $X \in \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_-}(\mathcal{C})$. Write $|X|^{\geq*} \in \underline{\text{Fil}}^\wedge(\mathcal{C})$ denote the image of X under the C_2 -functor of Proposition 3.1.24. Let $|X| := \underline{\text{colim}}|X|^{\geq*} \in \mathcal{C}$. Given $X \in \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$, we define $|X|^{\geq*} = |X[-\rho^*]|^{\geq*} \in \underline{\text{Fil}}^\wedge(\mathcal{C})$ and $|X| := \underline{\text{colim}}|X[-\rho^*]|^{\geq*} \in \mathcal{C}$ by transporting their definitions on $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_-}(\mathcal{C})$ along the equivalence of Proposition 3.2.15. In both cases, we will refer to $|X|$ as the *cohomology type* of X and $|X|^{\geq*}$ as the *brutal filtration* on the cohomology type of X .

Proposition 6.2.17. Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a \mathbb{Z} -linear C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category which is C_2 -complete, C_2 -cocomplete, and fiberwise compactly generated. Let $\delta_{\text{gr}}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the diagonal functor. Then

- (a) For $X \in \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_-}(\mathcal{C})$, there is an equivalence $H^*(X) \simeq \delta_{\text{gr}}(|X|)$
- (b) For $X \in \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$, there is an equivalence $H^*(X) \simeq \delta_{\text{gr}}(|X|)[\rho^*]$, where $[\rho^*]$ is the C_2 -functor of (3.2.14).

Moreover, the equivalences here recover those of [Rak20, Proposition 5.2.6] on underlying objects $X^e \in \mathcal{C}^e$.

Proof. Part (a) follows immediately from [Rak20, Proposition 5.2.6(a)] and the fiberwise description of parametrized modules of Corollary A.0.18. Part (b) follows from part (a) and naturality of the parametrized Tate construction (Remark 4.2.10). \square

Now, we show how the aforementioned constructions allow us to define the involutive derived de Rham complex via a universal property. Moreover, we use the preceding shear equivalence to define involutive derived de Rham cohomology. For the rest of the section, let us fix a \mathbb{Z} -linear derived involutive algebraic context \mathcal{C} and a derived involutive algebra A in $\text{DAlg}^\sigma(\mathcal{C})$.

Notation 6.2.18. Let $\text{DG}_+^{\sigma, \geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ denote the fiber product $\text{DG}_+^\sigma \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \times_{\text{GrDAlg}_A^\sigma} \text{Gr}^{\geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ (see Definition 6.2.10); it is a C_2 - ∞ -category. We will refer to C_2 -objects therein as *nonnegative h_σ^+ -differential graded involutive A -algebras*.

Proposition 6.2.19. The composite of the forgetful C_2 -functor with the evaluation functor

$$\text{DG}_+^{\sigma, \geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \xrightarrow{U} \text{Gr}^{\geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$$

admits a left C_2 -adjoint. On underlying ∞ -categories, this recovers the adjunction of [Rak20, Proposition 5.3.2].

Proof. Observe that $\text{DG}_+^{\sigma, \geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ and $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ admit finite C_2 -products which are preserved by $\text{ev}^0 \circ U$. By Corollary 2.1.13, it suffices to show that $\text{ev}^0 \circ U$ admits fiberwise left adjoints. In view of Remark 5.3.13, the latter follows from a nearly identical argument to that of [Rak20, Proposition 5.3.2]; we only need note that $(\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma)^\vee$ is dualizable. \square

Definition 6.2.20. We will refer to the left C_2 -adjoint $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{-/A}^{\sigma, \bullet} : \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \rightarrow \text{DG}_+^{\sigma, \geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ of Proposition 6.2.19 as the *involutive derived de Rham complex over A* .

Remark 6.2.21. Let B be an involutive A -algebra. Then the involutive de Rham complex is equipped with a canonical map $B \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_{B/A}^{\sigma, 0}$ in $\underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$, which induces a map $B \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_{B/A}^{\sigma, \bullet}$ in $\text{Gr}\underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$. Thus, we will regard $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{B/A}^{\sigma, \bullet}$ as an object of $\text{Gr}\underline{\text{DAlg}}_B^\sigma$.

We can relate the involutive derived de Rham complex with the involutive cotangent complex as follows:

Theorem 6.2.22. *The involutive derived de Rham complex is computed by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}\Omega_{-/A}^{\sigma, \bullet} : \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma &\rightarrow \text{DG}_+^{\sigma, \geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \\ B &\mapsto \text{LSym}_B^\sigma(\Sigma^\sigma \mathbb{L}_{B/A}(1)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{L}_{B/A}$ is the involutive cotangent complex of Definition 6.1.8. Moreover, the first differential of the h_+ -cochain complex

$$d : B \simeq \mathbb{L}\Omega_{B/A}^{\sigma, 0} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-\sigma} \mathbb{L}\Omega_{B/A}^{\sigma, 1} \simeq \mathbb{L}_{B/A}$$

is given by the universal A -linear derivation of B in the sense of Definition 6.1.6.

Corollary 6.2.23. *The involutive derived de Rham complex functor is fully faithful.*

The involutive de Rham complex lifts the usual de Rham complex in the following sense.

Corollary 6.2.24. *Let k be a commutative ring with involution, and let \underline{k} denote its associated fixed point C_2 -Green functor. Let A be a derived involutive \underline{k} -algebra and write A^e for its underlying k -algebra (having forgotten the C_2 -action). Then there are equivalences*

$$\left(\mathbb{L}\Omega_{A/\underline{k}}^{\sigma, \bullet} \right)^e \simeq \mathbb{L}\Omega_{A^e/k}^{\bullet}$$

of h_+ -differential graded derived commutative k -algebras which are natural in A . Here, the right-hand side denotes the ordinary derived de Rham complex.

The comparison result follows immediately from the definitions and the characterization of the derived de Rham complex in [Rak20, §5.3]; let us note that such a comparison is facilitated by the language of C_2 - ∞ -categories.

Proof of Theorem 6.2.22. We construct a diagram (6.2.25) of C_2 -adjoint pairs (in which the left and upper arrows are left C_2 -adjoints) lifting the diagram appearing in the proof of [Rak20, Theorem 5.3.6]. The result follows from an otherwise identical proof strategy to that in *loc. cit.*: showing the C_2 -functor given by tracing from the lower left directly up, then to the right is equivalent to the C_2 -functor from the lower left to the upper right which passes through the center of the diagram. Let us make the observations:

- The forgetful C_2 -functor $U : \text{DG}_+^{\sigma} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \rightarrow \text{Gr}\underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ admits a right C_2 -adjoint V . This claim follows from Corollary 2.1.13; the restriction functors associated to the map $C_2 \rightarrow C_2/C_2$ have left adjoints by an argument similar to Proposition 5.2.14. The functor U commutes with the left adjoints to the restriction functor because the C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure on $\text{DG}_+^{\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$ is induced by the C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -coalgebra structure on \mathbb{D}_+^{σ} . For $B \in \text{Gr}\underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$, there is a canonical natural equivalence $U(V(B)) \simeq B \otimes \mathbb{D}_+^{\sigma, V}$.

- The natural transformation

$$\mathrm{ev}^0 \circ V \rightarrow \mathrm{ev}^0 \circ V \circ \mathrm{ins}^{\{0,1\}} \circ \mathrm{ev}^{\{0,1\}}$$

induced by the unit of the adjunction $(\mathrm{ins}^{\{0,1\}}, \mathrm{ev}^{\{0,1\}})$ is an equivalence because of the identity $\mathrm{ev}^0 V(B) \simeq \mathrm{ev}^0 (B \otimes \mathbb{D}_+^{\sigma, \vee}) \simeq B^0 \oplus \Sigma^{-\sigma} B^1$ in \mathcal{C} . On underlying ∞ -categories, the equivalence recovers that of [Rak20, Lemma 5.3.12(b)]. It follows that the outer rectangle of (6.2.25) consisting of right C_2 -adjoints commutes.

- The adjoint pair G, L are the square-zero extension and involutive cotangent complex functors of Proposition 6.1.7 and Definition 6.1.8. For any $(B, M) \in \mathrm{Gr}^{\{0,1\}} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$, there is a natural equivalence $\mathrm{ev}^0(V(B, M)) \simeq G(B \oplus \Omega^\sigma M)$. This follows by a modification of [Rak20, Lemma 5.3.12(c)], in view of Remark 6.2.9. It follows that the lower trapezoid of (6.2.25) consisting of right adjoints commutes.
- Let α be the equivalence of Proposition 6.1.2. By Corollary 2.1.13, the statement of [Rak20, Lemma 5.3.11] holds with adjoints replaced by C_2 -adjoints and F replaced by the C_2 -functor which sends $(B, M) \mapsto \mathrm{LSym}_B^\sigma(M(1))$. Therefore, the right triangle of (6.2.25) commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\underline{\mathrm{DG}}_+^{\sigma, \geq 0} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A & \xleftarrow[\mathrm{ev}^{\geq 0}]{\mathrm{ins}^{\geq 0}} & \underline{\mathrm{DG}}_+^\sigma \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A & \xleftarrow[\mathrm{V}]{\mathrm{U}} & \underline{\mathrm{Gr}} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma & & \\
\uparrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_{-/A}^{\sigma, \bullet} \downarrow \mathrm{ev}_0 & & \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A & \xleftarrow[\Omega^\sigma]{\Sigma^\sigma} & \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}^\sigma \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A & \xleftarrow[\mathrm{LSym}^\sigma]{\sim} & \underline{\mathrm{Gr}}^{\geq 0} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \\
& & \swarrow L & & \swarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \mathrm{ins}^{\{0,1\}} \downarrow \mathrm{ev}^{\{0,1\}} \\
\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma & \xleftarrow[\mathrm{ev}_0]{\mathrm{G}} & \underline{\mathrm{DG}}_+^{\sigma, \geq 0} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A & \xleftarrow[\mathrm{V}]{\sim} & \underline{\mathrm{Gr}}^{\geq 0} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma & \xleftarrow[\mathrm{ins}]{\sim} & \underline{\mathrm{Gr}}^{\{0,1\}} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma
\end{array} \quad (6.2.25)$$

Since the diagram of right C_2 -adjoints in (6.2.25) commutes, the diagram of left C_2 -adjoints commutes and we are done.

The description of the map $B \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_{B/A}^{\sigma, 1}$ as the universal A -linear derivation (of involutive rings) follows from a C_2 -analogue of the argument appearing in [Rak20, Theorem 5.3.6]; the main point is that the (6.2.25) is a parametrized enhancement of the diagram appearing there. \square

Definition 6.2.26. Let B be a derived involutive algebra over A . Define the *Hodge-filtered Hodge-completed involutive de Rham cohomology* ${}^\sigma \mathrm{dR}_{B/A}^{\wedge, \geq \star} := \left| \mathbb{L}\Omega_{B/A}^{\sigma, \bullet} \right|^{\geq \star}$ where $|-|^{\geq \star}$ is the functor of Definition 6.2.16; in words, it is the image of the involutive de Rham complex under the equivalence of Proposition 3.1.24. In particular, involutive de Rham cohomology defines a C_2 -functor

$${}^\sigma \mathrm{dR}_{-/A}^{\wedge, \geq \star}: \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{E}}_\infty \underline{\mathrm{Alg}}(\mathrm{Fil}^\wedge(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)).$$

Define the *Hodge-completed involutive de Rham cohomology* to be the colimit ${}^\sigma \mathrm{dR}_{-/A} := \mathrm{colim}_\star {}^\sigma \mathrm{dR}_{-/A}^{\wedge, \geq \star}$. This defines a C_2 -functor $\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{E}}_\infty \underline{\mathrm{Alg}}(\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_A)$.

The next comparison result follows immediately from the definitions of (filtered) involutive derived de Rham cohomology and the descriptions of the non-equivariant counterparts in [Rak20,

§5.3]. However, let us remark that such a comparison is straightforward *precisely because* we use the language of C_2 - ∞ -categories.

Proposition 6.2.27. *Let k be a commutative ring with involution, and let \underline{k} denote its associated fixed point C_2 -Green functor. Let A be a derived involutive \underline{k} -algebra and write A^e for its underlying k -algebra (having forgotten the C_2 -action). Then there are equivalences*

$$\left(\sigma \mathrm{dR}_{A/\underline{k}}^{\wedge, \geq \star}\right)^e \simeq \mathrm{dR}_{A^e/k}^{\wedge, \geq \star} \quad \left(\sigma \mathrm{dR}_{A/\underline{k}}\right)^e \simeq \mathrm{dR}_{A^e/k}$$

of complete filtered \mathbb{E}_∞ - k -algebras and \mathbb{E}_∞ - k -algebras, respectively which are natural in A . Here, the right-hand sides denote the ordinary (i.e. non-equivariant) Hodge-filtered Hodge-completed derived de Rham cohomology and de Rham cohomology, respectively.

Remark 6.2.28. Let A be a derived involutive algebra over \underline{k} . The object $\sigma \mathrm{dR}_{A/\underline{k}}^{\wedge, \geq \star}$ may be regarded as an \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in complete filtered \underline{k} -modules in C_2 -spectra, and $\sigma \mathrm{dR}_{-/ \underline{k}}$ may be regarded as an \mathbb{E}_∞ - \underline{k} -algebra in C_2 -spectra. Assuming the conjecture of Remark 3.1.25, we may replace \mathbb{E}_∞ by C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ in the previous sentence.

7 Real Hochschild homology

Let R be a smooth \mathbb{Z} -algebra. In their original work, Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg computed the homology of the cyclic bar complex $H_*(B^{\mathrm{cyc}}R)$ and showed that it is isomorphic (as a graded abelian group) to de Rham complex of R over \mathbb{Z} [HKR62]. Since the homology of a \mathbb{Z} -module is the associated graded of the Postnikov filtration on it, that the filtration is functorial in R follows *a posteriori* from this computation. The Hochschild homology has an action of S^1 , and since the Postnikov filtration is lax symmetric monoidal, $\mathrm{fil}_{\mathrm{HKR}}\mathrm{HH}(R/\mathbb{Z})$ admits an action of $\tau_{\geq *}\mathbb{Z}[S^1]$.

In more recent work, Raksit formulates an elegant universal property for Hochschild homology incorporating all of the structure present on HH —its S^1 -action, algebra structure, and filtration—and also specifying how they interact [Rak20]. Raksit identifies a canonical derived bicommutative bialgebra structure on the filtered \mathbb{Z} -module $\tau_{\geq *}\mathbb{Z}[S^1]$, and uses the presence of this structure to define a functor $\mathrm{HH}_{\mathrm{fil}}(-/\mathbb{Z}) : \mathrm{DAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{S^1}^{\geq 0}\mathrm{DAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ which interpolates between ordinary Hochschild homology and the derived de Rham complex. In particular, the identification of the filtration on the underlying object of $\mathrm{HH}_{\mathrm{fil}}$ with the Postnikov filtration happens *a posteriori*, as $\tau_{\geq *}$ does not preserve derived algebra structures except in special cases ([Rak20, Remark 6.1.11]). Beyond giving a functorial description of filtered Hochschild homology, this method is remarkably *robust*: Raksit’s result applies when $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ are replaced by $A \rightarrow B$ where A, B are arbitrary derived algebras.

The robustness of the filtered circle approach to the HKR-theorem extends to the involutive setting. As Hornbostel–Park have already observed in [HP23], a HKR-style filtration on the real Hochschild homology of constant C_2 -Green functors associated to commutative rings does not arise [directly] from an existing well-known filtration on $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. The situation is even murkier when one considers the real Hochschild homology of a C_2 -Tambara functor on which the C_2 -action is *nontrivial* (see Corollary 7.4.2). We sidestep the question of which filtration on $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the ‘correct’ one by instead studying the notion of a *filtered involutive circle action*. Informally, we may regard a filtered S^σ -action as a filtered S^1 -action (in the sense of [Rak20, §6]) with a twist, i.e. the circle action simultaneously increases the filtration degree and sends a $\mathbb{Z}[C_2]$ -module M to $M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_-$.

In §7.1, we introduce real Hochschild homology and discuss the involutive circle S^σ which acts on it. Adopting the strategy of Raksit outlined above, we show that $\tau_{\geq *}\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{S^\sigma}$ admits the structure of a derived bicommutative bialgebra refining that on \mathbb{Z}^{S^1} . In §7.2, we use the notion of filtered involutive circle actions of the previous subsection to define a filtered enhancement of real Hochschild homology and prove Theorem 1.2.1. In §7.3, we consider whether our constructions allow us to identify filtrations on real negative cyclic homology, real cyclic homology, and real periodic cyclic homology. In §7.4, we include a few computations of filtrations on $\mathrm{HR}(-/k)$ of free derived involutive algebras and discuss relationships between our work and classical work when 2 acts invertibly on the base.

7.1 The involutive filtered circle

Throughout this section, we will work with a fixed derived involutive algebraic context \mathcal{C} and a derived involutive algebra object A of \mathcal{C} .

Definition 7.1.1. The category of *derived involutive A -algebras with S^σ -action* is the C_2 - ∞ -category $\underline{\mathrm{Fun}}_{C_2}(BS^\sigma, \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma)$ (Proposition 2.1.8).

A choice of basepoint $* \hookrightarrow BS^\sigma$ induces a C_2 -functor $g: \underline{\mathrm{Fun}}_{C_2}(BS^\sigma, \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$.

Lemma 7.1.2. *The functor g admits a left C_2 -adjoint*

$$\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Fun}}_{C_2}(BS^\sigma, \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma).$$

Proof. Follows from [Sha23, Corollary 9.18 & Theorem 10.5] and Proposition 5.2.14. \square

Definition 7.1.3. We will denote the left C_2 -adjoint of Lemma 7.1.2 by $\mathrm{HR}(-/A)$. If B is a derived involutive A -algebra, we will refer to $\mathrm{HR}(B/A)$ refer to it as *real Hochschild homology of B over A* , or simply *real Hochschild homology of B* when A is understood.

Proposition 7.1.4. *The composite of the forgetful functor and real Hochschild homology is computed on underlying objects by a parametrized colimit over S^σ (Example 2.2.2)*

$$g \circ \mathrm{HR}(B/A) \simeq B^{\otimes_A S^\sigma}.$$

Proof. Recall the existence of a fiber sequence of C_2 -spaces $S^\sigma \rightarrow ES^\sigma \simeq \{*\} \xrightarrow{\eta} BS^\sigma$. By [Sha23, Theorem 10.5, also see Remark 5.4], the left adjoint to g is given by C_2 -left Kan extension along the morphism η . By [Sha23, Remark 10.2], the criterion that a diagram be a C_2 -left Kan extension may be checked pointwise, i.e. after pulling back along a basepoint $* \rightarrow BS^\sigma$ (since BS^σ is connected). The result follows from the description of C_2 -colimits in (the C_2 - ∞ -category of) C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras in [NS22, Corollary 5.3.8]. \square

Remark 7.1.5. \blacktriangleright Let k be a discrete ring with an involution, and let $A \in \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_k^\sigma$. The real topological Hochschild homology of (the underlying C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra of) A as defined in Dotto–Moi–Patchkoria–Reeh by [Dot+21, Corollary 2.12] is given by $\mathrm{THR}(A) := A^{\otimes S^\sigma} = A \otimes_{N_e C_2 A} A$.

Since $A \otimes_{N_e C_2 A} A \simeq \mathrm{THR}(A/S) \otimes_{\mathrm{THR}(k/S)} k$, we see that $\mathrm{HR}(-/k)$ is a *linearization* of THR .

► Since $(-)^e$ is symmetric monoidal, we see that

$$\mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k})^e = (\mathrm{THR}(A/S) \otimes_{\mathrm{THR}(\underline{k}/S)} \underline{k})^e = \mathrm{THH}(A^e/S^e) \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(k^e/S^0)} k^e \simeq \mathrm{HH}(A^e/k^e).$$

In particular, real Hochschild homology is an *enhancement* of Hochschild homology.

► This agrees with the definition of real Hochschild homology in [SV96].

Next, we show that a $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module with an S^σ -action is equivalently a module over the C_2 -group ring $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[S^\sigma]$.

Notation 7.1.6. We let \mathbb{T}^σ denote the C_2 -group ring $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}[S^\sigma]$, i.e. the image of S^σ under the unique C_2 -colimit-preserving C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor $\underline{\mathrm{Spc}}^{C_2} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}$. Then the C_2 -commutative monoid structure on S^σ induces a bicommutative C_2 -bialgebra structure on \mathbb{T}^σ .

Furthermore, \mathbb{T}^σ is dualizable with dual $\mathbb{T}^{\sigma,\vee}$. We may also describe $\mathbb{T}^{\sigma,\vee}$ as the image of S^σ under the unique C_2 -limit preserving C_2 -functor $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{(-)}: \underline{\mathrm{Spc}}^{C_2,\mathrm{vop}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}$ sending C_2/C_2 to $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$. By Proposition 4.1.30, the C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ bialgebra structure on \mathbb{T}^σ induces a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ bialgebra structure on $\mathbb{T}^{\sigma,\vee}$.

Lemma 7.1.7. *There is a canonical derived involutive bialgebra structure on $\mathbb{T}^{\sigma,\vee}$ promoting its C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ bialgebra structure, and the derived bicommutative bialgebra structure on \mathbb{T}^\vee of [Rak20, Cons. 6.1.2].*

Proof. We may also describe $\mathbb{T}^{\sigma,\vee}$ as the image of S^σ under the finite- C_2 -limit-preserving C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{(-)}: \underline{\mathrm{Spc}}^{C_2,\mathrm{vop}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^\sigma$. This description lifts along the forgetful C_2 -functor $\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}^\sigma \rightarrow C_2\mathbb{E}_\infty\underline{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}}$, so we are done. \square

Proposition 7.1.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be an derived involutive algebraic context and let A be an derived involutive algebra in \mathcal{C} . Then there is an equivalence of C_2 - ∞ -categories*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Fun}}_{C_2} \left(BS^\sigma, \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \right) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{coMod}}_{\mathbb{T}^{\sigma,\vee}} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma,$$

where $\mathbb{T}^{\sigma,\vee}$ is the derived involutive bialgebra of Lemma 7.1.7 and the C_2 - ∞ -category on the right-hand side is from Construction 5.2.18. On underlying ∞ -categories, this equivalence recovers the equivalence of [Rak20, Remark 6.1.3].

Proof. Since $\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ admits finite C_2 -coproducts (Proposition 5.2.14), it acquires a distributive C_2 -cocartesian C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure [NS22, Example 2.4.1]. In other words, $\underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ lifts to an object of $\underline{\mathrm{Alg}}_{C_2} \left(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}_{C_2}^\otimes \right)$. Similarly, by [NS22, Corollary 6.0.12], $\underline{\mathrm{Fun}}_{C_2} \left(BS^\sigma, \underline{\mathrm{Spc}}^{C_2} \right)$ acquires a C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure because it is a C_2 presheaf category. Finally, $\underline{\mathrm{Fun}}_{C_2} \left(BS^\sigma, \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \right)$ acquires a C_2 -cocartesian C_2 -symmetric monoidal structure from [NS22, Corollary 9.18].

By Theorem 11.5 of [Sha23], the constant C_2 -functor $(BS^\sigma)^{\mathrm{vop}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{coMod}}_{\mathbb{T}^{\sigma,\vee}} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ induces a C_2 -colimit preserving functor $F: \underline{\mathrm{Fun}} \left(BS^\sigma, \underline{\mathrm{Spc}}^{C_2} \right) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{coMod}}_{\mathbb{T}^{\sigma,\vee}} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$. The map of C_2 -monoids $S^\sigma \rightarrow \{*\}$ induces a map of derived involutive bicommutative bialgebras $A \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\sigma,\vee}$. This, in turn, induces a C_2 -colimit preserving C_2 -functor $G: \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{coMod}}_{\mathbb{T}^{\sigma,\vee}} \underline{\mathrm{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$.

Now by [NS22, Theorem 5.1.4(3)], $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{C_2}(\text{Cat}_{C_2}^{\otimes})$ has coproducts, hence the C_2 -colimit-preserving functors F and G induce a C_2 -functor $\alpha: \underline{\text{Fun}}(BS^\sigma, \text{Spc}^{C_2}) \otimes \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \rightarrow \underline{\text{coMod}}_{T^{\sigma, \vee}} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Fun}}(BS^\sigma, \text{Spc}^{C_2}) \otimes \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma & \xrightarrow{f} & \underline{\text{coMod}}_{T^{\sigma, \vee}} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma & \end{array}$$

commute. Noticing that each of the forgetful functors is fiberwise monadic such that f takes free algebras to free algebras, by the Barr–Beck–Lurie theorem [Lur17, Corollary 4.7.3.16] we conclude that f is an equivalence. \square

Construction 7.1.9. We set $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee} := \tau_{\geq *}^{\text{Post}} \mathbb{T}^{-\sigma} \in \text{Fil}(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ for the *dual \mathbb{Z} -linear filtered involutive circle* using the Postnikov filtration of Recollection 2.2.17. We set $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\sigma = (\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee})^\vee$ for the *\mathbb{Z} -linear filtered involutive circle*.

Observation 7.1.10. There is an equivalence $\tau_{\geq *}^{\text{rslice}} \mathbb{Z}^{S^\sigma} \simeq \tau_{\geq *}^{\text{Post}} \mathbb{Z}^{S^\sigma}$ of filtered \mathbb{Z} -modules. This follows from Example 2.2.20 and Proposition 7.1.11.

Proposition 7.1.11. *The graded pieces of $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ are given by*

$$\text{gr}^{-1} \mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee} = \Sigma^{-\sigma} \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{gr}^0 \mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee} = \mathbb{Z}$$

and $\text{gr}^n \mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee} = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. Dualizing the exact sequence $\mathbb{Z}[C_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^\sigma$ gives an exact sequence $\mathbb{T}^{\sigma, \vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}[C_2]$, since \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{Z}[C_2]$ are self-dual. The morphism f induces, on Mackey functor homotopy groups π_0

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{(1,0), (0,1) \mapsto e + \sigma} & \mathbb{Z}\{e + \sigma\} \\ \text{Res} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{Tr} \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) & & \text{Res} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{Tr} \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{(1,0), (0,1) \mapsto e + \sigma} & \mathbb{Z}\{e, \sigma\} \end{array} \cdot$$

Since \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{Z}[C_2]$ are discrete, the kernel of $\pi_0 f$ is $\pi_0 \mathbb{T}^{\sigma, \vee} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and the cokernel is $\pi_{-1} \mathbb{T}^{\sigma, \vee} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_-$ (see Lemma 6.2.1). \square

Corollary 7.1.12. *The filtered object $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ is dualizable, and its dual $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\sigma$ satisfies $\text{colim } \mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\sigma \simeq \mathbb{T}^\sigma$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.1.11, $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ is an extension of an invertible object in $\text{Fil}(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ by another invertible object. Dualizability follows from the fact that dualizable objects in a stable ∞ -category are stable under finite limits and colimits. The identification of the colimit of $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\sigma$ is a straightforward computation. \square

Proposition 7.1.13. (1) *There exists a canonical C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebra structure on $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ promoting the C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebra structure on $\mathbb{T}^{\sigma, \vee}$.*

- (2) There exists a unique derived involutive bialgebra structure on $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ promoting its C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebra structure.
- (3) Under the forgetful functor of Remark 5.3.9, we have canonical identifications $(\mathbb{T}^{\sigma, \vee})^e \simeq \mathbb{T}^\vee$ of derived bicommutative bialgebras over \mathbb{Z} and $(\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee})^e = \mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\vee$ of bicommutative bialgebras in filtered \mathbb{Z} -modules, where \mathbb{T}^\vee and $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\vee$ are from [Rak20, Theorem 6.1.6].

Proof. (1) Because the regular slice filtration functor is lax C_2 -symmetric monoidal (Proposition 3.2.21), $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ naturally inherits a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ algebra structure from $\mathbb{T}^{\sigma, \vee}$. In fact, the functor $\tau_{\geq * }^{\text{rslice}}$ is strict C_2 -symmetric monoidal on tensor powers of \mathbb{Z}^{S^σ} by Example 2.2.20. Then $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ inherits a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebra from $\mathbb{T}^{\sigma, \vee}$ structure via Corollary 4.1.31. Uniqueness of this C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra structure follows from, Corollary 3.2.23, which implies that $\tau_{\geq * }^{\text{rslice}}$ is fully faithful.

(2) By Observation 7.1.10, there is an equivalence $\tau_{\geq * }^{\text{rslice}} \mathbb{Z}^{S^\sigma} \simeq \tau_{\geq * }^{\text{Post}} \mathbb{Z}^{S^\sigma}$. It suffices to observe that $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ is in the essential image of the functor $\tau_{\geq * }^{\text{Post}}$ of Proposition 5.4.10.

(3) The first statement follows from the fact that the underlying diagram of a parametrized (co)limit diagram is an ordinary (co)limit, and that the functor $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is symmetric monoidal, hence it preserves duals. The second statement follows from the description of the regular slice filtration (see Lemma 2.2.24). \square

Remark 7.1.14. Note the use of two different filtrations on the C_2 - ∞ -category $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in the proof of Proposition 7.1.13. We use the *Postnikov* filtration to show that $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ admits a(n involutive) *derived* algebra structure, while the C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ bialgebra structure on $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ follows from how the *regular slice filtration* interacts nicely with the cell structure on $\mathbb{T}^{\sigma, \vee}$.

Notation 7.1.15. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear C_2 -stable C_2 -presentable C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. The structure map $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces a C_2 -symmetric monoidal functor $\text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$, hence we may regard $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\sigma$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ as dualizable C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebras in $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$.

Now suppose \mathcal{C} is additionally equipped with the structure of a derived involutive algebraic context. Then by Proposition 7.1.13(2), we may regard $\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}$ as a derived involutive bialgebra in $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 7.1.16. Write $\text{Fil}_{S^\sigma}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_A) := \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\sigma}(\text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_A))$ and call this the C_2 - ∞ -category of *filtered A -modules with filtered S^σ -action*.

By Variant 4.1.22, we may regard $\text{Fil}_{S^\sigma}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_A)$ as a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. We will call C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra objects therein *filtered C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ - A -algebras with filtered S^σ -action*.

We are allowed to make the following definition by Proposition 7.1.13(2) and Construction 5.2.18.

Definition 7.1.17. Write $\text{Fil}_{S^\sigma} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ for the C_2 - ∞ -category $\underline{\text{coMod}}_{\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}}(\text{Fil}(\underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma))$, and let us call C_2 -objects of this C_2 - ∞ -category *filtered derived involutive A -algebras with filtered S^σ -action*.

Remark 7.1.18. Under the C_2 -adjunction $\text{colim} \dashv \delta$ of Recollection 3.1.4, there is a canonical equivalence of C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebras $\underline{\text{colim}} \mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\sigma \simeq \mathbb{T}^\sigma$. It follows that there is a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 -adjunction

$$\text{colim}: \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\sigma} \text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_A) \rightleftarrows \underline{\text{Fun}}(BS^\sigma, \underline{\text{Mod}}_A) : c.$$

By Proposition 7.1.13(2), since the equivalence $\text{colim}(\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\sigma) \simeq \mathbb{T}^\sigma$ canonically promotes to one of derived involutive bialgebras, there is an induced C_2 -adjunction

$$\text{colim}: \text{Fil}_{S^\sigma} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \rightleftarrows \underline{\text{Fun}}(BS^\sigma, \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma) \simeq \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\underline{\text{Mod}}_{S^\sigma}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_A)) : c.$$

Remark 7.1.19. Under the C_2 -adjunction $\text{gr}^* \dashv \zeta$ of (3.1.7), there is an equivalence of C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ -bialgebras $\text{gr}(\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}) \simeq \mathbb{D}_+^{\sigma, \vee}$. This induces a C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 -adjunction

$$\text{gr}: \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^\sigma} \text{Fil}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_A) \rightleftarrows \text{Gr}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_A) : \zeta.$$

By Proposition 6.2.8, there is an equivalence $\text{gr}(\mathbb{T}_{\text{fil}}^{\sigma, \vee}) \simeq \mathbb{D}_+^{\sigma, \vee}$ of graded derived involutive bialgebras. Hence by Remark 5.2.19, there is an induced C_2 -adjunction

$$\text{gr}: \text{Fil}_{S^\sigma} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \rightleftarrows \text{DG}_+^\sigma \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \simeq \underline{\text{DAlg}}^\sigma(\underline{\text{coMod}}_{\mathbb{D}_+^{\sigma, \vee}}(\underline{\text{Mod}}_A)) : \zeta.$$

In words, this asserts that the associated graded of a filtered derived commutative A -algebra with filtered S^σ -action is an involutive h_+^σ -differential graded A -algebra of Definition 6.2.10.

7.2 HKR-filtered real Hochschild homology

In this section, we show how the results of §7.1 can be used to define a filtration on real Hochschild homology which recovers the ordinary HKR filtration on underlying \mathbb{Z} -modules. To define a filtration on real Hochschild homology, we replace S^σ -actions by filtered S^σ -actions. Let \mathcal{C} denote a fixed derived involutive algebraic context and let A denote a derived involutive algebra in \mathcal{C} .

Definition 7.2.1. We define the C_2 - ∞ -category of *nonnegative filtered derived involutive A -algebras with filtered S^σ -action* to be the pullback

$$\text{Fil}_{S^\sigma, \text{fil}}^{\geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma := \text{Fil}_{S^\sigma, \text{fil}} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \times_{\text{Fil} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma} \text{Fil}^{\geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma.$$

In the language of Remark 2.1.3, the underlying ∞ -category of $\text{Fil}_{S^\sigma, \text{fil}}^{\geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ may be identified with the ∞ -category of nonnegative filtered derived A -algebras with filtered S^1 -action of [Rak20, Notation 6.2.3].

Remark 7.2.2. On nonnegative filtered objects $X \in \text{Fil}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C})$, the colimit is computed by $|X| \simeq \text{ev}_0 X$.

Proposition 7.2.3. *The forgetful C_2 -functor $\text{Fil}_{S^\sigma, \text{fil}}^{\geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \rightarrow \text{Fil}^{\geq 0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^0} \underline{\text{DAlg}}_A^\sigma$ admits a left C_2 -adjoint. On underlying ∞ -categories, this recovers the adjunction of [Rak20, Proposition 6.2.4].*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 6.2.19. \square

Definition 7.2.4. Denote the left C_2 -adjoint of Proposition 7.2.3 by $\text{HR}_{\text{fil}}(-/A)$. If B is a derived involutive A -algebra, we will refer to $\text{HR}_{\text{fil}}(B/A)$ as the *HKR-filtered real Hochschild homology of B over A* .

Remark 7.2.5. By construction, the underlying filtered derived k^ℓ -algebra with filtered S^1 -action associated to $\text{HR}_{\text{fil}}(B/A)$ is the HKR-filtered Hochschild homology $\text{HH}_{\text{fil}}(B^\ell/A^\ell)$ of [Rak20, Definition 6.2.5].

The following result shows that filtered real Hochschild homology interpolates between real Hochschild homology and the involutive de Rham complex.

Theorem 7.2.6. *Let \mathcal{C} denote a fixed derived involutive algebraic context and let A denote a derived involutive algebra in \mathcal{C} . Then there are canonical equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ev}^0 \mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{fil}}(B/A) &\simeq \mathrm{HR}(B/A) && \text{in } \mathrm{Mod}_{S^\sigma} \mathrm{DAlg}_A^\sigma \\ \mathrm{gr}^\bullet \mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{fil}}(B/A) &\simeq \mathbb{L}\Omega_{B/A}^{\sigma, \bullet} && \text{in } \mathrm{DG}_+^\sigma \mathrm{DAlg}_A \end{aligned}$$

which are natural in B . On underlying ∞ -categories, these natural equivalences recover those of [Rak20, Theorem 6.2.6].

Proof. We form the following diagram of \mathbf{C}_2 -adjoint pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\mathrm{Fun}}(BS^\sigma, \mathrm{DAlg}_A^\sigma) & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathrm{ev}_0]{c} & \mathrm{Fil}_{S^\sigma, \mathrm{fil}}^{\geq 0} \mathrm{DAlg}_A^\sigma & \xrightleftharpoons[\zeta]{\mathrm{gr}} & \mathrm{DG}_+^{\sigma, \geq 0} \mathrm{DAlg}_A^\sigma \\ & \searrow U & \uparrow \mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{fil}} & & \uparrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_{-/A}^{\sigma, \bullet} \\ & \mathrm{HR}(-/A) & \mathrm{DAlg}_A^\sigma & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{ev}_0} & \end{array} \quad (7.2.7)$$

where the upper left adjoint pair is from Remark 7.1.18, the upper right adjoint pair is from Remark 7.1.19, the left diagonal adjoint pair is that of Definition 7.1.3, and the right diagonal adjoint pair is from Definition 6.2.20. The right \mathbf{C}_2 -adjoints commute, from which it follows that the left \mathbf{C}_2 -adjoints commute. The statement about underlying ∞ -categories follows from the fact that the diagram (7.2.7) specializes to the diagram considered in [Rak20, Theorem 6.2.6] by definition. \square

Proposition 7.2.8. *Suppose $B = \mathrm{LSym}_A^\sigma(M)$ for some A -module M . Then the filtered object $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{fil}}(B/A)$ is split.*

Proof. In view of Example 6.1.11, Theorem 6.2.22, and Theorem 1.2.1, the result follows from a similar argument to that of [Rak20, Lemma 6.2.7]. \square

7.3 Filtered orbits, fixed points, Tate construction

In this subsection, we apply the constructions of §4 to the setting of filtered S^σ -actions. When applied to real Hochschild homology, we will obtain filtrations on negative real cyclic homology, real cyclic homology, and real periodic cyclic homology.

Observation 7.3.1. Let \mathbb{T}^σ denote the \mathbb{Z} -linear involutive circle of Notation 7.1.6. There is an equivalence of \mathbb{T}^σ -modules $\Sigma^{-\sigma} \mathbb{T}^\sigma \simeq \mathbb{T}^{-\sigma}$. Taking regular slice filtrations on both sides, we obtain an equivalence of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma$ -modules $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma \simeq \Sigma^\sigma \mathbb{Z}(1) \otimes \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^{-\sigma}$ promoting the equivalence of [Rak20, Remark 6.3.1].

Thus by Proposition 4.2.2, we may take the parametrized Tate construction with respect to $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma$.

Definition 7.3.2. Let \mathcal{C} denote a fixed derived involutive algebraic context, let A denote a derived involutive algebra in \mathcal{C} , and suppose B is a derived involutive A -algebra. Then we define *filtered real cyclic homology, real negative cyclic homology, and real periodic cyclic homology of B over A* to be

$$\mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{fil}}(B/A) = \mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{fil}}(B/A)_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma} \quad \mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{fil}}^-(B/A) = \mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{fil}}(B/A)^{\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma} \quad \mathrm{HP}_{\mathrm{fil}}(B/A) = \mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{fil}}(B/A)^{t\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma}$$

where $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{fil}}(B/A)$ is the filtered real Hochschild homology of A of Definition 7.2.4.

The following is an immediate consequence of working in the parametrized setting.

Proposition 7.3.3. *Taking $(-)^e$ in Definition 7.3.2 recovers the filtered trace theories in Example 6.3.8 of [Rak20].*

Lemma 7.3.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 -stable C_2 -presentable \mathbb{Z} -linear C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. Then for $X \in \mathrm{Fil}_{S^\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$, there are canonical natural equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}\left(X_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma}\right) &\simeq \mathrm{und}\left(|\mathrm{gr}(X)|^{\leq*}\right) [\rho*] \\ \mathrm{gr}\left(X^{\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma}\right) &\simeq \mathrm{und}\left(|\mathrm{gr}(X)|^{\geq*}\right) [\rho*] \\ \mathrm{gr}\left(X^{t\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma}\right) &\simeq \delta(|\mathrm{gr}(X)|) [\rho*] \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. Since gr is C_2 -symmetric monoidal by Proposition 3.1.20, we have canonical natural equivalences

$$\mathrm{gr}\left(X_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma}\right) \simeq X_{\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma} \quad \mathrm{gr}\left(X^{\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma}\right) \simeq X^{\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma} \quad \mathrm{gr}\left(X^{t\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma}\right) \simeq X^{t\mathbb{D}_+^\sigma}.$$

The result now follows from Proposition 6.2.17. \square

Proposition 7.3.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear distributive C_2 -symmetric monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category and let $X \in \mathrm{Fil}_{S^\sigma}(\mathcal{C})$. Then there is a canonical equivalence $\mathrm{colim} X_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma} \simeq (\mathrm{colim} X)_{\mathbb{T}^\sigma}$ and there are canonical maps*

$$\mathrm{colim}\left(X^{\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma}\right) \rightarrow (\mathrm{colim} X)^{\mathbb{T}^\sigma} \quad \mathrm{colim}\left(X^{t\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{fil}}^\sigma}\right) \rightarrow (\mathrm{colim} X)^{t\mathbb{T}^\sigma}.$$

Proof. Follows from Remark 4.2.10. \square

Remark 7.3.6. Let \underline{k} be the fixed point C_2 -Green functor associated to a commutative ring with an involution, and let A be a derived involutive algebra over \underline{k} . There is a canonical equivalence $\mathrm{colim} \mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{fil}}(A/\underline{k}) \simeq \mathrm{HC}(A/\underline{k})$ and there are canonical maps

$$\mathrm{colim} \mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{fil}}^-(A/\underline{k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{fil}}^-(A/\underline{k}) \quad \mathrm{colim} \mathrm{HP}_{\mathrm{fil}}(A/\underline{k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HP}(A/\underline{k}).$$

7.4 Computations & comparisons

The presentation of the real HKR filtration given here is rather anachronistic—classically, one can prove the Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem by simply computing the homotopy groups of Hochschild homology on free polynomial algebras, observing that in degree i , they are given by differential i -forms (hence one can use the Postnikov filtration), and concluding for smooth algebras via an étale base change argument. Here we sketch an approach to a ‘real Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem’ in the spirit of [Lod98, §3.2]. Our results show that identifying an HKR-style filtration on real Hochschild homology is more subtle than in the non-equivariant case. Furthermore, we show how the theory simplifies when we work over a base on which 2 is invertible, and provide a dictionary for putting our results in the context of classical results such as [Lod96; KS88; SV96; Lod87].

Proposition 7.4.1. Let \underline{k} be the constant C_2 -Mackey functor associated to a commutative ring and write \underline{N}^{C_2} for the relative norm (Definition 2.3.7). Then

1. There is a resolution of $\underline{k}[x]$ as an $\underline{N}^{C_2}\underline{k}[x]$ -module

$$\Sigma^{\sigma-1}\underline{N}^{C_2}\underline{k}[x] \xrightarrow{d_0} \underline{N}^{C_2}\underline{k}[x] \rightarrow \underline{k}[x].$$

Moreover, the base change along the augmentation $d_0 \otimes_{\underline{N}^{C_2}\underline{k}[x]} \underline{k}[x]$ is the zero map.

2. There is a resolution of $\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]$ as an $\underline{N}^{C_2}\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]$ -module

$$\Sigma^{\sigma-1}\underline{N}^{C_2}\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] \xrightarrow{d'_1} \underline{N}^{C_2}\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] \otimes C_2 \xrightarrow{d'_0} \underline{N}^{C_2}\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] \rightarrow \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma].$$

Moreover, the base change along the augmentation $d'_i \otimes_{\underline{N}^{C_2}\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]} \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]$ is the zero map for $i = 0, 1$.

The following corollary is [HP23, Lemma 4.27 & Proposition 4.35].

Corollary 7.4.2. The real Hochschild homology of $\underline{k}[x]$ and $\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]$ admit complete descending exhaustive filtrations with associated graded

$$\mathrm{gr}^i \mathrm{HR}(\underline{k}[x]/\underline{k}) \simeq \begin{cases} \Sigma^\sigma \underline{k}[x] & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \underline{k}[x] & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \mathrm{gr}^i \mathrm{HR}(\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]/\underline{k}) \simeq \begin{cases} \Sigma^{\sigma+1} \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] & \text{if } i = 2 \\ \Sigma \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] \otimes C_2 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Remark 7.4.3. When k is endowed with the trivial action, the filtration on $\mathrm{HR}(\underline{k}[x])$ in Corollary 7.4.2 manifestly agrees with that of [HP23, Lemma 4.27]. We expect the filtration on $\mathrm{HR}(\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]/\underline{k})$ from Corollary 7.4.2 to agree with that of Theorem 1.2.1, but defer this to future work.

Proof of Corollary 7.4.2. This follows from [Ari21, Proposition 3.16] and 7.4.1. \square

Proof of Proposition 7.4.1. We begin with the first case. Note that $\underline{N}^{C_2}\underline{k}[x] \simeq \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]$. Write $\varepsilon: \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] \rightarrow \underline{k}[x]$ for the structure map. Observe that we have a map $\varphi: \underline{k} \rightarrow \underline{k}[x]$ represented by the element $x \in \pi_0 \underline{k}[x]^{C_2}$. Since the composite $\underline{k}[C_2] \rightarrow \underline{k} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \underline{k}[x]$ factors through ε , φ descends to a map $\bar{\varphi}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \underline{k}[x] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{cofib}(\varepsilon) \\ 1 \mapsto x \uparrow \hat{} & & 1 \mapsto x \uparrow & & \exists \uparrow \hat{} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \underline{k}[C_2] & \longrightarrow & \underline{k} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^\sigma \underline{k} \end{array}.$$

In particular, note that the morphism $\bar{\varphi}$ induces

$$\pi_1^\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}: \pi_1^\varepsilon \Sigma^\sigma \underline{k} \simeq \pi_0^\varepsilon \Sigma^{\sigma-1} \underline{k} \simeq k \rightarrow \pi_0^\varepsilon \mathrm{cofib}(\varepsilon) \\ 1 \mapsto x - x_\sigma.$$

Taking the desuspension and noting that ε is a map of $\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]$ -modules gives a sequence

$$\Sigma^{\sigma-1} \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] \rightarrow \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \underline{k}[x].$$

A computation on homotopy groups of both underlying and geometric fixed points shows that this is indeed an exact sequence of C_2 -spectra. Finally, the final statement follows from the fact that $\varepsilon(x - x_\sigma) = 0$.

Now we address the second case. Write ε for the augmentation $\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma] \simeq N^{C_2} \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma] \rightarrow \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma]$. On underlying k -modules, the map ε satisfies $\varepsilon(x) = x = \varepsilon(y)$. The morphism

$$\begin{aligned} g: \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma] \otimes C_2 &\rightarrow \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma] \\ 1 \otimes e &\mapsto (x - y) \\ 1 \otimes \sigma &\mapsto (x_\sigma - y_\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

of $\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma]$ -modules clearly factors through the fiber of ε . Now we notice that the morphism $\underline{k} \rightarrow \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma]$ represented by the element $xx_\sigma - yy_\sigma \in \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma]^{C_2}$ fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma] \otimes C_2 & \xrightarrow{g} & \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma] & \longrightarrow & \text{cofib}(g) \\ \psi \uparrow \text{---} & & 1 \mapsto x_N - y_N \uparrow & & \exists \text{---} \bar{\psi} \\ \underline{k}[C_2] & \longrightarrow & \underline{k} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^\sigma \underline{k} \end{array}$$

where ψ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(e) &= x_\sigma e + y\sigma \\ \psi(\sigma) &= y_\sigma e + x\sigma \end{aligned}$$

and the left-hand square commutes because of the identities

$$\begin{aligned} xx_\sigma - yy_\sigma &= x_\sigma(x - y) + y(x_\sigma - y_\sigma) \\ &= y_\sigma(x - y) + x(x_\sigma - y_\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the morphism $\pi_0^\varepsilon \Sigma^{\sigma-1} \underline{k} \simeq k \rightarrow \text{fib}(g) \rightarrow (\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma] \otimes C_2)^e$ takes

$$\bar{\psi}(1) = \psi(e - \sigma) = x_\sigma e + y\sigma - (y_\sigma e + x\sigma) = (x_\sigma - y_\sigma)e - (x - y)\sigma$$

which generates the kernel of $\pi_0^\varepsilon g$. Since g is a morphism of $\underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma]$ -modules, $\bar{\psi}$ extends to a morphism $\Sigma^{\sigma-1} \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma] \rightarrow \underline{k}[x, x_\sigma, y, y_\sigma] \otimes C_2$. Again a computation on homotopy groups of both underlying and geometric fixed points shows that this is indeed an exact sequence of C_2 -spectra. The final statement in the proposition follows from similar considerations as in the proof of the first half of this proposition. \square

Many existing results and computations for real trace theories assume that $\frac{1}{2} \in k$. We discuss how the study of C_2 -actions simplifies under the assumption that $\frac{1}{2} \in k$ and relate our results to classical results under these assumptions.

Recollection 7.4.4. Let k be a discrete commutative ring with $\frac{1}{2} \in k$. Let A be a commutative ring with involution over k , or a cdga with involution over k . Then the Hochschild complex $B^{\text{cyc}} A$ of A admits an involution [Lod98, Proposition 5.2.3; KS88] and the complex splits $B^{\text{cyc}} A \simeq B^{\text{cyc},+} A \oplus B^{\text{cyc},-} A$. This splitting induces a splitting of Hochschild homology groups

$$\text{HH}_*(A/k) \simeq \text{HH}_*^+(A/k) \oplus \text{HH}_*^-(A/k)$$

where the generator $\sigma \in C_2$ acts by $+1$ on $\mathrm{HH}_*^+(A/k)$ and by -1 on $\mathrm{HH}_*^-(A/k)$. Now the involution on $B^{\mathrm{cyc}}A$ lifts to the cyclic bicomplex of A , inducing a splitting of the cyclic bicomplex and hence a splitting of its homology, the cyclic homology of A over k [Lod98, (5.2.7.1)]

$$\mathrm{HC}_*(A/k) \simeq \mathrm{HD}_*(A/k) \oplus \mathrm{HD}'_*(A/k)$$

where $\mathrm{HD}_*(A/k)$ ($\mathrm{HD}'_*(A/k)$) is called the (skew) dihedral homology of A over k .

Lemma 7.4.5. *Let $X \in \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{BC}_2}$ be an object with C_2 -action (in an ordinary ∞ -category \mathcal{D}), and suppose \mathcal{D} is stable and idempotent-complete. Assume that $\frac{1}{2} \in \pi_0 \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(X)$. Then there is a canonical splitting $X \simeq X^+ \oplus X^-$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{BC}_2}$ so that for all $a \in \pi_* X^+$, the generator σ of C_2 acts by $\sigma(a) = a$ and for all $b \in \pi_* X^-$, $\sigma(b) = -b$.*

Moreover, the splitting is natural, i.e. if $Y \in (\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{BC}_2})_{X'}$, then the map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ decomposes as a direct sum $f = f^+ \oplus f^-$ where $f^+: X^+ \rightarrow Y^+$ and $f^-: X^- \rightarrow Y^-$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{D} is assumed to be stable, an idempotent in the homotopy category of \mathcal{D} lifts to \mathcal{D} by the proof of Remark 1.2.4.6 (also see Warning 1.2.4.8) of [Lur17], and we do not need to verify [Lur09, Prop 4.4.5.20]. Note that $e := \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma)$ is a C_2 -equivariant idempotent endomorphism of X . We define X^+ to be the equalizer of (e, id_X) . \square

Proposition 7.4.6. *Let k be a commutative ring with $\frac{1}{2} \in k$ and let A be a connective derived involutive algebra over k . Then there is an isomorphism of graded abelian groups*

$$\pi_* \left(\mathrm{HR}(\underline{A}/\underline{k})^{C_2} \right) \simeq \mathrm{HH}_*^+(A^e/k) \quad (7.4.7)$$

where the right-hand side is from Recollection 7.4.4.

Proof. Since $\frac{1}{2} \in k, \underline{k}$ and A are Borel C_2 -spectra—in particular, their geometric fixed points vanish. It follows that $\mathrm{HR}(\underline{A}/\underline{k})$ is Borel, so we have that $\mathrm{HR}(\underline{A}/\underline{k})^{C_2} \simeq (\mathrm{HR}(\underline{A}/\underline{k})^e)^{hC_2} \simeq \mathrm{HH}(A^e/k)^{hC_2}$. The result follows from the observation that when $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Sp}$ in Lemma 7.4.5, the homotopy fixed points of X satisfy $X^{hC_2} \simeq (X^+)^{hC_2} \oplus (X^-)^{hC_2} \simeq X^+$, where $(X^-)^{hC_2} = 0$ by the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence. \square

Proposition 7.4.8. *Let k be a commutative ring with $\frac{1}{2} \in k$ and let A be a connective derived involutive algebra over k . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\pi_* \left(\mathrm{HCR}(A/\underline{k})^{C_2} \right) \simeq \mathrm{HD}_*(A^e/k) \quad (7.4.9)$$

where the right-hand side is the dihedral homology of Recollection 7.4.4.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 7.4.6, our assumptions imply that $\mathrm{HCR}(A/\underline{k})$ is a Borel \underline{k} -module. Now, we have an equivalence $\mathrm{HCR}(A/\underline{k}) \simeq \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k})_{hS^\sigma}$. Endow the universal complex line bundle E on CP^∞ with a C_2 -equivariant metric. Then the unit disk bundle $D(E)$ includes into the unit sphere bundle $S(E) \simeq ES^1$, and they are classified by C_2 -functors $f, g: BS^\sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{Spc}^{C_2}$, respectively, and the inclusion $S(E) \subseteq D(E)$ is classified by a natural transformation $\iota: g \implies f$. Since colimits commute with each other, there is a cofiber sequence $\mathrm{colim} g \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{colim} f \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}(E) \simeq \mathrm{colim}(\mathrm{cofib}(g \rightarrow f))$ where $\mathrm{Th}(E)$ is the C_2 -equivariant Thom space. Now let X be a C_2 -spectrum

with S^σ -action classified by a functor $BS^\sigma \xrightarrow{X} \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$. Replacing g by $BS^\sigma \xrightarrow{g, X} \mathrm{Spc}^{C_2} \times \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$ and applying an equivariant Thom isomorphism [CW92, Proposition 2.3], we obtain an exact sequence $X \rightarrow X_{hS^\sigma} \rightarrow (\Sigma^\rho X)_{hS^\sigma}$. Taking $X = \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k})$, this induces an exact sequence of \underline{k} -modules

$$\mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k})_{hS^\sigma} \longrightarrow (\Sigma^\rho \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k}))_{hS^\sigma}.$$

Since $\mathrm{HCR}(A/\underline{k})$ is Borel, we have an equivalence of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k})^{C_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k})_{hS^\sigma}^{C_2} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^\rho \mathrm{HR}(A/\underline{k})_{hS^\sigma}^{C_2} \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathrm{HH}(A^e/\underline{k})^{hC_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{HH}(A^e/\underline{k})_{hS^1}^{hC_2} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^\rho \mathrm{HH}(A^e/\underline{k})_{hS^1}^{hC_2}. \end{array}$$

Naturality of the splitting in Lemma 7.4.5 implies that the preceding sequence may be rewritten as

$$\mathrm{HH}(A^e/\underline{k})^+ \longrightarrow \mathrm{HH}(A^e/\underline{k})_{hS^1}^+ \longrightarrow (\Sigma^\rho \mathrm{HH}(A^e/\underline{k})_{hS^1})^+. \quad (7.4.10)$$

Now observe that if Y is a spectrum with C_2 -action and $y \in \pi_n(Y)$ ($z \in \pi_n(Y)$) so that $\sigma(y) = y$ ($\sigma(z) = -z$), then $\mathrm{id} \otimes y \in \pi_0 \mathrm{hom}(S^{n+\rho}, S^\rho \otimes Y) \simeq \pi_{n+2}(S^\rho \otimes Y)$ satisfies $\sigma(\mathrm{id} \otimes y) = -\mathrm{id} \otimes y$ ($\sigma(\mathrm{id} \otimes z) = \mathrm{id} \otimes z$). In particular, there are isomorphisms $\pi_*(S^\rho \otimes Y)^\pm \simeq \pi_{*-2}Y^\mp$. The result follows from applying induction on $*$ and the five lemma to compare the homotopy long exact sequence of (7.4.10) with the SBI sequence of [Lod87]. \square

A Parametrized module categories

Notation A.0.1. Let $\underline{\mathrm{Com}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_{G,*}$ denote the minimal G - ∞ -operad of [NS22, Example 2.4.7]. Write $p: \underline{\mathrm{Com}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Span}(\underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_G)$ for the corresponding fibrous pattern under the equivalence of [BHS22, Proposition 5.2.14]. Endow $\underline{\mathrm{Com}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}}^{\otimes}$ with the structure of an algebraic pattern where the elementary objects are G/H and inert morphisms are those whose image under the structure map p are inert [BHS22, Definition 4.1.11].

There is a canonical orbit functor $(-)_G: \underline{\mathrm{Com}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{Span}(\underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_{G,*}, \mathrm{all}, \nabla) \xrightarrow{(-)_G} \underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_*$.

Recollection A.0.2 ([BHS22, Definition 3.1.12]). Let \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{O} be algebraic patterns. A *morphism of algebraic patterns* is a functor $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ which preserves elementary objects, inert morphisms, and active morphisms. Given such an f , for each $X \in \mathcal{O}$, there is a functor

$$f_{X/}^{\mathrm{el}}: \mathcal{O}_{X/}^{\mathrm{el}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{f(X)/}^{\mathrm{el}}. \quad (\text{A.0.3})$$

If the functor $f_{X/}^{\mathrm{el}}$ is coinital for all $X \in \mathcal{O}$, we say f is *strong Segal*. If the functor $f_{X/}^{\mathrm{el}}$ is an equivalence for all $X \in \mathcal{O}$, we say f is *iso-Segal*.

Lemma A.0.4. *Let G be a finite group. Then the orbit functor $(-)_G: \underline{\mathrm{Com}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Fin}}_*$ is strong Segal.*

Proof. Unravel the definitions! Or combine Observation 4.1.14 and with an argument as in Example 5.2.17 of [BHS22]. \square

Definition A.0.5. There is a canonical functor $\underline{(-)}: \text{Op}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Op}_{G,\infty}$, defined as a composite

$$\text{Op}_\infty \simeq \text{Fbrs}(\text{Fin}_*) \xrightarrow{(-)_G^*} \text{Fbrs}\left(\underline{\text{Com}}_{\mathcal{O}_G^\infty}^\otimes\right) \xrightarrow{p^\circ} \text{Fbrs}(\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)) \simeq \text{Op}_{G,\infty} \quad (\text{A.0.6})$$

where pullback along the functor $(-)_G$ of Lemma A.0.4 restricts to the appropriate functor by [BHS22, Lemma 4.1.19 & Corollary B]. Then by Corollary 4.1.17 of *loc. cit.* postcomposition with p takes fibrous $\underline{\text{Com}}_{\mathcal{O}_G^\infty}^\otimes$ -patterns to fibrous $\text{Span}(\text{Fin}_G)$ -patterns. Given an ordinary ∞ -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes , we will refer to its image under this functor as the *constant G - ∞ -operad at \mathcal{O}* and denote it by $\underline{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes$.

Remark A.0.7. If \mathcal{O}^\otimes is an ordinary ∞ -operad, there is a canonical functor $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \times \mathcal{T}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes$.

Notation A.0.8 ([Lur17, Remark 4.1.1.4, Definition 4.2.1.7, Definition 4.3.1.6]). Write $\underline{\text{Assoc}}^\otimes, \underline{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}}^\otimes, \underline{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}}^\otimes, \underline{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}}^\otimes$ denote the constant G - ∞ -operads associated to the ordinary ∞ -operads $\text{Assoc}^\otimes, \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}^\otimes$ under the functor of Definition A.0.5.

Remark A.0.9. Under the equivalence of [BHS22, Corollary 5.2.13], the G - ∞ -operad $\underline{\text{Assoc}}^\otimes$ has the same objects as $\text{Com}_{\mathcal{O}_G^\infty}^\otimes$. The ‘forwards’ morphisms are those spans

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \longleftarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \longleftarrow & Y & \longleftarrow & Y \end{array}$$

where the induced map $Z \rightarrow U \times_V Y$ is a summand inclusion, plus the data of a pair (f, \leq) where f is equivalent to a fold map and for all $x \in X$, an ordering on the orbits in the preimage $f^{-1}(\{x\}) \subseteq Z$.

Notice that for subgroups $K \leq H \leq G$, and some fold map $g: G/H^{\sqcup n} \rightarrow G/H$, the set of orbits of the domain $G/K^{\sqcup n}$ of $g \times_{G/H} G/K: G/K^{\sqcup n} \rightarrow G/K$ is canonically identified with the set of orbits of $G/H^{\sqcup n}$. This, along with the lexicographic ordering of [Lur17, Definition 4.1.1.4], specifies composition on $\underline{\text{Assoc}}^\otimes$.

The canonical forgetful functor/operad structure map $\underline{\text{Assoc}}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\text{Fin}}_{G,*}$ forgets the ordering.

Using these notions, we can formulate what it means for a \mathbb{E}_1 -monoidal G - ∞ -category to act on another G - ∞ -category.

Definition A.0.10 ([Lur17, Definition 4.2.1.13]). Let $q: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\text{Assoc}}^\otimes$ be a fibration of G - ∞ -operads and let \mathcal{M} be a G - ∞ -category. A *weak enrichment of \mathcal{M} over \mathcal{C}^\otimes* is a fibration of G - ∞ -operads $q: \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}}^\otimes$ together with equivalences $\mathcal{O}_a^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ and $\mathcal{O}_m^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{M}$.

Let $q: \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}}^\otimes$ exhibit \mathcal{M} as weakly enriched over \mathcal{C}^\otimes . Let $\text{LMod}(\mathcal{M})$ denote the G - ∞ -category $\text{Alg}_{/\underline{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{O})$, and refer to it as the G - ∞ -category of left module objects of \mathcal{M} . Composition with the inclusion $\underline{\text{Assoc}}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}}^\otimes$ gives a categorical fibration

$$\text{LMod}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Alg}_{/\underline{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{/\underline{\text{Assoc}}}(\mathcal{O}) = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}).$$

If A is an $\underline{\text{Assoc}}$ -algebra object of \mathcal{C} (i.e. a global section of the G - ∞ -category $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$), denote the parametrized fiber (as a G - ∞ -category) by $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$.

Definition A.0.11. Let $q: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{M}^\otimes$ be a fibration of C_2 - ∞ -operads. We say that q exhibits $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{m}c_2/c_2} =: \mathcal{M}$ as left-tensored over $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{a}c_2/c_2}$ if q is a coCartesian fibration of C_2 - ∞ -operads.

Given $q: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{M}^\otimes$, there is a similar notion of a C_2 - ∞ -category being right-tensored over an \mathbb{E}_1 -monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category.

Definition A.0.12. Let $q: \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{M}^\otimes, p: \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{M}^\otimes$ be cocartesian fibrations of C_2 - ∞ -operads so that $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{M}^\otimes} \underline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{C}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{N}^\otimes \times_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{M}^\otimes} \underline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{C}^\otimes =: \mathcal{C}^\otimes$. A \mathcal{C} -linear functor from $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{m}e_2/e_2}^\otimes$ to $\mathcal{N}_{\underline{m}e_2/e_2}^\otimes$ is a $\underline{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{M}^\otimes$ -monoidal functor from \mathcal{M}^\otimes to \mathcal{N}^\otimes which is the identity on \mathcal{C}^\otimes .

Remark A.0.13. We write right- (resp. left-)tensored instead of ' C_2 right- (resp. left-)tensored' because we find the proliferation of C_2 's appearing in modifiers to be a bit unwieldy. We trust that one can ascertain whether we refer to Definition A.0.11 or [Lur17, Definition 4.2.1.19] based on the context.

The following proposition is inspired by [NS22, Corollary 2.4.15].

Proposition A.0.14. For any ∞ -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes , pullback along the functor of Remark A.0.7 induces a canonical identification of $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}^\otimes$ -monoidal G - ∞ -categories and $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ -cocartesian families of \mathcal{O}^\otimes -monoidal ∞ -categories ([Lur17, Definition 4.8.3.1]).

Corollary A.0.15. Let \mathcal{C} be a G - ∞ -category. Let A be an \mathbb{E}_1 -coalgebra in \mathcal{C} , i.e. an \mathbb{E}_1 -algebra in \mathcal{C}^{vop} . Then left comodules over A is right-tensored over \mathcal{C} .

Proof. Follows from the results of [Lur17, §4.3.2] and Proposition A.0.14. \square

Corollary A.0.16. Let \mathcal{C}^\otimes be an $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{C}^\otimes$ -monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. Under the equivalence of Proposition A.0.14, a C_2 - ∞ -category \mathcal{M} is left-tensored over \mathcal{C} if and only if \mathcal{M} defines a $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ -cocartesian family of ∞ -categories left-tensored over \mathcal{C} in the sense of [Lur17, Definition 4.8.3.9].

Corollary A.0.17. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{E}_1 -monoidal \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category and let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category which is left-tensored over \mathcal{C} . Then the canonical forgetful functor $\mathbf{LMod}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbf{Alg}_{/\underline{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{/\underline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathbf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ is a \mathcal{T} -cartesian fibration.

Proof. Follows from Proposition A.0.14 and [Lur17, Corollary 4.2.3.2]. \square

Corollary A.0.18. Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathbb{E}_1 -monoidal \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category and let A be a \mathcal{T} -object of $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathbf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$. Let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category which is left-tensored over \mathcal{C} and consider the parametrized module category $\mathbf{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$. Then its (non-parametrized) fibers satisfy

$$\mathbf{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})_t \simeq \mathbf{LMod}_{A_t}(\mathcal{M}_t)$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$, where the right-hand side denotes the non-parametrized left module category of, for instance [Lur17, §4.2.1].

Proof. By definition of parametrized mapping spaces (see [Sha23, §3]), under the equivalence of Proposition A.0.14, the fiber $\mathbf{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})_t$ is given by $(\mathcal{T}/t)^{\text{op}}$ -cocartesian families of modules over A_t : $(\mathcal{T}/t)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_t$ in \mathcal{M}_t . The result follows from noting that $(\mathcal{T}/t)^{\text{op}}$ has an initial object. \square

Example A.0.19. Let \mathcal{C} be a C_2 - ∞ -category. By Proposition A.0.14, $\underline{\text{Fun}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ is a \mathbb{E}_1 -monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category. Furthermore, \mathcal{C} is left-tensored over $\underline{\text{Fun}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$. On underlying ∞ -categories, this recovers the action of $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^e, \mathcal{C}^e)$ on \mathcal{C}^e described in [Lur17, Introduction to §4.7].

Proposition A.0.20. Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathbb{E}_1 -monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category, \mathcal{M} a C_2 - ∞ -category which is left-tensored over \mathcal{C} , and let A be a \mathcal{T} -algebra object of \mathcal{C} . Suppose \mathcal{M} is C_2 -complete. Then

- (a) the C_2 - ∞ -category $\underline{\text{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is C_2 -complete
- (b) a map $p: \underline{\text{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is a \mathcal{T} -limit diagram if and only if the induced map $K^{\triangleleft} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a \mathcal{T} -limit diagram.
- (c) given a morphism of algebra objects $A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} , the induced functor $\underline{\text{LMod}}_B(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$ admits a left \mathcal{T} -adjoint.

Proof. (a) By (the dual to) [Sha23, Corollary 12.15], it suffices to show that $\underline{\text{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{M})$ admits totalizations and all C_2 -products. It follows from [Lur17, Proposition 4.2.3.3(1)] that the category admits totalizations. By the dual to [Sha23, Proposition 5.11], we must show that the restriction functor $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}) \rightarrow \text{LMod}_{A^e}(\mathcal{M}^e)$ admits a right adjoint. Since the restriction functor $\mathcal{M}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^e$ is monoidal, its right adjoint G is lax monoidal. In particular, the unit promotes to a map of \mathbb{E}_1 -algebra objects $\eta: A \rightarrow G(A^e)$. Now consider the composite $\text{LMod}_{A^e}(\mathcal{M}^e) \xrightarrow{G} \text{LMod}_{G(A^e)}(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}) \xrightarrow{\eta^*} \text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M}^{C_2})$. It is right adjoint to the restriction functor $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}) \rightarrow \text{LMod}_{A^e}(\mathcal{M}^e)$.

(b) Follows from [Lur17, Corollary 4.2.3.3(2)] and the proof of part (a).

(c) Follows from Corollary 2.1.13 and [Lur17, Corollary 4.2.3.3(3)]. □

Proposition A.0.21. Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathbb{E}_1 -monoidal C_2 - ∞ -category, \mathcal{M} a C_2 - ∞ -category which is left-tensored over \mathcal{C} , and let A be a \mathcal{T} -algebra object of \mathcal{C} . Suppose \mathcal{M} is C_2 -cocomplete, and that for each $\alpha: s \rightarrow t$ in \mathcal{T} the left adjoint to the restriction map $\mathcal{M}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_s$ is monoidal. Then

- (a) the C_2 - ∞ -category $\underline{\text{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is C_2 -cocomplete
- (b) a map $p: \underline{\text{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is a \mathcal{T} -colimit diagram if and only if the induced map $K^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a \mathcal{T} -colimit diagram.
- (c) given a morphism of algebra objects $A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} , the induced functor $\underline{\text{LMod}}_B(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{C})$ is a left \mathcal{T} -adjoint.

Remark A.0.22. Note that Proposition A.0.21 has a stronger assumption than Proposition A.0.20 because given $\alpha: s \rightarrow t$ in \mathcal{T} so that α^* is monoidal, the right adjoint of α^* is automatically lax monoidal (and hence takes algebra objects to algebra objects), while the left adjoint to α^* is oplax monoidal, hence does not a priori preserve algebra objects.

Proof of Proposition A.0.21. We prove (a); items (b) and (c) follow almost immediately from (a) as in the proof of Proposition A.0.20.

By [Sha23, Corollary 12.15], it suffices to show that $\underline{\text{LMod}}_A(\mathcal{M})$ admits geometric realizations and all C_2 -coproducts. It follows from [Lur17, Proposition 4.2.3.5(1)] that the category admits geometric realizations. By [Sha23, Proposition 5.11], we must show that the restriction functor

$\mathrm{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{LMod}_{A^e}(\mathcal{M}^e)$ admits a left adjoint. By assumption, the left adjoint $L: \mathcal{M}^e \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{C_2}$ to the restriction functor $\mathcal{M}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^e$ is monoidal. In particular, the counit promotes to a map of \mathbb{E}_1 -algebra objects $\varepsilon: L(A^e) \rightarrow A$. Now consider the composite $\mathrm{LMod}_{A^e}(\mathcal{M}^e) \xrightarrow{L} \mathrm{LMod}_{L(A^e)}(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_* = (- \otimes_{L(A^e)} A)} \mathrm{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M}^{C_2})$. It is left adjoint to the restriction functor $\mathrm{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{LMod}_{A^e}(\mathcal{M}^e)$. \square

References

- [AB18] Vigeik Angeltveit and Anna Marie Bohmann. “Graded Tambara functors”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 222.12 (2018), pp. 4126–4150.
- [AN21] Benjamin Antieau and Thomas Nikolaus. “Cartier modules and cyclotomic spectra”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 34.1 (2021), pp. 1–78.
- [Ari21] Stefano Ariotta. *Coherent cochain complexes and Beilinson t-structures, with an appendix by Achim Krause*. 2021. arXiv: 2109.01017 [math.AT].
- [Ati66] M. F. Atiyah. “K-theory and reality”. In: *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 17 (1966), pp. 367–386.
- [Bar+16a] Clark Barwick, Emanuele Dotto, Saul Glasman, Denis Nardin, and Jay Shah. “Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: A general introduction”. Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.03657> [math.AT].
- [Bar+16b] Clark Barwick, Emanuele Dotto, Saul Glasman, Denis Nardin, and Jay Shah. “Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: Exposé I – Elements of parametrized higher category theory”. Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.03657>. 2016. arXiv: 1608.03657 [math.AT].
- [Bar17] Clark Barwick. “Spectral Mackey functors and equivariant algebraic K-theory (I)”. In: *Adv. Math.* 304.2 (2017), pp. 646–727.
- [BGN18] Clark Barwick, Saul Glasman, and Denis Nardin. “Dualizing cartesian and cocartesian fibrations”. In: *Theory Appl. Categ.* 33 (2018), Paper No. 4, 67–94.
- [BGS20] Clark Barwick, Saul Glasman, and Jay Shah. “Spectral Mackey functors and equivariant algebraic K-theory, II”. In: *Tunis. J. Math.* 2.1 (2020), pp. 97–146.
- [BH15] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. “Operadic multiplications in equivariant spectra, norms, and transfers”. In: *Adv. Math.* 285 (2015), pp. 658–708.
- [BH21] Tom Bachmann and Marc Hoyois. “Norms in motivic homotopy theory”. In: *Astérisque* 425 (2021), pp. ix+207.
- [BHS22] Shaul Barkan, Rune Haugseng, and Jan Steinebrunner. *Envelopes for Algebraic Patterns*. To appear in *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*. 2022. arXiv: 2208.07183 [math.CT].
- [Blu+19] Andrew J. Blumberg, Teena Gerhardt, Michael A. Hill, and Tyler Lawson. “The Witt vectors for Green functors”. In: *J. Algebra* 537 (2019), pp. 197–244.
- [BMS19] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze. “Topological Hochschild homology and integral p -adic Hodge theory”. In: *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 129 (2019), pp. 199–310.
- [BW23] Tom Bachmann and Kirsten Wickelgren. “On quadratically enriched excess and residual intersections”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 802 (2023), pp. 77–123.
- [Cal+23] Baptiste Calmès, Emanuele Dotto, Yonatan Harpaz, Fabian Hebestreit, Markus Land, Kristian Moi, Denis Nardin, Thomas Nikolaus, and Wolfgang Steimle. “Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞ -categories I: Foundations”. In: *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 29.1 (2023), Paper No. 10, 269.

- [CHN25] Baptiste Calmès, Yonatan Harpaz, and Denis Nardin. *A motivic spectrum representing hermitian K-theory*. 2025. arXiv: 2402.15136 [math.KT].
- [Cno+24] Bastiaan Cnossen, Rune Haugseng, Tobias Lenz, and Sil Linskens. *Normed equivariant ring spectra and higher Tambara functors*. 2024. arXiv: 2407.08399 [math.AT].
- [Con00] J. H. Conway. “Universal quadratic forms and the fifteen theorem”. In: *Quadratic forms and their applications (Dublin, 1999)*. Vol. 272. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 23–26.
- [Cor93a] Guillermo Cortiñas. “L-theory and dihedral homology”. In: *Math. Scand.* 73.1 (1993), pp. 21–35.
- [Cor93b] Guillermo Cortiñas. “L-theory and dihedral homology. II”. In: *Topology Appl.* 51.1 (1993), pp. 53–69.
- [CW92] S. R. Costenoble and S. Waner. “The equivariant Thom isomorphism theorem”. In: *Pacific J. Math.* 152.1 (1992), pp. 21–39.
- [Deg+19] Dieter Degrijse, Markus Hausmann, Wolfgang Lück, Irakli Patchkoria, and Stefan Schwede. *Proper equivariant stable homotopy theory*. Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.00779>. 2019.
- [Dot+21] Emanuele Dotto, Kristian Moi, Irakli Patchkoria, and Sune Precht Reeh. “Real topological Hochschild homology”. In: *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 23.1 (2021), pp. 63–152.
- [DPJ22] Emanuele Dotto, Irakli Patchkoria, and Kristian Jonsson Moi. “Witt vectors, polynomial maps, and real topological Hochschild homology”. In: *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 55.2 (2022), pp. 473–535.
- [Dre73] Andreas W. M. Dress. “Contributions to the theory of induced representations”. In: *Algebraic K-theory, II: “Classical” algebraic K-theory and connections with arithmetic (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 342. Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 183–240.
- [EM23] Elden Elmanto and Matthew Morrow. *Motivic cohomology of equicharacteristic schemes*. 2023. arXiv: 2309.08463 [math.KT].
- [GM17] Bertrand J. Guillou and J. Peter May. “Equivariant iterated loop space theory and permutative G-categories”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 17.6 (2017), pp. 3259–3339.
- [GP18] Owen Gwilliam and Dmitri Pavlov. “Enhancing the filtered derived category”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 222.11 (2018), pp. 3621–3674.
- [GS14] J. P. C. Greenlees and Brooke Shipley. “Fixed point adjunctions for equivariant module spectra”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 14.3 (2014), pp. 1779–1799.
- [Har20] Yonatan Harpaz. “Ambidexterity and the universality of finite spans”. In: *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 121.5 (2020), pp. 1121–1170.
- [Hau+23] Rune Haugseng, Fabian Hebestreit, Sil Linskens, and Joost Nuiten. “Two-variable fibrations, factorisation systems and ∞ -categories of spans”. In: *Forum Math. Sigma* 11 (2023), Paper No. e111, 70.
- [Hau22] Rune Haugseng. “ ∞ -operads via symmetric sequences”. In: *Math. Z.* 301.1 (2022), pp. 115–171.
- [Hea19] Drew Heard. “On equivariant and motivic slices”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 19.7 (2019), pp. 3641–3681.
- [HHR16] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel. “On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 184.1 (2016), pp. 1–262.
- [HHR21] Michael A. Hill, Michael J. Hopkins, and Douglas C. Ravenel. *Equivariant stable homotopy theory and the Kervaire invariant problem*. Vol. 40. New Mathematical Monographs. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021, pp. ix+870.

- [Hil12] Michael A. Hill. “The equivariant slice filtration: a primer”. In: *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 14.2 (2012), pp. 143–166.
- [Hil17] Michael A. Hill. “On the André-Quillen homology of Tambara functors”. In: *J. Algebra* 489 (2017), pp. 115–137.
- [HKR62] G. Hochschild, Bertram Kostant, and Alex Rosenberg. “Differential forms on regular affine algebras”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102 (1962), pp. 383–408.
- [HM15] Lars Hesselholt and Ib Madsen. “Real algebraic K -theory”. Manuscript available at <http://web.math.ku.dk/~larsh/p> Apr. 2015.
- [HNS] Yonatan Harpaz, Thomas Nikolaus, and Jay Shah. *The real cyclotomic trace map*. to appear.
- [HP23] Jens Hornbostel and Doosung Park. *Real Topological Hochschild Homology of Perfectoid Rings*. 2023. arXiv: 2310.11183 [math.KT].
- [Jac17] Jeremy A. Jacobson. “Real cohomology and the powers of the fundamental ideal in the Witt ring”. In: *Ann. K-Theory* 2.3 (2017), pp. 357–385.
- [Kal11] D. Kaledin. “Derived Mackey functors”. In: *Mosc. Math. J.* 11.4 (2011), pp. 723–803, 822.
- [KS88] R. L. Krasauskas and Yu. P. Solov’ev. “Rational Hermitian K -theory and dihedral homology”. In: *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 52.5 (1988), pp. 935–969, 1118.
- [Lev20] Marc Levine. “Aspects of enumerative geometry with quadratic forms”. In: *Doc. Math.* 25 (2020), pp. 2179–2239.
- [Lew81] L. Gaunce Lewis. “The theory of Green functors”. Preprint available at <https://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty> 1981.
- [Lew88] L. Gaunce Lewis Jr. “The $RO(G)$ -graded equivariant ordinary cohomology of complex projective spaces with linear \mathbf{Z}/p actions”. In: *Algebraic topology and transformation groups (Göttingen, 1987)*. Vol. 1361. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 53–122.
- [LM06] L. Gaunce Lewis Jr. and Michael A. Mandell. “Equivariant universal coefficient and Künneth spectral sequences”. In: *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 92.2 (2006), pp. 505–544.
- [Lod87] Jean-Louis Loday. “Homologies diédrale et quaternionique”. In: *Adv. in Math.* 66.2 (1987), pp. 119–148.
- [Lod96] Jerry M. Lodder. “Dihedral homology and Hermitian K -theory”. In: *K-Theory* 10.2 (1996), pp. 175–196.
- [Lod98] Jean-Louis Loday. *Cyclic homology*. Second. Vol. 301. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Appendix E by María O. Ronco, Chapter 13 by the author in collaboration with Teimuraz Pirashvili. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, pp. xx+513.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Lur14] Jacob Lurie. “Rotation invariance in algebraic K -theory”. Preprint available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/p> 2014.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. *Higher algebra*. Manuscript available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>. 2017.
- [Lur18] Jacob Lurie. “Elliptic Cohomology I: Spectral Abelian Varieties”. preprint available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lur> February 3, 2018.

- [May96] J. P. May. *Equivariant homotopy and cohomology theory*. Vol. 91. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. With contributions by M. Cole, G. Comezaña, S. Costenoble, A. D. Elmendorf, J. P. C. Greenlees, L. G. Lewis, Jr., R. J. Piacenza, G. Triantafyllou, and S. Waner. Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996, pp. xiv+366.
- [Maz13] Kristen Mazur. “On the structure of Mackey functors and Tambara functors”. PhD thesis. University of Virginia, 2013.
- [McK21] Stephen McKean. “An arithmetic enrichment of Bézout’s Theorem”. In: *Math. Ann.* 379.1-2 (2021), pp. 633–660.
- [Mil70] John Milnor. “Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms”. In: *Invent. Math.* 9 (1969/70), pp. 318–344.
- [MNN17] Akhil Mathew, Niko Naumann, and Justin Noel. “Nilpotence and descent in equivariant stable homotopy theory”. In: *Adv. Math.* 305 (2017), pp. 994–1084.
- [MQS24] David Mehrle, J. D. Quigley, and Michael Stahlhauer. *Koszul Resolutions over Free Incomplete Tambara Functors for Cyclic p -Groups*. 2024. arXiv: 2407.18382 [math.AT].
- [MS16] Akhil Mathew and Vesna Stojanoska. “The Picard group of topological modular forms via descent theory”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 20.6 (2016), pp. 3133–3217.
- [Nar16] Denis Nardin. “Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: Exposé IV – Stability with respect to an orbital ∞ -category”. Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.07704>. 2016. arXiv: 1608.07704v4 [math.AT].
- [Nar17] Denis Nardin. *Stability and distributivity over orbital ∞ -categories*. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Massachusetts Institute of Technology. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2017, (no paging).
- [NS18] Thomas Nikolaus and Peter Scholze. “On topological cyclic homology”. In: *Acta Math.* 221.2 (2018), pp. 203–409.
- [NS22] Denis Nardin and Jay Shah. *Parametrized and equivariant higher algebra*. 2022. arXiv: 2203.00072 [math.AT].
- [PSW22] Irakli Patchkoria, Beren Sanders, and Christian Wimmer. “The spectrum of derived Mackey functors”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 375.6 (2022), pp. 4057–4105.
- [QS22] J. D. Quigley and Jay Shah. *On the parametrized Tate construction*. 2022. arXiv: 2110.07707 [math.AT].
- [Qui72] Frank Quinn. “Surgery on Poincaré and normal spaces”. In: *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78 (1972), pp. 262–267.
- [Rak20] Arpon Raksit. “Hochschild homology and the derived de Rham complex revisited”. In: (2020). To appear in *Selecta Mathematica*.
- [Sha21a] Jay Shah. *Parametrized higher category theory II: Universal constructions*. preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.02021>.
- [Sha21b] Jay Shah. *Recollements and stratification*. Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.06567>. 2021.
- [Sha23] Jay Shah. “Parametrized higher category theory”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 23.2 (2023), pp. 509–644.
- [Shu10] Megan Elizabeth Shulman. *Equivariant local coefficients and the $RO(G)$ -graded cohomology of classifying spaces*. Thesis (Ph.D.)–The University of Chicago. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2010, p. 127.
- [Ste25] Natalie Stewart. “On tensor products of equivariant commutative operads”. Preprint available at https://nataliestewart.github.io/files/Ninfty_draft.pdf. 2025.

- [Str12] Neil Strickland. *Tambara functors*. 2012. arXiv: 1205.2516 [math.AT].
- [Sul23] Yuri J. F. Sulyma. *Prisms and Tambara functors I: Twisted powers, transversality, and the perfect sandwich*. 2023. arXiv: 2309.03181 [math.NT].
- [SV96] Andrea Solotar and Micheline Vigué-Poirrier. “Dihedral homology of commutative algebras”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 109.1 (1996), pp. 97–106.
- [SW21] Padmavathi Srinivasan and Kirsten Wickelgren. “An arithmetic count of the lines meeting four lines in \mathbf{P}^3 ”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 374.5 (2021). With an appendix by Borys Kadets, Srinivasan, Ashvin A. Swaminathan, Libby Taylor and Dennis Tseng, pp. 3427–3451.
- [TW95] Jacques Thévenaz and Peter Webb. “The structure of Mackey functors”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 347.6 (1995), pp. 1865–1961.
- [Ull13] John Richard Ullman. *On the Regular Slice Spectral Sequence*. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Massachusetts Institute of Technology. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013, (no paging).
- [Voe96] Vladimir Voevodsky. “The Milnor Conjecture”. 1996. K-theory: 0170.
- [Wal62] C. T. C. Wall. “Classification of $(n - 1)$ -connected $2n$ -manifolds”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 75 (1962), pp. 163–189.
- [Web00] Peter Webb. “A guide to Mackey functors”. In: *Handbook of algebra, Vol. 2*. Vol. 2. Handb. Algebr. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2000, pp. 805–836.
- [Wil17] Dylan Wilson. *On categories of slices*. Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.03472>. 2017.
- [Wit37] Ernst Witt. “Theorie der quadratischen Formen in beliebigen Körpern”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 176 (1937), pp. 31–44.
- [Yan25] Lucy Yang. “On Normed E_∞ -rings in Genuine Equivariant Cp-spectra”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 3 (2025), mae262.