# STAA-SNN: Spatial-Temporal Attention Aggregator for Spiking Neural Networks

Tianqing Zhang<sup>1\*</sup> Kairong Yu<sup>1\*</sup> Xian Zhong<sup>3</sup> Hongwei Wang<sup>1†</sup> Qi Xu<sup>2†</sup> Qiang Zhang<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Zhejiang University <sup>2</sup> Dalian University of Technology <sup>3</sup> Wuhan University of Technology

#### Abstract

Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs) have gained significant attention due to their biological plausibility and energy efficiency, making them promising alternatives to Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs). However, the performance gap between SNNs and ANNs remains a substantial challenge hindering the widespread adoption of SNNs. In this paper, we propose a Spatial-Temporal Attention Aggregator SNN (STAA-SNN) framework, which dynamically focuses on and captures both spatial and temporal dependencies. First, we introduce a spike-driven self-attention mechanism specifically designed for SNNs. Additionally, we pioneeringly incorporate position encoding to integrate latent temporal relationships into the incoming features. For spatialtemporal information aggregation, we employ step attention to selectively amplify relevant features at different steps. Finally, we implement a time-step random dropout strategy to avoid local optima. As a result, STAA-SNN effectively captures both spatial and temporal dependencies, enabling the model to analyze complex patterns and make accurate predictions. The framework demonstrates exceptional performance across diverse datasets and exhibits strong generalization capabilities. Notably, STAA-SNN achieves state-of-the-art results on neuromorphic datasets CIFAR10-DVS, with remarkable performances of 97.14%, 82.05% and 70.40% on the static datasets CIFAR-10, CIFAR-100 and ImageNet, respectively. Furthermore, our model exhibits improved performance ranging from 0.33% to 2.80% with fewer time steps. The code for the model is available on GitHub.

# 1. Introduction

In recent years, Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs) have garnered significant attention due to their low energy consumption and biological interpretability[12, 17, 46, 52-54]. Inspired by the behavior of biological neurons, SNNs represent information using discrete binary spikes over multiple time steps, making them well-suited for implementation on low-power neuromorphic hardware, offering a distinct advantage over traditional Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)[2, 13, 19, 43, 57]. TAmong various spiking neuron models, the Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) model[1] is widely used in SNNs for its linear differentiable[34? , 35]. However, a performance gap persists between directly trained large-scale SNNs and ANNs in pattern recognition tasks. Hu [31] abuild a large-scale SNNs by constructing advanced residual learning to accelerate model convergence. However, the performance gap still exists. Additionally, the multi-time step computations inherent in SNNs[17, 31, 65] significantly increase both training and inference times. The resulting high latency limits the potential for efficient algorithm design, highlighting the need for new approaches that combine insights from neuroscience with classical deep learning to enhance the efficiency and accuracy of SNNs. Inspired by the human visual and cognitive systems, attention mechanisms in the brain modulate neural activity and connectivity, leading to enhanced neural responses to stimuli that are the focus of attention. This enhancement is evident through increased firing rates and synchronization of neurons in relevant brain regions. Furthermore, attention influences the strength and efficiency of neural connections, thereby facilitating the flow of information within and between brain areas. In the field of deep learning, attention mechanisms enable networks to selectively focus on important input information, leading to significant improvements across various domains such as natural language processing (NLP) and computer vision (CV). These mechanisms have consistently demonstrated superior performance compared to conven-

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding authors.

tional architectures [5, 6, 40]. In this paper, we explore the integration of attention mechanisms into deep SNNs. Although SNNs are biologically inspired models, they still face many limitations in their current implementations. For instance, the heterogeneity among spiking neurons and the ability to independently transmit spatial and temporal information are often overlooked, with membrane parameters being uniformly and permanently set across dimensions and layers in neural networks. Neuroscience research [3, 48] has emphasized the crucial role of synaptic homeostatic plasticity mechanisms in neuronal activity, with glial cells modulating information transmission between neurons. To better align with these biological processes, we propose allowing different network layers to exhibit varying degrees of information transmission permeability.

We introduce a self-attention mechanism to capture spatial correlations and the significance of information, while integrating positional encoding to enhance spatial information and potentially learn temporal features. In traditional SNNs, input features are typically aggregated through simple addition, which can introduce significant noise into the synthesized features. To address this, we propose a Step Attention mechanism that aggregates and reconstructs features at each time step, thereby improving the quality of information propagation. Additionally, we introduce a novel Time-Step Random Dropout (TSRD) strategy to prevent the network from falling into local optima, thereby enhancing its robustness and accelerating training. Our main contributions are summarized as follows:

- Inspired by neurobiological attention mechanisms, we propose a novel Spatial-Temporal Attention Aggregator for Spiking Neural Networks (STAA-SNN), which integrates self-attention, positional encoding, and step attention into a unified framework. This approach effectively extracts information in both temporal and spatial domains with stable training.
- We introduce a Time-Step Random Dropout (TSRD) strategy to accelerate training and improve model generalization. This strategy helps prevent premature convergence during the training phase, enabling a more optimal performance.
- We evaluate the performance, convergence, and sparse spike activity of STAA-SNN on various benchmark datasets. Our method achieves state-of-the-art (SOTA) or superior results with fewer time steps, demonstrating its efficiency and effectiveness.

# 2. Related Works

# 2.1. Training of Deep SNNs

In SNN training, two primary approaches are commonly followed: the ANN-to-SNN conversion and direct SNN training. The former approach faces challenges in mini-

mizing accuracy loss during conversion while simultaneously optimizing inference latency and energy consumption [25, 26, 50]. However, these conversion methods often fall short in fully leveraging the spatial-temporal information inherent in SNNs due to the absence of this dimension in ANNs. On the other hand, directly learning in SNNs involves unsupervised and supervised learning approaches. Unsupervised learning relies on biologically plausible rules like Hebbian learning [27] and Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity (STDP) [7], which are not well-suited for deep SNNs or large datasets. Directly supervised learning, enabled by integrating backpropagation with surrogate gradient into SNNs [17, 35, 41, 53], has gained rapid applicability [55, 56]. Several techniques have been proposed to enhance direct SNN training. For instance, MPBN [24] integrates a Batch Normalization layer after membrane potential updates, while MS-ResNet [31] reorganizes Vanilla ResNet layers to better suit SNNs. Additionally, IM-Loss [20] optimizes information maximization loss to increase spike information entropy. Furthermore, [11] explore SNN model compression using a minimax optimization strategy.

### 2.2. Attention Mechanism in SNNs

Attention mechanisms assign varying degrees of importance or weights to different parts of the input data, enabling more efficient processing and feature extraction. Being introduced in 2014, attention mechanisms have become powerful tools for enhancing the performance of neural networks, have been widely utilized in traditional ANNs [29]. The applications of attention mechanisms in deep learning can be broadly divided into two categories. Using it as a basic paradigm for conducting meta-operator such as using self-attention [49] or incorporating attention mechanisms as auxiliary enhancement modules [30] Also these two approaches can be combined.

Introducing attention mechanisms into SNNs has become increasingly important for enhancing their capabilities. Currently, the majority of related work also differs from the aforementioned attention application in traditional network structures. Accordingly, [42] simulated attention using SNN. [33] utilize attention mechanisms to compress SNN models. TA-SNN [58] introduced temporalwise attention to SNNs, laying the groundwork for subsequent advancements in attention mechanisms within this domain. MA-SNN [60] proposed temporal-channel-spatial attention for SNNs, surpassing models focused on singledimensional information. TCJA-SNN [68] introduced a temporal and channel joint attention mechanism, while SCTFA [8] applied temporal-channel-spatial attention to SNNs with promising results. Moreover, STSC-SNN [64] incorporated temporal convolution and attention mechanisms to implement synaptic filtering and gating functions, demonstrating the versatility of attention mechanisms in en-



Figure 1. Overview of the STAA-SNN Architecture and the TSRD strategy.

hancing SNN capabilities. IM-LIF [39] adopted temporalwise attention to improve the updating of GRUs and LSTMs within SNNs. Recently, researchers have incorporated SNNs into the Transformer architecture, achieving promising results on multiple datasets [37, 47]. These researches reveal that attention mechanisms do improve the performance of SNN. However, there is still a need for highperformance enhancement modules specifically designed for applying attention mechanisms to SNNs.

#### 3. Methodology

In this section, we will first provide a brief overview of the Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) model and the training process. Following that, we introduce the proposed Spatial-Temporal Attention Aggregator. Lastly, we outline the time step random dropout strategy for SNN.

#### **3.1. Leaky Integrate-and-Fire Model**

The spiking neuron is a fundamental component of SNNs. In this work, we employ the Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) neuron due to its efficiency and simplicity. The discretetime and iterative mathematical representation of LIF are described as follows:

$$V^{t,n} = H^{t-1,n} + \frac{1}{\tau} [I^{t-1,n} - (H^{t-1,n} - V_{reset})] \quad (1)$$

$$S^{t,n} = \Theta(V^{t,n} - v_{th}) \tag{2}$$

$$H^{t,n} = V_{reset} \cdot S^{t,n} + V^{t,n} \odot (1 - S^{t,n}).$$
(3)

The Heaviside step function  $\Theta$  equals 0 when x is less than 0, and equals 1 when x is greater than or equal to 0. Among these,  $H^{t-1,n}$  represents the membrane potential after a spike trigger at the previous time step, while  $I^{t,n}$  and  $V^{t,n}$ 

respectively denote the input and membrane potential of the n-th layer at time step t. On the other hand,  $v_{th}$  is the threshold to determine whether the output spiking tensor and  $S^{t,n}$  signifies a binary tensor obtained by threshold-triggered firing of action potentials in the n-th layer at time step t.

Based on the similarity between the Iterative LIF neuron and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs), spatio-temporal backpropagation (STBP) [52, 53] introduced the algorithm of directly training SNN in the same way as RNNs by Back Propagation Through Time:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W^n} = \sum_{t} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial S^{t,n}} \cdot \frac{\partial S^{t,n}}{\partial V^{t,n}} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial V^{t+1,n}} \cdot \frac{\partial V^{t+1,n}}{\partial V^{t,n}} \right) \frac{\partial V^{t,n}}{\partial W^n}.$$
(4)

Note that  $\frac{\partial S^{t,n}}{\partial V^{t,n}}$ , the derivative of the spike with respect to the membrane potential at timestep t layer n, is non-differentiable. Prior works addressed this challenge by adopting SG (with the rectangular shape being widely applied):

$$\frac{\partial S^t}{\partial V^t} = \frac{1}{a} \cdot \operatorname{sign}(|V^t - V_{\text{th}}| < \frac{a}{2}), \tag{5}$$

where a is a hyper-parameter and set to 1, than the gradient equals to 1 when  $V_{\rm th} - 0.5 \le V^t \le V_{\rm th} + 0.5$ , otherwise 0.

Neuromorphic-Inspired Adaptive LIF Design. A brief overview of the LIF model is provided in previous section. Upon closer examination of Equation 1, it becomes evident that when  $V_{rest}$  and  $\tau$  are kept constant, the weight coefficients of H and I, as well as their interrelation, remain unchanged and unaffected by time t and layer depth n. This discrepancy deviates from the actual neural processing of information in human brain. Therefore, we propose the following modification to the original membrane potential update formula:

$$V^{t,n} = M \odot H^{t-1,n} + N \odot I^{t-1,n},$$
 (6)

where M and N are coefficient matrices, they can be dynamically modified through iterative learning processes to effectively regulate the probabilities of activation and inhibition across various layers within SNN.

Global Context (GC) Block. The Global Context (GC) Block in conventional convolution-based deep learning network architectures serves a similar role to the selfattention mechanism in Transformers, but it is more concise and lightweight. Therefore, the GC block makes it possible to incorporate self-attention mechanisms into non-Transformer networks. In this paper, we simulate the selfattention operation using a GC block [9] comprising three  $1 \times 1$  convolutional kernels, each corresponding to key, value and query. As depicted in Fig. 1(b), the spatial spiking input tensor of the n-th layer at the t-th time step is  $X^{t,n} \in \mathbb{R}^{C^n \times H^n \times W^n}$ , where  $W^n$  and  $H^n$  represent the width and height of the n-th layer input feature map, and  $C^n$  is the channel size. Having passed  $X^{t,n}$  through the GC block, three weight matrices for self-attention, namely  $W_k, W_a$  and  $W_v$ , can be obtained. The calculation formulas for these matrices are as follows:

$$W_k = X^{t,n} \cdot \text{Sigmoid}(\text{Conv}_k(X^{t,n})) \tag{7}$$

$$W_q = \operatorname{Conv}_q(W_k) \tag{8}$$

$$W_v = \operatorname{Conv}_v(\operatorname{ReLU}(\operatorname{LN}(W_q))) \tag{9}$$

where  $X^{t,n}$  needs to be reshaped from  $C \times H \times W$  to  $C \times HW$  prior to the computation of  $W_k$  and  $W_k, W_q, W_v \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times 1 \times 1}$ . Subsequently, we can obtain the enhanced feature map through the GC block.

$$Z^{t,n} = X^{t,n} \oplus W_v \tag{10}$$

where  $Z^{t,n} \in \mathbb{R}^{C,H,W}$  is equivalent to  $X^{t,n}$ . The enhanced  $Z^{t,n}$ , in comparison to the  $X^{t,n}$ , demonstrates improved capability in attentively capturing self-relevant information and task-related cues, thereby effectively amplifying critical features while mitigating interference from irrelevant sources. This approach facilitates the seamless transmission of enriched data flow, enabling the retention of valuable features for subsequent stages.

**Position Encoding (PE) Block.** Position encoding is a technique used in the field of Natural Language Processing (NLP) and sequence processing to incorporate positional information into the input data. Despite SNNs sharing structural similarities with RNNs and possessing inherent temporal processing capabilities, their information storage relies on sparse binary spikes. While this ensures efficient

and low-energy transmission, it also results in the loss of numerous features. Therefore, this study introduces positional encoding to enhance the spatial attributes of incoming vectors through supplementary position encoding. This augmentation aims to facilitate the exploration of underlying temporal relationships, thereby strengthening temporal features. Based on our experimental results, selecting learnable position encoding and adding them to the input tensor before the GC block yields the better overall performance. The specific calculation method is as follows:

$$X^{t,n} = I^{t,n} \oplus Pos_{t,n} \tag{11}$$

where  $Pos_{t,n}$  represents the position encoding at the *t*-th time step in the *n*-th layer, with  $Pos_{t,n} \in \mathbb{R}^{T,C^n}$ .

**Step Attention (SA) Block.** While the previous modules primarily emphasize enhancing incoming features from a spatial perspective, it is crucial to acknowledge the unique spatial-temporal processing capabilities of SNNs. Unlike other types of network, SNNs offer an additional understanding of information sensitivity across different time steps. Therefore, in this paper, we introduce Step Attention to tackle the temporal challenges inherent in SNNs. As illustrated in Figure 1(c),  $U^{t,n} \in \mathbb{R}^{C^n \times H^n \times W^n}$  represents the spatially aggregated feature input at the *n*-th and the *t*-th timestep. And the specific Step Attention can be described as:

$$V^{t,n} = U^{t,n} \odot \operatorname{Sigmoid}\left(\operatorname{Conv}_{2}\left(\operatorname{ReLU}\left(\operatorname{Conv}_{1}\right) \left(\alpha \cdot \operatorname{AvgPool}(U^{t,n})\right)\right)\right)$$
(12)

where  $\alpha$  is a scaling factor. It can adjust the initial speed of model training to facilitate smoother convergence of the network. In experiments, it is typically set to a default value of 2.

LIF with Spatio-Temporal Attention Aggregator (STAA). The STAA seamlessly integrates with the LIF model, offering compatibility across various network structures without constraints. It adeptly harnesses the potential of spiking neurons, effectively optimizing the storage of discrete spike information while minimizing the loss of crucial data during transmission. As shown in Figure 1(a), the neuronal update equation for the LIF model with STAA can be described as

$$U^{t,n} = GC_1(X^{t,n}) \oplus GC_2(H^{t-1,n})$$
(13)

$$V^{t,n} = SA(U^{t,n}) \tag{14}$$

The remaining update equations remain consistent with original LIF model.

	Method	Architecture	Timesten	Accuracy	
	memou	Themteeture	rinestep	CIFAR-10	CIFAR-100
		ResNet-19	2	94.44	75.48
	GLIF [03]	ResNet-19	4	94.85	77.05
	TET [16]	ResNet-19	2	94.16	72.87
		ResNet-19	4	94.44	74.47
	LSG [38]	ResNet-19	2	94.41	76.32
		ResNet-19	4	95.17	76.85
	PFA [14]	ResNet-19	2	95.6	76.7
		ResNet-19	4	95.71	78.1
CNN-based	Diet-SNN [45]	ResNet-20	5	91.78	64.07
		VGG-16	5	93.85	69.67
	IM-loss [20]	VGG-16	5	93.85	70.18
	IM-LIF [39]	ResNet-19	3	95.29	77.21
		ResNet-19	1	96.06	78.71
	MPBN [24]	ResNet-19	2	96.47	79.51
		ResNet-19	4	96.52	80.1
		ResNet-20	1	92.22	68.41
		ResNet-20	2	93.54	70.79
		ResNet-20	4	94.28	72.3
	Spikformer[67]	Spikformer-4-25	4	93.94	75.96
Transformer-based	Spikingformer[66]	Spikingformer-4-25	4	94.77	77.43
	Spike-driven Transformer [62]	Transformer-2-512	4	95.60	78.40
	Ours	VGG-13	2	$94.70\pm0.12$	$75.16\pm0.08$
		VGG-13	4	$95.26 \pm 0.09$	$76.35\pm0.11$
		ResNet-19	1	$96.75\pm0.09$	$79.37 \pm 0.10$
CNN-based		ResNet-19	2	$96.85 \pm 0.11$	$80.57\pm0.11$
		ResNet-19	4	$\textbf{97.14} \pm 0.10$	$\textbf{82.05} \pm 0.10$
		ResNet-20	1	$93.08 \pm 0.09$	$70.14\pm0.07$
		ResNet-20	2	$94.35\pm0.08$	$73.20\pm0.12$
		ResNet-20	4	$95.03 \pm 0.11$	$75.10\pm0.12$

Table 1. Comparison results with SOTA methods on CIFAR-10/100.

# 3.2. Time Step Random Dropout (TSRD) Strategy

In addition, this paper also proposes an efficient SNN training strategy called TSRD. In the case of deep time steps, spiking features often solidify prematurely during iterations, preventing the network from converging to the desired outcome. As shown in Figure 1(d), TSRD has the capability to randomly drop out the augmentation module at time step  $t \in (0, T)$  with a dropout probability of  $\beta$ , and instead choose the most basic element-wise addition aggregation method. The TSRD method not only accelerates the training of the model in experiments but also effectively helps the model escape from local optima, thereby enhancing the overall generalization ability of the model.

# 4. Experiments

First, we outline the setup of our experiments, detailing the datasets and implementation specifics. Next, we compare our experimental results against previous SOTA methods across multiple datasets. Following this, we present ablation studies to validate the effectiveness of STAA. Finally, we visualize the model's performance. More details on the datasets, hyperparameters, additional experiments, and an analysis of computational efficiency are provided in the **Supplementary Material**.



Figure 2. Position encoding locations in SNNs.

Method	Architecture	Т	Accuracy
STBP-tdBN [65]	ResNet34	6	63.72%
TET [16]	ResNet34	6	64.79%
RecDis-SNN [21]	ResNet34	6	67.33%
GLIF [63]	ResNet34	4	67.52%
IM-Loss [20]	ResNet18	6	67.43%
Pool Spike [22]	ResNet18	4	63.68%
Real Spike [22]	ResNet34	4	67.69%
DMD Loss [22]	ResNet18	4	63.03%
KIVIP-LOSS [23]	ResNet34	4	65.17%
	ResNet18	4	63.14%
WIPDN [24]	ResNet34	4	64.71%
SEW DecNet [17]	ResNet18	4	63.18%
SEW Keshet [17]	ResNet34	4	67.04%
Quinc	ResNet18	4	<b>68.27%</b> ± 0.19%
Ours	ResNet34	4	$\textbf{70.40\%} \pm 0.15\%$

Table 2. Comparison of training based SNN SOTA on ImageNet. T denotes Timestep.

### 4.1. Experimental Setup

**Datasets.** We evaluated the proposed method using five primary datasets. CIFAR-10/100 [32] and ImageNet [15] are widely used as benchmark datasets for image classification tasks. CIFAR-10/100 consist 50,000 training and 10,000 testing images in 10 and 100 classes, respectively. ImageNet consist 1.2 million training, 50,000 validation and 100,000 test images, categorized into 1,000 classes. The visual neuromorphic datasets, CIFAR10-DVS [36], comprises 10,000 event streams in 10 categories, 1,000 samples in each class. We split the dataset into training and testing sets in a 9:1 ratio. The DVS128 Gesture [4] dataset is for event-based representation, comprising 1,176 training images and 288 testing images, consisting of 11 distinct gestures from 29 subjects under three different lighting con-

Methods	ls Architecture		T Accuracy(%)			
CIFAR10-DVS						
IM-loss [20]	ResNet-19	10	72.60			
LSG [38]	ResNet-19	10	77.90			
MPBN [24]	MPBN [24] ResNet-19		74.40			
MPBN [24]	ResNet-20	10	78.70			
TET [16]	VGGSNN	10	77.30			
IM-LIF [39]	VGG-13	10	80.50			
GLIF [63]	7B-wideNet	16	78.10			
STSA [51]	STSA [51] STS-Transformer		79.93			
SEW [17]	SEW-ResNet	16	74.4			
Spikeformer [37]	Spikeformer	16	80.9			
Quinc	ResNet-20	16	$81.90{\scriptstyle \pm 0.20}$			
Ours	VGG-13	16	$82.10{\scriptstyle \pm 0.20}$			
DVS128 Gesture						
STBP-tdBN [65]	ResNet-17	40	96.87			
SEW [17]	7B-Net	16	97.52			
PLIF [18]	PLIFNet	20	97.57			
MA-SNN [60]	5 layers SCNN	20	98.23			
ASA-SNN [61]	5 layers SCNN	20	97.70			
IM-LIF [39]	ResNet-19	40	97.33			
LIAF+TA [59]	TA-SNN-Net	60	98.61			
Spike-driven Transformer [62]	Transformer-2-512	16	99.30			
Ours	VGG-13	16	$98.61{\scriptstyle~\pm 0.20}$			

Table 3. Comparison results with SOTA methods on CIFAR10-DVS and DVS128 Gesture.

ditions.

**Implementation Details.** In this study, we set the firing threshold  $v_{th}$  to 1, and initialize the values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as 2 and 0.1, respectively. In the PE block, we employ a learnable position encoding method, initializing all to 0. All the code is implemented using PyTorch, and all experiments are conducted on an RTX 3090 GPU, except experiments on ImageNet performed using 8 NVIDIA RTX 4090 GPUs.

The total training epochs was set to 500 for CIFAR-10, CIFAR-100 and CIFAR10-DVS, and 300 for ImageNet.

### 4.2. Performance Comparison

**Static Image Classification.** We validate our model on three static datasets, CIFAR-10, CIFAR-100, and ImageNet. For CIFAAR10/100, the STAA-SNNs apply to both ResNet-like and VGG-like networks, tested at 1,2,3 and 4 different time steps. For ImageNet, the model is tested using ResNet-18 and ResNet-34 at 4 time steps. Our method achieves top accuracies of 97.14% on CIFAR-10, 82.05% on CIFAR-100 and 70.40% on ImageNet, outperforming previous state-of-the-art methods across various architectures. These improvements can be attributed to the strong spatial-temporal attention mechanism and feature aggregation of the STAA-SNNs, which fully leverage the potential performance of SNNs. Moreover, our proposed model achieves equivalent accuracy while reducing at least one time step.

**Event-based Action Recognition.** In order to comprehensively analyze the spatial-temporal processing capabilities of STAA-SNNs, we conduct tests on the DVS128 Gesture and CIFAR10-DVS datasets. These datasets differ from static datasets because they possess a temporal dimension. The specific experimental results are presented in Table 3. Obviously, it can be observed that our model is capable of achieving, and even surpassing SOTA performance using a smaller network and fewer time steps. Specifically, we are able to achieve performance of 82.10% on CIFAR10-DVS and 98.61% on DVS128 Gesture datasets.

### 4.3. Ablation Study



Figure 3. Distribution of accuracy with different dropout probability  $\beta$  in TSRD.

**Position encoding locations.** To significantly enhance the performance of the module when integrated with a vanilla SNN, we investigated the optimal placement of Position Encoding within the SNN architecture. Using ResNet-20 as the baseline network, we conducted experiments on the

Blocks		TSRD	Accuracy	∆(%)	
GC	PE	SA		,	_()
×	X	X	×	72.30%	-
$\checkmark$	X	X	×	73.22%	0.92%
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X	×	73.79%	1.49%
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X	$\checkmark$	73.96%	1.66%
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	74.78%	2.48%
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	75.10%	2.80%

Table 4. Ablation on STAA with TSRD in ResNet-20 on CIFAR-100 with T=4.

CIFAR-10 dataset with a time step of 4. SNNs have a more complex structure compared to CNNs, making it essential to determine the optimal placement for PE to maximize network performance As depicted in Figure 2, we considered four potential scenarios (b)-(e) for integrating PE, in addition to a vanilla SNN with no PE (a). Experiments were conducted across these scenarios while keeping all other conditions constant. The resulting accuracies from left to right were 94.08%, 94.29%, 94.27%, and 93.96%, excluding the first scenario. The highest performance was achieved when PE was placed at the pre-aggregation spatial input port. While scenarios (c) and (d) showed similar performance, scenario (d) introduced additional parameters. Therefore, it is determined that the optimal placement for PE is at the pre-aggregation spatial input port.

**Evaluation of position encoding methods.** After determining the optimal location for PE, the next step is to identify the most effective encoding method. Following the setup as the previous ablation experiment, then we trained the network for 500 epochs on the CIFAR-10 dataset with a time step of 4. The results showed that the learnable PE achieved an accuracy of 94.99%, representing a 0.57% improvement over the 94.42% accuracy obtained with fixed PE.

**Impact of dropout probability**  $\beta$  **in TSRD.** To improve training speed and enhance generalization in TSRD, it is crucial to determine a suitable dropout probability  $\beta$  to avoid excessive dropout, which could cause network collapse. Using ResNet-20 at a time step of 4, we tested dropout probabilities by incrementally increasing  $\beta$  from 0.1 to 1.0, assessing model performance on the CIFAR-10 dataset. As depicted in Figure 3, the model performs best with a dropout probability below 0.3, achieving optimal results at 0.1. This supports our expectation that excessive dropout discards essential information, impairing performance. Conversely, a balanced dropout probability helps control noise in deeper time steps while preserving raw information in shallower steps, enabling the network to effect



Figure 4. Visualization on CIFAR10-DVS. Ten layers from VGG-13 in a shallow to deep manner.



Figure 5. Impact of different scaling coefficients r for intermediate feature dimensions in the GC module on the CIFAR-10 dataset.

tively navigate critical points and improve robustness.

**Combinations of sub-modules in STAA with TSRD.** In order to better understand the effectiveness of the execution of each sub-module of STAA as well as TSRD, we conduct an experiment to assess the performance differences of the SNN when each sub-module is stacked. We test the performance on CIFAR-100 using ResNet-20 as the baseline network structure with a time step of 4, and the results are recorded in Table 4. Particularly, the GC and SA blocks can bring improvements of 0.92% and 1.14%, respectively. This indicates that spatial-temporal attention and feature aggregation reconstruction have a significant impact on the performance improvement of SNN.

Impact of Intermediate Dimension Scaling Coefficients in GC. The GC module utilizes multiple  $1 \times 1$  convolutions. Maintaining the number of channels C for the last two convolutions in this module would significantly increase computational demands. To preserve the lightweight of the module, a scaling coefficient r is introduced within the GC to compress feature dimensions. This approach efficiently reduces the parameter count from  $C \cdot C$  to  $2 \cdot C \cdot C/r$ , making the module more computationally feasible. We evaluate the effect of different r values using the ResNet-20 architecture with a timestep of 4 on the CIFAR-10 dataset. The test accuracies for various r values are shown in Figure 5, demonstrating that optimal performance is achieved when r is set to 4.

### 4.4. Visualization and Analysis

In our study, we aggregated 4D(T, C, H, W) spiking maps into 2D(H, W) maps and utilized GradCAM to visualize output features from various depths of convolutional layers. As shown in Figure 4, the left side displays a sample from the horse class in CIFAR10-DVS, consisting of 16-frame images. On the right, we present partial layer visualizations of vanilla LIF and STAA-LIF. Notably, our method focuses more on the relevant features of the target, where STAA-LIF extract the feature of the horse's mouth on the right images, while vanilla LIF fails to do so. These findings indicate that our method, through spatial-temporal attention mechanisms, can emphasize crucial and relevant information.

### 4.5. Analysis of Computation Efficiency

In this section, we evaluate single-image inference energy costs for ANNs and SNNs using 45-nm technology. While ANNs rely on multiplication-and-accumulation (MAC) operations, which require 4.6 pJ each, SNNs primarily perform accumulation operations (ACs) at a lower 0.9 pJ per operation, making SNNs more energy-efficient [28, 44]. Following [10], we assessed ResNet20's efficiency, finding it required 0.10 billion ACs, 0.06 billion MACs, 0.87 billion FLOPs, and contained 12.69 million parameters. Under these conditions, inference on CIFAR-100 (32x32 resolution, 4 timesteps) consumed 0.366 mJ, demonstrating ResNet20's energy-efficient design.

### 5. Conclusion

In this article, we propose the STAA-SNN model along with the TSRD training strategy. STAA, as a feature aggregator module based on spatial-temporal attention, effectively leverages the advantage of SNN in processing spatialtemporal information, and excites the network to achieve better performance within shorter time steps. Additionally, as a plug-and-play module, it can be easily integrated into Conv-based SNNs. STAA has a great advantage in interpretability compared to previous models. When used in conjunction with the TSRD training strategy, STAA has achieved SOTA results in a large number of experiments, not only in static datasets such as CIFAR-10/100 and ImageNet, but also in dynamic datasets such as CIFAR10-DVS.

## 6. Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 62476035, and 62206037.

### References

- Larry F Abbott. Lapicque's introduction of the integrate-andfire model neuron (1907). *Brain research bulletin*, 50(5-6): 303–304, 1999.
- [2] Filipp Akopyan, Jun Sawada, Andrew Cassidy, Rodrigo Alvarez-Icaza, John Arthur, Paul Merolla, Nabil Imam, Yutaka Nakamura, Pallab Datta, Gi-Joon Nam, et al. Truenorth: Design and tool flow of a 65 mw 1 million neuron programmable neurosynaptic chip. *IEEE transactions on computer-aided design of integrated circuits and systems*, 34 (10):1537–1557, 2015. 1
- [3] Pepe Alcamí and Alberto E Pereda. Beyond plasticity: the dynamic impact of electrical synapses on neural circuits. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 20(5):253–271, 2019. 2
- [4] Arnon Amir, Brian Taba, David Berg, Timothy Melano, Jeffrey McKinstry, Carmelo Di Nolfo, Tapan Nayak, Alexander Andreopoulos, Guillaume Garreau, Marcela Mendoza, et al. A low power, fully event-based gesture recognition system. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 7243–7252, 2017. 6
- [5] Anurag Arnab, Mostafa Dehghani, Georg Heigold, Chen Sun, Mario Lui, and Cordelia Schmid. Vivit: A video vision transformer. 2021. 2
- [6] Gedas Bertasius, Heng Wang, and Lorenzo Torresani. Is space-time attention all you need for video understanding? 2021. 2
- [7] Guo-qiang Bi and Mu-ming Poo. Synaptic modifications in cultured hippocampal neurons: dependence on spike timing, synaptic strength, and postsynaptic cell type. *Journal of neuroscience*, 18(24):10464–10472, 1998. 2
- [8] Wuque Cai, Hongze Sun, Rui Liu, Yan Cui, Jun Wang, Yang Xia, Dezhong Yao, and Daqing Guo. A Spatial–Channel–Temporal-Fused Attention for Spiking Neural Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, pages 1–15, 2023. Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems. 2
- [9] Yue Cao, Jiarui Xu, Stephen Lin, Fangyun Wei, and Han Hu. Gcnet: Non-local networks meet squeeze-excitation networks and beyond. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF interna*-

tional conference on computer vision workshops, pages 0–0, 2019. 4

- [10] Guangyao Chen, Peixi Peng, Guoqi Li, and Yonghong Tian. Training Full Spike Neural Networks via Auxiliary Accumulation Pathway, 2023. arXiv:2301.11929 [cs]. 8
- [11] Jue Chen, Huan Yuan, Jianchao Tan, Bin Chen, Chengru Song, and Di Zhang. Resource constrained model compression via minimax optimization for spiking neural networks. In Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 5204–5213, 2023. 2
- [12] Dennis V Christensen, Regina Dittmann, Bernabe Linares-Barranco, Abu Sebastian, Manuel Le Gallo, Andrea Redaelli, Stefan Slesazeck, Thomas Mikolajick, Sabina Spiga, Stephan Menzel, et al. 2022 roadmap on neuromorphic computing and engineering. *Neuromorphic Computing* and Engineering, 2(2):022501, 2022. 1
- [13] Mike Davies, Narayan Srinivasa, Tsung-Han Lin, Gautham Chinya, Yongqiang Cao, Sri Harsha Choday, Georgios Dimou, Prasad Joshi, Nabil Imam, Shweta Jain, et al. Loihi: A neuromorphic manycore processor with on-chip learning. *Ieee Micro*, 38(1):82–99, 2018. 1
- [14] Haoyu Deng, Ruijie Zhu, Xuerui Qiu, Yule Duan, Malu Zhang, and Liangjian Deng. Tensor Decomposition Based Attention Module for Spiking Neural Networks, 2023. arXiv:2310.14576 [cs]. 5
- [15] Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. ImageNet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In 2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 248–255, 2009. ISSN: 1063-6919. 6
- [16] Shikuang Deng, Yuhang Li, Shanghang Zhang, and Shi Gu. Temporal efficient training of spiking neural network via gradient re-weighting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.11946*, 2022.
   5, 6
- [17] Wei Fang, Zhaofei Yu, Yanqi Chen, Tiejun Huang, Timothée Masquelier, and Yonghong Tian. Deep residual learning in spiking neural networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:21056–21069, 2021. 1, 2, 6
- [18] Wei Fang, Zhaofei Yu, Yanqi Chen, Timothée Masquelier, Tiejun Huang, and Yonghong Tian. Incorporating learnable membrane time constant to enhance learning of spiking neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 2661–2671, 2021. 6
- [19] Steve B Furber, Francesco Galluppi, Steve Temple, and Luis A Plana. The spinnaker project. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 102(5):652–665, 2014. 1
- [20] Yufei Guo, Yuanpei Chen, Liwen Zhang, Xiaode Liu, Yinglei Wang, Xuhui Huang, and Zhe Ma. Im-loss: information maximization loss for spiking neural networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:156–166, 2022. 2, 5, 6
- [21] Yufei Guo, Xinyi Tong, Yuanpei Chen, Liwen Zhang, Xiaode Liu, Zhe Ma, and Xuhui Huang. RecDis-SNN: Rectifying Membrane Potential Distribution for Directly Training Spiking Neural Networks. In *Proceedings of CVPR*, pages 326–335, New Orleans, LA, USA, 2022. IEEE. 6
- [22] Yufei Guo, Liwen Zhang, Yuanpei Chen, Xinyi Tong, Xiaode Liu, YingLei Wang, Xuhui Huang, and Zhe Ma. Real

spike: Learning real-valued spikes for spiking neural networks. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 52–68. Springer, 2022. 6

- [23] Yufei Guo, Xiaode Liu, Yuanpei Chen, Liwen Zhang, Weihang Peng, Yuhan Zhang, Xuhui Huang, and Zhe Ma. RMP-Loss: Regularizing Membrane Potential Distribution for Spiking Neural Networks, 2023. arXiv:2308.06787 [cs]. 6
- [24] Yufei Guo, Yuhan Zhang, Yuanpei Chen, Weihang Peng, Xiaode Liu, Liwen Zhang, Xuhui Huang, and Zhe Ma. Membrane potential batch normalization for spiking neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 19420–19430, 2023. 2, 5, 6
- [25] Bing Han and Kaushik Roy. Deep spiking neural network: Energy efficiency through time based coding. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 388–404. Springer, 2020. 2
- [26] Bing Han, Gopalakrishnan Srinivasan, and Kaushik Roy. Rmp-snn: Residual membrane potential neuron for enabling deeper high-accuracy and low-latency spiking neural network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 13558–13567, 2020. 2
- [27] Donald Olding Hebb. *The organization of behavior: A neuropsychological theory*. Psychology press, 2005. 2
- [28] Mark Horowitz. 1.1 Computing's energy problem (and what we can do about it). In 2014 IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference Digest of Technical Papers (ISSCC), pages 10–14, 2014. ISSN: 2376-8606. 8
- [29] Jie Hu, Li Shen, and Gang Sun. Squeeze-and-excitation networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2018. 2
- [30] J. Hu, L. Shen, S. Albanie, G. Sun, and E. Wu. Squeeze-andexcitation networks. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis* and machine intelligence, 42(8):2011–2023, 2020. 2
- [31] Yifan Hu, Yujie Wu, Lei Deng, and Guoqi Li. Advancing residual learning towards powerful deep spiking neural net-works. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2112, 2021. 1, 2
- [32] Alex Krizhevsky, Vinod Nair, and Geoffrey Hinton. Cifar-10 (canadian institute for advanced research). *URL http://www. cs. toronto. edu/kriz/cifar. html*, 5(4):1, 2010. 6
- [33] Souvik Kundu, Gourav Datta, Massoud Pedram, and Peter A Beerel. Spike-thrift: Towards energy-efficient deep spiking neural networks by limiting spiking activity via attentionguided compression. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision, pages 3953–3962, 2021. 2
- [34] Gabriele Lagani, Fabrizio Falchi, Claudio Gennaro, and Giuseppe Amato. Spiking neural networks and bio-inspired supervised deep learning: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.16235, 2023. 1
- [35] Chankyu Lee, Syed Shakib Sarwar, Priyadarshini Panda, Gopalakrishnan Srinivasan, and Kaushik Roy. Enabling spike-based backpropagation for training deep neural network architectures. *Frontiers in neuroscience*, page 119, 2020. 1, 2

- [36] Hongmin Li, Hanchao Liu, Xiangyang Ji, Guoqi Li, and Luping Shi. Cifar10-dvs: an event-stream dataset for object classification. *Frontiers in neuroscience*, 11:309, 2017.
- [37] Yudong Li, Yunlin Lei, and Xu Yang. Spikeformer: A novel architecture for training high-performance low-latency spiking neural network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.10686*, 2022. 3, 6
- [38] Shuang Lian, Jiangrong Shen, Qianhui Liu, Ziming Wang, Rui Yan, and Huajin Tang. Learnable surrogate gradient for direct training spiking neural networks. In Proceedings of the Thirty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-23, pages 3002–3010, 2023. 5, 6
- [39] Shuang Lian, Jiangrong Shen, Ziming Wang, and Huajin Tang. IM-LIF: Improved Neuronal Dynamics With Attention Mechanism for Direct Training Deep Spiking Neural Network. *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, pages 1–11, 2024. Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence. 3, 5, 6
- [40] Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. 2021. 2
- [41] Emre O Neftci, Hesham Mostafa, and Friedemann Zenke. Surrogate gradient learning in spiking neural networks: Bringing the power of gradient-based optimization to spiking neural networks. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 36 (6):51–63, 2019. 2
- [42] Kleanthis C Neokleous, Marios N Avraamides, Costas K Neocleous, and Christos N Schizas. Selective attention and consciousness: investigating their relation through computational modelling. *Cognitive Computation*, 3:321–331, 2011.
- [43] Garrick Orchard, E Paxon Frady, Daniel Ben Dayan Rubin, Sophia Sanborn, Sumit Bam Shrestha, Friedrich T Sommer, and Mike Davies. Efficient neuromorphic signal processing with loihi 2. In 2021 IEEE Workshop on Signal Processing Systems (SiPS), pages 254–259. IEEE, 2021. 1
- [44] Ning Qiao, Hesham Mostafa, Federico Corradi, Marc Osswald, Fabio Stefanini, Dora Sumislawska, and Giacomo Indiveri. A reconfigurable on-line learning spiking neuromorphic processor comprising 256 neurons and 128K synapses. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 9, 2015. Publisher: Frontiers. 8
- [45] Nitin Rathi and Kaushik Roy. Diet-snn: A low-latency spiking neural network with direct input encoding and leakage and threshold optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2021. 5
- [46] Kaushik Roy, Akhilesh Jaiswal, and Priyadarshini Panda. Towards spike-based machine intelligence with neuromorphic computing. *Nature*, 575(7784):607–617, 2019. 1
- [47] Chen She and Laiyun Qing. Spikeformer: Image reconstruction from the sequence of spike camera based on transformer. In Proceedings of the 2022 5th International Conference on Image and Graphics Processing, pages 72–78, 2022. 3
- [48] Gina G Turrigiano and Sacha B Nelson. Homeostatic plasticity in the developing nervous system. *Nature reviews neuroscience*, 5(2):97–107, 2004. 2

- [49] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *arXiv*, 2017. 2
- [50] Bingsen Wang, Jian Cao, Jue Chen, Shuo Feng, and Yuan Wang. A new ann-snn conversion method with high accuracy, low latency and good robustness. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-23*, pages 3067–3075, 2023. 2
- [51] Yuchen Wang, Kexin Shi, Chengzhuo Lu, Yuguo Liu, Malu Zhang, and Hong Qu. Spatial-Temporal Self-Attention for Asynchronous Spiking Neural Networks. In *Proceedings of IJCAI*, pages 3085–3093, Macau, SAR China, 2023. 6
- [52] Yujie Wu, Lei Deng, Guoqi Li, Jun Zhu, and Luping Shi. Spatio-temporal backpropagation for training highperformance spiking neural networks. *Frontiers in neuroscience*, 12:331, 2018. 1, 3
- [53] Yujie Wu, Lei Deng, Guoqi Li, Jun Zhu, Yuan Xie, and Luping Shi. Direct training for spiking neural networks: Faster, larger, better. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, pages 1311–1318, 2019. 2, 3
- [54] Yujie Wu, Rong Zhao, Jun Zhu, Feng Chen, Mingkun Xu, Guoqi Li, Sen Song, Lei Deng, Guanrui Wang, Hao Zheng, et al. Brain-inspired global-local learning incorporated with neuromorphic computing. *Nature Communications*, 13(1): 65, 2022. 1
- [55] Qi Xu, Yaxin Li, Xuanye Fang, Jiangrong Shen, Jian K. Liu, Huajin Tang, and Gang Pan. Biologically inspired structure learning with reverse knowledge distillation for spiking neural networks, 2023. arXiv:2304.09500 [cs]. 2
- [56] Qi Xu, Jie Deng, Jiangrong Shen, Biwu Chen, Huajin Tang, and Gang Pan. Hybrid Spiking Vision Transformer for Event-Based Object Detection, 2024. 2
- [57] Kashu Yamazaki, Viet-Khoa Vo-Ho, Darshan Bulsara, and Ngan Le. Spiking neural networks and their applications: A review. *Brain Sciences*, 12(7):863, 2022. 1
- [58] Man Yao, Huanhuan Gao, Guangshe Zhao, Dingheng Wang, Yihan Lin, Zhaoxu Yang, and Guoqi Li. Temporal-wise attention spiking neural networks for event streams classification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10221–10230, 2021. 2
- [59] Man Yao, Huanhuan Gao, Guangshe Zhao, Dingheng Wang, Yihan Lin, Zhaoxu Yang, and Guoqi Li. Temporal-Wise Attention Spiking Neural Networks for Event Streams Classification. In *Proceedings of ICCV*, pages 10221–10230, 2021.
- [60] Man Yao, Guangshe Zhao, Hengyu Zhang, Yifan Hu, Lei Deng, Yonghong Tian, Bo Xu, and Guoqi Li. Attention spiking neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.13929*, 2022. 2, 6
- [61] Man Yao, Jiakui Hu, Guangshe Zhao, Yaoyuan Wang, Ziyang Zhang, Bo Xu, and Guoqi Li. Inherent Redundancy in Spiking Neural Networks. In *Proceedings of the ICCV*, pages 16924–16934, 2023. 6
- [62] Man Yao, Jiakui Hu, Zhaokun Zhou, Li Yuan, Yonghong Tian, Bo Xu, and Guoqi Li. Spike-driven transformer. Advances in neural information processing systems, 36, 2024. 5, 6

- [63] Xingting Yao, Fanrong Li, Zitao Mo, and Jian Cheng. Glif: A unified gated leaky integrate-and-fire neuron for spiking neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:32160–32171, 2022. 5, 6
- [64] Chengting Yu, Zheming Gu, Da Li, Gaoang Wang, Aili Wang, and Erping Li. STSC-SNN: Spatio-Temporal Synaptic Connection with temporal convolution and attention for spiking neural networks. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 16, 2022. 2
- [65] Hanle Zheng, Yujie Wu, Lei Deng, Yifan Hu, and Guoqi Li. Going deeper with directly-trained larger spiking neural networks. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, pages 11062–11070, 2021. 1, 6
- [66] Chenlin Zhou, Liutao Yu, Zhaokun Zhou, Zhengyu Ma, Han Zhang, Huihui Zhou, and Yonghong Tian. Spikingformer: Spike-driven residual learning for transformer-based spiking neural network. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.11954, 2023. 5
- [67] Zhaokun Zhou, Yuesheng Zhu, Chao He, Yaowei Wang, Shuicheng Yan, Yonghong Tian, and Li Yuan. Spikformer: When spiking neural network meets transformer. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.15425, 2022. 5
- [68] Rui-Jie Zhu, Qihang Zhao, Tianjing Zhang, Haoyu Deng, Yule Duan, Malu Zhang, and Liang-Jian Deng. TCJA-SNN: Temporal-Channel Joint Attention for Spiking Neural Networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.10177, 2023. arXiv:2206.10177 [cs]. 2