

StageDesigner: Artistic Stage Generation for Scenography via Theater Scripts

Zhaoxing Gan¹, Mengtian Li^{†,1,2}, Ruhua Chen³, Zhongxia Ji³,
 Sichen Guo³, Huanling Hu¹, Guangnan Ye^{†,1}, Zuo Hu³,
¹Fudan University, ²Shanghai University, ³Shanghai Theatre Academy
 zxgan23@m.fudan.edu.cn, mtli@shu.edu.cn, yegn@fudan.edu.cn
 {chenruhua, zhongxia.ji, huzuo}@sta.edu.cn




Figure 1. Human Stage Design: Traditional stage design requires manual script analysis, collaborative planning, and model creation, making it time-consuming and expertise-dependent. StageDesigner: StageDesigner automates stage design by transforming scripts into 3D foreground elements and then placing background elements in unobstructed areas within the audience’s line of sight. Application: General users can refine stage designs through conversational edits by feeding results back into the LLM, while professionals can import designs into Blender for detailed adjustments, real model creation, and performance preparation.

Abstract

In this work, we introduce **StageDesigner**, the first comprehensive framework for artistic stage generation using large language models combined with layout-controlled diffusion models. Given the professional requirements of stage scenography, StageDesigner simulates the workflows of seasoned artists to generate immersive 3D stage scenes. Specifically, our approach is divided into three primary modules: *Script Analysis*, which extracts thematic and spatial cues from input scripts; *Foreground Generation*, which constructs and arranges essential 3D objects; and *Background Generation*, which produces a harmonious background aligned with the narrative atmosphere and maintains spatial coherence by managing occlusions between foreground and background elements. Furthermore, we

introduce the **StagePro-V1** dataset, a dedicated dataset with 276 unique stage scenes spanning different historical styles and annotated with scripts, images, and detailed 3D layouts, specifically tailored for this task. Finally, evaluations using both standard and newly proposed metrics, along with extensive user studies, demonstrate the effectiveness of StageDesigner. Project can be found at: <https://deadsmith5.github.io/2025/01/03/StageDesigner/>

1. Introduction

Artistic stage design for theater and performance art is a complex task, transforming textual narratives into immersive visual environments [5, 21, 25]. Traditional scenog-

raphy requires significant time and expertise to accurately capture a theater script’s intended mood, spatial relationships, and thematic depth. Therefore, developing an AI-driven, user-friendly approach to artistic stage design could enable artists to create high-quality stages more efficiently. However, despite recent progress in 3D indoor scene synthesis [6, 9, 17, 24, 27] and text-to-image generation [19, 30], little attention has been given to the unique challenges of artistic stage generation, where spatial coherence, thematic alignment, and narrative fidelity are crucial.

To address those challenges, we present **StageDesigner**, the first AI framework specifically designed for automated artistic stage generation based on theater scripts. StageDesigner employs a novel pipeline that leverages large language models (LLMs) and layout-controlled diffusion models to generate 3D foreground elements and atmospherically aligned backgrounds from script. The framework interprets script elements, arranges foreground entities, and generates cohesive background images, effectively bridging the gap between textual descriptions and visual scenography. StageDesigner stands out from existing scene generation methods by addressing the spatial and thematic intricacies unique to artistic stage settings, such as audience perspective considerations and positioning entities to avoid obstructing key visual elements.

Specifically, StageDesigner contains two key components, including the Script Analysis Module and the Foreground Projection Module. Script Analysis Module extracts relevant entities, spatial relationships, and thematic elements from a script. This module ensures that the generated scene remains true to the intended narrative atmosphere, aiding both general users and professional designers in visualizing the script’s core themes. Unlike indoor scenes, which do not require consideration of audience perspective, StageDesigner incorporates a Foreground Projection Module to account directly for the front-facing audience view, managing sightlines to prevent unwanted occlusions and ensuring key background elements remain visible. This feature enhances the visual harmony of the scene, ensuring that important background elements are unobstructed and contribute to the overall atmosphere.

Furthermore, we introduce the **StagePro-V1** Dataset, the first dataset tailored specifically for artistic stage generation tasks. Unlike traditional 3D indoor scene datasets [7, 29], which primarily contain standard furniture items with limited narrative context, the StagePro-V1 Dataset is curated by artists to capture the unique demands of theatrical scenography. Created in collaboration with professional stage designers, this dataset spans 276 real artistic stage productions from the 1940s to the 2020s and includes stage images, scripts (up to 1380 words), and 3D layouts that integrate spatial and thematic cues. This resource allows for both quantitative and qualitative assessments of

StageDesigner’s outputs, with benchmarks against adapted methods like LayoutGPT[6], and user studies involving professional designers to validate the model’s practical relevance and alignment with theatrical design principles.

Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We introduce StageDesigner, the first AI-driven framework specifically developed for automated artistic stage generation based on theater scripts.
- We present the StagePro-V1, a comprehensive dataset for evaluating stage generation tasks, featuring annotated stage images, scripts, and 3D scene layouts.
- Extensive experiments and user studies, including both general users and professional stage designers, demonstrate the effectiveness of StageDesigner, with a 70% higher favorability over LayoutGPT in both Layout Coherence and Overall Preference.

2. Related Work

Indoor Scene Synthesis. Several works have addressed indoor scene synthesis. ProcTHOR [3] proposes a framework for the procedural generation of indoor scenes. Other works like [17, 24] generate indoor scenes by training transformers on a closed set of categories. More recent approaches leverage pretrained large language models (LLMs) to generate indoor scenes in a training-free manner, enabling open-vocabulary input. LayoutGPT [6] focuses on generating a single indoor scene by using an LLM to arrange furniture within a CSS structure. Anyhome [9] uses LLMs to produce floor plan bubble diagrams, which are then transformed into multi-room images via HouseGAN++ [16]. Holodeck [27] proposes a refined subset of the Objaverse dataset [4] and employs LLMs to generate wall plans, creating multi-room environments suitable for embodied AI applications. SceneCraft [10] achieves a coherent layout by continuously modifying a Python-based skill library. Unlike indoor scene synthesis, our work in artistic stage generation directly considers the front-facing audience view, managing sightlines to prevent unwanted occlusions and ensuring key elements remain visible.

Layout-Controlled Image Synthesis. Applying layout control to place elements in specific user-defined locations within images has become an active research area. Previous works [12, 14, 22, 31] used GAN-based methods to achieve layout control in image generation. Recently, approaches have focused on integrating layout control into Stable Diffusion [19]. ReCo [28] introduces a unified token vocabulary containing both text and positional tokens for precise, open-ended regional control. GLIGEN [13] incorporates layout control through new trainable layers with a gated mechanism, while BoxDiff [26] implements layout control within cross-attention modules at each denoising timestep. Our work provides an ideal application for layout-controlled models, using precise regional control to

generate unobstructed background images that complement foreground elements in immersive artistic stage scenes.

3D Scene Datasets. Previous datasets for 3D scene synthesis focus largely on indoor environments and furniture setups [1, 2, 7, 8, 15, 29]. While these datasets provide diverse furniture layouts and interior environments, they are limited to standard furniture items and lack the rich, narrative-driven descriptions necessary for stage design. Additionally, these datasets do not account for the unique perspective considerations required in theatrical scenography, where elements must remain visible from the audience’s fixed view. Our StagePro-V1 dataset addresses these limitations by incorporating detailed, script-based annotations specific to stage generation. Our dataset captures the complexity of theatrical environments, aligning spatial layouts with thematic cues from scripts, and serves as a foundational resource for AI-driven stage scenography research.

3. Artistic-Stage Generation Formulation

We define the artistic stage generation problem as the task of transforming an input theater script S into an immersive 3D stage environment that consists of two main components: a set of 3D foreground entities E with specific spatial layouts, and a 2D background B that aligns with the emotional tone and atmosphere of the script.

Given a script S , the goal is to generate:

- **Foreground layout** $E = \{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n\}$, where each E_i represents a 3D entity with properties such as position, size, orientation, and visual style.
 - **Background image** B that complements E by providing a coherent atmosphere in line with the script’s themes.
- Mathematically, this can be represented as a function:

$$G(S) \rightarrow (E, B),$$

where G is a generative model that takes a script S as input and outputs the pair (E, B) . Here: E is designed to capture the essential physical elements described or implied in S , avoiding spatial overlap and maintaining logical relationships between entities. B is generated to enhance the ambiance, ensuring that it does not obstruct critical foreground elements. This formulation allows the stage generation system to create visually compelling and thematically aligned 3D scenes based on script content.

4. StageDesigner

StageDesigner is a comprehensive system designed to transform an input theater script into a 3D foreground and 2D background that aligns with the intended scene’s visual and thematic elements. The architecture of StageDesigner is divided into three main components: Script Analysis (Section 4.1), Foreground Generation (Section 4.2), and Background Generation (Section 4.3).

Overview. The Script Analysis module processes the input script to extract key scene and imagery descriptions, setting the stage for subsequent modules. The Foreground Generation includes the Entity Generation module, where foreground entities are generated based on the scene description, followed by the Multi-level Collision Map, which ensures that entities are placed without overlap. After generation, the Entity Retrieval Module retrieves matching 3D assets in the stage foreground. The Background Generation module begins with the Foreground Projection module, which calculates occlusion intervals for foreground entities to ensure that important background elements remain visible from the audience’s perspective. Using the imagery description, the module creates a prompt and associates it with entity data and bounding boxes that avoid occlusion intervals, guiding layout control for background image generation. This results in a cohesive integration of the foreground and background, ensuring the final scene is visually coherent and faithful to the script’s thematic essence.

4.1. Scripts Analysis

Scripts Analysis Module. This module is the first stage of StageDesigner, as shown in Table 1. The input script is processed and decomposed into two key components: Scene Description and Imagery Description. This decomposition removes noise from the raw script, allowing the model to focus on relevant details for subsequent modules. The Scene Description extracts entities and their spatial relationships, capturing visual elements, physical spaces, and layouts directly mentioned in the script to guide foreground generation. The Imagery Description captures the overarching themes, emotional tone, atmosphere, and symbolic meanings of the script, distilling the intended ambiance by removing extraneous details. This refined information guides the Background Generation Module to create a background that complements and enhances the stage atmosphere.

4.2. Foreground Generation

Entity Generation Module. The Entity Generation Module shown in figure 2 processes the scene description to generate entities for the stage layout, consisting of Anchor Entity Generation and Ornament Generation. In the Anchor Entity Generation phase, anchor entities (e.g., a table) and their associated non-anchor entities (e.g., chairs) are generated. Each anchor entity’s placement is defined using corner coordinates $[x_0, y_0]$ for the top-left corner and $[x_1, y_1]$ for the bottom-right corner, along with a height range $[h_0, h_1]$. Unlike single-point representations [6], corner coordinates clearly specify entity boundaries, ensuring that LLM-generated entities stay within the stage boundary. To enrich the scene, additional ornaments distinct from anchor groups are generated, adding variety to entity types. Each non-anchor entity and ornament includes dimensional




Figure 2. Overview of the StageDesigner pipeline. StageDesigner transforms an input theater script into a 3D stage layout through three main modules: (1) Script Analysis extracts key scene and imagery details; (2) Foreground Generation creates and places stage entities, retrieves corresponding 3D assets, and ensures spatial coherence using a multi-level collision map; (3) Background Generation produces a background image that complements the scene, guided by thematic elements and avoiding occlusions with foreground objects.

Name	Description
Script	The stage is silent. The golden chair glistens under focused light at the center, with a mottled wall behind it casting dark, heavy shadows. Claudius stands beside the chair, one hand gripping its arm, tense. Hamlet steps forward from the shadows along the wall, his face a mix of anger and sorrow, seeming to blend with the depth of the shadows.
Scene	In the center of the stage is a golden chair , symbolizing the power center of the royal palace. The mottled wall and the interplay of light and shadow create a historical ambiance. The lighting design focuses on the center from both sides.
Imagery	The scene conveys a tense and mysterious atmosphere, filled with <u>themes of power, revenge, and moral struggle</u> . The overall mood is one of <u>solemnity and intrigue</u> , reflecting the emotional weight of the unfolding power struggle.

Table 1. Example of the Script Analysis Module, decomposing a raw script into Scene and Imagery Descriptions. **Bold** highlights entities and positions, while underlined indicates atmosphere.

information [length, width, height], with precise placement




Figure 3. The example of collision map. (a) Collision map of stage floor. (b) Collision map of wall's front surface.

managed by the Multi-level Collision Map module to prevent overlaps. Descriptions detailing materials, colors, textures, and design styles are also generated for all entities and used in the retrieval module to guide asset selection.

Multi-level Collision Map. To ensure that entities are placed in reasonable, non-overlapping positions on the stage, we introduce the multi-level collision map shown in figure 3. For an $x-y$ stage floor of size $[N, N]$, we initialize an $N \times N$ collision map, marking occupied positions as 1 and unoccupied positions as 0. For each anchor entity with

dimensions $[L, W, H]$, we define collision maps for its front $[L \times H]$, left $[W \times H]$, right $[W \times H]$, and top $[L \times W]$ surfaces. Using this setup, we apply placement rules to accommodate various spatial relationships. For entities placed directly on the stage floor, such as objects positioned adjacent to the anchor, we search for an unoccupied position on the stage floor’s collision map near the anchor. For entities attached to surfaces, such as a painting on a wall, we search for an all-zero region on the anchor’s front, left, or right surfaces at a height h generated by the LLM. Finally, for entities placed on top of another, like a teacup on a table, we locate an unoccupied area on the entity’s top surface.

Entities Retrieval Module. To populate the foreground, we retrieve entities from a subset of the Objaverse dataset [4] introduced in the Holodeck [27]. For each entity, we use the generated entity name and description, which are concatenated to form the input text. Similarity is computed by embedding this concatenated text with dataset images using CLIP, while Sentence-BERT is used to compare the concatenated text with dataset entity names. We combine the two scores, then randomly select one entity from the top 10 highest-scoring matches above a threshold.

4.3. Background Generation

Foreground Projection Module. To ensure that 3D foreground entities do not obstruct background elements from the audience’s perspective. We model the audience’s view as parallel rays and define the coordinates of the leftmost and rightmost front-row viewers, as shown in the right-bottom of figure 2. Each entity’s projection onto the background is determined by tracing sightlines from leftmost and rightmost viewer positions along the entity’s left and right edges. The resulting bounding boxes encompass all regions obscured from the view of audience seated between the leftmost and rightmost. This method preserves the visibility of important background elements, ensuring that the scene maintains its visual and thematic integrity.

Background Generation Module. This module, shown at the bottom of Figure 2, creates a cohesive background that aligns with the script’s atmosphere and integrates seamlessly with the foreground entities. Using the imagery description from the Script Analysis Module and the bounding boxes from the Foreground Projection Module, we generate the background prompt that reflects the thematic and emotional tone. This prompt includes entities positioned within specified bounding boxes that avoid overlapping with the foreground projection, ensuring key background elements remain visible. The prompt is then input into a layout-controlled diffusion model to produce the final background.




Figure 4. The stage samples in our dataset. (a) The Cruel Game, (b) Beyond the Horizon, (c) Lend Me a Tenor, (d) Shooting Star.

5. StagePro-V1 Dataset

The StagePro-v1 dataset is a comprehensive resource for AI-driven stage generation, created in collaboration with professional stage designers to address the lack of datasets tailored for scenography. Compiling a total of 276 unique stage models from productions spanning the 1940s to the 2020s, the dataset represents a wide range of styles. Among these, 271 stages are categorized as Proscenium, 267 as Drama, 150 as Neo-Realism, and 47 as Symbolism. Some stages are classified under multiple stylistic categories, providing a rich diversity of design elements across the dataset. It is organized into three components: images, scripts, and layouts, providing a rich resource for diverse scenographic contexts. Dataset construction involved capturing both frontal and top-down images of each artistic stage.

For the scripts, we sourced complete books corresponding to each stage and matched them with their respective stage images. Given the substantial length of each stage’s corresponding book, Ernie Bot [23] was used to analyze these books and extract essential information, such as main themes, story settings, and scene locations. When full books were unavailable, we prompted Ernie Bot with the title of the stage’s book to gather foundational insights. Once the core information was established, images were provided to Ernie Bot with specific queries, such as: *Based on the main themes, story background, and setting of the play, describe the stage script depicted in this image, including the overall design style, layout, main props, entity, and their spatial relationships. Additionally, describe the entity styles.* This process allowed Ernie Bot to draft detailed stage scene scripts. Those initial scripts were refined by stage design artists to ensure accuracy, removing redundancies, correcting inaccuracies, and adding missing details. The scripts in the dataset range from 56 to 1380 words, covering both concise and highly detailed descriptions.

For the layouts, entity heights were annotated from frontal views, while top-down views provided xy -plane positions, orientations, and categories of the entities. The position data was stored as `left`: $[x_0, y_0]$, `right`: $[x_1, y_1]$, `h`: $[h_0, h_1]$, where `left` and `right` represent the corner coordinates, and `h` denotes the lowest and highest points of the entity, ensuring a consistent spatial representation. Entity counts per stage range from 1 to 21, resulting in a dataset that captures intricate visual and spatial details.

6. Experiments

6.1. Experiment Setups

Datasets. Since StageDesigner is a training-free system, all the 276 stages from the newly introduced StagePro-V1 dataset were used exclusively for testing. This dataset provided a comprehensive basis to validate the system’s ability to generate coherent and thematically appropriate stage designs. For the entity retrieval component, we utilized a subset of the Objaverse [4] dataset, which consists of 50,092 assets annotated by Holodeck [27]. This subset ensured a diverse and high-quality pool of 3D models suitable for accurately populating the stage foreground.

Evaluation Metrics. we use a combination of established metrics and new metrics defined specifically for this work. Established Metrics: 1. *Out-of-Bound (OOB)*. This metric calculates the average volume of foreground entities that extend beyond the stage floor, reflecting the model’s ability to respect stage boundaries. 2. *CLIP Similarity (CLIP-sim)*. CLIP-sim computes the similarity between dataset scripts and the final rendered stage images, indicating how well the generated stage aligns with the script’s thematic and visual elements. Newly Defined Metrics: 3. *Overlap-inter-Stage (OIS)*. This metric calculates the average overlapping volume between foreground entities within each stage, assessing the model’s ability to generate a spatially coherent layout. 4. *Intersection-with-Ground truth (IWG)*. IWG calculates the average intersection volume between generated layouts and the ground truth layouts of foreground entities, providing a measure of layout accuracy. 5. *Class Diversity*. This metric calculates the average number of unique entity categories generated per stage, indicating the model’s ability to produce a diverse range of entities.

Baselines. Since our work is the first to address stage generation, there are no existing methods for direct comparison. To establish a baseline, we adapted LayoutGPT [6], a model originally designed to generate CSS-based entity layouts for single indoor scenes using LLMs. We modified LayoutGPT to accept scripts as input and generate corresponding stage entity layouts. For a fair comparison, LayoutGPT was also given access to the subset of Objaverse used in our method.

Implementation Details. The stage size in our paper is simply defined as $1000 \times 1000 \times 1000 \text{ cm}^3$. Both

StageDesigner and the adapted LayoutGPT baseline use OpenAI’s gpt-4o with a temperature of 0.7, a maximum token limit of 2048, and top-p set to 1.0 as the LLM model. In StageDesigner, the Layout Controlled Diffusion Model is based on the ReCo [28] model, trained on the LAION-2b [20] dataset. For entity retrieval, StageDesigner uses OpenCLIP [11] (ViT-L-14 variant trained on the LAION-2b dataset) combined with Sentence-BERT [18] for text similarity matching, with a retrieval threshold of 27. The same OpenCLIP model is used for the CLIP-sim metric.

6.2. Experiment Results

6.2.1. Quantitative Analysis

Foreground Layout Coherence. Table 2 presents a quantitative comparison between StageDesigner and the adapted LayoutGPT baseline using key stage generation metrics.

For the Out-of-Bound metric, StageDesigner demonstrates a significantly lower average out-of-bound volume (0.0468 m^3) compared to LayoutGPT (6.46 m^3). This can be attributed to StageDesigner’s method of letting the LLM predict coordinates only for anchor entities and using corner coordinate representation for placement. This approach allows the LLM to clearly understand the boundaries of each entity, making it easier to avoid out-of-bounds placements. In contrast, LayoutGPT generates coordinates based on a single-point position with length, width, and height, which makes direct boundary checks more challenging and results in a higher likelihood of out-of-bounds errors.

For the Overlap-Inter-Stage (OIS) metric, StageDesigner also shows superior performance with a lower overlap volume (0.756 m^3) compared to LayoutGPT (18.2 m^3). This is due to StageDesigner’s strategy of limiting direct coordinate prediction by the LLM to only a few anchor entities, which reduces the potential for overlaps between entities. Additionally, the Multi-level Collision Map ensures that non-anchor entities and ornaments are positioned in vacant areas of the stage floor or on available surfaces of other entities. This systematic search helps maintain spatial coherence and minimizes overlap within the generated layout.

For the IWG metric, LayoutGPT scores higher (14.5 m^3) than StageDesigner (9.03 m^3). However, this higher score can be attributed to LayoutGPT’s tendency to generate entities with dimensions that are too large, leading to greater overlap with ground truth entities but at the cost of more frequent out-of-bounds, unrealistic and overlapping issues.

Diversity and Thematic Alignment. Table 3 shows the comparison based on Class Diversity and CLIP Similarity (CLIP-sim). StageDesigner achieves a higher average class diversity (11.7) compared to LayoutGPT (7.46), indicating its capability to create varied and complex stage layouts. The Min/Max Classes metric supports this, with StageDesigner generating between 5 and 22 unique classes per stage, while LayoutGPT produces a narrower range



Figure 5. Qualitative comparison between LayoutGPT and StageDesigner. The first row shows stages generated by LayoutGPT, and the second row shows stages generated by StageDesigner. StageDesigner results show better entity placement and atmospheric expression. e.g. “*Family Portrait*” denotes the theater script.

Method	Out-of-Bound(m^3) ↓	OIS(m^3) ↓	IWG(m^3) ↑
LayoutGPT* [6]	6.46	18.2	14.5
StageDesigner	0.0468	0.756	9.03

Table 2. Quantitative Comparison of Methods Using Stage Metrics for Spatial Accuracy and Coherence. “*” denote the results is conducted by our experiment.

Method	Class Diversity ↑	CLIP-sim ↑	Min/Max Classes
LayoutGPT* [6]	7.46	29.1	2/18
StageDesigner	11.7	30.3	5/22

Table 3. Comparison of Methods Based on Class Diversity, CLIP Similarity, and Min/Max generated classes in single stage. “*” denote the results is conducted by our experiment.

of 2 to 18. This demonstrates StageDesigner’s enhanced ability to reflect a richer array of entities, leading to more realistic and comprehensive stage designs. In terms of CLIP-sim, StageDesigner scores higher (30.3) than LayoutGPT (29.1), showcasing that the stage designs produced by StageDesigner are better aligned with the thematic and visual cues present in the input scripts.

6.2.2. Qualitative Analysis

Qualitative Results and Analysis. Figure 5 compares the stage designs generated by StageDesigner and LayoutGPT. StageDesigner outputs show rich foreground entities, well-organized layouts, and backgrounds that enhance the stage atmosphere while carefully avoiding overlaps with key foreground elements, such as the butterflies in the *Family Portrait* scene. This demonstrates StageDesigner’s strength in generating cohesive and thematic stage environments with realistic spatial arrangements. In contrast, LayoutGPT-

Metric	StageDesigner	StageDesigner w/o Projection
Overlap ↓	2.70	17.7

Table 4. Overlap comparison between background elements and foreground projections in StageDesigner, with and without the Foreground Projection module.

Metric	StageDesigner	StageDesigner w/o background
CLIP-sim ↑	30.3	32.1

Table 5. Comparison of CLIP Similarity (CLIP-sim) between StageDesigner with and without background images.

generated stages feature fewer entity types and often display layout inconsistencies, including mispositioned, floating entities due to incorrect placement predictions. Additionally, LayoutGPT does not have a background generation module, so its stage designs lack contextual and atmospheric elements, making the scenes less immersive.

6.2.3. User Study

Comparative User Study. We conducted a user study comparing StageDesigner and LayoutGPT on two aspects: (1) *Layout Coherence*: Evaluated the realism and appropriateness of foreground entity categories, layout, and spatial relationships. (2) *Overall Preference*: Considered the entire stage scene and atmosphere, focusing on which design participants found more visually appealing. Using 10 scripts, we generated corresponding stage designs with both StageDesigner and LayoutGPT. We then invited 69 general users and 14 stage design experts to evaluate the designs, with results displayed in Figure 6 (a) and (b) (smiley icons mark expert responses). Overall, StageDesigner was pre-




Figure 6. User study: (a) Layout Coherence comparison with LayoutGPT. (b) Overall Preference comparison with LayoutGPT. (c) Ablation study on Overall Preference for StageDesigner with and without background. Smiley icons mark expert responses.

ferred over LayoutGPT on both metrics. Users consistently favored StageDesigner for its realistic layouts and cohesive atmospheres, demonstrating its ability to capture functional and aesthetic aspects of stage design effectively.

6.3. Ablation Study

6.3.1. Quantitative Analysis

Foreground Projection. Table 4 shows a significant reduction in overlap between background elements generated by LLM and foreground projections when the Foreground Projection module is applied, from 17.7 to 2.70. This result demonstrates the module’s effectiveness in preserving background visibility and maintaining visual coherence. Without the module, the increased overlap leads to foreground entities frequently obstructing key background elements, reducing the overall thematic and visual quality of the scene.

CLIP-sim Analysis on Background. Table 5 shows that StageDesigner without background images has a slightly higher CLIP-sim score than with backgrounds. This difference reveals two main limitations of CLIP when evaluating complex scripts. First, CLIP’s 77-token limit leads to truncation of longer scripts (up to 1380 words in our dataset). As a result, we are compelled to truncate the entire script for encoding, summing the resulting vectors. This limitation reduces CLIP’s ability to accurately capture the script’s thematic content. Second, CLIP primarily identifies specific object-image matches rather than overall atmosphere. Background elements, while enhancing the scene’s ambiance, may introduce “noise” that lowers the similarity score by shifting focus away from foreground objects.

6.3.2. User Study

Impact of Background. To further evaluate the importance of background elements, we conducted an ablation study using StageDesigner-generated stages. We invited the same 69 general users and 14 stage design experts from the previous study. Using 10 scripts, we generated two versions of each stage: one with a background and one without, keeping the foreground identical. Participants selected their preferred design based on *Overall Preference*. As




Figure 7. Ablation study on StageDesigner’s Script Analysis Module. (a) Layout Coherence result. (b) Background Fitness result. (c) Overall Preference result. Smiley icons mark expert responses.

shown in Figure 6 (c), the results reveal a strong preference for designs with backgrounds, with significantly more participants favoring these over the background-free versions. This suggests that background elements play a crucial role in enhancing stage atmosphere and thematic depth, creating a more immersive and cohesive experience by reinforcing the context and tone of the scene.

Impact of Script Analysis Module. To evaluate the impact of the Script Analysis Module on stage design quality, we compared StageDesigner with and without this module. We invited the same 69 general users and 14 stage design experts as in previous studies. Using 10 scripts different from those previously used, we generated two versions of each stage: one with the Script Analysis Module and one without. Participants evaluated each version on three criteria: (1) *Layout Coherence*: as previously defined. (2) *Overall Preference*: as previously defined. (3) *Background Fitness*: Harmony between the generated background and the intended stage atmosphere. As shown in Figure 7, results indicate that StageDesigner with the Script Analysis Module scored higher across all three criteria. These findings underscore the Script Analysis Module’s role in enhancing the thematic alignment and coherence of both layout and background, resulting in a more visually compelling scene.

7. Conclusion

We introduced StageDesigner, an AI-based framework that transforms scripts into cohesive 3D stage layouts using LLMs and layout-controlled diffusion models. By structuring the generation process into Script Analysis, Foreground Generation, and Background Generation, StageDesigner ensures spatial coherence and thematic alignment. Our StagePro-V1 dataset, curated with professional input, serves as a foundational resource for stage design research.

8. Acknowledgements

This work is supported by 2024 CCF-BAIDU OPEN FUND (CCF-BAIDU OF202424), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.62402306) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (No.24ZR1422400).

References

- [1] Gilad Baruch, Zhuoyuan Chen, Afshin Dehghan, Tal Dimry, Yuri Feigin, Peter Fu, Thomas Gebauer, Brandon Joffe, Daniel Kurz, Arik Schwartz, et al. Arkitscenes: A diverse real-world dataset for 3d indoor scene understanding using mobile rgb-d data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.08897*, 2021. 3
- [2] Angela Dai, Angel X Chang, Manolis Savva, Maciej Halber, Thomas Funkhouser, and Matthias Nießner. Scannet: Richly-annotated 3d reconstructions of indoor scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5828–5839, 2017. 3
- [3] Matt Deitke, Eli VanderBilt, Alvaro Herrasti, Luca Weihs, Kiana Ehsani, Jordi Salvador, Winson Han, Eric Kolve, Aniruddha Kembhavi, and Roozbeh Mottaghi. Proctor: Large-scale embodied ai using procedural generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:5982–5994, 2022. 2
- [4] Matt Deitke, Dustin Schwenk, Jordi Salvador, Luca Weihs, Oscar Michel, Eli VanderBilt, Ludwig Schmidt, Kiana Ehsani, Aniruddha Kembhavi, and Ali Farhadi. Objaverse: A universe of annotated 3d objects. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13142–13153, 2023. 2, 5, 6
- [5] E Essin. *Stage Designers in Early Twentieth-Century America: Artists, Activists, Cultural Critics*. Springer, 2012. 1
- [6] Weixi Feng, Wanrong Zhu, Tsu-jui Fu, Varun Jampani, Arjun Akula, Xuehai He, Sugato Basu, Xin Eric Wang, and William Yang Wang. Layoutgpt: Compositional visual planning and generation with large language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 2, 3, 6, 7
- [7] Huan Fu, Bowen Cai, Lin Gao, Ling-Xiao Zhang, Jiaming Wang, Cao Li, Qixun Zeng, Chengyue Sun, Rongfei Jia, Bin-qiang Zhao, et al. 3d-front: 3d furnished rooms with layouts and semantics. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10933–10942, 2021. 2, 3
- [8] Huan Fu, Rongfei Jia, Lin Gao, Mingming Gong, Bin-qiang Zhao, Steve Maybank, and Dacheng Tao. 3d-future: 3d furniture shape with texture. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 129:3313–3337, 2021. 3
- [9] Rao Fu, Zehao Wen, Zichen Liu, and Srinath Sridhar. Anyhome: Open-vocabulary generation of structured and textured 3d homes. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 52–70. Springer, 2025. 2
- [10] Ziniu Hu, Ahmet Iscen, Aashi Jain, Thomas Kipf, Yisong Yue, David A Ross, Cordelia Schmid, and Alireza Fathi. Scenecraft: An llm agent for synthesizing 3d scenes as blender code. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024. 2
- [11] Gabriel Ilharco, Mitchell Wortsman, Ross Wightman, Cade Gordon, Nicholas Carlini, Rohan Taori, Achal Dave, Vaishal Shankar, Hongseok Namkoong, John Miller, Hananeh Hajishirzi, Ali Farhadi, and Ludwig Schmidt. Openclip, 2021. If you use this software, please cite it as below. 6
- [12] Yandong Li, Yu Cheng, Zhe Gan, Licheng Yu, Liqiang Wang, and Jingjing Liu. Bachgan: High-resolution image synthesis from salient object layout. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8365–8374, 2020. 2
- [13] Yuheng Li, Haotian Liu, Qingyang Wu, Fangzhou Mu, Jianwei Yang, Jianfeng Gao, Chunyuan Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Gligen: Open-set grounded text-to-image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22511–22521, 2023. 2
- [14] Zejian Li, Jingyu Wu, Immanuel Koh, Yongchuan Tang, and Lingyun Sun. Image synthesis from layout with locality-aware mask adaptation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 13819–13828, 2021. 2
- [15] Liqiang Lin, Yilin Liu, Yue Hu, Xingguang Yan, Ke Xie, and Hui Huang. Capturing, reconstructing, and simulating: the urbanscene3d dataset. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 93–109. Springer, 2022. 3
- [16] Nelson Nauata, Sepidehsadat Hosseini, Kai-Hung Chang, Hang Chu, Chin-Yi Cheng, and Yasutaka Furukawa. Housegan++: Generative adversarial layout refinement network towards intelligent computational agent for professional architects. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13632–13641, 2021. 2
- [17] Despoina Paschalidou, Amlan Kar, Maria Shugrina, Karsten Kreis, Andreas Geiger, and Sanja Fidler. Atiss: Autoregressive transformers for indoor scene synthesis. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:12013–12026, 2021. 2
- [18] N Reimers. Sentence-bert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.10084*, 2019. 6
- [19] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 2
- [20] Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, et al. Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:25278–25294, 2022. 6
- [21] Lawrence Stern and Jill Gold. *Stage management*. Routledge, 2021. 1
- [22] Wei Sun and Tianfu Wu. Image synthesis from reconfigurable layout and style. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10531–10540, 2019. 2
- [23] Yu Sun, Shuohuan Wang, Yukun Li, Shikun Feng, Xuyi Chen, Han Zhang, Xin Tian, Danxiang Zhu, Hao Tian, and Hua Wu. Ernie: Enhanced representation through knowledge integration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09223*, 2019. 5
- [24] Xinpeng Wang, Chandan Yeshwanth, and Matthias Nießner. Sceneformer: Indoor scene generation with transformers. In

- 2021 *International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV)*, pages 106–115. IEEE, 2021. [2](#)
- [25] R Craig Wolf and Dick Block. *Scene design and stage lighting*. Wadsworth, Cengage Learning, 2014. [1](#)
- [26] Jinheng Xie, Yuexiang Li, Yawen Huang, Haozhe Liu, Wentian Zhang, Yefeng Zheng, and Mike Zheng Shou. Boxdiff: Text-to-image synthesis with training-free box-constrained diffusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7452–7461, 2023. [2](#)
- [27] Yue Yang, Fan-Yun Sun, Luca Weihs, Eli VanderBilt, Alvaro Herrasti, Winson Han, Jiajun Wu, Nick Haber, Ranjay Krishna, Lingjie Liu, et al. Holodeck: Language guided generation of 3d embodied ai environments. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 16227–16237, 2024. [2](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [28] Zhengyuan Yang, Jianfeng Wang, Zhe Gan, Linjie Li, Kevin Lin, Chenfei Wu, Nan Duan, Zicheng Liu, Ce Liu, Michael Zeng, et al. Reco: Region-controlled text-to-image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 14246–14255, 2023. [2](#), [6](#)
- [29] Chandan Yeshwanth, Yueh-Cheng Liu, Matthias Nießner, and Angela Dai. Scannet++: A high-fidelity dataset of 3d indoor scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 12–22, 2023. [2](#), [3](#)
- [30] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3836–3847, 2023. [2](#)
- [31] Bo Zhao, Lili Meng, Weidong Yin, and Leonid Sigal. Image generation from layout. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8584–8593, 2019. [2](#)

StageDesigner: Artistic Stage Generation for Scenography via Theater Scripts

Supplementary Material

9. StagePro-V1 dataset

Figures 8 and 9 provide additional insights into the diversity of the StagePro-V1 dataset. Figure 8 illustrates the temporal distribution of stage productions in the dataset, spanning from the 1940s to the 2020s, showcasing the historical breadth of the collected scenes. Figure 9 highlights the style distribution, where stages often incorporate multiple stylistic elements, reflecting the dataset's richness and adaptability for various artistic scenarios. Figure 10 presents an example of a script from the dataset, featuring the play "Intrigue and Love" by Friedrich Schiller, exemplifying the detailed narrative and descriptive elements available in the dataset.




Figure 8. Distribution of stage productions in the dataset across decades, from the 1940s to the 2020s.




Figure 9. Style distribution in the dataset, where each stage may exhibit multiple styles. The counts represent the frequency of styles across the dataset.

"Intrigue and Love" is a masterpiece created by Friedrich Schiller, an outstanding German dramatist, in The storyline is the tragic love story between Ferdinand, the son of Chancellor Walter, and Louise, the daughter of the civilian musician Miller. The class gap, court struggle, human choice and the fate of the times are intertwined. This drama has a compact structure and fierce conflicts. This stage design exquisitely captures the essence of "Intrigue and Love". Through delicate layout and light and shadow effects, it deeply shows the complex emotions and conspiracy atmosphere in the script. In terms of design, the stage is cleverly divided into several areas. The black area in the center is like an abyss, which is fascinating, and the gray walls on both sides create a depressing and mysterious atmosphere. The carefully arranged small table and chairs on the left suggest that the characters in the play may have private conversations or conspiracies here, while the arched doorway on the right foreshadows the plot twists and unknown explorations, leaving unlimited imagination space for the audience. In terms of design elements, the main colors of black and gray not only strengthen the heavy themes of conspiracy and love in the script, but also create a tense and harmonious visual effect through the contrast and fusion of colors. The simple furniture design, without too many complicated decorations, just sets off the compactness of the plot and the complexity of the characters' hearts.

Figure 10. Script example from the StagePro-V1 dataset, showcasing the play *Intrigue and Love* by Friedrich Schiller.

10. Prompts of StageDesigner

To provide clarity on the detailed implementation of the StageDesigner, we present the specific prompts used in various modules to transform input scripts into stage layouts.

- **Script Analysis Module (Figure 11):** The prompt is designed to decompose the input theater script into two distinct parts: scene description, which captures spatial and physical elements, and imagery description, which focuses on the thematic and emotional aspects.
- **Anchor and Non-Anchor Entity Generation (Figure 12):** This prompt guides the generation of primary entities (anchors) and their corresponding dependent entities (non-anchors), ensuring spatial coherence and logical arrangements in the stage layout.
- **Ornaments Generation (Figure 13):** This prompt is tailored to create ornamental entities that enhance the stage's overall aesthetic, specifying placement rules and ensuring alignment with the scene's design.
- **Layout-Controlled Background Generation (Figure 14):** The prompt directs the creation of background elements, emphasizing layout control to avoid occlusion of key foreground elements while maintaining thematic consistency with the script.

These prompts showcase the step-by-step process through which StageDesigner ensures thematic alignment, spatial coherence, and aesthetic richness in the generated stage.

Task: You are an expert in analyzing stage scripts. Read the following script and split its content into two parts:

1. **scene descriptions:** Extract detailed descriptions of the specific scenes, environments, objects, characters, their actions, and spatial information mentioned in the script. Focus on visual elements, physical spaces, and spatial relationships.

2. **imagery descriptions:** Summarize the overarching imagery, emotional tone, atmosphere, and themes conveyed by the script. Focus on high-level concepts, emotions, symbolic meanings, and implicit messages that can inform the creation of prompts for Stable Diffusion after further processing.

Scripts:{scripts}

Requirements:

1. **Clear Separation: Distinct Sections:** Separate the output into two clearly labeled sections: "1. scene descriptions:" "2. overall imagery descriptions:"

2. **No Overlap:** Ensure that content specific to one section does not appear in the other.

3. **For scene descriptions:** Extract specific descriptions of physical settings, environments, objects, characters, and their actions. Include **Spatial Information:** Note the positioning, orientation, and spatial relationships between objects and characters. Describe the layout of the environment, such as distances, directions, and relative locations. Include sensory details like sights, sounds, smells, textures, and movements as described in the script.

Direct Quotes: Use wording from the script where appropriate, but feel free to add paraphrase for clarity. **Exclusions:** Do not include interpretations, emotions, or abstract concepts in this section.

4. **For imagery Descriptions:** **First Sentence Summary:** Start with one sentence summarizing the whole scene succinctly to provide a clear overall understanding. **High-Level Concepts:** Summarize the general mood, emotions, themes, and atmosphere conveyed by the script in an abstract manner. Focus on overarching ideas and symbolic meanings that capture the essence of the script. **Emotional Tone:** Capture the overall feelings or emotional responses that the script is intended to evoke (e.g., hope, despair, tranquility). **Avoid Specifics:** Do not include detailed descriptions of visual elements or specific objects. Keep the language abstract to allow for flexibility in further processing. **Exclusions:** Do not include specific physical details or actions from the scene descriptions. **Detail Extraction: Comprehensive Coverage:** Ensure all relevant elements from the script are captured in their respective sections. **Prioritize Relevance:** Focus on details that significantly contribute to understanding the scene or the overall themes and emotions.

Output Format: Provide the final list of description in the following JSON format:

```
[
  {
    "scene_descriptions": "the description",
    "imagery_descriptions": "the description"
  }
]
```

Figure 11. The prompt for Script Analysis Module. Decomposing script into scene description and imagery description.

Task: You are an expert in creating 3D stage foregrounds based on a script. The stage's floor is considered as a 1000×1000 square cms x-y plane with the top-left corner at (0, 0) and the bottom-right corner at (999, 999), where the y-coordinate increases downward. The stage's height (z-axis) ranges from 0 to 999. Note: the unit for the coordinates is cm. Please analyze the following script to predict the anchor entities (the most important entities in the stage that could be decorated by other ornaments) that should be included in the stage foreground.

Scripts: {scripts}

Requirements:

- 1.The stage is totally empty at beginning. Ensure entities contribute to the overall atmosphere of the script. The entities can be artificially created, sourced from nature, or imagined.
- 2.List entities in descending order of importance. Separate the entities into groups that will exist together in the stage, such as a bed and two nightstands. For those entities that are unrelated with each other, put them in different groups. For each group, you should determine only one anchor entities. For example, if you want to generate a window on the wall, the wall will be anchor. The window, door and inpainting cannot serve as an anchor since it cannot exist independently. Do not generate rug as non-anchor entity which place under other entity.
- 3.Provide detailed descriptions for each entity, including materials, colors, textures, and design styles.
4. Provide every entities' a reasonable dimensions [length(cm), width(cm), height(cm)] in cm and ensure that the dimensions are as closely as possible to real-world size relationships. keeping in mind the 1000×1000 square cms room area.
5. Append an index to each entity's name, for example: if there are two lazy sofas and an armchair, list them as: [lazy_sofa1, lazy_sofa2, armchair1].

For anchor entities:

- 1.Conforming to their dimensions and functionality, and arrange anchors' positions (the top-left and bottom-right coordinates: [x_left, y_left], [x_right, y_right]).
- 2.The anchors' bounding boxes must not overlap with each other.
- 3.Assign a reasonable height [h_low, h_high] (h_high < 500) to each item based on its type and function. If items are placed on top of each other (e.g., a lamp on a table), their h coordinates should reflect this to show they are connected.

For non-anchor entities: Inside every group, decide a placement_rule for non-anchor entities:

- (1)"place_beside()" which places the entity beside the anchor like a nightstand beside a bed.
- (2)"place_top()" which directly places the entity on top of the anchor, like placing a vodka bottle on a table.
- (3)"place_attach(h_low, h_high)" which attaches the entity to the surface of anchor, the entity's bottom at h_low (h_low>=anchor's h_low) and top at h_high (h_high<=anchor's h_high), like placing a clock on the house_wall. For "place_top" and "place_attach" the entity's length and width must less than anchor's. For "place_attach" the entity has a small width (length > width)

Output Format and Example: Provide the final list of entities in the following JSON format:

```
[
  {
    "anchor_entity": {
      "name": "left_wall",
      "description": "The left wall of the room, painted white.",
      "dimensions": [15, 999, 300],
      "left": [0, 0],
      "right": [15, 999],
      "h": [0, 300]
    },
    "non_anchor_entities": [
      {
        "name": "window1",
        "description": "A French-style window with white-painted wooden frames and transparent glass panes. The window is symmetrically divided into four sections.",
        "dimensions": [150, 15, 150],
        "placement_rule": "place_attach(250)"
      }
    ]
  }
]
```

Note: Please use the output example as a reference for the expected format, but do not include this example in your final response.

Figure 12. The prompt for anchor and non-anchor entities generation.

Task: You are an expert in creating 3D stage foregrounds based on a script. The stage's floor is considered as a 1000×1000 square cms x-y plane with the top-left corner at (0, 0) and the bottom-right corner at (999, 999), where the y-coordinate increases downward. The stage's height (z-axis) ranges from 0 to 999. Note: the unit for the coordinates is cm. Please analyze the following script to predict the ornaments that should decorate those anchor entities.

Scripts: {scripts}

Requirements:

- 1.Enumerate all the ornaments in this stage. Anchor entities include:{anchor_entities}. Any objects beside anchor entities are considered ornaments. Generate as many ornaments as you can, and be creative with the ornaments. You can generate any ornament and any weird ornaments are welcome but do not generate rug as ornament. Append an index to each ornament's name, for example: if there are two vase and an inpainting, list them as: [vase1, vase2, inpainting1].
- 2.Provide detailed descriptions for each ornament, including materials, colors, textures, and design styles. Example: "An arched structure representing the castle's architecture, with stone-like textures and a dark color"
- 3.For each ornament, provide its dimensions (length, width, and height) in cm and ensure that the dimensions are as closely as cms room area. possible to real-world size relationships. Keeping in mind the 1000×1000 square
- 4.Ornament Placements: For each ornament, provide its placement rule in the scene; below are the rules that you can use:
 - (1)"place_center()" which places the ornament at the center of available spaces in the room.
 - (2)"place_corner()" which places the ornament at a corner.
 - (3)"place_beside(anchor)" which places the ornament beside an existing anchor in the given list above, like placing a vase beside a TV stand.
 - (4)"place_top(anchor)" which places the ornament on top of an existing anchor in the given list above with a buffer distance of x cm, like placing a vodka bottle on a table.
 - (5)"place_attach(h_low, h_high, anchor)" which attaches the entity to the surface of anchor in the given list above, the entity's bottom at h_low (h_low>=anchor's h_low) and top at h_high (h_high<=anchor's h_high), like placing a clock on the house_wall.For "place_top" and "place_attach" the ornament's length and width must less than anchor's length and width.

Output Format and Example: Provide the final list of entities in the following JSON format:

```
[
  {
    "name": "vase1",
    "description": "A modern ceramic vase with a slender body, featuring abstract geometric patterns in gray and white. Its shape is curvy.",
    "dimensions": [60, 60, 80],
    "placement_rule": "place_beside(table1)"
  },
  {
    "name": "statue1",
    "description": "A small marble statue of a seated figure with smooth contours and minimalist details.",
    "dimensions": [100, 90, 120],
    "placement_rule": "place_corner()"
  }
]
```

Note: Please use the output example as a reference for the expected format, but do not include this example in your final response.

Figure 13. The prompt for ornaments generation.

Task: You are an imaginative expert in creating stage backdrops. The stage backdrop is defined as a 1000x1000 cm canvas, with the top-left corner at (0,0) and the bottom-right corner at (999,999), where the y-coordinate increases downward. Please analyze the following script and corresponding imagery descriptions to predict a suitable scene description for the stage backdrop.

Imagery descriptions:{imagery_descriptions}

Requirements:

1. **Avoid Foreground Entities and Bounding Boxes:** Entities: {foreground_entities} Bounding Boxes: {bounding_boxes} The bounding box coordinates [x1, y1, x2, y2] represent the top-left (x1, y1) and bottom-right (x2, y2) corners of each entity's bounding box.
 2. **Scene Creativity and Inspiration:** Generate an imaginative scene description to enhance the overall atmosphere inspired by the imagery descriptions, but not limited to it. Feel free to explore unconventional ideas. Avoid using the same entities present in the foreground. The scene should introduce distinct, describable objects (natural, artificial, or imaginary).
 3. **Entity Characteristics:** Use the entity that you think best reflects the atmosphere described in imagery descriptions. Entities should not be abstract concepts and must be visually representable, and use entities that you think. Scene descriptions should emphasize the overall atmosphere, avoiding a direct reproduction of script objects.
 4. **Spatial Arrangement:** Ensure that entities in the scene description do not overlap with the bounding boxes of foreground entities. Arrange all new entities logically within the canvas.
- Output Constraints:** Keep the scene description under 100 words. Ensure the order of the coordinates array matches the order of entities description array to avoid confusion. Return the scene description followed by the coordinates and names of the new entities in the json format below.

Output Example:

```
[
  {
    "scene_description": "A serene garden with two glowing lanterns and koi fish swimming beneath water lilies.",
    "entities_description": ["blue glowing lantern", "yellow glowing lantern", "koi fish under water lily"],
    "coordinates": [[50, 50, 150, 150], [200, 312, 333, 422], [540, 728, 663, 956]]
  }
]
```

Note: Please use the output example as a reference for the expected format, but do not include this example in your final response.

Figure 14. The prompt for layout-controlled background generation.



The Breakup



The village of huai tree



In the Begining of Man



The Godfather



The Diary of Anne Frank



The Great Snowfield

Figure 15. More generated stages by StageDesigner.



The Great Thunderstorm



rent



Make Youth Better



The Trap



Spring in Jiangnan



North of Beijing is the Great Wilderness

Figure 16. More generated stages by StageDesigner.