

AUTOMORPHISMS OF BOUNDED GROWTH

ALEXANDRA KUZNETSOVA

ABSTRACT. We study birational automorphisms of algebraic varieties of bounded growth, i.e. such that the norms of the inverse images $(f^n)^*: \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)$ of the powers of the automorphism $f \in \text{Bir}(X)$ are bounded above for $n \geq 0$. We prove that some power of an infinite order automorphism of a variety X with such property factors either through an infinite order translation on the Albanese variety of X or through an infinite order regular automorphism of \mathbb{P}^m for $m \geq 1$. We deduce from this that if a rationally connected threefold admits an infinite order automorphism whose growth is bounded then the threefold is rational and an iterate of the automorphism is birationally conjugate to a regular automorphism of \mathbb{P}^3 , a generalization of Blanc and Deserti's result [BD15].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a projective variety over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0 and let f be a birational automorphism of X . Fix an ample class H in the Neron–Severi group $\text{NS}(X)$. Then we can consider the sequence of numbers $((f^n)^*H) \cdot H^{\dim(X)-1}$. If the automorphism f is birationally conjugate to a regular automorphism of a projective variety then the asymptotic properties of this sequence do not depend on the choice of the ample class H and the birational model of X and its growth can be

- (1) exponential, i.e. the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (((f^n)^*H) \cdot H^{\dim(X)-1})^{\frac{1}{n}}$ exists and is strictly greater than 1;
- (2) polynomial, i.e. $((f^n)^*H) \cdot H^{\dim(X)-1} = O(n^k)$ for an integer $k \geq 1$;
- (3) bounded, i.e. $((f^n)^*H) \cdot H^{\dim(X)-1} \leq C$ for a real number $C \geq 0$.

The limit in the point (1) exists and is birational invariant for not necessarily regularizable automorphisms of a variety X of any dimension, see [DS05] and [Tru20]. If the growth of f is polynomial then there exist bounds on the integer k , see [DLOZ22] and [HJ24]. In the case of $\dim(X) = 2$ this classification holds also in the case of not necessarily regularizable birational automorphisms by [DF01].

Here we are going to study birational automorphisms of the third type i.e. those whose growth is bounded. It is easy to observe that the growth of any finite order automorphism is bounded. We focus on birational automorphisms of X whose order is infinite and the growth is bounded. Any birational automorphism with such property is necessarily regularizable on a projective birational model of X by [Wei55], see also [Kra18] and [Yan24]; thus, it suffices to study regular automorphisms with these properties. Our goal is to show that they are sufficiently rare.

First, let us consider the situation in low dimensions. If X is a curve and f is its automorphism, then its growth is necessarily bounded and the infinite order automorphisms of curves exist either if $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and f is an infinite order element of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{K})$ or if X is an elliptic curve and an iterate of f is its translation.

In the case when X is a surface it is easy to show that f is birationally conjugate to a regular automorphism of a bielliptic surface or an abelian surface or a ruled surface. Once f is a regular automorphism of a bielliptic or an abelian surface then an iterate of f is induced by a translation of an abelian surface (in the case of a bielliptic surface it is a translation on the universal cover of the surface). Once X is birational to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times C$ where the genus of the curve C equals at least 1 then using the minimal model program one can show that the automorphism f is induced by a product $f_{\mathbb{P}^1} \times f_C \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \times \text{Aut}(C) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times C)$ and either the order of $f_{\mathbb{P}^1} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is infinite or C is an elliptic curve and the order of f_C is infinite. The most difficult case when S is rational is addressed in the work by Blanc and Deserti; more precisely, they proved the following assertion:

Theorem 1.1 ([BD15, Theorem A]). *Let S be a rational surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let f be its infinite order automorphism whose growth is bounded. Then there exists a birational map $\alpha: S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $\alpha \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is a regular automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 .*

Thus, in the case of dimensions 1 and 2 the only sources of automorphisms whose orders are infinite and growths are bounded are translations of abelian varieties and regular automorphisms of projective spaces. We show that in higher dimensions the situation is similar. Recall that $\text{Alb}(X)$ is the Albanese variety of X . Here is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a smooth projective complex variety and let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be an automorphism whose growth is bounded. Then we have one of the following cases:*

- (1) *the order of f is finite;*
- (2) *there exists $N \geq 1$ such that f^N induces an infinite order translation on $\text{Alb}(X)$;*
- (3) *there exists a birational isomorphism $\alpha: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m \times Z$ for a smooth projective variety Z with $m \geq 1$ such that $\alpha \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1} = g \times h \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^m) \times \text{Aut}(Z)$ where*
 - (a) *the order of the automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^m)$ is infinite;*
 - (b) *the order of the automorphism $h \in \text{Aut}(Z)$ is finite.*

Note that in the case (2) in Theorem 1.2 the automorphism f^N factors through an infinite order translation on the abelian variety whether in the case (3) the automorphism f^N factors through an infinite order regular automorphism of the projective space \mathbb{P}^m , here N equals the order of the automorphism h .

Theorem 1.2 implies the following generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.3. *If X is a rationally connected threefold over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and f is its infinite order automorphism whose growth is bounded then X is rational. Moreover, there exists $N \geq 1$ and a birational map $\alpha: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $\alpha \circ f^N \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is a regular automorphism of \mathbb{P}^3 .*

In higher dimensions the same assertion does not hold. Indeed, fix a stably non-rational Fano threefold X and an automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^k)$ such that $k \geq 1$ and the order of g is infinite. Then $f = \text{Id}_X \times g$ is an automorphism of $X \times \mathbb{P}^k$ whose order is infinite and the growth is bounded while $X \times \mathbb{P}^k$ is a rationally connected non-rational variety of dimension $3 + k$.

Also unlike the two-dimensional case we can not expect that if f is an infinite order automorphism of a rationally connected threefold X whose order is bounded then not only f^N but also f is conjugate to a regular automorphism of \mathbb{P}^3 . See Section 4 for a counterexample.

Our approach to Theorem 1.2 differs from the one used in the Blanc and Deserti's paper. They applied the equivariant minimal model program to reduce the question to the study of infinite order automorphisms of del Pezzo surfaces and conic bundles. In higher dimensions this method is very inefficient since the minimal model program does not exist in the case of dimension 4 and higher. Also even in the case of dimension 3 it would reduce the question to the study of regular automorphisms of terminal Fano threefolds and three dimensional Mori fiber spaces. There are methods to work with these varieties; however, this approach would require a lot of work even in the case of dimension 3.

Instead of this, we observe that unless the order of the induced automorphism on $\text{Alb}(X)$ is infinite, an automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ whose growth is bounded preserves a big and nef line bundle \mathcal{L} on X . The linear system of \mathcal{L} defines a rational map from X to $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{L})) \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and X is birational to its image X' in \mathbb{P}^n . The automorphism f induces $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ such that X' is an F -invariant subvariety. Using Blanc's result [Bla06] we deduce that if the order of f is infinite then after a birational conjugation F is induced by a very special matrix. In particular, all F -invariant subvarieties which are not contained in hyperplanes of \mathbb{P}^n are cones with same vertex and F induces an automorphism of finite order on the bases of the cones. Thus, we conclude that X itself is such a cone i.e it is birationally isomorphic to a product $\mathbb{P}^m \times Z$ as we claimed.

Note that Theorem 1.2 is proved only for complex algebraic varieties whereas Corollary 1.3 holds for varieties over any algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. The reason is that in order to study automorphisms whose inverse image $f^*: \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$ preserve no big and nef line bundle we use the fact that in the complex setting $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the quotient $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)/H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We need this to deal with the case (2) of Theorem 1.2. In Corollary 1.3 we consider rationally connected varieties, their Picard groups are discrete; thus, we can skip this step and the proof works in greater generality.

2. AUTOMORPHISMS OF PROJECTIVE SPACE

2.1. Conjugacy classes of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ under the action of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^n)$. The projective space \mathbb{P}^n is a projectivization of a $(n + 1)$ -dimensional vector space i.e. one has $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^{n+1})$. Any automorphism f of \mathbb{P}^n is an

equivalence class of linear operators $F: \mathbb{K}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{n+1}$ up to rescaling. Choose a basis (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n) in the vector space \mathbb{K}^{n+1} and denote by $(x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_n)$ the homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^n related to this basis. We say **the automorphism f of \mathbb{P}^n is induced by the matrix M in coordinates $(x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_n)$** if M is a matrix in the equivalence class of F in the basis (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n) .

There exists a Jordan basis of the operator M in \mathbb{K}^{n+1} . For simplicity we will always replace M by the matrix in the equivalence class of F such that the first eigenvalue of M equals 1. Blanc, in [Bla06], described the conjugacy classes of automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^n under the action of the group $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^n)$. In order to formulate his result we need the following definition: the numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{K}$ are **multiplicatively independent** if the only set of integers m_1, \dots, m_k such that $\lambda_1^{m_1} \dots \lambda_k^{m_k} = 1$ is the set $m_1 = \dots = m_k = 0$. In the case when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are multiplicatively independent if their logarithms are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem 2.1 ([Bla06]). *Let f be an infinite order element in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$. Then there exists a birational automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ such that $\alpha \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is also an element of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ induced by a matrix of one of the following types:*

$$(2.2) \quad M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}; \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \mu_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, in the case of the matrix M_1 there exists $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ such that numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are roots of unity and $\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$ are multiplicatively independent in \mathbb{K} . Also for the matrix M_2 there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that numbers μ_2, \dots, μ_k are roots of unity and μ_{k+1}, \dots, μ_n are multiplicatively independent in \mathbb{K} .

The proof of this theorem in [Bla06] implies also the following assertion.

Lemma 2.3. *Let f be an element in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ and let $\alpha: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be the birational map described in Theorem 2.1. Then $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Exc}(\alpha)$ lie in the union of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^n .*

Proof. Since a regular automorphism of \mathbb{P}^n preserves hyperplanes, we can assume that in homogeneous coordinates $(x_0 : \dots : x_n)$ the automorphism f is induced by a Jordan matrix M . First, assume that M is diagonal. By [Bla06, Proposition 6] there exists a birational map $\alpha: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ which conjugates the automorphism f to an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^n induced by the matrix M_1 . Moreover, the map α is monomial i.e. $\alpha(x_0 : \dots : x_n) = (Q_0 : \dots : Q_n)$ where $Q_i \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is a monomial for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, the sets $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Exc}(\alpha)$ lie in the union of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^n .

Now assume that M contains a Jordan block of size at least 2. Renumbering the basis, we can assume that M is as follows:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \eta & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Here either $\eta = 1$ if the first Jordan block is of size at least 3 or $\eta = 0$ otherwise. Numbers $1, 1, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n$ are eigenvalues of M . By [Bla06, Proposition 3] there exists a birational map $\beta: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ which conjugates f to a regular automorphism $\beta^{-1} \circ f \circ \beta$ of \mathbb{P}^n induced by the following matrix:

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Here the matrix M' contains a unique Jordan block of size at least 2. By the construction in the proof of [Bla06, Lemma 1] the birational map $\beta(x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_n) = (P_0 : P_1 : \dots : P_n)$ is defined by the set

of homogeneous polynomials P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n such that $P_i \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_i]$ i.e. the i -th polynomial does not depend on coordinates x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n . In particular, $P_0 = x_0^d$ for an integer $d \geq 1$.

The indeterminacy locus of β equals the zero locus of polynomials $P_0 = P_1 = \dots = P_n = 0$. Then $\text{Ind}(\beta)$ lies in the hyperplane $\{x_0 = 0\}$. In order to show that the same is true for $\text{Exc}(\beta)$ observe that β induces a regular automorphism of the affine chart $U_0 = \{x_0 \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{A}^n$ in \mathbb{P}^n . Therefore, $\text{Ind}(\beta^{-1})$ also lies in $\{x_0 = 0\}$. Then the exceptional locus of β lies in the set $\{P_0 = 0\} = \{x_0 = 0\}$.

Then by [Bla06, Proposition 6] there exists a birational map $\gamma: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ which conjugates the automorphism $\beta^{-1} \circ f \circ \beta$ to an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^n induced by the matrix M_2 . Denote by Q_0, \dots, Q_n the polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$\gamma(x_0 : \dots : x_n) = (Q_0 : \dots : Q_n).$$

By Blanc's construction Q_0, \dots, Q_n are monomials. Moreover, we can assume that $Q_0 = x_0^d$ and $Q_1 = x_0^{d-1}x_1$ since the eigenvalue corresponding to the Jordan block does not change under the conjugation. Thus, γ defines a regular automorphism of the affine chart $U_0 \cong \mathbb{A}^n$. Thus, $(\gamma \circ \beta)|_{U_0}$ is also an automorphism of U_0 . Then $\alpha = \gamma \circ \beta$ and we conclude that $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Exc}(\alpha)$ lie in the hyperplane $\{x_0 = 0\}$. \square

2.2. Eigenpolynomials under the action of $\text{PGL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. We consider an automorphism f of the projective space \mathbb{P}^n , fix homogeneous coordinates $(x_0 : \dots : x_n)$ on \mathbb{P}^n and choose a matrix M inducing f with respect to these coordinates. The automorphism f induces the action f^* on the linear system $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d)))$ for $d \geq 1$. Homogeneous coordinates induce the basis of the space $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$. Then the operator f^* is induced by the matrix $S^d M^t$ acting on $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ with respect to these coordinates. An invariant hyper-subspace in the linear system corresponds to a subrepresentation of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ under the action of $S^d M^t$. Note that this correspondence does not depend on the choice of the matrix M in the equivalence class F . In this section we describe the subrepresentations in this space under the action of matrix M_1 and M_2 defined in (2.2).

Lemma 2.4. *Let f be an automorphism of the projective space \mathbb{P}^n induced by the matrix M_1 defined in (2.2). If $W \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$ is a subrepresentation of f^* then there exist polynomials $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_k]$ and monomials $Q_1, \dots, Q_m \in \mathbb{K}[x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_n]$ such that:*

$$W = \langle P_1 Q_1, \dots, P_m Q_m \rangle.$$

Proof. Denote by V the vector space $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$, homogeneous coordinates $(x_0 : \dots : x_n)$ define the basis of V . Since V is dual to the underlying vector space of the projective space \mathbb{P}^n then $f^*|_V$ is induced by the matrix $M = M_2^t$. Decompose V into a direct sum of representations of M :

$$V = U \oplus \bigoplus_{i=k+1}^n \mathbb{K}_{\lambda_i}.$$

Here U is generated by vectors x_0, \dots, x_k and \mathbb{K}_{λ_i} is a character generated by x_i . Since $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d)) = S^d V$ the action of f^* on $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(d))$ is induced by the matrix $S^d M_1$. Then $S^d V$ decomposes into a sum of representations $S^d V = \bigoplus_I V_I$ where $I = (i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-k-1}$ is such that $i_{k+1} + \dots + i_n = i$ and

$$V_I = S^{d-i} U \otimes K_{\lambda_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}} \dots \lambda_n^{i_n}}.$$

Since $\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$ are multiplicatively independent a subrepresentation of $S^d V$ is a sum $V_{I_1} + \dots + V_{I_r}$. For any I the representation V_I is obviously generated by the necessary polynomials; thus, the proof is complete. \square

In order to study subrepresentations of M_2 in $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$ we need the following assertion.

Lemma 2.5. *Let R be a commutative ring over \mathbb{K} and let a polynomial $P(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ and elements $Q, r \in R$ be such that $P(x+r) = P(x) + Q$ and r is not a zero divisor. Then there exists $Q' \in R$ such that $Q = rQ'$ and $P(x) = Q'x + \alpha$ where $\alpha \in R$.*

Proof. Assume that $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in R$ and $a_n \in R \setminus \{0\}$ are such that $P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$, then we observe:

$$P(x+r) = \sum_{i=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=i}^n \binom{j}{j-i} a_j r^{j-i} \right) x^i.$$

In particular, the coefficient of x^n in $P(x+r)$ equals a_n . On the other hand, the coefficient of x^n in the polynomial $P(x)+Q$ equals 1 if $n \geq 1$ or $1+Q$ in the case $n=0$. If $n \geq 2$ the coefficient of x^{n-1} in polynomials $P(x+r)$ and $P(x)+Q$ is equal to $a_{n-1}+na_nr$ and a_{n-1} respectively. Thus, n is strictly less than 2.

If $n=1$ then the assumption implies $a_1x+a_1r+a_0=P(x+r)=P(x)+Q=a_1x+a_0+Q$. Thus, we get $Q'=a_1$ and $\alpha=a_0$. Finally, if $n=0$ then the assumption implies that $Q=0$ and $\alpha=a_0$. \square

Lemma 2.5 implies the following description of irreducible subrepresentations of the automorphism induced by the matrix M_2 on the space $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let f be an automorphism of the projective space \mathbb{P}^n induced by the matrix M_2 defined in (2.2). If $W \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$ is an irreducible subrepresentation of f^* then there exist a set of polynomials $P_0, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, x_2, \dots, x_k]$ and a monomial $Q \in \mathbb{K}[x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_n]$ such that:*

$$W = \left\langle x_0^m P_0 Q, x_0^{m-1} (x_1 P_0 + P_1) Q, \dots, \left(\sum_{i=0}^m x_1^{m-i} P_i \right) Q \right\rangle.$$

Proof. By the same reason in the proof of Lemma 2.4 we can reduce the question to the case when all eigenvalues of M_2 are roots of unity. Replacing f by its iterate we can assume that $\mu_2 = \dots = \mu_n = 1$.

Consider a Jordan basis $R_0, \dots, R_m \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_k]$ of the operator $f^* = S^d M_2^t$ restricted to W . Since the representation W is irreducible then the action of $f^* = S^d M_2^t$ is as follows:

$$f^* R_i = \begin{cases} R_0, & \text{if } i=0; \\ R_i + R_{i-1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.5 we deduce that the polynomial R_0 lies in $\mathbb{K}[x_0, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Also we observe that for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ the polynomial R_i equals the following:

$$R_i = \frac{x_1 R_{i-1}}{x_0} + P_i,$$

where P_i lie in $\mathbb{K}[x_0, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Set $P_0 = R_0$ then we get the result. \square

3. INFINITE ORDER AUTOMORPHISMS

3.1. Invariant subvarieties of infinite order elements in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$. Here we describe the invariant subvarieties in the projective space \mathbb{P}^n under the action of the automorphism induced by the matrix M_1 or M_2 defined in (2.2).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ be an automorphism induced by a matrix M with respect to homogeneous coordinates $(x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_n)$ and let X be an f -invariant subvariety in \mathbb{P}^n . Assume that X is irreducible and does not lie in a hyperplane $\{x_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *Assume that $M = M_1$ defined in (2.2) and there exists $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ such that the numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are roots of unity and $\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$ are multiplicatively independent. Then X is a cone whose vertex is the subspace $\{x_0 = \dots = x_k = 0\}$ over a subvariety in the subspace $\{x_{k+1} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$.*
- (2) *Assume that $M = M_2$ defined in (2.2) and there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the numbers μ_2, \dots, μ_k are roots of unity and μ_{k+1}, \dots, μ_n are multiplicatively independent. Then X is a cone whose vertex is the subspace $\{x_0 = x_2 = \dots = x_k = 0\}$ over a subvariety in the subspace $\{x_1 = x_{k+1} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$.*

Proof. There exists $m \geq 2$ such that X equals the intersection of all hypersurfaces of degree m containing X . Denote by $W \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m))$ the subspace of these hypersurfaces i.e. $W \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_X(m))$ where \mathcal{I}_X is the sheaf of ideals of X . Then W is an f^* -invariant subspace of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m))$.

If $M = M_1$ then by Lemma 2.4 the space W is generated by the set of polynomials $P_1 Q_1, \dots, P_m Q_m$ where $P_i \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_k]$ and $Q_i \in \mathbb{K}[x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n]$ is a monomial for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since X does not lie in the hyperplane $\{x_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ we deduce that X equals the following:

$$X = \{P_1 = \dots = P_m = 0\}.$$

Since this set is a cone whose vertex is the subspace $\{x_0 = x_2 = \dots = x_k = 0\}$ over a hyperplane in the subspace $\{x_1 = x_{k+1} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$ we get the result.

If $M = M_2$ then denote by W_1, \dots, W_r the irreducible subrepresentations of W i.e. $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r W_i$. Denote by X_i the following subvariety in \mathbb{P}^n :

$$X_i = \bigcap_{P \in W_i} \{P = 0\}.$$

Then X equals the intersection of X_1, \dots, X_r . If for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ the variety X_i is a cone with a vertex in the subspace $\{x_1 = x_{k+1} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$ then so is X . Thus, it suffices to prove the assertion in the case when W is irreducible.

If W is irreducible then Corollary 2.6 provides the description of a basis of W . Since X does not lie in a hyperplane $\{x_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ we deduce that

$$X = \{P_0 = \dots = P_m = 0\},$$

in notation of Corollary 2.6. Thus, X is a cone with a vertex in the subspace $\{x_0 = x_2 = \dots = x_k = 0\}$ and the proof is complete. \square

3.2. Action of automorphisms on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ and $\text{Alb}(X)$. Recall that the **Picard group** $\text{Pic}(X)$ is the algebraic group of line bundles on X . By $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ we denote connected component of $\text{Pic}(X)$ containing the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X . The quotient group $\text{Pic}(X)/\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is called the **Neron–Severi group** $\text{NS}(X)$ of X . If X is smooth and projective then the rank of the discrete abelian group $\text{NS}(X)$ is finite.

If X is a smooth projective and complex variety then the algebraic group $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ can be identified with the abelian variety $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)/H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, see, for instance, [Voi07, Section 7.2.2]. In view of this we can show that the inverse image of an automorphism of X whose growth is bounded induces finite order operators on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ and $\text{NS}(X)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a smooth projective complex variety and let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be its automorphism whose growth is bounded. Then there exists $N \geq 0$ such that the inverse images*

$$f_{\text{NS}}^*: \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X); \quad f_{\text{Pic}^0}^*: \text{Pic}^0(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)$$

are identities.

Proof. First consider the inverse image $f_{\text{NS}}^*: \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)$. The rank of the abelian group $\text{NS}(X)$ is finite; thus, it suffices to prove that the order of the operator $f_{\text{NS}_{\mathbb{R}}}^*: \text{NS}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ is finite, here by $\text{NS}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ we denote the \mathbb{R} -vector space $\text{NS}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Then either $f_{\text{NS}_{\mathbb{R}}}^*$ is diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} and all its eigenvalues are roots of unity or the growth of f is not bounded. Thus, the order of $f_{\text{NS}_{\mathbb{R}}}^*$ is finite.

The inverse image $f_{\text{Pic}^0}^*$ preserve a point on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$. Indeed, $f^* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ in the Picard group of X . Since $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is an abelian variety whose universal cover is canonically isomorphic to $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Thus, it suffices to show that the order of the following operator is finite:

$$f_{H^{1,0}}^*: H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

By Hodge decomposition one has $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^{1,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,1}$ and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^{1,0}(X)$. Since the inverse image map $f_{H^1(X, \mathbb{C})}^*: H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ preserves the lattice $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ all eigenvalues of $f_{H^{1,0}}^*$ are algebraic integers.

Assume there is an eigenvalue of $f_{H^{1,0}}^*$ that equals λ and it is not a root of unity; thus, $|\lambda| \neq 1$. Then there is a non-zero eigenclass $\xi \in H^{1,0}(X)$ such that $f^* \xi = \lambda \xi$. Consider the class $\xi \wedge \bar{\xi} \in H^{1,1}(X)$. This class is non-zero by construction and

$$f^*(\xi \wedge \bar{\xi}) = |\lambda|^2 \xi \wedge \bar{\xi} \neq \xi \wedge \bar{\xi}.$$

Thus, there is an eigenvalue of $f_{H^{1,1}(X)}^*: H^{1,1}(X) \rightarrow H^{1,1}(X)$ whose absolute value is not equal to 1. This contradicts the assumption that the growth of f is bounded. Thus, all eigenvalues of $f_{H^{1,0}}^*$ are roots of unity. By the same reason $f_{H^{1,0}}^*$ has no non-trivial Jordan blocks. Thus, the proof is complete. \square

The next technical assertion will be necessary for the study of automorphisms inducing identity on the Albanese variety.

Lemma 3.3. *Let T be a smooth projective complex variety, let $F \in \text{PGL}(n+1, \mathbb{C}(T))$ be a birational automorphism of the direct product $\mathbb{P}^n \times T$ and let X be an F -invariant irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^n \times T$ such that $\text{pr}_2(X) = T$ where $\text{pr}_2: \mathbb{P}^n \times T \rightarrow T$ is the projection to the second component of the product. If $X_{\mathbb{C}(T)}$ does not coincide with a hypersubspace in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}(T)}^n$ and the growth of $F|_X$ is bounded, then there exists*

a birational map $\alpha: X \dashrightarrow Y$ and an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ such that $g = \alpha \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is a regular automorphism of Y and $g^*\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Consider the characteristic polynomial χ of matrix M in the equivalence class of F with respect to homogeneous coordinates $(x_0 : \cdots : x_n)$. Then χ is a polynomial over the field $\mathbb{C}(T)$ and choosing a good representative M we can suppose that 1 is a root of χ . Denote by K the splitting field of χ .

First we assume that the field extension $\mathbb{C} \subset K$ is finite. Then $\mathbb{C} = K$ since \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed. Therefore, F is a regular automorphism $f \times \text{Id}_T \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n \times T)$. We choose an ample line bundle \mathcal{L}' in $\text{Pic}^0(\mathbb{P}^n \times T)$ and set $Y = X$ and \mathcal{L} is the inverse image of \mathcal{L}' to X .

Now assume that the field extension $\mathbb{C} \subset K$ is infinite. Fix homogeneous coordinates $(x_0 : \cdots : x_n)$ associated to a Jordan basis of F over the field K . By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 we can assume that F is induced by matrix M_1 or M_2 defined in (2.2). Since at least one eigenvalue of F is outside \mathbb{C} we observe that there exists $k \leq n-1$ such that $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k$ are roots of unity and $\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$ are multiplicatively independent. Fix elements $a_0, \dots, a_n \in K^*$ such that the function $L(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$ defines a non-empty hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$.

Let ξ be the class of the divisor $D = \{L(x) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times T$ in the Neron–Severi group $\text{NS}(\mathbb{P}^n \times T)$. Fix an integer $N \geq 1$ and define the divisor $D_N = \{L(F^N(x)) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times T$ where $L(\lambda^N x)$ is the following function:

$$L(\lambda^N x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \lambda_i^N x_i, & \text{if } F \text{ is induced by the matrix } M_1; \\ (a_0 + N a_1) x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda_i^N x_i, & \text{if } F \text{ is induced by the matrix } M_2. \end{cases}$$

Since D_N is the proper preimage of D under F^N then $(F^N)^*(\xi) \geq [D_N]$. We are going to show that there exists a curve C in X such that $[D_N] \cdot [C]$ tends to infinity. Thus, $(F^N)^*(\xi) \cdot [C]$ also tends to infinity which contradicts to the assumption that $F|_X$ is bounded.

Fix a curve $C \subset X$ such that $C' = \text{pr}_2(C)$ is a curve on T such that $\lambda_n|_{C'}$ is not constant. The rational function $L(\lambda^N x)$ is not constant on C for any $N \geq 0$ since $a_n \neq 0$. Then the intersection $D_N \cap C$ is finite and it contains the points $((0 : \cdots : 0 : 1), t)$ where $t \in C'$ is a zero of $(\lambda_n)^N|_{C'}$. Since $\lambda_n|_{C'}$ is not constant the sequence of intersection numbers $(f^N)^*(\xi) \cdot [C]$ is not bounded and the proof is complete. \square

Recall that given a smooth projective variety X there exists an abelian variety $\text{Alb}(X)$ which is called the **Albanese variety** of X and a morphism $a: X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$ which is universal i.e. for any algebraic morphism $b: X \rightarrow B$ to an abelian variety B there exists a unique morphism of varieties $\varphi: \text{Alb}(X) \rightarrow B$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ a \downarrow & \searrow b & \\ \text{Alb}(X) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \end{array}$$

In particular, any automorphism of X factors through the Albanese variety i.e. for any $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ there exists $f_a \in \text{Aut}(\text{Alb}(X))$ such that $a \circ f = f_a \circ a$. Now we are ready to show that an automorphism whose growth is bounded either induces an infinite order automorphism of the Albanese variety or preserves a big and nef line bundle.

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be an algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} and let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be its automorphism whose growth is bounded. Then there exists $N \geq 1$ such that the induced automorphism $f_a^N: \text{Alb}(X) \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$ is a translation. Moreover, if $f_a^N = \text{Id}_{\text{Alb}(X)}$ then there exists a big and nef line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $f^*\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 we deduce that there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $(f^N)^*_{\text{Pic}^0} = \text{Id}_{\text{Pic}^0(X)}$. Since the abelian varieties $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ and $\text{Alb}(X)$ are dual this implies that f_a^N is a translation.

Now assume that $(f^N)^*_{\text{NS}} = \text{Id}_{\text{NS}(X)}$ and $f_a^N = \text{Id}_{\text{Alb}(X)}$. For simplicity further we use the notation $A = \text{Alb}(X)$. Fix a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(X)$, then there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ such that $f^*\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}$. Consider the Albanese morphism $a: X \rightarrow A$, by construction one has the following isomorphism:

$$a^*: \text{Pic}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X).$$

Thus, there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{F}' \in \text{Pic}^0(A)$ such that $\mathcal{F} = a^*\mathcal{F}'$. Fix a Zariski open subset $U \subset A$ such that $\mathcal{F}'|_U = \mathcal{O}_U$. Denote by X_U the preimage $X_U = a^{-1}(U)$. Then one has $(f^N)^*|_{X_U}(\mathcal{M}|_{X_U}) = \mathcal{M}|_{X_U}$. The linear system of \mathcal{M} induces the embedding $\varphi_U: X_U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \times U$ and the automorphism f^N induces an automorphism F_U of the product $\mathbb{P}^n \times U$. Since f induces identity on A then the automorphism F_U is an element in the group $\text{PGL}(n+1, \mathbb{C}[U])$ and X_U is an F_U -invariant subvariety.

Denote by \tilde{X} the proper image of X_U under the embedding $\mathbb{P}^n \times U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \times A$. The automorphism F_U induces a birational automorphism \tilde{F} of $\mathbb{P}^n \times U$ such that \tilde{X} is \tilde{F} -invariant and the growth of $\tilde{F}|_{\tilde{X}}$ is bounded. Note that $\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{C}(A)}$ is not a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}(A)}$ since the embedding is defined by the linear system on $\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{C}(A)}$. Then by Lemma 3.3 there exists an ample line bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on \tilde{X} such that

$$(\tilde{F}|_{\tilde{X}})^*\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Denote by $\alpha: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ the birational map induced by the embedding $\mathbb{P}^n \times U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \times A$. The inverse image $\mathcal{L}' = \alpha^*\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is a big and nef line bundle and one has $(f^N)^*\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}'$. Set

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}' \otimes f^*\mathcal{L}' \otimes \dots \otimes (f^{(N-1)})^*\mathcal{L}'.$$

Then \mathcal{L} is the big and nef line bundle with the property $f^*\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$. The proof is complete. \square

3.3. Proofs. In this section we complete proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3. We will need the following assertion describing the bounded order automorphisms which preserve a big and nef line bundle.

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be an automorphism whose growth is bounded and the order is infinite. If there exists a big and nef line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $f^*\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$ then we get the case (3) of Theorem 1.2.*

Proof. The linear system of \mathcal{L} induces the following rational map:

$$\varphi|_{\mathcal{L}}: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n.$$

The map $\varphi|_{\mathcal{L}}$ maps X birationally to its proper image in \mathbb{P}^n and f induces a regular automorphism F of \mathbb{P}^n . We replace X with its proper image in \mathbb{P}^n .

By construction no hyperplane in the projective space \mathbb{P}^n contains the variety X . By Theorem 2.1 there exists a birational map $\alpha: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $G = \alpha \circ F \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is induced by the matrix M_1 or M_2 defined in (2.2). Moreover, by Lemma 2.3 the restriction $\alpha|_X: X \dashrightarrow Y$ is a birational map to the proper image Y of X .

Then Y is a G -invariant subvariety in \mathbb{P}^n and G is an infinite order automorphism of \mathbb{P}^n . We consider the maximal intersection of hyperplanes $\Pi = \{x_{i_1} = x_{i_2} = \dots = x_{i_k} = 0\}$ which contains Y and replace \mathbb{P}^n with this intersection and G with $G|_{\Pi}$. Then $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a G -invariant subvariety that does not lie in a hyperplane $\{x_i = 0\}$ and G is induced by one of the matrices M_1 or M_2 .

By Lemma 3.1 we deduce that Y is a cone. More precisely, in the case when G is induced by the matrix M_1 we observe the variety Y is a cone with a vertex $\{x_{k+1} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$ over a subvariety Z in the hypersubspace $H = \{x_0 = \dots = x_k = 0\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Moreover, the restriction of G to the hypersubspace H is an automorphism of finite order. Thus, the automorphism $G|_Y$ is conjugate to the product $g \times h \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^{n-k}) \times \text{Aut}(Z)$ where the order of h is finite and g is an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^{k+1} induced by the following matrix:

$$M_g = \text{diag}(1, \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

where numbers $\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$ are as in the matrix M_1 . In particular, they are multiplicatively independent. The case when G is induced by the matrix M_2 is analogous. This completes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be an automorphism whose growth is bounded. Once the order of f is finite, then we get the case (1) so further we assume that the order of f is infinite. By Corollary 3.4 either there exists N such that f^N induces an infinite order translation on the Albanese variety of X i.e. we get the case (2) or there exists a big and nef line bundle \mathcal{L} such that $f^*\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$. Then by Lemma 3.5 we get the case (3). \square

Here is the proof of Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Consider a rationally connected threefold X and its infinite order automorphism f whose growth is bounded. Then by Lemma 3.5 there exists a birational isomorphism $\alpha: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m \times Z$ where $m \geq 1$ and $\alpha \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1} = g \times h \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^m) \times \text{Aut}(Z)$ where g is an infinite order automorphism of \mathbb{P}^m and the order of h is finite. Let N be the order of h , then $\alpha \circ f^N \circ \alpha^{-1} = g^N \times \text{id}_Z$.

Since X is rationally connected, so is Z . Since $\dim(Z) \leq 2$ then Z is a rational variety (or a point). Thus, there exists a birational map $\beta: Z \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3-m}$. Denote by α' the composition

$$\alpha' = (\text{id}_{\mathbb{P}^m} \times \beta) \circ \alpha: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{P}^{3-m}.$$

This map is birational by construction; moreover, $\alpha' \circ f^N \circ \alpha'^{-1} = g \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}^{3-m}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^m) \times \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^{3-m})$. This automorphism is conjugate to an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^3 and the proof is complete. \square

4. AUTOMORPHISM OF A RATIONAL THREEFOLD WHICH IS NOT CONJUGATE TO $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$

Let S be a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 and let $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the map induced by the anticanonical linear system. Then π is a double cover branched over a smooth quartic curve. Denote by $\tau \in \text{Aut}(S)$ the Geiser involution of S . Fix an element $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ of infinite order and consider the automorphism $\tau \times g$ of the rational threefold $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

The automorphism $\tau \times g$ is not conjugate to a regular automorphism of \mathbb{P}^3 by Lemma 4.1. The proof follows from the fact that the involution τ is not conjugate to a regular automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 . Thus, we get a construction of an infinite order automorphism of a rational threefold whose growth is bounded and which is not conjugate to a regular automorphism of \mathbb{P}^3 .

Lemma 4.1. *The automorphism $\tau \times g \in \text{Aut}(S \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ is not conjugate to a regular automorphism of \mathbb{P}^3 .*

Proof. Denote by Y the product $Y = R \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$, here $R \subset S$ is the ramification divisor of the double cover $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Thus, R is a smooth curve of genus 3 and the divisor Y is $(\tau \times g)$ -invariant.

Fix a g -invariant point $x_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and consider the curve $C = R \times \{x_0\} \subset S \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The curve $C \subset Y$ is smooth of genus 3 and it is $(\tau \times g)$ -invariant. Moreover, one has $(\tau \times g)|_C = \text{id}_C$.

Assume that there exists a birational map $\alpha: S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $f = \alpha \circ (\tau \times g) \circ \alpha^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$. The curve C does not lie in the indeterminacy locus of α since it is not rational. Consider the proper images Y' and C' of the divisor Y and the curve C under the map α . Then Y' is either an f -invariant surface birational to Y or it is an f -invariant curve of geometric genus 3 and C' is an f -invariant curve in \mathbb{P}^3 . By construction we have $f|_{C'} = \text{id}_{C'}$.

If Y' is a surface then the restriction of α to C is a birational map. Thus, C' is an f -invariant curve in \mathbb{P}^3 of geometric genus 3. Since $f|_{C'} = \text{id}_{C'}$ we deduce that C' lies in a hyperplane of \mathbb{P}^3 . Moreover, there exists homogeneous coordinates $(x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3)$ of \mathbb{P}^3 such that $C' \subset \{x_3 = 0\}$ and

$$f(x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3) = (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : \lambda x_3).$$

Consider the divisor $S_0 = S \times \{x_0\} \subset S \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then S_0 is $(\tau \times g)$ -invariant divisor and

$$(\tau \times g)|_{S_0}: S_0 \rightarrow S_0$$

is an involution. Consider the proper image S'_0 of the divisor S_0 . Since C lies in S_0 and $\alpha|_C$ is a birational map then S'_0 is a divisor in \mathbb{P}^3 . By construction it is f -invariant and $f|_{S'_0}$ is an involution. Then S'_0 does not lie in $\{x_3 = 0\}$; thus, $\lambda = 1$ i.e. the order of automorphism f is finite. This contradicts the assumption.

If Y' is a curve then $\alpha|_Y$ is the projection to the first component of the product $C \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus, the restriction of α to C is birational and by the above argument we also get a contradiction with the fact that the order of f is infinite. This finishes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [BD15] J. Blanc and J. Déserti. Degree growth of birational maps of the plane. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 14(2):507–533, 2015.
- [Bla06] J. Blanc. Conjugacy classes of affine automorphisms of \mathbb{K}^n and linear automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^n in the Cremona groups. *Manuscripta Math.*, 119(2):225–241, 2006.
- [DF01] J. Diller and C. Favre. Dynamics of bimeromorphic maps of surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 123(6):1135–1169, 2001.
- [DLOZ22] T.-C. Dinh, H.-Y. Lin, K. Oguiso, and D.-Q. Zhang. Zero entropy automorphisms of compact Kähler manifolds and dynamical filtrations. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 32(3):568–594, 2022.
- [DS05] T.-C. Dinh and N. Sibony. Une borne supérieure pour l'entropie topologique d'une application rationnelle. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(3):1637–1644, 2005.

- [HJ24] F. Hu and C. Jiang. An upper bound for polynomial volume growth of automorphisms of zero entropy. arXiv:2408.15804, 2024.
- [Kra18] H. Kraft. Regularization of rational group actions. arXiv:1808.08729, 2018.
- [Tru20] T. T. Truong. Relative dynamical degrees of correspondences over a field of arbitrary characteristic. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 758:139–182, 2020.
- [Voi07] C. Voisin. *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. I*, volume 76 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, english edition, 2007. Translated from the French by Leila Schneps.
- [Wei55] A. Weil. On algebraic groups of transformations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 77:355–391, 1955.
- [Yan24] S. Yang. A regularization theorem for bounded-degree self-maps. arXiv:2403.07394, 2024.

HIGHER SCHOOL OF MODERN MATHEMATICS IN MOSCOW INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS AND TECHNOLOGY, DOLGOPRUDNY, RUSSIA; LABORATORY OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY IN HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIA; STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, MOSCOW, RUSSIA.

Email address: `sasha.kuznetsova.57@gmail.com`