

NON-SPLIT SUPERSTRINGS OF DIMENSION (1|2)

DIMITRY LEITES^{a,*}, ALEXANDER S. TIKHOMIROV^b

ABSTRACT. Any supermanifold diffeomorphic to one whose structure sheaf is the sheaf of sections of a vector bundle over the underlying manifold is called split. Gawędzki (1977) and Batchelor (1979) were the first to prove that any smooth supermanifold is split. In 1981, P. Green, and Palamodov, found examples of non-split analytic supermanifolds and described obstructions to splitness that were further studied by Manin (resp. Onishchik with his students) following Palamodov's (resp. Green's) approach. Following Palamodov, Donagi and Witten demonstrated that some of the moduli supervarieties of superstring theories are non-split. None of the above-mentioned authors considered odd parameters of supervarieties of obstructions to non-splitness. Here, using Palamodov's approach, we classify and describe the even (degree-2) and the odd (degree-1) obstructions to splitness of (1|2)-dimensional superstrings. In particular, we correct calculations of degree-2 obstructions due to Bunegina and Onishchik and confirm Manin's answer.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a real manifold, $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow M$ a vector bundle with fiber V_x over $x \in M$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{E(\mathbf{E})}$ be the sheaf of sections of the exterior algebra of the bundle \mathbf{E} whose fiber at $x \in M$ is the exterior algebra $E(V_x)$ of V_x . Any supermanifold diffeomorphic to one of the form $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{L}_{E(\mathbf{E})})$ is called *split*. In the category of smooth supermanifolds, every object is split; for a transparent proof of this fact, see [MaG, Subsection 4.1.3], which is more instructive than the first publications [Ga, Bat].

To any supermanifold $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a filtered sheaf of supercommutative superalgebras, there corresponds a supermanifold with the graded sheaf constructed as follows. Consider the filtration

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{J}^0 \supset \mathcal{J}^1 \supset \mathcal{J}^2 \supset \dots$$

of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ by the powers of the subsheaf of ideals $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}^1$ generated by the odd elements that span the fiber of a bundle \mathbf{E} . The associated graded sheaf

$$\mathrm{gr} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathrm{gr}^k \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}},$$

where $\mathrm{gr}^k \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{J}^k / \mathcal{J}^{k+1}$, gives rise to the supermanifold $(M, \mathrm{gr} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$, which is split (or is a singular version of a split supervariety, if the stalk of $\mathrm{gr} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is not a free Grassmann algebra, but a quotient thereof). The supermanifold $(M, \mathrm{gr} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ is called the *retract* of \mathcal{M} .

In [Gr], Green showed that in the category of complex-analytic supermanifolds there exist non-split supermanifolds and gave the first such example.

While editing the manuscript of Berezin's posthumous book, Palamodov completely rewrote (see [Ber1, Ch. 4] and [Ber2, Ch.3, §§4–7]) the draft of the chapter devoted to non-split supermanifolds and also gave examples of non-split supermanifolds expounding his paper [Pa]. Palamodov's description of obstructions to splitness looks totally different from Green's whom Palamodov does not cite in [Pa] submitted on November 23, 1981, obviously being unaware of [Gr] submitted on September 24, 1981.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 58C50, 32C11, 81T30.

Key words and phrases. Non-split supermanifold.

Later on, Manin described non-split supermanifolds with the underlying manifold $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$, in particular, he classified degree-2 obstructions to splitness of supermanifolds whose retract is the split superstring $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}^{1|2}$, a projective supermanifold. Manin's way of describing non-split supermanifolds is the same as Palamodov's, see [Pa], [Ber1, Ch. 4], [Ber2, Ch. 3]; however, Manin did not mention either Palamodov or Green whose way of describing non-splitness is unclear to us, unlike Palamodov's.

Manin's classification of supermanifolds whose retract is the projective superspace $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}^{1|2}$, a.k.a. superstring, differs from that given by Bunegina and Onishchik, who followed the method outlined by Green. Since the answers are different, at least one of them is wrong; our description of degree-2 invariants coincides with Manin's. We cannot pinpoint the exact erroneous spot in [BO]; we used the same transparent approach of Palamodov as Manin. Donagi and Witten showed that some of the moduli supervarieties of superstring theories are non-split, see [DW].

None of the above-mentioned authors, and none of the authors of the collection [LB] and references therein, considered odd parameters of supervarieties of obstructions to splitness. To consider such obstructions, recall, see [L], that functorially, for any (say, finitely generated, over the same ground field) supercommutative superalgebra C , the changes of coordinates in a chart of a superdomain \mathcal{U} with even coordinates $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ and odd coordinates $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ are given by the parity-preserving C -linear automorphisms of the form

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi^*(u_i) = \varphi_i(u, \xi) := \varphi_i^0(u) + \boxed{\sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} \varphi_i^{i_1 \dots i_r}(u) \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_r}} \text{ for all } i, \\ \varphi^*(\xi_j) = \psi_j(u, \xi) := \sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_{2r+1}} \psi_j^{j_1 \dots j_{2r+1}}(u) \xi_{j_1} \dots \xi_{j_{2r+1}} \\ \quad + \boxed{\psi_j^0(u) + \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_{2r}} \psi_j^{j_1 \dots j_{2r}}(u) \xi_{j_1} \dots \xi_{j_{2r}}} \text{ for all } j, \end{array} \right.$$

where, for all r , the even and the odd parameters are as follows

$$\begin{array}{l} \varphi_i^0(u), \quad \varphi_i^{i_1 \dots i_{2r}}(u), \quad \psi_j^{j_1 \dots j_{2r+1}}(u) \in C_{\bar{0}} \quad (\text{the even parameters}), \\ \psi_j^0(u), \quad \psi_j^{j_1 \dots j_{2r}}(u), \quad \varphi_i^{i_1 \dots i_{2r+1}}(u) \in C_{\bar{1}} \quad (\text{the odd parameters}). \end{array}$$

These are parameters of the infinite-dimensional supergroup of automorphisms of $C^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ or, equivalently, of diffeomorphisms of \mathcal{U} ; infinitesimally: of the Lie superalgebra $\mathbf{vect}(m|n)$.

Note that the boxed summands in $\varphi^*(u_i)$ are precisely what the prefix "super" brings to the non-super ("classical") Differential Geometry: these summands, meaningless in the non-super setting even for the even parameters $\varphi_i^{i_1 \dots i_{2r}}(u)$, acquire meaning, as explained above, even for the odd parameters $\varphi_i^{i_1 \dots i_{2r+1}}(u)$. The split supermanifolds are those for which there exists an atlas without these boxed summands in $\varphi^*(u_i)$.

2. DEFORMATIONS OF SUPERSTRINGS $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}^{1|m}$ FOR $m = 1$ AND 2

For descriptions of non-split superstrings corresponding to bundles of rank $m \leq 3$ over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, see [BO, MaG]. Our results below correct *these* answers in [BO] and in [MaG, Ch.4, §2, Subsection 10(a), p. 192] in the cases $m = 1$ and $m = 2$. Our arguments clearly show that the cases of $m > 2$ are much more complicated than claimed in [BO, Theorem 1 ($m = 3$)].

2.1. Basics: recapitulation. Let us cover $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ by two affine charts U_0 and U_1 , with local coordinates x and $y = x^{-1}$, respectively. Let ξ (resp., η) be a basis section of the line bundle \mathbf{L}_k over U_0 (resp., U_1) such that the transition functions in $U_0 \cap U_1$ are of the form

$$y = x^{-1}, \quad \eta = x^k \xi \quad (\text{up to a constant factor}).$$

This bundle \mathbf{L}_k is said to be of *degree* $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The sheaf of sections of the bundle \mathbf{L}_k is denoted $\mathcal{O}(k)$. In particular, $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}(0)$ is the structure sheaf (of functions), and since $dy = -x^{-2}dx$, then the cotangent sheaf of volume forms Ω^1 is $\mathcal{O}(-2)$, and hence $(\partial_y = x^2\partial_x)$ the dual to Ω^1 tangent sheaf is $\mathcal{O}(2)$.

A theorem of Grothendieck (see [HM]) states that any holomorphic bundle \mathbf{E} over \mathbb{CP}^1 is a direct sum of line bundles \mathbf{L}_{-k_i} with uniquely determined degrees k_1, k_2, \dots . In the interesting case we are going to consider where the supermanifold $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{L}_{E(\mathbf{E})})$ is homogeneous, the numbers k_i must be non-negative, see [BO, Proposition 13], i.e., hereafter

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{L}_{-k_1} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-k_2} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-k_3} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-k_4} \oplus \dots, \quad \text{where } k_1 \geq k_2 \geq k_3 \geq k_4 \geq \dots \geq 0.$$

We will need facts (3)–(5), see, e.g., [OSS, § 1.1], and the Serre dualization \vee , see [H]:

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{O}(a) \otimes \mathcal{O}(b) \simeq \mathcal{O}(a + b);$$

$$(4) \quad \dim H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1; \mathcal{O}(a)) = \begin{cases} a + 1, & \text{if } a \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

for a basis of the space of sections H_a^0 over U_0 we can take $\xi, x\xi, \dots, x^a\xi$;
 $H_a^0 \simeq \{P \in \mathbb{C}[x, y] \mid \deg P = a\}$;

$$(5) \quad \dim H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1; \mathcal{O}(a)) = \begin{cases} -a - 1, & \text{if } a \leq -2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

for a basis of the space of sections H_a^1 over U_0 we can take
 $\xi, x^{-1}\xi, \dots, x^{2+a}\xi$ if $a \leq -2$;

$$(6) \quad H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1; \mathcal{O}(a)) \simeq H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1; \mathcal{O}(-a - 2))^\vee.$$

Palamodov showed ([Pa], [Ber1, Ch. 4], [Ber2, Ch. 3]) that the obstructions to splitness of a supermanifolds $\mathcal{M}^{1|m}$ with retract $(\mathbb{CP}^1; \mathcal{E}^\bullet(\mathbf{E}))$, where $\text{rk } \mathbf{E} = m$, form the set of

$$(7) \quad \text{Aut}(\mathbf{E})\text{-orbits in } \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1; \mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^i(\mathbf{E})),$$

where $\mathcal{E}^i(\mathbf{E})$ is the sheaf of sections of the i th exterior power $E^i(\mathbf{E})$ of the bundle \mathbf{E} . For no reason, all researchers considered, so far, only **even** values of i , thus ignoring odd parameters of supervarieties of obstructions to non-splitness.

Recall that the set of points of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ of k -dimensional subspaces in the n -dimensional vector space can be identified with the set of points of the super Grassmannian $\text{SGr}(k, n)$ of $(0|k)$ -dimensional vector subsuperspaces in the $(0|n)$ -dimensional superspace; the tautological bundles of these Grassmannians are, however, different: the fiber over the point corresponding to the k -dimensional subspace V (resp., $(0|k)$ -dimensional subspace $\Pi(V)$, where Π is the inversion of parity functor) is V (resp., $\Pi(V)$) itself, see [MaG].

2.2. The simplest examples. $m = 1$ (from [L]). By eq. (5), the only possible value of i in eq. (7) is $i = 1$, so the odd obstructions to splitness of supermanifolds \mathcal{M} of complex superdimension $(1|1)$, a.k.a. superstrings, with underlying \mathbb{CP}^1 , whose retract corresponds to the line bundle $\mathbf{E} := \mathbf{L}_{-k}$ with rank-1 fiber \mathbb{C} are described by the *non-zero*, i.e., different from the origin, orbits under the action of $\text{GL}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{GL}(1)$, which is also the group of automorphisms of \mathbf{E} and hence acts in the purely odd space

$$(8) \quad H_k := H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1; \mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^1(\mathbf{E})) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1; \Pi(\mathcal{O}(2 - k)));$$

i.e., the set of orbits is parameterized by $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{k-4}$ for $k \geq 4$, see eq. (5), whereas for $k < 4$ there are no obstructions to splitness of \mathcal{M} . (This coincides with the result of [L], where notation $\mathbf{E} := \mathbf{L}_k$ was used.)

$m = 2$. Here, we correct the description of $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{E})$ in [MaG, Example 10 in §2, Ch.4]. Let $\mathbf{E} := \mathbf{L}_{-a} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-b}$, where $a \leq b$. We have to consider the two cases: (A) where $a = b$ and (B) where $a < b$, each case having two subcases: $i = 1$ and $i = 2$ in eq. (7). Over every point, the group of automorphisms of the fiber is isomorphic to

$$\begin{cases} \text{GL}(2) & \text{if } a = b, \\ \text{B} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \text{GL}(2) & \text{if } a < b. \end{cases}$$

Set $H := H^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1; \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^i(\mathcal{O}(-a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-b)))$.

If $a = b$, the group of automorphisms of $\mathbf{E} = V \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-a}$ for an abstract 2-dimensional space V is isomorphic to $\text{GL}(V) = \text{GL}(2)$, and hence acts on H .

If $a < b$, set $d := b - a$. Tensoring $\mathcal{O}(-a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-b)$ by $\mathcal{O}(a)$ we show that

$$\text{End}(\mathcal{O}(-a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-b)) \simeq \text{End}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-d)).$$

The group B of automorphisms of the fiber does not act on H , instead we consider in H the orbits of the group $G := \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-d))$ of global automorphisms of \mathbf{E} .

The degree-1 obstructions to splitness are the non-zero $\text{GL}(V)$ -orbits in the purely odd space $V \otimes H_a$, recall eq. (8), if $a = b$, or the G -orbits if $a < b$ in

$$(9) \quad H^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1; \mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^1(\mathcal{O}(-a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-b))) \simeq H_a \oplus H_b.$$

Then, the elements of the group

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} G &:= \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}(2-a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2-b)) \simeq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}(-d) \oplus \mathcal{O}) \\ &= \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}(-d)) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(-d), \mathcal{O}) \oplus \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^\times \oplus \mathbb{C}^{d+1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^\times. \end{aligned}$$

can be represented by matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & g \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } \Lambda = \lambda \mathbf{1}_{d+1}, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^\times \quad \text{and } g \in H^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d)) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{d+1}.$$

If $f_a \neq 0$, set

$$(11) \quad \Sigma := \{(f_a, g f_a) \in (H_a \oplus H_b) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^{a-3} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{b-3}) \mid f_a \in \mathbb{C}^{a-3}, g \in \mathbb{C}^{d+1}\}.$$

For $a = 4$, we have $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}^{a-3} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{b-3}$ and $\Sigma/G = \{\cdot\}$, see the proof of Theorem 2.2.1.

For $a > 4$, let $\bar{\Sigma}$ be the complement to Σ , an open dense subset of $\mathbb{C}^{a-3} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{b-3}$.

The degree-2 obstructions to splitness are the non-zero $\text{GL}(2)$ -orbits if $a = b$ or G -orbits if $a < b$ in the space

$$(12) \quad H^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1; \mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^2(\mathcal{O}(-a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-b))) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1; \mathcal{O}(2-a-b)).$$

2.2.1. Theorem. (A) Let $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{L}_{-a} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-a} = V \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-a}$, see eq.(9). Then, the obstructions to splitness of \mathcal{M} with retract $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{E}^*(\mathbf{E}))$ are as follows.

(A1) The degree-1 obstructions form the non-zero $\text{GL}(V) = \text{GL}(2)$ -orbits in $V \otimes H_a$, namely if $a < 4$, then there are no odd obstructions;

if $a = 4$, then the non-zero $\text{GL}(V)$ -orbit in $V \otimes H_a \simeq \Pi(V)$ is $\Pi(V) \setminus \{0\}$;

if $a > 4$, then the rank-2 non-zero $\text{GL}(V)$ -orbits in $V \otimes H_a$ form $\coprod_{V' \in \text{SGr}(2, H_a)} (V \otimes V')^\circ$, where $(V \otimes V')^\circ$ is the set of indecomposable tensors in $V \otimes V'$ and where $\text{SGr}(2, H_a)$ is the Grassmannian of $(0|2)$ -dimensional subspaces in H_a ; the rank-1 tensors in $V \otimes H_a$ form the set of decomposable

tensors, i.e., the cone without $\{0\}$ over the $\mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(H_a)$; the non-zero $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -orbits in this cone form $\{\cdot\} \times \mathbb{P}(H_a) \simeq \mathbb{P}(H_a)$.

(A2) The degree-2 obstructions to splitness are the non-zero $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -orbits in $H^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1; \mathcal{O}(2-2a))$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2a-4}, & \text{if } a \geq 2, \\ \text{none}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(B) Let $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{L}_{-a} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-b}$ for $a < b$; let $d := b - a$. The G -orbits in the spaces of obstructions are as follows.

(B1) The degree-1 obstructions to splitness form the non-zero G -orbits in $H_a \oplus H_b$, namely

$$\mathbb{P}(H_b) \quad \text{if } H_a = 0,$$

whereas if $H_a \neq 0$, then the obstructions constitute a set of of dimension $2a - 9$ for $a > 4$ consisting of non-zero G -orbits in the set $\bar{\Sigma} := (H_a \oplus H_b) \setminus (\{0\} \oplus H_b)$, whereas $\Sigma/G = \{\cdot\}$ for $a = 4$.

(B2) The degree-2 obstructions are the non-zero G -orbits in $H^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1; \mathcal{O}(2 - a - b))$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{a+b-4} & \text{if } a + b \geq 4, \\ \text{none}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Claims (A2) and (B2) are clear from eq. (5).

(A1) Then, $\dim H_a = a - 3$. We consider the following four subcases (a)–(d).

(a) $\dim H_a = 0 \iff a < 4$. Then, there are no odd obstructions to splitness of \mathcal{M} .

(b) $\dim H_a = 1 \iff a = 4$. Then, the non-zero $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -orbit in $V \otimes H_a \simeq \Pi(V)$ is $\Pi(V) \setminus \{0\}$.

(c) $\dim H_a = 2 \iff a = 5$. Then, let

$$\begin{aligned} V &:= \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \{v = y_1 v_1 + y_2 v_2 \text{ for any } y_i \in \mathbb{C}\}, \\ H_a &:= \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle = \{u = x_1 u_1 + x_2 u_2 \text{ for any } x_i \in \mathbb{C}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $W := V \otimes H_a$; let K be the cone of decomposable tensors $w = v \otimes u$ for any $u \in H_a$ and $v \in V$. Observe that K is the closure of the image of the map $f : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^4 \rightarrow W$ given by the formula

$$f : (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) \mapsto (w_{11} := \alpha_1 \beta_1, w_{12} := \alpha_1 \beta_2, w_{21} := \alpha_2 \beta_1, w_{22} := \alpha_2 \beta_2).$$

The fiber of f is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^\times , since $f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) = f(\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \beta'_1, \beta'_2)$ implies that there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\alpha'_i = \lambda \alpha_i$ and $\beta'_i = \lambda^{-1} \beta_i$.

Thus, K is a hypersurface in W ; clearly, it is singled out by the equation

$$(13) \quad w_{11} w_{22} - w_{12} w_{21} = 0.$$

For every non-zero $u \in H_a$, the 2-dimensional subspaces

$$V_{\langle u \rangle} := \{v \otimes u \in W \mid u \in H_a\} \simeq V$$

are $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -orbits in W . Therefore,

$$(14) \quad K^* := K \setminus \{0\} = \coprod_{\langle u \rangle \in \mathbb{P}(H_a)} V_{\langle u \rangle}.$$

2.2.2. Lemma. *The set $W \setminus K$ is a homogeneous space under the action of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$.*

Proof of the lemma. Since $\dim(W \setminus K) = \dim \mathrm{GL}(V) = 4$, to describe the stationary subgroup of a point it suffices to prove that the stabilizer of a vector $w \in W \setminus K$ is

$$(15) \quad \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)} w = \{\mathrm{id}\} \text{ for any } w \in W \setminus K.$$

Having selected a basis of V we get an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{GL}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2), \quad g \mapsto A_g.$$

Accordingly, fixing the basis $\{e_{ij} := v_i \otimes u_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ of W we get a representation

$$(16) \quad \mathrm{GL}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(4) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(W), \quad g \mapsto \tilde{A}_g := \begin{pmatrix} A_g & 0 \\ 0 & A_g \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let now $w := (w_{11}, \dots, w_{22})^t$ and $g \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)} w$. Then, by (16), we have

$$\tilde{A}_g w = w \iff A_g(w_{11}, w_{12})^t = (w_{11}, w_{12})^t \quad \text{and} \quad A_g(w_{21}, w_{22})^t = (w_{21}, w_{22})^t,$$

hence

$$w_1 := (w_{11}, w_{12})^t \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 := (w_{21}, w_{22})^t \quad \text{belong to} \quad \mathrm{Ker}(A_g - 1_2),$$

where 1_2 is the unit matrix. If $\dim \mathrm{Ker}(A_g - 1_2) = 1$, then the vectors w_1 and w_2 are collinear, so their coordinates satisfy equation (13), i.e., $w \in K$ contrary to our assumption that $w \in W \setminus K$. Hence, $\dim \mathrm{Ker}(A_g - 1_2) = 2$, i.e., $A_g = 1_2$, and so equality (15) is proved. \square

(d) $\dim H_a > 2 \iff a > 5$. Clearly, the ranks of decomposable tensors w can be equal to either 2 or 1. If the rank is equal to 2, then the non-zero $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -orbits in $V \otimes H_a$ are $\coprod_{V' \in \mathrm{SGr}(2, H_a)} (V \otimes V')^\circ$, where $(V \otimes V')^\circ$ is the set of indecomposable tensors in $V \otimes V'$, see case (c).

If the rank is equal to 1, then the set of decomposable tensors in $V \otimes H_a$ is the cone over K^* , see eq. (14); the non-zero $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -orbits in this cone form $\{\cdot\} \times \mathbb{P}(H_a) \simeq \mathbb{P}(H_a)$.

(B1) If $d := b - a > 0$, then $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(-d)) = 0$ and

$$\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{O}(-d) \oplus \mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{O}(-d)) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(-d), \mathcal{O}) \oplus \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{d+1} \oplus \mathbb{C}.$$

The G -action on $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-d))$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G \times H_a \oplus H_b &\longrightarrow H_a \oplus H_b, \\ G \times (\mathbb{C}^{a-3} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{b-3}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{a-3} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{b-3}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, for $b > a \geq 4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{C}^\times \oplus \mathbb{C}^{d+1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^\times) \times (\mathbb{C}^{a-3} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{b-3}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{a-3} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{b-3}, \\ (\lambda, g, \mu) \times (f_a, f_b) &\mapsto (\lambda f_a, g f_a + \mu f_b). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the two cases of G -action.

(I) $f_a = 0$. Then, $(0, f_b) \mapsto (0, \mu f_b)$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, so the G -orbits in $\{0\} \oplus H_b$ form a set isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(H_b)$.

(II) $f_a \neq 0$. Then, the stabilizer of the point under the G -action is (clearly, $\lambda = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{St}_G(f_a, f_b) &= \{(1, g, \mu) \in G \mid (f_a, f_b) = (f_a, g f_a + \mu f_b)\} \\ &\simeq \{(g, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \mid (1 - \mu)f_b = g f_a\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(f_a, f_b) \in \Sigma$. Then the relation $(f_a, f_b) = (f_a, g f_a + \mu f_b)$ yields $\mathrm{St}_G(f_a, f_b) \simeq \mathbb{C}^\times$. Clearly, $\dim \Sigma = b - 2$, see eq. (11), hence (see eq. (10))

$$\dim(\Sigma/G) = \dim \Sigma - (\dim G - 1) = b - 2 - (d + 2) = a - 4.$$

In particular, for $a = 4$, we have $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}^{a-3} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{b-3}$ and $\Sigma/G = \{\cdot\}$.

For $a > 4$ and $(f_a, f_b) \in \overline{\Sigma}$, see eq. (11), the relation $(1 - \mu)f_b = g f_a$ implies $\mu = 1$ and $g = 0$, so that $\mathrm{St}_G(f_a, f_b) = \mathrm{id}$. Therefore,

$$\dim(\overline{\Sigma}/G) = a + b - 6 - (d + 3) = 2a - 9.$$

To describe the set $\overline{\Sigma}/G$ explicitly is an interesting **open question** for experts in algebraic geometry; its study is beyond the scope of this note. \square

Disclosures. No conflict of interest. Data availability: The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

REFERENCES

- [Bat] Batchelor M., The structure of supermanifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **253** (1979), 329–338
- [Ber1] Berezin F. A., *Introduction to Superanalysis*. Edited and with a foreword by A. A. Kirillov. With an appendix by V.I. Ogievetsky. Translated from the Russian by J. Niederle and R. Kotecký. Translation edited by D. Leites. Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics, **9**. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht (1987) xii+424 pp.
- [Ber2] Berezin F. A., *Introduction to Superanalysis*. 2nd edition revised and edited by D. Leites and with appendix by D. Leites, V. Shander, I. Shchepochkina “Seminar on Supersymmetries v. 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ ”, MCCME, Moscow, (2011) 432 pp. (in Russian) <https://staff.math.su.se/mleites/books/berezin-2013-vvedenie-2nd-ed.pdf>
- [BO] Bunegina V. A., Onishchik A. L., Homogeneous supermanifolds associated with the complex projective line. *J. Math. Sci.* **82** (1996) 3503–3527
- [DW] Donagi R., Witten E., Super Atiyah classes and obstructions to splitting of supermoduli space. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **9** (2013), 739–788; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1404.6257>.
- [Ga] Gawędzki K., Supersymmetries — mathematics of supergeometry. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Sect. a* (N.S.) **27** (1977), no. 4, 335–366
- [Gr] Green P., On holomorphic graded manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1982), no. 4, 587–590
- [H] Hartshorn R., *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer New York, NY, (1977) xvi+496pp.
- [HM] Hazewinkel M., Martin C., A short elementary proof of Grothendieck’s theorem on algebraic vectorbundles over the projective line. *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **25** (1982), 207 – 211.
- [L] Leites D., On odd parameters in geometry. *J. Lie Theory.* **33**:4 (2023) 965–1004; <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2210.17096>
- [LB] Leites D., Bouarroudj S. (eds.) Special issue in memory of Arkady Onishchik. *Commun. in Math.* **30**:3 (2022)
- [MaG] Manin Yu. *Gauge Field Theory and Complex Geometry*. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1997) xii+346 pp.
- [OSS] Okonek Ch., Schneider M., Spindler H., *Vector Bundles on Complex Projective Spaces*. Corrected reprint of the 1980 edition. With an appendix by S. I. Gelfand. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, (2011) viii+239 pp.
- [Pa] Palamodov V.P., Invariants of analytic \mathbb{Z}_2 -manifolds. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **17**:1 (1983), 68–69

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR, ^aDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY, ALBANOVÄGEN 28, SE-114 19 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN, *Email address*, DIMLEITES@GMAIL.COM.;

^bFACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY, HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, USACHEVA ST. 6, 119 048 MOSCOW, RUSSIA, *Email address*, ASTIKHOMIROV@MAIL.RU.;