

Deuterated Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Interstellar Medium: Constraints from the Orion Bar as Observed by the James Webb Space Telescope

DRAFT: 2025.3.5.125

X.J. Yang^{1,2} and Aigen Li²

ABSTRACT

The gas-phase abundances of deuterium (D) in the local interstellar medium (ISM) exhibit considerable regional variations. Particularly, in some regions the gas-phase D abundances are substantially lower than the primordial D abundance generated in the Big Bang, after subtracting the astration reduction caused by the Galactic chemical evolution. Deuterated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) molecules have been suggested as a potential reservoir of the D atoms missing from the gas-phase. Recent observations from the James Webb Space Telescope's Near Infrared Spectrograph have revealed the widespread of deuterated PAHs in the Orion Bar through their aliphatic C–D emission at $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ and possibly aromatic C–D emission at $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ as well. To examine the viability of deuterated PAHs as the D reservoir, we model the infrared (IR) emission spectra of small PAH molecules containing various aromatic and aliphatic D atoms in the Orion Bar. We find that small deuterated PAHs exhibit a noticeable emission band at 4.4 or $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ even if they contain only one aromatic or aliphatic D atom. We derive $N_{\text{D,ali}}/N_{\text{H}} \approx 3.4\%$, the deuteration degree of PAHs measured as the number of aliphatic D atoms (relative to H), from the observed intensity ratios of the $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ band to the $3.3\ \mu\text{m}$ aromatic C–H band. The deuteration degree for aromatically-deuterated PAHs is less certain as C–N stretch also contributes to the observed emission around $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$. If we attribute it exclusively to aromatic C–D, we derive an upper limit of $\approx 14\%$ on the deuteration degree, which is capable of accounting for an appreciable fraction of the missing D budget.

¹Department of Physics, Xiangtan University, 411105 Xiangtan, Hunan Province, China; xjyang@xtu.edu.cn

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA; lia@missouri.edu

1. Introduction

Deuterium (D) was exclusively generated in the Big Bang. As the Galaxy evolves, D is converted into ^3He , ^4He and heavier elements in stellar interiors (a process known as “astration”). The D/H abundance therefore gradually decreases from its primordial abundance of $\text{D}/\text{H} \approx 26$ parts per million (ppm) predicted by the standard Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) model, to a present-day abundance of $\text{D}/\text{H} \gtrsim 22$ ppm, according to the Galactic chemical evolution (GCE) model.

However, observations of the local interstellar medium (ISM) have revealed that the gas-phase interstellar D/H abundance is appreciably lower than predicted from the BBN and GCE models and varies considerably from one sight line to another by a factor of ~ 3 (Draine 2006; Linsky et al. 2006). Such a low gas-phase D/H abundance and its regional variations are puzzling. In such a small scale as the local ISM, variations in astration are not expected to be significant enough to account for the D/H variations.

Where have all the missing D atoms gone? One possible agent is polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH): all or part of the D atoms missing from the gas-phase could have been locked up in PAH molecules (Draine 2006). When D atoms incorporated with PAHs, both aromatic and aliphatic C–D bonds could be formed (Yang & Li 2023; see Figure 1). In principle, they could be revealed by the aromatic and aliphatic C–D stretches at ~ 4.4 and $4.65 \mu\text{m}$ (Hudgins et al. 2004; Yang & Li 2023). Indeed, in the past decades, such features have been detected by the *Infrared Space Observatory* and AKARI in several objects (Peeters et al. 2004; Onaka et al. 2014; Doney et al. 2016). Based on these detections, Yang et al. (2020, 2021) and Yang & Li (2023) have determined the amount of D/H depleted in PAHs and found that PAHs with aliphatic C–D units could have tied up a substantial amount of D/H and marginally account for the missing D.

However, the low intensities of the 4.4 and $4.65 \mu\text{m}$ C–D emission bands put them at the limit of ISO and AKARI. This has changed with the launch and operation of the *James Webb Space Telescope* (JWST). Due to its unprecedented sensitivity, JWST is expected to place their detection on firm ground and enable far more detailed analysis than previously possible. Indeed, using the *Near Infrared Spectrograph* (NIRSpec) on JWST, Peeters et al. (2024) performed high-resolution near infrared (IR) integral field spectroscopic observations of the Orion Bar and clearly detected the aliphatic C–D emission band at $4.65 \mu\text{m}$ and possibly the aromatic C–D emission band at $4.4 \mu\text{m}$ as well. However, the detection of the $4.4 \mu\text{m}$ band is less certain. The emission complex around $4.4 \mu\text{m}$ actually peaks at $\sim 4.35 \mu\text{m}$, which overlaps with or is even dominated by C–N stretches. The $4.65 \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–D band was also detected by JWST/NIRSpec in the bright photodissociation region (PDR) position in M17, a nearby massive star-forming cloud (Boersma et al. 2023). More recently, Draine et

al. (2025) also reported the detection of the $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–D emission in four massive star-forming regions in M51, the Whirlpool galaxy. However, neither M17 nor M51 shows appreciable aromatic C–D emission at $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$.

In this work, we shall base on the JWST/NIRSpec data of the Orion Bar to determine the depletion of D atoms in PAHs. To this end, in §2 we first summarize the JWST/NIRSpec detections of the 4.4 and $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ C–D emission bands of the Orion Bar. We then model in §3 the vibrational excitation of PAHs containing D atoms and compute their IR emission spectra. We consider three representative deuterated PAH molecules with various aromatic and aliphatic D atoms incorporated and derive the power emitted from the 4.4 and $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ bands ($I_{4.4}$ and $I_{4.65}$) as well as that from the aromatic C–H stretch at $3.3\ \mu\text{m}$ ($I_{3.3}$). We derive in §4 the degrees of deuteration of PAHs by comparing the observed band ratios ($I_{4.4}/I_{3.3}$)_{obs} and ($I_{4.65}/I_{3.3}$)_{obs} with the model band ratios. Finally, we summarize our major results in §5.

2. JWST Observations of the Orion Bar

Lying in the Orion Nebula, a prototypical star-forming region located at a distance of $\sim 414\pm 7$ pc (Menten et al. 2007), the Orion Bar refers to the elongated rim near the ionization front that separates the neutral cloud from the ionized gas (see Figure 1). It is illuminated by the O7V-type star θ^1 Ori C (with an effective temperature of $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 39,000\pm 1,000$ K), the most massive member of the Trapezium young stellar cluster, which lies at the heart of the Orion Nebula and $\sim 2'$ north-east of the Bar (O’Dell 2001).

Recent JWST observations have enabled the detection in the Orion Bar of a rich family of PAH emission bands and an improved characterization of their detailed spectral profiles and sub-components, including the major bands at 3.3 , 6.2 , 7.7 , 8.6 , 11.3 , and $12.7\ \mu\text{m}$ and a wealth of weaker bands (Chown et al. 2024; van De Putte et al. 2024; Peeters et al. 2024). As noticed previously from ground-based observations (e.g., see Geballe et al. 1989; Sloan et al. 1997), the $3.3\ \mu\text{m}$ band is accompanied by weaker bands at 3.395 , 3.403 , 3.424 , 3.46 , 3.52 , and $3.56\ \mu\text{m}$ which are perched on the top of a broad plateau. These sub-features, collectively called the “aliphatic $3.4\ \mu\text{m}$ C–H” stretching band, demonstrate that the PAH molecules in the Orion Bar have an aliphatic content (see Yang et al. 2017).

We show in Figure 2 the 3 – $5\ \mu\text{m}$ JWST/NIRSpec spectra of five representative regions in the Orion Bar: HII region, atomic PDR, and three “dissociation front” (DF) regions (DF1, DF2 and DF3). It is apparent that the Orion Bar shows an asymmetric band (with a red wing) centered at $4.646\ \mu\text{m}$ and potentially an asymmetric, weaker band (also with a red

wing) centered at $4.746\ \mu\text{m}$,¹ which fall within the wavelength range of the aliphatic C–D stretching mode of deuterated PAHs (Hudgins et al. 2004; Buragohain et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2020, 2021; Allamandola et al. 2021). While the aromatic C–D stretch occurs at $\sim 4.4\ \mu\text{m}$, the aliphatic C–D stretch occurs near $4.6\ \mu\text{m}$ for super-deutereated PAHs² and near $4.7\ \mu\text{m}$ ($2130\ \text{cm}^{-1}$) for PAHs containing deuterated (i.e., D-substituted) methyl side-groups (see Hudgins et al. 2004; Yang & Li 2023).

The JWST/NIRSpec spectra of the Orion Bar, particularly the atomic PDR and DF1, also display a broad, weak emission band centered near $4.35\ \mu\text{m}$ (see Figure 2). For convenience, in the following this band will be interchangeably referred to as the “ $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ ” band or the $4.35\ \mu\text{m}$ band. We note that, although this band occurs at a wavelength which is somewhat shorter than the nominal wavelength of $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ of the aromatic C–D stretch of deuterated PAHs in which a peripheral H atom is replaced by an D atom, it falls within the wavelength range of $\sim 4.3\text{--}4.5\ \mu\text{m}$ predicted from quantum chemical computations of deuterated PAHs (Hudgins et al. 2004; Yang et al. 2020, 2021). However, as Peeters et al. (2024) had already pointed out, this band also coincides with a nitrile (–CN) stretch at $4.38\ \mu\text{m}$ ($2280\ \text{cm}^{-1}$; Allamandola et al. 2021).³ It is not clear how much of the power emitted in the JWST-observed “ $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ ” band arises from aromatic C–D and how much from C–N stretch. By exclusively attributing the “ $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ ” emission to aromatic C–D, we will derive an upper limit on the amount of aromatic D in PAHs.

To determine the amount of power emitted in the aromatic and aliphatic C–D bands, we fit the JWST/NIRSpec spectra of the five regions of the Orion Bar in the $3\text{--}5\ \mu\text{m}$ wavelength range with three Drude profiles at ~ 4.35 , 4.65 and $4.75\ \mu\text{m}$, in combination with an underlying linear continuum.⁴ These Drude profiles characterize the aromatic and aliphatic

¹We note that these bands have already been observed in the Orion Bar and several other H II regions by ISO and AKARI (Peeters et al. 2004; Onaka et al. 2014, 2022; Doney et al. 2016), although the band profiles could not be resolved due to the low angular and spectral resolution.

²By “super-deutereated PAHs” we mean that two D atoms or one D atom and one H atom share an C atom in a PAH molecule. In regions rich in H and D atoms, PAHs could be superhydrogenated and even super-deutereated by inserting an extra H or D atom to an edge C atom so that two H atoms or one H atom and one D atom or even two D atoms share one C atom. This converts aromatic C–H and C–D stretches to aliphatic (see Yang & Li 2023).

³It is interesting to note that the CN stretch of cyanonaphthalene, which consists of two fused benzene rings and substitutes a nitrile group for a hydrogen atom occurs at a much longer wavelength of $\sim 4.69\ \mu\text{m}$ (see Li et al. 2024).

⁴For the H II region, two Drude components are required to account for the emission complex around $4.35\ \mu\text{m}$.

C–D stretches. We show the fitting results in Figure 2 and tabulate the so-derived emission intensities for all three bands ($I_{4.4}$, $I_{4.65}$, and $I_{4.75}$ in units $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1}$) in Table 1. For reference, we also tabulate in Table 1 the emission intensity of the $3.3 \mu\text{m}$ aromatic C–H stretch ($I_{3.3}$) measured by Peeters et al. (2024). As the $4.75 \mu\text{m}$ band often accompanies the $4.65 \mu\text{m}$ band and is often much weaker than the $4.65 \mu\text{m}$ band, in this work we take the sum of $I_{4.65}$ and $I_{4.75}$ as the emission intensity of the aliphatic C–D stretch. In the following, unless otherwise stated, “ $I_{4.65}$ ” represents the total emission intensity of the aliphatic C–D stretches, that is, $I_{4.65} + I_{4.75}$.

Apparently, the derived band emission intensities depend on the adopted underlying continuum. The continua defined in Figure 2 span the wavelength range of 3 and $5 \mu\text{m}$ and are somewhat “generous”, particularly for the H II, DF2 and DF3 regions. To assess the influences of the adopted continuum on the band emission intensities, we adopt a “tight” continuum defined in a more narrow wavelength range of $3.8\text{--}5.0 \mu\text{m}$ and re-fit the “4.4”, 4.65 and $4.75 \mu\text{m}$ bands for the H II, DF2 and DF3 regions. No attempt is made to the atomic PDR and DF1 since for these regions it is hard to define a continuum in the $3.8\text{--}5.0 \mu\text{m}$ range. In Figure 3 we show the fitted spectra and in Table 1 we tabulate the derived emission intensities for the aromatic and aliphatic C–D bands, which are somewhat smaller than that when a “generous” continuum is adopted.

3. Model Infrared Emission Spectra of Deuterated PAHs

To facilitate the analysis of the IR emission bands of deuterated PAHs in the Orion Bar as observed by JWST, we set up a theoretical framework to model the IR emission of PAHs containing both aromatic and aliphatic C–D bonds, and relate the emission intensities of the $4.4 \mu\text{m}$ aromatic and $4.65 \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–D bands (relative to the $3.3 \mu\text{m}$ aromatic C–H band) to $f_{\text{D}} \equiv N_{\text{D}} / (N_{\text{H}} + N_{\text{D}})$, the degree of deuteration of a PAH molecule measured as the number of D atoms (N_{D}) relative to the sum of the number of H atoms (N_{H}) and N_{D} .

Lets consider a PAH molecule of $N_{\text{C,aro}}$ aromatic C atoms, $N_{\text{H,aro}}$ aromatic H atoms, $N_{\text{H,ali}}$ aliphatic H atoms, $N_{\text{D,aro}}$ aromatic D atoms, and $N_{\text{D,ali}}$ aliphatic D atoms. We assume that the aliphatic C–H and C–D bonds are created by superhydrogenation and superdeuteration (i.e., an extra H or D atom is added to an edge C atom so that two H atoms, or one H atom and one D atom, or two D atoms share one C atom; see Figure 1).⁵

For such a molecule, we approximate its absorption cross section by adding five Drude

⁵In the UV-rich Orion Bar, we believe that it is unlikely for PAHs to attain deuterated methyl side-groups.

functions to that of PAHs of $N_{\text{C,aro}}$ C atoms and $N_{\text{H,aro}}$ H atoms which represent the $3.4 \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–H stretch, the 6.85 and $7.25 \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–H deformation bending, the $4.4 \mu\text{m}$ aromatic C–D stretch, and $4.65 \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–D stretch:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\text{abs}}(N_{\text{C}}; \lambda) &= C_{\text{abs}}^{\text{PAH}}(N_{\text{C,aro}}, N_{\text{H,aro}}; \lambda) \\
&+ N_{\text{H,ali}} \frac{2 \gamma_{3.4} \lambda_{3.4} \sigma_{\text{int},3.3} (A_{3.4}/A_{3.3})}{\pi (\lambda/\lambda_{3.4} - \lambda_{3.4}/\lambda)^2 + \gamma_{3.4}^2} \\
&+ N_{\text{H,ali}} \frac{2 \gamma_{6.85} \lambda_{6.85} \sigma_{\text{int},6.2} (A_{6.85}/A_{6.2})}{\pi (\lambda/\lambda_{6.85} - \lambda_{6.85}/\lambda)^2 + \gamma_{6.85}^2} \\
&+ N_{\text{H,ali}} \frac{2 \gamma_{7.25} \lambda_{7.25} \sigma_{\text{int},6.2} (A_{7.25}/A_{6.2})}{\pi (\lambda/\lambda_{7.25} - \lambda_{7.25}/\lambda)^2 + \gamma_{7.25}^2} \\
&+ N_{\text{D,aro}} \frac{2 \gamma_{4.4} \lambda_{4.4} \sigma_{\text{int},3.3} (A_{4.4}/A_{3.3})}{\pi (\lambda/\lambda_{4.4} - \lambda_{3.3}/\lambda)^2 + \gamma_{4.4}^2} \\
&+ N_{\text{D,ali}} \frac{2 \gamma_{4.65} \lambda_{4.65} \sigma_{\text{int},3.3} (A_{4.65}/A_{3.3})}{\pi (\lambda/\lambda_{4.65} - \lambda_{4.65}/\lambda)^2 + \gamma_{4.65}^2} , \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

where $N_{\text{C}} = N_{\text{C,aro}} + N_{\text{C,ali}}$ is the total number of C atoms contained in the molecule; $C_{\text{abs}}^{\text{PAH}}(N_{\text{C,aro}}, N_{\text{H,aro}}; \lambda)$ is the absorption cross section of a pure aromatic astro-PAH molecule of $N_{\text{C,aro}}$ C atoms and $N_{\text{H,aro}}$ H atoms computed from the formulation of Li & Draine (2001), Draine & Li (2007), and Draine et al. (2021); $\lambda_{3.4} = 3.4 \mu\text{m}$ is the central wavelength of the $3.4 \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–H band; $\lambda_{6.85} = 6.85 \mu\text{m}$ and $\lambda_{7.25} = 7.25 \mu\text{m}$ are respectively the central wavelengths of the 6.85 and $7.25 \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–H deformation bands; $\lambda_{4.4} = 4.4 \mu\text{m}$ and $\lambda_{4.65} = 4.65 \mu\text{m}$ are respectively the central wavelengths of the 4.4 and $4.65 \mu\text{m}$ C–D bands; $\gamma_{3.4} \lambda_{3.4}$, $\gamma_{4.4} \lambda_{4.4}$, $\gamma_{4.65} \lambda_{4.65}$, $\gamma_{6.85} \lambda_{6.85}$, and $\gamma_{7.25} \lambda_{7.25}$ are respectively the full widths at half maximum (FWHMs) of the 3.4 , 4.4 , 4.65 , 6.85 and $7.25 \mu\text{m}$ bands ($\gamma_{3.4}$, $\gamma_{4.4}$, $\gamma_{4.65}$, $\gamma_{6.85}$, and $\gamma_{7.25}$ are dimensionless parameters; see Draine & Li 2007); $A_{3.3}$ and $A_{3.4}$ are the intensities of the aromatic and aliphatic C–H stretches, respectively; $A_{6.2}$ is the intensity of the $6.2 \mu\text{m}$ C–C stretch; $A_{6.85}$ and $A_{7.25}$ are the intensities of the aliphatic C–H deformation bands; $A_{4.4}$ and $A_{4.65}$ are the intensities of the aromatic and aliphatic C–D stretches; and $\sigma_{\text{int},3.3}$ is the integrated strengths per (aromatic) C atom of the $3.3 \mu\text{m}$ aromatic C–H stretch (see Draine & Li 2007). We take $A_{3.4}/A_{3.3} = 1.76$, $A_{6.85}/A_{6.2} = 5.0$, $A_{7.25}/A_{6.2} = 0.5$, $A_{4.4}/A_{3.3} = 0.56$ and $A_{4.65}/A_{3.3} = 1.04$ as computed by Yang et al. (2016, 2020, 2021) and Yang & Li (2023)⁶ and consistent with experimental measurements (see Mori et al. 2022). We set the FWHMs of the 4.4 and $4.65 \mu\text{m}$ bands to that observed in the Orion Bar, $\gamma_{4.4} \lambda_{4.4} = \gamma_{4.65} \lambda_{4.65} = 0.047 \mu\text{m}$ (Doney et al. 2016). We note that the absorption cross sections given in eq. 1 are not the results of density functional theory (DFT) calculations for PAH molecules with specific

⁶These intrinsic band-strength ratios give $A_{4.65}/A_{3.4} \approx 0.59$ and $A_{4.65}/A_{4.4} \approx 1.86$.

structures, instead, they are simply a function of N_C , N_H and N_D , the numbers of C, H and D atoms. The three molecules studied in this work (i.e., $C_{24}H_{12}$, $C_{32}H_{16}$, and $C_{48}H_{18}$; see below) are only representatives of molecules of the same numbers of C, H and D atoms, and their chemical structures do not matter in the calculations.

Due to their small heat contents, PAHs are transiently heated in the ISM by single stellar photons. They will not attain an equilibrium temperature, instead, they will experience temperature spikes and undergo temperature fluctuations. Following Draine & Li (2001), we calculate the temperature probability distribution functions and emission spectra of deuterated PAHs. Let dP be the probability that the temperature of the PAH molecule will be in $[T, T + dT]$. The emissivity (in unit of $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$) of this molecule becomes

$$j_\lambda(N_C) = \int C_{\text{abs}}(N_C, \lambda) 4\pi B_\lambda(T) \frac{dP}{dT} dT . \quad (2)$$

We consider three parent PAHs: $C_{24}H_{12}$ (like coronene), $C_{32}H_{16}$ (like diindenoperylene) and $C_{48}H_{18}$ (like [4]-rhubene). We select these relatively small molecules because, upon the absorption of an UV photon in the ISM, only small PAHs can be excited to high enough temperatures to effectively emit around $\sim 4 \mu\text{m}$.

For each molecule, we consider a range of degrees of deuteration, from zero deuteration all the way up to $f_D = 50\%$; i.e., $N_D = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 6$ for $C_{24}H_{12}$, $N_D = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 8$ for $C_{32}H_{16}$, and $N_D = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 9$ for $C_{48}H_{18}$. For a given N_D , we vary $N_{D,\text{ali}}$ from 0 to N_D and $N_{D,\text{aro}} = N_D - N_{D,\text{ali}}$. For simplicity, we set $N_{H,\text{ali}}$ to be 10% of N_C . This is generally consistent with the strength of the $3.4 \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–H emission (relative to the $3.3 \mu\text{m}$ aromatic C–H emission seen in the Orion Bar; see Yang & Li 2023). In principle, we should consider different $N_{H,\text{ali}}/N_H$ ratios for different regions in the Orion Bar so as to agree with the JWST observations of the ratios of the intensities of the $3.4 \mu\text{m}$ band ($I_{3.4}$) to that of the $3.3 \mu\text{m}$ band ($I_{3.3}$). However, $I_{3.4}$ is often much smaller than $I_{3.3}$ and the exact $N_{H,\text{ali}}$ value does not appreciably affect the overall absorption cross section of a PAH molecule (see eq. 1) and therefore will not appreciably affect its temperature probability distribution function (see eq. 2). As a result, the exact value of $N_{H,\text{ali}}$ will have little influence on the model $I_{4.4}$ and $I_{4.65}$ intensities.

For the illuminating interstellar radiation field, we take the stellar model atmospheric spectrum of Kurucz (1979) of $T_{\text{eff}} = 40,000 \text{ K}$, the effective temperature of $\theta^1 \text{ Ori C}$ which illuminates the Orion Bar. We take the starlight intensity to be $U = 10^4$,⁷ where U is defined

⁷This is consistent with quantitative estimates of the UV radiation field of the Orion Bar: $G_0 \approx 2.2\text{--}7.1 \times 10^4$ in Habing units (Berné et al. 2022; Habart et al. 2023; Peeters et al. 2024) and $U \approx 1.08 G_0$ (see

as

$$U \equiv \frac{\int_{1\mu\text{m}}^{912\text{ \AA}} 4\pi J_{\star}(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_{1\mu\text{m}}^{912\text{ \AA}} 4\pi J_{\text{ISRF}}(\lambda) d\lambda}, \quad (3)$$

where $J_{\star}(\lambda)$ is the intensity of starlight in the Orion Bar, and $J_{\text{ISRF}}(\lambda)$ is the starlight intensity of the solar neighbourhood interstellar radiation field (ISRF) of Mathis, Mezger & Panagia (1983; MMP83).

4. Results and Discussion

We calculate the IR emission spectra of various deuterated species of three parent molecules $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{12}$, $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{16}$, and $\text{C}_{48}\text{H}_{18}$, illuminated by θ^1 Ori C in the Orion Bar. For illustration, we show in Figures 4–6 the model emission spectra in the wavelength range of 2.5–5.0 μm for a number of deuterated species of $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{12}$, $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{16}$, and $\text{C}_{48}\text{H}_{18}$. We see that the 4.4 and 4.65 μm aromatic and aliphatic C–D emission bands are clearly visible even just with $N_{\text{D,aro}} = 1$ and $N_{\text{D,ali}} = 1$, and become stronger as $N_{\text{D,aro}}$ and $N_{\text{D,ali}}$ increase. For molecules with $N_{\text{D,aro}} = N_{\text{D,ali}}$, the 4.65 μm band is stronger than the 4.4 μm band by a factor of ~ 2 . This is because the intrinsic band strength of the 4.65 μm aliphatic C–D stretch is stronger than that of the 4.4 μm aromatic C–D stretch ($A_{4.65}/A_{4.4} \approx 1.86$; see Yang & Li 2023). Also, as expected, the 3.4 μm aliphatic C–H emission remains essentially invariant since the number of aliphatic C–H bonds is fixed to be 10% of N_{C} (see §3).

For each molecule with a given $N_{\text{D,aro}}$ and $N_{\text{D,ali}}$, we derive $(I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$ and $(I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$, the model emission intensity ratios of the 4.4 and 4.65 μm bands to the 3.3 μm band. They are calculated from

$$\left(\frac{I_{4.4}}{I_{3.3}}\right) = \frac{\int_{4.4} \Delta j_{\lambda}(N_{\text{C}}) d\lambda}{\int_{3.3} \Delta j_{\lambda}(N_{\text{C}}) d\lambda}, \quad \left(\frac{I_{4.65}}{I_{3.3}}\right) = \frac{\int_{4.65} \Delta j_{\lambda}(N_{\text{C}}) d\lambda}{\int_{3.3} \Delta j_{\lambda}(N_{\text{C}}) d\lambda}, \quad (4)$$

where $I_{3.3}$, $I_{4.4}$ and $I_{4.65}$ are respectively the intensities of the 3.3 μm aromatic C–H emission band, the 4.4 μm aromatic C–D band, and the 4.65 μm aliphatic C–D band; and $\int_{3.3} \Delta j_{\lambda}(N_{\text{C}}) d\lambda$, $\int_{4.4} \Delta j_{\lambda}(N_{\text{C}}) d\lambda$, and $\int_{4.65} \Delta j_{\lambda}(N_{\text{C}}) d\lambda$ are respectively the band-integrated excess emission of the 3.3, 4.4 and 4.65 μm bands of deuterated PAHs.

To relate the model $(I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$ and $(I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$ band-intensity ratios to the degrees of deuteration, we show in Figure 7 the band-intensity ratios $(I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$ and $(I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$

Draine & Li 2001). As we are mainly interested in the band ratios $I_{4.4}/I_{3.3}$ and $I_{4.65}/I_{3.3}$, the knowledge of the exact starlight intensity is not critical. As shown in Yang et al. (2016), the model IR emission spectra (scaled by the starlight intensity U) are essentially independent of U , characteristic of single-photon heating.

as a function of $N_{\text{D,aro}}/N_{\text{H}}$ and $N_{\text{D,ali}}/N_{\text{H}}$, respectively. The band-intensity ratios are computed for the various deuterated species of $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{12}$, $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{16}$, and $\text{C}_{48}\text{H}_{18}$, containing various aromatic and aliphatic D atoms. It is apparent that for each parent molecule, $(I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$ linearly increases with $N_{\text{D,aro}}/N_{\text{H}}$ and $(I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$ linearly increases with $N_{\text{D,ali}}/N_{\text{H}}$. For larger PAHs, the increase is somewhat steeper (i.e., the slopes $d(I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}/d(N_{\text{D,aro}}/N_{\text{H}})$ and $d(I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}/d(N_{\text{D,ali}}/N_{\text{H}})$ are slightly higher). This is because, a larger PAH molecule has more degrees of freedom and a larger heat capacity. Upon absorption of an UV stellar photon, the molecule is excited to lower temperatures and emits more effectively at longer wavelengths (e.g., 4.4 and 4.65 μm) than at shorter wavelengths (e.g., 3.3 μm). Therefore, for a given $N_{\text{D}}/N_{\text{H}}$, larger $(I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$ and $(I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$ band-ratios are expected. By averaging over all the deuterated species of all three parent molecules, we obtain

$$\frac{N_{\text{D,aro}}}{N_{\text{H}}} \approx (1.19 \pm 0.15) \times (I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}} \quad , \quad \frac{N_{\text{D,ali}}}{N_{\text{H}}} \approx (0.57 \pm 0.06) \times (I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}} \quad . \quad (5)$$

It is straightforward to apply eq. 5 to observationally determined band-intensity ratios $(I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{obs}}$ and $(I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{obs}}$ to derive the deuteration of PAHs.

As summarized in §2, the JWST/NIRSpec observations of the Orion Bar clearly detected the 4.65 and 4.75 μm aliphatic C–D emission bands in the H II region, atomic PDR, and three DF regions (Peeters et al. 2024). By adopting a “generous” continuum (see Figure 2), the JWST-observed emission intensity ratios of the 4.65 μm aliphatic C–D stretch to the 3.3 μm aromatic C–H stretch are $I_{4.65}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.057, 0.023, 0.030, 0.031$ and 0.035 for the Orion Bar H II region, atomic PDR, DF1, DF2 and DF3, respectively. If we adopt a “tight” continuum (see Figure 3), we derive $I_{4.65}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.038, 0.020,$ and 0.032 for the H II region, DF2 and DF3, respectively (see Table 1). Similarly, we determine the JWST-observed emission intensity ratios of the 4.75 μm aliphatic C–D stretch to the 3.3 μm aromatic C–H stretch to be $I_{4.75}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.033, 0.011, 0.017, 0.023$ and 0.043 for the H II region, atomic PDR, DF1, DF2 and DF3, respectively. With a “tight” continuum, we obtain $I_{4.75}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.024, 0.018,$ and 0.040 for the H II region, DF2 and DF3, respectively. As we aim at maximizing the amount of D which PAHs could accommodate, in the following we will confine us to the “generous” continuum cases.

As mentioned in §2, we shall take the sum of $I_{4.65}$ and $I_{4.75}$ as the emission intensity of the aliphatic C–D stretch, leading to $I_{4.65''}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.090, 0.034, 0.047, 0.054$ and 0.077 for the Orion Bar H II region, atomic PDR, DF1, DF2 and DF3, respectively. According to eq. 5, these emission intensity ratios translate into deuteration fractions of $N_{\text{D,ali}}/N_{\text{H}} \approx 0.051, 0.019, 0.027, 0.031$ and 0.044 for the H II region, atomic PDR, DF1, DF2 and DF3, respectively. By averaging over all five regions, we obtain $\langle I_{4.65''}/I_{3.3} \rangle \approx 0.06 \pm 0.02$ and $\langle N_{\text{D,ali}}/N_{\text{H}} \rangle \approx 3.4\%$ for the Orion Bar. Such a deuteration fraction is too small to account for the missing D in the ISM, which requires $N_{\text{D}}/N_{\text{H}} \sim 20\%$ (see Yang et al. 2020, 2021; Yang &

Li 2023). It is likely that the bulk of the missing D may have been locked up in large PAHs which do not emit appreciably at $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ (B.T. Draine 2024, private communication).

As discussed in §2, the case for the $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ aromatic C–D stretch is more complicated since the observed emission complex around $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ is also contributed or even dominated by C–N stretches. If we attribute it exclusively to aromatic C–D, an upper limit on the deuteration fraction $N_{\text{D,aro}}/N_{\text{H}}$ will be placed. As tabulated in Table 1, if we adopt a “generous” continuum (see Figure 2), the observed emission intensity ratios of the “ $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ ” band to the $3.3\ \mu\text{m}$ band are $I_{\text{“4.4”}}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.093, 0.118, 0.124, 0.129$ and 0.144 for the Orion Bar H II region, atomic PDR, DF1, DF2 and DF3, respectively. These emission intensity ratios translate into deuteration fractions of $N_{\text{D,aro}}/N_{\text{H}} \approx 0.110, 0.141, 0.148, 0.153$ and 0.171 for the H II region, atomic PDR, DF1, DF2 and DF3, respectively. By averaging over all five regions, we obtain a mean intensity ratio of $\langle I_{\text{“4.4”}}/I_{3.3} \rangle \approx 0.12 \pm 0.02$ and $\langle N_{\text{D,ali}}/N_{\text{H}} \rangle \approx 14\%$ for the Orion Bar. By adding $N_{\text{D,ali}}$ to $N_{\text{D,aro}}$, we derive an upper limit of $N_{\text{D}}/N_{\text{H}} \approx 17\%$ for the deuteration degree of small PAH molecules in the Orion Bar. This is capable of accounting for a large fraction of the missing D budget. We should emphasize that these quantities (i.e., $I_{\text{“4.4”}}/I_{3.3}$, $N_{\text{D,ali}}/N_{\text{H}}$, and $N_{\text{D}}/N_{\text{H}}$) are the upper limits.

We note that, as summarized in Table 7 of Yang & Li (2023),⁸ ISO and AKARI have previously detected the aromatic and aliphatic C–D emission in about a dozen sources (Verstraete et al. 1996; Peeters et al. 2004; Onaka et al. 2014, 2022; Doney et al. 2016). Based on these data, Yang & Li (2023) found that the PAH deuteration degrees of some sources can be as high as $\sim 20\%$, implying that PAHs could be a plausible sink of the missing D atoms. Particularly, the aliphatic D/H derived from the $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ band of these sources is much higher than that derived here for the Orion Bar. Future JWST/NIRSpec observations with high spectral resolution and superb sensitivity will be valuable for placing these detections on firmer ground and for attesting the deuteration degree derivation of Yang & Li (2023).⁹

⁸In Table 7 of Yang & Li (2023), a per cent (“%”) symbol was missing in the numbers listed for $(I_{3.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{obs}}$, f_{aliCH} , $(I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{obs}}$, f_{aroCD} , $(I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{obs}}$, and f_{aliCD} . For example, 59.9 ± 4.1 should be $59.9\% \pm 4.1\%$ for G75.78+0.34’s $(I_{3.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{obs}}$, and 3.3 ± 1.3 should be $3.3\% \pm 1.3\%$ for G75.78+0.34’s f_{aroCD} .

⁹Boersma et al. (2023) performed JWST/NIRSpec observations of the massive star-forming cloud M17 and detected the $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–D emission band, but they found no hint of the aromatic C–D stretch between 4.36 and $4.43\ \mu\text{m}$. They derived from $I_{4.65}/I_{3.4}$ —the emission-intensity ratio of the $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ band to the $3.4\ \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–H band—a degree of aliphatic deuteration of $N_{\text{D}}/(N_{\text{H}} + N_{\text{D}}) = 31\% \pm 12.7\%$ for the bright-PDR position in M17. However, this deuteration degree only applies to the aliphatic C–H units, which are only a small fraction of the PAHs in the M17 PDR for which $I_{3.4}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.10$ (Boersma et al. 2023). With $I_{4.65}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.037$ (Boersma et al. 2023), we derive $N_{\text{D,ali}}/N_{\text{H}} \approx 2.1\%$ for the M17 PDR, which is close to that estimated by Yang & Li (2023) based on the AKARI data of Onaka et al. (2014).

Finally, we also note that, following the absorption of an energetic photon, a PAH molecule has three major competing decay channels to relax its energy: thermal emission (i.e., “heat”), photoionization, and photodissociation (see Appendix A in Li & Lunine 2003). When we model the vibrational excitation of deuterated PAHs in this work (see §3), we assume that the energy of an absorbed photon is fully converted to vibrational energy of the molecule, i.e., we neglect the energy lost in the form of photoelectrons (e.g., see Sidhu et al. 2022) and bond dissociation as well as possible fluorescent emission of optical photons (e.g., see Li & Draine 2002). If we take into account the energy lost in these channels, the overall IR emission will be smaller. However, this will not affect the PAH deuteration degree derivation since what we rely on are the band-intensity *ratios*, not the absolute power emitted from a C–D band.

5. Summary

We have modeled the IR emission spectra of deuterated PAH molecules in the Orion Bar. We have considered various deuterated species of three small PAH molecules ($C_{24}H_{12}$, $C_{32}H_{16}$, and $C_{48}H_{18}$) which are the most effective emitters in the C–H and C–D stretching bands. These deuterated species contain a range of aromatic and aliphatic C–D bonds and emit at the $3.3\ \mu\text{m}$ aromatic C–H stretch, $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ aromatic C–D stretch, and $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–D stretch. The major results are as follows:

1. Small deuterated PAHs exhibit a noticeable emission band at 4.4 or $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ even if they contain *only* one aromatic or aliphatic D atom. With the same amount of aromatic and aliphatic D atoms, the $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–D band is stronger than the $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ aromatic C–D band by a factor of ~ 2 since the intrinsic strength of the $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ aliphatic C–D stretch is about twice strong as that of the $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ aromatic C–D stretch.
2. The fractions of aromatic and aliphatic D atoms in deuterated PAHs can be derived from the observed band-intensity ratios of the 4.4 and $4.65\ \mu\text{m}$ C–D emission bands to the $3.3\ \mu\text{m}$ C–H band through $(N_{\text{D,aro}}/N_{\text{H}}) \approx (1.19 \pm 0.15) \times (I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{obs}}$ and $N_{\text{D,ali}}/N_{\text{H}} \approx (0.57 \pm 0.06) \times (I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{obs}}$.
3. The JWST/NIRSpec observations of the Orion Bar reveal mean band-intensity ratios of $(I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{obs}} \approx 0.12 \pm 0.02$ and $(I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{obs}} \approx 0.06 \pm 0.02$. These band-intensity ratios translate into $N_{\text{D,aro}}/N_{\text{H}} \approx 14\%$, $N_{\text{D,ali}}/N_{\text{H}} \approx 3.4\%$, and a total deuteration fraction of $N_{\text{D}}/N_{\text{H}} \approx 17\%$. However, such a deuteration fraction should be considered as an upper limit since the JWST-observed $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ emission complex is also contributed or even dominated by C–N stretches, and the adopted continuum underneath this band

is somewhat generous and could overestimate the band flux. If the observed $4.4\ \mu\text{m}$ band indeed arises exclusively from aromatic C–D stretch, deuterated PAHs are able to account for a large fraction of the missing D budget in the ISM.

We thank B.T. Draine, A.N. Witt and the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions. We thank E. Peeters for providing us the JWST/NIRSpec spectra of the Orion Bar. XJY is supported in part by NSFC 12333005 and 12122302, and the Innovative Research Group Project of Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province of China No. 2024JJ1008.

REFERENCES

- Allamandola, L.J., Tielens, A.G.G.M., & Barker, J.R. 1985, *ApJ*, 290, L25
- Allamandola, L. J., Boersma, C., Lee, T. J., et al. 2021, *ApJL*, 917, L35
- Berné, O., Habart, E., Peeters, E., et al. 2022, *PASP*, 134, 4301
- Boersma, C., Allamandola, L. J., Esposito, V. J., et al. 2023, *ApJ*, 959, 74
- Buragohain, M., Pathak, A., Sarre, P., Onaka, T., & Sakon, I. 2015, *MNRAS*, 454, 193
- Chown, R., Sidhu, A., Peeters, E., et al. 2024, *A&A*, 685, A75
- Doney, K. D., Candian, A., Mori, T., Onaka, T., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2016, *A&A*, 586, 65
- Draine, B. T. 2006, in *Astrophysics in the Far Ultraviolet: Five Years of Discovery with FUSE* (ASP Conf. Ser. 348), ed. G. Sonneborn, H. Moos, & B.-G. Andersson (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 58
- Draine, B.T., & Li, A. 2001, *ApJ*, 551, 807
- Draine, B.T., & Li, A. 2007, *ApJ*, 657, 810
- Draine B. T., Li A., Hensley B. S., et al. 2021, *ApJ*, 917, 3
- Draine, B.T., Sandstrom, K.M., Dale, D.A., et al. 2025, *ApJ*, submitted
- Geballe, T. R., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Allamandola, L. J., Moorhouse, A., & Brand, P. W. J. L. 1989, *ApJ*, 341, 278

- Habart, E., Le Gal, R., Alvarez, C., et al. 2023, *A&A*, 673, A149
- Hudgins, D. M., Bauschlicher, C. W., Jr., & Sandford, S. A. 2004, *ApJ*, 614, 770
- Kurucz, R. L. 1979, *ApJS*, 40, 1
- Li, A., & Draine, B.T. 2002, *ApJ*, 564, 803
- Li, A., & Lunine, J.I. 2003, *ApJ*, 594, 987
- Li, K.J., Li, A., Yang, X.J., & Fang, T.T. 2024, *ApJ*, 961, 107
- Mathis, J. S., Mezger, P. G., & Panagia, N. 1983, *A&A*, 128, 212
- Menten, K. M., Reid, M. J., Forbrich, J., & Brunthaler, A. 2007, *A&A*, 474, 515
- Mori, T., Onaka, T., Sakon, I., et al. 2022 *ApJ*, 933, 35
- O'Dell, C. R. 2001, *ARA&A*, 39, 99
- Onaka, T., Mori, T. I., Sakon, I., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 114
- Onaka, T., Sakon, I., & Shimonishi, T. 2022, *ApJ*, 941, 190
- Peeters, E., Allamandola, L. J., Bauschlicher, C. W., Jr., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 604, 252
- Peeters, E., Habart, E., Berné, O., et al. 2024, *A&A*, 685, A74
- Sidhu, A., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Peeters, E., & Cami, J. 2022, *MNRAS*, 514, 342
- Sloan, G.C., Bregman, J.D., Geballe, T.R., Allamandola, L.J., & Woodward, C.E. 1997, *ApJ*, 474, 735
- Van De Putte, D., Meshaka, R., Trahin, B., et al. 2024, *A&A*, 687, A86
- Verstraete, L., Puget, J. L., Falgarone, E., et al. 1996, *A&A*, 315, L337
- Yang, X. J., Glaser, R., Li, A., & Zhong, J. X. 2016, *MNRAS*, 462, 1551
- Yang, X. J., Glaser, R., Li, A., & Zhong, J. X. 2017, *New Astron. Rev.*, 77, 1
- Yang, X. J., Li, A., & Glaser, R. 2020, *ApJS*, 251, 12
- Yang, X. J., Li, A., He, C. Y., & Glaser, R. 2021, *ApJS*, 255, 23
- Yang, X. J., & Li, A. 2023, *ApJS*, 268, 12

Table 1: JWST/NIRSpec-Detected Emission Intensities (in Units of $10^{-3} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$) for the C–H and C–D Stretching Bands of the H II Region, Atomic PDR, DF1, DF2 and DF3 Regions in the Orion Bar.

Band	H II	PDR	DF1	DF2	DF3	Note	
3.3 μm aromatic CH	10.58	45.27	30.43	24.09	15.50	Peeters et al. (2024)	
4.35 μm aromatic CD, CN ^a	“generous” continuum	0.98	5.36	3.78	3.10	2.23	This work
	“tight” continuum	0.71	–	–	1.10	0.96	This work
4.65 μm aliphatic CD ^b	“generous” continuum	0.60	1.02	0.92	0.74	0.54	This work
	“tight” continuum	0.40	–	–	0.47	0.49	This work
4.75 μm aliphatic CD ^c	“generous” continuum	0.35	0.50	0.51	0.56	0.66	This work
	“tight” continuum	0.25	–	–	0.42	0.61	This work

a: The band-intensity ratios are $I_{4.4''}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.093, 0.118, 0.124, 0.129$ and 0.144 for the Orion Bar H II region, atomic PDR, DF1, DF2 and DF3, respectively, if a “generous” continuum is adopted (see Figure 2). If we adopt a “tight” continuum, $I_{4.4''}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.067, 0.046,$ and 0.062 for the H II region, DF2 and DF3, respectively (see Figure 3).

b: The band intensity ratios are $I_{4.65}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.057, 0.023, 0.030, 0.031$ and 0.035 for the H II region, atomic PDR, DF1, DF2 and DF3, respectively, if a “generous” continuum is adopted. With a “tight” continuum, we obtain $I_{4.65}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.038, 0.020,$ and 0.032 for the H II region, DF2 and DF3, respectively.

c: The band intensity ratios are $I_{4.75}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.033, 0.011, 0.017, 0.023$ and 0.043 for the H II region, atomic PDR, DF1, DF2 and DF3, respectively, if a “generous” continuum is adopted. By adopting a “tight” continuum, we derive $I_{4.75}/I_{3.3} \approx 0.024, 0.018,$ and 0.040 for the H II region, DF2 and DF3, respectively.




Fig. 1.— Schematic illustration of the deuteration of PAHs in the Orion Bar. As discussed in Yang & Li (2023), the incorporation of an D atom into a PAH molecule can occur either by replacing a peripheral H atom with an D atom or by inserting the (extra) D atom to an edge C atom so that one H atom and one D atom share one C atom. The former generates an aromatic C–D bond and the latter generates both an aliphatic C–H bond and an aliphatic C–D bond. Aliphatic C–D bonds can also be generated when two D atoms share one C atom. The background image of the Orion Bar was taken by the *Near Infrared Camera* (NIRCam) on JWST (Habart et al. 2024).




Fig. 2.— JWST/NIRSpec spectra of the Orion Bar H II region, atomic PDR, DF1, DF2 and DF3 regions in the 3–5 μm wavelength range. Also shown are our fitting results (solid red line) with several Drude profiles for the “4.4” (dotted purple line), 4.65 (dotted green line) and 4.75 μm (dotted olive line) emission bands in combination with a linear continuum (dashed blue line).




Fig. 3.— Same as Figure 2 but for the H II region, DF2 and DF3 regions in the 3.8–5 μm wavelength range which allows us to define a more “tight” continuum. For the atomic PDR and DF1, we rely on the more “generous” continuum adopted in Figure 2 since it is hard to define a continuum in the 3.8–5 μm wavelength range.




Fig. 4.— Model IR emission spectra of deuterated $C_{24}H_{12}$ containing various aromatic and aliphatic D atoms in the Orion Bar. The inserted molecule structure is just for illustrative purpose and does not really specify the chemical structure of the molecule for which the IR emission spectra are calculated.




Fig. 5.— Same as Figure 4 but for $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{16}$.




Fig. 6.— Same as Figure 4 but for $\text{C}_{48}\text{H}_{18}$.




Fig. 7.— Top panel (a): Model-calculated intensity ratios $(I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$ as a function of $N_{D,aro}/N_H$ for deuterated $C_{24}H_{12}$, $C_{32}H_{16}$, and $C_{48}H_{18}$. The dotted horizontal line shows the JWST/NIRSpec-observed $(I_{4.4}/I_{3.3})_{\text{obs}}$ ratio averaged over the five regions in the Orion Bar, while the shadow shows the standard deviation. Bottom panel (b): Same as (a) but for $(I_{4.65}/I_{3.3})_{\text{mod}}$ as a function of $N_{D,ali}/N_H$.