Momentum Flow and Forces on Quarks in the Nucleon

Xiangdong Ji^{*} and Chen Yang[†]

Maryland Center for Fundamental Physics, Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, U.S.A.

(Dated: March 5, 2025)

Momentum conservation in the nucleon is examined in terms of continuous flow of the momentum density current (or in short, momentum flow), which receives contributions from both kinetic motion and interacting forces involving quarks and gluons. While quarks conduct momentum flow through their kinetic motion and the gluon scalar (anomaly) contributes via pure interactions, the gluon stress tensor has both effects. The quarks momentum flow encodes the information of the force density on them, and the momentum conservation allows to trace its origin to the gluon tensor and anomaly ("negative pressure"). From state-of-the-art lattice calculations and experimental fits on the form factors of the QCD energy-momentum tensor, we exhibit pictures of the momentum flow and forces on the quarks in the nucleon. In particular, the anomaly contributes a critical attractive force with a strength similar to that of a QCD confinement potential.

I. INTRODUCTION

Translational symmetry of the physical space leads to the momentum conservation law for isolated systems. By introducing the momentum density $k^i(\vec{r},t)$ and momentum density current (MDC) $T^{ij}(\vec{r},t)$ (*i*, *j* = 1, 2, 3 are spatial indices), one can examine the conservation law through the continuity equation,

$$\frac{\partial k^{i}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} + \nabla_{j} T^{ij}(\vec{r},t) = 0 , \qquad (1)$$

where ∇_i is the spatial differential operator. From the above, the total momentum $\vec{K} = \int d^3 \vec{r} \vec{k}(\vec{r}, t)$ is simply a constant of motion. The advantage of the continuity equation is that it depicts a dynamical picture of momentum conservation through continuously flowing its density over space.

For hadrons like the proton or neutron, the energymomentum tensor (EMT) of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [1, 2], $T^{\mu\nu}$ ($\mu, \nu = 0, i$), includes energy density, T^{00} , energy-current or momentum density, $k^i = T^{0i}$, and the MDC, T^{ij} (sometimes called the stress tensor). The nucleon form factors of the EMT have been found measurable in deepexclusive processes [3–6] such as deeply virtual Compton scattering or meson production through sum rules of generalized parton distributions [3, 4]. This provides a phenomenological approach to study the mass and spin structure of the nucleon [2, 3], as well as the momentum flow continuity. In the last few years, we have seen an increasing interest in understanding the connection between the form factors of the space components of the EMT and the so-called mechanical properties of the nucleon [7–21].

In this paper, we study the physics of momentum flow and related forces in the nucleon through the EMT form factors. We find that quarks carry the momentum density through "collective" motion both in the radial and angular directions. The gluon tensor flows momentum both as momentum carriers and as a mediator of forces among quarks, while the gluon scalar (trace anomaly) contributes through the pure force effect, resulting in a "negative pressure" due to a change in the QCD vacuum in the presence of valence quarks. Inspired by the example of hydrogen atom (H-atom), we study the force density acting on quarks through the divergence of their MDC, and relate it to the forces from the gluon tensor and anomaly. Using the recent fits of EMT form factors to lattice and experimental data [22], we exhibit the pattern of the quark momentum flow, and the "negative pressure" inside the proton. More interestingly, we find the attractive force on quarks with a strongly confining component of the trace anomaly. The average strength of the anomaly force is approximately 1 GeV/fm, similar to the well-known QCD string tension. To simplify the illustration, we consider a limit in which the nucleon is heavy [23, 24] but quarks are massless.

The QCD EMT and its renormalization has been worked out previously $[1, 25]_{\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} = \overline{T}_{q}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{T}_{g}^{\mu\nu}$, where both trace $\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu}$ and traceless parts $\overline{T}_{q}^{\mu\nu} = \overline{T}_{q}^{\mu\nu} + \overline{T}_{g}^{\mu\nu}$ are scale and schemeindependent. It is gauge-invariant and symmetric in $\mu\nu$, and is believed to be the gravitational charge. The matrix element of the above operators in the nucleon can be described in terms of the form factors $A_{q,g}(q^2)$, $B_{q,g}(q^2)$, $C_{q,g}(q^2)$, where q = P' - Pis the four-momentum transfer between the initial and final states with momenta P and P', respectively [3, 26]. Specializing to the space components of the EMT, one obtains three contributions to the MDC,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{T}_{q}^{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} \overline{\psi} i \mathcal{D}^{(i} \gamma^{j)} \psi , \\ \overline{T}_{g}^{ij} &= -\frac{1}{4} \delta^{ij} F^{2} - F^{i\alpha} F_{\alpha}^{j} , \\ \hat{T}_{a}^{ij} &= -\frac{1}{4} \delta^{ij} \frac{\beta(g)}{2g} F^{2} . \end{aligned}$$

$$(2)$$

where the subscripts q, g, a represent quark kinetic, gluon tensor and trace anomaly contributions, respectively. Therefore, we can express their matrix elements in the nucleon as,

$$\left\langle P' \left| \overline{T}_{i}^{\mu\nu} \right| P \right\rangle = \overline{U} \left(P' \right) \left[A_{i} \left(q^{2} \right) \gamma^{(\mu} \overline{P}^{\nu)} + B_{i} \left(q^{2} \right) \frac{\overline{P}^{(\mu} i \sigma^{\nu)\alpha} q_{\alpha}}{2M} + C_{i} \left(q^{2} \right) \frac{q^{\mu} q^{\nu} - q^{2} g^{\mu\nu}}{M} - \frac{M}{4} G_{s,i} \left(q^{2} \right) g^{\mu\nu} \right] U \left(P \right) ,$$

$$(3)$$

$$\left\langle P' \left| \hat{T}_{a}^{\mu\nu} \right| P \right\rangle = \overline{U} \left(P' \right) \left[\frac{M}{4} G_{s} \left(q^{2} \right) g^{\mu\nu} \right] U \left(P \right) . \tag{4}$$

where i = q, g represent quarks and gluons, $G_s = G_{s,q} + G_{s,g}$ is the scalar form factor [27], M is the proton mass, $\overline{P} \equiv (P' + P)/2$, and the scalar form factors $G_{s,i}$ arise from nonconserving contributions [27],

$$G_{s,i}(q^2) = A_i(q^2) + B_i(q^2)\frac{q^2}{4M^2} - C_i(q^2)\frac{3q^2}{M^2}, \quad (5)$$

The spinor normalization is given by $\overline{U}(P)U(P) = 2E_P$ with E_P being the energy. In going to the coordinate space, we choose the Breit frame [23, 24] and make a Fourier transformation of the above matrix elements, with the caveat that it is only physically meaningful when $\vec{q}^2 \ll M^2$. Meanwhile, the *B*-form factor is relatively small and has been neglected in the rest of the paper. For simplicity, we will denote $\langle T^{ij} \rangle = \langle P' | T^{ij} | P \rangle / 2E_P$ and ignore the scale dependence in the form factors due to renormalization.

II. MOMENTUM FLOW THROUGH QUARK KINETIC MOTION

The physics of the quark contribution to the momentum flow is through its kinetic motion seen in the classical and non-relativistic limits,

$$T^{ij}(\vec{r},t) = \sum_{a} p_a^i(t) v_a^j(t) \delta^{(3)}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a(t)) , \qquad (6)$$

where $\vec{p}_a = m_a \vec{v}_a$, m_a and $\vec{v}_a(t)$ are the mass and velocity of particle *a*, respectively. For an ideal gas with velocity of particles $v_a^i = V^i(\vec{r}) + \delta v_a^i$, where $V^i(\vec{r})$ and δv_a^i represent the macroscopic and thermal motions, respectively, the statistical average yields $\langle T^{ij}(\vec{r}) \rangle = \delta^{ij} p(\vec{r}) + \rho(\vec{r}) V^i(\vec{r}) V^j(\vec{r})$, where $p(\vec{r})$ and $\rho(\vec{r})$ are the local pressure and density of the gas, or in general cases, a fluid.



FIG. 1. Contributions to momentum flow in H-atom: electron kinetic motion T_{e-kin}^{ii} (black), interference stress tensor from the electron and proton fields T_{int-E}^{ii} (green), their self-MDCs T_{self-E}^{ii} (brown dashed), and the total electric stress tensor (blue).

In a ground state of quantum systems, the quantum average usually does not result in an isotropic motion of particles, except in cases such as a degenerate Fermi gas, like electrons in a metal or neutrons in a neutron star. Rather, quantum expectations generate ordered flows of particles. For example, in the ground state of H-atom, the MDC for the kinetic motion of the electron is [12],

$$\langle T_{\rm e-kin}^{ij} \rangle (\vec{r}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\pi m_e a_0^5} \frac{1}{r} e^{-2r} \left(\hat{e}_{\theta}^i \otimes \hat{e}_{\theta}^j + \hat{e}_{\phi}^i \otimes \hat{e}_{\phi}^j \right) , \qquad (7)$$

where m_e is the electron mass, length r has been scaled in terms of the Bohr radius a_0 , and \hat{e}_{θ} and \hat{e}_{ϕ} are unit vectors in the spherical coordinates. The electron transports the momentum density through an isotropic flow in the angular directions, but none in the \hat{e}_r -direction. Thus the momentum flow is *sheared*, as in the classical circular motion of a particle. The kinetic energy of the flow T_{e-kin}^{ii} is shown in Fig. 1 as the positive-definite black solid line.



FIG. 2. Quark kinetic radial (dotted) and angular (dashed) MDCs, with the trace given as a sum (solid). The estimated errors from the fitting parameters in [22] add in quadrature, displayed as light-gray shaded area as shown.

For proton in QCD, the quark kinetic MDC is,

$$\langle \overline{T}_q^{ij} \rangle (\vec{q}) = \frac{M}{4} G_{s,q} \left(\vec{q}^2 \right) \delta^{ij} + C_q \left(\vec{q}^2 \right) \frac{q^i q^j - \delta^{ij} \vec{q}^2}{M} , \quad (8)$$

Clearly, the quark momentum flow is in both radial and angular directions, and sheared. The momentum flow in the radial direction indicates that the average quark motion is analogous to an elliptical orbit in classical mechanics. Taking the recent phenomenological quark form factors from fitting to both lattice QCD and experimental data [22, 28–33], we plot the radial (black dots) and angular flow (black dash) as a function of *r* in Fig. 2. We also indicate the total momentum flow in the solid black line which is positive everywhere.

The ordered momentum flow is proportional to the quark kinetic energy density, but is not readily related to the pressure/force, as in the pressure-volume work, unless the quark motion is altered by an external probe, resulting in a momentum transfer.

III. MOMENTUM FLOW THROUGH STRESS TENSOR OF GLUONS

The gluon part of the MDC is the standard "stress tensor" of gauge fields. Physicists in the 18th century had tried to find a

mechanical interpretation of electromagnetic stress by inventing a medium called Aether. It is well-known that the idea was ultimately abandoned to embrace Einstein's theory of special relativity. Therefore, a pressure/force-based interpretation of the electromagnetic stress tensor permeating space does not exist (unless it is in a beam of light in which the momentum flow is carried by photons, similar to the quark case described in the previous section).

A case in point is the Coulomb field of a static charge which has a non-zero stress tensor throughout the space. In the Hatom, MDCs from the proton and electron's self electric fields have been computed in [13]. The total self-MDC is shown as the brown dashed line in Fig. 1. Other than generating the space-time curvature through Einstein's general relativity [12, 34], this self-MDC has no other effect. The crucial contribution to the MDC is from the interference term between electron and proton's fields. Although derived from the attractive Coulomb force, no direct mechanical effect can be associated with the current. This is because the forces directly act on charges, whereas the momentum flow through the fields exists in the inter-particle space. The trace of the interference MDC is shown as the green solid line in Fig. 1, which flows inward. The total MDC of the electric fields in H-atom is shown as the blue line in Fig. 1. At large r, it decays like $1/r^6$ due to the fluctuating electric dipole contribution, which is clearly unrelated to any material mechanics of the local electron probability density decaying like ~ e^{-2r} .



FIG. 3. Negative pressure from the anomaly contribution (red dashed), the trace of the gluon tensor MDC (green), quarks kinetic MDC (black) and total MDC (blue dashed). The errors estimate is the same as before, displayed as shaded area as shown.

In the nucleon, the quark dynamics is ultra relativistic and can generate gluon radiation that is an integral part of the system, whereas the analog in H-atom is a higher-order perturbative effect. Radiative gluons can carry the momentum flow through its kinetic motion like quarks and a laser beam. However, there is no frame and gauge-independent separation of these radiations from the static gluonic interactions. Therefore, the gluonic MDC \overline{T}_g^{ij} flows the momentum through a mix of kinetic motion and interacting force. The gluon tensor MDC is,

$$\langle \overline{T}_{g}^{ij} \rangle (\vec{q}) = \frac{M}{4} G_{s,g} \left(\vec{q}^{2} \right) \delta^{ij} + C_{g} \left(\vec{q}^{2} \right) \frac{q^{i} q^{j} - \delta^{ij} \vec{q}^{2}}{M} , \quad (9)$$

Again, using the results from Ref. [22], we show the trace contribution as a green solid line in Fig. 3. It is a positive definite quantity, proportional to the gluon energy density, which might indicates that the radiative gluons effects are predominant.

IV. MOMENTUM FLOW THROUGH TRACE ANOMALY AND NEGATIVE PRESSURE

The anomalous \hat{T}_{a}^{ij} contributes an MDC without corresponding momentum density, and therefore reflects a pure interacting effect. Since $\hat{T}_{a}^{ij} \sim \delta^{ij}$ in the vacuum, it has been interpreted as the "pressure" [19, 25]. However, it is unclear at this point what the pressure is acting on without a specific context. One could imagine a fictitious wall separating the perturbative and non-perturbative vacuum, and the wall exerting a pressure on the false vacuum. This, of course, is the main idea behind the MIT bag model for the nucleon [35, 36].

We can work out the anomalous MDC in the nucleon,

$$\langle \hat{T}_a^{ij} \rangle (\vec{q}) = -\frac{M}{4} G_s \left(\vec{q}^2 \right) \delta^{ij} , \qquad (10)$$

The above matrix element automatically subtracts off the QCD vacuum contribution and is scale-independent. After Fourier transformation, we obtain a position-dependent MDC $\hat{T}_a^{ij}(r) \equiv \delta^{ij} p_a(r)$ (scalar field expectation [37]) inside the nucleon, which integrates to -M/4. We plot $p_a(r)$ in Fig. 3 as a red dashed line. The negative pressure $p_a(r)$ indicates that the quarks "sweep" out the true QCD vacuum and lower the expectation of gluon condensate, as in the instanton liquid model [38], consistent with the bag model phenomenology.

In terms of momentum flow, the negative $p_a(r)$ shows that the anomaly flows momentum toward the "center". This inward flow of momentum accounts for the total MDC trace T^{ii} (blue dashed curve in Fig. 3) being negative at large r, as noted before [28, 39, 40]. However, as we explain below, a negative $p_a(r)$ does not readily indicate an attractive force.

V. FORCES ON QUARKS

As we have discussed earlier, momentum flow can arise from interacting forces, but they are not directly forces themselves, even though the momentum flux $T^{ij}dS^{j}$ through a surface element dS^{i} does have the unit of force. To interpret the MDC as (shear) pressure, one at least needs an answer to the question: what is exerting a force on what?

It turns out that we can learn about the internal forces inside the nucleon if one has the separate MDC $T_{q,g,a}^{ij}$ distributions. In the example of H-atom, it is easy to show that the electron's kinetic MDC satisfies,

$$\partial_{i} \langle T_{\text{e-kin}}^{ij}(\vec{r}) \rangle = -\frac{\partial V(\vec{r})}{\partial r^{j}} \left| \varphi(\vec{r}) \right|^{2} = F^{j} \left| \varphi(\vec{r}) \right|^{2} \equiv \mathcal{F}^{j}(\vec{r}) , \quad (11)$$

where $\varphi(\vec{r})$ is an electron wave function, $V(\vec{r})$ the electric potential, $\vec{F}(\vec{r})$ the Coulomb force, and $\vec{\mathcal{F}}(\vec{r})$ the force density

(weighted by the electron probability distribution). Therefore, the force can be learned from divergence of the kinetic MDC, or classically the momentum change of a moving particle (see also [41–43]). Therefore, we may define the force density on quarks (weighted by the quark probability density) as,

$$\mathcal{F}_{q}^{i}(\vec{r}) \equiv \partial_{j} \langle \overline{T}_{q}^{ij} \rangle = (M/4) \nabla^{i} G_{s,q}(\vec{r}) .$$
(12)

The simplification in the second equality is likely due to the spherical symmetry of the problem. We plot the radial component as a black solid line in Fig. 4, and the negative value indicates an attractive force towards the "center". One previous model estimation has found a value an order of magnitude smaller [41].

On the other hand, Eq. (1) allows us to trace the force on quarks to the divergences of the gluon tensor and anomaly MDCs,

$$\partial_j \langle \overline{T}_q^{ij} \rangle(\vec{r}) = -\partial_j \langle \overline{T}_g^{ij} \rangle(\vec{r}) - \nabla^i p_a(\vec{r}) \equiv \mathcal{F}_g^i(\vec{r}) + \mathcal{F}_a^i(\vec{r}) , \quad (13)$$

which is analogous to Euler's equation for a fluid [44]. The anomaly contribution $-\nabla^i p_a$ is similar to a "pressure term" (but is rather a scalar potential [37]), and the gluon tensor contributes force density like the electron-proton interaction in Eq. (11). However, we strongly warn against taking the comparison with a fluid too literally: While the above quantum mechanical average is universally correct as an Ehrenfest theorem, fluid dynamics requires further assumptions to turn the above into a dynamical equation for infrared degrees of freedom (fluid elements containing many particles in local thermal equilibrium) through averaging over the ultraviolet ones (scales much smaller than fluid elements), which is inapplicable for the nucleon [44].

The force densities on the quarks from the separate gluon tensor and anomaly contributions are shown in Fig. 4. With this, we can calculate the average forces on the quarks by integrating over \vec{r} ,

$$\int d^3 \vec{r} \, \mathcal{F}_a(\vec{r}) = -1.00^{+0.36}_{-0.37} \, \text{GeV/fm} \,, \tag{14}$$

$$d^{3}\vec{r}\mathcal{F}_{g}(\vec{r}) = +0.51^{+0.22}_{-0.26} \text{ GeV/fm}.$$
 (15)

It is interesting to see that the force from the gluons is positive, indicating perhaps radiation dominance. The force from the anomaly is large and attractive, with a magnitude similar to the confinement string tension [45, 46], a concrete evidence that the anomaly plays an important role in quark confinement [11, 47–49].

VI. COMMENTS

Taking stock of all contributions to the momentum flow, we have the total MDC in the Breit frame,

$$\begin{split} \langle T^{ij} \rangle (\vec{q}) &= \overline{T}_q^{ij}(\vec{q}) + \overline{T}_g^{ij}(\vec{q}) + \hat{T}_a^{ij}(\vec{q}) \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \left(q^i q^j - \delta^{ij} \vec{q}^2 \right) C(\vec{q}^2) , \end{split}$$
(16)



FIG. 4. Forces from anomaly (red) and gluon tensor (green) contributions acting on quarks (black). The strong negative force from anomaly may indicates its role in confinement. The errors estimate is the same as before, displayed as shaded area as shown.

where $A_{q,g}$ and $B_{q,g}$ drop out, only $C = C_q + C_g$ remains. It is manifestly divergence-free, which directly leads to,

$$\int \langle T^{ii}(\vec{r}) \rangle \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{r} = 0 , \qquad (17)$$

independent of $C(\vec{q}^2)$, and therefore the average positive and negative momentum flows cancel exactly in equilibrium. This is true for any system with a conserved momentum, be it classical or quantum, single-particle, or many-body ones, and the positive and negative sign is not related to the directions of force as commonly asserted in the literature.

The exact overall pattern of momentum flow described by form factor *C* does not seem to have any overarching mechanical significance. In particular, it does not provide any force information other than mechanical balance everywhere. In terms of momentum continuity, the specific form of *C* is not that significant: Any transformation, $C \rightarrow C + \Delta C$, makes the momentum conservation unchanged. In fact, it is well known that Noether's theorem does not generate a unique conserved current [50]. There is no way to know *a priori* which version has more mechanical significance than the other. The only way to favor a special one is through its coupling to new interactions beyond QCD. Here the option is clearly gravity. The physical effect of the gravity coupled MDC is to generate spacetime metric perturbation and tensor monopole moment [12].

Finally, the physics of the MDC in the infinite momentum frame is simplified: only T^{++} component $(V^+ = (V^0 + V^3)/\sqrt{2})$ is leading and has a density interpretation (others are higher twists), which represents the momentum flow carried by unpolarized partons along the direction of proton motion. It is just the velocity (speed of light) multiplied by momentum density, similar to that of a beam of particles, as expected. In this case, form factor *C* drops out, which together with other subleading MDC components does not have simple density interpretation. Thus a force discussion in such a frame is beyond the scope of this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Adam Freese, Zijian Li, Keh-Fei Liu, Zein-Eddine Meziani, Yushan Su, Jinghong Yang, Feng Yuan, and Ismail Zahed for useful discussions. We also thank Yuxun Guo for providing the data for the parametrization of GFFs. XJ and CY are partially supported by Maryland Center for Fundamental Physics (MCFP). CY also acknowledges partial support by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under the umbrella of the Quark-Gluon Tomography (QGT) Topical Collaboration with Award DE-SC0023646.

* xji@umd.edu

- [†] cyang127@umd.edu
- [1] J. C. Collins, A. Duncan, and S. D. Joglekar, Phys. Rev. D 16, 438 (1977).
- [2] X.-D. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1071 (1995), arXiv:hepph/9410274.
- [3] X.-D. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 610 (1997), arXiv:hepph/9603249.
- [4] X.-D. Ji, Phys. Rev. D 55, 7114 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9609381.
- [5] A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B 385, 333 (1996), arXiv:hepph/9605431.
- [6] J. C. Collins, L. Frankfurt, and M. Strikman, Phys. Rev. D 56, 2982 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9611433.
- [7] M. V. Polyakov and A. G. Shuvaev, (2002), arXiv:hepph/0207153.
- [8] M. V. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 555, 57 (2003), arXiv:hepph/0210165.
- [9] M. V. Polyakov and P. Schweitzer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 33, 1830025 (2018), arXiv:1805.06596 [hep-ph].
- [10] C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, and A. P. Trawiński, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 89 (2019), arXiv:1810.09837 [hep-ph].
- [11] K.-F. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 104, 076010 (2021), arXiv:2103.15768 [hep-ph].
- [12] X. Ji and Y. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 106, 034028 (2022), arXiv:2110.14781 [hep-ph].
- [13] X. Ji, J. Yang, and Y. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 110, 114045 (2024), arXiv:2208.05029 [hep-ph].
- [14] D. Fu, B.-D. Sun, and Y. Dong, Phys. Rev. D 105, 096002 (2022), arXiv:2201.08059 [hep-ph].
- [15] M. Fujita, Y. Hatta, S. Sugimoto, and T. Ueda, PTEP 2022, 093B06 (2022), arXiv:2206.06578 [hep-th].
- [16] D. Fu, J. Wang, and Y. Dong, Phys. Rev. D 108, 076023 (2023), arXiv:2306.04869 [hep-ph].
- [17] A. Garcia Martin-Caro, M. Huidobro, and Y. Hatta, Phys. Rev. D 108, 034014 (2023), arXiv:2304.05994 [nucl-th].
- [18] A. Czarnecki, Y. Liu, and S. N. Reza, Acta Phys. Polon. Supp. 16, 7 (2023), arXiv:2309.10994 [hep-ph].
- [19] K.-F. Liu, Phys. Lett. B 849, 138418 (2024), arXiv:2302.11600 [hep-ph].
- [20] J. Wang, D. Fu, and Y. Dong, (2024), arXiv:2410.14953 [hepph].
- [21] C. Lorcé and P. Schweitzer, (2025), arXiv:2501.04622 [hep-ph].

- [22] Y. Guo, F. Yuan, and W. Zhao, (2025), arXiv:2501.10532 [hepph].
- [23] R. G. Sachs, Phys. Rev. 126, 2256 (1962).
- [24] R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D 103, 016017 (2021), arXiv:2010.15887 [hep-ph].
- [25] X.-D. Ji, Phys. Rev. D 52, 271 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9502213.
- [26] H. Pagels, Phys. Rev. 144, 1250 (1966).
- [27] X. Ji, Front. Phys. (Beijing) 16, 64601 (2021), arXiv:2102.07830 [hep-ph].
- [28] V. D. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, and F. X. Girod, Nature 557, 396 (2018).
- [29] K. A. Mamo and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D 106, 086004 (2022), arXiv:2204.08857 [hep-ph].
- [30] B. Duran *et al.*, Nature **615**, 813 (2023), arXiv:2207.05212 [nucl-ex].
- [31] D. C. Hackett, D. A. Pefkou, and P. E. Shanahan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 251904 (2024), arXiv:2310.08484 [hep-lat].
- [32] Y. Guo, X. Ji, and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 109, 014014 (2024), arXiv:2308.13006 [hep-ph].
- [33] B. Wang, F. He, G. Wang, T. Draper, J. Liang, K.-F. Liu, and Y.-B. Yang (*XQCD*), Phys. Rev. D 109, 094504 (2024), arXiv:2401.05496 [hep-lat].
- [34] J. F. Donoghue, B. R. Holstein, B. Garbrecht, and T. Konstandin, Phys. Lett. B 529, 132 (2002), [Erratum: Phys.Lett.B 612, 311–312 (2005)], arXiv:hep-th/0112237.
- [35] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C. B. Thorn, and V. F. Weisskopf, Phys. Rev. D 9, 3471 (1974).
- [36] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, and C. B. Thorn, Phys. Rev. D 10, 2599 (1974).
- [37] X. Ji, Y. Liu, and A. Schäfer, Nucl. Phys. B 971, 115537 (2021), arXiv:2105.03974 [hep-ph].
- [38] I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D 104, 054031 (2021), arXiv:2102.08191 [hep-ph].
- [39] S. Boffi and B. Pasquini, Riv. Nuovo Cim. 30, 387 (2007), arXiv:0711.2625 [hep-ph].
- [40] P. E. Shanahan and W. Detmold, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 072003 (2019), arXiv:1810.07589 [nucl-th].
- [41] M. V. Polyakov and H.-D. Son, JHEP 09, 156 (2018), arXiv:1808.00155 [hep-ph].
- [42] H.-Y. Won, H.-C. Kim, and J.-Y. Kim, JHEP 05, 173 (2024), arXiv:2310.04670 [hep-ph].
- [43] A. Freese, Phys. Rev. D 111, 034047 (2025), arXiv:2412.09664 [hep-ph].
- [44] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics: Volume 6*, v. 6 (Pergamon, 2013).
- [45] W. Sun, Y. Chen, P. Sun, and Y.-B. Yang (χQCD), Phys. Rev. D 103, 094503 (2021), arXiv:2012.06228 [hep-lat].
- [46] N. Brambilla, V. Leino, O. Philipsen, C. Reisinger, A. Vairo, and M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D 105, 054514 (2022), arXiv:2106.01794 [hep-lat].
- [47] H. J. Rothe, Phys. Lett. B 355, 260 (1995), arXiv:heplat/9504012.
- [48] E. Shuryak and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D 107, 034025 (2023), arXiv:2112.15586 [hep-ph].
- [49] W.-Y. Liu, E. Shuryak, and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D 110, 054005 (2024), arXiv:2404.03047 [hep-ph].
- [50] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*, International Series In Pure and Applied Physics (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).