

# DEFORMATION THEORY AND KOSZUL DUALITY FOR ROTA-BAXTER SYSTEMS

YUFEI QIN, KAI WANG AND GUODONG ZHOU

**ABSTRACT.** This paper investigates Rota-Baxter systems in the sense of Brzeziński from the perspective of operad theory. The minimal model of the Rota-Baxter system operad is constructed, equivalently a concrete construction of its Koszul dual homotopy cooperad is given. The concept of homotopy Rota-Baxter systems and the  $L_\infty$ -algebra that governs deformations of a Rota-Baxter system are derived from the Koszul dual homotopy cooperad. The notion of infinity-Yang-Baxter pairs is introduced, which is a higher-order generalization of the traditional Yang-Baxter pairs. It is shown that a homotopy Rota-Baxter system structure on the endomorphism algebra of a graded space is equivalent to an associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pair on this graded algebra, thereby generalizing the classical correspondence between Yang-Baxter pairs and Rota-Baxter systems.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Rota-Baxter systems and associative Yang-Baxter pairs	4
1.1. Notations	4
1.2. Rota-Baxter systems vs associative Yang-Baxter pairs	5
2. The Koszul dual homotopy cooperad	6
3. The Minimal model for the operad of Rota-Baxter systems	11
4. Homotopy Rota-Baxter systems and infinity-Yang-Baxter pairs	15
4.1. Homotopy Rota-Baxter systems	15
4.2. Associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pairs	17
5. From the minimal model to the $L_\infty$ -algebra governing deformations	21
Appendix A. The Koszul dual homotopy cooperad: Proof of Proposition 2.1	23
Appendix B. The minimal model for operad ${}_m\mathcal{RB}\mathfrak{S}$	26
References	30

## INTRODUCTION

The concept of the “classical Yang-Baxter equation” (CYBE) originated from the pioneering work of Faddeev and his collaborators on inverse scattering theory [24, 25]. Later, Belavin and Drinfeld systematically studied the solutions of the CYBE. This equation also arises as a special case of the Schouten bracket in differential geometry [56] and is interpreted as the “classical limit” of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation [7]. Since the 1980s, the CYBE has played a central role in various fields of mathematics and mathematical physics, including symplectic geometry, Poisson

*Date:* March 4, 2025.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E40 16S80 17B38 18M65 18M70 .

*Key words and phrases.* homotopy Rota-Baxter system; Koszul dual homotopy cooperad; minimal model; operad; Rota-Baxter system; Yang-Baxter pair; infinity-Yang-Baxter pair.

geometry, integrable systems, quantum groups, and quantum field theory (see [12] and references therein). Initially formulated in tensor form, the CYBE has also been explored in operator form, following significant contributions from Semenov-Tian-Shansky [53], Kupershmidt [37], Aguiar [2], Bai [4], and others. This operator formulation is closely connected to the concept of the Rota-Baxter operator [5, 51, 52].

Rota-Baxter algebras, originally introduced as Baxter algebras, first appeared in Baxter's study of probability theory [5]. The subject was further developed by Rota [51] and Cartier [15], among others, leading to the term "Rota-Baxter algebras". The field experienced renewed interest through the works of Guo et al. [3, 33, 34], resulting in numerous applications and connections to various mathematical disciplines. Rota-Baxter algebras have been utilized in combinatorics [52], renormalization in quantum field theory [14], multiple zeta values in number theory [35], operad theory [3, 6], Hopf algebras [14], and the Yang-Baxter equations [4], among other areas. In 1983, Semenov-Tian-Shansky [53] demonstrated that a solution of the CYBE in a Lie algebra corresponds to a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero on the same Lie algebra. Kupershmidt [37] later established that a skew-symmetric solution of the CYBE provides a relative Rota-Baxter operator. In 2000, Aguiar introduced the associative Yang-Baxter equation [2] and proved that a solution to this equation equips an associative algebra with a Rota-Baxter operator [1]. Bai [4] systematically studied operator-form solutions of the Yang-Baxter equations, while Gubarev [32] and Zhang, Gao, and Zheng [59] independently demonstrated that solutions to the associative Yang-Baxter equation in matrix algebras correspond to Rota-Baxter algebra structures on those algebras.

The concepts of Rota-Baxter systems and associative Yang-Baxter pairs, which serve as binary-system analogs of Rota-Baxter operators and associative Yang-Baxter equations, respectively, were introduced by Brzeziński [9] in his effort to understand the Jackson  $q$ -integral as a Rota-Baxter operator. These notions broaden and deepen the connections among three algebraic frameworks: Rota-Baxter algebras, dendriform algebras [42], and infinitesimal bialgebras [2]. Furthermore, Brzeziński [9] proved that an associative Yang-Baxter pair can give a Rota-Baxter system structure within an associative algebra. Subsequently, Das [17] introduced generalized Rota-Baxter systems on  $A_\infty$ -algebras and  $A_\infty$ -bimodules. Meanwhile, Liu, Wang and Yin [41] constructed the cohomology theory of Rota-Baxter systems which governs the simultaneous deformations of operators and algebra structures in Rota-Baxter systems. The Rota-Baxter system has proven to be highly interrelated with a variety of algebraic structures. Qiu and Chen established linear bases for free Rota-Baxter systems, with which they found that there is a left counital Hopf algebra structure on a free Rota-Baxter system [50]. Li and Wang [39] introduced a variation of Rota-Baxter systems on Lie algebras and they studied the integration of such structures on Lie groups. Afterwards, they proposed the notion of such variant Rota-Baxter systems on Hopf algebras [40]. Ma and Li [44] introduced the notion of associative (BiHom-)Yang-Baxter pairs with weight, which serve as the BiHom-associative algebraic counterpart to the associative Yang-Baxter pair; see also [36]. For other developments, see [10, 11, 46, 60].

The deformation theory of mathematical structures has evolved through the foundational work of numerous mathematicians, including Gerstenhaber, Nijenhuis, Richardson, Deligne, Schlessinger, Stasheff, Goldman, Millson, and others. Central to this theory is the principle that the deformation of a mathematical object can be described by a differential graded (dg) Lie algebra or, more generally, an  $L_\infty$ -algebra associated with the object. The complex underlying this algebra is known as the deformation complex. Lurie [43] and Pridham [49] have rigorously formalized this principle into a theorem in characteristic zero, articulated within the framework of infinity categories.

Consequently, a fundamental problem in the field is the explicit construction of the dg Lie algebra or  $L_\infty$ -algebra that governs the deformation theory of a given mathematical object.

Another important aspect of studying algebraic structures is exploring their homotopy versions, similar to how  $A_\infty$ -algebras relate to traditional associative algebras. The goal is often to find a minimal model for the operad that governs the algebraic structure. When the operad is Koszul, Koszul duality for operads [31, 30], developed by Ginzburg and Kapranov, provides a homotopy version of the algebraic structure through the cobar construction of the Koszul dual cooperad, serving as a minimal model. However, constructing minimal models becomes much harder when the operad is not Koszul, and only a few examples exist [13, 58]. For example, Gálvez-Carrillo, Tonks, and Vallette [26] created a cofibrant resolution of the Batalin-Vilkovisky operad using inhomogeneous Koszul duality theory, but their resolution is not minimal. Later, Drummond-Cole and Vallette [23] found a minimal model that is a deformation retract of the previous cofibrant resolution. Dotsenko and Khoroshkin [21] also constructed cofibrant resolutions for shuffle monomial operads using the inclusion-exclusion principle and suggested a method to find cofibrant resolutions for operads defined by a Gröbner basis [20] by deforming those of the corresponding monomial operads.

The purpose of this paper is to study the homotopy theory and deformation theory of Rota-Baxter systems from the perspective of operad theory. In recent years, there have been many works on the deformation theory of Rota-Baxter algebras. Tang, Bai, Guo, and Sheng [57] developed the deformation and cohomology theory of  $O$ -operators (also called relative Rota-Baxter operators) on Lie algebras. Das [16] developed a similar theory for Rota-Baxter algebras of weight zero. Lazarev, Sheng, and Tang [38] succeeded in establishing the deformation and cohomology theory of relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebras of weight zero, finding applications to triangular Lie bialgebras. They determined the  $L_\infty$ -algebra that controls deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and introduced the notion of a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebra. Later, Das and Misha also determined the  $L_\infty$ -structures controlling the deformation of Rota-Baxter operators of weight zero on associative algebras [19]. Wang and Zhou [58] constructed the minimal model of the operad governing Rota-Baxter algebras of arbitrary weight, from which they provided the controlling  $L_\infty$ -algebra and cochain complex for the deformations of Rota-Baxter algebras of arbitrary weight. The deformation theory of Rota-Baxter systems has rarely been studied. Das and Guo [18] constructed a graded Lie algebra controlling the deformations of the operator part in Rota-Baxter systems on Leibniz algebras. In this paper, we will construct the minimal model and Koszul dual homotopy cooperad of the operad for Rota-Baxter systems explicitly. From this minimal model, the homotopy version of Rota-Baxter systems, the controlling  $L_\infty$ -algebra, and the deformation cochain complex of Rota-Baxter systems can be naturally derived. Moreover, we will introduce the notion of infinity-Yang-Baxter pairs, which can be considered as a higher version of the classical Yang-Baxter pairs, and we will study the relationship between infinity-Yang-Baxter pairs and homotopy Rota-Baxter systems.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 1, we begin by fixing some notations in Subsection 1.2. We then recall the definitions of Rota-Baxter systems and associative Yang-Baxter pairs. In particular, we prove that solutions of associative Yang-Baxter pairs on  $M_n(\mathbf{k})$  are equivalent to Rota-Baxter system operators on  $M_n(\mathbf{k})$ ; see Theorem 1.5. In Section 2, we construct a homotopy cooperad, which serves as the Koszul dual of the operad for Rota-Baxter systems. Building on this, in Section 3, we show that the cobar construction of the homotopy cooperad from Section 2 provides the minimal model for Rota-Baxter systems. For clarity, some technical details regarding the minimal model construction are presented in Appendix B. In Section 4, we introduce the

notion of homotopy Rota-Baxter systems based on the minimal model of Rota-Baxter systems and further develop the concept of infinity-Yang-Baxter pairs. We also prove that a homotopy Rota-Baxter system structure on the endomorphism algebra of a graded space is equivalent to an associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pair on this graded algebra. Finally, in Section 5, we explicitly describe the controlling  $L_\infty$ -algebra and the deformation cochain complex for deformations of Rota-Baxter systems.

Throughout this paper, let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field of characteristic 0. Except specially stated, vector spaces are  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector spaces and all tensor products and Hom-spaces are taken over  $\mathbf{k}$ .

## 1. ROTA-BAXTER SYSTEMS AND ASSOCIATIVE YANG-BAXTER PAIRS

### 1.1. Notations.

A (homologically) graded space is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -indexed family of vector spaces  $V = \{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Elements of  $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$  are homogeneous with degree, written as  $|v| = n$ , for  $v \in V_n$ .

Given two graded spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , a linear map  $f : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $f(V_n) \subseteq W_{n+r}$  for all  $n$  is called a graded map of degree  $r$  and denote  $|f| = r$ . Write

$$\mathrm{Hom}(V, W)_r = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(V_p, W_{p+r})$$

for the space of graded maps of degree  $r$ . The graded space  $\mathrm{Hom}(V, W)$  is defined to be  $\{\mathrm{Hom}(V, W)_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

The tensor product  $V \otimes W$  of two graded spaces  $V$  and  $W$  is given by

$$(V \otimes W)_n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} V_p \otimes W_q.$$

We will use Sweedler's notation to represent elements in the tensor products of graded spaces. Let  $V^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V^n$  be the tensor product of graded spaces  $V^1, \dots, V^n$ . An element in this tensor product can be written as  $r = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} r_{i_1}^{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{i_n}^{[n]}$  with  $r_{i_k}^{[k]} \in V^k$ . For simplicity, we will omit the subscripts  $i_k$  and instead write:

$$r = \sum r^{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes r^{[n]}.$$

Let  $(A, \cdot)$  be a graded algebra. For each  $n \geq 1$ , we define a map  $\mathcal{F}$  from  $A^{\otimes n+1}$  to  $\mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)$  as

$$\mathcal{F}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1})(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} |x_k| |a_j|} a_1 \cdot x_1 \cdots x_n \cdot a_{n+1},$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_n, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in A$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional graded space. For each  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{End}(V)^{\otimes k+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{End}(V)^{\otimes n}, \mathrm{End}(V))$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_p^q\}_{1 \leq p, q \leq n}$  be the canonical basis of the matrix algebra  $\mathrm{End}(V)$ , satisfying

$$e_i^j e_k^l = \delta_k^j e_i^l, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n,$$

where  $\delta_k^j$  is the Kronecker symbol.

Let  $T : \mathrm{End}(V)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(V)$  be arbitrary operator. In terms of the canonical basis,  $T$  can be represented as: for all  $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n, q_{n+1} \leq n$ ,

$$T(e_{p_1}^{q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{p_n}^{q_n}) = \sum_{1 \leq q_0, p_{n+1} \leq n} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k}^n |e_{p_k}^{q_k}| |e_{q_j}^{p_{j+1}}|} e_{p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}}^{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n} e_{q_0}^{p_{n+1}},$$

with coefficients  $r_{p_1, \dots, p_n, l}^{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n} \in \mathbf{k}$ . For  $r_{n+1} = \sum r_{p_1, \dots, p_n, l}^{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n} e_{q_0}^{p_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{q_n}^{p_{n+1}}$ , we can calculate that  $T = \mathcal{F}(r_{n+1})$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a surjection. Since  $V$  is finite dimensional,  $\mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

The suspension of a graded space  $V$  is the graded space  $sV$  with  $(sV)_n = V_{n-1}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Write  $sv \in (sV)_n$  for  $v \in V_{n-1}$ . The map  $s : V \rightarrow sV, v \mapsto sv$  is a graded map of degree 1. One can also define the desuspension of  $V$  which is denoted by  $s^{-1}V$  with  $(s^{-1}V)_n = V_{n+1}$ . Write  $s^{-1}v \in (s^{-1}V)_n$  for  $v \in V_{n+1}$ . and  $s^{-1} : V \rightarrow s^{-1}V, v \mapsto s^{-1}v$  is a graded map of degree  $-1$ .

We will employ Koszul sign rule to determine signs, that is, when exchanging the positions of two graded objects in an expression, we have to multiply the expression by a power of  $-1$  whose exponent is the product of their degrees.

Let  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}_n$  denote the symmetric group in  $n$  variables. For  $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq n$  with  $i_1 + \dots + i_r = n$ ,  $\text{Sh}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$  is the set of  $(i_1, \dots, i_r)$ -shuffles, i.e., those permutation  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$  such that

$$\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \dots < \sigma(i_1), \sigma(i_1 + 1) < \dots < \sigma(i_1 + i_2), \dots, \sigma(i_1 + \dots + i_{r-1} + 1) < \dots < \sigma(n).$$

Let  $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$  be a graded vector space. Recall that the graded symmetric algebra  $S(V)$  of  $V$  is defined to be the quotient of the tensor algebra  $T(V)$  by the graded two-sided ideal  $I$  generated by  $x \otimes y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x$  for all homogeneous elements  $x, y \in V$ . For  $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \in V^{\otimes n} \subseteq T(V)$ , write  $x_1 \odot x_2 \odot \dots \odot x_n$  its image in  $S(V)$ . For homogeneous elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ , the Koszul sign  $\varepsilon(\sigma; x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is defined by

$$x_1 \odot x_2 \odot \dots \odot x_n = \varepsilon(\sigma; x_1, \dots, x_n) x_{\sigma(1)} \odot x_{\sigma(2)} \odot \dots \odot x_{\sigma(n)} \in S(V);$$

we also define

$$\chi(\sigma; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \varepsilon(\sigma; x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$  is the sign of the permutation  $\sigma$ .

## 1.2. Rota-Baxter systems vs associative Yang-Baxter pairs.

In this subsection, we first recall the definitions of Rota-Baxter systems and associative Yang-Baxter pairs. We will then show that these two notions, Rota-Baxter systems and associative Yang-Baxter pairs, are equivalent in the context of matrix algebras.

**Definition 1.2.** [9, Definition 2.1] A triple  $((A, \mu), R, S)$  consisting of an associative algebra  $(A, \mu)$  and two  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear operators  $R, S : A \rightarrow A$  is called a Rota-Baxter system if, for all  $a, b \in A$ , the following equations hold:

$$(1) \quad \mu(R(a) \otimes R(b)) = R(\mu(R(a) \otimes b) + \mu(a \otimes S(b))),$$

$$(2) \quad \mu(S(a) \otimes S(b)) = S(\mu(R(a) \otimes b) + \mu(a \otimes S(b))).$$

And we call the pair of operators  $(R, S)$  a coupled Rota-Baxter operator on the associative algebra  $(A, \mu)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** [9, Definition 3.1] Let  $A$  be a unitary associative algebra. An associative Yang-Baxter pair is a pair of elements

$$r = \sum r^{[1]} \otimes r^{[2]}, s = \sum s^{[1]} \otimes s^{[2]} \in A \otimes A$$

that satisfy the following equations

$$(3) \quad r^{13} r^{12} - r^{12} r^{23} + s^{23} r^{13} = 0,$$

$$(4) \quad s^{13} r^{12} - s^{12} s^{23} + s^{23} s^{13} = 0,$$

where  $r^{12} = r \otimes 1$ ,  $r^{13} = \sum r^{[1]} \otimes 1 \otimes r^{[2]}$ ,  $r^{23} = 1 \otimes r$ , etc.

**Proposition 1.4.** [9, Proposition 3.4] *Let  $(r, s)$  be an associative Yang-Baxter pair in a unitary algebra  $(A, \cdot)$ . Define two operators  $\mathcal{F}(r), \mathcal{F}(s) : A \rightarrow A$  as*

$$\mathcal{F}(r)(a) = \sum r^{[1]} a r^{[2]}, \quad \mathcal{F}(s)(a) = \sum s^{[1]} a s^{[2]}.$$

*Then  $((A, \cdot), \mathcal{F}(r), \mathcal{F}(s))$  is a Rota-Baxter system.*

*Proof.* For  $a, b \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \mu \circ (\mathcal{F}(r) \otimes \mathcal{F}(r)) - \mathcal{F}(r) \circ \mu \circ (\mathcal{F}(r) \otimes \text{Id}) - \mathcal{F}(r) \circ \mu \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}(s)) \right) (a \otimes b) \\ = & \sum r^{[1]} \cdot a \cdot r^{[2]} \cdot r'^{[1]} \cdot b \cdot r'^{[2]} - \sum r^{[1]} \cdot r'^{[1]} \cdot a \cdot r'^{[2]} \cdot b \cdot r^{[2]} - \sum r^{[1]} \cdot a \cdot s'^{[1]} \cdot b \cdot s'^{[2]} \cdot r^{[2]} \\ = & \mathcal{F}(r^{13} r^{12} - r^{12} r^{23} + s^{23} r^{13})(a \otimes b). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can also show that

$$\mu \circ (\mathcal{F}(s) \otimes \mathcal{F}(s)) - \mathcal{F}(s) \circ \mu \circ (\mathcal{F}(r) \otimes \text{Id}) - \mathcal{F}(s) \circ \mu \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}(s)) = \mathcal{F}(s^{13} r^{12} - s^{12} s^{23} + s^{23} s^{13}).$$

□

Similar to [32, Theorem 3.4] and [59, Theorem 4.12], we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.5.** *The map  $\varphi : (r, s) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}(r), \mathcal{F}(s))$  is a bijection between the set of associative Yang-Baxter pairs on  $M_n(\mathbf{k})$  and the set of coupled Rota-Baxter operators on  $M_n(\mathbf{k})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(R, S)$  be a pair of operators on  $M_n(\mathbf{k})$ . In terms of the canonical basis,  $R$  and  $S$  can be represented as:

$$R(e_p^q) = \sum_{il} r_{pl}^{iq} e_i^l \text{ and } S(e_p^q) = \sum_{il} s_{pl}^{iq} e_i^l, \text{ for all } 1 \leq p, q \leq n,$$

where coefficients  $r_{pl}^{iq}, s_{pl}^{iq} \in \mathbf{k}$ .

Set  $r = \sum_{i,j,k,l} r_{jl}^{ik} e_i^j \otimes e_k^l$  and  $s = \sum_{i,j,k,l} s_{jl}^{ik} e_i^j \otimes e_k^l$ . Then we have  $R = \mathcal{F}(r)$  and  $S = \mathcal{F}(s)$ . By Lemma 1.1 and Proposition 1.4,  $(M_n(\mathbf{k}), \mathcal{F}(r), \mathcal{F}(s))$  is Rota-Baxter system if and only if  $(r, s)$  is an associative Yang-Baxter pair in the unitary algebra  $M_n(\mathbf{k})$ .

□

## 2. THE KOSZUL DUAL HOMOTOPY COOPERAD

In this section, we will construct a homotopy cooperad, and we will prove that the cobar construction of this homotopy cooperad is exactly the minimal model of the operad for Rota-Baxter system in Section 3. Thus this homotopy cooperad can be considered as the Koszul dual of the operad for Rota-Baxter system.


For basic knowledge about homotopy (co)operads, we refer the reader to [45, 47, 48, 22] or [13, Section 3.1].

Define a graded collection  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i)$  by


$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i)(n) = \mathbf{k}u_n \oplus \mathbf{k}v_n \oplus \mathbf{k}w_n, \text{ with } |u_n| = 0, |v_n| = |w_n| = 1,$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . Now, we put a coaugmented homotopy cooperad structure on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i)$ . Firstly, consider trees of arity  $n \geq 1$  in the following list:

- (I) Planar trees of weight two: for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n - j + 1$ , there exists such a tree, which can be visualized as




- (II) Trees of weights  $k + 1 \geq 3$  and of “height” two: in these trees, the first vertex in the planar order has arity  $k$ , the vertex connected to the  $t$ -th leaf of the first vertex has arity  $r_t$  for each  $1 \leq t \leq k$  (so  $2 \leq k \leq n$  and  $r_1 + \dots + r_k = n$ ); these trees have the following picture:




where  $k \geq 2$ ,  $r_t \geq 1$  for all  $k \geq t \geq 1$ .

- (III) Trees of weights  $q + 1 \geq 3$  and of “height” three and there exists a unique vertex in the first two levels: in these trees, there are numbers  $2 \leq p \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r_1$  and  $r_j \geq 1$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$  such that the first vertex has arity  $r_1$ , the second vertex has arity  $p$  and is connected to the  $i$ -th leaf of the first vertex and the other vertices connected to the 1-th (resp. 2-th,  $\dots$ ,  $(j - 1)$ -th,  $(j + 1)$ -th,  $\dots$ ,  $p$ -th) leaf of the second vertex and with arity  $r_2$  (resp.  $r_3, \dots, r_p$ ), so  $r_1 + \dots + r_p = n$ ; these trees are drawn in this way:



Now, we define a family of operations  $\{\Delta_T : \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i)^{\otimes T}\}_{T \in \mathbb{Z}}$  as follows:

- (1) For a tree  $T$  of type (I) with  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - j + 1$ , define  $\Delta_T(u_n) = u_{n-j+1} \otimes u_j$ , which can be drawn as



Define

$$\Delta_T(v_n) = \begin{cases} v_n \otimes u_1, & j = 1, \\ u_1 \otimes v_n, & j = n, \end{cases}$$

which can be pictured as

$$\text{when } j = 1, \Delta_T(v_n) = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{u_1} \\ | \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ | \\ \boxed{v_n} \end{array}$$

$$\text{when } j = n, \Delta_T(v_n) = \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \dots \\ | \\ \boxed{v_n} \\ | \\ \boxed{u_1} \end{array}$$

and

$$\Delta_T(w_n) = \begin{cases} w_n \otimes u_1, & j = 1, \\ u_1 \otimes w_n, & j = n, \end{cases}$$

which can be pictured as

$$\text{when } j = 1, \Delta_T(w_n) = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{u_1} \\ | \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ | \\ \boxed{w_n} \end{array}$$

$$\text{when } j = n, \Delta_T(v_n) = \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \dots \\ | \\ \boxed{v_n} \\ | \\ \boxed{u_1} \end{array}$$

(2) For a tree  $T$  of type (II) with  $2 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $r_1 + \dots + r_k = n$ ,  $r_1, \dots, r_k \geq 1$ , define

$$\Delta_T(v_n) = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ | \quad | \quad | \\ \boxed{v_{r_1}} \quad \boxed{v_{r_2}} \quad \dots \quad \boxed{v_{r_k}} \\ | \quad | \quad | \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ | \\ \boxed{u_k} \end{array}$$

and

$$\Delta_T(w_n) = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ | \quad | \quad | \\ \boxed{w_{r_1}} \quad \boxed{w_{r_2}} \quad \dots \quad \boxed{w_{r_k}} \\ | \quad | \quad | \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ | \\ \boxed{u_k} \end{array}$$



- (3) For a tree  $T$  of type (III) with  $2 \leq q \leq p \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_{q-1} \leq p$ ,  $r_1 + \dots + r_q + p - q = n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r_1, r_1, \dots, r_q \geq 1$ , define

$$\Delta_T(v_n) = (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \boxed{v_{r_2}} & \boxed{v_{r_j}} & \boxed{w_{r_{j+1}}} & \boxed{w_{r_p}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array} \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{u_p} \\ \vdots \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{v_{r_1}} \end{array}$$

and

$$\Delta_T(w_n) = (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \boxed{v_{r_2}} & \boxed{v_{r_j}} & \boxed{w_{r_{j+1}}} & \boxed{w_{r_p}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array} \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{u_p} \\ \vdots \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{w_{r_1}} \end{array}$$

- (4) All other components of  $\Delta_T$ ,  $T \in \mathfrak{T}$  vanish.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The graded collection  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i)$  endowed with the operations  $\{\Delta_T\}_{T \in \mathfrak{T}}$  introduced above forms a coaugmented homotopy cooperad, whose strict counit is the natural projection  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}u_1 \cong \mathcal{I}$  and the coaugmentation is just the natural embedding  $\eta : \mathcal{I} \cong \mathbf{k}u_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i)$ .*

We postpone the proof of this result to Appendix A in order not to interrupt the flux of the presentation.

We will justify the following definition by showing its cobar construction is exactly the minimal model of  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$  in Section 3, hence the name ‘‘Koszul dual homotopy cooperad’’.

**Definition 2.2.** The homotopy cooperad  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i) \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} S^{-1}$ , denoted by  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i$ , is called the Koszul dual homotopy cooperad of  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$ .

Precisely, the underlying graded collection of  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i$  is

$$\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i(n) = \mathbf{k}e_n \oplus \mathbf{k}o_n^R \oplus \mathbf{k}o_n^S, n \geq 1$$

with  $e_n = u_n \otimes \varepsilon_n$ ,  $o_n^R = v_n \otimes \varepsilon_n$  and  $o_n^S = w_n \otimes \varepsilon_n$ , thus  $|e_n| = n - 1$  and  $|o_n| = n$ . The defining operations  $\{\Delta_T\}_{T \in \mathfrak{T}}$  are given by the following formulae:

- (1) For a tree  $T$  of type (I) with  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - j + 1$ ,

$$\Delta_T(e_n) = (-1)^{(j-1)(n-i+1)} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \boxed{e_j} \\ \vdots \end{array} \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{e_{n-j+1}} \end{array}$$

For  $\Delta_T(o_n^R)$ ,  $j = 1$ ,

$$\Delta_T(o_n^R) = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{e_1} \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{o_n^R} \end{array}$$

for  $j = n$ ,

$$\Delta_T(o_n^R) = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \boxed{o_n^R} \\ | \\ \boxed{u_1} \end{array}$$

For  $\Delta_T(o_n^S)$ ,  $j = 1$ ,

$$\Delta_T(o_n^S) = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{e_1} \\ | \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \boxed{o_n^S} \end{array}$$

for  $j = n$ ,

$$\Delta_T(o_n^S) = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \boxed{o_n^S} \\ | \\ \boxed{u_1} \end{array}$$

(2) For a tree  $T$  of type (II) with  $2 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $r_1 + \cdots + r_k = n$ ,  $r_1, \dots, r_k \geq 1$ ,

$$\Delta_T(o_n^R) = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \boxed{o_{r_1}^R} \quad \boxed{o_{r_2}^R} \quad \cdots \quad \boxed{o_{r_k}^R} \\ | \\ \boxed{e_k} \end{array}$$

and

$$\Delta_T(o_n^S) = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \boxed{o_{r_1}^S} \quad \boxed{o_{r_2}^S} \quad \cdots \quad \boxed{o_{r_k}^S} \\ | \\ \boxed{e_k} \end{array}$$

(3) For a tree  $T$  of type (III) with  $1 \leq j \leq p$ ,  $r_1 + \cdots + r_p = n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r_1$ ,  $r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1$ ,

$$\Delta_T(o_n^R) = (-1)^\gamma \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \boxed{o_{r_2}^R} \quad \boxed{o_{r_j}^R} \quad \boxed{o_{r_{j+1}}^S} \quad \boxed{o_{r_p}^S} \\ | \\ \boxed{e_p} \\ | \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \boxed{o_{r_1}^R} \end{array}$$

and

$$\Delta_T(o_n^S) = (-1)^\gamma$$

where

$$\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (q-k)r_k + p - 1 + \left( \sum_{k=2}^p r_k \right) (r_1 - i) + \sum_{k=2}^j (r_k - 1) + \sum_{k=2}^p (r_k - 1)(p - k).$$

(4) All other components of  $\Delta_T$ ,  $T \in \mathfrak{T}$  vanish.

### 3. THE MINIMAL MODEL FOR THE OPERAD OF ROTA-BAXTER SYSTEMS

In this section, we will prove that the cobar construction of the homotopy cooperad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i$  introduced in Section 2 is the minimal model of the operad for Rota-Baxter systems.

For a collection  $M = \{M(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  of (graded) vector spaces, denote by  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  the free (graded) operad generated by  $M$ . Recall that a dg operad is called quasi-free if its underlying graded operad is free.

**Definition 3.1.** [23] A minimal model for an operad  $\mathcal{P}$  is a quasi-free dg operad  $(\mathcal{F}(M), d)$  together with a surjective quasi-isomorphism of operads  $(\mathcal{F}(M), \partial) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}$ , where the dg operad  $(\mathcal{F}(M), \partial)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) the differential  $\partial$  is decomposable, i.e.,  $\partial$  takes  $M$  to  $\mathcal{F}(M)^{\geq 2}$ , the subspace of  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  consisting of elements with weight  $\geq 2$ ;
- (ii) the generating collection  $M$  admits a decomposition  $M = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} M_{(i)}$  such that  $\partial(M_{(k+1)}) \subset$

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_{(i)}\right) \text{ for any } k \geq 1.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** [23] *When an operad  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a minimal model, it is unique up to isomorphisms.*

The operad for Rota-Baxter systems, denoted by  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$ , is generated by two unary operators  $R, S$  and a binary operator  $\mu$  with the operadic relations generated by

$$\mu \circ_1 \mu - \mu \circ_2 \mu,$$

$$(\mu \circ_1 R) \circ_2 R - (R \circ_1 \mu) \circ_1 R - (R \circ_1 \mu) \circ_2 S$$

and

$$(\mu \circ_1 S) \circ_2 S - (S \circ_1 \mu) \circ_1 R - (S \circ_1 \mu) \circ_2 S.$$

**Definition 3.3.** The differential graded operad  $\Omega(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i)$ , denoted by  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ , is called the operad of homotopy Rota-Baxter systems.

Let us describe this dg operad explicitly. The dg operad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is the free operad generated by the graded collection  $s^{-1}\overline{\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i}$  endowed with the differential induced from the homotopy cooperad structure. More precisely,

$$s^{-1}\overline{\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i}(1) = \mathbf{k}s^{-1}o_1^R \oplus \mathbf{k}s^{-1}o_1^S \text{ and } s^{-1}\overline{\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i}(n) = \mathbf{k}s^{-1}e_n \oplus \mathbf{k}s^{-1}o_n^R \oplus \mathbf{k}s^{-1}o_n^S, n \geq 2.$$

Denote  $m_n = s^{-1}e_n, n \geq 2$  and  $R_n = s^{-1}o_n^R, S_n = s^{-1}o_n^S, n \geq 1$  respectively, so  $|m_n| = n - 2, |R_n| = n - 1, |S_n| = n - 1$ . The action of the differential on these generators in  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is given by the following formulae:

$$(5) \quad \forall n \geq 2, \quad \partial m_n = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-j+1} (-1)^{i+j(n-i)} m_{n-j+1} \circ_i m_j,$$

(6)

$\forall n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial R_n = & \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=n \\ l_1, \dots, l_k \geq 1}} (-1)^\alpha \left( \dots ((m_k \circ_1 R_{l_1}) \circ_{l_1+1} R_{l_2}) \dots \right) \circ_{l_1+\dots+l_{k-1}+1} T_{l_k} + \\ & \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq r_1}} (-1)^\beta \left( R_{r_1} \circ_i \left( \left( \left( \dots (m_p \circ_1 R_{r_2}) \dots \right) \circ_{r_2+\dots+r_{j-1}+1} R_{r_j} \right) \circ_{r_2+\dots+r_{j-1}+2} S_{r_{j+1}} \right) \circ_{r_2+\dots+r_p+2} S_{r_p} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

(7)

$\forall n \geq 1$


$$\begin{aligned} \partial S_n = & \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=n \\ l_1, \dots, l_k \geq 1}} (-1)^\alpha \left( \dots ((m_k \circ_1 S_{l_1}) \circ_{l_1+1} T_{l_2}) \dots \right) \circ_{l_1+\dots+l_{k-1}+1} S_{l_k} + \\ & \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq r_1}} (-1)^\beta \left( S_{r_1} \circ_i \left( \left( \left( \dots (m_p \circ_1 R_{r_2}) \dots \right) \circ_{r_2+\dots+r_{j-1}+1} R_{r_j} \right) \circ_{r_2+\dots+r_{j-1}+2} S_{r_{j+1}} \right) \circ_{r_2+\dots+r_p+2} S_{r_p} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the signs  $(-1)^\alpha$  and  $(-1)^\beta$  are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 1 + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k (k-j)l_j = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k (k-j)(l_j-1), \\ \beta &= 1 + i + \left( p + \sum_{j=2}^p (r_j-1) \right) (r_1-i) + \sum_{k=2}^j (r_k-1) + \sum_{k=2}^p (r_k-1)(p-k). \end{aligned}$$

Let's display the elements in the dg operad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  using labeled planar rooted trees. The generator  $m_n$  for  $n \geq 2$  is represented by the corolla with  $n$  leaves and a black vertex, and the generator  $R_n$  (resp.  $S_n$ ),  $n \geq 1$  is represented by a corolla with  $n$  leaves and a white (resp. red)

vertex:



In this means, the action of the differential operator  $\partial$  on generators can be expressed by trees as follows:

$$\partial \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \dots \quad \dots \\ \bullet m_n \end{array} = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-j+1} (-1)^{i+j(n-i)} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad \dots \quad j \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \dots \quad \dots \\ \bullet m_j \\ | \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad n-j+1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet m_{n-j+1} \end{array}$$

$$\partial \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \dots \quad \dots \\ \circ R_n \end{array} = \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=n \\ l_1, \dots, l_k \geq 1}} (-1)^\alpha \begin{array}{c} \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \circ R_{l_1} \quad \circ R_{l_2} \quad \dots \quad \circ R_{l_k} \\ | \\ \bullet m_k \end{array} + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq r_1}} (-1)^\beta \begin{array}{c} \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \dots \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \circ R_{r_2} \quad \circ R_{r_j} \quad j \quad \bullet S_{r_{j+1}} \quad \bullet S_{r_p} \\ | \\ \bullet m_p \\ | \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ R_{r_1} \end{array}$$

$$\partial \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \dots \quad \dots \\ \bullet S_n \end{array} = \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=n \\ l_1, \dots, l_k \geq 1}} (-1)^\alpha \begin{array}{c} \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \dots \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \bullet S_{l_1} \quad \bullet S_{l_2} \quad \dots \quad \bullet S_{l_k} \\ | \\ \bullet m_k \end{array} + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq r_1}} (-1)^\beta \begin{array}{c} \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \dots \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \circ R_{r_2} \quad \circ R_{r_j} \quad j \quad \bullet S_{r_{j+1}} \quad \bullet S_{r_p} \\ | \\ \bullet m_p \\ | \\ \bullet S_{r_1} \end{array}$$

The following theorem is the main result of this section, with its proof comprising the remainder of the section.

**Theorem 3.4.** *The dg operad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is the minimal model of the operad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$ .*

In order to prove Theorem 3.4, we are going to construct a quasi-isomorphism of dg operads  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty \rightarrow \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$ , where  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$  is considered as a dg operad concentrated in degree 0.

Recall that the operad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$  is generated by two unary operators  $R, S$  and a binary operator  $\mu$  with operadic relations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \circ_1 \mu - \mu \circ_2 \mu, \\ & (\mu \circ_1 R) \circ_2 R - (R \circ_1 \mu) \circ_1 R - (R \circ_1 \mu) \circ_2 S, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\mu \circ_1 S) \circ_2 S - (S \circ_1 \mu) \circ_1 R - (S \circ_1 \mu) \circ_2 S.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *There exists a natural surjective map  $\phi : \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$  of dg operads sending  $m_2$  (resp.  $R_1, S_1$ , all other generators) to  $\mu$  (resp.  $R, S$ , zero), which induces an isomorphism  $H_0(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty) \cong \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$ .*

*Proof.* The degree zero part of  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is the free graded operad generated by  $\{m_2, R_1, S_1\}$ . The image of  $\partial$  in this degree zero part is the operadic ideal generated by  $\partial R_2, \partial S_2$  and  $\partial m_3$ . By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(m_3) &= m_2 \circ_1 m_2 - m_2 \circ_2 m_2, \\ \partial(R_2) &= R_1 \circ_1 (m_2 \circ_1 R_1) + R_1 \circ_1 (m_2 \circ_2 S_1) - (m_2 \circ_1 R_1) \circ_2 R_1, \\ \partial(S_2) &= S_1 \circ_1 (m_2 \circ_1 R_1) + S_1 \circ_1 (m_2 \circ_2 S_1) - (m_2 \circ_1 S_1) \circ_2 S_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the map  $\phi : \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$  induces the isomorphism  $H_0(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty) \cong \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$ .  $\square$

To show that  $\phi : \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$  is a quasi-isomorphism, we just need to prove that  $H_i(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . To achieve this, we will construct a filtration on the dg operad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ , and we will prove that the homology of 0-the page of the spectral sequence associated to the filtration concentrates in degree 0 (see Theorem B.6). Then using convergence argument of spectral sequence, we have that the homology of  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  concentrates in degree 0.

#### Proof of Theorem 3.4:

*Proof.* It is obvious that the dg operad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  satisfies conditions (i)(ii) of Definition 3.1. So we just need to prove that  $\phi : \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$  is a quasi-isomorphism. Let  $\mathfrak{Tr}^{(2,1)}$  be the free operad generated by two unary operations  $R, S$ , and a binary operation  $\mu$ . Now, let's introduce a new ordering  $<$  on the the set  $\mathfrak{Tr}^{(2,1)}(n)$  as follows: For two tree monomials  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$  in  $\mathfrak{Tr}^{(2,1)}(n)$ ,

- (1) If  $\text{weight}(\mathcal{T}) < \text{weight}(\mathcal{T}')$ , then  $\mathcal{T} < \mathcal{T}'$ .
- (2) If  $\text{weight}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{weight}(\mathcal{T}')$ , compare  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $\mathcal{T}'$  via the natural path-extension-lexicographic induced by setting  $R < S < \mu$ .

With respect to the ordering  $<$ , the set  $\mathfrak{Tr}^{(2,1)}(n)$  becomes a totally ordered set:  $\mathfrak{Tr}^{(2,1)}(n) = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots\} \cong \mathbb{N}^+$ . Now, we define a map  $\omega : \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty \rightarrow \mathfrak{Tr}^{(2,1)}$  by replacing vertices  $m_n(n \geq 2), R_n(n \geq 1), S_n(n \geq 1)$  by  $\underbrace{\mu \circ_1 \mu \circ_1 \mu \cdots \circ_1 \mu}_{n-1}, \underbrace{R \circ_1 \mu \circ_1 R \circ_1 \mu \circ \cdots \circ_1 R \circ_1 \mu \circ_1 R}_{2n-1}$ , and  $\underbrace{S \circ_1 \mu \circ_1 R \circ_1 \mu \circ \cdots \circ_1 R \circ_1 \mu \circ_1 R}_{2n-1}$  in  $\mathfrak{Tr}^{(2,1)}$  respectively. Notice that different tree monomials

in  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  may have same image in  $\mathfrak{Tr}^{(2,1)}$  under the action  $\omega$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}_i^n$  to be the subspace of  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty(n)$  spanned by the tree monomials  $\mathcal{R}$  with  $\omega(\mathcal{R})$  smaller than or equal to  $x_i$  with respect to the ordering  $<$  on  $\mathfrak{Tr}^{(2,1)}(n)$ . Then we get a bounded below and exhaustive filtration for  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty(n)$

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_0^n \subset \mathcal{F}_1^n \subset \mathcal{F}_2^n \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty(n).$$

It can be easily seen that the filtration is compatible with the differential  $\partial$  on  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty(n)$ . Thus the spectral sequence associated to the filtration will converge to the homology of  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty(n)$ .

Moreover, one can prove that there is an isomorphism of complexes

$$\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_{i+1}^n / \mathcal{F}_i^n \cong {}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty(n),$$

where  ${}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is a quasi-free dg operad generated by operations  $\{m_n\}_{n \geq 2}$ ,  $\{R_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ . The action of the differential  $\bar{\partial}$  on these generators in  ${}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is given by the following :

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n \geq 2, \quad \bar{\partial}m_n &= \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (-1)^{1+j(n-1)} m_{n-j+1} \circ_1 m_j, \\ \forall n \geq 1, \quad \bar{\partial}R_n &= \sum_{\substack{r_1+r_2=n \\ r_1, r_2 \geq 1}} (-1)^{r_1(r_2-1)} (R_{r_1} \circ_1 m_2 \circ_1 R_{r_2}), \\ \forall n \geq 1, \quad \bar{\partial}S_n &= \sum_{\substack{r_1+r_2=n \\ r_1, r_2 \geq 1}} (-1)^{r_1(r_2-1)} (S_{r_1} \circ_1 m_2 \circ_1 R_{r_2}). \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem B.6 in Appendix B, we have that  ${}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty(n)$  is the minimal model of a certain operad. Thus, all positive homologies of  ${}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty(n)$  vanish. By classical spectral sequence argument, we have that all positive homologies of  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty(n)$  are trivial.  $\square$

By the general theory of minimal models of operads, the generators of the minimal model for an operad is exactly the desuspension of its Quillen homology, i.e., the homology of the bar construction of the operad. So for the operad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$ , denote by  $B(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S})$  the bar construction of  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$  and we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.6.** *There exists a quasi-isomorphism of homotopy cooperads between  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i$  and  $B(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S})$ , which induces an isomorphism of graded collections*

$$H_*(B(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S})) \cong \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i.$$

#### 4. HOMOTOPY ROTA-BAXTER SYSTEMS AND INFINITY-YANG-BAXTER PAIRS

In Section 3, we constructed the minimal model for Rota-Baxter systems operad. Building on this foundation, we now introduce the concept of homotopy Rota-Baxter systems, which serves as higher homotopy version of the concept of Rota-Baxter systems. Additionally, we define the notion of associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pairs and investigate the relationship between these two structures, thereby generalizing the classical correspondence between Rota-Baxter systems and associative Yang-Baxter pairs to a higher homotopical setting.

##### 4.1. Homotopy Rota-Baxter systems.

Firstly, let's introduce the notion of homotopy Rota-Baxter systems.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(V, d_V)$  be a complex. A homotopy Rota-Baxter system on  $V$  is defined to be a morphism of dg operads from  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  to the endomorphism operad  $\text{End}_V$ .

Let  $(V, d_V)$  be an algebra over the operad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ . Still denote by  $m_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V, n \geq 2$  (resp.  $R_n, S_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V, n \geq 1$ ) the image of  $m_n \in \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  (resp.  $R_n, S_n \in \mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ ). We also rewrite  $m_1 = d_V$ . Then Equations (5) and (6) give

$$(8) \quad \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n, \\ i, k \geq 0, j \geq 1}} (-1)^{i+jk} m_{i+1+k} \circ (\text{Id}^{\otimes i} \otimes m_j \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes k}) = 0,$$

$$(9) \quad \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=n, \\ l_1, \dots, l_k \geq 1}} (-1)^\delta m_k \circ (R_{l_1} \otimes \dots \otimes R_{l_k}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} (-1)^\eta R_{r_1} \circ (\text{Id}^{\otimes i} \otimes m_p \circ (R_{r_2} \otimes \dots \otimes R_{r_j} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes S_{r_{j+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes S_{r_p}) \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes k}),$$

and

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=n, \\ l_1, \dots, l_k \geq 1}} (-1)^\delta m_k \circ (S_{l_1} \otimes \dots \otimes S_{l_k}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} (-1)^\eta S_{r_1} \circ (\text{Id}^{\otimes i} \otimes m_p \circ (R_{r_2} \otimes \dots \otimes R_{r_j} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes S_{r_{j+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes S_{r_p}) \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes k}),$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k (k-j)l_j,$$

$$\eta = i + (p + \sum_{j=2}^p (r_j - 1))k + \sum_{t=2}^j (r_t - 1) + \sum_{t=2}^p (r_t - 1)(p - t).$$

Equation (8) is exactly the Stasheff identity defining  $A_\infty$ -algebras [54]. In particular, the operator  $m_1$  is a differential on  $V$  and the operator  $m_2$  induces an associative algebra structure on the homology  $H_\bullet(V, m_1)$ .

We obtain thus the following equivalent definition of homotopy Rota-Baxter system.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $V$  be a graded space. A homotopy Rota-Baxter system on  $V$  consists of three families of graded maps  $\{m_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{R_n, S_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V\}_{n \geq 1}$  with  $|m_n| = n - 2$ ,  $|R_n| = n - 1$ ,  $|S_n| = n - 1$  that subject to Equations (8)-(10).

The graded operations  $(\{R_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{S_n\}_{n \geq 1})$  are called a homotopy coupled Rota-Baxter operator on the  $A_\infty$ -algebra  $(V, \{m_n\}_{n \geq 1})$ .

**Example 4.3.** Expanding Equation (10) for small  $n$ 's gives the following:

(i) When  $n = 1$ ,  $|R_1| = |S_1| = 0$ ,

$$m_1 \circ R_1 = R_1 \circ m_1,$$

and

$$m_1 \circ S_1 = S_1 \circ m_1,$$

which implies that  $R_1, S_1 : (V, m_1) \rightarrow (V, m_1)$  are chain maps;

(ii) when  $n = 2$ ,  $|R_2| = |S_2| = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & m_2 \circ (R_1 \otimes R_1) - R_1 \circ m_2 \circ (\text{Id} \otimes S_1) - R_1 \circ m_2 \circ (R_1 \otimes \text{Id}) \\ &= -\partial(R_2) = -(m_1 \circ R_2 + R_2 \circ (\text{Id} \otimes m_1) + R_2 \circ (m_1 \otimes \text{Id})), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & m_2 \circ (S_1 \otimes S_1) - S_1 \circ m_2 \circ (\text{Id} \otimes S_1) - S_1 \circ m_2 \circ (R_1 \otimes \text{Id}) \\ &= -\partial(S_2) = -(m_1 \circ S_2 + S_2 \circ (\text{Id} \otimes m_1) + S_2 \circ (m_1 \otimes \text{Id})), \end{aligned}$$

which show that  $(R_1, S_1)$  is coupled Rota-Baxter operator with respect to  $m_2$ , but up to homotopy provided by the pair of operators  $(R_2, S_2)$ .

Observe that for a homotopy Rota-Baxter algebra  $(V; \{m_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{R_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{S_n\}_{n \geq 1})$ , its homology  $H_\bullet(V, m_1)$  endowed with the operators induced by  $m_2, R_1$  and  $S_1$  is a usual Rota-Baxter system.



In particular, if the underlying  $A_\infty$ -algebra  $(V, \{m_n\}_{n \geq 1})$  in the definition of homotopy Rota-Baxter system is just a differential graded algebra, i.e.,  $m_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 3$ , Equation (9) and Equation (10) will degenerate into the following forms:

(11)

$$\begin{aligned} & m_1 \circ R_n + \sum_{i+j=n} (-1)^{i+1} m_2(R_i \otimes R_j) \\ = & \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-1} \sum_{p+q=n} (-1)^{i+(q-1)(p-i-1)} R_p \circ (\text{Id}^{\otimes i} \otimes m_2 \circ (R_q \otimes \text{Id}) \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes p-i-1}) \\ & + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} R_n(\text{Id}^{\otimes i} \otimes m_1 \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes n-i-1}) + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-1} \sum_{p+q=n} (-1)^{i+(q-1)(p-i-1)} R_p \circ (\text{Id}^{\otimes i} \otimes m_2 \circ (\text{Id} \otimes S_q) \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes p-i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

(12)

$$\begin{aligned} & m_1 \circ S_n + \sum_{i+j=n} (-1)^{i+1} m_2(S_i \otimes S_j) \\ = & \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-1} \sum_{p+q=n} (-1)^{i+(q-1)(p-i)} S_p \circ (\text{Id}^{\otimes i} \otimes m_2 \circ (R_q \otimes \text{Id}) \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes p-i-1}) \\ & + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_n(\text{Id}^{\otimes i} \otimes m_1 \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes n-i-1}) + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-1} \sum_{p+q=n} (-1)^{i+(q-1)(p-i)} S_p \circ (\text{Id}^{\otimes i} \otimes m_2 \circ (\text{Id} \otimes S_q) \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes p-i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.2. Associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pairs.

In this subsection, we firstly introduce the notion of associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pairs, which can be considered as the higher version of associative Yang-Baxter pairs (Definition 1.3). Then, we will give an infinity version of Theorem 1.5.

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $A$  be a unitary graded algebra. An associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pair consists of two families of elements  $(\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{s_n\}_{n \geq 1})$  with  $r_1 = s_1$ ,  $|r_n| = |s_n| = n - 2$  for  $n \geq 1$

$$r_n = \sum r^{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes r^{[n]}, s_n = \sum s^{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes s^{[n]} \in A^{\otimes n},$$

that satisfy the following equations: for  $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad & \sum_{\substack{i+j=n, \\ i,j \geq 1}} (-1)^{1+i} r_{i+1}^{1, \dots, i+1} \cdot r_{j+1}^{i+1, \dots, n} \\ = & \sum_{\substack{i+j=n, \\ i,j \geq 0}} \sum_{s=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1+(j-1)(n-s-j-1)} \left( r_{i+1}^{1, \dots, s, s+j+1, \dots, n+1} \cdot r_{j+1}^{s, \dots, s+j} + (-1)^{(1-j)i} s_{j+1}^{s+1, \dots, s+j+1} \cdot r_{i+1}^{1, \dots, s, s+j+1, \dots, n+1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad & \sum_{\substack{i+j=n, \\ i,j \geq 1}} (-1)^{1+i} s_{i+1}^{1, \dots, i+1} \cdot s_{j+1}^{i+1, \dots, n} \\ = & \sum_{\substack{i+j=n, \\ i,j \geq 0}} \sum_{s=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1+(j-1)(n-s-j-1)} \left( s_{i+1}^{1, \dots, s, s+j+1, \dots, n+1} \cdot r_{j+1}^{s, \dots, s+j} + (-1)^{(1-j)i} s_{j+1}^{s+1, \dots, s+j+1} \cdot s_{i+1}^{1, \dots, s, s+j+1, \dots, n+1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$r_m^{k_1+1, k_1+k_2+2, \dots, \sum_{s=1}^m k_s+m} = \sum 1^{\otimes k_1} \otimes r^{[1]} \otimes 1^{\otimes k_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1^{\otimes k_m} \otimes r^{[m]} \otimes 1^{\otimes m+1}$$

and

$$s_m^{k_1+1, k_1+k_2+2, \dots, \sum_{s=1}^m k_s+m} = \sum 1^{\otimes k_1} \otimes s^{[1]} \otimes 1^{\otimes k_2} \otimes \dots \otimes 1^{\otimes k_m} \otimes s^{[m]} \otimes 1^{\otimes m+1},$$

for  $\sum_{s=1}^m k_s + m = n + 1$ ,  $m \geq 1$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_{m+1} \geq 0$ .

For small integers  $n$ , the associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pair has the following forms:

- (i) When  $n = 0$ ,  $r_1 = s_1$  and  $|r_1| = |s_1| = -1$ . Denote  $d = r_1 = s_1$ . Equation (13) and Equation (14) both read as  $d \cdot d = 0$ , which implies that the operator  $\partial = [-, d] : A \rightarrow A$  is a square-zero derivation on  $A$ . Thus  $(A, \partial)$  is a dg algebra.
- (ii) when  $n = 1$ ,  $|r_1| = |s_1| = -1$ ,  $|r_2| = |s_2| = 0$ ,

$$\partial_{A \otimes A}(r_2) = -\left((r_1^1 + r_1^2)r_2^{12} - r_2^{12}(r_1^1 + r_1^2)\right) = 0,$$

and

$$\partial_{A \otimes A}(s_2) = -\left((s_1^1 + s_1^2)s_2^{12} - s_2^{12}(s_1^1 + s_1^2)\right) = 0,$$

which shows that  $r_2, s_2 \in A \otimes A$  are closed cycles.

- (iii) when  $n = 2$ ,  $|r_1| = |s_1| = -1$ ,  $|r_2| = |s_2| = 0$ ,  $|r_3| = |s_3| = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & r_2^{13}r_2^{12} - r_2^{12}r_2^{23} + s_2^{23}r_2^{13} \\ &= -\left((s_1^1 + s_2^2 + s_2^3)r_3^{123} + r_3^{123}(r_1^1 + r_2^2 + r_2^3)\right) = \partial_{A^{\otimes 3}}(r_3), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & s_2^{13}r_2^{12} - s_2^{12}s_2^{23} + s_2^{23}s_2^{13} \\ &= -\left((s_1^1 + s_2^2 + s_2^3)s_3^{123} + s_3^{123}(r_1^1 + r_2^2 + r_2^3)\right) = \partial_{A^{\otimes 3}}(s_3), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $(r_2, s_2)$  is a usual associative Yang-Baxter pair (Definition 1.3), but up to homotopy given by the elements  $r_3$  and  $s_3$ .

Next, we will give infinity analogies for Proposition 1.4 and Theorem 1.5.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $(\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{s_n\}_{n \geq 1})$  be an associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pair in unitary graded algebra  $(A, m_2 = \cdot)$ . Let  $r_1 = s_1 = d \in A$ . We define a differential  $m_1$  on  $A$  and operators  $\mathcal{F}(r_{n+1}), \mathcal{F}(s_{n+1}) : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ , for  $n \geq 1$ , as: for  $x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$*

$$m_1(x) = -[d, x] = -d \cdot x + (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot d,$$

$$\mathcal{F}(r_{n+1})(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} |x_k||r^{[j]}|} r^{[1]} \cdot x_1 \cdot r^{[2]} \dots r^{[n]} \cdot x_n \cdot r^{[n+1]},$$

$$\mathcal{F}(s_{n+1})(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} |x_k||s^{[j]}|} s^{[1]} \cdot x_1 \cdot s^{[2]} \dots s^{[n]} \cdot x_n \cdot s^{[n+1]}.$$

*Then,  $(A, m_1, m_2)$  is a dg algebra, and  $(\{\mathcal{F}(r_{n+1})\}_{n \geq 1}, \{\mathcal{F}(s_{n+1})\}_{n \geq 1})$  is homotopy coupled Rota-Baxter operator on  $(A, m_1, m_2)$ .*

*Proof.* We have already seen that  $d \cdot d = 0$ , the graded algebra  $(A, m_1, m_2)$  is a dg algebra. For  $n \geq 1$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( m_1 \circ \mathcal{F}(r_{n+1}) - \sum_{s+k+1=n} (-1)^{n-1} \mathcal{F}(r_{n+1}) \circ (\text{Id}^{\otimes s} \otimes m_1 \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes k}) \right) (x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= - \sum_{[1], \dots, [n+1]} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} |x_k||r^{[j]}|} d \cdot r^{[1]} \cdot x_1 \dots x_n \cdot r^{[n+1]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{[1], \dots, [n+1]} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} |x_k|(|r^{[j]}|+1)+(n-1)} r^{[1]} \cdot x_1 \cdots x_n \cdot r^{[n+1]} \cdot d \\
 & + \sum_{[1], \dots, [n+1]} \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} |x_k||r^{[j]}| + \sum_{k=1}^s |r^{[k]}| + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} |x_j|} r^{[1]} \cdot x_1 \cdots r^{[s]} \cdot d \cdot x_s \cdot r^{[s+1]} \cdots x_n \cdot r^{[n+1]} \\
 & - \sum_{[1], \dots, [n+1]} \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} |x_k||r^{[j]}| + \sum_{k=1}^s |r^{[k]}||x_j|} r^{[1]} \cdot x_1 \cdots r^{[s]} \cdot x_s \cdot d \cdot r^{[s+1]} \cdots x_n \cdot r^{[n+1]} \\
 = & - \sum_{[1], \dots, [n+1]} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} |x_k||r^{[j]}|} s_1 \cdot r^{[1]} \cdot x_1 \cdots x_n \cdot r^{[n+1]} \\
 & + \sum_{[1], \dots, [n+1]} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} |x_k|(|r^{[j]}|+1)+(n-1)} r^{[1]} \cdot x_1 \cdots x_n \cdot r^{[n+1]} \cdot r_1 \\
 & + \sum_{[1], \dots, [n+1]} \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} |x_k||r^{[j]}| + \sum_{k=1}^s |r^{[k]}| + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} |x_j|} r^{[1]} \cdot x_1 \cdots r^{[s]} \cdot r_1 \cdot x_s \cdot r^{[s+1]} \cdots x_n \cdot r^{[n+1]} \\
 & - \sum_{[1], \dots, [n+1]} \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} |x_k||r^{[j]}| + \sum_{k=1}^s (|r^{[k]}||x_j|)} r^{[1]} \cdot x_1 \cdots r^{[s]} \cdot x_s \cdot s_1 \cdot r^{[s+1]} \cdots x_n \cdot r^{[n+1]} \\
 = & - \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{F} \left( s_1^k \cdot r_{n+1}^{1, \dots, n+1} - (-1)^{n-1} r_{n+1}^{1, \dots, n+1} \cdot r_1^k \right) (x_1, \dots, x_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that the following identity holds:

(15)

$$m_1 \circ \mathcal{F}(r_{n+1}) - \sum_{s+k+1=n} (-1)^{n-1} \mathcal{F}(r_{n+1}) \circ (\text{Id}^{\otimes s} \otimes m_1 \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes k}) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{F} \left( s_1^k \cdot r_{n+1}^{1, \dots, n+1} - (-1)^{n-1} r_{n+1}^{1, \dots, n+1} \cdot r_1^k \right).$$

Similarly, one can also obtain the following identities:

$$(16) \quad \sum_{\substack{i+j=n, \\ i, j \geq 1}} (-1)^{1+i} m_2 \circ \left( \mathcal{F}(r_{i+1}) \otimes \mathcal{F}(r_{j+1}) \right) = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n, \\ i, j \geq 1}} (-1)^{1+i} \mathcal{F}(r_{i+1}^{1, \dots, i+1} \cdot r_{j+1}^{i+1, \dots, n}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad & \sum_{s+k+j+1=n} (-1)^{s+(j-1)(k+1)} \mathcal{F}(r_{i+1}) \left( \text{Id}^{\otimes s} \otimes m_2 \circ (\mathcal{F}(r_{i+1}) \otimes \text{Id}) \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes k} \right) \\
 & = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n, \\ i, j \geq 1}} \sum_{s=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1+(j-1)(n-s-j-1)} \mathcal{F}(r_{i+1}^{1, \dots, s, s+j+1, \dots, n+1} \cdot r_{j+1}^{s, \dots, s+j}),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (18) \quad & \sum_{s+k+j+1=n} (-1)^{s+(j-1)k} \mathcal{F}(r_{i+1}) \left( \text{Id}^{\otimes s} \otimes m_2 \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \mathcal{F}(s_{j+1})) \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes k} \right) \\
 & = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n, \\ i, j \geq 1}} \sum_{s=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1+(j-1)(s+1)} \mathcal{F}(s_{j+1}^{s+1, \dots, s+j+1} \cdot r_{i+1}^{1, \dots, s, s+j+1, \dots, n+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

By summing Equations (15)-(18), one can find that the right-hand side equals 0 since  $(\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{s_n\}_{n \geq 1})$  satisfies Equation (13). Thus we have that  $\{\mathcal{F}(r_{n+1})\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{\mathcal{F}(s_{n+1})\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfy Equation (11). Similarly, it can be checked that  $\{\mathcal{F}(r_{n+1})\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{\mathcal{F}(s_{n+1})\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfy the Equation (12). In conclusion,  $(\{\mathcal{F}(r_{n+1})\}_{n \geq 1}, \{\mathcal{F}(s_{n+1})\}_{n \geq 1})$  is a homotopy coupled Rota-Baxter operator on  $(A, m_1, m_2)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional graded space. Let  $(\text{End}(V), m_2 = \cdot)$  be the endomorphism graded algebra of  $V$  with composition as the multiplication. The map*

$$\chi : (r_1 = s_1 = d_V, \{r_n\}_{n \geq 2}, \{s_n\}_{n \geq 2}) \rightarrow (m_1 = -[d_V, -], \{\mathcal{F}(r_n)\}_{n \geq 2}, \{\mathcal{F}(s_n)\}_{n \geq 2})$$

*is a bijection between the set of associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pairs in graded algebra  $(\text{End}(V), m_2)$  and the set of triples  $(m_1, \{R_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{S_n\}_{n \geq 1})$  in which  $m_1$  is a differential on the graded algebra  $(\text{End}(V), m_2)$ , and  $(\{R_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{S_n\}_{n \geq 1})$  is a homotopy coupled Rota-Baxter operator on the dg  $(\text{End}(V), m_1, m_2)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $n = 0$ , the equations of associative Yang-Baxter pair (11) (12) are equal to  $d_V^2 = 0$ . Thus,  $(V, d_V)$  is a complex and its endomorphism graded algebra  $(\text{End}(V), m_2)$  is a finite dimensional dg algebra with a differential  $m_1$  defined by

$$m_1(x) = -d_V \cdot x + (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot d_V, \text{ for } x \in \text{End}(V).$$

Thus,  $(\text{End}(V), m_1 = -[d_V, -], m_2)$  is a dg algebra if and only if the defining equations of associative Yang-Baxter pairs (11)(12) hold at  $n = 0$ .

The operators  $R_n, S_n : (\text{End}(V))^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \text{End}(V), n \geq 1$ , can be uniquely written as:

$$R_n(e_{p_1}^{q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{p_n}^{q_n}) = \sum_{1 \leq q_0, p_{n+1} \leq n} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k}^n |e_{p_k}^{q_k}| |e_{q_j}^{p_{j+1}}|} r_{p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}}^{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n} e_{q_0}^{p_{n+1}},$$

and

$$S_n(e_{p_1}^{q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{p_n}^{q_n}) = \sum_{1 \leq q_0, p_{n+1} \leq n} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k}^n |e_{p_k}^{q_k}| |e_{q_j}^{p_{j+1}}|} s_{p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}}^{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n} e_{q_0}^{p_{n+1}}$$

with coefficients  $r_{p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}}^{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n}, s_{p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}}^{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n} \in \mathbf{k}$ . Denote  $r_{n+1} = \sum r_{p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}}^{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n} e_{q_0}^{p_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{q_n}^{p_{n+1}}$  and  $s_{n+1} = \sum s_{p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}}^{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n} e_{q_0}^{p_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{q_n}^{p_{n+1}}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . We have that  $R_n = \mathcal{F}(r_{n+1})$  and  $S_n = \mathcal{F}(s_{n+1})$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ .

By Lemma 1.1 and Proposition 4.5,  $(\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{s_n\}_{n \geq 1})$  is an associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pair if and only if operators  $\{\mathcal{F}(r_{n+1})\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{\mathcal{F}(s_{n+1})\}_{n \geq 1}$  define a homotopy Rota-Baxter system on  $(\text{End}(V), m_1, m_2)$  with  $m_1 = -[d_V, -]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** In [55], Schedler introduces the concept of associative infinity Yang-Baxter equations, which can be viewed as the infinity version of the skew-symmetric associative Yang-Baxter equations. In Definition 4.4, we establish the notion of infinity versions of associative Yang-Baxter pairs, known as associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pairs. Moreover, if  $\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a skew-symmetric solution of the associative infinity Yang-Baxter equations as defined by Schedler, then  $(\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{r_n\}_{n \geq 1})$  will give an associative infinity-Yang-Baxter pair in our sense.

5. FROM THE MINIMAL MODEL TO THE  $L_\infty$ -ALGEBRA GOVERNING DEFORMATIONS

In this section, we will use the minimal model  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ , or more precisely the Koszul dual homotopy cooperad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i$ , to determine the deformation complex as well as the  $L_\infty$ -algebra structure on it for Rota-Baxter systems.

For the terminology and basic facts about  $L_\infty$ -algebras, we refer the reader to [13, Section 2].

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $V$  be a graded space. Introduce an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBS}}(V)$  associated to  $V$  as  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBS}}(V) := \mathbf{Hom}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i, \text{End}_V)^\Pi$ .

Now, let's determine the  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBS}}(V)$  explicitly. The sign rules in the homotopy cooperad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i$  are complicated, so we need some transformations. Explicitly, any  $f \in \text{End}_V(n)$  corresponds to an element  $\tilde{f} \in \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{S}, \text{End}_{sV})(n)$  which is defined as  $(\tilde{f}(\delta_n))(sv_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes sv_n) = (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^k |v_j|} (-1)^{(n-1)|f|} sf(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n)$  for any  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ .

Thus we have the following isomorphisms of homotopy operads:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i, \text{End}_V) &\cong \mathbf{Hom}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i, \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{S}, \text{End}_{sV})) \\ &\cong \mathbf{Hom}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{S}, \text{End}_{sV}) \\ &= \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i), \text{End}_{sV}). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBS}}(V) \cong \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i), \text{End}_{sV})^\Pi.$$

Recall that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i)(n) = \mathbf{k}u_n \oplus \mathbf{k}v_n \oplus \mathbf{k}w_n$  with  $|u_n| = 0$  and  $|v_n| = |w_n| = 1$ . By definition

$$\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i), \text{End}_{sV})(n) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}u_n \oplus \mathbf{k}v_n \oplus \mathbf{k}w_n, \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, sV)).$$

Each  $f \in \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, sV)$  determines bijectively a map  $\tilde{f}$  in  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}u_n, \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, sV))$  by imposing  $\tilde{f}(u_n) = f$ , each  $g \in \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, V)$  is in bijection with a map  $\hat{g}$  in  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}v_n, \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, sV))$  as  $\hat{g}(v_n) = (-1)^{|g|} sg$  and each  $h \in \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, V)$  is in bijection with a map  $\hat{h}$  in  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}w_n, \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, sV))$  as  $\hat{h}(v_n) = (-1)^{|h|} sh$ .

Denote

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\text{Alg}}(V) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, sV),$$

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}}(V) = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}_R}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}_S}(V) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, V) \oplus \prod_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, V).$$

In this way, we identify  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBS}}(V)$  with  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{Alg}}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}}(V)$ . By the general theory of homotopy (co)operads (see [13, Section 3.1]), a direct computation gives the  $L_\infty$ -algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBS}}(V)$ :

(I) For homogeneous elements  $sf, sh \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{Alg}}(V)$ , define

$$l_2(sf \otimes sh) := [sf, sh]_G \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{Alg}}(V).$$

(II) Let  $n \geq 1$ . For homogeneous elements  $sf \in \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, sV) \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\text{Alg}}(V)$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}_R}(V)$  and  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}_S}(V)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 < j < n$  define

$$l_{n+1}(sf \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}_R}(V), \quad l_{n+1}(sf \otimes h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_n) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}_S}(V)$$

and

$$l_{n+1}(sf \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_j \otimes h_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_n) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}}(V)$$

as :

$$(19) \quad l_{n+1}(sf \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\eta_1} (f \circ \{sg_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, sg_{\sigma(n)}\}) - (-1)^{(|g_{\sigma(1)}|+1)(|f|+1)} s^{-1}(sg_{\sigma(1)}) \{sf\{sg_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, sg_{\sigma(n)}, \text{Id}\}\} \\
(20) \quad & l_{n+1}(sf \otimes h_1 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\eta_2} (f \circ \{sh_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, sh_{\sigma(n)}\}) - (-1)^{(|h_{\sigma(1)}|+1)(|f|+1)} s^{-1}(sh_{\sigma(1)}) \{sf\{\text{Id}, sh_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, sh_{\sigma(n)}\}\} \\
(21) \quad & l_{n+1}(sf \otimes g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_j \otimes h_{j+1} \otimes \dots \otimes h_n) \\
&= \underbrace{\sum_{\sigma' \in S_j, \sigma'' \in S_{n-j}} (-1)^{\eta_3} s^{-1}(sg_{\sigma'(1)}) \{sf\{sg_{\sigma'(2)}, \dots, sg_{\sigma'(j)}, \text{Id}, sh_{j+\sigma''(1)}, \dots, sh_{j+\sigma''(n-j)}\}\}}_{(A)} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{\sigma' \in S_j, \sigma'' \in S_{n-j}} (-1)^{\eta_4} s^{-1}(sh_{j+\sigma''(1)}) \{sf\{sg_{\sigma'(1)}, \dots, sg_{\sigma'(j)}, \text{Id}, sh_{j+\sigma''(2)}, \dots, sh_{j+\sigma''(n-j)}\}\}}_{(B)},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{\eta_1} &= \chi(\sigma; g_1, \dots, g_n) (-1)^{n(|f|+1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^k |g_{\sigma(j)}|}, \\
(-1)^{\eta_2} &= \chi(\sigma; h_1, \dots, h_n) (-1)^{n(|f|+1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^k |h_{\sigma(j)}|}, \\
(-1)^{\eta_3} &= \chi(\sigma'; g_1, \dots, g_j) \chi(\sigma''; h_{j+1}, \dots, h_n) (-1)^{1+n(|f|+1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^k |h_{j+\sigma''(s)}|} \\
(-1)^{\eta_4} &= \chi(\sigma'; g_1, \dots, g_j) \chi(\sigma''; h_{j+1}, \dots, h_n) (-1)^{(\sum_{k=1}^j |g_{\sigma'(k)}|)(n-j) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \sum_{s=1}^k |g_{\sigma'(s)}| + (|g_{\sigma'(1)}|+1)(|f|+1)},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{\eta_4} &= \chi(\sigma'; g_1, \dots, g_j) \chi(\sigma''; h_{j+1}, \dots, h_n) (-1)^{1+n(|f|+1) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \sum_{s=1}^k |g_{\sigma'(s)}|} \\
(-1)^{\eta_4} &= \chi(\sigma'; g_1, \dots, g_j) \chi(\sigma''; h_{j+1}, \dots, h_n) (-1)^{(|h_{j+\sigma''(1)}|+1)(|f| + \sum_{k=1}^j |g_{\sigma'(k)}| + j+1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^k |h_{j+\sigma''(s)}| + (\sum_{k=1}^j |g_{\sigma'(k)}|)(n-j)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In Equation (21), Part (A) belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}_R}(V)$  and Part (B) belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}_S}(V)$ .

(III) Let  $m \geq 1$ . For homogeneous elements  $sh \in \text{Hom}((sV)^{\otimes n}, sV) \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\text{Alg}}(V)$ ,  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \text{Hom}(T^c(sV), V) \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBSO}}(V)$  with  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , define

$$l_{m+1}(g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_k \otimes sh \otimes g_{k+1} \otimes \dots \otimes g_m) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBS}}(V)$$

to be

$$l_{m+1}(g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_k \otimes sh \otimes g_{k+1} \otimes \dots \otimes g_m) = (-1)^{(|h|+1)(\sum_{j=1}^k |g_j|) + k} l_{m+1}(sh \otimes g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_m),$$

where the RHS has been introduced in (II).

(IV) All other components of operators  $\{l_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  vanish.

[13, Proposition 3.9] gives immediately an alternative definition of homotopy Rota-Baxter systems.

**Proposition 5.2.** *A homotopy Rota-Baxter system structure on a graded space  $V$  is equivalent to a Maurer-Cartan element in the  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBS}}(V)$ . In particular, when  $V$  is concentrated in degree 0, a Maurer-Cartan element in  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBS}}(V)$  gives a Rota-Baxter system structure on  $V$ .*

By interpreting Rota-Baxter systems as Maurer-Cartan elements as described above, we can study their deformation theory using the twisting procedure in this  $L_\infty$ -algebra.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $(A, \mu, R, S)$  be a Rota-Baxter system. Twist the  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{RBS}}(V)$  by the Maurer-Cartan element corresponding to the Rota-Baxter system structure  $(A, \mu, R, S)$ , then its underlying complex controls the deformations of Rota-Baxter system.*

**Remark 5.4.** In [41], Y. Liu, K. Wang, and L. Yin construct a cochain complex for Rota-Baxter systems, and they prove that the cohomology of this complex governs the deformations of Rota-Baxter systems. Notably, the underlying complex of the twisting  $L_\infty$  algebra introduced above is exactly the suspension of the cochain complex introduced by Liu, Wang, and Yin. In this paper, we present an operadic approach to the cochain complex described in [41], showing that it is endowed with an  $L_\infty$ -algebra structure.

#### APPENDIX A. THE KOSZUL DUAL HOMOTOPY COOPERAD: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1

In this appendix, we present a proof of Proposition 2.1.

One needs to show that the induced derivation  $\partial$  on the cobar construction of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i)$ , i.e., the free operad generated by  $s^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i)}$  is a differential, that is,  $\partial^2 = 0$ .

Denote  $s^{-1}u_n, n \geq 2$  (resp.  $s^{-1}v_n, s^{-1}w_n, n \geq 1$ ) by  $x_n$  (resp.  $y_n, z_n$ ) which are the basis elements of  $s^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i)}$  and generators of  $\Omega(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}^i))$ . Notice that  $|x_n| = -1$  and  $|y_n| = |z_n| = 0$ . By the definition of cobar construction of coaugmented homotopy cooperads, the action of differential  $\partial$  on generators  $x_n, y_n, z_n$  is given by the following formulae:

$$(22) \quad \partial(x_n) = - \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} x_{n-j+1} \{x_j\}, \quad n \geq 2;$$

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial(y_n) = & - \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_k = n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_k \geq 1}} x_k \{y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_k}\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_p = n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} y_{r_1} \{x_p \{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{r_j}, \text{Id}, z_{r_{j+1}}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\}, \quad n \geq 1; \end{aligned}$$

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial(z_n) = & - \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_k = n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_k \geq 1}} x_k \{z_{r_1}, \dots, z_{r_k}\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_p = n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} z_{r_1} \{x_p \{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{r_j}, \text{Id}, z_{r_{j+1}}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\}, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

For the definition of the brace operation in operads, we refer to [27, 28, 29, 13].

Note that

$$(25) \quad \partial(y_1) = \partial(w_1) = 0.$$

We just need to show that  $\partial^2 = 0$  holds on generators  $x_n, n \geq 2$  and  $y_n, z_n, n \geq 1$ , which can be checked by direct computations. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^2(x_n) &= \partial\left(-\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} x_{n-j+1}\{x_j\}\right) \\
&\stackrel{(22)}{=} -\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \partial(x_{n-j+1})\{x_j\} + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} x_{n-j+1}\{\partial(x_j)\} \\
&\stackrel{(22)}{=} \sum_{\substack{i+j+k-2=n \\ 2 \leq i, j, k \leq n-2}} (x_i\{x_j\})\{x_k\} - \sum_{\substack{i+j+k-2=n \\ 2 \leq i, j, k \leq n-2}} x_i\{x_j\{x_k\}\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i+j+k-2=n \\ 2 \leq i, j, k \leq n-2}} x_i\{x_j\{x_k\}\} + \sum_{\substack{i+j+k-2=n \\ 2 \leq i, j, k \leq n-2}} x_i\{x_j, x_k\} - \sum_{\substack{i+j+k-2=n \\ 2 \leq i, j, k \leq n-2}} x_i\{x_k, x_j\} - \sum_{\substack{i+j+k-2=n \\ 2 \leq i, j, k \leq n-2}} x_i\{x_j\{x_k\}\} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^2(y_n) &\stackrel{(24)}{=} \partial\left(-\sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_k=n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_k \geq 1}} x_k\{y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_k}\}\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} y_{r_1}\{x_p\{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{r_j}, \mathbf{Id}, z_{r_{j+1}}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

As  $\partial$  is a derivation and by (25),

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^2(y_n) &= -\sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_k=n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_k \geq 1}} \partial(x_k)\{y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_k}\} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_k=n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_k \geq 1}} \sum_{j=1}^k x_k\{y_{r_1}, \dots, \partial(y_{r_j}), \dots, y_{r_k}\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} \partial(y_{r_1})\{x_p\{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{r_j}, \mathbf{Id}, z_{r_{j+1}}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} y_{r_1}\{\partial(x_p)\{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{r_j}, \mathbf{Id}, z_{r_{j+1}}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} \sum_{i=2}^j y_{r_1}\{x_p\{y_{r_2}, \dots, \partial(y_{r_i}), \dots, y_{r_j}, \mathbf{Id}, z_{r_{j+1}}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} \sum_{i=j+1}^p y_{r_1}\{x_p\{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{r_j}, \mathbf{Id}, z_{r_{j+1}}, \dots, \partial(z_{r_i}), \dots, z_{r_p}\}\} \\
&\stackrel{(22)(24)}{=} \underbrace{\sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_k=n, \\ r_1, \dots, r_k \geq 1}} \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} (x_{k-i+1}\{x_i\})\{y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_k}\}}_{(I)}
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \underbrace{\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_k=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_k \geq 1}} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{u=2}^{r_j} \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_u=r_j \\ l_1, \dots, l_u \geq 1}} x_k\{y_{r_1}, \dots, x_u\{y_{l_1}, \dots, y_{l_u}\}, \dots, y_{r_k}\}}_{\text{(II)}} \\
 & + \underbrace{\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_k=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_k \geq 1}} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq r_j \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_p=r_j \\ l_1, \dots, l_p \geq 1}} x_k\{y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{l_1}\{x_p\{y_{l_2}, \dots, y_{l_j}, \text{Id}, z_{l_{j+1}}, \dots, z_{l_p}\}\}, \dots, y_{r_k}\}}_{\text{(III)}} \\
 & - \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} \sum_{k=2}^{r_1} \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=r_1 \\ l_1, \dots, l_k \geq 1}} (x_k\{y_{l_1}, \dots, y_{l_k}\})\{x_p\{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{r_j}, \text{Id}, z_{r_{j+1}}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\}}_{\text{(IV)}} \\
 & + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq k \leq r_1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq k}} \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=r_1 \\ l_1, \dots, l_k \geq 1}} (y_{l_1}\{x_k\{y_{l_2}, \dots, y_{l_i}, \text{Id}, \dots, z_{l_j}\}\})\{x_p\{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{r_j}, \text{Id}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\}}_{\text{(V)}} \\
 & - \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} \sum_{j=2}^{p-1} y_{r_1}\{(x_{p-j+1}\{x_j\})\{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{r_j}, \text{Id}, z_{r_{j+1}}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\}}_{\text{(VI)}} \\
 & + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n-1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} \sum_{j=2}^i \sum_{k=2}^{r_j} \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=r_j \\ l_1, \dots, l_k \geq 1}} y_{r_1}\{x_p\{y_{r_2}, \dots, x_k\{y_{l_1}, \dots, y_{l_k}\}, \dots, y_{r_i}, \text{Id}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\}}_{\text{(VII)}} \\
 & - \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n-1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq t \leq s}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} \sum_{j=2}^i \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=r_j \\ l_1, \dots, l_s \geq 1}} y_{r_1}\{x_p\{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{l_1}\{x_k\{y_{l_2}, \dots, y_{l_t}, \text{Id}, \dots, z_{l_s}\}\}, \dots, y_{r_i}, \text{Id}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\}}_{\text{(VIII)}} \\
 & + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n-1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq p}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} \sum_{j=i+1}^p \sum_{k=2}^{r_j} \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=r_j \\ l_1, \dots, l_k \geq 1}} y_{r_1}\{x_p\{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{r_i}, \text{Id}, \dots, x_k\{z_{l_1}, \dots, z_{l_k}\}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\}}_{\text{(IX)}} \\
 & - \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n-1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq t \leq s}} \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_p=n \\ r_1, \dots, r_p \geq 1}} \sum_{j=i+1}^p \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=r_j \\ l_1, \dots, l_s \geq 1}} y_{r_1}\{x_p\{y_{r_2}, \dots, y_{r_i}, \text{Id}, z_{r_{i+1}}, \dots, z_{l_1}\{x_k\{y_{l_2}, \dots, y_{l_t}, \text{Id}, z_{l_{t+1}}, \dots, z_{l_s}\}\}, \dots, z_{r_p}\}\}}_{\text{(X)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

According to the pre-Jacobi identity (see [27, 28, 29] or [13, Proposition 3.7]), we have

$$(I) = (II), (III) = (IV) \text{ and } (V) + (VII) + (IX) = (VI) + (VIII) + (X),$$

so we obtain  $\partial^2(y_n) = 0$ .

Similarly, one can check that  $\partial^2(z_n) = 0$ .

### APPENDIX B. THE MINIMAL MODEL FOR OPERAD $m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$

In this section, we will show that the homology of the differential graded operad  $m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  introduced in the proof of Theorem 3.4 concentrates in degree 0. More explicitly, we will prove that  $m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is the minimal model of a certain monomial operad.

**Definition B.1.** The operad  $m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$  is defined by the quotient  $\mathcal{F}(E)/\langle G \rangle$ , where  $E$  is the collection defined by  $E(1) = \mathbf{k}R \oplus \mathbf{k}S$ ,  $E(2) = \mathbf{k}\mu$ ,  $E(n) = 0$  for  $n \geq 3$ , and  $G$  is the set of relations:

$$\mu \circ_1 \mu, R \circ_1 (\mu \circ_1 R) \text{ and } S \circ_1 (\mu \circ_1 R).$$

Recall that  $m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is the quasi-free dg operad generated by graded collections  $\{m_n\}_{n \geq 2} \cup \{R_n\}_{n \geq 1} \cup \{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  endowed with the differential  $\bar{\partial}$ . The action of  $\bar{\partial}$  on generators is given by the following formulae:

$$(26) \quad \forall n \geq 2, \quad \bar{\partial}m_n = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (-1)^{1+j(n-1)} m_{n-j+1} \circ_1 m_j,$$

$$(27) \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad \bar{\partial}R_n = \sum_{\substack{r_1+r_2=n \\ r_1, r_2 \geq 1}} (-1)^{r_1(r_2-1)} (R_{r_1} \circ_1 m_2 \circ_1 R_{r_2}),$$

$$(28) \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad \bar{\partial}S_n = \sum_{\substack{r_1+r_2=n \\ r_1, r_2 \geq 1}} (-1)^{r_1(r_2-1)} (S_{r_1} \circ_1 m_2 \circ_1 R_{r_2}).$$

Now, we are going to prove that  $m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is the minimal model of the operad  $m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}$ . To achieve this, we will construct an explicit homotopy. The construction of this homotopy map involves a graded path-lexicographic ordering on the operad  $\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ .


To any tree monomial  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $n$  leaves (written as  $\text{arity}(\mathcal{T}) = n$ ), we can associate with a sequence  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  where  $x_i$  is the word formed by generators of  $m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  corresponding to the vertices along the unique path from the root of  $\mathcal{T}$  to its  $i$ -th leaf.

For two graded tree monomials  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ , we compare  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$  in the following way:

- (i) If  $\text{arity}(\mathcal{T}) > \text{arity}(\mathcal{T}')$ , then  $\mathcal{T} > \mathcal{T}'$ ;
- (ii) if  $\text{arity}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{arity}(\mathcal{T}')$ , and  $\text{deg}(\mathcal{T}) > \text{deg}(\mathcal{T}')$ , then  $\mathcal{T} > \mathcal{T}'$ , where  $\text{deg}(\mathcal{T})$  is the sum of the degrees of all generators of  $m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  appearing in  $\mathcal{T}$ ;
- (iii) if  $\text{arity}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{arity}(\mathcal{T}') (= n)$ ,  $\text{deg}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{deg}(\mathcal{T}')$ , then  $\mathcal{T} > \mathcal{T}'$  if the path sequences  $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$  associated to  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$  satisfies  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) > (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$  with respect to the length-lexicographic order of words induced by

$$R_1 < S_1 < m_2 < R_2 < S_2 < m_3 < \dots < R_n < S_n < m_{n+1} < R_{n+1} < S_{n+1} < \dots.$$

It is ready to see that this is a well-order. Under this order, the leading terms in the expansion of  $\bar{\partial}(m_n), \bar{\partial}(R_n)$  and  $\bar{\partial}(S_n)$  are the following tree monomials respectively:



Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a generator of degree  $\geq 1$  in  ${}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ . Denote the leading monomial of  $\bar{\partial}\mathcal{S}$  by  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$  and the coefficient of  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$  in  $\bar{\partial}$  is written as  $l_{\mathcal{S}}$ . A tree monomial of the form  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$  is called typical, so all typical tree monomials are of the form

$$m_{n-1} \circ_1 m_2, (R_{n-1} \circ_1 m_2) \circ_1 R_1 \text{ and } (S_{n-1} \circ_1 m_2) \circ_1 R_1,$$

which are illustrated above. It is easily seen that the coefficients  $l_{\mathcal{S}}$  are always  $\pm 1$ .


**Definition B.2.** A tree monomial  $\mathcal{T}$  in  ${}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is called effective if  $\mathcal{T}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) There exists a typical divisor  $\mathcal{T}' = \widehat{\mathcal{S}}$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that on the path from the root of  $\mathcal{T}'$  to the leftmost leaf  $l$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  above the root of  $\mathcal{T}'$ , there are no other typical divisors, and there are no vertex of positive degree on this path except the root of  $\mathcal{T}'$  possibly.
- (ii) For any leaf  $l'$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  which lies on the left of  $l$ , there are no vertices of positive degree and no typical divisors on the path from the root of  $\mathcal{T}$  to  $l'$ .

The typical divisor  $\mathcal{T}'$  is called the effective divisor of  $\mathcal{T}$  and the leaf  $l$  is called the typical leaf of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Morally, the effective divisor of a tree monomial  $\mathcal{T}$  is the left-upper-most typical divisor of  $\mathcal{T}$ . It can be easily seen that for the effective divisor  $\mathcal{T}'$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  with effective leaf  $l$ , any vertex in  $\mathcal{T}'$  doesn't belong to the path from root of  $\mathcal{T}$  to any leaf  $l'$  located on the left of  $l$ .

**Example B.3.** Consider three tree monomials as follows:



For the three trees displayed above, each has two typical divisors.

- $\mathcal{T}'_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}''_1$  are effective and the divisors in the blue dashed circle are their effective divisor,  $l'$  and  $l''$  are respectively their effective leaves.
- $\mathcal{T}_2$  is not effective, since the first leaf is incident to a vertex of degree 1, say the root of  $\mathcal{T}_2$ , which violates Condition (ii) in Definition B.2.
- $\mathcal{T}_3$  is not effective since there is a vertex of degree 1 on the path from the root of the typical divisor in the blue dashed circle to the leftmost leaf above it, which violates Condition (i) in Definition B.2.

Now we are going to construct a homotopy map  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}} : {}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty \rightarrow {}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ , i.e., a degree 1 map that satisfies  $\bar{\partial}\overline{\mathfrak{H}} + \overline{\mathfrak{H}}\bar{\partial} = \text{Id}$  in positive degrees.

**Definition B.4.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be an effective tree monomial in  ${}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{T}'$  be its effective divisor. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}' = \widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a generator of positive degree. Then define

$$\overline{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{T}) = (-1)^\omega \frac{1}{l_{\mathcal{S}}} m_{\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{T}),$$

where  $m_{\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{T})$  is the tree monomial obtained from  $\mathcal{T}$  by replacing the effective divisor  $\mathcal{T}'$  by  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\omega$  is the sum of degrees of all the vertices on the path from root of  $\mathcal{T}'$  to the root of  $\mathcal{T}$  (excluding the root vertex of  $\mathcal{T}'$ ) and all the vertices located on the left of this path .

**Proposition B.5.** *The degree 1 map  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}} : {}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty \rightarrow {}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is a homotopy map satisfying  $\overline{\partial}\overline{\mathfrak{H}} + \overline{\mathfrak{H}}\overline{\partial} = \text{Id}$  in all positive degrees.*

*Proof.* We divide this proof into two cases: whether  $\mathcal{T}$  is an effective tree or not.

If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a tree monomial of positive degree that is not effective, then by the definition of  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}$ , we have  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ . Thus,  $\overline{\partial}\overline{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{T}) + \overline{\mathfrak{H}}\overline{\partial}(\mathcal{T}) = \overline{\mathfrak{H}}\overline{\partial}(\mathcal{T})$ . We firstly just need to check that  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}\overline{\partial}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}$  has positive degree, there must exist at least one vertex of positive degree. Let's pick a special vertex  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) on the path from  $\mathcal{S}$  to the leftmost leaf  $l$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  above  $\mathcal{S}$ , there are no other vertices of positive degree;
- (ii) for any leaf  $l'$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  located on the left of  $l$ , the vertices on the path from the root of  $\mathcal{T}$  to  $l'$  are all of degree 0.

It is evident that such a vertex always exists in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Conceptually, this vertex is the ‘‘left-uppermost’’ vertex of positive degree. Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}}$  the tree monomial obtained from  $\mathcal{T}$  by replacing the vertex  $\mathcal{S}$  with  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ . Then there will be a tree monomial  $(-1)^\epsilon l_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}}$  appearing in  $\overline{\partial}\mathcal{T}$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the sum of degrees of vertices located preceding  $\mathcal{S}$  in the planar order. Due to the special position of the vertex  $\mathcal{S}$ , we have that the tree monomial  $(-1)^\epsilon l_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}}$  is an effective tree monomial. Actually, this term is the only effective tree monomial appearing in  $\overline{\partial}(\mathcal{T})$ , i.e., there is no effective tree monomial in  $\overline{\partial}(\mathcal{T}) - (-1)^\epsilon l_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}}$ . So we have

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{H}}\overline{\partial}(\mathcal{T}) &= \overline{\mathfrak{H}}((-1)^\epsilon l_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}}) + \overline{\mathfrak{H}}(\overline{\partial}\mathcal{T} - (-1)^\epsilon l_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}}) \\ &= \mathcal{T}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mathcal{T}$  is an effective tree monomial with positive degree, we write  $\mathcal{T}$  as a compositions in the following way:

$$(\cdots ((((((X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} Y_2) \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q,$$

where  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$  is the effective divisor of  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  are generators of  ${}_m\mathfrak{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  corresponding to the vertices which live on the path from root of  $\mathcal{T}$  and root of  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$  (except the root of  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ ) and on the left of this path in the underlying tree of  $\mathcal{T}$ . It is not difficult to see the following identity holds for effective divisors:

$$\overline{\mathfrak{H}}\overline{\partial}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}) = \widehat{\mathcal{S}} - \frac{1}{l_{\mathcal{S}}}\overline{\partial}(\mathcal{S}).$$

Then by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\partial}\overline{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{T}) \\ &= \frac{1}{l_{\mathcal{S}}}(-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^q |X_j|} \overline{\partial} \left( (\cdots ((((((X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \mathcal{S}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{l_{\mathcal{S}}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^p |X_j| + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |X_j|} \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\cdots ((((((X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{k-1}} \overline{\partial} X_k) \circ_{i_k} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \mathcal{S}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{l_S} \left( (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \bar{\partial} \mathcal{S}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right) \\
 & + \frac{1}{l_S} \left( \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{|\mathcal{S}| + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |Y_j|} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \mathcal{S}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_k} \bar{\partial} Y_k) \circ_{j_{k+1}} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \bar{\mathfrak{H}} \bar{\partial}(\mathcal{T}) \\
 = & \bar{\mathfrak{H}} \bar{\partial} \left( (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right) \\
 = & \bar{\mathfrak{H}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |X_j|} (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} \cdots) \circ_{i_{k-1}} \bar{\partial} X_k) \circ_{i_k} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right) \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^p |X_j|} \bar{\mathfrak{H}} \left( (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \bar{\partial} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right) \\
 & + \bar{\mathfrak{H}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^p |X_j| + |\mathcal{S}| - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |Y_j|} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_k} \bar{\partial} Y_k) \circ_{j_{k+1}} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right) \\
 = & \frac{1}{l_S} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^p |X_j| + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |X_j| + 1} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{k-1}} \bar{\partial} X_k) \circ_{i_k} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \mathcal{S}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right) \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^p |X_j|} \bar{\mathfrak{H}} \left( (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \bar{\partial} (\widehat{\mathcal{S}} - \bar{\partial} \mathcal{S})) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right) \\
 & + \frac{1}{l_S} \left( \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^p |X_j| + |\mathcal{S}| - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |Y_j|} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \mathcal{S}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_k} \bar{\partial} Y_k) \circ_{j_{k+1}} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right) \\
 = & \frac{1}{l_S} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^p |X_j| + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |X_j| + 1} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{k-1}} \bar{\partial} X_k) \circ_{i_k} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \mathcal{S}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right) \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^p |X_j|} \frac{1}{l_S} \left( (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \widehat{\mathcal{S}} - \bar{\partial} \mathcal{S}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right) \\
 & + \frac{1}{l_S} \left( \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^p |X_j| + |\mathcal{S}| - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |Y_j|} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (\cdots (X_1 \circ_{i_1} X_2) \circ_{i_2} \cdots) \circ_{i_{p-1}} X_p) \circ_{i_p} \mathcal{S}) \circ_{j_1} Y_1) \circ_{j_2} \cdots) \circ_{j_k} \bar{\partial} Y_k) \circ_{j_{k+1}} \cdots) \circ_{j_q} Y_q \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

thus, we have  $\bar{\partial} \bar{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{T}) + \bar{\mathfrak{H}} \bar{\partial}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}$ . □

**Theorem B.6.**  ${}_m \mathfrak{RB} \mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is the minimal model of  ${}_m \mathfrak{RB} \mathfrak{S}$ .

*Proof.* By direct calculation, we have  $H_0({}_m\mathcal{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty) \cong {}_m\mathcal{RB}\mathfrak{S}$ . And the homology of  ${}_m\mathcal{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  concentrates in degree 0.  $\square$

**Remark B.7.** In [21], the authors constructed an inclusion-exclusion model for the operad with monomial relations. The minimal model  ${}_m\mathcal{RB}\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is essentially the inclusion-exclusion model for the monomial operad  ${}_m\mathcal{RB}\mathfrak{S}$ .

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key R & D Program of China (No. 2024YFA1013803), by Key Laboratory of Mathematics and Engineering Applications (Ministry of Education), and by Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP (No. 22DZ2229014).

### Conflict of Interest

None of the authors has any conflict of interest in the conceptualization or publication of this work.

### Data availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aguiar, *Pre-Poisson algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **54** (2000) 263-277. [2](#)
- [2] M. Aguiar, *Infinitesimal Hopf algebras*, Contemp. Math. **267** (2000), 1-30. [2](#)
- [3] M. Aguiar, *On the associative analog of Lie bialgebras*, J. Algebra **244** (2001), no.2, 492-532. [2](#)
- [4] C. Bai, *A unified algebraic approach to classical Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Phys. A Math. Theor. **40** (2007) 11073-11082. [2](#)
- [5] G. Baxter, *An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), no.3, 731-742. [2](#)
- [6] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo and X. Ni, *Splitting of operations, Manin products and Rota-Baxter operators*, Int. Math. Res. Notices. **2013** (2012), no.3, 485-524. [2](#)
- [7] A. A. Belavin, *Dynamical symmetry of integrable quantum systems*, Nucl. Phys. B **180** (1981), 189-200.
- [8] A. A. Belavin, V. G. Drinfel'd, *Solutions of the classical Yang - Baxter equation for simple Lie algebras*. Funct. Anal. Its Appl. **16** (1982), 159-180. [1](#)
- [9] T. Brzeziński, *Rota-Baxter systems, dendriform algebras and covariant bialgebras*, J. Algebra **460** (2016) 1-25. [2](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [10] T. Brzeziński, *Curved Rota-Baxter systems*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **23** (2016), no. 5, 713-720. [2](#)
- [11] T. Brzeziński, *Homothetic Rota-Baxter systems and Dyckm-algebras*. Lie theory and its applications in physics, 103-110. Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 396. Springer, Singapore, [2022]. [2](#)
- [12] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press. [2](#)
- [13] J. Chen, L. Guo, K. Wang and G. Zhou, *Koszul duality, minimal model and  $L_\infty$ -structure for differential algebras with weight*, Adv. Math. **437**(2024) 109438, [3](#), [6](#), [21](#), [22](#), [23](#), [25](#)
- [14] A. Connes, D. Kreimer, *Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. I. The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **210** (2000), no.1, 249-273. [2](#)
- [15] P. Cartier, *On the structure of free Baxter algebras*, Adv. Math. **9** (1972) 253-265. [2](#)
- [16] A. Das, *Deformations of associative Rota-Baxter operators*. J. Algebra **560** (2020), 144-180. [3](#)
- [17] A. Das, *Generalized Rota-Baxter systems*, arXiv:2007.13652. [2](#)
- [18] A. Das and S. Guo *Relative Rota-Baxter systems on Leibniz algebras*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **60** (2023), no. 2, 303-325. [3](#)
- [19] A. Das and S. K. Misha, *The  $L_\infty$ -deformations of associative Rota-Baxter algebras and homotopy Rota-Baxter operators*. J. Math. Phys. **63** (2022), no. 5, Paper No. 051703, 23 pp. [3](#)
- [20] V. Dotsenko and A. Khoroshkin, *Gröbner bases for operads*, Duke Math. J. **153** (2010), no. 2, 363-396. [3](#)

- [21] V. Dotsenko and A. Khoroshkin, *Quillen homology for operads via Gröbner bases*, Doc. Math. **18** (2013) 707-747. [3](#), [30](#)
- [22] V. Dotsenko and N. Poncin, *A tale of three homotopies*, Appl. Categ. Structures **24** (2016), no. 6, 845-873. [6](#)
- [23] G. Drummond-Cole and B. Vallette, *The minimal model for the Batalin-Vilkovisky operad*, Selecta Math. **19**(1) (2013), 1-47. [3](#), [11](#)
- [24] L. D. Faddeev and L. Takhtajan, *The quantum inverse scattering method of the inverse problem and the Heisenberg XYZ model*, Russ. Math. Surv. **34** (1979), 11-68. [1](#)
- [25] L. D. Faddeev and L. Takhtajan, *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*, Springer 1987. [1](#)
- [26] I. Gálvez-Carrillo, A. Tonks and B. Vallette, *Homotopy Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **6** (2012), no. 3, 539-602. [3](#)
- [27] M. Gerstenhaber, *The cohomology structure of an associative ring*, Ann. of Math. **78** (1963), 267-288. [23](#), [25](#)
- [28] M. Gerstenhaber and A. Voronov, *Homotopy G-algebras and moduli space operad*, Int. Math. Res. Notices (1995), 141-153. [23](#), [25](#)
- [29] E. Getzler, *Cartan homotopy formulas and the Gauss-Manin connection in cyclic homology*, Israel Math. Conf. Proc. **7** (1993), 65-78. [23](#), [25](#)
- [30] E. Getzler and D. S. J. Jones, *Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loop spaces*, hep-th/9403055 (1994). [3](#)
- [31] V. Ginzburg and M. Kapranov, *Koszul duality for operads*, Duke Math. J. **76** (1994), no. 1, 203-272. Erratum to: "Koszul duality for operads" [Duke Math. J. **76** (1994), no. 1, 203-C272; MR1301191]. Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), no. 1, 293. [3](#)
- [32] V. Gubarev, *Rota-Baxter operators on unital algebras*, Moscow Math. Journal. **21** (2021), 325-364 [2](#), [6](#)
- [33] L. Guo and W. Keigher, *Baxter algebras and shuffle products*. Adv. Math. **150** (2000), 117-149. [2](#)
- [34] L. Guo and W. Keigher, *On free Baxter algebras: completions and the internal construction*. Adv. Math. **151** (2000), 101-127. [2](#)
- [35] L. Guo and B. Zhang, *Renormalization of multiple zeta values*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 3770-3809. [2](#)
- [36] Q.L. Guo, L. Liu, J. F. Lü, B.L. Shen, X.T. Wang, *Rota-Baxter Systems for BiHom-Type Algebras*, Mathematics 2022, **10** (18), 3222. [2](#)
- [37] B. A. Kupersmidt, *What a Classical r-Matrix Really Is*, J. Nonlin. Math. Phys. **6** (1999), 448-488. [2](#)
- [38] A. Lazarev, Y. Sheng and R. Tang, *Deformations and Homotopy Theory of Relative Rota-Baxter Lie Algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **383** (2021), no. 1, 595-631. [3](#)
- [39] Z. Li and S. Wang, *Rota-Baxter systems and skew trusses*, J. Algebra **623** (2023) 447-480 [2](#)
- [40] Z. Li and S. Wang, *Rota-Baxter systems of Hopf algebras and Hopf ruses*, arXiv:2305.00482v1 [2](#)
- [41] Y. Liu, K. Wang and L. Yin, *Deformations and Cohomology Theory of Rota-Baxter Systems*, arXiv:2207.06859. [2](#), [23](#)
- [42] J.-L. Loday, *Dialgebras*, in: Dialgebras and Related Operads, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. **1763**, Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 7-66. [2](#)
- [43] J. Lurie, *Formal moduli problems*, available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/lurie/>. [2](#)
- [44] T. Ma and J. Li, *Nonhomogeneous associative Yang-Baxter equations*, arXiv:2111.00371. [2](#)
- [45] M. Markl, *Cotangent cohomology of a category and deformations*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **113** (1996), no. 2, 195-218. [6](#)
- [46] T. S. Ma, A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, *Rota-Baxter cosystems and coquasitriangular mixed bialgebras*. J. Algebra Appl. **20** (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 2150064, 28 pp. [2](#)
- [47] S. Merkulov and B. Vallette, *Deformation theory of representations of prop(erad)s. I*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **634** (2009), 51-106. [6](#)
- [48] S. Merkulov and B. Vallette, *Deformation theory of representations of prop(erad)s. II*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **636** (2009), 123-174. [6](#)
- [49] J. P. Pridham, *Unifying derived deformation theories*. Adv. Math. **224** (2010), no. 3, 772-826. [2](#)
- [50] J. Qiu and Y. Chen, *Free Rota-Baxter systems and a Hopf algebra structure*, Comm. Algebra. **46** (2018), no. 9, 3913-3925 [2](#)
- [51] G. C. Rota, *Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities I, II*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969) 325-329, pp. 330-334. [2](#)
- [52] G.-C. Rota, *Baxter operators, an introduction*. In: Joseph P. S. K., ed., Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics, Introductory Papers and Commentaries 1995. Boston, Birkhauser. [2](#)
- [53] M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *What is a classical R-matrix?* Funct. Ana. Appl. **17** (1983), 259-272. [2](#)

- [54] J. Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of H-spaces I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1963), p. 275-292. [16](#)
- [55] T. Schedler, *Poisson algebras and Yang-Baxter equations*, Advances in quantum computation, Contemp. Math., Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, **482** (2009), 91-106. [20](#)
- [56] J. A. Schouten *Über differentialkomitanten zweier kontravarianter Grössen*, Ned. Akad. Wet. Proc. A **43** (1940) 449-52. [1](#)
- [57] R. Tang, C. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Sheng, *Deformations and their controlling cohomologies of O-operators*. Comm. Math. Phys. **368** (2019), no. 2, 665-700. [3](#)
- [58] K. Wang and G. Zhou, *The minimal model of Rota-Baxter operad with arbitrary weight*. Selecta Math. [3](#)
- [59] Y. Zhang, X. Gao and J. Zheng, *Weighted infinitesimal unitary bialgebras on matrix algebras and weighted associative Yang-Baxter equations*, arXiv:1811.00842. [2](#), [6](#)
- [60] Y. Zhang, H. Zhang and X. Gao, *Free  $\Omega$ -Rota-Baxter system and Gröbner-Shirshov bases*, arXiv:2209.08571. [2](#)

YUFEI QIN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION), SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PMMP, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200241, CHINA

*Email address:* 290673049@qq.com

KAI WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI PROVINCE 230026, CHINA

*Email address:* wangkai17@ustc.edu.cn

GUODONG ZHOU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION), SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PMMP, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200241, CHINA

*Email address:* gdzhou@math.ecnu.edu.cn