

Change of basis for the tridiagonal pairs of type II

Nicolas Crampé^{1a}, Julien Gaboriaud^{2b}, and Satoshi Tsujimoto^{2c}

¹Institut Denis-Poisson CNRS/UMR 7013 - Université de Tours - Université d'Orléans,
Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours, France.

¹Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique LAPTh, Université Savoie Mont Blanc,
CNRS, F-74000 Annecy, France.

²Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan.

E-mail addresses: ^acrampe1977@gmail.com, ^bjulien.gaboriaud@umontreal.ca,
^ctsujimoto.satoshi.5s@kyoto-u.jp

March 4, 2025

Abstract

We study tridiagonal pairs of type II. These involve two linear transformations A and A^* . We define two bases. In the first one, A acts as a diagonal matrix while A^* acts as a block tridiagonal matrix, and in the second one, A acts as a block tridiagonal matrix while A^* acts as a diagonal matrix. We obtain the change of basis coefficients between these two bases. The coefficients are special functions that are written as a nested product of polynomials that resemble Racah polynomials but involve shift operators in their expression.

Keywords: Tridiagonal pairs; Leonard pairs; orthogonal polynomials; multivariable special functions

MSC2020 database: 47B36; 33C50; 33C80; 16G60

1 Introduction

Leonard pairs. Consider two finite-dimensional matrices A and A^* . An elementary result in linear algebra is:

“Two diagonalizable matrices A and A^ commute with each other if and only if they can be diagonalized simultaneously.”*

The simplest departure from this trivial case is perhaps the situation where upon diagonalizing A , the matrix A^* takes a tridiagonal form. Now suppose that the opposite also holds—that is, A takes a tridiagonal form when diagonalizing A^* —and in addition that both matrices are irreducible. This is the setting of *Leonard pairs* [1, 2].

In this looser but more general setting, the matrices A and A^* do not commute. Instead, they obey well-studied algebraic relations known as the *Askey–Wilson relations* [3]:

$$A^2 A^* - \beta A A^* A + A^* A^2 - \gamma \{A, A^*\} - \rho A^* = \gamma^* A^2 + \omega A + \eta, \quad (1a)$$

$$A^{*2} A - \beta A^* A A^* + A A^{*2} - \gamma^* \{A, A^*\} - \rho^* A = \gamma A^{*2} + \omega A^* + \eta^*, \quad (1b)$$

where $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \rho, \rho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ are some parameters (this has been shown by Terwilliger in [1]). There are two natural bases in this situation: the one where A is diagonal, and the other one where A^* is diagonal. These two natural bases are related by a change of basis. The matrix that gives the change of basis is remarkable: in the most general case [4], its entries contain q -Racah polynomials, and various limiting cases yield other families of the Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials [5].

This is a striking result. From a simple linear algebra problem, one is led to the (finite) orthogonal polynomials families of the Askey scheme. And the matrices A and A^* encode fundamental properties of these families: their recurrence and difference equations.

Tridiagonal pairs. The generalization from commuting matrices to Leonard pairs was valuable. It makes sense to look for further extensions. One generalization of Leonard pairs is the concept of *tridiagonal pairs*. In this looser setting (see Definition 2.1), one demands that in the basis where A is diagonal, A^* is block tridiagonal, and vice-versa. The algebraic relations between A and A^* have been obtained in the general case [6, 7] and are called *tridiagonal relations*:

$$[A, A^2 A^* - \beta A A^* A + A^* A^2 - \gamma \{A, A^*\} - \rho A^*] = 0, \quad (2a)$$

$$[A^*, A^{*2} A - \beta A^* A A^* + A A^{*2} - \gamma^* \{A, A^*\} - \rho^* A] = 0, \quad (2b)$$

where $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \rho, \rho^*$ are some parameters. These relations, introduced for the study of the association scheme [6], have also been studied in the context of integrable systems [8, 9] and of the circular Hessenberg pairs [10]. They also generalize the q -Dolan–Grady relations [11] or the cubic q -Serre relations [12]. Inspired by the story of Leonard pairs, it would be natural to consider two bases (one where A is diagonal and another one where A^* is diagonal) and look for the change of basis coefficients between the two bases. In these should appear special functions which are generalizations of the polynomials of the Askey scheme.

The goal. To the best of our knowledge, so far such results have not been obtained in general. The question of what special functions arise in the study of tridiagonal pairs still remains. This is what we will clarify in this paper.

We will explicitly provide these special functions for tridiagonal pairs of type II.

The precise definition of tridiagonal pairs of type II is given in Definition 2.2. Roughly speaking they correspond to a $q \rightarrow 1$ limit of the most general case of tridiagonal pairs.

The bases. There are a number of challenges to face in order to solve this change of basis problem. One is the fact that there are multiple choices of bases where A is diagonal and A^* is block tridiagonal (and vice-versa). This is due to the degeneracy of the eigenvalues of A and A^* . Thus, from the start, one cannot speak of “two natural bases”. Fortunately, there exists another basis called the *split basis*. The split basis, given in equation (12), corresponds to a basis where A takes an upper triangular, block bidiagonal form while A^* takes a lower triangular, block bidiagonal form (or upper bidiagonal and lower bidiagonal form in the case of Leonard pairs). This basis was used to great effect by Terwilliger and proved to be a key ingredient in his study of Leonard pairs.

The split basis provides a well-defined basis and we use it as our starting point. From there, we obtain two natural bases. One basis is such that A is diagonal, A^* is block tridiagonal and the change of basis from the split basis to this basis is given by a lower triangular matrix with 1s on the diagonal. The other basis is obtained similarly by a change of basis involving an upper triangular matrix with 1s on the diagonal and leads to A^* being diagonal while A is block tridiagonal. Equipped with two well-defined bases, the problem is now well posed and we solve it in this paper.

Multivariate special functions from univariate ones. The special functions that are obtained have quite an intricate expression (see equation (63)) but upon introducing shift operators they can be reworked into familiar functions, namely entangled products of polynomials that closely resemble Racah polynomials (see equation (60)). They belong to the class of multivariate special functions, which have been studied more and more in recent years [13–28]. These generalizations attracted even more attentions recently with the introduction of multivariate P - and Q -polynomial association schemes [29, 30].

The problem of defining multivariate generalizations of the families of the Askey scheme is a long standing one. Various paths to define multivariate special functions have been explored. The most popular approach is to attempt to write multivariate polynomials as sums of entangled products of univariate polynomials. Thus, expressions of the form (60) look familiar, but the peculiarity here is the presence of shift operators in the arguments of the generalized hypergeometric functions. We also highlight the work of Baseilhac, Vinet and Zhedanov [31] where entangled products of q -Racah polynomials were identified as overlaps between some bases of the q -Onsager algebra (note that no shift operators appear there).

Using univariate polynomials as Lego blocks with which to build multivariate special functions through appropriate entangled products is a research direction of increasing importance. Recently, new methods to study and build these type of functions have been designed [32–36]. This is a topic that deserves more exploration and this paper adds important insights.

Structure of the paper. In Section 2, we define tridiagonal pairs of type II, which are the *mise-en-scène* for this paper. We introduce families of tridiagonal pairs of type II in the split basis in Section 3 and highlight some of their properties (involution, irreducibility, tridiagonal relations). In Section 4 we diagonalize A and A^* by providing the explicit change of basis from the split basis to the two bases. The special functions arising as change of basis coefficients between the two natural bases are obtained in Section 5. Limiting cases are given in Section 6. We conclude with some outlooks in Section 7. The particular notations used throughout the paper are jotted down in Appendix A.

2 Tridiagonal pairs of type II

We first recall the definition and main properties of tridiagonal pairs.

Definition 2.1 ([37]). Let \mathbb{F} denote a field, and let \mathfrak{V} denote a vector space over \mathbb{F} with finite positive dimension. By a *tridiagonal pair* (or TD-pair) on \mathfrak{V} , we mean an ordered pair (A, A^*) , where A and A^* are linear transformations on \mathfrak{V} that satisfy the following four conditions:

- (i) A and A^* are both diagonalizable on \mathfrak{V} .

(ii) There exists an ordering $\mathfrak{V}_0, \mathfrak{V}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{V}_d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^* \mathfrak{V}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{V}_{i-1} + \mathfrak{V}_i + \mathfrak{V}_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d) \quad (3)$$

where $\mathfrak{V}_{-1} = 0, \mathfrak{V}_{d+1} = 0$.

(iii) There exists an ordering $\mathfrak{V}_0^*, \mathfrak{V}_1^*, \dots, \mathfrak{V}_\delta^*$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$A \mathfrak{V}_i^* \subseteq \mathfrak{V}_{i-1}^* + \mathfrak{V}_i^* + \mathfrak{V}_{i+1}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq \delta) \quad (4)$$

where $\mathfrak{V}_{-1}^* = 0, \mathfrak{V}_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

(iv) There is no subspace \mathfrak{W} of \mathfrak{V} such that both $A\mathfrak{W} \subseteq \mathfrak{W}, A^*\mathfrak{W} \subseteq \mathfrak{W}$, other than $\mathfrak{W} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{W} = \mathfrak{V}$ (irreducibility).

In this paper, we restrict ourselves to the case when the field \mathbb{F} is the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . For a TD-pair, it is well-known that A and A^* have the same number of eigenspaces *i.e.* $d+1 = d^*+1$ (d is called the *diameter* of the TD-pair) and that the following conditions hold [37]:

$$\rho_i = \dim \mathfrak{V}_i = \dim \mathfrak{V}_i^* = \dim \mathfrak{V}_{d-i} = \dim \mathfrak{V}_{d-i}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (5)$$

The tuple $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d)$ is called the *shape* of a TD-pair. Because we restrict ourselves to a closed field (the complex numbers), the TD-pair is sharp *i.e.* $\rho_0 = 1$.

It is conjectured that, for any TD-pair, there exist N integers $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_N \geq 0, N \geq 1$ such that the shape satisfies the *character formula*

$$\sum_{i=0}^d \rho_i \lambda^i = \prod_{p=1}^N \frac{1 - \lambda^{\ell_p+1}}{1 - \lambda}. \quad (6)$$

In particular, the diameter d satisfies $d = \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_N$. The case $N = 1$ and $\ell = (\ell)$ corresponds to $\rho_0 = \rho_1 = \dots = \rho_\ell = 1$ *i.e.* the spectra of A and A^* are simple. Then, a TD-pair becomes a Leonard pair.

In this paper, we provide and study families of TD-pairs for any choice of the N -tuple

$$\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N). \quad (7)$$

It is well-established that the eigenvalues θ_i and θ_i^* of A and A^* defining a TD-pair can take only few particular forms. In this paper, we focus on the TD-pairs of type II.

Definition 2.2. A tridiagonal pair (see Definition 2.1) such that the eigenvalues θ_i, θ_i^* of A, A^* can be expressed as

$$\theta_i = c_0 + c_1 i + c_2 i^2, \quad (8a)$$

$$\theta_i^* = c_0^* + c_1^* i + c_2^* i^2, \quad (8b)$$

for some scalars $c_0, c_1, c_2, c_0^*, c_1^*, c_2^* \in \mathbb{C}$ is called a *tridiagonal pair of type II*.

3 Split bases for tridiagonal pairs of type II

In this section, we introduce a family of tridiagonal pairs of type II in the so-called split basis. The terminology *split basis* refers to a basis in which the two linear transformations A and A^* act as lower (resp. upper) block tridiagonal matrices. We note that these families of TD-pairs also appeared in [38]. For $N = 2$ and $\ell = (\ell_1, 1)$, we also recover the TD-pairs obtained in [39] (see Remark 3.1).

Below we provide the explicit actions of A and A^* in the split basis. We then prove that A and A^* obey algebraic relations, the tridiagonal relations.

3.1 Split basis

Now and for the rest of the paper, we fix a N -tuple $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N)$. Let us denote by $V^{\mathbf{n}} = V^{(n_1, \dots, n_N)}$, $0 \leq n_p \leq \ell_p$, the canonical basis vectors of $\mathfrak{V} = \mathbb{C}^{\ell_1+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\ell_N+1}$ and take these vectors to be orthonormal

$$\langle V^{\mathbf{m}}, V^{\mathbf{n}} \rangle = \delta_{m_1, n_1} \dots \delta_{m_N, n_N}, \quad (9)$$

where $\langle V^{\mathbf{m}}, V^{\mathbf{n}} \rangle$ is the standard dot product. By convention, $V^{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ as soon as there exists $1 \leq i \leq N$ such that $n_i > \ell_i$ or $n_i < 0$. We give in Appendix A the conventions used in this paper for the N -tuples.

For $i = 0, 1, \dots, |\boldsymbol{\ell}|$, write the *eigenvalues* of type II as follows:

$$\theta_i = \theta_0 + hi(i + \omega), \quad \theta_i^* = \theta_0^* + h^*i(i + \omega^*), \quad (10)$$

for some scalars $\theta_0, \theta_0^*, h, h^*, \omega, \omega^* \in \mathbb{C}$. For $p = 1, \dots, N$, we also define

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\mathbf{n}, p}^{(N)} &= h (|\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{n}|_1^p + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_p^N + a_p + \omega) n_p, \\ \xi_{\mathbf{n}, p}^{*(N)} &= h^* (|\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{n}|_1^p + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_{p+1}^N - a_p + \omega^*) (n_p - \ell_p), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $a_p \in \mathbb{C}$ are free parameters.

Let A, A^* be linear operators on \mathfrak{V} whose action on the basis vectors is given by

$$A V^{\mathbf{n}} = \theta_{|\mathbf{n}|} V^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p, p}^{(N)} V^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}, \quad (12a)$$

$$A^* V^{\mathbf{n}} = \theta_{|\mathbf{n}|}^* V^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p, p}^{*(N)} V^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p}. \quad (12b)$$

Due to the triangular form of the matrices A and A^* in the basis $V^{\mathbf{n}}$, it is immediate that their eigenvalues are respectively $\theta_{|\mathbf{n}|}$ and $\theta_{|\mathbf{n}|}^*$. The dimensions of the corresponding eigenspaces $\rho_{|\mathbf{n}|}$ satisfy relation (6).

Example 3.1. Consider the case $N = 2$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell, 1)$ which provides a tridiagonal pair with shape $(1, \underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_\ell, 1)$. The actions of A and A^* become

$$A V^{(n,0)} = \theta_n V^{(n,0)} + \xi_{(n+1,0),1}^{(2)} V^{(n+1,0)} + \xi_{(n,1),2}^{(2)} V^{(n,1)}, \quad (13a)$$

$$A V^{(n-1,1)} = \theta_n V^{(n-1,1)} + \xi_{(n,1),1}^{(2)} V^{(n,1)}, \quad (13b)$$

$$A^* V^{(n,1)} = \theta_{n+1}^* V^{(n,1)} + \xi_{(n-1,1),1}^{*(2)} V^{(n-1,1)} + \xi_{(n,0),2}^{*(2)} V^{(n,0)}, \quad (13c)$$

$$A^* V^{(n+1,0)} = \theta_{n+1}^* V^{(n+1,0)} + \xi_{(n,0),1}^{*(2)} V^{(n,0)}. \quad (13d)$$

In order to recover relations (56)–(64) in [39], our parameters must be transformed as follows:

$$\omega \mapsto \omega + 1, \quad \omega^* \mapsto \omega^* + 1, \quad a_1 \mapsto a + \frac{\omega^* - \omega - \ell - 1}{2}, \quad a_2 \mapsto b + \frac{\omega^* - \omega}{2} - 1. \quad (14)$$

In [39], it was also proven that there always exists such a split basis for all tridiagonal pairs of type II with shape $(1, \underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_\ell, 1)$. For other shapes, similar results remain an open problem. \blacktriangle

3.2 The involution S

The linear transformations A and A^* are related to one another through a simple transformation. Introduce the operator S which acts as follows:

$$S V^{\mathbf{n}} = V^{\boldsymbol{\ell}-\mathbf{n}}. \quad (15)$$

The operator S is an involution ($S^{-1} = S$). It relates the linear transformations A and A^* , up to an appropriate change of parameters:

$$SAS = A^* \Big|_{\theta_0^* \rightarrow \theta_0 + h|\ell|(|\ell| + \omega), \quad h^* \rightarrow h, \quad \omega^* \rightarrow -\omega - 2|\ell|}, \quad (16a)$$

$$SA^*S = A \Big|_{\theta_0 \rightarrow \theta_0^* + h^*|\ell|(|\ell| + \omega^*), \quad h \rightarrow h^*, \quad \omega \rightarrow -\omega^* - 2|\ell|}. \quad (16b)$$

This simple transformation will be used to simplify some proofs.

3.3 Parameter constraints and irreducibility

In [38], it has been proven that (12) is an instance of a tridiagonal pair¹ if the parameters satisfy various constraints.

Firstly, the eigenvalues must satisfy $\theta_i \neq \theta_j$ and $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_j^*$ if $i \neq j$ which leads to

$$h, h^* \neq 0, \quad \omega, \omega^* \notin \{-2|\ell| + 1, \dots, -2, -1\}. \quad (17)$$

Secondly, the parameters a_i satisfy the following set of constraints [38], for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$:

$$a_i, a_i + \omega - \omega^*, a_i - |\ell| - \omega^*, a_i + |\ell| + \omega \notin \{-\ell_i, -\ell_i + 1, \dots, -1\}. \quad (18)$$

Let us briefly recall the origin of those constraints. These come from assuming that the off-diagonal entries of the matrices A, A^* are never vanishing (otherwise, invariant subspaces of \mathbf{V} could be constructed). For Leonard pairs [40] those constraints correspond to $\varphi_i \neq 0$ and $\phi_i \neq 0$.

Finally, there is an additional set of constraints between the parameters a_i . To describe them let us define the string $S^\pm(\ell, a)$ as

$$S^\pm(\ell, a) = \left\{ \pm \left(a + k + \frac{1}{2}(\omega - \omega^*) \right) \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, \ell \right\}. \quad (19)$$

Two strings $S^\epsilon(\ell, a)$ and $S^{\epsilon'}(\ell', a')$ (with $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in \{+, -\}$) are said to be *in general position* if either one is contained in the other or their union is not a string. The third constraint are then expressed as follows, for any $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \in \{+, -\}$:

$$S^{\epsilon_i}(\ell_i, a_i) \quad \text{and} \quad S^{\epsilon_j}(\ell_j, a_j) \quad \text{are in general position.} \quad (20)$$

If the previous conditions (17), (18) and (20) are satisfied, the matrices A and A^* given by (12) are a tridiagonal pair.

We shall assume from now on that all the parameter constraints are obeyed and therefore that the linear maps A, A^* provided in (12) are tridiagonal pairs.

3.4 Tridiagonal relations

Tridiagonal pairs obey algebraic relations known as the *tridiagonal relations*. Below, we state these relations in our case (12) and provide a proof.

¹To recover the notation used in [38] from our notation, perform the following modifications on the parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} h \mapsto 1, \quad h^* \mapsto 1, \quad \theta_0 \mapsto \frac{1}{4}(s+t-1-|\ell|)(s+t+1-|\ell|), \quad \theta_0^* \mapsto \frac{1}{4}(s-t-1-|\ell|)(s-t+1-|\ell|), \\ N \mapsto n, \quad \omega \mapsto s+t-|\ell|, \quad \omega^* \mapsto s-t-|\ell|, \quad a_i \mapsto a_i - \frac{1}{2}(1+\ell_i+\omega-\omega^*). \end{aligned}$$

Our parameters $h, h^*, \theta_0, \theta_0^*$ are mapped to particular values in [38] since in that paper they use a standardization (affine transformation of A and A^*).

Theorem 3.2. *The operators A and A^* given by (12) satisfy the tridiagonal relations:*

$$[A, A^2 A^* - \beta A A^* A + A^* A^2 - \gamma \{A, A^*\} - \rho A^*] = 0, \quad (21a)$$

$$[A^*, A^{*2} A - \beta A^* A A^* + A A^{*2} - \gamma^* \{A, A^*\} - \rho^* A] = 0, \quad (21b)$$

with

$$\beta = 2, \quad \gamma = 2h, \quad \gamma^* = 2h^*, \quad \rho = h(h(\omega^2 - 1) - 4\theta_0), \quad \rho^* = h^*(h^*(\omega^{*2} - 1) - 4\theta_0^*). \quad (21c)$$

Here $[X, Y] = XY - YX$ and $\{X, Y\} = XY + YX$.

Proof. Introduce the raising and lowering operators, R and L , defined as follows

$$R V^{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p, p}^{(N)} V^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}, \quad L V^{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p, p}^{*(N)} V^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p}. \quad (22)$$

The operators R and L correspond to the off-diagonal parts of the operators A and A^* respectively.

To prove (21a) with parameters (21c), it is equivalent² to prove that these operators satisfy

$$[R, [R, [R, L]]] V^{\mathbf{n}} = -6hh^*(4|\mathbf{n}| + \omega + \omega^* + 4) R^2 V^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (23)$$

where we have rewritten the r.h.s using the relation

$$3(\theta_i \theta_i^* - \theta_i \theta_{i+1}^* - \theta_{i+1} \theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1} \theta_{i+2}^* + \theta_{i+2} \theta_{i+1}^* - \theta_{i+2} \theta_{i+2}^*) = -6hh^*(4i + \omega + \omega^* + 4). \quad (24)$$

We prove (23) by induction on N . For $N = 1$, the tuple \mathbf{n} reduces to $\mathbf{n} = (n)$ and one gets by direct computation

$$\begin{aligned} [R, [R, [R, L]]] V^{(n)} &= \left(\xi_{(n-1),1}^{*(1)} \xi_{(n),1}^{(1)} \xi_{(n+1),1}^{(1)} \xi_{(n+2),1}^{(1)} - 3\xi_{(n+1),1}^{(1)} \xi_{(n),1}^{*(1)} \xi_{(n+1),1}^{(1)} \xi_{(n+2),1}^{(1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3\xi_{(n+1),1}^{(1)} \xi_{(n+2),1}^{(1)} \xi_{(n+1),1}^{*(1)} \xi_{(n+2),1}^{(1)} - \xi_{(n+1),1}^{(1)} \xi_{(n+2),1}^{(1)} \xi_{(n+3),1}^{(1)} \xi_{(n+2),1}^{*(1)} \right) V^{(n+2)} \\ &= -6hh^*(4n + \omega + \omega^* + 4) \xi_{(n+1),1}^{(1)} \xi_{(n+2),1}^{(1)} V^{(n+2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

We recognize the r.h.s. of (23) in the last line which proves this relation for $N = 1$. Now suppose that (23) holds for $N - 1$. Decompose the action of R and L as follows

$$R V^{\mathbf{n}} = \underbrace{\sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p, p}^{(N)} V^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}}_{:=R_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}}} + \underbrace{\xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N, N}^{(N)} V^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N}}_{:=R_{(N)} V^{\mathbf{n}}}, \quad (26a)$$

$$L V^{\mathbf{n}} = \underbrace{\sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \xi_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p, p}^{*(N)} V^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p}}_{:=L_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}}} + \underbrace{\xi_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_N, N}^{*(N)} V^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_N}}_{:=L_{(N)} V^{\mathbf{n}}}. \quad (26b)$$

Then, expand the l.h.s. of (23) and use the following properties:

$$R_{(N)} R_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}} = \xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N, N}^{(N)} \Big|_{\omega \rightarrow \omega+2} R_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N}, \quad (27a)$$

$$L_{(N)} R_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}} = \xi_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_N, N}^{*(N)} \Big|_{\omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*+2} R_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_N}, \quad (27b)$$

$$R_{(N)} L_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}} = \xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N, N}^{(N)} \Big|_{\omega \rightarrow \omega-2} L_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N}. \quad (27c)$$

Term	Coefficient in front
$(R_{[N-1]})^3 V^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_N}$	0
$(R_{[N-1]})^2 L_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N}$	0
$R_{[N-1]} L_{[N-1]} R_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N}$	0
$L_{[N-1]} (R_{[N-1]})^2 V^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N}$	0
$R_{[N-1]} L_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}+2\mathbf{e}_N}$	0
$L_{[N-1]} R_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}+2\mathbf{e}_N}$	0
$L_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}+3\mathbf{e}_N}$	0
$V^{\mathbf{n}+2\mathbf{e}_N}$	$-6hh^*(4 \mathbf{n} _1^N + \omega + \omega^* + 4)\xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N, N}^{(N)}\xi_{\mathbf{n}+2\mathbf{e}_N, N}^{(N)}$
$R_{[N-1]} V^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N}$	$-6hh^*(4 \mathbf{n} _1^N + \omega + \omega^* + 4)\left(\xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_N, N}^{(N)} + \xi_{\mathbf{n}+2\mathbf{e}_N, N}^{(N)}\Big _{\omega \rightarrow \omega+2}\right)$
$(R_{[N-1]})^2 V^{\mathbf{n}}$	$-6hh^*(4n_N - 2\ell_N)$

Table 1: Terms resulting from the expansion of the l.h.s. of (23)

Afterwards, 11 types of terms remain. We analyze them one by one. This is a straightforward calculation using the expressions of $\xi_{\mathbf{n}, p}^{(N)}$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{n}, p}^{*(N)}$. The first 10 terms and their coefficients are presented in Table 1.

Lastly, remarking that for $p = 1, \dots, N-1$,

$$\xi_{\mathbf{n}, p}^{(N)} = \xi_{\mathbf{n}, p}^{(N-1)}\Big|_{\omega \rightarrow \omega + \ell_N} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{\mathbf{n}, p}^{*(N)} = \xi_{\mathbf{n}, p}^{*(N-1)}\Big|_{\omega^* \rightarrow \omega^* + \ell_N}, \quad (28)$$

and using the recurrence hypothesis, the eleventh and last term in the expansion is computed:

$$[R_{[N-1]}, [R_{[N-1]}, [R_{[N-1]}, L_{[N-1]}]]] V^{\mathbf{n}} = -6hh^*(4|\mathbf{n}|_1^{N-1} + \omega + \omega^* + 2\ell_N + 4)(R_{[N-1]})^2 V^{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (29)$$

Putting together all the different factors appearing in the l.h.s. of (23), we obtain its r.h.s., which proves relation (23).

Relation (21b) is proved by conjugating (21a) by the operator S defined in (15) and making use of relations (16). \square

4 Explicit diagonalization

In this section, we show that A and A^* are diagonalizable (*i.e.* they satisfy condition (i) of Definition 2.1) by providing explicit transition matrices.

4.1 Diagonalization of A

Let us consider the new vectors $V(\mathbf{x})$, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ an N -tuple of integers such that $0 \leq x_i \leq \ell_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$), defined by

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{x}}^{\ell} \underbrace{\frac{(-1)^{|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{x}|}}{(2|\mathbf{x}| + \omega + 1)^{|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{x}|}} \prod_{p=1}^N \binom{n_p}{x_p} (|\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{x}|_1^p + |\ell|_p^N + a_p + \omega + 1)_{n_p - x_p}}_{=: C_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{x}}^{(N)}} V^{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (30)$$

²This equivalence is proven by direct computation, see also [39].

In the previous formula, the sum must be understood pointwise *i.e.* it is a sum over all n_1, \dots, n_N satisfying $x_p \leq n_p \leq \ell_p$ simultaneously for $p = 1, \dots, N$:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{x}}^{\ell} = \sum_{n_1=x_1}^{\ell_1} \sum_{n_2=x_2}^{\ell_2} \cdots \sum_{n_N=x_N}^{\ell_N}. \quad (31)$$

We shall use this definition in the rest of the paper. The condition (17) on ω ensures that $C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}$ is always well-defined since the denominator $(2|\mathbf{x}| + \omega + 1)_{|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{x}|}$ does not vanish. Let us also remark that $C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}}^{(N)} = 1$. Then, $C^{(N)}$, the matrix with entries $C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}$, can be seen as a lower triangular matrix of determinant 1 (all 1s on the diagonal) that connects the bases $V(\mathbf{n})$ and $V^{\mathbf{n}}$ as follows: $V(\mathbf{n}) = C^{(N)}V^{\mathbf{n}}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The vectors $V(\mathbf{x})$ diagonalize A :*

$$A V(\mathbf{x}) = \theta_{|\mathbf{x}|} V(\mathbf{x}). \quad (32)$$

Proof. Use the change of basis (30) to rewrite the l.h.s. of the above equation and then use the action of A on the basis vectors $V^{\mathbf{n}}$ in (12) to obtain:

$$A V(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{x}}^{\ell} C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)} \left[\theta_{|\mathbf{n}|} V^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p,p}^{(N)} V^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p} \right]. \quad (33)$$

For any $p = 1, \dots, N$, observe that $C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)} = 0$ for any $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e}_p$ (this is due to the presence of the binomial coefficients in the expression of $C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}$) and recall that $V^{\ell+\mathbf{e}_p} = 0$. Thus, one can rewrite the above as

$$A V(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{x}}^{\ell} \left[\theta_{|\mathbf{n}|} C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)} + \sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{n},p}^{(N)} C_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p,\mathbf{x}}^{(N)} \right] V^{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (34)$$

Noticing that $\theta_{|\mathbf{x}|} - \theta_{|\mathbf{n}|} = h(|\mathbf{n}| + |\mathbf{x}| + \omega)(|\mathbf{x}| - |\mathbf{n}|)$, it follows that equation (32) holds if

$$\sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{n},p}^{(N)} \frac{C_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p,\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}}{C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}} = \theta_{|\mathbf{x}|} - \theta_{|\mathbf{n}|} = h(|\mathbf{n}| + |\mathbf{x}| + \omega)(|\mathbf{x}| - |\mathbf{n}|). \quad (35)$$

The latter equation is proven by induction on the variable N . First, one checks by direct computation that (35) holds for $N = 1$. Then, suppose that (35) holds for a given $N - 1$ and study the l.h.s. of (35):

$$\sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{n},p}^{(N)} \frac{C_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p,\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}}{C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}} = \underbrace{\sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \xi_{\mathbf{n},p}^{(N)} \frac{C_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p,\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}}{C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}}}_{=: \mathcal{A}} + \underbrace{\xi_{\mathbf{n},N}^{(N)} \frac{C_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_N,\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}}{C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}}}_{=: \mathcal{B}}. \quad (36)$$

By using the expression for $C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N-1)}$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \frac{|\mathbf{n}|_1^N + |\mathbf{x}|_1^N + \omega}{|\mathbf{n}|_1^{N-1} + |\mathbf{x}|_1^{N-1} + \omega} \frac{|\mathbf{n}|_1^{N-1} + |\mathbf{x}|_1^N + \ell_N + a_N + \omega}{|\mathbf{n}|_1^N + |\mathbf{x}|_1^{N-1} + \ell_N + a_N + \omega} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \xi_{\mathbf{n},p}^{(N-1)} \frac{C_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p,\mathbf{x}}^{(N-1)}}{C_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N-1)}} \right) \Bigg|_{\ell_{N-1} \rightarrow \ell_{N-1} + \ell_N} \\ &= h \frac{|\mathbf{n}|_1^N + |\mathbf{x}|_1^N + \omega}{|\mathbf{n}|_1^N + |\mathbf{x}|_1^{N-1} + \ell_N + a_N + \omega} (|\mathbf{x}|_1^{N-1} - |\mathbf{n}|_1^{N-1})(|\mathbf{n}|_1^{N-1} + |\mathbf{x}|_1^N + \ell_N + a_N + \omega), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$\mathcal{B} = h \frac{|\mathbf{n}|_1^N + |\mathbf{x}|_1^N + \omega}{|\mathbf{n}|_1^N + |\mathbf{x}|_1^{N-1} + \ell_N + a_N + \omega} (x_N - n_N)(|\mathbf{n}|_1^{N-1} + |\mathbf{n}|_1^N + \ell_N + a_N + \omega). \quad (38)$$

where the term in parenthesis in (37) is evaluated using (35). Adding up both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} and using

$$\frac{(x-m)(x+y+m+c) + (x+y-m-n)(2m+n+c)}{x+m+n+c} = x+y-m-n, \quad (39)$$

one arrives at

$$\sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{n},p}^{(N)} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p,\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}}{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}} = h(|\mathbf{n}|_1^N + |\mathbf{x}|_1^N + \omega)(|\mathbf{x}|_1^N - |\mathbf{n}|_1^N) \quad (40)$$

which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Recall the change of basis presented in (30). The inverse change of basis is given by*

$$V^{\mathbf{n}} = \underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{n}}^{\ell} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{n}| + |\mathbf{x}| + \omega)^{|\mathbf{x}|-|\mathbf{n}|}} \prod_{p=1}^N \binom{x_p}{n_p} (|\mathbf{n}|_1^p + |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + |\ell|_p^N + a_p + \omega + 1)_{x_p - n_p}}_{=: \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}}^{(N)}} V(\mathbf{x}). \quad (41)$$

Proof. Recall $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}}^{(N)} = 1$, that is, the determinant of $\mathcal{C}^{(N)}$ is equal to 1. Since $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}}^{(N)} = 1$ (the determinant of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(N)}$ is also equal to 1), to prove the proposition it remains to show that the action of A on both sides of (41) yields the expected formula (12) *i.e.* we have to prove that

$$\theta_{|\mathbf{n}|} \sum_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{n}}^{\ell} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}}^{(N)} V(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p,p}^{(N)} \sum_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}^{\ell} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}^{(N)} V(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{n}}^{\ell} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}}^{(N)} \theta_{|\mathbf{x}|} V(\mathbf{x}). \quad (42)$$

Owing to the fact that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}^{(N)} V(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, rewrite the sum $\sum_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}^{\ell}$ as $\sum_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{n}}^{\ell}$. Comparing the coefficients in front of each $V(\mathbf{x})$ on both sides, it follows that the proposition is proven if

$$\sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p,p}^{(N)} \frac{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}^{(N)}}{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}}^{(N)}} = \theta_{|\mathbf{x}|} - \theta_{|\mathbf{n}|}. \quad (43)$$

Fortunately, this follows from the previous proposition. Indeed, each term in the sum on the l.h.s. can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p,p}^{(N)} \frac{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}^{(N)}}{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}}^{(N)}} &= h(|\mathbf{n}| + |\mathbf{x}| + \omega)(x_p - n_p) \frac{|\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{n}|_1^p + |\ell|_p^N + a_p + \omega + 1}{|\mathbf{n}|_1^p + |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + |\ell|_p^N + a_p + \omega + 1} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k=p+1}^N \frac{|\mathbf{n}|_1^{k-1} + |\mathbf{x}|_1^k + |\ell|_k^N + a_k + \omega + 1}{|\mathbf{n}|_1^k + |\mathbf{x}|_1^{k-1} + |\ell|_k^N + a_k + \omega + 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

which, upon closer examination, is precisely equal to

$$\xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p,p}^{(N)} \frac{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}^{(N)}}{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}}^{(N)}} = \left(\xi_{\mathbf{n},p}^{(N)} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p,\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}}{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}} \right) \Big|_{\ell_N \mapsto \ell_N + 1}. \quad (45)$$

Thus, using (35) which was proved in the previous proposition, the result follows. \square

Remark 4.3. For $0 \leq i \leq d = |\ell|$, the eigenspace \mathfrak{V}_i satisfying the condition (ii) of Definition 2.1 is

$$\mathfrak{V}_i = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{V(\mathbf{x}) \mid |\mathbf{x}| = i\}. \quad (46)$$

Therefore, the action of A^* on these eigenspaces is block tridiagonal. Using relation (30), then using the action (12b) of A^* on $V^{\mathbf{n}}$ and finally expressing the vectors $V^{\mathbf{n}}$ in terms of $V(\mathbf{x})$ using (41), one obtains

$$A^*V(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{x}}^{\ell} \sum_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{n}}^{\ell} \theta_{|\mathbf{n}|}^* \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{n}}^{(N)} V(\mathbf{y}) + \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{x}}^{\ell} \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p}^{\ell} \xi_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p,p}^{*(N)} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p}^{(N)} V(\mathbf{y}). \quad (47)$$

The block tridiagonal action can then be read off the above expression:

$$\begin{aligned} A^*V(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{e}_p,p}^{*(N)} V(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{e}_p) + \sum_{p=1}^N \left(\theta_{|\mathbf{x}|}^* \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_p,\mathbf{x}}^{(N)} + \theta_{|\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_p|}^* \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_p,\mathbf{x}}^{(N)} \right) V(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_p) \\ &+ \theta_{|\mathbf{x}|}^* V(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \left(\xi_{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{e}_q,q}^{*(N)} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_p-\mathbf{e}_q,\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{e}_q}^{(N)} + \xi_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_p-\mathbf{e}_q,q}^{*(N)} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_p,\mathbf{x}}^{(N)} \right) V(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_p-\mathbf{e}_q) \\ &+ \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{1 \leq q \leq r \leq N} \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_q+\mathbf{e}_r} \xi_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p,p}^{*(N)} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_q+\mathbf{e}_r-\mathbf{e}_p,\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_p}^{(N)} V(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_q+\mathbf{e}_r-\mathbf{e}_p). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

It is understood that the coefficients in front of other vectors outside the block tridiagonal zone, such as $V(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_p+\mathbf{e}_q)$, are zero by virtue of condition (ii) of Definition 2.1 for tridiagonal pairs. \blacktriangle

4.2 Diagonalization of A^*

Let us consider the new vectors $V_{\mathbf{i}}$, for $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$ an N -tuple of integers such that $0 \leq i_k \leq \ell_k$ ($k = 1, \dots, N$), defined by

$$V_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{i}} \underbrace{\frac{(-1)^{|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{i}|}}{(|\mathbf{i}|+|\mathbf{n}|+\omega^*)^{|\mathbf{i}|-|\mathbf{n}|}} \prod_{p=1}^N \binom{\ell_p-n_p}{\ell_p-i_p} (|\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{n}|_1^p + |\ell|_{p+1}^N - a_p + \omega^*)_{i_p-n_p}}_{=: \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{i}}^{(N)}} V^{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (49)$$

The condition (17) on ω^* ensures that the previous relation is always well-defined since the denominator $(|\mathbf{i}|+|\mathbf{n}|+\omega^*)^{|\mathbf{i}|-|\mathbf{n}|}$ does not vanish.

Proposition 4.4. *The vectors $V_{\mathbf{i}}$ diagonalize A^* :*

$$A^*V_{\mathbf{i}} = \theta_{|\mathbf{i}|}^* V_{\mathbf{i}}. \quad (50)$$

Proof. The proof can be done following the same lines as Proposition 4.1. However, using the map \mathcal{S} , we can show that $V_{\mathbf{i}}$ is an eigenvector of A^* if the coefficients $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{i}}^{(N)}$ satisfy

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{i}}^{(N)} = \mathcal{C}_{\ell-\mathbf{n},\ell-\mathbf{i}}^{(N)} \Big|_{\omega \rightarrow -\omega^* - 2|\ell|}. \quad (51)$$

Using the explicit form of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}$ given in (30), we prove the proposition. \square

Remark 4.5. For $0 \leq j \leq d = |\ell|$, the eigenspace \mathfrak{V}_j^* satisfying the condition (iii) of Definition 2.1 is

$$\mathfrak{V}_j^* = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{V_{\mathbf{i}} \mid |\mathbf{i}| = j\}. \quad (52)$$

The action of A on these eigenspaces is block tridiagonal and is given below. It is obtained in the same way as what was done in the above subsection or alternatively from (48) by making use of the involution S .

$$\begin{aligned}
AV_{\mathbf{i}} &= \sum_{p=1}^N \xi_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{e}_p}^{(N)} V_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{e}_p} + \sum_{p=1}^N \left(\theta_{|\mathbf{i}|} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}_p, \mathbf{i}}^{(N)} + \theta_{|\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}_p|} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}_p, \mathbf{i}}^{(N)} \right) V_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}_p} \\
&+ \theta_{|\mathbf{i}|} V_{\mathbf{i}} + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \left(\xi_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{e}_q}^{(N)} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}_p+\mathbf{e}_q, \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{e}_q}^{(N)} + \xi_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}_p+\mathbf{e}_q}^{(N)} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}_p, \mathbf{i}}^{(N)} \right) V_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}_p+\mathbf{e}_q} \\
&+ \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{1 \leq q \leq r \leq N} \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}_q-\mathbf{e}_r}^{\mathbf{i}} \xi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}^{(N)} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{i}}^{(N)} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{e}_p-\mathbf{e}_q-\mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_p}^{(N)} V_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{e}_p-\mathbf{e}_q-\mathbf{e}_r}.
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

▲

Proposition 4.6. *The inverse change of basis is given as follows:*

$$V^{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}=0}^{\mathbf{n}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{(2|\mathbf{i}| + \omega^* + 1)^{|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{i}|}} \prod_{p=1}^N \binom{\ell_p - i_p}{\ell_p - n_p}}_{=: \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}^{(N)}} (|\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{i}|_1^p + |\ell|_{p+1}^N - a_p + \omega^*)_{n_p - i_p} V_{\mathbf{i}}. \tag{54}$$

Proof. This is computed straightforwardly using the same trick as previous proposition. □

5 Change of basis and special functions

In the theory of Leonard pairs, two natural bases are those where each linear map acts diagonally. Orthogonal polynomials of the (q -)Askey scheme appear as entries of the change of basis matrices between these two natural bases. Similarly, here we are interested in the special functions that appear as change of basis coefficients between two natural bases for tridiagonal pairs.

Concretely, we want to compute the elements $T_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x})$ of the change of basis between $V(\mathbf{x})$ and $V_{\mathbf{i}}$:

$$V_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}=0}^{\ell} T_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) V(\mathbf{x}). \tag{55}$$

Remarking that relations (41) and (49) imply

$$V_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\mathbf{i}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{i}}^{(N)} \sum_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{n}}^{\ell} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}}^{(N)} V(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\min(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{x})} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{i}}^{(N)} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}}^{(N)} V(\mathbf{x}), \tag{56}$$

where $\min(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{x}) \equiv (\min(i_1, x_1), \dots, \min(i_N, x_N))$, one gets

$$T_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\min(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{x})} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{i}}^{(N)} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}}^{(N)}. \tag{57}$$

The previous expression of $T_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x})$ can be simplified and expressed in terms of generalized hypergeometric functions. For i, x, ℓ nonnegative integers satisfying $i, x \leq \ell$, we introduce the function R as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
R \left(\begin{matrix} i, x, a_1, a_2 \\ \ell, b_1, b_2 \end{matrix} \middle| Z \right) &= \binom{\ell}{i} (b_1)_i (b_2)_x {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -i, -x, a_1, a_2 \\ b_1, b_2, -\ell \end{matrix} \middle| Z \right) \\
&= \binom{\ell}{i} (b_1)_i (b_2)_x \sum_{k=0}^{\min(i, x)} \frac{(-i, -x, a_1, a_2)_k}{(1, b_1, b_2, -\ell)_k} Z^k.
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

As the Z might be an operator that does not commute with the coefficients in the sum (see below), we need to fix a convention. Here we use the convention that Z^k is on the far right of each term in the sum. We also introduce the shift operator e^{∂_x} acting as follows:

$$e^{\partial_x} f(x) = f(x + 1), \quad (59)$$

and the function identity: $\mathbf{1} : x \mapsto 1$ such that $e^{\partial_x} \mathbf{1}(x) = 1$.

Theorem 5.1 (Change of basis between $V(\mathbf{x})$ and V_i). *The elements $T_i(\mathbf{x})$ can be expressed as the following product:*

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{(-1)^{|\mathbf{i}|}}{(|\mathbf{i}| + \omega^*)_{|\mathbf{i}|} (|\mathbf{x}| + \omega)_{|\mathbf{x}|}} \\ &\times \overrightarrow{\prod}_{p=1}^N R \left(\begin{array}{c} i_p, x_p, |\mathbf{i}| + \omega^*, |\mathbf{x}| + \omega \\ \ell_p, |\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_{p+1}^N + \omega^* - a_p, |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_p^N + \omega + a_p + 1 \end{array} \middle| e^{\partial_{i_p} + \partial_{x_p}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}(i_N) \mathbf{1}(x_N). \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where the product is ordered, i.e. $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{p=1}^N X_p = X_1 X_2 \dots X_N$.

Proof. Using properties of the Pochhammer symbol, the coefficients $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}}^{(N)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{i}}^{(N)}$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}}^{(N)} = \frac{(-1)^{|\mathbf{n}|}}{(|\mathbf{x}| + \omega)_{|\mathbf{x}|}} \prod_{p=1}^N \frac{(-x_p, |\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + \omega)_{n_p} (|\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_p^N + a_p + \omega + 1)_{x_p}}{(1, |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_p^N + a_p + \omega + 1)_{n_p}} \quad (61)$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{i}}^{(N)} = \frac{(-1)^{|\mathbf{n}| - |\mathbf{i}|}}{(|\mathbf{i}| + \omega^*)_{|\mathbf{i}|}} \prod_{p=1}^N \binom{\ell_p}{i_p} \frac{(-i_p, |\mathbf{i}| + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + \omega^*)_{n_p} (|\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_{p+1}^N - a_p + \omega^*)_{i_p}}{(-\ell_p, |\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_{p+1}^N - a_p + \omega^*)_{n_p}}. \quad (62)$$

Putting these together, we can write $T_i(\mathbf{x})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}}^{\min(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{x})} \prod_{p=1}^N \frac{(-x_p)_{n_p} (-i_p)_{n_p} (-\ell_p)_{i_p}}{(1)_{n_p} (-\ell_p)_{n_p} (1)_{i_p}} \frac{(|\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{n}|_1^p + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_p^N + a_p + \omega + 1)_{x_p - n_p}}{(|\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{n}| + |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + \omega)_{x_p - n_p}} \\ &\times \frac{(|\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{n}|_1^p + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_{p+1}^N - a_p + \omega^*)_{i_p - n_p}}{(|\mathbf{i}| + |\mathbf{n}| + |\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + \omega^*)_{i_p - n_p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

This expression can be reworked into a more familiar form using the following trick. Using the shift operators $e^{\partial_{x_p}}$, all the dependence on n_p of the expression (61) can be gathered in $e^{n_p \partial_{x_p}}$ as shown in the following expression:

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}}^{(N)} = \frac{(-1)^{|\mathbf{n}|}}{(|\mathbf{x}| + \omega)_{|\mathbf{x}|}} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{p=1}^N \frac{(-x_p, |\mathbf{x}| + \omega)_{n_p} (|\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_p^N + a_p + \omega + 1)_{x_p}}{(1, |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + |\boldsymbol{\ell}|_p^N + a_p + \omega + 1)_{n_p}} e^{n_p \partial_{x_p}} \cdot \mathbf{1}(x_N). \quad (64)$$

We recall that the product is ordered, as mentioned at the end of the theorem. Similar expressions can be obtained for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{i}}^{(N)}$ using the shift operator $e^{\partial_{i_p}}$. The result of the theorem is then proven inserting these expressions in (57). □

It is immediate to see that the case $N = 1$ yields univariate Racah polynomials.

Theorem 5.2 (Inverse change of basis). *The change of basis between V_i and $V(\mathbf{x})$ is written as*

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}=0}^{\ell} U_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) V_{\mathbf{i}}, \quad (65)$$

with the coefficients given by

$$U_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{p=1}^{\rightarrow N} \frac{(-1)^{i_p + \ell_p}}{(2|\mathbf{x}| + |\ell|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + \omega + 1)_{\ell_p - x_p} (2|\mathbf{i}| + |\ell|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + \omega^* + 1)_{\ell_p - i_p}} \\ \times R \left(\begin{matrix} \ell_p - x_p, \ell_p - i_p, -2|\mathbf{x}| - |\ell|_1^p + |\mathbf{x}|_1^p - \omega, -2|\mathbf{i}| - |\ell|_1^p + |\mathbf{i}|_1^p - \omega^* \\ \ell_p, -|\ell| - |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} - \ell_p - a_p - \omega, -|\ell| - |\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + a_p + 1 - \omega^* \end{matrix} \middle| e^{\partial_{i_p} + \partial_{x_p}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}(x_N) \mathbf{1}(i_N). \quad (66)$$

Proof. Using (30) and (54), one gets the following expression

$$U_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\max(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{i})}^{\ell} \prod_{p=1}^N \frac{(-n_p)_{x_p} (-n_p)_{i_p} (-\ell_p)_{n_p} (|\mathbf{x}|_1^p + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\ell|_p^N + a_p + \omega + 1)_{n_p - x_p}}{(1)_{x_p} (-\ell_p)_{i_p} (1)_{n_p} (2|\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + \omega + 1)_{n_p - x_p}} \\ \times \frac{(|\mathbf{i}|_1^p + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\ell|_{p+1}^N - a_p + \omega^*)_{n_p - i_p}}{(2|\mathbf{i}| + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + \omega^* + 1)_{n_p - i_p}}. \quad (67)$$

Performing the following change $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \ell - \mathbf{n}$ in the previous sum, one gets

$$U_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\min(\ell - \mathbf{x}, \ell - \mathbf{i})} \prod_{p=1}^N \frac{(n_p - \ell_p)_{x_p} (|\mathbf{x}|_1^p - |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\ell| + a_p + \omega + 1)_{\ell_p - n_p - x_p}}{(1)_{x_p} (2|\mathbf{x}| + |\ell|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + \omega + 1)_{\ell_p - n_p - x_p}} \\ \times \frac{(n_p - \ell_p)_{i_p} (-\ell_p)_{\ell_p - n_p} (|\mathbf{i}|_1^p - |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} - \ell_p + |\ell| - a_p + \omega^*)_{\ell_p - n_p - i_p}}{(-\ell_p)_{i_p} (1)_{\ell_p - n_p} (2|\mathbf{i}| - |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\ell|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + \omega^* + 1)_{\ell_p - n_p - i_p}}. \quad (68)$$

Then using identities for Pochhammer symbols one obtains

$$U_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\min(\ell - \mathbf{x}, \ell - \mathbf{i})} \prod_{p=1}^N \frac{(-\ell_p)_{x_p} (x_p - \ell_p)_{n_p} (-2|\mathbf{x}| - |\ell|_1^p + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{x}|_1^p - \omega)_{n_p}}{(-\ell_p)_{n_p} (1)_{x_p} (2|\mathbf{x}| + |\ell|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + \omega + 1)_{\ell_p - x_p}} \\ \times \frac{(|\mathbf{x}|_1^p - |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\ell| + a_p + \omega + 1)_{\ell_p - x_p} (|\mathbf{i}|_1^p - |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\ell| - a_p - \ell_p + \omega^*)_{\ell_p - i_p}}{(-|\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} - |\ell| - \ell_p - a_p - \omega)_{n_p} (-|\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} - |\ell| + a_p + 1 - \omega^*)_{n_p}} \\ \times \frac{(i_p - \ell_p)_{n_p} (-1)^{\ell_p} (-2|\mathbf{i}| + |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} - |\ell|_1^p + |\mathbf{i}|_1^p - \omega^*)_{n_p}}{(1)_{n_p} (2|\mathbf{i}| - |\mathbf{n}|_1^{p-1} + |\ell|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + \omega^* + 1)_{\ell_p - i_p}}. \quad (69)$$

Finally, with the help of the shift operator, previous relation simplifies to

$$U_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\min(\ell - \mathbf{x}, \ell - \mathbf{i})} \prod_{p=1}^{\rightarrow N} \left(\frac{(-\ell_p)_{x_p} (x_p - \ell_p)_{n_p} (-2|\mathbf{x}| - |\ell|_1^p + |\mathbf{x}|_1^p - \omega)_{n_p}}{(-\ell_p)_{n_p} (1)_{x_p} (2|\mathbf{x}| + |\ell|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + \omega + 1)_{\ell_p - x_p}} \right. \\ \times \frac{(|\mathbf{x}|_1^p + |\ell| + a_p + \omega + 1)_{\ell_p - x_p} (|\mathbf{i}|_1^p + |\ell| - a_p - \ell_p + \omega^*)_{\ell_p - i_p}}{(-|\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} - |\ell| - \ell_p - a_p - \omega)_{n_p} (-|\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} - |\ell| + a_p + 1 - \omega^*)_{n_p}} \\ \left. \times \frac{(i_p - \ell_p)_{n_p} (-1)^{\ell_p} (-2|\mathbf{i}| - |\ell|_1^p + |\mathbf{i}|_1^p - \omega^*)_{n_p} e^{-n_p(\partial_{x_p} + \partial_{i_p})}}{(1)_{n_p} (2|\mathbf{i}| + |\ell|_1^{p-1} - |\mathbf{i}|_1^{p-1} + \omega^* + 1)_{\ell_p - i_p}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}(x_N) \mathbf{1}(i_N), \quad (70)$$

which proves the result of the theorem. \square

Theorem 5.3 (Biorthogonality). *The functions $T_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x})$ and their biorthogonal partner $U_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x})$ obey the following biorthogonality relation*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}}^{\ell} T_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) U_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}) = \delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}. \quad (71)$$

Example 5.4. For $N = 1$, one gets

$$U_i(x) = (-1)^\ell \frac{(-\ell)_x (x + \ell + a + \omega + 1)_{\ell-x} (i - a + \omega^*)_{\ell-i}}{(1)_x (2x + \omega + 1)_{\ell-x} (2i + \omega^* + 1)_{\ell-i}} \times {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} i - \ell, x - \ell, -x - \ell - \omega, -i - \ell - \omega^* \\ -\ell, -2\ell - a - \omega, -\ell + a + 1 - \omega^* \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right). \quad (72)$$

Using Whipple's transformation twice, it becomes

$$U_i(x) = (norm) {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -i, i + \omega^*, -x, x + \omega \\ -\ell, -a + \omega^*, \ell + a + \omega + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right), \quad (73)$$

$$(norm) = (-1)^x \frac{(-\ell)_x (1 - a + \omega^*)_{\ell-i} (i - \ell - a - \omega + \omega^*)_{\ell-i} (i + a + 1)_{\ell-i}}{(1)_x (2i + \omega^* + 1)_{\ell-i} (-2\ell - a - \omega)_{\ell-i} (-\ell + a - \omega^* + 1)_{\ell-i}} \times \frac{(x + \ell + a + \omega + 1)_{\ell-x} (-x + a - \omega^* + 1)_x (-x - \ell - a - \omega)_x}{(2x + \omega + 1)_{\ell-x} (a + \omega - \omega^* + 1)_x (-\ell - a)_x}$$

and we recognize this as Racah polynomials (up to some normalization). The biorthogonality relation (71) then becomes the regular orthogonality relation of the Racah polynomials. \blacktriangle

6 Limiting cases

Tridiagonal pairs of type II are divided in three categories: the first, second and third kind. The kind of a tridiagonal pair is determined according to the degrees of the eigenvalues θ_i, θ_i^* . The first kind is the most general case, and the second and third kinds can be reached from it through successive limits. We detail this below.

6.1 Racah-type case (First kind)

This is the general case that was introduced in this paper. For $i = 0, 1, \dots, |\ell|$, the eigenvalues of the first kind can be written as follows:

$$\theta_i = \theta_0 + hi(i + \omega), \quad \theta_i^* = \theta_0^* + h^*i(i + \omega^*), \quad (74)$$

for some free constants $\theta_0, \theta_0^*, h, h^*, \omega, \omega^* \in \mathbb{C}$.

The special functions $T_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x})$ are given in (60) and resemble a nested product of Racah polynomials.

6.2 Hahn-type case (Second kind)

For $i = 0, 1, \dots, |\ell|$, the eigenvalues of the second kind can be written as follows:

$$\theta_i = \theta_0 + hi(i + \omega), \quad \theta_i^* = \theta_0^* + h^*i, \quad (75)$$

for some free constants $\theta_0, \theta_0^*, h, h^*, \omega \in \mathbb{C}$. The second kind case is obtained from the first kind case by rewriting $h^* \mapsto h^*t, \omega^* \mapsto 1/t$ and letting $t \rightarrow 0$.

The special functions giving the change of basis in this case become

$$T_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(-1)^{|\mathbf{i}|}}{(|\mathbf{x}| + \omega)_{|\mathbf{x}|}} \prod_{p=1}^{\rightarrow N} H \left(\begin{matrix} i_p, x_p, |\mathbf{x}| + \omega \\ \ell_p, |\mathbf{x}|_1^{p-1} + |\ell|_p^N + \omega + a_p + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| e^{\partial_{x_p}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}(x_N), \quad (76)$$

with

$$H \left(\begin{matrix} i, x, a \\ \ell, b \end{matrix} \middle| Z \right) = \binom{\ell}{i} (b)_x {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -i, -x, a \\ -\ell, b \end{matrix} \middle| Z \right). \quad (77)$$

These functions resemble a nested product of Hahn polynomials.

6.3 Krawtchouk-type case (Third kind)

For $i = 0, 1, \dots, |\ell|$, the eigenvalues of the third kind can be written as follows:

$$\theta_i = \theta_0 + hi, \quad \theta_i^* = \theta_0^* + h^*i, \quad (78)$$

for some free constants $\theta_0, \theta_0^*, h, h^* \in \mathbb{C}$. The third kind case is obtained from the second kind case by rewriting $h \mapsto ht$, $\omega \mapsto 1/t$ and letting $t \rightarrow 0$.

The special functions giving the change of basis in this case become

$$T_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{p=1}^N \frac{(-\ell_p)_{i_p}}{(1)_{i_p}} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -i_p, -x_p \\ -\ell_p \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \quad (79)$$

This is a simple product of Krawtchouk polynomials $K_{i_p}(x_p; \phi; N)$ with parameter $\phi = 1$.

7 Outlooks

In this paper, we provided an explicit expression for the change of basis between the two bases in the definition of tridiagonal pairs of type II. It involves special functions which generalize Racah polynomials. It would be interesting to study if these special functions possess additional properties such as contiguity relations, duality, etc.

The monovariate Racah polynomials have been used extensively and appear in numerous contexts. To cite a few examples, they provide an explicit expression of the $6j$ -symbol introduced in the problem of the recoupling of three spins in quantum mechanics [41], they characterize P - and Q - polynomial association scheme [4, 42], they are closely related to the generic second-order superintegrable model on the 2-sphere [43], they define interesting models of free fermions [44] and also describe exactly solvable birth and death processes [45]. Our generalization of the Racah polynomials introduced in this paper could also have similar uses in these different contexts and we are exploring such potential applications.

In addition, the bispectrality property of the Racah polynomials leads to the definition of the eponym algebra, the *Racah algebra* (see *e.g.* [46] for a recent review). This corresponds to the case $N = 1$ in this paper. For $N = 1$, the operators A and A^* satisfy the relations of the Racah algebra which are more constrained than the ones of the tridiagonal algebra (see (21)). A detailed understanding of the algebraic properties of the functions for $N \geq 2$ is the next step. It would be interesting to obtain supplementary relations—in addition to the ones of the tridiagonal algebra—satisfied by A and A^* for any given shape $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d)$. This would provide an algebraic interpretation of the shape of tridiagonal pairs.

Clearly, results similar to the ones obtained in this paper ought to exist for tridiagonal pairs of type I or type III and we plan to pursue these in upcoming work. As mentioned in the introduction, a change of basis for tridiagonal pairs of type I has been already computed in [31]. To be precise, the irreducibility of these pairs was not discussed in this latter cited paper and cannot be called tridiagonal pairs. However, the constraints on the parameters such that they become really tridiagonal pairs can be computed thanks to [38]. It would be very interesting to understand the connection between the change of basis obtained in [31] and the one obtained by generalizing the results of the present paper. Both are not necessarily identical since the bases where A or A^* are diagonal are not unique. To the best of our knowledge, the computation of the change of basis for tridiagonal pairs of type III does not appear in the literature.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank P. Baseilhac for his interest on this work. They also thank T. Ito and P. Terwilliger for helpful discussions. N. Crampé is partially supported by the IRP AAPT of CNRS and thanks Kyoto University for hospitality. During the course of this work, J. Gaboriaud was an International Research Fellow of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Postdoctoral Fellowships for Research in Japan (Standard)). J. Gaboriaud and S. Tsujimoto are supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 22F21320 and 22KF0189. The research of S. Tsujimoto is also supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), 19H01792 and 24K00528.

A Notations

N -tuple. Boldface letters are used to denote N -tuples throughout the paper. We let \mathbf{e}_p denote the unit N -tuples

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \dots, \quad \mathbf{e}_N = (0, \dots, 0, 1), \quad (80)$$

and let $\mathbf{0}$ denote the zero N -tuple $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$. The addition of N -tuples is also defined by

$$\mathbf{n} \pm \mathbf{m} = (n_1 \pm m_1, \dots, n_N \pm m_N). \quad (81)$$

We also introduce the notation

$$|\mathbf{n}|_j^k = \sum_{p=j}^k n_p, \quad \text{with } |\mathbf{n}|_j^k = 0 \text{ if } j > k, \quad (82)$$

as well as the convention $|\mathbf{n}| \equiv |\mathbf{n}|_1^N$.

Hypergeometric functions. The generalized hypergeometric function is defined by

$${}_rF_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k (a_2)_k \dots (a_r)_k}{(1)_k (b_1)_k \dots (b_s)_k} z^k, \quad (83)$$

with the Pochhammer symbol $(x)_k$ given by

$$(x)_k = (x)(x+1)\dots(x+k-1), \quad (x)_0 \equiv 1, \quad (84)$$

and

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n)_k = (a_1)_k \dots (a_n)_k. \quad (85)$$

References

- [1] P. Terwilliger, *Leonard Pairs and Dual Polynomial Sequences*, (1987).
- [2] P. Terwilliger, *Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **330**, 149–203 (2001), arXiv:math/0406555.
- [3] A. S. Zhedanov, “*Hidden symmetry*” of Askey–Wilson polynomials, *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **89**, [Teoreticheskaya i Matematicheskaya Fizika, **89**(2), 190–204, 1991], 1146–1157 (1992).
- [4] D. A. Leonard, *Orthogonal Polynomials, Duality and Association Schemes*, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* **13**(4), 656–663 (1982).
- [5] R. Koekoek, P. A. Lesky, and R. F. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials and Their q -Analogues*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2010), 578 pp.

- [6] P. Terwilliger, *The Subconstituent Algebra of an Association Scheme (Part III)*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics **2**, 177–210 (1993).
- [7] P. Terwilliger, *Two relations that generalize the q -Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations*, Physics and Combinatorics, 377–398 (2001), arXiv:math/0307016.
- [8] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi, *A new (in)finite dimensional algebra for quantum integrable models*, Nuclear Physics B **720**(3), 325–347 (2005), arXiv:math-ph/0503036.
- [9] P. Baseilhac, *An integrable structure related with tridiagonal algebras*, Nuclear Physics B **705**(3), 605–619 (2005), arXiv:math-ph/0408025.
- [10] J.-H. Lee, *Circular Hessenberg Pairs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **655**, 201–235 (2022), arXiv:2209.02194.
- [11] P. Baseilhac, *Deformed Dolan–Grady relations in quantum integrable models*, Nuclear Physics B **709**(3), 491–521 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0404149.
- [12] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger, *Two non-nilpotent linear transformations that satisfy the cubic q -Serre relations*, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications **06**(03), 477–503 (2007), arXiv:0508398.
- [13] R. C. Griffiths, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Multinomial Distribution*, Australian & New Zealand Journal of Statistics **13**(1), 27–35 (1971).
- [14] M. V. Tratnik, *Some multivariable orthogonal polynomials of the Askey tableau-discrete families*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **32**, 2337–2342 (1991).
- [15] H. Rosengren, *Multivariable Orthogonal Polynomials and Coupling Coefficients for Discrete Series Representations*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **30**(2), 233–272 (1998).
- [16] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Some Systems of Multivariable Orthogonal Askey–Wilson Polynomials*, in *Theory and Applications of Special Functions*, Developments in Mathematics (Springer, Boston, 2005).
- [17] F. Scarabotti, *Multidimensional Hahn polynomials, intertwining functions on the symmetric group and Clebsch–Gordan coefficients*, Methods and Applications of Analysis **14**(4), 355–386 (2007).
- [18] F. A. Grünbaum, *The Rahman polynomials are bispectral*, SIGMA **3**, 65–75 (2007), arXiv:0705.0468.
- [19] M. R. Hoare and M. Rahman, *A Probabilistic Origin for a New Class of Bivariate Polynomials*, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications **4**, 089 (2008), arXiv:0812.3879.
- [20] J. S. Geronimo and P. Iliev, *Bispectrality of Multivariable Racah–Wilson Polynomials*, Constructive Approximation **31**(1), 417–457 (2010), arXiv:0705.1469.
- [21] J. S. Geronimo and P. Iliev, *Multivariable Askey–Wilson function and bispectrality*, Ramanujan J. **24**, 273–287 (2011), arXiv:0705.1469.
- [22] P. Iliev, *Bispectral commuting difference operators for multivariable Askey–Wilson polynomials*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **363**, 1577–1598 (2011), arXiv:0801.4939.
- [23] P. Iliev and P. Terwilliger, *The Rahman polynomials and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$* , Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **364**(8), 4225–4238 (2012), arXiv:1006.5062.
- [24] P. Iliev, *A Lie-theoretic interpretation of multivariate hypergeometric polynomials*, Compositio Mathematica **148**(3), 991–1002 (2012), arXiv:1101.1683.
- [25] V. X. Genest, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, *The multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials as matrix elements of the rotation group representations on oscillator states*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **46**(50), 505203 (2013), arXiv:1306.4256.
- [26] P. Diaconis and R. Griffiths, *An introduction to multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials and their applications*, Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference **154**, 39–53 (2014), arXiv:1309.0112.

- [27] R. Griffiths, *Multivariate Krawtchouk Polynomials and Composition Birth and Death Processes*, Symmetry **8**(5), 33 (2016), arXiv:1603.00196.
- [28] V. X. Genest, S. Post, and L. Vinet, *An algebraic interpretation of the multivariate q -Krawtchouk polynomials*, The Ramanujan Journal **43**, 415–445 (2017), arXiv:1508.07770.
- [29] P.-A. Bernard, N. Crampé, L. Poulain d’Andecy, L. Vinet, and M. Zaimi, *Bivariate P -polynomial association schemes*, Algebraic Combinatorics **7**(2), 361–382 (2024), arXiv:2212.10824.
- [30] E. Bannai, H. Kurihara, D. Zhao, and Y. Zhu, *Multivariate P - and/or Q -polynomial association schemes*, (2023), arXiv:2305.00707.
- [31] P. Baseilhac, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, *The q -Onsager algebra and multivariable q -special functions*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **50**(39), 395201 (2017), arXiv:1611.09250.
- [32] N. Crampé, L. Frappat, and E. Ragoucy, *Representations of the rank two Racah algebra and orthogonal multivariate polynomials*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **664**, 165–215 (2023), arXiv:2206.01031.
- [33] N. Crampé, L. Frappat, J. Gaboriaud, E. Ragoucy, L. Vinet, and M. Zaimi, *λ -Griffiths polynomials: Bispectrality and biorthogonality*, (2023), arXiv:2311.03256.
- [34] N. Crampé and M. Zaimi, *Factorized A_2 -Leonard pair*, (2023), arXiv:2312.08312.
- [35] N. Crampé, L. Frappat, J. Gaboriaud, E. Ragoucy, L. Vinet, and M. Zaimi, *Griffiths polynomials of Racah type*, J. Math. Phys. **65**, 083507 (2024), arXiv:2403.12148.
- [36] N. Crampé, L. Frappat, J. Gaboriaud, and E. Ragoucy, *q -deformed Griffiths polynomials of Racah type*, (2024), arXiv:2407.17016.
- [37] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, and P. Terwilliger, *Some algebra related to P - and Q -polynomial association schemes*, DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science **56**, 167–192 (2001), arXiv:math/0406556.
- [38] T. Ito, *The classification of TD -pairs*, RIMS Kôkyûroku **1926**, 146–164 (2014).
- [39] T. Ito and J. Sato, *TD -pairs of type II with shape $1, 2, \dots, 2, 1$* , Linear Algebra and its Applications **461**, 51–91 (2014).
- [40] P. Terwilliger, *Introduction to Leonard pairs*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **153**(1–2), 463–475 (2003).
- [41] J. Wilson, *Hypergeometric series recurrence relations and some new orthogonal functions*, PhD thesis (University of Wisconsin, 1978).
- [42] E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*, Mathematics Lecture Notes Series (Benjamin / Cummings, Menlo Park, California, 1984), 425 pp.
- [43] V. X. Genest, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, *The Racah algebra and superintegrable models*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **512**, 012011 (2014), arXiv:1312.3874.
- [44] N. Crampé, R. I. Nepomechie, and L. Vinet, *Free-Fermion entanglement and orthogonal polynomials*, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment **9**, 093101 (2019), arXiv:1907.00044.
- [45] R. Sasaki, *Exactly solvable discrete time Birth and Death processes*, J. Math. Phys. **63**(063305), 10.1063/5.0079228 (2022).
- [46] N. Crampé, J. Gaboriaud, L. Poulain d’Andecy, and L. Vinet, *Racah algebras, the centralizer $Z_n(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its Hilbert–Poincaré series*, Annales Henri Poincaré, 10.1007/s00023-021-01152-y (2022), arXiv:2105.01086.