MULTIPLICATIVE STRUCTURES ON COMODULES IN HIGHER CATEGORIES

TAKESHI TORII

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study multiplicative structures on comodules over bialgebras in the setting of ∞ -categories. We show that the ∞ -category of comodules over an $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebra in a mixed $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -duoidal ∞ -category has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category for any ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} .

1. INTRODUCTION

Comodules over coalgebras are fundamental algebraic structures, which are dualizations of modules over algebras. If comodules are defined over a bialgebra, then they obtain a multiplicative structure by using the product on the bialgebra. In this paper we investigate multiplicative structures on comodules over bialgebras in the setting of ∞ -categories.

Comodules have many applications in various areas of mathematics ranging over category theory, representation theory, differential geometry, algebraic topology, algebraic geometry, noncommutative geometry and mathematical physics. In algebraic topology the Adams spectral sequence is a useful tool to compute the stable homotopy groups ([1, 2]). Its E_2 -page can be described in terms of the comodule structure on mod p homology over the dual Steenrod algebra. The Adams-Novikov spectral sequence is a variant of Adams spectral sequence based on the complex cobordism MU, which predicts nilpotence and periodicity in the stable homotopy category ([11, 12, 13]). Its E_2 -page can be described in terms of the comodule structure on MU-homology over the Hopf algebroid $MU_*(MU)$.

Motivated by these examples in stable homotopy theory we have studied ∞ -categories of comodule spectra in [16]. Although we did not discuss it in [16], we realized that it is necessary to develop the theory of multiplicative structures on comodules in higher category theory.

In the classical setting we can formulate categories of comodules as follows. Let (\mathcal{M}, \otimes) be the symmetric monoidal category of k-modules for a commutative ring k. For an algebra A over k, the category ${}_{A}BMod_{A}(\mathcal{M})$ of A-A-bimodules over k has the structure of a monoidal category via the relative tensor product \otimes_{A} . Furthermore, the category $RMod_{A}(\mathcal{M})$ of right A-modules is right tensored over the monoidal category ${}_{A}BMod_{A}(\mathcal{M})$. By using this tensored structure, we can set up a category $Rcomod_{(A,\Gamma)}$ of right Γ -comodules in $RMod_{A}(\mathcal{M})$ for a coalgebra Γ in ${}_{A}BMod_{A}(\mathcal{M})$.

Furthermore, when A is commutative, the category ${}_{A}BMod_{A}(\mathcal{M})$ has another tensor product, which is given by $M \boxtimes N = A \otimes_{A \otimes A} (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes A} A$. Equipped with this tensor product \boxtimes and the relative tensor product \otimes_{A} , the category ${}_{A}BMod_{A}(\mathcal{M})$ has the structure of a duoidal category ([3]).

The notion of duoidal category was introduced by Aguiar-Mahajan in [3] by name of 2-monoidal category, and the term of duoidal category was proposed by Street in [15]. A duoidal category has two tensor products in which one is (op)lax monoidal with respect to the other. We can regard a

Date: March 3, 2025 (version 1.0).

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 18N60; Secondary 18N70, 18M50, 55U40.

Key words and phrases. Comodule, bialgebra, duoidal ∞ -category, ∞ -operad.

duoidal category as a pseudomonoid in the 2-category of monoidal categories and (op)lax monoidal functors.

When A is commutative, $\operatorname{RMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is also a monoidal category by a similar tensor product to \boxtimes . Furthermore, $\operatorname{RMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is a right module over the pseudomonoid ${}_A\operatorname{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ in the 2-category of monoidal categories and lax monoidal functors. We can consider a bialgebra Γ in the duoidal category ${}_A\operatorname{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$. In this case the category $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{(A,\Gamma)}$ has the structure of a monoidal category such that the forgetful functor $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{(A,\Gamma)} \to \operatorname{RMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is strong monoidal.

In this paper we would like to generalize the above multiplicative structure on comodules in the setting of ∞ -categories. As in the classical setting, for a good monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{M} , we can consider an ∞ -category $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{(A,\Gamma)}(\mathcal{M})$ of right Γ -comodules in $\operatorname{RMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ for an algebra object A in \mathcal{M} and a coalgebra object Γ in ${}_A\operatorname{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$.

In order to study multiplicative structures on comodules in the setting of ∞ -categories, we need a notion of duoidal ∞ -category. Duoidal ∞ -categories have been introduced in [17] which are generalizations of duoidal categories in the setting of ∞ -categories. Furthermore, we generalized duoidal ∞ -categories to higher monoidal ∞ -categories in [18]. As examples of duoidal ∞ -categories, we have shown that ∞ -categories of operadic modules have the structure of duoidal ∞ -categories under some circumstances in [19]. We have also given other examples of duoidal ∞ -categories which are obtained as endomorphism ∞ -categories of map monoidales in monoidal (∞ , 2)-categories in [20].

We will investigate an \mathcal{O} -monoidal structure on $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{(A,\Gamma)}(\mathcal{M})$ for an ∞ -operad \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} . Suppose that \mathcal{M} has in addition an \mathcal{O} -monoidal structure which is compatible with the monoidal structure. In other words, we suppose that \mathcal{M} is an $(\mathcal{O} \times \operatorname{Ass})$ -monoidal ∞ -category. When A is an $(\mathcal{O} \times \operatorname{Ass})$ algebra object of \mathcal{M} , the ∞ -category ${}_{A}\operatorname{BMod}_{A}(\mathcal{M})$ is a monoid object in the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories and lax \mathcal{O} -monoidal functors. In other words, ${}_{A}\operatorname{BMod}_{A}(\mathcal{M})$ has the structure of a mixed $(\mathcal{O}, \operatorname{Ass})$ -duoidal ∞ -category. In this case we can consider an $(\mathcal{O}, \operatorname{Ass})$ bialgebra Γ in ${}_{A}\operatorname{BMod}_{A}(\mathcal{M})$.

The following is one of our main theorems.

Theorem 1.1 (cf. Theorem 5.14). Let \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} be an ∞ -operad over a perfect operator category. Suppose that \mathcal{M} is an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -monoidal ∞ -category in which \mathcal{M} has geometric realizations and the tensor products preserve them separately in each variable, and that A is an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -algebra object in \mathcal{M} . If Γ is an $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebra in the mixed $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -duoidal ∞ -category $_A \operatorname{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$, then the ∞ -category $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{(A,\Gamma)}(\mathcal{M})$ of right Γ -comodules in $\operatorname{RMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category such that the forgetful functor $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{(A,\Gamma)}(\mathcal{M}) \to \operatorname{RMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In §2 we review ∞ -operads over perfect operator categories. In §3 we recall the definition of duoidal ∞ -category. Mixed duoidal ∞ -categories are one of the formulations of duoidal ∞ -categories and they are useful when we deal with bialgebras of them. In §4 we study multiplicative structures on bicomodules over bialgebras. First, we set up a generalized bimodule object, which consists of ∞ -categories of bimodules, in the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category of bicomodules which has an \mathcal{O} -monoidal structure. In §5 we investigate multiplicative structures on right comodules over bialgebras. As in the case of bicomodules, we set up a generalized right module object, which consists of ∞ -categories of right modules and bimodules, in the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories and lax \mathcal{O} -monoidal structure. In §5 we investigate multiplicative structures on right comodules over bialgebras. As in the case of bicomodules, we set up a generalized right module object, which consists of ∞ -categories of right modules and bimodules, in the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories and lax \mathcal{O} -monoidal functors. We prove Theorem 1.1 by constructing an ∞ -category of right comodules from this generalized right module object.

Notation 1.2. We denote by Δ the simplicial indexing category. We identify a morphism σ : $[m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ with a sequence of integers (i_0, \ldots, i_m) where $0 \leq i_0 \leq \cdots \leq i_m \leq n$. We abbreviate the sequence (i, \ldots, i) to (i^n) , where n is the number of occurrences of i.

Throughout this paper, we fix universes $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{V}$. We say that an element of \mathcal{U} is small, and an element of \mathcal{V} is large. We denote by $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}$ the large ∞ -category of small ∞ -categories, and by \mathcal{S} the large ∞ -category of small ∞ -groupoids.

For an ∞ -operad \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} over a perfect operator category, we denote by $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ the ∞ -category of small \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories and strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal functors. We also denote by $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(\operatorname{op}) \operatorname{lax}}$ the ∞ -category of small \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories and (op)lax \mathcal{O} -monoidal functors.

2. ∞ -operads

In this paper we use ∞ -operads over perfect operator categories in the sense of [4]. The theory of ∞ -operads over perfect operator categories is a generalization of the theory of (symmetric) ∞ -operads due to Lurie ([10, Chapter 2]). In this section we review ∞ -operads and generalized ∞ -operads over perfect operator categories and study their properties.

2.1. ∞ -operads over perfect operator categories. In this subsection we recall the notions of ∞ -operads and generalized ∞ -operads over perfect operator categories.

First, we briefly recall the notion of algebraic pattern in the sense of [5, Definition 2.1]. Many homotopy-coherent algebraic structures can be described by algebraic patterns. An algebraic pattern is an ∞ -category \mathcal{AP} equipped with

- an (inert, active) factorization system $(\mathcal{AP}^{\mathrm{int}},\mathcal{AP}^{\mathrm{act}}),$ and
- a full subcategory $\mathcal{AP}^{\mathrm{el}} \subset \mathcal{AP}^{\mathrm{int}}$ of elementary objects.

We can associate an algebraic pattern to a perfect operator category. Let Φ be a perfect operator category in the sense of [4, Definition 4.6]. There is a canonical monad on Φ and we have the Leinster category $\Lambda(\Phi)$ associated to Φ , which is the Kleisli category of the monad. The category $\Lambda(\Phi)$ has an (inert, active) factorization system, and we can consider two algebraic patterns $\Lambda(\Phi)^{\flat}$ and $\Lambda(\Phi)^{\natural}$ by [5, Example 3.6]. The full subcategory $\Lambda(\Phi)^{\flat, \text{el}}$ consists only of the final object $* \in \Phi$. On the other hand, the full subcategory $\Lambda(\Phi)^{\natural, \text{el}}$ contains all objects E such that there is an inert morphism $* \to E$ in $\Lambda(\Phi)$.

For an algebraic pattern \mathcal{AP} , we can consider weak \mathcal{AP} -Segal fibrations which are defined in [5, Definition 9.6] in a similar manner to (symmetric) ∞ -operads due to Lurie ([10, Definition 2.1.1.10]). For a perfect operator category Φ , we define an ∞ -operad over Φ to be a weak $\Lambda(\Phi)^{\flat}$ -Segal fibration, and a generalized ∞ -operad to be a weak $\Lambda(\Phi)^{\natural}$ -Segal fibration. By [5, Lemma 9.10], ∞ -operads and generalized ∞ -operads over Φ inherit the structure of algebraic patterns from $\Lambda(\Phi)^{\flat}$ and $\Lambda(\Phi)^{\natural}$, respectively. We denote by $\operatorname{Op}_{\Lambda(\Phi)}$ the ∞ -category of ∞ -operads, and by $\operatorname{Op}_{\Lambda(\Phi)}^{\text{gen}}$ the ∞ -category of generalized ∞ -operads over Φ .

For a (generalized) ∞ -operad \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} over Φ , we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{I}^{\otimes}$ the fiber at $I \in \Phi$. In particular, we simply write \mathcal{O} for $\mathcal{O}_{*}^{\otimes}$, where $* \in \Phi$ is the final object. For $x \in \mathcal{O}_{I}^{\otimes}$, we have a set of inert morphisms $\{x \to x_{i} | i \in |I|\}$ lying over the inert morphisms $\{\rho_{i} : I \to \{i\} | i \in |I|\}$.

Now, we give examples of ∞ -operads over perfect operator categories. The category of finite sets **F** is a perfect operator category ([4, Example 1.4]) and its Leinster category $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ is the category of finite pointed sets Fin_{*} ([4, Example 6.5]). We can recover Lurie's theory of (symmetric) ∞ -operads from the theory of ∞ -operads over **F** ([4, Example 7.9]). Also, generalized ∞ -operads over **F** coincide with generalized ∞ -operads in the sense of [10, Definition 2.3.2.1] (cf. [5, Example 9.8(i)]).

Nonsymmetric ∞ -operads are also formulated by using a perfect operator category. Recall that Δ is the simplicial indexing category. We can regard Δ^{op} as the Leinster category of a perfect operator category **O**, where **O** is the category of ordered finite sets ([4, Example 4.9.2]). We

can understand that ∞ -operads over **O** are nonsymmetric ∞ -operads in the sense of [6, §3.2]. Also, generalized ∞ -operads over **O** are generalized nonsymmetric ∞ -operads in the sense of [6, Definition 2.4.1] (cf. [5, Examples 9.8(ii)]).

2.2. Monoidal ∞ -categories. In this subsection we recall that there are several equivalent formulations of monoidal ∞ -categories. In particular, we recall interaction between monoidal ∞ categories and monoid objects in the ∞ -category of small ∞ -categories.

Let Φ be a perfect operator category and let \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} be a (generalized) ∞ -operad over Φ . We abbreviate the slice category $(\operatorname{Op}_{\Lambda(\Phi)}^{(\operatorname{gen})})_{/\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}}$ as $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(\operatorname{gen})}$. For objects \mathcal{X}^{\otimes} and \mathcal{Y}^{\otimes} of $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(\operatorname{gen})}$, we write $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{Y})$ for the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{X}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{Y}^{\otimes})$ spanned by morphisms of $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(\operatorname{gen})}$. When $\mathcal{X}^{\otimes} = \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$, we simply write $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{Y})$.

Now, we suppose that \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is an ∞ -operad. An \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category is a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ over Φ . For simplicity, we also say that \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category. We write $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax}}$ for the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathcal{O}}$ spanned by \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories. We say that a morphism in $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax}}$ is a lax \mathcal{O} -monoidal functor.

A morphism in $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathcal{O}}$ between \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories is said to be a strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal functor if it preserves cocartesian morphisms. We write $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ for the wide subcategory of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax}}$ spanned by strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal functors.

Next, we will formulate oplax \mathcal{O} -monoidal functors between \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories. Let $(-)^{\mathrm{op}} : \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty} \to \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}$ be the functor which assigns to an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} its opposite ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$. We say that a functor of ∞ -categories $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{O}^{\otimes,\mathrm{op}}$ is an opposite ∞ -operad over $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes,\mathrm{op}}$ if its opposite functor $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ is a map of ∞ -operads. For opposite ∞ -operads \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} over $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes,\mathrm{op}}$, a map $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ over $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes,\mathrm{op}}$ is a morphism of opposite ∞ -operads over $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes,\mathrm{op}}$ if its opposite $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a morphism of ∞ -operads over $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes,\mathrm{op}}$ if a morphism of ∞ -operads over $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes,\mathrm{op}}$ and their morphisms.

We say that an opposite ∞ -operad $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{O}^{\otimes, \mathrm{op}}$ over $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes, \mathrm{op}}$ is a cartesian fibration of opposite ∞ -operads if its opposite $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ is a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads. We write $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{oplax}}$ for the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}$ spanned by cartesian fibrations of opposite ∞ -operads. We say that a morphism of $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{oplax}}$ is an oplax \mathcal{O} -monoidal functor.

We notice that the functor $(-)^{\text{op}}$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories $(-)^{\text{op}} : \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{lax}} \to \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{oplax}}$. A morphism of cartesian fibrations of opposite ∞ -operads over $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes, \text{op}}$ is said to be a strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal functor if it preserves cartesian morphisms. We denote by $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}$ the wide subcategory of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{oplax}}$ spanned by strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal functors. The equivalence $(-)^{\text{op}}$ restricts to an equivalence of ∞ -categories $(-)^{\text{op}} : \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}} \to \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}$.

Next, we recall monoid objects in an ∞ -category. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category with finite products. We say that a functor $M : \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{C}$ is an \mathcal{O} -monoid object of \mathcal{C} if the Segal map

$$M(x) \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in |I|} M(x_i)$$

induced by inert morphisms $\{x \to x_i | i \in |I|\}$ is an equivalence in \mathcal{C} for any $x \in \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$. We define an ∞ -category Mon_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) to be the full subcategory of Fun($\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{C}$) spanned by \mathcal{O} -monoid objects.

We notice that an \mathcal{O} -monoid object coincides with a Segal $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes,\flat}$ -object in the sense of [5, Definition 2.7], where $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes,\flat}$ is the algebraic pattern coming from $\Lambda(\Phi)^{\flat}$. When \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is a generalized ∞ -operad and \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -category with finite limits, we define a generalized \mathcal{O} -monoid object in \mathcal{C} to be a Segal $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes,\flat}$ -object, where $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes,\flat}$ is the algebraic pattern coming from $\Lambda(\Phi)^{\flat}$. We denote by $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}^{en}}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ the full ∞ -category of $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes},\mathcal{C})$ spanned by generalized \mathcal{O} -monoid objects.

For example, we set $\mathbf{Ass}^{\otimes} = \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$, and regard the identity map $\mathbf{Ass}^{\otimes} \to \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$ as an ∞ -operad over **O**. An **Ass**-monoid object of \mathcal{C} is a functor $M : \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that the map $M([n]) \to \mathcal{C}$

 $M([1])^{\times n}$ induced by inert morphisms $[1] \to [n]$ in Δ is an equivalence for all $n \ge 0$. An Assmonoid object is simply called a monoid object.

We can also regard the identity map $\mathbf{Ass}^{\otimes} \to \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$ as a generalized ∞ -operad. A generalized \mathbf{Ass} -monoid object is a functor $M : \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that the map $M([n]) \to M([1])^{\times_{M([0])}n}$ induced by inert morphisms $[i] \to [n]$ for i = 0, 1 in $\mathbf{\Delta}$ is an equivalence for all $n \geq 0$. A generalized \mathbf{Ass} -monoid object is also called a category object.

Now, we consider a relationship between algebra objects and monoid objects. When \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -category with finite products, we can promote \mathcal{C} to a cartesian symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}^{\times} \to \operatorname{Fin}_*$ (cf. [10, §2.4.1]). There is an operator morphism $u : \Phi \to \mathbf{F}$ given by $I \mapsto |I|$ ([4, Example 1.11]), which induces an adjunction of ∞ -categories

$$u_! : \operatorname{Op}_{\Lambda(\Phi)} \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{Op}_{\operatorname{Fin}_*} : u^*$$

by [4, Proposition 7.18]. In the same way as the proof of [10, Proposition 2.4.17], we can show that there are equivalences of ∞ -categories

$$\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(u^*\mathcal{C}^{\times}) \simeq \operatorname{Alg}_{u,\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\times}).$$

Finally, we recall an interaction of monoidal ∞ -categories and monoid objects in the ∞ -category of small ∞ -categories $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}$. Since $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}$ has finite products, we can consider an ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -monoid objects $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty})$. To an \mathcal{O} -monoid object $X : \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \to \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}$, we can associate a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads $X^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ by unstraightening. This correspondence induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories between $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty})$ and $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}$.

2.3. Sections of two-variable fibrations. In this subsection we study ∞ -categories of sections of two-variable fibrations for later use.

Let $p = (f,g) : X \to A \times B$ be a categorical fibration of ∞ -categories, and let $\psi : B' \to B$ be a map of ∞ -categories. We define a map of ∞ -categories $\xi : F_{B'/B}(X) \to A$ by the following pullback diagram

$$F_{B'/B}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(B', X)$$

$$\xi \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{(f,g)_*}$$

$$A \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(B', A \times B)$$

where the bottom horizontal arrow is an adjoint of the map $id_A \times \psi : A \times B' \to A \times B$. We notice that there is a natural equivalence

$$\operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/A}}(K, F_{B'/B}(X)) \simeq \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/A\times B}}(K \times B', X)$$

of ∞ -groupoids for any $K \in \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/A}$. In particular, an object of $F_{B'/B}(X)$ is identified with a map $B' \to X_a$ of ∞ -categories over B for some $a \in A$, where X_a is the fiber of f at a.

We will show that ξ is a cocartesian fibration under some conditions.

Lemma 2.1. If $f: X \to A$ is a cocartesian fibration and the map g takes f-cocartesian edges to equivalences, then $\xi: F_{B'/B}(X) \to A$ is a cocartesian fibration.

Proof. The pair of maps (f,g) induces a categorical fibration (f_*,g_*) : Fun $(B',X) \to$ Fun $(B',A) \times$ Fun(B',B), where f_* : Fun $(B',X) \to$ Fun(B',A) is a cocartesian fibration and g_* takes f_* cocartesian edges to equivalences. By taking the fiber at ψ , we obtain a cocartesian fibration
Fun $(B',X)_{\psi} \to$ Fun(B',A), where Fun $(B',X)_{\psi} =$ Fun $(B',X) \times$ _{Fun(B',B)} { ψ }. The lemma follows from the fact that we have a pullback diagram

where the bottom horizontal arrow is an adjoint of the projection $A \times B' \to A$.

Remark 2.2. Under the assumption of Lemma 2.1, the map $p = (f,g) : X \to A \times B$ induces a functor $A \to \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/B}$ by straightening. In this situation the cocartesian fibration $\xi : F_B(B', X) \to A$ is associated to the composite functor

$$A \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/B} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}$$

by unstraightening, where the second arrow is $\operatorname{Fun}_B(B', -)$.

Remark 2.3. Suppose that $f: X \to A$ is a cartesian fibration and that g takes f-cartesian edges to equivalences. By a dual argument to the proof of Lemma 2.1, we see that $\xi: F_{B'/B}(X) \to A$ is a cartesian fibration.

2.4. Algebra objects over products of ∞ -operads. In this subsection we study ∞ -categories of algebra objects over products of ∞ -operads.

Let Φ and Ψ be perfect operator categories. The product $\Phi \times \Psi$ is also a perfect operator category, and its Leinster category $\Lambda(\Phi \times \Psi)$ is isomorphic to the product $\Lambda(\Phi) \times \Lambda(\Psi)$. Let \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} and \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} be ∞ -operads over Φ and Ψ , respectively. The product $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$ is an ∞ -operad over $\Phi \times \Psi$.

First, we study monoid objects over $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$. For an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} with finite products, the ∞ -category $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{P} -monoid objects of \mathcal{C} also has finite products. Thus, we can consider an \mathcal{O} -monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 2.4 (cf. [5, Example 5.7]). Let C be an ∞ -category with finite products. For ∞ -operads \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} and \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} over perfect operator categories, we have equivalences of ∞ -categories

 $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})).$

Proof. This follows by observing that $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}\times\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}\times\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{C})$ spanned by those functors M such that the induced maps $M(x, y) \to \prod_{i \in |I|} M(x_i, y)$ and $M(x, y) \to \prod_{j \in |J|} M(x, y_j)$ are equivalences in \mathcal{C} for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$. \Box

Next, we study ∞ -category of algebra objects in monoidal ∞ -categories over products of ∞ -operads. We suppose that $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$ are maps of ∞ -operads over Φ and Ψ , respectively.

Lemma 2.5. For an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{P})$ -monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , the ∞ -category $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})$ has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, the $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{P})$ -monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} determines a functor $\overline{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \to \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty})$ that is an \mathcal{O} -monoid object. Since the functor $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(-)$ preserves finite products, the composite of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ with $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(-)$ is an \mathcal{O} -monoid object. This gives us an \mathcal{O} -monoidal structure on $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})$.

We will show that an analogue of Lemma 2.4 holds for $\operatorname{Alg}_{(\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{B})/(\mathcal{O}\times\mathcal{P})}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 2.6. For an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{P})$ -monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , there are equivalences of ∞ -categories

$$\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}}(\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \operatorname{Alg}_{(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})/(\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{P})}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})).$$

Proof. We will prove the first equivalence. The second equivalence can be proved in a similar manner. By Lemma 2.5, $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})$ has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category. We denote by $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ the corresponding cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads by unstraightening. By Lemma 2.1, we have a cocartesian fibration $F_{\mathcal{B}^{\otimes}/\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \to \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$. We can verify that it is a map of ∞ -operads. The evaluation map $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{B}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$ induces a map of ∞ -operads $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes} \to F_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ over \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} . We notice that the induced map on fibers is identified with the fully faithful functor $\operatorname{Al}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C}_I) \to \operatorname{Fun}_{\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{B}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{C}_I^{\otimes})$ for any $I \in \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$. This implies that the composite $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}}(\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})) \to \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}}(F_{\mathcal{B}^{\otimes}/\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})) \to \operatorname{Fun}_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}, F_{\mathcal{B}^{\otimes}/\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})) \text{ is fully faithful, where}$ the right hand side is equivalent to $\operatorname{Fun}_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}\times\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}\times\mathcal{B}^{\otimes},\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$. We also have a fully faithful functor $\operatorname{Alg}_{(\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{B})/(\mathcal{O}\times\mathcal{P})}(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Fun}_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}\times\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}\times\mathcal{B}^{\otimes},\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$. The proposition follows by observing that the essential images of $\operatorname{Alg}_{(\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{B})/(\mathcal{O}\times\mathcal{P})}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}}(\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C}))$ coincide in $\operatorname{Fun}_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}\times\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}\times\mathcal{P})$ $\mathcal{B}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$).

3. Duoidal ∞ -categories and bialgebras

In this section we recall the definition of duoidal ∞ -categories and study bialgebras in duoidal ∞ -categories. There are three formulations of duoidal ∞ -categories according to which kinds of functors we take. In order to formulate bialgebras in duoidal ∞ -categories it is convenient to use mixed duoidal ∞ -categories. We will introduce an ∞ -categories of bialgebras in a mixed duoidal ∞ -category and show that it can be described by ∞ -categories of algebras and coalgebras.

3.1. Mixed duoidal ∞ -categories. In this subsection we recall the notion of mixed duoidal ∞ categories. Mixed duoidal ∞ -categories are one of the formulations of duoidal ∞ -categories which are adapted for bilax monoidal functors. Mixed duoidal ∞ -categories are defined by using mixed fibrations.

Definition 3.1 (cf. [17, Definition 4.15]). Let $p: X \to A \times B$ be a categorical fibration of ∞ categories. We say that p is a mixed fibration if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) The composite $\pi_A \circ p: X \to A$ is a cocartesian fibration, and the map p takes $(\pi_A \circ p)$ cocartesian edges to π_A -cocartesian edges, where $\pi_A: A \times B \to A$ is the projection.
- (2) The composite $\pi_B \circ p : X \to B$ is a cartesian fibration, and the map p takes $(\pi_B \circ p)$ cartesian edges to π_B -cartesian edges, where $\pi_B : A \times B \to B$ is the projection.

Remark 3.2. Mixed fibrations are said to be curved orthofibrations in [8] and lax two-sided fibrations in [14].

Let \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} and \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes} be ∞ -operads over perfect operator categories Φ and Ψ , respectively. Suppose that we have a mixed fibration

$$p: X \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}.$$

Definition 3.3 (cf. [17, Definition 5.10] and [18, Definition 3.11]). We say that p is a mixed $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -duoidal ∞ -category if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) For any $x \in \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$, the Segal morphism $X_x \to \prod_{i \in |I|}^{\mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}} X_{x_i}$ is an equivalence in $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}}$. (2) For any $y \in \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}$, the Segal morphism $X_y \to \prod_{i \in |J|}^{\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}} X_{y_i}$ is an equivalence in $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}$.

Definition 3.4 (cf. [17, Definition 5.13] and [18, Definition 3.11]). Let $p: X \to \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$ and $q: Y \to \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$ be mixed $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -duoidal ∞ -categories. A functor $f: X \to Y$ over $\mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$ is said to be a bilax $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -monoidal functor if it preserves cocartesian edges over inert morphisms of \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} and cartesian edges over inert morphisms of $\mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$. We denote by

$$\mathrm{Duo}_{(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})}^{\mathrm{bilax}}$$

the subcategory of $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}\times\mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}}$ spanned by mixed $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -duoidal ∞ -categories and bilax $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -monoidal functors.

Remark 3.5. By [17, Theorem 5.17 and Remark 5.18] and [18, Theorem 3.12], there are equivalences of ∞ -categories

$$\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathrm{oplax}}) \simeq \mathrm{Duo}_{(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})}^{\mathrm{bilax}} \simeq \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathrm{oplax}}(\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{lax}}).$$

In particular, a (mixed) $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -duoidal ∞ -category can be identified with a \mathcal{P} -monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\operatorname{oplax}}$, and a \mathcal{Q} -monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\operatorname{lax}}$.

In a similar manner to [17, Proposition 5.12 and Remark 5.16], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6. The functor $(-)^{\text{op}}$: $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty} \to \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$(-)^{\mathrm{op}}: \mathrm{Duo}_{(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})}^{\mathrm{bilax}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Duo}_{(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{P})}^{\mathrm{bilax}}$$

3.2. Bialgebras in mixed duoidal ∞ -categories. In this subsection we will introduce an ∞ -category of bialgebras in a mixed duoidal ∞ -category. We will show that algebras and coalgebras in a mixed duoidal ∞ -category form monoidal ∞ -categories, respectively, and that the ∞ -category of bialgebras can be described by ∞ -categories of algebras and coalgebras.

In this subsection we suppose that we have a mixed $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -duoidal ∞ -category $p: X \to \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$. Furthermore, we suppose that $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$ is a map of ∞ -operads over Φ , and that $\mathcal{B}^{\boxtimes} \to \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes}$ is a map of ∞ -operads over Ψ .

First, we will construct an ∞ -category $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{P}}(X)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$ over $\mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}$ which consists of \mathcal{A} -algebra objects in X_y for some $y \in \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}$. Next, we will show that $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{P}}(X)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}$ is a cartesian fibration of opposite ∞ -operads.

By Remark 2.3, we have a cartesian fibration $F_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}/\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(X) \to \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$, where an object of $F_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}/\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(X)$ is identified with a map $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes} \to X_y$ over \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} for some $y \in \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$.

Definition 3.7. We define an ∞ -category

$$\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{P}}(X)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$$

to be the full subcategory of $F_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}/\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(X)$ spanned by those objects $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes} \to X_y$ which are maps of ∞ -operads over \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} . By restriction, we obtain a map of ∞ -categories

$$\xi_Q : \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{P}}(X)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}$$

Proposition 3.8. The map ξ_Q : $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{P}}(X)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}$ is a cartesian fibration of opposite ∞ -operads.

Proof. We set $A = \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{P}}(X)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$ for simplicity. It suffices to show that (1) ξ_Q is a cartesian fibration, and that (2) for any $y \in \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes}$, a family of inert morphisms $\{y \to y_j | j \in |J|\}$ induces an equivalence $A_y \to \prod_{j \in |J|} A_{y_j}$ of ∞ -categories.

For (1), let $a \in A_y$ and let $f: y \to y'$ be a morphism of \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes} . We take a cartesian lift $f^*a \to a$ of f in $F_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}/\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(X)$. Since A is a full subcategory of $F_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}/\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(X)$, it suffices to show that $f^*a \in A_{y'}$. Since $X \to \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$ is a cartesian fibration, f induces a map $f^*: X_y \to X_{y'}$, which is a map of ∞ -operads over \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} . Since f^*a is identified with the composite $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{a} X_y \xrightarrow{f^*} X_{y'}$, we see that $f^*a \in A_{y'}$.

For (2), we note that there is an equivalence $A_{y''} \simeq \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{P}}(X_{y''})$ of ∞ -categories for any $y'' \in \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes}$. Since the family $\{y \to y_j | j \in |J|\}$ induces an equivalence $X_y \to \prod_{j \in |J|} X_{y_j}$ of \mathcal{P} -monoidal ∞ -categories, we obtain an equivalence $A_y \to \prod_{j \in |J|} A_{y_j}$.

In a similar manner we can construct a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads $\operatorname{Coalg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{Q}}(X)^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$, where objects of $\operatorname{Coalg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{Q}}(X)^{\otimes}$ are \mathcal{B} -coalgebra objects in X_x for some $x \in \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$.

Definition 3.9. We define an ∞ -category

 $\operatorname{Coalg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{Q}}(X)^{\otimes}$

to be the full subcategory of $F_{\mathcal{B}^{\boxtimes,\mathrm{op}}/\mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes,\mathrm{op}}}(X)$ spanned by those objects $\mathcal{B}^{\boxtimes,\mathrm{op}} \to X_x$ which are maps of opposite ∞ -operads over $\mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes,\mathrm{op}}$ for some $x \in \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$. By restriction, we obtain a map of ∞ -categories

$$\xi_P : \operatorname{Coalg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{O}}(X)^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$$

We can prove the following proposition in a similar manner to Proposition 3.8.

Proposition 3.10. The map ξ_P : $\operatorname{Coalg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{Q}}(X)^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$ is a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads.

Next, we will introduce a notion of bialgebra in a mixed duoidal ∞ -category.

Definition 3.11. Let $B : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{B}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}} \to X$ be a map over $\mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$. We say that B is an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bialgebra of X if the restriction $B_a : \mathcal{B}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}} \to X_{\overline{a}}$ is a \mathcal{B} -coalgebra for each $a \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes}$, where $\overline{a} \in \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$ is the image of a under the map $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$, and if the restriction $B_b : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes} \to X_{\overline{b}}$ is an \mathcal{A} -algebra for each $b \in \mathcal{B}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$, where $\overline{b} \in \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$ is the image of b under the map $\mathcal{B}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$.

Definition 3.12. We define an ∞ -category

$$\operatorname{Bialg}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})/(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})}(X)$$

to be the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}_{\mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}}(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{B}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}, X)$ spanned by $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bialgebras of X. When $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes} = \mathcal{P}^{\otimes}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\boxtimes} = \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes}$, we simply write $\operatorname{Bialg}_{(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})}(X)$. We call $\operatorname{Bialg}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})/(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})}(X)$ the ∞ -category of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bialgebras of X.

We will show that the ∞ -category of bialgebras can be described by the ∞ -categories of algebras and coalgebras.

Theorem 3.13 (cf. [17, Theorem 1.1]). There are equivalences of ∞ -categories

$$\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{P}}(\operatorname{Coalg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{Q}}(X)) \simeq \operatorname{BiAlg}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})/(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})}(X) \simeq \operatorname{Coalg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{Q}}(\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{P}}(X)).$$

Proof. We will prove the first equivalence. The second equivalence can be proved in a similar manner. The ∞ -category $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{P}}(\operatorname{Coalg}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{Q}}(X))$ is a full subcategory of

$$\operatorname{Fun}_{\mathcal{P}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}, F_{\mathcal{B}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}/\mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}}(X)),$$

which is equivalent to $\operatorname{Fun}_{\mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}}(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{B}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}, X)$. We can verify that it is spanned by $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bialgebras of X.

4. Multiplicative structures on bicomodules

In this section we study multiplicative structures on bicomodules over bialgebras. First, we will construct a generalized bimodule object in the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories and lax \mathcal{O} -monoidal functors for an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{M} . Its underlying object is the ∞ -category $_A \operatorname{BMod}_B(\mathcal{M})$ of A-B-bimodules for $(\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathcal{O})$ -algebra objects A and B, which is left tensored over $_A \operatorname{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ and right tensored over $_B \operatorname{BMod}_B(\mathcal{M})$. Next, we will introduce (A, Γ) - (B, Σ) -bicomodules for $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebras Γ in $_A \operatorname{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ and Σ in $_B \operatorname{BMod}_B(\mathcal{M})$. The goal of this section is to show that the ∞ -category of (A, Γ) - (B, Σ) -bicomodules has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category (Theorem 4.14).

4.1. The nonsymmetric generalized ∞ -operad BM. In this subsection we recall the nonsymmetric generalized ∞ -operad BM whose operadic localization is the nonsymmetric ∞ -operad of bimodules **BM**^{\otimes}.

We recall that Δ is the simplicial indexing category. We identify a morphism $\sigma : [m] \to [n]$ in Δ with a sequence of integers (i_0, \ldots, i_m) where $0 \leq i_0 \leq \cdots \leq i_m \leq n$. For each $k \geq 0$, the projection $(\Delta_{/[k]})^{\text{op}} \to \Delta^{\text{op}}$ is a cocartesian fibration that is classified by the functor $\Delta^{\text{op}} \to \text{Cat}$ given by $[n] \mapsto \Delta^{\text{op}}([k], [n])$. We can verify that it is a double category. Thus, we can regard $(\Delta_{/[k]})^{\text{op}} \to \Delta^{\text{op}}$ as a nonsymmetric generalized ∞ -operad.

Definition 4.1 (cf. [6, Definitions 7.1.1 and 7.1.6, and Proposition 7.1.7]). We set $BM = (\Delta_{/[1]})^{op}$. We regard the projection $BM \to \Delta^{op}$ as a nonsymmetric generalized ∞ -operad. Let $BM^{\otimes} \to \Delta^{op}$ be the nonsymmetric ∞ -operad of bimodules. There is a map $L_{BM} : BM \to BM^{\otimes}$ of nonsymmetric generalized ∞ -operads that exhibits BM^{\otimes} as the operadic localization of BM.

There are two full subcategories of BM which are isomorphic to $\mathbf{Ass}^{\otimes} = \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$. We write $\mathbf{Ass}_{L}^{\otimes}$ and $\mathbf{Ass}_{R}^{\otimes}$ for the full subcategories of BM spanned by (0^{k}) and (1^{k}) for k > 0, respectively.

We will introduce algebraic patterns BM^{\flat} and BM^{\natural} . We note that since the map $BM \to \Delta^{op}$ is a cocartesian fibration, we can lift the (inert, active) factorization system on Δ^{op} to BM by [10, Proposition 2.1.2.5].

Definition 4.2. We define BM^{\flat} and BM^{\natural} to be algebraic patterns in which $BM^{\flat,el}$ is spanned by (0,0), (0,1), (1,1), and $BM^{\natural,el}$ is spanned by (0), (1), (0,0), (0,1), (1,1), respectively.

Now, we can consider BM-monoid objects and generalized BM-monoid objects.

Definition 4.3. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category with finite products, and let $M : BM \to \mathcal{C}$ be a functor. We say that M is a BM-monoid object of \mathcal{C} if it is a BM^{\flat} -Segal object. We denote by $Mon_{BM}(\mathcal{C})$ the full subcategory of $Fun(BM, \mathcal{C})$ spanned by BM-monoid objects.

When C has finite limits, we say that M is a generalized BM-monoid object of C if it is a BM^{\natural}-Segal object. We denote by Mon^{gen}_{BM}(C) the full subcategory of Fun(BM, C) spanned by generalized BM-objects.

Remark 4.4. Since **BM**^{\otimes} is the operadic localization of BM, the localization functor L_{BM} induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories $\operatorname{Mon}_{BM}(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Mon}_{BM}(\mathcal{C})$ for any ∞ -category \mathcal{C} with finite products.

4.2. Looping construction of bimodules. In this subsection we will construct BM-monoid objects in the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories and lax \mathcal{O} -monoidal functors from generalized BM-monoid objects in the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories and strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal functors by looping construction.

First, we consider the case in which \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is the trivial symmetric ∞ -operad. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ category with finite limits, and let $M : BM \to \mathcal{C}$ be a generalized BM-monoid object of \mathcal{C} . Let $A(i) : * \to M(i)$ be morphisms in \mathcal{C} for i = 0, 1, where * is a final object of \mathcal{C} . We set

$$N(i, j) = \{A(i)\} \times_{M(i)} M(i, j) \times_{M(j)} \{A(j)\}$$

for i, j = 0, 1 with $i \leq j$. We will show that N(i, j) can be promoted to a BM-monoid object.

Proposition 4.5. Let C be an ∞ -category with finite limits, and let $M : BM \to C$ be a generalized BM-monoid object of C. For any morphisms $A(i) : * \to M(i)$ for i = 0, 1, there is a BM-monoid object $_{A(0)}M_{A(1)}$ such that $_{A(0)}M_{A(1)}(i, j) \simeq N(i, j)$ for i, j = 0, 1 with $i \leq j$.

Proof. We let $BM_{[0]} = \{(0), (1)\}$ be the fiber of the projection at [0]. We denote by $j : BM_{[0]} \to BM$ the inclusion. There is an adjunction

 $j^* : \operatorname{Fun}(\mathrm{BM}, \mathcal{C}) \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{Fun}(\mathrm{BM}_{[0]}, \mathcal{C}) : j_*,$

where the left adjoint j^* is the restriction, and the right adjoint j_* is the right Kan extension along j. We notice that $\operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{BM}_{[0]}, \mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ and that $j^*Z \simeq (Z(0), Z(1))$ for $Z \in \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{BM}, \mathcal{C})$.

The morphisms A(0), A(1) determine a map $(A(0), A(1)) : (*, *) \to (M(0), M(1)) \simeq j^* M$ in $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$. We define $_{A(0)}M_{A(1)}$ by the following pullback diagram

(4.1)
$$A(0)M_{A(1)} \longrightarrow M$$
$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$
$$j_{*}(*,*) \longrightarrow j_{*}j^{*}M$$

in Fun(BM, C), where the bottom horizontal arrow is $j_*(A(0), A(1))$. We can verify that ${}_{A(0)}M_{A(1)}$ has the desired properties.

We apply Proposition 4.5 to the following situation: Let M be a generalized BM-monoid object in Mon_{\mathcal{O}}. We have the ∞ -category Alg_{\mathcal{O}}(M(i)) of \mathcal{O} -algebra objects in M(i) for i = 0, 1. For $A(i) \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(M(i))$, we regard A(i) as a map $A(i) : * \to M(i)$ in Op_{\mathcal{O}}. By Proposition 4.5, we obtain a BM-monoid object $_{A(0)}M_{A(1)}$ in Op_{\mathcal{O}} such that $_{A(0)}M_{A(1)}(i,j) \simeq N(i,j)$ for i, j = 0, 1with $i \leq j$.

We would like to have a BM-monoid object $_{A(0)}M_{A(1)}$ in $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax}}$ instead of $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathcal{O}}$. For this purpose, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. Let M be a generalized BM-monoid object of $Mon_{\mathcal{O}}$, and let $A(i) \in Alg_{\mathcal{O}}(M(i))$ for i = 0, 1. If $M(i, j)^{\otimes} \to M(i)^{\otimes} \times_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}} M(j)^{\otimes}$ is a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads for i, j = 0, 1with $i \leq j$, then $_{A(0)}M_{A(1)}$ is a BM-monoid object in $Mon_{\mathcal{O}}^{lax}$.

Proof. We consider pullback diagram (4.1) in the proof of Proposition 4.5. Since j_* is a right adjoint, $j_*(*,*)$ is a final object of Fun(BM, $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathcal{O}}$). Hence, in order to show that $_{A(0)}M_{A(1)}(0^k, 1^l)$ is an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category, it suffices to show that $M(0^k, 1^l) \to j_*j^*M(0^k, 1^l)$ is a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads. Since this map is identified with a product of copies of the maps $M(i,j)^{\otimes} \to M(i)^{\otimes} \times_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}} M(j)^{\otimes}$, the theorem follows from the assumption.

4.3. Multiplicative structures on bi(co)modules. In this subsection we study multiplicative structures on bi(co)modules. We will construct an ∞ -category of bimodules in a bimodule object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{oplax}}$, and show that it has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category. By taking opposites, we also show that an ∞ -category of bicomodules has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category.

We recall that $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{oplax}}$ is the ∞ -category of small \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -categories and oplax \mathcal{O} monoidal functors. We suppose that $X \in \operatorname{Mon}_{BM}(\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{oplax}})$ is a BM-monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{oplax}}$. We set $X_M = X(0, 1)$. By Remarks 3.5 and 4.4, we can regard X as a mixed (**BM**, \mathcal{O})-duoidal ∞ -category

$$X \longrightarrow \mathbf{BM}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}.$$

By restriction, we obtain a cartesian fibration of opposite ∞ -operads $X_M \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$, and mixed (Ass, \mathcal{O})-duoidal ∞ -categories $X_L \to \mathbf{Ass}_L^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$ and $X_R \to \mathbf{Ass}_R^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$.

Applying Proposition 3.8 to the $(\mathbf{BM}, \mathcal{O})$ -duoidal ∞ -category X, we obtain a cartesian fibration of opposite ∞ -operads

$$p: \operatorname{BMod}(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}},$$

where $\operatorname{BMod}(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee} = \operatorname{Alg}_{\operatorname{BM}/\operatorname{\mathbf{BM}}^{\otimes}}(X)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$. The map p gives $\operatorname{BMod}(X_M)$ the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category. Applying Proposition 3.8 to the mixed (Ass, \mathcal{O})-duoidal ∞ -categories X_L and X_R , we also obtain a cartesian fibration of opposite ∞ -operads

$$q: \operatorname{Alg}(X_L)^{\boxtimes, \vee} \times_{\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}} \operatorname{Alg}(X_R)^{\boxtimes, \vee} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}},$$

where $\operatorname{Alg}(X_v)^{\boxtimes,\vee} = \operatorname{Alg}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Ass}}_v^{\otimes}/\operatorname{\mathbf{BM}}^{\otimes}}(X)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$ for v = L, R. The naturality of constructions induces a commutative diagram



Proposition 4.7. The map π : BMod $(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \operatorname{Alg}(X_L)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \times_{\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}} \operatorname{Alg}(X_R)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$ is a cartesian fibration of opposite ∞ -operads.

Proof. By [7, Proposition 5.45], it suffices to show that (1) $\pi_x : \operatorname{BMod}(X_M)_x^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \operatorname{Alg}(X_L)_x^{\boxtimes,\vee} \times \operatorname{Alg}(X_R)_x^{\boxtimes,\vee}$ is a cartesian fibration for any $x \in \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}$, (2) $\pi : \operatorname{BMod}(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \operatorname{Alg}(X_L)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \times_{\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}}$, $\operatorname{Alg}(X_R)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$ carries *p*-cartesian edges to *q*-cartesian edges, and (3) for any map $\phi : x \to y$ in $\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}$, the induced map $\phi^* : \operatorname{BMod}(X_M)_y^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \operatorname{BMod}(X_M)_x^{\boxtimes,\vee}$ carries π_y -cartesian edges to π_x -cartesian edges.

For (1), the map π_x is equivalent to the projection map $\operatorname{BMod}(M_x) \to \operatorname{Alg}(X_{L,x}) \times \operatorname{Alg}(X_{R,x})$ which is a cartesian fibration. For (2), we let $f:(a,m,b) \to (c,n,d)$ be a cartesian edge of $\operatorname{BMod}(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$ over $\phi: x \to y$ in $\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}$. We may assume that $x \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\phi: y \to x$ is active in \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes} . We suppose that $y \in \mathcal{O}_I^{\boxtimes}$ and take a set of inert morphisms $\{y \to y_i | i \in |I|\}$ over $\{\rho_i: I \to \{i\} | i \in |I|\}$. Since f is a cartesian edge, it induces an equivalence $(a,m,b) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \boxtimes_{\phi}(c_i,n_i,d_i)$ in $\operatorname{BMod}(X_M)_x^{\boxtimes,\vee}$, where $(c_i,n_i,d_i) \to (c,n,d)$ is a cartesian edge over $y_i \to y$. This implies that there is an equivalence $a \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \boxtimes_{\phi}(c_i)$ in $\operatorname{Alg}(X_L)_x^{\boxtimes,\vee}$, and $b \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \boxtimes_{\phi}(d_i)$ in $\operatorname{Alg}(X_R)_x^{\boxtimes,\vee}$. This means that π preserves cartesian edges. For (3), we may assume that $x \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\phi: y \to x$ is active in \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes} . Then, we have equivalences $\operatorname{BMod}(X_M)_y^{\boxtimes,\vee} \simeq \prod_{i \in |I|} \operatorname{BMod}((X_M)_{y_i})$ and $\operatorname{BMod}(X_M)_x^{\boxtimes,\vee} \simeq \operatorname{BMod}((X_M)_x)$. Let $(f,h,g):(a,m,b) \to (c,n,d)$ be a π_y -cartesian edge of $\operatorname{BMod}(X_M)_x^{\boxtimes,\vee}$. Under the equivalence $\operatorname{BMod}(X_M)_y^{\boxtimes,\vee} \simeq \prod_{i \in |I|} \operatorname{BMod}((X_M)_{y_i})$, we regard (f,h,g) as a product of (f_i,h_i,g_i) , where $(f_i,h_i,g_i):(a_i,m_i,b_i) \to (c_i,n_i,d_i)$ is a π_{y_i} -cartesian edge in $\operatorname{BMod}(X_M)_{y_i}^{\boxtimes,\vee} \simeq \operatorname{BMod}((X_M)_{y_i})$. The functor ϕ^* takes (f,h,g) to $\boxtimes_{\phi}(f_i,h_i,g_i):$ $\boxtimes_{\phi}(a_i,m_i,b_i) \to \boxtimes_{\phi}(c_i,n_i,d_i)$. Since $\boxtimes_{\phi}(f_i,h_i,g_i)$ induces an equivalence $\boxtimes_{\phi}h_i: \boxtimes_{\phi}(m_i) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} (\boxtimes_{\phi}(m_i))(\boxtimes_{\phi}(g_i))^*$, we see that $\boxtimes_{\phi}(f_i,h_i,g_i)$ is a π_x -cartesian edge.

Let $\Gamma(v) \in \text{Bialg}_{(\mathbf{Ass},\mathcal{O})}(X_v)$ be an $(\mathbf{Ass},\mathcal{O})$ -bialgebra object of the mixed $(\mathbf{Ass},\mathcal{O})$ -duoidal ∞ -category X_v for v = L, R. By Theorem 3.13, this determines a map

$$(\Gamma(L), \Gamma(R)) : \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}(X_L)^{\boxtimes, \vee} \times_{\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Alg}(X_R)^{\boxtimes, \vee}$$

of opposite ∞ -operads over $\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$. We consider the following pullback diagram

By Proposition 4.7, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. Let X be a BM-monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{oplax}}$. For $(\operatorname{Ass}, \mathcal{O})$ -bialgebra objects $\Gamma(v)$ of the mixed $(\operatorname{Ass}, \mathcal{O})$ -duoidal ∞ -categories X_v for v = L, R, the map $_{\Gamma(L)}\operatorname{BMod}_{\Gamma(R)}(X_M)^{\boxtimes, \vee} \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}$ is a cartesian fibration of opposite ∞ -operads, and hence it gives $_{\Gamma(L)}\operatorname{BMod}_{\Gamma(R)}(X_M)$ the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category. Furthermore, the forgetful functor $_{\Gamma(L)} \operatorname{BMod}_{\Gamma(R)}(X_M) \to X_M$ is strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal.

Proof. By Proposition 4.7, the right vertical arrow π in (4.2) is a cartesian fibration. Thus, so is the left vertical arrow. We see that the forgetful functor preserves cartesian edges over $\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}$. \Box

Next, we consider a dual situation of the above. Let Y be a BM-monoid object of $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax}}$. We regard it as a mixed $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{BM})$ -duoidal ∞ -category $Y \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes} \times \mathbf{BM}^{\otimes, \operatorname{op}}$ by Remark 3.5. By Proposition 3.6, the opposite $Y^{\operatorname{op}} \to \mathbf{BM}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}$ has the structure of a mixed $(\mathbf{BM}, \mathcal{O})$ -duoidal ∞ category. We obtain a cartesian fibration $_{\Gamma(L)} \operatorname{BMod}_{\Gamma(R)}(Y_M^{\operatorname{op}})^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}$ of opposite ∞ -operads by Theorem 4.8, where $\Gamma(L)$ and $\Gamma(R)$ are $(\mathbf{Ass}, \mathcal{O})$ -bialgebra objects of the mixed $(\mathbf{Ass}, \mathcal{O})$ -duoidal ∞ -categories Y_L^{op} and Y_R^{op} , respectively. We can regard $\Gamma(L)$ and $\Gamma(R)$ as $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebra objects of the mixed $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -duoidal ∞ -categories Y_L and Y_R , respectively. Roughly speaking, $\Gamma(v)$ is an object of Y_v for v = L, R equipped with an associative coproduct and a counit

$$\Gamma(v)_x \longrightarrow \Gamma(v)_x \otimes_x \Gamma(v)_x, \qquad \Gamma(v)_x \to 1_x$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$, and an \mathcal{O} -monoidal product

$$\boxtimes_{\phi} : \Gamma(v)_x \longrightarrow \Gamma(v)_y$$

for each active morphism $\phi: x \to y$ of \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} that are compatible in suitable sense.

Definition 4.9. We define a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads

$$_{\Gamma(L)}\operatorname{Bicomod}_{\Gamma(R)}(Y_M)^{\boxtimes} \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes},$$

to be the opposite of the map $_{\Gamma(L)} \operatorname{BMod}_{\Gamma(R)}(Y_M^{\operatorname{op}})^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}$. We call $_{\Gamma(L)}\operatorname{Bicomod}_{\Gamma(R)}(Y_M)$ the ∞ -category of $\Gamma(L)$ - $\Gamma(R)$ -bicomodules of Y_M .

Roughly speaking, an object of $_{\Gamma(L)}$ Bicomod $_{\Gamma(R)}(Y_M)$ is an object M_x of $(Y_M)_x$ for some $x \in \mathcal{O}$ equipped with a coaction map

$$M_x \longrightarrow \Gamma(L)_x \otimes_x M_x \otimes_x \Gamma(R)_x$$

that is coassociative and counital up to higher coherent homotopy.

In summary we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.10. Let Y be a BM-monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax}}$. For $(\mathcal{O}, \operatorname{Ass})$ -bialgebra objects $\Gamma(L)$ and $\Gamma(R)$ of the mixed $(\mathcal{O}, \operatorname{Ass})$ -duoidal ∞ -categories Y_L and Y_R , respectively, the ∞ -category $\Gamma(L)$ Bicomod $_{\Gamma(R)}(Y_M)$ of $\Gamma(L)$ - $\Gamma(R)$ -bicomodules in Y_M has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ category such that the forgetful functor $_{\Gamma(L)}$ Bicomod $_{\Gamma(R)}(Y_M) \to Y_M$ is strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal.

4.4. Bicomodules in $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -monoidal ∞ -categories. In this subsection we start with an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{M} and $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -algebras A, B in \mathcal{M} , and construct a BM-monoid object ${}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{lax}}$ such that ${}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})(0,1) \simeq {}_{A}\mathrm{BMod}_{B}(\mathcal{M})$. By applying Corollary 4.10 to ${}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})$, we obtain an \mathcal{O} -monoidal structure on the ∞ -category of bicomodules in \mathcal{M} .

In order to construct the desired BM-monoid object ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})$, we need a good tensor product with respect to geometric realizations. We denote by $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$ the subcategory of $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}$ spanned by ∞ -categories which have geometric realizations, and functors which preserve them. Since $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$ has finite products, we can consider the ∞ -category $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{geo}} = \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}})$ of \mathcal{O} -monoid objects in $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$. We can also consider $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax},\operatorname{geo}} = \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}})$ the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ categories and lax \mathcal{O} -monoidal functors in $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$.

We apply Theorem 4.6 to the following situation: Let \mathcal{M} be an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -monoid object of $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$. Roughly speaking, the ∞ -category \mathcal{M} has two monoidal tensor products \otimes and \boxtimes , where

 \otimes gives an **Ass**-monoidal structure and \boxtimes gives an \mathcal{O} -monoidal structure. They are compatible in the sense that the functors

$$\otimes: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}, \qquad 1_{\otimes}: [0] \to \mathcal{M}$$

are strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal. Furthermore, the ∞ -category \mathcal{M}_x has geometric realizations for each $x \in \mathcal{O}$, and the monoidal tensor products \otimes and \boxtimes preserve geometric realizations separately in each variable.

We regard \mathcal{M} as an object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\operatorname{Ass}}(\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{geo}})$ by Lemma 2.4. We can construct a category object $\operatorname{ALG}(\mathcal{M}) \in \operatorname{Mon}_{\operatorname{Ass}}^{\operatorname{gen}}(\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{geo}})$ such that $\operatorname{ALG}(\mathcal{M})([0]) \simeq \operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\operatorname{ALG}(\mathcal{M})([1]) \simeq$ $\operatorname{BMod}(\mathcal{M})$ by [7, Lemma 4.19 and Theorem 4.39]. By taking a pullback along the projection map $\operatorname{BM} \to \Delta^{\operatorname{op}} = \operatorname{Ass}^{\otimes}$, we obtain a generalized BM-monoid object $\mathbb{BM}(\mathcal{M})$ of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{geo}}$ such that $\mathbb{BM}(\mathcal{M})(i,j) \simeq \operatorname{BMod}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathbb{BM}(\mathcal{M})(i) \simeq \operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{M})$. We notice that there is an equivalence $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq \operatorname{Alg}_{(\mathcal{O} \times \operatorname{Ass})}(\mathcal{M})$ of ∞ -categories by Proposition 2.6.

Proposition 4.11. Let \mathcal{M} be an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -monoid object of $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$. For any $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -algebra objects A, B in \mathcal{M} , there is a BM-monoid object ${}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax},\operatorname{geo}}$ such that

$${}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})(0,0) \simeq {}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathrm{Mod}_{A}(\mathcal{M}), \quad {}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})(1,1) \simeq {}_{B}\mathrm{BMod}_{B}(\mathcal{M}),$$
$${}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})(0,1) \simeq {}_{A}\mathrm{BMod}_{B}(\mathcal{M}).$$

In order to prove Proposition 4.11, we make some preliminaries. We denote by

a

$$p: \mathrm{BMod}(\mathcal{M})^{\boxtimes} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes}$$

a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads which gives $ALG(\mathcal{M})([1]) \simeq BMod(\mathcal{M})$ the structure of the \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category. We also denote by

$$: \operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{M})^{\boxtimes} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes}$$

a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads which gives $ALG(\mathcal{M})([0]) \simeq Alg(\mathcal{M})$ the structure of the \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category. We have a commutative diagram



where π is induced by the inert morphisms $[0] \rightarrow [1]$ in Δ .

The following lemma can be proved by a dual argument to the proof of Proposition 4.7 by using the fact that the tensor product \boxtimes preserves geometric realizations separately in each variable.

Lemma 4.12. The map $\pi : \operatorname{BMod}(\mathcal{M})^{\boxtimes} \to \operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{M})^{\boxtimes} \times_{\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes}} \operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{M})^{\boxtimes}$ is a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads.

Proof of Proposition 4.11. This follows from Theorem 4.6 and Lemma 4.12.

We can regard the BM-monoid object ${}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax,geo}}$ as a mixed $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{BM})$ -duoidal ∞ -category by Remark 3.5. Let Γ and Σ be $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebra objects of the $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -duoidal ∞ -categories ${}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})_{L}$ and ${}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})_{R}$, respectively. We notice that Γ and Σ correspond to $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebras of ${}_{A}\mathbb{B}\operatorname{Mod}_{A}(\mathcal{M})$ and ${}_{B}\mathbb{B}\operatorname{Mod}_{B}(\mathcal{M})$, respectively.

Definition 4.13. We write

$$_{(A,\Gamma)}\operatorname{Bicomod}_{(B,\Sigma)}(\mathcal{M})^{\boxtimes}\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes}$$

for the cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads ${}_{\Gamma}BMod_{\Sigma}({}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})_{M})^{\boxtimes} \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes}$, and call it the ∞ category of (A, Γ) - (B, Σ) -bicomodules of \mathcal{M} .

By applying Corollary 4.10 to ${}_{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_{B}(\mathcal{M})$, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.14. Let \mathcal{M} be an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -monoid object of $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$, and let A, B be $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -algebra objects of \mathcal{M} . For $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebras Γ in ${}_{A}\operatorname{BMod}_{A}(\mathcal{M})$ and Σ in ${}_{B}\operatorname{BMod}_{B}(\mathcal{M})$, the ∞ -category ${}_{(A,\Gamma)}\operatorname{Bicomod}_{(A,\Sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$ of (A,Γ) - (B,Σ) -bicomodules of \mathcal{M} has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category in $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$ such that the forgetful functor ${}_{(A,\Gamma_{L})}\operatorname{Bicomod}_{(B,\Gamma_{R})}(\mathcal{M}) \to {}_{A}\operatorname{BMod}_{B}(\mathcal{M})$ is strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal.

For an active morphism $\phi : x \to y$ in \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes} with $y \in \mathcal{O}$, we denote by $({}_A\boxtimes_B)_{\phi} : {}_ABMod_B(\mathcal{M})_x \to {}_ABMod_B(\mathcal{M})_y$ the tensor product associated to ϕ . For $M_x \in {}_{(A,\Gamma)}Bicomod_{(B,\Sigma)}(\mathcal{M})_x$, roughly speaking, the bicomodule structure on the tensor product $({}_A\boxtimes_B)_{\phi}(M_x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_{A}\boxtimes_{B})_{\phi}(M_{x}) &\longrightarrow & ({}_{A}\boxtimes_{B})_{\phi}(\Gamma_{x}\otimes_{A_{x}}M_{x}\otimes_{B_{x}}\Sigma_{x}) \\ &\longrightarrow & ({}_{A}\boxtimes_{A})_{\phi}(\Gamma_{x})\otimes_{A_{y}}({}_{A}\boxtimes_{B})_{\phi}(M_{x})\otimes_{B_{y}}({}_{B}\boxtimes_{B})_{\phi}(\Sigma_{x}) \\ &\longrightarrow & \Gamma_{y}\otimes_{A_{y}}({}_{A}\boxtimes_{B})_{\phi}(M_{x})\otimes_{B_{y}}\Sigma_{y}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first arrow is induced by the bicomodule structure on M_x , the second arrow is an interchange law of the BM-monoid object ${}_{A}\mathbb{BM}_{B}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax,geo}}$ in Proposition 4.11, and the third arrow is given by the multiplication maps of Γ and Σ .

An important case is when \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes} is the product $(\mathbf{Ass}^{\otimes})^n$ of *n*-copies of \mathbf{Ass}^{\otimes} which is an ∞ operad over the perfect operator category $(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\operatorname{op}})^n$. The symmetrization of $(\mathbf{Ass}^{\otimes})^n$ is equivalent
to the little *n*-cubes operad \mathbb{E}_n^{\otimes} , and hence \mathbf{Ass}^n -algebra objects describe \mathbb{E}_n -algebra objects in a
symmetric ∞ -operad (cf. [7, Appendix A]).

Corollary 4.15. Let \mathcal{M} be an Ass^{n+1} -monoid object of $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$ and let A, B be Ass^{n+1} -algebra objects of \mathcal{M} . For $(\operatorname{Ass}^n, \operatorname{Ass})$ -bialgebras Γ of ${}_A\operatorname{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ and Σ of ${}_B\operatorname{BMod}_B(\mathcal{M})$, the ∞ -category ${}_{(A,\Gamma)}\operatorname{Bicomod}_{(B,\Sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$ of (A,Γ) - (B,Σ) -bicomodules of \mathcal{M} has the structure of an Ass^n -monoidal ∞ -category in $\operatorname{Cat}_{\mathbb{goo}}^{\operatorname{geo}}$ such that the forgetful functor ${}_{(A,\Gamma)}\operatorname{Bicomod}_{(B,\Sigma)}(\mathcal{M}) \to {}_A\operatorname{BMod}_B(\mathcal{M})$ is strong Ass^n -monoidal.

5. Multiplicative structures on right comodules

In this section we study multiplicative structures on right comodules over bialgebras. The left comodule case can be treated by duality. Let \mathcal{M} be an $(\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathcal{O})$ -monoid object of $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{geo}}$, and let A be an $(\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathcal{O})$ -monoid object of \mathcal{M} . First, we will construct an RM-monoid object of $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{lax},\mathrm{geo}}$. Its underlying object is $\mathrm{RMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ which is right tensored over ${}_A\mathrm{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$. Furthermore, we will introduce right (A, Γ) -comodules for $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebra Γ in ${}_A\mathrm{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$. The goal of this section is to show that the ∞ -category of right (A, Γ) -comodules has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category (Theorem 5.14).

5.1. An approximation of the ∞ -operad of right modules. In this subsection we recall a nonsymmetric generalized ∞ -operad RM whose operadic localization is the nonsymmetric ∞ operad \mathbf{RM}^{\otimes} of right modules. After that, we introduce RM-monoid objects and generalized RM-monoid objects.

Definition 5.1 (cf. [6, Definitions 7.1.1 and 7.1.6, and Proposition 7.1.7]). We let RM be the full subcategory of BM spanned by objects $(0, 1^n)$ and $(1, 1^n)$ for $n \ge 0$. The projection RM $\rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$ gives it the structure of a nonsymmetric generalized ∞ -operad. Let $\mathbf{RM}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$ be the nonsymmetric ∞ -operad of right modules. There is a map of nonsymmetric generalized ∞ -operads $L_{\text{RM}} : \text{RM} \rightarrow \mathbf{RM}^{\otimes}$ which exhibits \mathbf{RM}^{\otimes} as the operadic localization of RM.

We will introduce algebraic patterns RM^{\flat} and RM^{\natural} . We note that since the map $\mathrm{RM} \to \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a cocartesian fibration, we can lift the (inert, active) factorization system on Δ^{op} to RM by [10, Proposition 2.1.2.5].

Definition 5.2. We define RM^{\flat} and RM^{\natural} to be algebraic patterns in which $\text{RM}^{\flat,\text{el}}$ is spanned by (0, 1), (1, 1), and $\text{RM}^{\natural,\text{el}}$ is spanned by (1), (0, 1), (1, 1), respectively.

We introduce RM-monoid objects and generalized RM-monoid objects.

Definition 5.3. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category with finite products, and let $X : \mathrm{RM} \to \mathcal{C}$ be a functor. We say that X is an RM-monoid object of \mathcal{C} if it is an RM^{\flat} -Segal object. We denote by $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathrm{RM}}(\mathcal{C})$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{RM}, \mathcal{C})$ spanned by RM-monoid objects.

When C has finite limits, we say that X is a generalized RM-monoid object of C if it is an RM^{\natural}-Segal object. We denote by Mon^{gen}_{RM}(C) the full subcategory of Fun(RM, C) spanned by generalized RM-monoid objects.

Remark 5.4. As in the case of the ∞ -operad of bimodules, since \mathbf{RM}^{\otimes} is the operadic localization of RM, the localization functor L_{RM} induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathbf{RM}}(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathbf{RM}}(\mathcal{C})$ for any ∞ -category \mathcal{C} with finite products.

Now, we study a relationship between (generalized) RM-monoid objects and (generalized) BM-monoid objects. We denote by $*Mon_{BM}(\mathcal{C})$ and $*Mon_{BM}^{gen}(\mathcal{C})$ the full subcategories of $Mon_{BM}(\mathcal{C})$ and $Mon_{BM}^{gen}(\mathcal{C})$ spanned by those functors such that the restriction to Ass_L^{\otimes} has values in final objects of \mathcal{C} , respectively. The inclusion map $RM \to BM$ induces functors $*Mon_{BM}(\mathcal{C}) \to Mon_{RM}(\mathcal{C})$ and $*Mon_{BM}^{gen}(\mathcal{C}) \to Mon_{RM}(\mathcal{C})$ by restriction. We will show that they give equivalences of ∞ -categories.

Lemma 5.5. The functors $*Mon_{BM}(\mathcal{C}) \to Mon_{RM}(\mathcal{C})$ and $*Mon_{BM}^{gen}(\mathcal{C}) \to Mon_{RM}^{gen}(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalences of ∞ -categories.

Proof. We obtain a functor $\operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{RM}, \mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{BM}, \mathcal{C})$ by the right Kan extension along the inclusion $\operatorname{RM} \to \operatorname{BM}$, which restricts to functors $\operatorname{Mon}_{\operatorname{RM}}(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{*Mon}_{\operatorname{BM}}^{\operatorname{gen}}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\operatorname{Mon}_{\operatorname{RM}}^{\operatorname{gen}}(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{*Mon}_{\operatorname{BM}}^{\operatorname{gen}}(\mathcal{C})$. We can verify that they are inverses of $\operatorname{*Mon}_{\operatorname{BM}}(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Mon}_{\operatorname{RM}}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\operatorname{*Mon}_{\operatorname{BM}}^{\operatorname{gen}}(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Mon}_{\operatorname{RM}}^{\operatorname{gen}}(\mathcal{C})$, respectively.

5.2. Looping construction of right modules. Let C be an ∞ -category with finite limits. We consider a generalized RM-monoid object $M : \text{RM} \to C$. For a morphism $A : * \to M(1)$, we set

 $N(0,1) = M(0,1) \times_{M(1)} \{A\}, \qquad N(1,1) = \{A\} \times_{M(1)} M(1,1) \times_{M(1)} \{A\}.$

The following proposition can be proved in a similar manner to Proposition 4.5.

Proposition 5.6. Let C be an ∞ -category with finite limits, and let $M : \mathrm{RM} \to C$ be a generalized RM-monoid object of C. For any morphism $A : * \to M(1)$, there is an RM-monoid object $M_A \in \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathrm{RM}}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $M_A(0,1) \simeq N(0,1)$ and $M_A(1,1) \simeq N(1,1)$.

If $M \in \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathrm{RM}}^{\mathrm{gen}}(\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}})$, then we can consider the ∞ -category $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(M(1))$ of \mathcal{O} -algebra objects in $M(1) \in \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}$. The following theorem can be proved in a similar manner to Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 5.7. Let $M \in \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathrm{RM}}^{\mathrm{gen}}(\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}})$ be a generalized RM-monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}$, and let $A \in \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(M(1))$. If $M(0,1)^{\otimes} \to M(1)^{\otimes}$ and $M(1,1)^{\otimes} \to M(1)^{\otimes} \times_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}} M(1)^{\otimes}$ are cocartesian fibrations of ∞ -operads, then M_A is an RM-monoid object in $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{lax}}$.

16

5.3. Multiplicative structures on right (co)modules. In this subsection we study multiplicative structures on right (co)modules. We will construct an ∞ -category of right modules in a right module object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{oplax}}$, and show that it has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category. By taking opposites, we also show that an ∞ -category of right comodules has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category.

We suppose that X is an RM-monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{oplax}}$. We set $X_M = X(0, 1)$. By Remarks 3.5 and 5.4, we regard X as a mixed (**RM**, \mathcal{O})-duoidal ∞ -category

$$X \longrightarrow \mathbf{RM}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \mathrm{op}}.$$

As in the case of a mixed $(\mathbf{BM}, \mathcal{O})$ -duoidal ∞ -category in §4.3, we obtain a map of opposite ∞ -operads

$$\pi: \mathrm{RMod}(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}(X_R)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$$

over $\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\mathrm{op}}$, where $\operatorname{RMod}(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee} = \operatorname{Alg}_{\operatorname{RM}/\operatorname{\mathbf{RM}}}(X)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$ and $\operatorname{Alg}(X_R)^{\boxtimes,\vee} = \operatorname{Alg}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Ass}}/\operatorname{\mathbf{RM}}}(X)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$. We have the following proposition which is an analogue of Proposition 4.7.

Proposition 5.8. The map $\pi : \operatorname{RMod}(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \operatorname{Alg}(X_R)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$ is a cartesian fibration of opposite ∞ -operads.

Proof. We regard X as an **RM**-monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{oplax}}$ by Remark 5.4. Let \overline{X} be a **BM**-monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{oplax}}$ obtained by the right Kan extension of X along the inclusion $\operatorname{\mathbf{RM}}^{\otimes} \to \operatorname{\mathbf{BM}}^{\otimes}$. We notice that the restriction of \overline{X} to $\operatorname{\mathbf{RM}}^{\otimes}$ is equivalent to X, and that the restriction to $\operatorname{\mathbf{Ass}}_{L}^{\otimes}$ is a final object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{oplax}}$). By Proposition 4.7, we have a cartesian fibration of opposite ∞ -operads $\operatorname{BMod}(\overline{X}_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \operatorname{Alg}(\overline{X}_L)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \times_{\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}} \operatorname{Alg}(\overline{X}_R)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$. The proposition follows by observing that there are equivalences $\operatorname{BMod}(\overline{X}_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \simeq \operatorname{RMod}(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$ and $\operatorname{Alg}(\overline{X}_L)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \simeq \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}$.

Let $\Gamma \in \text{Bialg}_{(\mathbf{Ass},\mathcal{O})}(X_R)$ be an $(\mathbf{Ass},\mathcal{O})$ -bialgebra object of the mixed $(\mathbf{Ass},\mathcal{O})$ -duoidal ∞ category X_R . This determines a map of opposite ∞ -operads $\Gamma : \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \text{op}} \to \text{Alg}(X_R)^{\boxtimes, \vee}$ over $\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \text{op}}$. We consider the following pullback diagram

$$\operatorname{RMod}_{\Gamma}(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \longrightarrow \operatorname{RMod}(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee}$$

$$\downarrow^{\pi}$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \operatorname{Alg}(X_R)^{\boxtimes,\vee}.$$

The following theorem can be proved in a similar manner to Theorem 4.8.

Theorem 5.9. The map $\operatorname{RMod}_{\Gamma}(X_M)^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes,\operatorname{op}}$ is a cartesian fibration of opposite ∞ -operads, and hence it gives $\operatorname{RMod}_{\Gamma}(X_M)$ the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category. Furthermore, the forgetful functor $\operatorname{RMod}_{\Gamma}(X_M) \to X_M$ is strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal.

Next, we consider a dual situation of the above. Let Y be an RM-monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax}}$. We regard it as a mixed $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{RM})$ -duoidal ∞ -category $Y \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes} \times \mathbf{RM}^{\otimes, \operatorname{op}}$ by Remark 3.5. By Proposition 3.6, the opposite $Y^{\operatorname{op}} \to \mathbf{RM}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}$ has the structure of a mixed $(\mathbf{RM}, \mathcal{O})$ -duoidal ∞ -category. By Theorem 5.9, we obtain a cartesian fibration $\operatorname{RMod}_{\Gamma}(Y_M^{\operatorname{op}})^{\boxtimes, \vee} \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes, \operatorname{op}}$ of opposite ∞ -operads, where Γ is an $(\mathbf{Ass}, \mathcal{O})$ -bialgebra object of the mixed $(\mathbf{Ass}, \mathcal{O})$ -duoidal ∞ -category Y_R^{op} . We can regard Γ as an $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebra object of the mixed $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -duoidal ∞ -category Y_R .

Definition 5.10. We define a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads

$$\operatorname{Rcomod}_{\Gamma}(Y_M)^{\boxtimes} \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes}$$

to be the opposite of the map $\operatorname{RMod}_{\Gamma}(Y_M^{\operatorname{op}})^{\boxtimes,\vee} \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes}$. We call $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{\Gamma}(Y_M)$ the ∞ -category of right Γ -comodules of Y_M .

Roughly speaking, an object of $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{\Gamma}(Y_M)$ is an object M_x of $(Y_M)_x$ for some $x \in \mathcal{O}$ equipped with a coaction map

$$M_x \longrightarrow M_x \otimes_x \Gamma_x$$

that is coassociative and counital up to higher coherent homotopy.

In summary we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.11. Let Y be an RM-monoid object of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax}}$. For an $(\mathcal{O}, \operatorname{Ass})$ -bialgebra object Γ of the mixed $(\mathcal{O}, \operatorname{Ass})$ -duoidal ∞ -category Y_R , the ∞ -category $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{\Gamma}(Y_M)$ of right Γ -comodules in Y_M has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category such that the forgetful functor $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{\Gamma}(Y_M) \to Y_M$ is strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal.

5.4. Right comodules in $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -monoidal ∞ -categories. In this subsection we start with an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{M} and an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -algebra A in \mathcal{M} , and construct a RMmonoid object $\mathbb{RM}_A(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{lax}}$ such that $\mathbb{RM}_A(\mathcal{M})(0,1) \simeq \mathrm{RMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$. By applying Corollary 5.11 to $\mathbb{RM}_A(\mathcal{M})$, we obtain an \mathcal{O} -monoidal structure on the ∞ -category of right comodules in \mathcal{M} .

We apply Theorem 5.7 to the following situation: We recall that for an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -monoid object \mathcal{M} of $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$, we can construct a generalized BM-monoid object $\mathbb{BM}(\mathcal{M})$ of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{geo}}$ such that $\mathbb{BM}(\mathcal{M})(i,j) \simeq \operatorname{BMod}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathbb{BM}(\mathcal{M})(i) \simeq \operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{M})$. Let RM' be a full subcategory of RM spanned by all objects except (0). We denote by $i : \operatorname{RM}' \to \operatorname{BM}$ the inclusion functor. We set $*\mathbb{BM}(\mathcal{M}) = i_*i^*\mathbb{BM}(\mathcal{M})$, where $i^* : \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{BM}, \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{geo}}) \to \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{RM}', \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{geo}})$ is the restriction, and $i_* : \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{RM}', \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{geo}}) \to \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{BM}, \operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{geo}})$ is its right adjoint given by the right Kan extension along i. We can verify that $*\mathbb{BM}(\mathcal{M})$ is an object of $*\operatorname{Mon}_{\operatorname{BM}}^{\operatorname{geo}}$. By Lemma 5.5, we obtain a generalized RM-monoid object $\mathbb{RM}(\mathcal{M})$ of $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{geo}}$ such that $\mathbb{RM}(\mathcal{M})(0,1) \simeq \operatorname{RMod}(\mathcal{M})$, $\mathbb{RM}(\mathcal{M})(1,1) \simeq \operatorname{BMod}(\mathcal{M})$, and $\mathbb{RM}(\mathcal{M})(1) \simeq \operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{M})$.

The following proposition can be proved in a similar manner to Proposition 4.11.

Proposition 5.12. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Mon}_{(\mathcal{O} \times \operatorname{Ass})}(\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}})$. For any $(\mathcal{O} \times \operatorname{Ass})$ -algebra A of \mathcal{M} , there is an RM-monoid object $\mathbb{RM}_A(\mathcal{M})$ in $\operatorname{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\operatorname{lax,geo}}$ such that $\mathbb{RM}_A(\mathcal{M})(0,1) \simeq \operatorname{RMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathbb{RM}_A(\mathcal{M})(1,1) \simeq A\operatorname{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$.

We can regard the RM-monoid object $\mathbb{RM}_A(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{lax},\mathrm{geo}}$ as a mixed $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{RM})$ -duoidal ∞ -category by Remark 3.5. Let Γ be an $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebra objects of the $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -duoidal ∞ -categories $\mathbb{RM}_A(\mathcal{M})_R$ We notice that Γ corresponds to an $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebra of ${}_A\mathrm{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$.

Definition 5.13. We write

$$\operatorname{Rcomod}_{(A,\Gamma)}(\mathcal{M})^{\boxtimes} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes}$$

for the cocartesian fibration of ∞ -operads $\operatorname{RMod}_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{RM}_A(\mathcal{M})_M)^{\boxtimes} \to \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes}$, and call it the ∞ -category of right (A, Γ) -comodules of \mathcal{M} .

In summary, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.14. Let \mathcal{M} be an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -monoid object of $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$, and let A be an $(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbf{Ass})$ -algebra object of \mathcal{M} . For an $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Ass})$ -bialgebra Γ in ${}_{A}\operatorname{BMod}_{A}(\mathcal{M})$, the ∞ -category $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{(A,\Gamma)}(\mathcal{M})$ of right (A, Γ) -comodules of \mathcal{M} has the structure of an \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category such that the forgetful functor $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{(A,\Gamma)}(\mathcal{M}) \to \operatorname{RMod}_{A}(\mathcal{M})$ is strong \mathcal{O} -monoidal.

Corollary 5.15. Let \mathcal{M} be an Ass^{n+1} -monoid object in $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{geo}}$ and let A be an Ass^{n+1} -algebra object in \mathcal{M} . For an $(\operatorname{Ass}^n, \operatorname{Ass})$ -bialgebra Γ in ${}_A\operatorname{BMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$, the ∞ -category $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{(A,\Gamma)}(\mathcal{M})$ of right (A,Γ) -comodules of \mathcal{M} has the structure of an Ass^n -monoidal ∞ -category such that the forgetful functor $\operatorname{Rcomod}_{(A,\Gamma)}(\mathcal{M}) \to \operatorname{RMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is strong Ass^n -monoidal.

References

- J. F. Adams, On the structure and applications of the Steenrod algebra, Comment. Math. Helv. 32 (1958), 180–214.
- [2] J. F. Adams, On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one, Ann. of Math. (2) 72 (1960), 20-104.
- [3] M. Aguiar and S. Mahajan, Monoidal functors, species and Hopf algebras, CRM Monograph Series, 29. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [4] C. Barwick, From operator categories to higher operads, Geom. Topol. 22 (2018), no. 4, 1893–1959.
- [5] H. Chu and R. Haugseng, Homotopy-coherent algebra via Segal conditions, Adv. Math. 385 (2021), Paper No. 107733, 95 pp.
- [6] D. Gepner and R. Haugseng, Enriched ∞-categories via non-symmetric ∞-operads. Adv. Math. 279 (2015), 575–716.
- [7] R. Haugseng, The higher Morita category of \mathbb{E}_n -algebras, Geom. Topol. 21 (2017), no. 3, 1631–1730.
- [8] R. Haugseng, F. Hebestreit, S. Linskens, and J. Nuiten, Lax monoidal adjunctions, two-variable fibrations and the calculus of mates, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 127 (2023), no.4, 889–957.
- [9] J. Lurie, Higher topos theory, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 170. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [10] J. Lurie, Higher algebra, available at http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/.
- [11] H. R. Miller, D. C. Ravenel, and W. S. Wilson, Periodic phenomena in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, Ann. of Math. (2) 106 (1977), no. 3, 469–516.
- [12] J. Morava, Noetherian localisations of categories of cobordism comodules, Ann. of Math. (2) 121 (1985), no. 1, 1–39.
- [13] D. C. Ravenel, Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 121. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.
- [14] G. Stefanich, Higher sheaf theory I: Correspondences, preprint, arXiv:2011.03027.
- [15] R. Street, Monoidal categories in, and linking, geometry and algebra, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 19 (2012), no. 5, 769–821.
- [16] T. Torii, On Quasi-Categories of Comodules and Landweber Exactness, In: Ohsawa T., Minami N. (eds) Bousfield Classes and Ohkawa's Theorem. BouCla 2015. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol 309, 325–380. Springer, Singapore, 2020.
- [17] T. Torii, On duoidal ∞-categories, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. 20 (2025), no. 1, 125–162.
- [18] T. Torii, On higher monoidal ∞ -categories, preprint, arXiv:2111.00158.
- [19] T. Torii, Duoidal ∞ -categories of operadic modules, preprint, arXiv:2204.11152.
- [20] T. Torii, Map monoidales and duoidal ∞ -categories, preprint, arXiv:2406.00223.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA 700-8530, JAPAN *Email address*: torii@math.okayama-u.ac.jp