

# E-FRAME MULTIPLIERS

HASSAN HEDAYATIRAD AND TAYEBE LAL SHATERI

ABSTRACT. This article is dedicated to investigating some notions related to  $E$ -frames and multipliers.  $E$ -frames are a new generalization for the concept of frames for  $\mathcal{H}$ , where  $E$  is an infinite invertible complex matrix mapping on  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}$ . A Multiplier is an operator that combines (frame-like) analysis, multiplication with a fixed sequence, called the symbol, and synthesis. Firstly, we try to reintroduce the operators associated with  $E$ -frames in terms of the frame's analysis and synthesis operators, under certain conditions. Secondly, we define the notion of  $E$ -multiplier and investigate some results.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable Hilbert space. Recall that a sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if there exist positive constants  $A, B$  such that

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, \psi_k \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2 \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}). \quad (1.1)$$

If only the right inequality holds in (1.1), we say  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is Bessel and  $E$ -Bessel respectively. Associated to each Bessel sequence  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ , the synthesis (pre-frame) operator  $T_{\Psi} : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ;  $T_{\Psi} \{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \psi_k$  is well-defined and bounded. The adjoint operator of  $T$ , is called the analysis operator and is defined by  $T_{\Psi}^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ ;  $T_{\Psi}^* f = \{\langle f, \psi_k \rangle\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . The operator  $S = T_{\Psi} T_{\Psi}^*$  is the frame operator which is self adjoint, positive and invertible [4].

Consider an infinite invertible matrix mapping  $E$  on  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H} = \{\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|^2 < \infty\}$ . A sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is called an  $E$ -frame [9] if and only if  $E \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a well defined sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$  and there exist positive numbers  $A, B$  such that

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, (E \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2, \quad (1.2)$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C15; Secondary 54D55.

*Key words and phrases.*  $E$ -frame, Hilbert space, Multiplier,  $E$ -frame multiplier.

\*The corresponding author: t.shateri@hsu.ac.ir; t.shateri@gmail.com (Tayebe Lal Shateri).

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Similarly,  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is an  $E$ -Bessel sequence if and only if the synthesis (pre  $E$ -frame) operator  $T_E : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ;  $T_E \{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n (E \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)_n$  is well defined and bounded. The adjoint of  $T_E$  is defined by  $T_E^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ ;  $T_E^* f = \{\langle f, (E \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)_n \rangle\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Finally,  $S_E = T_E T_E^*$  is a self adjoint, positive and invertible operator which is called the  $E$ -frame operator [9].

For sequences  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and any sequence of complex numbers  $m = \{m_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  (called symbol), the operator  $M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}$ , given by

$$M_{m,\Psi,\Phi} f = \sum_{k=1}^\infty m_k \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \psi_k \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}), \quad (1.3)$$

is called a multiplier [1]. We say  $M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}$  is well defined on  $\mathcal{H}$  if the series in (1.3) converges for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . If  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty m_k \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \psi_k$  is unconditionally convergent, then we say  $M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}$  is unconditionally convergent. Depending on  $\Psi$ ,  $\Phi$  and  $m$ , the corresponding multiplier might not be well defined, it might be well defined but not unconditionally convergent and it might be unconditionally convergent [2].

In this paper, we first show that any infinite matrix mapping on  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}$  can be considered as an operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , under certain conditions. Using this, we reintroduce  $T_E$  and  $T_E^*$  in terms of  $T_\Psi$  and  $T_\Psi^*$  where  $\Psi$  is a fixed Bessel sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Secondly, we introduce the notion of an  $E$ -frame multiplier which is the extension of the concept of a multiplier for  $E$ -sequences and investigate some results about these multipliers.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this section,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{E} = \{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis.

**2.1.  $E$ -frame.** Now we want to check some conditions to transmute a matrix mapping on  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}$  into a matrix mapping on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

*Remark 2.1.1.* Let  $E$  be an infinite complex matrix mapping on  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}$  defined by

$$E : \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}; \quad E \{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty E_{n,k} f_k \right\}_{n=1}^\infty,$$

which satisfies

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^\infty |E_{n,k}|^2 < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Then  $E$  is bounded. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \|E\|^2 &= \sup_{\sum_k \|f_k\|^2 \leq 1} \|E \{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{\bigoplus \mathcal{H}}^2 = \sup_{\sum_k \|f_k\|^2 \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty E_{n,k} f_k \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{\sum_k \|f_k\|^2 \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty |E_{n,k}| \|f_k\| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{\sum_k \|f_k\|^2 \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^\infty |E_{n,k}|^2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|f_k\|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Now we use this to directly prove that  $\{E_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider an orthonormal basis  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  and for given  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , suppose that  $\{\delta_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$  defined by

$$\delta_{j,k} = \begin{cases} e_j & k = j \\ 0 & k \neq j. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\{\delta_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  belongs to  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}$ . Furthermore,

$$E \{\delta_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty E_{n,k} \delta_{j,k} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{E_{n,j} e_j\}_{n=1}^\infty.$$

Therefore, (2.2) implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty |E_{n,j}|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|E_{n,j} e_j\|^2 = \|\{E_{n,j} e_j\}_{n=1}^\infty\|_{\bigoplus \mathcal{H}}^2 = \|E \{\delta_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{\bigoplus \mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \|E\|. \quad (2.3)$$

2.3 shows that each columns of  $E$  belongs to  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . An analogous argument applying on  $E^*$  proves that  $\{E_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

Now we are going to define an operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  using  $E$ . we will denote it again by  $E$  and define it as follow

$$E : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) ; E \{c_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty E_{n,k} c_k \right\}_{n=1}^\infty.$$

The above discussion together with (2.1), make  $E$  well defined. Similarly, one can easily shows that

$$E^t \{c_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty E_{k,n} c_k \right\}_{n=1}^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{E} \{c_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \overline{E_{n,k}} c_k \right\}_{n=1}^\infty,$$

are well defined matrix operators on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

**Proposition 2.1.2.** *Let  $E$  be an infinite complex matrix mapping on  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}$  which satisfies (2.1). Suppose that  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}$  is an  $E$ -Bessel sequence. Then for each  $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , the series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_n E_{n,k} f_k$$

*is absolutely convergent.*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|c_n E_{n,k} f_k\| &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |E_{n,k}| \|f_k\| \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |E_{n,k}| \|f_k\| \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |E_{n,k}|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |E_{n,k}|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.1.3.** *Let  $E$  be an infinite complex matrix mapping on  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}$  which satisfies (2.1). Suppose that  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}$  is an  $E$ -Bessel sequence. Then*

(i) *For each sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ ,*

$$T_E \{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = T(E^t \{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}).$$

(ii) *For each  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,*

$$T_E^* f = \overline{E} T^* f.$$

*Proof.* Using Remark 2.1.1 and Proposition 2.1.2 and applying the Fubini's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_E \{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n (E \{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_n E_{n,k} f_k \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n E_{n,k} \right) f_k = T \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n E_{n,k} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = T (E^t \{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}),$$

where  $E^t$  is the transpose of  $E$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T_E^* f &= \left\{ \left\langle f, (E \{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \right\rangle \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left\{ \left\langle f, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{n,k} f_k \right\rangle \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{E_{n,k}} \langle f, f_k \rangle \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \\ &= \overline{E} \{ \langle f, f_k \rangle \}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \overline{E} T^* f. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a Bessel sequence and so  $\{\langle f, f_k \rangle\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  belongs to  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .  $\square$

## 2.2. E-multiplier.

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let  $E$  be an infinite complex matrix. We say a sequence  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is an  $E$ -sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$  if, its  $E$ -transform, the sequence

$$E\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{n,k} f_k \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},$$

is a well defined sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 2.2.2.** Consider two separable Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  and suppose that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are infinite complex matrices. For any  $E_1$ -sequence  $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $E_2$ -sequence  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_2$  and any sequence of complex number  $m = \{m_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  (we call it the symbol), the operator  $M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}^{(E_1,E_2)}$  from  $\mathcal{H}_1$  to  $\mathcal{H}_2$  defined by

$$M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}^{(E_1,E_2)} f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, (E_1 \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \rangle (E_2 \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n, \quad (2.5)$$

is a  $(E_1, E_2)$ -Multiplier.

Depending on  $m$ ,  $\Psi$  and  $\phi$ , the series in (2.5) might not converges for some  $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$ . If  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are  $E_1$ -Bessel and  $E_2$ -Bessel respectively and  $m \in \ell^{\infty}$ , then the convergence of the series in (2.5) is guaranteed for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$ . In the case  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$  and  $E_1 = E_2$ , we use  $M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}^E$  in notation.

**Theorem 2.2.3.** Suppose that  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  are dual frames for  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $E$  is any infinite diagonal complex matrix. Then the multipliers  $M_{1,\Psi,\Phi}^{\overline{E},E^{-1}}$ ,  $M_{1,\Phi,\Psi}^{\overline{E},E^{-1}}$ ,  $M_{1,\Psi,\Phi}^{E^{-1},\overline{E}}$  and  $M_{1,\Phi,\Psi}^{E^{-1},\overline{E}}$  are well defined. In fact, they are equal to  $Id_{\mathcal{H}}$ .

*Proof.* We just show that  $M_{1,\Phi,\Psi}^{\bar{E},E^{-1}} = Id_{\mathcal{H}}$ . The other cases will be proved analogously.

It is clear that  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are  $\bar{E}$ -sequence and  $E^{-1}$ -sequence respectively. Furthermore, for given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1,\Psi,\Phi}^{\bar{E},E^{-1}} f &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, (\bar{E} \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \rangle (E^{-1} \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, \bar{E}_{n,n} \phi_n \rangle E_{n,n}^{-1} \psi_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, \phi_n \rangle \psi_n = f. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.4.** *Let  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be an  $E$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that  $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a semi-normalized sequence of complex numbers. Then  $\{|m_k| \psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is an  $E$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* First note that  $0 < m = \inf_k |m_k| \leq \sup_k |m_k| = M < \infty$ . Hence, for given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, (E \{|m_k| \psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \rangle|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \left\langle f, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{n,k} |m_k| \psi_k \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq M^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, (E \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \rangle|^2 \leq M^2 B \|f\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B$  is the upper  $E$ -frame bound of  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . Similarly, an straightforward argument shows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, (E \{|m_k| \psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \rangle|^2 \geq m^2 A \|f\|^2,$$

where  $A$  is the lower  $E$ -frame bound of  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . □

**Theorem 2.2.5.** *Consider an infinite diagonal matrix  $E$  and an  $E$ -frame  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and suppose that  $m = \{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a positive (resp. negative) and semi-normalized sequence of complex numbers. Then  $M_{m,\Psi,\Psi}^E = S_E \{\sqrt{m_k} \psi_k\}$  (resp.  $M_{m,\Psi,\Psi}^E = -S_E \{\sqrt{|m_k|} \psi_k\}$ ) for the  $E$ -frame  $\{\sqrt{m_k} \psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  (resp.  $\{\sqrt{|m_k|} \psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ ) and is therefore invertible on  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the case  $m$  is positive. The other case is similar.

For given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{m,\Psi,\Psi}^E f &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, (E \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \rangle (E \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, \sqrt{m_n} E_{n,n} \psi_n \rangle \sqrt{m_n} E_{n,n} \psi_n \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, E_{n,n} \sqrt{m_n} \psi_n \rangle E_{n,n} \sqrt{m_n} \psi_n \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, (E \{\sqrt{m_k} \psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \rangle (E \{\sqrt{m_k} \psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n = S_E \{\sqrt{m_k} \psi_k\} f.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.2.6.** *Suppose that  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  are  $E$ -Riesz basis and  $E$ -sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$  respectively and  $m = \{m_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a symbol. Then  $M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}^E = UM_{m,\mathcal{E},E\Phi}$ , where  $U$  is a bijection on  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* First note that

$$(E\Psi)_n = \left( E \left\{ U \left( E^{-1} \{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \right)_k \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \right)_n = \left( UE \left\{ \left( E^{-1} \{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \right)_k \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \right)_n = Ue_n.$$

Thus for given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}^E f &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, (E\Phi)_n \rangle (E\Psi)_n \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, (E\Phi)_n \rangle Ue_n \\
&= U \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, (E\Phi)_n \rangle e_n \right) = UM_{m,\mathcal{E},E\Phi} f.
\end{aligned}$$

□

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}^E f &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, (E \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \rangle (E \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})_n \\
&= T_E (m_n U_E^* f) = T (E^t \{m_n (\overline{E} U^* f)\}).
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.2.7.** *Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $E = (E_{n,k})_{n,k \geq 1}$  an infinite complex diagonal matrix. Suppose that  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  are  $E$ -Bessel sequences for  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $m = \{m_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell^\infty$ . If the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{E_{n,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  belongs to  $\ell^\infty$ , then the multiplier operator for sequences  $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  with symbol  $m' = \{|\lambda_n|^2 m_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is well defined.*

*Proof.* The multiplier  $M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}^E$  is well defined. Now for given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}^E f &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, (E \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)_n \rangle (E \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, \lambda_n \phi_n \rangle \lambda_n \psi_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|^2 m_n \langle f, \phi_n \rangle \psi_n = M_{(|\lambda_n|^2 m_n), \Psi, \Phi} f. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.2.8.** *Consider two dual  $E$ -frames  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  where  $E$  is an infinite complex diagonal matrix. Then  $M_{m,\Psi,\Phi} = M_{m,\Phi,\Psi} = Id_{\mathcal{H}}$  with  $m = \{|E_{n,n}|^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$ .*

*Proof.* For given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{m,\Psi,\Phi} f &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |E_{n,n}|^2 \langle f, \phi_n \rangle \psi_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, E_{n,n} \phi_n \rangle E_{n,n} \psi_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, (E \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)_n \rangle (E \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)_n = f. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can easily shows that  $M_{m,\Phi,\Psi} = Id_{\mathcal{H}}$ .

□

**Example 2.2.9.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ . Then  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \{ke_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \{k^2 e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  are non-Bessel sequences. Now consider the invertible infinite matrix  $E$  as follow

$$E_{n,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n^2} & n = j, \\ 0 & n \neq j. \end{cases}$$



The matrix form of  $E$  is

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{9} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

An easy argument shows that  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  are  $E$ -Bessel sequences. Thus  $M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}^E$  is well defined for all  $m \in \ell^\infty$ . Suppose that  $m = 1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{m,\Psi,\Phi}^E f &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 \langle f, (E\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)_n \rangle (E\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f, \frac{1}{n^2} \phi_n \right\rangle \frac{1}{n^2} \psi_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} \langle f, \phi_n \rangle \psi_n = M_{(\frac{1}{n^4}),\Psi,\Phi} f \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n = M_{(\frac{1}{n}), (e_n), (e_n)} f. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.2.10.** *Suppose that  $E$  is an infinite complex diagonal matrix and  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  are two Bessel sequence which is not frame. Then the following holds.*

- (i) *If  $\Psi, \Phi$  are  $\|\cdot\|$ -semi-normalized and  $\{|E_{n,n}|^2\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell^\infty$  but not semi-normalized, then  $M_{1,\Psi,\Phi}^E$  and  $M_{1,\Phi,\Psi}^E$  are unconditionally convergent but not invertible.*
- (ii) *If  $\Psi$  is  $\|\cdot\|$ -semi-normalized,  $\Phi$  is non-norm bounded below and  $\{|E_{n,n}|^2\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell^\infty$  but not semi-normalized, then  $M_{1,\Psi,\Phi}^E$  and  $M_{1,\Phi,\Psi}^E$  are unconditionally convergent but not invertible.*
- (iii) *If  $\Psi, \Phi$  are non-norm bounded below and  $\{|E_{n,n}|^2\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell^\infty$  but not semi-normalized, then  $M_{1,\Psi,\Phi}^E$  and  $M_{1,\Phi,\Psi}^E$  are unconditionally convergent but not invertible.*

**Example 2.2.11.** Suppose that  $\Phi = \{e_2, e_3, e_4, \dots\}$  and let

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1,\Phi,\Phi}^E f &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, (E\Phi)_n \rangle (E\Phi)_n \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n, \end{aligned}$$

which is unconditionally convergent because  $\{\langle f, e_n \rangle\}_{n=2}^{\infty} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\{\frac{1}{n^2}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^{\infty}$  and  $\{e_n\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$  is Bessel. Clearly,  $M_{1,\Phi,\Phi}^E$  is not surjective, since there is no  $f$  such that  $M_{1,\Phi,\Phi}^E f = e_1$ .

**Example 2.2.12.** Suppose that  $\Phi = \{e_2, e_3, e_4, \dots\}$  and  $\Psi = \{\frac{1}{2}e_2, \frac{1}{3}e_3, \frac{1}{4}e_4, \dots\}$  and let

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1,\Psi,\Phi}^E f &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, (E\Phi)_n \rangle (E\Psi)_n \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n = f - \langle f, e_1 \rangle e_1, \end{aligned}$$

which is unconditionally convergent because  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an orthonormal basis. Clearly,  $M_{1,\Phi,\Phi}^E$  is not surjective, since there is no  $f$  such that  $M_{1,\Phi,\Phi}^E f = e_1$ .

**Theorem 2.2.13.** Suppose that  $E$  is an infinite complex diagonal matrix and  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  are two Riesz bases. Then the following holds.

- (i) If  $\Psi, \Phi$  are  $\|\cdot\|$ -semi-normalized and  $\{|E_{n,n}|^2\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is semi-normalized, then  $M_{1,\Psi,\Phi}^E$  and  $M_{1,\Phi,\Psi}^E$  are unconditionally convergent and invertible.
- (ii)  $\Psi, \Phi$  are  $\|\cdot\|$ -semi-normalized and  $\{|E_{n,n}|^2\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^{\infty}$  but not semi-normalized, then  $M_{1,\Psi,\Phi}^E$  and  $M_{1,\Phi,\Psi}^E$  are unconditionally convergent but not invertible.
- (iii) If  $\Psi, \Phi$  are  $\|\cdot\|$ -semi-normalized and  $\{|E_{n,n}|^2\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \notin \ell^{\infty}$ , then  $M_{1,\Psi,\Phi}^E$  and  $M_{1,\Phi,\Psi}^E$  are not well defined.

**Example 2.2.14.** Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose that  $\Phi = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \dots\}$ . Let

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2^k} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3^k} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4^k} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{M}_{1,\Phi,\Phi}^E f &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, (E\Phi)_n \rangle (E\Phi)_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2k}} \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n, \end{aligned}$$

which is unconditionally convergent by [1, Theorem 6.1]. Clearly,  $M_{1,\Phi,\Phi}^E$  is not surjective, since for example the element  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k} e_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$  but does not belong to the range of  $M_{1,\Phi,\Phi}^E$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Balazs, *Basic definition and properties of Bessel multipliers*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 325 (2007) 571–585.
- [2] P. Balazs, D.T.Stoeva, *Detailed characterization of unconditional convergence and invertibility of multipliers*, arXiv:1007.0673v1 [math.FA] 5 Jul 2010
- [3] P.G. Casazza, *The art of frame theory*, Taiwan. J. Math., 4(2) (2000), 129–201.
- [4] O. Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*, Birkhauser, Boston, 2016.
- [5] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [6] I. Daubechies, A. Grassman and Y. Meyer, *Painless nonothogonal expansions*, J. Math. Phys., 27 (1986), 1271–1283.
- [7] R.J. Duffin and A.C. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1952), 341–366.
- [8] D. Han and D. Larson, *Frame, bases and group representations*, Memoir. Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (2000), 1–94.
- [9] G. Talebi, M. A. Dehghan, *On E-frames in separable Hilbert spaces*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 9(3) (2015), 43–74.

HASSAN HEDAYATIRAD, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, HAKIM SABZEVARI UNIVERSITY, SABZEVAR, P.O. Box 397, IRAN

*Email address:* hasan.hedayatirad@hsu.ac.ir; hassan.hedayatirad67@gmail.com

TAYEBE LAL SHATERI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, HAKIM SABZEVARI UNIVERSITY, SABZEVAR, P.O. Box 397, IRAN

*Email address:* t.shateri@hsu.ac.ir; t.shateri@gmail.com