

REPRESENTATIONS OF HAMILTONIAN LIE ALGEBRAS

VYACHESLAV FUTORNY, SANTANU TANTUBAY

ABSTRACT. We consider the Shen-Larsson functor from the category of modules for the symplectic Lie algebra \mathfrak{sp}_N to the category of modules for the Hamiltonian Lie algebra and show that it preserves the irreducibility except in the finite number of cases. The obtained irreducible modules for the Hamiltonian Lie algebra are cuspidal, whose weight multiplicities equal the dimension of the corresponding module of the symplectic Lie algebra. This extends well-known results for other Cartan type Lie algebras to the Hamiltonian case.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cartan type Lie algebras W_n^+ (respectively W_n) of polynomial (respectively Laurent polynomial) vector fields on n -dimensional affine space (respectively torus), and its subalgebras of types S , K and H are classical examples of infinite dimensional Lie algebras. Significant advances were made in the last 10 years in the study of various categories of representations for Witt algebras W_n and W_n^+ , see [1], [14] and references therein. Representations of type S Lie algebras were studied in [2] and [4].

Jet modules for the Hamiltonian Lie algebra H_n^+ were studied in [13]. An important step towards the classification of all quasi-finite modules was made in [5] for $n = 2$, which is the case of Virasoro-like algebra.

In current paper we consider the case of an arbitrary even-dimensional torus and construct irreducible representations of the corresponding Hamiltonian Lie algebra by applying the Shen-Larsson functor $F^{\alpha,\beta}$ ($\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^N$) to arbitrary irreducible representations of symplectic Lie algebra of the same rank. We show that this functor preserves irreducibility except in the finite number of cases, which extends the results of [10], [6], [7] and [12] in the case of Witt algebras.

Denote by $V(\delta_k)$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ irreducible fundamental representation of \mathfrak{sp}_N . Our main result is the following.

Main Theorem. Let V be an irreducible \mathfrak{sp}_N module and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Then $F^{\alpha,\beta}(V)$ is irreducible if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $\dim V = \infty$;
- (ii) $\dim V < \infty$ and $V \not\cong V(\delta_k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$;
- (iii) $V \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}^N$.

In particular, it answers some questions asked in [9, Remark 4.11].

2. HAMILTONIAN LIE ALGEBRA

We denote by \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Z}_+ , \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{C}^* the sets of integers, non-negative integers, positive integers, complex numbers, non-zero complex numbers respectively. Let N be a fixed positive integer, and \mathbb{Z}^N , \mathbb{C}^N are N copies of \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{C} respectively. Let $(-, -)$ be the standard bilinear form on \mathbb{C}^N . Denote by \mathfrak{gl}_N be the Lie algebra of all $N \times N$ matrices with the standard basis $\{e_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$. For any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , let $\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$ be the category of all modules over \mathfrak{g} .

2.1. Symplectic Lie algebra. Let $N = 2n$. The symplectic Lie algebra \mathfrak{sp}_N is spanned by $h_i := e_{i,i} - e_{n+i,n+i}$, $X_{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j} := e_{i,j} - e_{n+j,n+i}$, $X_{\epsilon_k + \epsilon_l} := e_{k,n+l} + e_{l,n+k}$, $X_{-\epsilon_k - \epsilon_l} := e_{n+k,l} + e_{n+l,k}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $i \neq j$, $1 \leq k \leq l \leq n$. It is a simple Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{gl}_N with a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}\{h_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1 := \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} := \epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n, \alpha_n := 2\epsilon_n\}$ be the set of simple roots of \mathfrak{sp}_N and $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_1^\vee := h_1 - h_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}^\vee := h_{n-1} - h_n, \alpha_n^\vee = h_n\}$ be the set of co-roots. Let $\{\delta_i \in \mathfrak{h}^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the set of fundamental weights with the property $\delta_i(\alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Denote by $\mathbb{Z}_+\Pi$ the free monoid generated by Π . Let us define the height function $H : \mathbb{Z}_+\Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ by

$$H\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i,$$

this function will be used in the paper. We see that $H(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}) = 1$ and $H(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j) = 2n - (i + j) + 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

2.2. Witt and Hamiltonian Lie algebras. Let $A_N = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_N^{\pm 1}]$ be the Laurent polynomial ring in N variables. Then the space $W_N = \text{Der}(A_N)$ of all derivations of A_N is the Witt Lie algebra with a \mathbb{C} basis $\{t^r d_i : r \in \mathbb{Z}^N, i \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}$. Denoting $D(u, r) = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i t^r d_i$ for $u \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, we then have $[D(u, r), D(v, s)] = D(w, r+s)$, where $w = (u, s)v - (v, r)u$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Now, if $N = 2n$, for $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n, r_{n+1}, \dots, r_{2n})^t \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ we define $\bar{r} = (r_{n+1}, \dots, r_{2n}, -r_1, \dots, -r_n)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $h_r := D(\bar{r}, r)$. We see that $(\bar{r}, s) = -(\bar{s}, r)$ for any two $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

The \mathbb{Z}^N -graded Hamiltonian Lie algebra is defined as

$$\mathfrak{H}_N = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{h_r : r(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^N\} \oplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{C}d_i,$$

where $[h_r, h_s] = (\bar{r}, s)h_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, and $\{d_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ are the degree derivations (cf. [11]). Viewing $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ as a column vector, we have $r\bar{r}^t \in \mathfrak{sp}_N$, where r^t denotes the transpose of the matrix r .

2.3. Shen-Larsson functor. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^N$ we define the functor

$$F^{\alpha, \beta} : \mathfrak{sp}_N\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_N\text{-mod}$$

by $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V) = V \otimes A_N$, with the module action given by:

$$(2.1) \quad h_r(v \otimes t^s) = ((\bar{r}, s + \alpha)I + r\bar{r}^t)v \otimes t^{r+s},$$

$$(2.2) \quad d_i(v \otimes t^s) = (s_i + \beta_i)v \otimes t^s,$$

where $s \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $t^s := t_1^{s_1} \dots t_N^{s_N}$. Here I is the identity operator of V . We say that $F^{\alpha, \beta}$ extends the \mathfrak{sp}_N -module V to \mathfrak{H}_N -module $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V)$.

Remark 2.1. For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and irreducible \mathfrak{sp}_N -module V we have the isomorphism $F^{\alpha,\beta}(V) \cong F^{(\alpha+\gamma),(\beta+\gamma)}(V)$ given by: $v \otimes t^r \rightarrow v \otimes t^{r-\gamma}$.

Our first main result is the following theorem which shows that the extension of an irreducible module remains irreducible except possibly a finite number of finite dimensional cases.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and V an irreducible module over \mathfrak{sp}_N . Then $F^{\alpha,\beta}(V)$ is an irreducible \mathfrak{H}_N -module if V is not isomorphic to one of finite dimensional fundamental modules $V(\delta_k)$, where $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $\delta_0 = 0$.

The proof of Theorem 2.2 will be given in the next section.

2.4. Jet modules. Let us recall the notion of Jet modules over Hamiltonian vector fields on a torus from [13]. An \mathfrak{H}_N -module J is called jet module if it satisfies the following properties:

- (1) The action of d_i on J is diagonalizable for $1 \leq i \leq N$;
- (2) J is a free A_N -module of finite rank;
- (3) The actions \mathfrak{H}_N and A_N on J are naturally compatible.

Indecomposable and irreducible jet modules were classified in [13, Theorem 4.4, Theorem 5.2] and all irreducible jet modules are of the form $F^{\alpha,\beta}(V)$ for some irreducible module V over the symplectic Lie algebra and some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Theorem 2.2 shows that there are only finitely many cases where irreducible jet modules over \mathfrak{H}_N are not irreducible as \mathfrak{H}_N -modules. Moreover, we can construct a large class of irreducible generalized jet modules (with no requirement of finite rank as an A_N -module) over the Hamiltonian Lie algebra if we define the action of A_N by

$$t^r(v \otimes t^s) := v \otimes t^{r+s}.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2.

We start with the following standard result (cf. [7, Lemma 2.4]).

Lemma 3.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional semi-simple Lie algebra with a fixed Cartan subalgebra, Φ the root system of \mathfrak{g} and V an irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module. Then we have

- (1) Every root vector of \mathfrak{g} acts either injectively or locally nilpotently on V .
- (2) The module V is finite dimensional if and only if all root vectors act locally nilpotently.

Proof. In [7, Lemma 2.4], the details of the proof were given for \mathfrak{gl}_d . For completeness, we give a general proof.

- (1) For some $\alpha \in \Phi$, suppose X_α is not injective on V . We know that $\text{ad } X_\alpha$ is locally nilpotent on the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. For any $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $(\text{ad } X_\alpha)^k u = 0$ for sufficiently large k . So we have $X_\alpha^k uv = (\text{ad } X_\alpha)^k uv = 0$. Now the statement follows from the fact V is irreducible.

- (2) If V is finite dimensional, then clearly X_α , $\alpha \in \Phi$ acts locally nilpotently. Now we assume that all root vectors act locally nilpotently. By [8, Theorem 1.1], V is a highest weight module as well as a lowest weight module. Therefore V is finite dimensional. \square

The following statement is a standard application of the Vandermonde determinant (cf. [7, Lemma 2.3].)

Lemma 3.2. *For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ consider $c_a \in \text{End}(V)$ and assume that only finitely many c_a are nonzero. Define $g(m) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} c_a m^a$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Let M be a subspace of V . If $g(m)v \in M$ for some $v \in V$ and all $m \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, then $c_a v \in M$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$.*

For fixed $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and a tuple of indeterminates $s = \{s_1, \dots, s_{2n}\}$, let $g_1(s), g_2(s) \in \text{End}(V)[s]$ be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1(s) &= (\bar{s}, r + \alpha)I + \sum_{a=1}^n (s_a s_{n+a} h_a + \frac{s_{n+a}^2}{2} X_{-2\epsilon_a} - \frac{s_a^2}{2} X_{2\epsilon_a}) + \sum_{\substack{b,c=1 \\ b \neq c}}^n s_b s_{n+c} X_{\epsilon_b - \epsilon_c} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{d,e=1 \\ d < e}}^n (s_{n+d} s_{n+e} X_{-\epsilon_d - \epsilon_e} - s_d s_e X_{\epsilon_d + \epsilon_e}), \\
g_2(s) &= [(\bar{r} - \bar{s}, k + s + \alpha)I + \sum_{a_1=1}^n ((r_{a_1} - s_{a_1})(r_{n+a_1} - s_{n+a_1}) h_{a_1} + \frac{(r_{n+a_1} - s_{n+a_1})^2}{2} X_{-2\epsilon_{a_1}} \\
&\quad - \frac{(r_{a_1} - s_{a_1})^2}{2} X_{2\epsilon_{a_1}}) + \sum_{\substack{b_1, c_1=1 \\ b_1 \neq c_1}}^n (r_{b_1} - s_{b_1})(r_{n+c_1} - s_{n+c_1}) X_{\epsilon_{b_1} - \epsilon_{c_1}} + \\
&\quad \sum_{\substack{d_1, e_1=1 \\ d_1 < e_1}}^n ((r_{n+d_1} - s_{n+d_1})(r_{n+e_1} - s_{n+e_1}) X_{-\epsilon_{d_1} - \epsilon_{e_1}} - (r_{d_1} - s_{d_1})(r_{e_1} - s_{e_1}) X_{\epsilon_{d_1} + \epsilon_{e_1}})] \times \\
&[(\bar{s}, k + \alpha)I + \sum_{a_2=1}^n (s_{a_2} s_{n+a_2} h_{a_2} + \frac{s_{n+a_2}^2}{2} X_{-2\epsilon_{a_2}} - \frac{s_{a_2}^2}{2} X_{2\epsilon_{a_2}}) + \sum_{\substack{b_2, c_2=1 \\ b_2 \neq c_2}}^n s_{b_2} s_{n+c_2} X_{\epsilon_{b_2} - \epsilon_{c_2}} \\
&\quad \sum_{\substack{d_2, e_2=1 \\ d_2 < e_2}}^n (s_{n+d_2} s_{n+e_2} X_{-\epsilon_{d_2} - \epsilon_{e_2}} - s_{d_2} s_{e_2} X_{\epsilon_{d_2} + \epsilon_{e_2}})] \in \text{End}(V)[s].
\end{aligned}$$

We see that g_1, g_2 are polynomials in $2n$ variables of degrees 2 and 4 respectively. Let us compute the coefficients of some monomials of $g_2(s)$ of degree 4 needed for our proof:

Let $\{e_i, e_{n+i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^N . We note the following actions:

- (1) $h_{e_i}(v \otimes t^k) = -(k_{n+i} + \alpha_{n+i})v \otimes t^{k+e_i} - \frac{1}{2} X_{2\epsilon_i} v \otimes t^{k+e_i}$.
- (2) $h_{e_{n+i}}(v \otimes t^k) = (k_i + \alpha_i)v \otimes t^{k+e_{n+i}} + \frac{1}{2} X_{-2\epsilon_i} v \otimes t^{k+e_{n+i}}$.
- (3) $h_{e_i+e_{n+j}}(v \otimes t^k) = (k_j + \alpha_j - k_{n+i} - \alpha_{n+i})v \otimes t^{k+e_i+e_{n+j}} + X_{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j} v \otimes t^{k+e_i+e_{n+j}} + \frac{1}{2} X_{-2\epsilon_j} v \otimes t^{k+e_i+e_{n+j}} - \frac{1}{2} X_{2\epsilon_i} v \otimes t^{k+e_i+e_{n+j}}$, when $i \neq j$.

| Monomials | Coefficients | |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|
| s_i^4 | $\frac{1}{4}X_{2\epsilon_i}X_{2\epsilon_i}$ | $1 \leq i \leq n$ |
| $s_i^2 s_j^2$ | $X_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j}^2 + \frac{1}{2}X_{2\epsilon_i}X_{2\epsilon_j}$ | $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ |
| s_{n+i}^4 | $\frac{1}{4}X_{-2\epsilon_i}X_{-2\epsilon_i}$ | $1 \leq i \leq n$ |
| $s_{n+i}^2 s_{n+j}^2$ | $X_{-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}^2 + \frac{1}{2}X_{-2\epsilon_j}X_{-2\epsilon_i}$ | $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ |
| $s_i^2 s_{n+j}^2$ | $X_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}^2 - \frac{1}{2}X_{-2\epsilon_j}X_{2\epsilon_i}$ | $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ |
| $s_i^3 s_{n+j}$ | $-X_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}X_{2\epsilon_i}$ | $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ |

Let P be a nonzero submodule of $F^{\alpha,\beta}(V)$. Since $F^{\alpha,\beta}(V)$ is a weight module over \mathfrak{H}_N , so is P . Therefore we have $P = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^N} P_r \otimes t^r$. Since P is a nonzero submodule, we assume $v(\neq 0) \in P_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Now we have the following claim.

Claim (1): $M := \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^N} P_r \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. We have that $h_{r-s}h_s(v \otimes t^k) \in P$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Assume that $h_{r-s}h_s(v \otimes t^k) = w_1(s) \otimes t^{k+r}$, where $w_1(s) = [(\bar{r} - \bar{s}, k + s + \alpha)I + (r - s)(\bar{r} - \bar{s})^t][(\bar{s}, k + \alpha)I + s\bar{s}^t]v$. Then $w_1(s) \in P_{k+r}$ for all $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. This means that $g_2(s)v \in P_{k+r}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

Case I. Suppose now that V is an infinite dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{sp}_N -module. We note that if $v \in P_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and T is any of the coefficients of degree four monomials of $g_2(s)$, then $T(v) \in P_{k+r}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ by Lemma 3.2. Now if $T(v) \neq 0$ for some such T , then we will have $M \neq 0$. Assume that $T(v) = 0$ for all coefficients of degree four monomials of $g_2(s)$. Looking at the coefficients of s_i^4 and s_{n+i}^4 we see that $X_{\pm 2\epsilon_i}$ act locally nilpotently on V by Lemma 3.1. Now looking at the coefficients of $s_i^2 s_j^2$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we have $X_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j}^2 v = -\frac{1}{2}X_{2\epsilon_i}X_{2\epsilon_j}v$, which implies $X_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j}^4 v = 0$ (here we used the fact that $X_{2\epsilon_i}^2 v = 0$). Hence again $X_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j}$ acts locally nilpotently on V by Lemma 3.1. Similarly looking at coefficients of $s_{n+i}^2 s_{n+j}^2$, and applying Lemma 3.1, we prove that $X_{-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}$ acts locally nilpotently. We also have $X_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}^2 v = 0$ (respectively $X_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}X_{2\epsilon_i}v = 0$) if $X_{2\epsilon_i}v = 0$ (respectively $X_{2\epsilon_i}v \neq 0$) by looking at the coefficients of $s_i^2 s_{n+j}^2$ and $s_i^3 s_{n+j}$ respectively. In both the cases we have a locally nilpotent action of $X_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}$ on V . This implies that all root vectors of \mathfrak{sp}_N act locally nilpotently on V , and hence V is finite dimensional by Lemma 3.1, which is a contradiction. So, at least one of those operators act nonzero on v , and hence $M \neq 0$.

Case II. Suppose V is a finite dimensional irreducible module which is not isomorphic to $V(\delta_k)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Then V is isomorphic to $V(\lambda)$ for some dominant integral weight λ such that $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} \delta_{i_j}$ with $a_{i_j} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ for some $k \geq 2$. Assume v_λ is the highest weight vector of V . Let $\mathcal{M} := \{v \in V(\lambda) : v \in P_k \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}^N\}$ and define a height function Ht on \mathcal{M} by $Ht(v) = \min\{H(\lambda - \lambda_i) | v = \sum_{\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*} v_{\lambda_i}\}$. For any $v \in V(\lambda)$, when we write $v = \sum_{i=1}^p v_i$, we assume that the expression is written in increasing order with respect to height i.e $Ht(v_1) < Ht(v_2) < \dots <$

$Ht(v_p)$. Let w be a minimal element of \mathcal{M} with the fact $w \otimes t^k \in P$, then we will show that $Ht(w) = Ht(v_1) = 0$.

If $Ht(w) > 0$ and $w = \sum_{i=1}^p v_i$, then by the assumption v_1 is not a highest weight vector. Hence there exists a simple root α for which $X_\alpha v_1 \neq 0$.

If $\alpha = \alpha_n$, then we see that

$$h_{e_n}(w \otimes t^k) = -(k_{2n} + \alpha_{2n})w \otimes t^{k+e_n} - \frac{1}{2}X_{2\epsilon_n}w \otimes t^{k+e_n} \in P$$

and hence $-(k_{2n} + \alpha_{2n})v_1 - \frac{1}{2}X_{2\epsilon_n}v_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ with height strictly less than the height of v_1 . This contradicts to the minimality of the height of w .

Now, if $X_{2\epsilon_i}v_1 \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then

$$h_{e_i}(w \otimes t^k) = -(k_{n+i} + \alpha_{n+i})w \otimes t^{k+e_i} - \frac{1}{2}X_{2\epsilon_i}w \otimes t^{k+e_i} \in P.$$

We get that $(k_{n+i} + \alpha_{n+i})w + X_{2\epsilon_i}w \in \mathcal{M}$, which has a smaller length than v_1 giving a contradiction.

Now we assume that $X_{2\epsilon_i}v_1 = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For any v_j with $j \in \{2, \dots, p\}$, if we have $Ht(v_j) < Ht(v_1) + 2(n-i) + 1$, then we must have $X_{2\epsilon_i}v_j = 0$, since otherwise again $(k_{n+i} + \alpha_{n+i})w + X_{2\epsilon_i}w \in \mathcal{M}$ will have smaller length than v_1 .

Let $X_{\epsilon_j - \epsilon_{j+1}}v_j \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{e_j + e_{n+j+1}}(v_1 \otimes t^k) = \\ & \{(k_{j+1} + \alpha_{j+1} - k_j - \alpha_j)I + X_{\epsilon_j - \epsilon_{j+1}} + \frac{1}{2}X_{-2\epsilon_{j+1}} - \frac{1}{2}X_{2\epsilon_j}\}v_1 \otimes t^{k+e_j+e_{n+j+1}} \end{aligned}$$

belongs to P , which means that

$$\{(k_{j+1} + \alpha_{j+1} - k_j - \alpha_j)I + X_{\epsilon_j - \epsilon_{j+1}} + \frac{1}{2}X_{-2\epsilon_{j+1}} - \frac{1}{2}X_{2\epsilon_j}\}v_1$$

is an element of \mathcal{M} with smaller height than v_1 . This gives again a contradiction (note that by the previous assumption, no $X_{2\epsilon_j}v_k$ can reach $X_{\epsilon_j - \epsilon_{j+1}}v_1$ for $k \in \{2, \dots, p\}$). So, the height of w must be zero. Now, without loss of generality we may assume that $w = v_\lambda + \sum_{j=2}^p v_j \in \mathcal{M}$.

Subcase 1: If $i_k = n$, then consider the \mathfrak{sl}_2 copy given by $\mathbb{C}\{h_{i_1}, X_{\pm 2\epsilon_{i_1}}\}$. We see that $\lambda(h_{i_1}) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} \geq 2$. Hence, $X_{-2\epsilon_{i_1}}^2 \cdot v_\lambda \neq 0$. This gives us $X_{-2\epsilon_{i_1}}^2 w \neq 0$. Now applying Lemma 3.2 and looking at the coefficients of $s_{n+i_1}^4$ in $g_2(s)$, we see that $X_{-2\epsilon_{i_1}}^2 w \in M$. Hence we have the claim (I) in this case.

Subcase 2: If $i_k < n$, then consider the \mathfrak{sl}_2 copy given by $\mathbb{C}\{h_{i_1} - h_{i_k}, X_{\pm(\epsilon_{i_1} - \epsilon_{i_k})}\}$. We have $\lambda(h_{i_1} - h_{i_k}) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} \geq 2$ and hence $X_{\epsilon_{i_k} - \epsilon_{i_1}}^2 v_\lambda \neq 0$. Notice that $s_{i_k}^2 s_{n+i_1}^2$ has the coefficient

$$X_{\epsilon_{i_k} - \epsilon_{i_1}}^2 - \frac{1}{4}X_{-2\epsilon_{i_1}}X_{2\epsilon_{i_k}} - \frac{1}{4}X_{2\epsilon_{i_k}}X_{-2\epsilon_{i_1}} = X_{\epsilon_{i_k} - \epsilon_{i_1}}^2 - \frac{1}{2}X_{-2\epsilon_{i_1}}X_{2\epsilon_{i_k}}.$$

By height argument, we see that $\{X_{\epsilon_{i_k} - \epsilon_{i_1}}^2 - \frac{1}{2}X_{-2\epsilon_{i_1}}X_{2\epsilon_{i_k}}\}w \neq 0$. Now applying Lemma 3.2, with the coefficients of $s_{i_k}^2 s_{n+i_1}^2$ in $g_2(s)$, we see that $M \neq 0$.

Hence, in all cases we have $M \neq 0$.

Claim (2): M is an \mathfrak{sp}_N module.

Proof. Let $v \in M$. Then $v \otimes t^{r-s} \in P$ for all $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, and we have

$$h_s(v \otimes t^{r-s}) = w_2(s) \otimes t^r,$$

where $w_2(s) = \{(\bar{s}, r + \alpha)I + s\bar{s}^t\}v$. This means that $g_1(s)v \in P_r$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Now looking at the coefficients of degree 2 in $g_1(s)$ and applying Lemma 3.2, we see that $Xv \in P_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{sp}_N$. Therefore, $Xv \in M$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{sp}_N$, and hence M is an \mathfrak{sp}_N -module.

Claim (2) implies that $P = F^{\alpha, \beta}(V)$ and hence $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V)$ is irreducible, completing the proof of the theorem.

4. EXTENSIONS OF FUNDAMENTAL MODULES.

4.1. Irreducibility of $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V(\delta_0))$. We first consider the case $k = 0$. We have

Proposition 4.1. *The \mathfrak{sl}_N -module $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V(\delta_0)) = \mathbb{C} \otimes A_N$ is irreducible if and only if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}^N$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Then $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V(\delta_0))$ is reducible since $\mathbb{C}(1 \otimes t^{-\alpha})$ is a nonzero proper submodule of $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V(\delta_0))$. It is easy to see that the quotient module is irreducible. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \mathbb{Z}^N$. We will show that $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V(\delta_0))$ is irreducible. Let P be nonzero submodule of $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V(\delta_0))$. Now it being weight module, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $1 \otimes t^k \in P$. Suppose $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $(\bar{r}, k + \alpha) \neq 0$, then $h_r(1 \otimes t^k) = (\bar{r}, k + \alpha)(1 \otimes t^{k+r}) \in P$ i.e. $1 \otimes t^{k+r} \in P$. Now we choose a fixed $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $(\bar{r}, k + \alpha) = 0$.

Since $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}^N$, we will have $(k + \alpha) \neq 0$, so there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $(k_i + \alpha_i) \neq 0$. Now $h_{r-s}h_s(1 \otimes t^k) \in P$ for all $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. This means $g_2(s) \cdot 1 \in P_{k+r}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Now we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(s) \cdot 1 &= (\bar{r} - \bar{s}, k + s + \alpha)(\bar{s}, k + \alpha)1 = ((\bar{r}, s) - (\bar{s}, k + \alpha))(\bar{s}, k + \alpha)1 \\ &= -(\bar{s}, r + k + \alpha)(\bar{s}, k + \alpha)1, \end{aligned}$$

since we chose $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $(\bar{r}, k + \alpha) = 0$. Now, as $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}^N$, there exist $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ such that $(r_i + k_i + \alpha_i)(k_j + \alpha_j) \neq 0$. So we will have a second degree monomial of $g_2(s)$, whose coefficient is $-(r_i + k_i + \alpha_i)(k_j + \alpha_j)$. Applying Lemma 3.2, we can say that $-(r_i + k_i + \alpha_i)(k_j + \alpha_j)1 \in P_{k+r}$. Combining both cases, we conclude that $1 \in P_{k+r}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. This implies that $P = F^{\alpha, \beta}(V(\delta_0))$ and proves the irreducibility. \square

4.2. Irreducibility of $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V(\delta_k))$, $k > 0$. Let us first discuss about the concrete realizations of fundamental representations of \mathfrak{sp}_N . Recall that $V(\delta_0) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ is one-dimensional trivial representation. Also, the space \mathbb{C}^N is a natural representation of \mathfrak{sp}_N (here elements of \mathbb{C}^N will be considered as column vectors). We define the contraction map

$$\theta_j : \bigwedge^j(\mathbb{C}^N) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{j-2}(\mathbb{C}^N)$$

by

$$(4.1) \quad \theta_j(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_j) = \sum_{\substack{r,s=1 \\ r < s}}^j (-1)^{r+s-1} (v_r, \bar{v}_s) (v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_r, \dots, \hat{v}_s, \dots, v_j),$$

for $2 \leq j \leq N$. Here \hat{v} means that v is omitted. It is well known that θ_j is an \mathfrak{sp}_N -module homomorphism for all $2 \leq j \leq N$ (cf. [3, Proposition 13.25]). We have the following of \mathfrak{sp}_N -modules.

Theorem 4.2. [3, Theorem 13.28]

- (i) $V(\delta_1) \cong \mathbb{C}^N$.
- (ii) $V(\delta_k) \cong \text{Ker}(\theta_k)$, $2 \leq k \leq n$.

Our second main result is the following theorem

Theorem 4.3. $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V(\delta_k))$ is reducible \mathfrak{S}_N -module for any $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. Consider the case $k = 1$ when $V(\delta_1) \cong \mathbb{C}^N$ by Theorem 4.2. The subspace $\mathbb{C}\{(r + \alpha)^t \otimes t^r : r \in \mathbb{Z}^N\}$ is a nonzero proper submodule of $F^\alpha(V(\delta_1))$ proving its reducibility.

Now we assume that $k \geq 2$. We have that

$$\dim V(\delta_k) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}.$$

Claim 1.

$$\dim V(\delta_k) > \binom{2n-1}{k-1}$$

for any $2 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof of Claim 1. We see that

$$\frac{\binom{2n}{k} - \binom{2n}{k-2}}{\binom{2n-1}{k-1}} = \frac{2n}{k-1} - \frac{2n(k-1)}{(2n-k+2)(2n-k+1)}.$$

Since the first term is strictly greater than 2 and $k \leq n$ we get $n+1 \leq 2n-k+1$. So we will have

$$\frac{2n(k-1)}{(2n-k+2)(2n-k+1)} \leq \frac{2n(k-1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} < 2,$$

which implies the claim.

For any $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ we consider the subspace

$$W_r^k = \mathbb{C}(r + \alpha) \bigwedge \left(\bigwedge^{k-1} \mathbb{C}^N \right) \subseteq \bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^N.$$

Then $\dim W_r^k = \binom{N-1}{k-1}$ if $\alpha \neq -r$ and $\dim W_{-\alpha}^k = 0$. By the Claim we have $\binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2} > \binom{N-1}{k-1}$ which implies that $W_r^k \cap \text{Ker}(\theta_k) \subsetneq \text{Ker}(\theta_k)$.

Claim 2: We will have $W_r^k \cap \text{Ker}(\theta_k) \neq 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ with $r + \alpha \neq 0$.

Proof Claim 2. For any $v \in \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{0\}$, we define the hyperplane $v^\perp = \{w \in \mathbb{C}^N : (v, w) = 0\}$ and denote $L(v) = v^\perp \cap \bar{v}^\perp$. Then $\dim L(V) \geq 2n-2$ for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Now let $v_1 \in L(r + \alpha)$ be a nonzero vector and set

$L(r + \alpha, v_1) = L(r + \alpha) \cap L(v_1)$. We get that $\dim L(r + \alpha, v_1) \geq 2(n - 2)$. Let $v_2 \in L(r + \alpha, v_1)$. Then similarly we can define $L(r + \alpha, v_1, v_2)$, whose dimension is greater or equal than $2(n - 3)$. Now continuing the process, we can construct $L(r + \alpha, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-2})$, whose dimension is greater or equal than 2. Let $v_{n-1} \in L(r + \alpha, v_1, \dots, v_{n-2})$. Then it is easy to see that $0 \neq (r + \alpha) \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_{k-1}} \in W_r^k \cap \text{Ker}(\theta_k)$ for $\{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Case I: Assume that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}^N$. In this case we have $W_r^k \cap \text{Ker}(\theta_k) \neq 0$. Now take

$$P = \mathbb{C}\{W_r^k \cap \text{Ker}(\theta_k) \otimes t^r : r \in \mathbb{Z}^N\} \subseteq F^\alpha(V(\delta_k)).$$

This is a nonzero proper \mathfrak{H}_N -submodule of $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V(\delta_k))$.

Case II: Now assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and consider a subspace

$$P = \mathbb{C}\{W_r^k \cap \text{Ker}(\theta_k) \otimes t^r : r \in \mathbb{Z}^N \setminus \{-\alpha\}\} \oplus \text{Ker}(\theta_k) \otimes t^{-\alpha}.$$

This is again a nonzero proper \mathfrak{H}_N -submodule of $F^{\alpha, \beta}(V(\delta_k))$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3. \square

The main result of the paper follows from Theorem 2.2, Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.3.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

V. Futorny is partially supported by NSF of China (12350710787 and 1231101349).

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Billig, V. Futorny, *Classification of irreducible representation of Lie algebras of vector fields on torus*, J. Reine. Angew. Math., 720 (2016), 199–216.
- [2] Y. Billig, J. Talboom, *Classification of category J modules for divergence zero vector fields on a torus*, J. Algebra 500 (2018), 498–516.
- [3] R. W. Carter *Lie algebras of finite and affine type*, Cambridge University Press, (2005).
- [4] B. D. Dubsky, X. Guo, Y. Yao, K. Zhao, *Simple modules over the Lie algebra of divergence zero vector fields*, Forum Math, 31 (2019), 727–741.
- [5] J.-J. Jiang, W.-Q. Lin, *Partial classification of cuspidal simple modules for Virasoro-like algebra*, J. Algebra 464 (2016), 266–278.
- [6] X. Guo, K. Zhao *Irreducible weight modules over Witt Algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 139 (7) (2011), 2367–2373.
- [7] G. Liu, K. Zhao, *New irreducible weight modules over Witt algebras with infinite dimensional weight spaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 47 (2015), 789–795.
- [8] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, *Characterization of simple highest weight modules*, Canad. Math. Bull. (2013), 606–614.
- [9] Y. Pei, Y. Sheng, R. Tang, K. Zhao, *Actions of monoidal categories and representations of Cartan type Lie algebras*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 22 (2023), 2367–2402.
- [10] S. E. Rao, *Irreducible representation of the Lie algebra of the diffeomorphisms of a d-dimensional torus*, J. Algebra 182 (1996) 402–421.
- [11] S. E. Rao, *Hamiltonian extended affine Lie algebra and its representation theory*, J. Algebra 628 (2023), 71–97.
- [12] A. N. Rudakov, *Irreducible representations of Infinite dimensional Lie algebras of Cartan Type*, Math. USSR Izvestija, 8(4), 836–866.
- [13] J. Talboom, *Category J modules for Hamiltonian vector fields on a torus*, J. Lie Theory 28 (2018), no. 4, 903–914.

- [14] Y. Xue, R. Lü *Classification of simple bounded weight modules of the Lie algebra of vector fields on \mathbb{C}^n* , Israel J. Math. 253 (2023), no. 1, 4451468.

SHENZHEN INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, CHINA

Email address: `vfutory@gmail.com`

Email address: `1mathsantanu@gmail.com`