

# MoSFormer: Augmenting Temporal Context with Memory of Surgery for Surgical Phase Recognition

Hao Ding<sup>1</sup>, Xu Lian<sup>1</sup>, and Mathias Unberath<sup>1</sup>

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD 21218, USA  
hding15@jhu.edu, unberath@jhu.edu

**Abstract.** Surgical phase recognition from video enables various downstream applications. Transformer-based sliding window approaches have set the state-of-the-art by capturing rich spatial-temporal features. However, while transformers can theoretically handle arbitrary-length sequences, in practice they are limited by memory and compute constraints, resulting in fixed context windows that struggle with maintaining temporal consistency across lengthy surgical procedures. This often leads to fragmented predictions and limited procedure-level understanding. To address these challenges, we propose Memory of Surgery (MoS), a framework that enriches temporal modeling by incorporating both semantic interpretable long-term surgical history and short-term impressions. MoSFormer, our enhanced transformer architecture, integrates MoS using a carefully designed encoding and fusion mechanism. We further introduce step filtering to refine history representation and develop a memory caching pipeline to improve training and inference stability, mitigating shortcut learning and overfitting. MoSFormer demonstrates state-of-the-art performance on multiple benchmarks. On the Challenging BernBypass70 benchmark, it attains 88.0 video-level accuracy and phase-level metrics of 70.7 precision, 68.7 recall, and 66.3 F1 score, outperforming its baseline with 2.1 video-level accuracy and phase-level metrics of 4.6 precision, 3.6 recall, and 3.8 F1 score. Further studies confirm the individual and combined benefits of long-term and short-term memory components through ablation and counterfactual inference. Qualitative results show improved temporal consistency. The augmented temporal context enables procedure-level understanding, paving the way for more comprehensive surgical video analysis.

**Keywords:** Surgical workflow analysis · Surgical data science · Surgical video analysis · Surgical video processing

## 1 Introduction

Surgical phase recognition (SPR) is a vital aspect of surgical video analysis, providing essential procedure-level insights for applications such as video summarization, skill assessment, and intervention assistance. Surgical videos typically capture continuous, lengthy procedures with strong temporal dependencies, making temporal modeling a crucial challenge. Traditional SPR approaches modeled surgical

workflows as finite-state machines, predominantly using Hidden Markov Models (HMMs)[3,1,4,28,2,27]. However, these methods relied on handcrafted features and struggled with capturing complex visual and temporal dependencies. The advent of deep learning, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), significantly improved feature extraction and SPR performance when leveraged with temporal models such as hierarchical HMMs, long short-term memory (LSTM) networks, and temporal convolutional networks (TCNs) [30,19,18,35,14,8,23,12,17]. These temporal modeling methods suffer from either vanishing gradients or limited receptive fields when the sequence becomes lengthy.

More recently, Transformers [32] and Vision Transformers (ViTs)[11] have redefined sequence modeling by enabling parallelized computation and capturing long-range dependencies. Several transformer-based architectures have been introduced for SPR[31,15,34,21,10], primarily in a sliding-window manner due to transformer’s overwhelming memory consumption. This paradigm has achieved strong performance due to the superior extraction of spatial-temporal features of ViTs. However, the sliding-window approach inherently limits temporal modeling, as its fixed window size prevents the model from capturing long-horizon dependencies and procedure-level context. Various solutions have been proposed to address this problem, including hierarchical temporal modeling with two-stage training[25,24], temporal downsampling[34], and finite-state machine modeling [9].

Memory mechanisms have long been applied for sequence processing from long short term memory [17] to neural turing machine [16]. Its recent success in medical image analysis [29] and video object segmentation [26,7,6,5], indicates that it can be a promising avenue to accomplish our goal. Indeed, prior work, TMRNet [20] which used an LSTM temporal model, applied the memory mechanism in latent space to alleviate the vanishing gradients in LSTM, achieving great success. However, directly applying such memory-based approaches to current ViT architectures presents unique challenges. Unlike segmentation tasks, which rely on detailed spatial correspondences, SPR involves low-dimensional categorical labels that can lead to shortcut learning and overfitting when memory is applied naively in a sequential training fashion.

In this regard, we introduce Memory of Surgery (MoS), a complementary memory mechanism to augment the temporal context for surgical video analysis for the state-of-the-art sliding-window paradigm. MoS consists of a long-term history, which captures semantically understandable surgical history to represent the entire procedure, and a short-term impression, which captures the visual features of the previous video window to expand the receptive field. To effectively integrate MoS into existing architectures, we develop memory encoding and fusion mechanisms that encode historical information into the feature space and seamlessly fuse it with the spatial-temporal representation of the current clip. Additionally, to mitigate potential overfitting and shortcut learning, we introduce step filtering for history representation and propose a memory caching pipeline, enabling end-to-end training without the capacity loss observed in two-stage hierarchical architectures. All these innovations are realized in MoS-Former, one promising example of a transformer-based surgical phase recognition




Fig. 1: Illustration of the memory-based augmentation for the current sliding window-based surgical phase recognition paradigm. Existing approaches rely on a sliding window for phase prediction, disregarding the rich temporal context in surgical videos. Our MoS-based framework captures temporal information through long-term history and short-term impressions, integrating them into existing architectures to augment temporal context in surgical video analysis.

model that integrates MoS and the corresponding encoding, fusion, and memory caching mechanisms into a contemporary backbone, namely Surgformer [34]. Our experiments on public benchmarks—Cholec80 [30], AutoLaparo [33], and BernBy-pass70 [22]—demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method, resulting in state-of-the-art performance. Especially on the challenging public benchmarks BernBypass70 [22], MoSFormer achieves remarkable video-level accuracy of 88.0 and phase-level F1 scores of 66.3, improving over its baseline Surgformer [34] by 2.1 and 3.8. Our ablation study and counterfactual inference experiment further validates the effectiveness of both short-term and long-term memory components and qualitative visualizations illustrate more temporally consistent predictions.

The primary contributions of our work are summarized as:

- We introduce memory of surgery (MoS) along with its memory encoding, fusion, and caching mechanisms, to provide long-horizon information and overcome the temporal constraints of state-of-the-art architectures.
- We propose MoSFormer, a realization of MoS, which achieves state-of-the-art results on multiple surgical phase recognition benchmarks.

## 2 Method

We present our approach in two parts. First, we define Memory of Surgery (MoS), detailing its representation for long-term history and short-term impression. Second, we describe the MoSFormer architecture, including the encoding and fusion mechanisms that incorporate MoS and the memory-caching training and inference pipeline, which ensures effective and stable memory utilization during model learning and deployment.

## 2.1 Memory of Surgey (MoS)

**Long-Term History.** To capture phase-level semantics over the entire procedure, we represent long-term history as entries of accumulated phase history. Each phase history entry consists of a one-hot encoded vector that captures phase identity, and a discretized duration measure through step filtering, where the step number is calculated by  $step\_number = \lfloor \frac{num\_frames}{step\_size} \rfloor$ . This step filtering has two purposes:

- **Outlier Suppression During Inference:** By discarding small variations at the frame level, the model is protected from noise arising from very brief or anomalous phase segments during inference.
- **Avoiding Over-Specificity:** By coarsening precise durations, the model focuses on general temporal patterns rather than memorizing specific counts, mitigating overfitting risks.

Each history entry also includes a binary masking variable to indicate whether a phase has presented in the current video. A complete history entry is thus structured as:  $[0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, num\_steps, mask(\{0, 1\})]$

**Short-Term Impression.** While long-term history provides procedure-level context, the short-term impression module caches recent visual context to augment local temporal coherence. Specifically, we store final classifier (cls) tokens from previously processed frames and retrieve them when processing following frames.

## 2.2 MoSFormer

**Architecture.** The MoSFormer architecture, illustrated in Figure 1, integrates MoS within a ViT-based phase recognition framework. A sliding window with a specific length (16 in this practice) is captured and processed via patch embedding to form spatial temporal tokens. The long-term history is processed via a history embedding into a history token, which is then element-wise added to the trainable cls token. The history-augmented cls token is concatenated with the spatial temporal tokens and fed into the decoder blocks of a ViT-based architecture. The short-term impression is processed via an impression embedding into an impression token and element-wise added to the output cls token from the decoder. The final augmented cls token is used to predict the phase of the last frame in the sliding window. The final augmented cls token and predicted phase results are stored in short-term impression and long-term history.

**Encoding and Fusion.** We encode both the long-term history tokens and short-term impression tokens via two-layer multi-head self-attention blocks. For the history tokens, the one-hot encoded phases are projected into embedding space, and a sinusoidal positional embedding is added to encode each phase step number. The embedded history entries are then appended by a history token and fed into self-attention blocks. The processed history token from the transformer is then early fused with the cls token via element-wise addition. The cached short-term impression tokens are appended with a learnable impression token and similarly undergo self-attention blocks. This processed impression token is

subsequently late fused with the cls token via element-wise addition, yielding a final embedding that incorporates both long-range context and immediate past observations. This dual-stream fusion mechanism allows MoSFormer to jointly capture surgical progression trends while maintaining local phase consistency.

**Memory-caching Training and Inference.** For the history component, during training, history tokens are directly extracted from ground-truth phase annotations. During inference, history tokens are dynamically updated using the model’s predicted phases, ensuring an adaptive and evolving history representation. For the short-term impression, previous works applied sequential training pipelines that continuously accumulate and consolidate memory to capture all preceding information. Directly applying this pipeline, however, will potentially result in severe overfitting as the the model will be sequentially trained on batches of the same labels given the long duration of the phases. Instead, we retain the randomized training pipeline but maintain a local cache for each frame during training and update each frame’s impression token once that the frame is processed in each batch. This approach allows the model to use the impressions from the previous epoch, ensuring the impression cache gradually converges alongside the model itself. During inference, we simply store and retrieve impressions from frames already processed. Since no random batch ordering is involved, the short-term memory is naturally consistent and up to date. This pipeline preserves memory while preventing catastrophic overfitting.

### 3 Experiments

#### 3.1 Experimental Settings

**Datasets.** We evaluate our method on three datasets: (1) *BernBypass70* [22] contains 70 videos averaging 72 minutes. (2) *Cholec80* [30] includes 80 cholecystectomy videos divided into 7 phases, with a mean duration of 39min. (3) *AutoLaparo* [33] comprises 21 hysterectomy videos, averaging 66 minutes and divided into 7 phases. All surgical videos are captured at 25 frames per second (FPS) and subsequently downsampled to 1 FPS to enable surgeons to accurately annotate specific surgical phases. To maintain experimental consistency, we strictly follow the data splits established in previous studies [30,18,20,15,31,25,22].

**Evaluation Metrics.** Following the current practice of Cholec80 and AutoLaparo We employ four distinct metrics for surgical phase recognition evaluation: *video-level accuracy*, phase-level *precision*, *recall*, and *Jaccard*. As all previous relaxed metric evaluation are based on problematic evaluation code [13], we only evaluate with non-relaxed metric. Following SKiT [25], we first concatenate the predictions and ground truth labels from all videos into a single continuous sequence. We then compute the average performance per phase. For BernBypass70 dataset, we follow its official evaluation protocol [22], including video-level accuracy and phase-level precision, recall, and F1 score. Unlike Cholec80 and AutoLaparo, the phase-level performance metrics are averaged across phases per video and then across videos.

Table 1: Benchmark results for online surgical phase recognition. Note that, higher numbers reported in the original Surgformer paper [34] used a different metric calculation, which has been adapted with our metrics for a fair comparison.

Datasets	Methods	Video-level Accuracy	Phase-level		
			Precision	Recall	Jaccard/F1
BernBypass70 [22]	TeCNO [8]	83.8 ± 13.6	61.3	62.8	59.2
	MTMS-TCN [22]	85.3 ± 13.2	64.6	67.4	62.4
	Surgformer [34]	85.9 ± 12.3	66.1	65.1	62.5
	MoSFormer(ours)	<b>88.0 ± 13.0</b>	<b>70.7</b>	<b>68.7</b>	<b>66.3</b>
Cholec80 [30]	TeSTra [36]	90.1 ± 7.6	82.8	83.8	71.6
	Trans-SVNet [15]	89.1 ± 6.6	84.7	83.6	72.5
	LoViT [24]	91.5 ± 6.1	83.1	86.5	74.2
	SKiT [25]	92.5 ± 5.1	84.6	<b>88.5</b>	76.7
	Surgformer [34]	92.3 ± 6.2	87.1	87.6	77.8
	MoSFormer(ours)	<b>93.2 ± 5.4</b>	<b>88.2</b>	87.8	<b>78.7</b>
AutoLaparo [33]	TMRNet [20]	78.2	66.0	61.5	49.6
	TeCNO [8]	77.3	66.9	64.6	50.7
	Trans-SVNet [15]	78.3	68.0	62.2	50.7
	LoViT [24]	81.4 ± 7.6	<b>85.1</b>	65.9	55.9
	SKiT [25]	82.9 ± 6.8	81.8	70.1	59.9
	Surgformer [34]	86.1 ± 7.3	81.5	70.8	62.4
MoSFormer(ours)	<b>88.0 ± 6.7</b>	84.1	<b>73.2</b>	<b>66.2</b>	

**Implementation Details.** For the Surgformer [34] baseline, we strictly follow their published training and testing protocols using their official implementation. For MoSFormer and ablation study, we use the same hyperparameters as the Surgformer baseline and train for 30 epochs. For history encoding we apply the history step size 30. For short-term impression, we take 8 impression tokens from intervals of {64, 128, 192, 256, 320, 384, 448, 512}.

### 3.2 Benchmark Results:

We benchmark our approach against state-of-the-art methods for online surgical phase recognition. Results for Surgformer [34] are obtained using its official implementation and checkpoints, while the remaining baseline results are taken from published papers or reproduced in [25,22]. As demonstrated in Table 1, MoSFormer achieves state-of-the-art performance on most evaluation metrics across all three benchmarks. Notably, on the more challenging AutoLaparo [33] and BernBypass70 [22] datasets, MoSFormer significantly outperforms the Surgformer baseline. On AutoLaparo, MoSFormer improves video-level accuracy by 1.9 percentage points (pp), as well as phase-level precision, recall, and Jaccard index by 2.6pp, 2.4pp, and 3.8pp, respectively. On BernBypass70, it achieves a 2.1pp increase in video-level accuracy along with improvements of 4.6pp, 3.6pp, and

Table 2: Ablation Study results for online surgical phase recognition. "S", "L", and "M" denotes short-term feature, long-term history, and full memory respectively. "M\*" means the counterfactual inference model with ground truth history.

Datasets	Methods	Video-level Accuracy	Phase-level		
			Precision	Recall	Jaccard/F1
BernBypass70 [22]	Surgformer [34]	85.9 ± 12.3	66.1	65.1	62.5
	MoSFormer - L	87.8 ± 13.6 (+1.9)	68.7 (+2.6)	68.1 (+3.0)	65.2 (+2.7)
	MoSFormer - S	87.4 ± 12.5 (+1.5)	70.2 (+4.1)	67.8 (+2.7)	65.6 (+3.1)
	MoSFormer - M	88.0 ± 13.0 (+2.1)	70.7 (+4.6)	68.7 (+3.6)	66.3 (+3.8)
	MoSFormer - M*	89.2 ± 11.9 (+3.3)	71.9 (+5.8)	69.8 (+4.7)	68.0 (+5.5)

Table 3: Case Study of Counterfactual Inference.

Video Clip	Ground Truth	Surgformer Prediction	MoSFormer Original History	Erased History	MoSFormer Counterfactual History
BBP02 3100-3130	P5	P1	P5	P2,P3,P4,P5	P2
BBP21 3070-3100	P8	P4	P8	P5,P6,P7,P8	P4
BBP29 1330-1360	P4	P2	P4	P3,P4	P2
BBP45 1430-1460	P2	P1	P4	P4	P2

3.8pp in phase-level precision, recall, and F1 scores. These promising results validate the effectiveness of MoSFormer architecture.

### 3.3 Ablation Study:

We select BernBypass70 [22] for the ablation study because it is challenging and has the largest overall scale among the three benchmarks.

**Effectiveness of Key Components.** To assess effectiveness of key components, We separately add long-term history and short-term impression to the baseline Surgformer [34], resulting in two variants: MoSFormer-S (short-term impression) and MoSFormer-L (long-term history). Finally, we combine both memory components to form MoSFormer-M, the final version of our model. As shown in Table 2, incorporating either long-term history or short-term impression individually results in significant performance improvements. These findings indicate that short-term impression and long-term history can be effectively harmonized to form a comprehensive Memory of Surgery representation, thereby advancing the accuracy and robustness of surgical phase recognition. Figure 2 shows a qualitative comparison between MoSFormer and Surgformer on randomly selected videos. In the illustration, the MoSFormer shows overall better temporal consistency comparing to the Surgformer baseline and less unexpected procedural level mistakes (e.g. Phase 0 after phase 1 in the second and fourth video).

**Counterfactual Inference.** To further evaluate the effectiveness of MoS, we leverage the semantic interpretability of the long-term history design and perform counterfactual inference experiments, including a case study and a comprehensive quantitative analysis. First, we intervene on the memory by altering the history




Fig. 2: Qualitative Comparison.

representation, which under certain causal models can have a counterfactual inference. For case study, we extract four short video clips, shown in 2, where the Surgformer baseline consistently misclassifies the phase. For each clip, we compare prediction results in two scenarios: with real history input (derived from previous predictions) and with intervened history input. Intervened inputs are created by modifying specific history entries, changing their mask values from 1 to 0, effectively erasing the corresponding history phases. The results are summarized in Table 3. As shown, for the first three rows, both Surgformer and MoSFormer using intervened history generate incorrect predictions. In contrast, MoSFormer with original history correctly identifies the labels, indicating that it leverages the enriched temporal context provided by MoS to resolve ambiguous visual cues. In the last row, MoSFormer initially makes an incorrect prediction due to errors in the original history. When the erroneous history is corrected, its performance improves further. This is further validated by the quantitative study where we counterfactually feed in ground truth history to the MoSFormer-M model, further improving 1.2 video-level accuracy and 1.7 phase-level F1 score.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented MoS, a complementary approach for integrating augmented temporal context into sliding-window-based ViT architectures for surgical phase recognition. By leveraging procedural-level understanding from short-term impressions and long-term history, MoSFormer advances the state-of-the-art in both accuracy and temporal consistency for surgical phase recognition. The concept of maintaining and incorporating memory extends beyond surgical phase recognition, offering a generalizable framework for broader surgical video analysis tasks. This approach has the potential to augment the understanding of lengthy, temporally rich procedures. Furthermore, the feature encoding, fusion, and memory caching mechanisms introduced here provide practical techniques for preserving and utilizing video-level context throughout training and inference. The semantic interpretable history design also enables counterfactual inference, facilitating more explainable deployment strategies. Altogether, these contributions pave the way for more comprehensive research in surgical video analysis.

**Acknowledgments.** This research is supported by a collaborative research agreement with the MultiScale Medical Robotics Center at The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

## References

1. Bhatia, B., Oates, T., Xiao, Y., Hu, P.F.: Real-time identification of operating room state from video. In: In Proc. AAAI (2007)
2. Blum, T., Feußner, H., Navab, N.: Modeling and segmentation of surgical workflow from laparoscopic video. In: In Proc. MICCAI (2010)
3. Blum, T., Padoy, N., Feußner, H., Navab, N.: Modeling and online recognition of surgical phases using hidden markov models. In: In Proc. MICCAI (2008)
4. Cadène, R., Robert, T., Thome, N., Cord, M.: M2CAI workflow challenge: Convolutional neural networks with time smoothing and hidden markov model for video frames classification. CoRR [abs/1610.05541](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.05541) (2016)
5. Cheng, H.K., Oh, S.W., Price, B., Lee, J.Y., Schwing, A.: Putting the object back into video object segmentation (2024), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.12982>
6. Cheng, H.K., Schwing, A.G.: Xmem: Long-term video object segmentation with an atkinson-shiffrin memory model (2022), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.07115>
7. Cheng, H.K., Tai, Y.W., Tang, C.K.: Rethinking space-time networks with improved memory coverage for efficient video object segmentation (2021), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.05210>
8. Czempiel, T., Paschali, M., Keicher, M., Simson, W., Feussner, H., Kim, S.T., Navab, N.: Tecno: Surgical phase recognition with multi-stage temporal convolutional networks. In: In Proc. MICCAI (2020)
9. Ding, H., Gao, Z., Planche, B., Luan, T., Sharma, A., Zheng, M., Lou, A., Chen, T., Unberath, M., Wu, Z.: Neural finite-state machines for surgical phase recognition. arXiv preprint [arXiv:2411.18018](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.18018) (2024)
10. Ding, H., Zhang, Y., Shu, H., Lian, X., Kim, J.W., Krieger, A., Unberath, M.: Towards robust algorithms for surgical phase recognition via digital twin-based scene representation. arXiv preprint [arXiv:2410.20026](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.20026) (2024)
11. Dosovitskiy, A., Beyer, L., Kolesnikov, A., Weissenborn, D., Zhai, X., Unterthiner, T., Dehghani, M., Minderer, M., Heigold, G., Gelly, S., Uszkoreit, J., Houlsby, N.: An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. In: In Proc. ICLR (2021)
12. Farha, Y.A., Gall, J.: MS-TCN: multi-stage temporal convolutional network for action segmentation. In: In Proc. CVPR (2019)
13. Funke, I., Rivoir, D., Speidel, S.: Metrics matter in surgical phase recognition. arXiv preprint [arXiv:2305.13961](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.13961) (2023)
14. Gao, X., Jin, Y., Dou, Q., Heng, P.: Automatic gesture recognition in robot-assisted surgery with reinforcement learning and tree search. In: In Proc. ICRA (2020)
15. Gao, X., Jin, Y., Long, Y., Dou, Q., Heng, P.: Trans-svnet: Accurate phase recognition from surgical videos via hybrid embedding aggregation transformer. In: In Proc. MICCAI (2021)
16. Graves, A., Wayne, G., Danihelka, I.: Neural turing machines. arXiv preprint [arXiv:1410.5401](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.5401) (2014)
17. Hochreiter, S., Schmidhuber, J.: Long short-term memory. *Neural Comput.* (1997)
18. Jin, Y., Dou, Q., Chen, H., Yu, L., Qin, J., Fu, C., Heng, P.: Sv-rcnet: Workflow recognition from surgical videos using recurrent convolutional network. *IEEE Trans. Medical Imaging* (2018)

19. Jin, Y., Li, H., Dou, Q., Chen, H., Qin, J., Fu, C., Heng, P.: Multi-task recurrent convolutional network with correlation loss for surgical video analysis. *Medical Image Anal.* (2020)
20. Jin, Y., Long, Y., Chen, C., Zhao, Z., Dou, Q., Heng, P.: Temporal memory relation network for workflow recognition from surgical video. *IEEE Trans. Medical Imaging* (2021)
21. Killeen, B.D., Zhang, H., Mangulabnan, J., Armand, M., Taylor, R.H., Osgood, G., Unberath, M.: Pelphix: Surgical phase recognition from x-ray images in percutaneous pelvic fixation. In: *In Proc. MICCAI* (2023)
22. Lavanchy, J.L., Ramesh, S., Dall’Alba, D., Gonzalez, C., Fiorini, P., Müller-Stich, B.P., Nett, P.C., Marescaux, J., Mutter, D., Padoy, N.: Challenges in multi-centric generalization: phase and step recognition in roux-en-y gastric bypass surgery. *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery* (2024)
23. Lea, C., Vidal, R., Reiter, A., Hager, G.D.: Temporal convolutional networks: A unified approach to action segmentation. In: *ECCV Workshops* (2016)
24. Liu, Y., Boels, M., García-Peraza-Herrera, L.C., Vercauteren, T., Dasgupta, P., Granados, A., Ourselin, S.: Lovit: Long video transformer for surgical phase recognition. *CoRR* [abs/2305.08989](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.08989) (2023)
25. Liu, Y., Huo, J., Peng, J., Sparks, R., Dasgupta, P., Granados, A., Ourselin, S.: Skit: a fast key information video transformer for online surgical phase recognition. In: *Proc. ICCV* (2023)
26. Oh, S.W., Lee, J.Y., Xu, N., Kim, S.J.: Video object segmentation using space-time memory networks. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)* (October 2019)
27. Padoy, N., Blum, T., Ahmadi, S., Feußner, H., Berger, M., Navab, N.: Statistical modeling and recognition of surgical workflow. *Medical Image Anal.* (2012)
28. Padoy, N., Blum, T., Feußner, H., Berger, M., Navab, N.: On-line recognition of surgical activity for monitoring in the operating room. In: *In Proc. AAAI* (2008)
29. Shen, Y., Guo, P., Wu, J., Huang, Q., Le, N., Zhou, J., Jiang, S., Unberath, M.: Movit: Memorizing vision transformers for medical image analysis. In: *International Workshop on Machine Learning in Medical Imaging*. pp. 205–213. Springer (2023)
30. Twinanda, A.P., Shehata, S., Mutter, D., Marescaux, J., de Mathelin, M., Padoy, N.: Endonet: A deep architecture for recognition tasks on laparoscopic videos. *IEEE Trans. Medical Imaging* (2017)
31. Valderrama, N., Puentes, P.R., Hernández, I., Verlyk, N.A.M., Santander, J., Caicedo, J., Fernández, N., Arbeláez, P.: Towards holistic surgical scene understanding. In: *In Proc. MICCAI* (2022)
32. Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A.N., Kaiser, L., Polosukhin, I.: Attention is all you need. In: *In Proc. NIPS* (2017)
33. Wang, Z., Lu, B., Long, Y., Zhong, F., Cheung, T.H., Dou, Q., Liu, Y.: Autolaparo: A new dataset of integrated multi-tasks for image-guided surgical automation in laparoscopic hysterectomy. In: *In Proc. MICCAI* (2022)
34. Yang, S., Luo, L., Wang, Q., Chen, H.: Surgformer: Surgical transformer with hierarchical temporal attention for surgical phase recognition. In: *In Proc. MICCAI* (2024)
35. Yi, F., Jiang, T.: Hard frame detection and online mapping for surgical phase recognition. In: *In Proc. MICCAI* (2019)
36. Zhao, Y., Krähenbühl, P.: Real-time online video detection with temporal smoothing transformers. In: *Proc. ECCV* (2022)