Magnetic order and spin dynamics across the ferromagnetic quantum critical point in $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$

H. K. Dara,^{1, 2, *} S. S. Islam,^{3, †} A. Magar,⁴ D. Patra,⁵ H. Luetkens,³ T. Shiroka,^{3, 6} R. Nath,^{4, ‡} and D. Samal^{1, 2, §}

¹Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg, Bhubaneswar 751005, India

²Homi Bhabha National Institute, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400085, India

³PSI Center for Neutron and Muon Sciences CNM, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

⁴School of Physics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram-695551, India

⁵Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India

⁶Laboratorium für Festkörperphysik, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

Realizing a quantum critical point (QCP) in clean ferromagnetic (FM) metals has remained elusive due to the coupling of magnetization to the electronic soft modes that drive the transition to be of first order. However, by introducing a suitable amount of quenched disorder, one can still establish a QCP in ferromagnets. In this study, we ascertain that the itinerant ferromagnet Ni_{1-x}Mo_x exhibits a FM QCP at a critical doping of $x_c \simeq 0.125$. Through magnetization and muon-spin relaxation measurements, we demonstrate that the FM ordering temperature is suppressed continuously to zero at x_c , while the magnetic volume fraction remains 100% up to x_c , indicating a second-order phase transition. The QCP is accompanied by a non-Fermi liquid behavior, as evidenced by the logarithmic divergence of the specific heat and the linear temperature dependence of the low-temperature resistivity. Our findings reveal a minimal effect of disorder on the critical spin dynamics of Ni_{1-x}Mo_x at x_c , highlighting it as one of the rare systems to exhibit a clean FM QCP.

Quantum phase transitions (QPTs) are the key to understanding the ground-state properties and the low-energy emergent collective excitations in a wide class of quantum materials, ranging from high- T_c superconductors and heavy-fermion compounds to low-dimensional systems [1-4]. A QPT is driven by strong quantum fluctuations between competing ground states in the vicinity of a quantum critical point (QCP) [1, 2]. A QCP can be attained by suppressing a second-order transition at finite temperature towards T = 0 K by varying non-thermal control parameters, such as chemical doping (x), external pressure (p), and magnetic field (H), which can lead to novel quantum states, as non-Fermi liquid (NFL) behavior or unconventional superconductivity [3, 5-10].

Over the years, magnetic QCPs accompanied by NFL behavior have been extensively studied at the boundary between the antiferromagnetic (AFM) and paramagnetic (PM) phases in heavy-fermion metals [3, 6, 7]. On the other hand, QCPs in ferromagnetic (FM) metals have proven far more difficult to obtain, as they are inherently unstable [11, 12]. The reason for it is ascribed to the coupling of the order parameter (magnetization) to the low-energy spin fluctuations. This coupling drives the phase transition to be of first order (i.e., discontinuous) or triggers the onset of a spin-density-wave (SDW) phase with spatially modulated magnetic order/glassy phase at a finite temperature [11–15]. Indeed, clean 3*d*-electron based weak itinerant ferromagnets, like ZrZn₂ [16], FeGe [17], and MnSi [18, 19], exhibit a first-order transition when the T_c is suppressed to sufficiently

low temperature under applied external pressure. Interestingly, the theoretical proposal by Belitz, Kirkpatrick, and Vojta (BKV) on disorder dependence of the FM-QPT suggests that it is possible to restore the second-order FM-QCP in metals by introducing an appropriate level of quenched disorder that suppresses the low-energy fluctuations [12, 20, 21]. However, in the strong disorder limit, there is the possibility of observing a Griffiths- or a phase separation that may hinder the realization of a clean FM-QCP [22–28].

So far there are a few itinerant *d*-electron systems, such as, $UCo_{1-x}Fe_xGe$ [29], $Mn_{1-x}Cr_xSi$ [30], (Mn,Fe)Si [31], and Ni_{1-x}Rh_x [32], reported to exhibit a clean FM-OCP based on the BKV formalism. In the context of Ni-based binary alloys, efforts have been made to explore QCPs by diluting the Ni magnetic interactions by alloying it with either paramagnetic or antiferromagnetic metals in the $Ni_{1-x}M_x$ (M = V, Cr, Rh, Pd, etc.) alloys [25, 26, 32–36]. While $Ni_{1-x}V_x$ [25, 26] and $Ni_{1-x}Cr_x$ [33, 34] do not feature a QCPs, but rather a quantum Griffiths phase (QGP), there is no definitive proof whether a clean QCP exists in $Ni_{1-x}Pd_x$, as it is susceptible to Ni clustering that leads to inhomogenous alloys [36]. Remarkably, Ni_{1-x}Rh_x ($x_c = 0.375$) is the only member of the Ni-based binary alloys reported to exhibit a clean disorder-induced second-order FM-QCP upon dilution of the *d*-electron magnetic site, based on the BKV formalism [32]. Clearly, the scarcity of delectron-based magnets with clean second-order FM-OCP drives the search for new candidates capable of providing new insights into the effects of quenched disorder on the FM-OCP. Expanding the range of such materials is also essential to identifying the key factors that enable the formation of a FM-QCP. Compared to more demanding experimental techniques, such as applied external pressure or magnetic field, the controlled chemical substitution is a more feasible and versatile approach to examine the above phenomena.

^{*} These authors contributed equally.

[†] shams.islam@psi.ch; These authors contributed equally.

[‡] rnath@iisertvm.ac.in

[§] dsamal@iopb.res.in

In this letter, we report the discovery of a clean FM QCP in Ni_{1-x}Mo_x based on the compelling evidence of (*i*) a continuous suppression of T_c up to the critical concentration ($x_c \simeq 0.125$) via a second-order phase transition, (*ii*) the breakdown of Fermi-liquid behavior across the critical concentration, as evident from the resistivity and heat-capacity data, and (*iii*) the absence of a phase separation close to x_c , as revealed by the µSR data.

Polycrystalline Ni_{1-x}Mo_x ($0 \le x \le 0.16$) samples were prepared by arc melting Ni and Mo. Magnetization measurements were carried out using a SQUID magnetometer (MPMS, Quantum Design). Heat capacity and resistivity (current I = 50 mA and frequency f = 2.1 Hz) were measured using a physical property measurement system (PPMS, Quantum Design). The muon-spin relaxation (µSR) experiments under zero field (ZF) and longitudinal field (LF), the latter being applied along the initial muon-spin polarization, were performed at the Dolly spectrometer (π E1 beamline) at the Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland. In the current work, all the ZF/LF muonspin polarization curves are plotted after normalizing the respective asymmetries. Details about the experimental methods and sample characterization are provided in the Supplementary Material (SM) [37].

Ni [bulk Ni has a face-centered cubic (fcc) structure] is reported to exhibits a second order PM to FM transition at $T_c \simeq 627 \text{ K}$ [38]. From the temperature dependent magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ we observe that upon substituting Mo in place of Ni, the FM transition temperature decreases continuously down to 3 K for x = 0.10, as depicted in Fig. 1(a). For x > 0.11, T_c is suppressed down to the lowest accessible temperature of 2 K [see Fig. S2(b) [37]]. The Curie-Weiss temperature (θ_{CW}) and the effective paramagnetic moment (p_{eff}), obtained from a modified Curie-Weiss (CW)-law fit to the $\chi^{-1}(T)$ data, exhibit a clear decrease with increasing x (see SM [37]), suggesting a reduction in FM correlations as a consequence of the magnetic dilution via the Mo-for-Ni replacement.

The crossover of θ_{CW} from positive to negative [see Fig. 1(c)] implies that the critical concentration (x_c) is around 0.12. Our experimental findings are consistent with the theoretical prediction that T_c may approach zero for $x \simeq 0.12$ [39, 40]. The itinerant FM character of $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$ alloys can be understood by estimating the Rhodes-Wohlfarth ratio, $RWR = p_{eff}/p_s$, with p_s the spontaneous magnetic moment [41]. For x = 0.10 ($T_c \sim 3$ K), RWR is about ~ 38 ($p_{\rm eff}$ and $p_{\rm s}$ values are calculated to be 0.87 $\mu_{\rm B}/f.u.$ and 0.023 $\mu_{\rm B}/f.u.$, respectively). This is much larger than unity, indicative of the weak itinerant FM character of the $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$ alloys near x_c [42]. In addition, the isothermal magnetization M(H) curves were measured at T = 3 K for different values of x [see Figs. S2(c),(d) [37]]. For x < 0.11, the magnetization curves exhibit a typical ferromagnetic behavior. On the other hand, for x > 0.11, a paramagnetic-like behavior is observed.

Next, we discuss the departure of the resistivity and



FIG. 1. (a) DC magnetization $\chi(T)$ measured in a magnetic field of $\mu_0 H = 0.01$ T. (b) log-log plot of $\Delta C_e/T$ vs *T* for different *x* values. (c) and (d): the fitting parameters θ_{CW} , δ , *A*, and *n* are plotted as a function of *x*. The hatched area emphasizes the quantum critical region.

heat capacity data from a Fermi-liquid (FL) behavior around x_c . The normalized temperature-dependent electrical resistivity $[\rho(T)/\rho_{300K}]$ measured in zerofield for x = 0.08 to 0.14 is depicted in Fig. S4 [37]. All the compositions exhibit a typical metallic behavior. The residual resistivity ρ_0 values are of the order of $\mu\Omega$ cm [37], suggesting minimal disorder effects on the quantum critical behavior of $Ni_{1-r}Mo_r$. To examine the electron correlation effect, the data in the low-temperature regime (2 to 75 K) were fitted by $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^n$, where ρ_0 is the residual resistivity, A is the generalized FL coefficient, and n is a temperature exponent. The variation of the fit parameters A and *n* with x is shown in Fig. 1(d). As expected for a FL case, the exponent *n* is close to 2 for x = 0.08. As x increases, the n value decreases (to less than 2), to reach a minimum (n = 1.10) at x = 0.11, and then increases again with x. The decrease in n clearly indicates the breakdown of the FL behavior, here driven by fluctuations in the order parameter. Concurrently, A also increases with x as it approaches the x_c value, to exhibit a maximum for $x \simeq 0.11$.

The electronic contribution to heat capacity (ΔC_{e}) is obtained by subtracting the lattice contribution C_{lat} from the total heat capacity (*C*) (see SM [37]) and is shown in Fig. 1(b) for different x values. For x = 0.08 and 0.14, both far away from x_c , $\Delta C_e/T$ remains nearly constant below \sim 5 K, an indication of FL behavior. On the other hand, for samples with intermediate concentrations (x = 0.09 and 0.13), $\Delta C_e/T$ features a logarithmic increment. This diverging feature in the low-T regime is a fingerprint of the NFL-type behavior near the critical concentration, here fitted using $\Delta C_e/T = -\delta \ln(T/T_0)$ [34, 35, 43]. The obtained δ values, shown in Fig. 1(c), portray a well-defined maximum around the critical concentration $x \simeq 0.11$ that correlates with the parameters obtained from the resistivity data analysis.

In order to gain microscopic insight into the regime



FIG. 2. (a) ZF muon spin polarization curves, $P_{ZF}(t)$ for samples with different Mo concentrations, x = 0.1-0.14, measured at T = 0.27 K. Temperature evolution of the $P_{ZF}(t)$ curves for (b) x = 0.12, (c) x = 0.125, and (d) x = 0.14. Solid lines represent the fits as described in the text. Temperature dependence of the muon-spin relaxation rates for x = 0.12 (inset of b), x = 0.125 (inset of c), and for x = 0.14 (inset of d). Solid-line fits indicate a power-law behavior.

near the FM-QCP, muon-spin relaxation (µSR) experiments were carried out down to 270 mK on samples across x_c (i.e., x = 0.10, 0.12, 0.125, and 0.14). First, by analyzing the T-dependent weak transverse field (wTF) and zero-field (ZF) µSR data, we reveal the nature of the magnetic ground state for x = 0.10(see SM for the details [37]). Our results identify a homogeneous static magnetic order without any phase separation for x = 0.10. Next, we follow the evolution of the static- and dynamic electronic properties with doping. Figure 2(a) depicts the gradual change in the ZF muon-spin polarization, $P_{ZF}(t)$, in $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$ at 0.27 K for different Mo compositions: x = 0.1, 0.12, 0.125, and 0.14. The early-time fastrelaxing component and the spontaneous oscillation associated with the static magnetic order observed for x = 0.1, vanish completely and an exponential type relaxation behavior takes over for $x \ge 0.12$ near the FM QCP. For x = 0.14, $P_{ZF}(t)$ changes it nature from exponential to a pronounced Gaussian type, as expected for a nonmagnetic ground state, where muon spin-depolarization occurs only through interactions with the nuclear dipole moments. For a comparison, in Fig. 2(b-d), we show the T-dependent $P_{TF}(t)$ curves, measured down to 0.27 K, for x = 0.12, 0.125, and 0.14, respectively. A systematic decrease in the T-dependent relaxation is observed with increasing Mo content, from x = 0.12 to 0.14. The observation of a distinct single-component exponential relaxation for x = 0.12 and 0.125 provides strong evidence for a spatially uniform magnetic state throughout the sample volume. It also reinforces the conjecture that, for samples with $x \ge 0.12$, the magnetically ordered volume fraction V_{ord} drops to zero without any sign of phase separation [27, 32, 44]. The $P_{ZF}(t)$ curves for the x = 0.12 and 0.125 samples are modeled by a simple exponential function: $P_{ZF}(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$, with

the extracted muon-spin relaxation rates $\lambda(T)$ being plotted in the insets of Fig. 2(b) and (c), respectively. We find that, for x = 0.12 (0.125), λ stays nearly *T*-independent down to $T^* \simeq 1$ K (0.5 K), below which it increases smoothly following a power law $\lambda \propto T^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \simeq 2.02$ (2.3) due to the slowing down of spin fluctuations. The reason behind the observed anomalous spin dynamics (with $\alpha > 1$) is currently not fully understood. However, it is worth mentioning that such a power-law behavior of $\lambda(T)$, with exponents $\alpha \geq 1$, is seen also in other quantum critical systems, such as YFe₂Al₁₀ ($\alpha \sim 1.2$) [45], Nb_{1.0117}Fe_{1.9883} ($\alpha \sim 1.16$) [46], Nb_{1.0055}Fe_{1.9945} $(\alpha \sim 2.7)$ [46], CePd_{0.84}Ni_{0.16}Al ($\alpha \sim 1.7$) [47], etc. Finally, for x = 0.14, the $P_{ZF}(t)$ curves could be nicely fitted with a static Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe relaxation, $G_{\rm KT} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \sigma^2 t^2 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2}$. The Gaussian relaxation rate σ exhibits a nearly T-independent behavior, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(d).

To distinguish between the static- and dynamic magnetic correlations in $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$ close to the FM QCP, we performed a series of µSR experiments at different longitudinal fields (LFs) at 0.27 K for x = 0.12and 0.125. The measured LF depolarization curves $P_{\rm LF}(t)$ are shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b), respectively. Clearly, the muon spins are completely decoupled in an applied field $B_{\rm LF} \simeq 50$ mT. To better understand the spin dynamics for x = 0.12, the ZF data was fitted to the theoretical Kubo-Toyabe functions for both static (dashed black line) and dynamic (solid black line) relaxation [48]. Thereafter, using the fitted parameters, the static (dashed lines) and dynamic (solid lines) relaxations are simulated for various longitudinal fields. If the muon-spin depolarization were caused only by a static distribution of local fields, the experimental $P_{LF}(t)$ curves for higher longitudinal



FIG. 3. *T*-dependence of LF muon-spin polarization curves, $P_{LF}(t)$ s for (a) x = 0.12, and (b) x = 0.125. In (a), the dashed and solid lines represent simulated depolarization curves using static and dynamic Lorentzian Kubo-Toyabe functions, respectively. Inset of (b) longitudinal field dependence of the dynamic muon-spin relaxation rate λ_L . The dashed lines are Redfield fits, whereas, the solid lines are power-law fits. (c) Muon-spin polarization curves measured under various B_{LF} as function of the scaling variable $t/B_{LF}^{0.75}$ for x = 0.12, 0.125.

fields would resemble the simulated static (dashed lines) relaxation curves [49, 50], which is clearly not the case. Instead, we observe only a partial decoupling. Whereas, the predictions for a dynamical relaxation (solid lines) show a much better agreement (at least at long times). For x = 0.125, all the $P_{\rm LF}(t)$ curves follow a simple exponential behavior. To quantify the evolution of the spin fluctuation rate with the applied LF, the $P_{LF}(t)$ curves of both x = 0.125 and x = 0.12 (shown in Fig.S7 of SM [37]) were fitted by an exponential function, $P_{LF}(t) = e^{-\lambda_L t}$. The fielddependence of the obtained muon-spin relaxation rate, $\lambda_{\rm L}$, for both x = 0.12 and 0.125, is shown in the inset of Fig. 3(b). For applied longitudinal fields $B_{\rm LF} > 1$ mT, $\lambda_{\rm L}$ decreases gradually towards zero following a power law $\lambda_{\rm L} \propto B_{\rm LF}^{-\beta}$, with an exponent $\beta \simeq 0.59 \ (0.62) \text{ for } x = 0.12 \ (0.125).$

We recall that, the LF-dependence of the muonspin depolarization is a direct probe of the Fourier transform of the spin-spin autocorrelation function $q(t) = \langle s_i(t) \cdot s_i(0) \rangle$. According to theory, depending on the behavior of q(t), one can deduce the nature of a magnetic system. For instance, for a magnetically homogeneous system, q(t) is a simple exponential. In case of an inhomogeneous system, q(t)exhibits a power-law or a stretched exponential behavior. To quantify the autocorrelation time τ_c , the LF-dependence of λ_L , for both x = 0.12 and 0.125, is approximated by the Redfield formalism [shown as red solid line in the inset of Fig. **3**(b)] [49, 51, 52]:

$$\lambda_{\rm L}(B_{\rm LF}) = 2\Delta^2 \tau_c / [1 + 2\gamma_{\mu}^2 B_{\rm LF}^2 \tau_c^2].$$
(1)

Here, $\Delta^2 = \gamma_{\mu}^2 \langle B_{loc}^2 \rangle$ describes the time-averaged second moment of the local fluctuating magnetic field distribution H_{loc} at the muon stopping site with a single fluctuation time τ_c . When $k_{\rm B}T \gg \hbar\omega_{\mu}$, the fluctuation-dissipation theorem correlates τ_c with the *q*-independent dynamic susceptibility, $\chi''(\omega_{\mu})$, through the relation $\tau_c = (k_{\rm B}T/\mu_{\rm B}^2)[\chi''(\omega_{\mu})/\omega_{\mu}]$. A satisfactory fit to the $\lambda_{\rm L}(B_{\rm LF})$ data for x = 0.12 yields

 $\Delta^2\simeq 0.11$ MHz and $\tau_c=3.8\times 10^{-7}$ s, becoming $\Delta^2\simeq 0.03$ MHz and $\tau_c=3.7\times 10^{-7}$ s for x=0.125. The resulting τ_c values exceed those of spin-glass systems (e.g., $10^{-8}-10^{-11}$ s, in AuFe or CuMn [53]). Yet, the τ_c values of Ni_{1-x}Mo_x are similar to those reported for the few other FM QCP systems, such as YbNi_4(P_{1-x}As_x)_2 [49, 51], CePd_{0.15}Rh_{0.85} [52], etc. In general, such τ_c values indicate very slow critical spin fluctuations.

Another method to determine the behavior of q(t) is the time-field scaling analysis of the $P_{\rm LF}(t)$ curves [54–56]. For both the $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$ samples with x = 0.12 and 0.125, the $P_{\rm LF}(t)$ curves are found to obey the time-field scaling relation $P_{\rm LF}(t, B_{\rm LF}) =$ $P_{\rm LF}(t/B_{\rm LF}^{\gamma})$ with $\gamma = 0.75$, as shown in Fig. 3(c) and (f). For such low applied LFs, we expect the field dependence to be due to a change in ω_{μ} rather than to the effects of LF on q(t). From the γ value, one can distinguish different types of q(t) behavior. For instance, when q(t) follows a power law, $q(t) = ct^{-\alpha}$, one expects $\gamma = 1 - \alpha < 1$, whereas when q(t) is a stretched exponential, $q(t) = c e^{-(\lambda t)^{\beta}}$, $\gamma = 1 + \beta > 1$. In our case, the observed scaling exponent $\gamma = 0.75$ indicates that the spin-spin autocorrelation function can be well approximated by a power law rather than by a stretched exponential. Our time-field scaling analysis of the LF data strongly suggests that, for both samples, cooperative- and critical spin fluctuations exist, rather than a distribution of local spin-fluctuation rates [54, 55, 57].

In order to substantiate our observation of quantum critical fluctuations and to understand the role of disorder behind the NFL behavior observed in Ni_{1-x}Mo_x with x = 0.12 and 0.125, we compare our µSR data with those of the few other materials showing NFL behavior near quantum criticality. Prominent examples in this category are Ce-FePO [55], CePd_{0.15}Rh_{0.85} [52], UCu_{5-x}Pd_x, (x =1,1.5) [56, 57], etc. There is no universal consensus, whether in these systems the critical fluctuations arise as a consequence of disorder or they are intrinsic to the QCP. In this respect, our ZF and LF- μ SR spectra in $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$ with x = 0.125 mostly show a single-exponential type behavior, similar to that observed in YbNi₄(P_{1-x}As_x)₂ [49, 51], YFe₂Al₁₀ [45], and Nb_{1.0117}Fe_{1.9883} [46]. This may indicate that the degree of disorder is not as stringent as in other critical NFL materials [52, 55, 56] hence, suggesting that disorder-driven mechanisms, such as the Griffiths phase [26], cannot be the driving mechanism behind the observed NFL behavior in our case. Therefore, $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$ for x = 0.125 can be categorized as a comparatively cleaner quantum critical system than its counterparts [26]. Note that, very recently, ac susceptibility measurements have shown a spin-glass-like transition in $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$ with x = 0.095 [58], which could be due to a phase segregation (formation of clusters) of Mo during the sample preparation. In contrast, our µSR data suggest a critical spin dynamics without any indication of spin-glass order near

- S. Sachdev and B. Keimer, Quantum criticality, Phys. Today 64, 29 (2011).
- [2] S. Sachdev, Quantum phase transitions, Phys. World 12, 33 (1999).
- [3] P. Gegenwart, Q. Si, and F. Steglich, Quantum criticality in heavy-fermion metals, Nat. Phys. 4, 186 (2008).
- [4] S. Sachdev, Quantum criticality: competing ground states in low dimensions, Science 288, 475 (2000).
- [5] E. Svanidze, L. Liu, B. Frandsen, B. D. White, T. Besara, T. Goko, T. Medina, T. J. S. Munsie, G. M. Luke, D. Zheng, C. Q. Jin, T. Siegrist, M. B. Maple, Y. J. Uemura, and E. Morosan, Non-Fermi liquid behavior close to a quantum critical point in a ferromagnetic state without local moments, Phys. Rev. X 5, 011026 (2015).
- [6] G. R. Stewart, Non-Fermi-liquid behavior in *d* and *f*-electron metals, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 797 (2001).
- [7] H. von Löhneysen, Fermi-liquid instability at magnetic–nonmagnetic quantum phase transitions, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 200, 532 (1999).
- [8] H. von Löhneysen, A. Rosch, M. Vojta, and P. Wölfle, Fermi-liquid instabilities at magnetic quantum phase transitions, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 1015 (2007).
- [9] E. Schuberth, M. Tippmann, L. Steinke, S. Lausberg, A. Steppke, M. Brando, C. Krellner, C. Geibel, R. Yu, Q. Si, *et al.*, Emergence of superconductivity in the canonical heavy-electron metal YbRh₂Si₂, Science **351**, 485 (2016).
- [10] C. Pfleiderer, Superconducting phases of *f*-electron compounds, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 1551 (2009).
- [11] M. Brando, D. Belitz, F. M. Grosche, and T. R. Kirkpatrick, Metallic quantum ferromagnets, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 025006 (2016).
- [12] D. Belitz, T. R. Kirkpatrick, and T. Vojta, First order transitions and multicritical points in weak itinerant ferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4707 (1999).
- [13] T. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Universal lowtemperature tricritical point in metallic ferromagnets and ferrimagnets, Phys. Rev. B 85, 134451 (2012).
- [14] A. V. Chubukov, C. Pépin, and J. Rech, Instability of

the FM QCP in the x = 0.12 and 0.125 samples.

In summary, based on thermodynamic- and μ SR measurements, we have presented convincing experimental evidence on the occurrence of a second-order FM-QCP in Ni_{1-x}Mo_x alloys at a critical concentration $x_c = 0.125$. The ZF- and LF- μ SR data exclude either a long- or short-range order, as well as any significant influence of disorder on the slow critical fluctuations at the FM QCP. Furthermore, the low-temperature resistivity- and heat-capacity data suggest the breakdown of Fermi-liquid behavior near x_c , due to the presence of quantum critical spin fluctuations. Our findings further emphasize the importance of maintaning a low amount of disorder in order to achieve a clean QCP in the itinerant ferromagnet-based alloys.

H. K. Dara thanks G. Markandeyulu and V. Srinivas for granting access to the sample preparation facilities at IIT Madras and fruitful discussions. R.N. and A.M. acknowledge financial support from SERB, India, bearing sanction Grant No. CRG/2022/000997.

the quantum-critical point of itinerant ferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 147003 (2004).

- [15] G. Conduit, A. Green, and B. Simons, Inhomogeneous phase formation on the border of itinerant ferromagnetism, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 207201 (2009).
- [16] M. Uhlarz, C. Pfleiderer, and S. Hayden, Quantum phase transitions in the itinerant ferromagnet ZrZn₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 256404 (2004).
- [17] P. Pedrazzini, H. Wilhelm, D. Jaccard, T. Jarlborg, M. Schmidt, M. Hanfland, L. Akselrud, H. Yuan, U. Schwarz, Y. Grin, and F. Steglich, Metallic state in cubic FeGe beyond its quantum phase transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 047204 (2007).
- [18] C. Pfleiderer, G. McMullan, S. Julian, and G. Lonzarich, Magnetic quantum phase transition in MnSi under hydrostatic pressure, Phys. Rev. B 55, 8330 (1997).
- [19] C. Pfleiderer, D. Reznik, L. Pintschovius, H. v. Löhneysen, M. Garst, and A. Rosch, Partial order in the nonfermi-liquid phase of MnSi, Nature 427, 227 (2004).
- [20] Y. Sang, D. Belitz, and T. R. Kirkpatrick, Disorder dependence of the ferromagnetic quantum phase transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 207201 (2014).
- [21] T. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Exponent relations at quantum phase transitions with applications to metallic quantum ferromagnets, Phys. Rev. B 91, 214407 (2015).
- [22] T. Vojta, Disorder-induced rounding of certain quantum phase transitions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 107202 (2003).
- [23] T. Vojta, Rare region effects at classical, quantum and nonequilibrium phase transitions, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39, R143 (2006).
- [24] L. Demkó, S. Bordács, T. Vojta, D. Nozadze, F. Hrahsheh, C. Svoboda, B. Dóra, H. Yamada, M. Kawasaki, Y. Tokura, and I. Kézsmárki, Disorder promotes ferromagnetism: Rounding of the quantum phase transition in Sr_{1-x}Ca_xRuO₃, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 185701 (2012).
- [25] S. Ubaid-Kassis, T. Vojta, and A. Schroeder, Quantum

Griffiths phase in the weak itinerant ferromagnetic alloy $Ni_{1-x}V_x$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 066402 (2010).

- [26] R. Wang, A. Gebretsadik, S. Ubaid-Kassis, A. Schroeder, T. Vojta, P. J. Baker, F. L. Pratt, S. J. Blundell, T. Lancaster, I. Franke, *et al.*, Quantum Griffiths phase inside the ferromagnetic phase of Ni_{1-x}V_x, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 267202 (2017).
- [27] Y. J. Uemura, T. Goko, I. M. Gat-Malureanu, J. P. Carlo, P. L. Russo, A. T. Savici, A. Aczel, G. J. MacDougall, J. A. Rodriguez, G. M. Luke, S. R. Dunsiger, A. McCollam, J. Arai, C. Pfleiderer, P. Böni, K. Yoshimura, E. Baggio-Saitovitch, M. B. Fontes, J. Larrea, Y. V. Sushko, and J. Sereni, Phase separation and suppression of critical dynamics at quantum phase transitions of MnSi and (Sr_{1-x}Ca_x)RuO₃, Nat. Phys. **3**, 29 (2007).
- [28] T. Westerkamp, M. Deppe, R. Küchler, M. Brando, C. Geibel, P. Gegenwart, A. Pikul, and F. Steglich, Kondo-cluster-glass state near a ferromagnetic quantum phase transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 206404 (2009).
- [29] K. Huang, S. Eley, P. F. S. Rosa, L. Civale, E. D. Bauer, R. E. Baumbach, M. B. Maple, and M. Janoschek, Quantum critical scaling in the disordered itinerant ferromagnet UCo_{1-x}Fe_xGe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 237202 (2016).
- [30] A. K. Mishra, S. Shanmukharao Samatham, M. R. Lees, and V. Ganesan, Disorder-induced critical exponents near a ferromagnetic quantum critical point in Mn_{1-x}Cr_xSi, Phys. Rev. B 101, 144436 (2020).
- [31] T. Goko, C. J. Arguello, A. Hamann, T. Wolf, M. Lee, D. Reznik, A. Maisuradze, R. Khasanov, E. Morenzoni, and Y. J. Uemura, Restoration of quantum critical behavior by disorder in pressure-tuned (Mn, Fe)Si, npj Quant. Mater. 2, 44 (2017).
- [32] C.-L. Huang, A. M. Hallas, K. Grube, S. Kuntz, B. Spieß, K. Bayliff, T. Besara, T. Siegrist, Y. Cai, J. Beare, G. M. Luke, and E. Morosan, Quantum critical point in the itinerant ferromagnet Ni_{1-x}Rh_x, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 117203 (2020).
- [33] S. Vishvakarma and V. Srinivas, Weak itinerant ferromagnetism and non-Fermi liquid behavior in Ni-TM (TM = Cr, Nb) alloys near critical concentration, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 33, 205803 (2021).
- [34] S. Vishvakarma and V. Srinivas, Non-Fermi liquid behavior and signature of Griffiths phase in Ni-Cr binary alloy, J. Appl. Phys. **129** (2021).
- [35] M. Nicklas, M. Brando, G. Knebel, F. Mayr, W. Trinkl, and A. Loidl, Non-Fermi-liquid behavior at a ferromagnetic quantum critical point in Ni_xPd_{1-x} , Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4268 (1999).
- [36] G. Kalvius, O. Hartmann, R. Wäppling, A. Günther, A. Krimmel, A. Loidl, D. MacLaughlin, O. Bernal, G. Nieuwenhuys, M. Aronson, R. Dickey, M. Maple, A. Amato, and C. Baines, Magnetism of Pd_{1-x}Ni_x alloys near the critical concentration for ferromagnetism, Phys. Rev. B 89, 064418 (2014).
- [37] See the Supplemental Material for further details about sample preparation, x-ray diffraction, and DC magnetization data, which also includes Refs. [5, 27, 44, 50, 59, 60].
- [38] Y. Kraftmakher, Curie point of ferromagnets, Eur. J. Phys. 18, 448 (1997).
- [39] S. Ghosh, N. Das, and A. Mookerjee, Magnetic properties of Ni-Mo single-crystal alloys; theory and experiment, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10, 11773 (1998).
- [40] P. Singh, M. Rahaman, and A. Mookerjee, Magnetic

transitions in $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$ and $Ni_{1-x}W_x$ disordered alloys, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **323**, 2478 (2011).

- [41] P. Rhodes and E. P. Wohlfarth, The effective Curie-Weiss constant of ferromagnetic metals and alloys, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 273, 247 (1963).
- [42] J. M. Santiago, C. L. Huang, and E. Morosan, Itinerant magnetic metals, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 29, 373002 (2017).
- [43] N. S. Sangeetha, L.-L. Wang, A. V. Smirnov, V. Smetana, A.-V. Mudring, D. Johnson, M. Tanatar, R. Prozorov, and D. Johnston, Non-Fermi-liquid types of behavior associated with a magnetic quantum critical point in Sr(Co_{1-x}Ni_x)₂As₂ single crystals, Phys. Rev. B 100, 094447 (2019).
- [44] B. A. Frandsen, L. Liu, S. C. Cheung, Z. Guguchia, R. Khasanov, E. Morenzoni, T. J. S. Munsie, A. M. Hallas, M. N. Wilson, Y. Cai, G. M. Luke, B. Chen, W. Li, C. Jin, C. Ding, S. Guo, F. Ning, T. U. Ito, W. Higemoto, S. J. L. Billinge, S. Sakamoto, A. Fujimori, T. Murakami, H. Kageyama, J. A. Alonso, G. Kotliar, M. Imada, and Y. J. Uemura, Volume-wise destruction of the antiferromagnetic Mott insulating state through quantum tuning, Nat. Commun. 7, 12519 (2016).
- [45] K. Huang, C. Tan, J. Zhang, Z. Ding, D. E. MacLaughlin, O. O. Bernal, P.-C. Ho, C. Baines, L. S. Wu, M. C. Aronson, and L. Shu, Anomalous quantum critical spin dynamics in YFe₂Al₁₀, Phys. Rev. B 97, 155110 (2018).
- [46] J. Willwater, D. Eppers, T. Kimmel, E. Sadrollahi, F. J. Litterst, F. M. Grosche, C. Baines, and S. Süllow, Muon spin rotation and relaxation study on $Nb_{1-y}Fe_{2+y}$, Phys. Rev. B **106**, 134408 (2022).
- [47] I. Ishant, T. Shiroka, O. Stockert, V. Fritsch, and M. Majumder, Frustration-induced quantum criticality in Nidoped CePdAl as revealed by the μ SR technique, Phys. Rev. Res. 6, 023112 (2024).
- [48] R. Kubo and T. Toyabe, A stochastic model for lowfield resonance and relaxation, in *Magnetic Resonance and Relaxation. Proceedings of the XIVth Colloque Ampère*, edited by R. Blinc (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967) pp. 810–823.
- [49] J. Spehling, M. Günther, C. Krellner, N. Yèche, H. Luetkens, C. Baines, C. Geibel, and H.-H. Klauss, Magnetic order and spin dynamics in the proximity of a ferromagnetic quantum critical point: A μSR study of YbNi₄P₂, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 140406 (2012).
- [50] A. Amato and E. Morenzoni, Introduction to Muon Spin Spectroscopy: Applications to Solid State and Material Sciences (Springer, Cham, 2024).
- [51] R. Sarkar, J. Spehling, P. Materne, H. Luetkens, C. Baines, M. Brando, C. Krellner, and H.-H. Klauss, Magnetic order and spin dynamics across a ferromagnetic quantum critical point: μSR investigations of YbNi₄(P_{1-x}As_x)₂, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 121111 (2017).
- [52] D. T. Adroja, A. D. Hillier, J.-G. Park, W. Kockelmann, K. A. McEwen, B. D. Rainford, K.-H. Jang, C. Geibel, and T. Takabatake, Muon spin relaxation study of non-Fermi-liquid behavior near the ferromagnetic quantum critical point in CePd_{0.15}Rh_{0.85}, Phys. Rev. B 78, 014412 (2008).
- [53] Y. J. Uemura, T. Yamazaki, D. R. Harshman, M. Senba, and E. J. Ansaldo, Muon-spin relaxation in AuFe and CuMn spin glasses, Phys. Rev. B 31, 546 (1985).
- [54] K. Ishida, D. E. MacLaughlin, B.-L. Young, K. Okamoto, Y. Kawasaki, Y. Kitaoka, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, R. H. Heffner, O. O. Bernal, W. Higemoto, A. Koda,

R. Kadono, O. Trovarelli, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, Low-temperature magnetic order and spin dynamics in YbRh₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 184401 (2003).

- [55] S. Lausberg, J. Spehling, A. Steppke, A. Jesche, H. Luetkens, A. Amato, C. Baines, C. Krellner, M. Brando, C. Geibel, H.-H. Klauss, and F. Steglich, Avoided ferromagnetic quantum critical point: Unusual short-range ordered state in CeFePO, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 216402 (2012).
- [56] D. E. MacLaughlin, O. O. Bernal, R. H. Heffner, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, M. S. Rose, J. E. Sonier, B. Andraka, R. Chau, and M. B. Maple, Glassy spin dynamics in non-Fermi-liquid $UCu_{5-x}Pd_x$, x = 1.0 and 1.5, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 066402 (2001).
- [57] D. E. MacLaughlin, R. H. Heffner, O. O. Bernal,

K. Ishida, J. E. Sonier, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, M. B. Maple, and G. R. Stewart, Disorder, inhomogeneity and spin dynamics in *f*-electron non-Fermi liquid systems, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **16**, S4479 (2004).

- [58] J.-X. Hsu, R.-Z. Lin, E.-P. Liu, W.-T. Chen, and C.-L. Huang, Magnetic properties of binary alloys $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$ and $Ni_{1-y}Cu_y$ close to critical concentrations, Phys. B: Condens. Matter **695**, 416524 (2024).
- [59] J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, Recent advances in magnetic structure determination by neutron powder diffraction, Phys. B: Condens. Matter 192, 55 (1993).
- [60] L. Vegard, Die Konstitution der Mischkristalle und die Raumfüllung der Atome, Z. Phys. 5, 17 (1921).

Supplementary Material to Magnetic order and spin dynamics across the ferromagnetic quantum critical point in $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$

H. K. Dara,^{1,2,*} S. S. Islam,^{3,†} A. Magar,⁴ D. Patra,⁵ H. Luetkens,³ T. Shiroka,^{3,6} R. Nath,^{4,‡} and D. Samal^{1,2,§}

¹Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg, Bhubaneswar 751005, India

²Homi Bhabha National Institute, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400085, India

³PSI Center for Neutron and Muon Sciences CNM, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

⁴School of Physics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram-695551, India

⁵Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India

⁶Laboratorium für Festkörperphysik, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

S-I. SAMPLE PREPARATION AND X-RAY DIFFRACTION

Polycrystalline samples of Ni_{1-x}Mo_x (x = 0.0-0.14) were prepared by arc-melting the constituent elements Ni and Mo, with a weight loss below 0.2%. The ingots were re-melted several times by flipping the sample after each melting to ensure its chemical homogeneity, followed by annealing at 1270 K. To confirm the crystal structure and phase purity of the sample, powder x-ray diffraction patterns were recorded at room temperature using a PANalytical x-ray diffractometer (XRD) with Cu K α ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) radiation [see Fig. S1(a)]. The Rietveld refinement of the XRD patterns was carried out using the FullProf software [S1]. All the XRD patterns could be refined well using the cubic space group $Fm\overline{3}m$ and the lattice constant a = 3.512 Å of metallic Ni as a starting parameter. As shown in Fig. S1(b), the lattice constant increases linearly with the Mo concentration x and is consistent with the Vegard's law [S2]. This suggests that Mo is indeed substituted at the Ni site. The increase in lattice constant with x can be ascribed to the larger atomic radius of Mo (1.363 Å) compared to Ni (1.246 Å).

S-II. DC MAGNETIZATION

Figures S2(a) and (b) present the temperature dependent dc magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T) \equiv M/H$, where *M* is the magnetization and *H* is the applied field) for all the Ni_{1-x}Mo_x samples (x = 0.06-0.14), measured at $\mu_0H = 0.01$ T. As *x* increases, the ferromagnetic transition (T_c) shifts systematically towards lower temperatures and is suppressed below 2K for x > 11%. Therefore, for higher concentrations *x*, in Fig. S2(b) we show $\chi(T)$ only in the low-temperature regime to highlight the purely paramagnetic nature of the compounds. Similarly, *M*-vs-*H* magnetic isotherms, measured at T = 3 K for all the compositions (x = 0.06-0.14) are shown in Figs. S2(c) and (d). For *x* values below 11%, *M* features a sudden jump with increasing

TABLE S1. Curie-Weiss temperature θ_{CW} , temperature independent susceptibility χ_0 , spontaneous moment p_s , and effective paramagnetic moment p_{eff} for the Ni_{1-x}Mo_x samples.

x (%)	$\theta_{\rm CW}$ (K)	$\chi_0 \text{ (cm}^3/\text{mol)}$	p_s ($\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm f.u.}$)	$p_{\rm eff}~(\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm f.u.})$
0.0	_	_	0.600	_
2	545.5	-5.4×10^{-6}	0.501	-
4	466.9	2.66×10^{-6}	0.376	-
6	276.06	-17.5×10^{-4}	0.214	2.08
7	208.9	-6.65×10^{-4}	0.168	1.69
8	148.9	-1.11×10^{-4}	0.115	1.39
9	100.2	1.45×10^{-4}	0.065	1.08
10	28.5	1.21×10^{-4}	0.023	0.91
11	0.14	2.82×10^{-4}	_	0.61
12	-37.42	2.05×10^{-4}	_	0.57
12.5	-67.19	1.74×10^{-4}	_	0.50
13	-189	1.52×10^{-4}	-	0.46

field, to saturate completely at low applied fields, as expected for a ferromagnetic material. However, for $x \ge 12\%$, M does not show a complete saturation, rather, it behaves more like a paramagnet. This is a further indication that, for $x \ge 12\%$, T_c is suppressed below 3 K. For all the FM samples (x < 11%), the M data in high fields were fitted by a straight line and extrapolated to zero-field. From the intercept at the *y*-axis, the saturation magnetization (M_s) was estimated for different values of x and is tabulated in Table S1.

The inverse magnetic susceptibility $1/\chi$ as a function of temperature is plotted in Fig. S3. For lower concentrations, at high temperatures, $1/\chi$ exhibits a linear behavior and is fitted well by the modified Curie-Weiss law, $\chi = \chi_0 + \frac{C}{T - \theta_{CW}}$, where *C* is the Curie-Weiss constant, θ_{CW} represents the characteristic Curie-Weiss temperature, and χ_0 is the temperature independent susceptibility that takes into account the intrinsic core diamagnetism and Pauli paramagnetism. From the value of *C*, the effective moment is calculated as $p_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{3k_{\text{B}}C/N_{\text{A}}}$, where N_{A} is the Avogadro number and k_{B} is the Boltzmann constant. The obtained parameters (θ_{CW} , χ_0 , and p_{eff}) and p_{s} values are summarized in Table S1 for all the compositions.

To gain further insight, the isothermal magnetization M(H) curves were measured at T = 3 K for different values of x [see Figs. S2(c), (d)]. For x values below 0.11, M saturates quickly at low fields and the magnetization curves

^{*} These authors contributed equally.

[†] shams.islam@psi.ch; These authors contributed equally.

[‡] rnath@iisertvm.ac.in

[§] dsamal@iopb.res.in



FIG. S1. (a) Room temperature x-ray diffraction patterns for different concentrations of Mo. (b) Variation of the lattice constant with Mo content x. The solid red line represents a linear fit to the data. (c) Unit cell of $Ni_{1-x}Mo_x$, with the colored spherical sectors representing the partial substitution of Mo.

TABLE S2. Residual resistivity ρ_0 , *A* coefficient, and *n* exponent for Mo concentration x = 0.08 to 0.14.

x (%)	$ ho_0$ (μΩ cm)	$A (n\Omega \operatorname{cm} \cdot \operatorname{K}^{-n})$	n
8	44	0.123	2
9	43	3	1.5
10	60	9.96	1.2
11	72	10.9	1.10
12	82	6.44	1.19
14	109	2.49	1.22

are reminiscent of typical ferromagnets. However, as x increases, the absolute value of saturation magnetization M_s decreases systematically, following a similar trend to that of T_c . At the same time, for x > 0.11, the shape of the isotherms is different, reflecting the paramagnetic behavior of the compounds.

S-III. RESISTIVITY

The experimental resistivity data were fitted by a power law, $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^n$, in the temperature range 2 to 75 K, as shown in the Fig. S4. The obtained fitting parameters (ρ_0 , *A*, and *n*) are summarized in Table S2.

S-IV. HEAT CAPACITY

The low-*T* heat capacity (*C*) measured in zero-field for different values of x is presented in Fig. S5. Typically, for a metallic system, *C* has two major contributions

$$C/T = \gamma + \beta T^2, \tag{S1}$$

where the first term accounts for the electronic contribution $(C_{\rm el})$ and the second term is due to the lattice part $(C_{\rm lat})$. In Fig. S4, we have plotted C/T vs T^2 , which shows a linear region for T > 10 K for all the *x* values. The obtained parameters (γ and β) from the linear fit in this regime are tabulated in Table. S3. For T < 10 K, the data deviate from the fit, a deviation which becomes more pronounced as *x* approaches x_c , likely due to the enhancement of critical fluctuations. The electronic contribution (ΔC_e), obtained by subtracting $C_{\rm lat}$ from the total *C*, is shown in Fig. 1(b) for different *x* values. From the fit values obtained for β and γ , one can evaluate the Debye temperature $\theta_{\rm D}$ and the density of states at the Fermi level $[D(E_{\rm F})]$ as

$$\theta_{\rm D} = \left(\frac{12\pi^4 Rn}{5\beta}\right)^{1/3},\tag{S2}$$

$$D(E_{\rm F}) = 3\gamma/\pi^2 k_{\rm B}^2 N_{\rm A}.$$
 (S3)

Here, *n* is the number of atoms per formula unit (n = 1), γ is the Sommerfield coefficient, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, N_A is the Avogadro constant, and *R* is the gas constant. The



FIG. S2. (a) and (b): DC magnetic susceptibility vs *T* for different Mo concentrations (x = 6% to 14%) measured at $\mu_0 H = 0.01$ T. (c) and (d): Isothermal magnetization curves measured at T = 3 K for the same compositions.



FIG. S3. Inverse susceptibility $1/\chi$ vs T for different x values. Solid red lines represents fits using a modified Curie-Weiss law.

estimated parameters β and θ_D are tabulated in Table S3 along with the γ and δ -values.

S-V. μ SR

Implanted muons can detect internal fields as low as 10^{-5} T, thus being among the most sensitive probes of the absence of frozen disordered magnetic moments in a material [S3]. Moreover, µSR can probe the local order parameter and the magnetically ordered volume fraction independently. We recall that the order parameter is indicative of the type of thermal phase transition (first/second-order) [S4]. The volume fraction, instead, allows one to unambiguously dis-

tinguish between a phase separation and a homogeneous magnetic order [S5]. Therefore, μ SR serves as an indispensable local experimental technique to study QCP.

In a typical muon-spin rotation (μ SR) experiment, spinpolarized muons are implanted into a sample. Implanted muons generally stop at interstitial sites inside the sample, where their spins start to precess about the local magnetic field. The precessing muons decay with an average life time of 2.2 μ s, producing two neutrinos and a positron. The direction of positron emission lies preferentially along the initial direction of the muon spin. Thus, the time evolution of the decay positrons provides direct information about the muon-spin polarization. Emitted positrons are detected in forward (F) and backward (B) detectors placed along

TABLE S3. Variation of γ , β , δ , θ_D , and $D(E_F)$ with x for Ni_{1-x}Mo_x (x = 0.08 to 0.14).

x (%)	$\gamma \text{ (mJ/mol}\cdot\text{K}^2\text{)}$	β (mJ/mol·K ⁴)	δ (mJ/mol·K ²)	$\theta_{\rm D}$ (K)	$D(E_{\rm F})$ (states/eV·f.u.)
8	8.57	0.0307	_	36.97	3.67
9	8.7	0.0274	1.9	374.92	3.69
10	8.77	0.0247	4.48	388.11	3.72
11	8.09	0.0282	5.14	371.34	3.43
12	7.34	0.0297	2.84	364.98	3.11
13	6.31	0.0290	1	367.89	2.68
14	6.36	0.0284	-	370.46	2.70



FIG. S4. $\rho(T)/\rho_{300K}$ vs *T*, for x = 0.08 to 0.14. The solid line is a fit using $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^n$ in the low temperature regime.



FIG. S5. C/T vs T^2 plots with the solid lines representing linear fits as described in the text.

the initial muon-spin polarization direction. Histograms $N_{\rm F}(t)$ and $N_{\rm B}(t)$ record the number of positrons detected in the two detectors as a function of time following the muon implantation. The time evolution of the muon polarization

can be inferred from the normalized difference between $N_{\rm F}(t)$ and $N_{\rm B}(t)$ and is given by the asymmetry function:

$$A(t) = \frac{N_{\rm F}(t) - \alpha N_{\rm B}(t)}{N_{\rm F}(t) + \alpha N_{\rm B}(t)} = A_0 P(t).$$
(S4)

Here, α is an experimental calibration constant, usually determined by applying a weak transverse field (3 mT, in our case). The quantities A(t) and P(t) depend sensitively on the static spatial distribution and the fluctuations of the magnetic environment of the muons.

A selection of muon-spin polarization $P_{\text{TF}}(t)$ curves, measured under a weak transverse field (wTF) of 3 mT with decreasing temperature (from 10 K to 2.1 K) are shown in Fig. S6(a). In a typical wTF-µSR experiment, the spins of muons implanted in a nonmagnetic sample precess under a small applied field, producing a long-lived oscillating signal with maximum amplitude. Conversely, in case of a magnetic order, the implanted muons experience a broad field distribution (due to the vector sum of the applied transverseand the internal field), resulting in a rapid dephasing or in a reduction of the oscillating amplitude. The $P_{\text{TF}}(t)$ curves for all the temperatures were analyzed by using the function:

$$P_{\rm TF}(t) = P_{\rm TF}(0)e^{-\lambda t}\cos(\omega t + \phi). \tag{S5}$$

Here, $P_{\rm TF}(0)$ is the initial polarization (amplitude), proportional to the paramagnetic volume fraction, λ is the exponential damping rate due to the paramagnetic spin fluctuations, ω is the Larmor precession frequency (proportional to the applied field), and ϕ is a phase offset. At 10 K, $P_{\rm TF}(0) \simeq 1$, indicating the lack of a magnetic order. At 2.1 K, $P_{\rm TF}(0) \simeq 1$, indicating the lack of a magnetic order. At 2.1 K, $P_{\rm TF}(0)$ is almost zero, indicating the development of magnetic order in most of the sample volume. The magnetically ordered volume fraction $V_{\rm ord}$ is evaluated from the simple relation $V_{\rm ord} = 1 - P_{\rm TF}(0)$ and shown as empty green diamonds in Fig. S6(c). The *T*-dependence of $V_{\rm ord}$ shows a relatively broad transition, from the paramagnetic state to the magnetic order, with the magnetic- and paramagnetic regions coexisting in the 2.1–5 K interval.

Fig. S6(b) depicts a few representative zero-field polarization curves $P_{\text{ZF}}(t)$, measured in Ni_{1-x}Mo_x for x = 0.1 at different temperatures (from 10 K to 0.27 K). At high temperatures (10 K), $P_{\text{ZF}}(t)$ shows a weakly-decaying Gaussian-like behavior, typically observed in the paramagnetic regime. Here, the dominant depolarization channel is through the dense array of static nuclear dipole moments rather than



FIG. S6. μ SR results in Ni_{1-x}Mo_x, for x = 0.1. (a) wTF- μ SR spectra recorded at various temperatures and the corresponding fits (solid lines), performed using Eq. (S5). (b) ZF- μ SR spectra measured at different temperatures down to 0.27 K. The solid lines are fits using Eq. (S6), as described in the text. (c) The temperature dependence of the magnetically ordered volume fraction. The data extracted from weak-TF μ SR measurements are shown with green diamonds, while those from ZF- μ SR are shown with blue circles. Inset: evolution of the internal magnetic field with decreasing temperature. The red curve represents a mean-field power-law fit. (d) Temperature dependence of the transverse- and longitudinal relaxation rates.

via electronic moments which are fluctuating very rapidly and only mildly depolarizing the implanted muons. Upon cooling below $T \simeq 5$ K, $P_{\rm ZF}(t)$ gradually changes its shape, from weakly Gaussian to exponential-like, indicating the growing contribution of the electronic moments. As the temperature decreases further, an additional fast-relaxing component develops at early times ($t \le 1 \mu s$). Upon further lowering the temperature, at $T \leq 2.4$ K, $P_{ZF}(t)$ eventually develops a fast damped oscillation, involving 2/3 of the total asymmetry and followed by a 1/3 slow relaxing tail. The reason behind the 2/3 oscillating and 1/3 non-oscillating components is the spatial averaging of the internal magnetic fields in polycrystalline samples. Indeed, here 2/3 (1/3) of the internal field components are oriented perpendicular (parallel) to the direction of the initial muon spins, causing a precession (no precession) of the muon spin [S3]. The observation of the 2/3- and 1/3 signal fractions strongly suggests that 100% of the sample volume experiences a homogeneous quasi-static magnetic order without any phase separation [S5, S6]. The coherent oscillation with a single well-defined frequency indicates the presence of a unique dominant muon-stopping site.

To describe the ZF-polarization over the whole measured *T*-range, we used the following fitting model:

$$P(t) = V_{\text{ord}} \left[\frac{2}{3} \cos(\gamma_{\mu} B_{\text{int}} t) e^{-\lambda_{\text{T}} t} + \frac{1}{3} e^{-\lambda_{\text{L}} t} \right] + (1 - V_{\text{ord}}) G_{\text{KT}} e^{-\lambda_{\text{L}} t}.$$
(S6)

Here, V_{ord} is the magnetically ordered volume fraction, B_{int} is the local magnetic field at the muon-stopping site, while λ_{T} and λ_{L} are the transverse- and longitudinal muon-spin relaxation rates. Finally, G_{KT} is the well-known static Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe depolarization function, $G_{\text{KT}} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} (1 - \sigma^2 t^2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2}$. A satisfactory fit to the hightemperature (T = 10 K) data gives $\sigma \simeq 0.04 \,\mu\text{s}^{-1}$, which was then kept fixed for the entire *T*-range while fitting. The magnetically ordered volume fraction V_{ord} , shown in Fig. S6(c),



FIG. S7. LF muon-spin polarization, $P_{LF}(t)$, for x = 0.12 obtained at T = 0.27 K, in various applied longitudinal fields. The solid lines are the fits using a simple exponential function.

increases gradually with decreasing temperature and reaches 100% below $T \simeq 2.4$ K. Simultaneously, a continuous growth of the order parameter, i.e., the internal magnetic field B_{int} is observed with decreasing temperature, as shown in the inset of Fig. S6(c), suggesting a second-order type behavior of the thermal phase transition [S4]. Although the oscillating signal disappears above $T \simeq 2.4$ K, a contribution from the non-oscillating slow-relaxing signal still remains. This gives rise to a gradual decrease of V_{ord} , which reaches zero only at $T \simeq 5$ K [S7], in agreement with the results of the wTF measurements. To extract T_c and the critical exponent β of the order parameter, the *T*-dependence of B_{int} was fitted

- [S1] J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, Recent advances in magnetic structure determination by neutron powder diffraction, Phys. B: Condens. Matter 192, 55 (1993).
- [S2] L. Vegard, Die Konstitution der Mischkristalle und die Raumfüllung der Atome, Z. Phys. 5, 17 (1921).
- [S3] A. Amato and E. Morenzoni, Introduction to Muon Spin Spectroscopy: Applications to Solid State and Material Sciences (Springer, Cham, 2024).
- [S4] B. A. Frandsen, L. Liu, S. C. Cheung, Z. Guguchia, R. Khasanov, E. Morenzoni, T. J. S. Munsie, A. M. Hallas, M. N. Wilson, Y. Cai, G. M. Luke, B. Chen, W. Li, C. Jin, C. Ding, S. Guo, F. Ning, T. U. Ito, W. Higemoto, S. J. L. Billinge, S. Sakamoto, A. Fujimori, T. Murakami, H. Kageyama, J. A. Alonso, G. Kotliar, M. Imada, and Y. J. Uemura, Volume-wise destruction of the antiferromagnetic Mott insulating state through quantum tuning, Nat. Commun. 7, 12519 (2016).
- [S5] Y. J. Uemura, T. Goko, I. M. Gat-Malureanu, J. P. Carlo, P. L.

by the phenomenological power law $B_{int}(T) = B_0 \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{\beta}$, giving $B_0 \simeq 14.2 \text{ mT}$, $\beta \simeq 0.66$, $T_c \simeq 2.5 \text{ K}$. The obtained β is even larger than 0.5, the theoretical value expected for a mean-field model. However, our accuracy of β -value determination is limited by the low density of data points near T_c . Further, the *T*-dependence of the obtained transverse λ_T and longitudinal λ_L relaxation rates is shown in Fig. S6(d). As expected for a long-range magnetic order, λ_L shows a peak at T_c , whereas, λ_T shows a continuous growth below it.

Russo, A. T. Savici, A. Aczel, G. J. MacDougall, J. A. Rodriguez, G. M. Luke, S. R. Dunsiger, A. McCollam, J. Arai, C. Pfleiderer, P. Böni, K. Yoshimura, E. Baggio-Saitovitch, M. B. Fontes, J. Larrea, Y. V. Sushko, and J. Sereni, Phase separation and suppression of critical dynamics at quantum phase transitions of MnSi and $(Sr_{1-x}Ca_x)RuO_3$, Nat. Phys. **3**, 29 (2007).

- [S6] J. Spehling, M. Günther, C. Krellner, N. Yèche, H. Luetkens, C. Baines, C. Geibel, and H.-H. Klauss, Magnetic order and spin dynamics in the proximity of a ferromagnetic quantum critical point: A μ SR study of YbNi₄P₂, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 140406 (2012).
- [S7] E. Svanidze, L. Liu, B. Frandsen, B. D. White, T. Besara, T. Goko, T. Medina, T. J. S. Munsie, G. M. Luke, D. Zheng, C. Q. Jin, T. Siegrist, M. B. Maple, Y. J. Uemura, and E. Morosan, Non-Fermi liquid behavior close to a quantum critical point in a ferromagnetic state without local moments, Phys. Rev. X 5, 011026 (2015).