Detection of the 2175Å UV Bump at z > 7: Evidence for Rapid Dust Evolution in a Merging Reionisation-Era Galaxy

Katherine Ormerod **b**^{1*}, Joris Witstok **b**^{2,3}, Renske Smit **b**¹, Anna de Graaff **b**⁴, Jakob M. Helton **b**⁵, Michael V. Maseda **b**⁶, Irene Shivaei **b**⁷, Andrew J. Bunker **b**⁸, Stefano Carniani **b**⁹, Francesco D'Eugenio **b**^{10,11}, Rachana Bhatawdekar **b**¹³, Jacopo Chevallard **b**⁸, Marijn Franx **b**¹⁴, Nimisha Kumari **b**¹⁵, Roberto Maiolino **b**^{10,11,12}, Pierluigi Rinaldi **b**⁵, Brant Robertson **b**¹⁶, Sandro Tacchella **b**^{10,11}

¹Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, 146 Brownlow Hill, Liverpool, L3 5RF, UK

²Cosmic Dawn Center (DAWN), Copenhagen, Denmark

³Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Jagtvej 128, DK-2200, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁵Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 N. Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

⁷ Centro de Astrobiología (CAB), CSIC-INTA, Ctra. de Ajalvir km 4, Torrejón de Ardoz, E-28850, Madrid, Spain

⁸Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK

⁹Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, I-56126 Pisa, Italy

¹⁰Kavli Institute for Cosmology, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HA, UK

¹¹Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, 19 JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK

¹²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

¹³European Space Agency (ESA), European Space Astronomy Centre (ESAC), Camino Bajo del Castillo s/n, 28692 Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain ¹⁴Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, NL-2300 RA Leiden, Netherlands

¹⁵AURA for European Space Agency, Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive. Baltimore, MD, 21210

¹⁶Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics University of California, Santa Cruz, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz CA 96054, USA

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

Dust is a fundamental component of the interstellar medium (ISM) within galaxies, as dust grains are highly efficient absorbers of UV and optical photons. Accurately quantifying this obscuration is crucial for interpreting galaxy spectral energy distributions (SEDs). The extinction curves in the Milky Way (MW) and Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) exhibit a strong feature known as the 2175Å UV bump, most often attributed to small carbonaceous dust grains. This feature was recently detected in faint galaxies out to $z \sim 7$ suggesting rapid formation channels. Here we report the detection of a strong UV bump in a luminous Lyman-break galaxy at z = 7.11235, GNWY-7379420231, through observations taken as part of the NIRSpec Wide GTO survey. We fit a dust attenuation curve that is consistent with the MW extinction curve within 1σ , in a galaxy just ~ 700 Myr after the Big Bang. From the integrated spectrum, we infer a young mass-weighted age ($t_{\star} \sim 22-59$ Myr) for this galaxy, however spatially resolved SED fitting unveils the presence of an older stellar population ($t_{\star} \sim 252$ Myr). Furthermore, morphological analysis provides evidence for a potential merger. The underlying older stellar population suggests the merging system could be pre-enriched, with the dust illuminated by a merger-induced starburst. Moreover, turbulence driven by stellar feedback in this bursty region may be driving PAH formation through top-down shattering. The presence of a UV bump in GNWY-7379420231 solidifies growing evidence for the rapid evolution of dust properties within the first billion years of cosmic time.

Key words: galaxies: high-redshift - dark ages, reionization - methods: observational - dust, extinction

1 INTRODUCTION

Dust is a fundamental component of the interstellar medium (ISM) within galaxies, and affects the spectral energy distribution (SED) of galaxies over the observable wavelength range. Dust grains absorb

approximately half of the optical and ultraviolet (UV) light and reemit the absorbed energy as infrared light, which has important implications on the observational properties of galaxies (Kennicutt & Evans 2012; Schneider & Maiolino 2024). The dust attenuation curve of a galaxy describes how the integrated luminosity of a galaxy is affected by absorption and scattering of photons along the line of sight (LOS) due to dust in the ISM, and results from a combination

⁴Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, D-69117, Heidelberg, Germany

⁶Department of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 475 N. Charter St., Madison, WI 53706, USA

^{*} E-mail: arikorme@ljmu.ac.uk

of dust grain properties, dust content, and the spatial distribution of dust (e.g., Salim & Narayanan 2020; Markov et al. 2023, 2024). An understanding of a galaxy's attenuation curve is crucial for the derivation of robust physical parameters, which can vary significantly depending on the assumed attenuation curve (e.g., Kriek & Conroy 2013; Shivaei et al. 2020; Reddy et al. 2015; Salim et al. 2016; Salim & Narayanan 2020; Markov et al. 2023).

Dust in galaxies can be characterised using both extinction curves, measured along sightlines to individual stars, and attenuation curves describing the integrated light of galaxies. Attenuation curves incorporate effects arising from the star-dust geometry within galaxies, such as scattering back into the line of sight, and contribution from unobscured stars (Salim & Narayanan 2020). Common examples include the Calzetti attenuation curve (Calzetti et al. 1994, 2000) derived from local starburst galaxies, and the Milky Way (MW) (Cardelli et al. 1989), Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC), and the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) extinction curves (Fitzpatrick & Massa 1986; Gordon et al. 2003, 2024). The MW extinction curve exhibits a 'UV bump' feature at 2175Å, while the LMC extinction curve contains a weaker UV bump, but stronger far ultraviolet (FUV) rise than the MW curve. In general, these dust curves vary in the slope in the UV/optical range, and the presence (or absence) of a UV bump. It has also been shown that galaxies in the local universe exhibit a wide range of dust attenuation curves, which can, for instance, be parameterised with the Salim dust curve (Salim et al. 2018). This parameterisation is a modified Calzetti curve which allows the slope of the curve to vary, and allows for the presence or absence of a UV bump. The UV bump strength is thought to vary with the slope of the dust attenuation curve, such that flatter attenuation curves display a weaker bump strength (Kriek & Conroy 2013; Narayanan et al. 2018). It has also been shown that for galaxies with fixed optical depth, galaxies with higher metallicities have flatter attenuation curves but stronger UV bump strengths (Shivaei et al. 2020), indicating a lower prevalence of the dust grains responsible for the UV bump at low metallicity.

The UV bump is a strong feature seen in the dust attenuation curve of some galaxies, and was first detected in MW sightlines by Stecher (1965). The origin of this feature is not well known (Draine 1989), and was initially suggested to be caused by graphite (Stecher & Donn 1965). Today, this feature is most commonly attributed to nanoparticles containing aromatic carbon (C), such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (e.g., Joblin et al. 1992; Bradley et al. 2005; Shivaei et al. 2022), or nano-sized graphite grains (Li & Draine 2001). Other interpretations, including a random arrangement of microscopic sp² carbon chips, have also been proposed (Papoular & Papoular 2009). PAHs are hydrocarbon molecules with C atoms arranged in a honeycomb structure of fused aromatic rings with peripheral H atoms, and are abundant in the ISM (Tielens 2008).

Beyond the local Universe, this feature has only been seen spectroscopically in metal-enriched galaxies at $0.01 \le z \le 3$ (e.g., Noll et al. 2007; Noll et al. 2009; Shivaei et al. 2022), and was first seen in a galaxy at z > 3 in the spectrum of JADES-GS-z6-0 at z = 6.71 (Witstok et al. 2023), with tentative evidence of a higher peak wavelength than that typically observed within the MW, suggesting a differing mixture of carbonaceous grains (Blasberger et al. 2017). The UV bump has since been detected in individual galaxies at redshifts up to $z \sim 7.55$ (Markov et al. 2023, 2024; Fisher et al. 2025) when the Universe was only ~ 700 Myr old. The presence of the UV bump at such early times challenges existing models of dust formation. Asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars provide a likely origin for PAHs (Latter 1991), and the standard production channel of carbonaceous dust grains is thought to be through low mass ($\leq 3M_{\odot}$) AGB

stars reaching the end of their main-sequence lifetime on timescales exceeding 300 Myr. If this is the dominant production channel of PAHs, the detection of the UV bump at $z \sim 7$ implies that the onset of star formation occurred at $z \ge 10$ (Witstok et al. 2023). For a galaxy where the onset of star formation occurs at z = 10, low-mass AGB stars would begin dust production at $z \sim 7$, so it is expected that supernovae (SNe) instead dominate dust production, with dust producing SNe II occurring ~ 10 Myr after the onset of star formation (see Schneider & Maiolino 2024 for a review). Supporting the idea of early dust production, enhanced carbon-to-oxygen (C/O) ratios are seen in metal-poor stars in the MW, and have now been observed in GS-z12, a galaxy at z = 12.5 (D'Eugenio et al. 2024). While the chemical enrichment pattern of GS-z12 is inconsistent with pure SNe II yields, low-energy Population III SNe yields may explain the C/O lower limit (Vanni et al. 2023; D'Eugenio et al. 2024). Furthermore, it has also been suggested that the slope of the attenuation curve flattens and the strength of the UV bump weakens with increasing redshift due to the grain size distribution changing, with larger dust grains at earlier epochs (Makiya & Hirashita 2022), which could be due to SNe being the prominent channel of dust formation.

The dust attenuation curves of high redshift galaxies remained largely unconstrained until the launch of the *James Webb Space Telescope (JWST*; McElwain et al. 2023; Rigby et al. 2023). With the Near-infrared Spectrograph (NIRSpec; Jakobsen et al. 2022; Böker et al. 2023) onboard *JWST*, we are now able to explore the dust attenuation curves of high redshift galaxies in more detail with successful detections of the UV bump (Witstok et al. 2023; Markov et al. 2023, 2024) out to redshifts of 8, place constraints on the nebular attenuation curve of a galaxy at z = 4.41 (Sanders et al. 2024), and explore the redshift evolution of dust attenuation curves (e.g., Markov et al. 2024), where it has been suggested that the attenuation curve flattens with increasing redshift independent of A_V .

Here, we combine NIRSpec Wide GTO observations with data from the *JWST*/Near-infrared Camera (NIRCam; Rieke et al. 2023) to investigate the presence of a UV bump in a galaxy at z = 7.11235. This system is potentially undergoing a merger, allowing us to explore the implications of these findings for dust formation in the early Universe. This paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 we discuss the observations used in this work, in Section 3 we discuss our methods and analysis, and we place these into the context of galaxy and dust formation in Section 4. Finally, our findings are summarised in Section 5. Throughout this paper, we assume a standard cosmology of $H_0 = 70 \text{ kms}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ and a solar abundance of $12 + \log(O/H) = 8.69$ (Asplund et al. 2021). All magnitudes are quoted in the AB magnitude system (Oke & Gunn 1983), and galaxy sizes refer to the half-light radius.

2 OBSERVATIONS

GNWY-7379420231, at z = 7.11235, was observed as part of the NIRSpec Wide Guaranteed Time Observations (GTO) Program (Maseda et al. 2024, henceforth referred to as Wide). The Wide survey covers the five extragalactic deep fields of the Cosmic Assembly Near-infrared Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS; Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011) with 31 pointings, covering \approx 320 arcmin² in 105 hours. As part of the high priority targets in the Wide survey, IRAC-excess sources from Smit et al. (2015); Roberts-Borsani et al. (2016) are observed, i.e. galaxies at z = 6-8 with strong optical emission lines determined from *Spitzer*/IRAC photometry. At the time of writing, the sample of IRAC-excess sources is made up of 23 galaxies, covering a redshift range of z = 5.66 - 7.65. Through visual inspection of these sources, we identify the presence of a strong UV bump in GNWY-7379420231 only. We show a tentative UV bump detection in EGSZ-9135048459, at z = 6.74, in Appendix A.

GNWY-7379420231 was originally identified as a Lyman break candidate at $z_{\text{phot}} = 8.29$ in Bouwens et al. (2015), and identified as a *Spitzer*/IRAC-excess source in Roberts-Borsani et al. (2016). Subsequent Keck/MOSFIRE observations in Roberts-Borsani et al. (2023) revealed Ly- α emission, confirming a spectroscopic redshift of $z_{\text{Ly}\alpha} = 7.10813 \pm 0.00019$.

2.1 Spectroscopic Observations

The low-resolution spectrum was obtained using PRISM/CLEAR (PRISM hereafter), covering a wavelength range of $0.6\mu m - 5.3\mu m$ at a spectral resolution of $R \approx 100$ (varying from 30 - 300 for a uniformly illuminated shutter; Jakobsen et al. 2022). High-resolution spectra were obtained using the G235H and G395H gratings (and associated F170LP and F290LP filters), providing wavelength coverage of $1.66\mu m - 3.05\mu m$ and $2.78\mu m - 5.14\mu m$, respectively, at a spectral resolution of $R \approx 2700$.

For the PRISM spectrum, 1 exposure with 55 groups was taken, using the NRSIRS2RAPID read-out mode (Rauscher et al. 2017) with a 3-point nodding pattern to cycle through the 3 shutter-slitlet per target. This results in an effective exposure time of 2451 s. The high resolution gratings were nodded between the two outer shutter positions to minimise source self-subtraction in the z = 1 - 3 galaxies in Wide. For the G235H grating, 1 exposure with 55 groups was taken for each of the two nodding positions (1634s total) and for the G395H grating 1 exposure with 60 groups was taken (1780s total).

2.2 NIRSpec Data Reduction

We use the same core reduction process as other NIRSpec Multi-Object Spectroscopy (MOS) GTO surveys (e.g., Curtis-Lake et al. 2023; Cameron et al. 2023; Carniani et al. 2024; Bunker et al. 2024; Saxena et al. 2024) developed by the ESA NIRSpec Science Operations Team (SOT) and GTO teams, as described in Carniani et al. (2024). Most of the pipeline uses the same algorithms as the official Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI) pipeline (Alves de Oliveira et al. 2018; Ferruit et al. 2022), with small survey-specific modifications (Maseda et al. 2024). We use a finer grid in wavelength with regular steps in the 2D rectification process. We also estimate path losses for each source by taking the relative intra-shutter position into account and assuming a point-like morphology, as in Bunker et al. (2024); Curti et al. (2024a). The Wide reduction differs from other GTO reductions in the sigma-clipping algorithm used to exclude outliers when creating the 1D spectrum, which does not work well with a low number of exposures as in Wide, and does not account for Poisson noise from bright pixels, which is typical for many Wide targets. Therefore, the reductions used in this work skip this step.

2.3 NIRCam Imaging

We use NIRCam imaging of the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey (Giavalisco et al. 2004) North (GOODS-N) field, obtained as part of the *JWST* Advanced Deep Extragalactic Survey (JADES; Eisenstein et al. 2023) from programme 1181 (PI: Eisenstein). Nine filters in total are used within these observations: F090W, F115W, F150W, F200W, F277W, F335M, F356W, F410M and F444W. The reduction of these images closely follows the method used in Rieke et al. (2023).

2.4 Aperture Correction

We use NIRCam photometry extracted within Kron apertures with Kron parameter equal to 1.2 ($R_{\text{Kron},s}$) as high signal-to-noise estimates of flux in each filter band. For a galaxy with a Sérsic index of n = 1, $R_{\text{Kron},s}$ corresponds to the half-light radius (Graham & Driver 2005). We use the Kron photometry extracted in apertures with Kron parameter equal to 2.5 (R_{Kron}) to determine the ratio $R_{\text{Kron}}/R_{\text{Kron},s}$ in the F444W band to estimate the amount of flux missed by the smaller apertures. We then correct all $R_{\text{Kron},s}$ fluxes by this factor to obtain our final flux values.

In order to correct the spectrum to account for flux outside the slit, we first derive synthetic photometry of the NIRSpec spectrum using the NIRCam filter curves. We then multiply the spectrum by the median ratio of the scaled $R_{\text{Kron},s}$ photometry to the synthetic photometry, excluding F090W where the source is not detected. The 1D and 2D spectra are shown in Figure 1 with the NIRCam photometry and filter curves.

3 METHODS AND ANALYSIS

3.1 Spectroscopic Redshift

We estimate the spectroscopic redshift with msaexp¹ (Brammer 2023) using EAZY templates (Brammer et al. 2008), as in Maseda et al. (2024). From this, we obtain a spectroscopic redshift of z = 7.11235.

3.2 UV Magnitudes and Slopes

We convert the *JWST* NIRCam F150W apparent magnitude ($m_{\rm UV}$) to give UV absolute magnitude ($M_{\rm UV}$), which is reported in Table 1. We model the UV continuum slope of the galaxy considered here with a power law $F_{\lambda} \propto \lambda^{\beta}$. We measure this slope within the fitting windows defined in Calzetti et al. (1994), excluding the windows redwards of 1833Å to exclude the UV bump region and the CIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 1907, 1909 doublet (CIII]). We include a fitting window at 2580 – 2640Å to reduce the uncertainty on the fit.

We use a Bayesian fitting procedure to fit the rest-frame UV continuum using a PYTHON implementation of the MULTINEST nested sampling algorithm (Feroz et al. 2009), PYMULTINEST (Buchner et al. 2014). We use a Gaussian prior distribution for the power-law index, centred on $\mu_{\beta} = -2$, with a standard deviation of $\sigma_{\beta} = 0.5$, and a flat prior on the normalisation at $\lambda_{rest} = 1500$ Å (between 0 and twice the maximum flux value of the spectrum in the fitting regions). The best-fit value of β is given by the 50th percentile (median) of the posterior distribution, with the 16th and 84th percentiles given as a $\pm 1\sigma$ confidence range. The UV slope fit is shown in Figure 2.

3.3 UV Bump Identification

To determine the robustness of the UV bump feature, we follow the method defined in Witstok et al. (2023). We fit power laws in four adjacent wavelength windows defined by Noll et al. (2007), with power-law indices γ_1 to γ_4 . While the γ_3 region begins at 1920Å in

¹ https://github.com/gbrammer/msaexp



Figure 1. 2D spectrum (top panel) and the 1D spectrum (bottom panel) of GNWY-7379420231 (solid blue line) with photometry overlaid (black points). The location of the 2175Å UV bump is shown by the dashed red vertical line, and the locations of key emission lines are shown by dashed grey lines. The transmission curves for each photometric filter used are also shown. The inset panel shows a PSF-matched RGB image of GNWY-7379420231 (R: F444W, G: F200W, B: F150W) with the location of the NIRSpec slitlets outlined in solid white lines.

Noll et al. (2007), we exclude the region 1920Å $< \lambda_{emit} < 1950Å$ to ensure we avoid contamination from the CIII] doublet. The parameter $\gamma_{34} \equiv \gamma_3 - \gamma_4$ is used to identify the presence of the absorption feature centred on 2175Å, where a more prominent UV bump being present results in a more negative value of γ_{34} (Noll et al. 2009). Prior to fitting the wavelength windows, the spectrum is smoothed with a running median filter of 15 pixels. The uncertainty of the running median is estimated with a bootstrapping procedure, where each of the 15 pixels is randomly perturbed according to their formal uncertainty for 100 iterations.

We choose flat prior distributions for the power law indices within the range $-10 < \gamma_i < 1$, and normalise at the centre of each wavelength window, between 0 and twice the maximum value of the flux in each fitting region. The best-fit value of γ_{34} is given by the median of the posterior distribution obtained by simultaneously fitting γ_3 and γ_4 , with the 16th and 84th percentiles given as a $\pm 1\sigma$ confidence range.

3.4 UV Bump Fitting

As in Witstok et al. (2023), we parameterise the UV bump profile by defining the excess attenuation as $A_{\lambda, \text{ bump}} =$ $-2.5 \log_{10} (F_{\lambda}/F_{\lambda, \text{ cont}})$, where F_{λ} is the observed flux, and $F_{\lambda, \text{ cont}}$ is the UV continuum slope, without a UV bump (Shivaei et al. 2022). We use the running median and its corresponding uncertainty (see Section 3.3) to compute the significance of the negative flux excess of the spectrum with respect to the power-law UV slope (β_{UV}), determined in Section 3.2.

We use the MULTINEST nested sampling algorithm to fit the excess attenuation $A_{\lambda, \text{bump}}$ with a Drude profile (Fitzpatrick & Massa 1986). Centred on rest-frame wavelength λ_{max} , this is defined as

$$A_{\lambda, \text{ bump}} = A_{\lambda, \text{max}} \frac{\gamma^2 / \lambda^2}{\left(1 / \lambda^2 - 1 / \lambda_{\text{max}}^2\right)^2 + \gamma^2 / \lambda^2}$$
(1)

where the full width at half maximum (FWHM) is given by FWHM= $\gamma \lambda_{max}^2$. We fix $\gamma = 250$ Å/(2175Å)² which corresponds to FWHM= 250Å if $\lambda_{max} = 2175$ Å, in agreement with findings for $z \sim 2$ starforming galaxies (Noll et al. 2009; Shivaei et al. 2022). We note that allowing the FWHM to vary does not affect the other parameters. We carry out the fitting procedure in a region of 1950Å $< \lambda_{emit} < 2580$ Å, which includes the γ_3 and γ_4 regions, but excludes the CmJ doublet. We use a gamma distribution with shape parameter a = 1 and scale $\theta = 0.2$ as a prior for bump amplitude, $A_{\lambda,max}$. This favours the lowest amplitudes, although a flat prior gives comparable results. We use a flat prior for the central wavelength in the range 2100Å $< \lambda_{max} < 2300$ Å. The UV bump fit is shown in Figure 2, with best-fit values listed in Table 1. We compare this model to a simple power-law using the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). The significantly lower BIC value for the UV bump model (Δ BIC = 66.7) indicates that this model is preferred over a simple power-law model.

3.5 SED Fitting

We model the SED of GNWY-7379420231 using BAGPIPES (Bayesian Analysis of Galaxies for Physical Inference and Parameter EStimation; Carnall et al. 2018, 2019), fitting both the photometry and spectroscopy simultaneously. We use Binary Population and Spectral Synthesis (BPASS) v2.2.1 models (Stanway & Eldridge 2018), with models that include binary stars. We use the default BPASS initial mass function "135_300" (IMF; stellar mass from $0.1M_{\odot}$ to $300M_{\odot}$ and a slope of -2.35 for $M > 0.5M_{\odot}$). We mask the region around Ly α (1200 Å < λ_{emit} < 1250 Å) to mitigate any potential effects from Lyman- α damping-wing absorption. We also mask the region 4900 Å < λ_{emit} < 5100 Å in the spectrum due to small discrepancies between the [OIII] equivalent widths (EW) in the spectroscopy and photometry. While BAGPIPES can account for small wavelength-dependent variations between the spectroscopy and photometry, it is not flexible enough to solve for emission line discrepancies.

We assume a non-parametric star formation history (SFH) from Leja et al. (2019), which fits the star formation rates in fixed time bins, where $\Delta \log$ SFR between bins is linked by a Student's t-distribution. As in Tacchella et al. (2022), we fit a 'continuity' model, with $\sigma =$ 0.3 and v = 2, which is weighted against rapid transitions in star formation rate, and a 'bursty continuity' model with $\sigma = 1$ and v = 2, which allows more variation in star formation rate (SFR) (i.e., a more bursty star formation history). We fix the redshift of the galaxy to z = 7.11235, and fit the SFH in 6 bins of lookback time t, with the first bins fixed to 0 Myr < t < 3 Myr and 3 Myr < t < 10 Myr, and the remaining 4 bins equally log-spaced in lookback time until t(z = 20). We note that the inferred SFH is largely insensitive to the number of bins used as long as $N_{\text{bins}} \ge 4$ (Leja et al. 2019). We favour the 'bursty continuity' model as this flexible SFH accommodates both stochastic star formation and underlying older stellar populations. It should be noted that this model still allows smooth or declining SFHs if favoured during the fitting (see Harvey et al. 2025). We reduce the star formation timescale to 10 Myr to account for the increased specific star formation rate (sSFR), compared to galaxies at lower redshift.

The allowed total stellar mass formed and stellar metallicities are allowed to vary uniformly between $10^5 \text{ M}_{\odot} < \text{M}_{\star} < 10^{12} \text{ M}_{\odot}$, and $0 < Z_* < 0.5 \text{ Z}_{\odot}$, respectively. Nebular emission is included using a grid of CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 2017) models, parameterised by the ionisation parameter ($-3 < \log_{10} \text{U} < -0.5$), which BAGPIPES computes self-consistently. We use the Salim et al. (2018) dust attenuation curve, which parameterises the dust curve shape with a power-law deviation δ from the Calzetti et al. (2000) model ($\delta = 0$ for the Calzetti curve) and includes a Drude profile to model the 2175Å bump. The strength of the bump is given by the amplitude *B*, in units of $A_{\text{bump}} / E(B - V)$ where A_{bump} is the extra attenuation at 2175Å. We keep the central wavelength and width of the bump fixed at 2175Å and 250Å, respectively. We use uniform priors for δ (-0.5 < δ < 0.2) and *B* (0 < *B* < 10), and a Gaussian prior on the V-band dust attenuation with $\mu_{A_V} = 0.15$ mag, $\sigma_{A_V} = 0.15$ mag and attenuation limited to 0 < A_V < 7 mag, fixing the fraction of attenuation arising from stellar birth clouds to 60%, with the remaining 40% coming from the diffuse ISM (Chevallard et al. 2019). We also assume a log-prior on the velocity dispersion in the range 1 – 1000 km s⁻¹. Finally, we assume that the spectrum follows the PRISM resolution curve based on a point-source morphology, using the resolution curve of an idealised point source generated with msafit, as described in de Graaff et al. (2024b, Appendix A).

We carry out further BAGPIPES fits, varying only the assumed dust attenuation curve. We perform fits with the Milky Way dust curve, the Salim dust law with *B* fixed as 0 (henceforth referred to as the flat Salim curve), allowing only the slope (δ) to deviate from the Calzetti curve, and the Li et al. (2008) analytical expression for the dust attenuation law, used in Markov et al. (2023, 2024). This dust parameterisation has the benefit of allowing SED fitting to be carried out without assuming the prior shape of the dust curve, but has the disadvantage of having four free parameters, thus should only be used with spectroscopic data, or photometric surveys with a sufficiently large number of photometric bands (Markov et al. 2023). We modify the expression to allow for a variable width of the Drude profile characterising the UV bump, and as such the dust curve, normalised to the attenuation at 0.55 μ m (A_V), is given by

$$A_{\lambda}/A_{V} = \frac{c_{1}}{(\lambda/0.08)^{c_{2}} + (0.08/\lambda)^{c_{2}} + c_{3}} + \frac{233 \left[1 - c_{1}/(6.88^{c_{2}} + 0.145^{c_{2}} + c_{3}) - c_{4}/x\right]}{(\lambda/0.046)^{2} + (0.046/\lambda)^{2} + 90} + \frac{c_{4}}{(\lambda/0.2175)^{2} + (0.2175/\lambda)^{2} + \left[(w/0.2175)^{2} - 2\right]},$$

$$(2)$$

where c_1 , c_2 , c_3 , c_4 are dimensionless parameters, λ is the wavelength in μ m, $x = (6.55 + [(w/0.2175)^2 - 2])$, and w is the width of the UV bump in μ m. The three terms of equation (2) describe the far-ultraviolet (FUV) rise, the optical and near-infrared (NIR) attenuation, and the 2175Å UV bump. We use priors for $c_1 - c_4$ adapted from those given in Markov et al. (2024), requiring $c_4 \ge 0$, and set $w = 0.0250\mu$ m. We present the best-fit values obtained with each dust curve, along with 1σ errors in Table 2. The posterior spectra and dust curves are shown in Figure 3. We show the full posterior spectra with residuals in Figure B1.

We find that fitting the photometry or spectrum alone recovers similar parameters for the UV bump (see Figure B2).

3.6 Morphology

We perform surface brightness fitting with GALFIT version 3.0.5 (Peng et al. 2002, 2010) to investigate the morphology of our source. GALFIT convolves the galaxy surface brightness profile with a point spread function (PSF), and uses the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm to minimise the χ^2 of the fit.

We create PSF matched images using APERPY² (Weaver et al. 2024), which creates empirical PSFs and makes use of PYPHER (Boucaud et al. 2016) to create PSF matched kernels. We create a PSF matched stack of the filters in the short wavelength (SW) channel where the source is detected (F115W, F150W, F200W) to determine the components required for fitting.

² aperpy is available though Github (https://github.com/astrowhit/ aperpy) and Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8280270).



Figure 2. Spectrum of GNWY-7379420231 (grey solid line) with a power-law fit to the UV continuum (red solid line). The dark red shading represents the UV slope fitting windows. The zoom in panel of the region around 2175Å shows the running median, indicated by a solid black line. This represents the attenuated stellar continuum, and shows a localised absorption feature. The Drude profile fit is shown by the solid blue line, within the fitting window indicated by the vertical dashed lines. The hatched region shows the location of the CIII doublet. The bottom right panel shows the residuals of the power-law fit (PL) and the combined power-law and Drude profile fit (PL+Drude). The power-law fit alone has a 7.0σ negative flux excess, with the PL+Drude model showing a significantly better fit, as supported by the BIC values.

We fit the source using two Sérsic components and a point source. The Sérsic profile has the form

$$I(R) = I_e \exp\left\{-b_n \left[\left(\frac{R}{R_e}\right)^{1/n} - 1\right]\right\},\tag{3}$$

where I(R) is the intensity at a distance *R* from the centre of the galaxy, R_e is the half-light radius of galaxy, I_e is the intensity at the half-light radius, *n* is the Sérsic index (Sérsic 1963; Ciotti 1991; Caon et al. 1993), and b_n can be approximated as $b_n \approx 2n - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{405n} + \frac{46}{25515n^2}$ (Ciotti & Bertin 1999). We allow R_e , magnitude, axis ratio (b/a) and position angle to vary freely, allow the Sérsic index to vary between 0 < n < 10, and allow the source position to vary within ±3 pixels of the input location in both the *x* and *y* direction. We find that one component has a very low Sérsic index, which we fix as n = 0.2. The best-fit model for the SW stack is shown in Figure 4. We then fit each band individually, keeping all parameters fixed to the best-fit values while allowing only the magnitude to vary. The best-fit models are shown in Figure C1, where the point source component is particularly visible in the SW channels.

The best-fit model is made up of a main, brighter component (Sérsic 1), with a 'tail', made up of the secondary Sérsic component (Sérsic 2) and a point source. The bright region (Sérsic 1) is best-fit by a Sérsic profile with $n = 6.04 \pm 2.27$, and has a half-light radius of $R_e = 569 \pm 170$ pc. The fainter Sérsic component (Sérsic 2) is the component that is fit with a fixed Sérsic index of n = 0.20, and has a

half-light radius of $R_e = 648 \pm 80$ pc. Errors are the 1σ uncertainties derived by GALFIT.

We carry out photometric SED fitting for each of these three components, with the magnitudes obtained from the GALFIT fit in each band. As in Section 3.5, we use BPASS v2.2.1 models with the default BPASS IMF. We keep the redshift of the source fixed and use a 'bursty continuity' model of star formation history, with bins of 0-3 Myr, 3-10 Myr, 10-30 Myr and 30-t(z=20) Myr. The ionisation parameter and metallicity are fixed to the best fit values obtained with the Salim dust law in Section 3.5. We use the Salim dust law where the prior on *B* is a truncated Gaussian prior with $\mu_B = 0$, $\sigma_B = 2$, and 0 < B < 10. The best-fit spectra and photometry are shown in Figure 5, along with the posterior SFHs.

Finally, we use STATMORPH (Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2019) to measure the Gini- M_{20} statistics, which can be used to quantify galaxy morphology (Lotz et al. 2004, 2008). The Gini coefficient (G) is a statistic that is commonly used in economics to measure wealth distribution in human populations, but was first used by Abraham et al. (2003) to provide a quantitative measure of the distribution of light within a galaxy. G is determined from the distribution of the absolute flux values:

$$G = \frac{1}{|\overline{X}|n(n-1)} \sum_{i}^{n} (2i - n - 1) |X_i|, \qquad (4)$$

where |X| is the mean of the absolute values $|X_i|$ (Lotz et al. 2004; Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2019). The M_{20} statistic is defined as the



Figure 3. Left: Posterior spectra obtained from combined BAGPIPES fitting of the photometry and spectroscopy. The observed spectrum and associated errors are shown in grey, while the running median is shown in dark blue. The x error bars represent the width of the filter at 50% of the maximum transmission. The blue shading shows the spectral regions masked in the fitting process. Right: The best-fit dust attenuation curve obtained from our BAGPIPES fit with the Salim dust curve is shown by the solid brown line, with the brown shaded region showing the 1σ uncertainty. The best-fit Li model and 1σ uncertainty is shown by the solid purple line, and purple shading, and the red solid line represents the best-fit dust attenuation curve from the flat Salim fit. We show the Calzetti, MW, and SMC curves for comparison, in the blue, orange, and green dashed lines, respectively.

Table 1.	The	properties	of (GNW	Y-73794	20231.	Error	bars	represent	1σ
uncertaint	ties.									

Parameter	Value
Wide ID	2008001576
R.A.	12:37:37.941
Dec.	+62:20:22.850
Zspec	7.11235
M _{UV} (mag)	-20.29
$eta_{ m UV}$	-1.81 ± 0.24
Y 34	$-6.58^{+1.85}_{-1.69}$
$A_{\lambda,\max}$ (mag)	$0.46^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$
λ_{\max} (Å)	2257^{+26}_{-28}
$[\operatorname{Om}] + \mathrm{H}\beta \operatorname{EW}_0(\mathrm{\AA})$	1300 ± 210
$\log_{10}(O32)$	0.44 ± 0.18
$\log_{10}(R23)$	1.22 ± 0.23
$\log_{10}(R2)$	0.55 ± 0.28
$\log_{10}(R3)$	0.99 ± 0.24
Ŕ	1.13 ± 0.25
$Z_{neb} \ (Z_{\odot})$	$0.28^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$
$\log_{10}(n_e) \; (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$3.12^{+0.83}_{-0.47}$
$\log_{10}(M_{\rm dyn}/M_{\odot})$	9.35 ± 0.43



Figure 4. Left: the stacked data image provided as input to GALFIT. Middle: The GALFIT model image. The two Sérsic profiles are shown by the blue and red ellipses, with the point source indicated by the grey cross. Right: The residual image, created by subtracting the model image from the data image. The data and model images are linearly scaled between $-3-20\sigma$ of the data image background, and the residual image is scaled between $-3-10\sigma$ of the data image background, for clarity. The location of the NIRSpec slitlets are overplotted in grey.

normalised second order moment of the brightest 20 per cent of the galaxy's flux. M_{20} traces the spatial extent of the brightest pixels in a galaxy, and is defined as

$$M_{20} \equiv \log_{10}\left(\frac{\sum_{i} M_{i}}{M_{\text{tot}}}\right)$$
, while $\sum_{i} f_{i} < 0.2 f_{\text{tot}}$, (5)

where M_{tot} is defined as

$$M_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i}^{n} M_{i} = \sum_{i}^{n} f_{i} \left[(x_{i} - x_{c})^{2} + (y_{i} - y_{c})^{2} \right],$$
(6)

where x_c , y_c is the galaxy's centre, such that M_{tot} is minimised (Lotz

et al. 2004, 2008). We show the location of GNWY-7379420231 on the Gini- M_{20} parameter space in Figure C2, adopting the classifications from Lotz et al. (2008). Gini and M_{20} can be used to determine whether a galaxy is a merger, if the following criterion is met:

$$G > -0.14M_{20} + 0.33. \tag{7}$$

Based on these diagnostics, GNWY-7379420231 falls within the merger region of the parameter space. The stellar mass ratio of 12:1 between Sérsic 1 and the two other components, derived from BAG-PIPES spectral fitting, classifies this system as a minor merger. We also see evidence for a minor merger in the G395H 2D spectrum of the [OIII] doublet, with a velocity offset of ~ 180 kms⁻¹, shown in Figure C3.

3.7 Resolved SED Fitting

We create PSF matched images following the same method used in Section 3.6. We choose to match to the F444W mosaic as this has the broadest PSF of our filters. We create an inverse variance weighted stacked image of our source and use VORBIN (Cappellari & Copin 2003) to perform adaptive spatial noise binning to create bins with a target signal to noise ratio (SNR) of 25. We extract photometry in each NIRCam filter for each bin, and model the SED of each bin using BAGPIPES.

We apply the same BAGPIPES fitting procedure described in Section 3.6. We again adopt the Salim dust law with a truncated Gaussian prior on B ($\mu_B = 0$, $\sigma_B = 2$, 0 < B < 10) to allow us to trace the location of the UV bump. Using the 50th percentile of the posterior BAGPIPES distributions, we create 2D maps of the physical properties of our source, as shown in Figure 6. We measure the [OIII]+H β rest-frame equivalent width from the 50th percentile of the posterior spectrum generated in the fitting process, and measure the UV β slopes using the same fitting windows as in Section 3.2.

3.8 Emission Line Measurements

We perform emission line fitting that accounts for both the line spread function (LSF) broadening and its undersampling by the NIRSpec detectors. It is important that this is accounted for, as fitting a Gaussian to an undersampled line could severely over or underestimate the line flux (de Graaff et al. 2024a). To address this, we create Gaussian models on an oversampled grid and convolve them with the LSF of an idealised point source from de Graaff et al. (2024b). We use the MULTINEST nested sampling algorithm to fit the emission lines in both the PRISM and G395H spectra.

We fit the following emission lines in the PRISM spectrum: [OII] λ 3727, [OII] λ 3729, H β , [OIII] λ 4959 and [OIII] λ 5007. Due to the resolution of the PRISM spectrum, we fit the blended [OII] λ 3727+ [OII] λ 3729 emission lines as a single Gaussian. To reduce the number of free parameters, we fix the central wavelength of each Gaussian profile, and fix the flux ratio of the [OIII] λ 44959, 5007 doublet to the theoretical value of 2.98. Finally, we correct for dust attenuation with the Cardelli et al. (1989) curve.

The dust corrected emission line fluxes are reported in Table D1 as the median of the posterior flux distribution, with errors given as the semi-difference of the 16th-84th percentiles of the posterior distribution. Using these emission lines, we derive the oxygen abundance $(12 + \log(O/H))$ from a range of emission line ratios:

$$R2 = \log\left(\frac{[OII]\lambda\lambda 3727, 3729}{H\beta}\right)$$
(8)

$$O32 = \log\left(\frac{[OII]\lambda 5007}{[OII]\lambda 3727, 3729}\right)$$
(9)

$$R3 = \log\left(\frac{[Om]\lambda 5007}{H\beta}\right)$$
(10)

$$R23 = \log\left(\frac{[OII]\lambda\lambda3727, 3729 + [OIII]\lambda\lambda4959, 5007}{H\beta}\right)$$
(11)

$$\hat{R} = 0.47 \times R2 + 0.88 \times R3.$$
(12)

Figure 7 shows the dust corrected O32 and R23 emission line ratios. The dust corrected emission line ratios are reported in full in Table 1, along with the rest-frame equivalent width of the [OIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959, 5007 doublet and H β line. We combine the information from the emission line ratios and use the calibrations from Curti et al. (2024b) to calculate the gas-phase metallicity (Z_{neb}) in units of solar metallicity (Z_{\odot}), which is given in Table 1.

Using the $R \sim 2700$ G395H grating, we measure the [OII] $\lambda\lambda$ 3727,3729 and [OIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007 emission lines. We first measure the [OIII] doublet with tied line widths. We use the median width of the posterior distribution as a fixed width when fitting the [OII] doublet, where we also keep the central wavelengths fixed. The [OII] fit is shown in Figure D1.

3.9 Electron Density Measurement

Electron densities in HII regions are crucial for characterising the ISM, as along with ISM pressure, they govern the emission from HII regions (e.g. Kewley et al. 2019b; Isobe et al. 2023; Abdurro'uf et al. 2024). To derive the electron density, we utilise the density-sensitive $[OII]\lambda 3726,\lambda\lambda 3729$ emission line ratio (Kewley et al. 2019a), measured from the G395H grating.

We then use PYNEB (Luridiana et al. 2015) to determine n_e from the [OII] line ratio, assuming an electron temperature of $T_e = 10000$ K. From this, we obtain a value of $\log_{10}(n_e)$ cm⁻¹ = $3.12^{+0.83}_{-0.47}$, in agreement with the median value determined in Isobe et al. (2023) for $z \sim 7 - 9$ galaxies.

3.10 Dynamical Mass

We follow the method described in Kohandel et al. (2019) to estimate the dynamical mass of our source, which we summarise here. Assuming a rotating disk geometry with radius R, the dynamical mass can be estimated as

$$M_{\rm dyn} = \frac{v_c^2 R}{G},\tag{13}$$

where v_c can be estimated from the FWHM of the [OIII] λ 5007 emission line using

$$FWHM = \gamma v_c \sin\theta, \tag{14}$$

where γ is a factor dependent on geometry, line profile, and turbulence. As in Capak et al. (2015), we estimate $\gamma = 1.32$ and additionally include a systematic error on γ of 20%. We determine the inclination (θ) from the axis ratio of Sérsic 1 measured in Section 3.6 using the Hubble (1926) equation

$$\cos^{2}(\theta) = \frac{(b/a)^{2} - (b/a)^{2}_{\min}}{1 - (b/a)^{2}_{\min}},$$
(15)



Figure 5. Left: Posterior spectrum for each component obtained through BAGPIPES SED fitting with 1σ errors. The GALFIT photometry is shown by the solid circles, with the BAGPIPES photometry shown by the open squares. The x error bars show the filter width at 50% of the maximum transmission. Right: The posterior SFH for each component. The grey dotted line indicates where stars would have time (300 Myr) to evolve off the main sequence into an AGB star.



Figure 6. Maps of GNWY-7379420231. Top, from left to right: stellar mass surface density (Σ_{\star}), star formation rate surface density ($\Sigma_{SFR_{10}}$), UV continuum slope, and V-band dust attenuation. Bottom, from left to right: mass-weighted age, specific star formation rate, [OIII]+H β rest-frame equivalent width, and the UV bump strength (*B*) obtained from the Salim dust law (see Section 3.5). The black lines show the 3, 6, 9, 15, and 21 σ contours of the SW stack. The location of the NIRSpec slitlets are overlaid in grey. The fainter bins are those where the photometry has at least one band with SNR< 5 (excluding F090W).

where $(b/a)_{\min} = 0.15$ (e.g., Guthrie 1992; Yuan & Zhu 2004; Sargent et al. 2010; Leslie et al. 2018). Using Equations 13 and 14, the general expression for the dynamical mass is:

$$M_{\rm dyn} = 2.35 \times 10^9 M_{\odot} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2 \sin^2 \theta}\right) \left(\frac{\rm FWHM}{100 \rm \ km \ s^{-1}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\rm R}{\rm kpc}\right).$$
(16)

Using Equation 16 with the half light radius of Sérsic 1, we estimate a dynamical mass of $\log_{10}(M_{\rm dyn}/M_{\odot}) = 9.35 \pm 0.43$, giving a stellar mass fraction of ~ 18%, consistent with predictions from simulations (de Graaff et al. 2024c).

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Physical Properties

The physical properties of GNWY-7379420231 can provide insights into the formation and evolution of our galaxy, allowing us to discuss this source in the wider context of galaxy and dust formation in the early universe. From the integrated PRISM spectrum of our source, we measure a high $[OIII]+H\beta$ rest-frame equivalent width of 1300 ± 210 Å, placing GNWY-7379420231 within the extreme emission line galaxy (EELG) regime (e.g., Boyett et al. 2024). The $[O_{III}]+H\beta$ emission is a tracer of ongoing star formation, indicating the presence of a young stellar population. This is in agreement with the inferred mass-weighted ages (22 - 59 Myr) from the combined spectro-photometric SED fitting, when a UV bump is included in the attenuation curve (see Table 2). We also measure the dust-corrected emission line ratios O32 and R23, which are shown in Figure 7. Our source falls within the red shaded region defined in Witten et al. (2025), which indicates the region in the $\log_{10}(O32) - \log_{10}(R_{23})$ parameter space that may be populated by galaxies containing an older stellar population. However, in galaxies with strong emission lines, the light from recent starbursts can dominate that of older stellar populations in an effect known as 'outshining' (Narayanan et al. 2024b). Due to the presence of extreme emission lines in the integrated spectrum, we investigate whether an older stellar population is present through both resolved SED fitting in Voronoi bins, and the SED fitting of the GALFIT components.

Through morphological analysis we are able to separate the source into three components: the main component (Sérsic 1) which contains the bulk of the stellar mass, and a tail made up of a second Sérsic component (Sérsic 2) and a point source. The inferred mass-weighted ages from the SED fitting of these components suggests that the main component is significantly older, with $t_{\star} \sim 252$ Myr for the main component, compared to $t_{\star} \sim 56$ Myr and $t_{\star} \sim 3$ Myr for the components within the tail. This indicates that an older stellar population is indeed present within GNWY-7379420231, despite the extreme emission lines dominating the integrated spectrum. The best-fit posterior spectra are shown in Figure 5, with the UV bump feature strongest in the point source component of the tail.

We show maps of the physical properties inferred from the resolved SED fitting in Figure 6, and UV continuum slopes measured from the median BAGPIPES posterior spectra. The overlaid contours from the SW stack show the clumpy nature of the galaxy, with a main component and an extended tail-like feature. Although clumpy morphologies are common within the EoR (Chen et al. 2024), the dissimilar star formation histories of each component suggest that this may be a merger system (e.g., Hsiao et al. 2023). Furthermore, this hypothesis is supported by the detection of a tail-like feature (e.g. Ren et al. 2020). The regions with the highest [OIII]+H β EWs (> 2500Å) are concentrated within the area where the tail and main component are merging, indicating that the starburst is merger-induced.

extreme line emission are very young (≤ 20 Myr), further supporting the idea of a recent burst of star formation. The stellar mass surface density (Σ_{\star}) map shows that the bulk of the stellar mass is concentrated within the main component of the source. This could suggest the presence of an older stellar population in this region where stellar mass has built up over time. Although the Σ_{\star} and star formation rate surface density $(\Sigma_{SFR_{10}})$ overlap significantly, there is a slight offset between the well localised peaks of the $\Sigma_{SFR_{10}}$ and Σ_{\star} , with the peak $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}_{10}}$ slightly closer to the merger region. Through the maps of the UV slope and A_V , we can see that the dust attenuation is patchy, with some significantly dustier sightlines present. The location of these dustier sightlines suggests that there is significant dust build up localised to the merger region. The bump strength (B) parameter from the Salim dust curve is a measure of the additional dust attenuation at 2175Å and peaks where the tail and main component are merging, within the region of extreme line emission where a recent burst of star formation took place. Note that we aim to be conservative in our resolved bump fitting by adding a prior on B centred on B = 0 (see Sections 3.6 and 3.7). While our photometric analysis provides an initial insight into this spatial distribution, we note that observations with the NIRSpec IFU would be valuable to explore these findings in greater detail.

The mass-weighted ages inferred by the SED fitting for this region of

There are two possible scenarios which may give rise to the visibility of the UV bump in the tail region of our galaxy. Firstly, an older stellar population may have enriched this region over time. The total stellar mass formed > 300 Myr ago within the main Sérsic component (Sérsic 1) is $\log_{10}(M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) = 7.96^{+0.12}_{-0.21}$. It is possible that AGB stars from this epoch could have contributed to the build up of carbonaceous dust grains, thus contributing to the presence of the UV bump feature. In this case, the likely merger would illuminate existing dust. Alternatively, the merger-induced starburst could have processed early-formed dust, breaking down larger dust grains formed in SNe, into smaller carbonaceous particles responsible for the UV bump (see Section 4.4).

We explore the ISM properties of GNWY-7379420231 with the high-resolution G395H spectrum, dominated by emission from the merger region of the galaxy, which we use to estimate the electron density. While the obtained value is high compared to estimates based on the O32 emission line ratio (Reddy et al. 2023), and elevated compared to galaxies at lower redshift (e.g. $n_e \sim 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, Kaasinen et al. 2017), it is in agreement with the values obtained for galaxies at a similar redshift in Isobe et al. (2023). The high electron density $(\log_{10}(n_e) \text{ cm}^{-1} = 3.12^{+0.83}_{-0.47})$ may be connected with gas compression as a result of the merger, potentially inducing the recent burst of star formation. Finally, we estimate the dynamical mass of our galaxy, finding a stellar mass fraction of around ~ 18%, suggesting a gas dominated system. The gas supplied by the merger would be required to fuel the strong burst of star formation. However, it must be noted that dynamical masses may be under or overestimated in case of a merger (Kohandel et al. 2019; de Graaff et al. 2024b).

Finally, GNWY-7379420231 is kinematically coincident with two overdensities (JADES-GN-OD-7.133, JADES-GN-OD-7.144) identified in Helton et al. (2024), which reside in a complex environment with connected filamentary structures. Furthermore, there is evidence of accelerated galaxy evolution in protocluster environments (Morishita et al. 2024), suggesting that the large scale environment in which GNWY-7379420231 resides could influence its evolution, contributing to rapid dust formation.



Figure 7. Dust corrected O_{32} - R_{23} plot, showing GNWY-7379420231 compared to NIRSpec data (Heintz et al. 2024a; Cameron et al. 2023; Witten et al. 2025), local analogues (Yang et al. 2017a; Yang et al. 2017b), and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) Data Release 7 (Abazajian et al. 2009), shown in black. The red shading shows the region $\log_{10} O32 < 0.7$ and $\log_{10} R_{23} > 0.9$ which may contain galaxies hosting an older stellar population (Witten et al. 2025).

4.2 Dust Attenuation Curves

The dust attenuation curve assumed during SED fitting can introduce systematic biases, with properties such as stellar mass, SFR, and A_V varying significantly (Kriek & Conroy 2013; Salim & Narayanan 2020). Previous studies have shown that stellar masses and SFRs may vary by up to 0.16 dex and 0.3 dex, respectively (Reddy et al. 2015; Narayanan et al. 2018; Tress et al. 2018; Shivaei et al. 2020), indicating that care must be taken when carrying out SED fitting. In this section, we explore the impact of four different dust attenuation curves, three of which incorporate a UV bump.

We find that the inferred metallicity (Z_{\star}) and ionisation parameter $(\log_{10}U)$ are consistent regardless of the dust law assumed during SED fitting, in agreement with Markov et al. (2023). While the median mass-weighted age, t_{\star} , varies from 22 – 166 Myr, the large uncertainties associated with these inferred values have large overlap, suggesting the ages are broadly consistent regardless of the assumed dust law. However, we find that the stellar mass, $\log_{10} (M_{\star}/M_{\odot})$, does vary depending on the assumed dust law. Adopting the flat Salim dust law gives rise to a higher stellar mass $(\log_{10} (M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) = 8.84^{+0.13}_{-0.15}$, compared to $\log_{10} (M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) = 8.60^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$ when assuming the standard Salim dust law). This contrasts with the consistent values inferred when adopting a dust curve which exhibits a UV bump. The SFRs and V-band dust attenuation also vary, with the use of the Li parameterisation resulting in higher inferred values for both quantities.

The dust attenuation curves obtained from the BAGPIPES fitting are shown in Figure 3, along with the commonly used Calzetti, MW, and SMC curves. We find that the dust curve obtained using the Salim et al. (2018) curve is similar to the MW dust curve within 1σ errors, with a strong UV bump at 2175Å, with a bump strength of $B = 4.08^{+1.42}_{-0.16}$ and a power-law modification of $\delta = -0.11^{+0.13}_{-0.16}$. The dust curve obtained with the Li parameterisation most resembles the shape of the MW curve with the presence of the UV bump, compared to other curves such as the Calzetti and SMC curves. The dust curve

Table 2. Best-fit values for the physical properties of GNWY-7379420231 from SED fitting with differing dust attenuation curves. The first row contains the 10 Myr SFRs, the second row provides the mass weighted ages, the third row gives the stellar metallicity, the fourth row the stellar mass, the fifth row the ionisation parameter, and the final row gives the *V* band dust attenuation. All errors are the 16th and 84th percentiles of the posterior distribution.

Parameter	Salim	Li	MW	Salim
				(B=0)
$SFR_{10}~(M_\odot~yr^{-1})$	$15.5^{+7.4}_{-5.2}$	$24.7^{+7.0}_{-8.5}$	$16.2^{+7.5}_{-4.8}$	$11.3^{+4.5}_{-3.2}$
<i>t</i> * (Myr)	59^{+125}_{-42}	22^{+61}_{-16}	39_{-24}^{+85}	166^{+105}_{-99}
$Z_{\star}(Z_{\odot})$	$0.27\substack{+0.06 \\ -0.05}$	$0.29^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	$0.32^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$0.32^{+0.08}_{-0.10}$
$\log_{10}(M_{\star}/M_{\odot})$	$8.60^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$	$8.57^{+0.13}_{-0.10}$	$8.63_{-0.12}^{+0.13}$	$8.84_{-0.15}^{+0.13}$
$\log_{10}U$	$-1.73^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$	$-1.76\substack{+0.17\\-0.17}$	$-1.77^{+0.16}_{-0.15}$	$-1.83^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$
A_V (mag)	$0.27\substack{+0.06 \\ -0.05}$	$0.40\substack{+0.05 \\ -0.06}$	$0.32^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$0.31^{+0.08}_{-0.10}$



Figure 8. The bump amplitude, $A_{\lambda,max}$ as a function of redshift for individual high redshift sources (Witstok et al. 2023; Markov et al. 2023, 2024), $z \sim 2$ stacks (Shivaei et al. 2022; Noll et al. 2009) and a stack of $z \sim 4 - 7$ galaxies (Witstok et al. 2023). The UV bump detected in GNWY-7379420231 is shown by the solid red cirlce, and the tentative UV bump detected in EGSZ-9135048459 is shown by the open red circle. The points are staggered for clarity, and the error bars along the x-axis represent the full redshift range for each stack. The shaded regions represent the average bump amplitudes in the SMC, LMC, and MW extinction curves (Fitzpatrick & Massa 1986; Gordon et al. 2003) for 0.1 mag $< A_V < 0.5$ mag.

obtained using the flat Salim dust curve closely resembles the Calzetti curve ($\delta = 0.03^{+0.09}_{-0.13}$).

4.3 The 2175Å UV Bump

Investigating the properties of the UV bump are crucial for understanding the evolution of cosmic dust grains. We measure a central wavelength of $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 2257^{+26}_{-28}\text{\AA}$, similar to the $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 2263^{+20}_{-24}\text{\AA}$ measured in Witstok et al. (2023). This is ~ 2.9 σ higher than the peak wavelength seen in the MW curve, and may be caused by dust grains with larger molecular size (Blasberger et al. 2017; Li et al. 2024; Lin et al. 2025).

We measure a bump amplitude (strength) of $A_{\lambda,\text{max}} = 0.46^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$ mag, which we plot against cosmic time in Figure 8, along with other high redshift detections (Witstok et al. 2023; Markov et al. 2023), $z \sim 2$ stacks (Shivaei et al. 2022; Noll et al. 2009), and the MW, LMC and SMC extinction curves (Fitzpatrick & Massa 1986; Gordon et al. 2003). While the bump amplitudes in this work and Shivaei et al. (2022); Witstok et al. (2023) are measured in the same way, we must convert the other literature points to a consistent definition of $A_{\lambda, \text{max}}$. We first convert the MW, LMC and SMC curves using the Fitzpatrick & Massa (1986) definition $A_{\lambda,\max} = c_3/\gamma^2 E(B-V)$, where we vary $E(B - V) = A_V/R_V$ over a range 0.1 mag $< A_V < 0.5$ mag. We convert the Noll et al. (2009) values in the same way, with the measured values of E(B - V). We convert the Markov et al. (2023) value by measuring the excess attenuation using their quoted values of c_1 to c_4 and the Li et al. (2008) dust attenuation expression as defined in their Equation 6, compared to the baseline attenuation determined by setting $c_4 = 0$. Finally, we download the spectra of the two sources identified in Markov et al. (2024) with a bump detection from the DAWN JWST Archive (DJA; Heintz et al. 2024b), which are reduced with msaexp (Brammer 2023; de Graaff et al. 2024a) to measure the bump strength and central wavelength, following the same procedure as in Section 2. We measure bump amplitudes for 2750_449 and 1433_3989 of $A_{\lambda,\text{max}} = 0.44^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$ mag and $A_{\lambda,\text{max}} = 0.32 \pm 0.07$ mag, respectively.

It is expected that the strength of the UV bump decreases towards higher redshift (Markov et al. 2024), however the bump amplitude measured in this work is high and in contrast to this expected trend. Interestingly, it is similar to the $z \sim 6.7$ detection in Witstok et al. (2023) and values we measure for the two galaxies from Markov et al. (2024). Combined with the increased peak wavelength of the bump feature in GNWY-7379420231, this suggests that the grain composition may differ compared to that at lower redshift, or these young galaxies could have a simpler dust-star geometry, as it is expected that the galaxies with the most complex young geometries have weaker bump strengths (Narayanan et al. 2018).

4.4 Dust Production in the Early Universe

The detection of the 2175Å UV bump in GNWY-7379420231 provides important constraints on its dust properties and evolution. The UV bump is predominantly seen in metal-rich galaxies at $z \leq 3$ (e.g., Elíasdóttir et al. 2009; Noll et al. 2009; Shivaei et al. 2022), suggesting it is commonly found in evolved systems. We find that our source is metal-enriched compared to galaxies of a similar mass (Curti et al. 2024a), with $Z_{neb} \sim 0.28 Z_{\odot}$. The dust evolution within galaxies depends strongly on the age and metallicity of the system, with dust production in low metallicity systems controlled by stellar sources (AGB stars and SNe II). When the metallicity exceeds a critical metallicity (Z_{cr}) , dust mass growth becomes dominated by metal accretion onto existing dust grains within the ISM, and dust mass increases rapidly. This transition may occur at 10 - 20% solar metallicity (e.g. Asano et al. 2013; Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2014, 2015; Li et al. 2019; Roman-Duval et al. 2022). The metallicity of our system suggests that it has entered the regime of efficient ISM dust mass build up.

From our SED fitting analysis of the integrated spectrum when adopting the Li dust model, we infer the presence of a very young stellar population, with $t_{\star} \sim 22$ Myr. If we were to rely solely on the stellar age inferred from the integrated spectrum in isolation, we

must consider alternative dust production pathways, given that AGB stars capable of producing carbonaceous dust require a ~ 300 Myr timescale to evolve off the main sequence.

A potential mechanism is through Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars, formed when massive stars with initial masses > 30 M_{\odot} lose their hydrogen envelope. Carbon sequence WR stars (WC stars) are known to produce dust, including PAHs (Lau et al. 2022), although they may need to be in a binary system where the companion has a high mass-loss rate (e.g., Cherchneff et al. 2000; Lau et al. 2021; Peatt et al. 2023; Schneider & Maiolino 2024). However, their contribution may be limited: just $27 \pm 9\%$ of WC stars display circumstellar dust within the Milky Way (Rosslowe & Crowther 2015), and WR stars are rare (Eldridge et al. 2017), with few WC stars found in low metallicity environments (Massey 2003). Nonetheless, the large $0.1 - 1.0\mu m$ grains produced would be more robust to destruction from the subsequent SN shocks, and have grain lifetimes ~ 3 times greater than 100Å sized grains (Jones et al. 1996). PAHs are also known to be highly stable due to their honeycomb structure (Allamandola et al. 1989; Tielens 2008; Lau et al. 2022).

Alternatively, early dust production could be dominated by SNe unless the reverse shock is very significant, even more so if the IMF is top-heavy (Schneider & Maiolino 2024, and references therein). Type II supernovae produce dust primarily made up of silicates, amorphous carbon, magnetite, and corundum (Todini & Ferrara 2001), which can be processed into PAHs. Amorphous carbon ejected into the ISM can react with hydrogen to form hydrogenated amorphous carbons (HACs), which can then form PAHs through shattering due to graingrain collisions (Jones et al. 1996). Additionally, photoprocessing by UV radiation can lead to the formation of aromatic bonds, with larger carbonaceous particles acting as a reservoir for the formation of smaller particles (Duley et al. 2015). Furthermore, graphitic grains with isotopic compositions that suggest an origin in SNe have been identified, most consistent with an origin in Type II SNe (e.g., Zinner 1998; Nittler & Ciesla 2016). While the SNe reverse shock may preferentially destroy smaller grains (Nozawa et al. 2007), Jones et al. (1996) suggests that as much as 5 - 15% of the starting graphite grain mass may end up in < 14Å graphitic fragments, potentially forming PAHs through hydrogenation.

However, morphological analysis of our source reveals a more complex system than initially suggested by the integrated spectrum. When we examine the source as a three-component system, we find evidence for an older stellar population masked by outshining effects, a particular problem when coverage is limited to the rest-frame UV and optical (Giménez-Arteaga et al. 2024). This older stellar population is concentrated within Sérsic 1, the most massive of the three components, with a mass-weighted age of $t_{\star} = 252^{+20}_{-46}$ Myr. While stars across a broader mass range $(0.8-8M_{\odot})$ can enter the AGB, carbon grains are mostly produced in AGB stars within the mass range $2M_{\odot} < m_{\text{star}} < 3 - 3.5M_{\odot}$ (Schneider & Maiolino 2024). Crucially, this component shows substantial stellar mass build up at ages > 300 Myr, where stars ~ $3M_{\odot}$ enter the AGB. This could provide sufficient time for AGB driven dust production to pre-enrich the ISM before the merger event, although their evolution is less well understood in low-metallicity environments (e.g., Herwig 2005).

Recent galaxy evolution simulations (Narayanan et al. 2023, 2024a) suggest that PAH formation is enhanced in environments with high velocity dispersions (highly turbulent gas) and strong radiation fields. Increased shattering rates are driven by these large ISM velocity dispersions in galaxies with high sSFRs, resulting in increased feedback energy per unit mass, driving up the fraction of ultrasmall grains. Furthermore, elevated global SFRs can drive aromatisation by UV radiation (Narayanan et al. 2023). These theoretical predic-

tions align with our observations of GNWY-7379420231, where the UV bump is localised to the merger region characterised by extreme $[OIII]+H\beta$ equivalent widths and sSFRs. This spatial alignment, combined with the evidence for an older stellar population in the main component, suggests that the intense UV radiation and turbulence in the merger region may be driving localised PAH formation through both top-down shattering, as well as increased dust growth on small grains within the turbulent ISM (Narayanan et al. 2024a).

5 SUMMARY

In this paper, we have presented the analysis of *JWST*/NIRSpec observations, revealing one of the most distant known galaxies exhibiting a very strong 2175Å UV bump feature at z = 7.11 when the universe was only ~ 700 Myr old. We have presented a detailed analysis of the dust properties and stellar populations within GNWY-7379420231, finding evidence for both intense ongoing star formation and an older stellar population, suggesting a complex dust production history. The spatial correlation between the UV bump and the recent burst of star formation, combined with the system likely being a merger, provides new insights into high redshift dust evolution. Our main findings are summarised as follows:

• We find a strong UV bump with $A_{\lambda, \text{max}} = 0.46^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$ mag in a galaxy at z = 7.11. The peak wavelength of the UV bump is shifted by 84Å (at 2.9 σ significance) compared to that of the bump seen in the MW curve, which may suggest a different dust grain size distribution at high redshift.

• While the integrated spectrum suggests a young stellar population with $t_{\star} \sim 22$ Myr, morphological analysis reveals the presence of an older stellar population in the most massive component with significant stellar mass with age > 300 Myr, potentially resolving the apparent tension in dust production timescales.

• Through resolved SED fitting, we determine that the UV bump is spatially correlated with the merger region, characterised by extreme [OIII]+H β equivalent widths, suggesting enhanced PAH formation in a turbulent environment with intense UV radiation.

• We find that our galaxy is metal enriched compared to galaxies of a similar mass, which could indicate rapid dust mass build up through dust grain growth mechanisms. The presence of metal enrichment could indicate that grain growth has reached an efficient growth regime, with the metal enrichment resulting from the underlying older stellar population.

In summary, we are able to provide new insights into potential dust formation and processing pathways at high redshift, suggesting that the ISM was pre-enriched by the older stellar population, before the dust was processed into PAHs through turbulence and UV radiation within the merger region. The capabilities of *JWST* will allow us to probe dust production in the early universe in more depth, further constraining the early methods of dust production. Finding more galaxies which exhibit a UV bump, and building up a sample within the EoR will allow us to gain an understanding of the production mechanisms, the dust composition, and enable us to probe star formation and chemical evolution within the first billion years of cosmic time. Furthermore, upcoming sub-mm follow up will provide us with multi-wavelength dust constraints, such as providing an estimate of the dust-to-gas ratio of this source.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Adam Carnall and Thomas Harvey for helpful conversations. This work is based on observations made with the NASA/ESA/CSA James Webb Space Telescope (JWST). The data were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-03127 for JWST. These observations are associated with programmes 1181 and 1211. This study made use of Prospero high-performance computing facility at Liverpool John Moores University. This work made use of Astropy:³ a communitydeveloped core Python package and an ecosystem of tools and resources for astronomy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013, 2018, 2022). Some of the data products presented herein were retrieved from the Dawn JWST Archive (DJA). DJA is an initiative of the Cosmic Dawn Center (DAWN), which is funded by the Danish National Research Foundation under grant DNRF140. KO would like to thank the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) and Faculty of Engineering and Technology (FET) at Liverpool John Moores University (LJMU) for their studentship. JW gratefully acknowledges support from the Cosmic Dawn Center through the DAWN Fellowship. The Cosmic Dawn Center (DAWN) is funded by the Danish National Research Foundation under grant No. 140. RS acknowledges support from a STFC Ernest Rutherford Fellowship (ST/S004831/1). MVM is supported by the National Science Foundation via AAG grant 2205519. AJB, JC, acknowledge funding from the "FirstGalaxies" Advanced Grant from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 789056) S.C acknowledges support by European Union's HE ERC Starting Grant No. 101040227 - WINGS. BER acknowledges support from the NIRCam Science Team contract to the University of Arizona, NAS5-02015, and JWST Program 3215. RM acknowledges support by the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), by the ERC through Advanced Grant 695671 "QUENCH", and by the UKRI Frontier Research grant RISEandFALL. RM also acknowledges funding from a research professorship from the Royal Society. ST acknowledges support by the Royal Society Research Grant G125142.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data used in this manuscript will be made available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author

REFERENCES

- Abazajian K. N., et al., 2009, ApJS, 182, 543
- Abdurro'uf et al., 2024, ApJ, 973, 47
- Abraham R. G., van den Bergh S., Nair P., 2003, ApJ, 588, 218
- Allamandola L. J., Tielens A. G. G. M., Barker J. R., 1989, ApJS, 71, 733
- Alves de Oliveira C., et al., 2018, in Observatory Operations: Strategies, Processes, and Systems VII. p. 107040Q (arXiv:1805.06922), doi:10.1117/12.2313839
- Asano R. S., Takeuchi T. T., Hirashita H., Inoue A. K., 2013, Earth, Planets and Space, 65, 213
- Asplund M., Amarsi A. M., Grevesse N., 2021, A&A, 653, A141

Astropy Collaboration et al., 2013, A&A, 558, A33

Astropy Collaboration et al., 2018, AJ, 156, 123

³ http://www.astropy.org

- Astropy Collaboration et al., 2022, ApJ, 935, 167
- Blasberger A., Behar E., Perets H. B., Brosch N., Tielens A. G. G. M., 2017, ApJ, 836, 173
- Böker T., et al., 2023, PASP, 135, 038001
- Boucaud A., Bocchio M., Abergel A., Orieux F., Dole H., Hadj-Youcef M. A., 2016, A&A, 596, A63
- Bouwens R. J., et al., 2015, ApJ, 803, 34
- Boyett K., et al., 2024, MNRAS,
- Bradley J., et al., 2005, Science, 307, 244
- Brammer G., 2023, msaexp: NIRSpec analyis tools, doi:10.5281/zenodo.7939169, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 7939169
- Brammer G. B., van Dokkum P. G., Coppi P., 2008, ApJ, 686, 1503
- Buchner J., et al., 2014, A&A, 564, A125
- Bunker A. J., et al., 2024, A&A, 690, A288
- Calzetti D., Kinney A. L., Storchi-Bergmann T., 1994, ApJ, 429, 582
- Calzetti D., Armus L., Bohlin R. C., Kinney A. L., Koornneef J., Storchi-Bergmann T., 2000, ApJ, 533, 682
- Cameron A. J., et al., 2023, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 677, A115
- Caon N., Capaccioli M., D'Onofrio M., 1993, MNRAS, 265, 1013
- Capak P. L., et al., 2015, Nature, 522, 455
- Cappellari M., Copin Y., 2003, MNRAS, 342, 345
- Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, ApJ, 345, 245
- Carnall A. C., McLure R. J., Dunlop J. S., Davé R., 2018, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 480, 4379–4401
- Carnall A. C., et al., 2019, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 490, 417–439
- Carniani S., et al., 2024, A&A, 685, A99
- Chen Z., Stark D. P., Mason C., Topping M. W., Whitler L., Tang M., Endsley R., Charlot S., 2024, MNRAS, 528, 7052
- Cherchneff I., Le Teuff Y. H., Williams P. M., Tielens A. G. G. M., 2000, A&A, 357, 572
- Chevallard J., et al., 2019, MNRAS, 483, 2621
- Ciotti L., 1991, A&A, 249, 99
- Ciotti L., Bertin G., 1999, A&A, 352, 447
- Curti M., et al., 2024a, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 684, A75
- Curti M., et al., 2024b, A&A, 684, A75
- Curtis-Lake E., et al., 2023, Nature Astronomy, 7, 622
- D'Eugenio F., et al., 2024, A&A, 689, A152
- Draine B., 1989, in Allamandola L. J., Tielens A. G. G. M., eds, IAU Symposium Vol. 135, Interstellar Dust. p. 313
- Duley W. W., Zaidi A., Wesolowski M. J., Kuzmin S., 2015, MNRAS, 447, 1242
- Eisenstein D. J., et al., 2023, Overview of the JWST Advanced Deep Extragalactic Survey (JADES) (arXiv:2306.02465), https://arxiv. org/abs/2306.02465
- Eldridge J. J., Stanway E. R., Xiao L., McClelland L. A. S., Taylor G., Ng M., Greis S. M. L., Bray J. C., 2017, Publ. Astron. Soc. Australia, 34, e058 Elfasdóttir Á., et al., 2009, ApJ, 697, 1725
- Ferland G. J., et al., 2017, Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis., 53, 385
- Feroz F., Hobson M. P., Bridges M., 2009, MNRAS, 398, 1601
- Ferruit P., et al., 2022, A&A, 661, A81
- Eicher D. et al. 2025 DEDELS IEU De
- Fisher R., et al., 2025, REBELS-IFU: Dust attenuation curves of 12 massive galaxies at $z \simeq 7$ (arXiv:2501.10541), https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.10541
- Fitzpatrick E. L., Massa D., 1986, ApJ, 307, 286
- Giavalisco M., et al., 2004, ApJ, 600, L93
- Giménez-Arteaga C., et al., 2024, A&A, 686, A63
- Gordon K. D., Clayton G. C., Misselt K. A., Landolt A. U., Wolff M. J., 2003, ApJ, 594, 279
- Gordon K. D., et al., 2024, ApJ, 970, 51
- Graham A. W., Driver S. P., 2005, Publ. Astron. Soc. Australia, 22, 118
- Grogin N. A., et al., 2011, The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 197, 35
- Guthrie B. N. G., 1992, A&AS, 93, 255

MNRAS 000, 1-16 (2025)

Harvey T., et al., 2025, EPOCHS. IV. SED Modeling Assumptions and Their Impact on the Stellar Mass Function at $6.5 \le z \le 13.5$ Using PEARLS and

- Public JWST Observations (arXiv:2403.03908), doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ad8c29
- Heintz K. E., et al., 2024a, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2404.02211
- Heintz K. E., et al., 2024b, Science, 384, 890
- Helton J. M., et al., 2024, ApJ, 974, 41
- Herwig F., 2005, ARA&A, 43, 435
- Hsiao T. Y.-Y., et al., 2023, ApJ, 949, L34
- Hubble E. P., 1926, ApJ, 64, 321
- Isobe Y., Ouchi M., Nakajima K., Harikane Y., Ono Y., Xu Y., Zhang Y., Umeda H., 2023, ApJ, 956, 139
- Jakobsen P., et al., 2022, A&A, 661, A80
- Joblin C., Leger A., Martin P., 1992, ApJ, 393, L79
- Jones A. P., Tielens A. G. G. M., Hollenbach D. J., 1996, ApJ, 469, 740
- Kaasinen M., Bian F., Groves B., Kewley L. J., Gupta A., 2017, MNRAS, 465, 3220
- Kennicutt R. C., Evans N. J., 2012, ARA&A, 50, 531
- Kewley L. J., Nicholls D. C., Sutherland R. S., 2019a, ARA&A, 57, 511
- Kewley L. J., Nicholls D. C., Sutherland R., Rigby J. R., Acharya A., Dopita M. A., Bayliss M. B., 2019b, ApJ, 880, 16
- Koekemoer A. M., et al., 2011, The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 197, 36
- Kohandel M., Pallottini A., Ferrara A., Zanella A., Behrens C., Carniani S., Gallerani S., Vallini L., 2019, MNRAS, 487, 3007
- Kriek M., Conroy C., 2013, ApJ, 775, L16
- Latter W. B., 1991, ApJ, 377, 187
- Lau R. M., et al., 2021, ApJ, 909, 113
- Lau R. M., et al., 2022, Nature Astronomy, 6, 1308
- Leja J., Carnall A. C., Johnson B. D., Conroy C., Speagle J. S., 2019, ApJ, 876, 3
- Leslie S. K., et al., 2018, A&A, 615, A7
- Li A., Draine B. T., 2001, ApJ, 554, 778
- Li A., Liang S. L., Kann D. A., Wei D. M., Klose S., Wang Y. J., 2008, The Astrophysical Journal, 685, 1046–1051
- Li Q., Narayanan D., Davé R., 2019, MNRAS, 490, 1425
- Li Q., Yang X. J., Li A., 2024, MNRAS, 535, L58
- Lin Q., Yang X., Li A., Witstok J., 2025, A&A, 694, A84
- Lotz J. M., Primack J., Madau P., 2004, AJ, 128, 163
- Lotz J. M., et al., 2008, ApJ, 672, 177
- Luridiana V., Morisset C., Shaw R. A., 2015, A&A, 573, A42
- Makiya R., Hirashita H., 2022, MNRAS, 517, 2076
- Markov V., Gallerani S., Pallottini A., Sommovigo L., Carniani S., Ferrara A., Parlanti E., Di Mascia F., 2023, A&A, 679, A12
- Markov V., Gallerani S., Ferrara A., Pallottini A., Parlanti E., Mascia F. D., Sommovigo L., Kohandel M., 2024, Nature Astronomy,
- Maseda M. V., et al., 2024, The NIRSpec Wide GTO Survey (arXiv:2403.05506), doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202449914
- Massey P., 2003, ARA&A, 41, 15
- McElwain M. W., et al., 2023, PASP, 135, 058001
- Morishita T., et al., 2024, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2408.10980
- Narayanan D., Conroy C., Davé R., Johnson B. D., Popping G., 2018, ApJ, 869, 70
- Narayanan D., et al., 2023, ApJ, 951, 100
- Narayanan D., et al., 2024a, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2408.13312
- Narayanan D., et al., 2024b, ApJ, 961, 73
- Nittler L. R., Ciesla F., 2016, Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 54, 53
- Noll S., Pierini D., Pannella M., Savaglio S., 2007, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 472, 455–469
- Noll S., et al., 2009, A&A, 499, 69
- Nozawa T., Kozasa T., Habe A., Dwek E., Umeda H., Tominaga N., Maeda K., Nomoto K., 2007, ApJ, 666, 955
- Oke J. B., Gunn J. E., 1983, ApJ, 266, 713

Rauscher B. J., et al., 2017, PASP, 129, 105003

- Papoular R. J., Papoular R., 2009, MNRAS, 394, 2175
- Peatt M. J., Richardson N. D., Williams P. M., Karnath N., Shenavrin V. I., Lau R. M., Moffat A. F. J., Weigelt G., 2023, ApJ, 956, 109
- Peng C. Y., Ho L. C., Impey C. D., Rix H.-W., 2002, AJ, 124, 266
- Peng C. Y., Ho L. C., Impey C. D., Rix H.-W., 2010, AJ, 139, 2097

- Reddy N. A., et al., 2015, ApJ, 806, 259
- Reddy N. A., Topping M. W., Sanders R. L., Shapley A. E., Brammer G., 2023, ApJ, 952, 167
- Rémy-Ruyer A., et al., 2014, A&A, 563, A31
- Rémy-Ruyer A., et al., 2015, A&A, 582, A121
- Ren J., et al., 2020, MNRAS, 499, 3399
- Rieke M. J., et al., 2023, ApJS, 269, 16
- Rigby J., et al., 2023, PASP, 135, 048001
- Roberts-Borsani G. W., et al., 2016, The Astrophysical Journal, 823, 143
- Roberts-Borsani G., et al., 2023, ApJ, 948, 54
- Rodriguez-Gomez V., et al., 2019, MNRAS, 483, 4140
- Roman-Duval J., et al., 2022, ApJ, 928, 90
- Rosslowe C. K., Crowther P. A., 2015, MNRAS, 447, 2322
- Salim S., Narayanan D., 2020, Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 58, 529–575
- Salim S., et al., 2016, ApJS, 227, 2
- Salim S., Boquien M., Lee J. C., 2018, ApJ, 859, 11
- Sanders R. L., et al., 2024, The AURORA Survey: The Nebular Attenuation Curve of a Galaxy at z=4.41 from Ultraviolet to Near-Infrared Wavelengths (arXiv:2408.05273), https://arxiv.org/ abs/2408.05273
- Sargent M. T., et al., 2010, ApJ, 714, L113
- Saxena A., et al., 2024, A&A, 684, A84
- Schneider R., Maiolino R., 2024, A&ARv, 32, 2
- Sérsic J. L., 1963, Boletin de la Asociacion Argentina de Astronomia La Plata Argentina, 6, 41
- Shivaei I., et al., 2020, ApJ, 899, 117
- Shivaei I., et al., 2022, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 514, 1886–1894
- Smit R., et al., 2015, The Astrophysical Journal, 801, 122
- Stanway E. R., Eldridge J. J., 2018, MNRAS, 479, 75
- Stecher T. P., 1965, ApJ, 142, 1683
- Stecher T. P., Donn B., 1965, ApJ, 142, 1681
- Tacchella S., et al., 2022, ApJ, 927, 170
- Tielens A. G. G. M., 2008, ARA&A, 46, 289
- Todini P., Ferrara A., 2001, MNRAS, 325, 726
- Tress M., et al., 2018, MNRAS, 475, 2363
- Vanni I., Salvadori S., Skúladóttir Á., Rossi M., Koutsouridou I., 2023, MN-RAS, 526, 2620
- Weaver J. R., et al., 2024, ApJS, 270, 7
- Witstok J., et al., 2023, Nature, 621, 267-270
- Witten C., et al., 2025, MNRAS, 537, 112
- Yang H., et al., 2017a, ApJ, 844, 171
- Yang H., Malhotra S., Rhoads J. E., Wang J., 2017b, The Astrophysical Journal, 847, 38
- York D. G., et al., 2000, AJ, 120, 1579
- Yuan Q.-r., Zhu C.-x., 2004, Chinese Astron. Astrophys., 28, 127
- Zinner E., 1998, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 26, 147
- de Graaff A., et al., 2024a, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2409.05948

de Graaff A., et al., 2024b, A&A, 684, A87

de Graaff A., Pillepich A., Rix H.-W., 2024c, The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 967, L40

APPENDIX A: A TENTATIVE UV BUMP DETECTION IN EGSZ-9135048459

We detect a tentative UV bump in EGSZ-9135048459, at z = 6.74, shown in Figure A1. Following the methodologies detailed in Sections 3.2 and 3.4, we fit both the UV slope and UV bump feature. We do not include EGSZ-9135048459 in the our main analysis due to the tentative nature of the detection, with a 3.9σ negative flux excess. We find that the measured peak wavelength, λ_{max} , is consistent with that of the MW.

We perform SED fitting of the NIRSpec PRISM spectroscopy only, following the methodology detailed in Section 3.5. The best-



Figure A1. Spectrum of EGSZ-9135048459 (grey solid line) with a powerlaw fit to the UV continuum (red solid line). The dark red shading represents the UV slope fitting windows. The zoom in panel of the region around 2175Å shows the running median, indicated by a solid black line. This represents the attenuated stellar continuum, and shows a localised absorption feature. The Drude profile fit is shown by the solid blue line, within the fitting window indicated by the vertical dashed lines. The hatched region shows the location of the CIII doublet. The bottom right panel shows the residuals of the powerlaw fit (PL) and the combined power-law and Drude profile fit (PL+Drude). The power-law fit alone has a 3.9σ negative flux excess.



Figure A2. Posterior spectrum from BAGPIPES fitting of NIRSpec PRISM observations of EGSZ-9135048459. The observed spectrum and uncertainties are shown in grey, with the running median overlaid in dark blue. The best-fit BAGPIPES model spectrum is displayed in dark red.

fit BAGPIPES spectrum is shown in Figure A2, and shows tentative evidence for the presence of a UV bump.

APPENDIX B: SED FITTING

We show the BAGPIPES posterior spectra obtained in Section 3.5, with residuals, in Figure B1.



Figure B1. Top: Posterior spectra obtained from BAGPIPES fitting. The observed spectrum and associated errors are shown in grey, with the observed NIRCam photometry shown in black. The x error bars represent the filter width at 50% of the maximum transmission. The posterior photometric points obtained from BAGPIPES are shown by open squares. Bottom: The residuals from the BAGPIPES fitting. The dashed vertical lines show the UV bump fitting region, and the blue shaded regions show the spectral regions masked in the BAGPIPES fitting.



Figure B2. Posterior spectrum obtained from BAGPIPES fitting using the photometry only, shown in blue. The observed spectrum is shown in grey, with the observed NIRCam photometry shown in black. The x error bars represent the filter width at 50% of the maximum transmission. The posterior photometric points obtained from BAGPIPES are shown by open blue squares. The dashed vertical line shows the location of the UV bump.

In Figure B2, we show the BAGPIPES posterior spectrum obtained by fitting the photometry alone, following the method in Section 3.5, with the Salim dust law.

APPENDIX C: MORPHOLOGICAL FITTING

We fit each band with the best fit GALFIT model obtained in Section 3.6, leaving the magnitude free to vary. The data, model, and residual for each band is shown in Figure C1. We also show the location of GNWY-7379420231 in the $G - M_{20}$ parameter space in Figure C2.

Table D1. Dust corrected emission line fluxes measured from the PRISM and G395H spectra. Fluxes are given in units of 10^{-18} erg s⁻¹ cm⁻². [O II] $\lambda\lambda$ 3727,29 is blended in the PRISM spectrum, and H β is located within the chip-gap in the G395H spectrum.

Emission Line	PRISM	G395H
[Оп] λ λ 3727,29	2.88 ± 0.53	-
[Оп]λ3727	-	1.10 ± 0.28
[Оп]λ3729	-	0.80 ± 0.26
Hβ	0.89 ± 0.33	-
[Ош] <i>λ</i> 4959	2.94 ± 0.11	3.61 ± 0.21
[Ош] <i>λ</i> 5007	8.76 ± 0.32	9.96 ± 0.05

We show the G395H 2D spectrum of the [OIII] doublet in Figure C3.

APPENDIX D: EMISSION LINE FLUXES

Dust corrected emission line fluxes measured in Section 3.8 are given in Table D1. The [OII] G395H emission line fit is shown in Figure D1.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.



Figure C1. GALFIT fits to each band, excluding F090W. Top: the NIRCam cutout. Middle: the GALFIT model image. Bottom: the residual image (data-model). We show the best-fit model to the SW stack in the rightmost column for comparison, with the components overlaid. Sérsic 1 is shown in blue, Sérsic 2 in red, and the point source in the light grey cross. The data and model images in each band are linearly scaled between $-3 - 20\sigma$ of the data image background, and the residual images are scaled between $-3 - 10\sigma$ of the data image background, for clarity.



Figure C2. Gini vs M_{20} for GNWY-7379420231, measured using the SW stack. The black and blue dashed lines are from Lotz et al. (2008), and show the division between merger candidates, E/So/Sa, and Sb/Sc/Ir galaxies.



Figure C3. Zoom in on the [OIII] doublet in the G395H $R \sim 2700$ grating. The solid red line shows the location of the primary [OIII] λ 5007 emission, and the red dashed line indicates the offset component, likely originating from the merging tail of the galaxy.



Figure D1. Double Gaussian fit to the OII high-resolution G395H spectrum (grey solid line). The red solid line represents the overall model, convolved with the G395H LSF. The blue dashed and blue dotted lines represent the intrinsic fits to the individual emission lines. The bottom panel shows the residual between the spectrum and overall model. The black dashed lines show the wavelengths of the individual emission lines, which are fixed during the fitting process.