# Soften the Mask: Adaptive Temporal Soft Mask for Efficient Dynamic Facial Expression Recognition

Mengzhu Li<sup>1</sup>, Quanxing Zha<sup>2</sup>, Hongjun Wu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Beijing Key Laboratory of Information Service Engineering, Beijing Union University <sup>2</sup>Huaqiao University, <sup>3</sup>Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications

limengzhu1123@gmail.com, quanxing.zha@gmail.com, hongjun.wu@bupt.edu.cn

# Abstract

Dynamic Facial Expression Recognition (DFER) facilitates the understanding of psychological intentions through nonverbal communication. Existing methods struggle to manage irrelevant information, such as background noise and redundant semantics, which impacts both efficiency and effectiveness. In this work, we propose a novel supervised temporal soft masked autoencoder network for DFER, namely AdaTosk, which integrates a parallel supervised classification branch with the self-supervised reconstruction branch. The self-supervised reconstruction branch applies random binary hard mask to generate diverse training samples, encouraging meaningful feature representations in visible tokens. Meanwhile the classification branch employs an adaptive temporal soft mask to flexibly mask visible tokens based on their temporal significance. Its two key components, respectively of, class-agnostic and class-semantic soft masks, serve to enhance critical expression moments and reduce semantic redundancy over time. Extensive experiments conducted on widely-used benchmarks demonstrate that our AdaTosk remarkably reduces computational costs compared with current state-of-the-art methods while still maintaining competitive performance.

# 1. Introduction

Facial expression recognition (FER), which aims to accurately identify facial expressions from static visual contents, has emerged as a vital tool for understanding and conveying psychological intentions, offering profound insights into human behavior and decision-making. Nevertheless, FER struggles to effectively address intractable dynamic expression variations. It is acknowledged that dynamic facial expression recognition (DFER) [5, 13, 27] is therefore a promising direction as it well accounts for spatiotemporal



Figure 1. **Redundancy in visible tokens.** Row 1: All original frames. Row 2: Frames after random masked. Row 3: **Gray-masked** regions indicate redundant temporal information in visible tokens. Yellow lines connect all distinct frames/tokens. (i) Key moments denote the important frames; (ii) Similarity accumulation indicates the redundancy of resemble tokens.

signals in videos, potentially enabling dynamical human expressions in real-world scenarios.

However, the efficiency of DFER still remains significant challenge due to substantial redundancy inadvertently introduced by temporal continuity in videos. Inspired by the remarkable success of Masked AutoEncoder (MAE) [6], some attempts [12, 14] have improved the efficiency by utilizing the visible patches that have potential to reconstruct the original content. Building on this foundation, recent efforts [18, 20] further reduce the computational costs during the reconstruction process with informative features learned by efficient encoders, significantly advancing the efficiency and efficacy of DFER. Despite these advancements, a critical limitation remains: the tendency to omit subtle and hardto-detect expression dynamics in videos.

Different from images, video data exhibits not only spatial redundancy but also additional temporal redundancy. Although the reconstruction branch removes many redun-

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

dant tokens, the remaining visible tokens still contain much irrelevant information, such as background noise. As shown in Fig. 1 Row 3, expression dynamics in the DFER task are sparse and typically triggered by a few salient frames. And these dynamics are generally less pronounced than those in action recognition, occurring mainly in key facial regions, such as the mouth. Hence there is considerable potential for improving efficiency in the DFER. Our main goals: (i) To focus on key moments of expression dynamics while avoiding unnecessary computation on irrelevant frames; (ii) To reduce the temporal accumulation of semantically similar tokens, such as non-essential actions and static information.

To alleviate temporal redundancy, the intuitive ideal is amplifying the influence of temporally valuable tokens while reducing the impact of meaningless ones. Inspired by the binary masking mechanism in MAE [6], we design an adaptive masking strategy that applies varying degrees of masking to the visible tokens. For example, we employ lighter masks to informative tokens, preserving their influence. To distinguish these two types of masking, we refer to the binary mask as 'Hard Mask' and the adaptive mask as 'Soft Mask'. Therefore, a novel adaptive temporal soft mask mechanism is introduced for aforementioned goals. (i) To preserve the representation of expression dynamics without being constrained by predefined categories, we design a class-agnostic dynamic soft mask. By calculating frame-to-frame feature differences, we select and enhance activated frames, with the soft mask defined by their influence on the entire time sequence. (ii) Some tokens may be temporally repetitive but still carry essential information of expression. To address this, we propose a class-semantic similar soft mask to integrate class semantics into similarity accumulation over time. To prevent the soft mask from overemphasizing specific tokens, we exclude some highscore tokens from the last frame during transitions.

In light of these, we propose a novel Adaptive Temporal Soft Mask mechanism, namely AdaTosk, for Efficient Dynamic Expression Recognition. The overview of our AdaTosk is illustrated in Fig. 2 and it mainly contains two parallel branches. Specifically, the self-supervised reconstruction branch applies random hard mask for data augmentation, generating diverse training samples and promoting meaningful representations in visible tokens in each iteration. Corresponding to the hard mask, the parallel supervised classification branch employs a unique soft mask mechanism, assigning adaptive masks to visible tokens based on their temporal redundancy and semantics in the video. The two core strategies, class-agnostic and classsemantic soft masks, respectively capture key moments in expression dynamics and the accumulation of semantic redundancy over time.

**Our contributions can be summarized as following:** (i) Our AdaTosk is the first to integrate supervised Video-

MAE into the DFER task, where the optimization of visible tokens significantly enhances the efficiency of expression recognition; (ii) We propose an innovative adaptive temporal soft mask that adaptively adjusts the masking degree. Its two designs: the class-agnostic dynamic and class-semantic similar soft masks, respectively activate key expression dynamics and suppress semantic redundancy over time; (iii) AdaTosk achieves a 3% performance improvement over state-of-the-art methods while reducing the model size by about 10M parameters and lowering computational cost by 6G FLOPs on the FERV39K [25], DFEW [7], and MAFW [16] datasets.

# 2. Related Work

Dynamic facial expression recognition. Early deep learning models [7, 10, 23, 25, 28] introduce end-to-end supervised architectures capable of extracting distinct spatial and temporal features. Later, Transformer-based models [16, 30], leveraging ViT [3] encoders, have become dominant in this field. Recently, there has been a notable trend toward large-scale models pre-trained on massive datasets in a self-supervised manner. Multi-modal vision-language models [4, 11, 21, 31] have become essential for the DFER task. Additionally, self-supervised pretraining methods [18, 20] utilizing VideoMAE [22] with large-scale unlabeled datasets focus on masked reconstruction pre-training for facial video data. Building on this foundation, approaches [2, 19] further adapt these models to support multi-modal inputs. Nevertheless, these models rely heavily on detailed annotations or substantial computation during fine-tuning. Inspired by SupMAE [14], our model incorporates a parallel supervised branch that performs classification using only visible tokens, significantly improving efficiency. Meanwhile, DR-FER [12], which is based on SupMAE [14], further validates the effectiveness of this framework in static FER.

Video Spatio-Temporal Redundancy. Reducing spatio-temporal redundancy for efficient video analysis can be approached through three main strategies. (i) Adaptive frame selection [9] dynamically identifies the most relevant frames for recognition tasks, while (ii) spatio-temporal region localization models [24, 26] focus on identifying the most task-relevant regions within videos. Additionally, (iii) token pruning methods in Transformers, such as DynamicViT [17] and EViT [15], eliminate less significant tokens using class token attention, and ToMe [1] accelerates computation by merging similar tokens. Inspired by these approaches, we propose a adaptive temporal soft mask mechanism to mitigate the temporal redundancy of expression features in videos. By applying varying degrees of masking based on token influence, this approach not only prevents information loss but also reduces redundancy.



Figure 2. **Overall framework of AdaTosk.** The left part illustrates the overall model architecture with two parallel branches. After the hard mask, the visible tokens are processed by the encoder. Then the decoder reconstructs the masked tokens using the reconstruction loss  $\mathcal{L}_{rec}$ , meanwhile a temporal soft mask is applied for expression recognition with the classification loss  $\mathcal{L}_{cls}$ . The right part represents the adaptive temporal soft mask mechanism: (i) Class-agnostic dynamic soft mask identifies key frames; (ii) Class-semantic similar soft mask transfers the accumulative temporal score between adjacent frames; (iii) The final temporal soft mask combines results from (i) and (ii).

# 3. Methodology

**Overview.** The architecture of AdaTosk is illustrated in Fig. 2. Our model achieves efficient facial expression recognition by focusing on the most informative visible tokens. Given a video token set  $\mathcal{X}$ , the goal is to extract the optimal visible tokens  $\mathcal{X}^{opt}$  by integrating a random hard mask  $\mathcal{H}$  (self-supervised branch) and a temporal soft mask  $\mathcal{S}$  (supervised branch). For the *s*-th token in the *t*-th frame,  $\mathcal{X}_{t,s}$  is element-wise multiplied by  $\mathcal{H}_{t,s}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{t,s}$ , defined as:

$$\mathcal{X}_{t,s}^{\text{vis}} = \mathcal{X}_{t,s} \odot \mathcal{H}_{t,s}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{t,s}^{\text{opt}} = \mathcal{X}_{t,s}^{\text{vis}} \odot \mathcal{S}_{t,s}.$$
(1)

The self-supervised branch applies reconstruction loss  $\mathcal{L}_{rec}$  to measure the distance between the reconstructed result  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$  and original token set  $\mathcal{X}$ . The supervised branch classifies the expression utilizes optimal token set  $\mathcal{X}^{opt}$  with classification loss  $\mathcal{L}_{cls}$ . The total loss  $\mathcal{L}$  is therefore defined as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda_{\rm rec} \mathcal{L}_{\rm rec}(\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}) + \lambda_{\rm cls} \mathcal{L}_{\rm cls}(\mathcal{X}^{\rm opt}), \qquad (2)$$

where  $\lambda_{\text{rec}}$  and  $\lambda_{\text{cls}}$  are balanced weights as 1 and 0.1.

#### **3.1. Random Binary Hard Mask**

Given a video  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times H \times W \times C}$ , where T, H, W, and C denote the number of frames, height, width, and RGB channels, the video is first divided into patches of size  $h \times w \times C$ . These patches are then grouped across t consecutive frames to form tokens of size  $t \times h \times w \times C$ . After embedding, the video is transformed into tokens set  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_t \times N_s \times D}$ , where  $N_t = \frac{T}{t}$  is the number of temporal tokens,  $N_s = \frac{H \times W}{h \times w}$  is the number of spatial patches per frame, and D is the token dimension derived from  $t \times h \times w \times C$ .

The random hard mask mechanism is derived from VideoMAE [22] which can reconstruct masked tokens from

the visible ones. This self-supervised strategy encourages the model to focus on visible tokens containing critical features. The binary hard mask  $\mathcal{H}_t \in \{0, 1\}^{N_s \times 1}$  is generated spatially and then broadcast across the temporal axis. The value of 1 remains tokens as visible  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{vis}}$ , while 0 masks them as  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{mask}}$ . As shown in (3), the high-capacity encoder  $\Phi_e$  processes the visible tokens, while the lightweight decoder  $\Phi_d$  reconstructs the masked tokens using encoded visible tokens and learnable representations. The reconstruction loss  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{rec}}$  is defined as the Mean Squared Error (MSE) between the original tokens  $\mathcal{X}$  and the reconstructed tokens, with  $\Psi$  identifying masked positions in the pixel space.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{rec}} = \text{MSE}(\Phi_d(\Phi_e(\mathcal{X}^{\text{vis}}) \cup \mathcal{X}^{\text{mask}}), \mathcal{X} \odot \Psi(1 - \mathcal{H}))$$
(3)

#### 3.2. Adaptive Temporal Soft Mask

To assess the contribution of tokens to expression evolution over time, we introduce an adaptive temporal soft mask. Tokens that significantly influence expression changes receive a lighter mask, while less relevant tokens are assigned a heavier mask. This adaptive masking is guided by two factors: (i) the extent of expression change throughout the temporal sequence, and (ii) the accumulation of semantic similarity among tokens over time. Based on these principles, we propose two soft mask strategies. The temporal sequence length is  $n_t=N_t$ , and the number of visible tokens is  $n_s=N_s\times(1-\rho)$ , where  $\rho$  is hard mask ratio.

**Class-agnostic dynamic soft mask.** Since expression changes occur gradually, they can be captured through several key moments. To avoid biasing expression dynamics by individual differences or predefined categories, we use a class-agnostic soft mask to analyze feature variations between neighboring frames. The temporal feature difference

 $\tau(t-1,t)$  for each adjacent frame pair  $\{\mathcal{X}_{t-1}^{vis}, \mathcal{X}_{t}^{vis}\}$  is calculated as:

$$\tau(t-1,t) = \sum_{d=1}^{D} |\operatorname{diff}(\mathcal{X}_{t-1}^{\operatorname{vis}}, \mathcal{X}_{t}^{\operatorname{vis}}, d)|, \qquad (4)$$

where  $\mathcal{X}_t^{\text{vis}} = \operatorname{avgpool}(\sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{X}_{t,s}^{\text{vis}})$ . 'diff(·)' represents element-wise subtraction, and d is the feature index. We then select the top-K frame pairs from set  $\tau$  and mark the second frame in each pair as the activate frame  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t^{\text{vis}}$ .

$$\tau = \{\tau(1,2), \tau(2,3), \dots, \tau(t-1,t)\}; \text{ TopK}(\tau) = 1.$$
 (5)

In order to increase the representation of activate frames, we leverage a channel-wise information interaction unit in the squeeze-and-excitation pattern to generate the feature  $\tilde{\chi}_{t}^{\text{vis}}$ .

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{t}^{\text{vis}} = \frac{\exp(\theta(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{t}^{\text{vis}}))}{\sum_{d=1}^{D}\exp(\theta(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{t}^{\text{vis}}))} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{t}^{\text{vis}} + \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{t}^{\text{vis}}, \qquad (6)$$

where  $\otimes$  denotes element-wise multiplication. The function  $\theta$  is a simple multi-layer perceptron (MLP) with two fully connected (FC) layers and a ReLU activation in between. The first FC layer has a weight matrix  $W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times (D/\mu)}$ , while the second uses  $W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(D/\mu) \times D}$ , where  $\mu$  is a scaling factor. A residual connection is added to improve training stability. A temporal information interaction unit is applied to capture global contextual relationships between  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_t^{\text{vis}}$  and  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{vis}}$ , as defined below:

$$\mathcal{S}_{t}^{\text{agnostic}} = \text{softmax}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{vis}} \odot (\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{t}^{\text{vis}})^{T}), \tag{7}$$

where  $S_t^{\text{agnostic}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_s \times D}$  is our class-agnostic soft mask.

**Class-semantic similar soft mask.** Although the hard mask mechanism eliminates many tokens, redundant information remains in the visible tokens, such as the static environmental tokens that persist across neighboring frames. Therefore, we propose a class-semantic similar soft mask, calculating token similarities frame-by-frame. We define the temporal accumulative score  $\mathcal{M} \in [0, 1]^{n_t \times n_s}$  to model the probability of masking a token in the *t*-th frame.

$$\mathcal{M}_{t,s} = \mathbb{P}_{\text{mask}}(\mathcal{X}_{t,s}^{\text{vis}}) \in [0,1] \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{M}_{t,s} = 1.$$
(8)

As time progresses, certain tokens accumulate significant higher scores than others. To reduce computational cost and better detect global similarities, we exclude the top r tokens from  $\mathcal{X}_t^{\text{vis}}$  when calculating  $\mathcal{M}_{t+1}$ , resulting  $\mathcal{X}_t'^{\text{vis}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_s-r)\times D}$ . Considering continuity in redundancy analysis across frames, we apply a Markov chain for transferring the accumulative score  $\mathcal{M}_t' \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_s-r)\times 1}$  to  $\mathcal{M}_{t+1}$  via the transition probability  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{mask}}(\mathcal{X}_t^{\text{vis}} | \mathcal{X}'_{t-1}^{\text{vis}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_s \times (n_s - r)}$ . Mathematically,

$$\mathcal{M}_{t+1} = \mathbb{P}_{\text{mask}}(\mathcal{X}_{t+1}^{\text{vis}} | \mathcal{X}'_{t}^{\text{vis}}) \mathcal{M}'_{t},$$
  
$$\mathbb{P}_{\text{mask}}(\mathcal{X}_{t+1}^{\text{vis}} | \mathcal{X}'_{t}^{\text{vis}}) = \operatorname{softmax}(f(\mathcal{X}_{t+1}^{\text{vis}}) f(\mathcal{X}'_{t}^{\text{vis}})^{T}),$$
(9)

where *f* is the projection head for similarity, and the transition probability is derived from a softmax affinity matrix. Although the Markov chain has reduce much redundancy, some similar tokens may contain crucial semantic information that improves model performance. We define the activated attention map  $\mathcal{A}$  to compute the semantic score for token  $\mathcal{X}_{ts}^{vis}$  as:

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}_{t,s}^{\text{vis}}) = \sum_{d=1}^{D} |\mathcal{X}_{t,s,d}^{\text{vis}}| \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$
(10)

Under the class supervision, we sum absolute activations across channels to highlight contributions to subsequent layers, using these activation-based maps (Fig. 3) to adjust temporal scores. This re-weights the temporal accumulative score  $\mathcal{M}$ , ensuring that tokens with high semantic value are prioritized for retention, even if they exhibit temporal redundancy. Finally, the class-semantic similar soft mask  $S_{t,s}^{\text{semantic}}$  is generated from the temporal accumulative score  $\mathcal{M}_{t,s}$  and the semantic score  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}_{t,s}^{\text{vis}})$ .

$$\mathcal{S}_{t,s}^{\text{semantic}} = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}_{t,s}^{\text{vis}})(1 - \mathcal{M}_{t,s}), \tag{11}$$

where  $S_{t,s}^{\text{semantic}}$  is min-max normalized to the range [0,1]. The final adaptive temporal soft mask S is defined as:

$$\mathcal{S}_{t,s} = \mathcal{S}_t^{\text{agnostic}} \odot \mathcal{S}_{t,s}^{\text{semantic}}.$$
 (12)

To classify the optimal visible tokens  $\mathcal{X}^{opt}$  introduced in overview, we use the cross-entropy loss[29] as the classification loss  $\mathcal{L}_{cls}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{cls}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} z_i \log(p_i), \qquad (13)$$

where  $p=avgpool(\mathcal{X}^{opt}) \in \mathbb{R}^N$  represents the model's prediction for N classes, and z is one-hot ground truth label.

#### 4. Experiment

#### **4.1. Experimental Settings**

**Datasets and evaluation metrics.** We evaluate our method on three in-the-wild DFER datasets. DFEW[7] and FERV39k[25] include 11,697 and 38,935 videos, respectively, with 7 expression classes. MAFW[16] consists of 9,172 videos spanning 11 expression categories. UAR (Unweighted Average Recall) and WAR (Weighted Average Recall) are used as evaluation metrics [8].

**Implementation details.** Videos are sampled at 16 frames with a temporal stride of 4 and resized to  $224 \times 224$  pixels. The frames are tokenized into 3D tokens of size  $\{2 \times 16 \times 16\}$ . In the self-supervised branch, we adopt the ViT-B/16 (512-dim) [3] as encoder and one-layer transformers as decoder. In the supervised branch, a subset of

Table 1. Comparisons of performance on three different datasets. Gray rows indicate large language model methods, and blue rows represent VideoMAE-based methods. The best and second-best results are marked with **bold** and <u>underline</u>, respectively. AdaTosk and AdaTosk<sup> $\dagger$ </sup> denote models with and without the temporal soft mask.

Method	Backbone	#Params (M)	FLOPs (G)	DFEW		FERV39K		MAFW	
				UAR	WAR	UAR	WAR	UAR	WAR
Supervised methods									
EC-STFL (MM'20)	C3D / P3D	78	8	45.35	56.51	-	-	-	-
Former-DFER (MM'21)	Transformer	18	9	53.69	65.70	37.20	46.85	31.16	43.27
T-ESFL (MM'22)	Transformer	-	-	-	-	-	-	33.28	48.18
Freq-HD (MM'23)	VGG13-LSTM	-	9	-	-	32.79	44.54	-	-
M3DFEL (CVPR'23)	ResNet-18-3D	-	2	56.10	69.25	35.94	47.67	-	-
IAL (AAAI'23)	ResNet-18	19	10	55.71	69.24	35.82	48.54	-	-
Self-supervised methods									
DFER-CLIP (BMVC'23)	CLIP-ViT-B/32	90	-	59.61	71.25	41.27	51.65	39.89	52.55
CLIPER (ICME'24)	CLIP-ViT-B/16	88	-	57.56	70.84	41.23	51.34	-	-
EmoCLIP (FG'24)	CLIP-ViT-B/32	-	-	58.04	62.12	31.41	36.18	34.24	41.46
A <sup>3</sup> lign-DFER (ArXiv'24)	CLIP-ViT-L/14	-	-	64.09	74.20	41.87	51.77	42.07	53.24
VideoMAE (NeurIPS'22)	ViT-B/16	86	82	63.60	74.60	-	-	42.87	53.51
MAE-DFER (MM'23)	ViT-B/16	85	50	62.59	74.88	43.12	52.07	41.62	54.31
SVFAP (TAC'24)	ViT-B/16	78	44	62.63	74.81	42.14	52.29	41.19	54.28
HICMAE (Fusion'24)	ViT-B/16	81	46	63.76	75.01	-	-	42.65	56.17
MMA-DFER (CVPRW'24)	ViT-B/16	-	-	67.01	77.51	-	-	44.11	58.52
AdaTosk (Ours)	ViT-B/16	74	44	64.93	75.95	44.34	54.24	42.26	56.81
AdaTosk <sup>†</sup> (Ours)	ViT-B/16	72	40	66.76	77.54	46.28	56.52	44.15	57.92

Table 2. Hard mask ratios and the number of excluded tokens.

Hard mask	Metrics	r=0	r=2	r=4	r=6
25%	WAR	76.74	76.68	76.57	76.08
	GFLOPs	136.15	118.54	99.75	68.79
50%	WAR	77.06	77.02	76.97	76.45
	GFLOPs	84.32	72.24	60.05	42.13
70% ours	WAR GFLOPs	77.63 57.73	77.54 40.12	-	-

visible tokens is processed with global pooling and classified by a two-layer MLP. During training, we primarily follow the hyper-parameter settings of VideoMAE [22]. Specifically, we set the hard mask ratio to 70% and configure temporal soft masks with top-k=4, top-r=2. The model is optimized using AdamW with  $\beta_1 = 0.9$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.95$ , a batch size of 128, a base learning rate of  $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ , and a weight decay of 0.05. The learning rate is scaled linearly as  $Ir = base_{1}Ir \times \frac{batch_{1}size}{256}$ . Models are trained for 100 epochs on 8 A100 GPUs, including 10 warm-up epochs.

#### 4.2. Comparison with State-of-the-Art Methods

**Main results.** In Table 1, we compare  $AdaTosk^{\dagger}$  with state-of-the-art methods on DFEW, FERV39K, and MAFW.

Our model outperforms supervised models by 9–10% in both metrics across all datasets. Compared to the best large language model (A<sup>3</sup>lign-DFER), it achieves improvements of 2.7% and 2.3% in (UAR and WAR) on DFEW, 3.4% and 4.8% on FERV39K, 2.1% and 4.7% on MAFW. Compared to VideoMAE-based models (MAE-DFER and MMA-DFER), AdaTosk<sup>†</sup> achieves improvements of 3-4% over the MAE-DFER across all datasets, and 3.1% and 4.4% over the MMA-DFER on FERV39K. Detailed results for each expression are provided in Appendix Tables 1–3.

**Model efficiency.** (i) Compared to the baseline Video-MAE [22], our **AdaTosk** and AdaTosk<sup>†</sup> replace the finetuning stage with the parallel visible tokens classification. Although **AdaTosk** is without the temporal soft mask, it reduces parameters by 12M and FLOPs by 38G, demonstrating the efficiency of the architecture based on SupMAE[14]. (ii) Compared to **AdaTosk**, AdaTosk<sup>†</sup> incorporates a temporal soft mask, further reducing parameters by 2M and FLOPs by 4G, while improving UAR and WAR by about 2% across three datasets, confirming the effectiveness of the temporal soft mask. (iii) Both **AdaTosk** and AdaTosk<sup>†</sup> reduce parameters by 4-12M and FLOPs by 2-38G compared to other ViT-B models.

Table 3. The impact of our proposed soft mask mechanism.

Soft mask strategy	UAR (%)	WAR (%)
CA	65.55	76.64
$CS(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{M})$	66.03	77.11
$\mathrm{CS}\left(\mathcal{M} ight)$	65.78	76.67
$\mathrm{CS}\left(\mathcal{A} ight)$	65.95	76.93
$CA + CS(\mathcal{M})$	66.41	77.07
CA + CS(A)	66.62	77.31
CA + CS (A+M)	66.76	77.54

## 4.3. Ablation Study

We conduct the ablations on DFEW dataset. **Soft mask mechanism.** In Table 3, we evaluate the effect of soft masks using several variants: (i) Rows 1-2: class-agnostic (CA) soft mask or class-semantic (CS) soft mask with two scores; (ii) Rows 3-4: redundant score  $\mathcal{M}$  or semantic score  $\mathcal{A}$  from the class-semantic soft mask; (iii) Rows 5-6: class-agnostic soft mask combined with one score; (iv) Row 7: CA soft mask and CS soft mask with two scores. The results show that models with both soft masks outperform the CA soft mask by 2% and the CS soft mask by 1%. Using a single score instead of two in the CS soft mask reduces performance by 0.1%-0.3%.

**Distance loss.** In Table 4, we compare strategies for calculating the difference between neighboring features in the class-agnostic soft mask. The 'classification' strategy uses a pre-trained head to categorize frames based on distinctiveness. The results show that  $L_2$  distance outperforms cosine distance, with  $L_1$  distance yielding the best overall performance. We adopt  $L_1$  as the default diff function.

Hard mask ratios and the number of excluded tokens. In Table 2, we firstly analyze the impact of excluding the top-score r tokens per frame when calculating token similarity in the class-semantic soft mask. At the 70% hard mask ratio, setting r=2 (r=4 or 6 exceeds the number of visible tokens) reduces GFLOPs by 12-18% compared to r=0, with minimal performance loss (-0.05% to -0.1%). Secondly, increasing the hard mask ratio from 25% to 70% under the same r improves classification WAR by about 1% while reducing GFLOPs by 4050%. All results confirm that adaptively reducing noisy or redundant video information decreases computational costs and improves performance.

# 4.4. Visualization

In Row 2 of Fig. 3, our model accurately identifies essential facial regions through class-semantic activation maps. For instance, in sadness, it consistently focuses on the cheeks and eyebrows, while attention to the mouth area varies. In Row 4, information redundancy gradually accumulates over time. For instance, the number of excluded tokens

Table 4. Ablation studys on the proposed distance losses.

Distance loss	UAR (%)	WAR (%)
classification	61.86	72.67
cosine	62.77	73.52
$L_2$	64.83	75.68
$\mathbf{L}_1(\mathbf{ours})$	66.76	77.54



Figure 3. Visualizations of class activated maps and two temporal soft masks. Row 1: Original frames. Row 2: Class-semantic activated maps. Row 3: Visible patches after hard masking. Row 4: Class-semantic (CS) similar soft mask on visible patches. Row 5: Class-agnostic (CA) dynamic soft mask on visible patches. Essential dynamic regions are highlighted in red and orange. Colors from blue to purple to gray/black represent the soft mask degree, from light to heavy.

(black) increases from frame 2 to frame 16. The positions and degrees of the class-semantic soft mask depend not only on similarity but also on classification semantics (Row 2). For example, despite the similar features of the cheek regions, the cheek regions receive a lighter mask. In Row 5, the class-agnostic dynamic soft mask highlights key frames at 6, 10, and 14, capturing expression changes. Red and orange boxes indicate regions with significant dynamic changes, as visually confirmed in the original frames in Row 1.

# 5. Conclusion

In this work, we presented AdaTosk, a novel supervised temporal soft masked autoencoder network for DFER task. By combining a self-supervised reconstruction branch with a supervised classification branch, AdaTosk efficiently mitigates irrelevant information and reduces redundancy through adaptive temporal soft masking. Our model improves computational efficiency without sacrificing performance, as demonstrated through extensive experiments on standard benchmarks.

# References

- Daniel Bolya, Cheng-Yang Fu, Xiaoliang Dai, Peizhao Zhang, Christoph Feichtenhofer, and Judy Hoffman. Token merging: Your vit but faster. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.09461*, 2022. 2
- [2] Kateryna Chumachenko, Alexandros Iosifidis, and Moncef Gabbouj. Mma-dfer: Multimodal adaptation of unimodal models for dynamic facial expression recognition in-thewild. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 4673–4682, 2024. 2
- [3] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020. 2, 4
- [4] Niki Maria Foteinopoulou and Ioannis Patras. Emoclip: A vision-language method for zero-shot video facial expression recognition. In 2024 IEEE 18th International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG), pages 1–10. IEEE, 2024. 2
- [5] Ming Guo, Wenrui Li, Chao Wang, Yuxin Ge, and Chongjun Wang. Smile: Spiking multi-modal interactive label-guided enhancement network for emotion recognition. In 2024 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME), pages 1–6. IEEE, 2024. 1
- [6] Kaiming He, Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, Yanghao Li, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference* on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 16000– 16009, 2022. 1, 2
- [7] Xingxun Jiang, Yuan Zong, Wenming Zheng, Chuangao Tang, and Wanchuang Xia. Dfew: A large-scale database for recognizing dynamic facial expressions in the wild. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM international conference on multimedia*, pages 2881–2889, 2020. 2, 4
- [8] Jens Keilwagen et al. Area under precision-recall curves for weighted and unweighted data. *PloS one*, 9(3):e92209, 2014.
   4
- [9] Hengduo Li, Zuxuan Wu, Abhinav Shrivastava, and Larry S Davis. 2d or not 2d? adaptive 3d convolution selection for efficient video recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF* conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 6155–6164, 2021. 2
- [10] Hanting Li, Hongjing Niu, and Zhaoqing Zhu. Intensityaware loss for dynamic facial expression recognition in the wild. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 67–75, 2023. 2
- [11] Hanting Li, Hongjing Niu, Zhaoqing Zhu, and Feng Zhao. Cliper: A unified vision-language framework for in-the-wild facial expression recognition. In 2024 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME), pages 1–6. IEEE, 2024. 2
- [12] Ming Li, Huazhu Fu, Shengfeng He, Hehe Fan, Jun Liu, Jussi Keppo, and Mike Zheng Shou. Dr-fer: Discrimina-

tive and robust representation learning for facial expression recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 2023. 1, 2

- [13] Shan Li and Weihong Deng. Deep facial expression recognition: A survey. *IEEE transactions on affective computing*, 13(3):1195–1215, 2020. 1
- [14] Feng Liang, Yangguang Li, and Diana Marculescu. Supmae: Supervised masked autoencoders are efficient vision learners. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.14540, 2022. 1, 2, 5
- [15] Youwei Liang, Chongjian Ge, Zhan Tong, Yibing Song, Jue Wang, and Pengtao Xie. Not all patches are what you need: Expediting vision transformers via token reorganizations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.07800, 2022. 2
- [16] Yuanyuan Liu, Wei Dai, Chuanxu Feng, Wenbin Wang, Guanghao Yin, Jiabei Zeng, and Shiguang Shan. Mafw: A large-scale, multi-modal, compound affective database for dynamic facial expression recognition in the wild. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 24–32, 2022. 2, 4
- [17] Yongming Rao, Wenliang Zhao, Benlin Liu, Jiwen Lu, Jie Zhou, and Cho-Jui Hsieh. Dynamicvit: Efficient vision transformers with dynamic token sparsification. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 34:13937–13949, 2021. 2
- [18] Licai Sun, Zheng Lian, Bin Liu, and Jianhua Tao. Maedfer: Efficient masked autoencoder for self-supervised dynamic facial expression recognition. In *Proceedings of the* 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 6110–6121, 2023. 1, 2
- [19] Licai Sun, Zheng Lian, Bin Liu, and Jianhua Tao. Hicmae: Hierarchical contrastive masked autoencoder for selfsupervised audio-visual emotion recognition. *Information Fusion*, 108:102382, 2024. 2
- [20] Licai Sun, Zheng Lian, Kexin Wang, Yu He, Mingyu Xu, Haiyang Sun, Bin Liu, and Jianhua Tao. Svfap: Selfsupervised video facial affect perceiver. *IEEE Transactions* on Affective Computing, 2024. 1, 2
- [21] Zeng Tao, Yan Wang, Junxiong Lin, et al. A<sup>3</sup> lign-dfer: Pioneering comprehensive dynamic affective alignment for dynamic facial expression recognition with clip. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.04294*, 2024. 2
- [22] Zhan Tong, Yibing Song, Jue Wang, and Limin Wang. Videomae: Masked autoencoders are data-efficient learners for self-supervised video pre-training. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:10078–10093, 2022. 2, 3, 5
- [23] Hanyang Wang, Bo Li, Shuang Wu, Siyuan Shen, Feng Liu, and Shouhong Ding. Rethinking the learning paradigm for dynamic facial expression recognition. In *Proceedings of* the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 17958–17968, 2023. 2
- [24] Yulin Wang, Zhaoxi Chen, Haojun Jiang, Shiji Song, Yizeng Han, and Gao Huang. Adaptive focus for efficient video recognition. In proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision, pages 16249–16258, 2021. 2
- [25] Yan Wang, Yixuan Sun, Yiwen Huang, Zhongying Liu, Shuyong Gao, Wei Zhang, Weifeng Ge, and Wenqiang Zhang. Ferv39k: A large-scale multi-scene dataset for facial expression recognition in videos. In *Proceedings of*

the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 20922–20931, 2022. 2, 4

- [26] Yulin Wang, Yang Yue, Yuanze Lin, Haojun Jiang, Zihang Lai, Victor Kulikov, Nikita Orlov, Humphrey Shi, and Gao Huang. Adafocus v2: End-to-end training of spatial dynamic networks for video recognition. In 2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 20030–20040. IEEE, 2022. 2
- [27] Peilin Xiao, Yueyi Zhang, Dachun Kai, Yansong Peng, and Zheyu Zhang. Estme: Event-driven spatio-temporal motion enhancement for micro-expression recognition. In 2024 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME), pages 1–6. IEEE, 2024. 1
- [28] Quanxing Zha, Xin Liu, et al. Ugncl: Uncertaintyguided noisy correspondence learning for efficient crossmodal matching. In Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, pages 852–861, 2024. 2
- [29] Zhilu Zhang et al. Generalized cross entropy loss for training deep neural networks with noisy labels. *NeurIPS*, 31, 2018.4
- [30] Zengqun Zhao and Qingshan Liu. Former-dfer: Dynamic facial expression recognition transformer. In *Proceedings* of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 1553–1561, 2021. 2
- [31] Zengqun Zhao and Ioannis Patras. Prompting visuallanguage models for dynamic facial expression recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.13382*, 2023. 2