

On the nonlinearity of Four-Dimensional Conformal Transformations in spinor representation

Zhi-Peng Wang,^{1,*} X. X. Yi,¹ and Hai-Jun Wang^{2,†}

¹*Center for Quantum Sciences and School of Physics,
Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, China*

²*Center for Theoretical Physics and College of Physics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China*

(Dated: March 3, 2025)

The nonlinearity of the conformal group is an essential factor that ruins the global conformal invariance for interacting material fields. In this paper we attempt to track such nonlinearity from spacetime transformations to spinor representations. To this end we rederive the spinor representation by generalizing the linear fractional transformation from two dimensions to four dimensions via replacing complex numbers with biquaternions. To check the effect of the nonlinearity we apply the translations and special conformal transformations (SCTs) to Dirac spinors in certain interactions. These two transformations do not lead to nonlinear terms in Yukawa term, but do in vector-spinor interaction. And the nonlinear terms would definitely cause CP violation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of conformal group in physics has been updating ever since Bateman and Cunningham discovered [1, 2] that Maxwell's equations are invariant under conformal transformations in 1910. The conformal group is composed of conformal transformations with Poincaré group as its subgroup. Additional to translations and rotations, the conformal group includes dilations and special conformal transformations (SCTs). The dilation, also known as scaling transformation, is widely used in different renormalization schemes [3]. The inversion is also a conformal transformation, but it is somehow equivalent to the SCT which is constructed through the combination of a inversion, a translation, and a second inversion. The properties of the SCT are particularly distinctive, as they can be locally viewed as the combination of a rotation and dilation with coordinate-dependent coefficients, which illustrates the nonlinear nature of the SCT. So far, it remains elusive for us that how the nonlinearity manifests itself in different representations of conformal group, for instance in spinor representations.

It is well known that few actions and equations maintain conformal invariance due to the nonlinear nature of the SCT, for instance, the acceleration equation in special relativity [4, 5] and the action of the photon field [6]. The nonlinear properties of the SCT have been studied in previous literatures [7, 8], where it seems transforming the trajectory of a particle moving in a straight line into two particles moving along a hyperbola. Another work concerns conformal transformations in the four-dimensional electrodynamics [9], where the two particles moving along the hyperbola are interpreted by the Born solutions when the selected electric field is Coulomb field. Recently, in the conformal bootstrap method [10, 11], the nonlinearity of the SCT has been

manifested by the finite transformations of “primary operator”, leading to the determination of the critical exponent of the three-dimensional Ising model [12]. Even more, the conformal group has been schematically applied to conformal quantum mechanics, chaos and cosmology [13–16], where the conformal group is reduced to the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ group.

Up to date, the conformal transformations for scalar and vector have been extensively studied. Whereas the study for spinor [17–19] remain not adequate, for instance how to apply them to Dirac spinors. Consequently, two issues arise while regarding the four-dimensional SCT. The first issue concerns the nonlinear effects of the SCT in different representations which have not been explicitly compared. The second issue relates to the derivation of spinor representations of the conformal group [17], i.e. how to connect the spinor representation with four-dimensional spacetime transformations Eqs. (1). This connection should not be confused with progress in deriving the four-dimensional conformal group via six-dimensional “isotropic-vector” [20–22] (the vector components meet $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_5^2 + x_6^2 = 0$). In that case, spinor representations of the four-dimensional conformal group can also be derived. However, the coordinate transformations in six dimensions are not identical to those Eqs. (1) in four dimensions.

In two dimensions, conformal transformations can be associated to linear fractional transformations (projective transformations, Möbius transformations) [23]. Therefore to address the two issues mentioned above, we extend linear fractional transformations from two dimensions to four dimensions, using biquaternions [24] to replace complex numbers. In a recent work [25], hyperquaternions were employed to derive the six-dimensional coordinate transformations and four-dimensional generators of the conformal group. Whereas to explicitly manifest the connection between four-dimensional spacetime transformations and the spinor representations, biquaternions prove to be convenient [26]. Our results show that both translations and SCTs have nonlinear effects while acting on the vector-spinor interaction, however while they acting on the Yukawa

* wangzp@nenu.edu.cn

† hjwang@jlu.edu.cn

term, the nonlinearity vanishes. At the early moments of universe, the acceleration should be expressed in a transformation manner merely by translations and SCTs, which we define in this paper as the physical translations. Such physical translations would cause somehow the violation of charge conjugation parity (CP).

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we present the basic definition of the conformal transformation. In Sec. III, we associate the coordinate transformation with the spinor representation of the conformal group via generalized linear fractional transformations. In Sec. IV, we apply conformal transformations to Dirac spinors. In Sec. V, we discuss our results.

II. THE BASIS OF CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATIONS

In this section, we introduce conformal transformations, with a particular focus on the properties of SCTs. In Minkowski space with the metric $g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$, finite conformal transformations are defined to be

$$\text{(translation)} \quad x^{\mu'} = x^\mu + a^\mu, \quad (1a)$$

$$\text{(rotation)} \quad x^{\mu'} = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu, \quad (1b)$$

$$\text{(dilation)} \quad x^{\mu'} = e^\alpha x^\mu, \quad (1c)$$

$$\text{(SCT)} \quad x^{\mu'} = \frac{x^\mu - b^\mu x^2}{(1 - 2b \cdot x + b^2 x^2)}, \quad (1d)$$

among which the SCT consists of a series of transformations,

$$x^{\mu'} = \frac{x^\mu}{x^2}, \quad (2a)$$

$$x^{\mu''} = \frac{x^\mu}{x^2} - b^\mu, \quad (2b)$$

$$x^{\mu'''} = \frac{\frac{x^\mu}{x^2} - b^\mu}{\left(\frac{x^\nu}{x^2} - b^\nu\right)\left(\frac{x_\nu}{x^2} - b_\nu\right)}, \quad (2c)$$

where Eq. (2a) is defined as inversion, Eqs. (2b) and (2c) are known as translation and second inversion. Obviously, inversions are the most important operations for finite conformal transformations. However while constructing infinitesimal conformal transformations, inversions are replaced by SCTs. Unlike translation, rotation, and dilation, SCTs are nonlinear transformations. The generators of the conformal group can be derived through infinitesimal transformations. The explicit forms of these generators are

$$P_\mu = -i\partial_\mu, \quad (3a)$$

$$M_{\mu\nu} = -i(x_\nu\partial_\mu - x_\mu\partial_\nu), \quad (3b)$$

$$D = -ix^\mu\partial_\mu, \quad (3c)$$

$$K_\mu = -i(2x_\mu x^\nu\partial_\nu - x^2\partial_\mu), \quad (3d)$$

where, P_μ , $M_{\mu\nu}$, D , and K_μ are respectively generators of translations, rotations, dilations, and SCTs. A SCT

locally looks like the combination of a dilation $\Omega(x)$ and a rotation $R^\mu{}_\nu(x)$, which is shown by the Jacobian of the SCT [27]

$$\frac{\partial x^{\mu'}}{\partial x^\nu} = \Omega(x)R^\mu{}_\nu(x), \quad (4)$$

where $\Omega(x)$ and $R^\mu{}_\nu(x)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(x) &= (1 - 2b \cdot x + b^2 x^2)^{-1}, \\ R^\mu{}_\nu(x) &= \delta^\mu{}_\nu + \Omega(x)[2(b_\nu x^\mu - b^\mu x_\nu) + 4b \cdot x b^\mu x_\nu \\ &\quad - 2(b^\mu b_\nu x^2 + b^2 x^\mu x_\nu)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

According to Eq. (5), we observe that the powers of translation parameter (coefficient) b^μ and coordinate x^μ are the same. Thus, in the spinor representation, we shall regard the power of b^μ as the degree of nonlinearity of the conformal transformations, more details as following Eq. (63).

III. REDERIVE THE SPINOR REPRESENTATION OF CONFORMAL GROUP

In this section, we will generalize the linear fractional transformation $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, by using biquaternions in place of complex numbers (Here the letters a, b, c, d have nothing to do with those in last section, we don't stress that henceforth unless confusion appears.). By such generalization we extend the conformal transformations from two-dimensions to four-dimensions, meanwhile we obtain the mapping between spacetime transformations and spinor representations of the conformal group.

A. Spinor Representation in two dimension

Now we introduce the linear fractional transformation by which conformal transformations can be associated with matrices. And using these matrices we define a representations of conformal group. It is well known that a the linear fractional transformation can be associated to the matrix $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ [23], concretely as

$$z' = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad (6)$$

where a, b, c, d and $z = x + iy$ are complex numbers. The mappings (6) correspond to conformal transformations [28], among which the translation in the x direction can be associated with $\Lambda_{P_x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Substituting this matrix into Eq. (6), we can get

$$z' = z + a = x + a + iy. \quad (7)$$

The corresponding coordinate transformation then is

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= x + a, \\ y' &= y. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Similarly, translation in the y direction can be associated with $\Lambda_{P_y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ai \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The corresponding coordinate transformation is $x' = x, y' = y + a$. The rotation can be associated with $\Lambda_M = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{i\theta}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{i\theta}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$. The corresponding coordinate transformation is

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= (\cos \theta)x + (\sin \theta)y, \\ y' &= -(\sin \theta)x + (\cos \theta)y. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The dilation can be associated with $\Lambda_D = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$. The corresponding coordinate transformation is

$$x' = e^\alpha x, \quad y' = e^\alpha y. \quad (10)$$

Similar to Eq. (2), SCTs are derived

$$\Lambda_{K_x} = \Lambda_{I_x} \Lambda_{P_x} \Lambda_{I_x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -b & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

$$\Lambda_{K_y} = \Lambda_{I_y} \Lambda_{P_y} \Lambda_{I_y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ bi & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where Λ_{I_x} is the x -directional inversion, and Λ_{I_y} y -directional, which can be expressed as

$$\Lambda_{I_x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_{I_y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

in which Λ_{I_x} and Λ_{I_y} corresponding coordinate transformation are

$$\begin{aligned} x'_{I_x} &= \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad y'_{I_x} = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}, \\ x'_{I_y} &= \frac{-x}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad y'_{I_y} = \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The SCT in the x direction (11) corresponding coordinate transformation is

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= \frac{x - b(x^2 + y^2)}{1 - 2bx + b^2(x^2 + y^2)}, \\ y' &= \frac{y}{1 - 2bx + b^2(x^2 + y^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The SCT in the y direction (12) corresponding coordinate transformation is

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= \frac{x}{1 - 2by + b^2(x^2 + y^2)}, \\ y' &= \frac{y - b(x^2 + y^2)}{1 - 2by + b^2(x^2 + y^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By the above Eqs. (15) and (16), we restore the coordinate transformations of SCTs in Eq. (1). In

such representation, the corresponding generators of conformal transformations in two dimensions yield

$$\begin{aligned} P_x &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ M &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ K_x &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

And their commutation relations can be easily examined,

$$\begin{aligned} [D, P_x] &= iP_x, \quad [D, P_y] = iP_y, \\ [D, K_x] &= -iK_x, \quad [D, K_y] = -iK_y, \\ [K_x, P_x] &= 2iD, \quad [K_x, P_y] = -2iM, \\ [K_y, P_x] &= 2iM, \quad [K_y, P_y] = 2iD, \\ [P_x, M] &= iP_y, \quad [P_y, M] = -iP_x, \\ [K_x, M] &= iK_y, \quad [K_y, M] = -iK_x. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

B. Spinor Representation in four dimension

Now let's turn to the four-dimensional linear fractional transformation. We would replace the complex number with the biquaternion [24], which is defined to be

$$q = t + x(hi) + y(hj) + z(hk), \quad (19)$$

where h is the imaginary unit, and h, i, j, k satisfy the multiplication law [29]

$$\begin{aligned} i^2 &= j^2 = k^2 = -1, \\ ij &= k, \quad jk = i, \quad ki = j, \\ hi &= ih, \quad hj = jh, \quad hk = kh, \end{aligned}$$

the number 1 playing the role of unity element. Then the denominator in Eq. (6) can be expressed by the inverse of biquaternions, which means the generalized linear fractional transformation can be written as

$$q' = (aq + b)(cq + d)^{-1}, \quad (20)$$

where $a, b, c,$ and d are biquaternions. In some literatures, this is also regarded as the definition of the linear fractional transformation [19, 30]. And the inverse of biquaternions [24, 31] is defined to be

$$q^{-1} = (\bar{q}q)^{-1}\bar{q}, \quad (21)$$

where quaternion conjugate \bar{q} ($i, j, k \rightarrow -i, -j, -k$) and complex conjugate q^* ($h \rightarrow -h$) are defined to be

$$\bar{q} = q^* = t - x(hi) - y(hj) - z(hk). \quad (22)$$

Similarly, the generalized linear fractional transformation can be associated to the matrix $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. By using such replacement, translations turn out to be

$$\Lambda_P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^\mu \sigma_\mu \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

where $\sigma^\mu = (1, \sigma_i)$, $\bar{\sigma}^\mu = (1, -\sigma_i)$, $\sigma_i = -h\mathbf{i}, -h\mathbf{j}, -h\mathbf{k}$ and 1 is the unit matrix. Substituting this matrix (23) into Eq. (20), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
q' &= (aq + b)(cq + d)^{-1} \\
&= t + x(h\mathbf{i}) + y(h\mathbf{j}) + z(h\mathbf{k}) + a^\mu \sigma_\mu \\
&= t + x(h\mathbf{i}) + y(h\mathbf{j}) + z(h\mathbf{k}) \\
&\quad + a^0 + a^1 \sigma_1 + a^2 \sigma_2 + a^3 \sigma_3 \\
&= t + x(h\mathbf{i}) + y(h\mathbf{j}) + z(h\mathbf{k}) \\
&\quad + a_t + a_x(h\mathbf{i}) + a_y(h\mathbf{j}) + a_z(h\mathbf{k}) \\
&= t + a_t + (x + a_x)(h\mathbf{i}) \\
&\quad + (y + a_y)(h\mathbf{j}) + (z + a_z)(h\mathbf{k}).
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

One finds that the corresponding translations is

$$\begin{aligned}
t' &= t + a_t, \\
x' &= x + a_x, \\
y' &= y + a_y, \\
z' &= z + a_z.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

More generally, this can be written as

$$x^{\mu'} = x^\mu + a^\mu. \tag{26}$$

Similarly, the SCT (1d) can be derived analogous to Eqs. (11, 12, 15, 16)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_K &= \prod_{\alpha=0}^3 \Lambda_{I_\alpha} \Lambda_{P_\alpha} \Lambda_{I_\alpha} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -b^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\mu & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where Λ_{I_0} is the temporal inversion, and Λ_{I_i} is spatial inversions, which can be expressed as analogous to Eq. (13)

$$\Lambda_{I_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{28}$$

$$\Lambda_{I_i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h\sigma_i \\ -h\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{29}$$

Correspondingly the rotation (1b) and dilation (1c) are respectively associated with

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_M &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{1}{2}\omega^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{1}{2}\omega^{\mu\nu}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}} \end{pmatrix}, \\
\Lambda_D &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}$ is an antisymmetric tensor, which can analogously be expressed as

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4}(\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu - \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu), \quad \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4}(\bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu - \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu). \tag{31}$$

Accordingly, the corresponding generators of conformal transformations in four dimensions are

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\mu &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -h\sigma_\mu \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h\bar{\sigma}_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
M_{\mu\nu} &= -h \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = -\frac{h}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

And their commutation relations can be easily examined,

$$\begin{aligned}
[D, P_\mu] &= -hP_\mu, \\
[D, K_\mu] &= hK_\mu, \\
[K_\mu, P_\nu] &= -2h(\eta_{\mu\nu}D - M_{\mu\nu}), \\
[K_\rho, M_{\mu\nu}] &= -h(\eta_{\rho\mu}K_\nu - \eta_{\rho\nu}K_\mu), \\
[P_\rho, M_{\mu\nu}] &= -h(\eta_{\rho\mu}P_\nu - \eta_{\rho\nu}P_\mu), \\
[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] &= -h(\eta_{\mu\sigma}M_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\rho}M_{\mu\sigma} \\
&\quad - \eta_{\mu\rho}M_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\nu\sigma}M_{\mu\rho}).
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

And their Hermitian conditions [32] are

$$\begin{aligned}
P_0^\dagger &= K_0, \quad P_i^\dagger = -K_i, \\
K_0^\dagger &= P_0, \quad K_i^\dagger = -P_i, \\
M_{0i}^\dagger &= -M_{0i}, \quad M_{ij}^\dagger = M_{ij}, \\
D^\dagger &= -D,
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where the Hermitian conjugate [24] of biquaternion matrix Λ_{ab} is defined to be

$$(\Lambda_{ab})^\dagger = \Lambda_{ba}^\dagger = \bar{\Lambda}_{ba}^*, \tag{35}$$

in which a and b represent the matrix's row and column indices, respectively. Our Hermitian condition (34) is equivalent to that in radial quantization [32].

To apply conformal transformations Eqs. (23, 27, 30), to fields in physics, we use the Pauli matrices as basis of biquaternion

$$h = -i, \quad \mathbf{i} = -i\sigma_1, \quad \mathbf{j} = -i\sigma_2, \quad \mathbf{k} = -i\sigma_3, \tag{36}$$

where Pauli matrices σ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are defined to be

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting this condition (36) into Eq. (32), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\mu &= i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_\mu \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_\mu = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \bar{\sigma}_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
M_{\mu\nu} &= i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Using the Dirac matrices, the generators (37) are rewritted as

$$P_\mu = \frac{i}{2}\gamma_\mu(1 + \gamma_5), \tag{38a}$$

$$M_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}\gamma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu] \tag{38b}$$

$$D = -\frac{i}{2}\gamma_5, \tag{38c}$$

$$K_\mu = -\frac{i}{2}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5), \tag{38d}$$

here Dirac matrices are defined to be in (chiral) Weyl representation

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly by such a process we have derived the spinor representation of the conformal group [17–19].

C. Associating inversions of Spinor Representation with discrete spacetime transformations

In addition, while we have obtained the above spinor representations of finite conformal transformations, we find out that under the condition $t^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 = 1$, inversions could be related with discrete spacetime transformations. We shall first establish the connection of and temporal inversion (28) with parity P . Substituting this matrix (28) into Eq. (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q' &= (aq + b)(cq + d)^{-1} \\ &= (t + x(hi) + y(hj) + z(hk))^{-1} \\ &= ((t - x(hi) - y(hj) - z(hk)) \\ &\quad (t + x(hi) + y(hj) + z(hk)))^{-1} \\ &= (t - x(hi) - y(hj) - z(hk)) \\ &= (t^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2)^{-1} \\ &= (t - x(hi) - y(hj) - z(hk)) \\ &= t - x(hi) - y(hj) - z(hk), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where we set $t^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 = 1$ henceforth. The corresponding spacetime transformation is

$$t' = t, \quad x' = -x, \quad y' = -y, \quad z' = -z. \quad (40)$$

Here the above temporal inversion corresponds to the spacetime transformation as follows

$$x'_{I_0} = (t, -x, -y, -z). \quad (41)$$

Obviously, this spacetime transformation can be viewed as Parity. According to above definition of Dirac matrices, we find out that γ^0 in the Weyl representation plays the role of a bridge linking both temporal inversion and parity,

$$\Lambda_{I_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma^0. \quad (42)$$

Consequently, under parity transformation the Dirac spinors change as follows

$$\begin{aligned} P\psi(x)P &= \Lambda_{I_0}\psi(\Lambda_{I_0}^{-1}x) \\ &= \gamma^0\psi(t, -\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Now let us discuss the relationship of spatial inversions (29) and time reversal T . Similar to Eq. (39), substituting matrices (29) into Eq. (20), one finds out

that the spatial inversions correspond to the following spacetime transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} x'_{I_1} &= (-t, x, -y, -z), \\ x'_{I_2} &= (-t, -x, y, -z), \\ x'_{I_3} &= (-t, -x, -y, z). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Then, substituting the condition (36) into Eqs. (29), we obtain

$$\Lambda_{I_i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma_i \\ i\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i\gamma^i. \quad (45)$$

Eq. (44) suggests us to regard following product $(-i\gamma^1)(-i\gamma^2)(-i\gamma^3)$ as the time reversal,

$$x'_T = x'_{I_1 I_2 I_3} = (-t, x, y, z). \quad (46)$$

Based on such mapping we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_T &= (-i\gamma^1)(-i\gamma^2)(-i\gamma^3) \\ &= i\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Physically, the time reversal shall be responsible for switch particle to antiparticle due to the Feynman picture of an antiparticle motion just as a particle moving backwards in time [33, 34]. Under time reversal transformation, the Dirac spinors transform as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T\psi(x)T &= \Lambda_T\psi^c(\Lambda_T^{-1}x) \\ &= i\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3\psi^c(-t, \mathbf{x}) \\ &= \gamma^1\gamma^3\psi^*(-t, \mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where ψ^c represents the transformed Dirac spinor by conventional charge conjugation operator C , as follows

$$\psi^c = C\psi C = -i\gamma^2\psi^*, \quad (49)$$

according to which we have indirectly obtained the Dirac-Matrix form of charge conjugation [26]. The above Eqs. (43, 48, 49) illustrate how inversions relate to discrete spacetime transformations, among which Eqs. (43) and (49) are the essential ones we shall apply in the next section. We stress that condition $t^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 = 1$ does not affect the nonlinearity in Eq. (4) as well as the nonlinear analysis in the next section.

IV. PERFORM CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATIONS ON DIRAC SPINORS

To track the nonlinear effects of translations and SCTs, we shall perform them on Dirac spinors. However, these transformations exceed the traditional sense of those pertaining Poincaré group, in contrast, they only occur maybe in accelerating environments according to their physical understanding [9]. We thus suppose they could be applied to the primordial soup of particles at

a moment of very early universe [35]. Specifically, we apply the transformations to Yukawa term at the moment electroweak symmetry began to break, then to vector-spinor interaction. Subsequently we check the variations of CP symmetry in the transformed Yukawa term and vector-spinor interaction.

Now we give a short explanation why the SCT has the physical meaning of acceleration. Following its original definition (1), we will reduce SCTs through a series of conditions [9]. If we set $b^\mu = (0, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g})$ and with $x^\mu = (t, \mathbf{x})$, SCTs can be reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} t' &= \frac{t}{1 + \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{g}^2(t^2 - \mathbf{x}^2)}, \\ \mathbf{x}' &= \frac{\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}(t^2 - \mathbf{x}^2)}{1 + \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{g}^2(t^2 - \mathbf{x}^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

At spatial coordinate origin $\mathbf{x} = 0$, we can obtain

$$t' = \frac{t}{1 - \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{g}^2 t^2}, \quad \mathbf{x}' = \frac{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}t^2}{1 - \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{g}^2 t^2}. \quad (51)$$

When we consider infinitesimal transformation $\mathbf{g} \rightarrow 0$, the SCT can be reduced to

$$t' = t, \quad \mathbf{x}' = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}t^2. \quad (52)$$

From Eq. (52), SCTs reflect accelerated motion at a certain moment. Furthermore we notice that generators P_μ and K_μ are symmetric among the commutation relations, thus they are on an equal footing in the conformal group. We define them uniformly as physical translation. In quantum physics, there is no conception of acceleration. Meanwhile in the development of quantum theory, we are used to the transformation of translation, which was supposed to happen instantly, without acceleration at the beginning moment and ending moment. Combining their physics meaning together, here we draw the ideal definitions of translations and SCTs back to reality, i.e. they should be true physical translations in quantum sense.

Now we consider the transformations of interaction involving scalar field ϕ (maybe viewed as certain deformed Higgs field), at the moment electroweak symmetry just breaking. The related Yukawa term [33] is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = g\bar{\psi}\psi\phi, \quad (53)$$

where g is coupling constant. Henceforth the transformations we consider involve only those spinor parts without coordinate transformations, which has been thoroughly studied [6]. Under conformal transformations, the Dirac spinors change as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \psi' &= D(\Lambda)\psi, \\ \psi^{\dagger'} &= (D(\Lambda)\psi)^\dagger = \psi^\dagger D^\dagger(\Lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where $D(\Lambda) = \Lambda_P, \Lambda_K, \Lambda_D, \Lambda_M$ are conformal transformations as Eqs. (23, 27, 30), acting on spinor

indices. Under conformal transformations, the Yukawa term becomes

$$\mathcal{L}'_{\text{Yuk}} = g\psi^\dagger D^\dagger(\Lambda)\gamma^0 D(\Lambda)\psi\phi. \quad (55)$$

Using the Dirac matrices, the translations (23) and SCTs (27) are rewritten as

$$\Lambda_P = 1 + \frac{1}{2}a^\mu\gamma_\mu(1 + \gamma_5), \quad (56)$$

$$\Lambda_K = 1 - \frac{1}{2}b^\mu\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5). \quad (57)$$

Using Eq. (57), the Yukawa term under SCTs becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}'_{\text{Yuk}})_{\text{SCT}} &= g\psi^\dagger \Lambda_K^\dagger \gamma^0 \Lambda_K \psi \phi = g\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \Lambda_K^2 \psi \phi \\ &= g\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (1 - b^\mu \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma^5)) \psi \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Similarly the Yukawa term under translations becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}'_{\text{Yuk}})_{\text{translation}} &= g\psi^\dagger \Lambda_P^\dagger \gamma^0 \Lambda_P \psi \phi = g\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \Lambda_P^2 \psi \phi \\ &= g\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (1 + a^\mu \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma^5)) \psi \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Comparing Eqs. (58) and (59) with Eq. (4), we find out that finite term $b^2 x^2$ does not appear in Eqs. (58) and (59). So the translations (58) and (59) turn out to be linear for the Yukawa term. Next, let's consider the effect of SCTs on CP symmetry in the Yukawa term. Using Eqs. (43, 49, 58), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} CP(\mathcal{L}'_{\text{Yuk}})_{\text{SCT}}CP &= CPg\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (1 - b^\mu \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma^5)) \psi \phi CP \\ &= g\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (+1 - (-1)^\rho (-b^\mu \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma^5))) \psi \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where we use the common shorthand $(-1)^\mu \equiv 1$ for $\mu = 0$ and $(-1)^\mu \equiv -1$ for $\mu = 1, 2, 3$. Surprisingly, the transformed Yukawa term (58) is not CP invariant (translations similar to SCTs), which means physical translations may actually contribute to CP asymmetry.

After electroweak symmetry breaking, it naturally reminds us to check further the transformations of the vector-spinor interaction undergo conformal transformations. The vector-spinor interaction can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = g\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi A_\mu. \quad (61)$$

Under conformal transformations, the vector-spinor interaction becomes

$$\mathcal{L}'_1 = g\psi^\dagger D^\dagger(\Lambda)\gamma^0\gamma^\mu D(\Lambda)\psi A_\mu. \quad (62)$$

Under SCTs (57), the vector-spinor interaction becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}'_1)_{\text{SCT}} &= g\psi^\dagger \Lambda_K^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \Lambda_K \psi A_\mu \\ &= g\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (\gamma^\mu - b_\rho (g^{\rho\mu} + 4DM^{\rho\mu}) \Lambda_K) \psi A_\mu \\ &= g\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (\gamma^\mu - b_\rho (g^{\rho\mu} + \gamma^5 \gamma^{\rho\mu}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}b^\mu b^\sigma \gamma_\sigma (1 - \gamma^5) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}b_\rho b^\sigma \gamma^{\rho\mu} \gamma_\sigma (1 - \gamma^5)) \psi A_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

When compared with Eq. (4) it reveals that the properties of SCTs manifest a combination of infinitesimal dilation and rotation. The vector-spinor interaction under translations (56) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{L}'_1)_{\text{translation}} \\
& = g\psi^\dagger \Lambda_P^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \Lambda_P \psi A_\mu \\
& = g\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (\gamma^\mu + a_\rho (g^{\rho\mu} - 4DM^{\rho\mu}) \Lambda_P) \psi A_\mu \\
& = g\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (\gamma^\mu + a_\rho (g^{\rho\mu} - \gamma^5 \gamma^{\rho\mu})) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} a^\mu a^\sigma \gamma_\sigma (1 + \gamma_5) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} a_\rho a^\sigma \gamma^{\rho\mu} \gamma_\sigma (1 + \gamma_5) \psi A_\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

In Eqs. (63) and (64), finite term can be reserved. In other words, the nonlinearity may be manifested. Next, we consider the effect of SCTs on CP symmetry in the vector-spinor interaction. Using Eqs. (43, 49, 63), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& CP(\mathcal{L}'_1)_{\text{SCT}CP} \\
& = CP g\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (\gamma^\mu - b^\rho (g^{\mu\rho} + \gamma^{\rho\mu} \gamma^5)) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} b^\mu b_\sigma \gamma^\sigma (1 - \gamma^5) \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} b_\rho b_\sigma \gamma^{\rho\mu} \gamma^\sigma (1 - \gamma^5) \psi A_\mu CP \\
& = g\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (+\gamma^\mu - (-1)^\rho (-b^\rho (g^{\mu\rho} + \gamma^5 \gamma^{\rho\mu}))) \\
& \quad + (-1)^\sigma (-1)^\mu \frac{1}{2} b^\mu b^\sigma \gamma_\sigma (1 - \gamma^5) \\
& \quad \pm (-1)^\rho (-1)^\sigma (-\frac{1}{2} b_\rho b^\sigma \gamma^{\rho\mu} \gamma_\sigma (1 - \gamma^5)) \psi A_\mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

where we use the shorthand $\pm \equiv +1$ for $\sigma = \rho$, $\sigma \neq \mu$ or $\sigma \neq \rho$, $\sigma = \mu$ and $\pm \equiv -1$ for $\sigma = \rho = \mu$ or $\sigma \neq \rho$, $\sigma \neq \mu$. In summary, the transformed vector-spinor interaction (63) is not CP invariant.

V. DISCUSSIONS

So far we have clarified the relationship between spacetime transformations and spinor representations of four-dimensional conformal group, by replacing complex numbers with biquaternions in linear fractional transformations. The work endows deeper insight in how Conformal Transformations [36] closely relate with Spinor Theory [20] in an intuitive manner. Both Conformal Representation and Spinor Theory (Clifford Algebra) have their own geometric origins, but the two geometries are totally different. At first sight one cannot see through that spinor theory somehow relates to isogonal transformation. In a previous work [17], we have plausibly constructed the relationship between four-basis Clifford Algebra and Conformal transformations, but there we confirmed the components of spinor matrices

to be generators of conformal group merely by their commutation relations. That seems a rigid mapping, omitting both of their geometric backgrounds. Here to unify their geometric backgrounds, we thus tried to derive the spinor matrices from linear fractional transformation. From the construction of spinor [20] we saw its connection with Clifford algebra and quaternion, that suggested us to employ quaternion as tentative tool, latter we find only biquaternion works for the derivation.

The conventional method of extending conformal transformation from two dimension to higher dimension via metric tensor is straightforward, however on one hand that conceals the nonlinearity of conformal transformation. On the other hand that conceals the critical component, i.e. inversion, of conformal transformations. Through the manner of this paper we reveal the two respects by showing their spacetime effects in spinor representation. As an example we investigate how the spacetime inversion corresponds to parity and time reversal in spinor representation, under the condition $t^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 = 1$ and physical requirement. Finally we find out that finite conformal transformations are associated with a special set of spinor matrices, which turns out to be Weyl representation. Furthermore the Hermitian conditions for generators of the conformal group are derived from Hermitian properties of biquaternions. The above results are qualitatively coincident with those relevant respects appeared in literatures [18, 26, 32–34, 37, 38].

The nonlinearity of the conformal group could be manifested via the vector-spinor interaction by considering the physical translation (we have defined translations and SCTs as physical translation in Section I) of Dirac spinors. And such nonlinearity leads to important subsequences in the very early moment of our universe, just after the Big Bang. Conditionally, one of the subsequences is CP violation at the moment when electroweak symmetry breaking. From Eqs. (60) and (65), if the parameter b^μ transforms as a vector field like A^μ , then the CP violation of Yukawa term would not occur. As for the vector-spinor interaction, however, the CP violation is free of this condition and meanwhile accompanied with nonlinearity. So far we have attributed the symmetry breaking arising from SCTs to certain acceleration, which is caused by the above-mentioned physical translations. It is obvious here that physical translation might correspond to the effects of classical acceleration (52), whereas in quantum physics there is no conception of acceleration. This cognition of physical translation may open new avenues for exploring large-scale universe, for instance in studying dark matter, dark energy, cosmology neutrino physics, gamma-ray burst, etc.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Jiyuan Ke for his helpful suggestions.

-
- [1] H. Bateman, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **s2-8**, 223 (1910).
- [2] E. Cunningham, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **s2-8**, 77 (1910).
- [3] J.-C. Su, X.-X. Yi, and Y.-H. Cao, *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **25**, 2325 (1999).
- [4] E. L. Hill, *Phys. Rev.* **67**, 358 (1945).
- [5] T. Fulton and F. Rohrlich, *Ann. Phys.* **9**, 499 (1960).
- [6] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44**, 223001 (2011).
- [7] T. Fulton, F. Rohrlich, and L. Witten, *Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965)* **26**, 652 (1962).
- [8] C. Galeriu, *Eur. J. Phys.* **40**, 065203 (2019).
- [9] C. Codirla and H. Osborn, *Ann. Phys.* **260**, 91 (1997).
- [10] R. Rattazzi, V. S. Rychkov, E. Tonni, and A. Vichi, *JHEP* **2008**, 031.
- [11] D. Poland, S. Rychkov, and A. Vichi, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 015002 (2019).
- [12] F. Kos, D. Poland, D. Simmons-Duffin, and A. Vichi, *JHEP* **2016**, 036.
- [13] T. Ali, A. Bhattacharyya, S. S. Haque, E. H. Kim, N. Moynihan, and J. Murugan, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 026021 (2020).
- [14] L. Inzunza, M. S. Plyushchay, and A. Wipf, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 105019 (2020).
- [15] T. Okazaki, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 066030 (2017).
- [16] J. Ben Achour and E. R. Livine, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 103523 (2020).
- [17] L. Han and H.-J. Wang, *Chinese Phys. C* **39**, 093102 (2015).
- [18] G. Mack and A. Salam, *Ann. Phys.* **53**, 174 (1969).
- [19] G. Mack, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **55**, 1 (1977).
- [20] E. Cartan, *The theory of spinors* (Dover Publications, New York, 1981).
- [21] P. Budini, *Phys. Lett. B* **90**, 245 (1980).
- [22] L. YuFen, M. ZhongQi, and H. BoYuan, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **31**, 481 (1999).
- [23] N. H. Asmar and L. Grafakos, *Complex Analysis with Applications*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics (Springer, Cham, 2018).
- [24] Y. Tian, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* **54**, 575 (2013).
- [25] P. R. Girard, P. Clarysse, R. Pujol, R. Goutte, and P. Delachartre, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **31**, 56 (2021).
- [26] S. Sternberg, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **109**, 649 (1987).
- [27] L. H. Ryder, *J. Phys. A: Math. Nucl. Gen.* **7**, 1817 (1974).
- [28] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal field theory*, Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics (Springer, New York, 1997).
- [29] Y. Feng, Y. Cao, and H.-J. Wang, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 023517 (2012).
- [30] S. J. Sangwine, T. A. Ell, and N. Le Bihan, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **21**, 607 (2011).
- [31] J. B. Wilker, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **190**, 99 (1993).
- [32] D. Simmons-Duffin, The conformal bootstrap, in *New Frontiers in Fields and Strings* (2017) pp. 1–74.
- [33] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to quantum field theory* (Westview press, 1995).
- [34] M. D. Schwartz, *Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013).
- [35] D. Baumann, *Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2022).
- [36] C. Carathéodory, *Conformal representation* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1969).
- [37] M. Stone, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **55**, 205401 (2022).
- [38] D. Chicherin, S. Derkachov, and A. P. Isaev, *JHEP* **2013**, 1.