

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE SPHERE BY MEANS OF CONGRUENT SUPPORT CONES

E. MORALES AMAYA

ABSTRACT. Let a convex body M contained in the Euclidian space \mathbb{E}^3 and let K be a closed convex surface K , $M \subset \text{int } K$. What can we say about M if of all the points in K the body M looks the same? In this work we are going to present a result which claims that if for every two support cones C_x, C_y of M , with apexes $x, y \in \text{bd } K$, respectively, there is an element $\Phi \in O(3) \ltimes \mathbb{E}^3$ (the semi direct product of the orthogonal group $O(3)$ and \mathbb{E}^3) such that $C_y = \Phi(C_x)$, and this can be done in a continuous way, then M is a sphere.

Introduction. Let \mathbb{E}^{n+1} be the Euclidean space of dimension $n + 1$ endowed with the usual inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{E}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{E}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For $n \geq 2$, we denote by $O(n + 1)$ the *orthogonal group*, i.e., the set of all the isometries of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} that fix the origin (equivalently, the collection of all the orthogonal matrices, a $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix D is said to be orthogonal if $D^t D$ is the identity matrix) and for $A(n + 1)$ the affine group of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} .

Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body. Given a point $x \in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} \setminus M$ we denote the cone generated by M with apex x by S_x , that is, $S_x := \{x + \lambda(y - x) : y \in M, \lambda \geq 0\}$, by C_x the boundary of S_x , in other words, C_x is the support cone of M from the point x . Let $x, y \in \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$. The convex cones C_x, C_y are said to be *affinely congruent* if there exists $\Phi \in A(n + 1)$ of the form $\Phi = a + \Omega$, $a \in \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ and $\Omega \in O(n + 1)$, such that $\Phi(C_x) = C_y$.

Let $M, K \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ be convex bodies, $M \subset \text{int } K$. Suppose that all the support cones of M with apexes in $\text{bd } K$ are affinely congruent. Furthermore, assume that if $x_0, y_0, x, y \in \text{bd } K$, $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in O(3)$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{E}^3$ are such that $C_x = \Phi_1(C_{x_0})$ and $C_y = \Phi_2(C_{y_0})$, where $\Phi_1 := a_1 + \Omega_1$, $\Phi_2 := a_2 + \Omega_2$, and if y_0 is close to x_0 and y is close to x (in \mathbb{E}^3) then Φ_1 is close to Φ_2 (Since the affine group $A(n + 1)$ is composed of matrices it has a natural topology, namely the subspace topology from $\mathbb{E}^{(n+1)^2}$). If this is so we say that the support cones of M , with apexes in $\text{bd } K$, are affinely congruent in a *continuous way*.

Our main result in this work is the following theorem.

I would like to thank to Luis Montejano for the interesting conversations and suggestions regarding the use of topology of fibre bundles in convex geometry. This research was supported by the National Council of Humanities Sciences and Technology (CONAHCyT) of Mexico, SNI 21120.

Theorem 1. *Let $M, K \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ be convex bodies, $M \subset \text{int } K$. Suppose that all the support cones of M with apexes in $\text{bd } K$ are affinely congruent in a continuous way. Then M is a ball.*

Motivation. Let us consider the following problem.

Problem 1. *Determine properties of convex bodies K in \mathbb{E}^n imposing conditions on the support cones of K whose apexes are in a fixed hyperplane.*

Let $M \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ be a convex body, $n \geq 3$. Suppose H is a hyperplane not intersecting the convex body M . As a first example of a result related with Problem 1 we have: if, for every $x \in H$, the cone C_x is ellipsoidal (i.e., it has a $(n - 1)$ -section which is an ellipsoid), then M is an n -ellipsoid. On the other hand, as another example, in 1959, Marchaud [10] supposed that $S_x \cap \text{bd } M$ is flat, for every $x \in H$, and proved that M must be an ellipsoid (The case $H \cap K \neq \emptyset$ was considered in [14]).

Naturally, we can replace in the Problem 1 the condition that the set of apexes is a subset of a hyperplane by the condition that they are in a closed hypersurface S which contains in its interior the body M . In particular, we can assume that S is the boundary of a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $M \subset \text{int } K$. An interesting example of this type is the well known [11]:

Matsuura's Theorem. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a convex body and let S be the boundary of a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $M \subset \text{int } K$. If the support cone C_x of M is a right circular cone, for every $x \in S$, then M is a Euclidean ball.

(The theorem of Matsuura is slightly more general but for our purposes the above version is good enough).

By virtue of the observation above, we are interested in the following problem relative to support cones of a convex body in \mathbb{E}^n .

Problem 2. *Given a subgroup G of the general linear group $GL(R, n)$, with $n \geq 3$ and a hypersurface S which is the image of an embedding of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , determine the convex bodies $K \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ such that for any two support cones Λ, Γ of K , with apexes in S , there exists an element $\Phi \in G$ with $\Phi(\Lambda) = \Gamma$.*

Next we mention some related results. Let $M \subset \mathbb{E}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a convex body and let S be a hypersurface which is the image of an embedding of the sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} such that $M \subset \text{int } S$. In [1] was proved that if, for every $x \in S$, the cone C_x is ellipsoidal, then M is an n -ellipsoid. On the other hand, in [15] was proved that if M is strictly convex and, for every $x \in S$, there exists $y \in S$ with the property that C_x and C_y differ by a central symmetry, then M and S are centrally symmetric and concentric.

Very recently in [12] it has been proved that if P and Q are polytopes contained in the interior of the ball $B_r(n)$, $n \geq 3$, and if from every point in the sphere $r\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ the support cones of P and Q are congruent, then $P = Q$.

For more results similar or related to this, the interested reader may consult the references [1], [3], [4], [7], [8].

Our proof of Theorem 1 require some notions an results of Topology, three important elements in our proof of Theorem 1 are the notions of a *principal fibre bundle*, a *section* of a principal fibre bundle and *field of congruent bodies*. In fact, we will use the *non existence of a section* of the principal fibre bundle $\tau : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow O(3)$ (see the Apendix). The use of Topology of fibre bundles in convexity has an remarkable example in relation of the so called Banach Isometric Conjecture relative to the determination of a convex body by means congruent sections passing through a fix point [9], [13].

1. PRELIMINARIES.

We take an orthogonal system of coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) for \mathbb{E}^{n+1} such the origin O is at the center of unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} : \|x\| = 1\}$. For $u \in \mathbb{S}^n$, we denote by $H^+(u)$ the half-space $\{x \in \mathbb{E}^n : x \cdot u \leq 0\}$ with unit normal vector u , by $H(u)$ its boundary hyperplane $\{x \in \mathbb{E}^n : x \cdot u = 0\}$ and by $E(u)$ the affine hyperplane $H(u) + u$.

A body K is origin symmetric if whenever $x \in K$, it follows that $-x \in K$. A body K is centrally symmetric if a translate of K is origin symmetric, i.e. if there is a vector $c \in \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ such that $K - c$ is origin symmetric.

Two convex bodies $M, N \subset \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ are said to be *congruent* if there exist an isometry $I : \mathbb{E}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ such that $I(M) = N$.

Axis of symmetry, plane of symmetry. Let $L \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ be a line and let θ be an angle in $[0, 2\pi]$. We denote by $R_{(L,\theta)} : \mathbb{E}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$ the rotation with axis L and with angle θ . We just denote by R_L and $R_{L,n}$ the maps $R_{(L,\pi)}$, $R_{(L,\frac{2\pi}{n})}$, respectively.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ be a convex body, $L \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ be a line and n be an integer, $n \geq 2$. The line L is said to be an *n-axis of symmetry* of K if the following relation

$$R_{L,n}(K) = K$$

holds. In the case $n = 2$, a 2-axis of symmetry of the convex body K will just called axis of symmetry of K . For instance, if $C = [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ is a cube, centered at the origen, it has three types of axis of symmetry, namely, the lines determined by the mid points of parallel edges, not in the same face, the diagonals and lines determined by the centres of parallel faces, corresponding to the numbers 2,3 and 4.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ be a convex body and let Π be a plane. We denote by $S_\Pi : \mathbb{E}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$ the reflexion with respect to Π . The plane Π is said to be a *plane of symmetry* of K if the relation

$$S_\Pi(K) = K$$

holds.

Field of congruent bodies. In order to prove the Theorem 1 we need the following important definition. When A is a n -dimensional convex body in the Euclidian space \mathbb{E}^n , a *field of bodies congruent to A* is a continuous function $A(u)$ defined for u in the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^n , where $A(u)$ is a congruent copy of A lying in a hyperplane of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} perpendicular to u ; here $A(u)$ is meant to be continuous in the Hausdorff metric. If additionally $A(u) = A(-u)$ for each u , we say $A(u)$ is a *complete turning of A* in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} .

In [5] Hadwiger proved that: *No n -dimensional convex body with trivial group of symmetry can be completely turned in the euclidean $(n + 1)$ -space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} .* In [9] Mani showed that *if $n \neq 3, 7$, no n -dimensional convex body with finite group of symmetries determines a field of congruent convex bodies tangent to \mathbb{S}^n .* On the other hand, Mani also proved that *if n is even only with spheres can be constructed fields of congruent convex bodies tangent to \mathbb{S}^n .* Furthermore, Mani claimed “for odd dimensional spheres we have not found a general characterizations of bodies which can be turned around them”.

In [2] Burton made use of the methods of [5] and [9] to prove that a *3-dimensional convex bodies $M \subset \mathbb{E}^4$ can be completely turned in \mathbb{E}^4 if and only if M is centrally symmetric.* In [13] Montejano, using methods of topology of fiber bundles, generalized Burton’s result: *a convex bodies $M \subset \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$, can be completely turned in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} if and only if M is centrally symmetric.*

If all the n -dimensional sections of a $(n + 1)$ -dimensional convex body through a fixed inner point are congruent, clearly they give rise to a complete turning of some n -dimensional body in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} .

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The strategy of the proof. The proof is structured in the following way:

- a) We will formalize the fact that the support cones of M with apexes in $\text{bd } K$ are affinely congruent in a continuous way.
- b) Using the fact that the principal fibre bundle

$$\tau : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow O(3)$$

is not trivial (see the Apendix), we will prove that the cone C_x , $x \in K$, has either a plane of symmetry or an axis of symmetry.

- c) We will show that if the cone C_x , $x \in K$, has a plane of symmetry, then it is either a right circular cone or it has an axis of symmetry.
- d) We will prove that if the cone C_x has an axis of symmetry, then we can construct a field of congruent bodies and from here we conclude that the supporting cones C_x of M , $x \in K$, are right circular cones.
- e) We will conclude that M is a sphere using Matsuura's Theorem.

a) Notice that if $x_0, x \in \text{bd } K$ and $\{x_n\} \subset \text{bd } K$ are such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, since the support cones of M , with apexes in $\text{bd } K$, are affinely congruent in a continuous way, there exist $\Omega \in O(3)$, a sequence $\{\Omega_n\} \subset O(3)$, a sequence $\{a_n\} \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ and maps $\Phi = a + \Omega$, $\Phi_n = a_n + \Omega_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ with the property that

$$(1) \quad \Phi(C_{x_0}) = C_x,$$

$$(2) \quad \Phi_n(C_{x_0}) = C_{x_n},$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$(3) \quad \Phi_n \rightarrow \Phi,$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$ (in the subspace topology from $\mathbb{E}^{(n+1)^2}$). Thus

$$(4) \quad C_{x_n} \rightarrow C_x$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$ (in the Hausdorff metric) and

$$(5) \quad \Omega_n \rightarrow \Omega.$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$ (in the subspace topology from $\mathbb{E}^{(n+1)^2}$). Indeed, by (1) $x = a + \Omega(x_0)$, that is, $a = x - \Omega(x_0)$. Analogously, by (2) $x_n = a_n + \Omega_n(x_0)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, hence $a_n = x_n - \Omega_n(x_0)$. Therefore $\Phi = (x - \Omega(x_0)) + \Omega$, $\Phi_n = (x_n - \Omega_n(x_0)) + \Omega_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. From this relations and (3) it follows that (5) holds.

We choose the origin of a system of coordinates at an interior point of M . We define the continuous map $\phi : \text{bd } K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ as the inverse of the central projection $x \mapsto u := \frac{x}{\|x\|}$. From now on we will fix a point $x_0 \in \text{bd } K$. In terms of the function ϕ the last paragraph can be re written in the following way. Let $x \in \text{bd } K$ and let $\{x_n\} \subset \text{bd } K$ be a sequence such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $u := \frac{x}{\|x\|}$ and $u_n := \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$. By the hypothesis there exist $\Omega_u \in O(3)$, a sequence $\{\Omega_{u_n}\} \subset O(3)$ such that maps $\Phi_x = a_x + \Omega_u$, $\Phi_{x_n} = a_{x_n} + \Omega_{u_n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ have the property that (1), (2) holds for the maps Φ_x and Φ_{x_n} , respectively, we also have that (3) holds for Φ_x and Φ_{x_n} and, finally, for the maps Ω_u and Ω_{u_n} the relation (5) holds.

b) Since the fibre bundle $\tau : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow O(3)$ is not a product, it does not have a section (see the Appendix). Thus there exists

- i) $x^* \in \text{bd } K$ and sequences $\{x_n\}, \{z_n\} \subset \text{bd } K$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ and $z_n \rightarrow x^*$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

ii) $\Omega_{u^*} \in O(3)$, a sequence $\{\Omega_{u_n}\} \subset O(3)$ such that maps

$$\Phi_{x^*} = a_{x^*} + \Omega_{u^*}, \quad \Phi_{x_n} = a_{x_n} + \Omega_{u_n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

have the property that (1), (2) holds for the maps Φ_{x^*} and Φ_{x_n} , respectively. We also have that (3) holds for Φ_{x^*} and Φ_{x_n} . Furthermore, in particular, we have that

$$(6) \quad \Omega_{u_n} \rightarrow \Omega_{u^*}$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$ (in the subspace topology from $\mathbb{E}^{(n+1)^2}$), where $u^* := \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|}$ and u_n is defined as before (see Fig. 1).

iii) $\bar{\Omega}_{u^*} \in O(3)$, a sequence $\{\bar{\Omega}_{v_n}\} \subset O(3)$ such that maps

$$\bar{\Phi}_{x^*} = \bar{a}_{x^*} + \bar{\Omega}_{u^*}, \quad \bar{\Phi}_{z_n} = a_{z_n} + \bar{\Omega}_{v_n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

have the property that (1), (2) holds for the maps $\bar{\Phi}_{x^*}$ and $\bar{\Phi}_{z_n}$, respectively. We also have that (3) holds for $\bar{\Phi}_{x^*}$ and $\bar{\Phi}_{z_n}$. Furthermore, in particular, we have that

$$(7) \quad \bar{\Omega}_{v_n} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_{u^*}$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$ (in the subspace topology from $\mathbb{E}^{(n+1)^2}$), where $v_n := \frac{z_n}{\|z_n\|}$ (notice that $v_n \rightarrow u^*$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, see Fig. 1).

iv) The orthogonal maps Ω_{u^*} and $\bar{\Omega}_{u^*}$ are such that $\Omega_{u^*} \neq \bar{\Omega}_{u^*}$, i.e.,

$$(8) \quad \Omega_{u^*}^{-1} \circ \bar{\Omega}_{u^*} \neq \text{id}.$$




FIGURE 1. The sequence $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ converges to u^* when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Notice that (6) and (7) signifies that we have two ways to converge to u^* , in \mathbb{S}^2 , and the corresponding sequence of elements of $O(3)$ converges to two different maps, it was established in iv).

By (3) applied to Φ_{x_n} and by (1) applied to Φ_{x^*} it follows that

$$(9) \quad \Phi_{x_n}(C_{x_0}) \rightarrow \Phi_{x^*}(C_{x_0}) = C_{x^*}$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, by (3) applied to Φ_{z_n} and by (1) applied to $\bar{\Phi}_{x^*}$ it follows that

$$(10) \quad \Phi_{z_n}(C_{x_0}) \rightarrow \bar{\Phi}_{x^*}(C_{x_0}) = C_{x^*}$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (9) and (10) it follows that

$$(11) \quad \Phi_{x^*}(C_{x_0}) = \bar{\Phi}_{x^*}(C_{x_0}),$$

Thus

$$(12) \quad \Omega_{u^*}(C_{x_0}) = \bar{\Omega}_{u^*}(C_{x_0}),$$

i.e.,

$$(13) \quad C_{x_0} = (\Omega_{u^*}^{-1} \circ \bar{\Omega}_{u^*})(C_{x_0}).$$

From (13), by virtue of (8), the cone C_{x_0} has either an axis of symmetry or a plane of symmetry.

c) First we suppose that the cone C_{x_0} has a plane of symmetry, say Π . By (11), $\Phi_{x^*}(\Pi)$ and $\bar{\Phi}_{x^*}(\Pi)$ are planes of symmetry of C_{x^*} . By iv), $\Phi_{x^*} \neq \bar{\Phi}_{x^*}$, consequently, either $\Phi_{x^*}(\Pi) \neq \bar{\Phi}_{x^*}(\Pi)$ or some of the maps $\Phi_{x^*}, \bar{\Phi}_{x^*}$ is a reflexion with respect to Π and the other is a rotation with respect to an axis contained in Π by an angle π , i.e., the cone C_{x_0} has an axis of symmetry. Thus now we assume that $\Phi_{x^*}(\Pi) \neq \bar{\Phi}_{x^*}(\Pi)$. Then either C_{x_0} has an infinite number of planes of symmetry or a finite number of planes of symmetry. In the first case, C_{x_0} is a straight circular cone. In the later case, C_{x_0} has an axis of symmetry.

d) Now we suppose that the cone C_{x_0} has an axis of symmetry, say L_{x_0} . Let Δ_{x_0} be a plane perpendicular to L_{x_0} which has a distance equal to 1 from x_0 and such that $M_{x_0} := C_{x_0} \cap \Delta_{x_0} \neq \emptyset$. Then, for $x \in \text{bd } K$, we define $\Delta_x := \Phi_x(\Delta_{x_0})$ and $M_{\eta(x)} := \Phi_x(M_{x_0})$, where Φ_x satisfies (1), and

$$\eta : \text{bd } K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2, \quad \text{is the following map:}$$

$\eta(x)$ is the normal vector to Δ_x pointing out to x (notice that $\eta(x)$ is parallel to the axis of C_x).

Lemma 1. *The map $\eta : \text{bd } K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. First, we are going to prove that $\eta : \text{bd } K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ is a continuous map. We will prove that if $x \in \text{bd } K$ and $\{x_n\} \subset \text{bd } K$ is a sequence such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\eta(x_n) \rightarrow \eta(x)$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (4), the axis of symmetry L_{x_n} of C_{x_n} tends to the axis of symmetry L_x of C_x when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\Delta_{x_n} \rightarrow \Delta_x$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $\eta(x_n) \rightarrow \eta(x)$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., η is continuous.

Now we will prove that η is injective. Suppose that $x \neq x' \in \text{bd } K$ and Δ_x, Δ'_x are parallel (never the same, otherwise, $x = x'$). First, we assume that x' lies in the interior

of C_x . It follows that $C_{x'} \subset C_x$. Thus $S_x \subset \mathbb{E}^3 \setminus S_{x'}$. This implies that $S_x \cap \text{bd } M = \emptyset$ but this contradicts that S_x is the support cone of M with apex x .

Now we assume that $x' \in \mathbb{E}^3 \setminus C_x$. Then there exists a support plane Γ of C_x which separates M and x' . The plane Γ' , parallel to Γ and passing through x' , is supporting plane of $C_{x'}$. Hence there is a point of M in Γ' but this contradicts that Γ separates M and x' .

Since $\text{bd } K$ is compact, this implies that, $\eta : \text{bd } K \rightarrow \eta(\text{bd } K)$ is a homeomorphism and consequently, $H_2(\eta(\text{bd } K), \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, any proper subset of \mathbb{S}^2 has 2-dimensional homology equal to zero. Hence $\eta(\text{bd } K) = \mathbb{S}^2$, as desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. If C_{x_0} is a straight circular cone then all the support cones C_x of M , $x \in \text{bd } K$, are straight circular cones. By the theorem of Matsuura [11], M is a sphere.

Now we suppose that the cone C_{x_0} has an axis of symmetry. By virtue of the Lemma 1, the family $\{M_{\eta(x)}\}$ is a field of congruent convex bodies. By Mani's Proposition 1 of [9], M_{x_0} is a circle. Thus for every $x \in \text{bd } K$, the cone C_x is a straight circular cone. By the theorem of Matsuura [11], M is a sphere.

3. APENDIX

Let $O(n+1)$ be the group of isometries of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . $O(n)$ is a closed subgroup of $O(n+1)$ and the quotient space

$$O(n+1)/O(n) \text{ is homeomorphic to } \mathbb{S}^n.$$

Furthermore, the quotient map

$$\tau : O(n+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$$

is a principal bundle with fibre $O(n)$ (see Section 7.6 of [16]). In fact, it is the associated principal bundle of the tangent vector bundle of \mathbb{S}^n .

As a corollary we have that two fibre bundles with the same base space and the same fibre F are equal if and only if their associated principal bundle are equal. The next results are contained in [6].

Theorem 15.7.1. *A principal bundle with group structure \mathcal{G} is trivial or the product bundle if and only if it admits a cross section.*

Corollary 15.7.1. *A fiber bundle with group structure \mathcal{G} is the trivial bundle if and only if its associated principal bundle admits a cross section.*

Remark 15.7.1. *A fibre bundle may admit a cross section without being trivial.*

The following result was taken from Section 27.4 of [16].

Theorem NS. *The tangent bundles of \mathbb{S}^1 , \mathbb{S}^3 , and \mathbb{S}^7 are equivalent to product bundles. If n is even, or if $n > 1$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the tangent bundle of S^n is not equivalent to a product bundle.*

Claim. The principal bundle $\tau : O(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ is not trivial.

Proof. On the contrary, let us assume that the principal bundle $q : O(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ is trivial. By Theorem 15.7.1. it admits a cross section. Then by Corollary 15.7.1. the tangent vector bundle of \mathbb{S}^2 is the trivial bundle. However this contradicts Theorem NS. Thus $q : O(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ is not trivial.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bianchi and P. Gruber: *Characterization of Ellipsoids*, Archiv der Math. **49** (1987),344-350.
- [2] G. R. Burton, *Congruent sections of convex body*. Pacific Journal of Mathematics **81**, No. 2, 1979.
- [3] I. González-García, J. Jerónimo-Castro, E. Morales-Amaya, and D. J. Verduco- Hernández, *Sections and projections of nested convex bodies*, Aequat. Math. **96** (2022), 885 - 900.
- [4] I. González-García, J. Jerónimo-Castro, E. Morales-Amaya, and D. J. Verduco- Hernández, *A characterization of the ellipsoid through planar grazes*, Mathematika **69** (2022), 100 -105.
- [5] H. Hadwiger, *Vollständig stetige Umwendung ebener Eibereiche im Raum*, Studies in mathematical analysis and related topics, (Stanford University Press, Stanford, 1962), 128-131.
- [6] J. Jeronimo, L. Montejano, E. Morales-Amaya. *Elipsoids*. (Book in preparation).
- [7] J. Jerónimo-Castro, E. Morales-Amaya and D. J. Verduco Hernández. *Characterizations of the sphere by means of point-projections*. To appear in Discrete & Computational Geometry 2025.
- [8] B. Mackey. *SO(2)-congruent projections of convex bodies with rotation about the origin*. Proceedings of the Amer. Math. Soc., **143** (4), 1739-1744. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24507436>
- [9] P. Mani. *Fields of Planar Bodies Tangent to Spheres*. Monatshefte für Mathematik **74**, 145–149 (1970).
- [10] Marchaud, A., *Un theoreme sur les corps convexes*. Ann. Scient. Ecole Norm. Super. **76** (1959), 283-304.
- [11] S. Matsuura, *A problem in solid geometry*. J. Math. Osaka City Univ. **12** (1961), 89 – 95.
- [12] S. Myroshnychenko, *On recognizing shapes of polytopes from their shadows*. Discrete Comput. Geom. **62** (2019), 856-864. MR4027619 Zbl 1428.52006
- [13] L. Montejano. *Convex bodies with homothetic sections*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **23** (1991) 38-386.
- [14] Montejano L., Morales, E., *Characterization of ellipsoids and polarity in convex sets*. Mathematika **50** (2003), 63-72.
- [15] E. Morales-Amaya, J. Jerónimo-Castro and D. J. Verduco Hernández. *A characterization of centrally symmetric convex bodies in terms of visual cones*. Advances in Geometry, vol. 22, no. 4, 2022, pp. 481-486. <https://doi.org/10.1515/advgeom-2022-0006>
- [16] N. Steenrod. The topology of fibre bundles. Princeton University Press. 1950.