

Turbulence in virtual

I. Thermodynamic perspective of the $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$ relation

Xunchuan Liu (刘训川)¹

¹ Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9513, 2300RA Leiden, The Netherlands
e-mail: liuxunchuan001@gmail.com

² Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 80 Nandan Road, Shanghai 200030, PR China

Draft Feb 2025

ABSTRACT

Turbulence is a mysterious phenomenon of physical systems and plays a critical role in the interstellar medium (ISM). We present a thermodynamic perspective on turbulence, aiming to explain the origin of the variance (σ^2) of the lognormal probability density function (PDF) of gas density caused by turbulence. By introducing a virtual dissipation process, in which the entropy-increasing processes of turbulent dissipation and structural dissipation are assumed to be coupled, we directly derive the empirical relation between the variance of the (near) log-normal PDF (σ^2) and the Mach number (\mathcal{M}): $\sigma^2 = \ln(1 + b^2 \mathcal{M}^2)$. We also explain why $b = 1$ for compressive forcing and $b = 1/D$ for solenoidal forcing, where D is the dimension of the system. Furthermore, by introducing a delay parameter q for the local gas temperature, we quantitatively derive the deviation of σ^2 from the empirical relation at high \mathcal{M} , which is consistent with previous simulations and observations.

Key words. Turbulence — ISM: kinematics and dynamics — ISM: clouds

1. Introduction

Turbulence is a ubiquitous phenomenon in fluid dynamics (Kolmogorov 1941; Burgers 1948). It is also crucial to understanding a wide range of astrophysical and interstellar processes. Turbulence, along with gravity and possibly magnetic fields, is believed to govern the behavior of gas in molecular clouds (e.g., Orkisz et al. 2017), star-forming regions (e.g., Liu et al. 2025b), and many other astrophysical systems (e.g., Veltri 1999; Read et al. 2020; Paneque-Carreño et al. 2024), where it plays a pivotal role in regulating energy, momentum, and mass transport (e.g., Larson 1981; McKee & Tan 2003). The origin of interstellar turbulence is still not fully understood and may be related to the large-scale structure of the interstellar medium (ISM), which is highly turbulent and driven by a combination of forces, e.g., shear force (e.g., Miyamoto et al. 2014), stellar feedback (e.g., Carroll et al. 2009; Gent 2012), cloud-cloud collisions (e.g., Wu et al. 2018), and instability under gravity (e.g., Goldbaum et al. 2015).

A key feature of turbulent flows in these systems is the probability density function (PDF) of gas density, which has been found to follow a log-normal distribution in simulations (Vazquez-Semadeni 1994; Padoan et al. 1997; Federrath et al. 2010). In observations, due to the projection effect, only column density can be obtained, which also follows a log-normal distribution in gravity-non-dominated regions (Berkhuijsen & Fletcher 2008; Schneider et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2025a). The variance of the log-normal distribution, often denoted as σ^2 , is known, based on simulations, to depend on the Mach number \mathcal{M} , which measures the velocity fluctuations relative to the sound speed (Padoan et al. 1997; Federrath et al. 2010; Konstandin et al. 2012; Hopkins 2013). Observations of various types of ISM systems, such as diffuse atomic clouds (Liu et al. 2025a) and

denser molecular clouds (e.g., Goodman et al. 2009; Burkhart et al. 2015), also support the $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$. This relation is thus very likely fundamental to understanding the behavior of turbulence in compressible and isothermal gas systems.

However, despite the empirical success of finding the $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$ in simulations and its broad application in observations, a comprehensive physical theoretical explanation of this relationship remains absent. While the $k - \epsilon$ turbulence model (Lauder & Spalding 1979; Pittard et al. 2009) has been successful in predicting empirical results in many turbulent systems, it does not provide a theoretical framework for explaining the $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$ relation of isothermal and compressible systems, which are typically the cases for astronomical objects such as the ISM (Goldsmith 2001; Heiles & Troland 2003; Hopkins 2013; Yue et al. 2021). Providing a self-consistent theory to explain the $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$ relation for both subsonic and supersonic systems, as well as compressive and solenoidal driving forces (Federrath et al. 2010; Konstandin et al. 2012), and the deviation from the empirical relation in supersonic systems (Federrath et al. 2008; Hopkins 2013), within the same framework, is particularly important in gas dynamics and astrophysical contexts.

In this work, we aim to concisely provide a thermodynamic perspective on turbulence that offers a theoretical framework for understanding the $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$ relation in turbulent systems of isothermal and compressible gas with different Mach numbers and driving forces. To approach this goal, we focus on the underlying processes of turbulent dissipation and structural dissipation, which is believed to play crucial roles in the energy cascade of turbulence and thus in determining the statistical properties of the gas density. The empirical $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$ relation and the deviation from it can be directly derived, under some proper assumptions. This work is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we present the physical explanation of the $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$ relation. In Sect. 3, we briefly

discuss the caveats and further considerations of this work. We present a summary in Sect. 4.

2. PDF width in view of thermal dynamics

2.1. Background knowledge

Simulations from the 1990s (Vazquez-Semadeni 1994; Padoan et al. 1997) found that the PDFs of the gas density (ρ) in isothermal and compressible systems due to turbulence follow log-normal distributions, in the form of

$$P(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(s + \sigma^2/2)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \quad (1)$$

with

$$s = \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\langle\rho\rangle}\right) = \ln(\rho). \quad (2)$$

Here, $\langle\rho\rangle$ is the mean density, which can be treated as unity for simplicity, without loss of generality. Eq. 1 satisfies the constraints of the following two normalizations:

$$\int P(s)ds = 1, \quad (3)$$

$$\int P(s)e^s ds = 1. \quad (4)$$

In this work, we use PDF to represent the PDF of ρ or s , with no ambiguity. The standard deviation of Eq. 1 is σ , with its value depending on the Mach number (\mathcal{M}), and can be estimated from (e.g., Padoan et al. 1997).

$$\sigma^2 \sim \ln(1 + b^2 \mathcal{M}^2). \quad (5)$$

For compressive forcing, $b = 1$ (Federrath et al. 2010). For solenoidal forcing, Federrath et al. (2010) suggested a value of $b = \frac{1}{3}$, and Konstandin et al. (2012) interpreted it as the ratio of the Mach number of the compressive motion ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{comp}}$) to the total Mach number. In the subsonic regime, the solenoidal driving force may lead to b being much lower than $\frac{1}{3}$ (Konstandin et al. 2012).

Note that for \mathcal{M} much lower than 1, the compressive motion can be treated as a sound wave, which would lead to

$$\frac{\langle|\delta\rho|\rangle}{\langle\rho\rangle} = \frac{\langle|\delta V|\rangle}{V_s} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{comp}}, \quad (6)$$

where V is the velocity field and V_s is the speed of sound. The solenoidal motion, if it exists in the form of quasi-steady rotating eddies, will contribute to density enhancement through centrifugal force:

$$|\delta\rho| \sim \frac{1}{V_s^2} \frac{\rho V^2}{R} \sim \mathcal{M}^2 \rho \ll \mathcal{M}\rho. \quad (7)$$

The compressive motion can be quickly radiated away (depending on the boundary conditions), leading to a very low ratio of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{comp}}/\mathcal{M}$, and thus to a very small value of b . Below, by default, we treat \mathcal{M} as $\mathcal{M}_{\text{comp}}$ and $b = 1$ in Eq. 5.




Fig. 1. Sketch maps for three different scenarios illustrating how we calculate the increase in entropy due to turbulent energy dissipation (from top to bottom). E_{comp} and E_{sol} represent the energy carried by the compressive and solenoidal velocity components, respectively. See Sect. 2 for details (Eqs. 11, 17, and 21). For $S_{t,\text{sol}}$, we consider the 3D case here. The red number represents the ratio of energy passing through each route.

2.2. $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$ relation by entropy coupling

Here, we attempt to understand the turbulent system from the perspective of thermodynamics. Note that N particles have $3N$ degrees of freedom, the same as in Fourier k -space. The compressive mode has N degrees of freedom, one for each particle on average. We assume, as suggestions by simulations (Federrath et al. 2010), that only the compressive modes will be excited during the dissipation of the velocity field established by compressive forcing (see the case of solenoidal forcing in Sect. 2.3).

We consider a virtual process: the injection of turbulent energy suddenly ceases, and the turbulent energy dissipates in an adiabatic and quasi-static manner, down to compressive modes below the dissipation scale. The temperature of the high- k compressive modes will increase from thermal temperature T_{thermal} to T_{final} with

$$T_{\text{final}} = T_{\text{thermal}} + T_{\text{turb}}. \quad (8)$$

Here,

$$T_{\text{turb}} = \mathcal{M}^2 T_{\text{thermal}}. \quad (9)$$

Due to turbulent dissipation, the entropy of the system will increase by

$$S_t \propto \int_{T_{\text{thermal}}}^{T_{\text{final}}} \frac{1}{T} dT \quad (10)$$

$$= \ln\left(\frac{T_{\text{final}}}{T_{\text{thermal}}}\right) = \ln(1 + \mathcal{M}^2). \quad (11)$$

Here, we have omitted the constant factors for the particle number and the Boltzmann constant, k_B .

The entropy contributed by the density structure, described by a given probability density function (PDF) denoted as f , is

given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_s &\propto -2 \int \rho \ln(\rho) dV \\
 &= -2 \int f(\rho) \rho \ln(\rho) d\rho \\
 &= -2 \int f(s) s e^s ds
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

For a log-normal distribution (Eq. 1), it can be verified that

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_s(P) &= -2 \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(s + \sigma^2/2)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) s e^s ds \\
 &= -2 \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(s - \sigma^2/2)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) s ds \\
 &= -2E(P(-s)) = 2E(P(s)) \\
 &= -\sigma^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Here, $E(P)$ denotes the mean of P . Note that the factor of 2 in Eq. 12 is introduced to normalize Eq. 13. During the dissipation process described above, the entropy increase due to structural dissipation is $|S_2|$. Note that, by equating Eqs. 11 and 13, that is, by setting

$$|S_t| = |S_s|, \tag{14}$$

we immediately obtain a $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$ relation identical to that in Eq. 5 with $b = 1$.

We thus propose that, during the dissipation process, the increase in entropy associated with turbulent dissipation (Eq. 11) should be coupled with that of the structural dissipation (Eq. 13), providing a constraint on the relation between σ and \mathcal{M} . Note that, in astronomical objects such as molecular clouds, the system typically maintains a constant temperature, balanced by cooling and heating mechanisms (Bergin & Tafalla 2007). The above constraint remains valid in such cases, since turbulence, once fully established, transports its energy from large scales to smaller scales in a cascading manner, with most of the energy dissipated at the smallest scale (dissipation scale; Kolmogorov 1941). The turbulence ‘‘feels’’ little difference between the heating processes (adiabatic or isothermal) occurring at the smallest dissipation scale.

2.3. The case of solenoidal forcing

For solenoidal forcing in a D -dimensional system, solenoidal turbulent motion is injected at large scales, and compressive motion is subsequently induced during energy cascading. In k space, the ratio between the degree of freedom of the compressive mode and that of the entire k space is given by $1/D$. Assuming that under solenoidal forcing, the solenoidal motion acts as an energy pool, $1/D$ of the turbulent energy resides in the intermediate k space, where both solenoidal and compressive modes are fully excited (see Fig. 1). Thus, we can write:

$$T_{\text{final}} = T_{\text{thermal}} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{D}\right)^2 T_{\text{thermal}} = T_{\text{thermal}} + \mathcal{M}_{\text{comp}}^2 T_{\text{thermal}}, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{comp}} = \frac{1}{D} \mathcal{M}. \tag{16}$$

Therefore, we have:

$$S_{t;\text{sol}} = \ln(1 + \mathcal{M}_{\text{comp}}^2). \tag{17}$$




Fig. 2. Comparison of the different forms of S_t in Eqs. 11, 21, and 24 (Sect. 2). The markers represent simulation results (only including those not magnetically dominated) tabulated by Hopkins (2013) (see also references therein). The yellow circles and blue squares denote simulations with solenoidal and compressive forcing schemes, respectively. The x -axis represents the Mach number of the compressive component of the turbulent velocity field ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{comp}}$).

It provides an explanation of why $b = \frac{1}{D}$ for a pure solenoidal driving force (e.g., Federrath et al. 2010). In the $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$ relation (Eq. 5), \mathcal{M} should be interpreted as $\mathcal{M}_{\text{comp}}$, regardless of how it is generated, whether directly by compressive forcing or secondarily induced by solenoidal forcing. If $\mathcal{M}_{\text{comp}}$ is adopted, we should take $b = 1$.

2.4. Deviation from the $\sigma^2 - \mathcal{M}$ relation

In Sect. 2.2, to derive Eq. 11, we virtually introduce an adiabatic and quasi-static process of dissipation. This assumption may not be entirely accurate, particularly for turbulence driving by compressive forcing with a high \mathcal{M} , where some of the large-scale turbulent energy may bypass the cascading process and be directly dissipated. To sustain the turbulent velocity field, a higher energy input rate at the large scale is required compared to the fully cascading process. Thus, a σ^2 greater than the value given by Eq. 5 (or equivalently Eq. 13) is expected for supersonic turbulence, as indicated by simulations from around 2010 (Federrath et al. 2008; Schmidt et al. 2009; Federrath et al. 2010; Konstandin et al. 2012; Molina et al. 2012).

For each high- k mode, the inner energy will eventually increase from T_{thermal} to T_{final} . Denote ΔT as the energy a high- k mode receives from turbulence before a given time. At that time, its temperature (T) is not necessarily $T_{\text{thermal}} + \Delta T$, due to the coupling of structural dissipation. Instead, we introduce a delay parameter (q) to describe the increase of local temperature, which modifies Eq. 10 as

$$S_{t;q} = \int_0^{T_{\text{turb}}} \frac{1}{(T_{\text{thermal}} + qT)} dT \tag{18}$$

$$= \frac{1}{q} \ln(1 + q\mathcal{M}^2). \tag{19}$$

We further assume that q is a variable uniformly distributed between 0 and 1, reflecting the stochastic nature of turbulence, that is

$$q \sim U(0, 1). \tag{20}$$

It leads to

$$S_{t; Uq} = \int_0^1 S_{t;q} dq$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{q} \ln(1 + qM^2) dq \quad (21)$$

$$= -\text{Li}_2(-M^2). \quad (22)$$

Here, Li_2 denotes the dilogarithm function. Eq. 21 is exactly the empirical form of σ^2 suggested by Hopkins (2013), obtained by fitting the simulation data¹. Note that

$$S_{t; Uq} \sim \begin{cases} S_t \sim M^2 \sim [\ln(1 + M)]^2 & \text{for } M \ll 1 \\ 2 [\ln(1 + M)]^2 & \text{for } M \gg 1. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

Thus, we suggest using the following formula to approximate $S_{t; Uq}$, or equivalently, $-\text{Li}_2(-M^2)$:

$$S_{t; Uq} \sim S_{t; \text{approx}} = (2 - e^{-M}) [\ln(1 + M)]^2. \quad (24)$$

$S_{t; \text{approx}}$ deviates from $S_{t; Uq}$ by less than six percent, providing a good approximation. See Fig. 2 for the comparison between S_t , $S_{t; Uq}$, and $S_{t; \text{approx}}$. Overall, from the thermodynamic perspective, we derive that the PDFs of a turbulent system driven by compressive forcing should have

$$\sigma^2 = S_{t; Uq} = -\text{Li}_2(-M^2). \quad (25)$$

This is consistent with simulations (Fig. 2).

3. Discussion

This work is our first paper in a series of our studies aimed at theoretically explaining the density function associated with turbulence. This work, and follow-up ones, use methods that introduce various virtual processes, which also inspire the title of the series.

Several considerations should be noted in this work. One of the most important shortcomings of this work is that, at present, we have not taken magnetic fields (B-field) into account. Magnetic fields can significantly influence turbulence, especially in ISM. Extending this perspective to include magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) could provide a more comprehensive understanding of turbulent flows; however, it introduces some challenges. When the magnetic field is extremely strong, the gas system may reduce to a two-dimensional (2D) system, where certain dynamics are constrained. When there is a mild magnetic field, it is difficult to consider the roles of Alfvén waves, fast waves, and slow waves, which exhibit different wave speeds (e.g., Beresnyak & Lazarian 2019). The fast wave plays a role analogous to the sound speed; however, slow waves exhibit a more complex coupling between the density structure and the velocity field. It is important to note that a steady gas system with over-dense regions confined by the magnetic field gradient can be treated as a special case of slow waves. Thus, we are uncertain whether the entropy increase due to turbulent dissipation and structural dissipation is still coupled to each other in a simple relation, as we assumed for hydrodynamic systems. Simulations may help to explore this issue.

¹ The integral $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{q} \ln(1 + qx) dq = \int_0^x \frac{1}{q} \ln(1 + q) dq$ (Eq. 21) is not equal to $\text{Li}_2(x)$, as suggested by Hopkins (2013), but to $-\text{Li}_2(-x)$. Note that, $\text{Li}_2(x) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n^2}$.

Simulations indicate that the PDFs of turbulent systems driven by compressive forcing tend to be low- s -end skewed (Federrath et al. 2010; Hopkins 2013), while those driven by solenoidal forcing are generally more symmetric (Schmidt et al. 2009). Such skewness can be examined by high-sensitivity observations of isolated atomic clouds (Liu et al. 2025a). In this work, we ignore the possible influence of the skewness of the PDFs. The origin of the possible skewness (deviation from the standard log-normal distribution) of PDFs and its effect—shown to be small—on the $\sigma^2 - M$ relation will be discussed in the follow-up Paper II of this series of studies.

4. Summary

In this work, we present a thermodynamic cascading theory of turbulence of compressive gas. The main results include We obtain the empirical relation $\sigma^2 = \ln(1 + M^2)$ by introducing a virtual dissipation process, during which entropy increases due to structural dissipation, with turbulence dissipation assumed to be coupled. Further, we introduce a delay parameter for the local gas temperature and quantitatively derive the deviation from the empirical $\sigma^2 - M$ relation, which describes both subsonic and supersonic turbulence in the same framework.

Acknowledgements. X. Liu acknowledges the support of the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No. XDB0800303, and the National Key R&D Program of China under Grant No. 2022YFA1603100. X. Liu also thanks the Leiden University for providing working space of this work.

References

- Beresnyak, A. & Lazarian, A. 2019, *Turbulence in Magnetohydrodynamics* (Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter)
- Bergin, E. A. & Tafalla, M. 2007, *ARA&A*, 45, 339
- Berkhuijsen, E. M. & Fletcher, A. 2008, *MNRAS*, 390, L19
- Burgers, J. M. 1948, in *Advances in Applied Mechanics*, ed. R. V. Mises & T. V. Kármán, Vol. 1 (Elsevier), 171–199
- Burkhart, B., Lee, M.-Y., Murray, C. E., & Stanimirović, S. 2015, *ApJ*, 811, L28
- Carroll, J. J., Frank, A., Blackman, E. G., Cunningham, A. J., & Quillen, A. C. 2009, *ApJ*, 695, 1376
- Federrath, C., Klessen, R. S., & Schmidt, W. 2008, *ApJ*, 688, L79
- Federrath, C., Roman-Duval, J., Klessen, R. S., Schmidt, W., & Mac Low, M. M. 2010, *A&A*, 512, A81
- Gent, F. A. 2012, PhD thesis, Newcastle University Upon Tyne, UK
- Goldbaum, N. J., Krumholz, M. R., & Forbes, J. C. 2015, *ApJ*, 814, 131
- Goldsmith, P. F. 2001, *ApJ*, 557, 736
- Goodman, A. A., Pineda, J. E., & Schnee, S. L. 2009, *ApJ*, 692, 91
- Heiles, C. & Troland, T. H. 2003, *ApJ*, 586, 1067
- Hopkins, P. F. 2013, *MNRAS*, 430, 1880
- Kolmogorov, A. 1941, *Akademiia Nauk SSSR Doklady*, 30, 301
- Konstantin, L., Girichidis, P., Federrath, C., & Klessen, R. S. 2012, *ApJ*, 761, 149
- Larson, R. B. 1981, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 194, 809
- Launder, B. & Spalding, D. 1979, *Lectures in Mathematical Models of Turbulence* (Academic Press)
- Liu, X., Liu, T., Li, P.-S., et al. 2025a, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2502.10897
- Liu, X., Liu, T., Mai, X., et al. 2025b, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2501.17502
- McKee, C. F. & Tan, J. C. 2003, *ApJ*, 585, 850
- Miyamoto, Y., Nakai, N., & Kuno, N. 2014, *PASJ*, 66, 36
- Molina, F. Z., Glover, S. C. O., Federrath, C., & Klessen, R. S. 2012, *MNRAS*, 423, 2680
- Orkisz, J. H., Pety, J., Gerin, M., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 599, A99
- Padoan, P., Nordlund, A., & Jones, B. J. T. 1997, *MNRAS*, 288, 145
- Paneque-Carreño, T., Izquierdo, A. F., Teague, R., et al. 2024, *A&A*, 684, A174
- Pittard, J. M., Falle, S. A. E. G., Hartquist, T. W., & Dyson, J. E. 2009, *MNRAS*, 394, 1351
- Read, P. L., Young, R. M. B., & Kennedy, D. 2020, *Geoscience Letters*, 7, 10
- Schmidt, W., Federrath, C., Hupp, M., Kern, S., & Niemeyer, J. C. 2009, *A&A*, 494, 127
- Schneider, N., Ossenkopf, V., Csengeri, T., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 575, A79
- Vazquez-Semadeni, E. 1994, *ApJ*, 423, 681
- Veltri, P. 1999, *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion*, 41, A787
- Wu, B., Tan, J. C., Nakamura, F., Christie, D., & Li, Q. 2018, *PASJ*, 70, S57
- Yue, N.-N., Li, D., Zhang, Q.-Z., et al. 2021, *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 21, 024