Neutron Beam Shaping by Ghost Projection

Andrew M. Kingston* and Alaleh Aminzadeh
Department of Materials Physics, Research School of Physics,
The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia

Jeremy M. C. Brown

Optical Sciences Centre, Department of Physics and Astronomy, School of Science, Computing and Engineering Technologies, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, VIC 3122, Australia

Filomena Salvemini, Joseph J. Bevitt, and Ulf Garbe Australian Centre for Neutron Scattering, Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation, Lucas Heights, NSW 2234, Australia

David M. Paganin

School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia (Dated: February 27, 2025)

We present a method to shape a neutron beam and project any specified target image using a single universal patterned mask that is transversely displaced. The method relies on "ghost projection", which is a reversed form of classical ghost imaging. A set of sub-mask regions that combine to construct the required beam shape is computed; illumination of each region with the determined exposure time projects the shaped beam. We demonstrate this method experimentally, using the Dingo neutron imaging beamline at the OPAL nuclear research reactor (Australia). The ability to shape a neutron beam "on demand" allows selective dose delivery away from sensitive areas of samples, such as in cultural heritage artifacts. It also benefits irradiation techniques, e.g., in testing resilience of electronic components for space and defense technologies or neutron therapies.

Introduction—Controlled illumination and dose delivery of ionising radiation is at the core of a number of day-to-day techniques and technologies used in medical physics (imaging [1, 2] and radiotherapy [3]), electronics production (semiconductor material doping [4]), and testing or inspection of devices and materials critical to everyday life (medical devices [5], homeland security [6, 7], space and defense industries [8, 9], etc.). The bulk of these technologies utilize x rays, γ rays, and charged particles due to their strong electromagnetic interaction with matter, and electromagnetic fields enabling precise control of their incident direction and dose deposition within objects and materials. However, until now, this has not been the case for neutron sources, which has led to a number of limitations of their applications in the same manner. The ability to arbitrarily shape neutron beams would enable accurate illumination of patterns onto sample surfaces and volumetrically tunable autoradiography, (i.e., selective dose delivery away from sensitive areas). This new functionality could be immediately leveraged to (i) improve our understanding of how neutrons kill cells in charged particle and neutron capture radiotherapy, (ii) image and probe the internal structure of one-of-a-kind cultural heritage artifacts whilst minimizing radiation damage, and (iii) enable new methods for assessing the reliability and robustness of advanced materials and electronic components relevant to space and defense industries.

Neutron interaction with matter is weak and classic op-

tics (e.g., mirrors [10] and lenses [11, 12]) are ineffective for spatiotemporal modulation of illumination. Neutron beam shaping is therefore difficult and no neutron-optics equivalent of a visible-light data projector [13] or spatial light modulator (SLM) [14] exists. Currently a dedicated stencil (or mask) must be produced per beam shape required [15]. A similar problem exists for x rays, however we note that a major recent advance was reported in the experimental achievement of an x-ray SLM [16].

In a conceptually quite different way, arbitrary beam shaping can be achieved using transverse displacements of a single universal patterned mask. This concept was introduced by Paganin [17] and further developed by Ceddia et al. [18, 19]. The method became known as ghost projection (GP), and is the reverse process of classical ghost imaging (GI) [20–23]. Ceddia et al. achieved the first experimental demonstration of ghost projection with x-ray synchrotron radiation in 2023 [24], using a set of random masks with patterns designed in Ref. [25] and fabricated as described in Ref. [26].

Patterned-illumination computational neutron imaging techniques such as ghost imaging [27] and single-pixel camera imaging [28] have been successfully achieved. These realizations both provide the hardware (patterned mask and manipulation stages) and suggest ghost projection is applicable to neutrons. In this Letter we demonstrate the ability to arbitrarily shape a neutron beam using just a single (or universal) randomly patterned mask. To perform GP, we image a mask sub-region through




FIG. 1. Schematic for neutron beam shaping by ghost projection. By moving the universal random mask to a number of specified transverse locations, any time-integrated spatial distribution of exposure can be created over the illumination plane (up to a spatial resolution dictated by the minimum feature size created by the mask, and an additive "pedestal").

thousands of transverse mask displacements, then given this set of *basis* images and a specified target image, we determine the set of mask positions and associated exposure times that combine to produce the target image.

Theory—The ghost-projection concept [17–19] may be applied to neutrons via the schematic in Fig. 1. Here we see an emitter, e.g. a nuclear-reactor [10] or spallation [29] source, releasing a stream of neutrons that is collimated into a beam which then passes through a single spatially-random mask. The transverse location of this mask may be precisely and reproducibly scanned in two directions, (x, y), that are both transverse to the beam axis, z. Significant flexibility in the choice of random patterns is possible, with the key requirement being that the mask should create a set of K different random intensity maps, $\{M_k(x,y)\}\$, over the illumination plane, where $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, K$; these intensity maps should be sufficiently finely detailed, such that the spatial resolution, ℓ , of the target ghost-projection image, I, is no smaller than the finest level of detail that is present in $\{M_k(x,y)\}$ to a non-negligible degree. For a target image with finite area \mathcal{A} , there will be approximately $\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{A}/\ell^2$ independent resolution elements ("pixels"). If K is taken to be sufficiently large relative to \mathcal{N} , then the set $\{M_k(x,y)\}$ is an overcomplete [30] nonorthogonal basis in the sense that the target image may be expressed as a linear combination of random patterns drawn from $\{M_k(x,y)\}\$, with nonnegative weights proportional to the exposure time for each pattern. The finite-resolution target image, I, will be synthesized up to an additive background termed a "pedestal". Crucially, the overcomplete nature of the random-pattern basis implies that most of the assigned weights can be set to zero; the larger K is chosen to be (relative to \mathcal{N}), the larger the fraction of the basis that may be discarded for the purposes of creating a single specified target pattern of time-integrated exposure. In this scenario, the ghost-projection process becomes more efficient since the total number of nonzero weights is a nonincreasing function of increasing K. A different




FIG. 2. A depiction of the ghost projection principle, constructing a desired image (plus a pedestal) from the nonnegative weighted sum of K patterned illuminations.

subset of the random-pattern basis may, and in general should, be chosen for each target ghost-projection image.

Given our set of K basis illumination patterns provided by the mask subject to various transverse displacements, these patterns are vectorized and collated into a matrix, M. For ghost projection we require a vector of K non-negative weights, w, that produce a vectorized target image, I, as follows:

$$Mw = I. (1)$$


Here, as mentioned earlier, the weights represent illumination exposure times and nonnegative exposures are required to be physically realizable. A practical depiction of this mathematical description is given in Fig. 2. Several approaches have been proposed to determine the weights, w, as correlation values, correlation filtration, through nonnegative least squares optimization, or L1-norm minimization with nonnegative regularization (see e.g., [17, 18]). Here we used the nonnegative least squares (NNLS) optimizer from the scipy python package to solve for the weights

arg min
$$||Mw - I||$$
, subject to $w_k \ge 0 \ \forall \ k \in [1, K]$. (2)

The nonnegative weights, w, are rescaled to per-mask exposure times according to the application required. For additional mathematical development, see Refs. [17–19].

To demonstrate the principle here, without considering any constant offset dose (or pedestal), we improved the conditioning of the linear-algebra problem by mean correcting the illumination patterns and target image. This causes the basis patterns to become closer to orthogonal [31]. In cases where dose is a significant consideration and the pedestal should be minimized, no mean correction should be applied (and perhaps the desired pedestal value should be added to the target image).

Method—The experiments were performed using the open-pool reactor-based neutron source on the Dingo neutron imaging beamline at the Australian Centre for Neutron Scattering (ACNS) [32, 33]. A polyenergetic neutron beam was employed. Epithermal neutrons were removed by a $90\text{mm} \times 90\text{mm} \times 30\text{mm}$ sapphire filter composed of several superoptical quality crystals with the [001] axis parallel to the incoming beam; the resulting thermal neutron spectrum had a maximum intensity at wavelength 1.5Å. The detector was positioned L=9.8m from a diameter d=19.8m pin-hole at






FIG. 3. (a) Normalized neutron radiograph of the Gd mask designed and fabricated for ghost imaging. Each fractal mask has dimensions of $10 \text{mm} \times 10 \text{mm}$. (b) The large fractal-mask used to create illumination patterns for ghost projection.

the neutron source, giving a beam divergence [34] of $\Theta = d/L = 1/495$. A two-section 4.5m-long flight tube filled with He at ambient pressure (1 bar) was used to reduce neutron scatter from air. In this high-intensity configuration, the average neutron radiation flux was $4.7 \times 10^7 \text{ n.cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ [35].

Figure 3(a) depicts the four masks that we designed and fabricated for ghost imaging and ghost projection experiments. These images were acquired as 10 accumulations each with 12s exposure time and a $30\mu m$ thick gadolinium oxysulfide (Gd₂O₂S) scintillation screen imaged with a $32\mu m$ pixel size. The top-left mask in Fig. 3(a) is a random binary mask with uncorrelated pixel values, while the other three are statistically-fractal random binary masks with three different degrees of fractality. We refer to these as small, medium, and large fractal masks based on their feature sizes that are determined by the critical exponent governing the powerlaw decay, $\alpha = -0.5, -0.75$ and -1, with regularization $\beta = 0.25 \ \mu m^{-1}$ for all masks. The mathematical description of these fractal masks can be found in Refs. [24, 25]. Only the large fractal mask, shown in Fig. 3(b), was used for our neutron ghost projection experiments. The size and the resolution of each mask are $10\text{mm} \times 10\text{mm}$ and $10\mu m$ respectively. The fabrication process was performed at Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) by lasering the patterns into a $5\mu m$ Gd layer, which was coated on a glass substrate.

A mask manipulator stage was designed and constructed at the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO). It consists of two high-precision translation stages to manipulate the mask transverse to the neutron beam direction (xfine and yfine) and a coarse stage, xghost, to bring the whole assembly into and out of the neutron beam. xghost is a simple belt drive with a rotary absolute encoder employed on the belt drive shaft. xfine and yfine have a ± 30 mm translation range, driven by a lead screw and stepper motor with 40 nm steps. They are encoded by linear absolute encoders supplied by Fagor. The encoders have a range




FIG. 4. Experimental setup for arbitrary-profile neutron beam shaping via a universal ghost-projection mask.

of up to 70mm, are compatible with the standard Galil controllers used at ANSTO, and give the transverse mask position in the (x, y)-plane with nanometer precision.

A photograph of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 4. The Gd mask was mounted on an aluminum arm and positioned as close as possible to the scintillator to minimize pattern degradation from beam divergence. The mask was moved in the x and y directions (perpendicular to the beam direction z), and the radiographs of the mask at different transverse locations were collected at the scintillator.

The detector consisted of a $^6\mathrm{LiF/ZnS}$:Cu scintillation screen of thickness $50\mu\mathrm{m}$, a mirror, and a ZWO ASI2600MC PRO camera, with a back-illuminated 16-bit CMOS sensor, that was placed out of the neutron beam. The CMOS camera has a 4176×6248 pixel array with an effective pixel size of $15.6\mu\mathrm{m}$.

The mask was scanned with a 2mm \times 2mm field of view over a 50×50 grid of positions. The spacing between rows and columns of positions was 160μ m to span the entire $10\text{mm}\times10\text{mm}$ large fractal mask (see Fig. 3(b)). At each position a 15s exposure radiograph was recorded in high-intensity mode to increase signal-to-noise ratio. Five open-beam and dark images were also recorded to normalize the basis set of images and remove the effects of dark current, beam profile, and scintillator structure variations.

As shown in Fig. 5, six target images were designed to demonstrate beam shaping. They included simple squares of increased or decreased intensity relative to the background, and some more complex shapes.

Given the patterned-illumination basis set and the target images, the <code>scipy</code> NNLS optimizer was employed to solve for the weights. These weights were rescaled to have a maximum of 10 and then rounded to integer values. We set a minimum exposure time (15s in this case, as used for the mask scanning) and the integer weight specifies the number of exposures to accumulate for a given mask position. A list of mask positions was produced to generate the target image; a mask position requiring N exposures was simply listed N consecutive times.




FIG. 5. The target images designed to demonstrate arbitrary-profile neutron beam shaping. Each image has physical dimensions $2 \text{mm} \times 2 \text{mm}$ represented as 68×68 pixels.

Results—Of the 2500 mask positions utilized, 2307 basis patterns were recorded in total, (images 211-403 were lost in data transfer). This set of patterns was used to generate the target images. This process and some example basis images are presented in Fig. 2.

The six target images each required around 210 mask positions (the remaining mask positions had zero weight). This was reduced to around 170 positions once the weights were modified to be integers in [0,10] since mask positions with optimized weights < 0.5 were truncated. Multiple exposures were achieved by repeating the mask positions listed and the resulting number of listed positions for each target image was approximately 500.

As an example, the target image in Fig. 5(b) required 427 mask positions. The process of constructing the projection after accumulating every sixth of the stage positions, i.e., every 71.16 mask positions, is presented in Fig. 6. The six projected neutron beam shapes corresponding to the target images in Fig. 5 are presented in Fig. 7. A histogram of the transmission levels in each projected image is given in Fig. 8.

Discussion—While a highly overcomplete [30] nonorthogonal basis is in general less efficient than a complete orthogonal basis for the purposes of synthesizing an arbitrary function [36, 37], for synthesizing any one particular function (namely the desired ghost projection), one can judiciously discard most members of the overcomplete set (in a manner that depends on the desired ghost projection) to obtain an efficient scheme that has fewer nonzero weighting coefficients than would be the case for a complete orthogonal basis [18, 19, 24, 38]. In this context, observe that the overcomplete nature of the basis-pattern set implies the vector, w, of nonnegative weighting coefficients is highly non-unique, with the degree of nonuniqueness becoming progressively larger as the number of candidate basis patterns K becomes arbitrarily large. This nonuniqueness is an advantage because one may choose a particular weighting vector, w, using the criterion of sparseness, namely the demand that the number of nonzero elements of w be minimized. In this sense, our use of an overcomplete random-pattern basis can be viewed as a reversed form of compressed sensing [39–41], as it uses compressive-sensing concepts for the purpose of image synthesis via ghost projection, rather than image measurement and decomposition [18, 19, 24, 38]. Rather unusually, image compression occurs prior to image formation, via the choice of a different sparse-basis subset of the overcomplete basis for the purposes of creating each particular ghost projection.

Conclusion—The ghost-projection approach to neutron beam shaping reported in this Letter enables an arbitrary time-integrated intensity distribution to be created over any imaging surface. The method differs from conventional strategies that seek to achieve arbitrary-profile matter-wave beam shaping, in the sense that single-shot matter-wave beam-shaping with a dynamically configurable mask is replaced by multi-shot beam-shaping using a single random mask which is displaced to a number of transverse positions during the exposure process. Since random patterns are thereby added to make any desired pattern, the method can be thought of as "building signals out of noise".

Outlook—Some future research avenues are as follows:

- (i) The ghost-projection principle is applicable to a variety of matter-wave and radiation fields. This may be of particular interest in contexts where no on-demand beam-shaping methods exist that have an appreciable degree of spatial resolution. For example, our ghost-projection beam-shaping method could be applied to cold atom beams [42, 43], with masks generated by atom refraction through the ponderomotive potential [44–46] produced by an ensemble of highly-structured laser-field light sheets.
- (ii) A continuous-exposure GP scheme is possible, whereby a single random mask is transversely displaced in a continuous manner, such that the integral over time t—of the resulting time-dependent intensity distribution I(x,y,t) over the target image plane—generates a desired ghost projection [17]. Thus, each ghost projection may be encoded in a given mask-displacement trajectory $(\Delta x(t), \Delta y(t))$. This enables space-time matter-wave shaping, namely beam shaping in (2+1)-dimensions, if one suitably coarse grains (averages) over the time variable (by the time scale T used to form each frame of the "ghost projection movie").
- (iii) While we have restricted consideration to randomfractal [47] speckled masks, the ghost-projection method is more general, with the only requirement being reproducibly able to make a large set of different highlystructured patterns over the area of the ghost-projection field of view. For example, the ghost-projection con-




FIG. 6. The process of constructing the projection of the second target image (Fig. 5(b)) after summing over (a) 71, (b) 142, (c) 213, (d) 284, (e) 355, and (f) 427 of the 427 stage positions listed. Each image has physical dimensions $2 \text{mm} \times 2 \text{mm}$ represented as 68×68 pixels. A corresponding video is given in the Supplementary Material.




FIG. 7. Images constructed by universal-mask ghost projection with 10 grey levels. Each image corresponds to that of the target images in Fig. 5, with physical dimensions $2 \text{mm} \times 2 \text{mm}$ represented as 68×68 pixels. Corresponding videos, for each panel, are given in the Supplementary Material.




FIG. 8. Histogram of ghost projection images in Fig. 7. twoSquares is the large and small white square on a black background (Fig. 7(a)), twoSquaresNeg is the negative of this (Fig. 7(d)), twoUpTwoDown is the two white and two black squares on a grey backgound (Fig. 7(b)), twoUpTwoDownNeg is the negative of this (Fig. 7(e)), dingoPaw is the white dingo paw print (Fig. 7(c)), and dingoSil is the silhouette of a dingo (Fig. 7(f)).

cept may also be used for an ensemble of diffraction catastrophes [48] produced in the focal plane of a highly aberrated coherently-illuminated lens [38]. In turn, such a focused-beam approach enables miniaturization to be built into the ghost-projection scheme, e.g. in the electron-microscopy or hard-x-ray domains.

- (iv) A spectral version of the method is also possible, whereby an ensemble of polyenergetic speckle fields may be used to create a GP movie where a desired energy spectrum is created in each GP frame. While this "color ghost projection" concept has been demonstrated in principle via theory and simulation [18], it has yet to be achieved in experiment.
- (v) Ghost projection onto curved rather than planar surfaces is conceptually straightforward [19].
- (vi) The number K of candidate random-mask patterns can be made exponentially larger, via two or more random masks in series, with each mask on an independent transverse translation stage [18, 19]. If masks and source are sufficiently well characterized, each possible mask pattern may be calculated rather than measured. This enables the number of nonzero weights in w to be reduced still further, compared to single-mask schemes.

Acknowledgments—AMK and DMP thank the Australian Research Council (ARC) for funding through the Discovery Project: DP210101312. AMK and UG thank the ARC and industry partners funding the Industrial Transformation and Training Centre for Multiscale 3D Imaging, Modelling, and Manufacturing: IC180100008. Experiments were carried out under the merit proposals P16514 and P18844 at the Dingo neutron imaging beamline at the ANSTO OPAL reactor, Lucas Heights, Australia. We thank Dr Frank Darmann, Ravi Pushparaj, Eno Imamovic, Jason Christoforidis, Ferdi Franceschini, Jeremy Shalala, Adam Gallaty, and John Affleck for the design, fabrication, assembly, installation, and control software of the mask manipulation stages.

^{*} andrew.kingston@anu.edu.au; corresponding author; National Laboratory for X-ray Micro Computed Tomography (CTLab), Advanced Imaging Precinct, The Aus-

- tralian National University, Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia
- [1] R. Behling and F. Grüner, Diagnostic x-ray sources—present and future, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 878, 50 (2018).
- [2] D. Greene and P. C. Williams, Linear Accelerators for Radiation Therapy (CRC Press, 2017).
- [3] A. Degiovanni and U. Amaldi, History of hadron therapy accelerators, Phys. Med. 31, 322 (2015).
- [4] B. Crowder, Ion Implantation in Semiconductors and other Materials (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013).
- [5] B. Wang, S. Zhong, T.-L. Lee, K. S. Fancey, and J. Mi, Non-destructive testing and evaluation of composite materials/structures: A state-of-the-art review, Adv. Mech. Eng. 12, 1687814020913761 (2020).
- [6] K. Wells and D. Bradley, A review of x-ray explosives detection techniques for checked baggage, Appl. Radiat. Isot. 70, 1729 (2012).
- [7] S. Akcay and T. Breckon, Towards automatic threat detection: A survey of advances of deep learning within x-ray security imaging, Pattern Recognit. 122, 108245 (2022).
- [8] R. Hanke, T. Fuchs, and N. Uhlmann, X-ray based methods for non-destructive testing and material characterization, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 591, 14 (2008).
- [9] H. Towsyfyan, A. Biguri, R. Boardman, and T. Blumensath, Successes and challenges in non-destructive testing of aircraft composite structures, Chinese J. Aeronaut. 33, 771 (2020).
- [10] A. G. Klein and S. A. Werner, Neutron optics, Rep. Prog. Phys. 46, 259 (1983).
- [11] M. R. Eskildsen, P. L. Gammel, E. D. Isaacs, C. Detlefs, K. Mortensen, and D. J. Bishop, Compound refractive optics for the imaging and focusing of low-energy neutrons, Nature 391, 563 (1998).
- [12] H. Leemreize, E. B. Knudsen, J. O. Birk, M. Strobl, C. Detlefs, and H. F. Poulsen, Full-field neutron microscopy based on refractive optics, J. Appl. Cryst. 52, 1299 (2019).
- [13] A. Reid, The physics of the data projector, Phys. Educ. 43, 599 (2008).
- [14] L. Zhu and J. Wang, Arbitrary manipulation of spatial amplitude and phase using phase-only spatial light modulators, Sci. Rep. 4, 7441 (2014).
- [15] F. Grünauer, Image deconvolution and coded masks in neutron radiography, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 542, 342 (2005).
- [16] K. Tamasaku, T. Sato, T. Osaka, H. Osawa, D. Zhu, and T. Ishikawa, Dynamically patterning x-ray beam by a femtosecond optical laser, Sci. Adv. 10, eadp5326 (2024).
- [17] D. M. Paganin, Writing arbitrary distributions of radiant exposure by scanning a single illuminated spatially random screen, Phys. Rev. A 100, 063823 (2019).
- [18] D. Ceddia and D. M. Paganin, Ghost projection, Phys. Rev. A 105, 013512 (2022).
- [19] D. Ceddia, A. M. Kingston, D. Pelliccia, A. Rack, and D. M. Paganin, Ghost projection. II. Beam shaping using realistic spatially random masks, Phys. Rev. A 106, 033512 (2022).
- [20] J. H. Shapiro, Computational ghost imaging, Phys. Rev. A 78, 061802 (2008).
- [21] B. I. Erkmen and J. H. Shapiro, Ghost imaging: from

- quantum to classical to computational, Adv. Opt. Photonics 2, 405 (2010).
- [22] J. H. Shapiro and R. W. Boyd, The physics of ghost imaging, Quantum Inf. Process. 11, 949 (2012).
- [23] M. J. Padgett and R. W. Boyd, An introduction to ghost imaging: quantum and classical, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 375, 20160233 (2017).
- [24] D. Ceddia, A. Aminzadeh, P. K. Cook, D. Pelliccia, A. M. Kingston, and D. M. Paganin, Universal mask for hard x rays, Optica 10, 1067 (2023).
- [25] A. M. Kingston, A. Aminzadeh, L. Roberts, D. Pelliccia, I. D. Svalbe, and D. M. Paganin, Optimizing nonconfigurable, transversely displaced masks for illumination patterns in classical ghost imaging, Phys. Rev. A 107, 023524 (2023).
- [26] A. Aminzadeh, L. Roberts, B. Young, C.-I. Chiang, I. D. Svalbe, D. M. Paganin, and A. M. Kingston, Mask design, fabrication, and experimental ghost imaging applications for patterned x-ray illumination, Opt. Express 31, 24328 (2023).
- [27] A. M. Kingston, G. R. Myers, D. Pelliccia, F. Salvemini, J. J. Bevitt, U. Garbe, and D. M. Paganin, Neutron ghost imaging, Phys. Rev. A 101, 053844 (2020).
- [28] Y.-H. He, Y.-Y. Huang, Z.-R. Zeng, Y.-F. Li, J.-H. Tan, L.-M. Chen, L.-A. Wu, M.-F. Li, B.-G. Quan, S.-L. Wang, et al., Single-pixel imaging with neutrons, Sci. Bull. 66, 133 (2021).
- [29] N. Fomin, J. Fry, R. W. Pattie, and G. L. Greene, Fundamental neutron physics at spallation sources, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 72, 151 (2022).
- [30] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [31] D. Pelliccia, M. P. Olbinado, A. Rack, A. M. Kingston, G. R. Myers, and D. M. Paganin, Towards a practical implementation of X-ray ghost imaging with synchrotron light, IUCrJ 5, 428 (2018).
- [32] U. Garbe, T. Randall, C. Hughes, G. Davidson, S. Pangelis, and S. J. Kennedy, A new neutron radiography/tomography/imaging station DINGO at OPAL, Phys. Procedia 69, 27 (2015).
- [33] U. Garbe, Y. Ahuja, R. Ibrahim, H. Li, L. Aldridge, F. Salvemini, and A. Z. Paradowska, Industrial application experiments on the neutron imaging instrument DINGO, Phys. Procedia 88, 13 (2017).
- [34] W. Treimer, Neutron tomography, in Neutron Imaging and Applications: A Reference for the Imaging Community, edited by I. S. Anderson, R. McGreevy, and H. Z. Bilheux (Springer US, Boston, MA, 2009) pp. 81–108.
- [35] K. Jakubowski, A. Chacon, L. T. Tran, A. Stopic, U. Garbe, J. Bevitt, S. Olsen, D. R. Franklin, A. Rosenfeld, S. Guatelli, et al., A Monte Carlo model of the Dingo thermal neutron imaging beamline, Sci. Rep. 13, 17415 (2023).
- [36] A. N. Gorban, I. Y. Tyukin, D. V. Prokhorov, and K. I. Sofeikov, Approximation with random bases: Pro et contra, Inf. Sci. 364–365, 129 (2016).
- [37] T. E. Gureyev, D. M. Paganin, A. Kozlov, Y. I. Nesterets, and H. M. Quiney, Complementary aspects of spatial resolution and signal-to-noise ratio in computational imaging, Phys. Rev. A 97, 053819 (2018).
- [38] J. A. Monro, A. M. Kingston, and D. M. Paganin, Ghost projection via focal-field diffraction catastrophes (2024), arXiv:2411.19053.
- [39] E. J. Candès and T. Tao, Near-optimal signal recovery

- from random projections: Universal encoding strategies?, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **52**, 5406 (2006).
- [40] D. L. Donoho, Compressed sensing, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 52, 1289 (2006).
- [41] M. Rani, S. B. Dhok, and R. B. Deshmukh, A systematic review of compressive sensing: Concepts, implementations and applications, IEEE Access 6, 4875 (2018).
- [42] H. J. Metcalf and P. van der Straten, Laser Cooling and Trapping (Springer, New York, 1999).
- [43] N. P. Robins, P. A. Altin, J. E. Debs, and J. D. Close, Atom lasers: Production, properties and prospects for precision inertial measurement, Phys. Rep. 529, 265 (2013).
- [44] P. L. Kapitza and P. A. M. Dirac, The reflection of electrons from standing light waves, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 29, 297 (1933).
- [45] J. J. McClelland, R. E. Scholten, E. C. Palm, and R. J. Celotta, Laser-focused atomic deposition, Science 262, 877 (1993).
- [46] D. L. Freimund, K. Aflatooni, and H. Batelaan, Observation of the Kapitza-Dirac effect, Nature 413, 142 (2001).
- [47] J. P. Sethna, Statistical Mechanics: Entropy, Order Parameters and Complexity (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006).
- [48] Y. A. Kravtsov and Y. I. Orlov, Caustics, Catastrophes and Wave Fields (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2012).