

A CALCULUS FOR FINITE PARTS AND RESIDUES OF SOME DIVERGENT COMPLEX GEOMETRIC INTEGRALS

LUDVIG SVENSSON

ABSTRACT. We consider divergent integrals $\int_X \omega$ of certain forms ω on a reduced pure-dimensional complex space X . The forms ω are singular along a subvariety defined by the zero set of a holomorphic section s of some holomorphic vector bundle E . Equipping E with a smooth Hermitian metric allows us to define a finite part $\text{fp} \int_X \omega$ of the divergent integral as the action of a certain current extension of ω . We introduce a current calculus to compute finite parts for a special class of ω . Our main result is a formula that decomposes the finite part of such an ω into sums of products of explicit currents. Lastly, we show that, in principle, it is possible to reduce the computation of $\text{fp} \int_X \omega$ for a general ω to this class.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a reduced complex analytic space of pure dimension n and let $V \subset X$ be an analytic subvariety. Suppose that V is given by the vanishing locus of some holomorphic section $s: X \rightarrow E$ of some holomorphic vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$. Given a (smooth) Hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on E , let $\mathcal{A}_{s,\|\cdot\|}(X)$ be the space of smooth differential forms ω on $X \setminus V$ such that, for each compact subset $K \subseteq X$, there exists some integer N such that $\|s\|^{2N}\omega$ extends to a smooth form across $V \cap K$. In fact, we can let $N \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. Let $\mathcal{A}_s(X)$ be the union of all such $\mathcal{A}_{s,\|\cdot\|}(X)$. We call $\mathcal{A}_s(X)$ the space of *quasi-meromorphic* forms on X with singularities defined by the ideal (s) .

Any quasi-meromorphic form $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_s^{p,q}(X)$ defines a current on $X \setminus V$ of bidegree (p, q) in a natural way (since ω is a smooth form on $X \setminus V$). It is often desirable to extend ω as a current to all of X . One way to do this is to consider the family of forms $\lambda \mapsto \|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega$ parameterized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, given some choice of Hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on E . For $\Re \lambda$ sufficiently large, $\|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega$ extends to a locally integrable form across V and thus defines a current on all of X . Moreover, for any test form ξ , the integral

$$(1.1) \quad \langle \|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega, \xi \rangle = \int_X \|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega \wedge \xi,$$

which is known as an *Archimedean local zeta function*, see [5], is holomorphic as a function of λ for $\Re \lambda \gg 0$ and admits a meromorphic continuation to all of \mathbb{C} , with poles in a discrete subset of \mathbb{Q} . This classical result, originally due to Bernstein–Gel’fand and Atiyah, see [3] and [1], respectively, was used in, e.g., [11] to study the current $\|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega$ in a neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$. There is some $\kappa \leq n$ such that, in a

Date: February 26, 2025.

Key words and phrases. tbd.

neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$,

$$(1.2) \quad \langle \|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega, \xi \rangle = \sum_{j=-\kappa}^{\infty} \lambda^j \langle \mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega), \xi \rangle,$$

where $\mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega)$ are currents on X and $\mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega)$ is a current extension of ω across V .

When $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_s(X)$ is of top degree and either X is compact, or, more generally, $\overline{\text{supp}}\omega$ is compact, we can use $\mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega)$ to define a *finite part* $\text{fp} \int_X \omega$ of the divergent integral $\int_X \omega$ as

$$(1.3) \quad \text{fp} \int_X \omega = \langle \mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega), 1 \rangle.$$

Improper integrals of the type $\int_X \omega$ appear, for instance, in superstring perturbation theory. In that setting X is a moduli space of super Riemann surfaces with marked points, V is the boundary divisor of some suitable compactification of X and $\omega = \alpha \wedge \beta$, where α and β are meromorphic $(n, 0)$ -forms with polar set contained in V , see, e.g., [12].

The main result of this paper is a description of the currents $\mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega)$ for certain quasi-meromorphic forms ω which are built up by simpler elements. By simple element we mean the following: Assume that s is a holomorphic section of a Hermitian line bundle $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ and let

$$(1.4) \quad \omega = \bar{\partial} \log \|s\|^2 \wedge \partial \log \|s\|^2.$$

Then $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{s, \|\cdot\|}^{1,1}(X)$. We call ω an *elementary* quasi-meromorphic form associated to the pair $(s, \|\cdot\|)$. We obtain an explicit formula for $\mu_\ell^{\|s\|}(\omega)$, for each ℓ , see Lemma 3.1 below. In particular, it turns out that $\mu_\ell^{\|s\|}(\omega) = 0$ for each $\ell < -1$, and

$$(1.5) \quad \mu_{-1}^{\|s\|}(\omega) = 2\pi i [\text{div}(s)],$$

where $[\text{div}(s)]$ is the Lelong current associated to the divisor $\text{div}(s)$.¹

By a quasi-meromorphic form ω built up by simpler elements, we mean

$$(1.6) \quad \omega = \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_\kappa,$$

where ω_j is an elementary quasi-meromorphic form associated to a holomorphic section $s_j: X \rightarrow L_j$ of a holomorphic line bundle $L_j \rightarrow X$ equipped with a smooth Hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, \kappa$. Letting $s = s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_\kappa$, we have that $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{s, \|\cdot\|}^{\kappa, \kappa}(X)$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the smooth Hermitian metric on $L = L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_\kappa$ satisfying $\|s\|^2 = \|s_1\|_1^2 \cdots \|s_\kappa\|_\kappa^2$. Under the assumption that s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_k} is a locally complete intersection for each $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq \kappa$, we give a natural meaning to products of the form

$$(1.7) \quad \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|_\kappa}(\omega_\kappa),$$

where $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_\kappa) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}^\kappa$, see Definition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 below. These products turn out to be commutative and associative, and in the special case when $\text{div}(s)$ is a normal crossings divisor they are, in fact, locally just sums of tensor products of currents (up to multiplication by smooth forms). Our main result says that the

¹If X is not normal, then $\text{div}(s) = p_* \text{div}(p^*s)$, where $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the normalization.

currents $\mu_\ell^{\|s\|}(\omega)$, associated to $\omega = \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_\kappa$, are sums of products of the form (1.7).

To formulate the main result in a precise way, we use the notion of a *current-valued meromorphic function*. A current-valued meromorphic function is a mapping $\lambda \mapsto \mu(\lambda)$ defined on some domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, such that for any test form ξ , $\langle \mu(\lambda), \xi \rangle$ is a meromorphic function on Ω . For more details, see Section 2 below. In this terminology (1.2) says that

$$(1.8) \quad \|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega = \sum_{j=-\kappa}^{\infty} \lambda^j \mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega),$$

as current-valued meromorphic functions in a neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$.

Now we are ready to formulate the main result of this article.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s_j: X \rightarrow L_j$ be a holomorphic section of a holomorphic line bundle $L_j \rightarrow X$ for $j = 1, \dots, \kappa$, where $1 \leq \kappa \leq n$, such that $s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_\ell}$ is a locally complete intersection for each $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_\ell \leq \kappa$. Let $\|\cdot\|_j$ be a smooth Hermitian metric on L_j and let $\|\cdot\|$ be the smooth Hermitian metric on $L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_\kappa$ satisfying $\|s\|^2 = \|s_1\|_1^2 \cdots \|s_\kappa\|_\kappa^2$, where $s = s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_\kappa$. We have the following equality of current-valued meromorphic functions,*

$$(1.9) \quad \sum_{\ell=-\kappa}^{\infty} \lambda^\ell \mu_\ell^{\|s\|}(\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_\kappa) = \left(\sum_{\ell_1=-1}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell_1} \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \right) \wedge \cdots \wedge \left(\sum_{\ell_\kappa=-1}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell_\kappa} \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|_\kappa}(\omega_\kappa) \right),$$

in a neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$, where $\omega_j = \bar{\partial} \log \|s_j\|_j^2 \wedge \partial \log \|s_j\|_j^2$ for $j = 1, \dots, \kappa$.

For instance, Theorem 1.1, together with (1.5), gives the following decomposition formula for the coefficient $\mu_{-\kappa}^{\|s\|}(\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_\kappa)$ of the leading term of the left-hand side of (1.9),

$$(1.10) \quad \mu_{-\kappa}^{\|s\|}(\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_\kappa) = (2\pi i)^\kappa [\operatorname{div}(s_1)] \wedge \cdots \wedge [\operatorname{div}(s_\kappa)].$$

The product of Lelong currents associated to a locally complete intersection of divisors in the right-hand side of (1.10) is standard in complex geometry, and can be obtained by any reasonable regularization of the factors if X is a complex manifold. The resulting current is the Lelong current associated to the proper intersection $\operatorname{div}(s_1) \cdots \operatorname{div}(s_\kappa)$.

Theorem 1.1 allows us, at least in principle, to reduce the calculation of the finite part for any $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_s(X)$ to calculating products (1.7) where ω_j are elementary quasi-meromorphic forms. To see this, first note that by Hironaka's theorem, we can always find a modification $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that \tilde{X} is smooth and π^*s defines a normal crossings divisor with smooth irreducible components. Moreover, it turns out that $\pi^*\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi^*s}^{n,n}(\tilde{X})$ and $\mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega) = \pi_* \mu_0^{\|\pi^*s\|}(\pi^*\omega)$. Thus, cf. (1.3),

$$(1.11) \quad \operatorname{fp} \int_X \omega = \langle \mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega), 1 \rangle = \langle \pi_* \mu_0^{\|\pi^*s\|}(\pi^*\omega), 1 \rangle = \langle \mu_0^{\|\pi^*s\|}(\pi^*\omega), \pi^*1 \rangle = \operatorname{fp} \int_{\tilde{X}} \pi^*\omega.$$

Consequently, for the purposes of computing finite parts, we can assume that X is a smooth manifold and $s: L \rightarrow X$ defines a normal crossings divisor D . The second observation is that $\operatorname{fp} \int_X \omega$ depends only on the de Rham class of the current $\mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega)$.

Therefore, we can look for representatives of $[\mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega)]_{\text{dR}}$ that facilitate computation of the finite part.

The third observation is that for any $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_s^{n,n}(X)$ there are $\omega_\ell^{\text{tame}} \in \mathcal{A}_s^{n,n}(X)$, for $\ell = 0, \dots, n$, such that

$$(1.12) \quad [\mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega)]_{\text{dR}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^n (-1)^\ell [\mu_{-\ell}^{\|s\|}(\omega_\ell^{\text{tame}})]_{\text{dR}},$$

see Lemma 5.1 and the paragraph following it. Here $\omega_\ell^{\text{tame}}$ has *tame singularities* along D , which means that locally there are holomorphic coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ such that

$$\omega_\ell^{\text{tame}} = \frac{\tilde{\omega}}{|z_1 \cdots z_\kappa|^2},$$

for some $0 \leq \kappa \leq n$, where $\tilde{\omega}$ is a smooth top form.

The fourth observation, see Proposition 5.2 below, is that any quasi-meromorphic top form ω^{tame} with tame singularities along D is of the form

$$(1.13) \quad \omega^{\text{tame}} = \sum_{|J|=0}^{\min\{n,q\}} \tilde{\omega}_J \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \partial \log \|s_j\|_j^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|s_j\|_j^2,$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_J$ are smooth forms and s_j are holomorphic sections of Hermitian line bundles $(L, \|\cdot\|_j)$ such that $\text{div}(s_j)$, for $j = 1, \dots, q$, are the irreducible components of D .

Finally, Theorem 1.1 reduces the computation of $\mu_\ell^{\|s\|}(\omega^{\text{tame}})$ to calculating products (1.7) where ω_j are elementary quasi-meromorphic forms.

In Section 5 we prove (1.12) and (1.13) and fully detail an algorithm for computing finite parts based on these formulas. In Section 6 we apply the algorithm to compute finite parts of a certain quasi-meromorphic top form on \mathbb{P}^n with tame singularities along the normal crossings divisor defined by $Z_0 \cdots Z_n: \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+1)$, for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. The form in question is given by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{\|Z_0 \cdots Z_n\|^2} \omega_{\text{FS}}^n,$$

where ω_{FS} is the Fubini–Study form, and $\|\cdot\|$ is the Fubini–Study metric on $\mathcal{O}(n+1)$ which we also use for the regularization of $\int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \omega$. In coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ on the standard affine piece of \mathbb{P}^n , we have that

$$\omega = \frac{i^n}{2^n} \frac{dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{|z_1 \cdots z_n|^2}.$$

We find that

$$\text{fp} \int_{\mathbb{P}^2} \omega = -9\pi^2 \zeta(2) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{fp} \int_{\mathbb{P}^3} \omega = 80\pi^3 \zeta(3).$$

Moreover, we provide an alternative ad-hoc formula for $\text{fp} \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \omega$, see (6.9) below, which holds for general n , and use it to compute the corresponding finite part for $n = 4$ and $n = 5$. We obtain the following:

$$\text{fp} \int_{\mathbb{P}^4} \omega = -150\pi^4 \zeta(4) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{fp} \int_{\mathbb{P}^5} \omega = 252\pi^5 (37\zeta(5) - 25\zeta(2)\zeta(3)).$$

We note that the resulting finite parts are all \mathbb{Q} -linear combinations of (multiple) zeta-values, multiplied by a factor of π^n .

Let us conclude this introduction by pointing out some intriguing connections to Deligne's and Beilinson's conjectures concerning the relations between periods and L -functions. Recall that motivic L -functions are a vast number-theoretic generalization of the Riemann zeta function. According to conjectures of Deligne and Beilinson, all central values of motivic L -functions can be expressed as periods of algebraic forms, defined over a number field, see, e.g., [13] and [8, Section 3]. It seems therefore natural to ask whether principal values or finite parts of divergent periods, in special situations, may also be expressed in terms of special values of L -functions, e.g., (multiple) zeta values, when using a canonical or algebraic metric in the regularization procedure. In this direction, we provide the aforementioned examples, which also serve to demonstrate the calculus developed in this paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Current-valued meromorphic functions. Let X be a reduced analytic space of pure dimension n . Recall that a current-valued holomorphic function is a mapping $\mu: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X)$, defined on some domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, such that, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, $\langle \mu(\lambda), \xi \rangle$ is a holomorphic function in Ω . Here $\mathcal{D}(X)$ denotes the space of test forms on X and $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ the space of currents. The derivative $d\mu/d\lambda$ of a current-valued holomorphic function is the current-valued holomorphic function defined by

$$\left\langle \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mu(\lambda), \xi \right\rangle = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \langle \mu(\lambda), \xi \rangle,$$

for any test form $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$. The fact that $\frac{d}{d\lambda} \mu(\lambda)$ is a current for each λ follows by standard arguments. The ability to take derivatives of current-valued holomorphic functions implies, in particular, that in a neighborhood of any point $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$, we can identify a given current-valued holomorphic function with its Taylor series,

$$\mu(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^j}{j!} \frac{d^j \mu}{d\lambda^j}(\lambda_0).$$

A *current-valued meromorphic function* is a mapping $\lambda \mapsto \mu(\lambda)$ defined on some domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ that is a current-valued holomorphic function on the complement of a countable number of isolated points in Ω , and such that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, $\langle \mu(\lambda), \xi \rangle$ is a meromorphic function on Ω . It follows by standard arguments that in a neighborhood of any point $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$, we can identify a current-valued meromorphic function $\mu(\lambda)$ with its *Laurent series*,

$$\mu(\lambda) = \sum_{j=-\kappa}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j \mu_j.$$

Here μ_j is the current such that $\langle \mu_j, \xi \rangle$ is the j^{th} coefficient of the Laurent series of $\langle \mu(\lambda), \xi \rangle$ about λ_0 , i.e.,

$$(2.1) \quad \langle \mu_j, \xi \rangle = \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\lambda_0} \left\{ \lambda^{-(j+1)} \langle \mu(\lambda), \xi \rangle \right\} = \frac{1}{(j + \kappa)!} \left\langle \frac{d^{j+\kappa}}{d\lambda^{j+\kappa}} (\lambda^\kappa \mu(\lambda)), \xi \right\rangle \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0},$$

for $j \geq -\kappa$.

2.2. Properties of $\mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega)$. Here we collect some properties of the currents $\mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega)$ associated to a quasi-meromorphic form $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\|\cdot\|}(X)$ on a reduced analytic space X of pure dimension n . As in the introduction, here $s: X \rightarrow E$ is a holomorphic section of a holomorphic vector bundle equipped with a Hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$. See [11] for proofs.

For any j , $\mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega)$ is linear on smooth forms, that is, if α and β are smooth forms then we have that

$$(2.2) \quad \mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega \wedge (\alpha + \beta)) = \mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega) \wedge \alpha + \mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega) \wedge \beta.$$

Furthermore, for $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\|\cdot\|}(X)$, we have that

$$(2.3) \quad \mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = \mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega_1) + \mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega_2).$$

If $\eta \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\|\cdot\|}(X)$, then $d\eta, \frac{d\|s\|^2}{\|s\|^2} \wedge \eta \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\|\cdot\|}(X)$. Moreover, for each j we have that

$$(2.4) \quad d\mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\eta) = \mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(d\eta) + \mu_{j-1}^{\|\cdot\|s\|}\left(\frac{d\|s\|^2}{\|s\|^2} \wedge \eta\right).$$

3. ELEMENTARY QUASI-MEROMORPHIC FORMS

Let X be a reduced analytic space of pure dimension n , and suppose that $s: X \rightarrow L$ is a holomorphic section of a Hermitian line bundle. As mentioned above, to a quasi-meromorphic form $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\|\cdot\|}^{p,q}(X)$ we associate the current-valued meromorphic function $\lambda \mapsto \|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega$ on \mathbb{C} , given by

$$\langle \|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega, \xi \rangle = \int_X \|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega \wedge \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}(X).$$

In a neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$, we identify $\|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega$ with its Laurent series, see (1.8). Recall that $\mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega)$ is the j^{th} coefficient of the Laurent series expansion of $\|s\|^{2\lambda}\omega$ about $\lambda = 0$. We have the following lemma describing $\mu_j^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega)$ when ω is an elementary quasi-meromorphic form.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $s: X \rightarrow L$ be a holomorphic section of a holomorphic line bundle L equipped with a smooth Hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$, and let $\omega = \bar{\partial} \log \|s\|^2 \wedge \partial \log \|s\|^2$. For each $\ell \geq -1$, the current-valued map*

$$(3.1) \quad L_\ell(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \bar{\partial} \left((\log \|s\|^2)^{\ell+1} \|s\|^{2\lambda} \right) \wedge \partial \log \|s\|^2,$$

a priori defined and holomorphic for $\Re \lambda \gg 0$, has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of $\Re \lambda \geq 0$. Moreover,

$$(3.2) \quad \mu_\ell^{\|\cdot\|s\|}(\omega) = L_\ell(0) = \frac{1}{(\ell+2)!} \bar{\partial} \partial (\log \|s\|^2)^{\ell+2} + \frac{2\pi i}{(\ell+1)!} (\log \|s\|^2)^{\ell+1} c_1(L, \|\cdot\|),$$

where $c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is the Chern curvature of $(L, \|\cdot\|)$.

Proof. For $\Re \lambda \gg 0$, $L_\ell(\lambda)$ is clearly holomorphic and we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad L_\ell(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \bar{\partial} \left((\log \|s\|^2)^{\ell+1} \|s\|^{2\lambda} \right) \wedge \partial \log \|s\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \frac{d^{\ell+1}}{d\lambda^{\ell+1}} \left(\bar{\partial} \|s\|^{2\lambda} \wedge \partial \log \|s\|^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \frac{d^{\ell+1}}{d\lambda^{\ell+1}} \left(\bar{\partial} \left(\|s\|^{2\lambda} \partial \log \|s\|^2 \right) - \|s\|^{2\lambda} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \|s\|^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \frac{d^{\ell+1}}{d\lambda^{\ell+1}} \left(\bar{\partial} \left(\|s\|^{2\lambda} \partial \log \|s\|^2 \right) + 2\pi i \|s\|^{2\lambda} c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows by the Poincaré–Lelong formula,

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{\partial} \partial \log \|s\|^2 = 2\pi i [\operatorname{div}(s)] - 2\pi i c_1(L, \|\cdot\|),$$

and the fact that $\|s\|^{2\lambda} [\operatorname{div}(s)] = 0$ for $\Re \lambda > 0$. It is clear that $\|s\|^{2\lambda} c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\Re \lambda \geq 0$. The same is true for $\|s\|^{2\lambda} \partial \log \|s\|^2$ since $\partial \log \|s\|^2$ is locally integrable. It follows that $L_\ell(\lambda)$ extends, as a current-valued holomorphic function, to a neighborhood of $\Re \lambda \geq 0$. Note also that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_\ell(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \frac{d^{\ell+1}}{d\lambda^{\ell+1}} \left(\bar{\partial} \|s\|^{2\lambda} \wedge \partial \log \|s\|^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \frac{d^{\ell+1}}{d\lambda^{\ell+1}} \left(\lambda \|s\|^{2\lambda} \bar{\partial} \log \|s\|^2 \wedge \partial \log \|s\|^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \frac{d^{\ell+1}}{d\lambda^{\ell+1}} \left(\lambda \|s\|^{2\lambda} \omega \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|s\|^{2\lambda} \omega$ extends to a current-valued meromorphic function in a neighborhood of $\Re \lambda \geq 0$ with a pole at $\lambda = 0$ of order ≤ 1 . By setting $\lambda = 0$, it follows in view of (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad L_\ell(0) &= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \frac{d^{\ell+1}}{d\lambda^{\ell+1}} \left(\lambda \|s\|^{2\lambda} \omega \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0} \\
&= \mu_\ell^{\|s\|}(\omega).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show the second equality in (3.2). A first observation is that $(\log \|s\|^2)^\ell$ and $(\log \|s\|^2)^\ell \partial \log \|s\|^2$ are L_{loc}^1 for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\|s\|^{2\lambda} (\log \|s\|^2)^\ell \partial \log \|s\|^2$ and $\|s\|^{2\lambda} (\log \|s\|^2)^\ell c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)$ are holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\Re \lambda \geq 0$, with

$$\|s\|^{2\lambda} (\log \|s\|^2)^\ell \partial \log \|s\|^2 \Big|_{\lambda=0} = (\log \|s\|^2)^\ell \partial \log \|s\|^2$$

and

$$\|s\|^{2\lambda} (\log \|s\|^2)^\ell c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) \Big|_{\lambda=0} = (\log \|s\|^2)^\ell c_1(L, \|\cdot\|).$$

By the last equality in (3.3), we therefore have that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_\ell(0) &= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \frac{d^{\ell+1}}{d\lambda^{\ell+1}} \left(\bar{\partial} \left(\|s\|^{2\lambda} \partial \log \|s\|^2 \right) + 2\pi i \|s\|^{2\lambda} c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0} \\
&= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \left(\bar{\partial} \left((\log \|s\|^2)^{\ell+1} \|s\|^{2\lambda} \partial \log \|s\|^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\pi i (\log \|s\|^2)^{\ell+1} \|s\|^{2\lambda} c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0} \\
&= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \left(\bar{\partial} \left((\log \|s\|^2)^{\ell+1} \partial \log \|s\|^2 \right) + 2\pi i (\log \|s\|^2)^{\ell+1} c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\ell+2)!} \bar{\partial} \partial (\log \|s\|^2)^{\ell+2} + \frac{2\pi i}{(\ell+1)!} (\log \|s\|^2)^{\ell+1} c_1(L, \|\cdot\|).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the last equality in (3.2) follows and the proof is complete. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we define the product of currents (1.7) associated to locally complete intersections, and prove that it is commutative and associative. This is followed by a proof of our main result, Theorem 1.1.

Let X be a reduced analytic space of pure dimension n . Let $s_j: X \rightarrow L_j$ be a holomorphic section of a Hermitian line bundle $(L_j, \|\cdot\|_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, \kappa$, where $1 \leq \kappa \leq n$, such that $s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_\ell}$ is a locally complete intersection for each $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_\ell \leq \kappa$. Let $\omega_j = \partial \log \|s_j\|_j^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|s_j\|_j^2$.

Definition 4.1. For each $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq \kappa$ and $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}^k$, we define the product $\bigwedge_{i=1}^k \mu_{\ell_i}^{\|s_{j_i}\|_{j_i}}(\omega_{j_i}) = \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_{j_1}\|_{j_1}}(\omega_{j_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \mu_{\ell_k}^{\|s_{j_k}\|_{j_k}}(\omega_{j_k})$ recursively by

$$(4.1) \quad \bigwedge_{i=1}^k \mu_{\ell_i}^{\|s_{j_i}\|_{j_i}}(\omega_{j_i}) := L_{\ell_1}^{j_1}(\lambda) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=2}^k \mu_{\ell_i}^{\|s_{j_i}\|_{j_i}}(\omega_{j_i}) \Big|_{\lambda=0},$$

where $L_{\ell_1}^{j_1}$ is given by (3.1), with s replaced by s_{j_1} .

This definition makes sense due to the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *The map $\lambda \mapsto L_{\ell_1}^{j_1}(\lambda) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=2}^k \mu_{\ell_i}^{\|s_{j_i}\|_{j_i}}(\omega_{j_i})$ appearing on the right-hand side of (4.1) is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$.*

We also have the following.

Proposition 4.3. *The product $\bigwedge_{i=1}^k \mu_{\ell_i}^{\|s_{j_i}\|_{j_i}}(\omega_{j_i})$ is commutative and associative.*

Proof of Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3. For any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-\kappa, n-\kappa}(X)$, consider the function

$$(4.2) \quad g: \boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\kappa) \mapsto \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_\kappa \int_X \|s_1\|_1^{2\lambda_1} \cdots \|s_\kappa\|_\kappa^{2\lambda_\kappa} \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_\kappa \wedge \xi.$$

We claim that g is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^\kappa : \Re \lambda_j \geq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, \kappa\}$. Clearly g is defined and holomorphic if $\Re \lambda_j \gg 0$, for each $1 \leq j \leq \kappa$.

Moreover, for such λ_j we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_\kappa \int_X \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|s_j\|_j^{2\lambda_j} \bar{\partial} \log \|s_j\|_j^2 \wedge \partial \log \|s_j\|_j^2 \right) \wedge \xi \\
 (4.3) \quad &= \int_X \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{\kappa} \bar{\partial} \|s_j\|_j^{2\lambda_j} \wedge \partial \log \|s_j\|_j^2 \right) \wedge \xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

By a partition of unity argument, we may suppose that ξ has compact support in some chart U . Furthermore, we may assume that there is some $0 \leq k \leq \kappa$ such that, after a possible relabeling, $\|s_j\|_j^2 > 0$ in U for each $k+1 \leq j \leq \kappa$, and such that, for each $j = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\|s_j\|_j^2 = |f_j|^2 v_j,$$

in U , where v_j is a smooth strictly positive function and f_j is a holomorphic function in U . Thus,

$$\theta(\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_\kappa) := \bigwedge_{j=k+1}^{\kappa} \bar{\partial} \|s_j\|_j^{2\lambda_j} \wedge \partial \log \|s_j\|_j^2$$

is a smooth $(\kappa - k - 1, \kappa - k - 1)$ -form on U for each $(\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_\kappa) \in \mathbb{C}^{\kappa-k-1}$, and we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \int_X \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^k \bar{\partial} (|f_j|^{2\lambda_j} v_j^{\lambda_j}) \wedge \left(\frac{df_j}{f_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{v_j} \right) \right) \wedge \theta \wedge \xi \\
 (4.4) \quad &= \int_X \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^k \left[\frac{\bar{\partial} |f_j|^{2\lambda_j}}{f_j} v_j^{\lambda_j} + \frac{|f_j|^{2\lambda_j}}{f_j} \bar{\partial} v_j^{\lambda_j} \right] \wedge \left(df_j + f_j \frac{\partial v_j}{v_j} \right) \right) \wedge \theta \wedge \xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(4.5) \quad g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sum_{I, J} \int_X \frac{\bar{\partial} |f_{I_1}|^{2\lambda_{I_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\partial} |f_{I_p}|^{2\lambda_{I_p}} |f_{J_1}|^{2\lambda_{J_1}} \cdots |f_{J_q}|^{2\lambda_{J_q}}}{f_1 \cdots f_k} \wedge \varphi_{I, J}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}),$$

where the sum runs over all partitions $\{I, J\}$ of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ into two subsets, where we allow both I and J to be empty separately, and where, for each $\{I, J\}$,

$$\varphi_{I, J}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \pm \left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} v_i^{\lambda_i} \left(df_i + f_i \frac{\partial v_i}{v_i} \right) \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{j \in J} \bar{\partial} v_j^{\lambda_j} \wedge \left(df_j + f_j \frac{\partial v_j}{v_j} \right) \right) \wedge \theta \wedge \xi.$$

Notice that $\varphi_{I, J}$ is a smooth $(n, n - |I|)$ -form with compact support in U for each $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^\kappa$, and holomorphic in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

In [10] it is shown that for a holomorphic mapping $h = (h_1, \dots, h_{p+q}): X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{p+q}$ defining a complete intersection, a positive integer N and any test form $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{n, n-p}(X)$, the map

$$(4.6) \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mapsto \int_X \frac{\bar{\partial} |h_1|^{2\lambda_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\partial} |h_p|^{2\lambda_p} |h_{p+1}|^{2\lambda_{p+1}} \cdots |h_{p+q}|^{2\lambda_{p+q}}}{h_1^N \cdots h_{p+q}^N} \wedge \varphi$$

is analytic in a neighborhood of the half-space $\{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{p+q} : \Re \lambda_j \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq p+q\}$. Since the mapping $(f_1, \dots, f_k): U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ by assumption defines a complete intersection, our claim follows in view of (4.5).

Now, cf. (4.3) and the first equality in (3.3), for any $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_\kappa) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}^\kappa$,

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{\partial^{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_\kappa + \kappa}}{\partial \lambda_1^{\ell_1 + 1} \dots \partial \lambda_\kappa^{\ell_\kappa + 1}} g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \int_X \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{\kappa} \bar{\partial} \left((\log \|s_j\|_j^2)^{\ell_j + 1} \|s_j\|_j^{2\lambda_j} \right) \wedge \partial \log \|s_j\|_j^2 \right) \wedge \xi \\ = (\ell_1 + 1)! \cdots (\ell_\kappa + 1)! \langle L_{\ell_1}^1(\lambda_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa), \xi \rangle.$$

It follows that the right-hand side is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the half space $\{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^\kappa : \Re \lambda_j \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq \kappa\}$.

We can unravel the definition of $\mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|_\kappa}(\omega_\kappa)$ as follows: By first setting $\lambda_\kappa = 0$ in $L_{\ell_1}^1(\lambda_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa)$, keeping $\Re \lambda_j \gg 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \kappa - 1$, we obtain $L_{\ell_1}^1(\lambda_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge L_{\ell_{\kappa-1}}^{\kappa-1}(\lambda_{\kappa-1}) \wedge \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|_\kappa}(\omega_\kappa)$, in view of (3.2). Repeating the process for $\lambda_{\kappa-1}$, and so on, in view of (3.2) and (4.7), we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \langle \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|_\kappa}(\omega_\kappa), \xi \rangle = \frac{1}{(\ell_1 + 1)! \cdots (\ell_\kappa + 1)!} \frac{\partial^{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_\kappa + \kappa} g}{\partial \lambda_1^{\ell_1 + 1} \dots \partial \lambda_\kappa^{\ell_\kappa + 1}}(0, \dots, 0).$$

Lemma 4.2 thus follows by the analyticity of $g(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Moreover, since the right-hand side of (4.8) is independent of the order in which we set λ_j to 0, for $j = 1, \dots, \kappa$, Proposition 4.3 follows. \square

The above proof shows that $L_{\ell_1}^1(\lambda_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^\kappa : \Re \lambda_j \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq \kappa\}$. This may be of independent interest and we formulate it as a proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *For any $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_\kappa) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}^\kappa$, the product*

$$L_{\ell_1}^1(\lambda_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa),$$

where $L_{\ell_j}^j(\lambda_j)$ is given by (3.1) for each $j = 1, \dots, \kappa$, is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the half space $\{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^\kappa : \Re \lambda_j \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq \kappa\}$. In particular,

$$L_{\ell_1}^1(\lambda_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa) \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}=0} = \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|_\kappa}(\omega_\kappa).$$

Now we are ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall from the proof of Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 that $g(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, defined in (4.2), is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^\kappa : \Re \lambda_j \geq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, \kappa\}$. Let $\text{diag}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\kappa$ be the diagonal embedding. Then, in view of (4.2),

$$(4.9) \quad g \circ \text{diag}(\lambda) = \lambda^\kappa \int_X (\|s_1\|_1 \cdots \|s_\kappa\|_\kappa)^{2\lambda} \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_\kappa \wedge \xi,$$

which is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\Re \lambda \geq 0$ in \mathbb{C}_λ . Let

$$(4.10) \quad \Xi(\lambda) = \frac{g \circ \text{diag}(\lambda)}{\lambda^\kappa},$$

which is then a meromorphic function in a neighborhood of $\Re \lambda \geq 0$ with a pole of order at most κ at $\lambda = 0$. It is clear that, for $\Re \lambda > 0$, $\Xi(\lambda) = \langle \|s\|^{2\lambda} \omega, \xi \rangle$, where $\omega = \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_\kappa$ and $\|s\|^2 = \|s_1\|_1^2 \cdots \|s_\kappa\|_\kappa^2$. Since $\langle \|s\|^{2\lambda} \omega, \xi \rangle$ extends to a

meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}_λ so too does $\Xi(\lambda)$. Furthermore, for $\ell \geq -\kappa$, we have by (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu_\ell^{\|s\|}(\omega), \xi \rangle &= \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=0} \left\{ \lambda^{-(\ell+1)} \Xi(\lambda) \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=0} \left\{ \lambda^{-(\ell+\kappa+1)} g \circ \operatorname{diag}(\lambda) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\ell + \kappa)!} \frac{d^{\ell+\kappa}(g \circ \operatorname{diag})}{d\lambda^{\ell+\kappa}}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Since g is holomorphic in each λ_j in a neighborhood of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\kappa) = 0$, it follows by the chain rule that

$$\langle \mu_\ell^{\|s\|}(\omega), \xi \rangle = \frac{1}{(\ell + \kappa)!} \sum_{\substack{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_\kappa \geq -1 \\ \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_\kappa = \ell}} \frac{(\ell + \kappa)!}{(\ell_1 + 1)! \cdots (\ell_\kappa + 1)!} \frac{\partial^{\ell+\kappa} g}{\partial \lambda_1^{\ell_1+1} \cdots \partial \lambda_\kappa^{\ell_\kappa+1}}(0, \dots, 0).$$

In view of (4.8), we see that

$$(4.11) \quad \langle \mu_\ell^{\|s\|}(\omega), \xi \rangle = \sum_{\substack{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_\kappa \geq -1 \\ \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_\kappa = \ell}} \langle \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|}(\omega_\kappa), \xi \rangle.$$

By expanding the right-hand side of (1.9), using the commutativity of the current product and collecting terms by order in λ , we see that (4.11) precisely gives us the desired equality, completing the proof. \square

If $s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_\kappa$ defines a normal crossings divisor, then the product of currents (1.7) is locally essentially just a tensor product. To see this, first off, for any point $p \in X$, for any $1 \leq j \leq \kappa$ we can find holomorphic coordinates z and a multi-index m_j such that $\|s_j\|^2 = |z^{m_j}|^2 v_j$ locally near p , where v_j is a smooth positive function. By (3.2), for any $\ell_j \geq -1$, we have that

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_{\ell_j}^{\|s_j\|}(\omega_j) &= \frac{1}{(\ell_j + 2)!} \bar{\partial} \partial (\log |z^{m_j}|^2 + \log v_j)^{\ell_j+2} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(\ell_j + 1)!} (\log |z^{m_j}|^2 + \log v)^{\ell_j+1} \bar{\partial} \partial \log v_j. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the locally complete intersection assumption the multi-indices m_j are disjoint. In view of (4.12), it is then straight-forward to check that the product of $\mu_{\ell_j}^{\|s_j\|}(\omega_j)$ has a natural definition locally, as a sum of tensor products of currents multiplied by smooth forms. We will write

$$\mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|}(\omega_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|}(\omega_\kappa)$$

for this product which, a priori, only makes sense with respect to a choice of local coordinates. However, the following proposition says that this product coincides with Definition 4.1.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose that $s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_\ell}$ is a locally complete intersection for each $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_\ell \leq \kappa$ and that $s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_\kappa$ defines a normal crossings divisor. Then, for each $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_\kappa) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}^\kappa$,*

$$(4.13) \quad \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|}(\omega_\kappa) = \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|}(\omega_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|}(\omega_\kappa).$$

Proof. We prove (4.13) by induction over κ . The case $\kappa = 1$ is obvious. Now, suppose that

$$\mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{\ell_{\kappa-1}}^{\|s_{\kappa-1}\|_{\kappa-1}}(\omega_{\kappa-1}) = \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{\ell_{\kappa-1}}^{\|s_{\kappa-1}\|_{\kappa-1}}(\omega_{\kappa-1})$$

holds. Recall that by Proposition 4.4, $L_{\ell_1}^1(\lambda_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the half-space $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\kappa : \Re \lambda_j \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq \kappa\}$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} L_{\ell_1}^1(\lambda_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa) = \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|_\kappa}(\omega_\kappa),$$

where the limit can be taken in any order. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|_\kappa}(\omega_\kappa) &= L_{\ell_1}^1(\lambda_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa) \Big|_{\lambda=0} \\ &= L_{\ell_1}^1(0) \wedge \cdots \wedge L_{\ell_{\kappa-1}}^{\kappa-1}(0) \wedge L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa) \Big|_{\lambda_\kappa=0} \\ &= \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{\ell_{\kappa-1}}^{\|s_{\kappa-1}\|_{\kappa-1}}(\omega_{\kappa-1}) \wedge L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa) \Big|_{\lambda_\kappa=0}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1,

$$L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa) = \frac{1}{(\ell_\kappa + 1)!} \bar{\partial} \left((\log \|s_\kappa\|_\kappa^2)^{\ell_\kappa+1} \|s_\kappa\|_\kappa^{2\lambda_\kappa} \right) \wedge \partial \log \|s_\kappa\|_\kappa^2 \xrightarrow[\lambda_\kappa \rightarrow 0]{} \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|_\kappa}(\omega_\kappa).$$

Again, since $\mu_{\ell_j}^{\|s_j\|_j}(\omega_j)$ are locally of the form (4.12) where m_j , for $j = 1, \dots, \kappa$, are disjoint multi-indices, it follows that

$$\mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{\ell_{\kappa-1}}^{\|s_{\kappa-1}\|_{\kappa-1}}(\omega_{\kappa-1}) \wedge L_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa(\lambda_\kappa) \Big|_{\lambda_\kappa=0} = \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|s_1\|_1}(\omega_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{\ell_\kappa}^{\|s_\kappa\|_\kappa}(\omega_\kappa),$$

completing the proof. \square

5. FINITE PART ALGORITHM

Recall from the introduction, cf. (1.11), that calculating the finite part of any quasi-meromorphic form on a reduced analytic space can be reduced to a calculation of the finite part of a quasi-meromorphic form ω , on a manifold, with singularities along a normal crossings divisor. Here we detail the outline presented in the introduction of the calculation of the finite part of such an ω . The proofs are collected in Section 5.2.

5.1. Finite part algorithm for a quasi-meromorphic form singular along a normal crossings divisor. Let X be a complex n -dimensional manifold and $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{s, \|\cdot\|}^{n, n}(X)$, where s is a holomorphic section of a Hermitian line bundle $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ over X and $D = \text{div}(s)$ is a normal crossings divisor.

Lemma 5.1. *There are quasi-meromorphic forms ω^{tame} and γ such that*

$$(5.1) \quad \omega = \omega^{\text{tame}} + d\gamma,$$

where ω^{tame} has tame singularities along D .

Recall that tame singularities means that locally $\omega^{\text{tame}} = \tilde{\omega}/|z_1 \dots z_\kappa|^2$, where $\tilde{\omega}$ is smooth. Lemma 5.1 has implications for the finite part $\text{fp} \int_X \omega$. Let $\omega = \omega_0^{\text{tame}} + d\gamma_0$ be a decomposition of ω as in Lemma 5.1. For any $j \geq -n$, by (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega) &= \mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega_0^{\text{tame}} + d\gamma_0) \\ &= \mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega_0^{\text{tame}}) + \mu_j^{\|s\|}(d\gamma_0) \\ &= \mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega_0^{\text{tame}}) + d\mu_j^{\|s\|}(\gamma_0) - \mu_{j-1}^{\|s\|} \left(\frac{d\|s\|^2}{\|s\|^2} \wedge \gamma_0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5.1 again, this time to the quasi-meromorphic top form $\frac{d\|s\|^2}{\|s\|^2} \wedge \gamma_0$, we get $\frac{d\|s\|^2}{\|s\|^2} \wedge \gamma_0 = \omega_1^{\text{tame}} + d\gamma_1$, where γ_1 is quasi-meromorphic and where ω_1^{tame} has tame singularities. Again, by (2.2) and (2.4), we have that

$$\mu_{j-1}^{\|s\|} \left(\frac{d\|s\|^2}{\|s\|^2} \wedge \gamma_0 \right) = \mu_{j-1}^{\|s\|}(\omega_1^{\text{tame}}) + d\mu_{j-1}^{\|s\|}(\gamma_1) - \mu_{j-2}^{\|s\|} \left(\frac{d\|s\|^2}{\|s\|^2} \wedge \gamma_1 \right).$$

Thus,

$$\mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega) = \mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega_0^{\text{tame}}) - \mu_{j-1}^{\|s\|}(\omega_1^{\text{tame}}) + d(\mu_j^{\|s\|}(\gamma_0) - \mu_{j-1}^{\|s\|}(\gamma_1)) + \mu_{j-2}^{\|s\|} \left(\frac{d\|s\|^2}{\|s\|^2} \wedge \gamma_1 \right).$$

Continuing iteratively, noting that $\mu_{-n-1}^{\|s\|}(\omega') = 0$ for any quasi-meromorphic form ω' , we find quasi-meromorphic forms $\omega_\ell^{\text{tame}}$ and γ_ℓ , for $\ell = 2, \dots, n+j$, where $\omega_\ell^{\text{tame}}$ has tame singularities such that

$$\mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n+j} (-1)^\ell \mu_{j-\ell}^{\|s\|}(\omega_\ell^{\text{tame}}) + d \left(\mu_j^{\|s\|}(\gamma_0) - \mu_{j-1}^{\|s\|}(\gamma_1) + \dots + (-1)^{n+j} \mu_{-n}^{\|s\|}(\gamma_{n+j}) \right).$$

For $j = 0$ we obtain formula (1.12) by passing to cohomology. Thus, by (1.12),

$$(5.2) \quad \text{fp} \int_X \omega = \langle \mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega), 1 \rangle = \sum_{\ell=0}^n (-1)^\ell \langle \mu_{-\ell}^{\|s\|}(\omega_\ell^{\text{tame}}), 1 \rangle.$$

For a general quasi-meromorphic top form ω^{tame} with tame singularities along a normal crossings divisor, the following result shows that ω^{tame} is composed of elementary quasi-meromorphic forms.

Proposition 5.2. *Let ω^{tame} be a quasi-meromorphic top form with tame singularities along D . Then there are holomorphic sections s_j of Hermitian line bundles $(L_j, |\cdot|_j)$, for $j = 1, \dots, q$, such that s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_k} is a locally complete intersection for each $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq q$, where $k \leq \min\{n, q\}$, and*

$$(5.3) \quad \omega^{\text{tame}} = \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, q\} \\ 0 \leq |J| \leq \min\{n, q\}}} \tilde{\omega}_J \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \partial \log |s_j|_j^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log |s_j|_j^2,$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_J$ is a smooth $(n - |J|, n - |J|)$ -form on X .

In view of (2.2) and (2.3) we get

$$(5.4) \quad \mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega^{\text{tame}}) = \sum_{|J|=0}^{\min\{n, q\}} \tilde{\omega}_J \wedge \mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega_J),$$

where $\omega_J = \bigwedge_{j \in J} \partial \log |s_j|_j^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log |s_j|_j^2$.

Theorem 1.1 is not applicable directly here. One can assume that the s_j in Proposition 5.2 are such that $s = s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_q$ and $L = L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_q$. In fact, the proof of Proposition 5.2 gives sections s_j of L_j such that $\text{div}(s_j)$ are the irreducible components of D . For suitable $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ we then have that $s = s_1^{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes s_q^{k_q}$, possibly up to an invertible holomorphic function, and $L = L_1^{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_q^{k_q}$. With the induced metric on $L_j^{k_j}$ we have $\bar{\partial} \log |s_j^{k_j}|_j^2 \wedge \partial \log |s_j^{k_j}|_j^2 = k_j^2 \bar{\partial} \log |s_j|_j^2 \wedge \partial \log |s_j|_j^2$. We can thus replace s_j by $s_j^{k_j}$ in (5.3) if $\tilde{\omega}_J$ is replaced by $\tilde{\omega}_J / \prod_{j \in J} k_j^2$.

Now, since $L = L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_q$, the metrics on L_j induce a metric $|\cdot|$ on L . Then, Theorem 1.1 in [11] states that

$$(5.5) \quad \mu_j^{\|s\|}(\omega_J) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n+j} \frac{1}{\ell!} \left(\log \frac{\|s\|^2}{|s|^2} \right)^\ell \mu_{j-\ell}^{|s|}(\omega_J),$$

relating the currents defined with respect to the two different metrics. Theorem 1.1 is still not directly applicable unless $J = \{1, \dots, q\}$. First we apply the following variation of Theorem 1.1 in [11]:

Proposition 5.3. *For any tuple $J = (J_1, \dots, J_k)$ with $1 \leq J_1 < \cdots < J_k \leq q$, let $s_J = s_{J_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes s_{J_k} : X \rightarrow \bigotimes_{j \in J} L_j =: L_J$. Let $|\cdot|_J$ be the smooth Hermitian metric on L_J defined by $|s_J|_J^2 = |s_{J_1}|_{J_1}^2 \cdots |s_{J_k}|_{J_k}^2$. We have that*

$$(5.6) \quad \mu_\ell^{|s|}(\omega_J) = \sum_{\ell'=0}^{k+\ell} \frac{1}{\ell'!} \left(\log \frac{|s|^2}{|s_J|_J^2} \right)^{\ell'} \mu_{\ell-\ell'}^{|s_J|_J}(\omega_J),$$

for each ℓ .

The proposed products on the right-hand side of (5.6) are of currents, and thus not a priori defined. However, these products can be defined in analogy with Definition 4.1, see (5.12) below.

Theorem 1.1 is now applicable to the currents $\mu_j^{|s_J|_J}(\omega_J)$ and gives

$$(5.7) \quad \mu_j^{|s_J|_J}(\omega_J) = \sum_{\substack{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{|J|} \geq -1 \\ \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{|J|} = j}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{|J|} \mu_{\ell_k}^{|s_{J_k}|_{J_k}} (\partial \log |s_{J_k}|_{J_k}^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log |s_{J_k}|_{J_k}^2).$$

To summarize, $\text{fp} \int_X \omega$ can now in principle be calculated in view of (5.2), (5.4)–(5.5), (5.6), (5.7) and the explicit formula (3.2) for $\mu_\ell^{|s_j|_j}(\omega_j)$. To write the full formula, assume for simplicity that $\|\cdot\|$ is equal to the metric $|\cdot|$ induced by the metrics $|\cdot|_j$ on L_j for $j = 1, \dots, q$. Then

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_\ell^{|s|}(\omega) &= \sum_{|J|=0}^{\min\{n, q\}} \tilde{\omega}_J \wedge \sum_{\ell'=0}^{|J|+\ell} \frac{1}{\ell'!} \left(\log \frac{|s|^2}{|s_J|_J^2} \right)^{\ell'} \mu_{\ell-\ell'}^{|s_J|_J}(\omega_J) \\ &= \sum_{|J|=0}^{\min\{n, q\}} \tilde{\omega}_J \wedge \sum_{\ell'=0}^{|J|+\ell} \frac{1}{\ell'!} \left(\log \frac{|s|^2}{|s_J|_J^2} \right)^{\ell'} \sum_{\substack{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{|J|} \geq -1 \\ \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{|J|} = \ell - \ell'}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{|J|} \mu_{\ell_k}^{|s_{J_k}|_{J_k}}(\omega_{J_k}). \end{aligned}$$

5.2. Proofs of Lemma 5.1, Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 5.3.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. We can find an open covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ of X such that, in each chart U_α , there are holomorphic coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, and an integer κ such that $V = \{z_1 \cdots z_\kappa = 0\}$ (suppressing the dependence on the chart U_α in the notation). Let $\{\rho_\alpha\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_\alpha\}$, and write $\omega = \sum_\alpha \rho_\alpha \omega$. Since ω is quasi-meromorphic, $\rho_\alpha \omega$ is quasi-meromorphic and there are positive integers m_1, \dots, m_κ (again these depend on the chart U_α) such that

$$\rho_\alpha \omega = \frac{\psi dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{|z_1|^{2m_1} \cdots |z_\kappa|^{2m_\kappa}},$$

where ψ is a smooth function with compact support in U_α . Assuming $m_1 > 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\alpha \omega &= \frac{dz_1}{z_1^{m_1}} \wedge \frac{\psi d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{\bar{z}_1^{m_1} |z_2|^{2m_2} \cdots |z_\kappa|^{2m_\kappa}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{m_1 - 1} d\left(\frac{1}{z_1^{m_1 - 1}}\right) \wedge \frac{\psi d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{\bar{z}_1^{m_1} |z_2|^{2m_2} \cdots |z_\kappa|^{2m_\kappa}} \\ &= d\left(\frac{-1}{m_1 - 1} \frac{\psi d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{z_1^{m_1 - 1} \bar{z}_1^{m_1} |z_2|^{2m_2} \cdots |z_\kappa|^{2m_\kappa}}\right) + \frac{1}{m_1 - 1} \frac{d\psi \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{z_1^{m_1 - 1} \bar{z}_1^{m_1} |z_2|^{2m_2} \cdots |z_\kappa|^{2m_\kappa}}, \end{aligned}$$

outside V . Note that the expression in brackets above is quasi-meromorphic. Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{m_1 - 1} \frac{d\psi \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{z_1^{m_1 - 1} \bar{z}_1^{m_1} |z_2|^{2m_2} \cdots |z_\kappa|^{2m_\kappa}} = \frac{\tilde{\psi} dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{z_1^{m_1 - 1} \bar{z}_1^{m_1} |z_2|^{2m_2} \cdots |z_\kappa|^{2m_\kappa}},$$

where $\tilde{\psi} = (\partial\psi/\partial z_1)/(m_1 - 1)$ is smooth with compact support in U_α . It is clear that we can repeat the above process $m_1 - 2$ times, as well as, in the same way, $m_j - 1$ times in z_j for each $j = 2, \dots, \kappa$. Analogously, it can be repeated $m_j - 1$ times in \bar{z}_j for each $j = 1, \dots, \kappa$. Doing this, and collecting all the exact terms in $d\gamma_\alpha$, we find that

$$\rho_\alpha \omega = \frac{\psi_0 dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{|z_1 \cdots z_\kappa|^2} + d\gamma_\alpha,$$

where

$$\psi_0 = \frac{1}{(m_1 - 1)!^2 \cdots (m_\kappa - 1)!^2} \frac{\partial^{2\sum_{j=1}^\kappa m_j - 2\kappa} \psi}{\partial z_1^{m_1 - 1} \partial \bar{z}_1^{m_1 - 1} \cdots \partial z_\kappa^{m_\kappa - 1} \partial \bar{z}_\kappa^{m_\kappa - 1}}$$

is a smooth function with compact support in U_α and γ_α is quasi-meromorphic. Clearly

$$\omega_\alpha^{\text{tame}} := \frac{\psi_0 dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{|z_1 \cdots z_\kappa|^2}$$

has tame singularities along $V \cap U_\alpha$. Now, letting $\omega^{\text{tame}} := \sum_\alpha \omega_\alpha^{\text{tame}}$ and $\gamma := \sum_\alpha \gamma_\alpha$, (5.1) follows, finishing the proof. \square

The proof of Proposition 5.2 relies on the following local statement:

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose*

$$\omega = \frac{dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_\kappa \wedge d\bar{z}_\kappa}{|z_1 \cdots z_\kappa|^2} \wedge \eta,$$

where η is a smooth $(n - \kappa, n - \kappa)$ -form on \mathbb{C}^n . Let $e^{-\phi_1}, \dots, e^{-\phi_\kappa}$ be smooth positive functions on \mathbb{C}^n and let $|\cdot|_\ell := |\cdot| e^{-\phi_\ell/2}$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, \kappa$, where $|\cdot|$ is the standard absolute value on \mathbb{C} . Locally, in a neighborhood of $\{z_1 = \dots = z_\kappa = 0\}$, we can find a smooth $(n - \kappa, n - \kappa)$ -form $\tilde{\omega}$ such that

$$\omega = \bar{\partial} \log |z_1|_1^2 \wedge \partial \log |z_1|_1^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} \log |z_\kappa|_\kappa^2 \wedge \partial \log |z_\kappa|_\kappa^2 \wedge \tilde{\omega}.$$

Proof. Let $\Theta = \bigwedge_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} \partial \log |z_\ell|_\ell^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log |z_\ell|_\ell^2$. Expanding

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &= \bigwedge_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} \partial \log (|z_\ell|^2 e^{-\phi_\ell}) \wedge \bar{\partial} \log (|z_\ell|^2 e^{-\phi_\ell}) \\ &= \bigwedge_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} \left(\frac{dz_\ell}{z_\ell} - \partial \phi_\ell \right) \wedge \left(\frac{d\bar{z}_\ell}{\bar{z}_\ell} - \bar{\partial} \phi_\ell \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|z_1 \cdots z_\kappa|^2} \bigwedge_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} \left(dz_\ell \wedge d\bar{z}_\ell - z_\ell \partial \phi_\ell \wedge d\bar{z}_\ell - \bar{z}_\ell dz_\ell \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi_\ell + |z_\ell|^2 \partial \phi_\ell \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi_\ell \right) \\ (5.9) \quad &= \sum_{\substack{J, K \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |J|, |K| = \kappa}} \psi_{J, K} dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_K. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the coefficient ψ_{J_0, K_0} of the (κ, κ) -form $dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_\kappa \wedge d\bar{z}_\kappa$ in the above expression satisfies

$$|z_1 \cdots z_\kappa|^2 \psi_{J_0, K_0} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(|z_1|) + \dots + \mathcal{O}(|z_\kappa|).$$

Thus, we can find a neighborhood of $\{z_1 = \dots = z_\kappa = 0\}$ where $|z_1 \cdots z_\kappa|^2 \psi_{J_0, K_0}$ is non-zero. Now, let

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{\eta}{|z_1 \cdots z_\kappa|^2 \psi_{J_0, K_0}},$$

which is then a smooth form in this neighborhood. Notice that η contains all $dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ for $j = \kappa + 1, \dots, n$. Then we have that

$$\Theta \wedge \tilde{\omega} = \frac{dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_\kappa \wedge d\bar{z}_\kappa}{|z_1 \cdots z_\kappa|^2} \wedge \eta = \omega,$$

since the only term in (5.9) that contributes is $\psi_{J_0, K_0} dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_\kappa \wedge d\bar{z}_\kappa$. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.2. Let D_j , for $j = 1, \dots, q$, be the irreducible components of D and let s_j be holomorphic sections of L_j such that $\text{div}(s_j) = D_j$. Equip L_j with Hermitian metrics $|\cdot|_j$. Since D is a normal crossings divisor with smooth irreducible components, it follows that s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_k} is a locally complete intersection for each $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq q$ with $k \leq \min\{n, q\}$.

For each point $p \in \{s_1 \otimes \dots \otimes s_q = 0\}$, there is some number $k_p \leq \min\{n, q\}$ such that, after a possible relabeling of the sections s_j , $p \in \{s_1 = \dots = s_{k_p} = 0\} \cap \{s_{k_p+1}, \dots, s_q \neq 0\}$. Let U be an open neighborhood of p such that $U \cap \{s_j = 0\} = \emptyset$, for $j = k_p + 1, \dots, q$. Since ω has tame singularities, we can find holomorphic coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ in U such that

$$\omega = \frac{dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{k_p} \wedge d\bar{z}_{k_p}}{|z_1 \cdots z_{k_p}|^2} \wedge \eta,$$

in U , where η is a smooth $(n - k_p, n - k_p)$. Moreover, after a possible relabeling of the coordinate functions z_1, \dots, z_{k_p} , locally we have that $|s_\ell|_\ell^2 = |z_\ell|^2 e^{-\phi_\ell}$, where

$\phi_\ell \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ is a local weight for the metric $|\cdot|_\ell$ in U , for $\ell = 1, \dots, k_p$. Thus, by Lemma 5.4 we can find a smooth $(n - k_p, n - k_p)$ -form $\tilde{\omega}$ such that

$$\omega = \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{k_p} \partial \log |s_j|_j^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log |s_j|_j^2 \right) \wedge \tilde{\omega},$$

in some (possibly smaller) neighborhood $\tilde{U} \ni p$ contained in U . Now, covering X by open sets V as above and introducing a partition of unity subordinate to this cover, the result is immediate. \square

Now, suppose we are in the setting of Proposition 5.3, and consider the products on the right-hand side of (5.6). We claim that they indeed can be defined in analogy with Definition 4.1. The key observation is the following: The distribution-valued mapping $\lambda \mapsto |s_j|_j^{2\lambda}$, for $j = k + 1, \dots, q$, is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$ and has the Taylor expansion

$$(5.10) \quad |s_j|_j^{2\lambda} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^\ell \mu_\ell^{|s_j|_j} (1),$$

about the origin, where

$$\mu_\ell^{|s_j|_j} (1) = \frac{1}{\ell!} \frac{d^\ell}{d\lambda^\ell} (|s_j|_j^{2\lambda}) \Big|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{1}{\ell!} (\log |s_j|_j^2)^\ell,$$

c.f. (2.1).

Recall that $J = (J_1, \dots, J_k)$, where $1 \leq J_1 < \dots < J_k \leq q$, and $k \leq \min\{n, q\}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $J = \{1, \dots, k\}$. Let

$$(5.11) \quad \omega_j = \begin{cases} \bar{\partial} \log |s_j|_j^2 \wedge \partial \log |s_j|_j^2 & \text{if } j \leq k \\ 1 & \text{if } k + 1 \leq j \leq q. \end{cases}$$

Now we define the product

$$(5.12) \quad \mu_{\ell_1}^{|s_1|_1}(\omega_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mu_{\ell_q}^{|s_q|_q}(\omega_q)$$

precisely as in Definition 4.1 with respect to the natural regularization of ω_j for each j , that is,

$$(5.13) \quad L_\ell^j(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \bar{\partial} ((\log |s_j|_j^2)^{\ell+1} |s_j|_j^{2\lambda}) \wedge \partial \log |s_j|_j^2, & \text{for } \ell \geq -1 & \text{if } j \leq n, \\ \frac{1}{\ell!} |s_j|_j^{2\lambda} (\log |s_j|_j^2)^\ell, & \text{for } \ell \geq 0 & \text{if } k + 1 \leq j \leq q, \\ 0 & & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To see that (5.12) is well-defined, commutative and associative we must verify Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 in this more general setting. Since both Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 are local statements, it suffices to fix a point $p \in X$ and consider (5.12) in a small neighborhood $U \ni p$.

Note that by the assumption that s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_m} is a locally complete intersection for each $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq q$ ($m \leq \min\{n, q\}$), there is some $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, q\}$ such that $|I| \leq n$ with $s_j|_U \neq 0$ for each $j \notin I$. Thus, $\mu_{\ell_j}^{|s_j|_j}(\omega_j)$ is smooth in U for each $j \notin I$. Thus, we reduce to the case where $q \leq n$. The proofs of Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 can then be carried through in the same way with the obvious modifications.

Similarly, Theorem 1.1 holds in the slightly more general setting when ω_j is of the form (5.11), for $j = 1, \dots, q$. Indeed, Theorem 1.1 is too a local statement, and can be checked in a neighborhood of a point $p \in X$. Again, the assumption that s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_m} is a locally complete intersection for each $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq q$ ($m \leq \min\{n, q\}$) means that we can reduce to the case where $q \leq n$. Then the proof can be carried through in the same way with the obvious modifications. Note that, since $\mu_{-1}^{|s_j|j}(\omega_j) = 0$ for each $k+1 \leq j \leq q$, it immediately follows, in view of (1.9), that $\mu_\ell^{|s|}(\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_q) = 0$ for $\ell < -k$.

Proof of Proposition 5.3. In view of (5.11), $\omega_J = \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_q$. By Theorem 1.1 in the generalized setting,

$$(5.14) \quad \sum_{\ell=-k}^{\infty} \lambda^\ell \mu_\ell^{|s|}(\omega_J) = \sum_{\ell=-k}^{\infty} \lambda^\ell \mu_\ell^{|s|}(\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_q) \\ = \left(\sum_{\ell_1=-1}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell_1} \mu_{\ell_1}^{|s_1|1}(\omega_1) \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left(\sum_{\ell_q=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell_q} \mu_{\ell_q}^{|s_q|q}(\omega_q) \right).$$

By the standard version of Theorem 1.1 we also have that

$$(5.15) \quad \left(\sum_{\ell_1=-1}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell_1} \mu_{\ell_1}^{|s_1|1}(\omega_1) \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left(\sum_{\ell_k=-1}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell_k} \mu_{\ell_k}^{|s_k|k}(\omega_k) \right) = \sum_{j=-k}^{\infty} \lambda^j \mu_j^{|s_J|J}(\omega_J).$$

Moreover, in view of (5.10) and (5.11), we have that

$$\left(\sum_{\ell_{k+1}=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell_{k+1}} \mu_{\ell_{k+1}}^{|s_{k+1}|k+1}(\omega_{k+1}) \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left(\sum_{\ell_q=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell_q} \mu_{\ell_q}^{|s_q|q}(\omega_q) \right) = \left(|s_{k+1}|_{k+1}^2 \cdots |s_q|_q^2 \right)^\lambda.$$

Thus, by (5.14) and (5.15) we find that

$$(5.16) \quad \sum_{\ell=-k}^{\infty} \lambda^\ell \mu_\ell^{|s|}(\omega_J) = \left(|s_{k+1}|_{k+1}^2 \cdots |s_q|_q^2 \right)^\lambda \sum_{j=-k}^{\infty} \lambda^j \mu_j^{|s_J|J}(\omega_J).$$

Expanding $\left(|s_{k+1}|_{k+1}^2 \cdots |s_q|_q^2 \right)^\lambda$ in a Taylor series about $\lambda = 0$ we find that

$$\left(|s_{k+1}|_{k+1}^2 \cdots |s_q|_q^2 \right)^\lambda = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^\ell}{\ell!} \left(\log \left(|s_{k+1}|_{k+1}^2 \cdots |s_q|_q^2 \right) \right)^\ell \\ = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^\ell}{\ell!} \left(\log \frac{|s|^2}{|s_J|_J^2} \right)^\ell.$$

Thus, by (5.16)

$$\sum_{\ell=-k}^{\infty} \lambda^\ell \mu_\ell^{|s|}(\omega_J) = \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell'}}{\ell'!} \left(\log \frac{|s|^2}{|s_J|_J^2} \right)^{\ell'} \sum_{j=-k}^{\infty} \lambda^j \mu_j^{|s_J|J}(\omega_J) \\ = \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\ell'+j}}{\ell'!} \left(\log \frac{|s|^2}{|s_J|_J^2} \right)^{\ell'} \mu_j^{|s_J|J}(\omega_J).$$

Comparing coefficients in front of λ^ℓ , (5.6) follows by a straight-forward calculation, completing the proof. \square

6. EXAMPLES ON \mathbb{P}^n

First, some notation. Let $[Z_0 : \dots : Z_n]$ be homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^n , regarded as sections of $\mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be local holomorphic coordinates on the open chart $\{Z_0 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ defined by $z_j = Z_j/Z_0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let ω_{FS} be the Fubini–Study form on \mathbb{P}^n , given by

$$\omega_{\text{FS}} = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(1 + |z|^2),$$

in $\{Z_0 \neq 0\}$, where $|z|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the Fubini–Study metric on $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Then,

$$\|Z_j\|^2 = \frac{|Z_j|^2}{|Z|^2},$$

where $|Z|^2 = |Z_0|^2 + \dots + |Z_n|^2$. Let

$$\omega = \frac{i^n}{2^n} \frac{dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{|z_1 \dots z_n|^2}.$$

It is straight-forward to check that

$$(6.1) \quad \omega = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{\|Z_0\|^2 \dots \|Z_n\|^2} \omega_{\text{FS}}^{\wedge n},$$

from which it is clear that ω is a quasi-meromorphic top form on \mathbb{P}^n with singularities along the normal crossings divisor $s = Z_0 \dots Z_n: \mathcal{O}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. We will compute

$$\text{fp} \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \omega = \langle \mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega), 1 \rangle$$

explicitly when $n = 2$ and $n = 3$.

One observation that allows us to compute the following examples explicitly is the following formula.

Conjecture 6.1.

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{i^n}{2^n} \frac{dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{|z_1 \dots z_n|^2} = \sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{\ell+1}{(n-\ell)!} \omega_{\text{FS}}^{\wedge \ell} \wedge \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i}{2} \partial \log \|Z_k\|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_k\|^2 \right)^{\wedge (n-\ell)}.$$

The formula (6.2) has been checked to hold for $n \leq 4$, using the algebra software system Macaulay2, and we conjecture that it holds in general. We note that (6.2) is an explicit instance of (5.3). This becomes more clear by the observation

$$(6.3) \quad \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i}{2} \partial \log \|Z_k\|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_k\|^2 \right)^{\wedge (n-\ell)} = \sum_{0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{n-\ell} \leq n} (n-\ell)! \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n-\ell} \frac{i}{2} \partial \log \|Z_{j_k}\|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_{j_k}\|^2.$$

Thus, by (2.2) and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega) &= \mu_0^{\|s\|} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{\ell+1}{(n-\ell)!} \omega_{\text{FS}}^{\wedge \ell} \wedge \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i}{2} \partial \log \|Z_k\|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_k\|^2 \right)^{\wedge (n-\ell)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^n (\ell+1) \omega_{\text{FS}}^{\wedge \ell} \wedge \sum_{0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{n-\ell} \leq n} \mu_0^{\|s\|} \left(\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n-\ell} \frac{i}{2} \partial \log \|Z_{j_k}\|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_{j_k}\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By applying Proposition 5.3 and Theorem 1.1 we obtain

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_0^{\|\cdot\|^s}(\omega) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^n (\ell+1) \omega_{\text{FS}}^{\wedge \ell} \wedge \sum_{0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{n-\ell} \leq n} \sum_{\ell'=0}^{n-\ell} \frac{1}{\ell'} \left(\log \frac{\|Z_0 \cdots Z_n\|^2}{\|Z_{j_1} \cdots Z_{j_{n-\ell}}\|^2} \right)^{\ell'} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-\ell} \geq -1 \\ \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{n-\ell} = -\ell'}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n-\ell} \mu_{\ell_k}^{\|Z_{j_k}\|} \left(\frac{i}{2} \partial \log \|Z_{j_k}\|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_{j_k}\|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is an explicit instance of (5.8). Moreover, by (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\ell_k}^{\|Z_{j_k}\|} \left(\frac{i}{2} \partial \log \|Z_{j_k}\|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_{j_k}\|^2 \right) &= -\frac{1}{(\ell_k+2)!} \frac{i}{2} \bar{\partial} \partial (\log \|Z_{j_k}\|^2)^{\ell_k+2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi}{(\ell_k+1)!} (\log \|Z_{j_k}\|^2)^{\ell_k+1} c_1(\mathcal{O}_1, \|\cdot\|), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1), \|\cdot\|) = \omega_{\text{FS}}/\pi$.

Example 6.2 (\mathbb{P}^2). For $n=2$,

$$\omega = \frac{i^2}{2^2} \frac{dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2}{|z_1 z_2|^2},$$

and, by (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 2} \frac{i}{2} \partial \log \|Z_i\|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_i\|^2 \wedge \frac{i}{2} \partial \log \|Z_j\|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_j\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=0}^2 \frac{i}{2} \partial \log \|Z_i\|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_i\|^2 \wedge \omega_{\text{FS}} + 3\omega_{\text{FS}} \wedge \omega_{\text{FS}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\omega_j = \frac{i}{2} \partial \log \|Z_j\|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_j\|^2$. By (6.4),

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_0^{\|\cdot\|^s}(\omega) &= \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 2} \sum_{\ell=0}^2 \frac{1}{\ell!} \left(\log \frac{\|Z_0 Z_1 Z_2\|^2}{\|Z_i Z_j\|^2} \right)^{\ell} \sum_{\substack{\ell_1, \ell_2 \geq -1 \\ \ell_1 + \ell_2 = -\ell}} \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|Z_i\|}(\omega_i) \wedge \mu_{\ell_2}^{\|Z_j\|}(\omega_j) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{j=0}^2 \omega_{\text{FS}} \wedge \sum_{\ell=0}^1 \frac{1}{\ell!} \left(\log \frac{\|Z_0 Z_1 Z_2\|^2}{\|Z_j\|^2} \right)^{\ell} \mu_{-\ell}^{\|Z_j\|}(\omega_j) + 3\omega_{\text{FS}} \wedge \omega_{\text{FS}}. \end{aligned}$$

When computing $\langle \mu_0^{\|\cdot\|^s}(\omega), 1 \rangle$ some simplifications can be made. First, the resulting integrals can be computed in any chart $\{Z_j \neq 0\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$. Furthermore, we have that

$$\left\langle (\log \|Z_0\|^2)^{\ell} \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|Z_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_{\ell_2}^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \right\rangle$$

is independent under permutations of (Z_0, Z_1, Z_2) , and the same goes for the other terms on the right-hand side of (6.5). Thus, we obtain a simplified formula for the

finite part

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega), 1 \rangle &= 3 \sum_{\ell=0}^2 \sum_{\substack{\ell_1, \ell_2 \geq -1 \\ \ell_1 + \ell_2 = -\ell}} \frac{1}{\ell!} \langle (\log \|Z_0\|^2)^\ell \mu_{\ell_1}^{\|Z_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_{\ell_2}^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \rangle \\
&\quad + 6 \sum_{\ell=0}^1 \langle \omega_{\text{FS}} \wedge (\log \|Z_0 Z_1\|^2)^\ell \mu_{-\ell}^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \rangle + 3 \langle \omega_{\text{FS}} \wedge \omega_{\text{FS}}, 1 \rangle \\
&= 3 \langle \mu_0^{\|Z_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_0^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \rangle + 6 \langle \mu_{-1}^{\|Z_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_1^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \rangle \\
&\quad + 6 \langle \log \|Z_0\|^2 \mu_0^{\|Z_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_{-1}^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{2} \langle (\log \|Z_0\|^2)^2 \mu_{-1}^{\|Z_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_{-1}^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \rangle \\
&\quad + 6 \langle \omega_{\text{FS}} \wedge \mu_0^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \rangle + 6 \langle \omega_{\text{FS}} \wedge \log \|Z_0 Z_1\|^2 \mu_{-1}^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \rangle \\
&\quad + 3 \langle \omega_{\text{FS}} \wedge \omega_{\text{FS}}, 1 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that after using (3.2) to expand the $\mu_\ell^{\|Z_j\|}(\omega_j)$, some resulting terms in the expression for $\mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega)$ are exact and hence vanish acting on 1. This reduces the number of integrals one ultimately needs to compute. Computing the individual contributions to $\langle \mu_0^{\|s\|}(\omega), 1 \rangle$ is straight-forward, albeit tedious, to do by hand. As an example, consider the following term,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \log \|Z_0\|^2 \mu_0^{\|Z_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_{-1}^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \rangle &= -\frac{\pi i}{4} \int_{\mathbb{P}^2} \log \|Z_0\|^2 \bar{\partial} \partial (\log \|Z_1\|^2)^2 \wedge [Z_2 = 0] \\
&\quad + \pi \int_{\mathbb{P}^2} \log \|Z_0\|^2 \log \|Z_1\|^2 \omega_{\text{FS}} \wedge [Z_2 = 0] \\
&= \frac{\pi i}{4} \int_{\mathbb{P}^2} \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_0\|^2 \wedge \partial (\log \|Z_1\|^2)^2 \wedge [Z_2 = 0] \\
&\quad + \pi \int_{\mathbb{P}^2} \log \|Z_0\|^2 \log \|Z_1\|^2 \omega_{\text{FS}} \wedge [Z_2 = 0] \\
&= \frac{\pi i}{4} \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \bar{\partial} \log \|Z_0\|^2 \wedge \partial (\log \|Z_1\|^2)^2 \\
&\quad + \pi \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \log \|Z_0\|^2 \log \|Z_1\|^2 \omega_{\text{FS}}.
\end{aligned}$$

With local coordinate $z = Z_1/Z_0$ in the chart $\{Z_0 \neq 0\} \cap \{Z_2 = 0\} \subset \{Z_2 = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, we thus have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \log \|Z_0\|^2 \mu_0^{\|Z_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_{-1}^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \right\rangle &= \frac{\pi i}{4} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{\partial} \log \frac{1}{1+|z|^2} \wedge \partial \left(\log \frac{|z|^2}{1+|z|^2} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log \frac{1}{1+|z|^2} \log \frac{|z|^2}{1+|z|^2} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log \frac{|z|^2}{1+|z|^2} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log \frac{1}{1+|z|^2} \log \frac{|z|^2}{1+|z|^2} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, changing to polar coordinates (r, θ) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \log \|Z_0\|^2 \mu_0^{\|Z_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_{-1}^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \right\rangle &= 2\pi^2 \int_0^\infty \log \frac{r^2}{1+r^2} \frac{r dr}{(1+r^2)^2} \\ &\quad + 2\pi^2 \int_0^\infty \log \frac{1}{1+r^2} \log \frac{r^2}{1+r^2} \frac{r dr}{(1+r^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we change to a coordinate on the unit interval, via $x = r^2/(1+r^2)$, with $dx = 2r dr/(1+r^2)^2$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \log \|Z_0\|^2 \mu_0^{\|Z_1\|}(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_{-1}^{\|Z_2\|}(\omega_2), 1 \right\rangle &= \pi^2 \int_0^1 \log x (1 + \log(1-x)) dx \\ &= \pi^2 (1 - \zeta(2)). \end{aligned}$$

After adding up each contribution, we end up with the following finite part,

$$(6.6) \quad \text{fp} \left(\frac{i}{2} \right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{P}^2} \frac{dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2}{|z_1 z_2|^2} = -9\pi^2 \zeta(2).$$

Example 6.3 (\mathbb{P}^3). The $n = 3$ case is worked out analogously to $n = 2$ but there are many more integrals to compute. We ultimately obtain the following finite part,

$$(6.7) \quad \text{fp} \left(\frac{i}{2} \right)^3 \int_{\mathbb{P}^3} \frac{dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 \wedge dz_3 \wedge d\bar{z}_3}{|z_1 z_2 z_3|^2} = 80\pi^3 \zeta(3).$$

6.1. Alternative approach. There turns out to be another way to compute the finite part $\text{fp} \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \omega$ in this particular example, for a general n . The following is based on a similar computation found in the proof of [2, Proposition 6.3]. The key is to consider the integral

$$I(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} |Z_0 \cdots Z_n|^{2(\lambda-1)} e^{-\sum_{j=0}^n |Z_j|^2} dm,$$

for $\Re \lambda \gg 0$, where dm is the standard Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , that is $dm = \frac{i^{n+1}}{2^{n+1}} dZ_0 \wedge d\bar{Z}_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dZ_n \wedge d\bar{Z}_n$. Changing to spherical coordinates, and using the homogeneity of $Z_0 \cdots Z_n$, we find that

$$I(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} \left(\frac{|Z_0 \cdots Z_n|^2}{(\sum_{j=0}^n |Z_j|^2)^{n+1}} \right)^{\lambda-1} d\sigma \int_0^\infty r^{2(\lambda-1)(n+1)} e^{-r^2} r^{2(n+1)-1} dr,$$

where we note that $|Z_0 \cdots Z_n|^2 / (\sum_{j=0}^n |Z_j|^2)^{n+1}$ is independent of the radius r , and thus defines a function on the unit $(2n+1)$ -sphere \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . One then notes that for

any k -homogeneous polynomial $p = p(Z_0, \dots, Z_n)$ on \mathbb{P}^n , in particular $p = Z_0 \cdots Z_n$, we have that

$$(6.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} |p(Z_0/|Z|, \dots, Z_n/|Z|)|^{2\lambda} d\sigma = \frac{2\pi}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \|p\|^{2\lambda} \omega_{\text{FS}}^n,$$

where $d\sigma$ is the uniform volume form on the $(2n + 1)$ -sphere and where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Fubini–Study metric. Thus, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\lambda) &= \frac{2\pi}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \|s\|^{2(\lambda-1)} \omega_{\text{FS}}^n \int_0^\infty r^{2(\lambda-1)(n+1)} e^{-r^2} r^{2(n+1)-1} dr \\ &= 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \|s\|^{2\lambda} \omega \int_0^\infty r^{2(\lambda-1)(n+1)} e^{-r^2} r^{2(n+1)-1} dr, \end{aligned}$$

in view of (6.1), that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \|s\|^{2\lambda} \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} I(\lambda) \left(\int_0^\infty r^{2(\lambda-1)(n+1)} e^{-r^2} r^{2(n+1)-1} dr \right)^{-1}.$$

The last two observations are that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\lambda) &= \prod_{j=0}^n \frac{i}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |Z_j|^{2(\lambda-1)} e^{-|Z_j|^2} dZ_j \wedge d\bar{Z}_j \\ &= (2\pi)^{n+1} \left(\int_0^\infty r^{2(\lambda-1)+1} e^{-r^2} dr \right)^{n+1} \\ &= \pi^{n+1} \Gamma(\lambda)^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty r^{2(\lambda-1)(n+1)} e^{-r^2} r^{2(n+1)-1} dr = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma((n+1)\lambda),$$

where Γ denotes the Euler gamma function. Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \|s\|^{2\lambda} \omega = \pi^n \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)^{n+1}}{\Gamma((n+1)\lambda)},$$

where the right hand side defines a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} , with a pole of order n at 0. Then, in view of (2.1),

$$(6.9) \quad \text{fp} \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \omega = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} \left(\lambda^n \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \|s\|^{2\lambda} \omega \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{\pi^n}{n!} \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} \left(\frac{\lambda^n \Gamma(\lambda)^{n+1}}{\Gamma((n+1)\lambda)} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0}.$$

Indeed, this formula produces the same answer for $n = 2, 3$. Moreover, using Wolfram Mathematica we are able to compute the finite part also in the case $n = 4$ and $n = 5$ using this formula. We obtain the following:

$$(6.10) \quad \text{fp} \int_{\mathbb{P}^4} \omega = -150\pi^4 \zeta(4),$$

$$(6.11) \quad \text{fp} \int_{\mathbb{P}^5} \omega = 252\pi^5 (37\zeta(5) - 25\zeta(2)\zeta(3)).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] ATIYAH, M. Resolution of singularities and division of distributions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **23** (1970), 145–150.
- [2] BERMAN, R. J. Kähler–Einstein metrics and Archimedean zeta functions. *Proceedings of the 8th European Congress of Mathematics*. (2023), 199–251.
- [3] BERNŠTEĪN, I. N.; GEL’FAND, S. I. Meromorphy of the function P^λ . *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.* **3** (1969), no. 1, 84–85.
- [4] COLEFF, N. R. & HERRERA, M. E. Les courants résiduels associés à une forme méromorphe. *Lect. Notes in Math.* **633**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1978).
- [5] IGUSA, J. An introduction to the theory of local zeta functions. *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, vol. **14**, American Mathematical Society, *International Press, Cambridge, MA*, 2000.
- [6] KAZHDAN, D. & FELDER, G. Divergent integrals, residues of Dolbeault forms, and asymptotic Riemann mappings. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **19** (2017), 5897–5918.
- [7] KAZHDAN, D. & FELDER, G. Regularization of divergent integrals. *Sel. Math. (N.S.)* **24** (2018), no. 1, 157–186.
- [8] KONTSEVICH, M. & ZAGIER, D. Periods. *Mathematics Unlimited — 2001 and Beyond*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, (2001), 771–808.
- [9] DE RHAM, G. Differentiable manifolds: forms, currents, harmonic forms. *Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg*, 1984.
- [10] SAMUELSSON, H. Analytic continuation of residue currents. *Ark. Mat.* **47** (2009), 127–141.
- [11] SVENSSON, L. On finite parts of divergent complex geometric integrals and their dependence on a choice of Hermitian metric. *J. Geom. Anal.* **34**, 325 (2024).
- [12] WITTEN, E. Perturbative superstring theory revisited. [arXiv:1209.5461](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.5461) [hep-th].
- [13] ZAGIER, D. Values of zeta functions and their applications. *First European Congress of Mathematics Paris, July 6–10, 1992: Vol. II: Invited Lectures (Part 2) Birkhäuser Basel* (1994), 497–512.

LUDVIG SVENSSON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG AND CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SE-412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN
Email address: ludsven@chalmers.se