TYPE SEMIGROUPS FOR TWISTED GROUPOIDS AND A DICHOTOMY FOR GROUPOID C*-ALGEBRAS

BARTOSZ KOSMA KWAŚNIEWSKI, RALF MEYER, AND AKSHARA PRASAD

ABSTRACT. We develop a theory of type semigroups for arbitrary twisted, not necessarily Hausdorff étale groupoids. The type semigroup is a dynamical version of the Cuntz semigroup. We relate it to traces, ideals, pure infiniteness, and stable finiteness of the reduced and essential C*-algebras. If the reduced C*-algebra of a twisted groupoid is simple and the type semigroup satisfies a weak version of almost unperforation, then the C*-algebra is either stably finite or purely infinite. We apply our theory to Cartan inclusions. We calculate the type semigroup for the possibly non-Hausdorff groupoids associated to self-similar group actions on graphs and deduce a dichotomy for the resulting Exel–Pardo algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the course of a hundred years, the Banach–Tarski paradox [7] has had a huge impact on various fields of mathematics and inspired countless researchers. It led von Neumann to introduce amenable groups, which divided the world of groups into those that do not allow paradoxical actions, and those which do. The border between the two cases is whether a finitely additive measure exists. Similarly, von Neumann factors either admit a semifinite trace (are of Type I or II) or they are purely infinite (Type III), and then every projection p is equivalent to its doubling 2p. In fact, examples of Type III factors were constructed by von Neumann using paradoxical actions of nonamenable groups. An analogous dichotomy occurs in the classification of C*-algebras, namely, a classifiable simple C*-algebra is either stably finite or purely infinite. The classification programme has been a powerful driving force for operator algebraists in recent decades, and it is surprising how the spirit of Tarski's Theorem remains present in important parts of this theory.

Tarski [56] discovered that preordered abelian monoids are a good framework to study paradoxicality. Tarski considered monoids with the algebraic preorder, and he proved a fundamental monoid version of the Hahn–Banach Theorem: for any element $x \neq 0$ in a monoid (S, +) that is not paradoxical, there is a state $\nu: S \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ with $\nu(x) = 1$. Here $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ is paradoxical if $(n + 1)x \leq nx$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is applied in [56] to an action of a group G on a set Xby expanding the action to allow the addition of equidecomposability types and form a semigroup S(G, X) – the so called *type semigroup* (see [59]). Since in this construction decompositions into arbitrary subsets are allowed, S(G, X) has some useful properties. For instance, an element $x \neq 0$ is paradoxical in S(G, X) if and only if it is properly infinite, that is, 2x = x (see [59, Corollary 10.21]). We say that a monoid with this property has plain paradoxes. This condition is strictly weaker than almost unperforation, which is used in a number of sources (see [20, 23, 45, 52]).

More recent literature studies type semigroups in a C^{*}-algebraic context, where only decompositions into open subsets should be allowed to get elements in the

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L55, 20M18, 22A22.

The research of Bartosz Kwaśniewski was supported by the National Science Centre, Poland, through the WEAVE-UNISONO grant no. 2023/05/Y/ST1/00046.

2 BARTOSZ KOSMA KWAŚNIEWSKI, RALF MEYER, AND AKSHARA PRASAD

Cuntz semigroups of groupoid C*-algebras. This may destroy the property of having plain paradoxes. Nevertheless, these type semigroups have been useful. For instance, if X is a Cantor set with an action of a group G and \mathcal{O} is the family of all compact open subsets in X, then a type semigroup was used by Kerr–Nowak [28] and by Sierakowski–Rørdam [53] to study the properties of the dynamical system and the resulting crossed product $C_0(X) \rtimes G$. In particular, Tarski's Theorem was applied to characterise when $C_0(X) \rtimes G$ is stably finite [28] and purely infinite [53]. Independently, Bönicke–Li [14] and Rainone–Sims [47] generalised these results and the type semigroup to the setting of an ample, Hausdorff groupoid. This theory was further developed and applied, for instance, in [5, 6, 42, 46]. In the ample case, the type semigroup may be viewed as a dynamic analogue of the positive part of K-theory: it maps in a canonical way to the Murray-von Neumann monoid of equivalence classes of projections in the groupoid C*-algebra. In fact, a method of inducing maps on rings from states on their K_0 -group using an analogue of Tarski's Theorem has a long tradition (see [25]).

For a general C^* -algebra A, which may have very few projections, a good replacement for the Murray-von Neumann semigroup is the Cuntz semigroup W(A)constructed from positive elements. Cuntz [19] described traces on A through states on W(A), in order to "make available for C^{*}-algebras the results of Goodearl and Handelman [25]". The Cuntz semigroup turned out to be crucial in Elliott's programme of classification of nuclear separable simple C*-algebras (alias Elliott C^* -algebras). Toms [58] showed that the classification only works under an additional regularity assumption. According to the Toms-Winter conjecture, this should be one of the following conditions: finite nuclear dimension, \mathcal{Z} -stability or strict comparison. Nowadays, it is known that, for nuclear C*-algebras, finite nuclear dimension and \mathcal{Z} -stability are equivalent. Strict comparison is formulated in terms of states on the Cuntz semigroup W(A) in [20, 52]. It follows from a variation of Tarski's Theorem that it is equivalent to W(A) being almost unperforated. Rørdam [52] used this version of Tarski's Theorem to prove that every \mathcal{Z} -stable C*-algebra A has strict comparison, and almost unperforated W(A). Rørdam's variation of Tarski's Theorem reappears in a number of papers (see [50, Proposition 3.1], [52, Proposition 3.2], [45, Proposition 2.1]) where it is usually attributed to Goodearl-Handelman [25]. However, we believe it is much closer to Tarski's Theorem. In fact, it is equivalent to it. We give a full self-contained proof of these results for general preordered monoids in Appendix A because all previous accounts give rather sketchy proofs and impose extra conditions that we want to avoid. We also introduce a weaker property than almost unperforation, which is closely related to the dichotomy between pure infiniteness and stable finiteness. Rørdam's examples in [51, Theorem 6.10] show that this dichotomy may fail in general.

The theory of Cuntz semigroups suggests a definition of type semigroups for actions on arbitrary spaces, not necessarily totally disconnected. Ma [42] introduced a relevant semigroup generated by all open subsets in the unit space of a Hausdorff locally compact étale groupoid. In the present article, we improve upon his work and push this idea much further. We consider an arbitrary étale groupoid \mathcal{G} with a locally compact Hausdorff space X. So we allow \mathcal{G} to be non-Hausdorff. In our construction, our definition of the type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ depends on a choice of bisections \mathcal{B} that implement the "decompositions," which we call an *inverse semigroup basis* for \mathcal{G} in Definition 4.1. The flexibility of this choice is very useful. In the ample case, a natural choice for \mathcal{B} is the set of all compact open bisections; then $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is the ordered quotient of the type semigroup as defined in [14, 47]. In general, to relate $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ with functions on the groupoid, we assume that \mathcal{B} consists of σ -compact subsets. In the twisted case, we also seem to need that the twist becomes trivial on the subsets in \mathcal{B} . These assumptions allow to build a canonical homomorphism $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \to W(C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))$. Since the Cuntz semigroup is natural, we may replace $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ by any of its quotients, such as the reduced C*-algebra $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ or the essential C*-algebra $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Yet another natural assumption is that \mathcal{B} consists of precompact subsets, as some structural results hold only in this case. A crucial ingredient in the construction and analysis of $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is the *way-below relation* \ll , also called *compact containment*. In particular, if the groupoid is not ample, we need to consider *regular ideals* and *regular states* on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$, where regularity is defined using the auxiliary relation \ll . For instance, we prove the following (see Theorem 5.14):

Theorem A. There is a bijection between regular states on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ and lower semicontinuous traces on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ that are induced from $C_0(X)$ through the canonical generalised conditional expectation.

A proof in full generality needs a generalisation of *Riesz's Theorem* for dimension functions that are defined on a given basis of the topology of X. This is why we include a proof in Appendix B. We need to use the reduced C*-algebra here because it is not clear how to induce traces on the essential algebra $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Pure infiniteness and simplicity criteria, however, work for $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ rather than for $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ (see [36,37]). Hence we get best results when $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. This is automatic when \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff, but also holds in a number of non-Hausdorff cases. The following sample of results follows from Theorems 6.1 and 6.5, and Corollaries 5.23 and 6.8. All the more technical notation will be explained below.

Theorem B. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be a twisted étale groupoid and let \mathcal{B} be an inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G} that consists of precompact σ -compact bisections that trivialise the twist \mathcal{L} .

- (1) If $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is simple, it is stably finite if and only if $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ has a nontrivial state.
- (2) Suppose that \mathcal{G} is residually topologically free and $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is essentially exact. There is a natural bijection between regular ideals in the type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ and ideals in the essential C^{*}-algebra C^{*}_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}).
- (3) Suppose, in addition to the assumption of (2), that there are only finitely many regular ideals in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ or that these ideals can be separated by the compact open subsets in $\mathcal{B} \cap 2^X$. Then if $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is purely infinite, the C^* -algebra $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is purely infinite.
- (4) If $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is almost unperforated and $C_{r}^{*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is purely infinite, then $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is purely infinite.
- (5) Assume that \mathcal{G} is topologically free and that $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ has plain paradoxes. If $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is simple, then it is either purely infinite or stably finite.

To the best of our knowledge, type semigroups were not applied to twisted dynamics before. Allowing twists is important, as it allows to apply our theory to Renault's Cartan C^{*}-inclusions $A \subseteq B$. The class of C^{*}-algebras admitting Cartan subalgebras is vast, and it contains all classifiable C^{*}-algebras (see [39]). For any Cartan inclusion $A \subseteq B$, we construct an ordered monoid W(A, B) that can be used to study properties of B. In particular, applying (5) in Theorem B we get the following version of the dichotomy known to hold for simple \mathcal{Z} -stable C^{*}-algebras (see Corollary 6.13):

Corollary C. Let $A \subseteq B$ be a Cartan inclusion such that the associated semigroup W(A, B) has plain paradoxes. Assume that B is simple or, equivalently, that W(A, B) is simple. Then B is either properly infinite or stably finite.

For C^{*}-algebras with finite ideal structure, pure infiniteness implies that ideals are separated by projections, which is called the ideal property. In the presence of this property, pure infiniteness is equivalent to strong pure infiniteness, which is equivalent to \mathcal{O}_{∞} -stability under some extra conditions (see [30]). In general, it is not known whether strong pure infiniteness and pure infiniteness are equivalent. Our techniques to prove that C*-algebras with infinitely many ideals are purely infinite only work if we assume some projections to exist (see Theorem B.(3) or Theorem 6.1), and they imply the ideal property at the same time. For instance, we propose the following dichotomy for Kumjian's C*-diagonals as an analogue of Rørdam's dichotomy for separable nuclear C*-algebras [52] (see Corollary 6.14):

Corollary D. Let B be a nuclear C^* -algebra with a C^* -diagonal $A \subseteq B$ of real rank zero, such that W(A, B) is almost unperforated. Then B is either purely infinite or has a nontrivial lower semicontinuous trace.

An important class of examples of C*-algebras are those coming from selfsimilar groups by Nekrashevych [43], which were generalised by Exel–Pardo [21] to self-similar group actions. Their motivation was to cover a class of examples by Katsura [27], which gave models for all Kirchberg algebras. These C*-algebras are all groupoid C*-algebras, but the underlying groupoids may fail to be Hausdorff even in very classical examples such as the Grigorchuk group. For a self-similar action of a group Γ on a row-finite graph E with no sources, we calculate the relevant type semigroup $W(\Gamma, E)$ and we show that it is isomorphic to the type semigroup $W(E/\Gamma)$ of the quotient graph. This monoid has plain paradoxes when the graph E/Γ is cofinal. As a consequence, we get the following dichotomy for Exel–Pardo algebras (see Theorem 7.15):

Corollary E. Let (Γ, E) be a self-similar action of a discrete group Γ on a row-finite graph E with no sources. If the Exel–Pardo algebra $\mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma, E)}$ is simple, then it is either purely infinite or stably finite.

This generalises a theorem of Larki [38], who assumes that Γ is amenable and that the action is "pseudo-free"; the latter implies, in particular, that the underlying groupoid is Hausdorff. The use of type semigroups clarifies a key step in the proof in [38].

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the Rørdam–Tarski Theorem and relevant facts in the generality of preordered monoids. We also briefly recall generalities about the Cuntz semigroup. In Section 3 we gather and prove some basic facts concerning C^{*}-algebras associated to twisted étale (not necessarily Hausdorff) groupoids. Section 4 introduces the type semigroup. We first treat the case of a topological space, and then take the quotient by an equivalence relation of dynamical nature that depends on the choice of a family \mathcal{B} of bisections of the groupoid. We also define the type semigroup in an equivalent way using a stabilised groupoid, discuss its behavior under Morita equivalence, and relate it to the Cuntz semigroups of twisted groupoid C*-algebras. Section 5 is devoted to regular states and ideals in the type semigroup and their relationship with the groupoid itself and groupoid C*-algebras. In Section 6 we prove our main results on pure infiniteness and stable finiteness. We also define a type semigroup for a Cartan inclusion. Finally, in Section 7 we calculate the type semigroups for self-similar actions and apply our results to Exel–Pardo algebras. In Appendix A, we prove the Rørdam–Tarski Theorem, and in Appendix B we prove the Riesz Theorem for regular dimension functions.

2. Preordered Abelian monoids

Any abelian monoid carries an intrinsic algebraic preorder, and many other sources work in this setting (see, for instance, [5,6,14,46,47]). Our work, however,

needs more general partial orders. To clarify the relationships and differences, as well as to get a clear picture, we allow general preordered monoids where possible.

We denote by $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, ...\}$ the abelian monoid of natural numbers starting from zero. A preordered (abelian) monoid is an abelian semigroup S with a neutral element 0 and a preorder relation \leq on S such that $0 \leq x$ for every $x \in S$, and $x \leq y$ implies $x + z \leq y + z$ for all $x, y, z \in S$. When \leq is a partial order, we call S an ordered (abelian) monoid. A preordered monoid S is conical if $x \leq 0$ implies x = 0. Every abelian monoid S is a preordered monoid S is conical if $x \leq 0$ implies x = 0. Every abelian monoid S is a preordered monoid when equipped with the algebraic order: $x \leq y$ if x + z = y for some $z \in S$. Every preordered monoid S induces an ordered monoid $\widetilde{S} := S/\approx$, where $x \approx y$ if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$. Then [x] + [y] = [x + y], and $[x] \leq [y]$ if $x \leq y$. The map $S \ni x \mapsto [x] \in \widetilde{S}$ sends nonzero elements to nonzero ones if and only if S is conical.

An *ideal* in a preordered monoid S is a submonoid I such that $x \leq y \in I$ implies $x \in I$ (this is called an *order ideal* in [5]). The ideal generated by $y \in S$ is

$$\langle y \rangle := \{ x \in S : x \le n \cdot y \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

We call $y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ an order unit if $\langle y \rangle = S$ or, equivalently, if for every $x \in S$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x \leq ny$. The monoid S is simple if it has no nontrivial ideals or, equivalently, if every nonzero element is an order unit. Any simple preordered monoid is conical or satisfies $\tilde{S} = \{0\}$.

For an ideal I, the quotient preordered monoid is defined as $S/I := S/\sim$ where we declare $x \sim y$ if and only if x + a = y + b for some $a, b \in I$. Then [x] + [y] = [x + y], and $[x] \leq [y]$ if $x + a \leq y + b$ for some $a, b \in I$. Note that S/I is always conical. Any quotient of an algebraically preordered monoid by an ideal is algebraically ordered.

Definition 2.1. An element x in a preordered monoid S is *infinite* if it is nonzero and $x + y \le x$ for some $y \in S \setminus \{0\}$. Otherwise, x is *finite*. We call $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ properly infinite if $2x \le x$. We call S purely infinite if every $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ is properly infinite and $S \ne \{0\}$.

Remark 2.2. If S is conical, then an element x is (properly) infinite in S if and only if [x] is (properly) infinite in the ordered monoid \tilde{S} . In an ordered monoid, the inequalities $x + y \le x$ and $2x \le x$ are equalities.

The next lemma is analogous to the C*-algebraic result [29, Proposition 3.14].

Lemma 2.3. Let S be a preordered abelian monoid and $y \in S$. Then

$$I(y) := \{ z \in S : y + z \le y \}$$

is an ideal in S contained in $\langle y \rangle$. If $y \neq 0$ or if S is conical, then

- (1) y is infinite if and only if $I(y) \neq 0$;
- (2) y is properly infinite if and only if $I(y) = \langle y \rangle \neq 0$;
- (3) the image of y in S/I(y) is finite.

Proof. If $x \leq z \in I(y)$, then $y + x \leq y + z \leq y$ and so $x \in I(y)$. If $x, z \in I(y)$, then $x + z \in I(y)$ because $x + y + z \leq y + z \leq y$, and $x \in \langle y \rangle$ because $x \leq x + y \leq y$. Hence I(y) is an ideal contained in $\langle y \rangle$. (1) is obvious (if S is conical, then $I(y) \neq 0$ implies $y \neq 0$). (2) holds because a nonzero element y is properly infinite if and only if $y \in I(y)$, if and only if $I(y) = \langle y \rangle$. To see (3) assume that the image of y in S/I(y) is infinite. Then there are $x \in S \setminus I(y)$ and $z, z' \in I(y)$ such that $x + y + z \leq y + z'$. But then $x + y \leq x + y + z \leq y + z' \leq y$. So $x \in I(y)$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.4. In a simple preordered monoid, an element is either finite or properly infinite.

Proof. Let S be simple and let $y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ (the case y = 0 is trivial). Then $\langle y \rangle = S$. Either $I(y) = \{0\}$ or I(y) = S. In the first case, y is finite. In the second case, $\langle y \rangle = I(y) \neq \{0\}$, so that y is purely infinite by Lemma 2.3.(2).

Lemma 2.5. For any $y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ in a preordered monoid S the following are equivalent:

- (1) y is properly infinite;
- (2) for every ideal I in S with $y \notin I$, the image of y in S/I is infinite;
- (3) $\langle y \rangle = \{ x \in S : x \leq y \}.$

A preordered monoid S is simple and purely infinite if and only if $\widetilde{S} \subseteq \{0, \infty\}$.

Proof. If y is properly infinite, then its image in S/I is clearly properly infinite for every ideal I in S with $y \notin I$. Hence (1) implies (2). Conversely, if y is not properly infinite, then I(y) is a proper subideal of $\langle y \rangle$ by Lemma 2.3.(2). In particular, $y \notin I(y)$. The image of y is finite in S/I(y) by Lemma 2.3.(3). Thus (2) implies (1). Since $2y \in \langle y \rangle$, (3) implies (1). Conversely, if y is properly infinite, then $x + y \leq y$ for all $x \in \langle y \rangle$ by Lemma 2.3.(2). Since $x \leq x + y$, we conclude that $x \leq y$. Hence (1) implies (3).

If S is simple and purely infinite, then (3) implies $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ for all $x, y \in S \setminus \{0\}$. Thus all nonzero elements are equivalent, that is, $\tilde{S} \subseteq \{0, \infty\}$. Conversely, in the latter case \tilde{S} is purely infinite and then so is S.

Remark 2.6. By the above lemma, an ordered monoid S is simple purely infinite if and only if $S \cong \{0, \infty\}$, where ∞ is an idempotent. Preordered monoids that are purely infinite and simple may have a much more complex structure. For instance, the Murray–von-Neumann semigroup of any Kirchberg C*-algebra is a conical, algebraically ordered refinement monoid that is purely infinite and simple.

Definition 2.7. If $(n + 1)x \leq ny$ for some $n \geq 1$, we call x stably dominated by y and write $x <_s y$ (see [45, Definition 2.2]). An element $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ is paradoxical if $x <_s x$. The preordered monoid S is almost unperforated if $x <_s y$ implies $x \leq y$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Remark 2.8. Every properly infinite element is paradoxical. If $(n + 1)x \leq nx$, then $(n + k)x \leq nx$ for every $k \geq 1$. In particular, $x <_s x$ implies $2x <_s x$. Hence if S is almost unperforated, then being paradoxical is the same as being properly infinite. If $x <_s y$ then x is in the ideal $\langle y \rangle$ generated by y. Hence, in view of Lemma 2.5, if S is purely infinite it is almost unperforated.

Lemma 2.9. An element $x \in S$ in a conical preordered abelian monoid is paradoxical if and only if nx is properly infinite for some $n \ge 1$.

Proof. If nx is properly infinite, then $x \neq 0$ and $2nx \leq nx$. This implies $(n+1)x \leq nx$, so x is paradoxical. If x is paradoxical, then $nx + x \leq nx$ for some $n \geq 1$. Since $x \neq 0$ and S is conical, this implies $nx \neq 0$. As in Remark 2.8 we get $2nx \leq nx$. Hence nx is properly infinite.

Lemma 2.10. An element $y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ is paradoxical if and only if $\langle y \rangle = \{x \in S : x \leq_s y\}$.

Proof. The "if" part is clear. For the converse, let $y \in S$. The inclusion $\{x \in S : x <_s y\} \subseteq \langle y \rangle$ is trivial. To show the reverse inclusion, let $x \in \langle y \rangle$. Then $2x \in \langle y \rangle$ as well. So there are $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ with $(n+1)y \leq ny$ and $2x \leq my$. Then $ky \leq ny$ for all $k \geq n$ and, in particular, $nmy \leq ny$. So $(n+1)x \leq n2x \leq nmy \leq ny$. That is, $x <_s y$.

Definition 2.11. A state on an ordered abelian monoid S is an additive and order-preserving map $\nu: S \to [0, \infty]$ with $\nu(0) = 0$ or, equivalently, $\nu \neq \infty$. It is faithful if $\nu(S \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq (0, \infty]$ and it is finite if $\nu(S) \subseteq [0, \infty)$. It is trivial if it takes only the values 0 and ∞ , and nontrivial otherwise.

Remark 2.12. Let S be a preordered monoid. There is a bijection between trivial states on S and ideals in S, mapping a state ν to the ideal $\{t \in S : \nu(t) = 0\}$. Since $[0, \infty]$ is partially ordered, there is a bijection between states ν on a preordered monoid S and states $\tilde{\nu}$ on the associated ordered monoid \tilde{S} , where $\nu(x) = \tilde{\nu}([x])$ for $x \in S$. By Remark 2.12, this implies a natural bijection between ideals in S and \tilde{S} .

Remark 2.13. If S admits a faithful state, then S is conical. If S is conical, then the correspondence in Remark 2.12 restricts to a bijection between faithful states on S and faithful states on \tilde{S} .

Remark 2.14. Let S be a monoid with the algebraic preorder that admits a faithful finite state ν . Then $S = \widetilde{S}$ is an ordered monoid. Indeed, if $x, y \in S$ satisfy [x] = [y], then x+x' = y and x = y+y' for some $x', y' \in S$. Therefore, $\nu(x+y)+\nu(x')+\nu(y') = \nu(x+y)$, which implies x' = y' = 0 because ν is faithful and finite. Hence x = y.

Lemma 2.15. Every nontrivial state on a simple monoid is faithful and finite.

Proof. If S is simple then for any $x, y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ there are $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ with $x \leq ny$ and $y \leq mx$. Hence if ν is a state with $\nu(x) \in (0, \infty)$, then also $\nu(y) \in (0, \infty)$. \Box

Tarski's Theorem says, roughly speaking, that all elements that are not paradoxical may be seen by nontrivial states. Its original version for monoids with algebraic preorder was proven in [56] (see also [59, Theorem 9.1]). For ordered monoids, it follows from a formally stronger result appearing in a number of papers of Rørdam and coauthors (see [50, Proposition 3.1], [52, Proposition 3.2], [45, Proposition 2.1]). The proofs in [45, 50, 52] refer to [25, Lemma 4.1], where only ordered groups are considered and the paradoxicality is not present explicitly. For the sake of completeness, we include a self-contained proof of Rørdam's result in the full generality of preordered monoids in Appendix A. In addition, we deduce it from Tarski's Theorem (Theorem A.5). So these two theorems are essentially equivalent.

Theorem 2.16 (Rørdam–Tarski). Let S be a preordered abelian monoid and let $x, y \in S$. Then $x <_s y$ if and only if $x \in \langle y \rangle$ and $\nu(x) < \nu(y)$ for all states ν on S with $\nu(y) = 1$.

Remark 2.17. By Theorem 2.16, almost unperforming is equivalent to the strict comparison property: $x \leq y$ whenever x is in the ideal generated by y and $\nu(x) < \nu(y)$ for all states ν on S with $\nu(y) = 1$.

Corollary 2.18 (Tarski's Theorem). In any preordered abelian monoid S, an element $y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ is not paradoxical if and only if there is a state $\nu: S \to [0, \infty]$ with $\nu(y) = 1$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.16 to x = y.

We give a name to a consequence of almost unperforation that suffices for many of our results.

Definition 2.19. A preordered abelian monoid *S* has plain paradoxes if $(n+1)x \le nx$ implies $2x \le x$ for all $x \in S$, $n \ge 1$, that is, if every paradoxical element in *S* is properly infinite.

Remark 2.20. Let S be a preordered abelian monoid. It has plain paradoxes in the following cases:

- if S is almost unperforated by Remark 2.8
- if S is an algebraically ordered monoid with the refinement property and the strong Corona factorisation property by [44, Theorem 5.14];
- if S is a simple refinement monoid with the Corona factorisation property;
- if S is simple and every paradoxical element is infinite (see Lemma 2.5).

Remark 2.21. A preordered monoid S is almost unperforated if and only if its ordered quotient \tilde{S} is. The same holds with paradoxes being plain. In particular, if $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ and [x] = 0 in \tilde{S} , then $x \leq 0$ is properly infinite in S. For conical S, see Remark 2.2.

Corollary 2.22. Let S be a nonzero preordered abelian monoid. If S has plain paradoxes, then either S admits a nontrivial state or S is purely infinite. Conversely, if S is simple and either S admits a nontrivial (necessarily faithful finite) state or S is purely infinite, then S has plain paradoxes.

Proof. By Tarski's Theorem, if S has no nontrivial states, then all nonzero elements in S are paradoxical. Then S is purely infinite if and only if S has plain paradoxes. If S is simple and admits a nontrivial state, this state has to be faithful and finite, and then S does not have paradoxical elements by the easy direction in Tarski's Theorem. In particular, S has plain paradoxes. \Box

Remark 2.23. The smallest simple monoid that fails to have plain paradoxes is $S := \{0, 1, \infty\}$, where ∞ is an absorber, $1 + 1 = \infty$, and S is equipped with the natural linear order, which is also the algebraic order. The element 1 is paradoxical, but not properly infinite.

Definition 2.24 ([24]). Let (S, \leq) be a poset and $x, y \in S$. We write $x \ll y$ and say that x is way below y if, for any directed subset $D \subseteq S$ for which $\sup D$ exists and $\sup D \geq y$, there is $d \in D$ with $x \leq d$. We call S continuous if every $x \in S$ is the supremum of $\{y \in S : y \ll x\}$. (Continuity is called the "axiom of approximation" in [24, Definition I-1.6].)

Remark 2.25 (see [24, Proposition I-1.2]). If $x \ll y$, then $x \leq y$. If $w \leq x \ll y \leq z$, then $w \ll z$. In particular, the relation \ll is transitive. If $x, y \ll z$ and $x \lor y$ exists, then $x \lor y \ll z$. Therefore, if $x \lor y$ exists for all $x, y \in S$, then the set of $x \in S$ with $x \ll y$ is directed.

Definition 2.26. Let (S, \leq) be an ordered monoid. We call a state $\nu: S \to [0, \infty]$ lower semicontinuous if $\nu(x) := \sup \{\nu(y) : y \in S \text{ and } y \ll x\}$ for every $x \in S$. An ideal I in S is closed if I contains all $f \in S$ with $k \in I$ for every $k \ll f$.

Remark 2.27. The above definition works best, and is usually formulated for S continuous and *directed complete*, which means that every increasing net in S has a supremum in S. Then a state $\nu: S \to [0, \infty]$ is lower semicontinuous if it respects suprema of increasing nets, and an ideal I is closed if it is closed under suprema of increasing nets.

Let B be a C*-algebra. We recall the definitions of the (uncompleted) ordered Cuntz semigroup W(B) introduced in [19] and its completed version $\operatorname{Cu}(B)$ studied in [18] (see [23] for a modern account). For positive elements $a, b \in B^+$, we write $a \preceq b$ and call a Cuntz subequivalent to b if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $x \in B$ with $||a - x^*bx|| < \varepsilon$. By [30, Lemma 2.3.(iv)],

(2.28) $a \preceq b \iff \forall_{\varepsilon > 0} \exists_{z \in B} (a - \varepsilon)_+ = z^* z \text{ and } zz^* \in bBb.$

Here $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \in B$ is the positive part of $a - \varepsilon \cdot 1 \in \mathcal{M}(B)$.

We call $a, b \in B^+$ Cuntz equivalent and write $a \approx b$ if $a \preceq b$ and $b \preceq a$. Let $\operatorname{Cu}(B) := (B \otimes \mathbb{K})^+ \approx b$ the set of Cuntz equivalence classes of positive elements in

8

 $B \otimes \mathbb{K}$. It is an Abelian ordered monoid where for $a, b \in (B \otimes \mathbb{K})^+$ we write $[a] \leq [b]$ if and only if $a \preceq b$, and [a] + [b] := [a' + b'] where a' and b' are orthogonal and Cuntz equivalent to a and b, respectively. The semigroup W(B) is then the subsemigroup of Cu(B) of Cuntz classes with a representative in $M_{\infty}(B)^+ := \bigcup_{n=1} M_n(B)^+$. Namely, $W(B) = M_{\infty}(B)^+ \approx$, where for $a \in M_n(B)^+$ and $b \in M_m(B)^+$, we write $a \preceq b$ if $x_k b x_k^* \rightarrow a$ for some sequence $(x_k) \in M_{m,n}(B)$, and $a \approx b$ if $a \preceq b$ and $b \preceq a$. Then W(B) is equipped with the addition induced by the direct sum $(a \oplus b) = \operatorname{diag}(a, b) \in M_{n+m}(B)$, and with the order induced by $a \preceq b$.

The Cuntz semigroup accommodates a lot of information about the C*-algebra. For instance, the C*-algebra *B* is *purely infinite* if and only if the Cuntz semigroup $\operatorname{Cu}(B)$ is purely infinite (see [29]). In general, an element $b \in B^+ \setminus \{0\}$ is *properly infinite* or *infinite* in *B*, respectively, if and only if [b] is properly infinite or infinite in W(B). The ordered monoid $\operatorname{Cu}(B)$ is a complete invariant for finite classifiable C*-algebras (see [3]).

The Cuntz semigroup $\operatorname{Cu}(B)$ is both directed complete and continuous, and $[a] \ll [b]$ if and only if $a \preceq (b - \varepsilon)_+$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. There is a bijection between ideals in B and closed ideals in $\operatorname{Cu}(B)$, and quasi-traces on B and regular states on $\operatorname{Cu}(B)$ (see [15,20,23]). In particular, B is simple if and only if $\operatorname{Cu}(B)$ is topologically simple, that is, it contains no nontrivial closed ideals. By the main result of [52], if B is \mathcal{Z} -absorbing, then $\operatorname{Cu}(B) = W(B \otimes \mathbb{K})$ is almost unperforated. One may formulate a dichotomy for simple C^{*}-algebras as follows:

Proposition 2.29. Let B be a C^{*}-algebra and let $S \subseteq Cu(B)$ be a subsemigroup which is dense in the sense that $\sup \{y \in S : y \ll x\} = x$ for every $x \in Cu(B)$. If S is simple and has plain paradoxes (which is automatic when B is Z-absorbing), then B is simple and either purely infinite or stably finite.

Proof. Since S is simple and dense in $\operatorname{Cu}(B)$, the latter has no nontrivial closed ideals. Then B is simple. If S is purely infinite and simple, then $S = \{0, \infty\}$ by Remark 2.6. Since this is dense in $\operatorname{Cu}(B)$, also $\operatorname{Cu}(B) = \{0, \infty\}$. Then B is simple and purely infinite. Otherwise, Corollary 2.22, provides a faithful finite state ν on S. Then the formula $\overline{\nu}(x) := \sup \{\nu(y) : y \ll x, y \in S\}$ defines a faithful lower semicontinuous state on $\operatorname{Cu}(B)$. This, in turn, induces a faithful semi-finite lower semicontinuous 2-quasi-trace on B, and so B is stably finite (see, for instance, [13, Remark 2.27(viii)]).

Example 2.30. The ordered monoid $W(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{N}$ is simple, but $\operatorname{Cu}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is only topologically simple.

3. Twisted groupoid C*-Algebras

Throughout this paper \mathcal{G} stands for an étale groupoid with a locally compact Hausdorff unit space X. Hence the range and source maps $r, s: \mathcal{G} \to X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ are open and locally injective, and \mathcal{G} is locally compact and locally Hausdorff.

Recall that an (open) bisection, or a slice, of \mathcal{G} , is an open subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ such that $r|_U$ and $s|_U$ are injective. Then the map $\theta_U := r|_U \circ s|_U^{-1} : s(U) \to r(U)$ is a partial homeomorphism of X. The family $\operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ of all bisections becomes an inverse semigroup with the operations

$$U \cdot V := \{ \gamma \cdot \eta : \gamma \in U, \ \eta \in V \}, \qquad U^{-1} := \{ \gamma^{-1} : \gamma \in U \}$$

for $U, V \in \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$, and $\emptyset \in \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ is a zero and $X \in \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ is a unit element. The partial homeomorphisms $(\theta_U)_{U \in \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})}$ constitute an inverse semigroup action of $\operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ on X. The corresponding transformation groupoid $X \rtimes \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ is canonically isomorphic to \mathcal{G} . More generally, for any inverse subsemigroup $S \subseteq \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ there is a canonical homomorphism $X \rtimes S \to \mathcal{G}$. It is an isomorphism if and only if S is wide, that is, $\bigcup S = \mathcal{G}$ and $U \cap V$ is a union of bisections in S for all $U, V \in S$ (see, for instance, [36, Proposition 2.2]).

A twist over \mathcal{G} is a Fell line bundle \mathcal{L} over \mathcal{G} in the sense of [32]. Thus $\mathcal{L} = (L_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}}$ is a locally trivial bundle of one-dimensional complex Banach spaces, together with multiplication maps $L_{\gamma} \times L_{\eta} \ni (z_{\gamma}, z_{\eta}) \mapsto z_{\gamma} \cdot z_{\eta} \in L_{\gamma\eta}$ for $(\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{G}^2$, and involutions $L_{\gamma} \ni z \mapsto \overline{z} \in L_{\gamma^{-1}}$ for $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$, which are continuous and consistent with each other in a certain way. Any such bundle is locally trivial. In fact, the family

(3.1)
$$S(\mathcal{L}) := \{ U \in \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G}) : \text{ the restricted bundle } \mathcal{L}|_U \text{ can be trivialised} \}$$

is a wide unital inverse subsemigroup of $\operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ (see, for instance, [8, Lemma 4.2]). In particular, we always assume that $\mathcal{L}|_X = X \times \mathbb{C}$ is trivial. When $\Sigma := \{z \in \mathcal{L} : |z| = 1\}$ is given the topology and multiplication from \mathcal{L} , it becomes a topological groupoid. There is a natural exact sequence $X \times \mathbb{T} \to \Sigma \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}$, turning Σ into a central \mathbb{T} -extension of \mathcal{G} . Every central \mathbb{T} -extension of \mathcal{G} arises this way (see [32, Example 2.5.iv]). This gives an equivalence between Fell line bundles and twists as defined by Kumjian and Renault in [31,49]. Fell line bundles which are trivial as bundles are equivalent to (normalised) continuous 2-cocycles $\sigma : G^2 \to \mathbb{T}$ (see, for instance, [8, Example 4.3]).

Fix a twisted groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. For $U \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ let $C_c(U, \mathcal{L})$ be the space of continuous compactly supported sections of $\mathcal{L}|_U$. In general, \mathcal{G} need not be Hausdorff. In this generality, the space of *quasi-continuous compactly supported functions* is defined as

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L}) := \operatorname{span}\{f \in \operatorname{C}_{\operatorname{c}}(U,\mathcal{L}) : U \in \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})\},\$$

where a section in $C_c(U, \mathcal{L})$ is extended to a section from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{L} that vanishes off U. When \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff, then $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = C_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. In general, $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is a *-algebra with operations:

$$(f*g)(\gamma) := \sum_{r(\eta)=r(\gamma)} f(\eta) \cdot g(\eta^{-1}\gamma), \quad f^*(\gamma) := \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})}, \quad f,g \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L}), \ \gamma \in \mathcal{G},$$

where \cdot and the final * indicate the product and involution from \mathcal{L} . The *universal* C^{*}-algebra C^{*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) of (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) is defined as the maximal C^{*}-completion of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. It contains C₀(X) as a C^{*}-subalgebra.

Let $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ denote the C*-algebra of bounded Borel functions on X. There is a unique completely contractive positive map $E: C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathfrak{B}(X)$ with $E(f) = f|_X$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Let $\mathcal{N}_E := \{a \in C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) : E(a^*a) = 0\}$. This is an ideal, and the quotient $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})/\mathcal{N}_E$ is the reduced C*-algebra of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. The convolution formula $\Lambda(f)\xi := f * \xi$ for $f \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}), \xi \in \ell^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, defines a injective *-homomorphism $\Lambda: \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))$, called the regular representation, that extends to a faithful representation of $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.

Let $\mathfrak{M}(X) := \{f \in \mathfrak{B}(X) : f \text{ vanishes on a comeagre subset}\}$. This is an ideal in $\mathfrak{B}(X)$, and the quotient C^{*}-algebra $\mathfrak{B}(X)/\mathfrak{M}(X)$ coincides both with the injective hull and with the local multiplier algebra of $C_0(X)$, that is,

$$I(C_0(X)) \cong \mathcal{M}_{loc}(C_0(X)) \cong \mathfrak{B}(X)/\mathfrak{M}(X)$$

(see [36, Subsection 4.4] and the references therein). It naturally contains $C_0(X)$ as a subalgebra. Composing $E: C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathfrak{B}(X)$ with the quotient map $\mathfrak{B}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{B}(X)/\mathfrak{M}(X)$ gives a pseudo-expectation $\mathbb{EL}: C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathfrak{B}(X)/\mathfrak{M}(X) \cong I(C_0(X))$ for the C*-inclusion $C_0(X) \subseteq C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Let

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{EL}} := \{ a \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) : \mathbb{EL}(a^*a) = 0 \}.$$

The quotient $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})/\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{EL}}$ is called the *essential* C^* -algebra of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Then $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ can be viewed as a quotient of $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Let \mathcal{G}_H be the set of elements $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ that are Hausdorff in the sense that for any $\eta \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\gamma\}$ the elements γ and η can be separated by disjoint open sets in \mathcal{G} . By [9, Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.15], $\ell^2(\mathcal{G}_H, \mathcal{L})$ is an invariant subspace for the regular representation Λ and the corresponding subrepresentation $\Lambda_{ess} \colon \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(\mathcal{G}_H, \mathcal{L}))$ extends to a representation of $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ which is faithful when \mathcal{G} has a countable cover by open bisections. Thus it is natural to call Λ_{ess} the essential representation.

While $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ embeds into $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ as a dense *-subalgebra, the canonical map from $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ to $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ need not be injective. Of course, its range is still dense. In addition, the spaces $C_0(U, \mathcal{L}), U \in Bis(\mathcal{G})$, embed into $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and form an inverse semigroup grading of $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. In particular, $C_0(X)$ is embedded as a C*-subalgebra of $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.

A C^{*}-algebra *D* is called an *exotic* C^{*}-algebra of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ if there are surjective *-homomorphisms C^{*} $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow D \rightarrow C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ whose composite is the quotient map C^{*} $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ (see [36, Subsection 4.2] or [9, Subsection 4.1]).

Proposition 3.2. Let \mathbb{E} : $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathfrak{B}(X)$ be the canonical generalised expectation given by restriction of sections to X. The canonical quotient map is an isomorphism $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong C_{ess}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ if and only if $\{x \in X : \mathbb{E}(f)(x) \neq 0\}$ is not meagre for every $f \in C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \Sigma)^+ \setminus \{0\}$. This always holds when \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff or when \mathcal{G} is ample and every compact open subset in \mathcal{G} is equal to the interior of its closure (such open subsets are also called regular).

Proof. This is a part of [36, Proposition 7.4.7], except for the statement about ample \mathcal{G} . In the untwisted case, the latter is proven in [16, Lemma 4.11]. This is generalised to the twisted case in [9, Lemma 4.7, see also Remark 4.13].

Lemma 3.3. For any $f_U \in C_0(X)^+$ with open support $U \subseteq X$ the hereditary C^* -subalgebra $f_U C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) f_U$ of $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ generated by f_U is canonically isomorphic to the essential algebra for the restricted pair $(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{L}_U)$ where $\mathcal{G}_U := r^{-1}(U) \cap s^{-1}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{L}_U := \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{G}_U}$.

Moreover, $f_U C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) f_U$ is an ideal if and only if U is \mathcal{G} -invariant. In particular, if $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is simple, then \mathcal{G} is minimal, that is, there are no nontrivial open \mathcal{G} -invariant subsets in X.

Proof. We may treat $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{L}_U)$ as a *-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ in an obvious way. This inclusion gives a *-homomorphism $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{L}_U) \to C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Its range is dense in the C*-subalgebra $f_U C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) f_U$. By the universal property, this homomorphism extends to a surjective *-homomorphism $\Psi : C^*(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{L}_U) \twoheadrightarrow f_U C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) f_U$. Let \mathbb{EL} and \mathbb{EL}_U denote the canonical pseudo-expectations on $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $C^*(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{L}_U)$, respectively. Identifying $\mathfrak{B}(U)/\mathfrak{M}(U)$ with $f_U(\mathfrak{B}(X)/\mathfrak{M}(X))f_U$, we get $\mathbb{EL} \circ \Psi =$ \mathbb{EL}_U . This implies that Ψ is faithful, as \mathbb{EL} and \mathbb{EL}_U are. The second part of the assertion is now straightforward. \Box

Remark 3.4. Lemma 3.3 holds with $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ replaced by $C_{ess}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, by virtually the same proof.

By Lemma 3.3, for every open \mathcal{G} -invariant subset U, extending sections from U to \mathcal{G} by zero outside U gives an embedding $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{L}_U) \rightarrow C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ whose range is an ideal in $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.

Definition 3.5. The twisted groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is essentially exact if for any open invariant subset $U \subseteq X$, the restriction of sections gives a well defined, surjective *-homomorphism $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \twoheadrightarrow C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}_{X\setminus U}, \mathcal{L}_{X\setminus U})$ such that the sequence of essential twisted groupoid C*-algebras

$$\mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{ess}}(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{L}_U) \rightarrowtail \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{ess}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{ess}}(\mathcal{G}_{X \setminus U}, \mathcal{L}_{X \setminus U})$$

is exact ([37, Definition 4.23, Example 4.25]). The sequence as above always exists for reduced C^{*}-algebras, and if it is exact, then we call $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ exact (see

[37, Definition 4.17, Example 4.18]). If the twist is trivial, the name *inner exact* for such groupoids is often used; it was introduced in [1].

When \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff, then exactness and essential exactness coincide.

Definition 3.6. The groupoid \mathcal{G} is called *effective* if the interior of the isotropy bundle $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{G}) := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} : r(\gamma) = s(\gamma)\}$ is X. It is *topologically free* if the interior of $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{G}) \setminus X$ is empty. It is *residually topologically free* if each restriction \mathcal{G}_Y to a closed \mathcal{G} -invariant subset $Y \subseteq X$ is topologically free.

Proposition 3.7. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be a twisted groupoid where \mathcal{G} is étale, residually topologically free and essentially exact, with a locally compact Hausdorff unit space $X := \mathcal{G}^0$. Then all ideals in $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ are of the form $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{L}_U)$ for an open \mathcal{G} -invariant subset $U \subseteq X$. If, in addition, X is metrisable, then the primitive ideal space of $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is homeomorphic to the quasi-orbit space X/\sim , which is defined as the orbit space of the equivalence relation with $x \sim y$ if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{G}x} = \overline{\mathcal{G}y}$.

Proof. This is a special case of [37, Corollary 5.10].

As the construction of the Cuntz semigroup involves stabilisation, we discuss the stabilisation of twisted groupoid algebras. We may tensor $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ with the full equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with the trivial twist to get a natural twisted groupoid $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$. Namely, $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}$ is the standard product of groupoids, with the unit space $X \times \{(n, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cong X \times \mathbb{N}$. We equip it with the Fell line bundle $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, where $(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{(\gamma,\nu)} := \mathcal{L}_{\gamma}$, for $(\gamma, \nu) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}$, and the multiplication, involution and modulus is inherited from \mathcal{L} . The topology on $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is determined by the requirement that for any $a \in C_c(U, \mathcal{L}), U \in Bis(\mathcal{G})$, and $b \in C_c(V), V \in Bis(\mathcal{R})$, the section of $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ given by $a \odot b(\gamma, \nu) := b(\nu)a(\gamma)$ is continuous, and so $a \odot b \in C_c(U \times V, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3.8. For any twisted étale groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ we have natural *-isomorphisms $C^*(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathbb{K}$ and $C^*_r(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathbb{K}$. We also have $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathbb{K}$ if \mathcal{G} has a countable cover by bisections.

Proof. Obviously, $C^*(\mathcal{R}) = C^*_r(\mathcal{R}) = C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{R}) \cong \mathbb{K}$. Let $\Lambda^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}}$ be the regular representation of $C^*_r(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ on $\ell^2(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong \ell^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathcal{R})$. Let $\Lambda^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\Lambda^{\mathcal{R}}$ be the regular representations of $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $C^*_r(\mathcal{R})$, respectively. Then $\Lambda^{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \Lambda^{\mathcal{R}}$ is a faithful representation of $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathbb{K}$ on $\ell^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathcal{R})$ (see, for instance, [11, II.9.1.3]). Clearly, $\Lambda^{\mathcal{G}}(a) \otimes \Lambda^{\mathcal{R}}(b) = \Lambda^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}}(a \odot b)$ for any $a \in C_c(U, \mathcal{L})$, $U \in \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$, and $b \in C_c(V)$, $V \in \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{R})$. Thus the representations $\Lambda^{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \Lambda^{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\Lambda^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}}$ have the same ranges, and since they are both faithful, $C^*_r(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathbb{K}$.

The above implies also that we have an injective *-homomorphism from the algebraic tensor product $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{R})$ into $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R},\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ that sends a simple tensor $a \otimes b$ to the section $a \odot b$ of $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ given by $a \odot b(\gamma,\nu) := b(\nu)a(\gamma)$ for $(\gamma,\nu) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}$. In fact, since \mathcal{R} is discrete, this *-homomorphism is surjective. Indeed, every bisection $U \subseteq \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a disjoint union of bisections $U_{\nu} \times \{\nu\}, \nu \in \mathcal{R}$, where $U_{\nu} := \{\gamma : (\gamma,\nu) \in U\}$ is a bisection of \mathcal{G} . Hence the support of any section $f \in C_{c}(U,\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ can be covered by some $U_{\nu_{i}} \times \{\nu_{i}\}$ for some $\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{n} \in \mathcal{R}$. Using partition of unity subordinate to this cover we may write f as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \odot 1_{\nu}$ where $f_{i} \in C_{c}(U_{\nu_{i}},\mathcal{L})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus f is in the range of the homomorphism and surjectivity follows. Therefore we have a *-isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{R}).$$

It extends to a *-homomorphism $\Psi : C^*(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \to C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathbb{K}$ because $C^*(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ is the completion of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ in the maximal C*-norm. It also implies that $C^*(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ contains a completion of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ as a C*-subalgebra,

and in the commutant of this subalgebra there is a copy of $\mathbb{K} \cong \overline{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{R})}$ (see, for instance, [11, II.9.2.1]). Thus there is a *-homomorphism $\Phi \colon C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathbb{K} \to C^*(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ which is an inverse to Ψ . Accordingly, $C^*(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathbb{K}$.

If \mathcal{G} has a countable cover by bisections, then the above argument for reduced algebras works for essential algebras by replacing regular representations with their subrepresentations that we called essential on page 11.

Remark 3.9. Statements similar to those in Lemma 3.8 hold for tensor products with matrix algebras M_n upon replacing \mathcal{R} by a finite pair groupoid.

Recall that a *trace* on a C^{*}-algebra *D* is an additive function $\tau: A^+ \to [0, \infty]$ such that $\tau(\lambda a) = \lambda \tau(a)$ and $\tau(a^*a) = \tau(aa^*)$ for all $a \in A, \lambda \in [0, \infty)$ (see, for instance, [11, II.6.7–8]). We call τ *faithful* if $\tau(a) = 0$ implies a = 0 for all $a \in A^+$. If τ is finite, it is automatically continuous and extends to a bounded linear functional on *A* with the trace property $\tau(ab) = \tau(ba)$ for all $a, b \in A$, and when normalised it is a *tracial state*. A lower semicontinuous trace τ is *semifinite* if $\tau(a) = \sup \{\tau(b) : \tau(b) < \infty \text{ and } b \le a\}$ for all $a \in A^+$. There is a natural bijection between lower semicontinuous traces τ on $C_0(X)$ and regular Borel measures μ on *X*, defined by $\tau(f) = \int_X f \, d\mu$ for $f \in C_0(X)^+$. Indeed, if τ is lower semicontinuous, it is determined by its restriction to $C_c(X_\tau)^+ \subseteq C_c(X)^+$ for the open subset

$$X_{\tau} := \{ x \in X : \tau(f) < \infty \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{C}_{c}(X)^{+} \text{ with } f(x) > 0 \}$$

in X. The restriction $\tau|_{C_c(X_\tau)^+}$ is finite, and the classical Riesz's Theorem ([54, Theorem 2.14]) associates it with a unique Radon measure on X_τ such that $\tau(f) = \int_{X_\tau} f \, d\mu$ for $f \in C_c(X_\tau)^+$. By defining $\mu(E) = \infty$ for every $E \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ that is not contained in X_τ one gets the desired measure μ on $\mathcal{B}(X)$ associated to τ . More explicitly, the measure μ on every open set $V \subseteq X$ satisfies

(3.10)
$$\mu(V) = \sup\{\tau(f) : f \in C_{c}(V)^{+}, \|f\| = 1\}.$$

This formula defines a regular dimension function on the topology of X, in the sense of Definition B.1. Hence $\mu(E) = \inf \{\mu(V) : E \subseteq V \text{ is open}\}$ for $E \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ yields the unique extension of μ to a regular Borel measure on X by Theorem B.3.

Any lower semicontinuous trace τ on $C_0(X)$ has a unique extension to a normal (preserving suprema of directed bounded nets) trace $\overline{\tau}$ on the algebra of bounded Borel functions $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ by $\overline{\tau}(f) = \int_X f \, d\mu$ for $f \in \mathfrak{B}(X)^+$ and the corresponding measure μ . Unfortunately, there is no canonical way of extending states from $C_0(X)$ to $\mathfrak{B}(X)/\mathfrak{M}(X)$. Since $\mathfrak{B}(X)/\mathfrak{M}(X)$ is a monotone completion of $C_0(X)$, one could expect that normal extensions exist. However, except in trivial cases, $\mathfrak{B}(X)/\mathfrak{M}(X)$ is wild, which means that it does not admit any nonzero normal states (see [55, Theorem 4.2.17]). Therefore, it seems that there is no analogue of the next proposition for essential groupoid C^{*}-algebras.

Definition 3.11. A Borel measure μ on X is called \mathcal{G} -invariant if $\mu(s(U)) = \mu(r(U))$ for every open bisection $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ (see [48, I.3.12] and [40]).

Lemma 3.12. Let μ be a regular Borel measure on X. Fix a family $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ that covers \mathcal{G} . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) the measure μ is *G*-invariant;
- (2) $\int_{r(U)} f \, d\mu = \int_{s(U)} f \circ \theta_U \, d\mu \text{ for every } f \in C_c(r(U))^+ \text{ and } U \in \mathcal{B}, \text{ where } \theta_U \colon s(U) \to r(U) \text{ is the homeomorphism given by } \theta_U(s(\gamma)) = r(\gamma), \gamma \in U;$

(3) for any Borel function $h: \mathcal{G} \to [0, \infty)$ we have

(3.13)
$$\int \sum_{s(\gamma)=x} h(\gamma) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \int \sum_{r(\gamma)=x} h(\gamma) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x).$$

Proof. (1)⇒(2),(3): Assume first that μ is *G*-invariant. Fix arbitrary $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$. For any open $V \subseteq s(U)$ we have $\mu(V) = \mu(s(VU)) = \mu(r(VU)) = \mu(\theta_U(V))$, and since μ is regular it follows that $\mu(B) = \mu(\theta_U(B))$ for any Borel $B \subseteq s(U)$. Thus the standard change of variables gives $\int_{r(U)} f \, d\mu = \int_{s(U)} f \circ \theta_U \, d\mu$ for any positive Borel function $f \in \mathfrak{B}(r(U))^+$. This readily gives (2). This also means that (3.13) holds for all Borel functions h vanishing outside U, as putting $f := h \circ r|_U^{-1} \in \mathfrak{B}(r(U))^+ \subseteq \mathfrak{B}(X)$ we then get $\sum_{r(\gamma)=x} h(\gamma) = f(x)$ and $\sum_{r(\gamma)=x} h(\gamma) = f(\theta_U(x))$ for all $x \in X$. This also obviously holds when U is just a Borel subset of an open bisection. By choosing a decomposition $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of \mathcal{G} into pairwise disjoint Borel bisections for any Borel $h: \mathcal{G} \to [0, \infty)$ we get $h = \sum_{i \in I} 1_{U_i} h = \sup\{\sum_{i \in F} 1_{U_i} : F \subseteq I \text{ finite}\}$. Thus the previous step plus linearity (and monotone convergence) gives (3.13) in general.

(3) \Rightarrow (2): For a given $f \in C_c(r(U))^+$ apply (3.13) to h given by $h(\gamma) = f(r(\gamma))$ for $\gamma \in U$ and zero elsewhere.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): We claim that $\int_{r(U)} f d\mu = \int_{s(U)} f \circ \theta_U d\mu$ for every $f \in C_c(r(U))^+$ and every $U \in Bis(\mathcal{G})$ (not necessarily in \mathcal{B}). Indeed, denote by K the closed support of f. Then $r|_U^{-1}(K) \subseteq U$ is compact and hence can be covered by a finite family $(V_i)_{i=1}^n$ of sets from the open cover \mathcal{B} . Let $(g_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a partition of unity on K subordinate to the cover $(r(V_i))_{i=1}^n$. Then $g_i f \in C_c(r(V_i))^+ \cap C_c(r(U))^+$ and $(g_i f) \circ \theta_{V_i} = (g_i f) \circ \theta_U \in C_c(s(V_i))^+ \cap C_c(r(U))^+$ for each i. Then (2) implies $\int_{r(U)} g_i f d\mu = \int_{r(V_i)} g_i f d\mu = \int_{s(V_i)} (g_i f) \circ \theta_{V_i} d\mu = \int_{s(U)} (g_i f) \circ \theta_U d\mu$. Thus

$$\int_{r(U)} f \,\mathrm{d}\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{r(U)} g_i f \,\mathrm{d}\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{s(U)} (g_i f) \circ \theta_U \,\mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{s(U)} f \circ \theta_U \,\mathrm{d}\mu.$$

In other words, $\tau(f) = \tau(f \circ \theta_U)$. This implies that $\mu(r(U)) = \mu(s(U))$ by (3.10). \Box

Proposition 3.14. Let \mathbb{E} : $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathfrak{B}(X)$ be the canonical generalised expectation on the reduced C^{*}-algebra of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. There is a natural bijection between lower semicontinuous traces τ on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ that factor through \mathbb{E} , in the sense that $\tau = \overline{\tau} \circ \mathbb{E}$, where $\overline{\tau} : \mathfrak{B}(X)^+ \to [0, \infty]$ is the normal extension of $\tau|_{C_0(X)^+}$, and \mathcal{G} -invariant regular Borel measures μ on X.

For the corresponding objects, τ is (semi)finite if and only if μ is (locally) finite; and if $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, then τ is faithful if and only if μ has full support.

Proof. Let τ be a lower semicontinuous trace on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ that factors through \mathbb{E} . The restriction $\tau|_{C_0(X)^+} : C_0(X)^+ \to [0, \infty]$ is a positive lower semicontinuous trace and corresponds to a regular measure μ on X by Riesz's Theorem. We must prove that μ is invariant. Let $U \in S(\mathcal{L})$ be a bisection such that the bundle $\mathcal{L}|_U$ is trivial, see (3.1). So there is a continuous unitary section $c: U \to \mathcal{L}$ and $C_c(U) \ni g \mapsto g \cdot c \in$ $C_c(U,\mathcal{L}) \subseteq C_r^*(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L})$ is an isometric isomorphism. Take any $f \in C_c(r(U))^+$ and define $g \in C_c(U,\mathcal{L}) \subseteq C_r^*(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L})$ by putting $g(\gamma) := \sqrt{f(r(\gamma))} \cdot c(\gamma)$. Then $g * g^* = f$ and $g^* * g = f \circ \theta_U$. Hence

$$\int_{r(U)} f \,\mathrm{d}\mu = \tau(f) = \tau(g \ast g^*) = \tau(g^* \ast g) = \tau(f \circ \theta_U) = \int_{s(U)} f \circ \theta_U \,\mathrm{d}\mu.$$

As $S(\mathcal{L})$ covers \mathcal{G} , this implies that μ is \mathcal{G} -invariant by its characterisation in Lemma 3.12.(2).

Conversely, let μ be a \mathcal{G} -invariant regular Borel measure on X. Let $\tau \colon C_0(X)^+ \to [0,\infty]$ be the corresponding lower semicontinuous weight and let $\overline{\tau} \colon \mathfrak{B}(X)^+ \to [0,\infty]$ be its normal extension. Then the composite $\overline{\tau} \circ \mathbb{E}|_{C_r^*(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L})^+}$ is a lower semicontinuous extension of τ to $C_r^*(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L})$, which we again denote by τ . We need to show that τ is a trace. Consider the Banach space $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L})$ of bounded Borel sections of \mathcal{L} equipped with the supremum norm. The inclusion $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L})$ extends to a contractive injective map $j \colon C_r^*(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L}) \to \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L})$, see [36, Proposition 7.10], which

turns the product and adjoint in $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ to the convolution and involution, see [9, Proposition 3.16]. Therefore, see also [36, Equation (7.1)], for $f \in C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}(f^**f)(x) = \sum_{s(\gamma)=x} j(f)(\gamma)^* j(f)(\gamma) = \sum_{s(\gamma)=x} \|j(f)(\gamma)\|^2,$$

where $||j(f)(\gamma)||^2$ uses the norm on the fibre $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma} \cong \mathbb{C}$. Similarly

$$\mathbb{E}(f * f^*)(x) = \sum_{s(\gamma)=x} \|j(f)(\gamma^{-1})\|^2 = \sum_{r(\gamma)=x} \|j(f)(\gamma)\|^2.$$

The μ -integrals of these two functions are equal because of the characterisation in Lemma 3.12.(3). Thus $\tau(f^* * f) = \overline{\tau}(\mathbb{E}(f * f^*)) = \overline{\tau}(\mathbb{E}(f * f^*)) = \tau(f^* * f)$ for all $f \in C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.

This proves the first part of the assertion. Now consider the corresponding τ and μ . It is immediate that τ is finite if and only if μ is finite, and that if τ is semifinite, then μ has to be locally finite. Conversely, if μ is locally finite, then taking any approximate unit $(e_i)_i$ in $C_c(X)^+$ and any $a \in C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^+$, we compute $\sqrt{ae_i^2}\sqrt{a} \leq a$ and hence

$$\tau(\sqrt{a}e_i^2\sqrt{a}) = \tau(e_i a e_i) = \int_X \mathbb{E}(e_i a e_i) \,\mathrm{d}\mu = \int_X e_i \mathbb{E}(a) e_i \,\mathrm{d}\mu < \infty,$$

where we used that τ is trace, \mathbb{E} is a bimodule map and μ is a Radon measure. Since τ is lower semicontinuous, $\tau(\sqrt{a}e_i^2\sqrt{a}) = \tau(e_iae_i) \to \tau(a)$. Hence τ is semifinite.

If τ is faithful, then $\tau|_{C_0(X)}$ is faithful. This is equivalent to μ having full support. Conversely, assume that $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = C_{ess}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and that μ has full support. For any nonzero $a \in C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^+$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\{x \in X : \mathbb{E}(b)(x) > \varepsilon\}$ has nonempty interior, see [36, Proposition 7.18]. Hence $\tau(a) \ge \varepsilon \mu(\{x \in X : \mathbb{E}(b)(x) > \varepsilon\}) > 0$. Thus τ is faithful.

Remark 3.15. The bijection in Proposition 3.14 restricts to a bijection between \mathcal{G} -invariant regular probability Borel measures μ on X and tracial states τ on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ that factor through \mathbb{E} . This is in essence proved in [40, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2]. The literature gives at least two situations where all tracial states on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ factor through \mathbb{E} . Firstly, this happens if \mathcal{G} is principal (see [40, Lemma 4.3], or [2, Theorem 3.4] for a corresponding result for abstract C*-inclusions). Secondly, this happens if \mathcal{G} is ample and "almost finite" (see [4, Lemma 3.1]).

4. The type semigroup

Let \mathcal{G} be an étale groupoid, X its object space and $\operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ its inverse semigroup of (open) bisections. We define a type semigroup for \mathcal{G} that depends on an auxiliary choice, which we call an *inverse semigroup basis*. We work in this generality to unify definitions from [14, 42, 47]. A bisection $W \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ with $W^2 = W$ must be an open subset of $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Thus the idempotent lattice $\{W \in \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G}) : W^2 = W\}$ coincides with the lattice of open subsets of X.

Definition 4.1. An *inverse semigroup basis* for \mathcal{G} is a subset $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ such that

- \mathcal{B} is an inverse subsemigroup, that is, closed under multiplication and involution;
- \mathcal{B} is a basis for the topology of \mathcal{G} ;
- $\mathcal{O} := \{ W \in \mathcal{B} : W^2 = W \} = \{ W \in \mathcal{B} : W \subseteq X \}$ is closed under finite unions.

Multiplication of bisections contained in X is their intersection. Hence the above assumptions imply that \mathcal{O} is a lattice of sets that generates the topology of X.

We are going to define a type semigroup for \mathcal{G} that depends on \mathcal{B} . If $\mathcal{B} = \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$, we recover the definition by Ma [42]. If X is not metrisable, then it is useful for some

results to let \mathcal{B} be the set of all σ -compact open bisections, because the σ -compact open subsets are exactly the open supports of C₀-functions. Another natural choice for \mathcal{B} is the family of all precompact bisections. When $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is a twisted groupoid, one may in addition assume that the bundle \mathcal{L} is trivial on all bisections in \mathcal{B} . If \mathcal{G} is ample, then we may let \mathcal{B} be the set of all compact-open bisections. This case is studied in [14,47]. The type semigroup that we are going to construct will turn out to be a quotient of the type semigroup studied in [14,47].

4.1. A type semigroup for a topological space and the way-below relation. We first define a type semigroup for a topological space X and a lattice \mathcal{O} of open subsets that generates the topology of X. Let

(4.2)
$$F(\mathcal{O}) := \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{U_k} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \ U_k \in \mathcal{O} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

This is a set of bounded, lower semicontinuous functions $X \to \mathbb{N}$. It becomes an ordered monoid with the pointwise addition of functions and the pointwise inequality \leq . It is generated as a monoid by the characteristic functions of the subsets in \mathcal{O} .

Proposition 4.3. Every $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$ can be written uniquely as $f = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1_{U_k}$ for a decreasing chain $U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq U_n$ of nonempty sets in \mathcal{O} . Here $U_k = f^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq k})$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$. If \mathcal{O} is the whole topology of X, then $F(\mathcal{O})$ is the set of all bounded, lower semicontinuous functions $X \to \mathbb{N}$. If \mathcal{G} is ample and \mathcal{O} is the family of compact-open subsets, then $F(\mathcal{O}) = C_c(X, \mathbb{N})$ is the set of compactly supported continuous functions $X \to \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $f: X \to \mathbb{N}$ be any bounded function. Let $n := ||f||_{\infty}$ and $U_k := f^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq k})$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Then $(U_k)_{k=1}^n$ is a decreasing chain of nonempty subsets and $f = \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{U_k} (U_k = U_{k+1} \text{ is allowed})$. Conversely, if $f = \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{U_k}$ for a decreasing chain of nonempty subsets $(U_k)_{k=1}^n$, then $f(x) \ge k$ if and only if $x \in U_k$. In addition, let $U_0 := X$ and $U_{n+1} := \emptyset$. Then $f|_{U_k \setminus U_{k+1}} = k$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.

A function f of this form is lower semicontinuous if and only if the subsets U_1, \ldots, U_n are open. Thus all bounded, lower semicontinuous functions $X \to \mathbb{N}$ belong to $F(\mathcal{O})$ if all open subsets are in \mathcal{O} . Conversely, functions in $F(\mathcal{O})$ must be bounded and lower semicontinuous because they are sums of bounded, lower semicontinuous functions. Now let \mathcal{O} be the set of compact-open subsets. Then the functions in $F(\mathcal{O})$ are continuous with compact support. Conversely, if f is continuous with compact support, then the subsets U_k defined above are compact and open for $k \geq 1$, so that $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$. Thus $F(\mathcal{O})$ is the set of all continuous functions $X \to \mathbb{N}$ with compact support.

Now let \mathcal{O} be general and let $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$. That is, $f = \sum_{k=1}^{m} 1_{V_k}$ for some $V_1, \ldots, V_m \in \mathcal{O}$. We claim that the associated decreasing nonempty subsets $U_k := f^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq k}), k = 1, \ldots, n$, belong to \mathcal{O} as well. By definition, $x \in X$ belongs to U_k if and only if x belongs to at least k of the subsets V_i . Hence each U_k is the union of the intersections

(4.4)
$$V_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} V_i$$

for $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with |I| = k. Since \mathcal{O} is closed under finite unions and intersections, it follows that $U_k \in \mathcal{O}$. So each $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$ has the asserted special form. \Box

Proposition 4.5. Any finite subset of $F(\mathcal{O})$ has a least upper bound in $F(\mathcal{O})$, namely, the pointwise maximum of these functions. Any nonempty finite subset

of $F(\mathcal{O})$ has a greatest lower bound in $F(\mathcal{O})$, namely, the pointwise minimum of these functions.

Proof. The least upper bound of the empty subset of $F(\mathcal{O})$ is the minimal element of $F(\mathcal{O})$, which is the constant function 0. There is no maximal element in $F(\mathcal{O})$, so that the empty subset of $F(\mathcal{O})$ has no greatest lower bound. The two statements for nonempty finite subsets follow once they are known for two elements. Pick $f, g \in F(\mathcal{O})$. Let $f \lor g \colon X \to \mathbb{N}$ and $f \land g \colon X \to \mathbb{N}$ be their pointwise maximum and minimum, respectively. We claim that $f \lor g$ and $f \land g$ belong to $F(\mathcal{O})$. Then they clearly serve as a least upper bound and a greatest lower bound for $\{f,g\}$ in $F(\mathcal{O})$. Let $U_j = f^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq j})$ and $V_j = g^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq j})$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. Then $f = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{U_j}$ and $g = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{V_j}$ for any sufficiently large n by Proposition 4.3. For the pointwise maximum and minimum, we find $(f \lor g)^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq j}) = f^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq j}) \cup g^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq j}) = U_j \cup$ $V_j \in \mathcal{O}$ and $(f \land g)^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq j}) = f^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq j}) = U_j \cap V_j \in \mathcal{O}$. Thus $f \lor g = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{U_j \cup V_j} \in F(\mathcal{O})$ and $f \land g = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{U_j \cap V_j} \in F(\mathcal{O})$. \Box

We are going to describe the way below relation \ll in $F(\mathcal{O})$, generalising [24, Proposition I-1.4]. The *open support* of a function $g: X \to \mathbb{N}$ is defined as

$$\operatorname{supp}(g) := \{ x \in X : g(x) \neq 0 \}.$$

For $g \in F(\mathcal{O})$, define an associated upper semicontinuous function $\overline{g} \colon X \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\overline{g}(x) := \limsup_{y \to x} g(y).$$

Then $\overline{\operatorname{supp}(g)} = \operatorname{supp}(\overline{g})$. If $g = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{U_i}$, then $\overline{g} = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\overline{U_i}}$.

Proposition 4.6. Let $f, g \in F(\mathcal{O})$. Then $g \ll f$ if and only if $\overline{g} \leq f$ and the support of g is precompact.

Proof. Assume first that $g \ll f$. We are going to prove that $\overline{g} \leq f$ and that the support of g is precompact. Write $g = \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1_{V_j}$ and $f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1_{U_j}$ for decreasing chains (V_j) and (U_j) as in Proposition 4.3. We allow some U_j or V_j to be empty to have the same upper index n in the sums. Let N be the set of all n-tuples of precompact subsets $W_j \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\overline{W_j} \subseteq U_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. For each such n-tuple α , define a function in $F(\mathcal{O})$ as $f_\alpha := \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1_{W_j}$. Then $f_\alpha \leq f$ because $W_j \subseteq U_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Let $N_f := \{f_\alpha : \alpha \in N\}$. Every finite subset of N has an upper bound, namely, the union of the corresponding subsets W_j . The union is still in \mathcal{O} as \mathcal{O} is closed under finite unions. So N is a directed set. This makes N_f a directed set under \leq . Let $x \in X$ with f(x) = k. Then x is in U_1, \ldots, U_k but not in U_{k+1}, \ldots, U_n . There is $\alpha = (W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in N$ with $x \in W_1, \ldots, W_k$. Since $W_j \subseteq U_j$, the element x cannot lie in W_{k+1}, \ldots, W_n . Thus $f_\alpha \in N_f$ satisfies $f_\alpha(x) = k$. We can do this for every $x \in X$. Hence $\sup_{\alpha \in N} f_\alpha = f$. So N_f fulfils the axioms on a directed set in the definition of the way below relation. Therefore, $g \ll f$ implies that there is $\alpha = (Y_j) \in N$ with $g \leq f_\gamma \leq f$. As each Y_j is precompact, the support of f_γ and thus of g is precompact. Since $Y_j \subseteq \overline{Y_j} \subseteq U_j$, we get $\overline{g} \leq f$.

Conversely, assume that $\overline{g} \leq f$ and that the support of g is precompact. We are going to prove that $g \ll f$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.3, the subsets $K_j := \overline{g}^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq j})$ form a decreasing chain of subsets with $X = K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq K_\ell = \emptyset$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. These subsets are closed because \overline{g} is upper semicontinuous, and K_1 is compact because it is the closure of the support of g. Let $(h_n)_{n \in N}$ be any increasing net in $F(\mathcal{O})$ with $\sup h_n \geq f$. Write $h_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} 1_{V_{n,j}}$ as in Proposition 4.3, that is, $V_{n,j} = h_n^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_{\geq j})$. Since (h_n) is an increasing net, so is the net of subsets $(V_{n,j})$ for fixed j. If $x \in K_j$, then $j \leq \overline{g}(x) \leq f(x)$. Then there is $n \in N$ with $j \leq h_n(x)$, so that $x \in V_{n,j}$. It follows that $K_j \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in N} V_{n,j}$. Since K_j is compact and the net $(V_{n,j})$ is increasing, there is $n_j \in N$ with $K_j \subseteq V_{n_j,j}$. Since N is directed, there is $n \in N$ with $n \geq n_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Then $K_j \subseteq V_{n,j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$. This says that $g \leq \overline{g} \leq h_n$. Since the increasing net (h_n) was arbitrary, this says that $g \ll f$.

Remark 4.7. If $U, V \in \mathcal{O}$, then we briefly write $V \ll U$ for $1_V \ll 1_U$. By the proposition, this is equivalent to V being precompact with $\overline{V} \subseteq U$.

Remark 4.8. If \mathcal{G} is ample and \mathcal{B} is the set of all compact-open bisections, then the proposition says that \ll is the same relation as \leq .

Remark 4.9. An element of a poset $x \in L$ with $x \ll x$ is called *compact* or *isolated* from below. By Proposition 4.6, $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$ satisfies $f \ll f$ if and only if the support of f is compact and $f = \overline{f}$. Equivalently, f is continuous with compact support.

The following proposition says that the poset $F(\mathcal{O})$ is continuous as in Definition 2.24:

Proposition 4.10. Let $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$. The set of $g \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with $g \ll f$ is directed and f is its supremum.

Proof. Proposition 4.5 shows that $F(\mathcal{O})$ has finite suprema. Therefore, the set of $g \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with $g \ll f$ is directed by Remark 2.25. Write $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{V_i}$ with a decreasing chain $V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V_n$ as in Proposition 4.3. If $W_j \in \mathcal{O}$ are chosen so that $\overline{W_j} \subseteq V_j$ and W_j is precompact, then $g := \sum 1_{W_j} \in F(\mathcal{O})$ and $g \ll f$ by Proposition 4.6. The proof of Proposition 4.6 shows that the pointwise supremum of the set of $g \in F(\mathcal{O})$ of this form is equal to f. Since $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$, this is also a supremum in the poset $F(\mathcal{O})$. Since $g \ll f$ implies $g \leq f$, the supremum stays the same if we allow all $g \ll f$.

Corollary 4.11. If $f,g \in F(\mathcal{O})$ satisfy $f \ll g$, then there is $h \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with $f \ll h \ll g$.

Proof. This is a general feature of continuous posets by [24, Theorem I-1.9]. We will strengthen this result in Corollary 4.15 below. \Box

Our monoid $F(\mathcal{O})$ specialises to the monoid $C_c(X, \mathbb{N})$ in the ample case. This monoid was used by Rainone and Sims [47]. Bönicke–Li [14] and Ma [42] started instead with the free commutative monoid generated by the sets in \mathcal{O} . We are going to relate these two different starting points for the construction of the type semigroup. The free monoid on the set \mathcal{O} is the set $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$ of words with letters in \mathcal{O} ,

$$\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}} := \{ (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}, U_i \in \mathcal{O} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \}$$

with concatenation as multiplication. The characteristic function map induces a canonical surjective homomorphism

can:
$$\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}} \to F(\mathcal{O}), \qquad (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{U_j}.$$

We are going to describe the pull back of the order relation \leq to $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$ using compact containment.

Lemma 4.12. For any open subsets $U_1, \ldots, U_n \subseteq X$ and a compact subset $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$, there are precompact $V_i \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$, and $\overline{V_i} \subseteq U_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. If $x \in K$, then $x \in U_i$ for some i, and then there is a compact neighbourhood of x contained in U_i . Since \mathcal{O} is a basis, there is $V_x \in \mathcal{O}$ that is contained in this neighbourhood. A finite union of these subsets covers K because K is compact. Let V_i be the union of those V_x contained in U_i . This is a collection of subsets with the required properties.

Lemma 4.13. Let $K_1, \ldots, K_n \subseteq X$ be compact and let $V_1, \ldots, V_m \subseteq X$ be open subsets. Assume that $\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{K_i} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m 1_{V_j}$. Then there are precompact subsets $W_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $K_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m W_{i,j}$ for all i and $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \overline{W}_{i,j} \subseteq V_j$ for all j, that is, the subsets $\overline{W}_{i,j}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ are disjoint and contained in V_j .

If we are given neighbourhoods $U_i \supseteq K_i$, then we may arrange $W_{i,j} \subseteq U_i$ for all i, j.

Proof. We prove this by induction on m. The case m = 0 is clear: then $\sum 1_{K_i} \leq \sum 1_{V_j}$ says that all the subsets K_i are empty. We are going to prove the induction step to m subsets V_j , assuming the assertion for m - 1 open subsets V_j .

The function $\sum 1_{V_j} - \sum 1_{K_i}$ is nonnegative and lower semicontinuous. Therefore, its zero set E is the preimage of $(-\infty, 0]$, and this is a closed subset. The functions $f := \sum 1_{V_j}$ and $g := \sum 1_{K_i}$ restrict to the same function on E, and they are lower and upper semicontinuous functions to \mathbb{N} , respectively. Therefore, their common restriction to E is continuous. Thus $f|_E = g|_E$ is locally constant. Now let E_k be the set of points in E that belong to exactly k of the subsets V_j . These subsets are closed, and E is their disjoint union: $E = \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{m} E_k$.

For subsets $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, m\}$, define $K_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} K_i$ and $V_J := \bigcap_{j \in J} V_j$ as in (4.4). Any point in E_k belongs to $E_k \cap K_I \cap V_J$ for some subsets I, J with exactly k elements. These subsets are disjoint because if $x \in E_k \cap K_I \cap V_J$ and $x \in E_k \cap K_{I'} \cap V_{J'}$, then $x \in E_k \cap K_{I \cup I'} \cap V_{J \cup J'}$, and this is only nonempty if $I \cup I'$ and $J \cup J'$ again have exactly k elements, so that I = I' and J = J'. Therefore,

$$E = \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{m} \bigsqcup_{|I|=|J|=k} E_k \cap K_I \cap V_J.$$

All these disjoint subsets are closed. More specifically, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} K_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} V_j$ implies $E_0 \cap K_{\emptyset} \cap V_{\emptyset} = E_0 = X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} V_j$, and this set is closed as a complement of an open subset. For k > 0 and $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}, J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, m\}$ with |I| = |J| = k, $E_k \cap K_I \cap V_J$ is equal to $E_k \cap K_I \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin J} V_j$, and this set is compact as a closed subset of a compact set $E_k \cap K_I$.

These disjoint closed subsets may be enlarged to open neighbourhoods $W_{k,I,J}$ whose closures remain disjoint; here we use Urysohn's Lemma and that for k > 0, the subsets $E_k \cap K_I \cap V_J$ are compact. Since the subsets V_J are open, we may also arrange $W_{k,I,J} \subseteq V_J$. For k > 0, Lemma 4.12 allows us to arrange also that $W_{k,I,J}$ is precompact and belongs to \mathcal{O} . If some open subsets $U_i \supseteq K_i$ are given, then we may also arrange that $W_{k,I,J} \subseteq U_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ for all k > 0.

For each pair (I, J) as above with |I| = |J|, we fix a bijection $\sigma_{I,J} \colon I \xrightarrow{\sim} J$. Now for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let

$$W_{i,m} := \bigsqcup \{ W_{k,I,J} : |I| = |J| = k > 0, \ i \in I, \ \sigma_{I,J}(i) = m \}.$$

Then $W_{i,m} \subseteq V_m$ because $\sigma_{I,J}(i) = m$ forces $m \in J$ and then $E_k \cap K_I \cap V_J \subseteq V_m$. The subsets $W_{i,m}$ for different *i* are disjoint because each $W_{k,I,J}$ may occur for at most one *i*. Let $K'_i := K_i \setminus W_{i,1}$ for $i = 1 \dots, n$. These are still compact subsets. We claim that $\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{K'_i} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} 1_{V_j}$. If $x \notin E$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{K'_i}(x) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{K_i}(x) \le \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} 1_{V_j}(x) + (1_{V_m}(x) - 1) \le \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} 1_{V_j}(x)$$

If $x \in E$, then $x \in E_k \cap V_I \cap W_J$ for some I, J with |I| = |J| = k. If $m \notin J$, then we are outside V_m , so that $\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} 1_{V_j}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m 1_{V_j}(x)$, and our inequality follows. If

 $m \in J$, then $m = \sigma_{I,J}(i)$ for a unique $i \in I$. Then $x \in K_i \cap W_{1,i}$ for exactly this i, and we compute

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{K'_i}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{K_i}(x) - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \mathbf{1}_{V_j}(x) + (\mathbf{1}_{V_m}(x) - 1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \mathbf{1}_{V_j}(x).$$

This proves the claim in all cases. Now we apply the induction hypothesis to the subsets K'_1, \ldots, K'_n and V_1, \ldots, V_{m-1} . It gives us open subsets $W_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m-1$ with $K'_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{m-1} W_{i,j}$ for all i and $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n W_{i,j} \subseteq V_j$ for all j. The first inclusion implies $K_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m W_{i,j}$ as needed. \Box

Corollary 4.14. Let $(U_1, \ldots, U_n), (V_1, \ldots, V_m) \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{U_i} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m 1_{V_j}$ if and only if for any compact subsets $K_i \subseteq U_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, there are open subsets $W_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $K_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m W_{i,j}$ for all i and $\bigcup W_{i,j} \subseteq V_j$ for all j.

Proof. If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{U_i} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} 1_{V_j}$ and $K_i \subseteq U_i$ are compact, then Lemma 4.13 gives subsets $W_{i,j}$ as in the statement. Conversely, assume that such subsets exist for a choice of (K_i) . The inclusions say that $1_{K_i} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} 1_{W_{i,j}}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{W_{i,j}} \leq 1_{V_j}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{K_i} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} 1_{W_{i,j}} \le \sum_{j=1}^{m} 1_{V_j}.$$

If this holds for all compact subsets $K_i \subseteq U_i$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{U_i} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m 1_{V_j}$.

Corollary 4.15. If $k, f, g \in F(\mathcal{O})$ satisfy $k \ll f + g$, then there are $k_1, k_2 \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with $k_1 \ll f$, $k_2 \ll g$ and $k \ll k_1 + k_2 \ll f + g$.

Proof. Write $k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{K_i}$, $f = \sum_{i=1}^{l} 1_{V_i}$ and $g = \sum_{i=l+1}^{m} 1_{V_i}$ with $K_i, V_i \in \mathcal{O}$. By Proposition 4.6, $k \ll f + g$ means that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{\overline{K_i}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} 1_{V_j}$. By Lemma 4.13, this implies that there are precompact subsets $W_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\overline{K_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} W_{i,j}$ for all i and $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n} \overline{W}_{i,j} \subseteq V_j$ for all j. Then $k_1 \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{W_{i,j}}$ and $k_2 \coloneqq \sum_{j=l+1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{W_{i,j}}$ are elements in $F(\mathcal{O})$ with the desired properties, again by Proposition 4.6.

4.2. Definition of the type semigroup for a groupoid. Throughout this subsection, let \mathcal{G} be a locally compact groupoid with object space X, let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq$ Bis(\mathcal{G}) be an inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G} , and let $\mathcal{O} := \{U \in \mathcal{B} : U \subseteq X\}$ as in Definition 4.1. We are going to define a preorder $\preceq_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $F(\mathcal{O})$ that takes into account \mathcal{B} . To this end, we let

$$F(\mathcal{B}) := \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1_{W_k} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \ W_k \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Notice that, unlike \mathcal{O} , the set \mathcal{B} is not closed under finite unions. We cannot arrange for this because unions of bisections may fail to be bisections. The source and range maps $s, r: \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows X$ induce maps $\mathcal{B} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{O}$ because if $W \in \mathcal{B}$, then $s(W) = W^*W$ and $r(W) = WW^*$ are idempotents in \mathcal{B} , so that they belong to \mathcal{O} . Therefore, if $b = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1_{W_k} \in F(\mathcal{B})$, then $s_*b := \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1_{s(W_k)}$ and $r_*b := \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1_{r(W_k)}$ belong to $F(\mathcal{O})$. This gives well defined homomorphisms $s_*, r_* : F(\mathcal{B}) \rightrightarrows F(\mathcal{O})$ because

$$(s_*b)(x) = \sum_{s(\gamma)=x} b(\gamma), \qquad (r_*b)(x) = \sum_{r(\gamma)=x} b(\gamma).$$

Definition 4.16. For $f, g \in F(\mathcal{O})$, we write $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g$ or just $f \preceq g$ if, for all $k \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with $k \ll f$, there is $b \in F(\mathcal{B})$ with $k \leq s_*(b)$ and $r_*(b) \leq g$.

Remark 4.17. By construction, the relation \preceq is "regular" in the sense that $f \preceq g$ holds if and only if $k \preceq g$ for all $k \ll f$. Proposition 4.19 below shows that we get the same relation \preceq if we require $k \ll s_*(b)$ and $r_*(b) \ll g$ instead. It is easier, however, to work with the definition above.

The relation $\preceq_{\mathcal{B}}$ is compatible with the semigroup law in $F(\mathcal{O})$:

Lemma 4.18. Let $f, g, f', g' \in F(\mathcal{O})$. If $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} f'$ and $g \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g'$, then $f + g \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} f' + g'$. *Proof.* Take any $k \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with $k \ll f+g$. By Corollary 4.15, there are $k_1, k_2 \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with $k_1 \ll f, k_2 \ll g$ and $k \ll k_1 + k_2 \ll f + g$. Since $f \preceq f'$ and $g \preceq g'$, there are $b_1, b_2 \in F(\mathcal{B})$ with $k_1 \leq s_*(b_1), r_*(b_1) \leq f'$, and $k_2 \leq s_*(b_2), r_*(b_2) \leq g'$. Let $b := b_1 + b_2$. Then

 $k \le k_1 + k_2 \le s_*(b_1) + s_*(b_2) = s_*(b)$ and $r_*(b) = r_*(b_1) + r_*(b_2) \le f' + g'$. This shows that $f + g \preceq f' + g'$.

The following proposition relates $\preceq_{\mathcal{B}}$ to the relation introduced in [42, Definitions 4.3].

Proposition 4.19. Let $f, g \in F(\mathcal{O})$ and write $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{U_i}$ and $g = \sum_{j=1}^{m} 1_{V_j}$ for $U_i, V_j \in \mathcal{O}$. Then $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g$ if and only if for any compact subsets $K_i \subseteq U_i$ for i = 1, ..., n, there are a finite set A, maps $\alpha \colon A \to \{1, ..., n\}$ and $\beta \colon A \to \{1, ..., m\}$, and bisections $B_a \in \mathcal{B}$ for $a \in A$ such that $K_i \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha(a)=i} s(B_a)$ for all iand $\bigsqcup_{\beta(a)=j} r(B_a) \subseteq V_j$ for all j.

In addition, we may arrange that $\bigsqcup_{\beta(a)=j} \overline{r(B_a)} \subseteq V_j$ and $\bigsqcup_{\beta(a)=j} \overline{r(B_a)}$ is compact for all j.

Proof. Assume first that $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g$. Choose compact subsets $K_i \subseteq U_i$. Lemma 4.12 gives us precompact $L_i, L'_i \in \mathcal{O}$ with $K_i \subseteq L_i \subseteq \overline{L_i} \subseteq L'_i \subseteq \overline{L'_i} \subseteq U_i$. Then $k := \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{L'_i} \in F(\mathcal{O})$ satisfies $k \ll f$. So $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g$ gives $b = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} 1_{B_p} \in F(\mathcal{B})$ with $k \leq s_*(b)$ and $r_*(b) \leq g$. The first inequality implies $\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\overline{L_i}} \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} 1_{s(B_p)}$. Then Lemma 4.13 gives precompact sets $W_{i,p}^0 \in \mathcal{O}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq p \leq \ell$ such that $\overline{L_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{p=1}^{\ell} W_{i,p}^0$ for all i and $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n W_{i,p}^0 \subseteq s(B_p)$ for all p. Lemma 4.12 gives us precompact $W_{i,p}^1 \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\overline{W_{i,p}^1} \subseteq W_{i,p}^0$ and $K_i \subseteq \bigcup_{p=1}^{\ell} W_{i,p}^1$ for all i. Now $r_*(b) \leq g$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} 1_{\overline{B_p}(W_{i,p}^1)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m 1_{V_j}$; here $B_p(W_{i,p}^1)$ is the set of r(g) for $g \in B_p$ with $s(g) \in W_{i,p}^1$, which is precompact. By Lemma 4.13 or by a much simpler argument, there are precompact $V'_i \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\overline{V'_i} \subseteq V_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} 1_{\overline{B_p}(W_{i,p}^1)} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m \overline{W_{i,p,j}^2}, \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \bigsqcup_{p=1}^\ell W_{i,p,j}^2 \subseteq V'_j$, and $\overline{W_{i,p,j}^2} \subseteq r(B_p)$ for all (i, p, j).

Let A be the set of triples (i, p, j) with $1 \le i \le n, 1 \le p \le \ell, 1 \le j \le m$, let $\alpha(i, p, j) = i, \beta(i, p, j) = j$, and let

 $B_{(i,p,j)} = W_{i,p,j}^2 \cdot B_p := \{g \in B_p : r(g) \in W_{i,p,j}^2\} \subseteq B_p.$

Then $r(B_{(i,p,j)}) = W_{i,p,j}^2$, so that

$$\bigsqcup_{\beta(i,p,j')=j}\overline{r(B_{(i,p,j')})}=\bigsqcup_{i,p}\overline{W_{i,p,j}^2}\subseteq V_j'\subseteq \overline{V_j'}\subseteq V_i$$

for all j. And

$$\bigcup_{\alpha(i',p,j)=i} s(B_{(i',p,j)}) \supseteq \bigcup_{p=1}^{\ell} W_{i,p}^1 \supseteq K_i.$$

Since $\overline{V'_j} \subseteq V_j$ is compact, so is $\bigsqcup_{\beta(i,p,j')=j} \overline{r(B_{(i,p,j')})} \subseteq V_j$. Now we assume the criterion in the proposition and prove, conversely, that $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g$. So we pick $k \ll f$. Proposition 4.6 gives precompact $X_p \in \mathcal{O}$ with $k = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} 1_{X_p}$ and $\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} 1_{\overline{X_p}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{U_i}$. Lemma 4.13 gives us precompact subsets $W_{p,i} \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\overline{X_p} \subseteq \bigcup_i W_{p,i}$ and $\bigsqcup W_{p,i} \subseteq U_i$. Lemma 4.12 gives precompact $W'_{p,i} \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\overline{W'_{p,i}} \subseteq W_{p,i}$ and $\overline{X_p} \subseteq \bigcup_i W'_{p,i}$. Let $K_i := \bigsqcup W'_{p,i}$. Then $k \leq \sum \mathbb{1}_{K_i} \ll f$. Now our criterion applied to $\overline{K_i} \subseteq U_i$ gives us a family of bisections $B_a \in \mathcal{B}$. Putting $b := \sum_{a \in A} 1_{B_a}$ we get $s_*(b) \ge \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\overline{K_i}}$ and $r_*(b) \le \sum_{j=1}^m 1_{V_j}$. The first inequality implies $k \ll s_*(b)$. So b witnesses that indeed $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g$.

Roughly speaking, the last proposition says that $f\precsim_{\mathcal{B}} g$ holds if and only if any kthat is way below f may be decomposed into finitely many, possibly overlapping pieces which may then be moved around by suitable bisections so as to assemble into something that is below g.

Lemma 4.20. The relation $\preceq_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a preorder.

Proof. It is clear that \precsim is reflexive, using a unit bisection for b. To prove that \precsim is transitive, we use the characterisation of $f \preceq g$ in Proposition 4.19. Let $f, g, h \in F(\mathcal{O})$ satisfy $f \preceq g$ and $g \preceq h$. Write $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{U_i}, g = \sum_{j=1}^{m} 1_{V_j}, h = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} 1_{W_k}$. Choose compact subsets $K_i \subseteq U_i$. Then Proposition 4.19 gives us a family of bisections $(B_a)_{a \in A}$ in \mathcal{B} and maps $\alpha \colon \underline{A} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}, \ \beta \colon A \to \{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $K_i \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha(a)=i} s(B_a)$ and $\bigsqcup_{\beta(a)=j} \overline{r(B_a)} \subseteq V_j$. Applying Proposition 4.19 to the compact subsets $\bigsqcup_{\beta(a)=j} \overline{r(B_a)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ gives a further family of bisections $(C_d)_{d\in D}$ in \mathcal{B} with maps $\gamma: D \to \{1, \ldots, m\}, \delta: D \to \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that $\bigsqcup_{\beta(a)=j} \overline{r(B_a)} \subseteq \bigcup_{\gamma(d)=j} s(C_d) \text{ for all } j \text{ and } \bigsqcup_{\delta(d)=k} \overline{r(C_d)} \subseteq W_k \text{ for all } k. \text{ Now we form the bisections } C_d \cdot B_a \text{ for all } (a,d) \in A \times D \text{ with } \beta(a) = \gamma(d). \text{ When we fix } a,$ then the sources of C_d for $\gamma(d) = \beta(a)$ cover $\bigsqcup_{\beta(a')=i} \overline{r(B_{a'})}$, which contains $r(B_a)$. Therefore, the union of the sources of $C_d \cdot B_a$ for all such d contains $s(B_a)$. Letting a run through $\alpha^{-1}(i)$, these sources cover all of K_i . The range of C_d is contained in $W_{\delta(d)}$, and these ranges for different d with fixed $\delta(d)$ are disjoint. Since the ranges of B_a for different a with $\beta(a) = \gamma(d)$ are disjoint as well, it follows that all $C_d \cdot B_a$ with fixed $\delta(d)$ and $\beta(a) = \gamma(d)$ have disjoint ranges. Therefore, the family of bisections $C_d \cdot B_a$ witnesses that $f \preceq h$.

Definition 4.21. Let $\approx_{\mathcal{B}}$ denote the equivalence relation on $F(\mathcal{O})$ defined by the preorder $\preceq_{\mathcal{B}}$, that is, $f \approx_{\mathcal{B}} g$ for $f, g \in F(\mathcal{O})$ if and only if $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g$ and $g \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} f$. The type semigroup of \mathcal{G} with respect to the inverse semigroup basis \mathcal{B} is defined as the quotient

$$S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) := F(\mathcal{O}) / \approx_{\mathcal{B}}$$

with the partial order $[f] \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} [g]$ if $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g$ and the addition [f] + [g] := [f + g] for $f, g \in F(\mathcal{O})$. The type semigroup is a well defined partially ordered Abelian monoid by Lemma 4.18.

Remark 4.22. When $\mathcal{G} = X$, then $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) = F(\mathcal{O})$ and $\preceq_{\mathcal{B}} is \leq .$

Remark 4.23. Let \mathcal{B} consist of all bisections of \mathcal{G} . The relation described in Proposition 4.19 is exactly the one used by Ma in [42, Definitions 4.3 and 4.4] to define the groupoid semigroup of \mathcal{G} . Therefore, our type semigroup specialises to Ma's ordered semigroup $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{G})$ in this case.

Remark 4.24. Let \mathcal{G} be ample and let \mathcal{B} be the family of compact open bisections. In that case, the criterion in Proposition 4.19 simplifies because we may take $K_i = U_i$. Even more, we may shrink the bisections so that their sources become disjoint as well. With the equivalence relation \approx defined by Rainone and Sims in [47, Definition 5.1], this says that $f \preceq g$ holds if and only if there are $f_2, h \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with $f \approx f_2$ and $f_2 + h = g$. There is a difference between the two type semigroups, however, because we identify f, g if $f \preceq g$ and $g \preceq f$, while Rainone and Sims use the potentially finer relation \approx .

In the ample case, if we insist to use only compact open bisections, then the type semigroup does not depend on the choice of \mathcal{B} any more:

Lemma 4.25. Let \mathcal{G} be an ample groupoid. Let $\mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ be the inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G} that consists of all compact open bisections, and let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_0$ be another inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G} . Then $S_{\mathcal{B}_0}(\mathcal{G}) \cong S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$.

Proof. The family \mathcal{O} of subsets of X belonging to \mathcal{B} is a basis for the topology and consists of compact open sets by assumption. Therefore, any compact open subset of X is a finite union of sets in \mathcal{O} . Since \mathcal{O} is closed under finite unions, this means that \mathcal{O} consists of all compact open subsets of X. If $U \in \mathcal{B}$, then any compact open subset $V \subseteq U$ is of the form $U \cdot s(V)$. Here $s(V) \in \mathcal{O}$ because it is compact and open. So $V = U \cdot s(V) \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence \mathcal{B} is closed under taking compact open subsets.

Next, we claim that any $U \in \mathcal{B}_0$ is a disjoint union of bisections in \mathcal{B} . Indeed, since \mathcal{B} is a basis and U is compact, U is a finite union of bisections in \mathcal{B} , and we can refine this to a disjoint union because \mathcal{B} is closed under compact open subsets. By this claim, $F(\mathcal{B}) = F(\mathcal{B}_0)$. This implies that the relations $\preceq_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\preceq_{\mathcal{B}_0}$ are the same. Then so are the type semigroups defined by \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}_0 .

The following lemma generalises [42, Proposition 6.1] to twisted non-Hausdorff groupoids. This is stated in [42] without a proof.

Lemma 4.26. Let $D = C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be the C^* -algebra for the twisted groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq S(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq Bis(\mathcal{G})$ be an inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G} that consists of bisections that trivialise the bundle \mathcal{L} . Suppose that the open supports of $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$, $(b_j)_{j=1}^m \subseteq C_0(X)^+$ belong to \mathcal{O} . If $\sum_{i=1}^n [1_{supp(a_i)}] \preceq \sum_{j=1}^m [1_{supp(b_j)}]$ in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n [a_i] \preceq \sum_{j=1}^m [b_j]$ in $W(D) \subseteq Cu(D)$.

Proof. We reduce to the case n = m = 1 by passing to the stabilised groupoid $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ and the stabilised algebra $D \otimes \mathbb{K}$ (see Lemma 3.8 and Remark 4.31). Thus let us assume that $a, b \subseteq C_0(X)^+$ are such that $\operatorname{supp}(a), \operatorname{supp}(b) \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\operatorname{supp}(a) \preceq \operatorname{supp}(b)$ in $F(\mathcal{O})$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and put $K := \operatorname{supp}(a - \varepsilon)_+$. There are bisections $W_1, \ldots, W_N \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^N s(W_k)$ and $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^N r(W_k) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(b)$. Let $(w_k)_{k=1}^N \subseteq C_c(X)$ be a partition of unity subordinate to the open covering $K \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^N s(W_k)$. Put $z_k := (a - \varepsilon)_+^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot w_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ s|_{W_i}^{-1} \in C_c(W_i)$, for $k = 1, \ldots, N$. Since W_i trivialises the bundle \mathcal{L} , we may identify $C_c(W_i)$ with $C_c(\mathcal{L}|_{W_i}) \subseteq D$. Then $z := \sum_{k=1}^N z_k \in D$. Since $r(W_i) \cap r(W_j) = \emptyset$ we get $z_i^* z_j = 0$ in D for $i \neq j$. Therefore,

$$z^* z = \sum_{k=1}^N z_k^* z_k = \sum_{k=1}^N (a - \varepsilon)_+ \cdot w_k = (a - \varepsilon)_+.$$

Since $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^{N} r(W_k) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(b)$, we get $zz^* \in bBb$. Thus $a \preceq b$ in D by (2.28). \Box

Corollary 4.27. Let D be an exotic C^* -algebra for the twisted groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq Bis(\mathcal{G})$ be an inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G} . Assume that the bisections in \mathcal{B} are σ -compact and trivialise the bundle \mathcal{L} . There is an order-preserving homomorphism $\Psi \colon S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \to W(D)$ with $\Psi([1_U]) = [a]$ for any $a \in C_0(X)^+$ with supp(a) = U. Remark 4.28. For an ample groupoid, the line bundle \mathcal{L} is trivialisable on any σ -compact Hausdorff subset Y of \mathcal{G} – and so the assumption in Corollary 4.27 that the σ -compact bisections in \mathcal{B} trivialise the bundle \mathcal{L} is empty. Indeed, since \mathcal{L} is locally trivial, we may cover Y by open subsets on which \mathcal{L} is trivialisable. We may refine this cover to one consisting of compact open subsets because the latter form a basis for the topology. Since Y is σ -compact, this cover has a countable subcover $(V_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. The compact open subsets V_n are closed and open because Y is Hausdorff. Hence the subsets $U_n := V_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} V_k$ form an open cover $(U_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of Y consisting of pairwise disjoint subsets. Gluing together trivialisations of \mathcal{L} on each piece U_n gives a trivialisation of \mathcal{L} on Y.

As a consequence, if \mathcal{G} itself is ample, Hausdorff and σ -compact, then \mathcal{L} is trivial globally and so the twist must come from a groupoid cocycle.

Remark 4.29. Assume that the twist \mathcal{L} restricts to a nontrivial line bundle on a bisection $W \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Then there is no reason to expect the relation $1_{s(W)} \simeq 1_{r(W)}$ in the Cuntz semigroup of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}|_W$ defines an element in the Cuntz semigroup of $C_0(r(W)) \subseteq C_0(X)$ that does not just come from an open subset of X. A section in $C_0(W, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ with support W provides an equivalence between this class and s(W) in the Cuntz semigroup of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. This relation leads us outside the range of the map from $F(\mathcal{O})$ to the Cuntz semigroup of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.

4.3. A definition using stabilisation and Morita equivalence. We will discuss another construction of the type semigroup, which for ample groupoids appeared (somewhat implicitly) in [5, 47]. One consequence is that after stabilising \mathcal{G} , we may arrange that $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) = \{1_U : U \in \mathcal{O}\} / \approx$, so the type semigroup consists only of generators \mathcal{O} .

Let \mathcal{R} be the full equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ regarded as a principal discrete groupoid with unit space $\mathcal{R}^{(0)} := \{(n, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ identified with \mathbb{N} . The *stabilisation* of the groupoid \mathcal{G} is the product groupoid $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G}) := \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}$. For our fixed basis \mathcal{B} and its sources \mathcal{O} , let $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O})$ be the set of finite unions of sets $U \times \{n\}, U \in \mathcal{O}, n \times \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}) := \mathcal{B} \times \{\{(n,m)\} : (n,m) \in \mathcal{R}\} \cup \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O}).$$

Then $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B})$ is an inverse semigroup basis for $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G})$ whose lattice of idempotents is $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O})$. The following is an analogue of [47, Proposition 5.7]:

Proposition 4.30. The map $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \to S_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}), [f] \mapsto [f \times 1_{(0,0)}]$, is an isomorphism of ordered monoids, and so

$$S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong S_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}) = \{[1_U] : U \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O})\} / \approx_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B})}.$$

Let $p: X \times \mathbb{N} \to X$ be the canonical projection. The inverse of the isomorphism above sends $[\tilde{f}]$ for $\tilde{f} \in F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O}))$ to $[p_*\tilde{f}]$ where $(p_*\tilde{f})(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{f}(x,n)$ for all $x \in X = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

Proof. Assume first that $f \preceq g$ in $F(\mathcal{O})$. For any $\tilde{k} \ll (f \times 1_{(0,0)})$, $\tilde{k} \in F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O}))$, we have $\tilde{k} = k \times 1_{(0,0)}$ where $k \ll f$ and $k \in F(\mathcal{O})$. Thus there is $b \in F(\mathcal{B})$ with $k \ll s_*b$ and $r_*b \ll g$. This implies $\tilde{k} \ll s_*(b \times 1_{(0,0)})$ and $r_*(b \times 1_{(0,0)}) \ll (g \times 1_{(0,0)})$. Hence $f \times 1_{(0,0)} \preceq g \times 1_{(0,0)}$ in $F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O}))$. Conversely, if $f \times 1_{(0,0)} \preceq g \times 1_{(0,0)}$ in $F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O}))$ and $k \ll f$, then there are $\tilde{b} \in F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}))$ with $k \times 1_{(0,0)} \ll s_*\tilde{b}$ and $r_*\tilde{b} \ll g \times 1_{(0,0)}$. The relation $r_*\tilde{b} \ll g \times 1_{(0,0)}$ implies that $\tilde{b}(\gamma \times (n,m)) = 0$ whenever $n \neq 0$. Thus putting $b(\gamma) := \tilde{b}(\gamma \times (0,0))$ we get $b \in F(\mathcal{B})$ satisfying $k \ll s_*b$ and $r_*b \ll g$. Hence $f \preceq g$ in $F(\mathcal{O})$.

This implies that the map $F(\mathcal{O}) \ni f \mapsto f \times 1_{(0,0)} \in F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O}))$ factors through an injective homomorphism of ordered monoids $\Psi \colon S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \to S_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$. Moreover,

if $U \in \mathcal{O}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $1_U \times 1_{(0,0)} = 1_{s(U \times \{(n,0)\})} \approx 1_{r(U \times \{(n,0)\})} = 1_U \times 1_{(n,n)}$. By additivity, this equivalence extends to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N} f_k \times 1_{(k,k)} \approx \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N} f_k\right) \times 1_{(0,0)} = p_* \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N} f_k \times 1_{(k,k)}\right) \times 1_{(0,0)},$$

for any $f_k \in F(\mathcal{O}), k = 1, ..., N, N \in \mathbb{N}$. As every element in $F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O}))$ is of the from $\sum_{k=0}^{N} f_k \times 1_{(k,k)}$, the map Ψ is surjective and its inverse is induced by p_* .

In particular, it follows that $[1_U \times 1_{(n,n)}] + [1_V \times 1_{(m,m)}] = [1_U \times 1_{(n,n)} + 1_V \times 1_{(n+m,n+m)}]$ for all $U, V \in \mathcal{O}, n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $S_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{N}^2) = \{[1_U] : U \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O})\}$.

Remark 4.31. It follows that one may define $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ by introducing the preorder relation on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O})$ where $U \preceq V$ if and only if for every $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O})$ with $K \ll U$ there are bisections $W_1, \ldots, W_N \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B})$, such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^N s(W_k)$ and $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^N r(W_k) \subseteq$ V. Passing to the quotient by the equivalence relation defined by \preceq we get an ordered Abelian monoid $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O})/\approx$, where

$$[U] + [V] := [U \oplus V],$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i \times \{i\} \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{m} V_j \times \{j\} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i \times \{i\} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m} V_{i-n} \times \{i\}$. Proposition 4.30 implies an isomorphism $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O})/\approx$ as ordered monoids.

Remark 4.32. We chose to define $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B})$ as above, as in a sense it is the smallest inverse semigroup basis for $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G})$ for which the natural isomorphism $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong S_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R})$ holds. Another good choice is

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}) := \{ U \times V \in \mathcal{B} \times \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{R}) : V \text{ is finite} \} \cup \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O}).$$

The proof of Proposition 4.30 also works for that and shows that the map $[f] \mapsto [f \times 1_{(0,0)}]$ yields an isomorphism $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}).$

We get the following version of [47, Corollary 5.8], which holds for not necessarily Hausdorff groupoids, and with our slightly different definition of the type semigroup.

Corollary 4.33. Let \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 be ample groupoids with σ -compact unit spaces, and let \mathcal{B}_1 , \mathcal{B}_2 be the bases of compact open subsets in \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 , respectively. If \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 are groupoid equivalent, then the type semigroups $S_{\mathcal{B}_1}(\mathcal{G}_1)$ and $S_{\mathcal{B}_2}(\mathcal{G}_2)$ are order isomorphic.

Proof. Follow the proof of [47, Corollary 5.8].

So in the ample case the type semigroup is invariant under Morita equivalence. The following example shows, however, that this fails for non-ample groupoids, at least if we require an isomorphism of type semigroups that preserves the canonical map Σ defined below. Here it does not matter which inverse semigroup basis we choose for our groupoids.

Let $\mathcal{G}^0/\mathcal{G}$ be the orbit space for the canonical \mathcal{G} -action on \mathcal{G}^0 , that is, for the equivalence relation defined by $s(g) \sim r(g)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$. If $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$, then we define a function on $\mathcal{G}^0/\mathcal{G}$ by summing over the \mathcal{G} -orbits in \mathcal{G}^0 :

$$\Sigma f: \mathcal{G}^0/\mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \qquad (\Sigma f)([x]) = \sum_{s(g)=x} f(r(g)).$$

Lemma 4.34. The map Σ descends to a well defined order-preserving map on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$, that is, $\Sigma f \leq \Sigma g$ if $f \preceq g$.

Proof. If $U \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\Sigma 1_{s(U)} = \Sigma 1_{r(U)}$. This implies $\Sigma s_*(b) = \Sigma r_*(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Therefore, if $f \preceq g$, then $\Sigma k \leq \Sigma g$ for all $k \ll f$. Since f is the supremum of $k \ll f$ by Proposition 4.10 and Σ preserves suprema for the order relation \leq on $F(\mathcal{O}), \Sigma f$ is the supremum of Σk for $k \ll f$. Therefore, $\Sigma f \leq \Sigma g$. Then $\Sigma f = \Sigma g$ follows if both $f \preceq g$ and $g \preceq f$. That is, Σ is well defined on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. \Box

Example 4.35. Let $\mathcal{G} = S^1$ be the circle, viewed as an étale groupoid with only identity arrows. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$ be the transformation groupoid for the action of \mathbb{Z} on \mathbb{R} by translations. Since this action is free and proper and its orbit space is identified with S^1 , these two groupoids are Morita equivalent. We claim that there cannot be any isomorphism between their type semigroups that intertwines the maps Σ to functions on the orbit spaces. Here it does not matter which inverse semigroup bases we pick for \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} . For \mathcal{G} , the relation \preceq simplifies to \leq because $s_*(b) = r_*(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Therefore, $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong F(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})$, and this consists of lower semicontinuous functions $S^1 \to \mathbb{N}$ by Proposition 4.3. Clearly, the map Σ for \mathcal{G} is just the identity map. The constant function 1 on the circle belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ for any choice of basis because S^1 is compact.

We claim, however, that the range of the map Σ for the groupoid $\mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ does not contain the constant function 1. Assume that there were $f \in F(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ with $\Sigma f = 1$. If $f(x) \geq 2$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then also $\Sigma f([x]) \geq 2$, so that $\Sigma f \neq 1$. Therefore, $0 \leq f \leq 1$. This makes f the characteristic function of some open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Since $\Sigma f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in S^1$, this open subset contains exactly one point from each orbit. So the orbit space projection $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z}$ restricts to a bijection $U \to S^1$. This bijection is also a local homeomorphism, hence a homeomorphism. So its inverse is a continuous section for the covering map $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z}$. But this does not exist. So our function f cannot exist.

This example suggests to look for another definition for a type semigroup for general étale groupoids, which would fix the problem in Example 4.35. We could, of course, replace open subsets by locally closed subsets, allowing half-open intervals. This is not a good choice, however, because it would destroy the connection to the Cuntz semigroup of the groupoid C^{*}-algebra, which is the main motivation to study the type semigroup.

5. Regular ideals and regular states

Throughout this section, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ is an inverse semigroup basis for an étale groupoid \mathcal{G} with locally compact Hausdorff object space X, and $\mathcal{O} = \{V \in \mathcal{B} : V \subseteq X\}$ as in Definition 4.1. We study analogues of closed ideals and lower semicontinuous states for $(S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}), \precsim)$ (see Definition 2.26). A technical issue here is that $(S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}), \precsim)$ need not be continuous and that the intrinsic way-below relation in $(S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}), \precsim)$ and the one in $(F(\mathcal{O}), \leq)$ seem to be unrelated. Since we want to use nice descriptions of closed ideals and lower semicontinuous states for the continuous monoid $(F(\mathcal{O}), \leq)$, we use the way-below relation \ll in $F(\mathcal{O})$ instead of working intrinsically in $(S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}), \precsim)$.

5.1. Regular ideals.

Definition 5.1. An ideal I in the type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is regular if $[f] \in S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ belongs to I whenever $[k] \in I$ for all $k \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with $k \ll f$.

Remark 5.2. When \mathcal{O} is the set of compact open subsets in an ample groupoid, then every ideal is regular because $f \ll f$ (see Remark 4.9). When $\mathcal{G} = X$, then $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) = F(\mathcal{O})$ and regular ideals are the same as closed ideals.

Example 5.3. Let $X = \mathbb{N}$ and let \mathcal{O} consist of all finite subsets and the whole space \mathbb{N} . The subset of $F(\mathcal{O})$ spanned by all 1_U for $U \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ finite is an ideal which is not regular. Its "closure" is the whole $F(\mathcal{O})$. If the condition in Definition 5.1 holds for one representative of [f], then it holds for all:

Lemma 5.4. Let I be an ideal in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ and let $x \in S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) there is $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with x = [f] such that if $k \ll f$, then $[k] \in I$.
- (2) for all $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with x = [f], if $k \ll f$, then $[k] \in I$.

Proof. We only need to show that (1) implies (2), as the converse implication is obvious. So assume that x = [f] = [f'] and that $[k] \in I$ whenever $k \ll f$. Let $k' \ll f'$. We want to show that $[k'] \in I$. Since $k' \ll f' \preceq f$, there is $b \in F(\mathcal{B})$ such that $k' \ll s_*(b)$ and $r_*(b) \ll f$ (see Remark 4.17). Let $k := r_*(b)$. Then $k' \preceq k \ll f$. By assumption, $[k] \in I$. Since I is \preceq -downward directed, this implies $[k'] \in I$. \Box

When \mathcal{O} is the set of compact open subsets in an ample groupoid, the following lemma reduces to [5, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 5.5. There is a bijection between regular ideals in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ and open \mathcal{G} -invariant subsets in X. It maps a regular ideal $I \subseteq S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ to $U_I := \bigcup_{f \in F(\mathcal{O}), [f] \in I} \operatorname{supp}(f)$, and it maps an open \mathcal{G} -invariant subset $U \subseteq X$ to the submonoid I_U of $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ generated by the image of $\mathcal{O}_U := \{V \in \mathcal{O} : V \subseteq U\}$. Moreover, I_U is isomorphic to $S_{\mathcal{B}_U}(\mathcal{G}_U)$ for $\mathcal{B}_U := \{V \in \mathcal{B} : V \subseteq \mathcal{G}_U\}$.

Proof. Let U be a \mathcal{G} -invariant open subset. Let I be the submonoid of $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ generated by \mathcal{O}_U . It consists of all the classes of $\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{V_i}$ with $V_i \subseteq U$. It is easy to see that this is a regular ideal and that $U = \bigcup_{f \in F(\mathcal{O}), [f] \in I} \operatorname{supp}(f)$. The identical inclusion $F(\mathcal{O}_U) \subseteq F(\mathcal{O})$ induces an order isomorphism $I \cong S_{\mathcal{B}_U}(\mathcal{G}_U)$ because the \precsim -relation among elements of I that holds in \mathcal{G} is already implemented using bisections in \mathcal{G}_U .

Conversely, let I be any regular ideal in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ and put

$$U_I := \bigcup_{f \in F(\mathcal{O}), [f] \in I} \operatorname{supp}(f).$$

We show that U_I is \mathcal{G} -invariant. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ with $s(\gamma) \in U$. Take any $[f] \in I$ with $s(\gamma) \in \operatorname{supp}(f)$. Write $f = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1_{U_k}$ with $U_k \in \mathcal{O}$. Since I is an ideal, it follows that $[1_{U_k}] \in I$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$. There is an index k_0 with $s(\gamma) \in U_{k_0}$. Using our assumptions on \mathcal{B} , we get a bisection $V \in \mathcal{B}$ containing γ with $s(V) \subseteq U_{k_0}$. Then $[1_{r(V)}] = [1_{s(V)}] \leq [1_{U_{k_0}}] \in I$. so $[1_{r(V)}] \in I$. Consequently, $r(\gamma) \in r(V) \subseteq U_I$. Thus U_I is \mathcal{G} -invariant. Now let $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$ be any element such that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq U_I$. Take any $k \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with $k \ll f$. Then $\overline{\operatorname{supp}(k)}$ is compact and contained in U_I . Thus there are $[f_1], \ldots, [f_n] \in I$ with $\operatorname{supp}(k) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{supp}(f_i)$. Then $k \leq \sum_{i=1}^n mf_i$ for sufficiently large m, and this implies $[k] \in I$. Since I is regular, this implies $[f] \in I$. Thus the whole submonoid generated by \mathcal{O}_{U_I} is also contained in I. Since any f with $[f] \in I$ is supported in U_I , the ideal I cannot be bigger than that either. This proves the bijection.

Corollary 5.6. There is a bijection between closed ideals in $F(\mathcal{O})$ and open subsets in X. It maps a closed ideal I in $F(\mathcal{O})$ to the open subset $U_I := \bigcup_{f \in I} \operatorname{supp}(f)$ and an open subset $U \subseteq X$ to the closed ideal $I_U := \{f \in F(\mathcal{O}) : \operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq U\}$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 5.5 to $\mathcal{G} = X$.

Corollary 5.7. Assume that \mathcal{O} consists of precompact subsets of X. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is simple;
- (2) $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ has no nontrivial regular ideals;

28 BARTOSZ KOSMA KWAŚNIEWSKI, RALF MEYER, AND AKSHARA PRASAD

(3) \mathcal{G} is minimal.

Proof. The implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is obvious, and (2) and (3) are equivalent by Lemma 5.5. So it suffices to show $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Assume that \mathcal{G} is minimal. Let $u, \vartheta \in S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \setminus \{0\}$. We need to show that $\vartheta \preceq nu$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume first that $u = [1_U]$ and $\vartheta = [1_V]$ for some $U, V \in \mathcal{O}$. As \mathcal{G} is minimal and \mathcal{B} is a basis for \mathcal{G} , we have $\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{B}} s(UW) = X$. As \overline{V} is compact, there are bisections $W_1, \ldots, W_n \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\overline{V} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n s(W_i)$ and $r(W_i) \subseteq U$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus $\vartheta \preceq n[1_U] = nu$. If $\vartheta \in S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is arbitrary, then $\vartheta = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j [1_{V_j}]$ for some $c_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V_j \in \mathcal{O}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$. By the above argument, for every $j = 1, \ldots, m$ there is $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $[1_{V_j}] \preceq n_j u$. This implies that $\vartheta \preceq \sum_{j=1}^m c_j n_j u$. Now, let $u \in S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\vartheta \in S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ be arbitrary. Then $u = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j [1_{U_j}]$ for some $c_j \ge 1$ and $U_j \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. By the above, there is $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\vartheta \preceq n_1[1_{U_1}] \le n \cdot u$.

Example 5.8. The precompactness assumption in Corollary 5.7 is important. For instance, the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is a minimal discrete groupoid with the unit space $X \cong \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \text{Bis}(\mathcal{R})$ contain all bisections. The span of $[1_U]$ where $U \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is finite yields a nontrivial (and an irregular) ideal in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{R})$, as it does not contain $1_{[\mathbb{N}]}$.

For any open \mathcal{G} -invariant subset $U \subseteq X$, there are restricted groupoids \mathcal{G}_U and $\mathcal{G}_{X\setminus U}$ and inverse semigroups

$$\mathcal{B}_U := \{ V \in \mathcal{B} : V \subseteq \mathcal{G}_U \} \qquad \mathcal{B}_{X \setminus U} := \{ V \setminus \mathcal{G}_U : V \in \mathcal{B} \}$$

of bisections of \mathcal{G}_U and $\mathcal{G}_{X\setminus U}$, respectively. Here \mathcal{B}_U and $\mathcal{B}_{X\setminus U}$ satisfy analogues of our standing assumption on \mathcal{B} . We already related \mathcal{B}_U with ideals in the type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ in Lemma 5.5. Now we turn to quotients.

Lemma 5.9. Let $C \subseteq X$ be a closed \mathcal{G} -invariant subset. Let $f, g \in F(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathcal{B}_C := \{V \cap \mathcal{G}_C : V \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Put $\mathcal{O}_C := \{V \cap C : V \in \mathcal{O}\}$. The following are equivalent:

(1) $f|_C \preceq_{\mathcal{B}_C} g|_C$ in $F(\mathcal{O}_C)$;

(2) for any $k \ll f$ there is $h \in F(\mathcal{O}_{X \setminus C})$ with $k \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g + h$.

If \mathcal{O} is the set of all compact open subsets or if $X \setminus C$ is a multiplier of \mathcal{O} , that is, if $V \in \mathcal{O}$ implies $V \setminus C \in \mathcal{O}$, then the above are further equivalent to

(3) there is $h \in F(\mathcal{O}_{X \setminus C})$ with $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g + h$.

Proof. Clearly, (3) always implies (2). If \mathcal{O} consists of compact open subsets, then (2) implies (3) because then we may take k = f. To prove that (2) implies (1) let $l \in F(\mathcal{O}_C)$ be such that $l \ll f|_C$. Then $\bar{l} \leq f$ and we may find $k \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with a precompact support and such that $\bar{l} \leq k \leq \bar{k} \leq f$. In particular, $k \ll f$. Then (2) gives $h \in F(\mathcal{O}_{X\setminus C})$ with $k \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g + h$. Hence $k|_C \preceq_{\mathcal{B}_C} g|_C$, and so there is $b \in F(\mathcal{B}_C)$ with $\bar{l} \leq s_* b$ and $\bar{r}_* \bar{b} \leq g|_C$. This proves that (2) implies (1).

Now assume (1). Take any $k \ll f$. Then $k|_C \ll g|_C$, so there is $b \in F(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\overline{k}|_C \leq s_*b$ and $\overline{r_*b}|_C \leq g$, and the support of b is precompact. The function $g - \overline{r_*b}$ is lower continuous, nonnegative on C and possibly negative on the compact subset $\operatorname{supp}(\overline{r_*b})$. Hence $D := (g - \overline{r_*b})^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_{<0})$ is a compact subset disjoint from C. Pick any precompact subset $U \in \mathcal{O}$ with $D \subseteq U \subseteq \overline{U} \subseteq X \setminus C$. Let $\tilde{b} := 1_U \cdot b = b|_{r^{-1}(U)} \in F(\mathcal{B})$. Then $\overline{k}|_C \leq s_*b|_C = s_*\tilde{b}|_C$ and $\overline{r_*b} \leq g$. Hence, replacing b by \tilde{b} , we have arranged that $\overline{k}|_C \leq s_*b$ and $\overline{r_*b} \leq g$.

The function $s_*b - \overline{k}$ is lower semicontinuous, and it takes nonnegative values on C and no negative values outside $\operatorname{supp}(\overline{k})$. Hence $E := (s_*b - \overline{k})^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})$ is a compact subset disjoint from C. Lemma 4.12 gives a precompact $V \in \mathcal{O}$ so that $E \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq X \setminus C$. Let n be any number not smaller than the maximum of $\overline{k} - s_* b$ and replace b by $b + n \mathbb{1}_U$. Then $\overline{k} \leq s_* b$ and $\overline{r_* b} \leq g + n \mathbb{1}_V$. Thus $h := n \mathbb{1}_V$ satisfies $h \in F(\mathcal{O}_{X \setminus C})$ and $k \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g + h$. This proves (2).

Now let $X \setminus C$ be a multiplier of \mathcal{O} . Then $\operatorname{supp}(f) \setminus C \in \mathcal{O}$ and so we may change the last step above by taking $V := \operatorname{supp}(f) \setminus C$ and letting n be the maximum of f. The function $h = n1_V \in F(\mathcal{O}_{X \setminus C})$ does not depend on k, and our proof shows $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g + h$. That is, in this case (1) implies (3). \Box

Corollary 5.10. Let $U \subseteq X$ be an open, \mathcal{G} -invariant subset and let $I := I_U$ be the corresponding regular ideal in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. The restriction of functions induces an order preserving surjective homomorphism $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})/I \twoheadrightarrow S_{\mathcal{B}_X \setminus U}(\mathcal{G}_X \setminus U)$. This is an isomorphism if \mathcal{O} is the set of compact-open subsets or if U is a multiplier of \mathcal{O} . In particular, this happens if \mathcal{O} is the whole topology or if \mathcal{O} is the set of all precompact subsets.

Proof. Clearly, $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g$ implies $f|_{X \setminus U} \preceq_{\mathcal{B}_{X \setminus U}} g|_{X \setminus U}$. Hence the restriction of functions induces a well defined order preserving, surjective homomorphism $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \twoheadrightarrow S_{\mathcal{B}_{X \setminus U}}(\mathcal{G}_{X \setminus U})$. Its kernel contains the congruence defined by the ideal $I \cong S_{\mathcal{B}_U}(\mathcal{G}_U)$. Therefore, it factors through a surjective homomorphism $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})/I \twoheadrightarrow S_{\mathcal{B}_{X \setminus U}}(\mathcal{G}_{X \setminus U})$. This is an isomorphism if and only if these two congruences coincide. By Lemma 5.9, this always happens when \mathcal{O} consists of compact open subsets or when U is a multiplier of \mathcal{O} .

5.2. **States.** We define analogues of lower semicontinuous states for the type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$, generalising [42, Definition 4.7]. We relate these with traces on groupoid C^{*}-algebras.

Definition 5.11. A state ν on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is regular if $\nu([f]) = \sup \{\nu([k]) : k \ll f\}$ for all $f \in F(\mathcal{O})$.

Remark 5.12. When \mathcal{O} is the set of compact open subsets, then every state is regular. When $\mathcal{G} = X$, then $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) = F(\mathcal{O})$ and regular states are the same as lower semicontinuous states.

Lemma 5.13. Let ν be a state on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) the state ν is regular;
- (2) $\nu([1_U]) = \sup \{\nu([1_V]) : V \in \mathcal{O} \text{ is precompact and } \overline{V} \subseteq U\} \text{ for all } U \in \mathcal{O};$
- (3) $\nu([1_{\bigcup U_{\alpha}}]) = \sup \nu(1_{U_{\alpha}})$ for any increasing net of open subsets $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\bigcup U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.6, $1_V \ll 1_U$ holds if and only if V is precompact and $V \subseteq U$. Therefore, (1) implies (2). The converse also holds because of Proposition 4.3 and the description of \ll in Proposition 4.6. The approximation property in Proposition 4.10 shows that 1_U for any $U \in F(\mathcal{O})$ is the supremum of the directed net of $1_V \ll 1_U$. Since this supremum is just the union, (3) implies (2). Conversely, assume (2) and let (U_α) be a net as in (3). Let $x < \nu[1_U]$. First, (2) gives $V \ll U$ with $\nu[1_V] > x$. Secondly, the definition of \ll gives α with $V \subseteq U_\alpha$ and hence $\nu[1_{U_\alpha}] \ge \nu[1_V] > x$. Thus (2) implies (3).

In the following proof we use a version of Riesz's Theorem that we prove in Appendix B.

Theorem 5.14. Let \mathbb{E} : $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathfrak{B}(X)$ be the canonical generalised expectation for the reduced C^{*}-algebra of a twisted étale groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Let \mathcal{B} be any inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G} . There are bijections between the sets of

(1) lower semicontinuous traces τ on $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ that factor through \mathbb{E} (see Proposition 3.14);

30 BARTOSZ KOSMA KWAŚNIEWSKI, RALF MEYER, AND AKSHARA PRASAD

- (2) \mathcal{G} -invariant regular Borel measures μ on X;
- (3) regular states ν on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$.

The bijections are characterised by the conditions $\nu([1_U]) = \mu(U)$ for $U \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\tau(f) = \int_X \mathbb{E}(f) \, \mathrm{d}\mu$ for $f \in \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^+$. For the corresponding objects:

- (a) τ is a tracial state if and only if μ is a probability measure if and only if $\sup \{\nu([1_U]) : U \in \mathcal{O}\} = 1;$
- (b) τ is semifinite if and only if µ is locally finite (a Radon measure) if and only if ν([1_U]) < ∞ for every precompact U ∈ O;</p>
- (c) if $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, then τ is faithful if and only if μ has full support if and only if ν is faithful.

Proof. The correspondence between the objects in (1) and (2) is proved in Proposition 3.14. We are going to relate the objects in (2) and (3). First let μ be a \mathcal{G} -invariant regular Borel measure. Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{U_i}$ and $g = \sum_{j=1}^{m} 1_{V_j} \in F(\mathcal{O})$ with $f \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} g$. Let $k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{K_i}$ with compact $K_i \subseteq U_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then there are bisections $W_1, \ldots, W_N \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $k \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1_{s(W_i)}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1_{r(W_i)} \leq g$. Since μ is \mathcal{G} -invariant,

$$\int k \,\mathrm{d}\mu \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(s(W_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(r(W_i)) \leq \int g \,\mathrm{d}\mu.$$

This implies $\int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu$ because μ is regular. This shows that the formula $\nu([f]) := \int f d\mu$ gives a well defined, order-preserving map $\nu : S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \to [0, +\infty]$. So ν is a state that satisfies $\mu(U) = \nu([1_U])$ for all $U \in \mathcal{O}$. Since μ is regular as a measure, so is ν as a state by Lemma 5.13.

Conversely, let ν be a state on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. Define $\mu: \mathcal{O} \to [0, \infty]$ by $\mu(U) := \nu([1_U])$ for $U \in \mathcal{O}$. Clearly, $\mu(\emptyset) = \nu([0]) = 0$ and $\mu(U_1) \leq \mu(U_2)$ if $U_1 \subseteq U_2$, because then $1_{U_1} \leq 1_{U_2}$, so that $1_{U_1} \precsim 1_{U_2}$. Similarly, $1_{U_1 \cup U_2} \leq 1_{U_1} + 1_{U_2}$ implies $\mu(U_1 \cup U_2) \leq \mu(U_1) + \mu(U_2)$, and both of these are equalities when $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. Hence μ is a dimension function in the sense of Definition B.1. Since ν is regular as a state, μ is regular as a dimension function. Hence μ extends uniquely to a regular Borel measure on X by Theorem B.3. If $V \in \mathcal{B}$, then $1_{s(V)} \approx_{\mathcal{B}} 1_{r(V)}$ and thus $\mu(s(V)) = \mu(r(V))$. Since \mathcal{B} covers \mathcal{G} , the measure μ is \mathcal{G} -invariant by Lemma 3.12. This proves the main part of the assertion. The statements (a)–(c) follow from

Proposition 3.14.

Remark 5.15. Theorem 5.14 applied to $\mathcal{G} = X$ gives a bijection between lower semicontinuous weights on $C_0(X)$, regular Borel measures on X, and regular (or, equivalently, lower semicontinuous) states on $F(\mathcal{O})$.

 \square

Corollary 5.16. Assume that $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ admits a nontrivial regular state and that \mathcal{O} consists of σ -compact subsets. Then there is a nonzero hereditary subalgebra of $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ that has a tracial state. If $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is simple, it has a faithful, semifinite, lower semicontinuous trace. If X is compact and $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is simple, then it has a faithful tracial state.

Proof. Let ν be a nontrivial regular state on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. Then there is $U \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\nu(1_U) \in (0, \infty)$. Dividing ν by $\nu(1_U)$, we may assume that $\nu(1_U) = 1$. There is $f_U \in C_0(X)^+$ with open support U because U is σ -compact. By Lemma 3.3, $f_U C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) f_U \cong C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{L}_U)$ where $(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{L}_U)$ is the twisted groupoid restricted to U. Since $U \in \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and \mathcal{B} is an inverse semigroup, $\mathcal{B}_U := \{W \in \mathcal{B} : W \subseteq \mathcal{G}_U\}$ is equal to $\{UWU : W \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Thus \mathcal{B}_U is an inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G}_U . Its set of idempotents is $\mathcal{O}_U := \{V \in \mathcal{O} : V \subseteq U\}$. We have a natural isomorphism of ordered monoids from $S_{\mathcal{B}_U}(\mathcal{G}_U)$ onto the submonoid of $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ generated by \mathcal{O}_U (this is the isomorphism from Lemma 5.5 if U is \mathcal{G} -invariant). Assuming the

identification $S_{\mathcal{B}_U}(\mathcal{G}_U) \subseteq S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$, ν restricts to a regular state on $S_{\mathcal{B}_U}(\mathcal{G}_U)$ with sup $\{\nu(1_V)|V \in \mathcal{O}_U\} = \nu(1_U) = 1$. Now Theorem 5.14 gives a tracial state on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{L}_U) \cong f_U C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) f_U$. If $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is simple, then $f_U C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) f_U$ is Morita– Rieffel equivalent to $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. So the tracial state on $f_U C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) f_U$ is necessarily faithful and it transfers to a faithful semifinite lower semicontinuous trace on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ (see [17]). The latter trace is finite if $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is unital. \Box

Next we describe a "regularisation procedure" that extends [42, Proposition 4.8].

Proposition 5.17. Every state ν on the monoid $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ induces a regular state determined by

$$\overline{\nu}([1_U]) = \sup \{ \nu([1_V]) : V \in \mathcal{O} \text{ is precompact and } \overline{V} \subseteq U \},\$$

for all $U \in \mathcal{O}$. In particular, ν is regular if and only if $\nu = \overline{\nu}$.

Proof. We define the map $\overline{\nu} \colon S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \to [0, +\infty]$ by the formula

$$\overline{\nu}([f]) := \sup \{ \nu([k]) : k \in F(\mathcal{O}) \text{ and } k \ll f \}.$$

Clearly, $\overline{\nu}([f]) + \overline{\nu}([g]) \leq \overline{\nu}([f] + [g])$ for any $f, g \in F(\mathcal{O})$. To show the reverse inequality take any $k \ll f + g$. By Corollary 4.15 there are $k_1 \ll f$ and $k_2 \ll g$ such that $k \ll k_1 + k_2$ in $F(\mathcal{O})$. Then

$$\nu([k]) \le \nu([k_1 + k_2]) = \nu([k_1]) + \nu([k_2]) \le \overline{\nu}([f]) + \overline{\nu}([g]).$$

Therefore, $\overline{\nu}([f] + [g]) \leq \overline{\nu}([f]) + \overline{\nu}([g])$. This proves that $\overline{\nu}$ is additive.

Let $f \preceq g$. Then for any $k \ll f$ there is $b \in F(\mathcal{B})$ with $k \ll s_*b$ and $r_*b \ll g$. Then

$$\nu([k]) \le \nu([s_*b]) = \nu([r_*b]) \le \overline{\nu}([g]).$$

Hence $\overline{\nu}([f]) \leq \overline{\nu}([g])$. That is, $\overline{\nu}$ is monotone (order-preserving). So $\overline{\nu}$ is a state. To see that $\overline{\nu}$ is regular, take any $U \in \mathcal{O}$. For every $V \in \mathcal{O}$ with $V \ll U$ there is $W \in \mathcal{O}$ with $V \ll W \ll U$ by Corollary 4.11. Then $\nu(V) \leq \overline{\nu}(1_W)$, and hence $\overline{\nu}([1_U]) = \sup_{V \ll U} \nu([1_V]) \leq \sup_{W \ll U} \overline{\nu}([1_W])$. Thus $\overline{\nu}([1_V]) = \sup_{W \ll U} \overline{\nu}([1_W])$ and so $\overline{\nu}$ is regular by Lemma 5.13.

The induced state $\overline{\nu}$ may become trivial even if ν is not. The next two examples show that this may happen even when X is compact or when \mathcal{G} is minimal. The next lemma says, however, that it cannot happen if we have both of these properties.

Example 5.18. Let $\mathcal{G} = X = \mathbb{T}$ and put

 $\mathcal{O} := \{ U \subseteq \mathbb{T} \text{ open} : \overline{U} \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\} \text{ or } U = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\} \text{ or } 1 \in U \}.$

Define $\nu([1_U])$ to be 0 if $\overline{U} \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$, 1 if $U = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$, and ∞ otherwise. Then $\overline{\nu}$ is 0 if $\overline{U} \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$ and ∞ otherwise. Hence $\overline{\nu}$ is trivial, although ν is not. Since all open subsets in X are \mathcal{G} -invariant, there are infinitely many of them.

Example 5.19. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{R} = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ be the full equivalence relation (a minimal principal discrete groupoid) and let \mathcal{B} consist of all finite bisections and the unit space $X \cong \mathbb{N}$. Let ν be the (finite) state on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{R})$ defined by $\nu([1_X]) = 1$ and $\nu([1_U]) = 0$ when $U \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is finite. Then $\overline{\nu} \equiv 0$.

Lemma 5.20. Assume that \mathcal{O} consists of precompact subsets and that X has only finitely many \mathcal{G} -invariant open subsets. Then the regular state associated to a nontrivial state on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ as in Proposition 5.17 is again nontrivial.

Proof. Let ν be a nontrivial state for $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ and let $\overline{\nu}$ be the regular state it induces. By the assumption there is an ascending sequence $\emptyset = X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_n = X$ of \mathcal{G} -invariant open subsets of X such that for each $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ there are no open \mathcal{G} -invariant subsets between X_k and X_{k+1} ; equivalently, the restriction of \mathcal{G} to $X_{k+1} \setminus X_k$ is minimal. The proof is by induction on n.

32 BARTOSZ KOSMA KWAŚNIEWSKI, RALF MEYER, AND AKSHARA PRASAD

For n = 1, the groupoid \mathcal{G} is minimal, and then $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is simple by Corollary 5.7. Thus ν is faithful and finite by Lemma 2.15. This readily implies that $\overline{\nu}$ is nontrivial.

Now let n > 1 and assume that the assertion holds for n - 1. Put $\mathcal{O}_0 := \{U \in \mathcal{O} : \nu([1_U]) = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{n-1} := \{U \in \mathcal{O} : U \subseteq X_{n-1}\}$. If $\mathcal{O}_{n-1} \not\subseteq \mathcal{O}_0$, then $\overline{\nu}$ is nontrivial by the induction hypotheses applied to $\mathcal{G}_{X_{n-1}}$ and \mathcal{O}_{n-1} (we may identify $S_{\mathcal{B}_{X_{n-1}}}(\mathcal{G}_{X_{n-1}})$ with an ideal in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ by Lemma 5.5). Thus we may assume that $\mathcal{O}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_0$. Then $X_{n-1} = \bigcup \mathcal{O}_0$ because $\bigcup \mathcal{O}_0$ is a nontrivial open \mathcal{G} -invariant subset containing X_{n-1} and X_{n-1} is a maximal proper subset with these properties. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{n-1} = \mathcal{O}_0$. Accordingly, for each $U \in \mathcal{O}$ we get

$$U \not\subseteq X_{n-1} \iff 0 < \nu([U]).$$

Now take any $U \in \mathcal{O}$ with $0 < \nu([U]) < \infty$. By the above equivalence, there is a compact subset $K \subseteq U$ with $K \not\subseteq X_{n-1}$, and hence also a precompact $V \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $K \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U$ (see Lemma 4.12). Then $0 < \nu([1_V]) \leq \overline{\nu}([1_U]) \leq \nu([1_U]) < \infty$. Hence $\overline{\nu}$ is nontrivial.

Proposition 5.21 (Tarski's Theorem for regular states). Assume that the type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is almost unperforated. An element $[f] \in S$ is not paradoxical if and only if there is a regular state $\nu: S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \to [0, \infty]$ with $\nu([f]) = 1$.

Proof. We first show a sufficient condition for an element to be paradoxical. Assume that for all $k \ll f$ and all states ν on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ with $\nu([f]) = 1$ we have $\nu(2[k]) < \nu([f])$. Then by Theorem 2.16, for each $k \ll f$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n+1)2[k] \preceq n[f]$. This implies $2k \preceq f$ because $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is almost unperforated. This implies that $2f \preceq f$ (see Remark 4.17), so f is paradoxical.

Thus if $[f] \in S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is not paradoxical, then there is $k \ll f$ and a state ν with $\nu([f]) = 1$ such that $\nu([f]) \leq 2\nu([k])$. Since $k \preceq f$, this implies that $0 < \nu([f])/2 \leq \nu([k]) \leq \nu([f]) = 1$. Therefore, the regular state $\overline{\nu}$ defined in Proposition 5.17 satisfies $0 < \overline{\nu}([f]) < \infty$. Thus normalising $\overline{\nu}$ in [f] gives the desired state. \Box

Corollary 5.22. If the type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is almost unperforated, then $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ admits a nontrivial state if and only if it admits a regular nontrivial state.

Proof. Combine Corollary A.5 and Proposition 5.21.

Corollary 5.23. Assume that \mathcal{O} consists of σ -compact subsets and that $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is almost unperforated. If for any twist \mathcal{L} the algebra $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is purely infinite, then $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is purely infinite.

Proof. Assume $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is not purely infinite. By almost unperforation, $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \setminus \{0\}$ contains an element that is not paradoxical. Thus by Proposition 5.21, $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ has a nontrivial state. Hence by Corollary 5.16 a hereditary subalgebra of $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ has a tracial state, which implies that $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is not purely infinite. \Box

Corollary 5.24. Let \mathcal{B} be an inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G} such that \mathcal{O} consists of compact open subsets or \mathcal{O} is the whole topology or \mathcal{O} consists of all precompact subsets. An element $[f] \in S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is properly infinite if and only if $[f|_C]$ is infinite in $S_{\mathcal{B}_C}(\mathcal{G}_C)$ for every closed \mathcal{G} -invariant $C \subseteq X$ with $\operatorname{supp}(f) \cap C \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $I := I_{X \setminus C}$ be the ideal in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ corresponding to the open \mathcal{G} -invariant subset $X \setminus C$. Thus $[f] \notin I$ if and only if $\operatorname{supp}(f) \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Corollary 5.10 provides a surjective homomorphism $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})/I \twoheadrightarrow S_{\mathcal{B}_C}(\mathcal{G}_C)$. So if $\operatorname{supp}(f) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and [f] is properly infinite in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$, then its image in $S_{\mathcal{B}_C}(\mathcal{G}_C)$ is properly infinite. Conversely, if [f] is not properly infinite, then by Lemma 2.3, $[f] \notin I([f])$ and the image of [f]is finite in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})/I([f])$. It follows from the definition of I([f]) that this is a regular ideal. Hence $I([f]) \cong S_{\mathcal{B}_U}(\mathcal{G}_U)$ for an open \mathcal{G} -invariant subset $U \subseteq X$ by Lemma 5.5. Put $C := X \setminus U$. Then $\operatorname{supp}(f) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ because $[f] \notin I([f])$, and $[f|_C]$ is finite in $S_{\mathcal{B}_C}(\mathcal{G}_C)$ because $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})/I([f]) \cong S_{\mathcal{B}_C}(\mathcal{G}_C)$ by Corollary 5.10. \Box

5.3. Type semigroups for ample groupoids. For a while, we restrict attention to the case where \mathcal{G} is a (not necessarily Hausdorff) *ample groupoid*. Equivalently, \mathcal{G} is an étale groupoid with totally disconnected unit space $X := \mathcal{G}^0$. We also assume the inverse semigroup basis \mathcal{B} to be the family of all compact open bisections. Thus

$$\mathcal{O} := \{ U \subseteq X : U \text{ is compact open} \}$$

forms a basis for topology of X (and a ring of sets). We are going to relate our type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ to the type semigroup $S(\mathcal{G})$ introduced in [14, 47] (assuming \mathcal{G} to be Hausdorff, but their equivalent constructions work without that restriction). In [14], $S(\mathcal{G})$ is defined as the quotient of the free semigroup $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$ by the equivalence relation \sim , where $(U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n) \sim (V_1, \ldots, V_m)$ if there is a collection of compact open bisections W_1, \ldots, W_l in $\mathcal{G}, l \in \mathbb{N}$, and natural numbers $n_1, \ldots, n_l, m_1, \ldots, m_l$ such that

$$\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n} U_{i} \times \{j\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{l} s(W_{i}) \times \{n_{i}\} \text{ and } \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m} V_{i} \times \{i\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n} r(W_{i}) \times \{m_{i}\}.$$

This is a congruence relation on $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$, and the quotient semigroup $S(\mathcal{G}) := \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}/\sim$ is an Abelian monoid called the type semigroup of \mathcal{G} in [14, Definition 5.1]. An equivalent structure was defined in [47] as the quotient of the Abelian monoid $F(\mathcal{O}) = C_c(X, \mathbb{Z})^+$ by the equivalence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{G}}$, where

$$(5.25) f \sim_{\mathcal{G}} g \iff \exists_{b \in F(\mathcal{B})} f = s_*b, r_*b = g$$

It is shown in [47] that this is a congruence relation on the monoid $C_c(X,\mathbb{Z})^+$ and that

$$S(\mathcal{G}) \cong C_{c}(X,\mathbb{Z})^{+}/\sim_{\mathcal{G}}$$

with the isomorphism sending the equivalence class of 1_U to the equivalence class of U, for every $U \in \mathcal{O}$. Write [f] for the $\sim_{\mathcal{G}}$ -equivalence class of $f \in C_c(X, \mathbb{Z})^+$. Then the addition on $S(\mathcal{G})$ is defined by [f] + [g] := [f + g]. The monoid structure induces an algebraic preorder: write $[f] \leq [g]$ if there is $h \in C_c(X, \mathbb{N})$ with [f] + [h] = [g].

Example 7.6 shows that $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ and $S(\mathcal{G})$ may fail to be isomorphic. Nevertheless, they are closely related:

Proposition 5.26. Assume that \mathcal{G} is ample and let \mathcal{B} be the family of compact open bisections.

- The identity map on C_c(X, Z)⁺ factors to a surjective monoid homomorphism Ψ: S(G) → S_B(G). In fact, S_B(G) ≅ S(G) and Ψ is the quotient map S(G) → S(G). In particular, [f] ≤ [g] if and only if Ψ([f]) ≍ Ψ([g]) for all f, g ∈ C_c(X, Z)⁺.
- (2) S(G) is almost unperforated or has plain paradoxes if and only if S_B(G) has this property. Moreover, [f] is paradoxical, infinite or properly infinite in S(G) if and only if Ψ([f]) has this property in S_B(G).
- (3) There are natural bijections between ideals in $S(\mathcal{G})$ and in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$.
- (4) There are natural bijections between states on S(G) and S_B(G) and regular G-invariant Borel measures on X.
- (5) $S(\mathcal{G})$ admits a nontrivial, faithful or finite state, respectively, if and only if $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ admits such a state, if and only if there is a nontrivial, fully supported or locally finite regular \mathcal{G} -invariant Borel measure on X.
- (6) If there is a G-invariant Radon measure on X with full support (equivalently, S(G) or S_B(G) admits a faithul finite state), then Ψ is an isomorphism: S(G) ≅ S_B(G).

Proof. (1): Clearly, $f \sim_{\mathcal{G}} g$ implies $f \approx_{\mathcal{B}} g$ for $f, g \in C_c(X, \mathbb{Z})^+$. This gives the surjective homomorphism $\Psi: S(\mathcal{G}) \twoheadrightarrow S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. The equivalence of $[f] \leq [g]$ and $\Psi([f]) \preceq \Psi([g])$ is proved in [42, Proposition 5.11]. This implies $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong \widetilde{S(\mathcal{G})}$.

(2): This readily follows from (1), see Remarks 2.2 and 2.21 and recall that $S(\mathcal{G})$ is conical.

(3): This follows from $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong S(\mathcal{G})$ and a general fact, namely, Remark 2.12.

(4): The bijection between the states on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ and regular \mathcal{G} -invariant Borel measures on X is given by Theorem 5.14. The bijection between states on $S(\mathcal{G})$ and $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is described in Remark 2.12.

(5): The correspondence between nontrivial and finite states readily follows from (4). When it comes to faithful states, one also needs to use the second part of (1) and that $S(\mathcal{G})$ is conical (see Remark 2.13).

(6): This follows from Remark 2.14, as by (5) the algebraically ordered monoid $S(\mathcal{G})$ admits a faithful finite state.

Remark 5.27. It follows that in the ample case in many results one may replace the semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ with $S(\mathcal{G})$. This may be useful because $S(\mathcal{G})$ is always a refinement monoid (see [5]).

Finally, we comment on the groupoid version of the strict comparison mentioned in Remark 2.17. The regular ideal in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ generated by [g] is the ideal corresponding to the smallest open \mathcal{G} -invariant subset containing $\operatorname{supp}(g)$. This set is $r(\mathcal{G}\operatorname{supp}(g))$. So [f] is in this ideal if and only if $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq r(\mathcal{G}\operatorname{supp}(g))$. We generalise the comparison property defined for ample groupoids in [5] (also called groupoid comparison in [42], which works well only in the minimal case) to general étale groupoids as follows:

Definition 5.28. We say that the groupoid \mathcal{G} has dynamical comparison if the following happens for all open subsets $U, V \subseteq X$: if $U \subseteq r(\mathcal{G}V)$ and $\mu(U) < \nu(V)$ for all regular \mathcal{G} -invariant measures μ on X with $\nu(V) < \infty$, then $1_U \preceq 1_V$, that is, for any $K \ll U$ there are open bisections W_1, \ldots, W_N , such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^N s(W_k)$ and $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^N r(W_k) \subseteq V$. We say that \mathcal{G} has stable dynamical comparison if its stabilisation $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ has dynamical comparison.

Remark 5.29. By the preceding discussion and Theorem 5.14, \mathcal{G} has dynamical comparison if and only if the following happens for any $[1_U], [1_V] \in S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$: if $[1_U]$ is contained in the regular ideal generated by $[1_V]$ and $\nu([1_U]) < \nu([1_V])$ for all regular states ν on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ with $\nu([1_V]) < \infty$, then $[1_U] \preceq [1_V]$. Since $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong S_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{N}^2)$ (by Proposition 4.30), stable dynamical comparison for \mathcal{G} is equivalent to the above condition for all elements in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. So this is a "regular almost unperforation".

Proposition 5.30. Assume \mathcal{G} is ample and let \mathcal{B} be the family of all compact open bisections. The following are equivalent:

- (1) the groupoid \mathcal{G} has stable dynamical comparison;
- (2) the monoid $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is almost unperforated;
- (3) the monoid $S(\mathcal{G})$ is almost unperforated.

If \mathcal{G} is minimal and σ -compact, then the above are further equivalent to

(4) the groupoid \mathcal{G} has dynamical comparison.

Proof. For ample groupoids, the adjective "regular" is vacuous. Thus Remark 5.29 implies $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$ and Proposition 5.26.(2) implies $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$. The implication $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ is obvious.

Assume now that \mathcal{G} is minimal and σ -compact. If the unit space X is compact, the implication $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ is proved in [5, Proposition 3.10] (assuming the groupoids to be Hausdorff, but this assumption is not used to prove this proposition). We now

explain why the compactness assumption is not needed. So assume (4), where X need not be compact. Then we may pick any nonempty compact open subset $K \subseteq X$. The restriction \mathcal{G}_K is minimal, σ -compact itself and still has dynamical comparison. Thus all conditions (1)–(4) hold for \mathcal{G}_K . However, since \mathcal{G} is minimal, the groupoids \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}_K are equivalent. Hence the type semigroups for \mathcal{G}_K and \mathcal{G} are isomorphic by Corollary 4.33. Thus all conditions (1)–(4) hold also for \mathcal{G} . \Box

Remark 5.31. The equivalence $(3) \Leftrightarrow (4)$ in the minimal and second countable case is [5, Theorem A]. It is an analogue of a celebrated result by Rørdam [52] on the equivalence between strict comparison and almost unperforation of the Cuntz semigroup for unital simple separable exact C*-algebras. By [5, Theorem C], if every restriction of \mathcal{G} to a closed invariant subset is almost finite, then the equivalent conditions (1)–(4) hold.

6. Pure infiniteness and stable finiteness

We first rephrase the pure infiniteness criteria for $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ obtained in [37] in terms of the type semigroup:

Theorem 6.1. Let \mathcal{G} be an étale, residually topologically free locally compact groupoid with a locally compact Hausdorff unit space X and let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be an essentially exact twist over \mathcal{G} . Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq Bis(\mathcal{G})$ be an inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G} that consists of σ -compact bisections that trivialise the twist \mathcal{L} and let $\mathcal{O} := \{s(W) : W \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Assume one of the following conditions:

- (i) there are only finitely many \mathcal{G} -invariant open subsets of X;
- (ii) \mathcal{O} consists of compact open subsets or, equivalently, X is totally disconnected;
- (iii) the compact open subsets in \mathcal{O} separate the \mathcal{G} -invariant open subsets of X.

If the monoid $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is purely infinite, then the C^{*}-algebra C^{*}_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) is purely infinite and has the ideal property.

Proof. For each σ -compact subset $U \in \mathcal{O}$, there is $f_U \in C_0(X)^+$ with open support U. The family $\mathcal{F} = (f_U)_U \subseteq C_0(X)^+$ fills $C_0(X)$ by [37, Example 2.30]. If $U \in \mathcal{O}$ is σ -compact and $[1_U]$ is properly infinite in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$, then f_U is properly infinite in $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ by Corollary 4.27. A similar conclusion can be inferred from [37, Lemmas 5.19 and 5.26]. So every element in \mathcal{F} is properly infinite in $C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Thus the assertion follows from [37, Theorem 1.(3)] (see also [37, Theorems 2.37 and 5.8]).

Theorem 6.2. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be an exact, twisted groupoid such that \mathcal{G} is residually topologically free and $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = C_{ess}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ (see Proposition 3.2). Suppose that \mathcal{B} satisfies the same assumptions as in Theorem 6.1. If $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is almost unperforated (in cases (i), (ii) it suffices to assume that $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ has plain paradoxes), then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) the C^{*}-algebra $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is purely infinite;
- (2) the C^{*}-algebra $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is purely infinite and has the ideal property;
- (3) no hereditary C^{*}-subalgebra of $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ admits a tracial state;
- (4) there are no nontrivial regular \mathcal{G} -invariant Borel measures on X;
- (5) the semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ admits no nontrivial regular state;
- (6) the semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ admits no nontrivial state;
- (7) every nonzero element in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is paradoxical;
- (8) the monoid $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is purely infinite.

Proof. Hereditary subalgebras of purely infinite algebras are purely infinite by [29, Proposition 4.17], and hence traceless. Thus $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$. The implication $(3) \Rightarrow (5)$ follows from Corollary 5.16, and $(4) \Leftrightarrow (5)$ by Theorem 5.14. If $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is almost

unperforated, then the equivalence $(5)\Leftrightarrow(6)$ is Corollary 5.22. If (ii) holds, the equivalence $(5)\Leftrightarrow(6)$ is trivial and if (i) holds, this follows from Lemma 5.20. This covers this equivalence under the assumptions in the theorem. The equivalence $(6)\Leftrightarrow(7)$ is Tarski's Theorem. And (7) implies (8) if and only if $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ has plain paradoxes, which we assume. Finally, Theorem 6.1 gives $(8)\Rightarrow(2)$, and this implies (1).

Remark 6.3. If \mathcal{G} is ample, then Theorem 6.2 holds with $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ replaced by the refinement monoid $S(\mathcal{G})$. Then one can replace the assumption of almost unperforation with some weaker notions described in Remark 2.20. In [46], a number of cases were established when the type semigroup $S(\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda})$ of the groupoid coming from a higher-rank graph Λ is almost unperforated or even unperforated. This holds, for instance, when Λ is strongly connected and row-finite with no sources. Ara and Exel constructed an action of a free group on a Cantor set with an element in the type semigroup $S(\mathcal{G})$ which is paradoxical but not properly infinite (see [6, Corollary 7.12]). It is not known if this phenomenon may occur also when the action is residually topologically free, exact and every element in the associated type semigroup is paradoxical. In other words, it is not known whether the conditions (8) and (1) in Theorem 6.2 remain equivalent without assumptions on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ or $S(\mathcal{G})$. This question is particularly relevant when \mathcal{G} is minimal (see Proposition 7.9 below).

Let D be an exotic C^{*}-algebra for the twisted groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, that is, the canonical map factors through quotient maps $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \twoheadrightarrow D \twoheadrightarrow C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. As noted above, if some $U \in \mathcal{O}$ is properly infinite in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$, then there is a properly infinite element in D. Now we will notice that if there are paradoxical elements in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$, then there are properly infinite elements in the stabilised C^{*}-algebra $D \otimes \mathbb{K}$:

Proposition 6.4. Suppose that D is an exotic C^* -algebra for the twisted groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq Bis(\mathcal{G})$ be any inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G} that consists of σ -compact subsets that trivialise the bundle \mathcal{L} . If $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ contains a paradoxical element, then $D \otimes \mathbb{K}$ contains a properly infinite element.

If, in addition, D is simple and there is a paradoxical $[f] \in S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ with precompact supp(f), then D is not stably finite, that is, $D \otimes \mathbb{K}$ contains an infinite projection.

Proof. If $[f] \in S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is paradoxical, then l[f] is properly infinite in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Lemma 2.9). This corresponds to $U \in K(\mathcal{O})$ such that $[1_U]$ is properly infinite in $S_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{N}^2) \cong S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ (see Proposition 4.30). Taking any $a \in C_0(X \times \mathbb{N}) \cong C_0(X) \otimes c_0 \subseteq D \otimes \mathbb{K}$ with $\operatorname{supp}(a) = U$ we conclude that a is properly infinite in $D \otimes \mathbb{K}$ by Corollary 4.27. Assume, in addition, that D is simple and that f has precompact support. Then $D \otimes \mathbb{K}$ is a stable simple C*-algebra and a is in Pedersen's ideal of $D \otimes \mathbb{K}$ because $\operatorname{supp}(a) = U$ is precompact. So $D \otimes \mathbb{K}$ contains an infinite projection by [12, Theorem 1.2].

This proposition together with the dichotomy for ordered monoids leads to the following characterisation when a simple twisted groupoid C^* -algebra is stably finite:

Theorem 6.5. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be a twisted groupoid such that the C^{*}-algebra C^{*}_r $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is simple. Let \mathcal{B} be any inverse semigroup basis for \mathcal{G} that consists of precompact, σ -compact bisections that trivialise the bundle \mathcal{L} . The following are equivalent:

- (1) $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ admits a faithful semifinite lower semicontinuous trace;
- (2) $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is stably finite;
- (3) there are no paradoxical elements in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$;
- (4) there is a nonzero element in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ which is not paradoxical;
- (5) $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ admits a nontrivial state;
- (6) $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ admits a nontrivial, finite and faithful state;

- (7) $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ admits a nontrivial regular state;
- (8) $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ admits a nontrivial regular, finite and faithful state;
- (9) there is a nontrivial regular \mathcal{G} -invariant Borel measure on X;
- (10) there is a nontrivial, locally finite regular *G*-invariant Borel measure on X with full support.

If, in addition, \mathcal{G} is second countable and $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is nuclear, which for Hausdorff \mathcal{G} is equivalent to \mathcal{G} being amenable, then the properties (1)–(10) are all equivalent to

(11) the C^{*}-algebra $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is quasidiagonal.

Proof. If $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is simple, then \mathcal{G} is minimal. Hence the monoid $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is simple by Corollary 5.7 (here we use that elements in \mathcal{O} are precompact). Therefore, every nontrivial state on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ has to be finite and faithful by Lemma 2.15. So $(5) \iff (6)$ and $(7) \iff (8)$. Similarly, (9) and (10) are equivalent because any \mathcal{G} -invariant Borel measure on X is locally finite and has full support because \mathcal{G} is minimal. Conditions (5) and (7) are equivalent by Lemma 5.20, while (7) and (9) are equivalent by Theorem 5.14.

Corollary 5.16 shows that (7) implies (1), and it is well-known that (1) implies (2) (see [13, Remark 2.27.(viii)]). That (2) implies (3) follows from Proposition 6.4, and (3) obviously implies (4). Condition (4) implies (5) by Tarski's Theorem, Theorem A.5.

It is well known that (11) implies (2). Conversely, (7) and Corollary 5.16 imply that $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ contains a nonzero hereditary subalgebra H with a faithful trace. Since H is nuclear and separable it is quasidiagonal by [57, Theorem B]. As H is stably isomorphic to $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, we conclude that $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is also quasidiagonal. \Box

Remark 6.6. If \mathcal{G} is ample and \mathcal{B} is the family of compact open bisections, then Theorem 6.5 holds with $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ replaced by $S(\mathcal{G})$ (see Proposition 5.26). Also, if the equivalent conditions in this theorem hold, then $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong S(\mathcal{G})$. In this way, Theorem 6.5 generalises the corresponding results in [14, 47].

In the stably finite case, the type semigroup is usually complicated, especially if one takes \mathcal{O} to be the whole topology (like in [42]). An appropriate choice of \mathcal{O} may sometimes simplify things:

Example 6.7. Consider the irrational rotation algebra $A_{\theta} := \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ for some $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, obtained from the action of \mathbb{Z} on the unit circle \mathbb{T} by $x \to xe^{2\pi i\theta}$. It is modeled by the transformation groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\theta} := \mathbb{T} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{O} := \{(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{T} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ be the set of all arcs with rational endpoints. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}$, then $1_{\alpha} \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} 1_{\beta}$ if and only if $|\alpha| < |\beta|$ or $\alpha = \beta$. Thus $\alpha \approx_{\mathcal{B}} \beta$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta$, and so $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}_{\theta}) = F(\mathcal{O})$. The order on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}_{\theta})$, however, is induced by the length of arcs, as described above for generators. In particular, for any nonzero $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq n|\alpha|$ and so $1_{\mathbb{T}} \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} n1_{\alpha}$. Hence $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}_{\theta})$ is simple. Up to scaling, there is a unique nontrivial state on $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}_{\theta})$, which is determined by $\nu(1_{\alpha}) = |\alpha|$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$. In accordance with Theorem 6.5, this state corresponds to the unique tracial state on $A_{\theta} \cong C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\theta})$.

We now give a sample series of dichotomy results that follow from the above theorems.

Corollary 6.8. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be a twisted groupoid with \mathcal{G} minimal and topologically free. Let \mathcal{B} be an inverse semigroup basis consisting of precompact, σ -compact subsets that trivialise the twist \mathcal{L} . Assume that there is no $f \in C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^+ \setminus \{0\}$ for which $\{x \in X : \mathbb{E}(f)(x) \neq 0\}$ is meagre. Assume that the type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ has plain paradoxes. Then $C^*_r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is simple and either purely infinite or stably finite. In the latter case, it admits a faithful semifinite lower semicontinuous trace, and even a tracial state if X is compact. *Proof.* By Propositions 3.2 and 3.7, $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = C_{ess}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is simple. Hence it is either purely infinite or stably finite by Corollary 2.22 and Theorems 6.2 and 6.5. \Box

Corollary 6.9. Assume that \mathcal{G} is an étale groupoid such that $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is simple and there is an inverse semigroup basis \mathcal{B} of precompact σ -compact bisections such that $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ has plain paradoxes. Then $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is either purely infinite or stably finite.

Proof. If $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is simple, then $C^*(\mathcal{G}) = C^*_r(\mathcal{G}) = C^*_{ess}(\mathcal{G})$, and the groupoid is minimal and topologically free by [36, Theorem 7.29] and Lemma 3.3. Hence the assertion is a special case of Corollary 6.8.

Corollary 6.10. Let \mathcal{G} be a minimal, topologically free, ample groupoid. Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ be an inverse semigroup basis consisting of compact, regular open subsets as in Proposition 3.2. Assume that the type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ has plain paradoxes. Then $\operatorname{C}^*_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L})$ is simple for any twist \mathcal{L} over \mathcal{G} . Either $\operatorname{C}^*_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{L})$ is purely infinite for all twists \mathcal{L} or it is stably finite for all twists \mathcal{L} .

Proof. Since \mathcal{B} consists of compact, regular open subsets, every compact open subset in \mathcal{G} is regular open. Hence $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = C_{ess}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ for any twist \mathcal{L} by Proposition 3.2. This is simple by Proposition 3.7. In view of Lemma 4.25, the type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ remains unchanged if we replace \mathcal{B} by another inverse semigroup basis consisting of compact open subsets. By Theorem 6.2, $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ fails to be purely infinite for a twist \mathcal{L} if and only if $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ admits a nontrivial state. Then $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ admits a lower semicontinuous trace by Theorem 6.5. Here the same case of the dichotomy occurs for all \mathcal{L} because $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ does not depend on \mathcal{L} .

Finally, we phrase our dichotomy for simple groupoid C^{*}-algebras in terms of Cartan inclusions. Let $A \subseteq B$ be a nondegenerate *regular* C^{*}-*inclusion*, that is, AB = B and B is the closed linear span of the *normalisers* of A:

$$\mathcal{N}_A(B) := \{ b \in B : bAb^* \subseteq A \text{ and } b^*Ab \subseteq A \}.$$

Assume also that $A \cong C_0(X)$ is commutative. The inclusion $A \subseteq B$ is *Cartan* if and only if there is a unique faithful conditional expectation $E: B \to A$ (see [35, Theorem 7.2 and Corollary 7.6]). Then A is a maximal abelian subalgebra in B. After tensoring with the standard diagonal c_0 of \mathbb{K} , $A \otimes c_0$ is also a regular maximal abelian subalgebra in $B \otimes \mathbb{K}$. Let $\mathcal{K}(B)$ denote the Pedersen ideal of B. Then $\mathcal{K}(A) = C_c(X), \ \mathcal{K}(c_0) = c_{00}$ is the ideal of sequences with finite support, and $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{F}$ is the ideal of finite rank operators. Thus the inclusion $\mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0) \subseteq$ $\mathcal{K}(B) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{K})$ translates to $C_c(X \times \mathbb{N}) \cong C_c(X, c_{00}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(B) \otimes \mathbb{F}$. The family

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}(A)}^{\mathrm{stab}}(\mathcal{K}(B)) := \{ b \otimes k : b \in \mathcal{N}_A(B), \ k \in \mathcal{N}_{c_0}(\mathbb{K}) \text{ and } bb^* \otimes k^*k \in \mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0) \}$$

consists of simple tensors in $\mathcal{K}(B) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{K}) \subseteq B \otimes \mathbb{K}$ that are normalisers of $\mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0) \cong C_c(X \times \mathbb{N})$ (in particular $bb^* \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ implies that $b \in \mathcal{K}(A)B \subseteq \mathcal{K}(B)$).

For $a, b \in (\mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0))^+ \cong C_c(X \times \mathbb{N})^+$, we write $a \preceq b$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $b_1, \ldots b_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}(A)}^{\mathrm{stab}}(\mathcal{K}(B))$ such that

(6.11)
$$(a-\varepsilon)_+ \leq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^* b_i, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n b_i b_i^* \leq b \quad \text{and} \quad b_j^* b_i = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

We also write $a \approx b$ if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$.

Proposition 6.12. Let $A \subseteq B$ be a Cartan inclusion. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be the twisted groupoid that models the inclusion $A \subseteq B$ and let \mathcal{B} be the inverse semigroup basis consisting of all σ -compact and precompact bisections that trivialise the bundle \mathcal{L} . The relation \approx defined above is an equivalence relation on $(\mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0))^+$ and the corresponding quotient set $W(A, B) = \{[a] : a \in (\mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0))^+\}$ is isomorphic to $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ as an ordered abelian monoid with the operations $[a] + [b] = [a \oplus b]$ and $[a] \leq [b]$ if and only if $a \leq b$, for $a, b \in \mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0)$.

Proof. Replacing $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}(A)}^{\mathrm{stab}}(\mathcal{K}(B))$ by $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}(A)}^{\mathrm{stab}}(\mathcal{K}(B)) \cup (\mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0))$ does not change the relation \preceq on $\mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0))^+$. Indeed, assume that (6.11) holds for $b_1, \ldots b_n \in \mathcal{N}$. Assume that b_i for some i belongs to $\mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0) = C_c(X \times \mathbb{N})$. Then $b_i = \sum_{k \in F} a_k \otimes 1_k$ for a finite subset $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $a_k \in C_c(X)$ for $k \in F$. Let $b_{i,k} := a_k \otimes 1_k$ for $k \in F$. Then $b_i^* b_i = b_i b_i^* = \sum_{k \in F} b_{i,k}^* b_{i,k} = \sum_{k \in F} b_{i,k} b_{i,k}^*$ and $b_{i,k}^{(*)} b_{i,l}^{(*)} = 0$ for $k \neq l$. Thus the relations (6.11) remain unchanged if we replace b_i by the family of $b_{i,k} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}(A)}^{\mathrm{stab}}(\mathcal{K}(B))$ for all $k \in F$. The operations inherited from the C*-algebra $B \otimes \mathbb{K}$ make \mathcal{N} a *-semigroup.

By assumption, $B = C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{G} has unit space X. By Lemma 3.8), $B \otimes \mathbb{K}$ is modelled by the stabilised twisted groupoid $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ with the unit space $X \times \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathcal{B} be the inverse semigroup basis as in the statement. We consider the inverse semigroup basis $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{B}) = \{U \times V \in \mathcal{B} \times \text{Bis}(\mathcal{R}) : V \text{ is finite}\} \cup \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O}) \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}$ from Remark 4.32, and we use the stabilised picture $S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}) = \{[1_U] : U \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O})\}/\approx_{\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{B})} \text{ of } S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \text{ (see Proposition 4.30). Then } \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{B}) \text{ consists of precompact,}$ σ -compact bisections of $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}$ that trivialise the bundle $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. There is a natural injective bounded linear map $C_r^*(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \subseteq C_0(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ under which multiplication and involution are given by the same formulas as on $C_c(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ (see, for instance, [9, Proposition 3.15]). We claim that the map

$$\varphi \colon \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}), \qquad n \mapsto \operatorname{supp}(n),$$

is well defined and surjective. Indeed, if $b \in \mathcal{N}_A(B)$ and $b^*b \in \mathcal{K}(A) = C_c(X)$, then supp(b) is a σ -compact open bisection as an open support of a normaliser section. It is also precompact because $s(\operatorname{supp}(b)) = \operatorname{supp}(b^*b)$ is precompact. Conversely, for any $U \in \mathcal{B}$ there is a section $b \in C_0(U, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq B$ with $\operatorname{supp}(b) = U$ so that $b \in \mathcal{N}_A(B)$ and $b^*b \in \mathcal{K}(A)$. In particular, there is a surjection

 $\{k \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{K}) : k^*k \in \mathcal{K}(c_0) = \mathbb{F}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{V \in \operatorname{Bis}(\mathcal{R}) : V \text{ is finite}\}, \qquad k \mapsto \operatorname{supp}(k).$

Thus the map $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}(A)}^{\text{stab}}(\mathcal{K}(B)) \to \{U \times V \in \mathcal{B} \times \text{Bis}(\mathcal{R}) : V \text{ is finite}\}\ \text{defined by } b \otimes k \mapsto \text{supp}(b \otimes k) = \text{supp}(b) \times \text{supp}(k)\ \text{is surjective. So is the map } \mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0) \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O})\ \text{defined by } \sum_{k \in F} a_k \otimes 1_k \mapsto \text{supp}(\sum_{k \in F} a_k \otimes 1_k) = \bigcup_{k \in F} \text{supp}(a_k) \times \{k\}.\ \text{This proves our claim.}$

It is readily seen that the surjection φ preserves multiplication and involution. This implies that if $a, b \in (\mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0))^+ = C_c(X \times \mathbb{N})^+$, then $a \preceq b$ if and only if $\operatorname{supp}(a) \preceq \operatorname{supp}(b)$ in the sense described in Remark 4.31. Hence \preceq is a preorder and the surjection $\mathcal{K}(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(c_0) \to F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O}))/\approx$ with $a \mapsto [1_{\operatorname{supp}(a)}]$ descends to an order-preserving bijection $W(A, B) \cong S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. The addition in $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ transfers to the addition on W(A, B) as in the assertion.

Corollary 6.13. Let $A \subseteq B$ be a Cartan inclusion and let W(A, B) be the associated ordered abelian monoid described above. Then B is simple if and only if W(A, B) is simple. Assume this. Then B is stably finite if and only if W(A, B) has a nontrivial state. If W(A, B) is purely infinite, then so is B, and the converse holds if W(A, B) has plain paradoxes.

Proof. By Corollary 5.7, $W(A, B) \cong S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is simple if and only if \mathcal{G} is minimal, which is, in turn, equivalent to $B = C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ being simple because \mathcal{G} is topologically free and Hausdorff. The remaining part follows from Theorems 6.1 and 6.5. \Box

For a C*-inclusion $A \subseteq B$, let $\mathbb{I}^B(A) := \{J \cap A : J \text{ is an ideal in } B\}$ denote the lattice of restricted ideals. Recall that Kumjian's C*-diagonals [31] are special Cartan inclusions.

Corollary 6.14. Let $A \subseteq B$ be a C^{*}-diagonal and let W(A, B) be the associated ordered abelian monoid. Assume that B is nuclear and either that $\mathbb{I}^B(A)$ is finite or that projections in A separate ideals in $\mathbb{I}^B(A)$. If W(A, B) is purely infinite, then B is purely infinite. If W(A, B) is almost unperforated, then B is purely infinite if and only if W(A, B) is purely infinite (and otherwise it has a nontrivial lower semicontinuous trace).

Proof. Since $A = C_0(X) \subseteq B$ is a C*-diagonal, we may assume $B = C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, where \mathcal{G} is principal with unit space X. By Theorem [34, Proposition 6.19], an ideal in $C_0(X)$ is restricted if and only if the underlying open subset in X is \mathcal{G} -invariant, that is,

$$\mathbb{I}^{B}(A) = \{ \mathcal{C}_{0}(U) : U \text{ is open } \mathcal{G}\text{-invariant} \}$$

Since *B* is nuclear, \mathcal{G} is amenable. Hence $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is exact and residually topologically free. Thus the assertion follows from Theorems 6.1 and 6.2.

7. Self-similar group actions on graphs and Exel-Pardo Algebras

We fix a self-similar action of a group Γ on a directed graph as defined in [21]. Thus we let $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ be a row-finite directed graph without sources, and we assume that Γ is a group acting on E by graph automorphisms and equipped with a restriction map $\Gamma \times E^1 \ni (g, e) \mapsto g|_e \in \Gamma$ satisfying

$$(gh)|_e = g|_{he}h|_e, \qquad g|_e s(e) = gs(e) \qquad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma, e \in E^1.$$

Let E^* be the set of finite paths and E^{∞} the set of infinite paths in E. The Γ -action on E extends uniquely to Γ -actions on E^* and E^{∞} such that $g(e\mu) = (ge)(g|_e\mu)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$, $e \in E^1$ and $\mu \in E^* \cup E^{\infty}$ with $s(e) = r(\mu)$ (see [21, Propositions 2.4 and 8.1]). We define an inverse semigroup

$$S_{\Gamma,E} := \{ (\alpha, g, \beta) \in E^* \times \Gamma \times E^* : s(\alpha) = gs(\beta) \} \cup \{0\},\$$

with the operations

$$(\alpha, g, \beta)(\gamma, h, \delta) = \begin{cases} (\alpha(g\epsilon), g|_{\epsilon}h, \delta) & \text{if } \gamma = \beta\epsilon\\ (\alpha, g(h^{-1}|_{\epsilon})^{-1}, \delta(h^{-1}\epsilon)), & \text{if } \beta = \gamma\epsilon,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$(\alpha, g, \beta)^* = (\beta, g^{-1}, \alpha).$$

In particular, $(\alpha, g, \beta)(\beta \epsilon, h, \delta) = (\alpha(g\epsilon), g|_{\epsilon}h, \delta)$. This inverse semigroup acts naturally on E^{∞} , where the partial homeomorphism corresponding to an element $(\alpha, g, \beta) \in S_{\Gamma, E}$ has the cylinder set $Z(\beta) := \{\beta \eta : \eta \in E^{\infty}\}$ as its domain and sends $\beta \eta$ to $\alpha(g\eta)$. We let

$$\mathcal{G} := S_{\Gamma,E} \ltimes E^{\infty}$$

be the associated transformation groupoid. We denote the appropriate equivalence class of $(\alpha, g, \beta; \xi)$ by $[\alpha, g, \beta; \xi]$. Then

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ [\alpha, g, \beta; \xi] : (\alpha, g, \beta) \in S_{\Gamma, E} \text{ and } \xi \in Z(\beta) \}$$

with the multiplication

$$[\alpha, g, \beta; \beta\xi][\gamma, h, \delta; \delta\eta] = [(\alpha, g, \beta)(\gamma, h, \delta); \delta\eta]$$

whenever $\beta \xi = \gamma(h\eta)$, and the inverse $[\alpha, g, \beta; \beta \xi]^{-1} = [\beta, g^{-1}, \alpha; \alpha(g\xi)]$. A basis for an étale topology on \mathcal{G} is generated by the compact open bisections

$$Z(\alpha, g, \beta) := \{ [\alpha, g, \beta; \xi] : \xi \in Z(\beta) \}, \qquad (\alpha, g, \beta) \in S_{\Gamma, E}$$

(see [21, Proposition 9.4]). In particular, the source and range of $Z(\alpha, g, \beta)$ are $Z(\beta)$ and $Z(\alpha)$, respectively. The unit space $X = \{[r(\xi), 1, r(\xi); \xi] : \xi \in E^{\infty}\}$ is naturally identified with E^{∞} .

Since the range map $r: E^{\infty} \to E^0$ is proper and surjective, the composition operator

$$\tau(f) := f \circ r, \qquad f \in \mathcal{C}_{c}(E^{0}, \mathbb{N})$$

is a well defined injective monoid homomorphism $\tau \colon C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N}) \to C_c(E^\infty, \mathbb{N})$. This homomorphism is determined by the formula $\tau(1_w) = 1_{Z(w)}$ for all $w \in E^0$. We want to describe the pull back of the dynamical preorder $\preceq_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $C_{c}(E^{\infty}, \mathbb{N})$ induced by the inverse semigroup \mathcal{B} of compact open bisections of \mathcal{G} , via the map τ . We will also describe the pull back of the equivalence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{G}}$ given by (5.25), considered in [47] (and stronger than the relation $\approx_{\mathcal{B}}$ induced by $\preceq_{\mathcal{B}}$). To this end, for any $f: E^0 \to \mathbb{N}$ and n > 0 we define $\Theta^n(f): E^0 \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\Theta^n(f)(v) \coloneqq \sum_{\lambda \in E^n v} f(r(\lambda)).$$

This defines an additive map $\Theta^n \colon C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N}) \to C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N})$ with

$$\Theta^{n}(1_{\{w\}}) = \sum_{v \in E^{0}} |wE^{n}v| 1_{\{v\}} \quad \text{for } w \in E^{0}.$$

Lemma 7.1. For any $f \in C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N})$,

- (1) if n > 0, then $\tau(f) \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \tau(\Theta^{n}(f))$; (2) if $g \in \Gamma$ and $g \cdot f(v) := f(g^{-1}v)$, then $\tau(g \cdot f) \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \tau(f)$; (3) if $\tau(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} 1_{Z(\beta_{i})}$ for $\beta_{i} \in E^{n}$, i = 1, ..., m, then $\Theta^{n}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} 1_{s(\beta_{i})}$.

Proof. (1): Since Θ^n and τ are additive and $\sim_{\mathcal{G}}$ respects addition, it suffices to consider $f = 1_{\{w\}}$ for a vertex $w \in E^0$. We may enumerate paths in wE^n as $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^m$, where $m := |wE^n| < \infty$. Then $\Theta^n(1_{\{w\}}) = \sum_{i=1}^m 1_{s(\alpha_i)}$ and, therefore, using the bisections $Z(\alpha_i, e, s(\alpha_i)), i, \ldots, m$, we get

$$\tau(\Theta^n(1_{\{w\}})) = \sum_{i=1}^m \tau(1_{s(\alpha_i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^m 1_{Z(s(\alpha_i))} \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \sum_{i=1}^m 1_{Z(\alpha_i)} = 1_{Z(w)} = \tau(1_w).$$

(2): As in (1), we may assume that $f = 1_{\{w\}}$. Then $g \cdot f = 1_{\{gw\}}$. Hence using the bisection Z(qw, q, w) we get

$$\tau(f) = \mathbb{1}_{Z(w)} \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \mathbb{1}_{Z(gw)} = \tau(g \cdot f).$$

(3): Write an element in E^{∞} as $\lambda' \mu$ for some $\lambda' \in E^n r(\mu)$ and $\mu \in E^{\infty}$. Then $f(r(\lambda')) = \tau(f)(\lambda'\mu) = |\{i : \lambda' = \beta_i\}|$. Using this we get

$$\tau(\Theta^{n}(f))(\lambda\mu) = \Theta^{n}(f)(r(\lambda)) = \sum_{\lambda' \in E^{n}r(\lambda)} f(r(\lambda')) = \sum_{\lambda' \in E^{n}r(\lambda)} |\{i : \lambda' = \beta_{i}\}|$$
$$= |\{i : s(\beta_{i}) = r(\lambda)\}| = \sum_{i} \mathbb{1}_{Z(s(\beta_{i}))}(\lambda\mu) = \tau\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}_{s(\beta_{i})}\right)(\lambda\mu).$$
ce τ is injective, we get $\Theta^{n}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}_{s(\beta_{i})}.$

Since τ is injective, we get $\Theta^n(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{s(\beta_i)}$.

Definition 7.2. For any $f, \tilde{f} \in C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N})$ we write:

- (1) $f \sim_{\Theta} \tilde{f}$ if there are $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Theta^p(f) = \Theta^q(\tilde{f})$;
- (2) $f \sim_{\Gamma} \tilde{f}$ if there are $g \in \Gamma$ such that $f(v) = \tilde{f}(gv)$ for all $v \in E^0$;
- (3) $f \sim \tilde{f}$ if there are $f_1, \ldots, f_n, \tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_n, \tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_n \in C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N})$ such that $f_i \sim_{\Gamma} \tilde{f}_i \sim_{\Theta} \tilde{\tilde{f}}_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } f \sim_{\Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{f}_i \sim_{\Theta} \tilde{f}_i;$
- (4) $f \preceq \tilde{f}$ if there are $f_1, \ldots, f_n, \tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_n, \tilde{\tilde{f}}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\tilde{f}}_n, \tilde{\tilde{f}} \in C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N})$ such that $f_i \sim_{\Gamma} \tilde{f}_i \sim_{\Theta} \tilde{\tilde{f}}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $f \sim_{\Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\tilde{f}}_i \leq \tilde{\tilde{f}} \sim_{\Theta} \tilde{f}$. (5) $f \approx \tilde{f}$ if $f \preceq \tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{f} \preceq f$.

Proposition 7.3. Let \mathcal{B} be the inverse semigroup of compact open bisections in the groupoid $\mathcal{G} = S_{\Gamma,E} \ltimes E^{\infty}$. For any $f, \tilde{f} \in C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N}), f \preceq \tilde{f}$ if and only if $\tau(f) \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} \tau(\tilde{f})$. Similarly, $f \sim \tilde{f}$ if and only if $\tau(f) \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \tau(\tilde{f})$ as in (5.25).

Proof. We only show the first equivalence, as the proof readily simplifies to give the second one. Assume that $f \preceq \tilde{f}$ and let $f_1, \ldots, f_n, \tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_n, \tilde{\tilde{f}}_1 \ldots, \tilde{\tilde{f}}_n, \tilde{\tilde{f}} \in C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N})$ be elements witnessing that. By (1) and (2) of Lemma 7.1 and because τ is monotone, we get

$$\tau(f) \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \tau\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{f}_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau(\tilde{f}_{i}) \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau(\tilde{f}_{i})$$
$$\sim_{\mathcal{G}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau\left(\tilde{f}_{i}\right) = \tau\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{f}_{i}\right) \leq \tau\left(\tilde{f}\right) \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \tau(\tilde{f}).$$

Since both $\sim_{\mathcal{G}}$ and \leq are stronger than $\prec_{\mathcal{B}}$, this implies that $\tau(f) \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} \tau(f)$.

Conversely, assume that $\tau(f) \preceq_{\mathcal{B}} \tau(\tilde{f})$. By Definition 4.16, this means that there are $b = \sum_{i} 1_{Z(\alpha_{i},g_{i},\beta_{i})} \in F(\mathcal{B}) = C_{c}(\mathcal{G},\mathbb{N})$ (here $\alpha_{i},\beta_{i} \in E^{*}, g_{i} \in \Gamma$ and $s(\alpha_{i}) = g_{i}s(\beta_{i})$ for each i) such that $\tau(f) \leq \sum_{i} 1_{Z(\beta_{i})}$ and $\sum_{i} 1_{Z(\alpha_{i})} \leq \tau(\tilde{f})$. By multiplying each $Z(\alpha_{i},g_{i},\beta_{i})$ with the support of $\tau(f)$, which is compact open, we arrange that

$$\tau(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{Z(\beta_i)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{Z(\alpha_i)} \le \tau(\tilde{f}).$$

Moreover, we may assume that all β_i have the same length, say $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, putting $p := \max_{i=1,...,n} |\beta_i|$ and enumerating paths in each finite set $s(\beta_i) E^{p-|\beta_i|}$ as $\{\gamma_{i,j}\}_j$ we get that $\{g_i\gamma_{i,j}\}_j$ enumerate elements in $s(\alpha_i)E^{p-|\beta_i|}$, and therefore $1_{Z(\beta_i)} = \sum_j 1_{\beta_i\gamma_{i,j}}$ and $1_{Z(\alpha_i)} = \sum_j 1_{\alpha_i g_i\gamma_{i,j}}$. Thus it suffices to replace each β_i by $\beta_i\gamma_{i,j}$, each α_i by $\beta_i g_i\gamma_{i,j}$, and g_i by $g_i|_{\gamma_{i,j}}$. Then Lemma 7.1.(3) gives

$$\Theta^p(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{s(\beta_i)}.$$

As $g_i s(\beta_i) = s(\alpha_i)$, we get $1_{s(\beta_i)} \sim_{\Gamma} 1_{s(\alpha_i)}$ for each *i*. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{Z(\alpha_i)} \leq \tau(\tilde{f})$, we may write $\tau(\tilde{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{Z(\alpha_i)} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{n'} 1_{Z(\alpha_i)}$ for some $\alpha_i \in E^*$, for $i = n+1, \ldots, n'$. Putting $q := \max_{i=1,\ldots,n'} |\alpha_i|$ and enumerating paths in each finite set $s(\alpha_i) E^{p-|\alpha_i|}$ as $\{\gamma_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{n_i}$ we get $1_{Z(\alpha_i)} = \sum_j 1_{Z(\alpha_i\gamma_{i,j})}$. Then $\tau(\tilde{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} \sum_j 1_{Z(\alpha_i\gamma_{i,j})}$, where each $\alpha_i \gamma_{i,j}$ is in E^q . Hence by Lemma 7.1.(3)

$$\Theta^q(\tilde{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \mathbb{1}_{s(\gamma_{i,j})}$$

For each *i*, we have $\tau(1_{s(\alpha_i)}) = 1_{Z(s(\alpha_i))} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} 1_{Z(\gamma_{i,j})}$ where each $\gamma_{i,j}$ has length $q - |\alpha_i|$. Hence Lemma 7.1.(3) gives

$$\Theta^{q-|\alpha_i|}(1_{s(\alpha_i)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} 1_{s(\gamma_{i,j})}$$

for each *i*. Thus we conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Theta^{q-|\alpha_i|}(1_{s(\alpha_i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} 1_{s(\gamma_{i,j})} \leq \Theta^q(\tilde{f})$. Hence putting $f_i := 1_{s(\beta_i)}, \tilde{f}_i := 1_{s(\alpha_i)}, \tilde{f}_i := \Theta^{q-|\alpha_i|}(1_{s(\alpha_i)})$, and $\tilde{f} := \Theta^q(\tilde{f})$, we get elements that witness that $f \preceq \tilde{f}$. \Box

Corollary 7.4. The relation \preceq is a preorder, while \approx and \sim are equivalence relations on $C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N})$. The quotients

$$W(\Gamma, E) := \mathcal{C}_{c}(E^{0}, \mathbb{N})/\approx, \qquad V(\Gamma, E) := \mathcal{C}_{c}(E^{0}, \mathbb{N})/\sim$$

are preordered monoids with the addition induced from $C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N})$, partial order on $W(\Gamma, E)$ induced by \preceq , and the algebraic preorder on $V(\Gamma, E)$. The map τ descends to isomorphisms

$$W(\Gamma, E) \cong S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}), \qquad V(\Gamma, E) \cong S(\mathcal{G})$$

of ordered monoids.

Proof. The map τ descends to surjective maps onto $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ and $S(\mathcal{G})$ because for any $\alpha \in E^*$, the bisection $Z(\alpha, e, s(\alpha))$ witnesses the equivalence $1_{Z(\alpha)} \sim_{\mathcal{G}} 1_{Z(s(\alpha))}$, and the latter span both variants of the type semigroup. The injectivity claim follows from Proposition 7.3 and the constructions of the ordered monoids $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ and $S(\mathcal{G})$.

Remark 7.5. When the group $\Gamma = \{e\}$ is trivial, the pair (Γ, E) reduces to the graph E. Then it is reasonable to denote the above ordered monoids as W(E) and V(E), respectively. Then V(E) is exactly the monoid considered in [47]. In fact, even when the group Γ is not trivial, but it does not move the vertices, then $W(\Gamma, E) = W(E)$ and $V(\Gamma, E) = V(E)$. The semigroup W(E) might be strictly smaller than V(E).

Example 7.6. Assume $E^0 = \{v\}$ is a singleton. Then $E^1 = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is a finite set and self-similar actions of a group Γ on E correspond to classical self-similar actions of Γ on the set E^1 . As noted above, the type semigroups do not depend on Γ in this case. Using that $\Theta(1_v) = n1_v$ one sees that

$$W(E) = \{0, [1_v]_{\approx}\} \cong \{0, \infty\},\$$

$$V(E) = \{0\} \sqcup \{k[1_v]_{\sim} : k = 1, \dots, n-1\} \cong \{0\} \sqcup \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$$

where $\{k[1_v]_{\sim}: k = 1, ..., n-1\}$ is a cyclic group with generator $[1_v]_{\sim}$ and neutral element $(n-1)[1_v]_{\sim}$. Both of these type semigroups are minimal and purely infinite.

Following Larki's idea (see [38, Section 4]), we may consider the quotient graph E/Γ . Thus $E_{\Gamma}^{0} := E^{0}/\Gamma = \{[v] : v \in E^{0}\}$ and $E_{\Gamma}^{1} := E^{0}/\Gamma = \{[e] : e \in E^{1}\}$, s([e]) = [s(e)] and r([e]) = [s(e)]. Here we use the equivalence relation $\alpha \sim_{\Gamma} \beta$ that is defined on the set of all paths E^{*} . Our results imply that the analysis of type semigroups for self-similar actions reduces to the analysis of type semigroups of graphs:

Corollary 7.7. We have natural isomorphisms of preordered monoids

$$W(\Gamma, E) \cong W(E/\Gamma), \qquad V(\Gamma, E) \cong V(E/\Gamma)$$

Proof. Notice that the map $C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N}) \ni \sum_v \mathbf{1}_{v \in V} \mapsto \sum_{v \in V} \mathbf{1}_{[v]} \in C_c(E^0/\Gamma, \mathbb{N})$ is additive, \leq -monotone, Θ -invariant, and $\sum_{v \in V} \mathbf{1}_{[v]} = \sum_{w \in W} \mathbf{1}_{[w]}$ if and only if $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}, W = \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{v_i} \sim_{\Gamma} \mathbf{1}_{w_i}$ for every *i*. Hence this map descends to the desired isomorphisms. \Box

Definition 7.8 (see [21, Definitions 13.4 and 14.1]). The base points of a path $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \in E^* \cup E^{\infty}$ are the vertices $r(\alpha_1)$ and $s(\alpha_i)$ for all *i*. A path has an *entrance* if at least one of its base points receives at least two different edges. A Γ -path from $v \in E^0$ to $w \in E^0$ is a pair $(\alpha, g) \in E^* \times \Gamma$ where $v = r(\alpha)$ and $s(\alpha) = gw$. If, in addition, v = w and α is nontrivial, we call α a Γ -cycle. We call E Γ -cofinal (or weakly Γ -transitive) if for every $\mu \in E^{\infty}$ and every $v \in E^0$ there is a Γ -path from one of the base points of μ to v.

Proposition 7.9. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) the groupoid \mathcal{G} associated to (Γ, E) is minimal;
- (2) the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{E/\Gamma}$ associated to E is minimal;
- (3) the graph E is Γ -cofinal;
- (4) the graph E/Γ is cofinal;
- (5) the type semigroup $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong W(\Gamma, E) \cong W(E/\Gamma)$ is simple;
- (6) the type semigroup $S(\mathcal{G}) \cong V(\Gamma, E) \cong V(E/\Gamma)$ is simple.

If these equivalent conditions hold, then the type semigroups in (5) and (6) have plain paradoxes. They are properly infinite if E/Γ has a cycle with an entrance, and they have a finite faithful state otherwise.

Proof. The equivalence(3) \Leftrightarrow (4) follows from [38, Lemma 4.5.(2)]. The equivalence $(1)\Leftrightarrow$ (3) holds by the extension of [21, Theorem 13.6] in [22, Theorem 4.3]. This also gives, as a special case, the well known equivalence $(2)\Leftrightarrow$ (4). We have $(1)\Leftrightarrow$ (5) by Corollary 5.7 and $(5)\Leftrightarrow$ (6) by Proposition 5.26. This proves that (1)-(6) are equivalent. For the second part of the assertion, it suffices now to show that W(E) has plain paradoxes for a cofinal graph E. Then so has V(E) by Proposition 5.26, and we may apply all this to the graph E/Γ in (4) to get that the semigroups in (5) and (6) have plain paradoxes. Let then E be a cofinal directed graph (row-finite without sources).

If E has a cycle without entrance, then the set of base points of this cycle is E^0 . Thus $1_v \sim_{\Theta} 1_w$ for all $v, w \in E^0$ and $W(E) \cong \mathbb{N}$. This monoid is clearly almost unperforated. If E has no cycles, then $C^*(E)$ is a simple AF-algebra (see [33, Theorem 2.4] and [10, Proposition 5.1]). Hence it is stably finite, and W(E)cannot contain paradoxical elements by Theorem 6.5. Hence W(E) has plain paradoxes. Thus we may assume that E contains a cycle α with an entrance. If $w = r(\alpha) = s(\alpha)$, then

$$\Theta^{|\alpha|}(1_{\{w\}}) = \sum_{v \in E^0} |wE^{|\alpha|}v|1_{\{v\}} = 1_w + f_0 \quad \text{where } 0 \neq f_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}(E^0, \mathbb{N}).$$

Hence $[1_w]$ is infinite in W(E). Since every base point of α is a range of a cycle with an entrance, the same holds for any base point of α . Now let $w \in E$ be arbitrary. Applying that E is cofinal to the infinite concatenation of the path α , we see that there is a path β that ends in w and starts in some base point v of α . Then $\Theta^{|\beta|}(1_{\{w\}}) = 1_v + f_0$ for some $f_0 \in C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N})$. Hence $[1_{\{w\}}] = [1_v] + [f_0]$ is infinite in W(E) as it has an infinite summand. This implies that all nonzero elements in W(E) are infinite. Since W(E) is simple, this means that W(E) is properly infinite and hence has plain paradoxes (see Lemma 2.5).

Definition 7.10. A graph trace (see [26, Definition 2.7]), is a map $T: E^0 \to [0, \infty]$ such that

$$T(v) = \sum_{r(e)=v} T(s(e))$$
 for every $v \in E^0$.

If, in addition, T is Γ -invariant in the sense that T(v) = T(gv) for all $g \in \Gamma$, $v \in E^0$, we call T a graph Γ -trace (see [38, Definition 4.11]). We call T nontrivial if $0 < T(v) < \infty$ for some $v \in E^0$, faithful if 0 < T(v) for all $v \in E^0$, and normalised if $\sum_{v \in E^0} T(v) = 1$.

Lemma 7.11. There is a bijection between states ν on $W(\Gamma, E)$ and graph Γ -traces T. It is given by $\nu([1_{\{v\}}]) = T(v)$ for $v \in E^0$.

Proof. By Corollary 7.7, we may assume $\Gamma = \{1\}$ to be trivial. For any state ν on W(E) the formula $T(v) := \nu([1_{\{v\}}])$ for $v \in E^0$ defines a graph trace because $T(v) = \nu([1_{\{v\}}]) = \nu([\Theta(1_{\{v\}})]) = \nu([\sum_{r(e)=v} 1_{\{s(e)\}}]) = \sum_{r(e)=v} \nu([1_{\{s(e)\}}]) = \sum_{r(e)=v} \nu([1_{\{v\}}])$

 $\sum_{r(e)=v} T(s(e))$. Conversely, for any graph trace T, we define an additive map $\nu \colon C_c(E^0, \mathbb{N}) \to [0, \infty]$ by $\nu(f) \coloneqq \sum_{v \in E^0} f(v)T(v)$. Then

$$\begin{split} \nu(\Theta(f)) &= \sum_{v \in E^0} \Theta(f)(v) T(v) = \sum_{v \in E^0} \sum_{s(e)=v} f(r(e)) T(v) = \sum_{e \in E^1} f(r(e)) T(s(e)) \\ &= \sum_{v \in E^0} \sum_{r(e)=v} f(v) T(s(e)) = \sum_{v \in E^0} f(v) T(v) = \nu(f). \end{split}$$

Hence ν descends to a state on W(E). This shows the asserted bijection.

We now apply these results to Exel-Pardo algebras (see [21, Definition 3.2], [22, Definition 1.2]).

Definition 7.12. The *Exel-Pardo* C^{*}-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma, E)}$ is the universal C^{*}-algebra with generators $\{p_x : x \in E^0\} \cup \{s_e : e \in E^1\} \cup \{u_g : g \in \Gamma\}$ where $\{p_x : x \in E^0\} \cup \{s_e : e \in E^1\}$ is a Cuntz–Krieger *E*-family, $\{u_g : g \in \Gamma\}$ is a unitary representation of \mathcal{G} and

 $u_g s_e = s_{ge} u_{g|e}, \qquad u_g p_x u_g^* = p_{gx} \qquad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma, e \in E^1, x \in E^0.$

As shown in [21, Proposition 11.1] the elements $\{p_x : x \in E^0\} \cup \{s_e : e \in E^1\}$ generate a copy of the graph C*-algebra C*(E) inside $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma,E}$. However, usually $\{p_x : x \in E^{(0)}\} \cup \{u_g : g \in \Gamma\}$ generates only a homomorphic image of the crossed product $C(E^0) \rtimes \Gamma$. Even more, [21, Corollary 6.4] implies a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma,E)} \cong C^*(\mathcal{G}), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{G} = S_{\Gamma,E} \ltimes E^{\infty}.$$

In particular, the core subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_E \cong C_0(E^{\infty})$ of $C^*(E)$ sits in $\mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma,E)}$ as the algebra of functions on the unit space of its canonical groupoids model. In particular, we have a canonical generalised expectation $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma,E)} \to \mathfrak{B}(E^{\infty})$.

Proposition 7.13. Let Γ be a group acting self-similarly on a row-finite graph $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$. The equalities $\tau(p_v) = T(v) = [T]([v]) = [\tau](p_{[v]})$ for $v \in E^0$ establish bijections between the following objects:

- (1) lower semicontinuous traces τ on $\mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma,E)}$ that factor through \mathbb{E} (see Proposition 3.14);
- (2) graph Γ -traces $T: E^0 \to [0, \infty];$
- (3) graph traces $[T]: E^0/\Gamma \to [0,\infty]$ for the quotient graph E/Γ ;
- (4) lower semicontinuous traces $[\tau]$ on $C^*(E/\Gamma)$ that factor through the canonical expectation from $C^*(E/\Gamma)$ onto its core subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_{E/\Gamma} \cong C_0((E/\Gamma)^\infty)$.

If all orbits Γv for $v \in E^0$ are finite, then also the equalities

$$\tau(p_v) = T(v) = |\Gamma v|^{-1}[T]([v]) = |\Gamma v|^{-1}[\tau](p_{[v]})$$

for $v \in E^0$ establish bijections between the above objects. In addition, these bijections restrict to bijections between tracial states and normalised graph traces.

Proof. Using the isomorphism $W(\Gamma, E) \cong S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ from Corollary 7.4 and applying Theorem 5.14 to $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ gives a bijection between traces τ in (1) and states ν on $W(\Gamma, E)$. Moreover, τ is a state if and only if $\sup \{\nu([1_U]) : U \subseteq E^0 \text{ is finite}\} = 1$, which is equivalent to $\sum_{v \in E^0} \nu([1_{\{v\}}]) = 1$. Thus combining this with the bijection from Lemma 7.11, we get that $\tau(p_v) = T(v)$ establishes a bijection between the objects in (1) and (2), which restricts to a bijection between tracial states and normalised graph Γ -traces. This also proves the bijection between the objects in (3) and (4), as it is a special case of the previous bijection, where the group is trivial. The relation T(v) = [T]([v]) establishes a bijection between the objects in (2) and (3), as they are in the corresponding bijections with states on $W(\Gamma, E) \cong W(E/\Gamma)$, see Corollary 7.7 and Lemma 7.11. When all orbits Γv for $v \in E^0$ are finite, the relation $T(v) = |\Gamma v|^{-1}[T]([v])$ also establishes a bijection between the objects in (2) and (3) but this time it restricts to a bijection between the sets of normalised Γ -traces on E^0 and normalised graph traces on E^0/Γ .

Remark 7.14. In general, there is no bijection between normalised graph Γ -traces $T: E^0 \to [0, 1]$ and normalised graph traces $[T]: E^0/\Gamma \to [0, 1]$, as any normalised Γ -trace has to vanish on all v with an infinite orbit Γv . For instance, take any graph E with a normalised graph trace E (like the one in Example 7.17 below) and consider a disjoint countable union $\mathbb{Z}E$ of its copies. Let $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}$ act on $\mathbb{Z}E$ by permuting the copies of E and let the restriction cocycle be trivial. Then $\mathbb{Z}E$ has no normalised Γ -traces, as all vertices have infinite orbits, but $\mathbb{Z}E/\Gamma \cong E$ has one. The explanation is that the isomorphism $W(\Gamma, E) \cong W(E/\Gamma)$ does not preserve the "dimension ranges".

Theorem 7.15 (Dichotomy for Exel–Pardo algebras). Assume that $\mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma, E)}$ is simple. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma,E)}$ is not purely infinite;
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma,E)}$ is stably finite;
- (3) $\mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma,E)}$ has a faithful semifinite lower semicontinuous trace;
- (4) there is a nontrivial graph Γ -trace (which has to be faithful and finite);
- (5) there is a nontrivial graph trace for E/Γ (which has to be faithful and finite);
- (6) there are no Γ -cycles in E;
- (7) there are no cycles in E/Γ ;
- (8) the graph C^{*}-algebra C^{*}(E/Γ) is not purely infinite;
- (9) the graph C^{*}-algebra C^{*}(E/Γ) is stably finite;
- (10) $W(\Gamma, E) \cong W(E/\Gamma) \not\cong \{0, \infty\}.$

If these conditions hold, then $W(\Gamma, E) = V(\Gamma, E) \cong V(E/\Gamma) = W(E/\Gamma)$, and lower semicontinuous traces on $\mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma, E)}$ induced from $C_0(E^{\infty})$ are described in Proposition 7.13.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}_{(\Gamma,E)}$ is simple, it must be the essential C*-algebra of \mathcal{G} , and \mathcal{G} must be minimal and topologically free (see Corollary 6.9 and its proof). Thus by Proposition 7.9, $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong W(\Gamma, E) \cong W(E/\Gamma)$ has plain paradoxes and this simple monoid is purely infinite if and only if E/Γ has a cycle with an entrance. In particular, (1) and (2) are equivalent by Corollary 6.9. Taking into account Lemma 7.11 and that E^{∞} is second countable, Theorem 6.5 gives the equivalence between the conditions (2)–(5). Theorem 6.2 implies that (1) and (10) are equivalent. The topological freeness of \mathcal{G} implies that every Γ-cycle has an entrance (see [21, Theorem 14.10]). Hence (10) and (7) are equivalent by Proposition 7.9. Conditions (6) and (7) are equivalent by [38, Lemma 4.5]. Since E/Γ is cofinal, it is well known that conditions (7)–(9) are equivalent (see [10, Remark 5.6]). □

Remark 7.16. One may define a reduced Exel–Pardo algebra $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{r}}_{(\Gamma,E)}$ so that it coincides with the reduced groupoid C*-algebra, see [9, Definition 7.37] (for $P = \{2\}$). Then assuming that $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{r}}_{(\Gamma,E)}$ is simple and every Γ -cycle in E has an entrance, the proof above shows that $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{r}}_{(\Gamma,E)}$ is either purely infinite or it has a faithful semifinite lower semicontinuous trace.

The above theorem generalises the result of Larki [38] where it is assumed that the group in question is amenable and the self-similar action is pseudo-free (a rather strong assumption that among other things forces the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\Gamma,E}$ to be Hausdorff). In contrast to [38], our proof exploits results on type semigroups. In fact, we do not know how to prove it more directly and we believe that there is a gap in the proof of (5) \Rightarrow (4) in [38, Theorem 4.12], where it is claimed that traces in finite-dimensional algebras may be extended (somewhat randomly) to get a trace on the inductive limit. This is a delicate point, which we illustrate with the following example.

Example 7.17. Consider the following infinite row-finite directed graph E:

(7.18)
$$\begin{array}{c} \overset{a_1}{\longleftarrow} & \overset{a_2}{\longleftarrow} & \overset{a_3}{\longleftarrow} & \overset{a_3}{\longleftarrow} & \overset{a_5}{\longleftarrow} & \overset{a_5}{\longleftarrow} & \overset{a_5}{\longleftarrow} & \overset{a_6}{\longleftarrow} & \overset{a_6}{\longleftrightarrow} & \overset{a$$

By Theorem 7.15, this graph admits a nontrivial graph trace, which has to be finite and faithful. Thus there are nonzero numbers a_n, b_n attached to vertices as in (7.18) that determine a graph trace $T: E^0 \to [0, \infty)$. These numbers have to satisfy the recurrence formulas $a_n = a_{n+1} + b_{n+1}$ and $b_n = a_n + b_{n+1}$ for $n \ge 1$. Denoting by $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the Fibonacci sequence $0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, \ldots$, it follows that

$$a_{n+1} = F_{2n+1}a_1 - F_{2n}b_1, \quad b_{n+1} = F_{2n-1}b_1 - F_{2n}a_1 \quad \text{for } n \ge 1$$

Since all these numbers must to be strictly positive, we get the constraints $\frac{F_{2n}}{F_{2n-1}}a_1 < b_1 < \frac{F_{2n+1}}{F_{2n}}a_1$ for all $n \geq 1$. But $\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}$ converges to the golden ratio $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, and it oscillates such that $\frac{F_{2n}}{F_{2n-1}} < \varphi < \frac{F_{2n+1}}{F_{2n}}$. Another known magic property of the Fibonacci numbers implies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |F_{n+1} - F_n\varphi| = \varphi$. So $\sum_{n=1} a_n + b_n = a_1 \cdot \varphi$. Hence putting $a_1 := \varphi^{-1}$, we get the unique graph trace, which induces the tracial state on $C^*(E)$.

APPENDIX A. THE RØRDAM-TARSKI THEOREM FOR PREODERED MONOIDS

This appendix contains a self-contained proof of Theorem 2.16. We deduce it from a version of Tarski's Theorem, which we prove based on [59], which is, in turn, inspired by Łoś and Ryll-Nardzewski [41].

Lemma A.1. Let S be a preordered monoid. Let $y \in S \setminus \{0\}$, let $x \in \langle y \rangle$ and let $S_0 \subseteq \langle y \rangle$ be a finite subset that contains x and y. Assume that y is not paradoxical. Then there is a function $\nu \colon S_0 \to [0, \infty)$ that satisfies $\nu(y) = 1$,

(A.2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j \implies \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu(x_i) \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu(y_j)$$

for all $x_i, y_j \in S_0$, and

(A.3)
$$\nu(x) = \inf\left\{\frac{p-q}{k} : qy + kx \le py, p, q \in \mathbb{N}, k \ge 1\right\}.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on the size of S_0 . The induction starts at $|S_0| = 1$, when we must have $S_0 = \{y\}$ and x = y. Then $\nu(y) := 1$ works. It satisfies both (A.2) and (A.3) because y is not paradoxical, and this is the only place where this assumption is used. Suppose now that $|S_0| > 1$. If $S_0 \setminus \{x, y\}$ is nonempty, pick $u \in S_0 \setminus \{x, y\}$. Otherwise, $|S_0| = 2$ and $x \neq y$, and we pick u = x. The induction hypothesis for $S_0 \setminus \{u\}$ gives a function $\nu \colon S_0 \setminus \{u\} \to [0, \infty]$ with $\nu(y) = 1$ that verifies both (A.2) and (A.3). We let $\nu(u)$ be

$$\inf\left\{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu(b_{j})-\sum_{i=1}^{q}\nu(a_{i})}{k}:\sum_{i=1}^{q}a_{i}+ku\leq\sum_{j=1}^{p}b_{j},a_{i},b_{j}\in S_{0}\setminus\{u\},k\in\mathbb{N}\right\}.$$

This is finite because $u \in \langle y \rangle$ and $y \in S_0$. In the special case $|S_0| = 2$ and $x \neq y$, we picked u = x and this definition indeed satisfies (A.3). The properties $\nu(y) = 1$ and (A.3) remain when we extend ν further. We must check that the extended ν still satisfies (A.2).

First, $y \leq y + u$ implies $1 \leq 1 + \nu(u)$ and thus $\nu(u) \geq 0$. Let $x_i, y_j \in S_0 \setminus \{u\}$ and $v, w \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i + vu \leq \sum_{j=1}^n y_j + tu$. We must show that

(A.4)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu(x_i) + v\nu(u) \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu(y_j) + w\nu(u).$$

When v = w = 0, (A.4) follows from the induction hypothesis. If not, we take any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_i, b_j \in S_0 \setminus \{u\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^q a_i + ku \leq \sum_{j=1}^p b_j$ as in the definition of $\nu(u)$. Let $z := \frac{1}{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \nu_0(b_j) - \sum_{i=1}^q \nu_0(a_i) \right)$. We are going to prove $\sum_{i=1}^m \nu_0(x_i) + v\nu_0(u) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_0(y_j) + tz$. This implies (A.4) because $\nu_0(u)$ is defined as the infimum over the possible z. The inequalities concerning x_i, y_j and a_i, b_j imply

$$k\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} + vu\right) + w\sum_{i=1}^{q} a_{i} \le k\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j} + w\left(ku + \sum_{i=1}^{q} a_{i}\right) \le k\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j} + w\sum_{j=1}^{p} b_{j}.$$

Equivalently, $k \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i + w \sum_{i=1}^{q} a_i + ksu \leq k \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j + w \sum_{j=1}^{p} b_j$. This is one of the inequalities used to define $\nu_0(u)$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu_0(x_i) + v\nu_0(u)$ is bounded by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu_0(x_i) + v \frac{k \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_0(y_j) + w \sum_{j=1}^{p} \nu_0(b_j) - k \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu_0(x_i) - w \sum_{i=1}^{q} \nu_0(a_i)}{kv}.$$

This equals $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_0(y_j) + tz$ as required.

Theorem A.5 (Tarski's Theorem). In any preordered abelian monoid S, an element $y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ is either paradoxical or there is a state $\nu \colon S \to [0, \infty]$ with $\nu(y) = 1$. In addition, there is such a state ν that also satisfies (A.3) for one given $x \in \langle y \rangle$.

Proof. Assume $y \in S$ is not paradoxical, and fix $x \in \langle y \rangle$. Once we have got a state $\nu : \langle y \rangle \to [0, \infty]$ with $\nu(y) = 1$ and (A.3), we may put $\nu(u) := \infty$ for all $u \in S \setminus \langle y \rangle$ to extend it to a state on S. Thus it is no loss of generality to assume $\langle y \rangle = S$, and we do this from now on. Functions $\nu : S \to [0, \infty]$ are elements of the compact product space $[0, \infty]^S$. For a finite $S_0 \subseteq S$ containing $\{x, y\}$, let $M(S_0) \subseteq [0, \infty]^S$ be the set of all $\nu \in [0, \infty]^S$ that satisfy $\nu(y) = 1$, (A.3), and $\nu(a) \leq \nu(b)$ for all $a, b \in S_0$ with $a \leq b$, and $\nu(a + b) = \nu(a) + \nu(b)$ for all $a, b \in S_0$ with $a + b \in S_0$. The inequalities in Lemma A.1 imply $\nu(a + b) = \nu(a) + \nu(b)$ for all $a, b \in S_0$ with $a + b \in S_0$. Therefore, $M(S_0)$ is nonempty. If $S_1, \ldots, S_n \subseteq S$ are finite sets containing $\{x, y\}$, then so is $\bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i$, and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n M(S_i) \supseteq M(\bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, the compactness of $[0, \infty]^S$ ensures that the intersection of $M(S_0)$ over all finite S_0 is nonempty. Any ν in this intersection is a state with the desired properties.

Proof of Theorem 2.16. Let $(n + 1)x \leq ny$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then $x \in \langle y \rangle$ and any state ν on S with $\nu(y) = 1$ satisfies $(n + 1)\nu(x) = \nu((n + 1)x) \leq \nu(ny) = n$, so that $\nu(x) \leq n/(n + 1) < 1$. Conversely, assume that $x \in \langle y \rangle$ and $\nu(x) < 1$ for all states with $\nu(y) = 1$. When y is paradoxical, then there are no states with $\nu(y) = 1$ and the assertion of the theorem reduces to Lemma 2.10. So we may assume that y is not paradoxical. Since the set of states with $\nu(y) = 1$ is a closed subset of the compact space $[0, \infty]^S$, there are $m > m' \geq 1$ such that $\nu(x) \leq \frac{m'-1}{m} < 1$ for any state ν with $\nu(y) = 1$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. Then $\nu(x) \leq \frac{rm'-1}{rm} < 1$ as well. Tarski's Theorem A.5 in our version gives a state ν with $\nu(y) = 1$ that also satisfies (A.3) for the element $rmx \in \langle y \rangle$. Since $\nu(rmx) \leq rm'$, there are numbers $p, q \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1$ with $\frac{p-q}{k} \leq rm'$ and $qy + krmx \leq py$. These last two inequalities imply $py + krmx \leq py + krm'y$. Then $rkmx \leq (p + rkm')y$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. Since m > m' there is $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ with rkm > rkm' + p. Let n := rkm' + p for such r. Then $(n + 1)x \leq rkmx \leq ny$.

APPENDIX B. RIESZ'S THEOREM FOR DIMENSION FUNCTIONS

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Recall that a Borel measure $\mu: \mathcal{B}(X) \to [0, \infty]$ is inner regular if $\mu(V) = \sup \{\mu(K) : K \subseteq V, K \text{ compact}\}$ for every open V, outer regular if $\mu(E) = \inf \{\mu(V) : E \subseteq V \text{ is open}\}$ for every $E \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, and regular if it is both inner and outer regular. Riesz's classic representation theorem states that every positive linear functional on $C_c(X, \mathbb{R})$ is the integral over a regular Borel measure (see [54, Theorem 2.14]). The main part of the proof is to show that the map (3.10) defined on the topology of X extends to a regular Borel measure. We generalise this result to certain maps that, a priori, do not come from functionals, and are defined only on a basis \mathcal{O} for the topology of X. We assume \mathcal{O} is closed under finite unions. In particular, \mathcal{O} contains \emptyset , which is the empty union. If X is second countable, then all Borel measures are regular and the proof can be simplified significantly, by using Carathéodory's theorem. To get a general result we adapt the proof of [54, Theorem 2.14].

Definition B.1. A dimension function on \mathcal{O} is a function $\nu : \mathcal{O} \to [0, \infty]$ such that, for all $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{O}$,

(1) $\nu(\emptyset) = 0;$

(2) $\nu(V_1) \leq \nu(V_2)$ if $V_1 \subseteq V_2$;

(3) $\nu(V_1 \cup V_2) \leq \nu(V_1) + \nu(V_2)$, with equality if $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$.

We write $A \ll B$ if $\overline{A} \subseteq B$ and \overline{A} is compact. We call ν regular, if $\nu(V) = \sup \{\nu(U) : U \ll V, U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ for every $V \in \mathcal{O}$.

Lemma B.2. Every regular Borel measure $\mu: \mathcal{B}(X) \to [0, \infty]$ restricts to a regular dimension function $\nu: \mathcal{O} \to [0, \infty]$, and this restriction determines μ uniquely.

Proof. Clearly, $\nu := \mu|_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a dimension function. For every open subset $V \subseteq X$ and compact $K \subseteq V$ there is $U \in \mathcal{O}$ with $K \subseteq U \ll V$ (see Lemma 4.12). Thus μ is inner regular if and only if $\mu(V) = \sup \{\nu(U) : U \ll V, U \in \mathcal{O}\}$. This implies both that ν is regular and that it determines μ uniquely.

Theorem B.3. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let \mathcal{O} be a basis for the topology of X closed under finite unions. Restriction gives a bijection between regular Borel measures on X and regular dimension functions on \mathcal{O} .

Proof. Lemma B.2 shows that restriction is an injective map between regular Borel measures on X and regular dimension functions on \mathcal{O} . It remains to prove that it is surjective, that is, that any regular dimension function $\nu : \mathcal{O} \to [0, \infty]$ extends to a regular Borel measure on X. First, we let $\overline{\nu}(V) := \sup \{\nu(U) : U \ll V, U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ for every open $V \subseteq X$. We claim that this is a regular dimension function on the set of all open subsets that extends ν . Indeed, if V_1 and V_2 are open and $U \in \mathcal{O}$ is such that $U \ll V_1 \cup V_2$, then there is a finite cover $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ of \overline{U} such that each U_i is compactly contained either in V_1 or in V_2 . Then $U_1 := \bigcup_{W_i \subseteq V_1} W_i$ and $U_2 := \bigcup_{W_i \subseteq V_2} W_i$ are elements of \mathcal{O} such that $U \ll U_1 \cup U_2$ and $U_1 \ll V_1$ and $U_2 \ll V_2$. Thus

$$\nu(U) \le \nu(U_1 \cup U_2) \le \nu(U_1) + \nu(U_2) \le \overline{\nu}(V_1) + \overline{\nu}(V_2).$$

This implies $\overline{\nu}(V_1 \cup V_2) \leq \overline{\nu}(V_1) + \overline{\nu}(V_2)$. The remaining requirements for a dimension function are straightforward.

Thus, by passing to $\overline{\nu}$, we may assume without loss of generality that \mathcal{O} is the whole topology of X. Then we put

(B.4)
$$\mu(E) := \inf \{ \nu(V) : E \subseteq V \in \mathcal{O} \}, \quad \text{for every } E \subseteq X.$$

Clearly, this function μ is a monotone extension of ν .

Claim B.5. μ is σ -subadditive, and hence an outer measure.

Proof of Claim B.5. Let $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ be subsets such that $\mu(E_i) < \infty$ for every *i*. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and find open subsets $V_i \supseteq E_i$ such that $\mu(V_i) < \mu(E_i) + \varepsilon/2^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ Put $V := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$ and take any $U \in \mathcal{O}$ with $U \ll V$. Then $\overline{U} \subseteq V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n$ for some *n*. Hence

$$\nu(U) \le \nu(V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n) \le \sum \nu(V_1) + \dots + \nu(V_n) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) + \varepsilon.$$

This implies $\mu(V) = \nu(V) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) + \varepsilon$ because ν is regular. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \subseteq V$, we get $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \mu(V) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) + \varepsilon$. This proves the claim because ε was arbitrary. \Box

We consider an auxiliary class \mathfrak{M}_F consisting of subsets $E \subseteq X$ such that $\mu(E) < \infty$ and

(B.6)
$$\mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(K) : E \supseteq K \text{ is compact} \}.$$

All open and all compact subsets satisfy (B.6).

Claim B.7. Suppose $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, where E_1, E_2, E_3, \ldots are pairwise disjoint members of \mathfrak{M}_F . Then $\mu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$ and if $\mu(E) < \infty$ then also $E \in \mathfrak{M}_F$.

Proof of Claim B.7. Claim B.5 already proves the inequality \leq , so it remains to prove that $\mu(E) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$. This is empty unless $\mu(E) < \infty$, so we may assume this without loss of generality. We first prove $\mu(K_1 \sqcup K_2) = \mu(K_1) + \mu(K_2)$ for two disjoint compact subsets K_1 and K_2 . Take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and find an open subset $V \supseteq K_1 \sqcup K_2$ with $\mu(K_1 \sqcup K_2) > \mu(V) - \varepsilon$. As above, we may find subsets $V_i \in \mathcal{O}$ with $K_i \subseteq V_i \subseteq V$ for i = 1, 2. In addition, we may arrange for V_1 and V_2 to be disjoint. Since $\mu|_{\mathcal{O}} = \nu$ is additive,

$$\mu(K_1) + \mu(K_2) \le \mu(V_1) + \mu(V_2) = \mu(V_1 \sqcup V_2) \le \mu(V) < \mu(K_1 \sqcup K_2) + \varepsilon.$$

This implies that $\mu(K_1 \sqcup K_2) = \mu(K_1) + \mu(K_2)$. It follows by induction that μ is additive for finite disjoint unions of compact subsets.

Now we turn to the general case. Take any $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $E_i \in \mathfrak{M}_F$, there are compact subsets $H_i \subseteq E_i$ with $\mu(H_i) > \mu(E_i) - \varepsilon/2^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ Let $K_n := H_1 \cup H_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_n$. The additivity of μ on compact subsets that we have proven above implies $\mu(E) \ge \mu(K_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(H_i) > \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) - \varepsilon$. This holds for all n and $\varepsilon > 0$. So $\mu(E) \ge \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(E_i)$ as desired. Moreover, there is N such that $\mu(E) \le \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(E_i) + \varepsilon$. Hence $\mu(E) \le \mu(K_N) + 2\varepsilon$. So $E \in \mathfrak{M}_F$. \Box

Claim B.8. For every $E \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are a compact subset K and an open subset V such that $K \subseteq E \subseteq V$ and $\mu(V \setminus K) < \varepsilon$.

Proof of Claim B.8. Equations (B.4) and (B.6) provide a compact subset $K \subseteq E$ and an open neighbourhood $V \supseteq E$ with $\mu(V) - \varepsilon/2 < \mu(E) < \mu(K) + \varepsilon/2$. We have $K, V \setminus K \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ because K is compact and $V \setminus K$ is open and $\mu(V) < \infty$. Hence Claim B.7 implies that $\mu(K) + \mu(V \setminus K) = \mu(V) < \mu(K) + \varepsilon$. This implies $\mu(V \setminus K) < \varepsilon$.

Claim B.9. \mathfrak{M}_F is a ring of sets.

Proof of Claim B.9. Let $E_1, E_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By Claim B.8, for each i = 1, 2 there are a compact subset K_i and an open subset V_i with $K_i \subseteq E_i \subseteq V_i$ and $\mu(V_i \setminus K_i) < \varepsilon$. Now

$$E_1 \setminus E_2 \subseteq V_1 \setminus K_2 \subseteq (V_1 \setminus K_1) \cup (K_1 \setminus V_2) \cup (V_2 \setminus K_2).$$

By Claim B.5, $\mu(E_1 \setminus E_2) \leq \mu(K_1 \setminus V_2) + 2\varepsilon$. Since $K_1 \setminus V_2$ is a compact subset of $E_1 \setminus E_2$, this shows that $E_1 \setminus E_2$ satisfies (B.6). Hence $E_1 \setminus E_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_F$. Since $E_1 \cup E_2 = E_1 \setminus E_2 \cup E_2$, Claim B.7 shows that $E_1 \cup E_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_F$. Hence \mathfrak{M}_F is a ring of sets.

Claim B.9 implies that all open (and all compact) subsets are contained in

 $\mathfrak{M} := \{ E \subseteq X : E \cap K \in \mathfrak{M}_F \text{ for every compact } K \subseteq X \text{ with } \mu(K) < \infty \}.$

Claim B.10. \mathfrak{M} is a σ -algebra of sets in X.

Proof of Claim B.10. Let $K \subseteq X$ be compact with $\mu(K) < \infty$. If $E \in \mathfrak{M}$, then $(X \setminus E) \cap K = K \setminus (E \cap K) \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ by Claim B.9 because $K, E \cap K \in \mathfrak{M}_F$. Therefore, $X \setminus E \in \mathfrak{M}$. Next, suppose that $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ with $E_i \in \mathfrak{M}$. Put $F_1 := E_1 \cap K$, and $F_n := (E_n \cap K) \setminus (F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_{n-1})$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$ These sets are pairwise disjoint with $E \cap K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$, and they belong to \mathfrak{M}_F by Claim B.9. Since $\mu(E \cap K) \leq \mu(K) < \infty$, we get $E \cap K \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ by Claim B.7. Hence $E \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Claim B.11. \mathfrak{M}_F consists of precisely those sets $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ for which $\mu(E) < \infty$.

Proof of Claim B.11. If $E \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ and K is compact with $\mu(K) < \infty$, then $K \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ and then $E \cap K \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ by Claim B.9. Thus $\mathfrak{M}_F \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$. Conversely, suppose that $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\mu(E) < \infty$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. There is an open subset $V \supseteq E$ with $\mu(V) < \infty$. By Claim B.8 there is a compact $K \subseteq V$ with $\mu(V \setminus K) < \varepsilon$. Since $E \cap K \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ there is a compact subset $H \subseteq E \cap K$ with $\mu(E \cap K) < \mu(H) + \varepsilon$. Using that $E \subseteq (E \cap K) \cup (V \setminus K)$ we get $\mu(E) \leq \mu(E \cap K) + \mu(V \setminus K) < \mu(H) + 2\varepsilon$. This implies $E \in \mathfrak{M}_F$.

By Claims B.7 and B.11, $\mu: \mathfrak{M} \to [0, \infty]$ is σ -additive. By Claim B.10, $\mathcal{B}(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ and therefore $\mu|_{\mathcal{B}(X)}$ is the regular measure that extends ν .

References

- Claire Anantharaman-Delaroche, Some remarks about the weak containment property for groupoids and semigroups (2016). arXiv: 1604.01724.
- [2] Joel Anderson, Extensions, restrictions, and representations of states on C^{*}-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 249 (1979), no. 2, 303–329, doi: 10.2307/1998793. MR 525675
- [3] Ramon Antoine, Marius Dădărlat, Francesc Perera, and Luis Santiago, Recovering the Elliott invariant from the Cuntz semigroup, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366 (2014), no. 6, 2907–2922, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-2014-05833-9. MR 3180735
- [4] Pere Ara, Christian Bönicke, Joan Bosa, and Kang Li, Strict comparison for C*-algebras arising from almost finite groupoids, Banach J. Math. Anal. 14 (2020), no. 4, 1692–1710, doi: 10.1007/s43037-020-00079-6. MR 4133645
- [5] Pere Ara, Christian Bönicke, Joan Bosa, and Kang Li, The type semigroup, comparison, and almost finiteness for ample groupoids, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 43 (2023), no. 2, 361–400, doi: 10.1017/etds.2021.115. MR 4534134
- [6] Pere Ara and Ruy Exel, Dynamical systems associated to separated graphs, graph algebras, and paradoxical decompositions, Adv. Math. 252 (2014), 748–804, doi: 10.1016/j.aim.2013.11.009. MR 3144248
- [7] Stefan Banach and Alfred Tarski, Sur la décomposition des ensembles de points en parties respectivement congruentes, Fundamenta Mathematicae 6 (1924), no. 1, 244–277.
- [8] Krzysztof Bardadyn, Bartosz Kwaśniewski, and Andrew McKee, Banach algebras associated to twisted étale groupoids: inverse semigroup disintegration and representations on L^p-space. arXiv: 2303.09997.
- [9] _____, Banach algebras associated to twisted étale groupoids: simplicity and pure infiniteness. arXiv: 2406.05717.
- [10] Teresa Bates, David Pask, Iain Raeburn, and Wojciech Szymański, The C*-algebras of rowfinite graphs, New York J. Math. 6 (2000), 307–324, available at http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/ 2000/6-14.html. MR 1777234
- [11] Bruce Blackadar, Operator algebras, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 122, Springer, Berlin, 2006. Theory of C*-algebras and von Neumann algebras; Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III. doi: 10.1007/3-540-28517-2 MR 2188261

52 BARTOSZ KOSMA KWAŚNIEWSKI, RALF MEYER, AND AKSHARA PRASAD

- [12] Bruce Blackadar and Joachim Cuntz, The structure of stable algebraically simple C*-algebras, Amer. J. Math. 104 (1982), no. 4, 813-822, available at http://www.jstor.org/stable/ 2374206. MR 1225517
- [13] Étienne F. Blanchard and Eberhard Kirchberg, Non-simple purely infinite C*-algebras: the Hausdorff case, J. Funct. Anal. 207 (2004), no. 2, 461–513, doi: 10.1016/j.jfa.2003.06.008. MR 2032998
- [14] Christian Bönicke and Kang Li, Ideal structure and pure infiniteness of ample groupoid C*-algebras, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 40 (2020), no. 1, 34–63, doi: 10.1017/etds.2018.39. MR 4038024
- [15] Alin Ciuperca, Leonel Robert, and Luis Santiago, The Cuntz semigroup of ideals and quotients and a generalized Kasparov stabilization theorem, J. Operator Theory 64 (2010), no. 1, 155–169, available at https://jot.theta.ro/jot/archive/2010-064-001/2010-064-001-010.htmlMR 2669433
- [16] Lisa Orloff Clark, Ruy Exel, Enrique Pardo, Aidan Sims, and Charles Starling, Simplicity of algebras associated to non-Hausdorff groupoids, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **372** (2019), no. 5, 3669–3712, doi: 10.1090/tran/7840. MR **3988622**
- [17] Francois Combes and Heinrich Zettl, Order structures, traces and weights on Morita equivalent C*-algebras, Math. Ann. 265 (1983), no. 1, 67–81, doi: 10.1007/BF01456936 (English). MR 0719351
- [18] Kristofer T. Coward, George A. Elliott, and Cristian Ivanescu, The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C^{*}-algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. **623** (2008), 161–193, doi: 10.1515/CRELLE.2008.075. MR **2458043**
- [19] Joachim Cuntz, Dimension functions on simple C*-algebras, Math. Ann. 233 (1978), no. 2, 145–153, doi: 10.1007/BF01421922. MR 0467332
- [20] George A. Elliott, Leonel Robert, and Luis Santiago, The cone of lower semicontinuous traces on a C*-algebra, Amer. J. Math. 133 (2011), no. 4, 969–1005, doi: 10.1353/ajm.2011.0027. MR 0355617
- [21] Ruy Exel and Enrique Pardo, Self-similar graphs, a unified treatment of Katsura and Nekrashevych C*-algebras, Adv. Math. 306 (2017), 1046–1129, doi: 10.1016/j.aim.2016.10.030. MR 3581326
- [22] Ruy Exel, Enrique Pardo, and Charles Starling, C^{*}-algebras of self-similar graphs over arbitrary graphs algebras (2018). arXiv: 1807.01686.
- [23] Eusebio Gardella and Francesc Perera, The modern theory of Cuntz semigroups of C*-algebras, EMS Surveys (2024).
- [24] Gerhard Gierz, Karl Heinrich Hofmann, Klaus Keimel, Jimmie Lawson, Michael William Mislove, and Dana Scott, *Continuous lattices and domains*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 93, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511542725 MR 1975381
- [25] K. R. Goodearl and D. Handelman, Rank functions and K₀ of regular rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 7 (1976), no. 2, 195–216, doi: 10.1016/0022-4049(76)90032-3. MR 389965
- [26] Jacob v. B. Hjelmborg, Purely infinite and stable C*-algebras of graphs and dynamical systems, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 21 (2001), no. 6, 1789–1808, doi: 10.1017/S0143385701001857. MR 1869070
- [27] Takeshi Katsura, A construction of actions on Kirchberg algebras which induce given actions on their K-groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. 617 (2008), 27–65, doi: 10.1515/CRELLE.2008.025. MR 2400990
- [28] David Kerr and Piotr W. Nowak, Residually finite actions and crossed products, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 32 (2012), no. 5, 1585–1614, doi: 10.1017/S0143385711000575. MR 2974211
- [29] Eberhard Kirchberg and Mikael Rørdam, Non-simple purely infinite C^{*}-algebras, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), no. 3, 637–666, doi: 10.1353/ajm.2000.0021. MR 1759891
- [30] _____, Infinite non-simple C^{*}-algebras: absorbing the Cuntz algebra O_∞, Adv. Math. 167 (2002), no. 2, 195–264, doi: 10.1006/aima.2001.2041. MR 1906257
- [31] Alexander Kumjian, On C^{*}-diagonals, Canad. J. Math. 38 (1986), no. 4, 969–1008, doi: 10.4153/CJM-1986-048-0. MR 854149
- [32] Alex Kumjian, Fell bundles over groupoids, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), no. 4, 1115–1125, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-98-04240-3. MR 1443836
- [33] Alex Kumjian, David Pask, and Iain Raeburn, Cuntz-Krieger algebras of directed graphs, Pacific J. Math. 184 (1998), no. 1, 161–174, doi: 10.2140/pjm.1998.184.161. MR 1626528
- [34] Bartosz Kosma Kwaśniewski and Ralf Meyer, Stone duality and quasi-orbit spaces for generalised C^{*}-inclusions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **121** (2020), no. 4, 788–827, doi: 10.1112/plms.12332. MR **4105787**

- [35] _____, Noncommutative Cartan C*-subalgebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 373 (2020), no. 12, 8697–8724, doi: 10.1090/tran/8174. MR 4177273
- [36] _____, Essential crossed products by inverse semigroup actions: Simplicity and pure infiniteness, Doc. Math. 26 (2021), 271–335, doi: 10.25537/dm.2021v26.271-335. MR 4246403
- [37] _____, Ideal structure and pure infiniteness of inverse semigroup crossed products, J. Noncommut. Geom. 17 (2023), no. 3, 999–1043, doi: 10.4171/jncg/506. MR 4626308
- [38] Hossein Larki, A dichotomy for simple self-similar graph C*-algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl.
 494 (2021), no. 2, Paper No. 124622, 16, doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124622. MR 4158742
- [39] Xin Li, Every classifiable simple C*-algebra has a Cartan subalgebra, Invent. Math. 219 (2020), no. 2, 653–699, doi: 10.1007/s00222-019-00914-0. MR 4054809
- [40] Xin Li and Jean Renault, Cartan subalgebras in C*-algebras. Existence and uniqueness, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 372 (2019), no. 3, 1985–2010, doi: 10.1090/tran/7654. MR 3976582
- [41] Jerzy Łoś and Czesław Ryll-Nardzewski, On the application of Tychonoff's theorem in mathematical proofs, Fund. Math. 38 (1951), no. 1, 233–237, doi: 10.4064/fm-38-1-233-237. MR 0048795
- [42] Xin Ma, Purely infinite locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoids and their C*-algebras, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 11 (2022), 8420–8471, doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnaa360. MR 4425841
- [43] Volodymyr Nekrashevych, C*-algebras and self-similar groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. 630 (2009), 59–123, doi: 10.1515/CRELLE.2009.035. MR 2526786
- [44] Eduard Ortega, Francesc Perera, and Mikael Rørdam, The corona factorization property and refinement monoids, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 9, 4505–4525, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-2011-05480-2. MR 2806681
- [45] _____, The corona factorization property, stability, and the Cuntz semigroup of a C*-algebra, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 1 (2012), 34–66, doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnr013. MR 2874927
- [46] David Pask, Adam Sierakowski, and Aidan Sims, Unbounded quasitraces, stable finiteness and pure infiniteness, Houston J. Math. 45 (2019), no. 3, 763-814, available at https: //www.math.uh.edu/~hjm/restricted/pdf45(3)/09sierakowski.pdf. MR 4033902
- [47] Timothy Rainone and Aidan Sims, A dichotomy for groupoid C*-algebras, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 40 (2020), no. 2, 521–563, doi: 10.1017/etds.2018.52. MR 4048304
- [48] Jean Renault, A groupoid approach to C*-algebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 793, Springer, Berlin, 1980. doi: 10.1007/BFb0091072 MR 584266
- [49] _____, Cartan subalgebras in C*-algebras, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. 61 (2008), 29-63, available at http://www.maths.tcd.ie/pub/ims/bull61/S6101.pdf. MR 2460017
- [50] Mikael Rørdam, On the structure of simple C*-algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra. II, J. Funct. Anal. 107 (1992), no. 2, 255–269, doi: 10.1016/0022-1236(92)90106-S. MR 1172023
- [51] _____, A simple C*-algebra with a finite and an infinite projection, Acta Math. 191 (2003), no. 1, 109–142, doi: 10.1007/BF02392697. MR 2020420
- [52] _____, The stable and the real rank of Z-absorbing C*-algebras, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), no. 10, 1065–1084, doi: 10.1142/S0129167X04002661. MR 2106263
- [53] Mikael Rørdam and Adam Sierakowski, Purely infinite C*-algebras arising from crossed products, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 32 (2012), no. 1, 273–293, doi: 10.1017/S0143385710000829. MR 2873171
- [54] Walter Rudin, Real and complex analysis, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987. MR 0924157
- [55] Kazuyuki Saitô and J. D. Maitland Wright, Monotone complete C*-algebras and generic dynamics, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, London, 2015. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4471-6775-4 MR 3445909
- [56] Alfred Tarski, Algebraische Fassung des Maβproblems, Fundamenta Mathematicae 31 (1938), no. 1, 207–223.
- [57] Aaron Tikuisis, Stuart White, and Wilhelm Winter, Quasidiagonality of nuclear C*-algebras, Ann. of Math. (2) 185 (2017), no. 1, 229–284, doi: 10.4007/annals.2017.185.1.4. MR 3583354
- [58] Andrew S. Toms, On the classification problem for nuclear C*-algebras, Ann. of Math. (2) 167 (2008), no. 3, 1029–1044, doi: 10.4007/annals.2008.167.1029. MR 2415391
- [59] Grzegorz Tomkowicz and Stan Wagon, *The Banach-Tarski paradox*, 2nd ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 163, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2016. With a foreword by Jan Mycielski. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511609596 MR 3616119

Email address: bartoszk@math.uwb.edu.pl

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Białystok, ul. K. Ciołkowskiego 1M, 15-245 Białystok, Poland

Email address: rmeyer2@uni-goettingen.de

54 BARTOSZ KOSMA KWAŚNIEWSKI, RALF MEYER, AND AKSHARA PRASAD

Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstrasse 3–5, 37073 Göttingen, Germany

Email address: a.prasad@uni-goettingen.de

Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstrasse $3{-}5,$ 37073 Göttingen, Germany