

2-ADIC QUANTUM MECHANICS, CONTINUOUS-TIME QUANTUM WALKS, AND THE SPACE DISCRETENESS

W. A. ZÚÑIGA-GALINDO

ABSTRACT. Using techniques of p -adic analysis, it is possible to formulate a rigorous version of the quantum mechanics (QM), in the sense of Dirac-von Neumann, consistent with the existence of the Planck length. Such a model cannot be formulated if we use \mathbb{R}^3 as a model for physical space. The experimental testability of physical theories at the Planck scale is currently impossible. Here, we provide an indirect, theoretical argument that shows that the p -adic QM has physical content. We show that a large class of Schrödinger equations describes the scaling limits of continuous-time quantum walks on graphs (stochastic automata). These quantum walks appear as fundamental tools in quantum computing. We conjecture that this interpretation is valid in a general framework. The ‘new theory’ does not have Lorentz symmetry, and the Einstein causality is violated. This fact does not contradict the so-called no-communication theorem; such a result requires as a primary hypothesis that \mathbb{R}^4 be a valid model for space-time at the Planck scale. Thus, the no-communication theorem under the discreteness of the space is an open problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 1930s, Bronstein showed that general relativity and quantum mechanics (QM) imply that the uncertainty Δx of any length measurement satisfies $\Delta x \geq L_{\text{Planck}}$, where L_{Planck} is the Planck length, [1]. A well-accepted interpretation of this inequality is that there are no intervals, just points below the Planck length, [2]-[4]. We argue that this last assertion has a precise mathematical translation: at the Planck length, the space is a completely disconnected space \mathcal{X} , in which the only connected non-trivial subsets are points. A connected subset is a mathematical incarnation of an infinitely divisible object. Thus, Bronstein inequality drives naturally to study physical models on space-times of type $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}$. In the 1980s, Volovich conjectured that $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{Q}_p^3$, where \mathbb{Q}_p is the field of p -adic numbers, [4]. If we use $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^3$ as a model of space-time, then, there are no continuous word lines joining two different points in the space (\mathbb{Q}_p^3) . The assumption of the discreteness of space, which requires passing from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^3$ (or $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}$), is incompatible with special and general relativity.

In the Dirac-von Neumann formulation of QM, the states of a quantum system are vectors of an abstract complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and the observables correspond to linear self-adjoint operators in \mathcal{H} , [5]-[8]. In p -adic QM, $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p^3)$ or $\mathcal{H} = L^2(U)$, where $U \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^3$. This choice implies that the position vectors are \mathbb{Q}_p^3 elements, while the time is a real variable. We

Key words and phrases. 2- adic quantum mechanics, p -adic numbers, continuous-time random walk on graphs, Planck length.

warn the reader that there are several different types of p -adic QM; for instance, if the time is assumed to be a p -adic variable, the QM obtained radically differs from the one considered here. To the best of our knowledge, p -adic QM started in the 1980s under the influence of Vladimirov and Volovich, [9]. The literature about p -adic QM is extensive, and here we cited just a few works, [9]-[21]. This article continues our work on p -adic QM, [19]-[21]; these articles provide a longer and updated list of publications about the subject.

We assume that the p -adic Schrödinger equations are obtained from p -adic heat equations by performing a Wick rotation. This condition does not come from the Dirac-von Neumann axioms, but it is the foundation of the path formulation of QM. A p -adic heat equation is an evolution equation describing a random motion (a Markov process) in \mathbb{Q}_p^N . For an introduction, the reader may consult [22]-[23]; the monograph [24] exposes a general theory of such equations. A key fact is that the discrete heat equation on a graph, which is constructed using the Laplacian of the graph, is a p -adic heat equation. The author first reported this in [25]. The p -adic heat equations are obtained as continuous limits of master equations of systems with a hierarchical organization; see e.g., [26]-[27], and the references therein. Here, we study the p -adic Schrödinger equations obtained from the p -adic heat equations considered in [27]; these equations describe the dynamics of stochastic networks, and then, the equations obtained by a Wick rotation described quantum versions of the mentioned networks. Another key fact is that the p -adic Schrödinger operators are nonlocal, and consequently, by definition, the p -adic QM is a nonlocal theory.

The functions spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p^N)$ are isometric Hilbert spaces. Then, constructing p -adic versions of standard quantum mechanical models is quite natural. For instance, in [20], we introduced a p -adic Dirac equation, an equation that shares many properties with the standard one. In particular, the new equation also predicts the existence of pairs of particles and antiparticles and a charge conjugation symmetry. In this framework, a mathematical theorem shows that the Einstein causality is invalid in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^3$. Here, it is crucial to recall that Eberhard and Ross, using $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ as a space-time model, showed that the relativistic quantum field theory inherently forbids faster-than-light communication, [28]. This result is known as the no-communication theorem. Therefore, whether the no-communication theorem is valid if we replace $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^3$ is unknown. This observation is relevant. A well-spread belief is that the Eberhard and Ross theorem implies that quantum nonlocality does not allow for faster-than-light communication and is compatible with special relativity and its universal speed limit of objects. This last assertion is true if quantum nonlocality is compatible with “ $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is a model of space-time at all scales.”

The ultimate motivation for studying p -adic QM is that it is a model of the standard QM at the Planck length. Like string theory and quantum gravity, this theory has a serious testability problem since experimental tests require extremely high energy levels. So, obtaining an indirect confirmation of the physical meaning of such theories is relevant. Thus, a central question is: Does the p -adic QM have a physical meaning? The answer is yes! 2-adic Schrödinger equations describe continuous versions of the continuous time quantum walks (CTQWs) on graphs used in quantum computing and other areas, see [29]-[32].

This article uses 2-adic Schrödinger equations obtained by a Wick rotation from the p -adic heat equations introduced in [27]. The choice $p = 2$ simplifies the comparison between some standard constructions in quantum computing with our results. We show the existence of discretizations of the mentioned equations of form $i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi_I(t) = \mathbf{H}^{(r)}\Psi_I(t)$, $I \in G_l^0$, where G_r^0 is a finite set, $\Psi_I(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{\#G_r^0}$ and $\mathbf{H}^{(r)}$ is a matrix of size $\#G_r^0 \times \#G_r^0$. Furthermore, $\mathbb{C}^{\#G_r^0}$ is isometric to a finite-dimensional subspace of test functions $\mathcal{X}_r(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Here, r is a positive integer, and the limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{X}_r(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ produces a space of test functions on \mathbb{Z}_2 , the 2-adic unit ball. The solutions of these discrete equations produce approximations of the solution of the original continuous equation. For particular values of r , we recover the Schrödinger equations on finite graphs, that describe CTQWs use in quantum computing; see [29], [32]. Starting with one of these discrete equations, we show the existence of a continuous version of it as a 2-adic Schrödinger equation $i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(x, t) = \mathbf{H}\Psi(x, t)$, where $\Psi(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and \mathbf{H} is a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$.

On the other hand, if the solution of the Cauchy problem of an arbitrary Schrödinger equation on a p -adic ball is available, using the Born rule, we can construct CTQWs on some graphs. However, we cannot control the geometry of the graphs. In [21], we discuss a p -adic version of the infinite potential well in QM. This model describes the confinement of a particle in a p -adic ball. We rigorously solve the Cauchy problem for the Schrödinger equation and determine the stationary solutions. By dividing a p -adic ball into a finite number of sub-balls and using the wavefunctions of the infinite potential well, we construct CTQWs on a fully connected graph, where each vertex corresponds to a sub-ball in the partition of the original ball. This result is entirely independent of the results presented here.

We argue that the connection between 2-adic QM and quantum computing via CTQWs establishes that the p -adic QM is a physical theory. We do not focus on the applications of the CTQWs constructed here. In particular, we do not include numerical simulations of the new quantum walks. We expect to consider these matters in forthcoming work. However, we mention two relevant facts in this direction. In [27], in order to establish the existence of Markov processes attached to the master equations, some hypotheses are required. In addition, the Markov processes may have absorbing states. In contrast, the p -adic Schrödinger equations do not require the mentioned hypotheses, and the quantum walker does not get trapped in any state. These facts illustrate the substantial differences between classical and quantum random walks.

The experimental confirmation of the violation of Bell's inequality drives the paradigm that the universe is not locally real, which forces us to pick between locality and realism, [33]-[37]. QM, in the sense Dirac-von Neumann, can be formulated in 'continuous spaces' ($L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$) or in 'discrete spaces' ($L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^3)$); besides the fact that $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})^3$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^3)$ are isometric Hilbert spaces, the corresponding QMs are radically different. Since the p -adic Schrödinger operators are non-local, by definition, p -adic QM is non-local, so it is a 'real theory.' On the other hand, in standard QM, the Hamiltonians are local operators; even more, in standard quantum field theory, in order to apply functional integration, the

Lagrangian densities are required to be local in space and time, [38, p. 89]. In this framework, the consistency with the mentioned paradigm represents a serious challenge.

It is relevant to mention that our contribution fits in the proposal that, at a fundamental level, the universe can be described as a cellular automaton or a neural network; see, e.g., [39]-[45]. Before comparing our contribution in relation to the mentioned literature, we say that the p -adic analysis is a useful tool for understanding hierarchically organized systems, see [26], and the references therein. In particular, the hierarchical structure of the p -adic numbers allows the construction of a p -adic version of cellular neural networks that can be used in practical tasks in image processing, see, e.g., [46]-[47], and the references therein. p -Adic Euclidean quantum field theories describe neural networks with hierarchical structures, see, e.g., [48]-[50], and the references therein.

't Hooft has proposed that Nature at the Planck scale is an information-processing machine like a deterministic cellular automaton, [45]. He proposes a new QM in which the evolution of any quantum system is entirely deterministic, and the probabilistic interpretation follows from our inability to determine the initial state. In addition, his deterministic QM is not completely consistent with the violation of Bell's inequality. Here, we use stochastic automata, and the p -adic QM is consistent with Bell's inequality. Finally, our results, provide a theoretical support for the idea that the universe can be understood as a gigantic quantum computer; see, e.g., [41]-[44].

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the p -adic numbers and fix some notation. In the Appendix, we provide a summary of the basic results about the results on p -adic analysis required here. Section 3 aims to provide a physical discussion about p -adic QM, the Planck length, quantum nonlocality, the breaking of the Lorentz symmetry, Einstein causality. In section 4, we review the results of [27] about p -adic heat equations and complex networks, and introduce the Schrödinger equations required here. Section 5 is dedicated to the discretization of 2-adic Schrödinger equations, also we review the construction of CTQWs following [29]-[30]. In Section 6, we show that the CTQWs on finite graphs, introduced by Farhi and Gutmann, [31]-[32], are obtained from some 2-adic Schrödinger equations. In Section 7, we introduce a new class of CTQWs on oriented graphs that generalizes the construction of Farhi and Gutmann.

2. ANALYSIS ON THE RING OF 2-ADIC NUMBERS

Any non-zero 2-adic integer x has a unique expansion of the form

$$(2.1) \quad x = 2^\gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k 2^k, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_k \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \text{and } x_0 \neq 0.$$

The set of all possible sequences of the form (2.1) constitutes the ring of 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 . A sequence of form (2.1), with γ is an integer, is called a 2-adic number; the set of these sequences constitutes the field of 2-adic numbers denoted as \mathbb{Q}_2 . There are natural operations, sum and multiplication, on series of form (2.1). There is also a norm in \mathbb{Q}_2 defined as $|x|_2 = 2^{-ord(x)}$, where $ord(x) = \gamma$, for a nonzero 2-adic integer x . By definition

$ord(0) = \infty$. The field \mathbb{Q}_2 with the distance induced by $|\cdot|_2$ is a complete ultrametric space. The ultrametric property refers to the fact that $|x - y|_2 \leq \max\{|x - z|_2, |z - y|_2\}$ for any x, y, z in \mathbb{Q}_2 .

The ball $B_{-l}(a)$ with center at $a \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ and radius 2^{-r} , $r \in \mathbb{N}$, is the set

$$B_{-r}(a) = a + 2^r \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2; |x - a|_2 \leq 2^{-r}\}.$$

Notice that $\mathbb{Z}_2 = B_0(0)$ is the unit ball in \mathbb{Q}_2 . All the balls are infinite rooted trees with fractal structure.

A function $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called test function, if there is a non-negative integer $l = l(\varphi)$ such that for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2$,

$$\varphi(a + x) = \varphi(a) \text{ for any } |x|_2 \leq 2^{-l}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ the complex vector space of test functions on \mathbb{Z}_2 . There is a natural integration theory so that $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \varphi(x) dx$ gives a well-defined complex number. The measure dx is the Haar measure of \mathbb{Z}_2 .

The Hilbert space of complex-valued, square-integrable functions defined on \mathbb{Z}_2 is

$$L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2) := L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2, dx) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \|f\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx, \quad f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2),$$

is the inner product; here the bar denotes the complex conjugate.

In the Appendix (Section 8), we give a quick review of the basic aspects of the 2-adic analysis required here. For an in-depth exposition, the reader may consult [10], [22], [23], [51], [52],

Remark 1. *Let p be a prime number. Any non-zero p -adic number x has a unique expansion of the form*

$$(2.2) \quad x = x_{-k} p^{-k} + x_{-k+1} p^{-k+1} + \dots + x_0 + x_1 p + \dots,$$

with $x_{-k} \neq 0$, where k is an integer, and the x_j s are numbers from the set $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. The set of all possible sequences from the (2.2) constitutes the field of p -adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_p . There are natural field operations, sum and multiplication, on series of form (2.2). There is also a norm in \mathbb{Q}_p defined as $|x|_p = p^k$, for a nonzero p -adic number x . Along this article we work with $p = 2$ for the sake of simplicity, but the results are valid for arbitrary p . The main reason to take $p = 2$ is that the discretization of some 2-adic Schrödinger operators are Hermitian matrices, which are associated with continuous time quantum walks (CTQWs) on the Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^N , $N = 2^l$, that includes, as a particular case, Farhi-Gutmann CTQWs, see [31].

3. STATE OF THE ART AND MOTIVATIONS

In this article, by 2-adic QM, we mean QM in the sense of the Dirac-von Neumann formulation on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. This article continues the investigation of the author on p -adic quantum mechanics (QM), [19]-[21], see also [18]. This section aims to provide a picture of the connection of p -adic QM, with quantum nonlocality, and the Lorentz symmetry breaking at the Planck scale.

3.1. The discreteness of space. In the 1930s, Bronstein showed that general relativity and quantum mechanics imply that the uncertainty Δx of any length measurement satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta x \geq L_{\text{Planck}} := \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}},$$

where L_{Planck} is the Planck length ($L_{\text{Planck}} \approx 10^{-33}$ cm), [1]. This inequality establishes an absolute limitation on length measurements, so the Planck length is the smallest possible distance that can, in principle, be measured. The standard interpretation of Bronstein's inequality is that below the Planck length there are no intervals just points. This interpretation has a precise mathematical translation: below the Planck length, the space is a totally (or completely) disconnected topological space, which is the non-trivial connected subsets are points.

The choice of \mathbb{R} as a model of the unidimensional space is not compatible with inequality (3.1) because \mathbb{R} contains intervals with arbitrarily small length. On the other hand, there are no intervals in \mathbb{Q}_p , i.e., the non-trivial connected subsets are points. So \mathbb{Q}_p is the prototype of a 'discrete space' with a very rich mathematical structure. In the 80s, Volovich conjectured on the p -adic nature of the space at the Planck scale, [4]. Since the beginning of QM, it has widely accepted the need to abandon the classical notion of continuous space-time in studying phenomena at the quantum scale, [53].

We denote by T_{j_0} the set of 2-adic integers of the form $j_0 + j_1 2$, with $j_0, j_1 \in \{0, 1\}$. This set is a rooted tree, with root j_0 , and one layer. The vertices on this layer correspond with the points of the form $j_0 + j_1 p$. \mathbb{Z}_2 is the self-similar set obtained as a tiling using T_0 . Alternatively, \mathbb{Z}_2 is the self-similar constructed from T_0 using the scale transformations

$$x \rightarrow a + 2^L x, \text{ with } a \in \mathbb{Z}_2, L \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, up to a scale transformation, the smallest distance between two different points in \mathbb{Z}_2 is

$$2^{-1} = |0 - 2|_2.$$

This means, that if we pick \mathbb{Z}_2 as model of the space, the Planck length is normalized to be 2^{-1} . If we change \mathbb{Z}_2 by \mathbb{Q}_p , the Planck length changes to p^{-1} .

3.2. p -Adic QM. In the Dirac-Von Neumann formulation of QM, [5]-[6], to every isolated quantum system there is associated a separable complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} called the space of states. The states of a quantum system are described by non-zero vectors from \mathcal{H} . Each observable corresponds to a unique linear self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{H} . The most important

observable of a quantum system is its energy. We denote the corresponding operator by \mathbf{H} . Let $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ be the state at time $t = 0$ of a certain quantum system. Then at time t the system is represented by the vector $\Psi(t) = \mathbf{U}_t \Psi_0$, where

$$\mathbf{U}_t = e^{-it\mathbf{H}}, t \geq 0,$$

is a unitary operator called the evolution operator. The vector function $\Psi(t)$ is the solution Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(t) = \mathbf{H} \Psi(t),$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and the Planck constant is assumed to be one. For an in-depth discussion the reader may consult [7]-[8].

For the sake of simplicity, we consider only one-dimensional systems. In standard QM, the states of quantum systems are functions from spaces of type $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In this case, the wavefunctions have the form $\Psi(x, t) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ = \{t \in \mathbb{R}; t \geq 0\}$. This choice implies that the space is continuous, i.e., given two different points $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a continuous curve $X(t) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $X(a) = x_0$, $X(b) = x_1$. The Dirac-von Neumann formulation of QM does not rule out the possibility of choosing a ‘discrete space,’ i.e., we can take $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$; in this case the wavefunctions have the form $\Psi(x, t) : \mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The space \mathbb{Q}_p is a completely disconnected topological space, which is a topological space where the connected components are the points and the empty set. In such a space, a continuous curve joining two different points does not exist. This implies that the word line notion, which is a fundamental pillar in the formulation of special and general relativity, does not exist if we assume as a model of physical space a totally disconnected space. Consequently, the p -adic QM is incompatible with the special and general relativity. p -Adic QM is a model of the standard QM at the Planck length, and its study is potentially useful in the understanding of the unification QM and gravity, [54], [3]. The testability theories like p -adic QM, string theory and quantum gravity, that work at the Planck scale require accessing incredibly high energy levels. So, the physical content of such theories is in question. Recently in [21], we show that some p -adic Schrödinger equations attached to infinite wells are related to continuous-time quantum walks (CTQWs) on countable fully connected graphs. This directly connects p -adic QM and quantum computing; such a connection does not require accessing incredibly high energy levels. This article aims to show that certain 2-adic Schrödinger equations describe continuous versions of Farhi-Gutmann CTQWs on arbitrary graphs.

3.3. Quantum nonlocality. We select an evolution operator $e^{\tau\mathbf{H}_0}$, $\tau \geq 0$, so that it is a Feller semigroup. Then $u(x, \tau) = e^{\tau\mathbf{H}_0} u_0(x)$ is the solution of the evolution equation (a p -adic heat equation) of the form

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} u(x, \tau) = \mathbf{H}_0 u(x, \tau), x \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \tau \geq 0,$$

with initial datum $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$. The Feller condition implies the existence of Markov process in \mathbb{Q}_p , with discontinuous paths, attached to equation (3.2); see e.g. [10], [22], [23],

[24]. Here, it is relevant to mention that all known operators \mathbf{H}_0 appearing in the p -adic heat equation are non-local. We now apply the Wick rotation $\tau = it$, $t \geq 0$, with $i = \sqrt{-1}$, and $\Psi(x, t) = u(x, it)$, to (3.2) to obtain the free, p -adic Schrödinger equation:

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(x, t) = -\mathbf{H}_0 \Psi(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The simplest choice for \mathbf{H}_0 is \mathbf{D}^α , $\alpha > 0$, the Taibleson-Vladimirov fractional, which is defined as

$$\mathbf{D}^\alpha \varphi(x) = \frac{1 - p^\alpha}{1 - p^{-\alpha-1}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \frac{\varphi(z) - \varphi(x)}{|z - x|_p^{\alpha+1}} dz,$$

for φ a locally constant function with compact support. To see the non-local nature of this operator, we take $\varphi(x) = 1$ if $|x|_p \leq 1$, otherwise $\varphi(x) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^\alpha \varphi(x) &= \frac{1 - p^\alpha}{1 - p^{-\alpha-1}} \left\{ \int_{|z|_p \leq 1} \frac{\varphi(z) - \varphi(x)}{|z - x|_p^{\alpha+1}} dz + \int_{|z|_p > 1} \frac{\varphi(z) - \varphi(x)}{|z - x|_p^{\alpha+1}} dz \right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} -\frac{1-p^\alpha}{1-p^{-\alpha-1}} \left(\int_{|z|_p > 1} \frac{dz}{|z|_p^{\alpha+1}} \right) & \text{if } |x|_p \leq 1 \\ \frac{1-p^\alpha}{1-p^{-\alpha-1}} \frac{1}{|x|_p^{\alpha+1}} & \text{if } |x|_p > 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

see, e.g., [21, Remark 1], for further details, and references.

On the other hand, in standard QM, the Hamiltonians are local operators. Furthermore, in quantum field theory, in order to apply functional integration, the Lagrangian densities are required to be local in space and time, see [38, p. 89].

In QM, the fact that the Hilbert space of a composite system is the Hilbert space tensor product of the state spaces associated with the component systems, and the superposition principle allows the existence of entangled states between two particles, which drives to the quantum nonlocality. Quantum nonlocality has been experimentally verified under a variety of physical assumptions, [33]-[37], see also [55].

By definition, p -adic QM is a nonlocal theory. Hence, the violation of Bell's inequality (i.e., the paradigm: the universe is not locally real) does not cause any trouble in p -adic QM. Now, for standard QM, the mentioned paradigm causes serious trouble since standard QM is supposed to be a local theory, and abandoning the idea that objects have definite properties independent of observation seems to have profound epistemological consequences.

3.4. The double-slit experiment. In [19], a p -adic model of the double-slit experiment was studied; in this model, each particle goes through one slit only. A similar description of the two-slit experiment was given in [56]: "Instead of a quantum wave passing through both slits, we have a localized particle with nonlocal interactions with the other slit." in [19], the same conclusion was obtained, but in the p -adic framework, the nonlocal interactions are a consequence of the discreteness of the space \mathbb{Q}_p^3 .

3.5. Breaking of the Lorentz symmetry and the violation of Einstein causality.

Taking $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^3$ as a space-time model, in p -adic QM, the Lorentz symmetry is broken, since the time and position are not interchangeable. The Lorentz symmetry is one of the most essential symmetries of the quantum field theory. While the validity of this symmetry continues to be verified with a high degree of precision [57], in the last thirty-five years, the experimental and theoretical studies of the Lorentz breaking symmetry have been an area of intense research, see, e.g., the reviews [58], [3] and the references therein.

In [20], we introduced a p -adic Dirac equation that shares many properties with the standard one. In particular, the new equation also predicts the existence of pairs of particles and antiparticles and a charge conjugation symmetry. The p -adic Dirac spinors depend on the standard Pauli-Dirac matrices. The new equation is a version of the standard Dirac equation at the Planck scale, where the breaking of the Lorentz symmetry naturally occurs. In this framework, the Einstein causality is invalid in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^3$. The derivation of the p -adic Dirac equation is based on the fact that the plane wave solutions of the standard Dirac equation have natural p -adic analogs when one considers the position and momenta as elements from \mathbb{Q}_p^3 ; the construction of these analogs does not require Lorentz invariance, just a version of the relativistic energy formula, with $c = 1$. This last normalization, or a similar one, is essential because, in the new theory, the speed of light is not the upper bound for the speed at which conventional matter or energy can travel through space.

The p -adic Dirac equation has the form

$$(3.3) \quad i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(t, \mathbf{x}) = (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla_{\varphi} + \beta m) \Psi(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^3,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, \cdot , m have the standard meaning,

$$\Psi^T(t, \mathbf{x}) = \left[\Psi_1(t, \mathbf{x}) \quad \Psi_2(t, \mathbf{x}) \quad \Psi_3(t, \mathbf{x}) \quad \Psi_4(t, \mathbf{x}) \right] \in \mathbb{C}^4,$$

$\nabla_{\varphi}^T = \left[\mathbf{D}_{x_1} \quad \mathbf{D}_{x_2} \quad \mathbf{D}_{x_3} \right]$, where \mathbf{D}_{x_k} denotes the Taibleson-Vladimirov fractional derivative.

The geometry of space \mathbb{Q}_p^3 imposes substantial restrictions on the solutions of (3.3). The p -adic Dirac equation admits space-localized plane waves $\Psi_{r\mathbf{n}j}(t, \mathbf{x})$ for any time $t \geq 0$, which is, $\text{supp } \Psi_{r\mathbf{n}j}(t, \cdot)$ is contained in a compact subset of \mathbb{Q}_p^3 . This phenomenon does not occur in the standard case; see, e.g., [59, Section 1.8, Corollary 1.7]. On the other hand, we compute the transition probability from a localized state at time $t = 0$ to another localized state at $t > 0$, assuming that the space supports of the states are arbitrarily far away. It turns out that this transition probability is greater than zero for any time $t \in (0, \epsilon)$, for arbitrarily small ϵ ; see [20, Theorem 9.1]. Since this probability is nonzero for some arbitrarily small t , the system has a nonzero probability of getting between the mentioned localized states arbitrarily shortly, thereby propagating with superluminal speed in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^3$.

3.6. Quantum nonlocality and faster-than-light communication. In 1988, Eberhard and Ross, using $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ as a space-time model, showed that the relativistic quantum field theory inherently forbids faster-than-light communication, [28]. This result is known as the

no-communication theorem. It preserves the principle of causality in quantum mechanics and ensures that information transfer does not violate special relativity by exceeding the speed of light. So, if the space is not discrete at the Planck length, then faster-than-light communication is impossible. Therefore, the no-communication theorem does not rule out the possible superluminal speed in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^3$. Indeed, the study of the possible superluminal speed under the hypothesis that space is completely disconnected at the Planck length is an open problem.

In [60], the authors assert that quantum nonlocality does not allow for faster-than-light communication and hence is compatible with special relativity and its universal speed limit of objects. In this work, the authors assume that “... quantum mechanics is unrestrictedly valid, i.e. if it governs both the evolutions of the system and of measuring apparatus, as well as their interactions.” This hypothesis is very questionable since the measurement problem in QM is still open. We believe that [60] asserts that if the measurement problem in QM on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has a satisfactory solution, then faster-than-light communication is ruled out.

The propagating with superluminal speed predicted in p -adic QM is aligned with the broken Lorentz symmetry expected at the Planck length, [3]. This conjecture cannot be easily discarded since this article shows that p -adic QM has a physical meaning.

4. 2-ADIC SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS AND QUANTUM NETWORKS

In p -adic QM, the Schrödinger equations are obtained from p -adic heat equations by performing a Wick rotation. These last equations are associated with Markov processes, which are generalizations of the random motion of a particle in a fractal, such as \mathbb{Z}_p or \mathbb{Q}_p ; see, e.g., [23], [24], [26], and the references therein. In [27], see also [61], [25], we introduce a new type of stochastic networks, which are p -adic continuous analogs of the standard Markov state models constructed using master equations. The mentioned network is a model of a complex system whose dynamics is described by a Markov process controlled master equation. This master equation is a p -adic heat equation; by performing a Wick rotation, we obtained a Schrödinger equation that describes a quantum version of the networks studied in [27].

4.1. 2-Adic Heat equations and ultrametric networks. We review some results of [27] required here. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{Z}_2$ be a compact open subset, i.e., \mathcal{K} is a finite union of balls contained in \mathbb{Z}_2 . We pick two non-negative, continuous functions $j(x | y), j(y | x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})$ (the \mathbb{R} -vector space of continuous functions on $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$). The function $j(y | x)$ gives the transition density rate (per unit of time) from x to y , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}(x, B) = \int_B j(y | x) dy$$

is the transition probability from x into B (per unit of time), where B is a Borel subset of \mathcal{K} . In general the functions $j(x | y), j(y | x)$ are different. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})$ the \mathbb{R} -vector

space of continuous functions on \mathcal{K} , and introduce the operator \mathbf{J} defined as

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{J}f(x) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \{j(x|y)f(y) - j(y|x)f(x)\} dy, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}).$$

By endowing $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})$ with the norm $\|f\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in \mathcal{K}} |f(x)|$; space $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ becomes a Banach space, and the mapping $f \rightarrow \mathbf{J}f$ gives rise to a well-defined, linear, bounded operator from $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})$ into itself, [27]. We now assume that

$$(4.2) \quad j(x|y) \leq j(y|x) \text{ for any } x, y \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Under the above hypothesis, the operator \mathbf{J} satisfies the positive maximum principle, i.e., if $h \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\max_{x \in \mathcal{K}} h(x) = h(x_0) \geq 0$, then $(\mathbf{J}h)(x_0) \leq 0$. The evolution equation

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{du(x, \tau)}{d\tau} = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \{j(x|y)u(y, \tau) - j(y|x)u(x, \tau)\} dy, \quad \tau \geq 0, x \in \mathcal{K},$$

is a 2-adic heat equation, which means the following: there exists a probability measure $p_{\tau}(x, \cdot)$, $t \in [0, T]$, with $T = T(u_0)$, $x \in \mathcal{K}$, on the Borel σ -algebra of \mathcal{K} , such that the Cauchy problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u(\cdot, \tau) \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})); \\ \frac{du(x, \tau)}{d\tau} = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \{j(x|y)u(y, \tau) - j(y|x)u(x, \tau)\} dy, \quad \tau \in [0, T], x \in \mathcal{K}; \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}_+). \end{array} \right.$$

has a unique solution of the form

$$u(x, \tau) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} u_0(y) p_{\tau}(x, dy).$$

In addition, $p_{\tau}(x, \cdot)$ is the transition function of a Markov process \mathfrak{X} whose paths are right continuous and have no discontinuities other than jumps; see [27, Theorem 3.1].

We now take \mathcal{K} to be a disjoint union of a finite number of balls of type $I + 2^l \mathbb{Z}_2$, $I \in G_l^0$. Then, the heat equation (4.3) is the master equation of an ultrametric network, where the states of the network are organized in $\#G_l^0$ clusters; each cluster correspond to a ball $I + 2^l \mathbb{Z}_2$. Inside of each ball the states are organized in an infinite rooted tree. There are random transitions between the states inside each ball and between states in different balls, controlled by the functions $j(x|y)$ and $j(y|x)$. These networks may have absorbing states, which means that at finite time τ_0 , the state of the network may get trapped in a small neighborhood of a fixed state; in this case, the time evolution of the network is not described by (4.3) for $\tau > \tau_0$; see [27], for further details.

A relevant case is obtained by taking $j(x|y) = j(y|x) = J(x-y)$, where $J: \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative function satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_2} J(x) dx = 1$. From now on, we assume J is integrable,

but not necessarily continuous. In this case, the \mathbf{J} operator takes the form

$$\mathbf{J}\varphi(x) = J(x) * \varphi(x) - \varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2} J(x-y) (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)) dy.$$

By using that $\|J * \varphi\|_\rho \leq \|J\|_1 \|\varphi\|_\rho = \|\varphi\|_\rho$, for $\varphi \in L^\rho(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, $\rho \in [1, \infty]$, cf. [51, Chapter II, Theorem 1.7],

$$\mathbf{J} : L^\rho(\mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow L^\rho(\mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is a well-defined linear bounded operator satisfying $\|\mathbf{J}\| \leq 2$.

The corresponding heat equation

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} u(x, \tau) = \mathbf{J}u(x, \tau), \quad x \in \mathbb{Q}_2, \tau \geq 0,$$

with initial datum $u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in L^\rho(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ has a unique solution $u(x, \tau) = e^{\tau \mathbf{J}} u_0(x)$. The semigroup $\{e^{\tau \mathbf{J}}\}_{\tau \geq 0}$ is Feller, so there is a Markov process attached to equation (4.4), see e.g. [27], [61].

4.2. 2-Adic Schrödinger equations coming from master equations. We now perform a Wick rotation ($\tau = it$, $t \geq 0$, with $i = \sqrt{-1}$, and $\Psi(x, t) = u(x, it)$) in (4.3) to obtain a Schrödinger equation. It is more convenient to change the notation. We set $A(x, y) = j(x | y)$, $B(x, y) = j(y | x)$, where $A(x, y), B(x, y)$ are non-negative, continuous, symmetric functions ($A(x, y) = A(y, x)$, $B(x, y) = B(y, x)$). With this notation, Schrödinger equation takes the form

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(x, t) &= - \int_{\mathcal{K}} \{A(x, y) \Psi(y, t) - B(x, y) \Psi(x, t)\} dy \\ &= - \int_{\mathcal{K}} A(x, y) \Psi(y, t) dy + S(x) \Psi(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 0, x \in \mathcal{K}$, where

$$S(x) := \int_{\mathcal{K}} B(x, y) dy \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Remark 2. Notice that in (4.5), the mass m is one. The reason is that the mass can be absorbed by the functions $A(x, y)$, $B(x, y)$. The functions $A(x, y)$, $B(x, y)$ are not required to satisfy the hypothesis (4.2).

The operators

$$(4.6) \quad \Phi(x) \rightarrow - \int_{\mathcal{K}} A(x, y) \Phi(y) dy,$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \Phi(x) \rightarrow S(x) \Phi(x)$$

are linear bounded operators from $L^2(\mathcal{K})$ into itself. Indeed, for operator (4.6), by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the fact that $\mu_{Haar}(\mathcal{K}) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} dx < \infty$,

$$\left| - \int_{\mathcal{K}} A(x, y) \Phi(y) dy \right| \leq \|A\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{K}} |\Phi(y)| dy \leq \|A\|_{\infty} \sqrt{\mu_{Haar}(\mathcal{K})} \|\Phi\|_2,$$

then

$$\left\| - \int_{\mathcal{K}} A(x, y) \Phi(y) dy \right\|_2 \leq \|A\|_{\infty} \mu_{Haar}(\mathcal{K}) \|\Phi\|_2.$$

For operator (4.7), $\|S(x)\Phi(x)\|_2 \leq \|S\|_{\infty} \|\Phi\|_2$.

Both operators are symmetric, and then self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathcal{K})$. Indeed, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_{\mathcal{K}} A(x, y) \Phi(y) dy, \Phi(x) \right\rangle &= \int_{\mathcal{K}} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{K}} A(x, y) \Phi(y) dy \right\} \overline{\Phi(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{K}} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{K}} A(x, y) \overline{\Phi(x)} dx \right\} \Phi(y) dy = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \Phi(y) \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{K}} A(x, y) \Phi(x) dx \right\} dy \\ &= \left\langle \Phi(x), \int_{\mathcal{K}} A(x, y) \Phi(y) dy \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The case of operator (4.7) is treated in a similar form.

So, the operator

$$\Psi(x, t) \rightarrow - \int_{\mathcal{K}} \{A(x, y) \Psi(y, t) - B(x, y) \Psi(x, t)\} dy,$$

for $t \geq 0$, is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathcal{K})$.

It is convenient to rewrite equation (4.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} (4.8) \quad i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(x, t) &= - \int_{\mathcal{K}} A(x, y) \{\Psi(y, t) - \Psi(x, t)\} dy + V(x) \Psi(x, t) \\ &=: \mathbf{H} \Psi(x, t) =: (-\mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{V}) \Psi(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.9) \quad V(x) := \int_{\mathcal{K}} \{B(x, y) - A(x, y)\} dy \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}_l, \mathbb{R}),$$

and \mathbf{V} is operator of multiplication by $V(x)$.

Now since \mathbf{H} is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathcal{K})$, by Stone's theorem on one-parameter unitary groups, there exists a one-parameter family of unitary operators $\{e^{-it\mathbf{H}}\}_{t \geq 0}$, such that

$\Psi(x, t) = e^{-it\mathbf{H}}\Psi_0(x)$ is the unique solution of the Cauchy problem

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{cases} \Psi(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\mathcal{K}), t \geq 0; \Psi(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+), x \in \mathcal{K} \\ i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(x, t) = \mathbf{H}\Psi(x, t), x \in \mathcal{K}, t \geq 0 \\ \Psi(x, 0) = \Psi_0(x) \in L^2(\mathcal{K}). \end{cases}$$

Remark 3. Let $V : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a time-independent continuous potential, and let \mathbf{V} be the operator of multiplication by $V(x)$. The 2-adic Schrödinger equation with time-independent potential V corresponding to (4.4) is

$$(4.11) \quad i\frac{\partial\Psi(x, t)}{\partial t} = (-m\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{V})\Psi(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_2, t \geq 0,$$

where $m > 0$ is the mass of the particle. The operator $-m\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{V}$ is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and by Stone's theorem on one-parameter unitary groups, there exists a one-parameter family of unitary operators $\{e^{-it(-m\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{V})}\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, such that $\Psi(x, t) = e^{-it(-m\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{V})}\Psi_0(x)$, $\Psi_0(x) = \Psi(x, 0)$, is the solution of the Cauchy problem associated with (4.11).

Remark 4. We now take $\mathcal{K} = \bigsqcup_{I \in G_l^0} (I + 2^l\mathbb{Z}_2)$, where G_l^0 is a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}_2 , $\Psi_I(x) := 2^{\frac{l}{2}}\Omega(2^l|x - I|_2)$, with $\Omega(2^l|x - I|_2)$ denoting the characteristic function of the ball $I + 2^l\mathbb{Z}_2$, and $\Psi(x, t) = e^{-it\mathbf{H}}\Psi_I(x)$ as before. Notice that

$$1 = \|\Psi_I(x)\|_2 = \|\Psi(x, t)\|_2 = \sqrt{\int_{\mathcal{K}} |\Psi(x, t)|^2 dx};$$

then, by Born's rule,

$$\int_B |\Psi(x, t)|^2 dx$$

gives the probability of finding the system in a state supported in $B \subset \mathcal{K}$ (a Borel subset) given that at time zero the state of the system was given by $\Psi_I(x)$. Therefore,

$$(4.12) \quad \tilde{\pi}_{J,I}(t) = \int_{J+2^l\mathbb{Z}_2} |\Psi(x, t)|^2 dx$$

is a transition probability between a state supported in the ball $I + 2^l\mathbb{Z}_2$ to a state supported in the ball $J + 2^l\mathbb{Z}_2$ at the time t . Notice that

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{J \in G_l^0} \tilde{\pi}_{J,I}(t) = 1.$$

Then, if we identify the ball $I + 2^l\mathbb{Z}_2$ with vertex $I \in G_l^0$ of a complete graph, the matrix $[\tilde{\pi}_{J,I}(t)]$ defines a quantum Markov chain on the graph (i.e. a CTQW). This approach was introduced in [21]. The drawback of this approach is that it requires the solution of Cauchy problem (4.10), and that the constructed CTQWs are exclusively defined on complete graphs. The main goal of this work is to provide a different approach of the construction of CTQWs based on the discretization of (4.10).

5. DISCRETIZATIONS OF 2-ADIC SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS AND QUANTUM NETWORKS

By the discretization of a function, we mean an approximation of it, which is a function of a finite-dimensional vector space. By the discretization of an operator, we mean an approximation of it by a matrix acting on a finite-dimensional vector space. Well-known techniques exist for approximating abstract evolution equations. Our approach is highly influenced by [62, Section 5.4]. The goal of this section is to construct space approximations of (4.10). Such an approximation is a system of ordinary differential equations in

$$\left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right]_{I \in G_l} \in \mathbb{C}^{\#G_l},$$

where G_l is a finite subset, and l is positive integer. The finite set G_l is a space discretization of a completely disconnected space (\mathbb{Z}_2) such that in the limit when $l \rightarrow \infty$, G_l becomes \mathbb{Z}_2 .

5.1. The space $\mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. We now construct approximations for the solution $\Psi(x, t)$ of (4.10). The \mathbb{C} -vector space $\mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, consists of the test functions supported in the ball \mathbb{Z}_2 of the form

$$\varphi^{(l)}(x) = \sum_{I \in G_l} \varphi_I 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2), \quad \varphi_I \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $\Omega(2^l |x - I|_2)$ is the characteristic function of the ball $I + 2^l \mathbb{Z}_2$, $G_l = \mathbb{Z}_2 / 2^l \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2^l \mathbb{Z}$ is the set of binary sequences of l -bits, i.e., $I = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} I_k 2^k$, with $I_k \in \{0, 1\}$. Notice that the cardinality of G_l is 2^l .

Given $\varphi^{(l)}(x), \theta^{(l)}(x) \in \mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, we recall that

$$\langle \varphi^{(l)}(x), \theta^{(l)}(x) \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \varphi^{(l)}(x) \overline{\theta^{(l)}(x)} dx,$$

is restriction of the standard inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ to $\mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. The set of vectors

$$(5.1) \quad \left\{ 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \right\}_{I \in G_l}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. By identifying $\varphi^{(l)}(x)$ with the column vector $[\varphi_I]_{I \in G_l} \in \mathbb{C}^{2^l}$, we obtain an isometry between $\mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and the Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^{2^l} ; this last space is the standard Hilbert space used in quantum computing.

We recall that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \cup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the \mathbb{C} -vector space of continuous functions defined on \mathbb{Z}_2 . Furthermore, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, see e.g. [52, Section 4.3]. Now, the fact that the Haar measure of \mathbb{Z}_2 is one, and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality imply that

$$L^1(\mathbb{Z}_2) \supset L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2) \supset L^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_2) \supset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \supset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_2),$$

where all the embeddings are continuous, more precisely,

$$\|f\|_\infty \geq \|f\|_2 \geq \|f\|_1.$$

Therefore, the space $\mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is dense in $L^\rho(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ for $\rho \in \{1, 2, \infty\}$: given $f \in L^\rho(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $l = l(\epsilon)$ and $f^{(l)} \in \mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ of the form

$$(5.2) \quad f^{(l)}(x) = \sum_{I \in G_l} f_I^{(l)} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2)$$

such that $\|f - f^{(l)}\|_\rho < \epsilon$. This result does not give an algorithm for estimating the coefficients $f_I^{(l)}$.

Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an integrable function. We extend f outside of the unit ball as zero. The average of the function f in ball $B_{-l}(x_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}_2; |z - x_0|_2 \leq 2^{-l}\}$ is given by

$$\text{Aver}(f, B_{-l}(x_0)) := 2^l \int_{|z-x_0|_2 \leq 2^{-l}} f(z) dz,$$

where the volume (the Haar measure) of $B_{-l}(x_0)$ is 2^{-l} . The integrability condition implies the existence of subset $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}_2$ with Haar measure zero, such that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \text{Aver}(f, B_{-l}(x_0)) = f(x_0) \text{ for any } x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \setminus \mathcal{N},$$

see [51, Chap. II, Theorem 1.14]. We take $f^{(l)}(x)$ as (5.2), with $f_I^{(l)} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} = \text{Aver}(f, B_{-l}(I))$. Then, assuming that $x \in B_{-l}(I)$,

$$\|f(x) - f^{(l)}(x)\|_1 \leq \|f(x) - f^{(l)}(x)\|_\infty = \|f(x) - \text{Aver}(f, B_{-l}(I))\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ outside of a measure zero set. In the verification of this last approximation, we may assume that f is continuous, since the continuous function are dense in $L^1(\mathbb{Z}_2)$.

5.1.1. *Approximations of wavefunctions.* We now construct approximations $\Psi^{(l)}(x, t)$ to the solutions of (4.8) and (4.11), [62, Section 5.4]. Since $\Psi(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, by using the density of $\mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2) \subset L^1(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, we have an approximation of the form

$$\Psi^{(l)}(x, t) = \sum_{I \in G_l} \Psi_I^{(l)}(t) 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2),$$

where $\Psi_I^{(l)}(t)$ is differentiable, i.e., $\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Define the linear operator

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_l : L^1(\mathbb{Z}_2) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2) \\ \phi(x) & \rightarrow & \phi^{(l)}(x) = \sum_{I \in G_l} \phi_I^{(l)} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2), \end{array}$$

where $\phi_I^{(l)} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} = \text{Aver}(f, B_{-l}(I))$. It is not difficult to verify that $\|\mathbf{P}_l \phi\|_2 \leq \|\phi\|_2$, i.e., operator \mathbf{P}_l is continuous.

The approximations $\mathbf{H}_0^{(l)}, \mathbf{V}^{(l)}$ of the operators \mathbf{H}_0, \mathbf{V} are defined as follows:

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbf{H}_0^{(l)} : \mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}_0} L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}_l} \mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2),$$

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbf{V}^{(l)} : \mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{V}} L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}_l} \mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2).$$

Notice that if $V \in L^1(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, then $V\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

Now, $\mathbf{H}_0^{(l)}$, $\mathbf{V}^{(l)}$ are linear operators on a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$; by using basis (5.1), these operators are represented by matrices of size $2^l \times 2^l$ acting of column vectors

$$\left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right] := \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right]_{I \in G_l}.$$

Set $\mathbf{H}^{(l)} = -\mathbf{H}_0^{(l)} + \mathbf{V}^{(l)}$, for (4.8), and $\mathbf{H}_0^{(l)} = -m\mathbf{J}^{(l)} + \mathbf{V}^{(l)}$, for (4.11). Then, the discretization of the systems (4.8) and (4.11) is

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right] \in \mathbb{C}^{2^l}, t \geq 0; \Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+), I \in G_l \\ i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right] = \mathbf{H}^{(l)} \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right], I \in G_l, t \geq 0 \\ \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(0) \right] = \left[\Psi_I^{(\text{init})} \right] \in \mathbb{C}^{2^l}. \end{cases}$$

Remark 5. $\mathbf{V}^{(l)}$ is the matrix of the linear operator $\varphi^{(l)}(x) \rightarrow \varphi^{(l)}(x) V^{(l)}(x)$, $\varphi^{(l)} \in \mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $V^{(l)}$ is the approximation of V given by

$$V^{(l)}(x) = \sum_{I \in G_l} V_I^{(l)} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2),$$

with $V_I^{(l)} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} = \text{Aver}(V, B_{-l}(I))$. Therefore, $\mathbf{V}^{(l)} = \text{diag} \left[2^{\frac{-l}{2}} \text{Aver}(V, B_{-l}(I)) \right]_{I \in G_l}$.

5.2. Quantum networks. Our next step is to show that the discrete 2-adic Schrödinger equations (4.8) and (4.11) codify CTQWs on graphs used in quantum computing. In particular, equations of type (4.11) describe the Farhi-Gutmann CTQWs, [31], see also [29]. Here, we review the construction of CTQWs following [29], [30].

From now on, \mathcal{H} denotes the Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^{2^l} , with norm $\|\cdot\|$, and canonical basis as $\{|e_I\rangle\}_{I \in G_l}$. Here, use the Dirac notation. We assume that $\mathbf{H}^{(l)}$ is a Hermitian matrix so $\exp(-it\mathbf{H}^{(l)})$ is unitary matrix. We identify G_l with an graph with vertices $I \in G_l$. We define the transition probability $\pi_{I,J}(t)$ from J to I as

$$\pi_{I,J}(t) = \left| \langle e_I | e^{-it\mathbf{H}^{(l)}} | e_J \rangle \right|^2, \text{ for } J, I \in G_l.$$

If $\pi_{I,J}(t) \neq \pi_{J,I}(t)$ there is an oriented edge from J to I . If $\pi_{I,J}(t) = \pi_{J,I}(t)$, there is only an unoriented edge between J and I .

Note that

$$\sum_{I \in G_l} \pi_{I,J}(t) = \sum_{I \in G_l} \left| \langle e_I | e^{-it\mathbf{H}^{(l)}} | e_J \rangle \right|^2 = 1.$$

Indeed, using that

$$\Theta_J(t) =: e^{-it\mathbf{H}^{(l)}} | e_J \rangle = \sum_{K \in G_l} C_{K,J}(t) | e_K \rangle$$

and

$$\|\Theta_J(t)\| = \left\| \sum_{K \in G_l} C_{K,J}(t) |e_K\rangle \right\| = \sqrt{\sum_{K \in G_l} |C_{K,J}(t)|^2} = \| |e_J\rangle \| = 1$$

since $e^{-it\mathbf{H}^{(l)}}$ is a unitary matrix, we have

$$\sum_{I \in G_l} \left| \langle e_I | e^{-it\mathbf{H}^{(l)}} | e_J \rangle \right|^2 = \sum_{I \in G_l} |C_{I,J}(t)|^2 = 1.$$

We call to the continuous-time Markov chain on G_l determined by the transition probabilities $[\pi_{I,J}(t)]_{I,J \in G_l}$, the quantum network associated with the discrete 2-adic Schrödinger equation (5.6). This construction works if we replace G_l with a subset G_l^0 of it.

The discrete Hamiltonians $\mathbf{H}^{(l)}$ depend on many parameters, for instance, the functions $A(x, y)$, $B(x, y)$, $J(x - y)$, and the potential $V(x)$, so the above basic construction gives rise infinitely many different CTQWs. The next step is to compute the matrices $\mathbf{H}^{(l)}$ and verify their hermiticity for a large class of parameters.

6. CTQWS ON GRAPHS

The CTQWs on undirected graphs play a central role in quantum computing. In this section, we show that this type of CTQWs can be obtained from a suitable 2-adic Schrödinger equation of type (4.11). Let \mathcal{G} be an undirected, finite graph with vertices $I \in G_l^0 \subset G_l$, and adjacency matrix $[A_{JI}]_{J,I \in G_l^0}$, with

$$A_{JI} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the vertices } J \text{ and } I \text{ are connected} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This matrix is symmetric, and the diagonal entries are not necessary zero, which means that graph \mathcal{G} may have self-loops.

From now on, we fix and l such that $\#G_l^0 \leq 2^l$, and set

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_l := \bigsqcup_{I \in G_l^0} (I + 2^l \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

which is an open compact subset of \mathbb{Z}_2 . We also define

$$(6.2) \quad J^{(l)}(x, y) = 2^l \sum_{J \in G_l^0} \sum_{K \in G_l^0} A_{JK} \Omega(2^l |x - J|_p) \Omega(2^l |y - K|_p),$$

$x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $[A_{JI}]_{J,I \in G_l^0}$ is the adjacency matrix of graph \mathcal{G} . Notice that $J^{(l)}(x, y)$ is a real-valued test function on $\mathcal{K}_l \times \mathcal{K}_l$. We now introduce the linear operator

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{G}} \varphi(x) := \int_{\mathcal{K}_l} \{\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)\} J^{(l)}(x, y) dy, \text{ for } \varphi \in C(\mathcal{K}_l).$$

This operator extends to linear bounded operator in $L^2(\mathcal{K}_l)$.

We denote by $\mathcal{X}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2) \subset \mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, the \mathbb{C} -vector space consisting of all the test functions supported in \mathcal{K}_l having the form

$$(6.3) \quad \varphi(x) = \sum_{J \in G_l^0} \varphi_J 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - J|_2),$$

where $\varphi_J \in \mathbb{C}$. Notice that $\mathbf{J}_G : \mathcal{X}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is a linear bounded operator satisfying $\|\mathbf{J}_G\| \leq 2\gamma_g$, where $\gamma_g := \max_{I \in G_l^0} \gamma_I$, with $\gamma_I := \sum_{J \in G_l^0} A_{IJ}$. We set

$$\text{val}(I) := \begin{cases} \gamma_I & \text{if } A_{II} = 0 \\ \gamma_I - 1 & \text{if } A_{II} = 1. \end{cases}$$

We call $\text{val}(I)$, the valence of I , it is the number of connections from I to its other vertices. By seek of simplicity, we assume that

$$V(x) = \sum_{J \in G_l^0} V_J 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - J|_2), \quad V_J \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The discretization of (4.11) is obtained by computing the matrix of $\mathbf{J}_G|_{\mathcal{X}_l}$ assuming that

$$\Psi^{(l)}(x, t) = \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \Psi_I^{(l)}(t) 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2).$$

We identify $\Psi^{(l)}(x, t)$ with the column vector $[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t)]$. In the computation of the matrix of $\mathbf{J}_G|_{\mathcal{X}_l}$, we use that

$$\Omega(2^l |z - I|_2) \Omega(2^l |z - J|_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } I \neq J \\ \Omega(2^l |z - J|_2) & \text{if } I = J, \end{cases}$$

and $2^{\frac{l}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \Omega(p^l |y - K|_p) dy = 1$. The calculation is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_G \left(2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \right) &= \sum_{J \in G_l^0} A_{JI} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - J|_2) - \left(\sum_{K \in G_l^0} A_{IK} \right) 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \\ &= \sum_{J \in G_l^0} A_{JI} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - J|_2) - \gamma_I 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \\ &= \sum_{J \in G_l^0} \{A_{JI} - \gamma_I \delta_{JI}\} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - J|_2), \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{JI} is the Konecker delta. Consequently, operator $m \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{G}}|_{\mathcal{X}_l} : \mathcal{X}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is represented by the symmetric matrix

$$mJ_{\mathcal{G}}^{(l)} = m \left[J_{J,I}^{(l)} \right]_{J,I \in G_l^0} = [mA_{JI} - \gamma_I m \delta_{JI}]_{J,I \in G_l^0}$$

$$= \begin{cases} m & \text{if } J \neq I \text{ and } A_{JI} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } J \neq I \text{ and } A_{JI} = 0 \\ -m\text{val}(I) & \text{if } J = I. \end{cases}$$

The matrix of the potential is $V^{(l)} = \text{diag}[V_J]_{J \in G_l^0}$. We set

$$(6.4) \quad H^{(l)} = -mJ_{\mathcal{G}}^{(l)} + V^{(l)} = \left[H_{J,I}^{(l)} \right]_{J,I \in G_l^0},$$

where

$$H_{J,I}^{(l)} = \begin{cases} -m & \text{if } J \neq I \text{ and } A_{JI} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } J \neq I \text{ and } A_{JI} = 0 \\ m\text{val}(I) + V_I & \text{if } J = I. \end{cases}$$

The discretization of the 2-adic Schrödinger equation takes the form

$$(6.5) \quad i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right] = H^{(l)} \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right], \quad t \geq 0.$$

6.1. The Farhi-Gutmann CTQWs. Let \mathcal{G} be a finite graph. We take $G_l^0 = V(\mathcal{G})$, the set of vertices, and

$$\Psi(t) := \sum_{I \in V(\mathcal{G})} \Psi_I^{(l)}(t) |e_I\rangle = \sum_{I \in V(\mathcal{G})} \langle e_I | \Psi(t) \rangle |e_I\rangle,$$

with

$$\|\Psi(t)\|^2 = \sum_{I \in V(\mathcal{G})} |\langle e_I | \Psi(t) \rangle|^2 = 1.$$

Now, we take $V = 0$, and assume that the entries in the diagonal of the adjacency matrix $[A_{JI}]_{J,I \in G_N^0}$ are zero, and set

$$(6.6) \quad \langle e_I | \hat{H} | e_K \rangle := H_{I,K}^{(l)}.$$

Then, equation (6.5) can be rewritten as

$$(6.7) \quad i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle e_I | \Psi(t) \rangle = \sum_{K \in V(\mathcal{G})} \langle e_I | \hat{H} | e_K \rangle \langle e_K | \Psi(t) \rangle,$$

which is the Schrödinger equation for the Farhi-Gutmann CTQW, [32], [31].

6.2. Continuum limit. Starting with equation (6.7), using (6.6) and $\mathbb{C}^{2^l} \simeq \mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, we recast this equation as equation (6.5), where matrix $H^{(l)}$ is given in (6.4). The operators $\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{G}}|_{\mathcal{X}_l}$, respectively $\mathbf{V}|_{\mathcal{X}_l}$, extend to $\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathbf{V} : L^2(\mathcal{K}_l) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{K}_l)$, and consequently, the equation

$$(6.8) \quad i \frac{\partial \Psi(x, t)}{\partial t} = (-m\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{G}} + \mathbf{V}) \Psi(x, t), x \in \mathcal{K}_l \subset \mathbb{Z}_2, t \geq 0,$$

can be interpreted as continuous limit (or scale limit) of equation (6.7). A precise argument is as follows. We cover the open compact $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_l$ by balls of the form $J + 2^r\mathbb{Z}_2$, with $J \in \mathcal{K}_l \cap G_r$, $r > l$. We now consider the subspace $\mathcal{X}_r(\mathcal{K}_l)$ of test functions of the form

$$\varphi^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{K}_l \cap G_r} \varphi_J 2^{\frac{r}{2}} \Omega(2^r |x - I|_2), \varphi_I \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now, applying the discretization techniques, we obtain a finer discretization of (6.8) of the form

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\Psi_J^{(r)}(t) \right] = H^{(r)} \left[\Psi_J^{(r)}(t) \right], \text{ for } J \in \mathcal{K}_l \cap G_r.$$

Here, it is important to say that the matrices $H^{(r)}$, $r > l$, are block-matrices constructed using copies of $H^{(l)}$; see [25] for a similar calculation. The operators $-m\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{G}} + \mathbf{V}$, $H^{(r)}$ are self-adjoint, so the functions $e^{-i\mathbf{H}t}\Psi_0$, $e^{-i\mathbf{H}^{(r)}t}\mathbf{P}_r(\Psi_0)$ are well-defined for any $\Psi_0 \in L^2(\mathcal{K}_l)$. The precise meaning of the scale limit is

$$(6.9) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\| e^{-i\mathbf{H}t}\Psi_0 - e^{-i\mathbf{H}^{(r)}t}\mathbf{P}_r(\Psi_0) \right\|_2 = 0,$$

for any $\Psi_0 \in L^2(\mathcal{K}_l)$. Here, we should recall that $H^{(r)}$ is the matrix representing the restriction of operator $-m\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{G}} + \mathbf{V}$ to subspace $\mathcal{X}_r(\mathcal{K}_l)$, so $e^{-i\mathbf{H}^{(r)}t}\mathbf{P}_r(\Psi_0) = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t}\mathbf{P}_r(\Psi_0)$, for $r \geq l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\| e^{-i\mathbf{H}t}\Psi_0 - e^{-i\mathbf{H}^{(r)}t}\mathbf{P}_r(\Psi_0) \right\|_2 &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\| e^{-i\mathbf{H}t}\Psi_0 - e^{-i\mathbf{H}t}\mathbf{P}_r(\Psi_0) \right\|_2 \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\| e^{-i\mathbf{H}t}\Psi_0 - e^{-i\mathbf{H}t}\mathbf{P}_r(\Psi_0) \right\|_2 &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \Psi_0 - \mathbf{P}_r(\Psi_0) \right\|_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last limit is a reformulation of the fact that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \cup_r \mathcal{D}_r(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, with $\mathcal{D}_r(\mathbb{Z}_2) \subset \mathcal{D}_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, since $L^2(\mathcal{K}_l) \subset L^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, then $\cup_r (\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{D}_r(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ is also dense in the last space.

The above can be extended to the Schrödinger equations of type (4.8). So, we can say that the 2-adic Schrödinger equations introduced here describe the scaling limit of a CTQWs on undirected graphs; these CTQWs are generalizations of the ones given in [31], [29].

We conjecture that any p -adic Schrödinger equation, obtained by a Wick rotation from a p -adic heat equation, describes the scaling limit of a CTQW on a graph.

7. CTQWS ON BI-WEIGHTED GRAPHS

We now give a further generalization of the Farhi-Gutmann CTQW to a class of oriented graphs, that we have called bi-weighted graphs. We take \mathcal{G} a graph, with vertices $G_l^0 \subset G_l$ as before. We endow \mathcal{G} with two weighted symmetric matrices $A = [A_{K,I}]_{K,I \in G_l^0}$, $B = [B_{K,I}]_{K,I \in G_l^0}$, with non-negative entries. The entry $A_{K,I}$ is interpreted as an outward flux

rate from node I to node K ; while the entry $B_{K,I}$ is interpreted as an inward flux rate from K to I . The discretization of (4.8) is the Schrödinger equation on a bi-weighted graph.

We assume that the approximations of the functions $A(x, y)$, $B(x, y)$ are

$$(7.1) \quad A^{(l)}(x, y) = \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \sum_{K \in G_l^0} 2^l A_{I,K} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \Omega(2^l |y - K|_2),$$

$$(7.2) \quad B^{(l)}(x, y) = \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \sum_{K \in G_l^0} 2^l B_{I,K} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \Omega(2^l |y - K|_2).$$

We set

$$\gamma_I^{(A)} := \sum_{K \in G_l^0} A_{I,K}, \quad \gamma_I^{(B)} := \sum_{K \in G_l^0} B_{I,K}.$$

We approximate $\Psi(x, t)$ as

$$\Psi^{(l)}(x, t) = \sum_{I \in G_l^0} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2).$$

Then

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} V^{(l)}(x) &= \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \left\{ \sum_{K \in G_l^0} (B_{I,K} - A_{I,K}) \right\} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \\ &= \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \left\{ \gamma_I^{(B)} - \gamma_I^{(A)} \right\} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2), \end{aligned}$$

see (4.9), and

$$\Psi^{(l)}(x, t) V^{(l)}(x) = \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \left\{ \gamma_I^{(B)} - \gamma_I^{(A)} \right\} \Psi_I^{(l)}(t) 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{K}_l} A^{(l)}(x, y) \{ \Psi^{(l)}(y, t) - \Psi^{(l)}(x, t) \} dy = \\
& \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \sum_{K \in G_l^0} 2^l A_{I,K} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \int_{\mathcal{K}_l} \Omega(2^l |y - K|_2) \{ \Psi^{(l)}(y, t) - \Psi^{(l)}(x, t) \} dy \\
& = \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \sum_{K \in G_l^0} 2^l A_{I,K} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \left\{ 2^{\frac{-l}{2}} \Psi_K^{(l)}(t) - 2^{-l} \Psi^{(l)}(x, t) \right\} \\
& = \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \sum_{K \in G_l^0} A_{I,K} \Psi_K^{(l)}(t) 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) - \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \sum_{K \in G_l^0} A_{I,K} \Psi_I^{(l)}(t) 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \\
& = \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \sum_{K \in G_l^0} A_{I,K} \Psi_K^{(l)}(t) 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) - \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \gamma_I^{(A)} \Psi_I^{(l)}(t) 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \\
& = \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \left\{ \sum_{K \in G_l^0} A_{I,K} \Psi_K^{(l)}(t) - \gamma_I^{(A)} \Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right\} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2) \\
& = \sum_{I \in G_l^0} \left\{ \sum_{K \in G_l^0} (A_{I,K} - \gamma_I^{(A)} \delta_{I,K}) \Psi_K^{(l)}(t) \right\} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} \Omega(2^l |x - I|_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the discretization of (4.8) is

$$\begin{aligned}
i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right] &= - \left([A_{K,I}] - \text{diag} \left(\gamma_I^{(A)} \right) \right) \left[\Psi_K^{(l)}(t) \right] + \text{diag} \left(\gamma_I^{(B)} - \gamma_I^{(A)} \right) \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right] \\
&= \left(-[A_{K,I}] + \text{diag} \left(\gamma_I^{(B)} \right) \right) \left[\Psi_K^{(l)}(t) \right]_K,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right] = \left(-A + \text{diag} \left(\gamma_I^{(B)} \right) \right) \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right] = -\mathbf{H}^{(l)} \left[\Psi_I^{(l)}(t) \right].$$

8. APPENDIX: BASIC FACTS ON 2-ADIC ANALYSIS

In this section, we fix the notation and collect some basic results on 2-adic analysis that we use in this article. For a detailed exposition on p -adic analysis, the reader may consult [10], [23], [51], [52].

8.1. The field of 2-adic numbers. The field of 2-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_2 is defined as the completion of the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} with respect to the 2-adic norm $|\cdot|_2$, which is defined as

$$|x|_2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 2^{-\gamma} & \text{if } x = 2^\gamma \frac{a}{b}, \end{cases}$$

where a and b are integers coprime with 2. The integer $\gamma = \text{ord}_2(x) := \text{ord}(x)$, with $\text{ord}(0) := +\infty$, is called the 2-adic order of x .

The metric space $(\mathbb{Q}_2, |\cdot|_2)$ is a complete ultrametric space. As a topological space \mathbb{Q}_2 is homeomorphic to a Cantor-like subset of the real line, see, e.g., [10], [52].

Any 2-adic number $x \neq 0$ has a unique expansion of the form

$$x = 2^{\text{ord}(x)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j 2^j,$$

where $x_j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $x_0 \neq 0$. In addition, any $x \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \setminus \{0\}$ can be represented uniquely as $x = 2^{\text{ord}(x)}v$, where $|v|_2 = 1$.

8.2. Topology of \mathbb{Q}_2 . For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $B_r(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_2; |x - a|_2 \leq 2^r\}$ the ball of radius 2^r with center at $a \in \mathbb{Q}_2$, and take $B_r(0) := B_r$. The ball B_0 equals \mathbb{Z}_2 , the ring of 2-adic integers. The balls are both open and closed subsets in \mathbb{Q}_2 . In addition, two balls in \mathbb{Q}_2 are either disjoint or one is contained in the other. As a topological space $(\mathbb{Q}_2, |\cdot|_2)$ is totally disconnected, i.e., the only connected subsets of \mathbb{Q}_2 are the empty set and the points. A subset of \mathbb{Q}_2 is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded in \mathbb{Q}_2 , see, e.g., [10, Section 1.3], or [52, Section 1.8]. The balls are compact subsets. Thus $(\mathbb{Q}_2, |\cdot|_2)$ is a locally compact topological space.

8.3. The Haar measure. Since $(\mathbb{Q}_2, +)$ is a locally compact topological group, there exists a Haar measure dx , which is invariant under translations, i.e., $d(x + a) = dx$, [63]. If we normalize this measure by the condition $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_2} dx = 1$, then dx is unique.

Notation 1. We will use $\Omega(2^{-r}|x - a|_2)$ to denote the characteristic function of the ball $B_r(a) = a + 2^{-r}\mathbb{Z}_2$, where

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_2; |x|_2 \leq 1\}$$

is the unit ball. For more general sets, we will use the notation 1_A for the characteristic function of set A .

8.4. The Bruhat-Schwartz space. A complex-valued function φ defined on \mathbb{Q}_2 is called locally constant if for any $x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ there exist an integer $l(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(8.1) \quad \varphi(x + x') = \varphi(x) \text{ for any } x' \in B_{l(x)}.$$

A function $\varphi : \mathbb{Q}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a Bruhat-Schwartz function (or a test function) if it is locally constant with compact support. Any test function can be represented as a linear combination, with complex coefficients, of characteristic functions of balls. The \mathbb{C} -vector space of Bruhat-Schwartz functions is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_2)$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_2)$, the largest number $l = l(\varphi)$ satisfying (8.1) is called the exponent of local constancy (or the parameter of constancy) of φ .

8.5. L^ρ spaces. Given $\rho \in [1, \infty)$, we denote by $L^\rho(\mathbb{Q}_2) := L^\rho(\mathbb{Q}_2, dx)$, the \mathbb{C} -vector space of all the complex valued functions g satisfying

$$\|g\|_\rho = \left(\int_{\mathbb{Q}_2} |g(x)|^\rho dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} < \infty,$$

where dx is the normalized Haar measure on $(\mathbb{Q}_2, +)$.

If U is an open subset of \mathbb{Q}_2 , $\mathcal{D}(U)$ denotes the \mathbb{C} -vector space of test functions with supports contained in U , then $\mathcal{D}(U)$ is dense in

$$L^\rho(U) = \left\{ \varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \|\varphi\|_\rho = \left\{ \int_U |\varphi(x)|^\rho dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} < \infty \right\},$$

for $1 \leq \rho < \infty$, see, e.g., [52, Section 4.3].

REFERENCES

- [1] Bronstein M., Republication of: Quantum theory of weak gravitational fields. *Gen Relativ Gravit* 44, 267–283 (2012).
- [2] Varadarajan V. S., *Reflections on quanta, symmetries, and supersymmetries*. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [3] Amelino-Camelia G., *Quantum-Spacetime Phenomenology*. *Living Rev. Relativ.* 16, 5 (2013).
- [4] Volovich I. V., *Number theory as the ultimate physical theory*. *p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl.* 2 (2010), no. 1, 77–87.
- [5] Dirac P. A. M., *The principles of quantum mechanics*. First edition 1930. Fourth Edition, Oxford University Press. 1958.
- [6] Neumann J.V., *Mathematical foundations of quantum Mechanics*. First Edition 1932. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2018.
- [7] Berezin F. A., Shubin M. A., *The Schrödinger equation*. *Math. Appl. (Soviet Ser.)*, 66 Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [8] Takhtajan L. A., *Quantum mechanics for mathematicians*. *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 95, American Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [9] Vladimirov V. S., Volovich I. V., *p-adic quantum mechanics*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 123 (1989), no. 4, 659–676.
- [10] Vladimirov V. S., Volovich I. V., Zelenov E. I., *p-Adic analysis and mathematical physics*. World Scientific, 1994.
- [11] Varadarajan V.S., *Non-Archimedean models for space-time*. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 2001. V. 16. P. 387–395.
- [12] Dragovich B., Khrennikov A. Y., Kozyrev S. V., Volovich, I. V., *On p-adic mathematical physics*. *p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl.* 1 (2009), no. 1, 1–17.
- [13] Vourdas, A., *Quantum systems with finite Hilbert space*. *Rep. Progr. Phys.* 67 (2004), no.3, 267–320.
- [14] Vourdas Apostolos, *Finite and profinite quantum systems*. *Quantum Sci. Technol.* Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [15] Anashin V., *Free Choice in Quantum Theory: A p-adic View*. *Entropy* 2023; 25(5): 830. <https://doi.org/10.3390/e25050830>.
- [16] Aniello P., Mancini S., Parisi V., *A p-Adic Model of Quantum States and the p-Adic Qubit*. *Entropy* 25, no. 1: 86 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.3390/e25010086>.
- [17] Volovich I.V., *On the Equivalence Between the Schrödinger Equation in Quantum Mechanics and the Euler-Bernoulli Equation in Elasticity Theory*. *p-Adic Num Ultrametr Anal Appl* 17, 78–84 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1134/S2070046625010066>.
- [18] Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., *Non-Archimedean quantum mechanics via quantum groups*. *Nuclear Phys. B* 985 (2022), Paper No. 116021, 21 pp.
- [19] Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., *The p-Adic Schrödinger equation and the two-slit experiment in quantum mechanics*. *Ann. Physics* 469 (2024), Paper No. 169747.

- [20] Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., p -adic quantum mechanics, the Dirac equation, and the violation of Einstein causality. *J. Phys. A* 57 (2024), no. 30, Paper No. 305301, 29 pp.
- [21] Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Mayes Nathaniel P., p -Adic quantum mechanics, infinite potential wells, and continuous-time quantum walks. arXiv:2410.13048.
- [22] Kochubei A.N., Pseudo-differential equations and stochastics over non-Archimedean fields. Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.
- [23] Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., p -Adic Analysis: Stochastic Processes and Pseudo-Differential Equations. De Gruyter, 2025.
- [24] Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Pseudodifferential equations over non-Archimedean spaces. *Lectures Notes in Mathematics* 2174, Springer, 2016.
- [25] Zuniga-Galindo W.A., Reaction-diffusion equations on complex networks and Turing patterns, via p -adic analysis. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 491, No. 1. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124239>.
- [26] Khrennikov A., Kozyrev S., Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Ultrametric Equations and its Applications. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications* (168), Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [27] Zúñiga-Galindo, W. A., Ultrametric diffusion, rugged energy landscapes and transition networks. *Phys. A* 597 (2022), Paper No. 127221, 19 pp.
- [28] Eberhard Phillippe H., Ross Ronald R., Quantum field theory cannot provide faster-than-light communication. *Foundations of Physics Letters*. 2 (2): 127–149 (1989). doi:10.1007/BF00696109. ISSN 1572-9524.
- [29] Mülken O.; Blumen A., Continuous-time quantum walks: models for coherent transport on complex networks. *Phys. Rep.* 502 (2011), no. 2-3, 37–87.
- [30] Venegas-Andraca Salvador Elías, Quantum walks: a comprehensive review. *Quantum Inf. Process.* 11 (2012), no. 5, 1015–1106.
- [31] Farhi E., Gutmann S., Quantum computation and decision trees. *Phys. Rev. A* (3)58(1998), no.2, 915–928.
- [32] Childs A.M., Farhi E. & Gutmann S., An Example of the Difference Between Quantum and Classical Random Walks. *Quantum Information Processing* 1, 35–43 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019609420309>.
- [33] Aspect Alain, Dalibard Jean, Roger Gérard (1982-12-20), Experimental Test of Bell's Inequalities Using Time-Varying Analyzers, *Physical Review Letters*. 49 (25): 1804–1807 (1982). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.49.1804.
- [34] Rowe MA, et al., Experimental violation of a Bell's Inequality with efficient detection, *Nature*. 409 (6822): 791–794 (2001). doi:10.1038/35057215. hdl:2027.42/62731.
- [35] Hensen B, et al., Loophole-free Bell inequality violation using electron spins separated by 1.3 kilometres, *Nature*. 526 (7575): 682–686 (2015). arXiv:1508.05949. doi:10.1038/nature15759.
- [36] Giustina, M, et al., Significant-Loophole-Free Test of Bell's Theorem with Entangled Photons, *Physical Review Letters*. 115 (25): 250401 (2015). arXiv:1511.03190. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.250401.
- [37] Shalm LK, et al., Strong Loophole-Free Test of Local Realism, *Physical Review Letters*. 115 (25): 250402 (2015). arXiv:1511.03189. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.250402.
- [38] Zinn-Justin J., Quantum field theory and critical phenomena. *Internat. Ser. Monogr. Phys.*, 77 Oxford Sci. Publ. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- [39] Vanchurin V., The World as a Neural Network. *Entropy*. 2020; 22(11):1210. <https://doi.org/10.3390/e22111210>.
- [40] Fredkin E., Toffoli T., Conservative logic. *Int J Theor Phys* 21, 219–253 (1982). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01857727>.

- [41] Fredkin Edward, Digital mechanics: an informational process based on reversible universal cellular automata. *Phys. D* 45 (1990), no. 1-3, 254–270.
- [42] Lloyd S., A Potentially Realizable Quantum Computer. *Science* 261, 1569–1571 (1993).
- [43] Lloyd Seth, Programming the Universe. Alfred A. Knopf, 2006, 978-1-4000-4092-6
- [44] Zenil Hector Zenil (Editor), A Computable Universe. Understanding and Exploring Nature as Computation. World Scientific, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1142/8306>.
- [45] 't Hooft Gerard, The cellular automaton interpretation of quantum mechanics. *Fundam. Theor. Phys.*, 185. Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [46] Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Zambrano-Luna, B. A., Dibba Baboucarr, Hierarchical neural networks, p -adic PDEs, and applications to image processing. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 31 (2024), no. 1, Paper No. 63, 40 pp.
- [47] Zambrano-Luna B. A., Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., p -adic cellular neural networks: applications to image processing. *Phys. D* 446 (2023), Paper No. 133668, 11 pp.
- [48] Zúñiga-Galindo Wilson A., A correspondence between deep Boltzmann machines and p -adic statistical field theories. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 28 (2024), no. 2, 679–741.
- [49] Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., He C., Zambrano-Luna B. A., p -adic statistical field theory and convolutional deep Boltzmann machines. *PTEP. Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2023, no. 6, Paper No. 063A01, 17 pp.
- [50] Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., p -adic statistical field theory and deep belief networks. *Phys. A* 612 (2023), Paper No. 128492, 23 pp.
- [51] Taibleson M. H., Fourier analysis on local fields. Princeton University Press, 1975.
- [52] Albeverio S., Khrennikov A. Y., Shelkovich V. M., Theory of 2-adic distributions: linear and nonlinear models. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 370. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [53] Capellmann, H. Space-Time in Quantum Theory. *Found Phys* 51, 44 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10701-021-00441-0>.
- [54] Rovelli C., Vidotto F., Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity: An Elementary Introduction to Quantum Gravity and Spinfoam Theory. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [55] Norsen Travis, Foundations of Quantum Mechanics: An Exploration of the Physical Meaning of Quantum Theory. Undergraduate Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer, 2017.
- [56] Aharonov Y., Cohen E., Colombo F., Landsberger T., Sabadini I., Struppa D., and Tollaksen J., Finally making sense of the double-slit experiment. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, 6480 (2017).
- [57] Kostelecký V.A., Russell N., Data tables for Lorentz and CPT violation, *Reviews of Modern Physics* 83, 11 (2011).
- [58] Mariz Tiago, Nascimento Jose Roberto, Petrov Albert, Lorentz symmetry breaking—classical and quantum aspects. *SpringerBriefs Phys.* Springer, Cham, 2022.
- [59] Thaller Bernd, The Dirac equation. Texts Monogr. Phys. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [60] Ghirardi G.C., Rimini A., Weber T. ,A general argument against superluminal transmission through the quantum mechanical measurement process. *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento.* 27 (10): 293–298 (March 1980). [doi:10.1007/BF02817189](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02817189).
- [61] Torresblanca-Badillo, Anselmo, Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Ultrametric diffusion, exponential landscapes, and the first passage time problem. *Acta Appl. Math.* 157 (2018), 93–116.
- [62] Miklavčič Milan, Applied functional analysis and partial differential equations. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ., 1998.
- [63] Halmos P., Measure Theory. D. Van Nostrand Company Inc., New York, 1950.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS RIO GRANDE VALLEY, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL & STATISTICAL SCIENCES,
ONE WEST UNIVERSITY BLVD, BROWNSVILLE, TX 78520, UNITED STATES

Email address: wilson.zunigagalindo@utrgv.edu