

Inverse scattering transform for the focusing two-component Hirota equation with nonzero boundary conditions

Feng Zhang^a, Pengfei Han^a, Yi Zhang^{*a}

^a School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, PR China

Abstract

Applying the inverse scattering transform to study a focusing two-component Hirota equation with nonzero boundary conditions at infinity. Through the spectral problem and the adjoint spectral problem, the analyticity properties and symmetry relations of the eigenfunctions and scattering coefficients are systematically investigated. Based on these properties, the discrete spectrum is comprehensively characterized, producing three types of discrete eigenvalues. The asymptotic behavior of the eigenfunctions and scattering coefficients is explored in detail. Furthermore, the inverse scattering problem is formulated through a suitable matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem, and the trace formula along with the reconstruction formula for the potential function are derived. The reflectionless condition facilitates the successful construction of N -soliton solution. Finally, various types of solitons are obtained by considering different types of discrete eigenvalues and their combinations, and the interactions of these solitons are demonstrated.

Keywords: focusing two-component Hirota equation; inverse scattering transform; N -soliton solution.

1. Introduction

The nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation has garnered significant attention due to its theoretical significance and broad practical applications. In most dispersion energy preserving systems, the scalar form of the NLS equation can be derived by taking appropriate limits, making it as a widely recognized framework for describing the dynamics of weakly nonlinear dispersive wave trains [1, 2]. It finds broad applications in fields such as deep water waves, Bose-Einstein condensates, plasma physics, nonlinear fiber optics, and magnetization spin waves [3–5]. As a quintessential example of integrable systems, it serves not only as a foundation for understanding nonlinear wave phenomena but also as a driving force for advancing the study of integrable systems. While the NLS equation has achieved remarkable success in numerous scenarios, higher-order extensions are necessary to account for complex nonlinear wave phenomena, such as oceanic waves and ultrashort optical pulses propagation. In nonlinear optics, as optical pulses shorten, nonlinear effects and higher-order dispersive, including self-frequency shift, self-steepening and third-order dispersion induced by stimulated Raman scattering, become pronounced and cannot be ignored [6, 7]. These intricate phenomena have spurred extensive research into developing higher-order extensions of the NLS equation [8–11].

This study investigates a focusing two-component Hirota equation, expressed as

$$i\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_t + \alpha_1 (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{xx} + 2\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|^2) + i\alpha_2 (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{xxx} + 3\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_x\|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|^2 + 3\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\dagger\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_x) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)^T$ denotes a two-component vector function, $\|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|^2 = |\tilde{u}_1|^2 + |\tilde{u}_2|^2$, \dagger represents the Hermitian transpose, and α_j ($j = 1, 2$) are arbitrary real constants associated with second and third order dispersions, respectively [12–14]. Using the variable transformation $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}e^{-2iu_o^2 t}$ to this equation yields the focusing two-component Hirota equation with nonzero boundary conditions (NZBCs) at infinity as

$$i\mathbf{u}_t + \alpha_1 [\mathbf{u}_{xx} + 2\mathbf{u}(\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 - u_o^2)] + i\alpha_2 [\mathbf{u}_{xxx} + 3\mathbf{u}_x\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + 3\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^\dagger\mathbf{u}_x] = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \mathbf{u}(x, t) = \mathbf{u}_\pm = \mathbf{u}_o e^{ih_\pm},$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)^T$ is a two-component vector function, h_\pm are arbitrary real numbers, \mathbf{u}_o is a constant vector and $u_o = \|\mathbf{u}_o\|$.

It is straightforward to see that when $\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$, Eq.(1.1) simplifies to the classical focusing Manakov system; when $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$, it degenerates into the coupled modified Korteweg-de Vries equation; and when $\tilde{u}_2 = 0$, it transforms into the scalar Hirota equation. The Hirota equation has widespread applications in various physical systems. For example, the equation

*Corresponding author

Email address: zy2836@163.com (Yi Zhang*)

can be used to describe nonlinear effects in optical fibers, such as self-interaction and dispersion, which significantly affect the propagation characteristics and waveform evolution of optical pulses [15–18]. Additionally, it can be applied to study wave behavior in cold atomic gases, especially in superfluids or Bose-Einstein condensates, where the nonlinear properties of the wave often lead to the emergence of localized wave phenomena such as soliton solutions [19–21].

The inverse scattering transform (IST) is a powerful method for studying nonlinear evolution equations, particularly effective in solving the initial value problems associated with integrable systems [22–27]. Originally introduced through the work of Gardner et al. for the KdV equation [28], IST was subsequently extended by Zakharov and Shabat to the NLS equation [29], establishing the foundational framework for later developments. The method was further adapted to integrable systems with NZBCs in [30], with significant refinements made in [31, 32]. Over time, the application of IST has evolved beyond simple scalar equations to encompass more complex multi-component and matrix equations, such as Manakov system [33, 34], multi-component Gerdjikov-Ivanov equation [35–37], coupled Lakshmanan-Porsezian-Daniel equation [38], and matrix NLS equation [39]. While these extensions are mathematically more challenging, they allow for the exploration of richer physical phenomena.

Although the IST has been effectively applied to the defocusing two-component Hirota equation [40] and the scalar Hirota equation [41], constructing the IST for the focusing two-component Hirota equation remains essential. This necessity stems from the increased complexity in handling the analyticity, asymptotic behavior and symmetries of the scattering coefficients and Jost eigenfunctions, along with the intricate process of formulating the associated Riemann-Hilbert problem. Moreover, the discrete spectrum of the focusing system is more diverse, encompassing a broader range of eigenvalue types. The complexity of these discrete eigenvalues directly impacts the construction and interaction of soliton solutions. From a practical perspective, the focusing two-component Hirota equation is widely used in nonlinear optics, fluctuation physics, and other fields, demonstrating significant value in describing multi-component weak nonlinear fluctuations. Employing the IST to analyze this equation not only lays the groundwork for understanding the long-time asymptotic behavior of complex fluctuation phenomena, but also leads to the novel soliton solutions and their interactions. This provides invaluable insights into the theoretical study of integrable systems.

The structure of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we construct the direct scattering problem and investigate the analyticity and symmetry relations of the Jost eigenfunctions and scattering coefficients. In Section 3, a characterization of the discrete spectrum is provided, and the asymptotic behavior of the modified Jost eigenfunctions and scattering coefficients is analyzed. In Section 4, we formulate the inverse scattering problem by constructing an appropriate Riemann-Hilbert problem, including the derivation of trace and reconstruction formulas. In Section 5, the N -soliton solutions are derived, and various solitons are obtained based on the discrete eigenvalues. In Section 6, we present a brief summary and discussion.

2. Direct scattering

The focusing two-component Hirota equation (1.2) corresponds to the 3×3 Lax pair [12], written by

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_x &= X(k, x, t) \varphi, \\ \varphi_t &= T(k, x, t) \varphi,\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}X &= -ik\sigma + U, \\ T &= \alpha_1 [2ik^2\sigma - 2kU + i\sigma(U^2 + u_o^2 - U_x)] + \alpha_2 [-4ik^3\sigma + 4k^2U + 2ik\sigma(U_x - U^2) - UU_x + U_xU - U_{xx} + 2U^3], \\ U &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -u^\dagger \\ u & \tilde{\mathbf{0}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & -I \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, I is the 2×2 identity matrix, k denotes the spectral parameter, $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0)^T$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{0}}$ is a 2×2 zero matrix. By calculating the zero curvature condition $X_t - T_x + [X, T] = \mathbf{0}$, we can obtain Eq.(1.2).

2.1. Uniformization

To ensure the proper definition of the Jost eigenfunctions, it is necessary to first examine the asymptotic spectral problem as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, which is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_x &= X_\pm \varphi, \quad X_\pm = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} X = -ik\sigma + U_\pm, \\ \varphi_t &= T_\pm \varphi, \quad T_\pm = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} T = \alpha_1 [2ik^2\sigma - 2kU_\pm + i\sigma(U_\pm^2 + u_o^2)] + 2\alpha_2 [-2ik^3\sigma + 2k^2U_\pm - ik\sigma U_\pm^2 + U_\pm^3],\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

where

$$U_\pm = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -u_\pm^\dagger \\ u_\pm & \tilde{\mathbf{0}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to the expressions (2.2) of the matrices X_{\pm} and T_{\pm} , the eigenvalue matrix of X_{\pm} is given by $i\varpi_1$, and that of T_{\pm} is given by $-i\varpi_2$

$$\begin{aligned} i\varpi_1 &= \text{diag}[-i\lambda, ik, i\lambda], \\ -i\varpi_2 &= \text{diag}\left[2i\lambda(\alpha_1 k + \alpha_2(-2k^2 + u_o^2)), 4i\alpha_2 k^3 - i\alpha_1(k^2 + \lambda^2), -2i\lambda(\alpha_1 k + \alpha_2(-2k^2 + u_o^2))\right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\lambda^2 = u_o^2 + k^2$. It is evident that $\lambda(k)$ is a multivalued function. To uniformize it, we introduce a two-sheeted Riemann surface with branch points at $\pm iu_o$ and a branch cut along the segment $[-iu_o, iu_o]$. For further analysis, we employ the conformal mapping $z = \lambda + k$ and define z as a uniformization variable. The corresponding inverse mappings are expressed as

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\left(z + \frac{u_o^2}{z}\right), \quad k = \frac{1}{2}\left(z - \frac{u_o^2}{z}\right). \quad (2.4)$$

The first equation of the above formulas is known as the Joukowski transformation, which maps the regions $\text{Im}\lambda > 0$ and $\text{Im}\lambda < 0$ to the following sets, respectively:

$$\Xi^+ = \left\{z \in \mathbb{C} : (|z|^2 - u_o^2)\text{Im}z > 0\right\}, \quad \Xi^- = \left\{z \in \mathbb{C} : (|z|^2 - u_o^2)\text{Im}z < 0\right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

We define a circle C_o centered at the origin with radius u_o . Then, by setting $\text{Im}\lambda = 0$, the continuous spectrum is determined to be $\Sigma = \mathbb{R} \cup C_o$. Notably, in the defocusing case, the continuous spectrum is only on the real axis \mathbb{R} .

2.2. Jost eigenfunctions and analyticity

To simplify the representation of the eigenvector matrix of X_{\pm} and T_{\pm} , we introduce two vector symbols: $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)^T$, $\mathbf{v}^{\perp} = (v_2, -v_1)^{\dagger}$. Using these notations, the eigenvector matrix of X_{\pm} and T_{\pm} in the asymptotic scattering problem (2.2) is given by

$$\mathbf{G}_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & \frac{u_o}{z} \\ -\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\pm}}{z} & \frac{\mathbf{u}_{\pm}^{\perp}}{u_o} & -\frac{i\mathbf{u}_{\pm}}{u_o} \end{pmatrix},$$

and it satisfies the following diagonalization relations

$$\mathbf{X}_{\pm} = i\mathbf{G}_{\pm}\varpi_1\mathbf{G}_{\pm}^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{T}_{\pm} = -i\mathbf{G}_{\pm}\varpi_2\mathbf{G}_{\pm}^{-1},$$

where ϖ_j ($j = 1, 2$) are defined in the Eqs.(2.3). Furthermore, the determinant and inverse of \mathbf{G}_{\pm} are given by

$$\mu := \det \mathbf{G}_{\pm} = 1 + \frac{u_o^2}{z}, \quad \mathbf{G}_{\pm}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} -i & -\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\pm}^{\dagger}}{z} \\ 0 & \mu \frac{(\mathbf{u}_{\pm}^{\perp})^{\dagger}}{u_o} \\ -\frac{i u_o}{z} & \frac{\mathbf{u}_{\pm}^{\dagger}}{u_o} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

Based on the asymptotic spectral problem (2.2), the Jost solutions $\varphi_{\pm}(z, x, t)$ are introduced, with corresponding boundary conditions at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ given by

$$\varphi_{\pm} = \mathbf{G}_{\pm}e^{i\mathbf{H}(z)} + o(1), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}(z, x, t) &= \varpi_1 x - \varpi_2 t = \text{diag}(h_1, h_2, -h_1), \\ h_1 &= -\lambda x + 2\lambda[\alpha_1 k + \alpha_2(-2k^2 + u_o^2)], \quad h_2 = kx - [\alpha_1(k^2 + \lambda^2) - 4\alpha_2 k^3]. \end{aligned}$$

Below, the modified Jost eigenfunctions $\phi_{\pm}(z, x, t)$ are introduced to eliminate the exponential oscillations in $\varphi_{\pm}(z, x, t)$, which are defined as

$$\phi_{\pm} = \varphi_{\pm}e^{-i\mathbf{H}(z, x, t)}, \quad (2.8)$$

with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \phi_{\pm} = \mathbf{G}_{\pm}$. Using Eqs.(2.8), the asymptotic spectral problem (2.2) becomes equivalent to

$$\left(\mathbf{G}_{\pm}^{-1}\phi_{\pm}\right)_x = \left[i\varpi_1, \mathbf{G}_{\pm}^{-1}\phi_{\pm}\right] + \mathbf{G}_{\pm}^{-1}\Delta U_{\pm}\phi_{\pm}, \quad \left(\mathbf{G}_{\pm}^{-1}\phi_{\pm}\right)_t = \left[-i\varpi_2, \mathbf{G}_{\pm}^{-1}\phi_{\pm}\right] + \mathbf{G}_{\pm}^{-1}\Delta T_{\pm}\phi_{\pm}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\Delta U_{\pm} = U - U_{\pm}$, $\Delta T_{\pm} = T - T_{\pm}$. Integrating the first equation above with respect to x , we obtain the Volterra integral equations for ϕ_{\pm} as follows

$$\phi_{\pm}(z, x, t) = G_{\pm} + \int_{\pm\infty}^x G_{\pm} e^{i(x-\gamma)\varpi_1} G_{\pm}^{-1} \Delta U_{\pm} \phi_{\pm} e^{-i(x-\gamma)\varpi_1} d\gamma. \quad (2.10)$$

With the help of the integral equations (2.10), the analyticity for the modified Jost eigenfunctions ϕ_{\pm} in the complex z -plane is established. Referring to [34], assuming $(\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t) - \mathbf{u}_-) \in L^1(-\infty, a)$ and $(\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t) - \mathbf{u}_+) \in L^1(a, +\infty)$ for any real number a , the following proposition can be established.

Proposition 1. *The columns $\phi_{\pm,l}$ ($l = 1, 2, 3$) of ϕ_{\pm} can be analytically extended to the appropriate regions:*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{-,1} : z \in \Xi_1, \quad \phi_{-,2} : \text{Im}z < 0, \quad \phi_{-,3} : z \in \Xi_4, \\ \phi_{+,1} : z \in \Xi_2, \quad \phi_{+,2} : \text{Im}z > 0, \quad \phi_{+,3} : z \in \Xi_3, \end{aligned}$$

where Ξ_j ($j = 1, \dots, 4$) are

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_1 &\equiv \{z : |z| > u_o \wedge \text{Im}z > 0\}, \quad \Xi_2 \equiv \{z : |z| > u_o \wedge \text{Im}z < 0\}, \\ \Xi_3 &\equiv \{z : |z| < u_o \wedge \text{Im}z < 0\}, \quad \Xi_4 \equiv \{z : |z| < u_o \wedge \text{Im}z > 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\bigcup_{j=1}^4 \Xi_j = \mathbb{C}$, $\Xi^+ = \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_3$, $\Xi^- = \Xi_2 \cup \Xi_4$.

It can be observed that there are four fundamental regions Ξ_j ($j = 1, \dots, 4$) in this case, compared to only two in [40], highlighting the increased complexity of the focusing case relative to the defocusing case.

From the definition (2.8) of ϕ_{\pm} , it follows that the columns $\varphi_{\pm,l}$ of φ_{\pm} align with the boundedness and analyticity properties of $\phi_{\pm,l}$. Applying Abel's formula, if φ is a solution to the spectral problem (2.1), then the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x(\det \varphi) &= \text{Tr}X \det \varphi, \quad \partial_x(\det \phi_{\pm}) = \partial_x(\det \varphi_{\pm} e^{-iH}) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\det \varphi) &= \text{Tr}T \det \varphi, \quad \partial_t(\det \phi_{\pm}) = \partial_t(\det \varphi_{\pm} e^{-iH}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Tr}X = ik$, $\text{Tr}T = -i[\alpha_1(\lambda^2 + k^2) - 4\alpha_2 k^3]$. Thus, for $z \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} = \Sigma \setminus \{\pm iu_o\}$, we derive from (2.8) that

$$\det \varphi_{\pm} = \mu e^{ih_2(z,x,t)}.$$

Below, we introduce the scattering matrix $S(z) = (s_{jl})$ ($j, l = 1, 2, 3$), and the components of this matrix are referred to as the scattering coefficients. As two fundamental solution matrices for the spectral problem (2.2), φ_{\pm} are related by constant matrix $S(z)$, in the following manner

$$\varphi_- = \varphi_+ S(z), \quad \varphi_+ = \varphi_- B(z), \quad z \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}, \quad (2.11)$$

where it is clear that $\det S(z) = 1$, and $B(z) = S^{-1}(z) = (b_{jl})$.

For any z within the domains where they are analytic, the modified eigenfunctions ϕ_{\pm} remain bounded when $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for any z on the continuous spectrum Σ , the asymptotic behavior of ϕ_{\pm} as $x \rightarrow \mp\infty$ is given by

$$\phi_+ = G_- e^{iH} B e^{-iH} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \phi_- = G_+ e^{iH} S e^{-iH} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Using Eqs.(2.11) and Proposition 1, for $l = 1, 2, 3$, the analyticity of s_{ll} and b_{ll} is summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *The scattering coefficients b_{ll} and s_{ll} can be analytically extended beyond Σ into the following regions:*

$$\begin{aligned} b_{11} : z \in \Xi_2, \quad b_{22} : \text{Im}z > 0, \quad b_{33} : z \in \Xi_3, \\ s_{11} : z \in \Xi_1, \quad s_{22} : \text{Im}z < 0, \quad s_{33} : z \in \Xi_4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Through Propositions 1 and 2, we find that the columns $\varphi_{\pm,l}$ of the Jost eigenfunctions and the scattering coefficients b_{ll} and s_{ll} are analytic in their corresponding regions of the complex z -plane. In contrast, in the defocusing case, the second column of the Jost eigenfunctions, as well as the scattering coefficients b_{22} and s_{22} are not analytic.

2.3. Adjoint problem

It follows from Proposition 1 that in an arbitrary region Ξ_j , only two columns of φ_{\pm} are analytic. This requires us to introduce an adjoint spectral problem to construct the fully analytic eigenfunctions and then to address the inverse scattering problem [42]. The adjoint Lax pair is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varphi}_x &= \tilde{X}(k, x, t) \tilde{\varphi}, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_t &= \tilde{T}(k, x, t) \tilde{\varphi},\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

with

$$\tilde{X} = ik\sigma + U^*, \quad U^T = -U^*, \quad U^\dagger = -U,$$

$$\tilde{T} = \alpha_1 \left[-2ik^2\sigma - 2kU^* - i\sigma \left((U^*)^2 + u_o^2 - U_x^* \right) \right] + \alpha_2 \left[4ik^3\sigma + 4k^2U^* - 2ik\sigma \left(U_x^* - (U^*)^2 \right) + U_x^*U^* - U^*U_x^* - U_{xx}^* + 2(U^*)^3 \right].$$

Note that when $z \in \Sigma$, we have $\tilde{X}(z) = X^*(z^*)$ and $\tilde{T}(z) = T^*(z^*)$. Denoting the cross product by the symbol ' \times ', we can establish the following proposition based on its fundamental properties [33, 40].

Proposition 3. *Assuming that $v_1(z, x, t)$ and $v_2(z, x, t)$ are two arbitrary solutions for the adjoint spectral problem (2.13), it follows that*

$$v(z, x, t) = e^{ih_2} [v_1 \times v_2]$$

serves as a solution to the spectral problem (2.1).

Based on this proposition, we generate four additional analytic eigenfunctions, each associated with a basic region Ξ_j , by formulating the Jost eigenfunctions of the adjoint spectral problem (2.13). Similar to the previous process, the asymptotic spectral problem of Eqs.(2.13) at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varphi}_x &= \tilde{X}_{\pm} \tilde{\varphi}, \quad \tilde{X}_{\pm} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \tilde{X} = ik\sigma + U_{\pm}^*, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_t &= \tilde{T}_{\pm} \tilde{\varphi}, \quad \tilde{T}_{\pm} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \tilde{T} = \alpha_1 \left[-2ik^2\sigma - 2kU_{\pm}^* - i\sigma \left((U_{\pm}^*)^2 + u_o^2 \right) \right] + 2\alpha_2 \left[2ik^3\sigma + 2k^2U_{\pm}^* + ik\sigma(U_{\pm}^*)^2 + (U_{\pm}^*)^3 \right].\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

The corresponding eigenvalue matrices of \tilde{X}_{\pm} and \tilde{T}_{\pm} are $-i\omega_1$ and $i\omega_2$, respectively, with the eigenvector matrix expressed as $\tilde{G}_{\pm}(z) = G_{\pm}^*(z^*)$, satisfying $\det \tilde{G}_{\pm}(z) = \mu(z)$. These matrices satisfy the following relations

$$\tilde{X}_{\pm} = -i\tilde{G}_{\pm}(z) \omega_1 \tilde{G}_{\pm}^{-1}(z), \quad \tilde{T}_{\pm} = i\tilde{G}_{\pm}(z) \omega_2 \tilde{G}_{\pm}^{-1}(z).$$

As before, for $z \in \Sigma$, based on the Lax pair (2.13), the Jost solutions to the adjoint spectral problem (2.14) are

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\pm}(z) = \tilde{G}_{\pm} e^{-iH} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

and the modified adjoint eigenfunctions take the form

$$\tilde{\phi}_{\pm}(z) = \tilde{\varphi}_{\pm}(z) e^{iH}.\tag{2.15}$$

Similar to Proposition 1, the columns $\tilde{\phi}_{\pm,l}$ ($l = 1, 2, 3$) of the modified adjoint eigenfunctions $\tilde{\phi}_{\pm}$ can be analytically extended to the appropriate regions:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\phi}_{+,1} &: z \in \Xi_1, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{+,2} : \text{Im}z < 0, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{+,3} : z \in \Xi_4, \\ \tilde{\phi}_{-,1} &: z \in \Xi_2, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{-,2} : \text{Im}z > 0, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{-,3} : z \in \Xi_3.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the adjoint scattering matrices \tilde{S} and \tilde{B} correspond to

$$\tilde{\varphi}_- = \tilde{\varphi}_+ \tilde{S}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_+ = \tilde{\varphi}_- \tilde{B}, \quad z \in \Sigma,\tag{2.16}$$

where $\tilde{B} = \tilde{S}^{-1}$, and the adjoint scattering coefficients \tilde{b}_{ll} and \tilde{s}_{ll} are analytically extendable beyond Σ into the subsequent domains:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{s}_{11} &: z \in \Xi_2, \quad \tilde{s}_{22} : \text{Im}z > 0, \quad \tilde{s}_{33} : z \in \Xi_3, \\ \tilde{b}_{11} &: z \in \Xi_1, \quad \tilde{b}_{22} : \text{Im}z < 0, \quad \tilde{b}_{33} : z \in \Xi_4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

Thus, based on the analyticity discussed above, using Proposition 3 one can define the four new solutions to the Eqs.(2.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1(z) &= e^{ih_2} \left[\tilde{\varphi}_{+,1}(z) \times \tilde{\varphi}_{-,2}(z) \right], \quad \rho_2(z) = e^{ih_2} \left[\tilde{\varphi}_{-,1}(z) \times \tilde{\varphi}_{+,2}(z) \right], \\ \rho_3(z) &= e^{ih_2} \left[\tilde{\varphi}_{+,2}(z) \times \tilde{\varphi}_{-,3}(z) \right], \quad \rho_4(z) = e^{ih_2} \left[\tilde{\varphi}_{-,2}(z) \times \tilde{\varphi}_{+,3}(z) \right],\end{aligned}\tag{2.18}$$

which are known as auxiliary eigenfunctions. Moreover, according to the Eq.(2.15) and the analyticity of $\tilde{\varphi}_{\pm,l}$, it follows that ρ_j is completely analytic within the corresponding fundamental region Ξ_j . Notice that we construct four auxiliary eigenfunctions, which is two more than in the defocusing case. It is also important to note that there is a simple relationship between φ_{\pm} and $\tilde{\varphi}_{\pm}$ when $z \in \Sigma$.

Corollary 1. *Let l, j and n be arbitrary cyclic indices, when $z \in \Sigma$, it holds that*

$$\varphi_{\pm,l}(z) = \frac{e^{ih_2}}{\mu_l} \left[\tilde{\varphi}_{\pm,j}(z) \times \tilde{\varphi}_{\pm,n}(z) \right], \quad \tilde{\varphi}_{\pm,l}(z) = \frac{e^{-ih_2}}{\mu_l} \left[\varphi_{\pm,j}(z) \times \varphi_{\pm,n}(z) \right],$$

where $\mu_1(z) = \mu_3(z) = 1$ and $\mu_2(z) = \mu(z)$.

From this, it can be derived that the relationship between the adjoint scattering matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ and the scattering matrix \mathbf{S} is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}} = \hat{\sigma}(\mathbf{S}^{-1})^T \hat{\sigma}^{-1}, \quad \hat{\sigma} = \text{diag}(1, \mu, 1), \quad (2.19)$$

where μ is defined in Eqs.(2.6). For $z \in \Sigma$, from Eqs.(2.16), the definition (2.18) of the auxiliary eigenfunction ρ_j , and Corollary 1, the decompositions for the Jost eigenfunctions φ_{\pm} are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{+,1} &= \frac{\rho_4 + b_{21}\varphi_{+,2}}{b_{22}} = \frac{b_{31}\varphi_{+,3} + \rho_3}{b_{33}}, & \varphi_{-,1} &= \frac{\rho_3 + s_{21}\varphi_{-,2}}{s_{22}} = \frac{s_{31}\varphi_{-,3} + \rho_4}{s_{33}}, \\ \varphi_{+,3} &= \frac{\rho_1 + b_{23}\varphi_{+,2}}{b_{22}} = \frac{b_{13}\varphi_{+,1} + \rho_2}{b_{11}}, & \varphi_{-,3} &= \frac{\rho_2 + s_{23}\varphi_{-,2}}{s_{22}} = \frac{s_{13}\varphi_{-,1} + \rho_1}{s_{11}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Furthermore, in order to eliminate the exponential oscillations in $\rho_j(z)$, the modified auxiliary eigenfunctions are introduced as

$$\chi_1(z) = \rho_1(z) e^{ih_1}, \quad \chi_2(z) = \rho_2(z) e^{ih_1}, \quad \chi_3(z) = \rho_3(z) e^{-ih_1}, \quad \chi_4(z) = \rho_4(z) e^{-ih_1}. \quad (2.21)$$

2.4. Symmetries

In the case of NZBCs, the scattering problem (2.1) exhibits two kinds of involutions: $(\lambda, k) \rightarrow (\lambda^*, k^*)$ and $(\lambda, k) \rightarrow (-\lambda, k)$. These transformations correspond to $z \rightarrow z^*$ and $z \rightarrow -u_o^2/z$, respectively. In other words, the scattering coefficients and eigenfunctions obey two sets of symmetry relations.

Proposition 4. *If $\varphi(z)$ is a nonsingular solution of the spectral problem (2.1), then so are $(\varphi^\dagger(z^*))^{-1}$ and $\varphi(-u_o^2/z)$.*

The following is a detailed discussion of the two symmetries based on the above proposition.

First symmetry: Under the transformation $z \rightarrow z^*$, the Eqs.(2.4) show that $(\lambda, k) \rightarrow (\lambda^*, k^*)$. The property $\mathbf{H}^\dagger(z^*) = \mathbf{H}(z)$ and Proposition 4 imply that φ_{\pm} satisfy the following symmetry relations

$$\varphi_{\pm}(z) = \left(\varphi_{\pm}^\dagger(z^*) \right)^{-1} \bar{\sigma}, \quad \bar{\sigma} = \text{diag}(\mu, 1, \mu), \quad z \in \Sigma. \quad (2.22)$$

Subsequently, substituting Eqs.(2.20) into the expression (2.22) yields the symmetry relations of the columns $\varphi_{\pm,l}$ of the Jost eigenfunctions φ_{\pm} as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{-,1}^*(z^*) &= \frac{e^{-ih_2(z)}}{s_{22}(z)} \left[\varphi_{-,2}(z) \times \rho_2(z) \right], & \varphi_{+,1}^*(z^*) &= \frac{e^{-ih_2(z)}}{b_{22}(z)} \left[\varphi_{+,2}(z) \times \rho_1(z) \right], \\ \varphi_{-,2}^*(z^*) &= \frac{e^{-ih_2(z)}}{\mu s_{33}(z)} \left[\varphi_{-,3}(z) \times \rho_4(z) \right] = \frac{e^{-ih_2(z)}}{\mu s_{11}(z)} \left[\rho_1(z) \times \varphi_{-,1}(z) \right], \\ \varphi_{+,2}^*(z^*) &= \frac{e^{-ih_2(z)}}{\mu b_{33}(z)} \left[\varphi_{+,3}(z) \times \rho_3(z) \right] = \frac{e^{-ih_2(z)}}{\mu b_{11}(z)} \left[\rho_2(z) \times \varphi_{+,1}(z) \right], \\ \varphi_{-,3}^*(z^*) &= \frac{e^{-ih_2(z)}}{s_{22}(z)} \left[\rho_3(z) \times \varphi_{-,2}(z) \right], & \varphi_{+,3}^*(z^*) &= \frac{e^{-ih_2(z)}}{b_{22}(z)} \left[\rho_4(z) \times \varphi_{+,2}(z) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By direct calculation, we can find that scattering matrices \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{B} satisfy the following relation

$$\mathbf{S}^\dagger(z^*) = \bar{\sigma}(z) \mathbf{B}(z) \bar{\sigma}^{-1}(z), \quad z \in \Sigma, \quad (2.23)$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} s_{11}(z) &= b_{11}^*(z^*), & s_{12}(z) &= b_{21}^*(z^*)/\mu^*(z^*), & s_{13}(z) &= b_{31}^*(z^*), & s_{21}(z) &= \mu^*(z^*) b_{12}^*(z^*), \\ s_{22}(z) &= b_{22}^*(z^*), & s_{23}(z) &= \mu^*(z^*) b_{32}^*(z^*), & s_{31}(z) &= b_{13}^*(z^*), & s_{32}(z) &= \frac{b_{23}^*(z^*)}{\mu^*(z^*)}, & s_{33}(z) &= b_{33}^*(z^*). \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

The Schwarz reflection principle implies the below symmetry relations

$$s_{11}(z) = b_{11}^*(z^*), \quad z \in \Xi_2, \quad s_{22}(z) = b_{22}^*(z^*), \quad \text{Im}z > 0, \quad s_{33}(z) = b_{33}^*(z^*), \quad z \in \Xi_3. \quad (2.25)$$

Similarly, the symmetry relations of ρ_j are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1^*(z^*) &= e^{-ih_2} [\varphi_{+,1}(z) \times \varphi_{-,2}(z)], \quad z \in \Xi_2, & \rho_2^*(z^*) &= e^{-ih_2} [\varphi_{-,1}(z) \times \varphi_{+,2}(z)], \quad z \in \Xi_1, \\ \rho_3^*(z^*) &= e^{-ih_2} [\varphi_{+,2}(z) \times \varphi_{-,3}(z)], \quad z \in \Xi_4, & \rho_4^*(z^*) &= e^{-ih_2} [\varphi_{-,2}(z) \times \varphi_{+,3}(z)], \quad z \in \Xi_3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

In additional, Corollary 1 states that for cyclic indices l, j and n , there are

$$\varphi_{\pm,l}^*(z^*) = \frac{e^{-ih_2}}{\mu_j} [\varphi_{\pm,j}(z) \times \varphi_{\pm,n}(z)], \quad z \in \Sigma.$$

Second symmetry: Under the transformation $z \rightarrow -u_o^2/z$, the Eqs.(2.4) map $(\lambda, k) \rightarrow (-\lambda, k)$. Applying Proposition 4, and using the relation $\mathbf{H}(-u_o^2/z) = \text{diag}(-1, 1, -1)\mathbf{H}(z)$, for $z \in \Sigma$, the Jost eigenfunctions φ_{\pm} have the another symmetry relations

$$\varphi_{\pm}(z) = \varphi_{\pm}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) \tilde{\sigma}, \quad \tilde{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & iu_o/z \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ iu_o/z & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Following previous discussions, and considering the analytic regions of the eigenfunctions φ_{\pm} , the above relations can be reformulated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\pm,1}(z) &= \frac{iu_o}{z} \varphi_{\pm,3}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right), \quad z \in \{z : |z| > u_o \wedge \text{Im}z \leq 0\}, \\ \varphi_{\pm,2}(z) &= \varphi_{\pm,2}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right), \quad z \in \{z : \text{Im}z \leq 0\}, \\ \varphi_{\pm,3}(z) &= \frac{iu_o}{z} \varphi_{\pm,1}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right), \quad z \in \{z : |z| < u_o \wedge \text{Im}z \leq 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

As in Eq.(2.23), we again apply the definition (2.11) of the scattering matrix \mathbf{S} , which leads to

$$\mathbf{S}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) = \tilde{\sigma} \mathbf{S}(z) \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}, \quad z \in \Sigma,$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} s_{11}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) &= s_{33}(z), \quad s_{12}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) = \frac{iu_o}{z} s_{32}(z), \quad s_{13}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) = s_{31}(z), \\ s_{21}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) &= \frac{z}{iu_o} s_{23}(z), \quad s_{22}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) = s_{22}(z), \quad s_{23}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) = \frac{z}{iu_o} s_{21}(z), \\ s_{31}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) &= s_{13}(z), \quad s_{32}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) = \frac{iu_o}{z} s_{12}(z), \quad s_{33}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) = s_{11}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

The scattering coefficients b_{ij} are evident to follow the same relations. Based on the analyticity (2.17) of the scattering coefficients a_{ll} and b_{ll} , the following equations hold

$$\begin{aligned} s_{11}(z) &= s_{33}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right), \quad z \in \Xi_1, & b_{11}(z) &= b_{33}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right), \quad z \in \Xi_2, \\ b_{22}(z) &= b_{22}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right), \quad \text{Im}z \geq 0, & s_{22}(z) &= s_{22}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right), \quad \text{Im}z \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Using the symmetries (2.27) of the eigenfunctions φ_{\pm} , the symmetries of ρ_j are derived as follows

$$\rho_1(z) = \frac{iu_o}{z} \rho_4\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right), \quad z \in \Xi_1, \quad \rho_2(z) = \frac{iu_o}{z} \rho_3\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right), \quad z \in \Xi_2.$$

We incorporate the symmetries (2.24) and (2.28) of the scattering coefficients to define the reflection coefficients β_l as

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(z) &= \frac{s_{21}(z)}{s_{11}(z)} = \mu \frac{b_{12}^*(z^*)}{b_{11}^*(z^*)}, \quad \beta_1\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) = \frac{z}{iu_o} \frac{s_{23}(z)}{s_{33}(z)} = \frac{z\mu}{iu_o} \frac{b_{32}^*(z^*)}{b_{33}^*(z^*)}, \\ \beta_2(z) &= \frac{s_{31}(z)}{s_{11}(z)} = \frac{b_{13}^*(z^*)}{b_{11}^*(z^*)}, \quad \beta_2\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) = \frac{s_{13}(z)}{s_{33}(z)} = \frac{b_{31}^*(z^*)}{b_{33}^*(z^*)}, \\ \beta_3(z) &= \frac{s_{32}(z)}{s_{22}(z)} = \frac{b_{23}^*(z^*)}{\mu b_{22}^*(z^*)}, \quad \beta_3\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right) = \frac{iu_o}{z} \frac{s_{12}(z)}{s_{22}(z)} = \frac{iu_o}{z\mu} \frac{b_{21}^*(z^*)}{b_{22}^*(z^*)},\end{aligned}\tag{2.30}$$

which will aid in our construction for the inverse scattering problem.

3. Discrete spectrum and asymptotic behavior

3.1. Discrete spectrum

Because there are four fundamental regions in the focusing case, this implies that the discrete spectrum is richer than in the defocusing case. We introduce four matrices \mathbf{P}_j to describe the discrete spectrum, which are analytic within the corresponding fundamental domains Ξ_j ($j = 1, \dots, 4$). The matrices \mathbf{P}_j are defined in terms of

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_1(z) &= (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{-1}(z), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{+2}(z), \boldsymbol{\rho}_1(z)), \quad \det \mathbf{P}_1 = s_{11}b_{22}\mu e^{ih_2}, \quad z \in \Xi_1, \\ \mathbf{P}_2(z) &= (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{+1}(z), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{-2}(z), \boldsymbol{\rho}_2(z)), \quad \det \mathbf{P}_2 = s_{22}b_{11}\mu e^{ih_2}, \quad z \in \Xi_2, \\ \mathbf{P}_3(z) &= (\boldsymbol{\rho}_3(z), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{-2}(z), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{+3}(z)), \quad \det \mathbf{P}_3 = s_{22}b_{33}\mu e^{ih_2}, \quad z \in \Xi_3, \\ \mathbf{P}_4(z) &= (\boldsymbol{\rho}_4(z), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{+2}(z), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{-3}(z)), \quad \det \mathbf{P}_4 = s_{33}b_{22}\mu e^{ih_2}, \quad z \in \Xi_4.\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

The determinant of \mathbf{P}_1 indicates that its columns are linearly dependent at the zeros of s_{11} , b_{22} and μ . In the same way, \mathbf{P}_l ($l = 2, 3, 4$) exhibit the same property. Furthermore, according to the symmetry relations (2.25) and (2.29), the relationship between these zeros can be summarized in the following propositions.

Proposition 5. *Let $\text{Im}z_o > 0$, so that*

$$b_{22}(z_o) = 0 \Leftrightarrow s_{22}(z_o^*) = 0 \Leftrightarrow s_{22}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o^*}\right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow b_{22}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o}\right) = 0.$$

Proposition 6. *Let $\text{Im}z_o < 0$ and $|z_o| \geq u_o$, so that*

$$b_{11}(z_o^*) = 0 \Leftrightarrow s_{11}(z_o) = 0 \Leftrightarrow b_{33}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o^*}\right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow s_{33}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o}\right) = 0.$$

The above propositions indicate that the discrete eigenvalues occur in the $z_n, z_n^*, -u_o^2/z_n$ and $-u_o^2/z_n^*$. Consequently, for a specified $z \in \Xi_1$, there are three distinct categories of eigenvalues:

- I. $s_{11}(z) = 0 \wedge b_{22}(z) \neq 0$. This is classified as an eigenvalue of the first type.
- II. $s_{11}(z) \neq 0 \wedge b_{22}(z) = 0$. This is classified as an eigenvalue of the second type.
- III. $s_{11}(z) = b_{22}(z) = 0$. This is classified as an eigenvalue of the third type.

In the following, we examine the properties of each of these three types for eigenvalues. For this purpose, based on the symmetries of the eigenfunctions and auxiliary eigenfunctions, the following propositions hold.

Proposition 7. *Assuming that $z_o \in \Xi_1$, the following equivalent relations hold:*

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_1(z_o) = \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{\rho}_4\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o}\right) = \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{-2}(z_o^*) = \zeta_o \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{+1}(z_o^*) \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{-2}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o^*}\right) = \hat{\zeta}_o \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{+3}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o^*}\right),$$

where ζ_o and $\hat{\zeta}_o$ are arbitrary nonzero constants.

Proposition 8. Assuming that $z_o \in \Xi_1$, the following equivalent relations hold:

$$\rho_2(z_o^*) = \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \rho_3\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o^*}\right) = \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \varphi_{-,1}(z_o) = \check{\zeta}_o \varphi_{+,2}(z_o) \Leftrightarrow \varphi_{-,3}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o}\right) = \check{\zeta}_o \varphi_{+,2}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o}\right),$$

where $\check{\zeta}_o$ and ζ_o are arbitrary nonzero constants.

Assuming that all discrete eigenvalues are simple, based on the above results, we can derive the following conclusions, which provide a complete characterization of the discrete spectrum.

Proposition 9. Let $z_o \in \Xi_1$ be a simple discrete eigenvalue, and the following hold:

i. Assuming z_o is the first kind eigenvalue, there exist constants $a_o, \hat{a}_o, \check{a}_o$ and \bar{a}_o such that

$$\varphi_{-,1}(z_o) = \frac{a_o}{b_{22}(z_o)} \rho_1(z_o), \quad \rho_2(z_o^*) = \hat{a}_o \varphi_{+,1}(z_o^*), \quad \rho_3\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o^*}\right) = \check{a}_o \varphi_{+,3}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o^*}\right), \quad \varphi_{-,3}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o}\right) = \bar{a}_o \rho_4\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o}\right).$$

ii. Assuming z_o is the second kind eigenvalue, there exist constants $c_o, \hat{c}_o, \check{c}_o$ and \bar{c}_o such that

$$\rho_1(z_o) = c_o \varphi_{+,2}(z_o), \quad \varphi_{-,2}(z_o^*) = \hat{c}_o \rho_2(z_o^*), \quad \varphi_{-,2}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o^*}\right) = \check{c}_o \rho_3\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o^*}\right), \quad \rho_4\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o}\right) = \bar{c}_o \varphi_{+,2}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o}\right).$$

iii. Assuming z_o is the third kind eigenvalue, there exist constants $d_o, \hat{d}_o, \check{d}_o$ and \bar{d}_o such that

$$\varphi_{-,1}(z_o) = d_o \varphi_{+,2}(z_o), \quad \varphi_{-,2}(z_o^*) = \hat{d}_o \varphi_{+,1}(z_o^*), \quad \varphi_{-,2}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o^*}\right) = \check{d}_o \varphi_{+,3}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o^*}\right), \quad \varphi_{-,3}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o}\right) = \bar{d}_o \varphi_{+,2}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_o}\right).$$

In the following, we rewrite the above proposition using the modified Jost eigenfunctions ϕ_{\pm} and the modified auxiliary eigenfunctions χ_j , aiming to computing the residual conditions more conveniently.

Let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{N_1}$ be the set of all first type eigenvalues, and then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{-,1}(z_n) &= \frac{a_n}{b_{22}(z_n)} \chi_1(z_n) e^{-2ih_1(z_n)}, \quad \phi_{-,3}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_n}\right) = \bar{a}_n \chi_4\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_n}\right) e^{-2ih_1(z_n)}, \\ \chi_3\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_n^*}\right) &= \check{a}_n \phi_{+,3}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z_n^*}\right) e^{2ih_1(z_n^*)}, \quad \chi_2(z_n^*) = \hat{a}_n \phi_{+,1}(z_n^*) e^{2ih_1(z_n^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^{N_2}$ be the set of all second type eigenvalues, and then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1(\omega_n) &= c_n \phi_{+,2}(\omega_n) e^{i(h_1+h_2)(\omega_n)}, \quad \phi_{-,2}(\omega_n^*) = \hat{c}_n \chi_2(\omega_n^*) e^{-i(h_1+h_2)(\omega_n^*)}, \\ \phi_{-,2}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{\omega_n^*}\right) &= \check{c}_n \chi_3\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{\omega_n^*}\right) e^{-i(h_1+h_2)(\omega_n^*)}, \quad \chi_4\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{\omega_n}\right) = \bar{c}_n \phi_{+,2}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{\omega_n}\right) e^{i(h_1+h_2)(\omega_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{N_2}$ be the set of all third type eigenvalues, and then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{-,1}(\xi_n) &= d_n \phi_{+,2}(\xi_n) e^{-i(h_1-h_2)(\xi_n)}, \quad \phi_{-,2}(\xi_n^*) = \hat{d}_n \phi_{+,1}(\xi_n^*) e^{i(h_1-h_2)(\xi_n^*)}, \\ \phi_{-,2}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{\xi_n^*}\right) &= \check{d}_n \phi_{+,3}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{\xi_n^*}\right) e^{i(h_1-h_2)(\xi_n^*)}, \quad \phi_{-,3}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{\xi_n}\right) = \bar{d}_n \phi_{+,2}\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{\xi_n}\right) e^{-i(h_1-h_2)(\xi_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the symmetries of the modified auxiliary eigenfunctions χ_j and the modified Jost eigenfunctions ϕ_{\pm} , we get the symmetry relations of the norming constants in Proposition 9 and express them as follows.

Proposition 10. The norming constants satisfy the following symmetry properties

$$\bar{a}_n = \frac{a_n}{b_{22}(z_n)}, \quad \hat{a}_n = \check{a}_n = -a_n^*, \quad \bar{c}_n = \frac{\omega_n}{iu_o} c_n, \quad \hat{c}_n = -\frac{c_n^*}{\mu(\omega_n^*) b_{11}(\omega_n^*)}, \quad \bar{d}_n = \frac{\xi_n}{iu_o} d_n,$$

$$\check{c}_n = \frac{u_o}{i\omega_n^* \mu(\omega_n^*)} \frac{c_n^*}{b_{11}(\omega_n^*)}, \quad \hat{d}_n = -\frac{s'_{22}(\xi_n^*)}{b'_{11}(\xi_n^*)} \frac{d_n^*}{\mu(\xi_n^*)}, \quad \check{d}_n = \frac{u_o}{i\xi_n^*} \frac{s'_{22}(\xi_n^*)}{b'_{11}(\xi_n^*)} \frac{d_n^*}{\mu(\xi_n^*)}.$$

3.2. Asymptotic behavior

The asymptotic behavior of the scattering coefficients and eigenfunctions at $k \rightarrow \infty$ are studied to ensure proper normalization in the subsequent construction of the Riemann-Hilbert problems. The uniformization variable $z = \lambda + k$ implies that the asymptotic behavior at $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \rightarrow 0$ need to be examined simultaneously. Therefore, the asymptotic behavior of ϕ_{\pm} is derived using the differential equations (2.9) and the standard Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin expansion method [35] as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\pm,1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ iu/z \end{pmatrix} + O(z^{-2}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, & \phi_{\pm,1} &= \begin{pmatrix} u^\dagger u_{\pm}/u_o^2 \\ iu_{\pm}/z \end{pmatrix} + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \\ \phi_{\pm,2} &= \begin{pmatrix} iu^\dagger u_{\pm}/u_o z \\ u_{\pm}/u_o \end{pmatrix} + O(z^{-2}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, & \phi_{\pm,2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u_{\pm}/u_o \end{pmatrix} + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \\ \phi_{\pm,3} &= \begin{pmatrix} iu^\dagger u_{\pm}/u_o z \\ u_{\pm}/u_o \end{pmatrix} + O(z^{-2}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, & \phi_{\pm,3} &= \begin{pmatrix} iu_o/z \\ u/u_o \end{pmatrix} + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Based on the asymptotic behavior (3.2) of the modified Jost eigenfunctions ϕ_{\pm} , and incorporating the definitions (2.21) of χ_j along with (2.18) and (2.26), we derive the asymptotic behavior of χ_j ($j = 1, \dots, 4$) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} iu^\dagger u_-/u_o z \\ u_-/u_o \end{pmatrix} + O(z^{-2}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, & \chi_1(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} iu^\dagger u_-/u_o z \\ (u^\dagger u) u_-/u_o^3 \end{pmatrix} + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \\ \chi_2(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} iu^\dagger u_+/u_o z \\ u_+/u_o \end{pmatrix} + O(z^{-2}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, & \chi_2(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} iu^\dagger u_+/u_o z \\ (u^\dagger u) u_+/u_o^3 \end{pmatrix} + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \\ \chi_3(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} u^\dagger u_+/u_o^2 \\ i(u^\dagger u_+) u/u_o^2 z \end{pmatrix} + O(z^{-2}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, & \chi_3(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} u^\dagger u_+/u_o^2 \\ iu_+/z \end{pmatrix} + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \\ \chi_4(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} u^\dagger u_-/u_o^2 \\ i(u^\dagger u_-) u/u_o^2 z \end{pmatrix} + O(z^{-2}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, & \chi_4(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} u^\dagger u_-/u_o^2 \\ iu_-/z \end{pmatrix} + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by combining the the definitions (2.11) of the scattering matrices \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{B} and asymptotic behavior (3.2) of modified Jost eigenfunctions ϕ_{\pm} , we derive the asymptotic behavior for s_{jl} and b_{jl} :

$$\begin{aligned} s_{11} &= 1 + O(z^{-1}), \quad b_{11} = 1 + O(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, & s_{11} &= \frac{u^\dagger u_-}{u_o^2} + O(z), \quad b_{11} = \frac{u^\dagger u_+}{u_o^2} + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \\ s_{22} &= \frac{u^\dagger u_+}{u_o^2} + O(z^{-1}), \quad b_{22} = \frac{u^\dagger u_-}{u_o^2} + O(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, & s_{22} &= \frac{u^\dagger u_+}{u_o^2} + O(z), \quad b_{22} = \frac{u^\dagger u_-}{u_o^2} + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \\ s_{33} &= \frac{u^\dagger u_-}{u_o^2} + O(z^{-1}), \quad b_{33} = \frac{u^\dagger u_+}{u_o^2} + O(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, & s_{33} &= 1 + O(z), \quad b_{33} = 1 + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

4. Inverse scattering problem

4.1. Riemann-Hilbert problem

The task of this part is to formulate the inverse scattering problem as a matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem for an appropriate set of piecewise meromorphic functions in the complex z -plane, with assigned jumps across Σ . To achieve this, we use the scattering relation (2.11) and Eqs.(3.1) to construct the corresponding piecewise meromorphic matrices.

Lemma 1. For $z \in \Xi_j$, defining the piecewise meromorphic matrices $M(z, x, t) = M_j(z, x, t)$ ($j = 1, \dots, 4$) that are

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(z, x, t) &= P_1 e^{-iH} [\text{diag}(s_{11}, 1, b_{22})]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{-,1} & \phi_{+,2} & \chi_1 \\ s_{11} & & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Xi_1, \\ M_2(z, x, t) &= P_2 e^{-iH} [\text{diag}(1, s_{22}, b_{11})]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{+,1} & \phi_{-,2} & \chi_2 \\ & s_{22} & b_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Xi_2, \\ M_3(z, x, t) &= P_3 e^{-iH} [\text{diag}(b_{33}, s_{22}, 1)]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_3 & \phi_{-,2} & \phi_{+,3} \\ b_{33} & s_{22} & \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Xi_3, \\ M_4(z, x, t) &= P_4 e^{-iH} [\text{diag}(b_{22}, 1, s_{33})]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_4 & \phi_{+,2} & \phi_{-,3} \\ & b_{22} & s_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Xi_4, \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding jump conditions are

$$\mathbf{M}^+(z) = \mathbf{M}^-(z) \left[\mathbf{I} - e^{i\mathbf{H}(z)} \mathbf{V}(z) e^{-i\mathbf{H}(z)} \right], \quad z \in \Sigma, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^-$ for $z \in \Xi^- = \Xi_2 \cup \Xi_4$ and $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^+$ for $z \in \Xi^+ = \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_3$ (i.e. $\mathbf{M}^+ = \mathbf{M}_1$ for $z \in \Xi_1$, $\mathbf{M}^- = \mathbf{M}_2$ for $z \in \Xi_2$, $\mathbf{M}^+ = \mathbf{M}_3$ for $z \in \Xi_3$, $\mathbf{M}^- = \mathbf{M}_4$ for $z \in \Xi_4$). Here, the matrix \mathbf{V} is given on every segment Σ_j on the contour as

$$\mathbf{V}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1 & \Lambda_2 & \Lambda_3 \\ -\beta_1 & 0 & \mu\hat{\beta}_3 \\ \beta_1\beta_3 - \beta_2 & \beta_3 & -\mu\hat{\beta}_3\beta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_1, \quad \mathbf{V}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\beta}_2\hat{\beta}_2 & 0 & -\hat{\beta}_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{\beta}_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_2,$$

$$\mathbf{V}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\beta}_2\hat{\beta}_2 & \Lambda_4 & \hat{\beta}_2 \\ \Lambda_5 & \Lambda_6 & \Lambda_7 \\ \tilde{\beta}_2 & -\beta_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_3, \quad \mathbf{V}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_2\hat{\beta}_2 & 0 & \hat{\beta}_2 \\ \Lambda_8 & 0 & \Lambda_9 \\ -\beta_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_4,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1 &= \beta_1\hat{\beta}_2\beta_3 - \beta_2\hat{\beta}_2 - \frac{iz}{u_o}\beta_1\hat{\beta}_3, & \Lambda_2 &= \beta_3\hat{\beta}_2 - \frac{iz}{u_o}\hat{\beta}_3, & \Lambda_3 &= -\hat{\beta}_2 - \mu\hat{\beta}_2\beta_3\hat{\beta}_3 + \frac{iz}{u_o}\mu\hat{\beta}_3\hat{\beta}_3, \\ \Lambda_5 &= \frac{u_o}{iz}\tilde{\beta}_2\hat{\beta}_1 + \frac{z\mu}{iu_o}\tilde{\beta}_3 + \frac{z\mu}{iu_o}\tilde{\beta}_2\hat{\beta}_2\tilde{\beta}_3, & \Lambda_4 &= \beta_3\hat{\beta}_2 - \frac{z}{iu_o}\hat{\beta}_3, & \Lambda_6 &= \frac{u_o}{iz}\beta_3\hat{\beta}_1 + \frac{z^2\mu}{u_o^2}\hat{\beta}_3\tilde{\beta}_3 + \frac{z\mu}{iu_o}\beta_3\hat{\beta}_2\hat{\beta}_3, \\ \Lambda_8 &= \frac{z\mu}{iu_o}\tilde{\beta}_3 - \frac{z\mu}{iu_o}\beta_2\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_1 + \frac{iu_o}{z}\beta_2\hat{\beta}_1, & \Lambda_7 &= \frac{iz\mu}{u_o}\hat{\beta}_2\tilde{\beta}_3 + \frac{iu_o}{z}\hat{\beta}_1, & \Lambda_9 &= \frac{iz\mu}{u_o}\hat{\beta}_2\hat{\beta}_3 + \frac{iu_o}{z}\hat{\beta}_1 + \mu\hat{\beta}_3, \end{aligned}$$

where Σ_j is the boundary of $\bar{\Xi}_j \cap \bar{\Xi}_{j+1 \bmod 4}$, $\bar{\Xi}_j$ denotes the closure of Ξ_j , and $\beta_j = \beta_j(z)$, $\hat{\beta}_j = \beta_j(-u_o^2/z)$, $\tilde{\beta}_j = \beta_j^*(z^*)$, $\tilde{\beta}_j = \beta_j^*(-u_o^2/z^*)$.

Combined with the asymptotic behavior in Section 3, it can be derived that

$$\mathbf{M}(z) = \mathbf{M}_\infty + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad \mathbf{M}(z) = \frac{i}{z}\mathbf{M}_0 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\mathbf{M}_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{u}_+^\dagger/u_o & \mathbf{u}_+/u_o \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u_o \\ \mathbf{u}_+ & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which regularize the Riemann-Hilbert problem (4.1) and ensure that it has a unique solution. In addition to the above asymptotic behavior, we need to compute the residue conditions to fully specified the Riemann-Hilbert problem (4.1). For simplicity, define a symbol $\mathbf{M}_{res,\omega}^\pm$ to denote the residue of \mathbf{M}^\pm at $z = \omega$ and $\mathbf{M}^\pm = (m_1^\pm, m_2^\pm, m_3^\pm)$. Direct computation yields the residue conditions for the piecewise meromorphic matrices \mathbf{M}^\pm as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{res,z_n}^+ &= A_n [m_3^+(z_n), 0, 0], & \mathbf{M}_{res,-u_o^2/z_n}^+ &= \frac{z_n^*}{iu_o} \check{A}_n [m_1^-(z_n^*), 0, 0], & \mathbf{M}_{res,-u_o^2/z_n}^- &= \frac{z_n}{iu_o} \bar{A}_n [0, 0, m_3^+(z_n)], \\ \mathbf{M}_{res,z_n}^- &= \hat{A}_n [0, 0, m_1^-(z_n^*)], & \mathbf{M}_{res,-u_o^2/\omega_n}^- &= \bar{C}_n [m_2^+(\omega_n), 0, 0], & \mathbf{M}_{res,\omega_n}^- &= \hat{C}_n [0, m_3^-(\omega_n^*), 0], \\ \mathbf{M}_{res,\omega_n}^+ &= C_n [0, 0, m_2^+(\omega_n)], & \mathbf{M}_{res,-u_o^2/\omega_n}^+ &= \frac{\omega_n^*}{iu_o} \check{C}_n [0, m_3^-(\omega_n^*), 0], & \mathbf{M}_{res,\xi_n}^- &= \hat{D}_n [0, m_1^-(\xi_n^*), 0], \\ \mathbf{M}_{res,\xi_n}^+ &= D_n [m_2^+(\xi_n), 0, 0], & \mathbf{M}_{res,-u_o^2/\xi_n}^+ &= \frac{\xi_n^*}{iu_o} \check{D}_n [0, m_1^-(\xi_n^*), 0], & \mathbf{M}_{res,-u_o^2/\xi_n}^- &= \bar{D}_n [0, 0, m_2^+(\xi_n)], \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

with norming constants

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \frac{a_n}{s'_{11}(z_n)} e^{-2ih_1(z_n)}, & \check{A}_n &= \frac{\check{a}_n}{b'_{33}(-u_o^2/z_n^*)} e^{2ih_1(z_n^*)}, & \hat{A}_n &= \frac{\hat{a}_n}{b'_{11}(z_n^*)} e^{2ih_1(z_n^*)}, \\ \bar{A}_n &= \frac{\bar{a}_n b_{22}(z_n)}{s'_{33}(-u_o^2/z_n)} e^{-2ih_1(z_n)}, & C_n &= \frac{c_n}{b'_{22}(\omega_n)} e^{i[h_1(\omega_n) + h_2(\omega_n)]}, & \check{C}_n &= \frac{\check{c}_n b_{11}(\omega_n^*)}{s'_{22}(-u_o^2/\omega_n^*)} e^{-i[h_1(\omega_n^*) + h_2(\omega_n^*)]}, \\ \bar{C}_n &= \frac{\bar{c}_n}{b'_{22}(-u_o^2/\omega_n)} e^{i[h_1(\omega_n) + h_2(\omega_n)]}, & \hat{C}_n &= \frac{\hat{c}_n b_{11}(\omega_n^*)}{s'_{22}(\omega_n^*)} e^{-i[h_1(\omega_n^*) + h_2(\omega_n^*)]}, & D_n &= \frac{d_n}{s'_{11}(\xi_n)} e^{i[h_2(\xi_n) - h_1(\xi_n)]}, \\ \check{D}_n &= \frac{\check{d}_n}{s'_{22}(-u_o^2/\xi_n^*)} e^{i[h_1(\xi_n^*) - h_2(\xi_n^*)]}, & \hat{D}_n &= \frac{\hat{d}_n}{s'_{22}(\xi_n^*)} e^{i[h_1(\xi_n^*) - h_2(\xi_n^*)]}, & \bar{D}_n &= \frac{\bar{d}_n}{s'_{33}(-u_o^2/\xi_n)} e^{i[h_2(\xi_n) - h_1(\xi_n)]}, \end{aligned}$$

where z_n ($n = 1, \dots, N_1$), ω_n ($n = 1, \dots, N_2$) and ξ_n ($n = 1, \dots, N_3$). The symmetries of the norming constants are derived from symmetry relations of the scattering coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_n &= -A_n^*, & \check{A}_n &= -\frac{u_o^2}{(z_n^*)^2} A_n^*, & \bar{A}_n &= \frac{u_o^2}{z_n^2} A_n, & \hat{C}_n &= -\frac{C_n^*}{\mu(\omega_n^*)}, & \check{C}_n &= \frac{u_o^3}{i(\omega_n^*)^3} \frac{C_n^*}{\mu(\omega_n^*)}, \\ \bar{C}_n &= \frac{u_o}{i\omega_n} C_n, & \bar{D}_n &= \frac{u_o}{i\xi_n} D_n, & \hat{D}_n &= -\frac{D_n^*}{\mu(\xi_n^*)}, & \check{D}_n &= \frac{u_o^3}{i(\xi_n^*)^3} \frac{D_n^*}{\mu(\xi_n^*)}.\end{aligned}$$

4.2. Reconstruction formula

The Riemann-Hilbert problem (4.1) in Lemma 1 is regularized by eliminating the asymptotic behavior at infinity and subtracting the contributions of all poles within the discrete spectrum. Following this, the Cauchy projection is applied, leading to the proposition presented below.

Proposition 11. *The solution to the Riemann-Hilbert problem (4.1) is given by a matrix algebraic-integral equation*

$$\mathbf{M}(z, x, t) = \mathbf{G}_+(z) + \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{\mathbf{M}_{res, \tau_n}^+}{z - \tau_n} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{res, \tau_n}^-}{z - \tau_n^*} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{res, -u_o^2/\tau_n}^+}{z + (u_o^2/\tau_n^*)} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{res, -u_o^2/\tau_n}^-}{z + (u_o^2/\tau_n)} \right) - \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\mathbf{M}^-(\gamma)}{\gamma - z} \bar{\mathbf{V}}(\gamma) d\gamma, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{V}} = e^{i\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V} e^{-i\mathbf{H}}$, $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{\pm}$ for $z \in \Xi^{\pm}$ and $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^N$ represents the set of discrete eigenvalues. Furthermore, the eigenfunctions involved in the residue conditions (4.3) are determined by

$$m_2^+(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{u}_+ \\ u_o \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} \left(\frac{\hat{C}_n m_3^-(\omega_n^*)}{z - \omega_n^*} - \frac{i\omega_n^* \check{C}_n m_3^-(\omega_n^*)}{u_o z + (u_o^2/\omega_n^*)} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} \left(\frac{\hat{D}_n m_1^-(\xi_n^*)}{z - \xi_n^*} - \frac{i\xi_n^* \check{D}_n m_1^-(\xi_n^*)}{u_o z + (u_o^2/\xi_n^*)} \right) - \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{(\mathbf{M}^- \bar{\mathbf{V}})_2(\gamma)}{\gamma - z} d\gamma, \quad z = \omega_{i'}, \xi_{l'},$$

$$m_3^-(z) = \begin{pmatrix} iu_o \\ z \\ \mathbf{u}_+ \\ u_o \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \left(\frac{\hat{A}_n m_1^-(z_n^*)}{z - z_n^*} - \frac{iz_n^* \bar{A}_n m_3^+(z_n^*)}{u_o z + (u_o^2/z_n^*)} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} \frac{C_n m_2^+(\omega_n)}{z - \omega_n} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} \frac{\bar{D}_n m_2^+(\xi_n)}{z + (u_o^2/\xi_n)} - \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{(\mathbf{M}^- \bar{\mathbf{V}})_3(\gamma)}{\gamma - z} d\gamma, \quad z = \omega_{i'}^*,$$

$$m_1^-(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i\mathbf{u}_+ \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \left(\frac{A_n m_3^+(z_n)}{z - z_n} - \frac{iz_n^* \check{A}_n m_1^-(z_n^*)}{u_o z + (u_o^2/z_n)} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} \frac{\bar{C}_n m_2^+(\omega_n)}{z + (u_o^2/\omega_n)} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} \frac{D_n m_2^+(\xi_n)}{z - \xi_n} - \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{(\mathbf{M}^- \bar{\mathbf{V}})_1(\gamma)}{\gamma - z} d\gamma, \quad z = \xi_{l'}^*, z_{j'}^*,$$

$$m_3^+(z) = \begin{pmatrix} iu_o \\ z \\ \mathbf{u}_+ \\ u_o \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \left(\frac{\hat{A}_n m_1^-(z_n^*)}{z - z_n^*} - \frac{iz_n^* \bar{A}_n m_3^+(z_n^*)}{u_o z + (u_o^2/z_n^*)} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} \frac{C_n m_2^+(\omega_n)}{z - \omega_n} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} \frac{\bar{D}_n m_2^+(\xi_n)}{z + (u_o^2/\xi_n)} - \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{(\mathbf{M}^- \bar{\mathbf{V}})_3(\gamma)}{\gamma - z} d\gamma, \quad z = z_{j'},$$

where $i' = 1, \dots, N_1$, $j' = 1, \dots, N_2$ and $l' = 1, \dots, N_3$.

With the help of Eq.(4.4), the potential function of the focusing two-component Hirota equation (1.2) can be reconstructed from the scattering coefficients and norming constants. Using the asymptotic behavior (3.2) of the $\phi_{\pm,1}$, we obtain

$$u_k(x, t) = -i \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left[z \phi_{\pm, (k+1)1}(z, x, t) \right], \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Combining the above equation and Proposition 11, the reconstruction formula for the focusing two-component Hirota equation is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The solution of Eq.(1.2) is*

$$\begin{aligned}u_k(x, t) &= u_{+,k} - i \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \left[A_n m_{(k+1)3}^+(z_n) + \check{A}_n m_{(k+1)3}^+(-u_o^2/z_n^*) \right] \\ &\quad - i \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} \bar{C}_n m_{(k+1)2}^+(-u_o^2/\omega_n) - i \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} D_n m_{(k+1)2}^+(\xi_n) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^4 \int_{\Sigma_n} (\mathbf{M}^- \bar{\mathbf{V}})_{(k+1)1}(\gamma) d\gamma, \quad k = 1, 2.\end{aligned}$$

4.3. Trace formulae

The trace formula serves as a critical tool for elucidating the connection between scattering data and the potential function, facilitating the resolution of the inverse scattering problem. By using the same approach as in [34, 40], we can derive the explicit expressions for the analytic scattering coefficients.

Proposition 12. *The expressions for the analytic scattering coefficients are*

$$\begin{aligned} s_{11}(z) &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{K(\gamma)}{\gamma - z} d\gamma\right) \prod_{n=1}^{N_1} \frac{z - z_n}{z - z_n^*} \frac{z + (u_o^2/z_n^*)}{z + (u_o^2/z_n)} \prod_{n=1}^{N_2} \frac{z + (u_o^2/\omega_n)}{z + (u_o^2/\omega_n^*)} \prod_{n=1}^{N_3} \frac{z - \xi_n}{z - \xi_n^*}, \\ b_{22}(z) &= \exp\left(-i\Delta h - \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_R \frac{K_o(\gamma)}{\gamma - z} d\gamma\right) \prod_{n=1}^{N_2} \frac{z - \omega_n}{z - \omega_n^*} \frac{z + (u_o^2/\omega_n)}{z + (u_o^2/\omega_n^*)} \prod_{n=1}^{N_3} \frac{z - \xi_n}{z - \xi_n^*} \frac{z + (u_o^2/\xi_n)}{z + (u_o^2/\xi_n^*)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where the jumps conditions $K(\gamma) = K_j(\gamma)$ ($j = 1, \dots, 4$) are

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(z) &= -\ln\left[1 + \beta_2(z)\beta_2^*(z^*) + \mu^{-1}\beta_1(z)\beta_1^*(z^*)\right], \quad z \in \Sigma_1, \\ K_2(z) &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_R \frac{K_o(z)}{\gamma - z} d\gamma - \ln\left[1 - \beta_2^*(z^*)\beta_2\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z^*}\right)\right], \quad z \in \Sigma_2, \\ K_3(z) &= -\ln\left[1 + \beta_2\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right)\beta_2^*\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z^*}\right) + \frac{u_o^2}{z^2\mu(z)}\beta_1\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z}\right)\beta_1^*\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z^*}\right)\right], \quad z \in \Sigma_3, \\ K_4(z) &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_R \frac{K_o(z)}{\gamma - z} d\gamma - \ln\left[1 - \beta_2^*(z^*)\beta_2\left(-\frac{u_o^2}{z^*}\right)\right], \quad z \in \Sigma_4, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$K_o(z) = \ln\left[1 + \mu(\gamma)\beta_3(\gamma)\beta_3^*(\gamma^*) + \gamma^2 u_o^{-2} \mu(\gamma)\beta_3(-u_o^2/\gamma)\beta_3^*(-u_o^2/\gamma^*)\right].$$

It is worth noting that other trace formulas for the analytic scattering coefficients can be derived using the symmetry relations (2.25) and (2.29). In addition, the trace formulas for the focusing case are more complex than those for the defocusing case, owing to the richer discrete spectrum in the focusing case. Furthermore, applying the trace formula for s_{11} as $z \rightarrow 0$, one can derive the asymptotic phase difference $\Delta h = h_+ - h_-$, which is given by

$$\Delta h = -4 \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \arg(z_n) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} \arg(\omega_n) - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} \arg(\xi_n) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \gamma^{-1} K(\gamma) d\gamma.$$

5. Pure soliton solutions

Theorem 2. *The N -soliton solution of Eq.(1.2) is*

$$\mathbf{u}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\det \mathbf{Q}} \begin{pmatrix} \det \mathbf{Q}_1^{aug} \\ \det \mathbf{Q}_2^{aug} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q}_k^{aug} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{+,k} & \mathbf{E}^T \\ \boldsymbol{\Theta}_k & \mathbf{Q} \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (5.1)$$

where the vectors \mathbf{E} , $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_k$ and matrix \mathbf{Q} are represented as

$$\mathbf{E} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{2N_1+N_2+N_3})^T, \quad \boldsymbol{\Theta}_k = (\theta_{k1}, \dots, \theta_{k(2N_1+N_2+N_3)})^T, \quad \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{W},$$

respectively. Here

$$\eta_l = \begin{cases} iA_l, & l = 1, \dots, N_1, \\ \frac{z^{l-N_1}}{u_o} \check{A}_{l-N_1}, & l = N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1, \\ i\check{C}_{l-2N_1}, & l = 2N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2, \\ iD_{l-N_2-2N_1}, & l = 2N_1 + N_2 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2 + N_3, \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$\theta_{kl} = \begin{cases} u_{+,k}/u_o, & l = 1, \dots, N_1, \\ iu_{+,k}/z_{l-N_1}^*, & l = N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1, \\ (-1)^{k+1} \frac{u_{+,k}^*}{u_o} + \frac{u_{+,k}}{u_o} \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\omega_{l-2N_1}) + iu_{+,k} \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} \frac{Y_n^{(2)}(\omega_{l-2N_1})}{\xi_n^*}, & l = 2N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2, \\ (-1)^{k+1} \frac{u_{+,k}^*}{u_o} + \frac{u_{+,k}}{u_o} \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\xi_{l-N_2-2N_1}) + iu_{+,k} \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} \frac{Y_n^{(2)}(\xi_{l-N_2-2N_1})}{\xi_n^*}, & l = 2N_1 + N_2 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2 + N_3, \end{cases}$$

with $\bar{k} = 3 - k$. The detailed expressions for $W = W_{\varepsilon l}(x, t)$ as follows. When $\varepsilon, l = 1, \dots, N_1$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = Y_l^{(4)}(z_\varepsilon).$$

When $\varepsilon = 1, \dots, N_1$ and $l = N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = Y_{l-N_1}^{(3)}(z_\varepsilon).$$

When $\varepsilon = 1, \dots, N_1$ and $l = 2N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = Y_{l-2N_1}^{(5)}(z_\varepsilon).$$

When $\varepsilon = 1, \dots, N_1$ and $l = 2N_1 + N_2 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2 + N_3$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = Y_{l-N_2-2N_1}^{(6)}(z_\varepsilon).$$

When $\varepsilon = N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1$ and $l = 1, \dots, N_1$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = Y_l^{(7)}(z_{\varepsilon-N_1}^*).$$

When $\varepsilon, l = N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = Y_{l-N_1}^{(8)}(z_{\varepsilon-N_1}^*).$$

When $\varepsilon = N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1$ and $l = 2N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = Y_{l-2N_1}^{(9)}(z_{\varepsilon-N_1}^*).$$

When $\varepsilon = N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1$ and $l = 2N_1 + N_2 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2 + N_3$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = Y_{l-N_2-2N_1}^{(10)}(z_{\varepsilon-N_1}^*).$$

When $\varepsilon = 2N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2$ and $l = 1, \dots, N_1$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\omega_{\varepsilon-2N_1}) Y_l^{(4)}(\omega_n^*) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(2)}(\omega_{\varepsilon-2N_1}) Y_l^{(7)}(\xi_n^*).$$

When $\varepsilon = 2N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2$ and $l = N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\omega_{\varepsilon-2N_1}) Y_{l-N_1}^{(3)}(\omega_n^*) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(2)}(\omega_{\varepsilon-2N_1}) Y_{l-N_1}^{(8)}(\xi_n^*).$$

When $\varepsilon = 2N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2$ and $l = 2N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\omega_{\varepsilon-2N_1}) Y_{l-2N_1}^{(5)}(\omega_n^*) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(2)}(\omega_{\varepsilon-2N_1}) Y_{l-2N_1}^{(9)}(\xi_n^*).$$

When $\varepsilon = 2N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2$ and $l = 2N_1 + N_2 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2 + N_3$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\omega_{\varepsilon-2N_1}) Y_{l-N_2-2N_1}^{(6)}(\omega_n^*) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(2)}(\omega_{\varepsilon-2N_1}) Y_{l-N_2-2N_1}^{(10)}(\xi_n^*).$$

When $\varepsilon = 2N_1 + N_2 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2 + N_3$ and $l = 1, \dots, N_1$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\xi_{\varepsilon-2N_2-2N_1}) Y_l^{(4)}(\omega_n^*) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(2)}(\xi_{\varepsilon-2N_2-2N_1}) Y_l^{(7)}(\xi_n^*).$$

When $\varepsilon = 2N_1 + N_2 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2 + N_3$ and $l = N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\xi_{\varepsilon-2N_2-2N_1}) Y_{l-N_1}^{(3)}(\omega_n^*) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(2)}(\xi_{\varepsilon-2N_2-2N_1}) Y_{l-N_1}^{(8)}(\xi_n^*).$$

When $\varepsilon = 2N_1 + N_2 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2 + N_3$ and $l = 2N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\xi_{\varepsilon-2N_2-2N_1}) Y_{l-2N_1}^{(5)}(\omega_n^*) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(2)}(\xi_{\varepsilon-2N_2-2N_1}) Y_{l-2N_1}^{(9)}(\xi_n^*).$$

When $\varepsilon, l = 2N_1 + N_2 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2 + N_3$,

$$W_{\varepsilon l} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\xi_{\varepsilon-2N_2-2N_1}) Y_{l-N_2-2N_1}^{(6)}(\omega_n^*) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(2)}(\xi_{\varepsilon-2N_2-2N_1}) Y_{l-N_2-2N_1}^{(10)}(\xi_n^*).$$

The symbols $Y_n^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 10$) stand for

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n^{(1)}(z) &= \frac{\omega_n^*}{iu_o z + (u_o^2/\omega_n^*)} + \frac{\check{C}_n}{z - \omega_n^*}, & Y_n^{(4)}(z) &= \frac{z_n}{iu_o z + (u_o^2/z_n)}, & Y_n^{(5)}(z) &= \frac{C_n}{z - \omega_n}, \\ Y_n^{(2)}(z) &= \frac{\hat{D}_n}{z - \xi_n^*} - \frac{i\xi_n^*}{u_o} \frac{\check{D}_n}{z + (u_o^2/\xi_n^*)}, & Y_n^{(8)}(z) &= \frac{z_n^*}{iu_o z + (u_o^2/z_n^*)}, & Y_n^{(9)}(z) &= \frac{\check{C}_n}{z + (u_o^2/\omega_n)}, \\ Y_n^{(3)}(z) &= \frac{\hat{A}_n}{z - z_n^*}, & Y_n^{(6)}(z) &= \frac{\bar{D}_n}{z + (u_o^2/\xi_n)}, & Y_n^{(7)}(z) &= \frac{A_n}{z - z_n}, & Y_n^{(10)}(z) &= \frac{D_n}{z - \xi_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assuming the reflectionless case, using Proposition 11, we obtain

$$m_{(k+1)2}^+(\omega_{l'}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\omega_{l'}) m_{(k+1)3}^-(\omega_n^*) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(2)}(\omega_{l'}) m_{(k+1)1}^-(\xi_n^*) + (-1)^{k+1} \frac{u_{+k}^*}{u_o},$$

$$m_{(k+1)2}^+(\xi_{l'}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(\xi_{l'}) m_{(k+1)3}^-(\omega_n^*) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(2)}(\xi_{l'}) m_{(k+1)1}^-(\xi_n^*) + (-1)^{k+1} \frac{u_{+k}^*}{u_o},$$

$$m_{(k+1)3}^-(\omega_{l'}^*) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \left[Y_n^{(4)}(\omega_{l'}^*) m_{(k+1)3}^+(z_n) + Y_n^{(3)}(\omega_{l'}^*) m_{(k+1)1}^-(z_n^*) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(5)}(\omega_{l'}^*) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\omega_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(6)}(\omega_{l'}^*) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\xi_n) + \frac{u_{+k}}{u_o},$$

$$m_{(k+1)1}^-(z_{j'}^*) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \left[Y_n^{(7)}(z_{j'}^*) m_{(k+1)3}^+(z_n) + Y_n^{(8)}(z_{j'}^*) m_{(k+1)1}^-(z_n^*) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(9)}(z_{j'}^*) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\omega_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(10)}(z_{j'}^*) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\xi_n) + \frac{iu_{+k}}{z_{j'}^*},$$

$$m_{(k+1)1}^-(\xi_{l'}^*) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \left[Y_n^{(7)}(\xi_{l'}^*) m_{(k+1)3}^+(z_n) + Y_n^{(8)}(\xi_{l'}^*) m_{(k+1)1}^-(z_n^*) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(9)}(\xi_{l'}^*) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\omega_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(10)}(\xi_{l'}^*) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\xi_n) + \frac{iu_{+k}}{\xi_{l'}^*},$$

$$m_{(k+1)3}^+(z_{j'}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \left[Y_n^{(4)}(z_{j'}) m_{(k+1)3}^+(z_n) + Y_n^{(3)}(z_{j'}) m_{(k+1)1}^-(z_n^*) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(5)}(z_{j'}) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\omega_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(6)}(z_{j'}) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\xi_n) + \frac{u_{+k}}{u_o}.$$

From the above results, at $z = \omega_{l'}$ and $z = \xi_{l'}$, we derive the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{(k+1)2}^+(z) = & iu_{+,k} \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} \frac{Y_n^{(2)}(z)}{\xi_n^*} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} \sum_{n'=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(1)}(z) Y_{n'}^{(6)}(\omega_n^*) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\xi_{n'}) + (-1)^{k+1} \frac{u_{+,k}^*}{u_o} + \frac{u_{+,k}}{u_o} \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(z) \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} \sum_{n'=1}^{N_3} Y_n^{(2)}(z) Y_{n'}^{(10)}(\xi_n^*) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\xi_{n'}) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} \sum_{n'=1}^{N_1} Y_n^{(1)}(z) [Y_{n'}^{(4)}(\omega_n^*) m_{(k+1)3}^+(z_{n'}) + Y_{n'}^{(3)}(\omega_n^*) m_{(k+1)1}^-(z_{n'}^*)] \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} \sum_{n'=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(2)}(z) Y_{n'}^{(9)}(\xi_n^*) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\omega_{n'}) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_3} \sum_{n'=1}^{N_1} Y_n^{(2)}(z) [Y_{n'}^{(8)}(\xi_n^*) m_{(k+1)1}^-(z_{n'}^*) + Y_{n'}^{(7)}(\xi_n^*) m_{(k+1)3}^+(z_{n'})] \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} \sum_{n'=1}^{N_2} Y_n^{(1)}(z) Y_{n'}^{(5)}(\omega_n^*) m_{(k+1)2}^+(\omega_{n'}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Let $\mathbf{J}_k = (J_{k1}, \dots, J_{k(2N_1+N_2+N_3)})^T$, where

$$J_{kl} = \begin{cases} m_{(k+1)3}^+(z_l), & l = 1, \dots, N_1, \\ m_{(k+1)1}^-(z_{l-N_1}^*), & l = N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1, \\ m_{(k+1)2}^+(\omega_{l-2N_1}), & l = 2N_1 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2, \\ m_{(k+1)2}^+(\xi_{l-2N_1-2N_1}), & l = 2N_1 + N_2 + 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2 + N_3. \end{cases}$$

Then Eqs.(5.3) are equivalent to $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{W}) \mathbf{J}_k = \mathbf{\Theta}_k$. By solving this equation using Cramer's rule and noting that $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{Q}$, we get

$$J_{kl} = \frac{\det \mathbf{Q}_{kl}^{aug}}{\det \mathbf{Q}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \quad l = 1, \dots, 2N_1 + N_2 + N_3,$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_{kl}^{aug} = (\mathbf{Q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_{l-1}, \mathbf{\Theta}_k, \mathbf{Q}_{l+1}, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_{2N_1+N_2+N_3})$. Bringing the above results into Theorem 1 and taking into account Eqs.(5.2), we can obtain Eqs.(5.1). \square

Under the assumption of reflectionless, Eqs.(4.5) degenerate into

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{11}(z) &= \prod_{n=1}^{N_1} \frac{z + (u_o^2/z_n^*)}{z + (u_o^2/z_n)} \frac{z - z_n}{z - z_n^*} \prod_{n=1}^{N_2} \frac{z + (u_o^2/\omega_n)}{z + (u_o^2/\omega_n^*)} \prod_{n=1}^{N_3} \frac{z - \xi_n}{z - \xi_n^*}, \\
b_{22}(z) &= e^{-i\Delta h} \prod_{n=1}^{N_2} \frac{z - \omega_n}{z - \omega_n^*} \frac{z + (u_o^2/\omega_n)}{z + (u_o^2/\omega_n^*)} \prod_{n=1}^{N_3} \frac{z - \xi_n}{z - \xi_n^*} \frac{z + (u_o^2/\xi_n)}{z + (u_o^2/\xi_n^*)},
\end{aligned}$$

where N_i ($i = 1, \dots, 3$) indicate the quantity of the three types of eigenvalues, respectively. Distinct types of eigenvalues and their combinations lead to various soliton solutions, as discussed in detail below.

5.1. Diversity of soliton solutions.

In this subsection, we use Theorem 2 to construct different types of soliton solutions for the focusing two-component Hirota equation (1.2) with NZBCs. To facilitate the construction of normalization constants and eigenvalues, we define the parameters $q_i, g_i, \in \mathbb{R}, \delta_i > |u_o|$ and $0 < \kappa_i < 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, 6$.

First, we consider the existence of eigenvalues of the first type alone. By setting $N_1 = 1, N_2 = N_3 = 0$, and denoting the normalization constants as $a_1 = e^{q_1+ig_1}, z_1 = \delta_1 e^{i\kappa_1\pi}$, applying Eqs.(5.1) to construct one breather-breather soliton solution for the two components u_1 and u_2 . This breather soliton consists of two downward valleys and one upward peak, as shown in Fig.1: (a) and (b).

Next, by setting $N_2 = 1, N_1 = N_3 = 0$, and defining $c_1 = e^{q_2+ig_2}, \omega_1 = \delta_2 e^{i\kappa_2\pi}$, we focus solely on the eigenvalues of the second type. In this scenario, three distinct solitons arise: (i). When $u_{+,1}u_{+,2} = 0$ and $u_{+,k} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k = 1, 2$, one dark-bright soliton solution is obtained, as shown in Fig.1: (c) and (d). (ii). If $u_{+,1}u_{+,2} = 0$ and $u_{+,k} \in \mathbb{C}$, one bright-kink soliton solution emerges, as depicted in Fig.1: (e) and (f). (iii). Finally, when $u_{+,1}u_{+,2} \neq 0$, one breather-breather soliton solution is generated, similar to the one in Fig.1: (a) and (b), though its corresponding images are omitted here.

Further, when setting $N_3 = 1, N_1 = N_2 = 0$, and choosing $d_1 = e^{q_3+ig_3}, \xi_1 = \delta_3 e^{i\kappa_3\pi}$, two distinct solitons emerge: (i). If $u_{+,1}u_{+,2} = 0$, the solution manifests as one dark-bright soliton, similar to Fig.1: (c) and (d). (ii). When $u_{+,1}u_{+,2} \neq 0$, it resulting solution exhibits one W - M shaped soliton, as depicted in Fig.1: (g) and (h).




Figure 1: (a) and (b): One breather-breather soliton solution with parameters are chosen as $N_1 = 1, q_1 = 2, g_1 = 1, \delta_1 = 1.1, \kappa_1 = 0.4, \mathbf{u}_+ = (\sqrt{2}/2, -\sqrt{2}/2)^T, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$. (c) and (d): One dark-bright soliton solution with parameters are chosen as $N_2 = 1, q_2 = 0, g_2 = 5, \delta_2 = 1.1, \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{8}, \mathbf{u}_+ = (1, 0)^T, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$. (e) and (f): One bright-kink soliton solution with parameters are chosen as $N_2 = 1, q_2 = 0, g_2 = 5, \delta_2 = 1.1, \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{8}, \mathbf{u}_+ = (0, i)^T, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$. (g) and (h): One W - M shaped soliton solution with parameters are chosen as $N_3 = 1, q_3 = 1, g_3 = 5, \delta_3 = 1.2, \kappa_3 = \frac{1}{8}, \mathbf{u}_+ = (\sqrt{2}/2, -\sqrt{2}/2)^T, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$.

Next, we analyze the scenarios where eigenvalues appear in pairs. Specifically, let $N_1 = 2, N_2 = N_3 = 0$, and define $a_1 = e^{q_1+ig_1}, a_2 = e^{q_4+ig_4}, z_1 = \delta_1 e^{ik_1\pi}$ and $z_2 = \delta_4 e^{ik_4\pi}$. Under these conditions, the solution corresponds to two-breather solitons, where each breather wave exhibits two downward valleys and one upward peak, as depicted in Fig.2: (a) and (b).

With $N_3 = 2, N_1 = N_2 = 0$, the associated normalization constants are $d_1 = e^{q_3+ig_3}$ and $d_2 = e^{q_5+ig_5}$, while the eigenvalues are specified as $\xi_1 = \delta_3 e^{ik_3\pi}$ and $\xi_2 = \delta_5 e^{ik_5\pi}$. Under these conditions, two distinct types of solitons can be identified. (i). For $u_{+,1}u_{+,2} = 0$, the solution comprise two bright-dark solitons. (ii). Alternatively, when $u_{+,1}u_{+,2} \neq 0$, the configuration results in one dark-bright and one breather-breather solitons. The corresponding visual representations are provided in Fig.2: (c)-(d) and (e)-(f), respectively.

Considering a pair of second class eigenvalues, let $N_2 = 2, N_1 = N_3 = 0$, with the normalization constants $c_1 = e^{q_2+ig_2}, c_2 = e^{q_6+ig_6}$ and eigenvalues $\omega_1 = \delta_2 e^{ik_2\pi}, \omega_2 = \delta_6 e^{ik_6\pi}$. Distinct from previous scenarios, when $u_{+,1}u_{+,2} \neq 0$, the solution yields two breather-breather solitons illustrated in Fig.2: (g) and (h). The figures reveal that the two breather solitons exhibit contrasting structures: for u_1 , each breather wave consists of two upward peaks and one downward valley, whereas for u_2 , the wave is characterized by two downward valleys and one upward peak. Conversely, when $u_{+,1}u_{+,2} = 0$, the solution reduces to two bright-dark solitons, similar to Fig.2: (c) and (d).

Finally, after analyzing the cases where each type of eigenvalue exists independently, we now turn to scenarios where the three types of eigenvalues are mixed. First, consider the coexistence of the first and second types, where $N_1 = N_2 = 1, N_3 = 0$, with normalization constants $a_1 = e^{q_1+ig_1}, c_1 = e^{q_2+ig_2}$, and eigenvalues $z_1 = \delta_1 e^{ik_1\pi}, \omega_1 = \delta_2 e^{ik_2\pi}$. This combination yields two distinct types of solitons. (i). When $u_{+,1} = 1$ and $u_{+,2} = 0$, the solution represents an interaction of a breather and a dark solitons in u_1 , while u_2 corresponds to a bright soliton, as depicted in Fig.3: (a) and (b). (ii). When $u_{+,1}u_{+,2} \neq 0$, the solution exhibits two breather-breather solitons, as shown in Fig.3: (c) and (d).

Moreover, when the first and third types of eigenvalues coexist, we set $N_1 = N_3 = 1, N_2 = 0$, with the normalization constants $a_1 = e^{q_1+ig_1}, d_1 = e^{q_3+ig_3}$ and eigenvalues $z_1 = \delta_1 e^{ik_1\pi}, \xi_1 = \delta_3 e^{ik_3\pi}$. This configuration results in one breather-breather and M - W shaped solitons, as illustrated in Fig.3: (e) and (f). Finally, for the scenario where the second and third types of eigenvalues appear together, we take $N_2 = N_3 = 1, N_1 = 0$, with $c_1 = e^{q_2+ig_2}, d_1 = e^{q_3+ig_3}$, and eigenvalues $\omega_1 = \delta_2 e^{ik_2\pi}, \xi_1 = \delta_3 e^{ik_3\pi}$. This configuration yields one bright-bright and one dark-bright solitons. It is worth noting that varying N_1, N_2 and N_3 and exploring different combinations can generate an even wider variety of soliton solutions.

6. Conclusions

We construct the IST for the focusing two-component Hirota equation with NZBCs, successfully obtaining various types of soliton solutions. The study provides a detailed analysis of the analyticity of the scattering coefficients and Jost eigenfunctions, as well as two symmetry relations, offering a comprehensive characterization of the discrete spectrum. The results show




Figure 2: (a) and (b): Two breather-breather solitons solution with parameters are chosen as $N_1 = 2, q_1 = 0, g_1 = q_4 = g_4 = 1, \delta_1 = 1.1, \delta_2 = 1.2, \kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{4}, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1, \mathbf{u}_+ = (\sqrt{2}/2, -\sqrt{2}/2)^T$. (c) and (d): Two bright-dark solitons solution with parameters are chosen as $N_3 = 2, q_3 = g_3 = q_5 = g_5 = 1, \delta_3 = 1.5, \delta_5 = \frac{5}{4}, \kappa_3 = \frac{1}{8}, \kappa_5 = \frac{1}{4}, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 2, \mathbf{u}_+ = (0, 1)^T$. (e) and (f): One bright-bright and one breather-breather solitons solution with parameters are chosen as $N_3 = 2, q_3 = g_3 = q_5 = g_5 = 1, \delta_3 = 1.5, \delta_5 = \frac{5}{4}, \kappa_3 = \frac{1}{8}, \kappa_5 = \frac{1}{4}, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 3, \mathbf{u}_+ = (\sqrt{2}/2, -\sqrt{2}/2)^T$. (g) and (h): Two breather-breather solitons solution with parameters are chosen as $N_2 = 2, q_2 = 10, g_2 = 1, q_6 = -5, g_6 = 1, \delta_2 = 3, \delta_6 = 4, \kappa_2 = \kappa_6 = \frac{1}{4}, \alpha_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = 10^{-3}, \mathbf{u}_+ = (\sqrt{3}/2, 1/2)^T$.




Figure 3: (a) and (b): One dark-bright and one breather solitons solution with parameters are chosen as $N_1 = N_2 = 1, q_1 = q_2 = 0, g_1 = g_2 = 1, \delta_1 = 1.4, \delta_2 = 1.5, \kappa_1 = 0.5, \kappa_2 = 0.25, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1, \mathbf{u}_+ = (1, 0)^T$. (c) and (d): Two breather-breather solitons solution with parameters are chosen as $N_1 = N_2 = 1, q_1 = q_2 = 0, g_1 = g_2 = 1, \delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1.2, \kappa_1 = 0.2, \kappa_2 = 0.25, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1, \mathbf{u}_+ = (\sqrt{2}/2, -\sqrt{2}/2)^T$. (e) and (f): One breather-breather and one M - W shaped solitons solution with parameters are chosen as $N_1 = N_3 = 1, q_1 = q_3 = 0, g_1 = g_3 = 1, \delta_1 = 1.2, \delta_2 = \frac{5}{4}, \kappa_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{8}, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1, \mathbf{u}_+ = (\sqrt{2}/2, -\sqrt{2}/2)^T$. (g) and (h): One bright-bright and one dark-bright solitons solutions with parameters are chosen as $N_2 = N_3 = 1, q_2 = 0, g_2 = q_3 = g_3 = 1, \delta_2 = \frac{5}{4}, \delta_3 = 1.5, \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{6}, \kappa_3 = 0.25, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1, \mathbf{u}_+ = (1, 0)^T$.

that the discrete spectrum generates three kinds of discrete eigenvalues. Different types of eigenvalues and their combinations lead to the formation of distinct soliton types. We also illustrate the interaction characteristics of these solitons with graphical representations.

In contrast to the defocusing case, which involves only two fundamental regions, the focusing case contains four. This difference complicates the analyticity properties and symmetry relations of the eigenfunctions and scattering coefficients. Furthermore, when formulating the inverse scattering problem via the Riemann-Hilbert problem, we define four piecewise meromorphic matrices, two more than in the defocusing case, which results in greater complexity in the construction of the Riemann-Hilbert problem. Moreover, in terms of soliton types, one bright-kink soliton (Fig. 1: (e) and (f)) as well as one breather-breather and one M - W shaped solitons (Fig. 3: (e) and (f)) do not appear in the defocusing case, and the shapes of the two breather-breather solitons (Fig. 2: (a) and (b)) are significantly different from those in [40].

In this paper, we examine the focusing two-component Hirota equation with boundary conditions $\mathbf{u}(x, t) \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\pm}$ and $\|\mathbf{u}_{+}\| = \|\mathbf{u}_{-}\| = u_0$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, which are known as symmetric NZBCs. It is worth noting that in [43–45], the IST for the scalar NLS equation with completely asymmetric NZBCs was studied. These conditions refer to the situation where both the asymptotic amplitude and phase shift are unequal. This extension has significant implications for physical applications, particularly in the theoretical study of perturbed soliton solutions and rogue waves in fiber optic systems, where different background amplitudes are applied at both ends. Future work will focus on constructing the IST for the focusing two-component Hirota equation with completely asymmetric NZBCs, i.e., the case where $\|\mathbf{u}_{+}\| \neq \|\mathbf{u}_{-}\|$. These research efforts will contribute to the study of the long time asymptotic behavior of solutions with nontrivial boundary conditions.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12271488 and No. 11371326).

References

References

- [1] C. Sulem, P.L. Sulem, The nonlinear Schrödinger equation: self-focusing and wave collapse, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [2] A.S. Fokas, Integrable multidimensional versions of the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Nonlinearity*, 2016, 29(2): 319.
- [3] L. Pitaevskii, S. Stringari, Bose-Einstein condensation and superfluidity, Oxford University Press, New York, 2016.
- [4] M.J. Ablowitz, B. Prinari, A.D. Trubatch, Discrete and continuous nonlinear Schrödinger systems, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [5] C.X. Xu, T. Xu, D.X. Meng, T.L. Zhang, L.C. An, L.J. Han, Binary Darboux transformation and new soliton solutions of the focusing nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2022, 516(2): 126514.
- [6] Y.S. Kivshar, G.P. Agrawal, Optical solitons: from fibers to photonic crystals, Academic press, California, 2003.
- [7] Z.Y. Yan, C.Q. Dai, Optical rogue waves in the generalized inhomogeneous higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with modulating coefficients, *Journal of Optics*, 2013, 15(6): 064012.
- [8] A. Ankiewicz, D.J. Kedziora, A. Chowdury, U. Bandelow, N. Akhmediev, Infinite hierarchy of nonlinear Schrödinger equations and their solutions, *Physical Review E*, 2016, 93(1): 012206.
- [9] Y. Zhang, X. Lü, Data-driven solutions and parameter discovery of the extended higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation in optical fibers, *Physica D*, 2024, 468: 134284.
- [10] S.Y. Chen, Z.Y. Yan, The higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with non-zero boundary conditions: Robust inverse scattering transform, breathers, and rogons, *Physics Letters A*, 2019, 383: 125906.
- [11] D.S. Wang, L. Xu, Z.X. Xuan, The complete classification of solutions to the Riemann problem of the defocusing complex modified KdV equation, *Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 2022, 32(1): 3.
- [12] R.S. Tasgal, M.J. Potasek, Soliton solutions to coupled higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 1992, 33(3): 1208–1215.
- [13] H.P. Chai, B. Tian, Z. Du, Localized waves for the mixed coupled Hirota equations in an optical fiber, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 2019, 70: 181–192.
- [14] S.G. Bindu, A. Mahalingam, K. Porsezian, Dark soliton solutions of the coupled Hirota equation in nonlinear fiber, *Physics Letters A*, 2001, 286(5): 321–331.
- [15] R. Hirota, Exact envelope-soliton solutions of a nonlinear wave equation, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 1973, 14(7): 805–809.
- [16] A. Ankiewicz, J.M. Soto-Crespo, N. Akhmediev, Rogue waves and rational solutions of the Hirota equation, *Physical Review E*, 2010, 81(4): 046602.
- [17] Y.M. Xiao, B.L. Guo, Z. Wang, Nonlinear stability of multi-solitons for the Hirota equation, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 2023, 342: 369–417.
- [18] S.L. Palacios, A. Guinea, J.M. Fernandez-Diaz, R.D. Crespo, Dark solitary waves in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with third order dispersion, self-steepening, and self-frequency shift, *Physical Review E*, 1999, 60(1): R45.
- [19] M. Gedalin, T.C. Scott, Y.B. Band, Optical solitary waves in the higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Physical Review Letters*, 1997, 78(3): 448.
- [20] E.G. Fan, G.Z. Li, Y.L. Yang, On L^2 -orbital stability of Hasimoto soliton solutions for the Hirota equation on the line, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 2025, 421(15): 104–126.
- [21] X.D. Zhao, L. Wang, The coupled Hirota equations with a 3×3 Lax pair: Painlevé-type asymptotics in transition zone, *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 2024, 153(3): e12745.
- [22] M.J. Ablowitz, H. Segur, Solitons and the inverse scattering transform, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 1981.
- [23] W.X. Ma, Sasa-Satsuma type matrix integrable hierarchies and their Riemann-Hilbert problems and soliton solutions, *Physica D*, 2023, 446: 133672.
- [24] J.J. Yang, S.F. Tian, Z.Q. Li, Riemann-Hilbert method and multi-soliton solutions of an extended modified Korteweg-de Vries equation with N distinct arbitrary-order poles, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2022, 511(2): 126103.
- [25] J.P. Wu, A novel Riemann-Hilbert approach via t -part spectral analysis for a physically significant nonlocal integrable nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Nonlinearity*, 2023, 36(4): 2021.
- [26] Y. Xiao, E.G. Fan, P. Liu, Inverse scattering transform for the coupled modified Korteweg-de Vries equation with nonzero boundary conditions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2021, 504(2): 125567.

- [27] W.X. Ma, Riemann-Hilbert problems and soliton solutions of nonlocal real reverse-spacetime mKdV equations, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2021, 498(2): 124980.
- [28] C.S. Gardner, J.M. Greene, M.D. Kruskal, R.M. Miura, Method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Physical Review Letters*, 1967, 19(19): 1095.
- [29] A.B. Shabat, V.E. Zakharov, Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media, *Soviet Physics–JETP*, 1972, 34(1): 62.
- [30] V.E. Zakharov, A.B. Shabat, Interaction between solitons in a stable medium, *Soviet Physics–JETP*, 1973, 37(5): 823–828.
- [31] F. Demontis, B. Prinari, C. Van Der Mee, F. Vitale, The inverse scattering transform for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations with nonzero boundary conditions, *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 2013, 131(1): 1–40.
- [32] G. Biondini, G. Kovačič, Inverse scattering transform for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 2014, 55: 031506.
- [33] G. Biondini, D. Kraus, Inverse scattering transform for the defocusing Manakov system with nonzero boundary conditions, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 2015, 47(1): 706–757.
- [34] D. Kraus, G. Biondini, G. Kovačič, The focusing Manakov system with nonzero boundary conditions, *Nonlinearity*, 2015, 28(9): 3101.
- [35] X.X. Ma, J.Y. Zhu, Inverse scattering transform for the two-component Gerdjikov-Ivanov equation with nonzero boundary conditions: Dark-dark solitons, *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 2023, 151(2): 676–715.
- [36] T.S. Liu, T.C. Xia, Multi-component generalized Gerdjikov-Ivanov integrable hierarchy and its Riemann-Hilbert problem, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 2022, 68: 103667.
- [37] Z.Y. Shen, B.B. Hu, L. Zhang, F. Fang, The unified transformation approach to higher-order Gerdjikov-Ivanov model and Riemann-Hilbert problem, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2025, 541(1): 128681.
- [38] P.F. Han, R.S. Ye, Y. Zhang, Inverse scattering transform for the coupled Lakshmanan-Porsezian-Daniel equations with non-zero boundary conditions in optical fiber communications, *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 2025, 232: 483–503.
- [39] B. Prinari, F. Demontis, S.T. Li, T.P. Horikis, Inverse scattering transform and soliton solutions for square matrix nonlinear Schrödinger equations with non-zero boundary conditions, *Physica D*, 2018, 368: 22–49.
- [40] P.F. Han, W.X. Ma, R.S. Ye, Y. Zhang, Inverse scattering transform for the defocusing-defocusing coupled Hirota equations with non-zero boundary conditions: Multiple double-pole solutions, *Physica D*, 2025, 471: 134434.
- [41] G.Q. Zhang, S.Y. Chen, Z.Y. Yan, Focusing and defocusing Hirota equations with non-zero boundary conditions: Inverse scattering transforms and soliton solutions, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 2020, 80: 104927.
- [42] D.J. Kaup, The three-wave interaction—A nondispersive phenomenon, *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 1976, 55(1): 9–44.
- [43] F. Demontis, B. Prinari, C. Van Der Mee, F. Vitale, The inverse scattering transform for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with asymmetric boundary conditions, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 2014, 55: 101505.
- [44] B. Prinari, F. Vitale, Inverse scattering transform for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with one-sided nonzero boundary condition, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 2015, 651: 157–194.
- [45] G. Biondini, E. Fagerstrom, B. Prinari, Inverse scattering transform for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with fully asymmetric non-zero boundary conditions, *Physica D*, 2016, 333: 117–136.