

Exact non-Markovian master equations: a generalized derivation for quadratic systems

Antonio D'Abbruzzo,^{1,*} Vittorio Giovannetti,^{1,2,†} and Vasco Cava^{1,‡}

¹*Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy*

²*NEST and Istituto Nanoscienze-CNR, Pisa, Italy*

(Dated: February 21, 2025)

We derive an exact master equation that captures the dynamics of a quadratic quantum system linearly coupled to a Gaussian environment. Unlike previous approaches, our formulation applies universally to both bosonic and fermionic reservoirs, and remains valid even in the presence of initial system-environment correlations. Remarkably, the master equation is written without employing field objects, path integrals, or involved superoperators. As a result, it shows an explicit and extremely compact dependence on the dressed environment correlation function: this allows us to state exactly how sequential virtual interactions between the system and the environment eventually lead to non-Markovian evolution. In the weak-coupling limit, this dependence facilitates a straightforward recovery of the well-known Redfield equation at second order in the coupling.

Introduction Finding convenient ways to unveil the dynamics of open quantum systems [1] starting from an effective microscopic description is arguably one of the most important problems in contemporary quantum physics. In the Markovian regime, where a continuous flow of information from the system to the environment is assumed, the well-known LGKS theorem [2, 3] provides the general structure of the master equation and the question of linking it to microscopic parameters has been thoroughly studied [4–6]. However, such characterization is missing in the non-Markovian regime and a heterogeneous variety of approaches has been proposed during the years to still find useful predictions in practical scenarios [7–9]. Such approaches are mostly designed with numerics in mind and they smartly bypass the problem of writing down explicitly the master equation for the system.

The situation is different if one assumes that the system has a quadratic free Hamiltonian while being linearly coupled to a Gaussian environment, which is completely characterized by one- and two-point correlation functions only [10, 11]. In this case, exact master equations can be found in the literature [12–16], but they are typically restricted to excitation-preserving interactions (with notable specific exceptions, such as the Hu-Paz-Zhang equation [12]). When this is the result of a rotating-wave approximation, it is however known that inaccuracies can be found when trying to capture energy shifts and non-Markovian effects [17–19]. A master equation that is free of this assumption has been derived in Ref. [16] (generalizing Ref. [12]), but with few caveats. First, it is only valid for bosonic particles; an extension to fermionic particles is needed to study, e.g., systems with superconducting hopping [20, 21] or spin-fermion Hamiltonians [19]. Second, it requires system and environment to be uncorrelated at the initial time, which may not be experimentally feasible in the strong-coupling regime [8, 22–24]. Third, the obtained coefficients exhibit an involved dependence on microscopic parameters that makes their physical interpretation not straightforward.

This aspect is common to other important results employing superoperator-based approaches [25–27].

In this Letter, we show how the proposal in Ref. [16] can be applied in a different way, after one shifts the complexity of the problem from the operator structure to the time integration domain. This technique is routinely employed in many-body physics for the calculation of nonequilibrium Green's functions [28, 29], and it is known as the Schwinger-Keldysh contour technique [30, 31]. Even though it has been applied before in this context [32, 33], here we will follow a simpler approach which does not make use of field objects or path integrals (see also Refs. [34, 35]). This change in methodology achieves remarkable results. First, it can be applied to both bosonic and fermionic scenarios (as long as system and environment share the same statistics). Second, initial correlations between system and environment can effortlessly be taken into account using a complexification of the contour [28, 29]. Third, since no path integrals or superoperator strings are involved, the coefficients are written in a more transparent way: they turn out to be more easily linkable to physical processes, in a manner formally similar to diagrammatic perturbation theory [28, 29]. This has enormous impact when trying to solve the master equation in practice, since a wealth of existing literature in many-body physics can be leveraged for the purpose.

Contour dynamics Let us consider a quantum system and an environment, both made of noninteracting particles of the same statistical type (i.e., both bosonic or both fermionic). The Hamiltonian $H(t)$ is

$$H(t) = H_0(t) + V, \quad H_0(t) = H_S(t) + H_E. \quad (1)$$

Here, $H_0(t)$ is the free term, containing an environment Hamiltonian H_E and a system Hamiltonian $H_S(t)$, which is possibly time dependent in order to account for driving [36]. Instead, V is the system-environment interaction term, which we take to be of the general form

$$V = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}, \quad (2)$$




FIG. 1. Schematic depiction of the contours considered in this paper. On the left, $\gamma_+(t)$ goes from t to 0 while $\gamma_-(t)$ goes from 0 to t : this is used for factorized initial state in Eq. (7). On the right, $\gamma_{\pm}(t)$ is shifted by $\pm ib$ and a vertical track is added that runs from ib and $-ib$: this is used for the initial state (8) in Eq. (9).

with A_{α} being a system operator and B_{α} being an environment operator. Contrary to common practices, we do not take A_{α} and B_{α} necessarily Hermitian, even though they must be defined such that V is Hermitian. What we do assume is that A_{α} is linear in the system's ladder operators and that B_{α} is linear in the environment's ladder operators.

Given the initial state of the system-environment compound $\rho_{SE}(0)$, the state at subsequent time $t \geq 0$ in interaction picture writes

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{SE}(t) &:= U_0(0, t)\rho_{SE}(t)U_0(t, 0) \\ &= U_0(0, t)U(t, 0)\rho_{SE}(0)U(0, t)U_0(t, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$U(t_2, t_1) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{T} \exp\left(-i \int_{t_1}^{t_2} H(\tau) d\tau\right), & t_1 \leq t_2, \\ \tilde{\mathbb{T}} \exp\left(i \int_{t_2}^{t_1} H(\tau) d\tau\right), & t_1 > t_2, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

is the standard time evolution operator and $U_0(t_2, t_1)$ is defined similarly to $U(t_2, t_1)$ but with $H_0(\tau)$ instead of $H(\tau)$. The unit choice $\hbar = k_B = 1$ is done throughout the paper. Here, \mathbb{T} is the time-ordering operation and $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}$ is the anti-time-ordering one.

As can be verified by taking time derivatives, if $t_2 \geq t_1$ and $t_2 \geq t_0$,

$$U(t_2, t_1) = U_0(t_2, t_0)U_I(t_2, t_1; t_0)U_0(t_0, t_1), \quad (5)$$

where $U_I(t_2, t_1; t_0)$ is defined similarly to $U(t_2, t_1)$ in Eq. (4) but with $U_0(t_0, \tau)VU_0(\tau, t_0)$ instead of $H(\tau)$ [37]. If we use Eq. (5) in Eq. (3) with $t_0 = 0$ we obtain the well known

$$\varrho_{SE}(t) = U_I(t, 0; 0)\rho_{SE}(0)U_I(0, t; 0). \quad (6)$$

Suppose now the exponentials are expanded. The operators that appear are ordered so that, when going from right to left, the time argument first moves from t to 0 and then from 0 to t . Let us then introduce the contour $\gamma(t) := \gamma_+(t) \oplus \gamma_-(t)$ in Fig. 1, where $\gamma_{\pm}(t)$ are, respectively, the tracks $t \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow t$ (called “backward” and “forward” branches [29]). We can then write Eq. (6)

using a single exponential as follows:

$$\varrho_{SE}(t) = \mathbb{T} \left\{ \exp \left[-i \int_{\gamma(t)} V(z) dz \right] \rho_{SE}(0) \right\}, \quad (7)$$

where $V(z)$ is defined as the same function on the two branches, $V(\tau) := U_0(0, \tau)VU_0(\tau, 0)$, and \mathbb{T} works as the usual ordering operator, but defined on the contour $\gamma(t)$ (i.e. operators with argument z that are “later” on $\gamma(t)$, are placed to the left, see also Ref. [35]).

In case $\rho_{SE}(0)$ is factorized, one can immediately take the partial trace over the environment in Eq. (7), using also the decomposition $V(z) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}(z)B_{\alpha}(z)$ [see Eq. (2)]. Unfortunately, this is not the case if $\rho_{SE}(0)$ is not factorized. Imagine however that system and environment are initially at equilibrium with each other, so that

$$\rho_{SE}(0) = \frac{e^{-\beta H(0)}}{Z_0}, \quad Z_0 = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\beta H(0)} \right] \quad (8)$$

for an appropriate inverse temperature $\beta > 0$. A chemical potential could also be included with an appropriate shift of the free term. More general choices are possible [22], but are out of the scope of the present work.

If now we go back to Eq. (3), we recognize that $\rho_{SE}(0)$ can be written as an imaginary-time evolution $U(ib, -ib)$ with $b := \beta/2$, if we agree that on this track the Hamiltonian is constant and equal to $H(0)$. If we split this “evolution” in the two pieces $U(-ib, 0)$ and $U(0, ib)$, they can be adjoined with the neighboring U operators on the two sides in (3), after we lift them to $U(0, t) = U(ib, t + ib)$ and $U(t, 0) = U(t - ib, -ib)$. Now we can apply an appropriate generalization of Eq. (5) using $t_0 \mapsto -ib$ on the left and $t_0 \mapsto ib$ on the right. The effect is that we obtain $U_0(-ib, ib)$ on the inside, which evaluates to $\exp[-\beta H_0(0)]$: remarkably, this is a factorized state. The price to pay is twofold: first, the contour gets modified, since we need to shift $\gamma_{\pm}(t)$ by $\pm ib$ and add vertical tracks adjoining $\pm ib$ with 0 , as illustrated in Fig. 1; second, the function $V(z)$ acquires a different expression on the two branches. Specifically [37],

$$\varrho_{SE}(t) = \mathbb{T} \left\{ \exp \left[-i \int_{\gamma(t)} V(z) dz \right] \frac{e^{-\beta H_0(0)}}{Z_0} \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$V(z) := \begin{cases} U_0(-ib, z)VU_0(z, -ib), & z \in \gamma_-(t), \\ U_0(ib, z)VU_0(z, ib), & z \in \gamma_+(t). \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

It is now immediate to take the partial trace over the environment to write the system's state $\varrho(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^n \mathbf{z} \text{Tr} [\mathbb{T} \{ B_1 \dots B_n \Omega_0 \}] \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{T} \{ A_1 \dots A_n R_0 \}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where we introduced the abbreviations $A_i \equiv A_{\alpha_i}(z_i)$ and $B_i \equiv B_{\alpha_i}(z_i)$, and the sum symbol superimposed on the integral is a reminder of the fact that we should also sum over the α indices. We additionally defined

$$\Omega_0 := \frac{e^{-\beta H_E}}{\text{Tr}[e^{-\beta H_E}]}, \quad R_0 := \frac{e^{-\beta H_S(0)}}{Z_0/\text{Tr}[e^{-\beta H_E}]}. \quad (12)$$

Eq. (11) is true even in the fermionic case despite the known issues in dealing with the partial trace in composite fermionic systems, since $\exp[-\beta H(0)]$ is even in the number of fermionic ladder operators [26]. Note also that Eq. (11) is structurally identical to what one would obtain by taking the partial trace in Eq. (7) in case of factorized initial state $\rho_{SE}(0) = R_0\Omega_0$.

Wick's theorem Since H_E is assumed to be quadratic and since the B_i operators are linear in the environment's ladder operators [the transformation in (10) does not alter this fact], we can invoke Wick's theorem [10, 11, 29, 38, 39] to decompose the trace in Eq. (11).

Let $\zeta \in \{-1, 1\}$ be a parameter indicating whether we are dealing with bosons ($\zeta = 1$) or fermions ($\zeta = -1$). We introduce the symbol \mathbb{T}_ζ : in case $\zeta = 1$ it is equal to \mathbb{T} , but in case $\zeta = -1$ it stands for a ‘‘fermionic’’ ordering operation, which introduces a (-1) factor every time a transposition is made to order its arguments. The idea is that the same number of transpositions is required to order $B_1 \dots B_n \Omega_0$ and $A_1 \dots A_n R_0$, hence we can harmlessly make the substitution $\mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{T}_\zeta$ in Eq. (11). We can then write

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}[\mathbb{T}_\zeta\{B_1 \dots B_{2m}\Omega_0\}] \\ &= \frac{1}{m!2^m} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m}} \zeta^{N(\sigma)} \mathcal{C}_{\sigma(1),\sigma(2)} \dots \mathcal{C}_{\sigma(2m-1),\sigma(2m)}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where \mathfrak{S}_{2m} is the set of permutations of $\{1, \dots, 2m\}$, $N(\sigma)$ is the number of inversions in σ , and

$$\mathcal{C}_{i,j} := \text{Tr}[\mathbb{T}_\zeta\{B_i B_j \Omega_0\}] \quad (14)$$

is the environment's correlation function on the contour [37]. Note that Eq. (13) is a straightforward generalization of Wick's theorem on the contour, and no special care is needed, as happens in superoperator formulations [26]. Wick's theorem also guarantees that $\text{Tr}[\mathbb{T}_\zeta\{B_1 \dots B_{2m+1}\Omega_0\}] = 0$ if we assume as usual that $\text{Tr}[B_i \Omega_0] = 0$, hence we can restrict to the even case.

When substituting Eq. (13) into Eq. (11) we observe with a change of variables that every term of the sum over σ contributes in the same way, and that the factor $\zeta^{N(\sigma)}$ is canceled by a reordering on the system side [37]. Therefore, we end up with

$$\varrho(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!2^m} M_m(t), \quad (15)$$

where

$$M_m(t) := \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}. \quad (16)$$

Exact master equation In order to derive an exact master equation for $\varrho(t)$ we need two tools. The first arises because of the need to take the time derivative of $\varrho(t)$, and is a ‘‘fundamental theorem of calculus’’ for multidimensional integrals on $\gamma(t)$. Applying repeatedly the Leibniz integral rule, one finds [37]

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^n \mathbf{z} f(\mathbf{z}) = n \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-1} \mathbf{z} [f(t_-, \mathbf{z}) - f(t_+, \mathbf{z})] \quad (17)$$

for a general function f , assuming the relative position of the arguments of f to be irrelevant upon integration. Here $t_\pm := t \pm ib$. Using appropriate changes of variables and the properties of \mathbb{T}_ζ , it is possible to show that the integrand in Eq. (16) satisfies this requirement [37]. We can thus apply Eq. (17) to perform the time derivative of $M_m(t)$. Choosing $z_1 = t_-$, results in the operator A_1 appearing at the beginning of the string of system operators, while for $z_1 = t_+$ it appears at the end (due to the ordering imposed by \mathbb{T}_ζ). Instead, $\mathcal{C}_{1,2}$ remains unaltered in the two cases. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} M_m(t) &= 2m \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \mathcal{C}_{3,4} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \\ &\quad \times [\underline{A}_1, \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_2 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where an underlined index \underline{i} indicates that the corresponding quantity is evaluated in t instead of z_i .

The second tool we require arises from the need of expressing Eq. (18) in terms of $M_k(t)$ with $k < m$, in order to ‘‘close’’ the differential equation. Let us define the notation $[X, Y]_\zeta := XY - \zeta YX$. Since $H_S(t)$ is quadratic and A_i is linear in the system's ladder operators, we must have that $[A_i, A_j]_\zeta$ is a c -number for any i and j . This allows us to employ a Wick-like argument to reduce ordered products of system operators to a sum of smaller ordered products [39]. Specifically, consider a product of the form $\mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_0 A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}$. In case $z_0 \in \gamma_-(t)$, A_0 appears on the left of R_0 after the ordering; we can then (anti)commute it with the A_i operators on its left until A_0 is placed at the beginning, leaving behind at each step an ordered product where A_0 and A_i are replaced by a scalar contraction proportional to $[A_0, A_i]_\zeta$. The same can be done in case $z_0 \in \gamma_+(t)$, but this time we (anti)commute A_0 with other A_i operators on its right, since it is positioned on the right of R_0 by the ordering. The result is [37]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_0 A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} &= \hat{A}_0 \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \zeta^{j-1} \Sigma_{0,j} \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{j-1} A_{j+1} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where \hat{A}_0 is a superoperator defined by the action

$$\hat{A}_0 X := \begin{cases} A_0 X, & z_0 \in \gamma_-(t), \\ \zeta X A_0, & z_0 \in \gamma_+(t), \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\Sigma_{i,j} := \begin{cases} -\theta_{z_i \prec z_j} [A_i, A_j]_\zeta, & z_i \in \gamma_-(t), \\ \theta_{z_i \succ z_j} [A_i, A_j]_\zeta, & z_i \in \gamma_+(t), \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

with $\theta_{z_i \prec z_j}$ being a contour Heaviside step function, that is equal to 1 if z_i precedes z_j on the contour and 0 otherwise. When we apply Eq. (19) to Eq. (18), we obtain two terms: in the first, we recognize the appearance of $M_{m-1}(t)$ if we isolate the even number of variables z_3, \dots, z_{2m} ; in the second, we have a sum of terms with again an odd number of A_i operators (but less than before). Eq. (19) can then be applied repeatedly until we exhaust all of the A_i operators, ending up with [37]

$$\frac{d}{dt} M_m(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(2m)!!}{(2m-2k)!!} \hat{S}_k(t) M_{m-k}(t), \quad (22)$$

where $\hat{S}_k(t)$ is a superoperator with action

$$\hat{S}_k(t) X := \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{2k-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \Sigma_{2,3} \mathcal{C}_{3,4} \dots \dots \Sigma_{2k-2,2k-1} \mathcal{C}_{2k-1,2k} [A_{1\downarrow}, \hat{A}_{2k} X]. \quad (23)$$

When used to calculate the time derivative of $\varrho(t)$ [see Eq. (15)], we recognize the Cauchy product of two series, so that [37]

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varrho(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \hat{S}_k(t) \varrho(t). \quad (24)$$

This is the exact master equation we were looking for.

Reformulation Eq. (24) can be written in a more illuminating form if we isolate the operator dependence in Eq. (23), which only involves two indices. Specifically,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varrho(t) = - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\gamma(t)} dz \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, z) [A_\alpha(t), \hat{A}_\beta(z) \varrho(t)], \quad (25)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{p,q} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_{p,q}^{(k)}$ and

$$\mathcal{G}_{p,q}^{(k)} := (-1)^{k+1} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{2k-2} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{p,1} \Sigma_{1,2} \mathcal{C}_{2,3} \dots \dots \Sigma_{2k-3,2k-2} \mathcal{C}_{2k-2,q}. \quad (26)$$

If we decompose $\gamma(t)$ in its branches [37], Eq. (25) acquires the familiar shape

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \varrho(t) = & - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_0^t d\tau \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, \tau - ib) [A_\alpha(t), A_\beta(\tau) \varrho(t)] \\ & + i \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_0^b d\lambda \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, -i\lambda) [A_\alpha(t), A_\beta(-i\lambda) \varrho(t)] + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

This resembles a Redfield equation [7], with an additional contribution from initial correlations and with the function \mathcal{G} replacing the “bare” correlation \mathcal{C} . The physical meaning of this replacement becomes evident if we realize that the coefficients $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}^{(k)}$ in Eq. (26) can be defined recursively as

$$\mathcal{G}_{p,q}^{(1)} = \mathcal{C}_{p,q}, \quad (28a)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{p,q}^{(k)} = - \int_{\gamma(t)} d^2 \mathbf{w} \mathcal{G}_{p,1}^{(k-1)} \Sigma_{1,2} \mathcal{C}_{2,q}. \quad (28b)$$

After summing over k , we see that

$$\mathcal{G}_{p,q} = \mathcal{C}_{p,q} - \int_{\gamma(t)} d^2 \mathbf{w} \mathcal{G}_{p,1} \Sigma_{1,2} \mathcal{C}_{2,q}. \quad (29)$$

This has the shape of a Dyson equation [29], where \mathcal{C} assumes the role of a “noninteracting” Green’s function and Σ assumes the role of a self-energy. The solution of the Dyson equation \mathcal{G} represents a “dressed” correlation function that acts as a memory kernel: $\mathcal{G}^{(k)}$ can be thought of as carrying the effect on the dynamics of $\varrho(t)$ coming from k past interactions between the system and the environment, and is of order $2k$ in the coupling. With this picture in mind, the fact that a truncation at $k = 1$ yields the “memoryless” Redfield equation acquires a neat physical interpretation. Note that Eq. (29) can be solved using well-studied tools from many-body and condensed matter physics: see, e.g., the recent works in Refs. [40, 41].

Once \mathcal{G} is found, the master equation (27) can be solved using techniques borrowed from the literature on third quantization [42–44]. One starts by constructing a set $\{w_i\}$ of self-adjoint operators using linear combinations of system’s ladder operators, such that

$$[w_i, w_j]_\zeta = \Omega_{ij} \mathbb{1}, \quad (30)$$

with Ω being a matrix satisfying $\Omega^T = \Omega^* = -\zeta \Omega$. In the bosonic case one could take position and momentum operators, and Ω would be proportional to the standard symplectic matrix [10]; in the fermionic case one could take instead the Majorana operators, and Ω would be the identity [11]. Either way, one then considers the covariance matrix

$$\Gamma_{ij} := \text{Tr}[(w_i w_j + \zeta w_j w_i) \varrho], \quad (31)$$

which can be used to characterize ϱ in case ϱ is Gaussian. Since A_α is linear, we must have $A_\alpha(z) = \sum_i \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,i}(z) w_i$ for appropriate coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha,i}(z)$. Writing the adjoint of Eq. (27), one then finds the following continuous differential Lyapunov equation for Γ , which can be solved using known techniques [44–46]:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = X\Gamma + \Gamma X^T + Y, \quad (32)$$

where [37]

$$X := 2 \operatorname{Re}[\Omega \mathcal{M}], \quad (33a)$$

$$Y := -\Omega(\mathcal{M} + \zeta \mathcal{M}^T + \mathcal{M}^\dagger + \zeta \mathcal{M}^*)\Omega, \quad (33b)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}(t) := -\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{\gamma_-(t)} dz \mathcal{G}_{\alpha, \beta}(t, z) \mathcal{A}_{\alpha, i}(t) \mathcal{A}_{\beta, j}(z). \quad (33c)$$

Conclusions Given the structure of Eq. (27), it could be interesting to apply the present work in those contexts in which one regularizes the Redfield equation to make it completely positive (see, e.g., Refs. [47–52] and references therein). Specifically, since Eq. (27) is exact, it could naturally be employed as a faithful benchmark. Moreover, there is perhaps room for generalizing the derivation even further. For example, it could be possible to treat system-environment pairs obeying different statistics: this would allow to solve the longstanding problem of finding an exact master equation for the general spin-boson model [53]. It could also be possible to extend the analysis to interacting systems and/or non-Gaussian environments using recursive techniques [54, 55] or self-consistent mean-field approaches [29, 56]. Overall, we believe this Letter is an example of how the contour technique can provide interesting avenues in researching open quantum systems without venturing into the complexities of field theories.

Acknowledgments We acknowledge financial support by MUR (Ministero dell’Università e della Ricerca) through the PNRR MUR project PE0000023-NQSTI.

* antonio.dabruzzo@sns.it

† vittorio.giovannetti@sns.it

‡ vasco.cavina@sns.it

- [1] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, 2007).
- [2] G. Lindblad, On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **48**, 119 (1976).
- [3] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, Completely positive dynamical semigroups of N -level systems, *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 821 (1976).
- [4] E. B. Davies, Markovian master equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **39**, 91 (1974).
- [5] R. Dümcke and H. Spohn, The proper form of the generator in the weak coupling limit, *Z. Phys. B* **34**, 419 (1979).
- [6] A. Rivas, A. D. K. Plato, S. F. Huelga, and M. B. Plenio, Markovian master equations: a critical study, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 113032 (2010).
- [7] H.-P. Breuer, E.-M. Laine, J. Piilo, and B. Vacchini, Colloquium: Non-Markovian dynamics in open quantum systems, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 021002 (2016).
- [8] I. de Vega and D. Alonso, Dynamics of non-Markovian open quantum systems, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 015001 (2017).
- [9] C.-F. Li, G.-C. Guo, and J. Piilo, Non-Markovian quantum dynamics: What is it good for?, *EPL* **128**, 30001 (2020).
- [10] A. Serafini, *Quantum Continuous Variables: A Primer of Theoretical Methods*, 2nd ed. (CRC Press, 2023).
- [11] J. Surace and L. Tagliacozzo, Fermionic Gaussian states: an introduction to numerical approaches, *SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes*, 54 (2022).
- [12] B. L. Hu, J. P. Paz, and Y. Zhang, Quantum Brownian motion in a general environment: Exact master equation with nonlocal dissipation and colored noise, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 2843 (1992).
- [13] W.-M. Zhang, P.-Y. Lo, H.-N. Xiong, M. W.-Y. Tu, and F. Nori, General non-Markovian dynamics of open quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 170402 (2012).
- [14] L.-P. Yang, C. Y. Cai, D. Z. Xu, W.-M. Zhang, and C. P. Sun, Master equation and dispersive probing of a non-Markovian process, *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 012110 (2013).
- [15] P.-Y. Yang and W.-M. Zhang, Master equation approach to transient quantum transport in nanostructures, *Front. Phys.* **12**, 127204 (2016).
- [16] L. Ferialdi, Exact closed master equation for Gaussian non-Markovian dynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 120402 (2016).
- [17] C. Fleming, N. I. Cummings, C. Anastopoulos, and B. L. Hu, The rotating-wave approximation: consistency and applicability from an open quantum system analysis, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43**, 405304 (2010).
- [18] H. Mäkelä and M. Möttönen, Effects of the rotating-wave and secular approximations on non-Markovianity, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 052111 (2013).
- [19] W. Wu and M. Liu, Effects of counter-rotating-wave terms on the non-Markovianity in quantum open systems, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 032125 (2017).
- [20] P. Ribeiro and V. R. Vieira, Non-Markovian effects in electronic and spin transport, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 100302 (2015).
- [21] A. D’Abbruzzo and D. Rossini, Topological signatures in a weakly dissipative Kitaev chain of finite length, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 115139 (2021).
- [22] H. Grabert, P. Schramm, and G.-L. Ingold, Quantum Brownian motion: The functional integral approach, *Phys. Rep.* **168**, 115 (1988).
- [23] G. M. G. McCaul, C. D. Lorenz, and L. Kantorovich, Partition-free approach to open quantum systems in harmonic environments: An exact stochastic Liouville equation, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 125124 (2017).
- [24] V. F. Los, Influence of initial correlations on evolution over time of an open quantum system, *Phys. A: Stat. Mech. Appl.* **650**, 130043 (2024).
- [25] L. Diósi and L. Ferialdi, General non-Markovian structure of Gaussian master and stochastic Schrödinger equations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 200403 (2014).
- [26] M. Cirio, P.-C. Kuo, Y.-N. Chen, F. Nori, and N. Lambert, Canonical derivation of the fermionic influence superoperator, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 035121 (2022).
- [27] Z. Huang, L. Lin, G. Park, and Y. Zhu, Unified analysis of non-Markovian open quantum systems in Gaussian environment using superoperator formalism, *arXiv:2411.08741 [quant-ph]* (2024).
- [28] A. Kamenev, *Field Theory of Non-Equilibrium Systems* (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [29] G. Stefanucci and R. van Leeuwen, *Nonequilibrium Many-Body Theory of Quantum Systems: A Modern In-*

- roduction* (Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- [30] J. Schwinger, Brownian motion of a quantum oscillator, *J. Math. Phys.* **2**, 407 (1961).
- [31] L. V. Keldysh, Diagram technique for nonequilibrium processes, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **20**, 1018 (1964).
- [32] A. I. Karanikas and G. E. Pavlou, Dissipative dynamics in open fermionic chains, *Open Syst. Inf. Dyn.* **31**, 2450011 (2024).
- [33] F. Reyes-Osorio, F. Garcia-Gaitan, D. J. Strachan, P. Plechac, S. R. Clark, and B. K. Nikolic, Schwinger-Keldysh nonequilibrium quantum field theory of open quantum systems beyond the Markovian regime: Application to the spin-boson model, arXiv:2405.00765 [quant-ph] (2024).
- [34] V. Reimer and M. R. Wegewijs, Density-operator evolution: Complete positivity and the Keldysh real-time expansion, *SciPost Phys.* **7**, 012 (2019).
- [35] V. Cavina, A. D'Abbruzzo, and V. Giovannetti, Unifying quantum stochastic methods using Wick's theorem on the Keldysh contour, arXiv:2501.09544 [quant-ph] (2024).
- [36] R. Dann, Interplay between external driving, dissipation and collective effects in the Markovian and non-Markovian regimes, arXiv:2410.03297 [quant-ph] (2024).
- [37] See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for a more detailed account of the computations presented here, which includes Refs. [29, 35, 39, 44].
- [38] M. O. C. Pires, Generalized Wick's theorem at finite temperature for a quadratic Hamiltonian, arXiv:1208.3651 [cond-mat.quant-gas] (2012).
- [39] L. Ferialdi and L. Diósi, General Wick's theorem for bosonic and fermionic operators, *Phys. Rev. A* **104**, 052209 (2021).
- [40] N. W. Talarico, S. Maniscalco, and N. Lo Gullo, A scalable numerical approach to the solution of the Dyson equation for the non-equilibrium single-particle Green's function, *Phys. Status Solidi B* **256**, 1800501 (2019).
- [41] B. Lamic, Solving the transient Dyson equation with quasilinear complexity via matrix compression, arXiv:2410.11057 [cond.-mat.supr-con] (2024).
- [42] T. Prosen, Third quantization: a general method to solve master equations for quadratic open Fermi systems, *New J. Phys.* **10**, 043026 (2008).
- [43] A. D'Abbruzzo and D. Rossini, Self-consistent microscopic derivation of Markovian master equations for open quadratic quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 052209 (2021).
- [44] T. Barthel and Y. Zhang, Solving quasi-free and quadratic Lindblad master equations for open fermionic and bosonic systems, *J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp.* **2022**, 113101 (2022).
- [45] A. Purkayastha, Lyapunov equation in open quantum systems and non-Hermitian physics, *Phys. Rev. A* **105**, 062204 (2022).
- [46] Z. Gajić and M. T. J. Qureshi, eds., *Lyapunov Matrix Equation in System Stability and Control* (Academic Press, 1995).
- [47] D. Farina and V. Giovannetti, Open-quantum-system dynamics: Recovering positivity of the Redfield equation via the partial secular approximation, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 012107 (2019).
- [48] F. Nathan and M. S. Rudner, Universal Lindblad equation for open quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 115109 (2020).
- [49] E. Mozgunov and D. Lidar, Completely positive master equation for arbitrary driving and small level spacing, *Quantum* **4**, 227 (2020).
- [50] A. Trushechkin, Unified Gorini-Kossakowski-Lindblad-Sudarshan quantum master equation beyond the secular approximation, *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 062226 (2021).
- [51] A. D'Abbruzzo, V. Cavina, and V. Giovannetti, A time-dependent regularization of the Redfield equation, *SciPost Phys.* **15**, 117 (2023).
- [52] A. D'Abbruzzo, D. Farina, and V. Giovannetti, Recovering complete positivity of non-Markovian quantum dynamics with Choi-proximity regularization, *Phys. Rev. X* **14**, 031010 (2024).
- [53] L. Ferialdi, Erratum: Exact non-Markovian master equation for the spin-boson and Jaynes-Cummings models, *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 069908 (2017).
- [54] G. Gasbarri and L. Ferialdi, Recursive approach for non-Markovian time-convolutionless master equations, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 022114 (2018).
- [55] A. Trushechkin, Derivation of the Redfield quantum master equation and corrections to it by the Bogoliubov method, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **313**, 246 (2021).
- [56] O. Scarlatella and M. Schirò, Self-consistent dynamical maps for open quantum systems, *SciPost Phys.* **16**, 026 (2024).

Supplemental Material of “Exact non-Markovian master equations: a generalized derivation for quadratic systems”

This Supplemental Material provides a more thorough discussion of some of the statements made in the Letter. The material presented here is not essential in order to grasp the concepts presented there; however, it is included to aid the interested reader in dwelling into the details.

We start in Sec. A with a discussion of the shifted contour, showing how the system state can be conveniently written even in the presence of initial system-environment equilibrium correlations. Then, in Sec. B we use the quadratic environment hypothesis and we apply Wick’s theorem to the previously obtained expression. In order to take the time derivative, in Sec. C we proceed by proving a “fundamental theorem of calculus” for multidimensional contour integrals. Then, in Sec. D we use the quadratic system hypothesis to show how ordered products of system operators can be reduced in terms of smaller products. This result is used in Sec. E to close the differential equation for the state, resulting in the exact master equation presented in the Letter. We also show in Sec. F how to write it using physical time instead of the abstract contour time. Finally, in Sec. G we derive a differential equation for the covariance matrix of the system.

Appendix A: System state on the contour with initial correlations




FIG. 2. Schematic depiction of the contour $\gamma(t) = \gamma_+(t) \oplus \gamma_-(t)$ considered in this document.

The first task is to write the system state, in the presence of initial system-environment canonical correlations, using the shifted contour $\gamma(t)$ depicted in Fig. 2. To do that, we follow the derivation provided in Ref. [35]. Let us define the following propagator with arguments on $\gamma(t)$:

$$W(z_2, z_1) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{T} \exp\left(-i \int_{z_1}^{z_2} H(z) dz\right) & z_1 \preceq z_2, \\ \tilde{\mathbb{T}} \exp\left(i \int_{z_2}^{z_1} H(z) dz\right) & z_1 \succ z_2, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A1})$$

where \preceq is the natural ordering relation on $\gamma(t)$ with corresponding ordering and anti-ordering operations \mathbb{T} and $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}$, and $\int_{z_1}^{z_2} dz$ is a line integral over $\gamma(t)$ starting at z_1 and ending at z_2 . Here $H(z) = \tilde{H}(\tau \pm ib)$ is defined to be equal to the physical Hamiltonian $H(\tau)$ on both the horizontal tracks, while it coincides with $H(0)$ on the vertical section of both branches. Unlike the standard real-time propagator, $W(z_2, z_1)$ is not unitary (unless z_1 and z_2 both lie on the same horizontal track). However, one can easily show that the following properties still hold [29]:

$$W(z, z) = \mathbb{1}, \quad (\text{A2a})$$

$$W(z_3, z_1) = W(z_3, z_2)W(z_2, z_1), \quad (\text{A2b})$$

$$\frac{dW(z_2, z_1)}{dz_2} = \begin{cases} -iH(z_2)W(z_2, z_1) & z_1 \preceq z_2, \\ iW(z_2, z_1)H(z_1) & z_1 \succ z_2 \end{cases} \quad (\text{A2c})$$

We can use $W(z_2, z_1)$ to write the initial system-environment state as

$$\rho_{SE}(0) = \frac{e^{-2bH(0)}}{Z_0} = \frac{W(-ib, ib)}{Z_0} = \frac{W(-ib, 0)W(0, ib)}{Z_0}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where we used the fact that on the vertical track $H(z)$ is constant and equal to $H(0)$. Note also that line integrals on the horizontal sections do not change after a $\pm ib$ shifting, hence $U(t_2, t_1) = W(t_2 \pm ib, t_1 \pm ib)$. This allows us to write

$$\rho_{SE}(t) = U(t, 0)\rho_{SE}(0)U(0, t) = \frac{1}{Z_0}W(t - ib, -ib)W(-ib, 0)W(0, ib)W(ib, t + ib) = \frac{1}{Z_0}W(t - ib, 0)W(0, t + ib). \quad (\text{A4})$$

We can now move to the interaction picture, after introducing a contour propagator $W_0(z_2, z_1)$ defined as in Eq. (A1) but with $H_0(z)$ instead of $H(z)$.

$$\varrho_{SE}(t) = U_0(0, t)\rho_{SE}(t)U_0(t, 0) = \frac{1}{Z_0}W_0(-ib, t - ib)W(t - ib, 0)W(0, t + ib)W_0(t + ib, ib). \quad (\text{A5})$$

The following lemma is needed to proceed, which is the contour analogue of the well-known relation appearing at Eq. (5) in the Letter.

Lemma A.1. *If $z_2 \succ z_1$ and $z_2 \succ \bar{z}$, then*

$$W(z_2, z_1) = W_0(z_2, \bar{z})W_I(z_2, z_1; \bar{z})W_0(\bar{z}, z_1), \quad (\text{A6})$$

where $W_I(z_2, z_1; \bar{z})$ is defined as in Eq. (A1) but with $W_0(\bar{z}, z)VW_0(z, \bar{z})$ instead of $H(z)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the two sides of Eq. (A6) satisfy the same differential equation. Of course, they are both equal to the identity in case $z_1 = z_2$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz_2}[W_0(z_2, \bar{z})W_I(z_2, z_1; \bar{z})W_0(\bar{z}, z_1)] &= -iH_0(z_2)W_0(z_2, \bar{z})W_I(z_2, z_1; \bar{z})W_0(\bar{z}, z_1) \\ &\quad - iW_0(z_2, \bar{z})W_0(\bar{z}, z_2)VW_0(z_2, \bar{z})W_I(z_2, z_1; \bar{z})W_0(\bar{z}, z_1) \\ &= -iH(z_2)[W_0(z_2, \bar{z})W_I(z_2, z_1; \bar{z})W_0(\bar{z}, z_1)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

which should be compared with Eq. (A2c). \square

This lemma allows us to write

$$W_0(-ib, t - ib)W(t - ib, 0) = W_I(t - ib, 0; -ib)W_0(-ib, 0), \quad (\text{A8a})$$

$$W(0, t + ib)W_0(t + ib, ib) = W_0(0, ib)W_I(0, t + ib; ib), \quad (\text{A8b})$$

which can be used in Eq. (A5) to arrive at

$$\varrho_{SE}(t) = \frac{1}{Z_0}W_I(t - ib, 0; -ib)W_0(-ib, 0)W_0(0, ib)W_I(0, t + ib; ib) = W_I(t - ib, 0; -ib)\frac{e^{-2bH_0(0)}}{Z_0}W_I(0, t + ib; ib). \quad (\text{A9})$$

Since $W_I(t - ib, 0; -ib)$ involves a line integral over $\gamma_-(t)$ and $W_I(0, t + ib; ib)$ involves a line integral over $\gamma_+(t)$, we can arrange the entire expression as a single line integral over $\gamma(t)$ if we include $e^{-2bH_0(0)}/Z_0$ in the ordered products:

$$\varrho_{SE}(t) = \mathbb{T} \left\{ \exp \left[-i \int_{\gamma(t)} V(z) dz \right] \frac{e^{-2bH_0(0)}}{Z_0} \right\}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

where $V(z) := W_0(\bar{z}, z)VW_0(z, \bar{z})$, with \bar{z} being $\pm ib$ when $z \in \gamma_{\pm}(t)$. This is exactly the content of Eqs. (9)-(10) of the Letter. Since $e^{-2bH_0(0)} = e^{-2bH_S(0)}e^{-2bH_E}$ is factorized, we can immediately perform the partial trace over the environment once the exponential is expanded, obtaining

$$\varrho(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^n \mathbf{z} \text{Tr}[\mathbb{T}\{B_1 \dots B_n \Omega_0\}] \mathbb{T}\{A_1 \dots A_n R_0\}, \quad (\text{A11})$$

with the notations explained in the Letter. The same formula holds in case the initial system-environment state is factorized as $\rho_{SE}(0) = R_0 \Omega_0$, provided the contour $\gamma(t)$ is not vertically shifted. Since the same number of transpositions is involved in ordering the strings $B_1 \dots B_n \Omega_0$ and $A_1 \dots A_n R_0$, we can make the substitution $\mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{T}_{\zeta}$, where the latter symbol is introduced above Eq. (13) of the Letter. This will be useful in the next section.

In the following we will use the common notation τ_{\pm} to indicate a point on the horizontal part of $\gamma_{\pm}(t)$ with value τ , independently on the presence or not of the shift $\pm ib$ required to keep correlations into account.

Appendix B: Applying Wick's theorem on the contour

Now we make the assumption of quadratic environment linearly coupled to the system, so that we can invoke Wick's theorem. Assuming $\text{Tr}[B_i \Omega_0] = 0$ for every i , it assumes the form

$$\text{Tr}[\mathbb{T}_{\zeta}\{B_1 \dots B_{2m+1} \Omega_0\}] = 0, \quad (\text{B1a})$$

$$\text{Tr}[\mathbb{T}_{\zeta}\{B_1 \dots B_{2m} \Omega_0\}] = \frac{1}{m!2^m} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m}} \zeta^{N(\sigma)} \mathcal{C}_{\sigma(1), \sigma(2)} \dots \mathcal{C}_{\sigma(2m-1), \sigma(2m)}, \quad (\text{B1b})$$

where \mathfrak{S}_{2m} is the set of permutations of $\{1, \dots, 2m\}$, $N(\sigma)$ is the number of inversions in σ , and

$$\mathcal{C}_{i,j} := \text{Tr}[\mathbb{T}_{\zeta}\{B_i B_j \Omega_0\}] = \zeta \mathcal{C}_{j,i} \quad (\text{B2})$$

is the environment's "bare" correlation function on the contour. Here by "inversion" we mean the number of pairs (i, j) such that $i < j$ but $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$: in this way, $\zeta^{N(\sigma)}$ is equal to the sign of σ in the fermionic scenario. Using Eqs. (B1) in Eq. (A11),

$$\varrho(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{2m}}{(2m)!m!2^m} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m}} \zeta^{N(\sigma)} \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^n \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{\sigma(1), \sigma(2)} \dots \mathcal{C}_{\sigma(2m-1), \sigma(2m)} \mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

At this point we make the change of variables $w_i = z_{\sigma(i)}$ and $\beta_i = \alpha_{\sigma(i)}$, so that

$$\varrho(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{2m}}{(2m)!m!2^m} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m}} \zeta^{N(\sigma)} \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^n \mathbf{w} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \dots A_{\sigma^{-1}(2m)} R_0\}. \quad (\text{B4})$$

However, one can also easily show the relation

$$\mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \dots A_{\sigma^{-1}(2m)} R_0\} = \zeta^{N(\sigma^{-1})} \mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}. \quad (\text{B5})$$

The factor $\zeta^{N(\sigma^{-1})}$ cancels out the factor $\zeta^{N(\sigma)}$, and nothing depends on σ anymore. Hence, the sum over σ can be replaced with $(2m)!$ and

$$\varrho(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{2m}}{m!2^m} \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^n \mathbf{w} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}, \quad (\text{B6})$$

which is the content of Eqs. (15)-(16) of the Letter. We rewrite them here for future convenience:

$$\varrho(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!2^m} M_m(t), \quad M_m(t) := \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^n \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

Note that this can also be written in a more suggestive exponential form:

$$\varrho(t) = \mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \left\{ \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^2 \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} A_1 A_2 \right] R_0 \right\}. \quad (\text{B8})$$

Appendix C: Fundamental theorem of calculus on the contour

In order to find an exact master equation for $\varrho(t)$ we first need to take the time derivative of Eq. (B7). The following theorem can be used for such purpose.

Theorem C.1. *Let $f(\mathbf{z})$ be an operator-valued function defined on $[\gamma(t)]^n$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Moreover, given a vector $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \leq j$, define the notation $\mathbf{z}_i^j := (z_i, \dots, z_j)$. Then,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^n \mathbf{z} f(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-1} \mathbf{w} [f(\mathbf{w}_1^{k-1}, t_-, \mathbf{w}_k^{n-1}) - f(\mathbf{w}_1^{k-1}, t_+, \mathbf{w}_k^{n-1})]. \quad (\text{C1})$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . In case $n = 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\gamma(t)} dz f(z) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t d\tau [f(\tau_-) - f(\tau_+)] = f(t_-) - f(t_+), \quad (\text{C2})$$

where we used the fact that the vertical track, if present, does not depend on t and can be omitted. Now assume the statement to be true for $n - 1$. We can apply the Leibniz integral rule to write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^n \mathbf{z} f(\mathbf{z}) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t d\tau_n \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-1} \mathbf{w} [f(\mathbf{w}, \tau_{n-}) - f(\mathbf{w}, \tau_{n+})] \\ &= \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-1} \mathbf{w} [f(\mathbf{w}, t_-) - f(\mathbf{w}, t_+)] + \int_0^t d\tau_n \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-1} \mathbf{w} [f(\mathbf{w}, \tau_{n-}) - f(\mathbf{w}, \tau_{n+})]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C3})$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-1} \mathbf{w} f(\mathbf{w}, \tau_{n\pm}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-2} \mathbf{y} [f(\mathbf{y}_1^{k-1}, t_-, \mathbf{y}_k^{n-2}, \tau_{n\pm}) - f(\mathbf{y}_1^{k-1}, t_+, \mathbf{y}_k^{n-2}, \tau_{n\pm})], \quad (\text{C4})$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t d\tau_n \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-1} \mathbf{w} [f(\mathbf{w}, \tau_{n-}) - f(\mathbf{w}, \tau_{n+})] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_0^t d\tau_n \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-2} \mathbf{y} [f(\mathbf{y}_1^{k-1}, t_-, \mathbf{y}_k^{n-2}, \tau_{n-}) - f(\mathbf{y}_1^{k-1}, t_+, \mathbf{y}_k^{n-2}, \tau_{n-}) \\ &\quad - f(\mathbf{y}_1^{k-1}, t_-, \mathbf{y}_k^{n-2}, \tau_{n+}) + f(\mathbf{y}_1^{k-1}, t_+, \mathbf{y}_k^{n-2}, \tau_{n+})] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\gamma(t)} dw_{n-1} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-2} \mathbf{y} [f(\mathbf{y}_1^{k-1}, t_-, \mathbf{y}_k^{n-2}, w_{n-1}) - f(\mathbf{y}_1^{k-1}, t_+, \mathbf{y}_k^{n-2}, w_{n-1})] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-1} \mathbf{w} [f(\mathbf{w}_1^{k-1}, t_-, \mathbf{w}_k^{n-1}) - f(\mathbf{w}_1^{k-1}, t_+, \mathbf{w}_k^{n-1})], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C5})$$

where in the second equality we regrouped the integration over τ_n as a contour integral with variable w_{n-1} , and in the third equality we called $\mathbf{w} := (\mathbf{y}, w_{n-1})$. The result follows by substituting into Eq. (C3). \square

Corollary C.2. *Let $f(\mathbf{z})$ be an operator-valued function defined on $[\gamma(t)]^n$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. In case*

$$\int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-1} \mathbf{w} f(\mathbf{w}_1^{k-1}, t_{\pm}, \mathbf{w}_k^{n-1}) = \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-1} \mathbf{w} f(t_{\pm}, \mathbf{w}) \quad (\text{C6})$$

holds for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^n \mathbf{z} = n \int_{\gamma(t)} d^{n-1} \mathbf{w} [f(t_-, \mathbf{w}) - f(t_+, \mathbf{w})]. \quad (\text{C7})$$

Eq. (C7) is what was stated in Eq. (17) of the Letter. Looking at Eq. (B7), in our case the function to be considered is

$$f(\mathbf{z}) \equiv f(z_1, \dots, z_{2m}) = \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}, \quad (\text{C8})$$

where \sum_{α} is a sum over the interaction indices. We now prove that this function satisfies the condition in Eq. (C6).

To do that, it is useful to introduce the ‘‘underline’’ notation mentioned in the Letter. Specifically, let us write

$$A_{\underline{i}}^{\pm} \equiv A_{\alpha_i}(t_{\pm}), \quad (\text{C9})$$

so that an underlined index indicates an evaluation in t_{\pm} . Even though the two cases with t_{\pm} lead to the same real-time operator, it is necessary to distinguish them for ordering purposes. Similarly, we define

$$\mathcal{C}_{\underline{i},j} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}(t_{\pm}, z_j), \quad \mathcal{C}_{i,\underline{j}} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}(z_i, t_{\pm}). \quad (\text{C10})$$

In this case, we do not need to distinguish the two cases t_{\pm} since the correlation function assumes the same value in the two scenarios, as one can easily verify from its definition (B2).

Now, fix $k \in \{1, \dots, 2m\}$ and let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_{2m})$. In case k is odd, the left-hand side of Eq. (C6) writes

$$\oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{w} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \dots \mathcal{C}_{k-2,k-1} \mathcal{C}_{\underline{k},k+1} \mathcal{C}_{k+2,k+3} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_1 \dots A_{k-1} A_{\underline{k}}^{\pm} A_{k+1} A_{k+2} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}. \quad (\text{C11})$$

With $k-1$ transpositions we can bring $A_{\underline{k}}^{\pm}$ at the beginning of the string of ordered operators, and with subsequent $k-1$ transpositions we can bring A_{k+1} immediately to the right of $A_{\underline{k}}^{\pm}$. Since $2(k-1)$ is even, no additional sign factor appears, and we have

$$\oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{w} \mathcal{C}_{\underline{k},k+1} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \dots \mathcal{C}_{k-2,k-1} \mathcal{C}_{k+2,k+3} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_{\underline{k}}^{\pm} A_{k+1} A_1 \dots A_{k-1} A_{k+2} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}, \quad (\text{C12})$$

which is equivalent to the right-hand side of Eq. (C6) after an appropriate change of variables. A similar argument can be carried out in case k is even. This time, the left-hand side of Eq. (C6) writes

$$\oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{w} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \dots \mathcal{C}_{k-3,k-2} \mathcal{C}_{k-1,\underline{k}} \mathcal{C}_{k+1,k+2} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \mathbb{T}_\zeta \left\{ A_1 \dots A_{k-2} A_{k-1} A_{\underline{k}}^\pm A_{k+1} \dots A_{2m} R_0 \right\}. \quad (\text{C13})$$

With $k-1$ transpositions we can again bring $A_{\underline{k}}^\pm$ at the beginning of the string, and then with $k-2$ transpositions we can bring A_{k-1} immediately to the right of $A_{\underline{k}}^\pm$. Since in total we performed $2k-3$ transpositions, which is odd, an additional factor ζ appears. However, we can also write $\mathcal{C}_{k-1,\underline{k}} = \zeta \mathcal{C}_{k,k-1}$, which absorbs the previous ζ . The result is

$$\oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{w} \mathcal{C}_{\underline{k},k-1} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \dots \mathcal{C}_{k-3,k-2} \mathcal{C}_{k+1,k+2} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \mathbb{T}_\zeta \left\{ A_{\underline{k}}^\pm A_{k-1} A_1 \dots A_{k-2} A_{k+1} \dots A_{2m} R_0 \right\}, \quad (\text{C14})$$

which is equivalent to the right-hand side of Eq. (C6) after an appropriate change of variables.

We conclude that Eq. (C7) can be used to evaluate the time derivative of $M_m(t)$ in Eq. (B7). Note that

$$\mathbb{T}_\zeta \left\{ A_{\underline{1}}^- A_2 \dots A_{2m} R_0 \right\} = A_{\underline{1}} \mathbb{T}_\zeta \left\{ A_2 \dots A_{2m} R_0 \right\}, \quad \mathbb{T}_\zeta \left\{ A_{\underline{1}}^+ A_2 \dots A_{2m} R_0 \right\} = \mathbb{T}_\zeta \left\{ A_2 \dots A_{2m} R_0 \right\} A_{\underline{1}}, \quad (\text{C15})$$

where we dropped the \pm notation once we are outside the ordering operation. Therefore,

$$\frac{dM_m(t)}{dt} = 2m \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{\underline{1},2} \mathcal{C}_{3,4} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \left[A_{\underline{1}}, \mathbb{T}_\zeta \left\{ A_2 \dots A_{2m} R_0 \right\} \right], \quad (\text{C16})$$

which is Eq. (18) of the Letter.

Appendix D: Reduction of ordered products of system operators

At this point we introduce the quadratic system assumption: this will allow us to expand the right-hand side of Eq. (C16) and make $M_k(t), k < m$ appear, which is what we require to close the differential equation. The main ingredient is contained in Eq. (19) of the Letter, which is a modified version of a statement that can be found in Ref. [39]. In this section we provide a detailed proof.

As a preliminary step, we introduce a ζ -graded derivative symbol ∂_j , which acts as follows on a product of system operators:

$$\partial_{\mu_j} (X_{\mu_1} \dots X_{\mu_j} \dots X_{\mu_n}) := \zeta^{j-1} X_{\mu_1} \dots X_{\mu_{j-1}} X_{\mu_{j+1}} \dots X_{\mu_n}. \quad (\text{D1})$$

This can be interpreted as follows: we imagine to bring X_{μ_j} to the beginning of the string of operators introducing a ζ factor for each transposition; then we eliminate X_{μ_j} by acting with the derivative on it. The following lemma clarifies that this derivative commutes with the ordering operation.

Lemma D.1. *For any string of system operators X_1, \dots, X_n and any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, one has*

$$\partial_j \mathbb{T}_\zeta \{ X_1 \dots X_n \} = \zeta^{j-1} \mathbb{T}_\zeta \{ X_1 \dots X_{j-1} X_{j+1} \dots X_n \}. \quad (\text{D2})$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be the permutation that orders the string $X_1 \dots X_n$. Such ordering can also be achieved in the following equivalent way: first, move X_j at the beginning with $j-1$ transpositions; then, order the remaining operators $X_1 \dots X_{j-1} X_{j+1} \dots X_n$, calling ϕ the permutation that does that; finally, bring X_j back into position with $\varphi^{-1}(j) - 1$ transpositions. This remark allows us to say that

$$\zeta^{N(\varphi)} = \zeta^{j-1} \zeta^{N(\phi)} \zeta^{p-1}, \quad p := \varphi^{-1}(j). \quad (\text{D3})$$

Since $\mathbb{T}_\zeta \{ X_1 \dots X_n \} = \zeta^{N(\varphi)} X_{\varphi(1)} \dots X_{\varphi(n)}$, by applying ∂_j we remove X_j , appearing at position p , and we introduce an additional factor ζ^{p-1} . Using the previous relation, and the fact that the remaining operators are already ordered,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j \mathbb{T}_\zeta \{ X_1 \dots X_n \} &= \zeta^{N(\varphi)} \zeta^{p-1} X_{\varphi(1)} \dots X_{\varphi(p-1)} X_{\varphi(p+1)} \dots X_{\varphi(n)} \\ &= \zeta^{N(\phi)} \zeta^{j-1} X_{\phi(1)} \dots X_{\phi(n)} = \zeta^{j-1} \mathbb{T}_\zeta \{ X_1 \dots X_{j-1} X_{j+1} \dots X_n \}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D4})$$

where in the second equality it is understood that X_j does not appear in the string $X_{\phi(1)} \dots X_{\phi(n)}$. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Lemma D.2. *Suppose $[A_i, A_j]_\zeta$ is a c -number for every choice of i and j . Then,*

$$\mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_0 A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} = \hat{A}_0 \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \zeta^{j-1} \Sigma_{0,j} \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{j-1} A_{j+1} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}, \quad (\text{D5})$$

where

$$\hat{A}_0 X := \begin{cases} A_0 X & z_0 \in \gamma_-(t), \\ \zeta X A_0 & z_0 \in \gamma_+(t), \end{cases} \quad \Sigma_{i,j} := \begin{cases} -\theta_{z_i \prec z_j} [A_i, A_j]_\zeta & z_i \in \gamma_-(t), \\ \theta_{z_i \succ z_j} [A_i, A_j]_\zeta & z_i \in \gamma_+(t). \end{cases} \quad (\text{D6})$$

Proof. By definition of contour ordering, we can always find a permutation $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m}$ and an index $k \in \{0, \dots, 2m\}$ such that $z_{\varphi(1)} \succ \dots \succ z_{\varphi(2m)}$ and $\mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} = \zeta^{N(\varphi)} \zeta^{2m-k} A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(k)} R_0 A_{\varphi(k+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)}$. Let us distinguish the two cases $z_0 \in \gamma_\pm(t)$.

In case $z_0 \in \gamma_-(t)$, we can find an index $q \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ such that

$$\mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_0 A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} = \zeta^{N(\varphi)} \zeta^{2m-k+q} A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(q)} A_0 A_{\varphi(q+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(k)} R_0 A_{\varphi(k+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)}. \quad (\text{D7})$$

Now we use the (anti)commutation relation $A_i A_0 = [A_i, A_0]_\zeta + \zeta A_0 A_i$ to bring A_0 at the beginning of the string of operators, using the fact that $[A_i, A_0]_\zeta$ is a c -number. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(q)} A_0 &= [A_{\varphi(q)}, A_0]_\zeta A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(q-1)} + \zeta A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(q-1)} A_0 A_{\varphi(q)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \zeta^i [A_{\varphi(q-i)}, A_0]_\zeta A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(q-i-1)} A_{\varphi(q-i+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(q)} + \zeta^q A_0 A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(q)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D8})$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_0 A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} &= \zeta^{N(\varphi)} \zeta^{2m-k} A_0 A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(k)} R_0 A_{\varphi(k+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)} \\ &\quad - \zeta^{N(\varphi)} \zeta^{2m-k} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \zeta^{q-i-1} [A_0, A_{\varphi(q-i)}]_\zeta A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(q-i-1)} A_{\varphi(q-i+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(k)} R_0 A_{\varphi(k+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)} \\ &= A_0 \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} - \zeta^{N(\varphi)} \zeta^{2m-k} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} [A_0, A_{\varphi(q-i)}]_\zeta \partial_{\varphi(q-i)} [A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(k)} R_0 A_{\varphi(k+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)}] \\ &= A_0 \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} [A_0, A_{\varphi(q-i)}]_\zeta \partial_{\varphi(q-i)} \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D9})$$

The sum can be extended to all indices, provided we remember to keep a nonzero contribution only from those indices that are located later than z_0 on the contour:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_0 A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} &= A_0 \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} - \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \theta_{z_0 \prec z_j} [A_0, A_j]_\zeta \partial_j \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} \\ &= A_0 \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} - \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \zeta^{j-1} \theta_{z_0 \prec z_j} [A_0, A_j]_\zeta \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{j-1} A_{j+1} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D10})$$

where in the second equality we employed Lemma D.1.

A similar calculation can be performed in case $z_0 \in \gamma_+(t)$. This time, we can find $q \in \{k, \dots, 2m\}$ such that

$$\mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_0 A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} = \zeta^{N(\varphi)} \zeta^{2m-k+q+1} A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(k)} R_0 A_{\varphi(k+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(q)} A_0 A_{\varphi(q+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)}. \quad (\text{D11})$$

Now we use the relation $A_0 A_i = [A_0, A_i]_\zeta + \zeta A_i A_0$ to bring A_0 at the end of the string of operators:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 A_{\varphi(q+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{2m-q} \zeta^{i+1} [A_0, A_{\varphi(q+i)}]_\zeta A_{\varphi(q+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(q+i-1)} A_{\varphi(q+i+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)} \\ &\quad + \zeta^{2m-q} A_{\varphi(q+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)} A_0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D12})$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_0 A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} &= \zeta^{N(\varphi)} \zeta^{2m-k} \zeta^{2m+1} A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(k)} R_0 A_{\varphi(k+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)} A_0 \\
&\quad + \zeta^{N(\varphi)} \zeta^{2m-k} \sum_{i=1}^{2m-q} \zeta^{q+i-1} [A_0, A_{\varphi(q+i)}]_\zeta A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(k)} R_0 A_{\varphi(k+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(q+i-1)} A_{\varphi(q+i+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)} \\
&= \zeta \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} A_0 + \zeta^{N(\varphi)} \zeta^{2m-k} \sum_{i=1}^{2m-q} [A_0, A_{\varphi(q+i)}]_\zeta \partial_{\varphi(q+i)} [A_{\varphi(1)} \dots A_{\varphi(k)} R_0 A_{\varphi(k+1)} \dots A_{\varphi(2m)}] \\
&= \zeta \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{2m-q} [A_0, A_{\varphi(q+i)}]_\zeta \partial_{\varphi(q+i)} \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D13}$$

Again, we can extend the sum to all indices (provided we keep nonzero contribution only from indices that are earlier than z_0 on the contour) and apply Lemma D.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_0 A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} &= \zeta \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \theta_{z_0 \succ z_j} [A_0, A_j]_\zeta \partial_j \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} \\
&= \zeta \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{2m} R_0\} A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \zeta^{j-1} \theta_{z_0 \succ z_j} [A_0, A_j]_\zeta \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_1 \dots A_{j-1} A_{j+1} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D14}$$

□

Appendix E: Closing the differential equation

In this section we show that if we apply the reduction lemma D.2 to Eq. (C16) we close the differential equation and we obtain the exact master equation in Eq. (24) of the Letter.

With a direct substitution, we first write

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dM_m(t)}{dt} &= 2m \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{\underline{1},2} \mathcal{C}_{3,4} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} [A_{\underline{1}}, \hat{A}_2 \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_3 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}] \\
&\quad + 2m \sum_{j=3}^{2m} \zeta^{j-1} \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{\underline{1},2} \mathcal{C}_{3,4} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \Sigma_{2,j} [A_{\underline{1}}, \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_3 \dots A_{j-1} A_{j+1} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}].
\end{aligned} \tag{E1}$$

In the first term, we recognize the appearance of $M_{m-1}(t)$ if we isolate the variables z_3, \dots, z_{2m} [cfr. (B7)]. For what concerns the second term, we can actually show that the sum over j is trivial to perform. Specifically, we now prove that all terms of such sum are equal to each other. When j is even, the term is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta^{j-1} \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{\underline{1},2} \mathcal{C}_{3,4} \dots \mathcal{C}_{j-1,j} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \Sigma_{2,j} [A_{\underline{1}}, \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_3 A_4 \dots A_{j-1} A_{j+1} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}] \\
&= \zeta \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{\underline{1},2} \mathcal{C}_{j,j-1} \dots \mathcal{C}_{4,3} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \Sigma_{2,3} [A_{\underline{1}}, \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_j A_{j-1} A_5 \dots A_{j-2} A_4 A_{j+1} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}],
\end{aligned} \tag{E2}$$

where we performed the change of variables $j \leftrightarrow 3$ and $(j-1) \leftrightarrow 4$. Inside the contour ordering, we can now exchange A_4 with A_{j-1} , and then we can move A_j to the immediate right of A_{j-1} using $j-4$ transpositions. In total, these are $j-5$ transpositions, which is odd. Therefore, using also the fact that $\mathcal{C}_{j,j-1} \mathcal{C}_{4,3} = \mathcal{C}_{j-1,j} \mathcal{C}_{3,4}$, we end up with

$$\oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{\underline{1},2} \mathcal{C}_{3,4} \dots \mathcal{C}_{j-1,j} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \Sigma_{2,3} [A_{\underline{1}}, \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_4 A_5 \dots A_{j-1} A_j A_{j+1} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}], \tag{E3}$$

which can be expressed without explicit mention to j . A similar manipulation can be performed in case j is odd, where the term to consider is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta^{j-1} \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{\underline{1},2} \mathcal{C}_{3,4} \dots \mathcal{C}_{j,j+1} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \Sigma_{2,j} [A_{\underline{1}}, \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_3 A_4 \dots A_{j-1} A_{j+1} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}] \\
&= \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{\underline{1},2} \mathcal{C}_{j,j+1} \dots \mathcal{C}_{3,4} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} \Sigma_{2,3} [A_{\underline{1}}, \mathbb{T}_\zeta\{A_j A_{j+1} A_5 \dots A_{j-1} A_4 A_{j+2} \dots A_{2m} R_0\}],
\end{aligned} \tag{E4}$$

where we made the change of variables $j \leftrightarrow 3$ and $(j+1) \leftrightarrow 4$. As before, with $j-5$ transpositions we can put the system operators back in place (a transposition to exchange A_4 and A_{j+1} , and $j-4$ transpositions to move A_j to the immediate left of A_{j+1}), but this time $j-5$ is even and no additional ζ factor is generated in the process.

As a consequence of this arguments,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dM_m(t)}{dt} &= 2m \oint_{\gamma(t)} dz_2 \mathcal{C}_{1,2} [A_{\underline{1}}, \hat{A}_2 M_{m-1}(t)] \\ &+ 2m(2m-2) \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2m-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \Sigma_{2,3} \mathcal{C}_{3,4} \dots \mathcal{C}_{2m-1,2m} [A_{\underline{1}}, \mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_4 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E5})$$

Lemma D.2 can now be applied again to reduce $\mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_4 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}$, generating a term containing $M_{m-2}(t)$ and a term containing $\mathbb{T}_{\zeta} \{A_6 \dots A_{2m} R_0\}$. The pattern repeats until we exhaust all operators inside the contour ordering. The result is the one reported in Eqs. (22)-(23) of the Letter, repeated here for convenience:

$$\frac{dM_m(t)}{dt} = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(2m)!!}{(2m-2k)!!} \hat{\mathcal{S}}_k(t) M_{m-k}(t), \quad (\text{E6})$$

where

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_k(t) X := \oint_{\gamma(t)} d^{2k-1} \mathbf{z} \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \Sigma_{2,3} \mathcal{C}_{3,4} \Sigma_{4,5} \dots \Sigma_{2k-2,2k-1} \mathcal{C}_{2k-1,2k} [A_{\underline{1}}, \hat{A}_{2k} X]. \quad (\text{E7})$$

If we remember the formula $(2x)!! = x!2^x$ and Eq. (B7),

$$\frac{d\varrho(t)}{dt} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!2^m} \frac{dM_m(t)}{dt} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^m}{(m-k)!2^{m-k}} \hat{\mathcal{S}}_k(t) M_{m-k}(t). \quad (\text{E8})$$

We recognize here the Cauchy product of two series, in the form

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^m F(m, k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} F(m, k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} F(m+k, k), \quad (\text{E9})$$

therefore

$$\frac{d\varrho(t)}{dt} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+k}}{m!2^m} \hat{\mathcal{S}}_k(t) M_m(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \hat{\mathcal{S}}_k(t) \varrho(t), \quad (\text{E10})$$

which is the exact master equation reported in Eq. (24) of the Letter.

Appendix F: From contour time to physical time

If we isolate the operator dependence in Eq. (E7), the exact master equation (E10) can be written as

$$\frac{d\varrho(t)}{dt} = - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{\gamma(t)} dz \mathcal{G}_{\alpha, \beta}(t, z) [A_{\alpha}(t), \hat{A}_{\beta}(z) \varrho(t)], \quad (\text{F1})$$

where we reintroduced the full notation for the sake of clarity and we defined the “dressed” environment correlation function $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha, \beta}(z, w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)}(z, w)$ by the recursion

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(z, w) = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(z, w), \quad \mathcal{G}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)}(z, w) = - \sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^2 \mathbf{y} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha, \mu_1}^{(k-1)}(z, y_1) \Sigma_{\mu_1, \mu_2}(y_1, y_2) \mathcal{C}_{\mu_2, \beta}(y_2, w), \quad (\text{F2})$$

which directly follows from the definition of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_k(t)$ in Eq. (E7).

We now want to show how to recover Eq. (27) of the Letter by moving back to physical time. If we decompose $\gamma(t)$ in its branches, we clearly see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\varrho(t)}{dt} = & - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_0^t d\tau \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, \tau - ib) [A_\alpha(t), A_\beta(\tau)\varrho(t)] - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_0^b d\lambda (-i) \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, -i\lambda) [A_\alpha(t), A_\beta(-i\lambda)\varrho(t)] \\ & + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_0^t d\tau \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, \tau + ib) [A_\alpha(t), \zeta\varrho(t)A_\beta(\tau)] + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_0^b d\lambda i \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, i\lambda) [A_\alpha(t), \zeta\varrho(t)A_\beta(i\lambda)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F3})$$

which is almost the same as Eq. (27) in the Letter. To conclude we only need to show that the second line in Eq. (F3) is equal to the Hermitian conjugate of the first line. To do that, we need some preliminar work.

Since the system-environment interaction $V = \sum_\alpha A_\alpha B_\alpha$ is a Hermitian operator, for every index α we can find a unique index $\bar{\alpha}$ such that $A_\alpha^\dagger = A_{\bar{\alpha}}$ and $B_\alpha^\dagger = B_{\bar{\alpha}}$. Using the definition of interaction picture, one then sees that

$$A_\alpha^\dagger(z) = A_{\bar{\alpha}}(z^*), \quad B_\alpha^\dagger(z) = B_{\bar{\alpha}}(z^*). \quad (\text{F4})$$

This observation allows us to formulate the following symmetry property of the dressed correlation function.

Lemma F.1. *For every indices α, β and for every $z, w \in \gamma(t)$,*

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}^*(z, w) = \zeta \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(z^*, w^*). \quad (\text{F5})$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that the property (F5) is satisfied by all terms $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(z, w)$ of the series, and we can do that by induction on k . In case $k = 1$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha,\beta}^*(z, w) &= \text{Tr}[\mathbb{T}_\zeta \{B_\alpha(z)B_\beta(w)\Omega_0\}]^* = \text{Tr}[\mathbb{T}_\zeta \{B_\beta^\dagger(w)B_\alpha^\dagger(z)\Omega_0\}] = \text{Tr}[\mathbb{T}_\zeta \{B_{\bar{\beta}}(w^*)B_{\bar{\alpha}}(z^*)\Omega_0\}] \\ &= C_{\bar{\beta}, \bar{\alpha}}(w^*, z^*) = \zeta C_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(z^*, w^*). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F6})$$

A similar property can be proved for the self-energy. In fact, assuming $z \in \gamma_-(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\alpha,\beta}^*(z, w) &= -\theta_{z \prec w} [A_\alpha(z), A_\beta(w)]_\zeta^* = -\theta_{z \prec w} [A_\beta^\dagger(w), A_\alpha^\dagger(z)]_\zeta = -\theta_{z \prec w} [A_{\bar{\beta}}(w^*), A_{\bar{\alpha}}(z^*)]_\zeta \\ &= \zeta \theta_{z \prec w} [A_{\bar{\alpha}}(z^*), A_{\bar{\beta}}(w^*)]_\zeta = \zeta \theta_{z^* \succ w^*} [A_{\bar{\alpha}}(z^*), A_{\bar{\beta}}(w^*)]_\zeta = \zeta \Sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(z^*, w^*), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F7})$$

and a similar calculation can be performed in case $z \in \gamma_+(t)$. Therefore, if we assume to property to hold for $k - 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(z, w)]^* &= -\zeta \sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^2\mathbf{y} \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\mu}_1}^{(k-1)}(z^*, y_1^*) \Sigma_{\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2}(y_1^*, y_2^*) \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\beta}}(y_2^*, w^*) \\ &= -\zeta \sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \int_{\gamma(t)} d^2\mathbf{y} \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\alpha}, \mu_1}^{(k-1)}(z^*, y_1) \Sigma_{\mu_1, \mu_2}(y_1, y_2) \mathcal{C}_{\mu_2, \bar{\beta}}(y_2, w^*) = \zeta \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^{(k)}(z^*, w^*), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F8})$$

where in the second equality we performed a change of variables $(y_1, y_2) \mapsto (y_1^*, y_2^*)$ and $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \mapsto (\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2)$, which is harmless since the contour $\gamma(t)$ contains y^* if and only if it contains y , and we are summing over all interaction indices for μ_1 and μ_2 . \square

Using the property (F5) we can now write

$$\left\{ - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_0^t d\tau \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, \tau - ib) [A_\alpha(t), A_\beta(\tau)\varrho(t)] \right\}^\dagger = -\zeta \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_0^t d\tau \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(t, \tau + ib) [\varrho(t)A_{\bar{\beta}}(\tau), A_{\bar{\alpha}}(t)], \quad (\text{F9})$$

which is precisely the first term on the second line of Eq. (F3). Similarly,

$$\left\{ i \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_0^b d\lambda \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, -i\lambda) [A_\alpha(t), A_\beta(-i\lambda)\varrho(t)] \right\}^\dagger = -i\zeta \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_0^b d\lambda \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(t, i\lambda) [\varrho(t)A_{\bar{\beta}}(i\lambda), A_{\bar{\alpha}}(t)], \quad (\text{F10})$$

which is the same as the second term on the second line of Eq. (F3).

Appendix G: Master equation for the covariance matrix

Suppose we are interested in describing the evolution of a generic system observable $O = O^\dagger$ instead of the density matrix ϱ . In this case we need to consider the adjoint master equation. Let us start with the master equation for ϱ in the following form:

$$\frac{d\varrho(t)}{dt} = - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\gamma_-(t)} dz \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, z) [A_\alpha(t), A_\beta(z)\varrho(t)] + \text{H.c.} \quad (\text{G1})$$

Then, if we impose $\text{Tr}[\dot{\varrho}O] = \text{Tr}[\varrho\dot{O}]$, a simple computation reveals that the adjoint master equation is

$$\frac{dO(t)}{dt} = - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\gamma_-(t)} dz \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, z) [O(t), A_\alpha(t)] A_\beta(z) + \text{H.c.} \quad (\text{G2})$$

It is now convenient to introduce self-adjoint operators $\{w_i\}$ constructed using linear combinations of system ladder operators, such that

$$[w_i, w_j]_\zeta = \Omega_{ij} \mathbb{1}, \quad \Omega^T = \Omega^* = -\zeta\Omega, \quad (\text{G3})$$

with Ω being a matrix of scalar coefficients. We want to write the adjoint master equation corresponding to the covariance matrix [44]

$$\Gamma_{ij} := \text{Tr}[(w_i w_j + \zeta w_j w_i)\varrho] = 2\text{Tr}[w_i w_j \varrho] - \Omega_{ij}, \quad \Gamma^T = \Gamma^* = \zeta\Gamma. \quad (\text{G4})$$

Recall that the operators A_α were assumed to be linear in the system ladder operators. This means that

$$A_\alpha(z) = \sum_i \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,i}(z) w_i \quad (\text{G5})$$

for appropriate coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha,i}(z)$. Therefore, Eq. (G2) writes

$$\frac{dO(t)}{dt} = \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{M}_{ij}(t) [O(t), w_i] w_j + \text{H.c.}, \quad (\text{G6})$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}(t) := - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\gamma_-(t)} dz \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(t, z) \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,i}(t) \mathcal{A}_{\beta,j}(z). \quad (\text{G7})$$

Let us now write Eq. (G6) using the observable $\hat{\Gamma}_{kq} := 2w_k w_q - \Omega_{kq} \mathbb{1}$, so that $\Gamma_{kq} = \text{Tr}[\hat{\Gamma}_{kq}\varrho]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\Gamma}_{kq}, w_i] &= 2[w_k w_q, w_i] = 2w_k [w_q, w_i] + 2[w_k, w_i] w_q \\ &= 2(1 - \zeta) w_k w_q w_i + 2\zeta \Omega_{qi} w_k + 2(1 - \zeta) w_k w_i w_q + 2\zeta \Omega_{ki} w_q \\ &= 2(1 - \zeta) w_k w_q w_i - 2\Omega_{iq} w_k + 2(1 - \zeta) \Omega_{iq} w_k + 2(1 - \zeta) \zeta w_k w_q w_i + 2\zeta \Omega_{ki} w_q \\ &= 2\zeta \Omega_{ki} w_q - 2\zeta \Omega_{iq} w_k = 2\zeta \Omega_{ki} w_q + 2\Omega_{qi} w_k, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G8})$$

where we used the relations $[w_a, w_b] = (1 - \zeta)w_a w_b + \zeta\Omega_{ab}$, $w_b w_a = \zeta w_a w_b - \zeta\Omega_{ab}$, and $w_a w_b = \Omega_{ab} + \zeta w_b w_a$, which directly follow from Eq. (G3). Then,

$$[\hat{\Gamma}_{kq}, w_i] w_j = 2\zeta \Omega_{ki} w_q w_j + 2\Omega_{qi} w_k w_j = \zeta \Omega_{ki} (\hat{\Gamma}_{qj} + \Omega_{qj} \mathbb{1}) + \Omega_{qi} (\hat{\Gamma}_{kj} + \Omega_{kj} \mathbb{1}), \quad (\text{G9})$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{\Gamma}_{kq}}{dt} &= \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{M}_{ij}(t) (\Omega_{qi} \hat{\Gamma}_{kj} + \zeta \Omega_{ki} \hat{\Gamma}_{qj} + \Omega_{qi} \Omega_{kj} \mathbb{1} + \zeta \Omega_{ki} \Omega_{qj} \mathbb{1}) + \text{H.c.} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left[\hat{\Gamma}_{kj} \mathcal{M}_{ji}^T(t) \Omega_{iq}^T + \zeta \Omega_{ki} \mathcal{M}_{ij}(t) \hat{\Gamma}_{jq}^T + \Omega_{kj} \mathcal{M}_{ji}^T(t) \Omega_{iq}^T \mathbb{1} + \zeta \Omega_{ki} \mathcal{M}_{ij}(t) \Omega_{jq}^T \mathbb{1} \right] + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G10})$$

Once we take the expectation value, this turns into a matrix differential equation for the covariance matrix:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} &= \Gamma(\Omega\mathcal{M})^T + \zeta(\Omega\mathcal{M})\Gamma^T + \Omega\mathcal{M}^T\Omega^T + \zeta\Omega\mathcal{M}\Omega^T + \text{H.c.} \\
&= \Gamma(\Omega\mathcal{M})^T + (\Omega\mathcal{M})\Gamma - \Omega(\mathcal{M} + \zeta\mathcal{M}^T)\Omega + \text{H.c.} \\
&= 2\text{Re}[\Omega\mathcal{M}]\Gamma + \Gamma 2\text{Re}[\Omega\mathcal{M}]^T - \Omega(\mathcal{M} + \zeta\mathcal{M}^T + \mathcal{M}^\dagger + \zeta\mathcal{M}^*)\Omega,
\end{aligned} \tag{G11}$$

which is the same as Eqs. (32)-(33) of the Letter.