

A formula for the block expansion in free CFTs and applications to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at strong coupling

F. Aprile,¹ J. M. Drummond,² P. J. Heslop,³ and M. Santagata⁴

¹*Departamento de Física Teórica & IPARCOS, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid*

²*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Highfield, SO17 1BJ*

³*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE*

⁴*Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan*

An explicit analytic formula is presented that computes the conformal (super-)block decomposition of any free scalar or half-BPS diagram in 1d, 2d or 4d CFTs, with various supersymmetries, including none. We prove our formula by exploiting a connection between conformal blocks and symmetric polynomials. Then we give a direct application of our result to the study of four-point correlators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at strong coupling. In particular, we give a CFT proof of the tree-level Witten diagram representation of $\langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \rangle$ on $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$, providing new and highly non-trivial checks of the AdS/CFT correspondence. Our method works for a more general class of multi-particle correlators and can be used to bootstrap new results at strong coupling.

In a conformal field theory (CFT) correlation functions have a convergent series expansion rooted in the properties of the Operator Product Expansion (OPE). The building blocks of such an expansion are the conformal blocks. However, often one faces the opposite task: reconstruct the OPE data from the conformal block expansion of a number of correlation functions. The simplest instance of this is when the CFT has a limit to free or generalized free fields, which happens in many cases at both weak and strong coupling. To specify the problem, take four scalar operators $\mathcal{O}_{i=1,\dots,4}$, and consider all possible diagrams built out of free massless propagators. Say G is one such diagram, its block decomposition is given by the equation,

$$G = \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_1 \text{---} \mathcal{O}_4 \\ \parallel \quad \parallel \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \text{---} \mathcal{O}_3 \end{array} = \sum_{\gamma, \Delta} M_{G, \gamma, \Delta} \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_1 \text{---} \mathcal{O}_4 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \text{---} \mathcal{O}_3 \end{array} \right], \quad (1)$$

where the symbols on the RHS depict a sum over blocks, one for each exchanged primary operator $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma, \Delta}$ in both the $\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2$ and $\mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_4$ OPEs, and the $M_{G, \gamma, \Delta}$ are the coefficients that we want to determine as a function of the quantum numbers, denoted by γ, Δ . To achieve our goal of reconstructing OPE data, we want to determine the $M_{G, \gamma, \Delta}$ for all choices of G , in a number of different theories. The theories we shall study include 4d scalar theories, superconformal theories with $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4$ supersymmetry, chiral 2d and 1d superconformal field theories, and more generally, all theories that have an $SU(m, m|2n)$ superconformal group. We deal with all of them in a unified manner, i.e. for all m, n , by using the analytic superspace formalism [1–4], which in particular gives a single expression for the (super-)conformal blocks. Now, a key outcome of the formalism is that the coefficients $M_{G, \gamma, \Delta}$ can be shown to be theory-independent [1]. Using this independence, one can solve for the $M_{G, \gamma, \Delta}$ of interest, in any of the theory mentioned above, by embedding eq. (1) in a non-supersymmetric generalization of free theory where $n = 0$ and m is chosen appropriately. In this theory the blocks are simple generalizations of the standard conformal blocks written in [5]. Then, by matching both sides of (1), and guesswork, one can gradually build up an *explicit* formula for the $M_{G, \gamma, \Delta}$, which we will present as the main result of this letter.

On the other hand, the (m, n) conformal blocks exhibit a rich mathematical structure [6–10] suggesting that a

first principle proof of our formula for $M_{G, \gamma, \Delta}$ ought to exist. The key in this case comes from [1, 11, 12] where it was shown that eq. (1) can be mapped to a Cauchy identity formula in the theory of BC symmetric polynomials. Under this map, the block coefficients $M_{G, \gamma, \Delta}$ are BC Jacobi polynomials evaluated at $\{0, 1\}$, where the number of 0's and 1's depends on the diagram G . BC Jacobi polynomials, and hence the $M_{G, \gamma, \Delta}$, can be defined through a determinant formula [13], and from there, an *elementary proof* of our formula follows, that does not rely on detailed knowledge of the blocks.

As a direct application of our result, we revisit the analytic bootstrap of tree-level correlators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at strong coupling, focusing on the $SU(N)$ theory and the new class of correlators with two half-BPS single and two double-particle insertions. Our strategy is to compute data in the protected sector, from the free theory, and use it as an input at strong coupling. In particular, we will show how to use our formula to readily solve the mixing between protected operators and stringy states at the unitarity bound, which is crucial already at tree level or $O(1/N^2)$. We will carry out this analysis for the first non-trivial family of such correlators, $\langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \rangle$ [14]. These have a tree-level $O(1/N^2)$ Witten diagram representation in $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$ supergravity, whose validity will be successfully tested against our CFT results at strong coupling, providing a new and non-trivial test of AdS/CFT.

FOUR-POINT FUNCTION GENERALITIES

We consider external operators \mathcal{O}_p built from p fundamental fields $\Phi_a(z)$

$$\mathcal{O}_p(z) = C_{a_1 \dots a_p}^{[\mathcal{O}_p]} : \Phi_{a_1}(z) \dots \Phi_{a_p}(z) : . \quad (2)$$

The choice of $C^{[\mathcal{O}_p]}$ defines the operator, and depends on the theory. For example, in the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ theory of free scalars, we can have $\mathcal{O}_2 = \vec{\Phi} \cdot \vec{\Phi}$, while in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with $SU(N)$ gauge group we can have $\mathcal{O}_2 = \text{tr} \Phi^2$, and more generally the $C_{a_1 \dots a_p}$ can be taken to be any gauge invariant combination of generators. The field $\Phi_a(z)$ is defined through the analytic superspace formalism, and here z are coordinates on $Gr(m|n, 2m|2n)$ [1–3]. In free theory, $\Phi(z)$ has the two point function

$$\langle \Phi_a(z_i) \Phi_b(z_j) \rangle = \delta_{ab} g_{ij} := \delta_{ab} \text{sdet}(z_j - z_i)^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

and the 4pt functions of interest are obtained by Wick's theorem:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2} \mathcal{O}_{p_3} \mathcal{O}_{p_4} \rangle = \sum_G a_G \times G \quad ; \quad G = \prod_{i < j} g_{ij}^{b_{ij}}. \quad (4)$$

The a_G are determined by Wick contractions in terms of the $C_{a_1 \dots a_p}$ coefficients. The diagram G is specified by the positive integers b_{ij} such that $\sum_i b_{ij} = p_j$ with $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$, $b_{ii} = 0$. Pictorially,

$$G = \prod_{i < j} g_{ij}^{b_{ij}} = \text{Diagram} \quad (5)$$

Then, we can always arrange $p_{43}, p_{12} \geq 0$ (where $p_{ij} \equiv p_i - p_j$) by swapping operator positions, whilst maintaining the (12)(34) OPE channel (1). In a generalized free theory, we will have the same structure as in (5).

Let $\mathcal{P} = g_{12}^{\frac{1}{2}(p_1+p_2)} g_{34}^{\frac{1}{2}(p_3+p_4)} (g_{14}/g_{24})^{\frac{1}{2}p_{12}} (g_{14}/g_{13})^{\frac{1}{2}p_{43}}$, and rewrite each diagram G as

$$G_{p_i; \gamma, k} = \prod_{i < j} g_{ij}^{b_{ij}} = \mathcal{P}[g_{ij}] \times \left(\frac{g_{13}g_{24}}{g_{12}g_{34}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \left(\frac{g_{14}g_{23}}{g_{13}g_{24}} \right)^k \quad (6)$$

with γ and k constrained by $b_{ij} \geq 0$ to take the values

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \gamma_{\min}, \gamma_{\min} + 2, \dots, \gamma_{\max}; \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \gamma_{\min}) \\ \gamma_{\min} &= \max(p_{12}, p_{43}) \quad ; \quad \gamma_{\max} = \min(p_1 + p_2, p_3 + p_4). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\gamma = b_{13} + b_{14} + b_{23} + b_{24}$ counts the number of propagators on the vertical cut of G . E.g. $\gamma = 4$ in (1).

Consider now the OPEs: $\mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2} \sim \sum \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{p_3} \mathcal{O}_{p_4} \sim \sum \mathcal{O}'$. In the free theory the exchanged primaries are explicit [1]. They are characterised by γ and a Young diagram $\underline{\lambda}$, and given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}} &\simeq \Phi^{p_1 - b_{12}} (\partial|\underline{\lambda}) \Phi^{p_2 - b_{12}} + \dots, \quad \gamma = p_1 + p_2 - 2b_{12} \\ \mathcal{O}'_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}} &\simeq \Phi^{p_3 - b_{34}} (\partial|\underline{\lambda}) \Phi^{p_4 - b_{34}} + \dots, \quad \gamma = p_3 + p_4 - 2b_{34}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the ellipses denote terms with derivatives redistributed (making the result primary) and $\underline{\lambda}$ gives the symmetrisation of the indices of the derivatives [37]. The dictionary between $\gamma, \underline{\lambda}$ and the more standard CFT notation is known and reviewed in the supplementary material (see e.g. (S2)). Note that γ plays a dual role: it counts the number of Φ s constituting the exchanged operators in the OPE in (7) and it specifies the free theory diagram in (6).

The decomposition in blocks of a 4pt correlator is

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2} \mathcal{O}_{p_3} \mathcal{O}_{p_4} \rangle = \mathcal{P}[g_{ij}] \sum_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}} A_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{(m, n)} B_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{(m, n)}(z_i) \quad (8)$$

where $B_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{(m, n)}$ are the conformal blocks, and the $A_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}$ contain information about the OPE,

$$A_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}} = \sum_{i, j} C_{\mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{(i)}} G_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{ij} C_{\mathcal{O}_{p_3} \mathcal{O}_{p_4} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{(j)}}. \quad (9)$$

The $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{(i)}$ are a basis for the operators in (7), G^{ij} is the inverse two-point function metric in this basis, and the C s are three-point couplings. $B_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}$ and $A_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}$ depend on p_{12}, p_{43} , but sometimes we will omit them to simplify notation.

Theory independence of the Master coefficients.

Performing the block expansion of (4) it is useful to split it into separate pieces, one for each diagram G , and by doing so define

$$G_{p_i; \gamma, k} = \mathcal{P}[g_{ij}] \sum_{\underline{\lambda}} M_{k, \gamma, \underline{\lambda}} B_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{(m, n)}. \quad (10)$$

This is formula (1) given in a more precise notation. Clearly, from (4) and (8), the $A_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}$ in (9) are

$$A_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}} = \sum_k a_{G, \gamma, k} M_{k, \gamma, \underline{\lambda}}. \quad (11)$$

Writing $B_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{(m, n)} = (g_{13}g_{24}/g_{12}g_{34})^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \times F_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{(m, n)}$ as in [1], eq. (10) becomes

$$\left(\frac{g_{14}g_{23}}{g_{13}g_{24}} \right)^k = \sum_{\underline{\lambda}} M_{k, \gamma, \underline{\lambda}} F_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{(m, n)}. \quad (12)$$

It will be useful to view both sides of (12) as class functions of the $(m+n)^2$ cross-ratio supermatrix $z = z_{12}z_{24}^{-1}z_{43}z_{31}^{-1} = \text{diag}(x_{i=1..m}, y_{j=1..n})$. In particular,

$$\frac{g_{14}g_{23}}{g_{13}g_{24}} = \text{sdet}(1-z) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1-y_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^m (1-x_i)}. \quad (13)$$

In supersymmetric theories $m, n \neq 0$, and the $F^{(m, n)}$ depend on the type of multiplet through γ and the data of a hook shaped Young diagram $\underline{\lambda}$, as depicted below

$$\text{Diagram} \quad \lambda_{m+1} \leq n \quad (14)$$

A Young diagram of this type has the first m rows and the first n columns unbounded, therefore the total number of rows of $\underline{\lambda}$ is not fixed, but varies depending on the R-symmetry rep of the exchanged primary. For fixed charges p_i the maximum height of $\underline{\lambda}$ is given by $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \gamma_{\min})$. The $F^{(m, n)}$ are known [1], however, as the p_i increase, the supersymmetric block expansion becomes unfeasible. Now, a key feature of our (m, n) formalism is that the $M_{k, \gamma, \underline{\lambda}}$ can be proven to be independent of the dimension and number of SUSY's [1]. Then we can embed the $M_{k, \gamma, \underline{\lambda}}$ of interest in a non supersymmetric generalization of free theory, the $(\beta, 0)$ theory, and perform the block expansion in this theory. In this theory there are β variables x_i , however the blocks are scalable, and simply given by the following compact expression

$$F_{\gamma, \underline{\lambda}}^{(\beta, 0)} = \frac{\det_{1 \leq i, r \leq \beta} \left(x_i^{\lambda_r + \beta - r} {}_2F_1 \left[\lambda_r + 1 - r + \frac{\gamma - p_{12}}{2}; \lambda_r + 1 - r + \frac{\gamma - p_{43}}{2}; x_i \right] \right)}{\prod_{i < r} (x_i - x_r)} \quad (15)$$

Implementing our strategy, and studying many cases, we were able to obtain a completely general formula for $M_{k, \gamma, \underline{\lambda}}$, correctly yielding the block coefficients for any free theory diagram as an analytic function of $\gamma, \underline{\lambda}, k, p_i$.

MASTER FORMULA

The coefficients $M_{k,\gamma,\Delta}^{\vec{p}}$ are consistent with the formula

$$M_{k,\gamma,\Delta}^{\vec{p}} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{i!(p_++i)!} \prod_{i=0}^{\beta-k-1} \frac{1}{i!(p_-+i)!} \prod_{i=1}^{\beta} B_i \sum_{S_k \subseteq S_\beta} \left[\prod_{\substack{i < j \\ i,j \in S_k}} C_{ij} \prod_{\substack{\bar{i} < \bar{j} \\ \bar{i}, \bar{j} \in \bar{S}_k}} C_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \prod_{i \in S_k} (\hat{\lambda}_i + p_+)! \prod_{\bar{i} \in \bar{S}_k} (-1)^{\lambda_{\bar{i}}} (\hat{\lambda}_{\bar{i}} + p_-)! \right] \quad (16)$$

where $\underline{\lambda} = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\beta]$ – note the row lengths can be vanishing – then $\hat{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i + \beta - i$, and

$$C_{ij} = (\hat{\lambda}_i - \hat{\lambda}_j)(\hat{\lambda}_i + \hat{\lambda}_j + 1 + \hat{p}) ; \quad B_i = \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_i + \hat{p})!}{(2\hat{\lambda}_i + \hat{p})!}, \quad (17)$$

with $\hat{p} \equiv p_+ + p_-$, $p_\pm = \frac{1}{2}|p_{43} \pm p_{12}|$. The sum in (16) runs over subsets S_k of $S_\beta = \{1, \dots, \beta\}$ of size k . The complement of S_k in S_β is denoted with \bar{S}_k .

Proof of the master formula. Although originally found by examination, formula (16) can be proven. The starting point is the ‘‘superconformal Cauchy identity’’ with $\theta = 1$ presented in [12] which reads

$$\frac{\prod_{\ell,j}^{d,n} (1 - Y_\ell y_j)}{\prod_{\ell,i}^{d,m} (1 - Y_\ell x_i)} = \sum_{\underline{\Delta}} J_{\underline{\Delta}}^{(d)}(Y) F_{\gamma(d),\underline{\Delta}}^{(m,n)}(x,y). \quad (18)$$

Here $J^{(d)}$ are d -variable Jacobi polynomials [13, 16], and $\gamma(d) = 2d + \hat{p}$. It is worth emphasizing that (18) does not rely on detailed knowledge of the blocks and can be derived only from general considerations about the supersymmetric uplift of known Cauchy identities for symmetric polynomials, as shown in [12]. Now, comparing (12,13) with (18) we see that if we set $d = \beta$, and assign values $Y_{i=1,\dots,k} = 1$, we match both the CFT value of γ in (12) and the cross ratio in (13). Therefore the M coefficients must also match, which means

$$M_{k,\gamma,\Delta} = J_{\underline{\Delta}}^{(\beta)}(Y; p_-, p_+) \Big|_{Y=[1^k, 0^{\beta-k}]} \quad (19)$$

The next step is to derive an explicit formula for (19). We shall use two ingredients. The first one is a determinantal expression for the Jacobi polynomials [13, (7.2)] given in

$$M_{k,\gamma,\Delta} = \sum_{S_k \subseteq S_\beta} \text{sgn}(\{S_k, \bar{S}_k\}) \times \frac{\det_k \left(\left[J_{[\lambda_i + \beta - i]}(Y_\ell) \right]_{\substack{i \in S_k \\ \ell \leq k}} \right) \Big|_{Y \rightarrow 1}}{\prod_{i < \ell \leq k} (Y_i - Y_\ell)} \times \frac{\det_{\beta-k} \left(\left[J_{[\lambda_i + \beta - i]}(Y_\ell) \right]_{\substack{i \in \bar{S}_k \\ \ell > k}} \right) \Big|_{Y \rightarrow 0}}{\prod_{k < i < \ell} (Y_i - Y_\ell)}. \quad (25)$$

Inserting (24) directly yields the master formula (16) (after some manipulation of overall signs).

Basic examples. Focussing on external fields of charge $p = 2$, we find diagrams with $\gamma = 0, 2, 4$. The $\gamma = 0$ diagram corresponds to the exchange of the iden-

terms of ordinary one-variable Jacobi polynomials

$$J_{\underline{\Delta}}^{(d)} = \frac{\det_{1 \leq i, \ell \leq d} \left(J_{[\lambda_i + d - i]}(Y_\ell) \right)}{\prod_{i < \ell} (Y_i - Y_\ell)}. \quad (20)$$

(For convenience we recall the definition of J in the supplemental material.) The second ingredient are the so called evaluation formulae for Jacobi polynomials in which Y is either completely zero or unity, see e.g. [16, 17]:

$$J_{[\mu_1 \dots \mu_d]}^{(d)}(0; p_-, p_+) = (-1)^{|\underline{\mu}|} f(\mu_i + d - i; p_-, p_+) \quad (21)$$

$$J_{[\mu_1 \dots \mu_d]}^{(d)}(1; p_-, p_+) = f(\mu_i + d - i; p_+, p_-) \quad (22)$$

where we introduced

$$f(\mu_i; p_-, p_+) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{B_i (\mu_i + p_-)!}{(i-1)!(p_- + i - 1)!} \prod_{i < j \leq M} C_{ij}. \quad (23)$$

Here the B_i, C_{ij} are defined as in (17). At this point, the determinant expression (20) and the evaluation formulae (21-22) imply the following identities

$$\frac{\det \left(J_{\mu_i}(Y_\ell) \right)}{\prod_{i < \ell} (Y_i - Y_\ell)} \Big|_{Y_\ell=0} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}d(d-1) + \sum_i \mu_i} f(\mu_i; p_-, p_+),$$

$$\frac{\det \left(J_{\mu_i}(Y_\ell) \right)}{\prod_{i < \ell} (Y_i - Y_\ell)} \Big|_{Y_\ell=1} = f(\mu_i; p_+, p_-). \quad (24)$$

A key point of these identities is that they are both true as function of $\underline{\mu} = [\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d]$ for any $d \times d$ dimension matrix on the LHS. Finally, to evaluate (19) at $Y = [1^k, 0^{\beta-k}]$ we start from the determinant expression in (20) and split it into the product of $k \times k$ minors evaluated at 1, times $(\beta - k) \times (\beta - k)$ minors evaluated at 0 using the Laplace cofactor expansion. The denominator splits into three factors, but one of them equals unity and we obtain

tity, and it is trivial. For the $\gamma = 2$ diagrams we find

$$M_{1,2,[\lambda]} = \frac{(\lambda!)^2}{(2\lambda)!}, \quad M_{0,2,[\lambda]} = M_{1,2,[\lambda]} (-1)^\lambda. \quad (26)$$

The $\gamma = 4$ diagrams are

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \end{array} &= g_{12}^2 g_{34}^2 \sum_{\underline{\Delta}} M_{0,4, [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} B_{4, \underline{\Delta}}^{(m, n)} \\
\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_2 \quad \mathcal{O}_2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \quad \mathcal{O}_2 \end{array} &= g_{12}^2 g_{34}^2 \sum_{\underline{\Delta}} M_{1,4, [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} B_{4, \underline{\Delta}}^{(m, n)} \\
\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_2 \\ \text{---} \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \end{array} &= g_{12}^2 g_{34}^2 \sum_{\underline{\Delta}} M_{2,4, [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} B_{4, \underline{\Delta}}^{(m, n)}
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and our formula gives

$$M_{0,4, [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} = M_{2,4, [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \tag{28}$$

$$M_{1,4, [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} = M_{1,2, [\lambda_1 + 1]} M_{1,2, [\lambda_2]} ((-1)^{\lambda_1} + (-1)^{\lambda_2}),$$

$$M_{2,4, [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} = M_{1,2, [\lambda_1 + 1]} M_{1,2, [\lambda_2]} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 1)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2).$$

In $M_{1,4}$ the sum $S_k \subseteq S_\beta$ in (16) is non trivial. As the charge p of the operators \mathcal{O}_p increases, graphs with increasing numbers of terms in this sum will appear. Each term will come with a sign and a fully factorized analytic function of $\underline{\Delta}$. Then, by the theory independence of the M s, we can use our formula in different theories. For example, in the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ theory of free scalars the quantum numbers of the primary operators, dimension Δ and the spin l , are $\Delta = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \gamma$ and $l = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ and our (26-28) perfectly match [5, (6.25)] for $\mathcal{O}_2 = \vec{\Phi} \cdot \vec{\Phi}$.

MULTIPLIET RECOMBINATION AT STRONG COUPLING

We now give a non trivial application in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with $SU(N)$ gauge group at large N . We will be interested in extracting information about correlators at strong 't Hooft coupling ($g \rightarrow \infty$) from the free theory correlators ($g = 0$), thus making use of our formula (16). In preparation for this, we recall a few important facts. Operators appear in either $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS, semi-short [38] or long supermultiplets. The semi-short operators have Young diagrams $\underline{\Delta} = [l + 2, 1^a]$ and $\gamma = 2a + b + 2$, which correspond to $su(4)$ Dynkin labels $[a, b, a]$, twist $2a + b + 2 (= \gamma)$ and spin l . In the free theory, semi-short operators appear in combination with long operators at the superconformal unitarity bound, because such long operators decompose into a number of short operators when their anomalous dimension vanishes, i.e. in the free theory. Therefore, we would find generically that

$$A_{\gamma, \underline{\Delta}} \Big|_{\text{free}} = \mathcal{S}_{\gamma, \underline{\Delta}} + \mathcal{K}_{\gamma, \underline{\Delta}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \gamma = 2a + b + 2 \\ \underline{\Delta} = [l + 2, 1^a] \end{array} \tag{29}$$

where \mathcal{S} stands for semishorts, and \mathcal{K} for longs. In the AdS/CFT context, the short multiplets all correspond to supergravity states, whereas the long multiplets in (29) correspond to string states. In the strong coupling limit, where the theory is dual to $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ supergravity, all string states gain infinite mass and disappear from the supergravity spectrum, therefore

$$A_{\gamma, \underline{\Delta}} \Big|_{\text{sugra}} = \mathcal{S}_{\gamma, \underline{\Delta}}. \tag{30}$$

Since superconformal symmetry and partial non-renormalisation [18] dictates that the correlator takes the form of the free correlator + a dynamical function, eq. (30) takes the form of a cancellation

$$A_{\gamma, \underline{\Delta}} \Big|_{\text{sugra}} = \overbrace{(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma, \underline{\Delta}} + \mathcal{K}_{\gamma, \underline{\Delta}})}^{\text{free}} + \overbrace{(-\mathcal{K}_{\gamma, \underline{\Delta}})}^{\text{dynamic}}, \tag{31}$$

where the $-\mathcal{K}$ contribution comes from dynamics.

The key now is that the three-point functions of two half-BPS operators with a short multiplet, hence \mathcal{S} , are non-renormalised [19-21], thus if we can identify them in the free theory, we get a prediction at strong coupling! These three-point couplings are non trivial in spin and it is not immediate to compute them directly. Rather we will use another strategy, helped by a further non perturbative consequence of superconformal symmetry: three-point couplings of half-BPS operators $\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_q$, with long operators of twist $p + q$ at the unitary bound vanish at any g [20, 21]. It follows that stringy states cannot contribute at γ_{max} in a correlator, and hence $\forall g$

$$A_{\gamma = \gamma_{\text{max}} [l + 2, 1^a]}^{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4}(g) = \mathcal{S}_{\gamma = \gamma_{\text{max}} [l + 2, 1^a]}^{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4}. \tag{32}$$

Therefore, the protected contribution $\mathcal{S}^{\vec{p}}$ at γ_{max} is computed directly by $A^{\vec{p}}$ in the free theory. It turns out that we can do more. If there is only one short operator $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}; \gamma, \underline{\Delta}}$ with $\gamma = p + q$, then we can compute its contribution to the four point correlator $\langle \mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2} \mathcal{O}_{p_3} \mathcal{O}_{p_4} \rangle$ with $p_1 + p_2 > p + q$ and $p_3 + p_4 > p + q$, as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\gamma = p + q; \underline{\Delta}}^{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} = C_{p_1 p_2 \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}} C_{p_3 p_4 \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}} = \frac{A_{\gamma = p + q; \underline{\Delta}}^{p_1 p_2 p q} A_{\gamma = p + q; \underline{\Delta}}^{p q p_3 p_4}}{A_{\gamma = p + q; \underline{\Delta}}^{p q p q}} \tag{33}$$

Note that $p + q = \gamma_{\text{max}}$ for all three correlators on the RHS, since the external charges are $\langle p_1 p_2 p q \rangle$, $\langle p q p_3 p_4 \rangle$ and $\langle p q p q \rangle$. We thus obtain $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma}^{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4}$ with $\gamma < \gamma_{\text{max}}$ directly from free theory data of different correlators at $\gamma = \gamma_{\text{max}}$. If there is more than one short operator, a generalization of (33) can be derived from a Gram matrix, as long as we have a sufficient number of contributing four-point functions to give us enough information [1, 22].

The cancellation in (31) and the results (32-33) provide a mechanism to test results for holographic correlators in $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ supergravity. However, in a correlator with four single particle insertions [39] eq. (31) is trivial at order $O(1/N^2)$ because the \mathcal{S} contribution is $O(1/N^4)$. The latter is in fact a piece of information in the one-loop bootstrap [22]. Instead, when we consider a half-BPS double particle, $[\mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2}]$, we find the following leading order in large N behaviour:

$$C_{[\mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2}] \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_{\gamma < p_1 + p_2 + q; \underline{\Delta}}} \sim O(1/N) \tag{34}$$

$$C_{[\mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_{q_1}] [\mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_{q_2}] \mathcal{O}_{\gamma = q_1 + q_2; \underline{\Delta}}} \sim O(1) \tag{35}$$

and so in correlators with two single and two double-particle insertions, stringy and short operators mix already at tree-level $O(1/N^2)$. We shall see now how this works for the first infinite family of such correlators.

New: $\langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \rangle$ with $q \geq 3$. The order $O(1/N^2)$ contribution to these correlators is disconnected and given in terms of the known $\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \rangle$ [24]. For short,

$$\langle 2^2 2^2 q q \rangle \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = 2g_{12}^2 \langle 22 q q \rangle \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} \quad g = 0 \text{ and } g \rightarrow \infty. \tag{36}$$

In free theory the relation above follows from Wick's theorem. At strong coupling it comes from examining Witten diagrams. In both cases the diagrams contributing to $\langle 2^2 2^2 qq \rangle$ are the same as those of $\langle 22qq \rangle$ but with two extra propagators between the \mathcal{O}_2 's. Then (36) implies that the block decomposition of $\langle 2^2 2^2 qq \rangle$ mimics in every way that of $\langle 22qq \rangle$, namely $\forall \Delta$

$$A_{\gamma;\Delta}^{2^2 2^2 qq} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = 2A_{\gamma;\Delta}^{22qq} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} \quad g = 0 \text{ and } g \rightarrow \infty, \quad (37)$$

However in $A^{2^2 2^2 qq}$ we expect semishort contributions up to twist $\gamma_{\max} = \min(2q, 8)$, but in (37) only twist two and twist four contribute, as for $\langle 22qq \rangle$. Since the twist four contribution to $\langle 22qq \rangle$ is identical at strong and weak coupling, (32), with no stringy states contribution, the same must be true for $\langle 2^2 2^2 qq \rangle$ at $O(1/N^2)$ even though $4 < \gamma_{\max}$ for the latter. This nicely follows from (33), by noting that $\mathcal{O}_2 \partial^l \mathcal{O}_2$ is the only semishort double particle, and using that

$$A_{\gamma=4}^{2^2 2^2 qq} \Big|_{g \rightarrow \infty} = \mathcal{S}_{\gamma=4}^{2^2 2^2 qq} = \frac{A_{\gamma=4}^{2^2 2^2 22} A_{\gamma=4}^{22qq}}{A_{\gamma=4}^{2222}} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}}, \quad (38)$$

where $A_{\gamma=4}^{2^2 2^2 22} = 2A_{\gamma=4}^{2222} \Big|_{g \rightarrow \infty}$ at $O(1)$ [14, 15]. Similarly, the absence of twist two stringy states at $g \rightarrow \infty$ follows from the same property for $\langle 22qq \rangle$ and (37).

We now repeat the CFT analysis for the other orientation of the correlator,

$$\langle 2^2 q^2 q \rangle \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = 2g_{13}^2 \langle 2q2q \rangle \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} \quad g = 0 \text{ and } g \rightarrow \infty. \quad (39)$$

In this orientation we expect semi-short contributions up to $\gamma \leq q + 4 = \gamma_{\max}$ but at this order we only find

$$\frac{4q}{N^2} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_2^2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \mathcal{O}_q \quad \mathcal{O}_q \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_2^2 \end{array} + \frac{4q}{N^2} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_2^2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \mathcal{O}_q \quad \mathcal{O}_2^2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_q \end{array} + \frac{4q(q-1)}{N^2} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_2^2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \mathcal{O}_q \quad \mathcal{O}_2^2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_q \end{array} \quad (40)$$

$\gamma = q + 4 \qquad \qquad \gamma = q + 2 \qquad \qquad \gamma = q + 2$

Differently from $\langle 2^2 2^2 qq \rangle$, the relation (39) no longer implies a relation between the block coefficients of $\langle 2^2 q^2 q \rangle$ and those of $\langle 2q2q \rangle$, because the additional g_{13}^2 changes the value of γ , see (6), and so the blocks. Therefore we expect to find new stringy contributions compared to $\langle 2q2q \rangle$, precisely at $\gamma = q + 2$, and consequently a new type of cancellation (31) at $g \rightarrow \infty$. Although there are many short double particles at twist $q + 2$ only $\mathcal{O}_2 \partial^l \mathcal{O}_q$ contributes in (40), thus

$$\mathcal{S}_{\gamma=q+2}^{2^2 q^2 q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = \frac{\mathcal{S}_{\gamma=q+2}^{2^2 q^2 q} \times \mathcal{S}_{\gamma=q+2}^{2q^2 q}}{\mathcal{S}_{\gamma=q+2}^{2q2q}} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}}. \quad (41)$$

On the RHS of (41) the leading contributions come from the *disconnected* diagrams drawn below [40]

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \mathcal{O}_q \quad \mathcal{O}_q \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \end{array} \quad ; \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \quad \mathcal{O}_q \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_q \end{array}. \quad (42)$$

Thus $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma=q+2}^{2^2 q^2 q} = 2qM_{\gamma=q+2}^{4q2q}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma=q+2}^{2q^2 q} = M_{\gamma=q+2}^{2q2q}$ in (41). Now, from (31) we read off the stringy contribution to recombine, e.g. in the rep $[0, q, 0]$ we find

$$\mathcal{K}_{[0q0]}^{2^2 q^2 q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = -\mathcal{S}_{\gamma=q+2, [2+l]}^{2^2 q^2 q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} + A_{\gamma=q+2, [2+l]}^{2^2 q^2 q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} \quad (43)$$

$$\text{where } A_{q+2, [2+l]}^{2^2 q^2 q} = \frac{4q}{N^2} \left[M_{0, q+2, [2+l]}^{4q4q} + (q-1)M_{1, q+2, [2+l]}^{4q4q} \right].$$

Beautifully, the non trivial spin prediction in (43) is canceled by the dynamical part, which from (39) reads

$$g_{13}^4 g_{24}^q \mathcal{I}(x_i, y_j) \left[-\frac{4q}{N^2} \frac{(y_1 y_2)^2 \overline{D}_{2, q+2, 2, q}(x_1, x_2)}{(q-2)!} \right] \quad (44)$$

with $\mathcal{I} = \prod_{i,j=1}^2 (x_i - y_j) / (y_1 y_2)^2$. One can derive further predictions at twist $\gamma = q + 2$ for different $su(4)$ reps, and all are consistent with (44). To illustrate the non trivial nature of these agreements, note that (44) is given by a single \overline{D} function, which then suggests that the predicted \mathcal{K} s must be related to each other. Indeed we find

$$\frac{q-3}{q-1} \mathcal{K}_{[2, q-4, 2]}^{2^2 q^2 q} = \frac{-q}{2(q-2)} \mathcal{K}_{[1, q-2, 1]}^{2^2 q^2 q} = \mathcal{K}_{[0, q, 0]}^{2^2 q^2 q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}}. \quad (45)$$

The precise knowledge of \mathcal{S} is crucial in each case. Additional details are given in the supplementary material, where we also consider examples with more general double particle external operators, such as $[\mathcal{O}_3^2]$ and $[\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4]$. All the relevant formulae are immediately *writable and computable* in terms of the M coefficients, and this reduces dramatically the CFT analysis.

OUTLOOK

We obtained an explicit formula for the block expansion of *all* free theory scalar diagrams in 1d, 2d, and 4 dimensional CFTs with $\mathcal{N} = 0, 2, 4$ SUSYs. Our formula applies to (generalized) free theories both in perturbation theory and at strong coupling, e.g. in holographic CFTs. We note here that our approach can be extended to spinor and vector propagators. In this case a free diagram is reconstructed by considering more general monomials in the x_i, y_j variables. These can be accommodated in a more general Cauchy identity [12] with superJacobi polynomials on the RHS. In the supplemental material we give a *new* determinant formula for them showing that the $M_{G, \gamma, \Delta}$ are evaluation formulas of determinants *for all free theories* in 4d, 2d, 1d.

Free and generalized free theories occupy a special place in the conformal bootstrap and we believe that our formula will be useful in this context. As a first indication of this we illustrated the utility of our formula in computing new data for the mixed correlation functions with two single- and two double-particle insertions in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at large N and strong 't Hooft coupling. Notably, this requires an improved understanding of the recombination of stringy states at the unitarity bound, which accidentally was trivial for single particle correlators. Our results give new dynamical information at strong coupling, that we tested successfully in $\langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \rangle$. Our method applies more generally, and therefore it would be interesting to understand the relation with the 10d conformal symmetry [25, 26], since it is tempting to conjecture that there exist generating functions such as $\langle \phi^2 \phi \phi \phi \rangle$, $\langle \phi^2 \phi^2 \phi \phi \rangle \sim \langle \phi \phi \rangle \langle \phi \phi \phi \phi \rangle$, and multiparticle generalizations thereof, that compute tree-level/disconnected correlators for all the $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ mixed correlators.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Rodolfo Russo and Stefano Giusto for useful discussions and comments. F. Aprile is supported by RYC2021-031627-I funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by the Eu-

ropean Union NextGenerationEU/PRTR, and by the MSCA programme - HeI - DOI 10.3030/101182937. M. Santagata is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) through the grant 110- 2112-M-002-006-. P. Heslop is supported in part by STFC under grant ST/X000591/1.

Supplemental Materials

CONTENTS

I. From Young diagrams to superconformal representations	6
II. Semishort unmixing in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ supergravity: explicit results	7
A. Exact CFT data for the twist 4 semishort sector	8
B. Exact CFT data for the twist 5 semishort sector	9
C. An example with a 3×3 Gram matrix	9
D. CFT data for $\langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_q \rangle$ at tree level.	11
III. Determinant formulae for all free theories	12
A. Determinant formula for the superJacobi polynomials	14
B. Master coefficients for all free theories	15
C. Worked example	16
References	17

I. FROM YOUNG DIAGRAMS TO SUPERCONFORMAL REPRESENTATIONS

The external operators considered in the main letter are scalar fields of a Grassmannian field theory [1]. By this we mean a theory with $SU(m, m|2n)$ symmetry living on the (complexified) space $Gr(m|n, 2m|2n)$ of $m|n$ -planes in $2m|2n$ dimensions. In this language, Minkowski space corresponds to $(m, n) = (2, 0)$, and the corresponding field theory is an ordinary 4d non supersymmetric theory whose fundamental fields Φ are scalars. In the case of arbitrary m we will think of these theories as non supersymmetric generalized free theories. Then, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM corresponds to $(m, n) = (2, 2)$, and the fundamental field Φ is the field strength multiplet. Finally, 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories correspond to $(m, n) = (2, 1)$ where Φ is the hypermultiplet.

In any Grassmannian field theory the fields exchanged in the OPE between scalar operators are specified by γ and a Young diagram $\underline{\lambda}$ that must fit into a fat hook shape with at most m long rows and n long columns. More precisely: if we specify the Young diagram by its row lengths, $\underline{\lambda} = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots]$ this condition implies $\lambda_{i>m} \leq n$. Similarly, if we specify $\underline{\lambda}$ by its column lengths, λ'_i , we have $\lambda'_{i>n} \leq m$. For example, in the $(m, n) = (2, 0)$ theory $\underline{\lambda}$ has at most two rows $\underline{\lambda} = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$, and the quantum numbers $\tau = \Delta - l$ and l , respectively, the twist and the spin, are given by

$$\tau = 2\lambda_2 + \gamma \quad ; \quad l = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2. \quad (\text{S1})$$

Note that we have three quantum numbers $\gamma, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ rather than two Δ, l , and indeed Δ, l are invariant under $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_i + 1, \gamma \rightarrow \gamma - 2$. The additional quantum number is the number operator γ , counting the number of fundamental fields constituting the operator and which is preserved in free theory but not in the interacting theory. As a simple illustrative example, consider Φ^4 and $\Phi \square \Phi$ both have $\Delta = 4, l = 0$, but Φ^4 has $\gamma = 4, \lambda_i = 0$ whereas $\Phi \square \Phi$ has $\gamma = 2, \lambda_i = 1$. These operators can be distinguished in the free theory, but mix in the interacting theory.

In supersymmetric theories, $n, m \neq 0$. We say that $\underline{\lambda}$ is a typical representation if $m^n \subseteq \underline{\lambda}$, otherwise we call it atypical. Atypical Young diagrams correspond to operators in short supermultiplets whereas typical diagrams label long multiplets.

In the case of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, (i.e. $m = 2 = n$) the values of $\gamma, \underline{\lambda}$ are related to the twist, $\Delta - l$, the spin, l , and the internal $su(4)$ rep, $[aba]$, of the exchanged primary as given in the table below

Multiplet	$\underline{\lambda}$	τ	l	$su(4)$
$\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS	$[\emptyset]$	γ	0	$[0, \gamma, 0]$
$\frac{1}{4}$ -BPS	$[1^\mu]$	γ	0	$[\mu, \gamma - 2\mu, \mu]$
semi-short	$[\lambda, 1^\mu]$	γ	$\lambda - 2$	$[\mu, \gamma - 2\mu - 2, \mu]$

(S2)

and for long multiplets $\underline{\lambda} = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 2\mu^2, 1^{\mu_1}]$ corresponds to

$$\tau = \gamma + 2\lambda_2 - 4 \quad ; \quad l = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \quad (S3)$$

with $su(4)$ labels $[\mu_1, \gamma - 2\mu_1 - 2\mu_2 - 4, \mu_1]$. In the free theory $\tau_{\text{long}} \geq 2a + b + 4$. As for the non supersymmetric case, there is again an ambiguity $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_i + 1, \mu_2 \rightarrow \mu_2 - 1, \gamma \rightarrow \gamma - 2$ for long multiplets, reflecting mixing of operators with differing numbers of constituent fundamental fields, γ .

For $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs we refer directly to [1].

It will be useful to make contact between the Young diagram notation, that we use to label the (super-)primary operators and the block coefficients A (as well as the M master coefficients), and the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ notation that is often used in the literature. We will do this in the very well known example of the four-point function of the chiral primary operator in the stress tensor multiplet,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle = 4a^2 g_{12}^2 g_{34}^2 \left[1 + U^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{U^2 \tau^2}{V^2} + \frac{4}{a} \left[U\sigma + \frac{U\tau}{V} + \frac{U^2 \sigma \tau}{V} \right] \right] ; \quad U\sigma = \frac{g_{13} g_{24}}{g_{12} g_{34}} \quad ; \quad \frac{\tau}{\sigma V} = \frac{g_{14} g_{23}}{g_{13} g_{24}} \quad (S4)$$

Table 1 summarises the comparison of our block expansion (in the interacting theory with twist-two semishort operators recombined) with results from the literature [29, (3.14)], and we find perfect agreement.

Multiplet	[29, (3.14)]	external charges $\vec{p} = 2222$
$\mathcal{B}_{[000]}$	1	$M_{0,0,[\emptyset]}$
$\mathcal{B}_{[020]}$	$6C_{11}$	$\frac{4}{a}(M_{0,2,[\emptyset]} + M_{1,2,[\emptyset]})$
$\mathcal{B}_{[040]}$	$60C_2$	$M_{0,4,[\emptyset]} + M_{2,4,[\emptyset]} + \frac{4}{a}M_{1,4,[\emptyset]}$
$\mathcal{B}_{[202]}$	$9C_{20}$	$M_{0,4,[1,1]} + M_{2,4,[1,1]} + \frac{4}{a}M_{1,4,[1,1]} - \frac{4}{a}M_{0,2,[2]} - \frac{4}{a}M_{1,2,[2]}$
$\mathcal{S}_{[020],l=0,2,\dots}$	$2^{-l-2}A_{00,0,l+2}$	$M_{0,4,[2+l]} + M_{2,4,[2+l]} + \frac{4}{a}M_{1,4,[2+l]}$
$\mathcal{S}_{[101],l=1,3,\dots}$	$-2^{-l-3}b_{0,l+2}$	$M_{0,4,[2+l,1]} + M_{2,4,[2+l,1]} + \frac{4}{a}M_{1,4,[2+l,1]} - \frac{4}{a}M_{0,2,[3+l]} - \frac{4}{a}M_{1,2,[3+l]}$
$\mathcal{L}_{[000],t=1,l=0,2,\dots}$	$2^{-l}\hat{A}_{00,1,l}$	$\frac{4}{a}M_{0,2,[2+l]} + \frac{4}{a}M_{1,2,[2+l]}$
$\mathcal{L}_{[000],t \geq 2, l=0,2,\dots}$	$2^{-l}A_{00,t,l}$	$M_{0,4,[t+l,t]} + M_{2,4,[t+l,t]} + \frac{4}{a}M_{1,4,[t+l,t]}$

Table 1: The M coefficients are explicit in (26)-(28). $a = N^2 - 1$. We used \mathcal{B} for $\frac{1}{2}$ - and $\frac{1}{4}$ -BPS operators, and \mathcal{S} for semi-short operator. In both cases τ is fixed by the $su(4)$ rep. We used \mathcal{L}_t for long multiplets, where $t = \frac{\Delta-l}{2}$.

Finally, we point out that for correlators with higher external charges, we usually have to sum over Young diagrams with different γ , to account for mixing of operators with different numbers of constituent fields, in order to obtain the block coefficient in the long sector. For example, in $\langle \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle$ the total contribution to the long sector in the $[000]$ rep is $\mathcal{L}_{[000],t=2,l} = A_{4,[\lambda_1,2]}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{[000],t \geq 3,l} = A_{6,[\lambda_1,\lambda_2,2]} + A_{4,[\lambda_1+1,\lambda_2+1]}$ where $\lambda_2 = t - 1, l = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$.

II. SEMISHORT UNMIXING IN $AdS_5 \times S^5$ SUPERGRAVITY: EXPLICIT RESULTS

In this appendix we expand the discussion about the semishort sector in correlators with two single and two double particle insertions. In the process we give explicit details about various results that were mentioned in the main text. To simplify notation in various places we will write the semi-short block coefficients as

$$\mathcal{S}_{[a,b,a]}^{\vec{p}} := A_{\mathcal{S},\gamma=b+2a+2;[l+2,1^a]}^{\vec{p}} \cdot \quad (S5)$$

A. Exact CFT data for the twist 4 semishort sector

The twist four semishort sector consists of the double trace operators

$$\mathcal{O}_2 \partial^l \mathcal{O}_2 \Big|_{[020], l=0,2,\dots} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{O}_2 \partial^l \mathcal{O}_2 \Big|_{[101], l=1,3,\dots} \quad (\text{S6})$$

These are the only semishort protected operators in these reps, since the lightest protected triple trace operators necessarily have twist six.

In the main text we showed that the twist four semishort sector contributes to the correlators $\langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \rangle$ ($q \geq 3$) with an OPE coefficient that can be derived from the relation (38) and reads

$$\mathcal{S}_{[aba]}^{2^2 2^2 qq} = \frac{\mathcal{S}_{[aba]}^{2^2 2^2 22} \times \mathcal{S}_{[aba]}^{22 qq}}{\mathcal{S}_{[aba]}^{22 22}} \quad ; \quad [aba] = [020], [101]. \quad (\text{S7})$$

We emphasize here that $\mathcal{S}_{[aba]}^{2^2 2^2 qq}$ is an exact quantity in $a = N^2 - 1$ because, as mentioned above, there are no other semishort operators at twist four in the reps [020] and [101]. While the color factor of $\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle$ can be read from (S4), it is not difficult to compute the others,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle = \mathcal{N}_{[2^2]} \mathcal{N}_2 g_{12}^4 g_{34}^2 \left[1 + \left(2 + \frac{4}{a} \right) \left[U^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{U^2 \tau^2}{V^2} \right] + \frac{8}{a} \left[U\sigma + \frac{U\tau}{V} \right] + \frac{24}{a} \frac{U^2 \sigma \tau}{V} \right] \quad (\text{S8})$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \rangle = \mathcal{N}_2 \mathcal{N}_q g_{12}^2 g_{34}^q \left[1 + \frac{2q}{a} \left[U\sigma + \frac{U\tau}{V} \right] + \frac{2q(q-1)}{a} \frac{U^2 \sigma \tau}{V} \right] \quad q > 2 \quad (\text{S9})$$

where the two-point function normalizations are

$$\mathcal{N}_{[2^2]} = 8a(a+2) \quad ; \quad \mathcal{N}_q = \frac{q^2(q-1)}{(N-q+1)_{q-1}^{-1} - (N+1)_{q-1}^{-1}}. \quad (\text{S10})$$

As a function of N , the function \mathcal{N}_q is a rational function of N , written in terms of Pochhammer symbols. In the large N limit, $\mathcal{N}_q \simeq qN^q$. We will usually switch from N to $a = N^2 - 1$. For example $\mathcal{N}_2 = 2a$.

Both (S8)-(S9) are next-to-next-to extremal correlators, which implies six possible propagator structures. The fact that only four appears in (S9) is because of the definition of single particle operators [23].

Coming back to (S7), the \mathcal{S}^{2222} coefficients are given in Table 1, and for the others we find

$\vec{p} = \{2, 2, q, q\}$	(S11)
$\mathcal{S}_{[020]} = \frac{2q(q-1)}{a} M_{1,4,[2+l]}$ $\mathcal{S}_{[101]} = \frac{2q(q-1)}{a} M_{1,4,[2+l,1]} - \frac{2q}{a} (M_{0,2,[3+l]} + M_{1,2,[3+l]})$	
$\vec{p} = \{[2^2], [2^2], 2, 2\}$	(S11)
$\mathcal{S}_{[020]} = (2 + \frac{4}{a})(M_{0,4,[2+l]} + M_{2,4,[2+l]}) + \frac{24}{a} M_{1,4,[2+l]}$ $\mathcal{S}_{[101]} = (2 + \frac{4}{a})(M_{0,4,[2+l,1]} + M_{2,4,[2+l,1]}) + \frac{24}{a} M_{1,4,[2+l,1]} - \frac{8}{a} (M_{0,2,[3+l]} + M_{1,2,[3+l]})$	

All together, the non perturbative results for $\mathcal{S}^{2^2 2^2 qq}$ are

$$\mathcal{S}_{[020]}^{2^2 2^2 qq} = +8q(q-1) \frac{a+2}{a^2} \left[\frac{(l+3)(l+4) + \frac{12}{a+2}}{(l+3)(l+4) + \frac{4}{a}} \right] \frac{(l+3)!}{(2l+6)!} \frac{1 + (-1)^l}{2},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{[101]}^{2^2 2^2 qq} = -4q(q+1) \frac{a+2}{a^2} \left[\frac{(l+2)(l+5) - \frac{20}{a+2}}{(l+2)(l+5) - \frac{12}{a}} \right] \frac{(l+3)!}{(2l+6)!} \frac{1 - (-1)^l}{2}.$$

As explained already in the main text, the leading $O(1/N^2)$ contribution is given by disconnected diagrams, and these give the same contribution as the disconnected diagrams in $\langle \mathcal{O}_{2^2} \mathcal{O}_{2^2} \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \rangle$. Indeed, from (S8) we find

$$\mathcal{S}_{[020]}^{2^2 2^2 qq} \Big|_{\perp} = 4q(q-1) M_{1,4,[2+l]}^{44qq} = A_{[020]}^{2^2 2^2 22} \Big|_{\perp} \quad (\text{S12})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{[101]}^{2^2 2^2 qq} \Big|_{\perp} = 4q(q-1) M_{1,4,[2+l,1]}^{44qq} - 4q \left(M_{0,4,0,[l+3]}^{44qq} + M_{1,4,[l+3]}^{44qq} \right) = A_{[101]}^{2^2 2^2 22} \Big|_{\perp} \quad (\text{S13})$$

It follows that in these reps no stringy contribution is present at order $\frac{1}{N^2}$.

B. Exact CFT data for the twist 5 semishort sector

The twist five semishort sector consists of double trace operators

$$\mathcal{O}_2 \partial^l \mathcal{O}_3 \Big|_{[030], l=0,1,2,3,\dots} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{O}_2 \partial^l \mathcal{O}_3 \Big|_{[111], l=0,1,2,3,\dots} \quad (\text{S14})$$

As for the twist four sector, these are the only semishort operators in this reps.

The semishorts operators (S14) enter the OPE analysis of $\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle$ and that of higher charges correlators. For example, the simplest mixed correlator where both twist four and twist five protected double particle operators are crucial are $\langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle$ and its crossed orientation $\langle \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \rangle$. For the latter, the use of (33) immediately gives

$$\mathcal{S}_{[aba]}^{32^2 32^2} = \frac{\mathcal{S}_{[aba]}^{32^2 23} \times \mathcal{S}_{[aba]}^{2332^2}}{\mathcal{S}_{[aba]}^{2323}} \quad [aba] = [030], [111]. \quad (\text{S15})$$

To compute this prediction we need the free theory for $\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle$, that can be derived from (S9), and the free theory for,

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \rangle}{\frac{72}{N}(N^2-1)(N^2+1)(N^2-4)} = g_{12}^3 g_{24} g_{34}^3 \left[\frac{U^2 \tau}{V^2} + \frac{4}{(a+2)} \frac{U^2 \sigma \tau}{V} + \frac{2}{(a+2)} \left[U \sigma + \frac{2U \tau}{V} \right] \right] \quad (\text{S16})$$

Writing the prediction in terms of the M coefficients is straightforward, and we find that

$$\mathcal{S}_{[030]}^{32^2 32^2} = \begin{cases} \frac{144(l+5) \left((l+3) + \frac{4}{a+2} \right)^2}{(l+3)(l+4) + \frac{12}{a}} \times \frac{(l+4)!}{(2l+8)!} \frac{1+(-1)^l}{2} \\ \frac{144(l+3) \left((l+5) - \frac{4}{a+2} \right)^2}{(l+4)(l+5) + \frac{12}{a}} \times \frac{(l+4)!}{(2l+8)!} \frac{1-(-1)^l}{2} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S17})$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{[111]}^{32^2 32^2} = \begin{cases} \frac{72}{3} \frac{(l+2) \left((l+6) + \frac{10}{a+2} \right)^2}{(l+4)(l+6) - \frac{24}{a}} \times \frac{(l+4)!}{(2l+8)!} \frac{1+(-1)^l}{2} \\ \frac{72}{3} \frac{(l+6) \left((l+2) - \frac{10}{a+2} \right)^2}{(l+2)(l+4) - \frac{24}{a}} \times \frac{(l+4)!}{(2l+8)!} \frac{1-(-1)^l}{2} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S18})$$

As for the twist four semishort sector, we emphasize that these results are exact in $a = N^2 - 1$ because the double trace operators (S14) are the only twist five semishort operators.

C. An example with a 3×3 Gram matrix

In this section we discuss examples of mixed single- and double-particle correlators where the prediction of the double particle protected sector requires the study of a 3×3 Gram matrix. We shall consider the case of semishort operators belonging to the [040] rep, and the correlators

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle \quad ; \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 [\mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_2] [\mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_2] \rangle \quad ; \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3^2 \mathcal{O}_3^2 \rangle. \quad (\text{S19})$$

Let us first comment on the supergravity results for the correlators of interest. We will write them in the form

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2} \mathcal{O}_{p_3} \mathcal{O}_{p_4} \rangle = \text{free} + \mathcal{P}[g_{ij}] \mathcal{I}(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \mathcal{H}_{\bar{p}}(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \quad (\text{S20})$$

where $\mathcal{I} = \prod_{i,j} (x_i - y_j) / (y_1 y_2)^2$. Then for the mixed correlators, we see that the disconnected diagrams contributing at tree level give the result

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_p^2 \mathcal{O}_p^2 \rangle = 4 \langle \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p \rangle + \dots \quad (\text{S21})$$

Normalizing we find

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_p^2 \mathcal{O}_p^2 \rangle}{\langle \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_p^2 \mathcal{O}_p^2 \rangle} = 4 \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p \rangle}{\langle \mathcal{O}_p^2 \mathcal{O}_p^2 \rangle} \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p \rangle}{\langle \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_q \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p \rangle} + \dots \quad (\text{S22})$$

By using that $\mathcal{P}_{qq[p^2][p^2]} = g_{34}^p \mathcal{P}_{qppp}$, and that

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_p^2 \mathcal{O}_p^2 \rangle = 2 \langle \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p \rangle^2 \quad \text{at leading order} \quad (\text{S23})$$

we immediately read off the dynamical functions

$$\mathcal{H}_{[2^2][2^2]44} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = 2\mathcal{H}_{2244} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{H}_{22[3^2][3^2]} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = 2\mathcal{H}_{2233} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} \quad (\text{S24})$$

For the case of the double particle operator $\mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_2$ we will assume a linear combination of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{22[42][42]} = c_1 \mathcal{H}_{2222} + c_2 \mathcal{H}_{2244} \quad (\text{S25})$$

and fix the coefficients c_1, c_2 from the OPE analysis. We will find that $c_1 = c_2 = 1$.

It will be useful to recall that

$$\mathcal{H}_{22qq} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = \frac{-2q}{(q-2)!} (x_1 x_2)^q \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{q,q+2,2,2}(x_1, x_2). \quad (\text{S26})$$

This gives the explicit expression for $\mathcal{H}_{22[2^2][2^2]}$, $\mathcal{H}_{22[3^2][3^2]}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{22[42][42]}$. Then, cancellation of stringy states in [000] becomes an almost trivial computation, since it is the same as for the single particle correlators times a factor of 2 that comes from (S21). For the case of $\mathcal{H}_{22[42][42]}$ we find one relation between c_1 and c_2 , which is $2c_1 + c_2 = 3$.

As pointed out in the main text, when we analyze the crossed orientation, e.g. $\mathcal{H}_{[2^2]4[2^2]4}$, etc. . . , the mixed single- and double-particle dynamical functions transform differently from their single-particle primitives, and this is crucial. For convenience, let us record here the expressions of

$$\mathcal{H}_{[2^2]4[2^2]4} = -\frac{8}{(y_1 y_2)^2} (x_1 x_2)^4 \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{2,6,2,4} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{P}_{4,4,4,4} = g_{12}^4 g_{34}^4 \quad (\text{S27})$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{2[3^2]2[3^2]} = -12 (x_1 x_2)^2 \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{2,5,2,3} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{P}_{2,6,2,6} = g_{12}^2 g_{24}^4 g_{34}^2 \quad (\text{S28})$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{2[42]2[42]} = -4c_1 (x_1 x_2)^2 \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{2,4,2,2} - 4c_2 (x_1 x_2)^2 \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{2,6,2,4} \quad (\text{S29})$$

Note that the dynamical functions here use the same $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ function as for the single particles correlators, but other details are different because crossing transformations depend on the external charges. At this point the study of multiplet recombination in the [040] rep is either a non trivial consistency check or it provides bootstrap constraints (with infinite spin support) through the cancellation of stringy states in (31).

There are two double particle semishort operators in the [040] rep, which schematically have the form $\mathcal{O}_4 \partial^l \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_3 \partial^l \mathcal{O}_3$. There are also multi-particle operators in this rep, but at leading order the double particles are the only ones that enter the OPE of our correlators. Then we can assemble the Gram matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle & \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle & \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_{p_3} \mathcal{O}_{p_4} \rangle \\ \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle & \langle \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle & \langle \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_{p_3} \mathcal{O}_{p_4} \rangle \\ \hline \langle \mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2} \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle & \langle \mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2} \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle & \langle \mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2} \mathcal{O}_{p_3} \mathcal{O}_{p_4} \rangle \end{array} \right) \quad (\text{S30})$$

and find the twist six semishort contribution to $\langle \mathcal{O}_{p_1} \mathcal{O}_{p_2} \mathcal{O}_{p_3} \mathcal{O}_{p_4} \rangle$ by requiring that the determinant of this Gram matrix vanishes. The 2×2 minor is $O(1)$ on the diagonal, thus the diagonals are leading in the computation we are interested in. Let's see what happens for the other entries.

It is simple to see that for both $\mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_q = \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_4$ and $\mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_q = \mathcal{O}_2 [\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4]$ the leading contribution in the Gram matrix comes from $\langle \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle$ through the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \quad \mathcal{O}_4 \\ | \quad \diagdown \\ \mathcal{O}_4 \quad \mathcal{O}_2 \end{array} \quad ; \quad 8 \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_4 \quad \mathcal{O}_4 \\ | \quad \diagdown \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \quad \mathcal{O}_2 \end{array} + 4 \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_4 \quad \mathcal{O}_4 \\ | \quad \text{---} \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \quad \mathcal{O}_2 \end{array} \quad (\text{S31})$$

while in the case of $\mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_q = \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3^2$ the only contribution comes from $\langle \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle$ through the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_3 \quad \mathcal{O}_3 \\ | \quad \diagdown \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \quad \mathcal{O}_3 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathcal{O}_3 \quad \mathcal{O}_3 \\ | \quad \text{---} \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \quad \mathcal{O}_3 \end{array} \quad (\text{S32})$$

At this point we ready for the computation. The normalization of the double-particle operators are

$$\mathcal{N}_{[2^2]} = 2\mathcal{N}_2^2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{a}\right) \quad ; \quad \mathcal{N}_{[42]} = \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_4 \left(1 + \frac{8}{a}\right) \quad ; \quad \mathcal{N}_{[3^2]} = 2\mathcal{N}_3^2 \left(1 + \frac{9}{a}\right). \quad (\text{S33})$$

and the free theories are

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle}{\mathcal{N}_{[2^2]} \mathcal{N}_4} = g_{12}^3 g_{14} g_{24} g_{34}^2 \left[\frac{4U^2\sigma^2}{a} + \frac{24}{a(a+2)} \left[U\sigma + \frac{U\tau}{V} + \frac{U^2\tau\sigma}{V} \right] \right] \quad (\text{S34})$$

$$\frac{\langle [\mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_2] \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle}{\mathcal{N}_{[42]}} = g_{12}^2 g_{13} g_{14}^3 g_{34} \left[8U\sigma + \frac{4U\tau}{V} + 8 \right] \quad (\text{S35})$$

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_3^2 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle}{\mathcal{N}_{[3^2]}} = g_{12}^2 g_{13}^2 g_{14}^2 g_{34} \left[6U\sigma + \frac{6U\tau}{V} + \frac{36(a-7)}{(a-3)(a+9)} \right] \quad (\text{S36})$$

The predictions for the twist six semishort contribution are all non trivial functions of the spin:

$$\mathcal{S}_{[040]}^{2^2 42^2 4} = 4 \frac{(4M_{0,6,[2+l]}^{4,4,2,4})^2}{M_{0,6,[2+l]}^{2,4,2,4}} \quad (\text{S37})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{[040]}^{2[42]2[42]} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(8M_{0,6,[2+l]}^{6,2,2,4} + 4M_{1,6,[2+l]}^{6,2,2,4})^2}{M_{0,6,[2+l]}^{2,4,2,4}} \quad (\text{S38})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{[040]}^{23^2 23^2} = \frac{(6M_{0,6,[2+l]}^{6,2,3,3} + 6M_{1,6,[2+l]}^{6,2,3,3})^2}{M_{0,6,[2+l]}^{3,3,3,3} + M_{3,6,[2+l]}^{3,3,3,3}} \quad (\text{S39})$$

The prefactor outside parenthesis come from compensating the normalizations that we used to write the various correlators in (S34-S36). For example in $\mathcal{S}_{[040]}^{2^2 42^2 4}$, the factor 4 is just $4 = (\mathcal{N}_{[2^2]}\mathcal{N}_4)^2 / (\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_4) / (\mathcal{N}_{[2^2]}\mathcal{N}_4)$, etc. . . .

To conclude, we will compute the stringy contributions in each case, by solving (31). The free theories are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle &= \mathcal{N}_{[2^2]} \mathcal{N}_4 g_{12}^4 g_{24}^4 g_{34}^2 \left[U^4 \sigma^4 + \frac{16U^4 \sigma^3 \tau}{aV} + \frac{16U^3 \sigma^3}{a} + \frac{48}{(a+2)} \frac{U^3 \sigma^2 \tau}{V} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{48}{a(a+2)} \left[\frac{U^4 \sigma^2 \tau^2}{V^2} + \frac{6U^3 \sigma^2 \tau}{V} + \frac{4U^3 \sigma \tau^2}{V^2} + \frac{48}{a(a+2)} U^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{4U^2 \sigma \tau}{V} + \frac{U^2 \tau^2}{V^2} \right] \right] \quad (\text{S40}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_2 [\mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_2] \mathcal{O}_2 [\mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_2] \rangle = \mathcal{N}_2 \mathcal{N}_{[42]} g_{12}^2 g_{24}^4 g_{34}^2 \left[U^2 \sigma^2 + \left(1 + \frac{8}{a}\right) \left[1 + \frac{U^2 \tau^2}{V^2}\right] + \frac{12}{a} U\sigma + \frac{60}{a} \frac{U\tau}{V} + \frac{12}{a} \frac{U^2 \sigma \tau}{V} \right] \quad (\text{S41})$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3^2 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3^2 \rangle = \mathcal{N}_2 \mathcal{N}_{[3^2]} g_{12}^2 g_{24}^4 g_{34}^2 \left[U^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{36}{a} \frac{(a-7)}{(a-3)(a+9)} \left[1 + \frac{U^2 \tau^2}{V^2}\right] + \frac{12}{a} U\sigma + \frac{60}{a} \frac{U\tau}{V} + \frac{12}{a} \frac{U^2 \sigma \tau}{V} \right] \quad (\text{S42})$$

Again we only need to use the *Master* formula in each case, to solve for $\mathcal{K}_{[040]}$. For example,

$$\mathcal{K}_{[040]}^{2[42]2[42]} \Big|_{\frac{1}{a}} = 12M_{0,6,[2+l]}^{2,6,2,6} + 60M_{1,6,[2+l]}^{2,6,2,6} - \mathcal{S}_{[040]}^{2[42]2[42]} \quad (\text{S43})$$

At this point it is rather immediate to show that these predictions cancels again the twist six contribution coming from the corresponding dynamical functions in (S27)-(S29). In the case of $\mathcal{H}_{2[42]2[42]}$ the only solution is $c_1 = c_2 = 1$, which agrees with the constraint derived from [000], namely $2c_1 + c_2 = 3$.

D. CFT data for $\langle \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_q \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_q \rangle$ at tree level.

So far we have analyzed aspects of the tree level correlators $\mathcal{H}_{[2^2][2^2]22}$, $\mathcal{H}_{[2^2][2^2]33}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{[2^2][2^2]44}$. We will now discuss the general case of $\mathcal{H}_{[2^2][2^2]qq}$, for which we claim that $\mathcal{H}_{[2^2][2^2]qq} = 2\mathcal{H}_{22qq}$ is the dynamical function. While the block expansion in this channel satisfies the constraints from the absence of stringy states in an obvious way, i.e. because the single particle correlator \mathcal{H}_{22qq} does, the analysis in the crossed channel $\mathcal{H}_{[2^2]q[2^2]q}$ is quite non trivial.

Let us start from quoting some explicit results,

$$M_{0,q+2,[2+l]}^{2^2q2^2q} = \frac{(-1)^l}{2(q-2)!} (l+3)(l+4)(l+q+1)(l+q+2) \frac{(l+q)!^2}{(2l+q+4)!} \quad (\text{S44})$$

$$M_{1,q+2,[2+l]}^{2^2q2^2q} = \frac{(l+4)!(l+q)!}{(2l+q+4)!} + \frac{(-1)^l}{(q-3)!} \left[-\frac{(q-3)(q+1)}{4} + \left(l + \frac{q+5}{2} \right)^2 \right] \frac{(l+q)!^2}{(2l+q+4)!} \quad (\text{S45})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{[0q0]}^{2^2q2^2q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = \frac{4q^2(q-1)}{(q-2)!} (-1)^l (l+3)(l+q+2) \frac{(l+q)!^2}{(2l+q+4)!} \quad (\text{S46})$$

Putting these results together we solve (31) and find the stringy contribution,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{[0q0]}^{2^2q2^2q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} &= 4q \left[M_{0,q+2,[2+l]}^{2^2q2^2q} + (q-1)M_{1,q+2,[2+l]}^{2^2q2^2q} \right] - \mathcal{S}_{[0q0]}^{2^2q2^2q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} \\ &= \frac{(l + \frac{q+5}{2})^4 - \frac{1}{2}((q+2)^2 - 7)(l + \frac{q+5}{2})^2 + \frac{(q-1)}{16}((q+3)^3 - 12(q+7))}{(-1)^l(q-2)!} \frac{2q(l+q)!^2}{(2l+q+4)!} + 4q(q-1) \frac{(l+4)!(l+q)!}{(2l+q+4)!} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S47})$$

In a similar way one computes and finds the relations

$$\mathcal{K}_{[1,q-2,1]}^{2^2q2^2q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = 4q \left[M_{0,q+2,[2+l,1]}^{2^2q2^2q} + (q-1)M_{1,q+2,[2+l,1]}^{2^2q2^2q} \right] - \mathcal{S}_{[1,q-2,1]}^{2^2q2^2q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = \frac{2(q-2)}{-q} \mathcal{K}_{[0,q,0]}^{2^2q2^2q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} \quad (\text{S48})$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{[2,q-4,2]}^{2^2q2^2q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} = 4q \left[M_{0,q+2,[2+l,1,1]}^{2^2q2^2q} + (q-1)M_{1,q+2,[2+l,1,1]}^{2^2q2^2q} \right] = \frac{q-3}{q-1} \mathcal{K}_{[0,q,0]}^{2^2q2^2q} \Big|_{\frac{1}{N^2}} \quad (\text{S49})$$

Note that the M coefficients and the \mathcal{S} coefficients are all different, and therefore the above relations with $\mathcal{K}_{[0,q,0]}^{2^2q2^2q}$ are very non trivial!

In order to check in each case that the stringy contributions are cancelled, we follow [11]. To this end it will be convenient to rewrite the dynamical functions as

$$\mathcal{H}_{22^222^2} = -\frac{8}{(y_1 y_2)^0} (x_1 x_2)^2 \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{2,4,2,2} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{P}_{2,4,2,4} = g_{12}^2 g_{24}^2 g_{34}^2 \quad (\text{S50})$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{32^232^2} = -\frac{12}{(y_1 y_2)^1} (x_1 x_2)^3 \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{2,5,2,3} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{P}_{3,4,3,4} = g_{12}^3 g_{24}^3 g_{34}^3 \quad (\text{S51})$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{2^2q2^2q} = -\frac{4q/(q-2)!}{(y_1 y_2)^2} (x_1 x_2)^4 \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{2,q+2,2,q} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{P}_{4,q,4,q} = g_{12}^4 g_{24}^{p-4} g_{34}^4 \quad (\text{S52})$$

The blocks for the long sector are given in [11, (74)].

III. DETERMINANT FORMULAE FOR ALL FREE THEORIES

In the main text we have obtained a master formula for the block decomposition of the most general graph G that contributes to a four-point function with external scalar operators. In this case the graph G is built out of products of scalar propagators as displayed in (1). In the previous sections we discussed applications to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM in four dimensions, but we would like to emphasise that our formula can be used in many different context. For example, the α -space identity of [35], recently used in [36] in the study of correlators with insertions of maximally heavy operators, reads,

$$x^p (1-x)^{-k} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{k,\gamma=2p,\Delta} \left[x^\Delta {}_2F_1 \left[\frac{\Delta, \Delta}{2\Delta}; x \right] \right] \quad ; \quad \Delta = p+j, \quad \lambda = [j] \quad (\text{S53})$$

For $k \leq p$ we immediately recognize this identity as a one-dimensional block expansion, thus from (18) we get

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=1}^d (1 - Y_\ell x)} = \sum_{\lambda} J_{\lambda}^{(p)}(Y) F_{\gamma=2p,\lambda}^{(1,0)}(x) \quad ; \quad Y = [1^k, 0^{p-k}] \quad (\text{S54})$$

Then from our master formula one can check that the combinatorics of our (16) reproduces

$$M_{k,\gamma,[j]} = \frac{\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)_j \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)_j}{j!(\gamma+j-1)_j} {}_3F_2 \left[-j, -k + \frac{\gamma}{2}, -1+j+\gamma; 1 \right] \quad (\text{S55})$$

which is precisely the result quoted in [36, (4.14)]. The blocks in (S53) are simple enough that one can perform the block decomposition and find directly the $M_{k,2p,[j]}$. Nevertheless, our main point here is that there is no need to perform the block decomposition explicitly, rather, the master formula gives the block coefficients directly, allowing one to focus on the physical properties of these numbers, e.g. study the dependence on parameters. Like this there are many other examples where our formula is directly applicable. One last thing to note about the RHS of (S55) is that it can be analytically continued for any γ, k , thus outside the combinatorial definition of the Jacobi polynomials. In the following we will see what is the counterpart of this continuation in our formalism.

Besides the case of theories where the elementary fields are scalar fields, we would like to include theories where the elementary fields are fermions or vector fields, and study correlation functions of scalar operators built out these elementary fields. For example in a 4d CFT, we could consider the dimension three (scalar) operator $\mathcal{O}_3 = \psi\psi'$, built out of fermion fields, and compute the correlator $\langle \mathcal{O}_3 \bar{\mathcal{O}}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \bar{\mathcal{O}}_3 \rangle$. The fermion propagator is $\langle \psi_\alpha \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \rangle \sim (x_{12})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}/x_{12}^4$, and we would find the expression

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_3 \bar{\mathcal{O}}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \bar{\mathcal{O}}_3 \rangle = a_1 \left(g_{12}^3 g_{34}^3 + g_{14}^3 g_{23}^3 \right) + a_2 \left(g_{12} g_{34} g_{14}^2 g_{23}^2 + g_{12}^2 g_{34}^2 g_{14} g_{23} - \frac{g_{12}^2 g_{34}^2 g_{14}^2 g_{23}^2}{g_{13} g_{24}} \right) \quad (\text{S56})$$

where a_i are numbers obtained from Wick's theorem. Note, the second term arises from the cyclic Wick contraction yielding $\text{tr}(x_{12} x_{23} x_{34} x_{41}) \sim x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 + x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2 - x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2$. This last term has inverse powers of g_{ij} , and thus falls outside the cases for which we derived the master formula in (16). The case of scalar operator $\frac{1}{4}F^2$, built from the field strength of a free vector field has similar features. See e.g. [5, (6.27) and (6.34)].

In order to generalize our master formula we will consider free theory four point functions that admit an expansion as in (4), namely

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle = \mathcal{P} \times \sum_{\gamma, k} a_{G, \gamma, k} U^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} V^{-k} \quad ; \quad U = \frac{g_{13} g_{24}}{g_{12} g_{34}} \quad ; \quad V = \frac{g_{13} g_{24}}{g_{14} g_{23}} \quad (\text{S57})$$

but where the notion of a graph G is generalized so that in the expression $G = \mathcal{P} \times U^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} V^{-k}$ we allow k to take values also in the range $k < 0$ and $k > \beta = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \gamma_{\min})$. Note that, as in the example above, (S56), the values $k < 0$ and $k > \beta$ simply arise from the fact that fundamental propagators of fermions and vectors have Lorentz structure. The sums over γ and k are still finite!

Although not falling within the master formula (16), we will now show that we can compute the block coefficient of any generalized graph G from the more general Cauchy identity [12, (2.30),(8.7)]

$$\frac{\prod_{\ell, j}^{M, n} (1 - Y_\ell y_j) \prod_{\ell, i}^{N, m} (1 - X_\ell x_i)}{\prod_{\ell, k}^{M, m} (1 - Y_\ell x_i) \prod_{\ell, j}^{N, n} (1 - X_\ell y_j)} = \sum_{\Delta} J_{\Delta}^{(M, N)}(Y, X) F_{\gamma, \Delta}^{(m, n)}(x, y) \quad ; \quad \frac{1}{2}\gamma = M - N + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\min} \quad (\text{S58})$$

where $J_{\Delta}^{(M, N)}(Y, X)$ are the superJacobi polynomials introduced by Veselov and Sergeev in [31, 32]. The identity (S58) reduces to (18) for $N = 0$ and M set equal to the value of d in (18). In particular, the super Jacobi polynomials with $N = 0$ become the Jacobi polynomials quoted in (18).

The logic of our proof goes through as follows. For each monomial in (S57) we want to match first the LHS of (S58). To achieve this note that the power of U determines the value of $M - N$ through the relation $M - N = \frac{1}{2}\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\min} = \beta$. Then, in order to match V^{-k} when $k < 0$ we set $N = -k$ and $X_{1, \dots, N} = 1$. Since $M = \beta - k$ we have to set $Y_{1, \dots, M} = 0$. In order to match V^{-k} when $k > \beta$ we set $M = k$ and $Y_{1, \dots, M} = 1$. Since $N = k - \beta$ we have to set $X_{1, \dots, N} = 0$. In both cases we reduce the computation of the block coefficients $M_{k, \gamma, \Delta}$ to the evaluation of a super Jacobi polynomial at coincident values of $X, Y \in \{0, 1\}$ with $X \neq Y$. Summarising

$$M_{k, \gamma, \Delta} = \begin{cases} J_{\Delta}^{(\beta-k, -k)}(0^{\beta-k}, 1^{-k}; p_-, p_+) & k < 0 \\ J_{\Delta}^{(k, k-\beta)}(1^k, 0^{k-\beta}; p_-, p_+) & k > \beta \end{cases} \quad (\text{S59})$$

in addition to the known scalar case

$$M_{k, \gamma, \Delta} = J_{\Delta}^{(\beta, 0)}([1^k, 0^{\beta-k}]; p_-, p_+) \quad 0 \leq k \leq \beta \quad (\text{S60})$$

Compared to the scalar case, the new feature of (S59) is the wider range of values of k (due to inverse propagators) that requires a *super* Jacobi polynomial where the X variables are all set to 0 and the Y 's are all set to 1, or vice versa, whereas in the scalar case (with no inverse propagators) it was enough to consider standard Jacobi polynomials where some of the Y variables are set to 1 and some to 0. (Recall that the order is not important since the polynomial is symmetric.)

A. Determinant formula for the superJacobi polynomials

Following the steps of our proof, we should now find a non combinatorial formula for the super Jacobi polynomials. Remarkably, this can be done! Inspired by a determinantal representation of super Schur polynomials found in [33], we have found that the super Jacobi polynomials admit the representation,

$$J_{\underline{\lambda}}^{(M,N)} = \frac{\prod_{i,j}^{M,N} (Y_i - X_j)}{\text{VdM}(Y)\text{VdM}(X)} (-)^\sigma \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{Y_i - X_j} & E_{\underline{\lambda}}(Y_i) \\ S_{\underline{\lambda}}(X_j) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_{\underline{\lambda}} = \left(J_{\lambda_i + M - N - l}(Y_i; +p^-, +p^+) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq M \\ 1 \leq l \leq \kappa - 1}} \quad (\text{S61})$$

$$S_{\underline{\lambda}} = \left(J_{\lambda'_i - M + N - l}(X_j; -p^-, -p^+) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq N - M + \kappa - 1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}}$$

where

$$\kappa = \min\{i \mid \lambda_i + M + 1 - i \leq N\} \quad (\text{S62})$$

$$\sigma = \frac{(\kappa - 1 - M)(\kappa - 2 + M)}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{N - M + \kappa - 1} \lambda'_j \quad (\text{S63})$$

and the one-variable Jacobi polynomial is

$$J_{[\lambda]}(\underline{-}; a_1, a_2) = \frac{(-)^\lambda (a_1 + 1)_\lambda}{(a_1 + a_2 + 1 + \lambda)_\lambda} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -\lambda, a_1 + a_2 + 1 + \lambda \\ a_1 + 1 \end{matrix}; \underline{-} \right]. \quad (\text{S64})$$

Some comments on (S61) are in order. Note that $\lambda_{M+1} \leq N$ implies $1 \leq \kappa \leq M + 1$. If $\kappa = M + 1$ then $\underline{\lambda}$ is typical and the determinant factorises as $\det E_{\underline{\lambda}} \times \det S_{\underline{\lambda}}$, but otherwise $\underline{\lambda}$ is atypical and the determinant does not factorise! When $M = 0$ or $N = 0$ there is no space for the matrix $1/(Y_i - X_j)$ and (S61) reduces to the known bosonic formula [13, (7.2)], respectively, for $\underline{\lambda}'$ and $\underline{\lambda}$, that we used already in the main text, see (20).

For all cases in which $M, N \neq 0$, the formula (S61) is new. Here below we review the original combinatorial definition super Jacobi polynomials [31, 32], that allows a direct check of (S61) in examples. Some readers might wish to jump directly to next section where we discuss the corresponding formula for the generalized $M_{G,\gamma,\underline{\lambda}}$.

As in (S61) BC super Jacobi polynomials are labelled by a Young diagram $\underline{\lambda}$, and are specified by a number of variables, and two parameters, a_1, a_2 in the following. The general theory has an extra parameter θ , which will be set to $\theta = 1$ at the end. Super Jacobi polynomials can then be defined as a finite expansion over super Jack polynomials (that for $\theta = 1$ are super Schur polynomials),

$$J_{\underline{\lambda}}(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{\underline{\mu} \subset \underline{\lambda}} (S_\gamma)_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\underline{\mu}} P_\mu(\mathbf{s}; \theta). \quad (\text{S65})$$

It turns out that the coefficient $(S_\gamma)_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\underline{\mu}}$ is special. In the math literature it is known as ‘‘binomial coefficient’’. Okounkov and Rains showed (in a more general context) that it can be written in terms of polynomials evaluated at partitions. From this statement one arrives at the formula [12],

$$(S_\gamma)_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\underline{\mu}} = (-)^{|\underline{\mu}| - |\underline{\lambda}|} \frac{\Pi_{\underline{\lambda}}(\theta)}{\Pi_{\underline{\mu}}(\theta)} C_{\underline{\mu}/\underline{\lambda}}^0(\theta\alpha, \theta\beta; \theta) \frac{\tilde{P}_{\underline{\lambda}}^*(\underline{\mu}; \theta, h)}{\tilde{P}_{\underline{\mu}}^*(\underline{\mu}; \theta, h)} \quad ; \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{a_1 + 1 + \theta}{\theta} + M - \frac{N}{\theta} & ; & \quad \beta = M - \frac{N}{\theta} \\ h &= \frac{a_1 + a_2 + 1}{2} + \theta M - N \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S66})$$

where the \tilde{P}^* are the so called super BC interpolation polynomials. The \tilde{P}^* are the aforementioned polynomials whose values at integer partitions compute the non trivial part of the binomial coefficient. The other objects in (S66) are

$$C_{\underline{\kappa}}^0(w; \theta) = \prod_{(ij) \in \underline{\kappa}} (j - 1 - \theta(i - 1) + w) \quad ; \quad C_{\underline{\kappa}}^0(a, b; \theta) = C_{\underline{\kappa}}^0(a; \theta) C_{\underline{\kappa}}^0(b; \theta) \quad (\text{S67})$$

and then $\Pi(\theta = 1) = 1$. See also [12, (7.10)].

The polynomial \tilde{P}^* is specified by a number of variables, a Young diagram, and an extra parameter that we are calling h . Remarkably they are uniquely defined by a list of properties, and in particular a vanishing property when evaluated on partitions: $\tilde{P}_{\underline{\lambda}}^*(\underline{\mu}; \theta, \gamma) = 0$ if $\underline{\mu}$ does not contain $\underline{\lambda}$.

The binomial coefficient is stable, which means that it does not depend on the number of variables that we input to specify the \tilde{P}^* . In other words, this input is just an intermediate step of the computation: the final result does not depend on it! Thanks to this independence, we can simplify our task here and consider ordinary $\tilde{P}^{(*)}$ of d variables, that for $\theta = 1$, admit a very simple determinantal formula [13, (7.3)]:

$$\tilde{P}_{\underline{\Delta}}^*(x; \theta = 1, h) = \frac{\det(\mathcal{M}_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} ((x_i - i + h)^2 - (x_j - j + h)^2)} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{M}_{ij} = \prod_{k=1}^{\lambda_j - j + d} ((x_i - i + h)^2 - (k - 1 - d + h)^2) \quad (\text{S68})$$

The value of d can be taken to be the number of rows of $\underline{\mu}$ when we evaluate $\tilde{P}_{\underline{\Delta}}^*(\underline{\mu})$. The normalization is known in closed form,

$$\tilde{P}_{\underline{\mu}}^*(\underline{\mu}; \theta, \gamma) = \prod_{(i, j) \in \underline{\mu}} (1 + \mu_i - j + \theta(\mu'_j - i))(2\gamma - 1 + \mu_i + j - \theta(\mu'_j + i)) \quad (\text{S69})$$

One can check from the determinant that $\tilde{P}_{\underline{\Delta}}^*(\underline{\mu}; \theta, \gamma) = 0$ if $\underline{\mu}$ does not contain $\underline{\Delta}$. Thus the $(S_{\gamma})_{\underline{\Delta}}$ are determined, and hence the super Jacobi polynomials through (S65). We refer to [12, appendix C] for more details, and a concise introduction about the case $\theta \neq 1$, which is relevant for three, five, and six-dimensional (S)CFTs.

B. Master coefficients for all free theories

We understood that the $M_{k, \gamma, \underline{\Delta}}$ for all free theories are computed by

$$M_{k, \gamma, \underline{\Delta}} = J_{\underline{\Delta}}^{(\beta, 0)}(1^k, 0^{\beta-k}; p_-, p_+) \quad 0 \leq k \leq \beta \quad (\text{S70})$$

and by

$$M_{k, \gamma, \underline{\Delta}} = \begin{cases} J_{\underline{\Delta}}^{(\beta-k, -k)}(0^{\beta-k}, 1^{-k}) & k < 0 \\ J_{\underline{\Delta}}^{(k, k-\beta)}(1^k, 0^{k-\beta}) & k > \beta \end{cases} \quad (\text{S71})$$

where $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \gamma_{\min})$. Here we will give a determinant formula for the latter by using our results for the super Jacobi polynomials in (S61). One point to make about (S61) is that the formula is naively singular at coincident values of the X, Y variables, but of course we are just evaluating a polynomial and so the result must be finite. The way to proceed is to absorb the singular pieces of the Vandermonde into the numerator, and manipulate rows and column of the determinant in order to take a manifestly finite limit.

Let us see how the singular Vandermonde is resolved in an example. Say there are k variables X or Y to be evaluated at the same value. Let us take Y for concreteness. This means that there are $\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)$ factors $Y_a - Y_b$ in the Vandermonde that are singular. Organize these into sequences as follows. The first sequence is given by the $k-1$ pairs $\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (Y_i - Y_k)$. The second sequence is given by the $k-2$ pairs $\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (Y_i - Y_{k-1})$. Etc... The last sequence is made by the one pair $(Y_1 - Y_2)$. A determinant does not change if we add/subtract rows, therefore consider the following moves. Starting from the first sequence replace the row r_i of the determinant with $r_i \rightarrow (r_i - r_k)/(Y_i - Y_k)$. This removes all the singular factors involving Y_k . Change sequence, and repeat the procedure (starting from the updated rows) until the last sequence is gone. At this point, the simplest way to take the limit of coincident values is to Taylor expand around Y_k . As a result, row-one is proportional to the $(k-1)$ -th derivative of the original r_1 , then row-two is proportional to the $(k-2)$ -th derivative of the original r_2 , etc... The last row is unchanged and in particular has no derivatives. In this example we used rows, but the very same procedure can be applied to columns as well. In fact, the combination of both is what we have to use when dealing with the evaluation of the super Jacobi polynomials in (S71), because the Young diagram in this case is always atypical, and therefore the determinant does not factorize. In particular, the matrix R_{ij} is always active for atypical diagrams, but crucially, it is non singular since $X \neq Y$.

Since the coincident values are either 0s or 1s, we will need formulae for the derivatives of the one-variable Jacobi polynomials. These are

$$\frac{d^q}{dy^q} J_{[\lambda]}(1, a_1, a_2) = \frac{\lambda!(a_1 + a_2 + q + \lambda)!(a_2 + \lambda)!}{(\lambda - q)!(a_1 + a_2 + 2\lambda)!(a_2 + q)!} \quad ; \quad \frac{d^q}{dy^q} J_{[\lambda]}(0, a_1, a_2) = (-)^{q+\lambda} \frac{d^q}{dy^q} J_{[\lambda]}(1, a_2, a_1) \quad (\text{S72})$$

The two derivatives are related to each other, but note the reverse order of $a_{i=1,2}$ when evaluating in zero.

The determinant formula that gives the evaluation formula of the super Jacobi polynomials in (S71), is,

$$J_{\underline{\Delta}}^{(M|N)}(X, Y) \Big|_{(\text{S71})} = \frac{(-)^{\Sigma}}{\prod_{i=1}^{M-1} i! \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} j!} \det \begin{pmatrix} R_{ij} & \nabla E_{\underline{\Delta}}(Y) \\ \nabla S_{\underline{\Delta}}(X) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S73})$$

where the values of M, N, X, Y should be taken accordingly to (S71), and where

$$(-1)^\Sigma = (Y - X)^{MN}(-1)^\sigma \quad ; \quad R_{ij} = (M - i + N - j)! \frac{(-)^{M-i}}{(Y - X)^{M-i+N-j+1}} \quad (\text{S74})$$

with

$$[\nabla E_{\underline{\Delta}}(0; p^-, p^+)]_{il} = (-1)^{M-i+\hat{\lambda}_l} [\nabla E_{\underline{\Delta}}(1; p^+, p^-)]_{il} \quad (\text{S75})$$

$$[\nabla E_{\underline{\Delta}}(1; p^-, p^+)]_{il} = \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_l)! (\hat{\lambda}_l + M - i + \hat{p})! (\hat{\lambda}_l + p_+)!}{(\hat{\lambda}_l - M + i)! (2\hat{\lambda}_l + \hat{p})! (M - i + p_+)!} \quad (\text{S76})$$

$$[\nabla S_{\underline{\Delta}}(0; p^-, p^+)]_{lj} = (-1)^{N-j+\hat{\lambda}'_l} [\nabla S_{\underline{\Delta}}(1; p^+, p^-)]_{lj} \quad (\text{S77})$$

$$[\nabla S_{\underline{\Delta}}(1; p^-, p^+)]_{lj} = \frac{(\hat{\lambda}'_l)! (\hat{\lambda}'_l + N - j - \hat{p})! (\hat{\lambda}'_l - p_+)!}{(\hat{\lambda}'_l - N + j)! (2\hat{\lambda}'_l - \hat{p})! (N - j - p_+)!} \quad (\text{S78})$$

and $\hat{\lambda}'_l = \lambda'_l - \beta - l$, $\hat{\lambda}_l = \lambda_l + \beta - l$.

At this point we observe that the manipulations that allow us to write (S73) are valid also when $N = 0$, i.e. when the super Jacobi polynomial reduces to a Jacobi polynomial. With some additional work, this gives a direct proof of the evaluation formulae (21)-(22), and (16). For the interested reader, the only subtle point is to understand that a matrix whose rows are built from $[\nabla E_{\underline{\Delta}}(0; p^-, p^+)]_{il}$ and $[\nabla E_{\underline{\Delta}}(1; p^-, p^+)]_{il}$ falls into the class of VdM-type determinants of the Pochhammer type, which can be evaluated as in [34, Proposition 1].

There are, however, two important comments to make when comparing the evaluation of super Jacobi in (S73) and our master formula for the scalar case:

- 1) While the master formula (16) takes the form of a sum over quantities that are manifestly analytic in spin (multiplied by $(-1)^l$ factors), in the case of super Jacobi polynomials the Laplace expansion of the determinant is complicated by the presence of the matrix R_{ij} , and therefore we will not further manipulate (S73).
- 2) In the master formula (16) the Young diagram has an upper bound on the number of allowed rows, which depends on β , namely $\underline{\Delta} = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\beta]$, with λ_i that might be vanishing. In the (S73) this is not the case anymore. For example, for $\gamma = 2$ and $\beta = 1$ we can have a Young diagram with two rows.

C. Worked example

We conclude this section with an example. Consider the four point function [5, (6.27)] of a dimension three operator made from a free fermion field,

$$\langle O_3 O_3 O_3 O_3 \rangle = g_{12}^3 g_{34}^3 \left(\frac{1}{4} \left[-U - \frac{U}{V} + UV + \frac{U}{V^2} \right] - \frac{1}{4} \left[U^2 + \frac{U^2}{V^2} \right] + \left[U^3 - \frac{1}{4} \frac{U^3}{V} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{U^3}{V^2} + \frac{U^3}{V^3} \right] + \frac{1}{4} \frac{U^4}{V^2} \right). \quad (\text{S79})$$

The terms $UV + U/V^2$ will require the evaluation of super Jacobi polynomials. In any case the conversion from Young diagrams to dimension and spin is

$$\Delta = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \gamma, \quad l = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2. \quad (\text{S80})$$

Below we compare our block decomposition with that in [5, (6.31)-(6.32)]. For the first two twists we find

$\tau = 2$	$\frac{l(l+1)!}{4(2l-1)!}$	$\frac{1}{4} \left[-M_{0,2,[l]} - M_{1,2,[l]} + M_{-1,2,[l]} + M_{2,2,[l]} \right]$
$\tau = 4$	0	$\frac{1}{4} \left[M_{-1,2,[l+1,1]} + M_{2,2,[l+1,1]} \right] - \frac{1}{4} \left[M_{0,4,[l]} + M_{2,4,[l]} \right]$

Note the presence of $M_{-1,2}$ and $M_{2,2}$ in both rows, which are crucial to obtain the correct result. The zero result at $\tau = 4$ generalizes to higher twists. In fact, the following identity holds

$$\left[M_{-1,2,[l+t-1,t-1]} + M_{2,2,[l+t-1,t-1]} - M_{0,4,[l+t-2,t-2]} - M_{2,4,[l+t-2,t-2]} \right] = 0 \quad t \geq 2 \quad (\text{S81})$$

Therefore we will not sum these contributions to higher twists. Then for $\tau = 6$ we find

$$\frac{(l+2)!(l+3)!(l^2+5l+3)}{4(2l+3)!} = \left[M_{0,6,[l]} - \frac{1}{4} M_{1,6,[l]} - \frac{1}{4} M_{2,6,[l]} + M_{3,6,[l]} \right] \quad (\text{S82})$$

and the result for $\tau \geq 8$ is

$$\left[M_{0,6,[l+\frac{\tau}{2}-3,\frac{\tau}{2}-3]} - \frac{1}{4}M_{1,6,[l+\frac{\tau}{2}-3,\frac{\tau}{2}-3]} - \frac{1}{4}M_{2,6,[l+\frac{\tau}{2}-3,\frac{\tau}{2}-3]} + M_{3,6,[l+\frac{\tau}{2}-3,\frac{\tau}{2}-3]} \right] + \frac{1}{4}M_{2,8,[l+\frac{\tau}{2}-4,\frac{\tau}{2}-4]} \quad (\text{S83})$$

which equals to $\frac{(l+\frac{\tau}{2}-1)!(l+\frac{\tau}{2})!(\frac{\tau}{2}-1)!(\frac{\tau}{2}-2)!}{8(\tau-5)!(\tau+2l-3)!}((l+1)(\tau+l-2) + (-1)^{\frac{\tau}{2}})$. We thus find perfect agreement with the known results.

We also checked the case of the vector field in [5, (6.34)] and found perfect agreement.

-
- [1] R. Doobary and P. Heslop, “Superconformal partial waves in Grassmannian field theories,” JHEP **12** (2015), 159 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2015)159 [arXiv:1508.03611 [hep-th]].
 - [2] P. S. Howe and G. G. Hartwell, “A Superspace survey,” Class. Quant. Grav. **12** (1995), 1823-1880 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/12/8/005
 - [3] P. J. Heslop and P. S. Howe, “Four point functions in N=4 SYM,” JHEP **01** (2003), 043 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/01/043 [arXiv:hep-th/0211252 [hep-th]].
 - [4] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, “Unconstrained N=2 Matter, Yang-Mills and Supergravity Theories in Harmonic Superspace,” Class. Quant. Grav. **1** (1984), 469-498 [erratum: Class. Quant. Grav. **2** (1985), 127] doi:10.1088/0264-9381/1/5/004
 - [5] F. A. Dolan and H. Osborn, “Conformal four point functions and the operator product expansion,” Nucl. Phys. B **599** (2001), 459-496 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00013-X [arXiv:hep-th/0011040 [hep-th]].
 - [6] G. J. Heckman, “Root systems and hypergeometric functions II”, Compositio Math. **64** (1987), 329-352.
 - [7] G. J. Heckman, “Root systems and hypergeometric functions I”, Compositio Math. **64** (1987), 353-373.
 - [8] M. Isachenkov and V. Schomerus, “Superintegrability of d -dimensional Conformal Blocks,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no.7, 071602 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.071602 [arXiv:1602.01858 [hep-th]].
 - [9] M. Isachenkov and V. Schomerus, “Integrability of conformal blocks. Part I. Calogero-Sutherland scattering theory,” JHEP **07**, 180 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2018)180 [arXiv:1711.06609 [hep-th]].
 - [10] V. Schomerus, “Conformal Hypergeometry and Integrability,” Contemp. Math. **780**, 263-286 (2022) [arXiv:2111.14864 [math-ph]].
 - [11] F. Aprile, J. M. Drummond, P. Heslop and H. Paul, “Unmixing Supergravity,” JHEP **02** (2018), 133 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2018)133 [arXiv:1706.08456 [hep-th]].
 - [12] F. Aprile and P. Heslop, “Superconformal Blocks in Diverse Dimensions and BC Symmetric Functions,” Commun. Math. Phys. **402** (2023) no.2, 995-1101 doi:10.1007/s00220-023-04740-7 [arXiv:2112.12169 [hep-th]].
 - [13] A. Okounkov and G. Olshanski “Limits of BC orthogonal polynomials as the number of variables goes to infinity”. Contemp. Math., 417, 281-318, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006. arXiv:math/0606085 [math.RT].
 - [14] F. Aprile, S. Giusto and R. Russo, “Holographic correlators with BPS bound states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM,” [arXiv:2409.12911 [hep-th]].
 - [15] A. Bissi, G. Fardelli and A. Manenti, “Composite operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills,” [arXiv:2412.19788 [hep-th]].
 - [16] T. H. Koornwinder, “Okounkov’s BC type interpolation Macdonald polynomials and their $q=1$ limit”. Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, B72a (2015), 27 pp. arXiv:1408.5993 [math.CA].
 - [17] Opdam, Eric M, “Some applications of hypergeometric shift operators”. Inventiones mathematicae,98,1,(1989),pages 1-18.
 - [18] B. Eden, A. C. Petkou, C. Schubert and E. Sokatchev, “Partial nonrenormalization of the stress tensor four point function in N=4 SYM and AdS / CFT,” Nucl. Phys. B **607** (2001), 191-212 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00151-1 [arXiv:hep-th/0009106 [hep-th]].
 - [19] P. J. Heslop and P. S. Howe, Phys. Lett. B **516** (2001), 367-375 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00961-3 [arXiv:hep-th/0106238 [hep-th]].
 - [20] G. Arutyunov, B. Eden and E. Sokatchev, “On nonrenormalization and OPE in superconformal field theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **619** (2001), 359-372 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00529-6 [arXiv:hep-th/0105254 [hep-th]].
 - [21] P. J. Heslop and P. S. Howe, “OPEs and three-point correlators of protected operators in N=4 SYM,” Nucl. Phys. B **626** (2002), 265-286 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00023-8 [arXiv:hep-th/0107212 [hep-th]].
 - [22] F. Aprile, J. Drummond, P. Heslop and H. Paul, “One-loop amplitudes in AdS₅ × S⁵ supergravity from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at strong coupling,” JHEP **03** (2020), 190 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2020)190 [arXiv:1912.01047 [hep-th]].
 - [23] F. Aprile, J. M. Drummond, P. Heslop, H. Paul, F. Sanfilippo, M. Santagata and A. Stewart, “Single particle operators and their correlators in free $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM,” JHEP **11** (2020), 072 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2020)072 [arXiv:2007.09395 [hep-th]].
 - [24] L. I. Uruchurtu, “Four-point correlators with higher weight superconformal primaries in the AdS/CFT Correspondence,” JHEP **03** (2009), 133 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/03/133 [arXiv:0811.2320 [hep-th]].
 - [25] S. Caron-Huot and A. K. Trinh, “All tree-level correlators in AdS₅ × S₅ supergravity: hidden ten-dimensional conformal symmetry,” JHEP **01** (2019), 196 doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2019)196 [arXiv:1809.09173 [hep-th]].
 - [26] T. Abl, P. Heslop and A. E. Lipstein, “Towards the Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude in AdS₅ × S⁵,” JHEP **04** (2021), 237 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2021)237 [arXiv:2012.12091 [hep-th]].
 - [27] F. A. Dolan, M. Nirschl and H. Osborn, “Conjectures for large N superconformal N=4 chiral primary four point functions,” Nucl. Phys. B **749** (2006), 109-152 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.05.009 [arXiv:hep-th/0601148 [hep-th]].
 - [28] P. Heslop, “The SAGEX Review on Scattering Amplitudes, Chapter 8: Half BPS correlators,” J. Phys. A **55**, no.44, 443009 (2022) doi:10.1088/1751-8121/ac8c71 [arXiv:2203.13019 [hep-th]].
 - [29] F. A. Dolan and H. Osborn, “Conformal partial wave expansions for N=4 chiral four point functions,” Annals Phys. **321**, 581-626 (2006) doi:10.1016/j.aop.2005.07.005 [arXiv:hep-th/0412335 [hep-th]].
 - [30] R. P. Stanley, “Some combinatorial properties of Jack symmetric functions”, Adv. Math. **77** (1989), 76–115.
 - [31] A.N. Sergeev and A.P. Veselov, “BC_∞ Calogero-Moser operator and super Jacobi polynomials.” Advances in Mathematics **222.5** (2009): 1687-1726. [arXiv: 0807.3858 [math-ph]]

- [32] A.N. Sergeev and A.P. Veselov, “Euler characters and super Jacobi polynomials.” *Advances in Mathematics* 226 (2011) 4286–4315. [[arXiv:0905.2603](#) [math.RT]]
- [33] E. M. Moens and Joris Van der Jeugt. “A Determinantal Formula for Supersymmetric Schur Polynomials”, *Journal of algebraic Combinatoris*, 17,283-307 (2003)
- [34] C. Krattenthaler “*Advanced determinant calculus*”, [arXiv:math/9902004](#) (1999) [math.CO]
- [35] M. Hogervorst and B. C. van Rees, “Crossing symmetry in alpha space,” *JHEP* **11** (2017), 193 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2017)193 [[arXiv:1702.08471](#) [hep-th]].
- [36] D. Poland and G. Rogelberg, “Moments and saddles of heavy CFT correlators,” [[arXiv:2501.00092](#) [hep-th]].
- [37] The precise form of $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma,\Delta}$ is obtained by taking all possible b_{12} Wick contractions. Similarly for $\mathcal{O}'_{\gamma,\Delta}$ and b_{34} .
- [38] There are also $\frac{1}{4}$ -BPS operators which naturally occur in families with the semishort operators and therefore we won't distinguish them
- [39] Up until now \mathcal{O}_p has denoted any half-BPS or scalar operator (2), but from now on \mathcal{O}_p will exclusively denote a single trace operator, or more precisely single particle operator [23].
- [40] On the LHS, a product of two-point functions. On the RHS, a next-to-extremal three-point function times a two-point function on the diagonal.