

# Detecting high-dimensional entanglement and characterization of quantum channels using moments of the generalized positive maps

Bivas Mallick,<sup>1,\*</sup> Ananda G. Maity,<sup>2,†</sup> Nirman Ganguly,<sup>3,‡</sup> and A. S. Majumdar<sup>1,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Block JD, Sector III, Salt Lake, Kolkata 700 106, India*

<sup>2</sup>*Networked Quantum Devices Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Onna-son, Okinawa 904 0495, Japan*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Birla Institute of Technology and Science Pilani, Hyderabad Campus, Hyderabad, Telangana-500078, India*

High-dimensional entanglement is a valuable resource for several quantum information processing tasks, and is often characterized by the Schmidt number and specific classes of entangled states beyond qubit-qubit and qubit-qutrit systems. We propose a criterion to detect high-dimensional entanglement, focusing on determining the Schmidt number of quantum states and identifying significant classes of PPT and NPT entangled states. Our approach relies on evaluating moments of generalized positive maps which can be efficiently simulated in real experiments without the requirement of full-state tomography. We demonstrate the effectiveness of our detection scheme through various illustrative examples. As a direct application, we explore the implications of our moment-based detection schemes in identifying useful quantum channels such as non-Schmidt number breaking channels and non-entanglement breaking channels. Finally, we present the operational implication of our proposed moment criterion through its manifestation in channel discrimination tasks.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum entanglement plays a pivotal role in the rapidly growing field of quantum technologies [1–5]. It underpins numerous quantum information processing tasks such as superdense coding [6], quantum teleportation [7], quantum cryptography [8, 9], quantum secret sharing [10–12], remote state preparation [13], and many others [3]. Yet, before harnessing entanglement for quantum information processing tasks, it is essential to verify whether an entangled state has indeed been established between the parties. A conventional method for entanglement detection is the positive partial transposition (PPT) criterion which provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the detection of entanglement in qubit-qubit and qubit-qutrit scenarios [14]. However, this criterion has limitations, as PPT entangled states can exist in higher-dimensional systems, which remain undetected by this approach. Though, identifying whether an unknown quantum state is entangled or not has been proven to be NP-hard [15–17], developing reliable methods to detect entanglement in arbitrary-dimensional quantum systems, including PPT entangled states remains an active area of research [18–29].

Related to the entanglement detection problem is the identification of the minimal dimension needed

to reproduce the correlations within quantum states. This leads to the concept of the entanglement dimension, which is characterized by the Schmidt number of a bipartite density matrix [30]. The Schmidt number of a bipartite density matrix quantifies the number of levels that contribute to the generation of entanglement between the particles. Recent studies have demonstrated that states with a higher Schmidt number significantly enhance the performance of various information processing tasks such as channel discrimination [31], quantum communication [32], quantum control [33], and quantum key distribution [34]. Despite numerous applications of Schmidt number, a fundamental challenge is determining the Schmidt number of a quantum state to effectively use it as a resource in quantum information processing tasks.

Several methods have been proposed in the literature to detect Schmidt number, based on different perspectives and properties of a quantum state [30, 35–46]. In this work, one of our main focuses is to detect Schmidt number even when partial knowledge about the state is available. The concept of partial transpose moments (PT moments) to characterize correlations in many-body systems was introduced earlier [47]. Building on this idea, a criterion for entanglement detection using PT moments was developed [48], offering a practical approach that overcomes experimental challenges in entanglement detection. Inspired by these advancements, in this work, we aim to propose an efficient detection scheme for identifying the signature of high-dimensional entanglement through the moments of generalized positive maps.

We begin by defining the moments of a generalized

\* [bivasqc@gmail.com](mailto:bivasqc@gmail.com)

† [anandamaity289@gmail.com](mailto:anandamaity289@gmail.com)

‡ [nirmanganguly@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in](mailto:nirmanganguly@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in)

§ [archan@bose.res.in](mailto:archan@bose.res.in)

positive map and then explore how these moments can be exploited to efficiently detect high-dimensional entanglement—specifically, the Schmidt number of quantum states and specific classes of PPT and NPT (negative partial transpose) entangled states in qutrit-qutrit systems. Our method, based on these moment criteria, involves evaluating simple functionals that can be efficiently calculated in real experiments through a technique called shadow tomography [48–51]. This approach is more resource-efficient than the usual full-state tomography. Furthermore, our moment-based approach is more accessible in terms of the number of state copies needed to estimate them. As system size increases, while the usual full tomography demands an exponentially growing number of measurements, these moment-based methods only require a polynomial number of state copies. Moreover our criterion does not rely on any prior knowledge of the state, unlike witness-based detection methods, which are state-dependent.

Next, we explore the significance and implications of our proposed detection schemes in the context of quantum channels. In some cases, environmental noise can be so intense that the quantum state loses its value as a resource [52–55]. There are certain classes of quantum channels that completely destroy the entanglement between the subsystem they act upon from the rest of the system. That is, regardless of the initial state, the application of these channels always produces a separable state. Such channels are known as entanglement breaking channels [55]. There exists a broader class of channels that generalizes the concept of entanglement-breaking channels. These channels are characterized by their ability to reduce the Schmidt number of a bipartite composite state. Such channels are referred to as Schmidt number breaking channels [56–58]. Essentially, these channels reduce the entanglement dimensionality of a specific quantum state, which makes them resource-breaking channels. As a direct application of our state detection schemes, here we aim to detect the signature of such quantum channels that are non-entanglement-breaking and non-Schmidt number breaking, thereby identifying channels that preserve entanglement resources and are consequently useful for quantum communication tasks. Further, we also demonstrate the operational implication of our proposed moment criteria through its manifestation in channel discrimination tasks.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section II, we provide a brief overview of the essential preliminaries concerning generalized positive maps, Schmidt number, entanglement breaking and Schmidt number breaking channels, and minimum error discrimination as well as the moment criteria proposed in earlier works for entanglement detection. In section

III, we present our framework for detection of several fundamental quantities in quantum information theory, such as the Schmidt number, PPT entanglement, NPT entanglement. Section IV explores the implications of our moment-based detection schemes, particularly in identifying useful quantum channels (e.g., non-Schmidt number breaking channels) and performing quantum channel discrimination tasks. Finally, in section V, we summarize our main findings and outline possible future directions.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

Here, we present the necessary background and mathematical primitives that are essential to follow the rest of the work.

### A. Structure of Positive Maps in $\mathcal{M}_d$

Consider a bipartite system composed of two subsystems,  $A$  and  $B$  each associated with a  $d$  dimensional complex Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . The composite system is described by the tensor product Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ . Quantum states in this Hilbert space are represented by density operators, which are positive operators with unit trace. The set of all such density operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$ . Note that the operators acting on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space are bounded and can be expressed as matrices with respect to some suitable basis. Let,  $\mathcal{M}_d$  and  $\mathcal{M}_k$  denote the sets of complex matrices of dimensions  $d \times d$  and  $k \times k$  respectively. A linear map  $\Lambda : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  is said to be positive, if  $\Lambda(\rho) \geq 0$ , for all  $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_d$ . A linear map  $\Lambda : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  is said to be  $k$ -positive if the extended map  $id_A \otimes \Lambda : \mathcal{M}_k \otimes \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k \otimes \mathcal{M}_d$  is positive for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . A linear map  $\Lambda : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  is said to be completely positive if  $id_A \otimes \Lambda : \mathcal{M}_k \otimes \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k \otimes \mathcal{M}_d$  is positive for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . To determine whether a positive map  $\Lambda$  is completely positive, one can rely on the Choi-Jamiołkowski isomorphism [59, 60]. The Choi matrix associated with the positive map  $\Lambda$  is defined as  $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda = (id_A \otimes \Lambda)(|\phi^+\rangle \langle \phi^+|)$ , with  $|\phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_i |ii\rangle$  being the maximally entangled state in  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ . A positive map  $\Lambda$  is said to be completely positive if and only if the corresponding Choi matrix  $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda$  is positive semidefinite. Moreover, a linear map  $\Lambda$  is trace-preserving if  $\text{Tr}(\Lambda(\rho)) = \text{Tr}(\rho)$  for all  $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_d$ . A linear map  $\Lambda$  is said to be trace-annihilating if  $\text{Tr}(\Lambda(\rho)) = 0$  for all  $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_d$ .

Now, any positive map  $\Lambda_S : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  can always be decomposed as [61]:

$$\Lambda_S(\rho) = \mu \text{Tr}(\rho) I_d - \Phi \quad \text{for } \rho \in \mathcal{M}_d \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu = d\lambda_{max}$  with  $\lambda_{max}$  being the largest eigenvalue of the Choi matrix  $((id_A \otimes \Lambda_S)(|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|))$  and  $\Phi$  is some completely positive map. Several positive maps, including the Choi map, Breuer-Hall map, and Reduction map, which we are going to employ for detecting high-dimensional entanglement can be expressed in the above form with an appropriate choice of  $\Phi$ .

- **Reduction map:** The Reduction map is defined by its action as follows [30, 61]:

$$\Lambda_R(\rho) := \text{Tr}(\rho)I_d - k\rho \text{ for } \rho \in \mathcal{M}_d. \quad (2)$$

This map  $\Lambda_R(\rho)$  is  $r$ -positive but not  $r+1$ -positive (where,  $r < d$ ) for

$$\frac{1}{r+1} < k \leq \frac{1}{r}. \quad (3)$$

- **Breuer-Hall map:** The action of the Breuer-Hall map is defined as [61]:

$$\Lambda_{BH}(\rho) := \text{Tr}(\rho)I_d - X - U\rho^T U^\dagger \quad (4)$$

for  $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_d$ , and  $U$  is an anti-symmetric matrix *i.e.*  $U^\dagger = -U$ , satisfying  $UU^\dagger \leq \mathbf{I}$ .

- **Generalized Choi map:** The generalized Choi map is defined as [61]:

$$\Lambda_C^{d,k}(\rho) := (d-k)\epsilon(\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon(S^i X S^{i\dagger}) - \rho \quad (5)$$

for  $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_d$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a completely positive map defined as,

$$\epsilon(\rho) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \langle j | \rho | j \rangle | j \rangle \langle j | \quad (6)$$

and  $S^i = |i-1\rangle \pmod{d}$ . For  $k = d-1$ , Eq. (5) reduces to the Reduction map defined in Eq. (2). For  $k = 1, 2, \dots, d-2$ , above map defined in Eq. (5) has been shown to be indecomposable [62]. In particular for  $d = 3$  and  $k = 1$ , this map reduces to the well-known Choi map [60] which is defined as

$$\Lambda_C^{3,1}(\rho) := \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{11} + \rho_{22} & -\rho_{12} & -\rho_{13} \\ -\rho_{21} & \rho_{22} + \rho_{33} & -\rho_{23} \\ -\rho_{31} & \rho_{32} & \rho_{33} + \rho_{11} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

for all

$$\rho = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} \\ \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} & \rho_{23} \\ \rho_{31} & \rho_{32} & \rho_{33} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_3 \text{ and } \{\rho_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In Table I, we demonstrate that for certain values of  $\mu$  and  $\Phi$ , all these maps can be obtained from the general form given in Eq. (1).

Map	$\mu$	$\Phi$
Reduction map ( $\Lambda_R$ )	1	$k\rho$
Breuer-Hall map ( $\Lambda_{BH}$ )	2	$\text{Tr}(\rho)I_d + \rho + UX^T U^\dagger$
Generalized Choi map ( $\Lambda_C^{d,k}$ )	$d-k$	$(d-k)\text{Tr}(\rho)I_d - \Lambda_{d,k}(\rho)$

Table I: The Reduction map, Breuer-Hall map, and Generalized Choi map are specific cases of the positive map defined in Eq. (1), obtained by specifying the value of  $\mu$  and  $\Phi$ .

## B. Schmidt number

A bipartite pure state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$  can always be expressed in its Schmidt decomposition form [1, 5] as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} |i\rangle_A |i\rangle_B \quad (8)$$

where,  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ , and  $|i_A\rangle (|i_B\rangle)$  forms an orthonormal basis in  $\mathcal{H}_A (\mathcal{H}_B)$ . Here,  $r$  indicates the Schmidt rank of the pure state  $|\psi\rangle$ . Later, Terhal and Horodecki [30] extended this concept to mixed states by introducing the notion of Schmidt number (SN). A bipartite density matrix  $\rho$  has Schmidt number  $r$ , if in every possible decomposition of  $\rho$  into pure states, *i.e.*

$$\rho = \sum_k p_k |\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k| \quad (9)$$

with  $p_k \geq 0$ , at least one of the pure states  $|\psi_k\rangle$  has Schmidt rank  $r$ . Moreover, there must exist at least one decomposition of  $\rho$  in which all vectors  $\{|\psi_k\rangle\}$  have a Schmidt rank not greater than  $r$ . This can be expressed mathematically as:

$$\text{SN}(\rho) := \min_{\rho = \sum_k p_k |\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k|} \left\{ \max_k \text{SR}(|\psi_k\rangle) \right\} \quad (10)$$

where, the minimization is taken over all possible pure state decomposition of  $\rho$  and  $\text{SR}(|\psi_k\rangle)$  represents the Schmidt rank of the pure state  $|\psi_k\rangle$ . For a bipartite state  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$ , the Schmidt number satisfies  $1 \leq \text{SN}(\rho) \leq d$ . If  $\rho$  is separable, then  $\text{SN}(\rho) = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_r$  denote the set of all states in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$  whose Schmidt number is at most  $r$ . The set  $\mathcal{S}_r$  forms a convex and compact subset within the space of density matrices  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$ . Furthermore, the sets satisfy the nested relation  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}_2 \dots \subset \mathcal{S}_r$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_1$  represents the set of separable states.

The set  $\mathcal{S}_1$  is fully characterized by positive maps [30, 38]. For  $r > 1$ , characterizing  $\mathcal{S}_r$  requires  $r$ -positive but not  $r+1$ -positive maps. Specifically, any such map ( $\Lambda_k$ ) must satisfy the conditions:  $(id_A \otimes \Lambda_k)(\rho) \geq 0$  for all  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_r$  and  $(id_A \otimes \Lambda_k)(\sigma) < 0$  for at least one  $\sigma \notin \mathcal{S}_r$ . One such example of a  $r$ -positive but not  $r+1$ -positive map is the Reduction map defined in Eq. (2) for a specific range of parameter  $k$ .

### C. Entanglement breaking and Schmidt number breaking channels

In the resource theory of entanglement, entangled states are considered as valuable resources. A particular class of quantum channels possesses the ability to completely disentangle the subsystem on which they act on from the rest of the system. Specifically, applying these channels to a subsystem of an arbitrary quantum state ensures that the resulting state is separable. Such channels are referred to as entanglement-breaking channels (EB). Mathematically, a quantum channel  $\mathcal{E}$  is said to be entanglement breaking, if  $(id_A \otimes \mathcal{E})(\rho)$  is separable for all  $\rho$  [55]. Furthermore, it was established in Ref. [55] that a quantum channel  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  is said to be entanglement breaking iff the corresponding Choi operator  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}}$  is separable [55].

On the other hand, there are certain classes of quantum channels that are capable of reducing the Schmidt number of a bipartite composite state. These channels are known as Schmidt number breaking channels. Mathematically, A quantum channel  $\mathcal{E}$  is classified as an  $r$ -Schmidt number breaking channel ( $r$ -SNBC), if  $\text{SN}[(id_A \otimes \mathcal{E})\rho] \leq r$ , for all  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{C}^d \otimes \mathbf{C}^d)$ , where  $r < d$  [56, 58]. Later authors in [56] proved that, a quantum channel ( $\mathcal{E}$ ) belongs to  $r$ -SNBC iff  $\text{SN}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}}) \leq r$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}}$  is the corresponding Choi state defined earlier. Note that every entanglement-breaking (EB) channel is nothing but 1-Schmidt number breaking channel (1-SNBC). However, there exists  $r$ -SNBC with  $r > 1$  that are not EB [58]. This establishes that the set of EB channels is a strict subset of the set of  $r$ -SNBC.

### D. Minimum error discrimination

Consider a preparation device that prepares a quantum system in one of many possible states  $\rho_k$ , where each preparation is associated with a probability  $p_k$ . The minimum-error quantum state discrimination task aims at identifying the state correctly with the maximum probability of success (or equivalently, with minimum probability of error) through an optimal choice of measurements. The optimal probability of correctly guessing the state is given by,

$$p_{\text{success}}(p_k, \rho_k) = \max_{M_k} \sum_k p_k \text{Tr}(M_k \rho_k) = p_{\text{success}}(\tilde{\rho}_k) \quad (11)$$

where,  $\tilde{\rho}_k = p_k \rho_k$  and the maximization is over all generalized measurements  $M_k$ . In general,  $\{M_k\}$ 's are positive-operator-valued measurement (POVM) such that  $M_k \geq 0 \forall k$  and  $\sum_k M_k = I_d$ . The optimal discrimination strategy consists of choosing the optimal meas-

urements that achieve this task. For two quantum states  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  associated with probabilities  $p$  and  $1-p$  respectively, the optimal discrimination strategy with the corresponding optimal success probability has been obtained in [63]. Taking  $\tilde{\rho}_1 = p_1 \rho_1$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_2 = p_2 \rho_2$ , we have

$$p_{\text{success}}(\{\tilde{\rho}_1, \tilde{\rho}_2\}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_1) \quad (12)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_1$  denotes the trace norm defined by  $\|A\|_1 = \text{Tr}\sqrt{A^\dagger A}$ .

### E. Moment criteria

Entanglement is a fundamental quantum phenomenon that serves as the foundation for numerous information processing applications. In the context of bipartite systems, a widely recognized method for detecting entanglement relies on the PPT (Positive Partial Transpose) criterion. This approach involves checking whether the partially transposed state  $\rho_{AB}^{TA}$  is positive semi-definite. If not, then the given state  $\rho_{AB}$  is said to be entangled. This PPT criterion is both necessary and sufficient for detecting entanglement in  $\mathbf{C}^2 \otimes \mathbf{C}^2$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^2 \otimes \mathbf{C}^3$  and  $\mathbf{C}^3 \otimes \mathbf{C}^2$  systems. However, calculating the full spectrum of eigenvalues for the partially transposed state  $\rho_{AB}^{TA}$  is not feasible in practical experiments because of its computational demands. To address this issue, Calabrese *et al.* introduced the concept of moments of the partially transposed density matrix (PT-moments) [47].

For a bipartite state  $\rho_{AB}$ , these  $n$ -th order partial transpose (PT)-moments [48, 64–69] are defined as follows:

$$p_n := \text{Tr}[(\rho_{AB}^{TA})^n] \quad (13)$$

for  $n=1,2,3,\dots$ . Elben *et al.* [48] proposed a simple yet effective criterion for detecting entanglement using only the first three order moments. According to their criterion, if a state  $\rho_{AB}$  is PPT, then  $p_2^2 \leq p_3 p_1$ . Therefore, if a state  $\rho_{AB}$  violates this inequality, then the state is NPT and hence entangled. This criterion is commonly referred to as  $p_3$ -PPT criterion. For the detection of Werner state this  $p_3$ -PPT criterion is equivalent to the PPT criterion. Hence, it serves as a necessary and sufficient condition for detecting bipartite entanglement of Werner states. However, each higher order moment ( $n \geq 4$ ) can give rise to an independent and different entanglement detection criterion [65]. In Ref. [65], the authors introduce the concept of Hankel matrices, denoted by  $[H_n(\mathbf{p})]_{ij}$ , where  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$  and  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ . These matrices are defined as  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrices with elements defined by

$$[H_n(\mathbf{p})]_{ij} := p_{i+j+1}. \quad (14)$$

Hence, the first and the second Hankel matrices are expressed as

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ p_2 & p_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

and

$$H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_3 & p_4 & p_5 \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

respectively. A necessary condition for separability based on Hankel matrices is given by

$$\det[H_n(\mathbf{p})] \geq 0. \quad (17)$$

These PT-moments can be experimentally obtained using shadow tomography, which bypasses the need for full state tomography, thereby significantly reducing resource consumption [48–51]. Such methods of entanglement detection using PT-moments does not rely on prior knowledge of the state, offering a significant advantage over witness-based detection schemes. Moreover, for entanglement detection, such moment criteria are more efficient in terms of the required number of state copies. While the number of measurements required for tomography typically scales exponentially with system size, moment-based approaches require only a polynomial number of state copies, making them more practical in real experiments.

Motivated by the above considerations, in the next section we explore how moment-based detection schemes can be developed for the detection of high dimensional entangled states, including Schmidt number of a quantum state and a significant classes of PPT and NPT entangled states. First we define the moments of generalized positive maps ( $s_n$ ), and based on it we develop a formalism for detection of Schmidt number of a quantum state, as well as PPT and NPT entangled states.

### III. DETECTION OF HIGH-DIMENSIONAL ENTANGLEMENT

**Definition 1:** Consider a linear, positive map  $\Lambda_S$  of the form given in Eq. (1). The  $n$ -th order moments,  $s_n$  of the positive map,  $\Lambda_S$  are formally defined as:

$$s_n := \text{Tr}[S_S^n] \quad (18)$$

where,

$$S_S = \frac{(id_A \otimes \Lambda_S)(\rho_{AB})}{\text{Tr}[(id_A \otimes \Lambda_S)(\rho_{AB})]} \quad (19)$$

with  $n$  being an integer.

- If we consider  $\Lambda_S$  to be  $\Lambda_R$  for  $\frac{1}{r+1} < k \leq \frac{1}{r}$  (defined in Eq. (2)), we call Eq. (18) as the moments of  $r$  positive but not  $r+1$  positive Reduction map.
- If we consider  $\Lambda_S$  to be  $\Lambda_R$  for  $k = 1$  (defined in Eq. (2)), we call Eq. (18) as the moments of Reduction map.
- If we consider  $\Lambda_S$  to be  $\Lambda_{BH}$  (defined in Eq. (4)), we call Eq. (18) as the moments of the Breuer–Hall map.
- If we consider  $\Lambda_S$  to be  $\Lambda_C^{3,1}$  (defined in Eq. (7)), we call Eq. (18) as the moments of the Choi map.

In the next subsection, we will explore how a specific positive map, determined by the configurations of  $\mu$  and  $\Phi$  can be utilized to detect various quantum information theoretic resources, including Schmidt number, and other high-dimensional entangled states such as PPT entanglement.

#### A. Detection of Schmidt number

To detect states whose Schmidt number is greater than  $r$ , we consider moments of  $r$  positive but not  $r+1$  positive Reduction map throughout this subsection. By considering different  $n$ -th order moments, we propose the following theorems for identifying states with Schmidt numbers exceeding  $r$ .

**Theorem 1:** If a bipartite quantum state  $\rho_{AB}$  has Schmidt number at most  $r$ , then the following inequality holds:

$$s_2^2 \leq s_3, \quad (20)$$

where  $s_2$  and  $s_3$  are the second and third order moments corresponding to the  $r$  positive but not  $r+1$  positive Reduction map  $\Lambda_R$  as defined in Eq. (18).

*Proof.* Let,  $\rho_{AB} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$  be a bipartite quantum state which has Schmidt number at most  $r$ . Let  $\Lambda_R$  be a  $r$  positive but not  $r+1$  positive Reduction map as defined in Eq. (2). Using Eq. (19), we have

$$S_R = \frac{(id_A \otimes \Lambda_R)(\rho_{AB})}{\text{Tr}[(id_A \otimes \Lambda_R)(\rho_{AB})]}. \quad (21)$$

From Ref. [30], it is known that  $(id_A \otimes \Lambda_R)(\rho_{AB}) \geq 0$  and hence,  $S_R$  is a positive semidefinite matrix with unit trace. Let us now define Schatten- $p$  norms for  $p \geq 1$  on the positive semidefinite operator  $S_R$  as

$$\|S_R\|_p := \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |\chi_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = (\text{Tr}[|S_R|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (22)$$

where  $S_R$  has the spectral decomposition  $S_R = \sum_{i=1}^d \chi_i |x_i\rangle \langle x_i|$ . Further, the  $l_p$  norm of the vector of eigenvalues of  $S_R$  corresponding to each Schatten- $p$  norm is defined by:

$$\|\chi\|_{l_p} := \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |\chi_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (23)$$

where  $\{\chi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  is the set of eigenvalues of  $S_R$ . The inner product of two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is defined as

$$\langle u, v \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^d u_i v_i \quad (24)$$

for  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Now, from Hölder's inequality for vector norms, we know that for  $p, q \geq 1$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , the following relation holds:

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^d |u_i v_i| \leq \|u\|_{l_p} \|v\|_{l_q}. \quad (25)$$

Putting  $p = 3$ ,  $q = \frac{3}{2}$  and  $u = v = \chi$  in (25), we get

$$\text{Tr}[S_R^2] = \langle \chi, \chi \rangle \leq \|\chi\|_{l_3} \|\chi\|_{l_{\frac{3}{2}}} = \|S_R\|_3 \|\chi\|_{l_{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (26)$$

Note that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is obtained by putting  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $q = \frac{1}{2}$  in Hölder's inequality. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_R\|_2^2 &= \text{Tr}[S_R^2] \\ &\stackrel{a}{\leq} \|S_R\|_3 \|\chi\|_{l_{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \|S_R\|_3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |\chi_i|^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &= \|S_R\|_3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |\chi_i| |\chi_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\stackrel{b}{\leq} \|S_R\|_3 \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |\chi_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |\chi_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \right) \\ &= \|S_R\|_3 \|S_R\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \|S_R\|_1^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where (a) follows from Eq. (26), and (b) follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Taking 3rd power of (27), we get

$$\|S_R\|_2^4 \leq \|S_R\|_3^3 \|S_R\|_1. \quad (28)$$

Since  $\text{Tr}(S_R) = 1$ , it follows that it follows that the trace norm of  $S_R$  satisfies  $\|S_R\|_1 = 1$ , and hence reducing Eq. (28) to:

$$\|S_R\|_2^4 \leq \|S_R\|_3^3 \quad (29)$$

i.e.,

$$s_2^2 \leq s_3 \quad (30)$$

which completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

Above theorem implies that condition presented in Eq. (20) is necessary for a state  $\rho_{AB}$  to have Schmidt number at most  $r$ . Hence violation of the above theorem is sufficient to conclude that the Schmidt number of the quantum state is greater than  $r$ .

We now exploit higher order moments to propose another criterion capable of efficiently detecting state with Schmidt number greater than  $r$ .

**Theorem 2:** If a bipartite quantum state  $\rho_{AB}$  has Schmidt number less than or equal to  $r$ , then

$$\det[H_m(\mathbf{S}_R)] \geq 0. \quad (31)$$

Here,  $[H_m(\mathbf{s})]_{ij} = s_{i+j+1}$  for  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are the  $i$ -th moments defined in Eq. (18) corresponding to  $r$  positive but not  $r + 1$  positive Reduction map  $\Lambda_R$ .

*Proof.* Let,  $\rho_{AB} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$  be a bipartite quantum state which has Schmidt number less than or equal to  $r$ . We consider the  $r$  positive but not  $r + 1$  positive Reduction map  $\Lambda_R$  defined in Eq. (2). As mentioned earlier,  $S_R$  is a positive semidefinite matrix with unit trace and therefore if  $S_R = \sum_{i=1}^d \chi_i |x_i\rangle \langle x_i|$  be the spectral decomposition of  $S_R$ , then  $\chi_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ .

Now, if  $\mathbf{S}_R = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  be the moment vector defined in Eq. (18), then the  $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$  Hankel matrices are given by the elements  $[H_m(\mathbf{S}_R)]_{ij} = s_{i+j+1}$ , with  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ .

These Hankel matrices  $H_m(\mathbf{S}_R)$  can also be written as

$$H_m(\mathbf{S}_R) = V_m D V_m^T \quad (32)$$

where,

$$V_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \chi_1 & \chi_2 & \dots & \chi_d \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \chi_1^m & \chi_2^m & \dots & \chi_d^m \end{pmatrix} \quad (33)$$

and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \chi_d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

Now, for an arbitrary vector  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ , we have

$$xH_m(\mathbf{S}_R)x^T = xV_mD V_m^T x^T = yDy^T = \sum_{i=1}^d \chi_i y_i^2 \geq 0, \quad (35)$$

where,  $y = xV_m = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)$  with  $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} x_j \chi_j^{i-1}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ .

Hence,  $xH_m(\mathbf{S}_R)x^T \geq 0$  which implies  $H_m(\mathbf{S}_R) \geq 0$ , i.e.  $\det[H_m(\mathbf{S}_R)] \geq 0$ . This completes the proof. ■

Similar to Theorem 1, the above theorem confirms that the condition in Eq. (31) is a necessary requirement for a state to have a Schmidt number of at most  $r$ . Therefore, any violation of this condition is sufficient to conclude that the Schmidt number of the quantum state is greater than  $r$ .

### 1. Examples:

We now introduce several examples that illustrate and support our proposed detection criteria.

**Example 1:** Consider a qutrit isotropic state of the form:

$$\rho_{iso} = p |\phi_3^+\rangle \langle \phi_3^+| + \frac{1-p}{9} I_9 \quad (36)$$

where,  $|\phi_3^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_i |ii\rangle$  is the maximally entangled state in  $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$  and  $p \in [0, 1]$ . As shown in Ref. [30], the Schmidt number of this state is at most 2 if and only if (iff)  $0 \leq p \leq \frac{5}{8}$  and  $\text{SN}(\rho_{iso}) = 3$  iff  $\frac{5}{8} < p \leq 1$ . Applying our criterion proposed in Theorem 1, we find that the condition  $s_2^2 > s_3$  holds precisely in the range  $\frac{5}{8} < p \leq 1$  for a 2 positive but not 3 positive Reduction map. Hence, our criteria proposed in Theorem 1 can successfully detect the entire range of the parameter  $p$  for which  $\text{SN}(\rho_{iso}) = 3$ .

**Example 2:** Consider the scenario where dephasing map in the computational basis is applied to a maximally entangled state, resulting in a noisy state of the form:

$$\rho_{dep} = v |\phi_3^+\rangle \langle \phi_3^+| + \frac{1-v}{3} \sum_{i=0}^2 |ii\rangle \langle ii| \quad (37)$$

where,  $|\phi_3^+\rangle$  is the maximally entangled state in  $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$  as defined earlier and  $v \in [0, 1]$ . Ref. [30] shows that this state has Schmidt number at most 2 iff  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\text{SN}(\rho_{dep}) = 3$  iff  $\frac{1}{2} < v \leq 1$ .

However, if we now apply our criterion proposed in Theorem 1, we obtain  $s_2^2 > s_3$  only for the parameter range  $0.56 \leq v \leq 1$  for a 2 positive but not 3 positive

Reduction map. Therefore, our criteria proposed in Theorem 1 can not detect the full range of the parameter  $v$  for which  $\text{SN}(\rho_{dep}) = 3$ .

Next, we apply our proposed criterion from Theorem 2 to detect Schmidt number of  $\rho_{dep}$ . It is important to note here that this criterion is violated in the exact parameter region in which Schmidt number of  $\rho_{dep}$  is 3 i.e.  $\det[H_2(\mathbf{S}_2)]$  is not positive semidefinite for a 2 positive but not 3 positive Reduction map in the parameter region  $0.5 < v \leq 1$ . Hence, Theorem 2 provides a tighter condition to detect Schmidt number for  $\rho_{dep}$ .

## B. Detection of PPT and NPT entangled states

In the previous section, we utilized moments of  $r$  positive and not  $r + 1$  positive Reduction map to certify quantum states with a Schmidt number greater than  $r$ . In this subsection, we extend our approach by considering moments of other positive maps, including the Choi map, the Breuer–Hall map, and the Reduction map, to detect both PPT and NPT entangled states.

**Theorem 3:** If a bipartite quantum state is separable, then

$$\det[H_m(\mathbf{S})] \geq 0. \quad (38)$$

Here,  $[H_m(\mathbf{S})]_{ij} = s_{i+j+1}$ , and  $s_i$  with  $i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  are defined in Eq. (18) corresponding to the Reduction, Choi and the Breuer–Hall map.

*Proof.* Let,  $\rho_{AB} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$  be a separable quantum state. Here we consider the Choi, Reduction and the Breuer–Hall map defined in Eq. (2). Now, if we define

$$S = \frac{(id_A \otimes \Lambda_S)(\rho_{AB})}{\text{Tr}((id_A \otimes \Lambda_S)(\rho_{AB}))}. \quad (39)$$

Then from the properties of positive map [70], we obtain that  $S$  is a positive semidefinite operator with unit trace. Therefore, if  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^d$  are the eigenvalues of  $S$ , then  $v_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ .

Let us now denote  $\mathbf{S} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  be the moment vector defined in Eq. (18). We can define the  $(m+1) \times (m+1)$  Hankel matrices with elements  $[H_m(\mathbf{S})]_{ij} = s_{i+j+1}$ , for  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ . The remainder of the proof follows similarly to Theorem 2 by replacing  $\mathbf{S}_R$  by  $\mathbf{S}$ . ■

### 1. Examples of PPT entangled states:

We now present examples of PPT entangled states which can be detected by the moments of the Choi and

the Breuer-Hall map.

**Example 3:** Consider a positive partial transpose (PPT) entangled state in  $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$  defined as follows [28, 71],

$$\rho_{\text{bound}} = \frac{1}{1+p+\frac{1}{p}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{p} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{p} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{p} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (40)$$

where,  $p$  is a non-zero, positive, real number. Here, We use the moments of the Choi map to detect this PPT entangled state. By applying the criterion proposed in Theorem 3, we find that  $\det[H_2(\mathbf{S})] < 0$  for the parameter range  $p \in [0.06, 1)$ . This result demonstrates that the moments of the Choi map can successfully detect the bound entangled state  $\rho_{\text{bound}}$ .

**Example 4:** Bennett *et al.* [72] introduced the concept of an unextendable product basis (UPB). The set  $\mathcal{U}_{\text{tiles}} = \{|u_1\rangle, |u_2\rangle, |u_3\rangle, |u_4\rangle, |u_5\rangle\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ , is commonly referred as the *tiles* UPB, where

$$\begin{aligned} |u_1\rangle &= |0\rangle \otimes \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, |u_2\rangle = |2\rangle \otimes \frac{|1\rangle - |2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ |u_3\rangle &= \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |2\rangle, |u_4\rangle = \frac{|1\rangle - |2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |0\rangle, \\ |u_5\rangle &= \frac{1}{3}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |3\rangle) \otimes (|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |3\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Since no product state lies in the orthogonal complement of these states [72], therefore, the state

$$\rho_{\text{tiles}} = \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{I}_9 - \sum_{i=1}^5 |u_i\rangle \langle u_i|) \quad (42)$$

is entangled. Moreover, due to its construction, this state has a positive partial transpose (PPT), making it an example of a PPT entangled state.

Applying the criterion proposed in Theorem 3 to the moments of the Choi map yields  $\det[H_2(\mathbf{S})] \geq 0$ , indicating that these moments, as defined in Eq. (18), fail to detect  $\rho_{\text{tiles}}$ . However, when the same criterion is applied to the moments of the Breuer-Hall map, we obtain  $\det[H_2(\mathbf{S})] < 0$  and hence the criteria proposed in Theorem 3 corresponding to moments of the Breuer-Hall map, is capable of detecting  $\rho_{\text{tiles}}$ .

## 2. Examples of NPT entangled states:

**Example 5:** Consider a class of NPT entangled states in  $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$  defined as follows [73]:

$$\rho_{\text{NPT}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1-\alpha}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{11}{50} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} - \alpha & -\frac{11}{50} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{11}{50} & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{11}{50} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (43)$$

where,  $\frac{25-\sqrt{141}}{50} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{25+\sqrt{141}}{100}$ .

Applying the criterion from Theorem 3 to the moments of the Reduction map with  $k = 1$  yields  $\det[H_2(\mathbf{S})] < 0$  for the full range of  $\alpha$ . Thus, our criterion, based on the moments of the Reduction map defined in Eq. (18), successfully detects  $\rho_{\text{NPT}}$ .

## IV. DETECTION OF QUANTUM CHANNELS AND THEIR DISCRIMINATION

In this section, we explore the implications of our proposed criteria in quantum communication channels based on the moments of the generalized positive maps. We first demonstrate a direct application of these criteria in detecting specific classes of quantum channels, including non-Schmidt number breaking channels and non-entanglement breaking channels. We then highlight additional operational implications of our approach in quantum channel discrimination tasks.

### A. Detection of non-Schmidt number breaking channels

In this subsection, we also utilize the moments of the  $k$ -Reduction map, defined in Eq. (18) to identify channels which are not the Schmidt number-breaking.

**Definition 2:** Let  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  be a quantum channel. The moments of the Schmidt number breaking channels (SNBC) are defined as

$$e_n = \text{Tr}[E_R^n] \quad (44)$$

where,

$$E_R = \frac{(id_A \otimes \Lambda_R)(id_A \otimes \mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle \langle \phi^+|}{\text{Tr}((id_A \otimes \Lambda_R)(id_A \otimes \mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle \langle \phi^+|)} \quad (45)$$



with  $n$  being a positive integer and  $\Lambda_R$  is an  $r$ -positive but not  $r + 1$  positive Reduction map defined in Eq. (2).

Utilizing only the second and third order moments of the channels *i.e.*,  $e_2$  and  $e_3$ , we propose our first criterion, presented as a theorem below.

**Theorem 4:** If a quantum channel  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  has Schmidt number at most  $r$ , then the following inequality holds:

$$e_2^2 \leq e_3, \quad (46)$$

where  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  are defined in Eq. (44) corresponding to  $r$  positive Reduction map.

*Proof.* Let,  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  be a quantum channel which has Schmidt number less than or equal to  $r$  *i.e.*  $\text{SN}[\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{E}] \leq r$ , with  $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{E}$  being the Choi operator corresponding to the channel  $\mathcal{E}$ . If  $E_R$  is obtained from Eq. (45) corresponding to a  $r$  positive but not  $r + 1$  positive Reduction map  $\Lambda_R$ , then from Ref. [30], we know that  $E_R$  is a positive semidefinite operator with unit trace. The remainder of the proof then follows analogously to Theorem 1, replacing  $S_R$  by  $E_R$ . ■

We now utilize higher-order moments to propose a refined criterion for efficiently detecting quantum channels which has Schmidt number greater than  $r$ .

**Theorem 5:** If a quantum channel  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  has Schmidt number at most  $r$ , then

$$\det[H_n(\mathbf{E})] \geq 0. \quad (47)$$

Here,  $[H_n(\mathbf{E})]_{ij} = e_{i+j+1}$ , are the Hankel matrices and  $e_i$  with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are the channel moments corresponding to the  $r$  positive Reduction map defined in Eq. (44).

*Proof.* Consider a quantum channel  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  which has Schmidt number at most  $r$  *i.e.* Schmidt number of its corresponding Choi operator  $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{E}$  satisfies  $\text{SN}[\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{E}] \leq r$ . Note that  $E_R$  as defined in Eq. (45) corresponding to the  $r$  positive but not  $r + 1$  positive Reduction map  $\Lambda_R$  (defined in Eq. (2)), is a positive semidefinite operator with unit trace having spectral decomposition  $E_R = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i |x_i\rangle \langle x_i|$  with  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ .

If  $\mathbf{E}_R = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$  is the moment vector defined in Eq. (44), then we can construct the  $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$  Hankel matrices with the elements  $[H_m(\mathbf{E}_R)]_{ij} = e_{i+j+1}$ , (where  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ ). Rest of the proof proceeds similarly to Theorem 2 with  $S_R$  replaced by  $E_R$ . ■

Analogous to Theorem 4, any violation of this condition implies that the Schmidt number of the quantum channel is greater than  $r$ .

### 1. Examples:

We now present two examples in support of our detection schemes.

**Example 6 (Depolarizing channel):** Let us first consider the depolarizing channel  $\mathcal{K}_d : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  whose action is given by [5, 56],

$$\mathcal{K}_d(\rho) = p\rho + \frac{1-p}{d}\text{Tr}(\rho)I_d \quad (48)$$

where,  $p \in [0, 1]$ . It is known from Ref. [30] that  $\text{SN}(\mathcal{K}_d) \leq r$  iff

$$0 \leq p \leq \frac{rd-1}{d^2-1}. \quad (49)$$

For simplicity, let us take  $d = 3$ . Then Eq. (48) becomes

$$\mathcal{K}_3(\rho) = p\rho + \frac{1-p}{3}\text{Tr}(\rho)I_3 \quad (50)$$

Note that,  $\mathcal{K}_3 \in 1 - \text{SNBC}$  *i.e.*  $\text{IEB}$  iff  $0 \leq p \leq \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\text{SN}(\mathcal{K}_3) > 1$  iff  $\frac{1}{4} < p \leq 1$ . Using Theorem 4, we obtain

$$e_2^2 \leq e_3 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq p \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

and

$$e_2^2 > e_3 \quad \text{for } \frac{1}{4} < p \leq 1.$$

Hence, our proposed criterion in Theorem 4 can successfully detect the entire range where the channel  $\mathcal{K}_3$  is not  $\text{IEB}$ .

Now from Eq. (49), it follows that  $\mathcal{K}_3 \in 2 - \text{SNBC}$  iff  $0 \leq p \leq \frac{5}{8}$  and  $\text{SN}(\mathcal{K}_3) = 3$  iff  $\frac{5}{8} < p \leq 1$ . Using our proposed criteria defined in Theorem 4, we get

$$e_2^2 \leq e_3 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq p \leq \frac{5}{8} \quad (51)$$

and

$$e_2^2 > e_3 \quad \text{for } \frac{5}{8} < p \leq 1. \quad (52)$$

Hence, our criteria proposed in Theorem 4 can detect the entire range of the parameter  $p$  for which  $\mathcal{K}_3 \notin 2 - \text{SNBC}$ . One may check that indeed for the parameter regime  $\frac{5}{8} < p \leq 1$ ,  $\text{SN}(\mathcal{K}_3) = 3$ .

**Example 7 (Dephasing channel):** We now consider the dephasing channel  $\mathcal{P}_d : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ , defined by its action as follows [5, 37]:

$$\mathcal{P}_d(\rho) = v\rho + \frac{1-v}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |i\rangle \langle i| \quad (53)$$

where,  $v \in [0, 1]$ . Ref. [37, 42] established that the Schmidt number of the dephasing channel,  $\text{SN}(\mathcal{P}_d) \leq r$  iff

$$0 \leq v \leq \frac{r-1}{d-1}. \quad (54)$$

We focus on the qutrit dephasing channel by setting  $d = 3$  in Eq. (53).

One may Note that  $\mathcal{P}_d$  is  $\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B}$  iff  $v = 0$  and not  $\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B}$  iff  $(0, 1]$ . On the other hand Theorem 4 gives us

$$e_2^2 \leq e_3 \text{ for } v = 0$$

and

$$e_2^2 > e_3 \text{ for } v \in (0, 1].$$

Therefore, the criterion proposed in Theorem 4 can accurately identify the entire range in which the channel  $\mathcal{P}_3$  is not  $\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B}$ .

From Eq. (54), it follows that  $\mathcal{P}_3 \in 2 - \text{SNBC}$  iff  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\text{SN}(\mathcal{P}_3) = 3$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{P}_3 \notin 2 - \text{SNBC}$  iff  $\frac{1}{2} < v \leq 1$ .

Now, if we apply our criterion proposed in Theorem 4, then we obtain  $e_2^2 > e_3$  for the parameter range  $0.56 \leq v \leq 1$  for a 2 positive but not 3 positive Reduction map. Hence, our criteria proposed in Theorem 4 can not detect the full range of the parameter  $v$  for which  $\text{SN}(\rho_{dep}) = 3$ .

Next we apply our proposed criteria defined in Theorem 5 to detect the parameter range in which  $\mathcal{P}_3$  is non-Schmidt number breaking channel. It is to be mentioned that the criterion defined in Theorem 5 is violated in the exact parameter region in which Schmidt number of  $\mathcal{P}_3$  is 3 i.e.  $\det[H_2(\mathbf{E})]$  is not positive semidefinite for the parameter region  $0.5 < v \leq 1$ . Hence, Theorem 5 provides a tighter condition to detect Schmidt number of  $\mathcal{P}_3$ .

## B. Operational implication of moment criteria in channel discrimination tasks

The channel discrimination task is closely related to the well-studied problem of state discrimination [74], as discussed previously in Sec. IID. Consider the simplest scenario of discriminating two quantum channels  $\mathcal{S}_i : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , each occurring with probability  $p$  and  $1 - p$  respectively. The goal is to correctly identify which channel (i.e., the value of  $i$ ) is applied while minimizing the probability of error.

For a fixed input state  $\rho$ , the corresponding output states are  $\mathcal{S}_1(\rho)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2(\rho)$ . Now, the problem effectively reduces to the task of discriminating the two states

$\mathcal{S}_1(\rho)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2(\rho)$ . However, instead of considering the system alone, one can make use of an additional ancillary system since in general the probe-ancilla entanglement can increase the success probability [75, 76]. By preparing a state  $\rho_{AR} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{C}^d \otimes \mathbf{C}^r)$  with  $r$ -dimensional ancilla such that the channel acts on the system alone, one obtains the two output states  $\rho_i = (id_r \otimes \mathcal{S}_i)(\rho_{AR})$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Unlike Eq. (11) which requires optimization over all possible generalized measurements, this scenario involves the optimization over all input states in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{C}^d \otimes \mathbf{C}^r)$ , to ensure that the resulting output states  $\rho_i$  are distinguishable with minimum error for the given channels. This is mathematically captured by introducing a family of norms on Hermitian maps defined by

$$\|\mathcal{S}\|^r = \max_{\rho_{AR}} \|id_r \otimes \mathcal{S}(\rho_{AR})\|_1. \quad (55)$$

Now, the distance between the two channels  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  (occurring with probability  $p$  and  $1 - p$ ) when optimized over all input quantum states can be written as

$$\mathbb{D}^r(\{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2\}) = \|\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1 - \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2\|^r \quad (56)$$

for  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1 = p\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2 = p\mathcal{S}_2$ . Then, the optimal guessing probability (optimized over the choice of input states and that of final measurements) for the two quantum channels using an  $r$ -dimensional ancillary system is given by

$$p_{success}(\{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2\}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \|\mathbb{D}^r(\{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2\})\|_1). \quad (57)$$

With this, our aim is now to present the operational implications of our proposed moment criteria in channel discrimination task, which we provide below as a theorem.

**Theorem 6:** If for a bipartite quantum state  $\rho_{AR}$ ,

$$\det[H_m(\mathbf{S}_R)] < 0 \quad (58)$$

where,  $[H_m(\mathbf{s})]_{ij} = s_{i+j+1}$  for  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are the  $i$ -th moments defined in Eq. (18) corresponding to  $r$  positive but not  $r + 1$  positive Reduction map  $\Lambda_R$ , then there exists two quantum channels  $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2 : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|id_A \otimes \mathcal{S}_1(\rho_{AR}) - id_A \otimes \mathcal{S}_2(\rho_{AR})\|_1 > \mathbb{D}^r(\{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1, \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2\}). \quad (59)$$

*Proof.* Here, we provide a brief outline of the proof of the above theorem.

If for a bipartite quantum state  $\rho_{AR}$ ,  $\det[H_m(\mathbf{S}_R)] < 0$  where,  $[H_m(\mathbf{s})]_{ij} = s_{i+j+1}$  for  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are the  $i$ -th moments defined in Eq. (18) corresponding to  $r$  positive but not  $r + 1$  positive Reduction map  $\Lambda_R$ , then from Theorem 2, we can

conclude that the state  $\rho_{AR}$  has Schmidt number greater than  $r$ .

Note that the  $r$  positive but not  $r + 1$  positive reduction map  $\Lambda_R$  defined in (2) is trace-preserving only for  $d = 2$ . For dimensions  $d > 2$ , we can modify this map to ensure trace preservation by employing a similar approach used in [74]. Let  $\Lambda_R^{TP} : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$  denote the modified trace-preserving  $r$  positive but not  $r + 1$  positive reduction map. Now, from this trace-preserving map ( $\Lambda_R^{TP}$ ), one can construct a trace-annihilating map ( $\Lambda_R^{TA}$ ) as follows:

$$\Lambda_R^{TA}(\rho) = \Lambda_R^{TP}(\rho) - \text{Tr}(\rho) |f\rangle \langle f| \quad (60)$$

where,  $|f\rangle \langle f|$  is orthogonal to all elements of  $\mathcal{M}_d$ . Using this trace-annihilating  $r$  positive but not  $r + 1$  positive Reduction map, the desired result can be established by employing a similar proof technique as proposed in [31]. ■

## V. CONCLUSIONS

High-dimensional entanglement detection is an important task, as such states can significantly enhance the performance and capabilities of various quantum information processing tasks [31–34, 77]. In this work, we identify the signature of such high dimensional entanglement using the moments of generalized positive map, which can be efficiently implemented in an experimental setup. While high-dimensional entanglement can be characterized by various quantities, here we primarily focus on the Schmidt number of quantum states and specific classes of PPT and NPT entangled states in qutrit-qutrit systems. We then present several examples in support of our detection scheme. Lastly, as a direct application of our proposed moment-based criteria, we demonstrate their operational significance in detecting useful channels (such as non-Schmidt number breaking channels) and in quantum channel discrimination tasks.

Our proposed criteria rely on computing simple functionals that can be efficiently implemented in real experiments using shadow tomography [48–51], and is less resource-consuming than full-state tomography. While full-state tomography demands an exponentially increasing number of measurements as the system size grows, moment-based methods achieve the same objective with only a polynomial number of state copies. Furthermore, unlike the witness-based detection methods that depend on prior knowledge of the specific state, our protocol is state-independent.

Our study paves the way for several promising future research directions. An immediate open avenue is to investigate the classes of entangled states for which moment-based conditions can serve as both necessary and sufficient criteria. Moreover, our proposed moments of the generalized Choi map can be utilized to detect other high-dimensional entangled states, presenting a promising direction for future research. Given the experimental feasibility of our proposed protocol, another important next step is to realize these detection schemes in practical experimental setups.

*Note added:* While finishing the draft of our manuscript, we became aware of a related independent work [78] with a complementary emphasis. While the focus of Ref. [78] seems to be more on refining the entanglement dimensionality detection criteria based on higher order moments and numerical simulations, the focus of our present work is inclined towards a slightly broader approach of high dimensional quantum states and channels detection and operational utility in channel discrimination tasks.

## VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

B.M. and A.G.M. acknowledge Saheli Mukherjee for insightful discussions. B.M. also acknowledges the DST INSPIRE fellowship program for financial support. N.G. acknowledges support from the DST-SERB MATRICS grant vide file number MTR/2022/000101.

- 
- [1] Asher Peres, *Quantum theory: concepts and methods*, Vol. 72 (Springer, 1997).  
 [2] Albert Einstein, Boris Podolsky, and Nathan Rosen, “Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete?” *Physical review* **47**, 777 (1935).  
 [3] Ryszard Horodecki, Paweł Horodecki, Michał Horodecki, and Karol Horodecki, “Quantum entanglement,” *Reviews of modern physics* **81**, 865–942 (2009).  
 [4] Martin B Plenio and Shashank S Virmani, “An introduction to entanglement theory,” in

- Quantum information and coherence* (Springer, 2014) pp. 173–209.  
 [5] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information* (Cambridge university press, 2010).  
 [6] Charles H Bennett and Stephen J Wiesner, “Communication via one-and two-particle operators on einstein-podolsky-rosen states,” *Physical review letters* **69**, 2881 (1992).

- [7] Charles H Bennett, Gilles Brassard, Claude Crépeau, Richard Jozsa, Asher Peres, and William K Wootters, "Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and einstein-podolsky-rosen channels," *Physical review letters* **70**, 1895 (1993).
- [8] Artur K Ekert, "Quantum cryptography based on bell's theorem," *Physical review letters* **67**, 661 (1991).
- [9] Cyril Branciard, Eric G Cavalcanti, Stephen P Walborn, Valerio Scarani, and Howard M Wiseman, "One-sided device-independent quantum key distribution: Security, feasibility, and the connection with steering," *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* **83**, 012308 (2011).
- [10] Mark Hillery, Vladimír Bužek, and André Berthiaume, "Quantum secret sharing," *Physical Review A* **59**, 1829 (1999).
- [11] Richard Cleve, Daniel Gottesman, and Hoi-Kwong Lo, "How to share a quantum secret," *Physical review letters* **83**, 648 (1999).
- [12] Somshubhro Bandyopadhyay, "Teleportation and secret sharing with pure entangled states," *Physical Review A* **62**, 012308 (2000).
- [13] Arun K Pati, "Minimum classical bit for remote preparation and measurement of a qubit," *Physical Review A* **63**, 014302 (2000).
- [14] Michał Horodecki, Paweł Horodecki, and Ryszard Horodecki, "Separability of n-particle mixed states: necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of linear maps," *Physics Letters A* **283**, 1–7 (2001).
- [15] Leonid Gurvits, "Classical complexity and quantum entanglement," *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **69**, 448–484 (2004).
- [16] Sevag Gharibian, "Strong np-hardness of the quantum separability problem," *arXiv preprint arXiv:0810.4507* (2008).
- [17] Sevag Gharibian, Yichen Huang, Zeph Landau, Seung Woo Shin, *et al.*, "Quantum hamiltonian complexity," *Foundations and Trends® in Theoretical Computer Science* **10**, 159–254 (2016).
- [18] Maciej Lewenstein, B Kraus, P Horodecki, and Ji Cirac, "Characterization of separable states and entanglement witnesses," *Physical Review A* **63**, 044304 (2001).
- [19] Christoph Spengler, Marcus Huber, Stephen Brierley, Theodor Adaktylos, and Beatrix C Hiesmayr, "Entanglement detection via mutually unbiased bases," *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* **86**, 022308 (2012).
- [20] Jiangwei Shang, Ali Asadian, Huangjun Zhu, and Otfried Gühne, "Enhanced entanglement criterion via symmetric informationally complete measurements," *Physical Review A* **98**, 022309 (2018).
- [21] Gniewomir Sarbicki, Giovanni Scala, and Dariusz Chruściński, "Family of multipartite separability criteria based on a correlation tensor," *Physical Review A* **101**, 012341 (2020).
- [22] Barbara M Terhal, "Bell inequalities and the separability criterion," *Physics Letters A* **271**, 319–326 (2000).
- [23] Otfried Gühne and Géza Tóth, "Entanglement detection," *Physics Reports* **474**, 1–75 (2009).
- [24] Nirman Ganguly and Satyabrata Adhikari, "Witness for edge states and its characteristics," *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* **80**, 022308 (2009).
- [25] Nirman Ganguly, Satyabrata Adhikari, and Archan S Majumdar, "Common entanglement witnesses and their characteristics," *Quantum information processing* **12**, 425–436 (2013).
- [26] Nirman Ganguly, Jyotishman Chatterjee, and AS Majumdar, "Witness of mixed separable states useful for entanglement creation," *Physical Review A* **89**, 052304 (2014).
- [27] Suchetana Goswami, Sagnik Chakraborty, Sibasish Ghosh, and AS Majumdar, "Universal detection of entanglement in two-qubit states using only two copies," *Physical Review A* **99**, 012327 (2019).
- [28] Bibha Bhattacharya, Suchetana Goswami, Rounak Mundra, Nirman Ganguly, Indranil Chakrabarty, Samyadeb Bhattacharya, and AS Majumdar, "Generating and detecting bound entanglement in two-qutrits using a family of indecomposable positive maps," *Journal of Physics Communications* **5**, 065008 (2021).
- [29] Bivas Mallick and Sumit Nandi, "Genuine entanglement detection via projection map in multipartite system," *Phys. Scr.* **99**, 105116 (2024).
- [30] Barbara M Terhal and Paweł Horodecki, "Schmidt number for density matrices," *Physical Review A* **61**, 040301 (2000).
- [31] Joonwoo Bae, Dariusz Chruściński, and Marco Piani, "More entanglement implies higher performance in channel discrimination tasks," *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 140404 (2019).
- [32] Chao Zhang, Jia-Le Miao, Xiao-Min Hu, Jef Pauwels, Yu Guo, Chuan-Feng Li, Guang-Can Guo, Armin Tavakoli, and Bi-Heng Liu, "Quantum stochastic communication via high-dimensional entanglement," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.04887* (2025).
- [33] Michael Kues, Christian Reimer, Piotr Roztocki, Luis Romero Cortés, Stefania Sciara, Benjamin Wetzel, Yanbing Zhang, Alfonso Cino, Sai T Chu, Brent E Little, *et al.*, "On-chip generation of high-dimensional entangled quantum states and their coherent control," *Nature* **546**, 622–626 (2017).
- [34] Nicolas J Cerf, Mohamed Bourennane, Anders Karlsson, and Nicolas Gisin, "Security of quantum key distribution using d-level systems," *Physical review letters* **88**, 127902 (2002).
- [35] Shuheng Liu, Qiongyi He, Marcus Huber, Otfried Gühne, and Giuseppe Vitagliano, "Characterizing entanglement dimensionality from randomized measurements," *PRX Quantum* **4**, 020324 (2023).
- [36] Shuheng Liu, Matteo Fadel, Qiongyi He, Marcus Huber, and Giuseppe Vitagliano, "Bounding entanglement dimensionality from the covariance matrix," *Quantum* **8**, 1236 (2024).
- [37] Armin Tavakoli and Simon Morelli, "Enhanced schmidt-number criteria based on correlation trace norms," *Physical Review A* **110**, 062417 (2024).
- [38] Anna Sanpera, Dagmar Bruß, and Maciej Lewenstein, "Schmidt-number witnesses and bound entanglement," *Physical Review A* **63**, 050301 (2001).
- [39] Zhen Wang, Bao-Zhi Sun, Shao-Ming Fei, and Zhi-Xi Wang, "Schmidt number criterion via general symmetric informationally complete measurements," *Quantum Information Processing* **23**, 1–14 (2024).

- [40] Xian Shi, "Families of schmidt-number witnesses for high dimensional quantum states," [arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.00248](#) (2024).
- [41] Nikolai Wyderka, Giovanni Chesi, Hermann Kampermann, Chiara Macchiavello, and Dagmar Bruß, "Construction of efficient schmidt-number witnesses for high-dimensional quantum states," [Physical Review A](#) **107**, 022431 (2023).
- [42] Jessica Bavaresco, Natalia Herrera Valencia, Claude Klöckl, Matej Pivoluska, Paul Erker, Nicolai Friis, Mehul Malik, and Marcus Huber, "Measurements in two bases are sufficient for certifying high-dimensional entanglement," [Nature Physics](#) **14**, 1032–1037 (2018).
- [43] Jin-Min Liang, Shuheng Liu, Shao-Ming Fei, and Qiongyi He, "Detecting high-dimensional entanglement by local randomized projections," [arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.01088](#) (2025).
- [44] Robin Krebs and Mariami Gachechiladze, "High schmidt number concentration in quantum bound entangled states," [Physical Review Letters](#) **132**, 220203 (2024).
- [45] Yu Yang, Denny H Leung, and Wai-Shing Tang, "All 2-positive linear maps from  $m_3$  (c) to  $m_3$  (c) are decomposable," [Linear Algebra and its Applications](#) **503**, 233–247 (2016).
- [46] Chengjie Zhang, Sophia Denker, Ali Asadian, and Otfried Gühne, "Analyzing quantum entanglement with the schmidt decomposition in operator space," [Physical Review Letters](#) **133**, 040203 (2024).
- [47] Pasquale Calabrese, John Cardy, and Erik Tonni, "Entanglement negativity in quantum field theory," [Physical review letters](#) **109**, 130502 (2012).
- [48] Andreas Elben, Richard Kueng, Hsin-Yuan Huang, Rick van Bijnen, Christian Kokail, Marcello Dalmonte, Pasquale Calabrese, Barbara Kraus, John Preskill, Peter Zoller, *et al.*, "Mixed-state entanglement from local randomized measurements," [Physical Review Letters](#) **125**, 200501 (2020).
- [49] Scott Aaronson, "Shadow tomography of quantum states," in [Proceedings of the 50th annual ACM SIGACT symposium on theory of computing](#) (2018) pp. 325–338.
- [50] Scott Aaronson and Guy N Rothblum, "Gentle measurement of quantum states and differential privacy," in [Proceedings of the 51st Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing](#) (2019) pp. 322–333.
- [51] Hsin-Yuan Huang, Richard Kueng, and John Preskill, "Predicting many properties of a quantum system from very few measurements," [Nature Physics](#) **16**, 1050–1057 (2020).
- [52] PV Srinidhi, Indranil Chakrabarty, Samyadeb Bhattacharya, and Nirman Ganguly, "Quantum channels that destroy negative conditional entropy," [Physical Review A](#) **110**, 042423 (2024).
- [53] Abhishek Muhuri, Ayan Patra, Rivu Gupta, and Aditi Sen De, "Information theoretic resource-breaking channels," [arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.03108](#) (2023).
- [54] Teiko Heinosaari, Jukka Kiukas, Daniel Reitzner, and Jussi Schultz, "Incompatibility breaking quantum channels," [Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical](#) **48**, 435301 (2015).
- [55] Michael Horodecki, Peter W Shor, and Mary Beth Ruskai, "Entanglement breaking channels," [Reviews in Mathematical Physics](#) **15**, 629–641 (2003).
- [56] Dariusz Chruściński and Andrzej Kossakowski, "On partially entanglement breaking channels," [Open Systems & Information Dynamics](#) **13**, 17–26 (2006).
- [57] Repana Devendra, Nirupama Mallick, and Kappil Sumesh, "Mapping cone of k-entanglement breaking maps," [Positivity](#) **27**, 5 (2023).
- [58] Bivas Mallick, Nirman Ganguly, and AS Majumdar, "On the characterization of schmidt number breaking and annihilating channels," [arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.19315](#) (2024).
- [59] A Jamiołkowski, "An effective method of investigation of positive maps on the set of positive definite operators," [Reports on Mathematical Physics](#) **5**, 415–424 (1974).
- [60] Man-Duen Choi, "Positive semi-definite biquadratic forms," [Linear Algebra and its applications](#) **12**, 95–100 (1975).
- [61] Remigiusz Augusiak and Julia Stasińska, "Positive maps, majorization, entropic inequalities and detection of entanglement," [New Journal of Physics](#) **11**, 053018 (2009).
- [62] Kil-Chan Ha, "Atomic positive linear maps in matrix algebras," [Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences](#) **34**, 5 (2000).
- [63] Carl W Helstrom, "Quantum detection and estimation theory," [Journal of Statistical Physics](#) **1**, 231–252 (1969).
- [64] Johnnie Gray, Leonardo Banchi, Abolfazl Bayat, and Sougato Bose, "Machine-learning-assisted many-body entanglement measurement," [Physical review letters](#) **121**, 150503 (2018).
- [65] Xiao-Dong Yu, Satoya Imai, and Otfried Gühne, "Optimal entanglement certification from moments of the partial transpose," [Physical Review Letters](#) **127**, 060504 (2021).
- [66] Antoine Neven, Jose Carrasco, Vittorio Vitale, Christian Kokail, Andreas Elben, Marcello Dalmonte, Pasquale Calabrese, Peter Zoller, Benoit Vermersch, Richard Kueng, and Barbara Kraus, "Symmetry-resolved entanglement detection using partial transpose moments," [npj Quantum Information](#) **7**, 152 (2021).
- [67] Bivas Mallick, Saheli Mukherjee, Ananda G Maity, and AS Majumdar, "Assessing non-markovian dynamics through moments of the choi state," [Physical Review A](#) **109**, 022247 (2024).
- [68] Bivas Mallick, Sudip Chakrabarty, Saheli Mukherjee, Ananda G Maity, and AS Majumdar, "Efficient detection of non-classicality of continuous variable states using moments of wigner function," [arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.12116](#) (2024).
- [69] Ke-Ke Wang, Zhi-Wei Wei, and Shao-Ming Fei, "Operational entanglement detection based on  $\lambda$ -moments," [The European Physical Journal Plus](#) **137**, 1378 (2022).
- [70] Michal Horodecki, Pawel Horodecki, and Ryszard Horodecki, "On the necessary and sufficient conditions for separability of mixed quantum states," [Phys. Lett. A](#) **223** (1996).
- [71] Erling Størmer, "Decomposable positive maps on  $c^*$ -algebras," [Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society](#) **86**, 402–404 (1982).

- [72] Charles H Bennett, David P DiVincenzo, Tal Mor, Peter W Shor, John A Smolin, and Barbara M Terhal, "Unextendible product bases and bound entanglement," [Physical Review Letters](#) **82**, 5385 (1999).
- [73] Anuma Garg and Satyabrata Adhikari, "Teleportation criteria based on maximum eigenvalue of the shared  $d \otimes d$  dimensional mixed state: beyond singlet fraction," [International Journal of Theoretical Physics](#) **60**, 1038–1052 (2021).
- [74] Marco Piani and John Watrous, "All entangled states are useful for channel discrimination," [Physical Review Letters](#) **102**, 250501 (2009).
- [75] A Yu Kitaev, "Quantum computations: algorithms and error correction," [Russian Mathematical Surveys](#) **52**, 1191 (1997).
- [76] G Mauro D'Ariano, Paolo Placido Lo Presti, and Matteo GA Paris, "Using entanglement improves the precision of quantum measurements," [Physical review letters](#) **87**, 270404 (2001).
- [77] Some Sankar Bhattacharya, Ananda G. Maity, Tamal Guha, Giulio Chiribella, and Manik Banik, "Random-receiver quantum communication," [PRX Quantum](#) **2**, 020350 (2021).
- [78] Changhao Yi, Xiaodi Li, and Huangjun Zhu, "Certifying entanglement dimensionality by reduction moments," [arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.15360](#) (2025).